Efflux pumps mediate changes to fundamental bacterial physiology via membrane potential

Emily E Whittle[1], Oluwatosin Orababa[1†], Alexander Osgerby[2], Sarah J Element[1], Jessica MA Blair[1]* and

Tim W Overton[2]*

[1] Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences and [2]School of

Chemical Engineering, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

† Present address: School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Jessica MA Blair: j.m.a.blair@bham.ac.uk

Tim W Overton: t.w.overton@bham.ac.uk

Abstract

Efflux pumps are well known to be an important mechanism for removing noxious substances such as

antibiotics from bacteria. Given that many antibiotics function by accumulating inside bacteria, efflux

pumps contribute to resistance. Efflux pump inactivation is a potential strategy to combat

antimicrobial resistance, as bacteria would not be able to pump out antibiotics. We recently

discovered that the impact of loss of efflux function is only apparent in actively growing cells. We

demonstrated that the global transcriptome of *Salmonella* Typhimurium is drastically altered during

slower growth leading to stationary phase cells having a re-modelled, less permeable, envelope that

prevents antibiotics entering the cell. Here, we investigated the effects of deleting the major efflux

pump of *Salmonella* Typhimurium, AcrB, on global gene transcription across growth. We revealed that

an *acrB* knockout entered stationary phase later than the wild type strain SL1344, and displayed

increased and prolonged expression of genes responsible for anaerobic energy metabolism. We

devised a model linking efflux and membrane potential, whereby deactivation of AcrB prevents influx

of protons across the inner membrane and thereby hyperpolarisation. Knockout or deactivation of

AcrB was demonstrated to increase membrane potential. We propose that the global transcription

regulator ArcBA senses changes to the redox state of the quinol pool (linked to the membrane

potential of the bacterium) and coordinates the shift from exponential to stationary phase via the key

master regulators RpoS, Rsd, and Rmf. Inactivation of efflux pumps therefore influences the
fundamental physiology of *Salmonella*, with likely impacts on multiple phenotypes.

Importance

We demonstrate for the first time that deactivation of efflux pumps brings about changes to gross bacterial physiology and metabolism. Rather than simply being a response to noxious substances, efflux pumps appear to play a key role in maintenance of membrane potential and thereby energy metabolism. This discovery suggests that efflux pump inhibition or inactivation might have unforeseen positive consequences on antibiotic activity. Given that stationary phase bacteria are more resistant to antibiotic uptake, late entry into stationary phase would prolong antibiotic accumulation by bacteria. Furthermore, membrane hyperpolarisation could result in increased generation of reactive species proposed to be important for the activity of some antibiotics. Finally, changes in gross physiology could also explain the decreased virulence of efflux mutants.

Keywords

Hyperpolarisation, RND efflux pump, AcrAB-TolC, ArcBA, redox state, energy metabolism.

Introduction

Many antibiotics function by accumulating inside bacteria and inhibiting essential metabolic functions such as protein synthesis, DNA repair, or cell wall synthesis (1). Bacteria can therefore protect themselves against these antibiotics by decreasing intracellular accumulation. There are two main mechanisms for this: removal of antibiotics from inside the cell via efflux pumps (2); and prevention of influx of antibiotics across the envelope (3). The major efflux pump in *S*. Typhimurium is AcrAB-TolC, a member of the RND family (4) comprising the transporter AcrB, the periplasmic adaptor protein AcrA, and the outer membrane channel TolC. AcrAB-TolC has been shown to have a wide substrate range and mediate resistance to multiple antibiotics. Loss of AcrB function through mutation or inhibition has been shown to make *S*. Typhimurium hyper-susceptible to antibiotics as well as avirulent, unable to form biofilm and less motile (5, 6); major changes to the physiology of the organism.

In an attempt to understand the physiological consequences of loss of efflux function previous studies have compared global gene expression in the *S.* Typhimurium wild type and efflux mutants (5–7).

Wang-Kan *et al*. compared an *acrB* D408A mutant (defective in AcrB efflux function) with the wild type

S. Typhimurium SL1344, both in exponential phase growth in MOPS minimal medium (6) and

stationary phase growth in rich LB broth (7). The latter study also compared gene expression in *E. coli*

K-12 MG1655 and its *acrB* D408A mutant, and characterised the exometabolome and

endometabolome of each of the four strains in order to better understand the substrates of the AcrAB-

TolC pump. The effect of deletion of *acrD*, encoding an alternative efflux pump with narrower

substrate specificity to AcrB, on global gene expression has also been characterised (8). However, each

of these studies compared gene expression at a single timepoint.

In a previous study (9) we investigated the dynamic balance between efflux and prevention of influx

of antibiotics across the Gram-negative bacteria and revealed that efflux is more important during

rapid exponential phase growth as the envelope is more fluid and antibiotics can pass into the

bacterium more readily. However, in stationary phase, the envelope is remodelled and becomes less

amenable to antibiotic entry, thus preventing intracellular accumulation, meaning that efflux has less

impact. We used RNA-Seq in *Salmonella* Typhimurium SL1344 to map global gene expression as

bacteria transitioned from exponential to stationary phase, highlighting gene expression changes

potentially responsible for remodelling multiple layers of the envelope. Work by others has also

revealed that prevention of influx and active efflux have different relative contributions during

antimicrobial resistance development across Gram-negative pathogens (10, 11).

Given that the relative impact of efflux varies during bacterial growth, in the present study, we tracked

gene expression at 1, 3 and 5 hours growth in the wild type and an Δ*acrB* mutant to enable the

dynamics of gene expression to be compared. We sought to better understand the physiological

changes brought about by deletion of *acrB*, and to differentiate between the direct effects of AcrB as

an efflux pump (eg removal of compounds from the bacterium) and the secondary effects brought

about by the deletion.

We show that deletion of *acrB* has wide-ranging effects on physiology and we propose and test a

model that links efflux, membrane potential, redox state of the cell, and entry into stationary phase.

This suggests that efflux pumps are deeply embedded in bacterial physiology, and loss of AcrB function

perturbs the transition from exponential phase to stationary phase physiology. We also demonstrate

the benefits of transcriptome analysis across growth, using samples taken at multiple timepoints, to

discover novel aspects of gene regulation and physiology.

Results

We previously published the results of a transcriptome analysis showing transition of the wild type *S.* Typhimurium strain SL1344 from exponential to stationary phase (9). Samples were taken after 1, 3, and 5 hours growth in MOPS minimal medium, and gene expression was tracked using RNA-Seq across growth. This study revealed changes to aspects of physiology as bacteria transitioned from the rapid growth of exponential phase to the slower growth of stationary phase. We specifically focused on changes in gene expression responsible for remodelling the bacterial envelope from a relatively permeable structure in rapid growth to a far less permeable state in stationary phase. This decreased envelope permeability is likely a key contributor to why stationary phase bacteria are more resistant to multiple antibiotics (12, 13) as well as other stressors (14, 15).

In the present work, we expanded this study by repeating these transcriptomics experiments in an *S.* Typhimurium Δ*acrB* mutant (9), similarly taking samples after 1, 3, and 5 hours growth in MOPS minimal medium. The two strains grew similarly under these conditions. First, we compared how changes in gene expression over time differed between the wild type and Δ*acrB* strains. Gene expression was compared between 1 h and 3 h, 1 h and 5 h, and 3 h and 5 h timepoints for each strain (Figure 1). This revealed that more genes were differentially regulated early in growth (the 1 h vs 3 h comparison) in the wild type than in the Δ*acrB* mutant. Comparing later timepoints, there are more differentially expressed genes for the 3 h vs 5 h comparison in the Δ*acrB* mutant than the wild type. This suggests that changes in gene expression occur later in the Δ*acrB* mutant than the wild type.

