A positive feedback loop between ZEB2 and ACSL4 regulates lipid metabolism to promote breast cancer metastasis

Jiamin Lin1†, Pingping Zhang1†, Wei Liu2†, Guorong Liu1, Juan Zhang1, Min Yan3, Yuyou Duan4,5*, Na Yang1*

1 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510180, China.
2 Department of Breast Surgery, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510220, China.
3 Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center of Cancer Medicine, Guangzhou, 510060, China.
4 Laboratory of Stem Cells and Translational Medicine, Institutes for Life Sciences and School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510006, China.
5 Institute for Clinical Medicine, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510180, China.

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to:
Na Yang, M.D., Ph.D.
Department of Laboratory Medicine
Guangzhou First People's Hospital
South China University of Technology
1 Panfu Road, Guangzhou 510180, P.R. China
E-mail: eyyangna@scut.edu.cn

Yuyou Duan, Ph.D.
Laboratory of Stem Cells and Translational Medicine
Institutes for Life Sciences, School of Medicine
South China University of Technology
No.382 Waihuan East Road, Suite 406
Higher Education Mega Center
Guangzhou 510006, P.R. China
E-mail: yuyouduan@scut.edu.cn

Min Yan, Ph.D

40 State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China

41 Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center

42 651 Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou, 510060, P.R. China

43 Email: yanmin@sysucc.org.cn

Highlights

46 ZEB2 activates FAO through transcription of ACSL4

47 ACSL4 regulates lipid metabolism through FAO, promoting breast cancer metastasis

48 Targeting ZEB2-ACSL4 signaling axis inhibits breast cancer metastasis

Significance

51 We describe a novel positive feedback loop between ZEB2 and ACSL4 that results in

52 enhanced cellular lipid storage and FA oxidation to drive breast cancer metastasis.

54 **Running Title:** A novel positive feedback loop between ZEB2 and ACSL4

55 **Key Words:** Lipid metabolism; Lipid droplet; cancer metastasis; EMT

Abstract

Lipid metabolism plays a critical role in cancer metastasis. However, the mechanisms

through which metastatic genes regulate lipid metabolism remain unclear. Here, we

describe a new oncogenic-metabolic feedback loop between the

epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) transcription factor ZEB2 and the key lipid

enzyme ACSL4 (long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase 4), resulting in enhanced cellular

lipid storage and fatty acid oxidation to drive breast cancer metastasis. Functionally,

Depletion of ZEB2 or ACSL4 significantly reduced lipid droplets (LD) abundance and

cell migration. ACSL4 overexpression rescued the invasive capabilities of the ZEB2

knockdown cells, suggesting that ACSL4 is crucial for ZEB2-mediated metastasis.

Mechanistically, ZEB2 activated ACSL4 expression by directly binding to the ACSL4

promoter. ACSL4 binds to and stabilizes ZEB2 by reducing ZEB2 ubiquitination.

Notably, ACSL4 not only promotes the intracellular lipogenesis and lipid droplet

accumulation but also enhances fatty acid oxidation (FAO) and ATP production by

upregulating the FAO rate-limiting enzyme CPT1A (carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1

isoform A). Finally, we demonstrated that ACSL4 knockdown significantly reduced

metastatic lung nodes in vivo. In conclusion, we reveal a novel positive regulatory

loop between ZEB2 and ACSL4, which promotes LD storage to meet the energy

needs of breast cancer metastasis, and identify the ZEB2-ACSL4 signaling axis as an

attractive therapeutic target for overcoming breast cancer metastasis.

Introduction

More than 90% of breast cancer-related deaths are due to metastasis[1,2]. Current

treatments, including endocrine therapy, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy, are

ineffective in preventing breast cancer metastasis and remain the greatest clinical

challenge for breast cancer treatment[3]. Cancer metastasis is associated with a
process called epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)[4,5]. EMT is a pre-metastatic
state in which epithelial cells lose their tight junctions and convert to migratory
mesenchymal cells[6]. Metastatic invasion is a highly energy-intensive process[7]. It has
become increasingly recognized that metabolic reprogramming during the EMT
process contributes to metastasis and tumorigenesis[8,9]. Emerging evidence suggests
that lipid metabolic reprogramming plays a critical role in meeting the energy
requirements of metastatic invasion[10-13]. Elucidating the mechanism by which
reprogrammed lipid metabolism helps us exploit novel and attractive targets for
metastatic therapeutic interventions.
92
Lipid metabolism includes a complex network of pathways that regulate fatty acid (FA)
synthesis, storage, and degradation[14]. For lipid anabolism, FA are stored in a dynamic
organelle called a lipid droplet, which is composed of a monolayer of phospholipids
that covers a hydrophobic core containing neutral lipids, such as triacylglycerol (TAG)
and cholesteryl esters (CE)[15]. Lipid droplets (LD) accumulation is associated with
aggressiveness in many cancer types[16], including breast[17], brain[18], liver[19], lung[20], and
prostate[21,22]. Indeed, the aggregation of FA in LD is considered a priming state to
prepare for metastasis[23-25]. In the case of need, FA can be released and oxidized for
energy support. For example, it has been reported that fatty acids stored in lipid
droplets were a crucial resource in fueling the metastatic process in pancreatic cancer
[24]. Additionally, previous studies have suggested that metastatic triple-negative breast
cancer (TNBC) depends on fatty acid oxidation (FAO) to produce high ATP levels [26,27].
Although lipid metabolism is crucial for cancer metastasis, the signalling pathway that
regulates lipid metabolic reprogramming during breast cancer metastasis remains
unclear.
108
Dysregulation of lipid metabolic enzymes has been documented in cancer
metastasis[28]. Long-chain fatty acyl synthetase (ACSL) 4 belongs to the long-chain
acyl-CoA synthetase ligase enzyme family [29,30]. ACSL4 catalyses the conversion of
long-chain FAs to acyl-CoAs, a necessary step for free long-chain FAs to enter the
next metabolic pathway[31]. The increased expression and activity of ACSL4 have been
observed in many cancer types and are well-known biomarkers of ferroptosis[32].
Although it has been reported that ACSL4 is a tumor suppressor that activates
ferroptosis, many studies have suggested that ACSL4 is an oncogene that contributes
to tumor progression. For example, ACSL4 promotes Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)
cell proliferation and metastasis via lipogenesis and lipid droplet accumulation[19,33]. In
prostate cancer, ACSL4 promotes cell growth, invasion, and hormonal resistance[34].
The function of ACSL4 in breast cancer has been implicated in hormone therapy
resistance involving the regulation of energy-dependent transporter expression[35].
However, regulation of lipid metabolism by ACSL4 during breast cancer invasion
remains unclear.
124
In the present study, we demonstrate a novel positive feedback loop between the

EMT transcription factor ZEB2 and the essential lipid metabolic enzyme ACSL4,
resulting in enhanced cellular lipid droplet accumulation and fatty acid oxidation to
drive breast cancer metastasis. Mechanistically, ZEB2 activates ACSL4 expression by
directly binding to the ACSL4 promoter. ACSL4 stabilizes and upregulates ZEB2 via
transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms. In addition, we also provide
evidence that overexpression of ZEB2 or ACSL4 is associated with worse prognosis
in advanced breast cancer. Our findings provide insights into lipid metabolic
mechanisms during the EMT process and reveal a novel oncogenic-metabolic
pathway critical for breast cancer EMT and metastasis.

Materials and Methods
Breast cancer cell lines and clinical specimens
Breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and BT549 were purchased from the
American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Beijing Zhongyuan Ltd., Beijing, China).
Paclitaxel-resistant and epirubicin-resistant cell lines have been reported previously
[36,37]. All cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (GIBCO)
supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (BI, Biological Industries) and 1% (v/v) Pen/Strep
(GIBCO) and incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37 °C.

Primary breast cancer and adjacent normal tissues were collected from the volunteers
at Guangzhou First People's Hospital, Guangdong, China. This study was approved
by the Ethics Committee of the Guangzhou First People's Hospital (approval no.
K2021-201-01). Fourteen patients were enrolled in this study. The specimens were
then subjected to western blotting and immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays.

Plasmid construction and cell transfection
The PLKO.1-TRC-LUC lentivirus vector containing shRNA (shACSL4-1 and
shACSL4-2) and the control vector were purchased from WZ Biosciences. The virus
package plasmids psPAX2 and pMD2.G were purchased from Addgene. To produce
the lentivirus, the shRNA vector and package plasmids were co-transfected into 293T
cells using polyethyleneimine (Polysciene). After 48 hours or 72 hours, supernatants
were harvested and passed through 0.45 um filters to collect the virus and stored at
-80 °C until further use. MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with a viral solution
containing 8ug/ml polybrene (Hanbio Biotechnology). 48 hours after transfection, the
virus was removed from the culture and fresh medium was added. The cells were
subsequently selected using 2 mg/mL puromycin to obtain a stable cell line. MCF-7
cells were transfected with HBLV-h-ACSL4-3xflag-ZsGreen-PURO or control lentiviral
plasmid. The plasmids were purchased from Hanbio Biotechnology.

