DHODH inhibition enhances the efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade by increasing cancer cell antigen presentation

Nicholas J. Mullen[1], Surendra K. Shukla[2], Ravi Thakur[2], Sai Sundeep Kollala[1], Dezhen Wang[1], Nina Chaika[1], Drew A. LaBreck[2], Jayapal Reddy Mallareddy[1], David H. Price[3], Amarnath Natarajan[1], Kamiya Mehla[2], David B. Sykes[4,5], Michael A. Hollingsworth[1], and Pankaj K. Singh[1,2,6,7, *]

Eppley Institute for Research in Cancer and Allied Diseases, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-5950, USA
Department of Oncology Science, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, 73014, USA
Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA
Center for Regenerative Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA.
Department of Pathology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104, USA
OU Health Stephenson Cancer Center, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104, USA

* Correspondence:

Pankaj K. Singh

940 Stanton L. Young Blvd., BMSB 332A
Department of Oncology Science
University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center,
Oklahoma City, OK 73104
Email: pankaj-singh@ouhsc.edu Phone: 405.271.8001 x52229

Abstract

Pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthesis is a druggable metabolic dependency of cancer cells, and chemotherapy agents targeting pyrimidine metabolism are the backbone of treatment for many cancers. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) is an essential enzyme in the de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway that can be targeted by clinically approved inhibitors. However, despite robust preclinical anticancer efficacy, DHODH inhibitors have shown limited single-agent efficacy in phase I clinical trials. Therefore, novel combination therapy strategies are necessary to realize the potential of these drugs. To search for therapeutic vulnerabilities induced by DHODH inhibition, we examined gene expression changes in cancer cells treated with the potent and selective DHODH inhibitor brequinar (BQ). This revealed that BQ treatment causes upregulation of antigen presentation pathway genes and cell surface MHC class I expression. Mechanistic studies showed that this effect is 1) strictly dependent on pyrimidine nucleotide depletion, 2) independent of canonical antigen presentation pathway transcriptional regulators, and 3) mediated by RNA polymerase II elongation control by positive transcription elongation factor B (P-TEFb). Furthermore, BQ showed impressive single-agent efficacy in the immunocompetent B16F10 melanoma model, and combination treatment with BQ and dual immune checkpoint blockade (anti-CTLA-4 plus anti-PD-1) significantly prolonged mouse survival compared to either therapy alone. Our results have important implications for the clinical development of DHODH inhibitors and provide a rationale for combination therapy with BQ and immune checkpoint blockade.

Keywords
Pyrimidine biosynthesis, DHODH, brequinar, antigen presentation, immunotherapy, MHC class I, P-TEFb

Introduction

Deranged cellular metabolism is a hallmark of malignant cells [1,2]. The de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway, which generates pyrimidine nucleotides from aspartate and glutamine, is consistently hyperactive in cancer cells and druggable by clinically approved inhibitors [3]. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) catalyzes the fourth step in this pathway and is essential for de novo pyrimidine synthesis. DHODH inhibitors have shown robust preclinical anticancer activity across diverse cancer types [4-13] and have recently entered clinical trials for multiple hematologic cancers (NCT04609826 and NCT02509052). Although there is a vast literature on DHODH inhibitors dating back to the early 1990s, and despite the "rediscovery" of DHODH in recent years as a critical cancer cell metabolic dependency, important questions about the cellular response to DHODH inhibition remain unanswered.

While combination chemotherapy is highly effective and potentially curative against certain cancers (e.g. Hodgkin lymphoma, testicular cancer, childhood leukemia, and others), many common malignancies are refractory to chemotherapy (e.g. lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, colorectal cancer, etc.) [14]. In some chemotherapy-refractory cancers, immunotherapeutic strategies have demonstrated strong efficacy and led to durable remissions in a subset of patients [15]. The efficacy of immunotherapy agents is dependent on multiple factors, including tumor antigen presentation, limited immune cells in the tumor milieu, and T-cell activation status [16,17]. Adoptive cell therapies and immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) can address the issues of limited immune cell recruitment into tumors and limited T-cell activation, respectively. However, optimal antigen presentation by tumor cells is still needed for immunotherapy efficacy.

The antigen presentation pathway (APP) mediates the presentation of endogenous peptide antigens to CD8 T-cells via MHC class I (MHC-I). This pathway entails the degradation of cellular proteins into small peptides by the proteasome, the import of these peptides into the endoplasmic reticulum by transporter associated with antigen presentation proteins (*TAP1* and *TAP2*), and the loading of these peptides into the MHC-I complex, which consists of a heavy chain (encoded by *HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, or *HLA-C*) and a light chain (encoded by *B2M*) [18]. APP genes are often downregulated in cancer cells, resulting in poor recognition of tumor antigens by immune cells [19]. Antigen presentation and T-cell recognition are crucial for T-cell-mediated killing of cancer cells [20-22], and forced MHC-I expression enhances immunotherapy efficacy in preclinical models [23-26]. Furthermore, high tumoral expression of MHC-I, MHC-II, and other APP genes correlates with better overall survival in patients with melanoma treated with immune checkpoint blockade therapies [27-30].

While previous reports have shown that pyrimidine nucleotide depletion triggers the expression of innate immunity-related genes and induces an interferon-like response [31-33], the role of pyrimidine starvation in antigen presentation has not been reported. Herein, we report that DHODH inhibition induces the robust upregulation of APP genes and increases tumor cell antigen presentation. We further explored the mechanism and functional consequences of DHODH inhibitor-mediated APP induction in cancer.

Results

Brequinar induces upregulation of MHC-I and antigen presentation pathway genes

We examined gene expression changes following transient or prolonged DHODH inhibition by culturing human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell lines S2-013 and CFPAC-1 in the presence of BQ at two different doses for 16 hours and for a two-week duration (Fig 1A). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using Hallmark and KEGG gene sets from MSigDB [34,35] revealed 17 gene sets that were significantly upregulated (FDR q < 0.25) across both cell lines following two-week BQ exposure (Fig 1B). Twelve of these gene sets (highlighted in purple) are ontologically related to antigen presentation and contain MHC class I, MHC class II, and/or APP genes such as *TAP1* in the leading edge. Certain gene sets, such as allograft rejection (KEGG), graft versus host disease (KEGG), and antigen processing and presentation (KEGG) are composed almost entirely of APP genes (Fig 1C). Heatmap analysis showed that APP genes were robustly upregulated in a dose- and duration-