Figure 1. Venn diagrams comparing gene expression in the (a) wild type and (b) Δ*acrB* strain. Number of genes differentially expressed ($\log_2$ foldchange ≥ 1.5 and $p_{adj} < 0.05$) for each comparison is shown.

We wanted to understand why expression of more genes changed between 1 h and 3 h in the wild type than in the ΔacrB strain, and the effect this would have on physiology. We classified genes into three groups (Figure 2) based on their $\log_2$ fold change ($\log_2$FC) from 1 h to 3 h in each strain, and their $\Delta\log_2$FC value, calculated by subtracting the ΔacrB $\log_2$FC from the wild type $\log_2$FC. A positive $\Delta\log_2$FC value denotes a gene that is more upregulated or less downregulated in the ΔacrB strain than the wild type. Genes with a negative $\Delta\log_2$FC value are more upregulated or less downregulated in the wild type.

Figure 2. Data analysis strategy to characterise differentially expressed genes. For each gene, transcript levels at 1 h and 3 h were compared and a $\log_2$ fold-change ($\log_2$FC) value calculated. The $\log_2$ fold-change for the ΔacrB mutant was subtracted from the wt $\log_2$FC to give a $\Delta\log_2$FCvalue indicating the difference in regulation between the two strains. A positive $\Delta\log_2$ value indicates a gene is more upregulated or less downregulated in ΔacrB for the 1 h vs 3 h timepoints. A negative $\Delta\log_2$ value indicates that a gene is more upregulated or less downregulated in the wild type.

Group 1 comprises genes that were downregulated from 1 h to 3 h in the WT ($\log_2$FC ≤ -1) and less downregulated in the ΔacrB strain, thereby having a $\Delta\log_2$FC of ≥ 1. Group 2 contains genes that were not downregulated from 1 h to 3 h in the wt ($\log_2$FC > -1) but had a $\Delta\log_2$FC of ≥ 1, thereby were more upregulated or less downregulated in the ΔacrB mutant. Group 3 contains genes that were more upregulated from 1 h to 3 h in the wild type than in the ΔacrB mutant. Genes with a p_{adj} > 0.05 (non-significant) for the 1 h to 3 h timepoints in either wt or ΔacrB were omitted from this analysis.

Group one – late repression in the ΔacrB strain

The 166 genes in group one are more downregulated between 1 h and 3 h in the wild type than the ΔacrB strain (Supplemental Table S1). The largest categories of genes in this group are those encoding tRNAs (34 genes) and ribosomal proteins and other proteins involved in translation (33 genes). Many of these genes (including the tRNA genes) are known to be downregulated during the entry into stationary phase by the stringent response, mediated by ppGpp (16). For many of these genes, expression is downregulated in the wild type from 1 h to 3 h and then does not change significantly

from 3 h to 5 h, whereas in the Δ*acrB* strain expression does not change from 1 h to 3 h but decreases from 3 h to 5 h. This suggests that the Δ*acrB* strain enters stationary phase later than the wild type SL1344 strain.

Fifteen genes in this group are involved in envelope functions including inner membrane protein insertion, outer membrane lipoprotein trafficking, LPS and lipid A synthesis, and peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling. The peptidoglycan-related genes *ldtB*, *mrcA* and *pbpG* are implicated in exponential phase functions (9, 17). Five genes involved in nucleotide metabolism and 6 genes in amino acid metabolism, also important during rapid growth, are also in this group, reinforcing the idea that stationary phase transition is delayed in the Δ*acrB* strain.

Four genes in group one are related to polyamines, specifically putrescine import and spermidine synthesis. Recently, the efflux regulator AcrR (which represses expression of *acrAB*) was shown to bind to three polyamines and regulate aspects of polyamine efflux and metabolism in *E. coli* (18). Seven genes of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1), encoding a type III secretion system required for invasion of epithelial cells, are in group one. The SPI-1 regulatory network is very complex (19) and comprises transcription factors encoded both within and outside SPI-1. Expression of SPI-1 is bistable (20) and is influenced by multiple environmental stimuli including osmolarity, oxygen tension, bile, [Mg^{2+}], and pH. Two genes in SPI-11, implicated in survival in the macrophage (21), are also in group one. Alteration in SPI expression would likely influence virulence, which is known to be decreased upon loss of AcrB function (6).

Other transcriptomic studies identified genes in group one being as being differentially regulated in the *S.* Typhimurium wild type and strains lacking *acrB* or *acrB* function (*acrB* D408A mutant). Comparison in exponential phase in MOPS minimal medium (5, 6) revealed that SPI-1 genes were downregulated in an *acrB* knockout mutant and in the *acrB* D408A mutant. In stationary phase (7), rRNAs, tRNAs, SPI-11 and some SPI-1 genes were downregulated (although the SPI-1 *sipABCD* TTSS genes, not members of groups one-three in the present study, were upregulated in the mutant). However, our data suggests that this is a response to fundamental changes in physiology brought about by deletion of *acrB*, and not necessarily direct regulation of pathogenicity islands.

Group two – early activation in the ΔacrB strain

The 46 genes in group two (Supplemental table S2) are more upregulated between 1 h and 3 h in the *acrB* strain than in the same time period in the wild type, so are classified as "early activation". On initial inspection, this group contains many genes known to be anaerobically activated. For each gene, expression data in the gene expression database SalComMac (22, 23) was consulted to identify which

genes are anaerobically activated; in this database, "anaerobic shock" is classified as aerobic growth

followed by 30 minutes in a sealed static tube (22). It should be noted that the SalComMac data is for

S. Typhimurium strain 4/74; SL1344 is a *hisG* auxotroph of 4/74 (24), although we believe that data

should be broadly comparable for the two strains.

Many of the anaerobically-activated genes are activated by the oxygen-sensing global regulator FNR

in *Salmonella* (25) and / or *E. coli* (26). These include genes encoding the periplasmic nitrate and nitrite

reductases responsible for anaerobic respiration at low NO_2^- and NO_3^- concentrations (27, 28), the

anaerobic serine/threonine degradation enzyme TdcB that is suggested to be critical for energy

metabolism during changes in oxygen tension (29), and the two cytochrome *c* maturation (Ccm)

operons, one of which is downstream of the *nap* operon (30). The cytochrome *c* peroxidase *yhjA*/*ccp*

is also in this group, which has been shown to be FNR-activated (25) and enables respiratory growth

on peroxide (31). The *ccp* gene is upstream of the second *ccm* cluster and may form a single operon.

RS22105, annotated as a molybdopterin-dependent oxidoreductase and proposed to be a DMSO

reductase distinct from DmsABC, has been shown to be FNR activated in *Salmonella* (25), as has

yhbU/ubiU which encodes part of the oxygen-independent ubiquinone synthesis pathway (32).

A high affinity nickel uptake system (*yntABCDE/nikABCDE*) is in the grouping (33); these genes are FNR

activated in *E. coli* although were not identified in the *Salmonella* FNR study (25). In *E. coli*, *nikABCDE*

expression is linked to that of the Ni^{2+} cofactor-containing hydrogenases required for respiration of

fumarate and formate (34). RS15890 and RS15900 (*ecfT*) are two members of a putative 5-gene

operon encoding an ECF transporter suggested to import Co^{2+} (35) that is conserved in pathogenic *E.*

coli strains. SalComMac data suggests that this operon is anaerobically activated.