For the siRNA assay, 5 nM negative control siRNA or ACSL4 and ZEB2 siRNA
(GenePharma) were transfected into MDA-MB-231 or paclitaxel-resistant cells using
Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Six
hours after transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh growth medium and the

cells were harvested after 24-48 hours for qPCR or western blot analysis. The siRNA
sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Western blot analysis
For western blotting, cells were lysed in WIP buffer (Beyotime) containing protease
and phosphatase inhibitors. Protein levels were quantified by BCA assay (Beyotime),
and equal amounts were separated using 10% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and
transferred onto a 0.22 µm PVDF membrane for probing. After blocking for 1 hour in 5%
non-fat milk diluted in TBST, the membrane was incubated with various primary
antibodies at 4°C overnight. The following primary antibodies were used: ACSL4
(ab155282, Abcam), ZEB2 (sc-271984, Santa Cruz), E-cadherin (3195S, CST),
N-cadherin (610921, BD Biosciences), vimentin (D21H3, 5741T, CST), CPT1A
(ab220789, Abcam), GAPDH (10494-1-AP, Protein Tech), Myc-Tag (71D10, 2278T,
CST), and HA-Tag (C29F4, 3724T, CST). Next, secondary antibodies were added and
the proteins were detected using an ECL kit (Millipore). Immunoreactive signals were
visualized using the GE Amersham Imager 600 chemiluminescence system.

Migration and invasion assay
A wound healing assay was performed to examine the migratory ability of the cells.
Briefly, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 4×10^5 cells per well in a
complete medium at 37 °C. Until cells reached 80-90% density, a sterile plastic tip
was used to create a wound line across the surface of the plates. The suspended
cells were discarded. After The cells were cultured in reduced serum DMEM medium
in a 5% CO_2 incubator at 37 °C for 48 hours. Images were obtained using a
phase-contrast microscope. Each assay was performed in triplicates.

The cell invasion capacity was measured using Matrigel-coated Transwell chambers
(8.0 µm; Corning Inc.). Briefly, cells were suspended in 200 µL serum-free medium
and seeded in the upper chamber of a Transwell. The lower chamber of the Transwell
was filled with medium containing 10% FBS-containing medium. The Transwell
chambers were then incubated at 37 °C. After culturing for 24 hours, the Transwell
holes were penetrated with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes and then stained
with 0.1% crystal violet solution for 15 minutes. Invading cells were imaged and
counted in five random fields.

Immunofluorescence (IF) and Immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays
For the immunofluorescence assay, cells were seeded on confocal dishes, washed in
PBS three times, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room
temperature. The cells were blocked with 10% goat serum (AR0009, BOSTER) for 1 h
at room temperature and washed three times. The cells were then incubated with the
primary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Subsequently, the cells were

rinsed thrice with PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room
temperature.
212
For BODIPY 493/503 and Phalloidin staining, cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 15
minutes and washed twice with PBS. The cells were then incubated with BODIPY
493/503 (1:2500 in PBS, GLPBIO) / Phalloidin (HUAYUN) for 15 minutes at room
temperature. DAPI was used to stain the nuclei. Images were acquired by confocal
microscopy (Ni-E-A1, Nikon, 40x) and analyzed using NIS Elements Viewer software
and ImageJ.
219
For immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay, paraffin-embedded tissue slides were
dewaxed with xylene and rehydrated using a graded series of alcohols. This was
followed by antigen retrieval and blocking with 5% BSA for 60 minutes. After that,
Tissue slices were incubated with primary antibodies against ACSL4, ZEB2, Vimentin
or ERα at 4 °C overnight in a humidified container and then detected with the SP
Rabbit&Mouse HRP Kit (CWBIO). Images were acquired using a digital pathology
scanning system (Aperio CS2).

Metabolic analysis
For the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) assay, the XF long-chain fatty acid oxidation
(LCFA) stress test Kit (103672-100) and Seahorse XF96 Analyzer were used to
investigate the long-chain fatty acid OCR and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR)
according to the manufacturer's protocol.
233
ATP levels were determined using an ATP Assay Kit (S0026, Beyotime) according to
the manufacturer's protocol. ATP levels were calculated using luminescence signals
and were normalized to protein concentrations. Glycolytic activity was determined
using a Glycolysis Cell-Based Assay Kit (600450, Cayman Chemical), according to
the manufacturer's protocol.

Untargeted lipidomics and proteomics
Cell Lipids were extracted in a chloroform-methanol mixed solution (2:1, -20 °C). The
extracted cell lysates were immersed in liquid nitrogen and frozen for 5 minutes. The
extracted cell lysates were placed in a 2 ml adapter, and the above steps were
repeated twice. Samples were then centrifuged to pellet the proteins (5 minutes,
12000 rpm), and the supernatant was stored for analysis in a vacuum centrifugal
concentrator. The sample was dissolved in 200 μl isopropanol, filtered through a 0.22
μm membrane, and detected by Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS).
The lipidomics data were analyzed using LipidSearch software (version 4.0). The
software identified intact lipid molecules based on their molecular weight and
fragmentation pattern using an internal library of predicted fragment ions per lipid
class. The spectra were then aligned based on retention time and the MS1 peak

areas were quantified across the sample conditions. Excel 2010 was used to calculate
intensity, and the R program (version 3.2.5) was used for data manipulation and
statistical analyses, including unsupervised hierarchical clustering and heatmap
visualization.

Quantitative Real-time PCR
Total RNA was isolated using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and cDNAs was
synthesized from total RNA using the PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (Perfect Real
Time, Takara). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed in triplicate using PowerUp
SYBR Green (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The relative gene expression was measured
using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. All primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Luciferase reporter assays
For Luciferase assays, the 2000bp ACSL4 promoter vector containing luciferase was
purchased from WZ Biosciences Inc. The -287bp, -965bp, -1038bp, and -1116bp
regions of the ACSL4 promoter were cloned by PCR amplification using primers
containing restriction sites MIII and Hind III. The nucleotide sequences of primers
used are listed in Supplementary Table S3. 293T cells were transfected with 1ug of
the-287bp, -965bp, -1038bp, -1116bp or 2000bp ACSL4 promoter luciferase reporter
and an empty vector for 24 hours. After transfection, the cells were harvested and
analyzed using Bright-Glo reagent (Promega) according to the manufacturer's
instructions.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation（ChIP）assay

ChIP assays were performed using a ChIP assay kit (Cat.p2078, Beyotime
Biotechnology). Briefly, MDA-MB-231 cells were grown to 90% confluence and
crosslinking was performed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes. Mouse anti-ZEB2
antibody or mouse IgG was used to immunoprecipitate the DNA-containing
complexes. After the DNA purification (Cat. D0033; Beyotime Biotechnology), PCR,
and Q-PCR were performed to detect the ZEB2-binding site in the ACSL4 promoter
region. The primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S4.

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay and Ubiquitination assay
For co-IP assays, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with ACSL4-FLAG and
ZEB2-MYC plasmids. 48 hours after transfection, cells were lysed in WIP buffer
(Beyotime) containing a protease inhibitor and phosphatase inhibitors for 30 minutes
at 4 °C followed by centrifugation. The supernatants were immunoprecipitated with
the indicated antibodies overnight at 4 °C, followed by incubation with protein A/G
beads for 1 hour at 4 °C. After incubation, the beads were washed with WIP buffer and

boiled in a 2×loading buffer. Protein samples were analyzed by western blotting. GST
pulldown assay was performed by using GST pull down Assay Kit (FI88807,
FITGENE) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The GST control plasmid and
ACSL4-GST plasmid were purchased from WZ Biosciences.
295
296
For the ubiquitination assay, HEK293T cells were transfected with HA-Ubi plasmid,
ACSL4-FLAG plasmid, and ZEB2-MYC or vector plasmid. 48 hours after transfection,
the cells were treated with 20 µM MG-132 for 6 hours to block the proteasomal
degradation of ZEB2 before being lysed with WIP lysis buffer (Beyotime). Equal
amounts of protein lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-MYC beads and
subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by blotting with anti-HA (ubiquitin) to visualize
polyubiquitinated ZEB2 protein bends.
304

In vivo experiments
The Ethics Committee approved the animal experiments for Animal Experiments of
the South China University of Technology. All NSG mice were purchased from the
Medical Laboratory Animal Center of Guangdong Province, China. Six female NSG
mice aged 6-8 weeks were used in each group for the primary tumor growth and
spontaneous lung metastasis experiments. A total of 2×10^6 vector control or
shACSL4 cells were mixed 1:1 by volume with Matrigel (BD Biosciences). Each
mouse was injected orthotopically into both the flanks. Xenograft tumor growth was
measured and tumor volume was calculated as follows: volume=(length×width^2)/2. At
the experimental endpoint, mice were intraperitoneally injected with 150 mg/kg
D-luciferin and imaged for 2 minutes using a live imager. The xenograft tumor and
lung samples were removed and fixed to count the metastatic lung nodules.

Statistical analysis
The results are reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or mean ± standard
error of the mean (SEM), as indicated in the figure legend. Statistical comparisons
were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t-test and considered
significant if P<0.05, P <0.01, P <0.001, and P <0.0001. Statistical comparisons for
the LM2 lung metastasis assay were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test. All
experiments with representative images, including western blotting and
immunofluorescence, were repeated at least twice, and representative images are
shown.

Results
ZEB2 and ACSL4 are over-expressed and correlated in highly invasive breast
cancer cells
To explore the molecular mechanism of highly invasive breast cancer, we performed
RNA sequencing analysis of wild-type and two drug-resistant luminal breast cancer

cell lines. Significant changes in 6155 genes was found (P < 0.05). Notably, EMT and stemness genes such as ZEB2, SNAIL, TWIST, TRAIL, Gli2, WNT, and AKT3, which are overexpressed in basal-like breast cancer (BLBC), were significantly upregulated in drug-resistant cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A). In contrast, differentiated genes such as FOXA1, ERα, E-cadherin, and GATA3, which are highly expressed in the luminal subtype, were significantly downregulated (Supplementary Fig. S1B), suggesting that drug-resistant cells underwent EMT and became stem-like cells. We noticed that the rate-limiting enzymes of fatty acid metabolism, long-chain fatty acyl synthetase 4 (ACSL4), and EMT transcription factor ZEB2 were among the top 200 upregulated (>2-fold) genes (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Fig. S2). To verify these findings in the clinical sample, we analyzed ZEB2 and ACSL4 expression in TCGA database and found that ACSL4 expression was positively correlated with ZEB2 expression (Fig. 1B, r=0.7657, p<0.001) and inversely correlated with ERα expression (Fig. 1C, r=-0.3312, p<0.001). by using the TCGA database, we compared the overall-survival (OS) between ACSL4 or ZEB2 high- and low-expression breast cancer patients. We found that patients with higher ACSL4 or ZEB2 expression, especially those with simultaneous high expression had worse prognosis than those with lower expression (Fig. 1D-1F).

