

1 **Virological characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron XBB.1.16 variant**

2

3 Daichi Yamasoba^{1,2#}, Keiya Uriu^{1,3#}, Arnon Plianchaisuk^{1#}, Yusuke Kosugi^{1,3#},
4 Lin Pan^{1,4#}, Jiri Zahradnik⁵, The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan)
5 Consortium, Jumpei Ito^{1,6}, Kei Sato^{1,3,4,6,7,8,9*}

6

7 ¹ Division of Systems Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology,
8 The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

9 ² Faculty of Medicine, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

10 ³ Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

11 ⁴ Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa,
12 Japan

13 ⁵ First Medical Faculty at Biocev, Charles University, Vestec-Prague, Czechia

14 ⁶ International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, The Institute of Medical
15 Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

16 ⁷ International Vaccine Design Center, The Institute of Medical Science, The
17 University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

18 ⁸ Collaboration Unit for Infection, Joint Research Center for Human Retrovirus
19 infection, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan

20 ⁹ CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan

21 # Contributed equally to this study.

22 *Correspondence: KeiSato@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Kei Sato)

23

24 Conflict of interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

25 Word count: 499/500 words, 6/8 references

26

27 **Abstract**

28 At the end of March 2023, XBB.1.16, a SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB subvariant,
29 emerged and was detected in various countries. Compared to XBB.1.5,
30 XBB.1.16 has two substitutions in the S protein: E180V is in the N-terminal
31 domain, and T478R in the receptor-binding domain (RBD). We first show that
32 XBB.1.16 had an effective reproductive number (R_e) that was 1.27- and
33 1.17-fold higher than the parental XBB.1 and XBB.1.5, respectively, suggesting
34 that XBB.1.16 will spread worldwide in the near future. In fact, the WHO
35 classified XBB.1.16 as a variant under monitoring on March 30, 2023.
36 Neutralization assays demonstrated the robust resistance of XBB.1.16 to
37 breakthrough infection sera of BA.2 (18-fold versus B.1.1) and BA.5 (37-fold
38 versus B.1.1). We then used six clinically-available monoclonal antibodies and
39 showed that only sotrovimab exhibits antiviral activity against XBB subvariants,
40 including XBB.1.16. Our results suggest that, similar to XBB.1 and XBB.1.5,
41 XBB.1.16 is robustly resistant to a variety of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Our
42 multiscale investigations suggest that XBB.1.16 has a greater
43 growth advantage in the human population compared to XBB.1 and XBB.1.5,
44 while the ability of XBB.1.16 to exhibit profound immune evasion is comparable
45 to XBB.1 and XBB.1.5. The increased fitness of XBB.1.16 may be due to (1)
46 different antigenicity than XBB.1.5; and/or (2) the mutations in the non-S viral
47 protein(s) that may contribute to increased viral growth efficiency.

48 **Text**

49 In late February 2023, certain sublineages of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB
50 variant harboring the F486P substitution in the spike (S) protein (e.g. XBB.1.5
51 and XBB.1.9) predominated worldwide
52 (<https://nextstrain.org/ncov/gisaid/global/6m>). Subsequently, XBB.1.16, an XBB
53 sublineage, emerged and was detected in various countries. Compared to
54 XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16 has two substitutions in the S protein: E180V in the
55 N-terminal domain, and T478R in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) (**Figure**
56 **S1A**). XBB.1.16 outcompeted other variants in India by the end of March 2023
57 (**Figure S1B**). Notably, XBB.1.16 had an effective reproductive number (R_e) that
58 was 1.27- and 1.17-fold higher than the parental XBB.1 and XBB.1.5,
59 respectively, suggesting that XBB.1.16 will spread worldwide in the near future
60 (**Figure S1C**). In fact, the WHO classified XBB.1.16 as a variant under
61 monitoring on March 30, 2023.¹

62 We next investigated the virological features of XBB.1.16. Yeast surface
63 display assay² showed the dissociation constant (K_D) of XBB.1.16 RBD to the
64 human ACE2 receptor is significantly (2.4-fold) higher than that of XBB.1.5 RBD,
65 while the K_D of XBB.1.16 RBD is significantly (1.8-fold) lower than that of XBB.1
66 RBD (**Figure S1D**). These results suggest the binding affinity of XBB.1.16 RBD
67 to ACE2 is higher than that of XBB.1 RBD and lower than that of XBB.1.5 RBD.
68 Pseudovirus experiments showed higher infectivity of XBB.1.5 compared to the
69 parental XBB.1, which is consistent with our previous study (**Figure S1E**).³ In
70 contrast, the pseudovirus infectivity of XBB.1.16 was comparable to that of
71 XBB.1 (**Figure S1E**). The S:T478R substitution significantly increased infectivity,
72 while the S:E180V substitution significantly decreased infectivity (**Figure S1E**).
73 The acquisition of two combination mutations in the S protein, one that evades
74 antiviral immunity and attenuates infectivity (e.g., F486V, G446S, Y144del), and
75 another that increases infectivity (e.g., L452R, N460K, V83A) is a strategy of
76 Omicron evolution previously observed in BA.5⁴, BA.2.75⁵, and XBB.1.⁶ Our
77 findings suggest that XBB.1.16 possibly follows the evolutionary pattern of
78 previous Omicron variants.