The remaining anaerobically-activated genes in this group are of unknown function or are poorly

characterised: *yecH* has been shown to be downregulated by adrenaline (36); *ompX* is in SPI-5 (37)

and has been found to be overexpressed in a *dksA* mutant (38); two LysR family transcriptional

regulators (RS11710 and RS19740); a putative transketolase RS12010; and hypothetical protein

RS12010.

It should be noted that for many of these anaerobic genes, expression increases from 1 h to 3 h and

then decreases from 3 h and to 5 h. The magnitude of the increase in expression is larger in the *acrB*

strain. Taken together, genes in this group enable anaerobic energy generation through respiratory

and substrate-level routes.

As well as the anaerobically-activated genes in this group, there are also several genes that are

anaerobically repressed in SalComMac, including the tyrosine importer *tyrP*, the flagellar genes *fliR*,

fliF and *fliH* and the proposed virulence factor *srfA* which was also identified as a class 2 flagellar gene

(39) whose expression is activated by the flagellar master regulator FlhDC. As previously noted, loss

of AcrB function reduces *Salmonella* motility (5). A link between motility and SPI-1 has also been

reported (40).

In previous transcriptomic studies, Wang-Kan et al (6) identified anaerobically-activated genes

including nitrate and nitrite reductase and flagellar genes being upregulated in the *acrB* D408A mutant

in exponential phase. In stationary phase, some anaerobically-relevant and flagellar genes were also

upregulated (7).

Group three – late activation in the ΔacrB strain

Group three comprises 53 genes that are more activated in the wild type than in Δ*acrB* at three hours,

so the opposite pattern to genes in groups one and two (Supplemental Table S3). The most striking

group of genes in this group are the *met* genes involved in methionine biosynthesis from aspartate,

methionine uptake, and S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) biosynthesis; expression of these genes is seen

to "spike" at 3 h in the wt, with upregulation at 3 h vs 1 h and downregulation at 5 h vs 3 h. In the

Δ*acrB* strain these genes are less upregulated at 3 h and many are further upregulated at 5 h,

indicating much later induction. In *E. coli* these genes are all repressed by the regulator MetJ which

binds to methionine and SAM (41). Our data suggest that these routes to SAM are highly upregulated

on entry to stationary phase. SAM is an essential metabolite and a major methyl donor for protein and

DNA methylation and polyamine synthesis; in the transition to stationary phase, SAM is also a methyl

donor for cyclopropane fatty acid synthesis (42). In *E. coli*, the SAM synthase *metK* is essential (43) and

depletion of SAM using a *metK84* mutant leads to a cell division defect (44). In *S*. Typhimurium,

methionine biosynthesis or import are required for infection in mice and methionine was implicated

in aspects of peptidoglycan synthesis (45).

These data suggest that the methionine and / or SAM pool in the wild type is depleted at 3 h growth,

and these pathways are upregulated to replenish the pool. The transcriptomics data in SalComMac

shows that most of the *met* genes are not upregulated in stationary phase, although that dataset was

generated for cultures grown in rich Lennox broth (22). We suggest that in Lennox broth, methionine

is available (around 70 mg/L based on typical composition values (46)) so can be readily imported and

converted to SAM, whereas in MOPS minimal medium used here, methionine needs to be synthesised

from aspartate, hence activation of the *met* genes. This suggests that methionine could be a growth

limiting nutrient in these conditions.

A cluster of genes (*ybdL, ybdH, ybdD, ybdM*) are also regulated in a similar manner to the *met* genes. *E. coli* YbdL has methionine aminotransferase activity (47) and is believed to be involved in methionine recycling following polyamine synthesis (48). YbdH is annotated as a putative glycerol dehydrogenase and the homologue in *E. coli* has been identified as a hydroxycarboxylate dehydrogenase (49). The *E. coli ybdD* homologue has been identified (with CstA) as part of a pyruvate import system (50) and *ybdM* has been identified as a transcriptional regulator related to SpoOJ (51). A predicted MetJ binding site in this cluster could explain its regulation (41).

The PstSCAB high-affinity phosphate importer is poorly understood in *Salmonella* but is known to be induced in *E. coli* in response to phosphate limitation, and is activated by RpoS (52). ProP is responsible for the uptake of osmoprotectants proline and glycine betaine; expression in *E. coli* is induced by RpoS and Fis on entry to stationary phase (53). Expression of *mysB*, *yahO,* and *yceK* are also RpoS-induced (54). Expression of *katG* in *E. coli* was also shown to increase on entry into stationary phase, although in an RpoS-independent manner (55).

Two genes with key roles in regulating entry into stationary phase entry in this group: *rsd* encodes an anti-sigma factor that deactivates the exponential phase housekeeping sigma factor σ^{70} RpoD (56); and *rmf* induces ribosome dimerization and hibernation (57). Expression of *rmf* is highly upregulated in stationary phase in the SalComMac dataset (22). Both of these genes are upregulated far later in the *acrB* mutant than in the wild type in our dataset; together with the other stationary-phase induced genes in group three, this supports the later onset of stationary phase in Δ*acrB* than the wild type.

Expression of *aceB* is regulated by multiple transcription factors in *E. coli*, including ArcA (58). There are also a number of genes in SPI-2, involved in intracellular survival, and chemotaxis-related genes in group three.

Lower expression of SPI-2 genes were observed in other transcriptomics studies in the *acrB* D408A mutant in exponential (6) and stationary phase (7), although the *met* genes and many others in group three were not reflected in the datasets of previous studies. The reason for this is likely because most genes in this group were transiently expressed in the wild type; we observed a "spike" of expression, and later expression in the Δ*acrB* mutant. This reveals a key advantage of our approach; such a "spike" could be missed if only a single timepoint was used to measure transcriptomes. Taking samples through growth allows the expression of each gene to be tracked and the profiles of gene expression over time to be compared.

A model linking efflux and stationary phase via membrane potential

Previous studies have proposed that changes in gene expression and physiology in efflux knockouts have been caused by the lack of efflux of a signalling molecule (for example an autoinducer), giving rise to changes in intracellular and/or extracellular concentrations of that molecule and a resultant change in gene regulation and physiology. However, we realised that knockout of *acrB* could trigger an alternative signalling cascade. As AcrB requires proton motive force (PMF) for function, deactivation of AcrB would decrease of influx of protons across the inner membrane, resulting in membrane hyperpolarisation and thus alter the redox state of the cell. This has been built into a model (Figure 3) whereby the membrane potential and redox state of the bacterium plays a key role in the transition from exponential to stationary phase, mediated by the two-component ArcBA system (59). Deletion of AcrB results in perturbation of the membrane potential and thereby the redox balance of the cell and thus disrupts this regulation, resulting in a) late entry into stationary phase and b) upregulation of anaerobic energy metabolism pathways.

The global regulator ArcBA regulates gene expression on the shift from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism (59) and is also thought to play a role in response to other stresses such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) and during infection in *Salmonella* (60, 61). Knockouts of *arcA* and *arcB* in *S.* Typhimurium reduce intracellular survival in epithelial cells, macrophages and neutrophils, and are attenuated in a mouse model (60). The ArcBA regulon has been characterised in *E. coli* (reviewed by (59)) and *Salmonella* both aerobically and anaerobically (61, 62). The sensor kinase ArcB autophosphorylates itself and then phosphorylates the response regulator ArcA; phospho-ArcA binds promoter DNA and regulates gene expression (Figure 3a). Oxidised quinones repress the kinase activity of ArcB (63) thus ArcBA is a direct sensor of the quinol pool redox state. Highly oxidised quinone (Q) leads to low ArcA activity whereas highly reduced quinol (QH_2) leads to high ArcA activity.