dependent manner in CFPAC-1 (Fig 1D) and S2-013 (Fig S1A) cells. The effect size was generally
smaller for S2-013 cells, likely because they are resistant to DHODH inhibition due to efficient
nucleoside salvage, as we previously reported [36]. Publicly available RNA-seq data from human A375
melanoma cells treated with the clinically approved DHODH inhibitor teriflunomide [37] corroborated our
findings, as teriflunomide caused a rapid (within 12 hours) and time-dependent increase in MHC-I/II and
APP transcript levels (Fig 1E).
We validated these gene expression changes in CFPAC-1 cells by RT-qPCR (Fig S1B) and
then performed RT-qPCR to assess the mRNA levels of genes coding for MHC-I across a panel of
human cancer cell lines treated with BQ for 24 hours (Fig 1F). This confirmed that MHC-I heavy chain
transcripts (*HLA-A, HLA-B,* and *HLA-C*) are consistently upregulated in response to BQ across diverse
cancer types (Fig 1F). To optimize conditions for *in vivo* studies, we tested the long-term response and
observed that two-week BQ treatment of B16F10 murine melanoma cells also caused dramatic APP
gene upregulation (Fig S1C). Flow cytometry confirmed a marked increase in cell surface MHC-I levels
in nonpermeabilized live CFPAC-1 (Fig 1G) and B16F10 (Fig 1H) cells following a two-week BQ
treatment, confirming that transcriptional upregulation of APP genes results in greater cell surface
antigen presentation.
In parallel, we confirmed pyrimidine nucleotide depletion upon treatment with BQ at different
doses by performing metabolomics analysis of CFPAC-1 and B16F10 cells following BQ treatment. The
results demonstrated a rapid (8-hour treatment) and dose-dependent accumulation of dihydroorotate
and N-carbamoyl-aspartate (upstream of DHODH) as well as depletion of pyrimidine nucleotides UTP
and CTP (Fig 1I-J) and other pyrimidine species (Fig S1D-E). These results confirm on-target DHODH
inhibition and resultant pyrimidine nucleotide depletion followed by transcriptional induction of APP
genes and enhanced antigen presentation via MHC-I.
146
BQ-mediated APP induction depends on pyrimidine nucleotide depletion
To confirm that BQ- or teriflunomide-mediated APP induction was specifically related to DHODH
inhibition (i.e., on-target effect), we asked whether the effect could be reversed by restoring pyrimidine
nucleotides. As we previously observed [36], media supplementation with uridine rescued cell viability
(Fig 2A) and pyrimidine levels (Fig 2B) following BQ treatment and partially rescued viability following
teriflunomide treatment (Fig S2A). Uridine supplementation likewise blocked mRNA induction of *Nlrc5*
(a major MHC-I transcriptional coactivator) and *Tap1* (required for peptide import into the ER, a key
step in MHC-I antigen presentation) by BQ or teriflunomide (Fig 2C), while uridine alone had no effect
(Fig S2B). Concordantly, cell surface MHC-I upregulation by BQ or teriflunomide (24-hour treatment)
was abrogated by uridine supplementation (Fig 2D), while uridine alone again had no effect (Fig S2C).
These results demonstrate that DHODH inhibitor-mediated APP induction is due to pyrimidine
nucleotide depletion.
To further validate this finding, we assessed MHC-I heavy chain mRNA levels in S2-013 cells
with DHODH deletion (sgDHODH). We have previously demonstrated that these cells require
exogenous uridine for viability and experience profound pyrimidine depletion (>95% depletion of UTP
and CTP) after 8-hour incubation in nucleoside-free media [36]. After growing these cells with
supplemented uridine (1 mM), we withdrew exogenous nucleosides by changing to new media
containing 10% dialyzed FBS. After 72-hour exposure to nucleoside-free media, sgDHODH cells
upregulated *HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, and *HLA-C*, and this was reversed by adding back uridine (Fig 2E).
Importantly, treatment with BQ did not further increase MHC-I mRNA expression (Fig 2E, compare blue
and red bars). Together with our other data, these results indicate that BQ-mediated APP induction is
an on-target phenomenon with respect to DHODH inhibition.
Since uridine addback rescued BQ- and teriflunomide-mediated loss of viability (Fig 2A, S2A),
we queried whether BQ-mediated APP induction was caused by pyrimidine depletion *per se*, or if it was
the result of some nonspecific downstream consequence of pyrimidine starvation, such as DNA
damage or loss of cell viability. To address this, we screened a panel of genotoxic chemotherapy
agents and small molecule inhibitors for their ability to induce APP genes following 72-hour exposure at
previously determined cytotoxic doses in CFPAC-1 cells (Fig 2F). Besides interferon gamma (a positive
control), BQ, teriflunomide, and GSK983 (another DHODH inhibitor), the only agent that induced APP
gene transcription in this assay was mycophenolate, a clinically approved inhibitor of the *de novo* GTP

synthesis enzymes inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 (IMPDH1/2). The effect of
mycophenolate on APP gene expression was subsequently validated in B16F10 cells (Fig S2D),
demonstrating that either purine or pyrimidine nucleotide depletion can induce cancer cell APP mRNA
expression *in vitro*.
181   The other drugs screened included nucleotide synthesis inhibitors (5-fluorouracil, methotrexate,
gemcitabine, and hydroxyurea), DNA damage inducers (oxaliplatin, irinotecan, and cytarabine), a
microtubule targeting drug (paclitaxel), a DNA methylation inhibitor (azacytidine), and other small
molecule inhibitors (Fig 2F). While we cannot rule out the possibility that these agents induce APP
transcription in other cell lines or under other dose/duration conditions, the inertness of these
compounds (with respect to APP gene expression) in our screen suggests that BQ-mediated APP
induction in CFPAC-1 cells is not a general phenomenon that occurs downstream of DNA damage or
some other response to therapy-induced stress.
189
190 **BQ-mediated APP induction does not depend on canonical APP transcriptional regulators**
191   To elucidate the molecular pathway leading to APP induction downstream of pyrimidine
192 depletion, we extended our findings to HEK-293T cells, which also display rapid (within 4 hours)
193 transcriptional induction of MHC-I upon BQ treatment (Fig S3A). Reasoning that the mechanism of this
194 phenomenon in HEK-293T cells is less likely to involve idiosyncratic genetic aberrations than in cancer
195 cell lines, we chose to conduct our initial mechanistic studies in this system and then extend our
196 findings to cancer cell lines if possible.
197   We used a candidate-based chemical biology screening approach to ask if drugs targeting
suspected pathways might block BQ-mediated APP induction in HEK-293T cells. We first interrogated
pathways that are known to control MHC/APP expression, including IFN-JAK-STAT [38], NF-κB [26,39], and
cGAS-STING-TBK1 [40]. Neither ruxolitinib (a JAK1/2 inhibitor with activity against STAT3) nor GSK8612
(a TBK1 inhibitor) [41], nor TPCA-1 (an IKK2 inhibitor) [42] abrogated BQ-mediated APP induction (Fig 3A),
despite blocking APP induction downstream of poly(dA:dT) and interferon gamma (Fig S3B) as
expected. This indicates that these canonical regulators of MHC/APP expression are dispensable for
APP induction downstream of DHODH inhibition.
205   Interestingly, the IKK2 inhibitor BMS-345541 [43] mostly abrogated BQ-mediated APP induction
(Fig 3A). BMS-345541 effectively blocked BQ- and Ter-mediated APP induction at concentrations of 10
μM and 40 μM, but not 2.5 μM (Fig 3B). The effect of BMS-345541 was confirmed in B16F10 (Fig 3C),
CFPAC-1 (Fig 3D), and HCT116 (Fig 3E) cells. Furthermore, BQ treatment (24 hours) of HCT116 cells
caused increased cell surface expression of MHC-I, which could be reversed by either uridine
supplementation or by treatment with BMS-345541; neither uridine nor BMS-345541 alone affected cell
surface MHC-I expression (Fig 3F).
212   Given that TPCA-1 (an established IKK2 inhibitor [42]) did not block BQ-mediated APP induction
(Fig 3A, 3C), we suspected that this effect of BMS-345541 was independent of IKK2. To test this, we
used previously reported MiaPaCa2 cells with CRISPR-Cas9 deletion of *IKK2* (MiaPaCa2-*IKK2*-KO) [44].
Increased APP mRNA expression was observed upon BQ, teriflunomide, or GSK983 treatment (all
DHODH inhibitors) of either wild-type or *IKK2*-KO MiaPaCa2 cells (Fig S3C). However, while TNF-
alpha stimulation induced APP and *CCL5* (a canonical NF-κB target gene downstream of TNF-alpha [45])
expression in wild-type cells, this was not observed in *IKK2*-KO cells, as expected (Fig S3C, far right).
Finally, BQ-mediated APP induction in *IKK2*-KO cells was significantly reversed with concurrent BMS-
345541 treatment (Fig 3G). Together, these results demonstrate that IKK2 is dispensable for BQ-
mediated APP induction and that the observed reversal effect of BMS-345541 is independent of IKK2.
222
223 **Nucleotide starvation induces APP transcription in a P-TEFb-dependent manner**
224   To further investigate the mechanism by which BMS-345541 blocks APP induction downstream
of pyrimidine starvation, we leveraged publicly available data on the target profile of BMS-345541 and
other agents tested in the cell-free KINOMEscan assay [46]. BMS-345541 reproducibly bound more than
20 kinases, with dissociation constants (Kd) ranging from 130-8100 nM (Fig 4A). We prioritized
potential targets with a Kd in the low micromolar range, given that 2.5 μM BMS-345541 did not block
BQ-mediated APP induction in our previous experiments, and the effect seemed to be maximal at 10

