Efflux pumps mediate changes to fundamental bacterial physiology via membrane potential

Emily E Whittle[1], Oluwatosin Orababa[1†], Sarah J Element[1], Jessica MA Blair[1]* and Tim W Overton[2]*

[1] Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences and [2]School of Chemical Engineering, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

† Present address: School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Jessica MA Blair: j.m.a.blair@bham.ac.uk

Tim W Overton: t.w.overton@bham.ac.uk

Abstract

Efflux pumps are well known to be an important mechanism for removing noxious substances such as antibiotics from bacteria. Given that many antibiotics function by accumulating inside bacteria, efflux pumps contribute to resistance. Inactivation of efflux pumps is a potential strategy to combat antimicrobial resistance, as bacteria would not be able to pump out antibiotics. We recently discovered that the impact of loss of efflux function is only apparent in actively growing cells. We demonstrated that the global transcriptome of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium is drastically altered during slower growth leading to stationary phase cells having a re-modelled, less permeable, envelope that prevents antibiotics entering the cell. Here, we investigated the effects of knocking out the major efflux pump of *Salmonella* Typhimurium, AcrB, on global gene transcription across growth. We revealed that an *acrB* knockout entered stationary phase later than the wild type strain SL1344, and displayed increased and prolonged expression of genes responsible for anaerobic energy metabolism. We devised a model linking efflux and membrane potential, whereby deactivation of AcrB prevents influx of protons across the inner membrane and thereby hyperpolarisation. Knockout or deactivation of AcrB was demonstrated to increase membrane potential. We propose that the global transcription regulator ArcBA senses changes to the redox state of the quinol pool (linked to the membrane potential of the bacterium) and coordinates the shift from exponential to stationary phase via the key master regulators RpoS, Rsd, and Rmf.

Inactivation of efflux pumps therefore influences the fundamental physiology of *Salmonella*, with

likely impacts on multiple phenotypes.

Importance

We demonstrate for the first time that deactivation of efflux pumps brings about changes to gross

bacterial physiology and metabolism. Rather than simply being a response to noxious substances,

efflux pumps appear to play a key role in maintenance of membrane potential and thereby energy

metabolism. This discovery suggests that efflux pump inhibition or inactivation might have

unforeseen positive consequences on antibiotic activity. Given that stationary phase bacteria are

more resistant to antibiotic uptake, late entry into stationary phase would prolong antibiotic

accumulation by bacteria. Furthermore, membrane hyperpolarisation could result in increased

generation of reactive species proposed to be important for the activity of some antibiotics. Finally,

changes in gross physiology could also explain the decreased virulence of efflux mutants.

Keywords

<u>Introduction</u>

Many antibiotics function by accumulating inside bacteria and inhibiting essential metabolic

functions such as protein synthesis, DNA repair, or cell wall synthesis (1). Bacteria can therefore

protect themselves against these antibiotics by decreasing intracellular accumulation. There are two

main mechanisms for this: removal of antibiotics from inside the cell via efflux pumps (2); and

prevention of influx of antibiotics across the envelope (3). The major efflux pump in *S*. Typhimurium

is AcrAB-TolC, a member of the RND family (4) comprising the transporter AcrB, the periplasmic

adaptor protein AcrA, and the outer membrane channel TolC. AcrAB-TolC has been shown to have a

wide substrate range and mediate resistance to multiple antibiotics. Loss of AcrB function through

mutation or inhibition has been shown to make *S*. Typhimurium hyper-susceptible to antibiotics as

well as avirulent, unable to form biofilm and less motile (5, 6); major changes to the physiology of

the organism.

In an attempt to understand the physiological consequences of loss of efflux function previous

studies have compared global gene expression in the *S.* Typhimurium wild type and efflux mutants

(5–7). Wang-Kan *et al*. compared an *acrB* D408A mutant (defective in AcrB efflux function) with the

wild type *S.* Typhimurium SL1344, both in exponential phase growth in MOPS minimal medium (6)

and stationary phase growth in rich LB broth (7). The latter study also compared gene expression in

E. coli K-12 MG1655 and its *acrB* D408A mutant, and characterised the exometabolome and

endometabolome of each of the four strains in order to better understand the substrates of the

AcrAB-TolC pump. The effect of deletion of *acrD*, encoding an alternative efflux pump with narrower

substrate specificity to AcrB, on global gene expression has also been characterised (8). However,

each of these studies compared gene expression at a single timepoint.

In a previous study (9) we investigated the dynamic balance between efflux and prevention of influx

of antibiotics across the Gram-negative bacteria and revealed that efflux is more important during

rapid exponential phase growth as the envelope is more fluid and antibiotics can pass into the

bacterium more readily. However, in stationary phase, the envelope is remodelled and becomes less

amenable to antibiotic entry, thus preventing intracellular accumulation, meaning that efflux has

less impact. We used RNA-Seq in *Salmonella* Typhimurium SL1344 to map global gene expression as

bacteria transitioned from exponential to stationary phase, highlighting gene expression changes

potentially responsible for remodelling multiple layers of the envelope. Work by others has also

revealed that prevention of influx and active efflux have different relative contributions during

antimicrobial resistance development across Gram-negative pathogens (10, 11).

Given that the relative impact of efflux varies during bacterial growth, in the present study, we

tracked gene expression at 1, 3 and 5 hours growth in the wild type and an Δ*acrB* mutant to enable

the dynamics of gene expression to be compared. We sought to better understand the physiological

changes brought about by deletion of *acrB*, and to differentiate between the direct effects of AcrB as

an efflux pump (eg removal of compounds from the bacterium) and the secondary effects brought

about by the deletion.

We show that deletion of *acrB* has wide-ranging effects on physiology and we propose and test a

model that links efflux, membrane potential, redox state of the cell, and entry into stationary phase.

This suggests that efflux pumps are deeply embedded in bacterial physiology, and loss of AcrB

function perturbs the transition from exponential phase to stationary phase physiology. We also

demonstrate the benefits of transcriptome analysis across growth, using samples taken at multiple

timepoints, to discover novel aspects of gene regulation and physiology.

Results

We previously published the results of a transcriptome analysis showing transition of the wild type *S.* Typhimurium strain SL1344 from exponential to stationary phase (9). Samples were taken after 1, 3, and 5 hours growth in MOPS minimal medium, and gene expression was tracked using RNA-Seq across growth. This study revealed changes to aspects of physiology as bacteria transitioned from the rapid growth of exponential phase to the slower growth of stationary phase. We specifically focused on changes in gene expression responsible for remodelling the bacterial envelope from a relatively permeable structure in rapid growth to a far less permeable state in stationary phase. This decreased envelope permeability is likely a key contributor to why stationary phase bacteria are more resistant to multiple antibiotics (12, 13) as well as other stressors (14, 15).

In the present work, we expanded this study by repeating these transcriptomics experiments in an *S.* Typhimurium Δ*acrB* mutant (9), similarly taking samples after 1, 3, and 5 hours growth in MOPS minimal medium. The two strains grew similarly under these conditions. First, we compared how changes in gene expression over time differed between the wild type and Δ*acrB* strains. Gene expression was compared between 1 h and 3 h, 1 h and 5 h, and 3 h and 5 h timepoints for each strain (Figure 1). This revealed that more genes were differentially regulated early in growth (the 1 h vs 3 h comparison) in the wild type than in the Δ*acrB* mutant. Comparing later timepoints, there are more differentially expressed genes for the 3 h vs 5 h comparison in the Δ*acrB* mutant than the wild type. This suggests that changes in gene expression occur later in the Δ*acrB* mutant than the wild type.