ArcA has previously been shown to repress expression of *rpoS*, *rsd*, and *rmf*, three genes that mediate important aspects of the switch from exponential phase to stationary phase (14, 64). RpoS is the sigma factor that is dominant in stationary phase (14); *rpoS* transcription is repressed by ArcA in *E. coli* (65) and *Salmonella* (66). In addition, activated ArcB phosphorylates RssB, which targets RpoS protein for degradation by the ClpXP protease (65). ArcAB-RssB therefore acts as a key gatekeeper to entry into stationary phase. Rsd is an anti-sigma factor that deactivates the exponential phase housekeeping sigma factor σ^{70} / RpoD (56). Expression of *rsd* is regulated by ArcA in both *E. coli* (67) and *Salmonella* (61). Rmf induces ribosome dimerization and hibernation in stationary phase (57); its expression is ArcA-regulated in *Salmonella* (61) and *E. coli* (64).

Figure 3. Model of the mechanism of ArcBA-mediated redox control of stationary phase entry and the impact of an AcrB mutant. In the wild type strain during rapid growth (a), high flux through the electron transport chain results in a reduced quinol pool (QH_2) which permits ArcBA to be active, repressing expression of *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf*. RssB also targets RpoS protein for degradation. As growth slows (b), the quinol pool becomes more oxidised (Q), deactivating ArcB, permitting activation of *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf*, triggering entry into stationary phase. In a Δ*acrB* mutant (c), proton motive force is higher for longer during growth, repressing *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf* and delaying entry into stationary phase. As a result, exponential phase physiology is extended (d), necessitating upregulation of genes involved in anaerobic energy metabolism (Nap, Nrf and CCP shown), likely via the global regulator FNR. TO: Terminal oxidases.

In the wild type in rapid growth, relatively high flux through electron transport to oxygen results in a highly reduced quninol pool (QH_2). This would enable ArcBA to be active, repressing *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf*. During entry into stationary phase, the quinol pool becomes more oxidised, de-activating ArcBA and thus permitting *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf* expression and activity. In the transition of the Δ*acrB* strain to stationary phase, the inner membrane would become hyperpolarised; this would alter the redox state of the quinol pool, meaning that ArcBA remains active and RpoS, Rsd and Rmf activities are repressed for longer during growth. This would delay onset of stationary phase in the Δ*acrB* mutant. The genes *rsd* and *rmf* both fall into group three; Table 1 summarises their expression data. Expression of *rpoS* is insignificant ($p_{adj} > 0.05$) in the wild type between 1 h and 3 h, although downregulated between 1 h and 3 h in the Δ*acrB* mutant.

Log₂ fold change				ΔacrB – wt Δlog₂				
wt 1vs3	wt 3vs5	acrB 1vs3	acrB 3vs5	1vs3	3vs5	ID	Gene	STM
0.98	0.35†	-0.69	1.59	-1.67	1.24	SL1344_RS21380	*rsd*	STM4165
0.36†	0.73†	-1.17	2.19	-1.53	1.46	SL1344_RS15130	*rpoS*	STM2924
2.30	3.74	1.05	4.61	-1.25	0.87	SL1344_RS05235	*rmf*	STM1066

Table 1. Gene expression data for the key stationary phase regulators *rsd*, *rmf*, and *rpoS*. Log₂ fold change values for each gene: 1 h vs 3 h in the wild type; 3 h vs 5 h in the wild type; 1 h vs 3 h in Δ*acrB*; 3 h vs 5 h in Δ*acrB*. ΔLog₂ values for the 1 h vs 3 h and 3 h vs 5 h comparisons. Δlog₂ values are calculated by Log₂ fold change for Δ*acrB* minus Log₂ fold change for the wild type. ID: locus name. Fold changes that are insignificant ($p_{adj} > 0.05$) are marked with a dagger †. Other fold changes are colour coded as in Supplemental tables.

In the absence of a transition into stationary phase, exponential phase physiology would be prolonged in the Δ*acrB* mutant with σ^{70} remaining the dominant sigma factor. Oxygen limitation caused by increased biomass concentration would likely activate FNR, which would upregulate the anaerobic energy-generating pathways identified in group two above. Nrf, Nap and CCP do not pump protons across the inner membrane (68), therefore they would not contribute to the hyperpolarised state of the bacterium. ArcA does not appear to regulate the anaerobically-activated genes identified here in Supplemental Table S2 except for the periplasmic nitrite reductase *nrf* (62).

Experimental determination of membrane potential

To test whether the inner membrane of the Δ*acrB* strain is hyperpolarised, we used the fluorescent membrane potential dye DiSC$_3$(5) (3,3'-dipropylthiadicarbocyanine iodide) (69). The wild type, Δ*acrB* and *acrB* D408A strains were grown in MOPS minimal medium and the membrane potential measured using flow cytometry after 1, 3 and 5 hours (Figure 4). As a control, the protonophore carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) which collapses the PMF was used prior to measurement. The wild type strain showed highest membrane potential after 1 hours growth, and declining membrane potential at 3 and 5 hours. Both the Δ*acrB* strain and a strain expressing the *acrB* D408A derivative, which blocks proton translocation and thus efflux (70, 71), had higher membrane potential than the wild type at all time points. These data support the hypothesis that the Δ*acrB* mutant is hyperpolarised. Treatment with CCCP collapsed the membrane potential of all strains at all time points (*P* ≤ 0.01).

Figure 4. Determination of membrane potential. SL1344, Δ*acrB* and *acrB* D408A cells were grown in MOPS minimal medium and samples taken at 1, 3 and 5 hours growth, whereupon membrane potential was determined using flow cytometry and DiSC$_3$(5). The red fluorescence, corresponding to membrane potential, is shown for each strain. The protonophore CCCP was used as a control to collapse membrane potential. Error bars show standard deviation of duplicate cultures for a representative experiment. Significance was tested for comparisons of wild type and Δ*acrB* / *acrB* D408A strains for each timepoint and across timepoints using a paired t-test; * *P* ≤ 0.05; ** *P* ≤ 0.01. Addition of CCCP to each sample resulted in a significant (*P* ≤ 0.01) decrease in membrane potential.

There is only one other study where the influence of efflux pumps on membrane potential has been measured; Le *et al*. showed that PMF was similar in wt and *tolC* strains of *E. coli* by measuring flagellar

rotor speed (72). These experiments were done on cells grown in rich medium to an optical density of

2, so are not directly comparable with our data.

Discussion

Our transcriptomics data suggests that the Δ*acrB* strain enters stationary phase later than the wild

type, and as a result displays prolonged exponential-phase anaerobic metabolism. Comparison with

other transcriptomic experiments on efflux pump mutants reveals some similarities in expression

patterns. Wang-Kan *et al*. compared expression in SL1344 and an efflux-defective *acrB* D408A mutant

(6). The two strains were grown in MOPS minimal medium to an OD_{600} of 0.6. The *acrB* D408A mutant

displayed higher expression of flagella and anaerobic metabolism genes than the wild type. A later

transcriptomic study (7) grew the same strains in LB broth to stationary phase and found changes in

genes responsible for energy metabolism. A major advantage of measuring gene expression across

growth (here at 1, 3 and 5 hours) is that trends in gene expression (and thereby physiology) over time

can be identified, which would otherwise be difficult to detect or interpret when taking samples at

only a single timepoint.