µM, with no significant increase in the magnitude of the effect between 10 µM and 40 µM (Fig 3B).
Additionally, we prioritized targets that were >50% inhibited with 10 µM BMS-345541 treatment. These
two conditions correspond to the upper left quadrant of Fig 4A.
One potential target that met the selection criteria was CDK9, which together with cyclin T1 or
T2 forms positive transcription elongation factor B (P-TEFb). P-TEFb is required for the release of
promoter-proximal paused RNA polymerase II (Pol II) into productive elongation and therefore is
essential for Pol II transcription from paused promoters [47,48]. The potent P-TEFb inhibitor flavopiridol [49]
phenocopied BMS-345541 in our assays, as it blocked APP induction downstream of DHODH,
IMPDH1/2 (by mycophenolate), or CTP synthase (by 3-deazauridine [50]) inhibition (Fig S4A). This
suggests that APP induction downstream of nucleotide starvation requires P-TEFb-mediated paused
Pol II release. It also suggests that the BMS-345541 effect of reversing BQ-induced APP upregulation
is due to P-TEFb inhibition.
Within the list of kinases bound by BMS-345541 (Fig 4A) we eliminated those that were a) not
expressed by CFPAC-1 cells in our RNA-seq data, b) not bound by flavopiridol in KINOMEscan data, or
c) bound by ruxolitinib in KINOMEscan data with Kd < 500 nM (as 2.5 µM ruxolitinib failed to reverse
BQ-mediated APP induction (Fig 3A)). Five candidates (besides CDK9) remained that were bound by
both BMS-345541 and flavopiridol in KINOMEscan assays. Of these, three are CDKs known to play a
role in transcription (CDK7, CDK13, and CDK16). Inhibition of any of these CDKs could theoretically
account for the observed effects of flavopiridol and BMS-345541. However, previous studies suggest
that flavopiridol inhibition of these CDKs in vivo is much less efficient than in cell-free assays because it
is competitive with ATP (and thus less efficient in living cells where the ATP concentration is in the 1-10
mM range, which is much higher than in cell-free assay conditions), while its inhibition of P-TEFb is not
affected by ATP concentration [49]. Furthermore, flavopiridol and the CDK7 inhibitor THZ1 have very
different (and mutually exclusive) effects on transcriptional processes [51], arguing against CDK7
inhibition as the mechanism of flavopiridol's effect.
To further probe whether the observed effect of flavopiridol was due to CDK9 inhibition, we
tested two other CDK9 inhibitors (AT7519 and dinaciclib). Both CDK9 inhibitors phenocopied
flavopiridol in our assays (Fig 4B). Furthermore, a previously characterized CDK9-targeted proteolysis
targeting chimera (PROTAC), termed PROTAC2 [52], had the same effect (Fig 4B). PROTAC2 consists
of a CDK9-binding aminopyrazole warhead conjugated to pomalidomide, which recruits the E3 ubiquitin
ligase Cereblon (CRBN). Cereblon in turn ubiquitinates CDK9, resulting in its proteasomal degradation.
Co-treatment of HEK-293 cells with PROTAC2 and pomalidomide prevents PROTAC2-mediated CDK9
degradation, as free pomalidomide competes with PROTAC2 for Cereblon binding [52]. We observed that
PROTAC2 (1 µM) blocked BQ-mediated APP induction, and this effect was reversed by co-treatment
with 10-fold excess pomalidomide (10 µM); however, when we increased the concentration of
PROTAC2 to 10 µM (so that PROTAC2 and pomalidomide concentrations were equal), pomalidomide
no longer had this effect (Fig 4C). Consistently, immunoblot analysis showed that 10 µM pomalidomide
prevents CDK9 degradation upon 1 µM but not 10 µM PROTAC2 treatment (Fig 4D). Taken together,
these results demonstrate that CDK9 degradation is necessary for the reversal effect of PROTAC2 and
that CDK9 is required for BQ-mediated APP induction.
The dependence of BQ-mediated APP induction on CDK9 strongly suggests that nucleotide
starvation enforces nascent transcription of APP genes, as opposed to increased mRNA stability. This
is further supported by the rapid buildup of APP transcripts following DHODH inhibitor treatment (within
4 hours, Fig S3A). Additionally, ChIP-seq analysis of global Pol II occupancy following 48-hour
teriflunomide treatment in A375 cells [37] shows increased Pol II engagement in the promoter-proximal
pause region and gene body of many APP genes, including HLA-B (Fig 4E). Overall, these results
show that nucleotide starvation induces an antigen presentation gene expression program that is
independent of canonical APP regulators but depends on CDK9/P-TEFb.
278
BQ suppresses tumor growth, induces MHC-I expression, and increases immunotherapy
efficacy in a syngeneic melanoma model
Enforced MHC-I upregulation by various interventions can facilitate anticancer immunity and
enhance the efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) by antibodies directed at PD-(L)1 and/or
CTLA-4 [23-26]. Moreover, high MHC-I expression has been proposed as a predictor of ICB response [27-30],