To confirm this correlation, we performed western blot analysis and found that Basal-like and Taxol-resistant cell lines, which lack ERα expression, showed high expression of ZEB2 and ACSL4, whereas low or no ZEB2 and ACSL4 expression was detected in luminal subtype cell lines (Fig. 1G). Consistently, tissue samples from 45 breast cancer patients had similar expression patterns, with ZEB2 and ACSL4 being relatively highly expressed in ER-negative patient samples (patients 6, 7, 8, and 9) (Supplementary Fig. S3A and 3B). The immunohistochemistry staining assay, as shown in Fig. 1H-1J, also confirmed that the expression of ACSL4 was positively correlated with ZEB2 expression (Fig. 1H, p<0.001) and inversely correlated with ERα expression (Fig. 1I , p<0.001). Taken together, these results indicate that ACSL4 and ZEB2 are correlated and overexpressed in highly invasive breast cancers.

Overexpression of ACSL4 contributes to ZEB2-mediated breast cancer invasion.
Because ACSL4 is overexpressed in highly invasive breast cancer cells, we hypothesized that ACSL4 is essential for driving breast cancer migration and invasion. We then established a stable ACSL4 overexpressed MCF7 cell line, which was less aggressive than the basal-like breast cancer cells. The overexpression of ACSL4 significantly enhanced the metastatic and invasive capacities of MCF7 cells (Fig. 2A and 2B). Conversely, ACSL4 knockdown by shRNA significantly reduced the metastatic and invasive capacity of MDA-MB-231 cells compared to that of the control cells (Fig. 2C and 2D). Interestingly, the Phalloidin staining showed that the ACSL4 knockdown cells had a significantly smaller length to width ratio, which indicates the

reversion of EMT process, than those of the control group (p<0.05)(Supplementary
Fig. S4). We also observed that overexpression of ZEB2 significantly enhanced the
metastatic and invasive capacities of MCF7 cells (Supplementary Fig. S5A and 4B).
Conversely, the metastatic and invasive abilities of MDA-MB-231 cells were
significantly reduced in ZEB2 knockdown cells (Supplementary Fig. S5C and 5D).
To investigate the downstream genes regulated by ACSL4, we performed RNA
sequencing analysis and identified that the tight junction and focal adhesion pathways
were among the most upregulated pathways in ACSL4 knockdown cells compared to
control cells (Fig. 2E). To verify the RNA-seq results, we examined the expression of
adhesion-related genes by immunoblotting and found that after silencing of ACSL4
and ZEB2, the luminal epithelial marker E-cadherin was increased, whereas the
mesenchymal markers, such as vimentin and N-cadherin were decreased (Fig. 2F).
These results confirm the essential role of ACSL4 in breast cancer invasion and
migration.
389
We hypothesized that the ZEB2-ACSL4 axis played a crucial role in metastatic ability.
To examine whether ACSL4 was required for ZEB2-mediated breast cancer invasion
and migration, ACSL4 was overexpressed in ZEB2-silencing cells (Fig. 2G-H). As
expected, overexpression of ACSL4 significantly restored the invasive and metastatic
capacities of ZEB2 knockdown cells by 35.1% and 32.4%, respectively (Fig. 2I and
2J), indicating that ACSL4 is essential for ZEB2-mediated breast cancer invasion and
migration.
397

ACSL4 and ZEB2 promote Lipid droplet production and lipogenesis

ACSL4 is a member of the long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase family, which catalyzes the
conversion of long-chain fatty acids into their active forms. However, the mechanism
by which ACSL4 regulates lipid metabolism in breast cancer remains unclear. A
previous study revealed that ACSL4 promotes lipid droplets (LD) accumulation in
hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[19]. Thus, we measured the basal LD content of breast
cancer cells, including MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and Taxol-resistant MCF-7 cells. The
basal number and size of lipid droplets were significantly larger in MDA-MB-231 and
Taxol-resistant MCF-7 cells, both of which are highly invasive breast cancer cell lines
(Fig. 3A). Fluorescence microscopy revealed that ACSL4 co-localized with LD in
MDA-MB-231 cells (Supplementary Fig. S6). Notably, ACSL4 knockdown reduced
cytoplasmic LD abundance and LD-containing cells in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 3B).
Consistently, cytoplasmic LD abundance and LD-containing cells was significantly
reduced in ZEB2-depleted cells (Fig. 3C).
412

Lipid droplets are phospholipid monolayers containing a hydrophobic core comprising
triacylglycerols (TG) and cholesterol esters (CE). As ACSL4 promotes intracellular LD
accumulation in breast cancer cells, we investigated the effect of ACSL4 on the lipid
composition of breast cancer cells. Untargeted Lipidomic analyses revealed that
ACSL4 knockdown breast cancer cells had a reduced ability to incorporate long-strain

monounsaturated (18:1, 17:1, 22:1, 24:1, 26:1) and saturated FA (16:0, 18:0, 24:0,
26:0) into triacylglycerol and phospholipids (PL), indicating that ACSL4 promotes the
incorporation of long-chain monounsaturated and saturated fatty acids into
triacylglycerol and phospholipids in these cells (Fig. 3D and 3E). Moreover, all these
lipids, including triacylglycerol, phospholipids, and cholesterol esters, had decreased
incorporation of several polyunsaturated FA, such as 22:6, 20:4, 22:4, and 22:5, in
ACSL4 depleted cells as reported previously (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Fig. S7A)[32].
Consistently, the total amount of different lipid species, as shown in Supplementary
Fig. S7B, were significantly decreased after ACSL4 knockdown. All together, these
results suggest that ACSL4 directs the long train of free fatty acids into lipid anabolism
to form different lipid species in the LD or other cellular membranes.

Exogenous fatty acid promotes LD accumulation and fuel cell invasion
A previous study reported that some tumors tended to increase their intake of
extracellular fatty acids to promote migration[24]. As we observed that depletion of
ACSL4 greatly reduced cytoplasmic LD abundance and invasive potential in
basal-like breast cancer cells, we hypothesized that LD accumulation is an important
step prior to breast cancer invasion. To verify our hypothesis, we examined whether
exogenous fatty acids contributed to LD accumulation and the invasive ability of
breast cancer cells. Exogenous oleic acid (OA) was added to the cell culture medium.
Treatment with oleic acid dramatically enhanced LD abundance in the cells (Fig. 4A),
indicating that breast cancer cells tend to store lipids in LD for energy reserves. Next,
we assessed the effects of exogenous oleic acid treatment on cell migration.
Transwell and Wound healing assays revealed that oleic acid-treated cells exhibited
significantly enhanced invasive and metastatic capacities compared with control cells.
(Fig. 4B and 4C, Supplementary Fig. S8). To better determine the role of oleic acid
and ACSL4 on cell migration, the oleate was added in the culture medium of ACSL4
knockdown cells. As expected, the addition of oleate obviously restores the invasive
and metastatic capacities of ACSL4 knockdown cells by 33.12% and 18.61%
respectively (Figure 4D).

Previous study reported that LD undergo lipolysis during the process of migration in
pancreatic cancer[24]. We reasoned that breast cancer cells utilize stored lipids during
migration to fuel metastasis. Lipid droplet content was analyzed using fluorescence
microscopy after cell migration. We observed that the lipid signal was significantly
decreasing in the leading edge of the scratch of the wound-healing migration (Fig. 4E).
In addition, we observed significantly reduced LD in cells on the lower side of the
transwell chamber, suggesting that lipids stored in LD were utilized and degraded
during cell migration and invasion (Fig. 4F). Taken together, these results suggest that
lipids stored in lipid droplets are a crucial resource to fuel the process of breast cancer
invasion and migration.

ACSL4 and ZEB2 stimulate long-chain fatty acid oxidation and ATP generation in BLBC Cells

To explore the mechanisms by which ACSL4 regulates lipid metabolism, we performed RNA sequencing to investigate downstream pathways and genes regulated by ACSL4. KEGG enrichment analysis revealed that the FAO pathway was among the top 20 regulated pathways (Fig. 5A). ACSL4 knockdown reduced the expression of genes involved in the FAO pathways (Fig. 5B). Importantly, we observed that the FAO rate-limiting enzyme CPT1A was significantly reduced in ACSL4 knockdown cells (Fig. 5B). Reverse transcription PCR confirmed that deletion of ACSL4 significantly reduced the expression of CPT1A, but did not affect the expression of CPT1B and CPT1C (Fig. 5C). Consistently, ACSL4 silencing reduced CPT1A protein expression (supplementary Fig. S9A). In addition, levels of other lipid metabolic enzymes, such as ATGL, FASN, and SREBP2, were significantly decreased after ACSL4 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. S9B). As ACSL4 knockdown decreased CPT1A expression, we reasoned that ACSL4 might stimulate fatty acid oxidation in highly invasive breast cancer. Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was calculated in ACSL4 or ZEB2 silencing and control cells. We observed that the OCR rate derived from long-chain fatty acids was significantly reduced in ACSL4 or ZEB2 silencing cells (Fig. 5D and 5E), suggesting the metabolic advantage of increased long-chain fatty acid oxidation and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS).