Figure 1. Venn diagrams comparing gene expression in the (a) wild type and (b) Δ*acrB* strain. Number of genes differentially expressed (log_2 foldchange ≥ 1.5 and p_{adj} < 0.05) for each comparison is shown.

We wanted to understand why expression of more genes changed between 1 h and 3 h in the wild

type than in the Δ*acrB* strain, and the effect this would have on physiology. We classified genes into

three groups (Figure 2) based on their $\log_2$ fold change ($\log_2$FC) from 1 h to 3 h in each strain, and

their $\Delta\log_2$FC value, calculated by subtracting the Δ*acrB* $\log_2$FC from the wild type $\log_2$FC. A positive

$\Delta\log_2$FC value denotes a gene that is more upregulated or less downregulated in the Δ*acrB* strain

than the wild type. Genes with a negative $\Delta\log_2$FC value are more upregulated or less downregulated

in the wild type.

Figure 2. Data analysis strategy to characterise differentially expressed genes. For each gene, transcript levels
at 1 h and 3 h were compared and a $\log_2$ fold-change ($\log_2$FC) value calculated. The $\log_2$ fold-change for the
Δ*acrB* mutant was subtracted from the wt $\log_2$FC to give a $\Delta\log_2$FCvalue indicating the difference in regulation
between the two strains. A positive $\Delta\log_2$ value indicates a gene is more upregulated or less downregulated in
Δ*acrB* for the 1 h vs 3 h timepoints. A negative $\Delta\log_2$ value indicates that a gene is more upregulated or less
downregulated in the wild type.

Group 1 comprises genes that were downregulated from 1 h to 3 h in the WT ($\log_2$FC ≤ -1) and less

downregulated in the Δ*acrB* strain, thereby having a $\Delta\log_2$FC of ≥ 1. Group 2 contains genes that

were not downregulated from 1 h to 3 h in the wt ($\log_2$FC > -1) but had a $\Delta\log_2$FC of ≥ 1, thereby

were more upregulated or less downregulated in the Δ*acrB* mutant. Group 3 contains genes that

were more upregulated from 1 h to 3 h in the wild type than in the Δ*acrB* mutant. Genes with a

p_{adj} > 0.05 (non-significant) for the 1 h to 3 h timepoints in either wt or Δ*acrB* were omitted from this

analysis.

Group one – late repression in the ΔacrB strain

The 166 genes in group one are more downregulated between 1 h and 3 h in the wild type than the

Δ*acrB* strain (Supplemental Table S1). The largest categories of genes in this group are those

encoding tRNAs (34 genes) and ribosomal proteins and other proteins involved in translation (33

genes). Many of these genes (including the tRNA genes) are known to be downregulated during the

entry into stationary phase by the stringent response, mediated by ppGpp (16). For many of these

genes, expression is downregulated in the wild type from 1 h to 3 h and then does not change

significantly from 3 h to 5 h, whereas in the Δ*acrB* strain expression does not change from 1 h to 3 h

but decreases from 3 h to 5 h. This suggests that the Δ*acrB* strain enters stationary phase later than

the wild type SL1344 strain.

Fifteen genes in this group are involved in envelope functions including inner membrane protein

insertion, outer membrane lipoprotein trafficking, LPS and lipid A synthesis, and peptidoglycan

synthesis and remodelling. The peptidoglycan-related genes *ldtB*, *mrcA* and *pbpG* are implicated in

exponential phase functions (9, 17). Five genes involved in nucleotide metabolism and 6 genes in

amino acid metabolism, also important during rapid growth, are also in this group, reinforcing the

idea that stationary phase transition is delayed in the Δ*acrB* strain.

Four genes in group one are related to polyamines, specifically putrescine import and spermidine

synthesis. Recently, the efflux regulator AcrR (which represses expression of *acrAB*) was shown to

bind to three polyamines and regulate aspects of polyamine efflux and metabolism in *E. coli* (18).

Seven genes of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1), encoding a type III secretion system

required for invasion of epithelial cells, are in group one. The SPI-1 regulatory network is very

complex (19) and comprises transcription factors encoded both within and outside SPI-1. Expression

of SPI-1 is bistable (20) and is influenced by multiple environmental stimuli including osmolarity,

oxygen tension, bile, [Mg^{2+}], and pH. Two genes in SPI-11, implicated in survival in the macrophage

(21), are also in group one. Alteration in SPI expression would likely influence virulence, which is

known to be decreased upon loss of AcrB function (6).

Other transcriptomic studies identified genes in group one being as being differentially regulated in

the *S.* Typhimurium wild type and strains lacking *acrB* or *acrB* function (*acrB* D408A mutant).

Comparison in exponential phase in MOPS minimal medium (5, 6) revealed that SPI-1 genes were

downregulated in an *acrB* knockout mutant and in the *acrB* D408A mutant. In stationary phase (7),

rRNAs, tRNAs, SPI-11 and some SPI-1 genes were downregulated (although the SPI-1 *sipABCD* TTSS

genes, not members of groups one - three in the present study, were upregulated in the mutant).

However, our data suggests that this is a response to fundamental changes in physiology brought

about by deletion of *acrB*, and not necessarily direct regulation of pathogenicity islands.

Group two – early activation in the ΔacrB strain

The 46 genes in group two (Supplemental table S2) are more upregulated between 1 h and 3 h in the

acrB strain than in the same time period in the wild type, so are classified as "early activation". On

initial inspection, this group contains many genes known to be anaerobically activated. For each

gene, expression data in the gene expression database SalComMac (22, 23) was consulted to identify

which genes are anaerobically activated; in this database, "anaerobic shock" is classified as aerobic

growth followed by 30 minutes in a sealed static tube (22). It should be noted that the SalComMac

data is for *S.* Typhimurium strain 4/74; SL1344 is a *hisG* auxotroph of 4/74 (24), although we believe

that data should be broadly comparable for the two strains.

Many of the anaerobically-activated genes are activated by the oxygen-sensing global regulator FNR

in *Salmonella* (25) and / or *E. coli* (26). These include genes encoding the periplasmic nitrate and

nitrite reductases responsible for anaerobic respiration at low NO_2^- and NO_3^- concentrations (27, 28),

the anaerobic serine/threonine degradation enzyme TdcB that is suggested to be critical for energy

metabolism during changes in oxygen tension (29), and the two cytochrome *c* maturation (Ccm)

operons, one of which is downstream of the *nap* operon (30). The cytochrome *c* peroxidase *yhjA*/*ccp*

is also in this group, which has been shown to be FNR-activated (25) and enables respiratory growth

on peroxide (31). The *ccp* gene is upstream of the second *ccm* cluster and may form a single operon.

RS22105, annotated as a molybdopterin-dependent oxidoreductase and proposed to be a DMSO

reductase distinct from DmsABC, has been shown to be FNR activated in *Salmonella* (25), as has

yhbU/ubiU which encodes part of the oxygen-independent ubiquinone synthesis pathway (32).

A high affinity nickel uptake system (*yntABCDE/nikABCDE*) is in the grouping (33); these genes are

FNR activated in *E. coli* although were not identified in the *Salmonella* FNR study (25). In *E. coli*,

nikABCDE expression is linked to that of the Ni^{2+} cofactor-containing hydrogenases required for

respiration of fumarate and formate (34). RS15890 and RS15900 (*ecfT*) are two members of a

putative 5-gene operon encoding an ECF transporter suggested to import Co^{2+} (35) that is conserved

in pathogenic *E. coli* strains. SalComMac data suggests that this operon is anaerobically activated.

The remaining anaerobically-activated genes in this group are of unknown function or are poorly

characterised: *yecH* has been shown to be downregulated by adrenaline (36); *ompX* is in SPI-5 (37)

and has been found to be overexpressed in a *dksA* mutant (38); two LysR family transcriptional

regulators (RS11710 and RS19740); a putative transketolase RS12010; and hypothetical protein

RS12010.