Supporting evidence for the redox-ArcBA model from the literature

There is data in the literature to support the model of efflux pump function influencing membrane

potential and regulation of stationary phase (Fig. 3). The links between efflux pumps and membrane

potential have previously been observed in studies on efflux pump inhibitors. The antihistamine

promethazine (a phenothiazine) is an efflux pump inhibitor (73) and has been shown to increase

membrane potential in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (74).

Arce-Rodríguez *et al*. studied the impact of the $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio on antibiotic resistance in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 (75). They artificially modulated the $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio using a

recombinant NADH oxidase (which increases $[NAD^+]$) or a formate dehydrogenase (which increases

$[NADH]$). They showed that a high $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio increased membrane potential whereas low

$NADH:NAD^+$ ratios decreased membrane potential (measured using $DiOC_2(3)$). High $NADH:NAD^+$ ratios

also increased cellular ROS levels, and efflux of aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones via the RND

efflux pumps MexAB and MexXY leading to increased resistance. However, a high $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio

also increased ROS-mediated killing by high concentrations of antibiotics, and it was concluded that

control of $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio and other aspects of redox balance was important for response to

antibiotics.

Yang *et al*. found that an Δ*acrA* mutant in *E. coli* BW25113 had lower *rpoS::lacZ* expression than the

wild type across growth, while overproduction of AcrA resulted in increased *rpoS::lacZ* expression (76).

Yang *et al*. proposed that efflux pumps export quorum sensing molecules which gives rise to these

changes in physiology, but we present an alternative model whereby membrane potential and redox

state is the signal. Indeed, subsequent studies have sought to identify effluxed quorum sensing

molecules without success (7). A previous study found that *rpoS* transcription in *S.* Typhimurium F98

is linked to redox and influenced by oxygen, ArcA, and NADH:NAD$^+$ ratio (66). Expression of *arcA* was

also found to be negatively regulated by RpoS. The authors also suggested that quorum sensing could

play a role in this regulation. ArcA has also recently been found to be important in *E. coli* for

development of antibiotic resistance (77) and metabolic adaptation to tetracycline resistance (78).

Links to biofilms?

It has previously been reported that knockout or deactivation of *acrB* and other genes encoding efflux

pump components reduced biofilm formation in *Salmonella* (79), although the exact regulatory

mechanism is currently unclear (80, 81) . Indeed, efflux pumps have been implicated in biofilm

formation in many bacterial species (reviewed by (82)). A recent study identified TolC as being

beneficial for biofilm formation in both *E. coli* and *S*. Typhimurium (81). This study also identified *nuo*

genes encoding the major aerobic NADH reductase as being important in *Salmonella* biofilm

formation, and highlighted the fact that biofilm development is a process requiring different genes at

different times (from initial attachment to maturation). Efflux inhibitors such as phenothiazines have

also been shown to reduce biofilm formation (73, 74). ArcBA and RpoS have previously been

implicated in regulation of biofilm formation (83) so our model could provide a link between efflux

pumps, redox state, and biofilm formation. Indeed, recent studies have shown that *Salmonella* biofilm

formation can be modulated by redox-active polymers (84).

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated that deactivation of AcrB leads to hyperpolarisation of the inner

membrane and we propose that this is responsible for wide-ranging changes in bacterial gene

regulation and physiology. Our model linking efflux and redox provides an explanation for some

phenotypes observed in efflux pump knockouts and inhibition experiments, and places efflux pumps

as a central part of bacterial physiology, distinct from (but linked to) their role as transporters of

noxious substances from the cell.

Intriguingly, our model could explain three reasons why efflux pumps are important for the response

of *Salmonella* to antimicrobials. First, their well-established and characterised function in removing

noxious substances from the cell. Second, as loss of AcrB function increases membrane potential,

antimicrobials postulated to function via the generation of reactive oxygen species by the respiratory

chain following treatment (the ROS-lethality hypothesis) (85) could be more effective. And third, as

the Δ*acrB* strain appears to enter stationary phase later in growth than the wild type, inhibition of

efflux is likely to delay the remodelling of the cell envelope that occurs on transition to stationary

phase, after which antimicrobial permeability is far reduced (9). Likewise, the attenuation of virulence

observed when AcrB is deactivated (6) could be due to deficiencies in efflux of antimicrobial host

molecules, and / or changes in physiology brought about by hyperpolarisation such as alterations in

SPI expression, motility, and biofilm formation. Future work will explore the relative contributions of

efflux and redox state in these situations.

Materials and Methods

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium SL1344 (86) and its cognate Δ*acrB* (87) and *acrB* D408A

mutant (6) were used. Transcriptomic analysis on SL1344 and SL1344 Δ*acrB* was performed as

described by (9). RNA-Seq data have been deposited with Array Express (accession no. E-MTAB-9679).

Total numbers of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were determined using the R package DESeq2

(88) by comparing the three timepoints (1h, 3h, and 5h) for both the wild type and the Δ*acrB* strain.

Adjusted p-value < 0.05 and $\log_2$FC ≥ |1.5| were both used as the thresholds for determining the DEGs

for the Venn diagrams (Figure 1), which were drawn using the R package ggvenn (89).

Genes were classified in groups 1, 2 and 3 by the following criteria. The $\log_2$ fold change for each gene

was calculated for 1 h versus 3 h in both wt and Δ*acrB* strains. Only genes where $\log_2$FC data were

significant (p_{adj} < 0.05) for the 1 h vs 3 h comparison for both wt and Δ*acrB* strains were considered

for this analysis. $\Delta\log_2$FC was calculated for each gene by subtracting the $\log_2$FC in the wt from the

$\log_2$FC in the Δ*acrB* strain. Groups were classified: group 1, wt $\log_2$FC for 1 h vs 3 h was ≤ -1 and $\Delta\log_2$FC

was ≥ 1; Group 2, wt $\log_2$FC for 1 h vs 3 h was > -1 and $\Delta\log_2$FC was ≥ 1; Group 3, $\Delta\log_2$FC was ≤ 1.

Membrane potential measurement by flow cytometry

Strains SL1344, Δ*acrB* and *arcB* D408A were grown in 10 mL of MOPS minimal medium (9) at 37 °C.

After 1, 3 and 5 hours, cells were harvested by centrifugation (4720 x g for 5 min) and resuspended in

filter-sterilised HEPES-buffered saline (HBS, Alfa Aesar). Diluted cell suspensions were added to tubes

containing HBS. 15 μM CCCP was added to control samples and incubated for 15 min with shaking in

the dark. To all samples was added 1 μM $DiSC_3(5)$, 1% DMSO, 0.2% Glucose, and 1 mM $CaCl_2$ before

being shaken for a further 15 min in the dark (69). Samples were then analysed using a C6 Plus flow

cytometer (BD Biosciences) and CFlow plus software. Data rate was 1000 to 4000 events/sec and a

FSC-H threshold of 8000 was used to discriminate noise from bacteria. Bacteria were gated using
forward scatter and side scatter measurements from blue laser illumination (488 nm), and red
fluorescence was measured using red laser illumination (640 nm) and collected using a 670 LP filter.

Acknowledgements

Conflicts of interest: We declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that might be considered a conflict of interest.