and high expression of MHC-I and other APP genes, including *NLRC5* and *TAP1*, correlates with better
survival in patients with melanoma (Fig S5A), for whom ICB is a first-line therapy. Therefore, we asked
if BQ could improve anticancer immunity in the B16F10 melanoma immunocompetent mouse model,
which is typically refractory to dual ICB (i.e., anti-PD-1 plus anti-CTLA-4) [53].
BQ (10 mg/kg daily IP injection) markedly suppresses tumor growth and leads to reduced tumor
burden (Fig 5A-B). Historically, the lead tool compound that was ultimately modified to BQ (called NSC
339768) was prioritized in part based on its activity against B16 melanoma [54]; to our knowledge, this is
the first direct demonstration of BQ activity in this model system. Consistent with our *in vitro*
metabolomics data (Fig 1I-J, S1B), BQ treatment caused marked buildup of metabolites upstream of
DHODH and depletion of downstream pyrimidine nucleotide species in B16F10 tumors (Fig 5C),
confirming target engagement *in vivo*. Metabolomics analysis of BQ- and vehicle-treated tumors
separated in principal component analysis (Fig S5B) and unsupervised hierarchical clustering (Fig
S5C), confirming the perturbation of tumor metabolism following DHODH inhibition.
BQ-treated B16F10 tumors showed increased mRNA expression of MHC-I (*H2-Db* and *H2-Kb*)
and *Nlrc5* (Fig 5D). We thus addressed whether BQ could augment the efficacy of dual ICB (anti-CTLA-
4 plus anti-PD-1) with the knowledge that enforced MHC-I antigen presentation has also been shown to
boost the effect of ICB [23,25,26]. While BQ is not an approved medication, two FDA-approved low potency
DHODH inhibitors (leflunomide, teriflunomide) are effective in treating autoimmune conditions such as
rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis and act to decrease the activity of auto-reactive
T-lymphocytes [55-57]. It was possible that BQ treatment may actually impair the effectiveness of ICB by
inhibiting T-lymphocytes despite augmented cancer cell antigen presentation. We, therefore, tested
both concurrent, upfront administration of BQ plus dual ICB and sequential administration of BQ
followed by dual ICB (Fig S5D).
Similar to its impressive activity in our first experiment (Fig 5A-B), BQ monotherapy conferred
marked survival benefit. This was significantly enhanced by subsequent dual ICB, while dual ICB alone
conferred only marginally prolonged survival, and concurrent BQ plus dual ICB did not significantly
improve survival versus BQ monotherapy (Fig 5E). This suggests that sequential (rather than
concurrent) administration of DHODH inhibitor and ICB may be superior. Hypotheses that may explain
these findings include: a) Concurrent BQ dampens the initial anticancer immune response generated
by dual ICB, or b) cancer cell MHC-I and related genes are not maximally upregulated at the time of
ICB administration with concurrent treatment. Taken together, these results show that BQ causes
pyrimidine nucleotide depletion, MHC-I and APP gene transcriptional upregulation, and additive survival
benefit with dual ICB in a highly aggressive and ICB-refractory mouse melanoma model.
317
318
319 **Discussion**
320
Our results demonstrate that pyrimidine nucleotide depletion by DHODH inhibition causes
increased expression of APP genes and increased cell surface abundance of MHC-I across a diverse
panel of cancer cell lines. This effect is strictly dependent on pyrimidine nucleotide depletion, as it was
abrogated by restoration of pyrimidine levels with exogenous uridine. Our inhibitor reversal studies
determined that BQ-mediated APP induction is independent of several canonical APP regulatory
pathways, including IFN-JAK-STAT, cGAS-STING-TBK1, and NF-κB. We showed that this effect relies
on P-TEFb-mediated release of Pol II from promoter-proximal paused state to productive elongation.
These findings were extended to inhibition of IMPDH (which depletes cellular GTP) and CTPS (which
depletes cellular CTP), as these effects were also reversible with P-TEFb inhibition (Fig S4A). This
suggests that pharmacologic depletion of these nucleotides also triggers APP upregulation in a P-
TEFb-dependent manner. Since T cell recognition of antigens via MHC-I is required for T cell-mediated
elimination of cancer cells or virus-infected cells, these results have important implications for the
development of nucleotide synthesis inhibitors as anticancer/antiviral therapies. We provide proof of
concept evidence that pretreatment with DHODH inhibitors can improve the efficacy of immune
checkpoint blockade in a highly aggressive and ICB-refractory mouse melanoma model.
Immune checkpoint blockade has revolutionized cancer immunotherapy and has led to long-
term, durable remission for a subset of patients with metastatic melanoma and other malignancies.

However, only a subset of patients treated with ICB respond. Emerging evidence suggests that cancer
cell MHC-I expression predicts favorable response to ICB, and several recent studies have shown that
enforced cancer cell MHC-I expression enhances anticancer immunity and ICB efficacy in various
mouse models. Accordingly, functional genomic screens for regulators of cancer cell MHC-I expression
have recently been undertaken, and these efforts have revealed novel molecular targets to induce
cancer cell APP activity [26,58]. Agents shown to increase cancer cell antigen presentation include
hydroxychloroquine (by autophagy inhibition) [23], poly(I:C) (by NF-κB activation downstream of dsRNA
sensing) [25], SMAC mimetics (by NF-κB activation) [26], CDK4/6 inhibitors (by activation of endogenous
genomic retroviral elements) [24], and others. It is very likely that many other anticancer drugs perturb
cancer cell antigen presentation and/or have other immunomodulatory properties in addition to their
cell-intrinsic antiproliferative activity [59], and this area requires further scrutiny. In this study, we identified
DHODH inhibition as a powerful inducer of antigen presentation and MHC-I expression in diverse
cancer cell lines and in HEK-293T cells.
Previous studies have linked pyrimidine depletion with upregulation of innate immunity and
interferon-stimulated genes [32,33], and this was confirmed by our transcriptomic profiling experiments
(Fig 1B-C). However, the mechanistic explanation for these observations varies. Lucas-Hourani et al.
proposed that interferon-stimulated gene expression requires the DNA damage checkpoint kinase ATM
[32], while Sprenger et al. conclude that pyrimidine depletion causes accumulation of mitochondrial DNA
in the cytosol, which is sensed by the cGAS-STING-TBK1 pathway to promote innate immunity [33]. In
our models, neither ATM/ATR nor TBK1 inhibition blocked BQ-mediated APP induction (Fig 4A), It is
possible that pyrimidine nucleotide shortage leads to APP induction by multiple redundant mechanisms,
any of which may predominate based on the cellular context. We speculate that cells may have evolved
multiple means of sensing acute pyrimidine shortage as a way to detect viral infection or malignant
transformation (as both viral replication and uncontrolled cell proliferation avidly consume nucleotides).
Our focused chemical screen for MHC-I inducers (Fig 2F) identified the approved IMPDH1/2
inhibitor mycophenolate, which was subsequently validated in multiple other cell types (Fig S2D, S4A).
This is consistent with a recent study in which IMPDH inhibition was shown to enhance ICB efficacy by
favorably altering the MHC-I peptide repertoire and increasing immunoproteasome expression [60].
However, in this study, the cancer cells were pretreated with IMPDH inhibitor before implantation into
syngeneic hosts, and so possible countervailing immunosuppression by systemic IMPDH inhibitor
treatment was not addressed [60]. Our *in vivo* results (Fig 5F) highlight the importance of timing/sequence
when administering immunotherapy in combination with nucleotide synthesis inhibitors and suggest that
upfront BQ followed by ICB may be superior to concurrent administration.
Thymidylate synthase inhibition was recently shown to induce MHC-I in a model of diffuse large
B cell lymphoma [58]. The failure of thymidylate synthase inhibitors 5-fluorouracil and methotrexate to
induce MHC-I in our screen (Fig 2F) may be attributable to cell line differences (PDAC vs DLBCL),
dose/duration considerations, or the use of different thymidylate synthase inhibitors than in their study
(which used pemetrexed and raltitrexed). Thus, it appears that nucleotide abundance exerts context-
dependent influence on MHC and APP gene expression, and key details of this relationship remain to
be elucidated.
Overall, our study establishes P-TEFb and Pol II elongation control as a mechanistic link
between nucleotide depletion and APP induction. We provide proof of concept evidence for
combinatorial benefit of DHODH inhibition and immune checkpoint blockade in an aggressive and
poorly immunogenic mouse model of melanoma. A deeper understanding of metabolic control of
antigen presentation will enable rational therapy development for cancer and viral infection.
383
384   **Materials and Methods**
385
386   **Cell culture and cell lines**
387   The S2-013 cell line is a clonal derivative of the Suit2 cell line and was a kind gift from the Tony
388   Hollingsworth laboratory at the University of Nebraska Medical Center. The MiaPaCa2 *IKK2*-KO and
389   parental wild-type MiaPaCa2 cell lines were a kind gift from the Amar Natarajan laboratory at the
390   University of Nebraska Medical Center. All other cell lines in this study were obtained from American

Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). All human cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling by the Genetics Core at the University of Arizona. Cells were routinely (at the time of initial revival from liquid nitrogen storage and at least every 6 months) determined to be free of mycoplasma contamination by PCR-based methods. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 50 IU/mL penicillin, 50 µg/mL streptomycin, and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2. Cells were maintained at 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Upon reaching 70–80% confluency, cells were passaged by washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) before adding 0.25% trypsin (Caisson Labs, Smithfield, UT, USA) and plating at 25% confluency.