It should be noted that for many of these anaerobic genes, expression increases from 1 h to 3 h and

then decreases from 3 h and to 5 h. The magnitude of the increase in expression is larger in the *acrB*

strain. Taken together, genes in this group enable anaerobic energy generation through respiratory

and substrate-level routes.

As well as the anaerobically-activated genes in this group, there are also several genes that are

anaerobically repressed in SalComMac, including the tyrosine importer *tyrP*, the flagellar genes *fliR*,

fliF and *fliH* and the proposed virulence factor *srfA* which was also identified as a class 2 flagellar

gene (39) whose expression is activated by the flagellar master regulator FlhDC. As previously noted,

loss of AcrB function reduces *Salmonella* motility (5). A link between motility and SPI-1 has also been

reported (40).

In previous transcriptomic studies, Wang-Kan et al (6) identified anaerobically-activated genes

including nitrate and nitrite reductase and flagellar genes being upregulated in the *acrB* D408A

mutant in exponential phase. In stationary phase, some anaerobically-relevant and flagellar genes

were also upregulated (7).

Group three – late activation in the ΔacrB strain

Group three comprises 53 genes that are more activated in the wild type than in Δ*acrB* at three

hours, so the opposite pattern to genes in groups one and two (Supplemental Table S3). The most

striking group of genes in this group are the *met* genes involved in methionine biosynthesis from

aspartate, methionine uptake, and S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) biosynthesis; expression of these

genes is seen to "spike" at 3 h in the wt, with upregulation at 3 h vs 1 h and downregulation at 5 h vs

3 h. In the Δ*acrB* strain these genes are less upregulated at 3 h and many are further upregulated at

5 h, indicating much later induction. In *E. coli* these genes are all repressed by the regulator MetJ

which binds to methionine and SAM (41). Our data suggest that these routes to SAM are highly

upregulated on entry to stationary phase. SAM is an essential metabolite and a major methyl donor

for protein and DNA methylation and polyamine synthesis; in the transition to stationary phase, SAM

is also a methyl donor for cyclopropane fatty acid synthesis (42). In *E. coli*, the SAM synthase *metK* is

essential (43) and depletion of SAM using a *metK84* mutant leads to a cell division defect (44). In *S.*

Typhimurium, methionine biosynthesis or import are required for infection in mice and methionine

was implicated in aspects of peptidoglycan synthesis (45).

These data suggest that the methionine and / or SAM pool in the wild type is depleted at 3 h growth,

and these pathways are upregulated to replenish the pool. The transcriptomics data in SalComMac

shows that most of the *met* genes are not upregulated in stationary phase, although that dataset

was generated for cultures grown in rich Lennox broth (22). We suggest that in Lennox broth,

methionine is available (around 70 mg/L based on typical composition values (46)) so can be readily

imported and converted to SAM, whereas in MOPS minimal medium used here, methionine needs

to be synthesised from aspartate, hence activation of the *met* genes. This suggests that methionine

could be a growth limiting nutrient in these conditions.

A cluster of genes (*ybdL, ybdH, ybdD, ybdM*) are also regulated in a similar manner to the *met* genes.

E. coli YbdL has methionine aminotransferase activity (47) and is believed to be involved in

methionine recycling following polyamine synthesis (48). YbdH is annotated as a putative glycerol

dehydrogenase and the homologue in *E. coli* has been identified as a hydroxycarboxylate

dehydrogenase (49). The *E. coli ybdD* homologue has been identified (with CstA) as part of a

pyruvate import system (50) and *ybdM* has been identified as a transcriptional regulator related to

SpoOJ (51). A predicted MetJ binding site in this cluster could explain its regulation (41).

The PstSCAB high-affinity phosphate importer is poorly understood in *Salmonella* but is known to be

induced in *E. coli* in response to phosphate limitation, and is activated by RpoS (52). ProP is

responsible for the uptake of osmoprotectants proline and glycine betaine; expression in *E. coli* is

induced by RpoS and Fis on entry to stationary phase (53). Expression of *mysB*, *yahO,* and *yceK* are

also RpoS-induced (54). Expression of *katG* in *E. coli* was also shown to increase on entry into

stationary phase, although in an RpoS-independent manner (55).

Two genes with key roles in regulating entry into stationary phase entry in this group: *rsd* encodes an

anti-sigma factor that deactivates the exponential phase housekeeping sigma factor σ^{70} RpoD (56);

and *rmf* induces ribosome dimerization and hibernation (57). Expression of *rmf* is highly upregulated

in stationary phase in the SalComMac dataset (22). Both of these genes are upregulated far later in

the *acrB* mutant than in the wild type in our dataset; together with the other stationary-phase

induced genes in group three, this supports the later onset of stationary phase in Δ*acrB* than the

wild type.

Expression of *aceB* is regulated by multiple transcription factors in *E. coli*, including ArcA (58). There

are also a number of genes in SPI-2, involved in intracellular survival, and chemotaxis-related genes

in group three.

Lower expression of SPI-2 genes were observed in other transcriptomics studies in the *acrB* D408A

mutant in exponential (6) and stationary phase (7), although the *met* genes and many others in

group three were not reflected in the datasets of previous studies. The reason for this is likely

because most genes in this group were transiently expressed in the wild type; we observed a "spike"

of expression, and later expression in the Δ*acrB* mutant. This reveals a key advantage of our
approach; such a "spike" could be missed if only a single timepoint was used to measure
transcriptomes. Taking samples through growth allows the expression of each gene to be tracked
and the profiles of gene expression over time to be compared.

A model linking efflux and stationary phase via membrane potential

Previous studies have proposed that changes in gene expression and physiology in efflux knockouts
have been caused by the lack of efflux of a signalling molecule (for example an autoinducer), giving
rise to changes in intracellular and/or extracellular concentrations of that molecule and a resultant
change in gene regulation and physiology. However, we realised that knockout of *acrB* could trigger
an alternative signalling cascade. As AcrB requires proton motive force (PMF) for function,
deactivation of AcrB would decrease of influx of protons across the inner membrane, resulting in
membrane hyperpolarisation and thus alter the redox state of the cell. This has been built into a
model (Figure 3) whereby the membrane potential and redox state of the bacterium plays a key role
in the transition from exponential to stationary phase, mediated by the two-component ArcBA
system (59). Deletion of AcrB results in perturbation of the membrane potential and thereby the
redox balance of the cell and thus disrupts this regulation, resulting in a) late entry into stationary
phase and b) upregulation of anaerobic energy metabolism pathways.

The global regulator ArcBA regulates gene expression on the shift from aerobic to anaerobic
metabolism (59) and is also thought to play a role in response to other stresses such as reactive
oxygen species (ROS) and during infection in *Salmonella* (60, 61). Knockouts of *arcA* and *arcB* in *S.*
Typhimurium reduce intracellular survival in epithelial cells, macrophages and neutrophils, and are
attenuated in a mouse model (60). The ArcBA regulon has been characterised in *E. coli* (reviewed by
(59)) and *Salmonella* both aerobically and anaerobically (61, 62). The sensor kinase ArcB
autophosphorylates itself and then phosphorylates the response regulator ArcA; phospho-ArcA
binds promoter DNA and regulates gene expression (Figure 3a). Oxidised quinones repress the
kinase activity of ArcB (63) thus ArcBA is a direct sensor of the quinol pool redox state. Highly
oxidised quinone (Q) leads to low ArcA activity whereas highly reduced quinol (QH_2) leads to high
ArcA activity.