Since OXPHOS is accompanied by increased ATP generation, we measured ATP levels and found that ACSL4 or ZEB2 knockout cells had significantly reduced ATP generation compared to control cells (Fig. 5F and 5G). To exclude OXPHOS derived from the aerobic glycolysis pathway, we measured lactate production and found no significant difference in lactate production between ACSL4 or ZEB2 knockdown and control cells, suggesting that glucose metabolism is not involved in ACSL4 or ZEB2 mediated metabolic process (Fig. 5H and 5I). All these results indicated that ACSL4 upregulates CPT1A to stimulate fatty acid oxidation and ATP generation in BLBC Cells.

ZEB2 transcriptionally activates the expression of ACSL4

ZEB2 is a crucial transcription factor involved in EMT. Because ZEB2 and ACSL4 were highly correlated in the clinical samples in TCGA database, we investigated whether ACSL4 is a direct downstream target of ZEB2. Silencing of ZEB2 by siRNA in MDA-MB-231 cells significantly reduced ACSL4 mRNA levels and protein expression in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 6A and 6 B). We found that the ACSL4 promoter contained four canonical ZEB2-binding E-boxes (CAGGT/CACCT) located at -287, -965, -1038, and -1116 of the ACSL4 promoter, respectively (Fig. 6C). Therefore, we cloned five segments of the ACSL4 promoter and a control segment to generate promoter-luciferase constructs, based on the location of these E-boxes (Fig. 6C). ZEB2 overexpression significantly enhanced the luciferase activity of all five E-box-containing segments of the ACSL4 promoter, whereas no significant change

was observed in the control segment (Fig. 6D). To examine whether ZEB2 directly
binds to the ACSL4 promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
using four sets of primers (Fig. 6E). Primer set 1, which covered segment 1,
consistently exhibited apparent ZEB2 binding (Fig. 6F and 6G). However, no binding
was detectable using the other three sets of primers (Fig. 6F), indicating that ZEB2
binds to the E-Box located at nucleotides -184 to -295 of the ACSL4 promoter.
509
Since the -287 E-box exhibited apparent ZEB2 binding, we generated mutants of the
-287 E-box promoter-luciferase construct. The Luciferase Reporter Assay revealed
that ACSL4 promoter activity was almost entirely abolished by the mutations (Fig. 6H),
suggesting that this promoter region is essential for ZEB2-mediated ACSL4 promoter
activation. Taken together, these data suggest that ZEB2 directly binds to the ACSL4
promoter to activate its mRNA expression.
516

ACSL4 regulates ZEB2 mRNA expression and protein stabilization
We hypothesized that ACSL4 regulates the expression of ZEB2. We then performed
quantitative PCR and immunoblotting and observed that both ZEB2 mRNA and
protein levels were reduced after the depletion of ACSL4 in the two BCSC cell lines
(Fig. 7A and 7B). A previous study has reported that ACSL4 regulates c-Myc protein
stability in HCC. We reasoned that ACSL4 might in turn regulate the stability of ZEB2.
Therefore, we performed a ubiquitination assay to investigate whether ACSL4
regulates ZEB2 protein stability via ubiquitination. HEK293T cells were co-transfected
with HA-ubiquitin and myc-ZEB2 expression vectors along with either an empty vector
or an ACSL4 overexpression vector. As shown in Fig. 7C, the expression of ACSL4
caused a significant decrease in the ubiquitination of ZEB2. Conversely, we observed
a increasing ubiquitination of ZEB2 in ACSL4 silencing cells (Fig. 7D), suggesting that
ACSL4 attenuated the ubiquitin proteolysis of ZEB2. Notably, co-IP assays and GST
pull down assays revealed a specific interaction between ACSL4 and ZEB2 proteins,
supporting the notion that ZEB2 and ACSL4 are present in a protein complex (Fig. 7E
and 7F, Supplementary Fig. S10). Immunofluorescence assay revealed that ACSL4
and ZEB2 were co-localized in some certain regions of the cytoplasm (Supplementary
Fig. S11). To further confirm the role of ACSL4 in the regulation of ZEB2 proteolysis,
cycloheximide was added to the cell medium to block the synthesis of new proteins.
Interestingly, endogenous ZEB2 protein levels were almost completely suppressed in
ACSL4 knockdown cells from 0h to 8h time points (Fig. 7G and 7H). This
phenomenon could be explained by the fact that ZEB2 mRNA levels were significantly
suppressed by the ACSL4 knockdown. Conversely, ZEB2 protein maintained a
relatively steady level at 6 hours in ACSL4 overexpressing cells compared with
control cells that undergone obvious ZEB2 proteolysis at 4 hours after adding CHX,
indicating an increased ZEB2 protein stability in ACSL4 overexpressing cells (Fig. 7I
and 7J). Taken together, these results indicate that ACSL4 not only stabilizes the
ZEB2 protein by attenuating its ubiquitination but also upregulates ZEB2 mRNA
expression. Therefore, ACSL4 regulates ZEB2 through both transcriptional and
post-transcriptional mechanisms.

ACSL4 knockdown inhibits lung metastasis of BLBC in the animal model

To further validate our in vitro findings, we examined the effect of ACSL4 on lung metastasis of breast cancer cells in an animal model. Depletion of ACSL4 resulted in a significant reduction in tumor growth and lung colonization of highly metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 8A). In contrast, the control group showed significantly more lung metastatic nodules (Fig. 8B) and rapid tumor growth (Fig. 8C and 8D). Immunohistochemistry confirmed a striking downregulation of ACSL4, accompanied by ZEB2 and vimentin, compared to those in the control group (Fig. 8E).

To further validate the role of LD in ACSL4 high-expression cells, we examined LD content in frozen sections of the two groups. Consistent with the in vitro results, there was an obvious reduction in LD accumulation in the ACSL4 depletion tissue compared to that in control tissue (Fig. 8F). Taken together, these data suggest that ACSL4 promotes the lung metastasis of breast cancer cells in vivo.

Discussion

The rewiring of metabolic pathways during EMT has only recently been recognized. In addition to glycolysis, dysregulated lipid metabolism has been shown to contribute to cancer invasion and metastasis[38,39]. Many EMT-driving factors have been found to reprogram the lipid metabolic pathways by regulating metabolic enzymes. For example, TGF-β, a major driver of EMT, activates fatty acid synthase (FASN) and forms a FASN-TGFβ1-FASN positive loop in cisplatin-resistant cells, resulting in EMT induction[40-42]. However, the direct regulation of lipid enzymes by EMT factors remains to be elucidated. Herein, we demonstrated that the lipid rating-enzyme ACSL4 is a direct downstream target of the EMT transcription factor ZEB2 in controlling lipid metabolism. Mechanistically, ZEB2 activates ACSL4 mRNA expression by directly binding to its promoter, which contains four ZEB2 consensus sequences. Importantly, we observed a strong correlation between ZEB2 and ACSL4 expression levels in clinical breast cancer samples. ACSL4 re-expression rescues the migration ability of ZEB2-depleted cells. These observations indicated that ACSL4 is crucial for ZEB2-mediated metastasis.

We also demonstrated that ACSL4 directly binds to and stabilizes ZEB2 by reducing its ubiquitination. Our results are consistent with a recent report that ACSL4 stabilizes the oncoprotein c-Myc via the ubiquitin-proteasome system[33]. We propose that as an LD enzyme, ACSL4 may participate in the protein degradation system of LD. This is likely to be a novel function of ACSL4. Interestingly, a recent study reported that lipid droplets recruit Numb through the AP2A/ACSL3 complex to promote Numb degradation[43]. Thus, it is likely that lipid droplets act as a platform for protein degradation. Interestingly, our RNA-seq data revealed that some ubiquitin E3 ligases, such as FBXO4, UBE3C, NEDD4, RBX1 etc. were significantly reduced in ACSL4

knockdown cells (Supplementary Fig. S12). This result indicated that ACSL4 may
reduce the ubiquitin of ZEB2 via down-regulating ubiquitin E3 ligase. Additionally, we
found that ACSL4 promoted ZEB transcription as the mRNA level of ZEB2 was
significantly reduced after ACSL4 knockdown. A recent study reported that
LD-derived lipolysis provide acetyl-CoA for the epigenetic regulation of gene
transcription[44]. We observed that ACSL4 can also promote FAO, which generates
acetyl-CoA for the epigenetic regulation. It is likely that ACSL4 regulates the ZEB2
mRNA level via lipid-epigenetic reprogramming mechanism, which is worth studying
in the future. Therefore, ACSL4 regulates ZEB2 not only via a post-transcriptional
mechanism but also via a transcriptional mechanism. Notably, the relationship
between ZEB2 and ACSL4 could form a positive feedback loop: ZEB2
transcriptionally activates ACSL4, and conversely, ACSL4 stabilizes the ZEB2 protein
by reducing its ubiquitination. Amplification of either gene might therefore lock this
loop in an active state, resulting in the enhanced invasive and metastatic capabilities
of breast cancer cells (Fig. 8G).
604
Previous studies have reported that ACSL4 could act as a tumor suppressor or
oncogene, depending on the specific cancer type and tissue environment[45-49]. Indeed,
ACSL4 could either be located at the cytomembrane or at the lipid droplets and
endoplasmic reticulum membrane, indicating the different functions of ACSL4[30]. The
cytomembrane ACSL4 is likely responsible for dictating ferroptosis sensitivity by
shaping the plasma membrane lipidome, whereas ACSL4 localized to lipid droplets
has other functions. We provide evidence that ACSL4, which is located in lipid
droplets, is a pro-metastatic factor that promotes invasion and migration. Notably, we
demonstrated that ACSL4 depletion significantly suppressed the invasion and
migration of breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, ACSL4 and ZEB2
were found to be preferentially expressed in basal-like breast cancer cells and clinical
samples that lacked ERα expression, indicating that they are promising targets for
highly invasive breast cancer. Survival analysis revealed that breast cancer patients
with high expression of ACSL4 or ZEB2 is associated with worse overall survival than
those patients with low expression. Notably, patients with high expression of both
have the worst overall survival. Thus, ACSL4 and ZEB2 could specifically be used as
prognostic markers for breast cancer, and this axes hold promise as a new metabolic
therapeutic target for highly invasive breast cancer.
623
Although ACSL4 has been shown to play an essential role in metastasis in many
types of cancer [34,50,51], its mechanism of ACSL4-mediated metastasis is not fully
understood. ACSL4 is a member of the long-chain fatty acetyl-CoA synthetase
enzyme family that catalyzes fatty acids to their active form, acyl-CoA, which can be
directed to anabolism or catabolism, depending on the cellular background. We
observed that the knockdown of ACSL4 significantly reduced the number and size of
LD, indicating that fatty acids were directed to anabolism to form LD by ACSL4.
Indeed, it has been shown that fatty acids are stored in LD before entering fatty acid
oxidation, and the formation of LD is required for fatty acids oxidation [11,23,52]. This is in