Author contributions: J.M.A.B., T.W.O., and E.E.W. designed the assays. E.E.W. performed experiments to obtain samples for RNA-seq. A.O. and S.J.E. performed experiments to measure membrane potential and analysed the resulting data. RNA-seq data were analyzed by E.E.W., O.O., and T.W.O. The manuscript was written by J.M.A.B. and T.W.O. with input from all authors.

Funding: E.E.W. was funded by AAMR Wellcome Trust DTP grant 108876/B/15/Z at the University of Birmingham. O.O. was funded by a UK Biotechnology & Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) PhD studentship through the Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership (MIBTP) scheme. S.J.E. was funded by a Centre for Systems Modelling and Quantitative Biomedicine (SMQB), University of Birmingham grant to J.M.A.B. A.O. was funded by a University of Birmingham Institute of Global Innovation / Institute of Advanced Studies grant to T.W.O. and J.M.A.B. J.M.A.B. was funded by BBSRC grant BB/M02623X/1 (David Phillips Fellowship to J.M.A.B.).

The authors would like to thank Xuan Wang-Kan and Laura Piddock for sharing data from previous studies, and Henrik Strahl for discussions on membrane potential measurement.

References

1. Darby EM, Trampari E, Siasat P, Gaya MS, Alav I, Webber MA, Blair JMA. 2023. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance revisited. Nat Rev Microbiol 21:280–295.

2. Blair JMA, Richmond GE, Piddock LJV. 2014. Multidrug efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria and their role in antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol 9:1165–1177.

3. Nikaido H. 1989. Outer membrane barrier as a mechanism of antimicrobial resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 33:1831–1836.

4. Colclough AL, Alav I, Whittle EE, Pugh HL, Darby EM, Legood SW, McNeil HE, Blair JMA. 2020. RND efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria; Regulation, structure and role in antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol 15:143-157.

5. Webber MA, Bailey AM, Blair JMA, Morgan E, Stevens MP, Hinton JCD, Ivens A, Wain J, Piddock LJV. 2009. The global consequence of disruption of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump in *Salmonella enterica* includes reduced expression of SPI-1 and other attributes required to infect the host. J Bacteriol 191:4276–4285.

6. Wang-Kan X, Blair JMA, Chirullo B, Betts J, La Ragione RM, Ivens A, Ricci V, Opperman TJ, Piddock LJV. 2017. Lack of AcrB efflux function confers loss of virulence on *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. mBio 8:e00968-17.

7. Wang-Kan X, Rodríguez-Blanco G, Southam AD, Winder CL, Dunn WB, Ivens A, Piddock LJV. 2021. Metabolomics reveal potential natural substrates of AcrB in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. mBio 12:e00109-21.

8. Buckner MMC, Blair JMA, La Ragione RM, Newcombe J, Dwyer DJ, Ivens A, Piddock LJV. 2016. Beyond antimicrobial resistance: Evidence for a distinct role of the AcrD efflux pump in *Salmonella* biology. mBio 7:e01916-16.

9. Whittle EE, McNeil HE, Trampari E, Webber M, Overton TW, Blair JMA. 2021. Efflux Impacts Intracellular Accumulation Only in Actively Growing Bacterial Cells. mBio 12:e0260821.

10. Leus I V., Adamiak J, Chandar B, Bonifay V, Zhao S, Walker SS, Squadroni B, Balibar CJ, Kinarivala N, Standke LC, Voss HU, Tan DS, Rybenkov V V., Zgurskaya HI. 2023. Functional Diversity of Gram-Negative Permeability Barriers Reflected in Antibacterial Activities and Intracellular Accumulation of Antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 67:e01377-22.

11. Manrique PD, López CA, Gnanakaran S, Rybenkov V V., Zgurskaya HI. 2023. New understanding of multidrug efflux and permeation in antibiotic resistance, persistence, and heteroresistance. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1519:46–62.

12. Li J, Claudi B, Fanous J, Chicherova N, Cianfanelli FR, Campbell RAA, Bumann D. 2021. Tissue compartmentalization enables *Salmonella* persistence during chemotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:e2113951118.

13. Łapińska U, Voliotis M, Lee KK, Campey A, Stone RML, Tuck B, Phetsang W, Zhang B, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Blaskovich MAT, Pagliara S. 2022. Fast bacterial growth reduces antibiotic accumulation and efficacy. elife 11:e74062.

14. Navarro Llorens JM, Tormo A, Martínez-García E. 2010. Stationary phase in Gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:476–495.

15. Battesti A, Majdalani N, Gottesman S. 2011. The RpoS-mediated general stress response in
Escherichia coli. Annu Rev Microbiol 65:189–213.

16. Durfee T, Hansen AM, Zhi H, Blattner FR, Ding JJ. 2008. Transcription profiling of the stringent
response in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 190:1084–1096.

17. Singh SK, Saisree L, Amrutha RN, Reddy M. 2012. Three redundant murein endopeptidases
catalyse an essential cleavage step in peptidoglycan synthesis of *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol
Microbiol 86:1036–1051.

18. Harmon DE, Ruiz C. 2022. The Multidrug Efflux Regulator AcrR of *Escherichia coli* Responds to
Exogenous and Endogenous Ligands To Regulate Efflux and Detoxification. mSphere 7:e00474-
22.

19. Lou L, Zhang P, Piao R, Wang Y. 2019. *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island 1 (SPI-1) and Its Complex
Regulatory Network. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:270.

20. Sánchez-Romero MA, Casadesús J. 2018. Contribution of SPI-1 bistability to *Salmonella enterica*
cooperative virulence: insights from single cell analysis. Sci Rep 8:14875.

21. Ilyas B, Tsai CN, Coombes BK. 2017. Evolution of *Salmonella*-host cell interactions through a
dynamic bacterial genome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7:428.

22. Kröger C, Colgan A, Srikumar S, Händler K, Sivasankaran SK, Hammarlöf DL, Canals R, Grissom JE,
Conway T, Hokamp K, Hinton JCD. 2013. An infection-relevant transcriptomic compendium for
Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Cell Host Microbe 14:683–695.

23. Srikumar S, Kröger C, Hébrard M, Colgan A, Owen S V., Sivasankaran SK, Cameron ADS, Hokamp
K, Hinton JCD. 2015. RNA-seq Brings New Insights to the Intra-Macrophage Transcriptome of
Salmonella Typhimurium. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005262.

24. Hoiseth SK, Stocker BAD. 1981. Aromatic-dependent *Salmonella* Typhimurium are non-virulent
and effective as live vaccines. Nature 291:238–239.

25. Fink RC, Evans MR, Porwollik S, Vazquez-Torres A, Jones-Carson J, Troxell B, Libby SJ, McClelland
M, Hassan HM. 2007. FNR is a global regulator of virulence and anaerobic metabolism in
Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (ATCC 14028s). J Bacteriol 189:2262–2273.

26. Constantinidou C, Hobman JL, Griffiths L, Patel MD, Penn CW, Cole JA, Overton TW. 2006. A
reassessment of the FNR regulon and transcriptomic analysis of the effects of nitrate, nitrite,
NarXL, and NarQP as *Escherichia coli* K12 adapts from aerobic to anaerobic growth. J Biol Chem
281:4802–4815.

27. Nilavongse A, Brondijk THC, Overton TW, Richardson DJ, Leach ER, Cole JA. 2006. The NapF
protein of the *Escherichia coli* periplasmic nitrate reductase system: Demonstration of a
cytoplasmic location and interaction with the catalytic subunit, NapA. Microbiology 152:3227–
3237.