ArcA has previously been shown to repress expression of *rpoS*, *rsd*, and *rmf*, three genes that
mediate important aspects of the switch from exponential phase to stationary phase (14, 64). RpoS
is the sigma factor that is dominant in stationary phase (14); *rpoS* transcription is repressed by ArcA
in *E. coli* (65) and *Salmonella* (66). In addition, activated ArcB phosphorylates RssB, which targets
RpoS protein for degradation by the ClpXP protease (65). ArcAB-RssB therefore acts as a key

Figure 3. Model of the mechanism of ArcBA-mediated redox control of stationary phase entry and the impact of an AcrB mutant. In the wild type strain during rapid growth (a), high flux through the electron transport chain results in a reduced quinol pool (QH₂) which permits ArcBA to be active, repressing expression of *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf*. RssB also targets RpoS protein for degradation. As growth slows (b), the quinol pool becomes more oxidised (Q), deactivating ArcB, permitting activation of *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf*, triggering entry into stationary phase. In a *ΔacrB* mutant (c), proton motive force is higher for longer during growth, repressing *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf* and delaying entry into stationary phase. As a result, exponential phase physiology is extended (d), necessitating upregulation of genes involved in anaerobic energy metabolism (Nap, Nrf and CCP shown), likely via the global regulator FNR. TO: Terminal oxidases.

gatekeeper to entry into stationary phase. Rsd is an anti-sigma factor that deactivates the

exponential phase housekeeping sigma factor σ^{70} / RpoD (56). Expression of *rsd* is regulated by ArcA

in both *E. coli* (67) and *Salmonella* (61). Rmf induces ribosome dimerization and hibernation in

stationary phase (57); its expression is ArcA-regulated in *Salmonella* (61) and *E. coli* (64).

In the wild type in rapid growth, relatively high flux through electron transport to oxygen results in a

highly reduced quninol pool (QH_2). This would enable ArcBA to be active, repressing *rpoS*, *rsd* and

rmf. During entry into stationary phase, the quinol pool becomes more oxidised, de-activating ArcBA

and thus permitting *rpoS*, *rsd* and *rmf* expression and activity. In the transition of the *ΔacrB* strain to

stationary phase, the inner membrane would become hyperpolarised; this would alter the redox

state of the quinol pool, meaning that ArcBA remains active and RpoS, Rsd and Rmf activities are

repressed for longer during growth. This would delay onset of stationary phase in the *ΔacrB* mutant.

The genes *rsd* and *rmf* both fall into group three; Table 1 summarises their expression data.

Expression of *rpoS* is insignificant ($p_{adj} > 0.05$) in the wild type between 1 h and 3 h, although

downregulated between 1 h and 3 h in the *ΔacrB* mutant.

Log₂ fold change				$\Delta acrB$ – wt Δlog_2				
wt 1vs3	wt 3vs5	acrB 1vs3	acrB 3vs5	1vs3	3vs5	ID	Gene	STM
0.98	0.35†	-0.69	1.59	-1.67	1.24	SL1344_RS21380	*rsd*	STM4165
0.36†	0.73†	-1.17	2.19	-1.53	1.46	SL1344_RS15130	*rpoS*	STM2924
2.30	3.74	1.05	4.61	-1.25	0.87	SL1344_RS05235	*rmf*	STM1066

Table 1. Gene expression data for the key stationary phase regulators *rsd*, *rmf*, and *rpoS*. Log₂ fold change values for each

gene: 1 h vs 3 h in the wild type; 3 h vs 5 h in the wild type; 1 h vs 3 h in ΔacrB; 3 h vs 5 h in ΔacrB. ΔLog₂ values for the 1 h

vs 3 h and 3 h vs 5 h comparisons. Δlog₂ values are calculated by Log₂ fold change for ΔacrB minus Log₂ fold change for the

wild type. ID: locus name. Fold changes that are insignificant ($p_{adj} > 0.05$) are marked with a dagger †. Other fold changes

are colour coded as in Supplemental tables.

In the absence of a transition into stationary phase, exponential phase physiology would be

prolonged in the *ΔacrB* mutant with σ^{70} remaining the dominant sigma factor. Oxygen limitation

caused by increased biomass concentration would likely activate FNR, which would upregulate the

anaerobic energy-generating pathways identified in group two above. Nrf, Nap and CCP do not

pump protons across the inner membrane (68), therefore they would not contribute to the

hyperpolarised state of the bacterium. ArcA does not appear to regulate the anaerobically-activated

genes identified here in Supplemental Table S2 except for the periplasmic nitrite reductase *nrf* (62).

Experimental determination of membrane potential

To test whether the inner membrane of the Δ*acrB* strain is hyperpolarised, we used the ratiometric

fluorescent membrane potential dye DiOC$_2$(3) (3,3'-Diethyloxacarbocyanine Iodide). The wild type

and Δ*acrB* strains were grown and the membrane potential measured using flow cytometry after 1,

3 and 5 hours (Figure 4a). As a control, the protonophore carbonyl cyanide m-

chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) which collapses the PMF was used immediately prior to

measurement. The wild type strain showed low membrane potential after 1 hour growth, and

slightly higher after 3 hours and 5 hours. In each case, treatment with CCCP collapsed the membrane

potential.

Figure 4. Determination of membrane potential. SL1344, Δ*acrB* and *acrB* D408A cells were grown in
LB medium and samples taken at 1, 3 and 5 hours growth, whereupon membrane potential was
determined using flow cytometry and DiOC$_2$(3). The red/green fluorescence ratio, corresponding to
membrane potential, is shown for each strain. The protonophore CCCP was used as a control to
collapse membrane potential. (a) Comparison of wild type and *acrB* knockout; (b) comparison of wild
type and *acrB* D408A mutant. Error bars show significance as determined using t test for selected
comparisons; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$.

The Δ*acrB* mutant had far higher membrane potential than the wild type at 1 h, slightly higher at 3 h

($P = 0.064$), and comparable at 5 h growth. CCCP also collapsed the membrane potential in the Δ*acrB*

strain. These data support the hypothesis that the Δ*acrB* mutant is hyperpolarised during rapid

growth. This experiment was repeated with a strain harbouring a D408A mutation in *acrB*, which

prevents proton translocation and thereby blocks efflux (69, 70). The *acrB* D408A mutation had

comparable effects to the Δ*acrB* deletion on membrane potential (Figure 4b).

There is only one other study where the influence of efflux pumps on membrane potential has been

measured; Le *et al*. showed that PMF was similar in wt and *tolC* strains of *E. coli* by measuring

flagellar rotor speed (71). These experiments were done on cells grown in rich medium to an optical

density of 2. This is most comparable to our 5 hour timepoint, where membrane potential in wild

type and Δ*acrB* strains was similar.

Discussion

Our transcriptomics data suggests that the Δ*acrB* strain enters stationary phase later than the wild

type, and as a result displays prolonged exponential-phase anaerobic metabolism. Comparison with

other transcriptomic experiments on efflux pump mutants reveals some similarities in expression

patterns. Wang-Kan *et al*. compared expression in SL1344 and an efflux-defective *acrB* D408A

mutant (6). The two strains were grown in MOPS minimal medium to an OD_{600} of 0.6. The *acrB*

D408A mutant displayed higher expression of flagella and anaerobic metabolism genes than the wild

type. A later transcriptomic study (7) grew the same strains in LB broth to stationary phase and

found changes in genes responsible for energy metabolism. A major advantage of measuring gene

expression across growth (here at 1, 3 and 5 hours) is that trends in gene expression (and thereby

physiology) over time can be identified, which would otherwise be difficult to detect or interpret

when taking samples at only a single timepoint.