line with our observation that exogenous fatty acids (oleic acid) significantly enhance
lipid droplet accumulation and migration. Importantly, lipidomic analysis revealed that
the knockdown of ACSL4 significantly decreased the incorporation of both saturated
and unsaturated fatty acids into different species of lipids, including triacylglycerols
(TAG), phospholipids (PE, PC), and cholesteryl esters (CE). Consistently, Frozen
tissue sections from a metastatic animal model confirmed that high ACSL4
expression was accompanied by apparent LD accumulation. These results suggest
that ACSL4 is a crucial regulator that promotes lipid storage during breast cancer
metastasis. Interestingly, knockdown of ACSL4 restored the expression of E-cadherin,
an essential adhesion molecule, indicating that ACSL4 promotes tumor invasion
through multiple mechanisms.

Reprogramming of lipid metabolism is an essential step in metastasis. Lipid droplets
are highly dynamic monolayer membrane-bound organelles involved in energy
utilization, signal transduction, and cancer invasion. The number and size of LD are
associated with cancer aggressiveness[15]. We observed that highly invasive breast
cancer cells were enriched with LD. Using fluorescence microscopy, we noticed that
the number of LD was significantly reduced after cell migration. Our findings are in line
with a recent study showing that LD undergo lipolysis during the process of migration
in pancreatic cancer[24]. It is likely that fatty acids are released from lipid droplets and
form acyl-CoA, which enters OXPHOS to support the energy needed for metastasis.
Therefore, these data support the notion that increased LD accumulation is a priming
state prior to metastasis, and that LDs are crucial resources to fuel the process of
metastasis.

In addition, we observed that ACSL4 also participates in FA catabolism, as the
long-chain fatty acid-derived OCR rate and ATP production were significantly reduced
in ACSL4-depleted cells, indicating that ACSL4 is essential for FAO stimulation. Our
results are in line with a recent study that reported that hexokinase 2 enhances
tumorigenicity by activating the ACSL4-mediated fatty acid β-oxidation pathway [53].
Notably, the expression of CPT1, a rate-limiting enzyme of FAO, was significantly
reduced in the ACSL4-depleted cells. Among the three isoforms of CPT1, CPT1A is
the only isoform regulated by ACSL4. We proposed that ACSL4 promotes FAO and
ATP production by upregulating CPT1A, thereby providing energy support for breast
cancer metastasis. Our results reveal the mechanism of previous findings that FAO is
a critical energy pathway in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC)[26]. In addition to the
finding that ACSL4 promotes FAO to fuel metastasis, we observed that lactate levels
did not change after ACSL4 knockdown, suggesting that glycolysis is not involved in
ACSL4-mediated energy metabolism. Therefore, we propose a model in which cell
migration is a multistep process accompanied by dynamic cellular lipid metabolic
changes. At the pre-metastatic stage, ACSL4 enhances LD accumulation by
promoting lipogenesis. During metastasis, ACSL4 stimulates fatty acid oxidation to
generate adenosine triphosphate (ATP).

In conclusion, we provide insights into the mechanistic links between EMT and lipid metabolism and identify the ZEB2/ACSL4 axis as a novel metastatic metabolic pathway that stimulates both lipogenesis and fatty acid oxidation, resulting in enhanced breast cancer invasion and metastasis. Importantly, our results demonstrate that ACSL4 is a direct downstream target of ZEB2 in controlling lipid storage and LD accumulation, which are important steps and energy pools for metastasis. Clinically, our findings identified ZEB2-ACSL4 signalling as an attractive therapeutic target for overcoming breast cancer metastasis. Elevated ACSL4 levels can be used as an effective marker for predicting cancer progression in patients with advanced breast cancer. The limitation of this study is the clinical samples is only 45. The future study should expand the clinical samples and cases to provide more clinical evidence for the crucial role of ACSL4 in breast cancer metastasis.

Acknowledgements:

This research was supported by the Guangzhou Science and Technology Project (202201020240 to N. Yang), the Medical Research Foundation of Guangdong Province (A2021112 to N. Yang), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81602434 to N. Yang), the Research Agreement between South China University of Technology and Guangzhou First People's Hospital (D9194290 to Y. Duan), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81972594 to M. Yan). We acknowledge Professors Quentin Liu for the paclitaxel-resistant and epirubicin-resistant MCF-7 cell lines and Dr. Jue Wang and Dr. Peilin Liao for their technical support. We thank all members of Professor YY. Duan's lab for helpful insights and valuable advice.

Authors' Contributions:

N. Yang and J. Lin designed the study. J. Lin, P. Zhang, J. Zhang, and W. Liu performed experiments. W. Liu and G. Liu provided the clinical samples. N. Yang, J. Lin, and P. Zhang analyzed the data. N. Yang, J. Lin, M. Yan, and Y. Duan wrote and revised the manuscript. N. Yang, M. Yan and Y. Duan provided financial support.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Reference

1. Fahad Ullah, M. (2019). Breast Cancer: Current Perspectives on the Disease Status. Adv Exp Med Biol *1152*, 51-64, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20301-6_4.
2. Park, M., Kim, D., Ko, S., Kim, A., Mo, K., and Yoon, H. (2022). Breast Cancer Metastasis: Mechanisms and Therapeutic Implications. Int J Mol Sci *23*, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23126806.
3. Barzaman, K., Karami, J., Zarei, Z., Hosseinzadeh, A., Kazemi, M.H., Moradi-Kalbolandi, S., Safari, E., and Farahmand, L. (2020). Breast cancer: Biology,

biomarkers,   and   treatments.   Int   Immunopharmacol   *84*,   106535,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106535.

4. Mittal, V. (2018). Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition in Tumor Metastasis. Annu Rev
Pathol *13*, 395-412, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-020117-043854.

5. Luond, F., Sugiyama, N., Bill, R., Bornes, L., Hager, C., Tang, F., Santacroce, N.,
Beisel, C., Ivanek, R., Burglin, T., et al. (2021). Distinct contributions of partial and full
EMT   to   breast   cancer   malignancy.   Dev   Cell   *56*,   3203-3221   e3211,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2021.11.006.

6. Dongre, A., and Weinberg, R.A. (2019). New insights into the mechanisms of
epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol
20, 69-84, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0080-4.

7. Mosier, J.A., Schwager, S.C., Boyajian, D.A., and Reinhart-King, C.A. (2021). Cancer
cell metabolic plasticity in migration and metastasis. Clin Exp Metastasis *38*, 343-359,
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10585-021-10102-1.

8. Kang, H., Kim, H., Lee, S., Youn, H., and Youn, B. (2019). Role of Metabolic
Reprogramming in Epithelial(-)Mesenchymal Transition (EMT). Int J Mol Sci *20*,
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20082042.

9. Sciacovelli,   M.,   and   Frezza,   C.   (2017).   Metabolic   reprogramming   and
epithelial-to-mesenchymal   transition   in   cancer.   FEBS   J   *284*,   3132-3144,
https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14090.

10. Bian, X., Liu, R., Meng, Y., Xing, D., Xu, D., and Lu, Z. (2021). Lipid metabolism and
cancer. J Exp Med *218*, https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20201606.

11. Li, Z., Liu, H., and Luo, X. (2020). Lipid droplet and its implication in cancer
progression. Am J Cancer Res *10*, 4112-4122.

12. Snaebjornsson, M.T., Janaki-Raman, S., and Schulze, A. (2020). Greasing the
Wheels of the Cancer Machine: The Role of Lipid Metabolism in Cancer. Cell Metab
31, 62-76, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.010.

13. Cheng, C., Geng, F., Cheng, X., and Guo, D. (2018). Lipid metabolism reprogramming
and its potential targets in cancer. Cancer communications (London, England) *38*, 27,
https://doi.org/10.1186/s40880-018-0301-4.

14. Maan, M., Peters, J.M., Dutta, M., and Patterson, A.D. (2018). Lipid metabolism and
lipophagy   in   cancer.   Biochem   Biophys   Res   Commun   *504*,   582-589,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.02.097.

15. Cruz, A.L.S., Barreto, E.A., Fazolini, N.P.B., Viola, J.P.B., and Bozza, P.T. (2020). Lipid
droplets: platforms with multiple functions in cancer hallmarks. Cell Death Dis *11*, 105,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-020-2297-3.