Drug treatment of cultured cells for RT-qPCR and flow cytometry experiments
Drug treatment dose and duration are indicated for each experiment. A table of manufacturer and catalog number for each agent described can be found in Supplementary Table 1. For stimulation with poly(dA:dT), 2µg of poly(dA:dT) and 2µl of Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen #11668027) were incubated in 400µl Opti-MEM (Gibco #11058021) for 30 minutes at room temperature and then added to cells in 2ml final volume of complete media.

Cell viability assays
Cells were seeded in 96 well plates (1000 cells per well in 90µl media) and allowed to equilibrate overnight. Cells were then treated with indicated compounds (final volume 100µl) for 72 hours, and viability was assessed by CellTiter-Glo assay (Promega, Madison, WI). Luminescence values for each condition were normalized to the average luminescence of the vehicle-treated control replicates. Experiments were performed at least three times using biological triplicates for each condition. Dose-response curves were fit to nonlinear regression models using Prism9 software.

Liquid chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry-based metabolomics analysis
For in vitro metabolomics experiments, 5 x 10^5 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and allowed to equilibrate overnight. At the start of each assay, the cell culture media was changed, and fresh media with desired conditions was added (to eliminate metabolite depletion from overnight equilibration as a confounding variable). Following 8-hour treatment of cancer cell lines with BQ (or in the case of Fig 2B, 24-hour treatment with BQ +/- 100µM uridine), polar metabolites were extracted and quantified as previously described [61]. For B16F10 tumor metabolomics, subcutaneous tumors were harvested at necropsy and immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. Tumors were subsequently ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle, and metabolites were extracted using the same method as for cultured cells. Peak areas were normalized to the mass of tumor tissue that was input.

Datasets were processed using Skyline (MacCoss Lab Software), and Metaboanalyst5.0 web tool was used to generate principal component analysis and heatmap visualizations of resulting datasets. Raw LC-MS/MS data and R-command history for Metaboanalyst analysis are available upon request. Relative metabolite abundances were normalized to the average peak area of the experimental control group and were compared using two-way ANOVA with Bonferonni's post-test correction for multiple comparisons. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Mice studies
For animal studies, 10^4 B16F10 cells in a 1:1 vol/vol ratio (100µl final volume) with Matrigel were injected subcutaneously into the right flank of 10-week-old female C57BL/6J mice (Jackson labs). Tumors of live mice were serially measured in two dimensions using digital calipers, and tumor volume for Fig 5A was calculated as ($0.5L \times W^2$), where L is the long dimension and W is the short dimension. For Fig 5B-C, tumors were harvested at necropsy, weighed on an analytical balance (for Fig 5B), and measured in three perpendicular dimensions by calipers to generate volume measurements for Fig 5C, which were calculated as (dimension 1 x dimension 2 x dimension 3).

For survival experiments (Fig 5F), mice were monitored daily for signs of euthanasia criteria or actual demise. When tumor volume reached $2000cm^3$ as determined by the above formula for live mice ($0.5L \times W^2$), mice were sacrificed according to protocol euthanasia criteria.

Brequinar was obtained from Clear Creek Bio and dissolved in 0.9% NaCl. For both endpoint
and survival studies, BQ (10mg/kg) or vehicle solvent (0.9% NaCl) was injected intraperitoneally daily.
Anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 antibodies, as well as their respective isotype controls, were obtained from
BioXCell. Antibodies were dosed at 100µg/mouse IP twice per week. All procedures were approved by
the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of Nebraska Medical
Center.
451
Real time quantitative PCR analysis for mRNA expression
For in vitro RT-qPCR experiments, RNA was harvested using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Waltham, MA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. For tumor RT-qPCR, tumors were
crushed with mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen, and Trizol was used to extract RNA from the resulting
powder, just as for cultured cells. cDNA synthesis was performed (1µg RNA input) using BioRad
(Hercules, CA, USA) iScript cDNA synthesis kit (catalog number 1708891) according to manufacturer's
instructions. For RT-qPCR reactions, 3µl of diluted cDNA, 2µl of primer mix (diluted to a final
concentration of 200 nM for forward and reverse primers), and 5µl SYBR green master mix (cat #)
were mixed (10µl final volume), and reactions were analyzed using Applied Biosystems QuantStudio5
instrument with previously reported thermocycling parameters [62].
18S rRNA was used as a loading control to generate delta Ct values, and each sample was
normalized to the experimental control delta Ct values to generate delta delta Ct values which were
converted to fold change by (2^-ddCt). For all experiments, *ACTB* (beta-actin) mRNA expression was
quantified and used as an additional loading control, and results were concordant regardless of whether
18S or *ACTB* was used for normalization. For Fig 5E, each data point represents the average
expression value of 4 technical replicates for an individual tumor.
For pairwise comparisons, an unpaired student's t-test was used. For comparisons of 3 or more
conditions, a two-way ANOVA with Bonferonni's post-test correction for multiple comparisons was
used. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. Primer sequences for RT-qPCR reactions are provided in
Supplementary Table 2.
472
Flow cytometry measurement of cell surface MHC-I
Cells were treated as described and then detached with Accutase (Sigma Aldrich #A6964), washed
twice with PBS, stained with fluorescent dye-conjugated antibodies against H2-Db (BioLegend
#111508) or HLA-A/B/C (BioLegend #311418, BioLegend #311406) for 30 minutes at 4 ˚C in PBS,
washed once more with PBS, and then resuspended in FACS buffer and subjected to flow cytometry
analysis for fluorescence intensity. Aqua live/dead dye (Invitrogen #L34957) or propidium iodide was
used to exclude dead cells from the analysis.
480
Western blot
Protein isolation from cultured cells and western blotting procedure were described previously [61]. CDK9
antibody was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (catalog number 2316, clone C12F7), and beta-
actin antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (catalog number sc-4778, clone C4). Blots
were incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4˚C, washed, incubated with secondary antibody
conjugated with horseradish peroxidase for 45 min at room temperature, washed, developed with ECL
reagent and visualized by autoradiography.
488
489

490 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

491 This work was supported in part by funding from the National Institutes of Health, including
492 R01CA163649 and U54CA274329 to PKS, F30CA265277 to NJM, R21CA251151 to AN, and
493 R35GM126908 to DHP.

494
495
496 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** DBS is a co-founder and holds equity in Clear Creek Bio. The other
497 authors declare no competing interests.

References:

Warburg, O. On the origin of cancer cells. *Science* **123**, 309-314, doi:10.1126/science.123.3191.309 (1956).

Hanahan, D. & Weinberg, Robert A. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. *Cell* **144**, 646-674, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013 (2011).

Wang, W., Cui, J., Ma, H., Lu, W. & Huang, J. Targeting Pyrimidine Metabolism in the Era of Precision Cancer Medicine. *Frontiers in Oncology* **11**, doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.684961 (2021).

Shukla, S. K. *et al.* MUC1 and HIF-1alpha Signaling Crosstalk Induces Anabolic Glucose Metabolism to Impart Gemcitabine Resistance to Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancer Cell* **32**, 71-87.e77, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.06.004 (2017).

Christian, S. *et al.* The novel dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) inhibitor BAY 2402234 triggers differentiation and is effective in the treatment of myeloid malignancies. *Leukemia* **33**, 2403-2415, doi:10.1038/s41375-019-0461-5 (2019).

Sykes, D. B. *et al.* Inhibition of Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase Overcomes Differentiation Blockade in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cell* **167**, 171-186.e115, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.057 (2016).

Wang, X. *et al.* Targeting pyrimidine synthesis accentuates molecular therapy response in glioblastoma stem cells. *Science Translational Medicine* **11**, eaau4972, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aau4972 (2019).