Supporting evidence for the redox-ArcBA model from the literature

There is data in the literature to support the model of efflux pump function influencing membrane

potential and regulation of stationary phase (Fig. 3). The links between efflux pumps and membrane

potential have previously been observed in studies on efflux pump inhibitors. The antihistamine

promethazine (a phenothiazine) is an efflux pump inhibitor (72) and has been shown to increase

membrane potential in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (73).

Arce-Rodríguez *et al*. studied the impact of the $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio on antibiotic resistance in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 (74). They artificially modulated the $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio using a

recombinant NADH oxidase (which increases $[NAD^+]$) or a formate dehydrogenase (which increases

[NADH]). They showed that a high $NADH:NAD^+$ ratio increased membrane potential whereas low

$NADH:NAD^+$ ratios decreased membrane potential (measured using $DiOC_2(3)$). High $NADH:NAD^+$

ratios also increased cellular ROS levels, and efflux of aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones via the

RND efflux pumps MexAB and MexXY leading to increased resistance. However, a high $NADH:NAD^+$

ratio also increased ROS-mediated killing by high concentrations of antibiotics, and it was concluded

that control of NADH:NAD$^+$ ratio and other aspects of redox balance was important for response to

antibiotics.

Yang *et al*. found that an Δ*acrA* mutant in *E. coli* BW25113 had lower *rpoS::lacZ* expression than the

wild type across growth, while overproduction of AcrA resulted in increased *rpoS::lacZ* expression

(75). Yang *et al*. proposed that efflux pumps export quorum sensing molecules which gives rise to

these changes in physiology, but we present an alternative model whereby membrane potential and

redox state is the signal. Indeed, subsequent studies have sought to identify effluxed quorum

sensing molecules without success (7). A previous study found that *rpoS* transcription in *S.*

Typhimurium F98 is linked to redox and influenced by oxygen, ArcA, and NADH:NAD$^+$ ratio (66).

Expression of *arcA* was also found to be negatively regulated by RpoS. The authors also suggested

that quorum sensing could play a role in this regulation. ArcA has also recently been found to be

important in *E. coli* for development of antibiotic resistance (76) and metabolic adaptation to

tetracycline resistance (77).

Links to biofilms?

It has previously been reported that knockout or deactivation of *acrB* and other genes encoding

efflux pump components reduced biofilm formation in *Salmonella* (78), although the exact

regulatory mechanism is currently unclear (79, 80) . Indeed, efflux pumps have been implicated in

biofilm formation in many bacterial species (reviewed by (81)). A recent study identified TolC as

being beneficial for biofilm formation in both *E. coli* and *S*. Typhimurium (80). This study also

identified *nuo* genes encoding the major aerobic NADH reductase as being important in *Salmonella*

biofilm formation, and highlighted the fact that biofilm development is a process requiring different

genes at different times (from initial attachment to maturation). Efflux inhibitors such as

phenothiazines have also been shown to reduce biofilm formation (72, 73). ArcBA and RpoS have

previously been implicated in regulation of biofilm formation (82) so our model could provide a link

between efflux pumps, redox state, and biofilm formation. Indeed, recent studies have shown that

Salmonella biofilm formation can be modulated by redox-active polymers (83).

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated that deactivation of AcrB leads to hyperpolarisation of the inner

membrane and we propose that this is responsible for wide-ranging changes in bacterial gene

regulation and physiology. Our model linking efflux and redox provides an explanation for some

phenotypes observed in efflux pump knockouts and inhibition experiments, and places efflux pumps

as a central part of bacterial physiology, distinct from (but linked to) their role as transporters of

noxious substances from the cell.

Intriguingly, our model could explain three reasons why efflux pumps are important for the response

of *Salmonella* to antimicrobials. First, their well-established and characterised function in removing

noxious substances from the cell. Second, as loss of AcrB function increases membrane potential,

antimicrobials postulated to function via the generation of reactive oxygen species by the

respiratory chain following treatment (the ROS-lethality hypothesis) (84) could be more effective.

And third, as the Δ*acrB* strain appears to enter stationary phase later in growth than the wild type,

inhibition of efflux is likely to delay the remodelling of the cell envelope that occurs on transition to

stationary phase, after which antimicrobial permeability is far reduced (9). Likewise, the attenuation

of virulence observed when AcrB is deactivated (6) could be due to deficiencies in efflux of

antimicrobial host molecules, and / or changes in physiology brought about by hyperpolarisation

such as alterations in SPI expression, motility, and biofilm formation. Future work will explore the

relative contributions of efflux and redox state in these situations.

Materials and Methods

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium SL1344 (85) and its cognate Δ*acrB* (86) and *acrB* D408A

mutant (6) were used. Transcriptomic analysis on SL1344 and SL1344 Δ*acrB* was performed as

described by (9). RNA-Seq data have been deposited with Array Express (accession no. E-MTAB-

9679).

Total numbers of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were determined using the R package DESeq2

(87) by comparing the three timepoints (1h, 3h, and 5h) for both the wild type and the Δ*acrB* strain.

Adjusted p-value < 0.05 and $\log_2$FC ≥ |1.5| were both used as the thresholds for determining the DEGs

for the Venn diagrams (Figure 1), which were drawn using the R package ggvenn (88).

Genes were classified in groups 1, 2 and 3 by the following criteria. The $\log_2$ fold change for each

gene was calculated for 1 h versus 3 h in both wt and Δ*acrB* strains. Only genes where $\log_2$FC data

were significant (p_{adj} < 0.05) for the 1 h vs 3 h comparison for both wt and Δ*acrB* strains were

considered for this analysis. $\Delta\log_2$FC was calculated for each gene by subtracting the $\log_2$FC in the wt

from the $\log_2$FC in the Δ*acrB* strain. Groups were classified: group 1, wt $\log_2$FC for 1 h vs 3 h was ≤ -1

and $\Delta\log_2$FC was ≥ 1; Group 2, wt $\log_2$FC for 1 h vs 3 h was > -1 and $\Delta\log_2$FC was ≥ 1; Group 3, $\Delta\log_2$FC

was ≤ 1.

Membrane potential measurement by flow cytometry

Strains SL1344, Δ*acrB* and *arcB* D408A were grown in 5 mL of Lennox broth (LB). After 1, 3 and 5 hours, cells were harvested by centrifugation (4720 x g for 5 min) and diluted in filter-sterilised HEPES-buffered saline (HBS, Alfa Aesar). Diluted cell suspensions were added to 1.5 mL microfuge tubes containing HBS and 3,3'-diethyloxacarbocyanine iodide (DiOC$_2$(3), Invitrogen), with or without carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP, Acros Organics) as appropriate. DiOC$_2$(3) and CCCP were added to a final concentration of 30 µM and 15 µM respectively. Samples were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 minutes before data were acquired. Unstained controls were included for each strain alongside HBS-only controls. DiOC$_2$(3) was prepared as a 3 mM stock in dimethyl sulfoxide and CCCP was prepared as a 10 mM stock in 80% DMSO. Both were stored as aliquots at -20 °C protected from light.

Data were acquired using an Attune® NxT Focusing Cytometer equipped with blue (488 nm) and yellow (585 nm) lasers and the Attune® NxT software (ThermoFisher Scientific). Initial placement of the sample acquisition gate (R1) was guided by SYTO-84 ™ (Invitrogen) staining of control samples. SYTO-84 ™, prepared as per (89) was used at a final concentration of 10 µM to differentiate bacteria from acellular particles. Event acquisition was set to 10,000 events in the R1 gate for stained samples, or to 10,000 total events for unstained samples. Forward scatter (FSC-H) and side scatter (SSC-H) voltages were set at 700 and 500 respectively. Blue laser (BL-1 and BL-3) voltages were each set at 400. The draw volume (50 µL), flow rate (12.5 µL/min) and threshold (default) were defined. Green and red fluorescence of DiOC$_2$(3) was measured using the BL-1 (530/30 filter) and BL-3 (695/40 filter) channels respectively.