16. Antunes, P., Cruz, A., Barbosa, J., Bonifacio, V.D.B., and Pinto, S.N. (2022). Lipid
Droplets in Cancer: From Composition and Role to Imaging and Therapeutics.
Molecules *27*, https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27030991.

17. Zembroski, A.S., Andolino, C., Buhman, K.K., and Teegarden, D. (2021). Proteomic
Characterization of Cytoplasmic Lipid Droplets in Human Metastatic Breast Cancer
Cells. Front Oncol *11*, 576326, https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.576326.

18. Taib, B., Aboussalah, A.M., Moniruzzaman, M., Chen, S., Haughey, N.J., Kim, S.F.,
and Ahima, R.S. (2019). Lipid accumulation and oxidation in glioblastoma multiforme.

Sci Rep *9*, 19593, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55985-z.

19. Niu, Z., Chen, G., Chang, W., Sun, P., Luo, Z., Zhang, H., Zhi, L., Guo, C., Chen, H.,
Yin, M., and Zhu, W. (2021). Chimeric antigen receptor-modified macrophages trigger
systemic anti-tumour immunity. The Journal of pathology *253*, 247-257,
https://doi.org/10.1002/path.5585.

20. Jin, C., and Yuan, P. (2020). Implications of lipid droplets in lung cancer: Associations
with drug resistance. Oncology letters *20*, 2091-2104,
https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11769.

21. Roman, M., Wrobel, T.P., Panek, A., Paluszkiewicz, C., and Kwiatek, W.M. (2020).
Lipid droplets in prostate cancer cells and effect of irradiation studied by Raman
microspectroscopy. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids *1865*, 158753,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2020.158753.

22. Huang, W.C., Li, X., Liu, J., Lin, J., and Chung, L.W. (2012). Activation of androgen
receptor, lipogenesis, and oxidative stress converged by SREBP-1 is responsible for
regulating growth and progression of prostate cancer cells. Mol Cancer Res *10*,
133-142, https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-11-0206.

23. Petan, T. (2020). Lipid Droplets in Cancer. Rev Physiol Biochem Pharmacol,
https://doi.org/10.1007/112_2020_51.

24. Rozeveld, C.N., Johnson, K.M., Zhang, L., and Razidlo, G.L. (2020). KRAS Controls
Pancreatic Cancer Cell Lipid Metabolism and Invasive Potential through the Lipase
HSL. Cancer Res *80*, 4932-4945, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-1255.

25. Senga, S., Kobayashi, N., Kawaguchi, K., Ando, A., and Fujii, H. (2018). Fatty
acid-binding protein 5 (FABP5) promotes lipolysis of lipid droplets, de novo fatty acid
(FA) synthesis and activation of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kappaB) signaling in
cancer cells. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids *1863*, 1057-1067,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2018.06.010.

26. Camarda, R., Zhou, A.Y., Kohnz, R.A., Balakrishnan, S., Mahieu, C., Anderton, B.,
Eyob, H., Kajimura, S., Tward, A., Krings, G., et al. (2016). Inhibition of fatty acid
oxidation as a therapy for MYC-overexpressing triple-negative breast cancer. Nature
medicine *22*, 427-432, https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4055.

27. Wright, H.J., Hou, J., Xu, B., Cortez, M., Potma, E.O., Tromberg, B.J., and
Razorenova, O.V. (2017). CDCP1 drives triple-negative breast cancer metastasis
through reduction of lipid-droplet abundance and stimulation of fatty acid oxidation.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *114*, E6556-E6565,
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1703791114.

28. Luo, X., Cheng, C., Tan, Z., Li, N., Tang, M., Yang, L., and Cao, Y. (2017). Emerging
roles of lipid metabolism in cancer metastasis. Molecular cancer *16*, 76,
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-017-0646-3.

29. Rossi Sebastiano, M., and Konstantinidou, G. (2019). Targeting Long Chain Acyl-CoA
Synthetases for Cancer Therapy. Int J Mol Sci *20*,
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20153624.

30. Quan, J., Bode, A.M., and Luo, X. (2021). ACSL family: The regulatory mechanisms
and therapeutic implications in cancer. Eur J Pharmacol *909*, 174397,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2021.174397.

31.   Kuwata, H., and Hara, S. (2019). Role of acyl-CoA synthetase ACSL4 in arachidonic
acid   metabolism.   Prostaglandins   Other   Lipid   Mediat   *144*,   106363,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2019.106363.
32.   Doll, S., Proneth, B., Tyurina, Y.Y., Panzilius, E., Kobayashi, S., Ingold, I., Irmler, M.,
Beckers, J., Aichler, M., Walch, A., et al. (2017). ACSL4 dictates ferroptosis sensitivity
by   shaping   cellular   lipid   composition.   Nat   Chem   Biol   *13*,   91-98,
https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2239.
33.   Chen, J., Ding, C., Chen, Y., Hu, W., Lu, Y., Wu, W., Zhang, Y., Yang, B., Wu, H., Peng,
C., et al. (2020). ACSL4 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression via c-Myc
stability   mediated   by   ERK/FBW7/c-Myc   axis.   Oncogenesis   *9*,   42,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41389-020-0226-z.
34.   Wu, X., Deng, F., Li, Y., Daniels, G., Du, X., Ren, Q., Wang, J., Wang, L.H., Yang, Y.,
Zhang, V., et al. (2015). ACSL4 promotes prostate cancer growth, invasion and
hormonal   resistance.   Oncotarget   *6*,   44849-44863,
https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.6438.
35.   Orlando, U.D., Castillo, A.F., Medrano, M.A.R., Solano, A.R., Maloberti, P.M., and
Podesta, E.J. (2019). Acyl-CoA synthetase-4 is implicated in drug resistance in breast
cancer cell lines involving the regulation of energy-dependent transporter expression.
Biochem Pharmacol *159*, 52-63, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2018.11.005.
36.   Yang, N., Wang, C., Wang, Z., Zona, S., Lin, S.X., Wang, X., Yan, M., Zheng, F.M., Li,
S.S., Xu, B., et al. (2017). FOXM1 recruits nuclear Aurora kinase A to participate in a
positive feedback loop essential for the self-renewal of breast cancer stem cells.
Oncogene *36*, 3428-3440, https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.490.
37.   Zheng, F.M., Long, Z.J., Hou, Z.J., Luo, Y., Xu, L.Z., Xia, J.L., Lai, X.J., Liu, J.W.,
Wang, X., Kamran, M., et al. (2014). A novel small molecule aurora kinase inhibitor
attenuates breast tumor-initiating cells and overcomes drug resistance. Mol Cancer
Ther *13*, 1991-2003, https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-1029.
38.   Corbet, C., Bastien, E., Santiago de Jesus, J.P., Dierge, E., Martherus, R., Vander
Linden, C., Doix, B., Degavre, C., Guilbaud, C., Petit, L., et al. (2020).
TGFbeta2-induced formation of lipid droplets supports acidosis-driven EMT and the
metastatic   spreading   of   cancer   cells.   Nat   Commun   *11*,   454,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14262-3.
39.   Soukupova, J., Malfettone, A., Bertran, E., Hernandez-Alvarez, M.I., Penuelas-Haro, I.,
Dituri, F., Giannelli, G., Zorzano, A., and Fabregat, I. (2021). Epithelial-Mesenchymal
Transition (EMT) Induced by TGF-beta in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells
Reprograms Lipid Metabolism. Int J Mol Sci *22*, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22115543.
40.   Jiang, L., Xiao, L., Sugiura, H., Huang, X., Ali, A., Kuro-o, M., Deberardinis, R.J., and
Boothman, D.A. (2015). Metabolic reprogramming during TGFbeta1-induced
epithelial-to-mesenchymal   transition.   Oncogene   *34*,   3908-3916,
https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2014.321.
41.   Yang, L., Zhang, F., Wang, X., Tsai, Y., Chuang, K.H., Keng, P.C., Lee, S.O., and Chen,
Y. (2016). A FASN-TGF-beta1-FASN regulatory loop contributes to high
EMT/metastatic potential of cisplatin-resistant non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget
7, 55543-55554, https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.10837.