Koundinya, M. *et al.* Dependence on the Pyrimidine Biosynthetic Enzyme DHODH Is a Synthetic Lethal Vulnerability in Mutant KRAS-Driven Cancers. *Cell Chemical Biology* **25**, 705-717.e711, doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2018.03.005 (2018).

Santana-Codina, N. *et al.* Oncogenic KRAS supports pancreatic cancer through regulation of nucleotide synthesis. *Nat Commun* **9**, 4945, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07472-8 (2018).

Brown, K. K., Spinelli, J. B., Asara, J. M. & Toker, A. Adaptive Reprogramming of De Novo Pyrimidine Synthesis Is a Metabolic Vulnerability in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Cancer Discovery* **7**, 391-399, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.Cd-16-0611 (2017).

Mathur, D. *et al.* PTEN Regulates Glutamine Flux to Pyrimidine Synthesis and Sensitivity to Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase Inhibition. *Cancer Discovery* **7**, 380-390, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.Cd-16-0612 (2017).

Li, L. *et al.* Identification of DHODH as a therapeutic target in small cell lung cancer. *Science Translational Medicine* **11**, eaaw7852, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaw7852 (2019).

Bajzikova, M. *et al.* Reactivation of Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase-Driven Pyrimidine Biosynthesis Restores Tumor Growth of Respiration-Deficient Cancer Cells. *Cell Metab* **29**, 399-416.e310, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2018.10.014 (2019).

Falzone, L., Salomone, S. & Libra, M. Evolution of Cancer Pharmacological Treatments at the Turn of the Third Millennium. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* **9**, doi:10.3389/fphar.2018.01300 (2018).

Vaddepally, R. K., Kharel, P., Pandey, R., Garje, R. & Chandra, A. B. Review of Indications of FDA-Approved Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors per NCCN Guidelines with the Level of Evidence. *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, doi:10.3390/cancers12030738 (2020).

Mundry, C. S., Eberle, K. C., Singh, P. K., Hollingsworth, M. A. & Mehla, K. Local and systemic immunosuppression in pancreatic cancer: Targeting the stalwarts in tumor's arsenal. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer* **1874**, 188387, doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2020.188387 (2020).

Waldman, A. D., Fritz, J. M. & Lenardo, M. J. A guide to cancer immunotherapy: from T cell basic science to clinical practice. *Nat Rev Immunol* **20**, 651-668, doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0306-5 (2020).

Pishesha, N., Harmand, T. J. & Ploegh, H. L. A guide to antigen processing and presentation. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **22**, 751-764, doi:10.1038/s41577-022-00707-2 (2022).

Cornel, A. M., Mimpen, I. L. & Nierkens, S. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, doi:10.3390/cancers12071760 (2020).

20   Dhatchinamoorthy, K., Colbert, J. D. & Rock, K. L. Cancer Immune Evasion Through Loss of
MHC Class I Antigen Presentation. *Front Immunol* **12**, 636568, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.636568
(2021).
21   Han, P. *et al.* Genome-Wide CRISPR Screening Identifies JAK1 Deficiency as a Mechanism of
T-Cell Resistance. *Front Immunol* **10**, 251, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.00251 (2019).
22   Zaretsky, J. M. *et al.* Mutations Associated with Acquired Resistance to PD-1 Blockade in
Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **375**, 819-829, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1604958 (2016).
23   Yamamoto, K. *et al.* Autophagy promotes immune evasion of pancreatic cancer by degrading
MHC-I. *Nature* **581**, 100-105, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2229-5 (2020).
24   Goel, S. *et al.* CDK4/6 inhibition triggers anti-tumour immunity. *Nature* **548**, 471-475,
doi:10.1038/nature23465 (2017).
25   Kalbasi, A. *et al.* Uncoupling interferon signaling and antigen presentation to overcome
immunotherapy resistance due to JAK1 loss in melanoma. *Sci Transl Med* **12**,
doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abb0152 (2020).
26   Gu, S. S. *et al.* Therapeutically Increasing MHC-I Expression Potentiates Immune Checkpoint
Blockade. *Cancer Discov* **11**, 1524-1541, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.Cd-20-0812 (2021).
27   Rodig, S. J. *et al.* MHC proteins confer differential sensitivity to CTLA-4 and PD-1 blockade in
untreated metastatic melanoma. *Sci Transl Med* **10**, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aar3342 (2018).
28   Liu, D. *et al.* Integrative molecular and clinical modeling of clinical outcomes to PD1 blockade in
patients with metastatic melanoma. *Nature Medicine* **25**, 1916-1927, doi:10.1038/s41591-019-
0654-5 (2019).
29   Grasso, C. S. *et al.* Conserved Interferon-γ Signaling Drives Clinical Response to Immune
Checkpoint Blockade Therapy in Melanoma. *Cancer Cell* **38**, 500-515.e503,
doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.08.005 (2020).
30   Shklovskaya, E. *et al.* Tumor MHC Expression Guides First-Line Immunotherapy Selection in
Melanoma. *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, doi:10.3390/cancers12113374 (2020).
31   Luthra, P. *et al.* Inhibiting pyrimidine biosynthesis impairs Ebola virus replication through
depletion of nucleoside pools and activation of innate immune responses. *Antiviral Res* **158**,
288-302, doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2018.08.012 (2018).
32   Lucas-Hourani, M. *et al.* Inhibition of Pyrimidine Biosynthesis Pathway Suppresses Viral Growth
through Innate Immunity. *PLOS Pathogens* **9**, e1003678, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003678
(2013).
33   Sprenger, H.-G. *et al.* Cellular pyrimidine imbalance triggers mitochondrial DNA–dependent
innate immunity. *Nature Metabolism* **3**, 636-650, doi:10.1038/s42255-021-00385-9 (2021).
34   Liberzon, A. *et al.* Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1739-1740,
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr260 (2011).
35   Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for
interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences* **102**, 15545-15550, doi:doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102 (2005).
36   Mullen, N. J. *et al.* ENT1 blockade by CNX-774 overcomes resistance to DHODH inhibition in
pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Letters* **552**, 215981, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2022.215981
(2023).
37   Tan, Justin L. *et al.* Stress from Nucleotide Depletion Activates the Transcriptional Regulator
HEXIM1 to Suppress Melanoma. *Molecular Cell* **62**, 34-46, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.013
(2016).
38   Zhou, F. Molecular Mechanisms of IFN-γ to Up-Regulate MHC Class I Antigen Processing and
Presentation. *International Reviews of Immunology* **28**, 239-260,
doi:10.1080/08830180902978120 (2009).
39   Dejardin, E. *et al.* Regulation of major histocompatibility complex class I expression by NF-κB-
related proteins in breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* **16**, 3299-3307, doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1201879
(1998).
40   Li, A. *et al.* Activating cGAS-STING pathway for the optimal effect of cancer immunotherapy.
Journal of Hematology & Oncology **12**, 35, doi:10.1186/s13045-019-0721-x (2019).