Compensation was applied for each experiment using cells harvested at 1 h. For the unstained control, the wild type strain (SL1344) was suspended in filter sterilised HBS. For BL-1 and BL-3 laser controls, DiOC$_2$(3) and CCCP were included at final concentrations of 30 µM and 15 µM respectively to replicate experimental conditions. Samples were vortexed thoroughly immediately before data acquisition, and sip sanitisation was used between samples to minimise carryover. Calibration beads (Attune Performance Tracking Beads, ThermoFisher Scientific) were used to ensure inter-experimental consistency. FlowJo version 10.7.1 was used for data analysis.

Acknowledgements

Conflicts of interest: We declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that might be considered a conflict of interest.

Author contributions: JMAB, TWO, and EEW designed the assays. EEW performed experiments to obtain samples for RNA-seq. SJE performed experiments to measure membrane potential and analysed the resulting data. RNA-seq data were analysed by EEW, OO, and TWO. The manuscript was written by JMAB and TWO with input from all authors.

Funding: EEW was funded by AAMR Wellcome Trust DTP grant 108876/B/15/Z at the University of Birmingham. OO was funded by a UK Biotechnology & Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) PhD studentship through the Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership (MIBTP) scheme. SJE was funded by a Centre for Systems Modelling and Quantitative Biomedicine (SMQB), University of Birmingham grant to JMAB. JMAB was funded by BBSRC grant BB/M02623X/1 (David Phillips Fellowship to JMAB).

The authors would like to thank Xuan Wang-Kan and Laura Piddock for sharing data from previous studies.

References

1. Darby EM, Trampari E, Siasat P, Gaya MS, Alav I, Webber MA, Blair JMA. 2022. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance revisited. Nat Rev Microbiol https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00820-y.

2. Blair JMA, Richmond GE, Piddock LJV. 2014. Multidrug efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria and their role in antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol 9:1165–1177.

3. Nikaido H. 1989. Outer membrane barrier as a mechanism of antimicrobial resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 33:1831–1836.

4. Colclough AL, Alav I, Whittle EE, Pugh HL, Darby EM, Legood SW, McNeil HE, Blair JMA. 2020. RND efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria; Regulation, structure and role in antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2019-0235.

5. Webber MA, Bailey AM, Blair JMA, Morgan E, Stevens MP, Hinton JCD, Ivens A, Wain J, Piddock LJV. 2009. The global consequence of disruption of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump in *Salmonella enterica* includes reduced expression of SPI-1 and other attributes required to infect the host. J Bacteriol 191:4276–4285.

6. Wang-Kan X, Blair JMA, Chirullo B, Betts J, La Ragione RM, Ivens A, Ricci V, Opperman TJ, Piddock LJV. 2017. Lack of AcrB efflux function confers loss of virulence on *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. MBio 8:e00968-17.

7. Wang-Kan X, Rodríguez-Blanco G, Southam AD, Winder CL, Dunn WB, Ivens A, Piddock LJV. 2021. Metabolomics reveal potential natural substrates of *acrB* in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. MBio 12:e00109-21.

8. Buckner MMC, Blair JMA, La Ragione RM, Newcombe J, Dwyer DJ, Ivens A, Piddock LJV. 2016.
Beyond antimicrobial resistance: Evidence for a distinct role of the AcrD efflux pump in
Salmonella biology. MBio 7:e01916-16.

9. Whittle EE, McNeil HE, Trampari E, Webber M, Overton TW, Blair JMA. 2021. Efflux Impacts
Intracellular Accumulation Only in Actively Growing Bacterial Cells. MBio 12:e0260821.

10. Leus I V., Adamiak J, Chandar B, Bonifay V, Zhao S, Walker SS, Squadroni B, Balibar CJ,
Kinarivala N, Standke LC, Voss HU, Tan DS, Rybenkov V V., Zgurskaya HI. 2023. Functional
Diversity of Gram-Negative Permeability Barriers Reflected in Antibacterial Activities and
Intracellular Accumulation of Antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 67:e01377-22.

11. Manrique PD, López CA, Gnanakaran S, Rybenkov V V., Zgurskaya HI. 2023. New
understanding of multidrug efflux and permeation in antibiotic resistance, persistence, and
heteroresistance. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1519:46–62.

12. Li J, Claudi B, Fanous J, Chicherova N, Cianfanelli FR, Campbell RAA, Bumann D. 2021. Tissue
compartmentalization enables *Salmonella* persistence during chemotherapy. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A 118:e2113951118.

13. Łapińska U, Voliotis M, Lee KK, Campey A, Stone RML, Tuck B, Phetsang W, Zhang B, Tsaneva-
Atanasova K, Blaskovich MAT, Pagliara S. 2022. Fast bacterial growth reduces antibiotic
accumulation and efficacy. Elife 11:e74062.

14. Navarro Llorens JM, Tormo A, Martínez-García E. 2010. Stationary phase in Gram-negative
bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:476–495.

15. Battesti A, Majdalani N, Gottesman S. 2011. The RpoS-mediated general stress response in
Escherichia coli . Annu Rev Microbiol 65:189–213.

16. Durfee T, Hansen AM, Zhi H, Blattner FR, Ding JJ. 2008. Transcription profiling of the stringent
response in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 190:1084–1096.

17. Singh SK, Saisree L, Amrutha RN, Reddy M. 2012. Three redundant murein endopeptidases
catalyse an essential cleavage step in peptidoglycan synthesis of *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol
Microbiol 86:1036–1051.

18. Harmon DE, Ruiz C. 2022. The Multidrug Efflux Regulator AcrR of *Escherichia coli* Responds to
Exogenous and Endogenous Ligands To Regulate Efflux and Detoxification. mSphere 7:e00474-
22.

19. Lou L, Zhang P, Piao R, Wang Y. 2019. *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island 1 (SPI-1) and Its
Complex Regulatory Network. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:270

20. Sánchez-Romero MA, Casadesús J. 2018. Contribution of SPI-1 bistability to *Salmonella*
enterica cooperative virulence: insights from single cell analysis. Sci Rep 8:14875.

21. Ilyas B, Tsai CN, Coombes BK. 2017. Evolution of *Salmonella*-host cell interactions through a
dynamic bacterial genome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7:428.

22. Kröger C, Colgan A, Srikumar S, Händler K, Sivasankaran SK, Hammarlöf DL, Canals R, Grissom
JE, Conway T, Hokamp K, Hinton JCD. 2013. An infection-relevant transcriptomic compendium
for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. Cell Host Microbe 14:683–695.

23.   Srikumar S, Kröger C, Hébrard M, Colgan A, Owen S V., Sivasankaran SK, Cameron ADS,
Hokamp K, Hinton JCD. 2015. RNA-seq Brings New Insights to the Intra-Macrophage
Transcriptome of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005262.

24.   Hoiseth SK, Stocker BAD. 1981. Aromatic-dependent *Salmonella* Typhimurium are non-
virulent and effective as live vaccines. Nature 291:238–239.

25.   Fink RC, Evans MR, Porwollik S, Vazquez-Torres A, Jones-Carson J, Troxell B, Libby SJ,
McClelland M, Hassan HM. 2007. FNR is a global regulator of virulence and anaerobic
metabolism in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (ATCC 14028s). J Bacteriol 189:2262–
2273.

26.   Constantinidou C, Hobman JL, Griffiths L, Patel MD, Penn CW, Cole JA, Overton TW. 2006. A
reassessment of the FNR regulon and transcriptomic analysis of the effects of nitrate, nitrite,
NarXL, and NarQP as *Escherichia coli* K12 adapts from aerobic to anaerobic growth. J Biol
Chem 281:4802–4815.