28. Browning DF, Grainger DC, Beatty CM, Wolfe AJ, Cole JA, Busby SJW. 2005. Integration of three
signals at the *Escherichia coli* nrf promoter: A role for Fis protein in catabolite repression. Mol
Microbiol 57:496–510.

29. Kim MJ, Lim S, Ryu S. 2008. Molecular analysis of the *Salmonella* Typhimurium *tdc* operon
regulation. J Microbiol Biotechnol 18:1024–1032.

30. Cianciotto NP, Cornelis P, Baysse C. 2005. Impact of the bacterial type I cytochrome *c*
maturation system on different biological processes. Mol Microbiol 56:1408–1415.

31. Khademian M, Imlay JA. 2017. *Escherichia coli* cytochrome *c* peroxidase is a respiratory oxidase
that enables the use of hydrogen peroxide as a terminal electron acceptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A 114:E6922–E6931.

32. Pelosi L, Vo CDT, Abby SS, Loiseau L, Rascalou B, Chehade MH, Faivre B, Goussé M, Chenal C,
Touati N, Binet L, Cornu D, Fyfe CD, Fontecave M, Barras F, Lombard M, Pierrel F. 2019.
Ubiquinone biosynthesis over the entire O_2 range: Characterization of a conserved O_2-
independent pathway. mBio 10:e01319-19.

33. Navarro C, Wu L -F, Mandrand-Berthelot M -A. 1993. The *nik* operon of *Escherichia coli* encodes
a periplasmic binding-protein-dependent transport system for nickel. Mol Microbiol 9:1181–
1191.

34. Rowe JL, Starnes GL, Chivers PT. 2005. Complex transcriptional control links NikABCDE-
dependent nickel transport with hydrogenase expression in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol
187:6317–6323.

35. Piotrowska AA. 2018. Investigation of STM3071 as a potential regulator of cobalt transport in
Salmonella enterica. PhD thesis. Edinburgh Napier University, UK.

36. Karavolos MH, Spencer H, Bulmer DM, Thompson A, Winzer K, Williams P, Hinton JCD, Khan
CMA. 2008. Adrenaline modulates the global transcriptional profile of *Salmonella* revealing a
role in the antimicrobial peptide and oxidative stress resistance responses. BMC Genomics
9:458.

37. Wood MW, Jones MA, Watson PR, Hedges S, Wallis TS, Galyov EE. 1998. Identification of a
pathogenicity island required for *Salmonella* enteropathogenicity. Mol Microbiol 29:883–891.

38. Cohen H, Adani B, Cohen E, Piscon B, Azriel S, Desai P, Bähre H, McClelland M, Rahav G, Gal-Mor
O. 2022. The ancestral stringent response potentiator, DksA has been adapted throughout
Salmonella evolution to orchestrate the expression of metabolic, motility, and virulence
pathways. Gut Microbes 14:1997294.

39. Frye J, Karlinsey JE, Felise HR, Marzolf B, Dowidar N, McClelland M, Hughes KT. 2006.
Identification of new flagellar genes of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol
188:2233–2243.

40. Hamed S, Wang X, Shawky RM, Emara M, Aldridge PD, Rao C V. 2019. Synergistic action of SPI-1
gene expression in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium through transcriptional crosstalk
with the flagellar system. BMC Microbiol 19:211.

41. Liu R, Blackwell TW, States DJ. 2001. Conformational model for binding site recognition by the *E.*
coli MetJ transcription factor. Bioinformatics 17:622–633.

42. Grogan DW, Cronan JE. 1997. Cyclopropane ring formation in membrane lipids of bacteria.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 61:429–441.

43. Wei Y, Newman EB. 2002. Studies on the role of the *metK* gene product of *Escherichia coli* K-12.
Mol Microbiol 43:1651–1656.

44. Newman EB, Budman LI, Chan EC, Greene RC, Lin RT, Woldringh CL, D'ari R. 1998. Lack of S-
adenosylmethionine results in a cell division defect in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 180:3614–
3619.

45. Husna AU, Wang N, Cobbold SA, Newton HJ, Hocking DM, Wilksch JJ, Scott TA, Davies MR,
Hinton JC, Tree JJ, Lithgow T, McConville MJ, Strugnell RA. 2018. Methionine biosynthesis and
transport are functionally redundant for the growth and virulence of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. J
Biol Chem 293:9506–9519.

46. Zimbro MJ, Power DA, Miller SM, Wilson GE, Johnson JA. 2009. Difco and BBL Manual.

47. Dolzan M, Johansson K, Roig-Zamboni V, Campanacci V, Tegoni M, Schneider G, Cambillau C.
2004. Crystal structure and reactivity of YbdL from *Escherichia coli* identify a methionine
aminotransferase function. FEBS Lett 571:141–146.

48. Heilbronn J, Wilson J, Berger BJ. 1999. Tyrosine aminotransferase catalyzes the final step of
methionine recycling in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Bacteriol 181:1739–1747.

49. Sévin DC, Fuhrer T, Zamboni N, Sauer U. 2017. Nontargeted in vitro metabolomics for high-
throughput identification of novel enzymes in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Methods 14:187–194.

50. Hwang S, Choe D, Yoo M, Cho S, Kim SC, Cho S, Cho BK. 2018. Peptide transporter CstA imports
pyruvate in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J Bacteriol 200:e00771-17.

51. McDermott JE, Yoon H, Nakayasu ES, Metz TO, Hyduke DR, Kidwai AS, Palsson BO, Adkins JN,
Heffron F. 2011. Technologies and approaches to elucidate and model the virulence program of
Salmonella. Front Microbiol 2:121.

52. Taschner NP, Yagil E, Spira B. 2004. A differential effect of σ^s on the expression of the Pho
regulon genes of *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology 150:2985–2992.

53. Typas A, Stella S, Johnson RC, Hengge R. 2007. The -35 sequence location and the Fis-sigma
factor interface determine σ^S selectivity of the *proP* (P2) promoter in *Escherichia coli*. Mol
Microbiol 63:780–796.

54. Weber H, Polen T, Heuveling J, Wendisch VF, Hengge R. 2005. Genome-wide analysis of the
general stress response network in *Escherichia coli*: σ^S-dependent genes, promoters, and sigma
factor selectivity. J Bacteriol 187:1591–1603.

55. Mukhopadhyay S, Schellhorn HE. 1994. Induction of *Escherichia coli* hydroperoxidase I by
acetate and other weak acids. J Bacteriol 176:2300–2307.

56. Piper SE, Mitchell JE, Lee DJ, Busby SJW. 2009. A global view of *Escherichia coli* Rsd protein and
its interactions. Mol Biosyst 5:1943–1947.

57. Starosta AL, Lassak J, Jung K, Wilson DN. 2014. The bacterial translation stress response. FEMS
Microbiol Rev 38:1172–1201.

58. Waegeman H, Beauprez J, Moens H, Maertens J, De Mey M, Foulquié-Moreno MR, Heijnen JJ,
Charlier D, Soetaert W. 2011. Effect of *iclR* and *arcA* knockouts on biomass formation and
metabolic fluxes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and its implications on understanding the metabolism
of *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3). BMC Microbiol 11:70.

59.   Brown AN, Anderson MT, Bachman MA, Mobley HLT. 2022. The ArcAB Two-Component System:
638   Function in Metabolism, Redox Control, and Infection. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 86:e0011021.