42.   Hua, W., Ten Dijke, P., Kostidis, S., Giera, M., and Hornsveld, M. (2020).
TGFbeta-induced metabolic reprogramming during epithelial-to-mesenchymal
transition in cancer. Cell Mol Life Sci *77*, 2103-2123,
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-019-03398-6.
43.   Liu, H., Du, J., Chao, S., Li, S., Cai, H., Zhang, H., Chen, G., Liu, P., and Bu, P. (2022).
Fusobacterium nucleatum Promotes Colorectal Cancer Cell to Acquire Stem Cell-Like
Features by Manipulating Lipid Droplet-Mediated Numb Degradation. Adv Sci (Weinh)
9, e2105222, https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202105222.
44.   Ippolito, L., Comito, G., Parri, M., Iozzo, M., Duatti, A., Virgilio, F., Lorito, N., Bacci, M.,
Pardella, E., Sandrini, G., et al. (2022). Lactate Rewires Lipid Metabolism and
Sustains a Metabolic-Epigenetic Axis in Prostate Cancer. Cancer research *82*,
1267-1282, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.Can-21-0914.
45.   Cheng, J., Fan, Y.Q., Liu, B.H., Zhou, H., Wang, J.M., and Chen, Q.X. (2020). ACSL4
suppresses glioma cells proliferation via activating ferroptosis. Oncol Rep *43*, 147-158,
https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2019.7419.
46.   Ma, L.L., Liang, L., Zhou, D., and Wang, S.W. (2021). Tumor suppressor miR-424-5p
abrogates ferroptosis in ovarian cancer through targeting ACSL4. Neoplasma *68*,
165-173, https://doi.org/10.4149/neo_2020_200707N705.
47.   Lei, G., Zhang, Y., Koppula, P., Liu, X., Zhang, J., Lin, S.H., Ajani, J.A., Xiao, Q., Liao,
Z., Wang, H., and Gan, B. (2020). The role of ferroptosis in ionizing radiation-induced
cell death and tumor suppression. Cell Res *30*, 146-162,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-019-0263-3.
48.   Zhang, Y., Li, S., Li, F., Lv, C., and Yang, Q.K. (2021). High-fat diet impairs ferroptosis
and promotes cancer invasiveness via downregulating tumor suppressor ACSL4 in
lung adenocarcinoma. Biology direct *16*, 10,
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13062-021-00294-7.
49.   Grube, J., Woitok, M.M., Mohs, A., Erschfeld, S., Lynen, C., Trautwein, C., and Otto, T.
(2022). ACSL4-dependent ferroptosis does not represent a tumor-suppressive
mechanism but ACSL4 rather promotes liver cancer progression. Cell Death Dis *13*,
704, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-05137-5.
50.   Maloberti, P.M., Duarte, A.B., Orlando, U.D., Pasqualini, M.E., Solano, A.R.,
Lopez-Otin, C., and Podesta, E.J. (2010). Functional interaction between acyl-CoA
synthetase 4, lipooxygenases and cyclooxygenase-2 in the aggressive phenotype of
breast cancer cells. PLoS One *5*, e15540,
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015540.
51.   Sanchez-Martinez, R., Cruz-Gil, S., Garcia-Alvarez, M.S., Reglero, G., and Ramirez
de Molina, A. (2017). Complementary ACSL isoforms contribute to a non-Warburg
advantageous energetic status characterizing invasive colon cancer cells. Sci Rep *7*,
11143, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11612-3.
52.   Welte, M.A., and Gould, A.P. (2017). Lipid droplet functions beyond energy storage.
Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids *1862*, 1260-1272,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2017.07.006.
53.   Li, H., Song, J., He, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Sun, W., Hu, W., Lei, Q.Y., Hu, X., Chen, Z., and
He, X. (2022). CRISPR/Cas9 Screens Reveal that Hexokinase 2 Enhances Cancer

Stemness and Tumorigenicity by Activating the ACSL4-Fatty Acid beta-Oxidation

Pathway. Adv Sci (Weinh) *9*, e2105126, https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202105126.

Figure Legends

Figure 1, The expression and relationship of ZEB2 and ACSL4 in breast cancer. **A,** Heat

maps of the 38 up-regulated EMT-related genes detected by RNA-seq analysis in the

paclitaxel-resistant MCF-7 cell line (TAXOL) and Epirubicin-resistant MCF-7 cell line (EPI)

compared to wild-type MCF-7 cell line. **B,** The correlation between ACSL4 and ZEB2

mRNA expression in the TCGA cohort consisting of 1222 breast cancer patient samples.

Spearman correlation and linear regression analysis were employed. **C,** The correlation

between ACSL4 and ER⊥ mRNA expression in the TCGA cohort consisting of 666 breast

cancer patient samples. Spearman correlation and linear regression analysis were

employed. **D,** OS (Overall survival) was examined by Kaplan–Meier analysis to compare

the survival rates in ACSL high and low expression of breast cancer patients. **E,** OS

(Overall -progression survival) as examined by Kaplan–Meier analysis to compare the

survival rates in ZEB2 high and low expression of breast cancer patients. **F** OS (Overall

-progression survival) was examined by Kaplan–Meier analysis to compare the survival

rates in the four groups of breast cancer patients. **G,** Expression of ACSL4 and ZEB2

were analyzed by western blot in a panel of 5 breast cancer cell lines, including two

basal-like (MAD-231, BT549), two luminal (T47D, MCF-7) and a taxol-resistant cell lines.

H, The correlation between ACSL4 and ZEB2 protein expression in the IHC cohort

consisting of 45 breast cancer patient samples. **I,** The correlation between ACSL4 and

ER⊥ protein expression in the IHC cohort consisting of 45 breast cancer patient samples.

J, HE staining and IHC analysis of ACSL4, ZEB2, ER⊥ expression in representative

basal-like and luminal subtype breast cancer tissues. Representative pictures were shown.

Scale bar, 50 µm.

Figure 2, Over-expression of ACSL4 contributes to ZEB2-mediated breast cancer

invasion. **A,** Cell metastatic capacity was analyzed by wound healing assay in control or

ACSL4 over-expression MCF-7 cells (Left panel). Expression of ACSL4 was analyzed by

western blot in control or ACSL4 over-expression MCF-7 cells. Quantification of relative

migration distance (Right panel). Scale bar, 5mm. **B,** Cell invasive capacity was analyzed

by transwell invasion assay in control or ACSL4 over-expression MCF-7 cells (Left panel).

Quantification of relative invasive cells (Right panel). Scale bar, 1 mm. **C,** Cell metastatic

capacity was analyzed by wound healing assays in control or ACSL4 silencing

MDA-MD-231 cells (shACSL4) (Left panel). Expression of ACSL4 was analyzed by

western blot in control or ACSL4 silencing MDA-MD-231 cells (shACSL4). Quantification

of relative migration distance (Left panel). Scale bar, 5mm. **D,** Cell invasive capacity was

analyzed by transwell invasion assay in control or ACSL4 silencing MDA-MD-231 cells

(shACSL4) (Right panel). Quantification of relative invasive cells (Left panel). Scale bar, 1

mm. **E,** KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes by

RNA-sequencing between control and ACSL4 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells. The top 10

deferential pathways were listed. **F,** Expression of three EMT-related genes, E-cadherin,

N-cadherin, and vimentin, was analyzed by western blotting in control, ACSL4, or ZEB2

silencing MDA-MB-231 cells. **G,** Cell invasive and metastatic capacity were analyzed by

transwell invasion assay and wound healing assays (Scale bar, 1mm/5mm) in control and

ZEB2 knockdown, or ZEB2 knockdown cells that over-express ACSL4. **H,** Protein

expression was analyzed by western blot in control, ZEB2 knockdown (shZEB2), and

ZEB2 knockdown with ACSL4 overexpression (shZEB2+ACSL4) cells. **I.** Quantification of

relative invasive cells in G. **J,** Quantification of relative migration distance in G. Graphs

indicated the Statistical analysis in G analyzed by Student's t-test (mean ± SEM). **

$P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$, **** $P<0.0001$. All results are from three or four independent

experiments.

Figure 3, ACSL4 promotes lipid droplets accumulation and lipogenesis. **A,** BODIPY

493/503 staining of lipid droplets in MCF-7, Taxol-resistant MCF-7 cells (TAXOL-7), or

MDA-MB-231 cells (Left panel). Quantification of normalized lipid contents (Right panel).

Scale bar, 5μm. **B,** BODIPY 493/503 staining of lipid droplets in control or ACSL4

knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells (Upper panel). Quantification of normalized fluorescence

intensity and percentage of LD-containing cell number (Lower panel). Scale bar, 50μm. **C,**

BODIPY 493/503 staining of lipid droplets in control or ZEB2 knockdown MDA-MB-231

cells (Upper panel). Quantification of normalized fluorescence intensity and percentage of

LD-containing cell number (Lower panel). Scale bar, 50μm. **D,** The heatmap of

representative down-regulated lipid species (TG, PE, PC) with hierarchical clustering in

the control cells and ACSL4 knockdown cells. Each species was normalized to the

corresponding mean value, as determined by two-way ANOVA. **E,** Quantification of

different Fatty Acids containing TG, PC, and PE species in control or ACSL4 knockdown

MDA-MD-231 cells. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$, **** $P<0.0001$. Error bars, SD.

Figure 4, Exogenous lipids promote LD accumulation and fuel cell migration. **A,** BODIPY

493/503 staining of lipid droplets in control cells or oleic acid (OA) loaded (200 μM)

MDA-MB-231 cells. Scale bar, 10 μm. Quantification of normalized lipid contents from the

conditions in (A). **B,** Cell invasive capacity was analyzed by transwell invasion assay in

control or oleic acid (OA) loaded (200 μM) MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells invaded for 16 hours

through a Matrigel-coated filter toward high-serum media (Left panel). Quantification of

relative invasive cells (Right panel). Scale bar, 1 mm. **C,** Cell metastatic capacity was

analyzed by wound healing assays in control or oleic acid (OA) loaded (200 μM)

MDA-MB-231 cells. Scale bar, 5 mm. **D,** Cell invasive capacity and metastatic capacity

were analyzed by transwell invasion assay and wound healing assays in control,

shACSL4 and oleic acid (OA) loaded ACSL4 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells.

Quantification of relative invasive cells and migrated cells. Scale bar, 1 mm /5 mm. **E,**

BODIPY 493/503 staining of lipid droplets in the cells at the leading edge of the scratch

and the cells that away from the edge. The 2.5 D figure of the cell was shown.

Quantitation of total LD area per cell. Scale bar, 10 μm. **F,** Cells were seeded in a

transwell chamber. After 24 hours, cells migrated to the lower side of the chamber, and the

fluorescence intensity per cell was calculated (Left panel). Quantitation of total LD area

per cell before and after cell migration (Right panel). Scale bar, 10 μm (small) and 50 μm

(big). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$, **** $P<0.0001$.