27.   Nilavongse A, Brondijk THC, Overton TW, Richardson DJ, Leach ER, Cole JA. 2006. The NapF
protein of the *Escherichia coli* periplasmic nitrate reductase system: Demonstration of a
cytoplasmic location and interaction with the catalytic subunit, NapA. Microbiology 152:3227–
3237.

28.   Browning DF, Grainger DC, Beatty CM, Wolfe AJ, Cole JA, Busby SJW. 2005. Integration of
three signals at the *Escherichia coli nrf* promoter: A role for Fis protein in catabolite
repression. Mol Microbiol 57:496–510.

29.   Kim MJ, Lim S, Ryu S. 2008. Molecular analysis of the *Salmonella* Typhimurium *tdc* operon
regulation. J Microbiol Biotechnol 18:1024–1032.

30.   Cianciotto NP, Cornelis P, Baysse C. 2005. Impact of the bacterial type I cytochrome *c*
maturation system on different biological processes. Mol Microbiol 56:1408–1415.

31.   Khademian M, Imlay JA. 2017. *Escherichia coli* cytochrome *c* peroxidase is a respiratory
oxidase that enables the use of hydrogen peroxide as a terminal electron acceptor. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 114:E6922–E6931.

32.   Pelosi L, Vo CDT, Abby SS, Loiseau L, Rascalou B, Chehade MH, Faivre B, Goussé M, Chenal C,
Touati N, Binet L, Cornu D, Fyfe CD, Fontecave M, Barras F, Lombard M, Pierrel F. 2019.
Ubiquinone biosynthesis over the entire O_2 range: Characterization of a conserved O_2-
independent pathway. MBio 10:e01319-19.

33.   Navarro C, Wu L -F, Mandrand-Berthelot M -A. 1993. The *nik* operon of *Escherichia coli*
encodes a periplasmic binding-protein-dependent transport system for nickel. Mol Microbiol
9:1181–1191.

34.   Rowe JL, Starnes GL, Chivers PT. 2005. Complex transcriptional control links NikABCDE-
dependent nickel transport with hydrogenase expression in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol
187:6317–6323.

35.   Piotrowska AA. 2018. PhD thesis. Investigation of STM3071 as a potential regulator of cobalt
transport in *Salmonella enterica.* Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, Scotland.

36.   Karavolos MH, Spencer H, Bulmer DM, Thompson A, Winzer K, Williams P, Hinton JCD, Khan
CMA. 2008. Adrenaline modulates the global transcriptional profile of *Salmonella* revealing a

role in the antimicrobial peptide and oxidative stress resistance responses. BMC Genomics
9:458.

37. Wood MW, Jones MA, Watson PR, Hedges S, Wallis TS, Galyov EE. 1998. Identification of a
pathogenicity island required for *Salmonella* enteropathogenicity. Mol Microbiol 29:883–891.

38. Cohen H, Adani B, Cohen E, Piscon B, Azriel S, Desai P, Bähre H, McClelland M, Rahav G, Gal-
Mor O. 2022. The ancestral stringent response potentiator, DksA has been adapted
throughout *Salmonella* evolution to orchestrate the expression of metabolic, motility, and
virulence pathways. Gut Microbes 14:1997294.

39. Frye J, Karlinsey JE, Felise HR, Marzolf B, Dowidar N, McClelland M, Hughes KT. 2006.
Identification of new flagellar genes of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol
188:2233–2243.

40. Hamed S, Wang X, Shawky RM, Emara M, Aldridge PD, Rao C V. 2019. Synergistic action of SPI-
1 gene expression in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium through transcriptional
crosstalk with the flagellar system. BMC Microbiol 19:211.

41. Liu R, Blackwell TW, States DJ. 2001. Conformational model for binding site recognition by the
E. coli MetJ transcription factor. Bioinformatics 17:622–633.

42. Grogan DW, Cronan JE. 1997. Cyclopropane ring formation in membrane lipids of bacteria.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 61:429–441.

43. Wei Y, Newman EB. 2002. Studies on the role of the *metK* gene product of *Escherichia coli* K-
12. Mol Microbiol 43:1651–1656.

44. Newman EB, Budman LI, Chan EC, Greene RC, Lin RT, Woldringh CL, D'ari R. 1998. Lack of S-
adenosylmethionine results in a cell division defect in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 180:3614–
3619.

45. Husna AU, Wang N, Cobbold SA, Newton HJ, Hocking DM, Wilksch JJ, Scott TA, Davies MR,
Hinton JC, Tree JJ, Lithgow T, McConville MJ, Strugnell RA. 2018. Methionine biosynthesis and
transport are functionally redundant for the growth and virulence of *Salmonella* Typhimurium.
J Biol Chem 293:9506–9519.

46. Zimbro MJ, Power DA, Miller SM, Wilson GE, Johnson JA. 2009. Difco and BBL Manual.

47. Dolzan M, Johansson K, Roig-Zamboni V, Campanacci V, Tegoni M, Schneider G, Cambillau C.
2004. Crystal structure and reactivity of YbdL from *Escherichia coli* identify a methionine
aminotransferase function. FEBS Lett 571:141–146.

48. Heilbronn J, Wilson J, Berger BJ. 1999. Tyrosine aminotransferase catalyzes the final step of
methionine recycling in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Bacteriol 181:1739–1747.

49. Sévin DC, Fuhrer T, Zamboni N, Sauer U. 2017. Nontargeted in vitro metabolomics for high-
throughput identification of novel enzymes in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Methods 14:187–194.

50. Hwang S, Choe D, Yoo M, Cho S, Kim SC, Cho S, Cho BK. 2018. Peptide transporter CstA
imports pyruvate in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J Bacteriol 200:e00771-17.

51.   McDermott JE, Yoon H, Nakayasu ES, Metz TO, Hyduke DR, Kidwai AS, Palsson BO, Adkins JN,
Heffron F. 2011. Technologies and approaches to elucidate and model the virulence program
of *Salmonella*. Front Microbiol 2:121.

52.   Taschner NP, Yagil E, Spira B. 2004. A differential effect of σ^S on the expression of the Pho
regulon genes of *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology 150:2985–2992.

53.   Typas A, Stella S, Johnson RC, Hengge R. 2007. The -35 sequence location and the Fis-sigma
factor interface determine σ^S selectivity of the *proP* (P2) promoter in *Escherichia coli*. Mol
Microbiol 63:780–796.

54.   Weber H, Polen T, Heuveling J, Wendisch VF, Hengge R. 2005. Genome-wide analysis of the
general stress response network in *Escherichia coli*: σ^S-dependent genes, promoters, and
sigma factor selectivity. J Bacteriol 187:1591–1603.

55.   Mukhopadhyay S, Schellhorn HE. 1994. Induction of *Escherichia coli* hydroperoxidase I by
acetate and other weak acids. J Bacteriol 176:2300–2307.

56.   Piper SE, Mitchell JE, Lee DJ, Busby SJW. 2009. A global view of *Escherichia coli* Rsd protein and
its interactions. Mol Biosyst 5:1943–1947.

57.   Starosta AL, Lassak J, Jung K, Wilson DN. 2014. The bacterial translation stress response. FEMS
Microbiol Rev 38:1172–1201.

58.   Waegeman H, Beauprez J, Moens H, Maertens J, De Mey M, Foulquié-Moreno MR, Heijnen JJ,
Charlier D, Soetaert W. 2011. Effect of *iclR* and *arcA* knockouts on biomass formation and
metabolic fluxes in *Escherichia coli* K12 and its implications on understanding the metabolism
of *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3). BMC Microbiol 11:70.