79 Neutralization assays demonstrated the robust resistance of XBB.1.16
80 to breakthrough infection sera of BA.2 (18-fold versus B.1.1; **Figure S1F**) and
81 BA.5 (37-fold versus B.1.1; **Figure S1G**). On the other hand, the sensitivity of
82 XBB.1.16 to convalescent sera of XBB.1-infected hamsters⁶ was comparable to
83 those of XBB.1 and XBB.1.5 (**Figure S1H**). We then used six clinically-available

84 monoclonal antibodies and showed that only sotrovimab exhibits antiviral activity
85 against XBB subvariants, including XBB.1.16 (**Table S1**). Our results suggest
86 that XBB.1 and XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16 is robustly resistant to a variety of
87 anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Finally, antigenic cartography based on our results
88 (**Figures S1F-H**) showed that the antigenicity of XBB.1.16 is different from that
89 of XBB.1.5, and rather relatively close to that of XBB.1 (**Figure S1I**).

90 Altogether, our data suggest that XBB.1.16 has a greater growth
91 advantage in the human population compared to XBB.1 and XBB.1.5, while the
92 ability of XBB.1.16 to exhibit profound immune evasion is comparable to XBB.1
93 and XBB.1.5. The increased fitness of XBB.1.16 may be due to (1) different
94 antigenicity from XBB.1.5; and/or (2) the mutations in the non-S viral protein(s)
95 that may contribute to increased viral growth efficiency.

96 **Grants**

97 Supported in part by AMED SCARDA Japan Initiative for World-leading Vaccine
98 Research and Development Centers "UTOPIA" (JP223fa627001, to Kei Sato),
99 AMED SCARDA Program on R&D of new generation vaccine including new
100 modality application (JP223fa727002, to Kei Sato); AMED Research Program on
101 Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases (JP22fk0108146, to Kei Sato;
102 JP21fk0108494 to G2P-Japan Consortium and Kei Sato; JP21fk0108425, to Kei
103 Sato; JP21fk0108432, to Kei Sato; JP22fk0108511, to G2P-Japan Consortium
104 and Kei Sato; JP22fk0108516, to Kei Sato; JP22fk0108506, to Kei Sato); AMED
105 Research Program on HIV/AIDS (JP22fk0410039, to Kei Sato); JST PRESTO
106 (JPMJPR22R1, to Jumpei Ito); JST CREST (JPMJCR20H4, to Kei Sato); JSPS
107 KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists (20K15767, to Jumpei Ito;
108 23K14526, to Jumpei Ito); JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced Research
109 Networks) (JPJSCCA20190008, Kei Sato); JSPS Research Fellow DC2
110 (22J11578, to Keiya Uriu); The Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (to Kei
111 Sato); and the project of National Institute of Virology and Bacteriology,
112 Programme EXCELES, funded by the European Union, Next Generation EU
113 (LX22NPO5103, to Jiri Zahradnik).

114

115 **Declaration of interest**

116 We declare no competing interests.

117 **References**

- 118 1. WHO. "Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants (March 30, 2023)"
119 <https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants>. 2022.
- 120 2. Zahradnik J, Marciano S, Shemesh M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variant
121 prediction and antiviral drug design are enabled by RBD in vitro evolution. *Nat*
122 *Microbiol* 2021; **6**(9): 1188-98.
- 123 3. Uriu K, Ito J, Zahradnik J, et al. Enhanced transmissibility, infectivity, and
124 immune resistance of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB.1.5 variant. *Lancet Infect*
125 *Dis* 2023; **23**(3): 280-1.
- 126 4. Kimura I, Yamasoba D, Tamura T, et al. Virological characteristics of the
127 novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variants including BA.4 and BA.5. *Cell* 2022;
128 **185**(21): 3992-4007.e16.
- 129 5. Saito A, Tamura T, Zahradnik J, et al. Virological characteristics of the
130 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2.75 variant. *Cell Host Microbe* 2022; **30**(11):
131 1540–55.e15.
- 132 6. Tamura T, Ito J, Uriu K, et al. Virological characteristics of the
133 SARS-CoV-2 XBB variant derived from recombination of two Omicron
134 subvariants. *BioRxiv* 2022: doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.27.521986>.
- 135