Figure 5, ACSL4 and ZEB2 increase fatty acid oxygen consumption and promote ATP generation in BLBC Cells. **A,** Reactome pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes by RNA-sequencing between control and ACSL4 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells. The representative pathways were shown. **B,** Heatmap showing the differentially expressed genes between control cells and ACSL4 knockdown cells. The representative FAO-related genes were shown. **C,** The mRNA levels of CPT1A, CPT1B, and CPT1C were analyzed by quantitative PCR in control and ACSL4 knockdown cells. **D,** Quantitation of the normalized oxygen consumption rate (OCR) for long-chain fatty acids was monitored by Agilent XF Substrate Oxidation Stress Test in control or ACSL4 knockdown cells. Specific inhibitors were added as indicated. **E,** Quantitation of the normalized oxygen consumption rate (OCR) for long-chain fatty acids was monitored by Agilent XF Substrate Oxidation Stress Test in control or ZEB2 knockdown cells. Specific inhibitors were added as indicated. **F and G,** ATP production was quantified in control or ACSL4 knockdown cells (Left) or ZEB2 knockdown cells (Right). **H and I,** Lactate production was examined in control and ACSL4 knockdown (shACSL4) or ZEB2 knockdown (shZEB2) cells. Data are represented as mean SEM of three independent experiments, analyzed by Student's t-test, * P<0.05, **** P<0.0001.

Figure 6, ZEB2 activates ACSL4 expression by directly binding to its promoter. **A,** Relative mRNA levels of ZEB2 and ACSL4 in control or ZEB2 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells. **B,** Protein levels of ZEB2 and ACSL4 in control or ZEB2 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells. **C and D,** Truncated ACSL4 promoter segment activity analyzed by Luciferase reporter assay in control or ZEB2 over-expressed 293T cells. **E,** The specific primers designed for ACSL4 promoter according to the E-box position shown in C (set1 to -287bp, set2 to -995, set3 to -1038, set4 to -1116). **F,** ChIP assay analysis of the occupation of ZEB2 on ACSL4 promoter by using four primers as indicated in E. **G,** Quantitative PCR analysis of ZEB2-binding abundance of specific ACSL4 promoter region by using set1 primer indicated in F. Genomic DNA was purified after chromatin immunoprecipitation and analyzed by Quantitative PCR. **H,** Luciferase reporter analysis of the activity of wild-type ACSL4 promoter or its mutants in control or ZEB2 over-expression 293T cells. Data are represented as mean SD of three independent experiments, analyzed by Student's t-test, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001, **** P<0.0001, ns: no significance.

Figure 7, ACSL4 regulates ZEB2 mRNA expression and protein stability. **A,** Relative mRNA levels of ZEB2 and ACSL4 in control or ACSL4 silencing MDA-MB-231 cells. **B,** Protein levels of ZEB2 and ACSL4 in control or ACSL4 silencing MDA-MB-231 cells as indicated. **C,** Ubiquitylation of ZEB2 was examined by in vitro ubiquitin assay. 293T cells were co-transfected with indicated constructs. Cells were treated with MG132 for 6 hours before IP. Anti-MYC was used to pull down the ZEB2 protein. The polyubiquitinated ZEB2 protein was detected by an anti-HA antibody. **D,** Ubiquitylation of ZEB2 was examined in MDA-MB-231 cells. The indicated antibody was used to pull down the protein in control or ACSL4 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells. The polyubiquitinated ZEB2 protein was detected by anti-ubiquitin antibody. **E,** The interaction between ACSL4 and ZEB2 was detected by

Co-IP assay. 293T cells were co-transfected with ZEB2 and ACSL4 expressing construct.The indicated antibody was used to pull down the protein. **F,** The interaction between ACSL4 and ZEB2 was detected by IP assay in MDA-MB-231 cells. Anti-ZEB2 antibody was used to pull down the protein. **G,** The stability of ZEB2 protein was detected by CHX treatment assay in control or ACSL4 silencing MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were treated with 100 μg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) and were harvested at the indicated times after the addition of CHX. GAPDH was used as the internal loading control. **H,** Quantification of stability assays shown in G. **I,** The stability of ZEB2 protein was detected by CHX treatment assay in control or ACSL4 over-expressed MCF-7 cells. GAPDH was used as the internal loading control. **J,** Quantification of stability assays shown in I. *** P<0.001.

Figure 8, ACSL4 knockdown attenuates lung metastasis of breast cancer. **A,** Cell metastatic capacity was determined by xenograft experiment in vivo. Control cells and ACSL4 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells were mixed with Matrigel in a 1:1 ratio and injected into NSG mice. Lung metastatic burden in mice was quantified by bioluminescence imaging at the experimental endpoint (Left panel). Quantification of normalized gray area (Right panel). **B,** Representative xenograft tumor images and HE staining pictures of metastatic nodules in lungs (pointed by black arrows) were shown. The right panel shows the quantification of metastatic lung nodules in two groups as indicated. **C,** The image of xenograft tumors developed from the control and ACSL4 knockdown cells. **D,** The tumor volumes were measured and calculated at the indicated time. **E,** Representative IHC images of lung metastatic lesions (Left panel) and quantification is shown (Right panel). Scale. Bar, 50μm. **F,** The representative images of fluorescence assay for ACSL4 and lipid droplets (Left panel). The fluorescence intensity of lipid droplets and ACSL4 were calculated in the right panel. Scale. Bar, 100μm. **G,** A proposed mechanism to illustrate the positive feedback loop of ZEB2 and ACSL4 regulates lipid metabolism, which results in enhanced breast cancer metastasis. Data are represented as mean SEM of three independent experiments and analyzed by Student's t-test, * P<0.05, *** P<0.001, **** P<0.0001.

Supplementary Figure Legends
Supplementary Figure S1: The representative differential genes between wild-type MCF-7 cells, paclitaxel-resistant MCF-7 cells (TAXOL), and Epirubicin-resistant MCF-7 cells (EPI). **A,** The heatmap of the up-regulated genes in drug-resistant cells was shown. **B,** The heatmap of the down-regulated genes in the drug-resistant cell was shown.

Supplementary Figure S2: The volcano Plot was generated using R4.3.0 software for differentially expressed genes in the paclitaxel-resistant MCF-7 cell line (TAXOL) and Epirubicin-resistant MCF-7 cell line (EPI) compared to wild-type MCF-7 cell line.

Supplementary Figure S3: A, Expression of ACSL4 and ZEB2 were analyzed by western blot in a panel of nine cases of tumour samples, including 5 cases of luminal (Patient 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) and 4 cases of triple-negative breast cancer (Patient 6, 7, 8, 9). **B,**

1071 Scatter plot with linear regression analysis from expression level of A.

1072

1073 **Supplementary Figure S4:** Fluorescence staining of Phalloidin in control or ACSL4
1074 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells. The morphology of cells was analyzed by the ratio of
1075 length to width (Right panel). *** P<0.001.

1076

Supplementary Figure S5: ZEB2 increases the metastatic and invasive capacities in
breast cancer cells. **A,** Cell metastatic capacity was analyzed by wound healing assay in
control or ZEB2 over-expression MCF-7 cells (Left panel). Quantification of relative
migration distance (Right panel). Scale bar, 5mm. **B,** Cell invasive capacity was analyzed
by transwell invasion assay in control or ZEB2 over-expression MCF-7 cells (Left panel).
Quantification of relative invasive cells (Right panel). Scale bar, 1mm. **C,** Wound healing
assays were performed in control cells or ZEB2 knockdown (shZEB2) MDA-MD-231 cells
(Left panel). Quantification of relative migration distance (Right panel). Scale bar, 5 mm. **D,**
Transwell invasive assay was performed in control cells or ZEB2 knockdown (shZEB2)
MDA-MD-231 cells (Left panel). Quantification of relative invasive cells (Right panel).
Scale bar, 1mm. ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001, **** P<0.0001.

1088

1089 **Supplementary Figure S6:** Fluorescence staining of lipid droplets and ACSL4 in
1090 MDA-MB-231 cells. Scale bar, 10 μm.

1091

1092 **Supplementary Figure S7:** ACSL4 regulates lipid composition in BLBC cells.
1093 **A,** The heatmap of the down-regulated lipid species in ACSL4 knockdown cells (shACSL4)
1094 compared with those in control cells. **B,** Quantification of different species of lipids in two
1095 groups as indicated. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01.

1096

1097 **Supplementary Figure S8:** Oleate induces cell migration in breast cancer cells. Cell
1098 invasive and metastatic capacity were analyzed by transwell invasion assay and wound
1099 healing assay in control or oleic acid (OA) loaded (200 μM) MCF-7 cells. Quantification of
1100 relative invasive cells (Right panel). Scale bar, 1 mm (small), 5 mm (big). * P<0.05.

1101

1102 **Supplementary Figure S9:** ACSL4 regulates the expression of lipid metabolic genes.
1103 **A.** Western blot analysis of CPT1A expression in control and ACSL4 knockdown, or ZEB2
1104 knockdown MDA-MD-231 cells. **B,** Relative mRNA levels of ATGL, FASN and SREBP2 in
1105 control or ACSL4 knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells (shACSL4). ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001.

1106

1107 **Supplementary Figure S10:** GST-pull-down assay was used to examine the interaction
1108 between ACSL4 and ZEB2 in MDA-MB-231 cells.

1109

1110 **Supplementary Figure S11:** Fluorescence staining of ACSL4 and ZEB2 in MDA-MB-231
1111 cells. Scale bar, 10 μm.

1112

1113 **Supplementary Figure S12:** The differentially expressed genes between control cells
1114 and ACSL4 knockdown cells were shown. The representative ubiquitination related

1115   proteolysis pathway genes were shown.

1116

Figure1

Figure2

Figure 3

Figure4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8