59.   Brown AN, Anderson MT, Bachman MA, Mobley HLT. 2022. The ArcAB Two-Component
System: Function in Metabolism, Redox Control, and Infection. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev
86:e0011021.

60.   Pardo-Esté C, Hidalgo AA, Aguirre C, Briones AC, Cabezas CE, Castro-Severyn J, Fuentes JA,
Opazo CM, Riedel CA, Otero C, Pacheco R, Valvano MA, Saavedra CP. 2018. The ArcAB two-
component regulatory system promotes resistance to reactive oxygen species and systemic
infection by *Salmonella* Typhimurium. PLoS One 13:e0203497.

61.   Morales EH, Collao B, Desai PT, Calderón IL, Gil F, Luraschi R, Porwollik S, McClelland M,
Saavedra CP. 2013. Probing the ArcA regulon under aerobic/ROS conditions in *Salmonella*
enterica serovar Typhimurium. BMC Genomics 14:626.

62.   Evans MR, Fink RC, Vazquez-Torres A, Porwollik S, Jones-Carson J, McClelland M, Hassan HM.
2011. Analysis of the ArcA regulon in anaerobically grown *Salmonella enterica* sv.
Typhimurium. BMC Microbiol 11:58.

63.   Sharma P, Stagge S, Bekker M, Bettenbrock K, Hellingwerf KJ. 2013. Kinase Activity of ArcB
from *Escherichia coli* Is Subject to Regulation by Both Ubiquinone and Demethylmenaquinone.
PLoS One 8:e75412.

64.   Yoshida H, Wada A, Shimada T, Maki Y, Ishihama A. 2019. Coordinated Regulation of Rsd and
RMF for Simultaneous Hibernation of Transcription Apparatus and Translation Machinery in
Stationary-Phase *Escherichia coli*. Front Genet 10:1153.

65.   Mika F, Hengge R. 2005. A two-component phosphotransfer network involving ArcB, ArcA, and
683   RssB coordinates synthesis and proteolysis of σS (RpoS) in E. coli. Genes Dev 19:2770–2781.

684   66.   Ševčík M, Šebková A, Volf J, Rychlík I. 2001. Transcription of *arcA* and *rpoS* during growth of
685   *Salmonella* Typhimurium under aerobic and microaerobic conditions. Microbiology 147:701–
686   708.

687   67.   Park DM, Akhtar MS, Ansari AZ, Landick R, Kiley PJ. 2013. The Bacterial Response Regulator
688   ArcA Uses a Diverse Binding Site Architecture to Regulate Carbon Oxidation Globally. PLoS
689   Genet 9:e1003839.

690   68.   Simon J, van Spanning RJM, Richardson DJ. 2008. The organisation of proton motive and non-
691   proton motive redox loops in prokaryotic respiratory systems. Biochim Biophys Acta -
692   Bioenerg 1777:1480–1490.

693   69.   Seeger MA, Von Ballmoos C, Verrey F, Pos KM. 2009. Crucial role of Asp408 in the proton
694   translocation pathway of multidrug transporter AcrB: Evidence from site-directed mutagenesis
695   and carbodiimide labeling. Biochemistry 48:5801–5812.

696   70.   Su CC, Li M, Gu R, Takatsuka Y, McDermott G, Nikaido H, Yu EW. 2006. Conformation of the
697   AcrB multidrug efflux pump in mutants of the putative proton relay pathway. J Bacteriol
698   188:7290–7296.

699   71.   Le D, Krasnopeeva E, Sinjab F, Pilizota T, Kim M. 2021. Active efflux leads to heterogeneous
700   dissipation of proton motive force by protonophores in bacteria. MBio 12:e0067621.

701   72.   Grimsey EM, Piddock LJV. 2019. Do phenothiazines possess antimicrobial and efflux inhibitory
702   properties? FEMS Microbiol Rev 43:577–590.

703   73.   Donnert M, Elsheikh S, Arce-Rodriguez A, Pawar V, Braubach P, Jonigk D, Haverich A, Weiss S,
704   Müsken M, Häussler S. 2020. Targeting bioenergetics is key to counteracting the drug-tolerant
705   state of biofilm-grown bacteria. PLoS Pathog 16:e1009126.

706   74.   Arce-Rodríguez A, Pankratz D, Preusse M, Nikel PI, Häussler S. 2022. Dual Effect: High NADH
707   Levels Contribute to Efflux-Mediated Antibiotic Resistance but Drive Lethality Mediated by
708   Reactive Oxygen Species. MBio 13:e0243421.

709   75.   Yang S, Lopez CR, Zechiedrich EL. 2006. Quorum sensing and multidrug transporters in
710   *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:2386–2391.

711   76.   Horinouchi T, Maeda T, Kotani H, Furusawa C. 2020. Suppression of antibiotic resistance
712   evolution by single-gene deletion. Sci Rep 10:4178.

713   77.   Arrieta-Ortiz ML, Pan M, Kaur A, Pepper-Tunick E, Srinivas V, Dash A, Immanuel SRC, Brooks
714   AN, Shepherd TR, Baliga NS. 2023. Disrupting the ArcA Regulatory Network Amplifies the
715   Fitness Cost of Tetracycline Resistance in *Escherichia coli*. mSystems 8:e00904-22.

716   78.   Baugh S, Ekanayaka AS, Piddock LJV, Webber MA. 2012. Loss of or inhibition of all multidrug
717   resistance efflux pumps of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium results in impaired ability
718   to form a biofilm. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2409–2417.

719   79.   Holden ER, Webber MA. 2020. MarA, RamA, and SoxS as Mediators of the Stress Response:
720   Survival at a Cost. Front Microbiol 11:828.

721   80.   Holden ER, Yasir M, Turner AK, Charles IG, Webber MA. 2022. Comparison of the genetic basis
722   of biofilm formation between *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. Microb Genomics
723   8:000885.

724   81.   Alav I, Sutton JM, Rahman KM. 2018. Role of bacterial efflux pumps in biofilm formation. J
725   Antimicrob Chemother 73:2003–2020.

726   82.   Hengge R. 2008. The two-component network and the general stress sigma factor RpoS (σ^S) in
727   *Escherichia coli*. Adv Exp Med Biol 631:40–53.

728   83.   Gomez-Carretero S, Libberton B, Svennersten K, Persson K, Jager E, Berggren M, Rhen M,
729   Richter-Dahlfors A. 2017. Redox-active conducting polymers modulate *Salmonella* biofilm
730   formation by controlling availability of electron acceptors. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 3:19.

731   84.   Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ. 2007. A Common Mechanism of
732   Cellular Death Induced by Bactericidal Antibiotics. Cell 130:797–810.

733   85.   Brenner FW, Villar RG, Angulo FJ, Tauxe R, Swaminathan B. 2000. *Salmonella* nomenclature. J
734   Clin Microbiol 38:2465–2467.

735   86.   Eaves DJ, Ricci V, Piddock LJV. 2004. Expression of *acrB, acrF, acrD, marA,* and *soxS* in
736   *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium: Role in Multiple Antibiotic Resistance. Antimicrob
737   Agents Chemother 48:1145–1150.

738   87.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
739   RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

740   88.   Gao CH, Yu G, Cai P. 2021. ggVennDiagram: An Intuitive, Easy-to-Use, and Highly Customizable
741   R Package to Generate Venn Diagram. Front Genet 12:706907.

742   89.   Whittle EE, Legood SW, Alav I, Dulyayangkul P, Overton TW, Blair JMA. 2019. Flow Cytometric
743   Analysis of Efflux by Dye Accumulation. Front Microbiol 10:2319.