41   Thomson, D. W. *et al.* Discovery of GSK8612, a Highly Selective and Potent TBK1 Inhibitor.
ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters **10**, 780-785, doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00027 (2019).
42   Podolin, P. L. *et al.* Attenuation of murine collagen-induced arthritis by a novel, potent, selective
small molecule inhibitor of IkappaB Kinase 2, TPCA-1 (2-[(aminocarbonyl)amino]-5-(4-
fluorophenyl)-3-thiophenecarboxamide), occurs via reduction of proinflammatory cytokines and
antigen-induced T cell Proliferation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **312**, 373-381,
doi:10.1124/jpet.104.074484 (2005).
43   Burke, J. R. *et al.* BMS-345541 is a highly selective inhibitor of I kappa B kinase that binds at an
allosteric site of the enzyme and blocks NF-kappa B-dependent transcription in mice. *J Biol
Chem* **278**, 1450-1456, doi:10.1074/jbc.M209677200 (2003).
44   Napoleon, J. V. *et al.* Small-molecule IKKβ activation modulator (IKAM) targets MAP3K1 and
inhibits pancreatic tumor growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119**,
e2115071119, doi:doi:10.1073/pnas.2115071119 (2022).
45   Yeo, H., Lee, Y. H., Koh, D., Lim, Y. & Shin, S. Y. Chrysin Inhibits NF-κB-Dependent CCL5
Transcription by Targeting IκB Kinase in the Atopic Dermatitis-Like Inflammatory
Microenvironment. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, doi:10.3390/ijms21197348 (2020).
46   Davis, M. I. *et al.* Comprehensive analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**,
1046-1051, doi:10.1038/nbt.1990 (2011).
47   Price, D. H. P-TEFb, a cyclin-dependent kinase controlling elongation by RNA polymerase II.
Mol Cell Biol **20**, 2629-2634, doi:10.1128/mcb.20.8.2629-2634.2000 (2000).
48   Ni, Z. *et al.* P-TEFb is critical for the maturation of RNA polymerase II into productive elongation
in vivo. *Mol Cell Biol* **28**, 1161-1170, doi:10.1128/mcb.01859-07 (2008).
49   Chao, S.-H. *et al.* Flavopiridol inhibits P-TEFb and blocks HIV-1 replication. *Journal of Biological
Chemistry* **275**, 28345-28348 (2000).
50   McPartland, R. P., Wang, M. C., Bloch, A. & Weinfeld, H. Cytidine 5'-triphosphate synthetase as
a target for inhibition by the antitumor agent 3-deazauridine. *Cancer Res* **34**, 3107-3111 (1974).
51   Nilson, Kyle A. *et al.* THZ1 Reveals Roles for Cdk7 in Co-transcriptional Capping and Pausing.
Molecular Cell **59**, 576-587, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.06.032 (2015).
52   King, H. M. *et al.* Aminopyrazole based CDK9 PROTAC sensitizes pancreatic cancer cells to
venetoclax. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **43**, 128061, doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2021.128061 (2021).
53   Twyman-Saint Victor, C. *et al.* Radiation and dual checkpoint blockade activate non-redundant
immune mechanisms in cancer. *Nature* **520**, 373-377, doi:10.1038/nature14292 (2015).
54   Dexter, D. L. *et al.* Activity of a novel 4-quinolinecarboxylic acid, NSC 368390 [6-fluoro-2-(2'-
fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl-4-yl)-3-methyl-4-quinolinecarb oxylic acid sodium salt], against experimental
tumors. *Cancer Res* **45**, 5563-5568 (1985).
55   Klotz, L. *et al.* Teriflunomide treatment for multiple sclerosis modulates T cell mitochondrial
respiration with affinity-dependent effects. *Science Translational Medicine* **11**, eaao5563,
doi:doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aao5563 (2019).
56   Fox, R. I. *et al.* Mechanism of action for leflunomide in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Immunol* **93**,
198-208, doi:10.1006/clim.1999.4777 (1999).
57   Miller, A. E. An updated review of teriflunomide's use in multiple sclerosis. *Neurodegener Dis
Manag* **11**, 387-409, doi:10.2217/nmt-2021-0014 (2021).
58   Dersh, D. *et al.* Genome-wide Screens Identify Lineage- and Tumor-Specific Genes Modulating
MHC-I- and MHC-II-Restricted Immunosurveillance of Human Lymphomas. *Immunity* **54**, 116-
131.e110, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.002 (2021).
59   Petroni, G., Buqué, A., Zitvogel, L., Kroemer, G. & Galluzzi, L. Immunomodulation by targeted
anticancer agents. *Cancer Cell* **39**, 310-345, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.11.009
(2021).
60   Keshet, R. *et al.* Targeting purine synthesis in ASS1-expressing tumors enhances the response
to immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Nature Cancer* **1**, 894-908, doi:10.1038/s43018-020-0106-7
(2020).
61   Olou, A. A., King, R. J., Yu, F. & Singh, P. K. MUC1 oncoprotein mitigates ER stress via CDA-
mediated reprogramming of pyrimidine metabolism. *Oncogene* **39**, 3381-3395,
doi:10.1038/s41388-020-1225-4 (2020).

62   Shukla, S. K. *et al.* Silibinin-mediated metabolic reprogramming attenuates pancreatic cancer-
induced cachexia and tumor growth. *Oncotarget* **6**, 41146-41161, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5843
(2015).
660

Figure legends

Figure 1: Brequinar induces mRNA expression of antigen presentation pathway genes and upregulates cell surface MHC-I in diverse cancer cell lines. A) Schematic of RNA sequencing experiment for panels B-D, with de novo pyrimidine pathway shown to highlight the role of DHODH **B)** Normalized enrichment scores for gene sets commonly enriched (FDR q < 0.25) in S2-013 (500nM) and CFPAC-1 (250nM) cells following two-week BQ treatment, as assessed by gene set enrichment analysis. **C)** GSEA plots for indicated gene sets following two-week BQ treatment of CFPAC-1 (left) or S2-013 (right) cells at the indicated doses **D)** Heatmap showing log2 fold change mRNA expression of APP genes in CFPAC-1 cells treated with BQ for indicated dose and duration. **E)** Heatmap showing log2 fold change mRNA expression for APP genes in A375 melanoma cells treated with the DHODH inhibitor teriflunomide (25µM) for indicated durations, data extracted from [37]. **F)** RT-qPCR quantification of *HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, and *HLA-C* mRNA levels in cancer cell lines after 24-hour BQ treatment. Numbers represent fold change relative to vehicle control for each cell line. Data are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. *HLA-B* was not detectable in MiaPaCa2 cells. **G-H)** Flow cytometry analysis of cell surface MHC-I in live CFPAC-1 (G) or B16F10 (H) cells following 10-day treatment with BQ (250nM for CFPAC-1 and 10µM for B16F10). **I-J)** LC-MS/MS metabolomics quantification of de novo pyrimidine pathway metabolites in CFPAC-1 (I) or B16F10 (J) cells following 8-hour BQ treatment at indicated doses. Data represent mean +/- SD of four (CFPAC-1) or six (B16F10) biological replicates. # indicates p < 0.0001 by two-way ANOVA with Bonferonni's post-comparison test.

Figure 2: BQ-mediated APP induction requires pyrimidine nucleotide depletion. A) Dose-response cell viability experiment in B16F10 cells treated with BQ +/- uridine (100µM) for 72 hours. Data represent mean +/- SEM of three biological replicates. One representative result of three independent experiments is shown. **B)** Quantification of pyrimidine metabolites following 24-hour treatment of B16F10 cells with vehicle, BQ (10µM), or BQ + uridine (100µM). Data represent mean +/- SEM of six biological replicates. # indicates p < 0.0001 by two-way ANOVA with Bonferonni post-comparison test. **C)** RT-qPCR of indicated genes in B16F10 cells following 24-hour treatment with BQ or teriflunomide (100µM) +/- uridine (100µM) as in (B). Data represent mean +/- SEM of four technical replicates. One representative result of three independent experiments is shown. **D)** Left: flow cytometry analysis of cell surface MHC-I (H2-Db) on live B16F10 cells following 24-hour treatment with indicated agents (BQ 10µM, teriflunomide 100µM, uridine 1mM). Right: quantification of H2-Db mean fluorescence intensity normalized to vehicle control. Data represent mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments. # indicates p < 0.0001 with two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-comparison test. **E)** RT-qPCR analysis of indicated genes in S2-013 cells with DHODH knockout (sgDHODH) or non-targeting control vector (sgNT) treated with indicated agents for 72 hours. Data represent mean +/- SD of four determinations. One representative result of three independent experiments is shown. **F)** RT-qPCR analysis of indicated genes in CFPAC-1 cells following 72-hour treatment with indicated agents. Numbers in the heatmap represent mean fold change versus vehicle control with four determinations.