639   60.   Pardo-Esté C, Hidalgo AA, Aguirre C, Briones AC, Cabezas CE, Castro-Severyn J, Fuentes JA,
640   Opazo CM, Riedel CA, Otero C, Pacheco R, Valvano MA, Saavedra CP. 2018. The ArcAB two-
641   component regulatory system promotes resistance to reactive oxygen species and systemic
642   infection by *Salmonella* Typhimurium. PLoS One 13:e0203497.

643   61.   Morales EH, Collao B, Desai PT, Calderón IL, Gil F, Luraschi R, Porwollik S, McClelland M,
644   Saavedra CP. 2013. Probing the ArcA regulon under aerobic/ROS conditions in *Salmonella*
645   *enterica* serovar Typhimurium. BMC Genomics 14:626.

646   62.   Evans MR, Fink RC, Vazquez-Torres A, Porwollik S, Jones-Carson J, McClelland M, Hassan HM.
647   2011. Analysis of the ArcA regulon in anaerobically grown *Salmonella enterica* sv. Typhimurium.
648   BMC Microbiol 11:58.

649   63.   Sharma P, Stagge S, Bekker M, Bettenbrock K, Hellingwerf KJ. 2013. Kinase Activity of ArcB from
650   *Escherichia coli* Is Subject to Regulation by Both Ubiquinone and Demethylmenaquinone. PLoS
651   One 8:e75412.

652   64.   Yoshida H, Wada A, Shimada T, Maki Y, Ishihama A. 2019. Coordinated Regulation of Rsd and
653   RMF for Simultaneous Hibernation of Transcription Apparatus and Translation Machinery in
654   Stationary-Phase *Escherichia coli*. Front Genet 10:1153.

655   65.   Mika F, Hengge R. 2005. A two-component phosphotransfer network involving ArcB, ArcA, and
656   RssB coordinates synthesis and proteolysis of σ^S (RpoS) in *E. coli*. Genes Dev 19:2770–2781.

657   66.   Ševčík M, Šebková A, Volf J, Rychlík I. 2001. Transcription of *arcA* and *rpoS* during growth of
658   *Salmonella* Typhimurium under aerobic and microaerobic conditions. Microbiology 147:701–
659   708.

660   67.   Park DM, Akhtar MS, Ansari AZ, Landick R, Kiley PJ. 2013. The Bacterial Response Regulator ArcA
661   Uses a Diverse Binding Site Architecture to Regulate Carbon Oxidation Globally. PLoS Genet
662   9:e1003839.

663   68.   Simon J, van Spanning RJM, Richardson DJ. 2008. The organisation of proton motive and non-
664   proton motive redox loops in prokaryotic respiratory systems. Biochim Biophys Acta - Bioenerg
665   1777:1480–1490.

666   69.   Buttress JA, Halte M, Derkte Winkel J, Erhardt M, Popp PF, Strahl H. 2022. A guide for
667   membrane potential measurements in Gram-negative bacteria using voltage-sensitive dyes.
668   Microbiol (United Kingdom) 168:001227.

669   70.   Seeger MA, Von Ballmoos C, Verrey F, Pos KM. 2009. Crucial role of Asp408 in the proton
670   translocation pathway of multidrug transporter AcrB: Evidence from site-directed mutagenesis
671   and carbodiimide labeling. Biochemistry 48:5801–5812.

672   71.   Su CC, Li M, Gu R, Takatsuka Y, McDermott G, Nikaido H, Yu EW. 2006. Conformation of the
673   AcrB multidrug efflux pump in mutants of the putative proton relay pathway. J Bacteriol
674   188:7290–7296.

675   72.   Le D, Krasnopeeva E, Sinjab F, Pilizota T, Kim M. 2021. Active efflux leads to heterogeneous
676   dissipation of proton motive force by protonophores in bacteria. mBio 12:e0067621.

73. Grimsey EM, Piddock LJV. 2019. Do phenothiazines possess antimicrobial and efflux inhibitory
properties? FEMS Microbiol Rev 43:577–590.

74. Donnert M, Elsheikh S, Arce-Rodriguez A, Pawar V, Braubach P, Jonigk D, Haverich A, Weiss S,
Müsken M, Häussler S. 2020. Targeting bioenergetics is key to counteracting the drug-tolerant
state of biofilm-grown bacteria. PLoS Pathog 16:e1009126.

75. Arce-Rodríguez A, Pankratz D, Preusse M, Nikel PI, Häussler S. 2022. Dual Effect: High NADH
Levels Contribute to Efflux-Mediated Antibiotic Resistance but Drive Lethality Mediated by
Reactive Oxygen Species. mBio 13:e0243421.

76. Yang S, Lopez CR, Zechiedrich EL. 2006. Quorum sensing and multidrug transporters in
Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:2386–2391.

77. Horinouchi T, Maeda T, Kotani H, Furusawa C. 2020. Suppression of antibiotic resistance
evolution by single-gene deletion. Sci Rep 10:4178.

78. Arrieta-Ortiz ML, Pan M, Kaur A, Pepper-Tunick E, Srinivas V, Dash A, Immanuel SRC, Brooks AN,
Shepherd TR, Baliga NS. 2023. Disrupting the ArcA Regulatory Network Amplifies the Fitness
Cost of Tetracycline Resistance in *Escherichia coli*. mSystems 8:e00904-22.

79. Baugh S, Ekanayaka AS, Piddock LJV, Webber MA. 2012. Loss of or inhibition of all multidrug
resistance efflux pumps of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium results in impaired ability
to form a biofilm. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2409–2417.

80. Holden ER, Webber MA. 2020. MarA, RamA, and SoxS as Mediators of the Stress Response:
Survival at a Cost. Front Microbiol 11:828.

81. Holden ER, Yasir M, Turner AK, Charles IG, Webber MA. 2022. Comparison of the genetic basis
of biofilm formation between *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. Microb Genomics
8:000885.

82. Alav I, Sutton JM, Rahman KM. 2018. Role of bacterial efflux pumps in biofilm formation. J
Antimicrob Chemother 73:2003–2020.

83. Hengge R. 2008. The two-component network and the general stress sigma factor RpoS (σ^S) in
Escherichia coli. Adv Exp Med Biol 631:40–53.

84. Gomez-Carretero S, Libberton B, Svennersten K, Persson K, Jager E, Berggren M, Rhen M,
Richter-Dahlfors A. 2017. Redox-active conducting polymers modulate *Salmonella* biofilm
formation by controlling availability of electron acceptors. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 3:19.

85. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ. 2007. A Common Mechanism of
Cellular Death Induced by Bactericidal Antibiotics. Cell 130:797–810.

86. Brenner FW, Villar RG, Angulo FJ, Tauxe R, Swaminathan B. 2000. *Salmonella* nomenclature. J
Clin Microbiol 38:2465–2467.

87. Eaves DJ, Ricci V, Piddock LJV. 2004. Expression of *acrB, acrF, acrD, marA,* and *soxS* in
Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium: Role in Multiple Antibiotic Resistance. Antimicrob
Agents Chemother 48:1145–1150.

88. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

89. Gao CH, Yu G, Cai P. 2021. ggVennDiagram: An Intuitive, Easy-to-Use, and Highly Customizable R
Package to Generate Venn Diagram. Front Genet 12:706907.

90. Whittle EE, Legood SW, Alav I, Dulyayangkul P, Overton TW, Blair JMA. 2019. Flow Cytometric
Analysis of Efflux by Dye Accumulation. Front Microbiol 10:2319.