Figure 3: IKK2 inhibitor BMS-345541 abrogates BQ-mediated APP induction in an IKK2-independent manner. A-B) HEK-293T cells were treated with indicated agents for 24 hours and then subjected to RT-qPCR analysis for indicated genes. Numbers in the heatmap represent mean of four determinations. **C-E, G)** B16F10 (C), CFPAC-1 (D), HCT116 (E) or MiaPaCa2-IKK2-KO (G) cells were treated with indicated agents for 24 hours and subjected to RT-qPCR analysis of indicated genes. Data in D,E, and G represent mean +/- SD of three independent experiments. * indicates p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, and # p < 0.0001 with two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-comparison test. For C, numbers in the heatmap represent mean fold change versus vehicle with three determinations. Representative result of three independent experiments is shown. **F)** Flow cytometry analysis of cell surface MHC-I in HCT116 cells treated with indicated agents for 24 hours.

Figure 4: P-TEFb inhibitor flavopiridol abrogates APP induction downstream of nucleotide depletion. A) Plot of percent inhibition (10µM treatment) vs -log(dissociation constant) for kinases

bound by BMS-345541 in KINOMEscan assays [46]. Each data point represents an individual kinase. **B-**
C) RT-qPCR analysis for indicated genes in HEK-293T cells treated with indicated agents for 24 hours.
Data represent mean +/- SD of three independent experiments. # indicates $p < 0.0001$ by two-way
ANOVA with Bonferonni's post-comparison test **D)** Western blot analysis for CDK9 performed on HEK-
293T cells treated with CDK9-targeted PROTAC (PROTAC2) and/or pomalidomide (POM) for 24 hours.
Beta actin was used as a loading control. **E)** Visualization of Pol II occupancy after 48-hour treatment
with teriflunomide (red) or vehicle control (blue) in A375 melanoma cells reported by Tan et al [37].
722

Figure 5: BQ inhibits tumor growth, increases tumor MHC-I, and enhances immune checkpoint
blockade efficacy in B16F10 murine melanoma model. A-D) B16F10 cells were injected
subcutaneously into syngeneic hosts and mice were treated with brequinar (BQ; 10mg/kg IP daily) or
vehicle control starting at day 7 post implantation. **A)** Longitudinal measurement of B16F10
subcutaneous tumors with BQ (10mg/kg IP daily) or vehicle treatment. **B)** Weight (left) and volume
(right) of tumors at necropsy. **C)** Quantification of metabolites from B16F10 tumors harvested at
necropsy. **D)** RT-qPCR analysis of APP genes from tumors harvested at necropsy. For (A-D), data
represent mean +/- SD of n = 5 mice per group (n = 4 for BQ group in C). * indicates $p < 0.05$, ** $p <$
0.01, *** $p < 0.001$, and **** $p < 0.0001$ by unpaired t-test. **E)** Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for mice
implanted with B16F10 tumors as in (A-D) and treated with indicated regimens; see Fig S5D for
treatment timeline. * indicates $p < 0.05$, # $p < 0.0001$ by Mantel-Cox logrank test. For vehicle, immune
checkpoint blockade (ICB; Anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1; 100µg/mouse each, IP twice per week), and BQ
(10mg/kg IP daily) + concurrent ICB, n = 15. For BQ, n = 7. For BQ + delayed ICB, n = 8.
736

A

BMS-345541 KINOMEscan

% inhibition at 10µM vs -log(Kd)

Labeled points: CDK13, CDK7, CDK9, RSK1, PRKD1, CDK16

○ Not inhibited by flavopiridol
○ Ruxolitinib Kd < 500 nM
○ Not expressed in CFPAC-1 cells
○ Ruled out experimentally

B

HEK-293T

Relative mRNA expression for HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C

● Vehicle
● BQ (10µM)
● BQ + Flavopiridol (1µM)
● BQ + AT7519 (1µM)
● BQ + Dinaciclib (1µM)
● BQ + PROTAC2 (1µM)
● BQ + PROTAC2 (10µM)

C

HEK-293T

Relative mRNA expression for HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C

● Vehicle
● BQ (10µM)
● BQ + PROTAC2 (1µM)
● BQ + PROTAC2 (1µM) + POM (10µM)
● BQ + PROTAC2 (10µM) + POM (10µM)

D

HEK-293T

+ POM (10µM)

[PROTAC2] (µM)   0   1   10   0   1   10

IB: CDK9

IB: ß-Actin

E

A375 Pol II ChIP-seq (Tan *et al.* 2016)

Vehicle
Ter 25µM

HLA-B

Figure S1: BQ treatment upregulates APP genes and depletes pyrimidine nucleotides. A) Heatmap showing log2 fold change mRNA expression of APP genes in S2-013 cells treated with BQ for indicated dose and duration. **B-C)** RT-qPCR quantification of APP genes after two-week BQ treatment of CFPAC-1 (250nM) (B) or B16F10 (10µM) (C) cells. **D-E)** Quantification of pyrimidine metabolites in CFPAC-1 (C) or B16F10 (D) cells treated with BQ for 8 hours at indicated doses. Data represent mean +/- SEM of four (CFPAC-1) or six (B16F10) biological replicates. * indicates p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, and # p < 0.0001 by unpaired t-test (B, C) or two-way ANOVA with Bonferonni's post-comparison test (D, E).

Figure S2: Uridine rescues B16F10 cells from teriflunomide toxicity but does not alter APP expression by itself. A) Dose-response cell viability experiment as in Fig 2A but with teriflunomide (Ter) instead of BQ. **B)** RT-qPCR analysis of indicated genes +/- single agent uridine treatment for 24 hours. Data represent mean +/- SEM of 4 determinations. One representative result of three independent experiments is shown. **C)** Flow cytometry analysis of cell surface MHC-I (H2-Db) following 24-hour uridine (1mM) treatment. Data represent mean +/- SEM of three independent experiments. **D)** RT-qPCR analysis of indicated genes in B16F10 cells following 24-hour treatment with MPA (5μM). Data represent mean +/- SEM of four determinations. One representative result of three independent experiments is shown.

Figure S3: A) RT-qPCR time course analysis for MHC-I genes in HEK-293T cells treated for indicated times with BQ (10µM). Data represent mean +/- SD of four determinations. **B)** RT-qPCR analysis for *Nlrc5* (left) or *Tap1* (right) in B16F10 cells treated for 24 hours with indicated agents. Data represent mean +/- SD of four determinations. # indicates p < 0.0001 with two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-comparison test. Representative results for one of three independent experiments are shown. **C)** Heatmap indicating RT-qPCR analysis for indicated genes in wild-type or *IKK2*-KO MiaPaCa2 cells treated with indicated agents for 24 hours. Numbers in the heatmap represent mean of four determinations.

Figure S4: A) RT-qPCR analysis for indicated genes in HCT116 cells treated with indicated agents in the presence or absence of flavopiridol (1µM). Numbers in the heatmap represent mean of three determinations.

Figure S5: A) Overall survival in melanoma patients (SKCM) from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) with above (indicated in red) and below median (indicated in blue) mRNA expression of indicated genes. **B-C)** Principal component analysis (PCA) plot (B) and unsupervised hierarchical clustering (C) from metabolomics analysis of B16F10 tumors at necropsy (Fig 5C). **D)** Treatment regimen of mice from Fig 5E.