Environmental and genetic influence on rate and spectrum of spontaneous mutations in *Escherichia coli*

Danna R. Gifford[1*], Anish Bhattacharyya[†,1] Alexandra Geim[†,1,2], Eleanor Marshall[†,1,3], Rok Krašovec[1], Christopher G. Knight[4]

1. Division of Evolution, Infection and Genomics, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester

2. Pembroke College, University of Cambridge

3. Present address: MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London

4. Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, The University of Manchester

† These authors contributed equally and are listed alphabetically by surname

Short title: Environmental and genetic influence on rate and spectrum of spontaneous mutations

Keywords   mutation rate, mutational spectrum, DNA repair, fluctuation assay, activated methyl cycle, rifampicin, antibiotic resistance

* Corresponding author
danna.gifford@manchester.ac.uk
Michael Smith Building
The University of Manchester
Oxford Road
Manchester M13 9PT
United Kingdom
+44 (0)161 306 8074

Abstract

Spontaneous mutations are the ultimate source of novel genetic variation on which evolution operates. While mutation rate is commonly described as a single parameter in evolutionary models, it is increasingly evident that mutation rates vary due to changes in the rate of distinct nucleotide substitutions. Moreover, the rates of these substitutions can be influenced by genomic background and environmental conditions. Here we characterised the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in *Escherichia coli* grown in low and high glucose environments, conditions known to affect the rate of spontaneous mutation. We compared changes in the mutational spectrum in a wild-type strain and a strain where the response of mutation rate to glucose is greatly diminished (a deletant for *luxS*, a gene involved in both quorum sensing and intracellular methylation regulation). We find an increase in AT>GC transitions in the low glucose environment, suggesting that processes relating to the production or repair of this mutation could drive the response of mutation rate to glucose concentration. Interestingly, this increase in AT>GC transitions is maintained by the non-responsive Δ*luxS* deletant. Instead, an elevated rate of GC>TA transversions in both glucose environments leads to a net non-responsiveness of overall mutation rate. Our results show how relatively subtle changes, such as the concentration of a carbon substrate or loss of a regulatory gene, can substantially influence the amount and nature of genetic variation available to selection.

Introduction

Understanding what governs the occurrence of spontaneous mutations is crucial for predicting evolution. Mutation rate is known to be influenced by genetic variation e.g. mutators,[1,2] and the environment[3–5] (i.e. mutation rate plasticity). Although often described as a single metric, mutation rates actually encompass the cumulative effects of numerous biochemical processes that cause errors in DNA replication to occur and remain uncorrected. Progress in understanding the molecular basis for mutation has been made by characterising the 'mutational spectrum', i.e. the frequency of occurrence of different types of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and insertion and deletion mutations (indels). For SNVs, this includes a set of six possible mutations, the transitions AT>GC and GC>AT, and the transversions AT>CG, AT>TA, GC>CG, and GC>TA. For indels, the set of possible mutations is much greater[6]. Each type of SNV and indel occurs at different rates, which can each respond differently to genetic and environmental factors, e.g.[4,5,7–12].

In microbes, processes that generate mutations are particularly sensitive to both environmental and genetic effects. Previous work has shown that both the rate and spectrum of spontaneous mutations are affected by environment (including nutrient availability[9,13,14], growth rate variation[4], and temperature[5,13,15]). The changes observed are environment-specific, providing insight into how mutation generating processes operate at a molecular level. Observing changes in the mutational spectrum in deletion strains has also been used to characterise the roles of genes involved in mutation avoidance and repair systems. For instance, disruption of MMR increases the frequency of the transitions, AT>GC and GC>AT[16]. Disruption of guanine oxidation (GO) system genes *mutM* or *mutY*, which typically correct errors induced by oxidative damage, increases the frequency of GC>TA transversions[8], and disruption of *mutT* increases AT>CG transversions[7,17]. Differential expression of different DNA polymerase genes can produce striking effects on the mutational spectrum[18].

We have previously described an inverse relationship between the rate of spontaneous

[45] mutation and nutrient availability in *Escherichia coli*[19,20]. This association is detectable across
[46] the diversity of *E. coli*[21], and is also present in other bacteria, in archaea, and in yeast[21,22]. The
[47] strength of the association between nutrients and mutation rate varies within organisms from
[48] the same species[21,22] and can be either enhanced or abolished by certain genetic
[49] knockouts[19,20,22]. Despite the pervasiveness of this phenomenon, we do not currently know
[50] whether it arises due to an overall increase with decreasing nutrients in all possible types of
[51] mutation, or whether particular classes of SNVs or indels are increased. Previous results
[52] indicate that mutation avoidance and repair systems and metabolic effects seem to play a part
[53] in mutation rate plasticity. For instance, the association between glucose and mutation rate is
[54] diminished in strains with impaired mutation avoidance and repair (through deletion of *mutS*,
[55] *mutH*, *mutL* or *mutT*[22]). Yet, not all genes affecting mutagenesis and repair affect mutation rate
[56] plasticity; deletion of polymerase IV or polymerase V has no effect[20,22]. This shows that the
[57] molecular basis for the mutation rate response to glucose may be connected to interactions
[58] between metabolism and mutation avoidance and repair.

[59] Intriguingly, the association between glucose concentration and mutation rate was also greatly
[60] diminished in a strain with a deletion of the *luxS* gene[19], which is involved in both AI-2 mediated
[61] quorum sensing and the activated methyl cycle (AMC)[23]. This raises the question of whether
[62] the response of mutation rate to glucose concentration in the wild-type strain is due to
[63] population-density effects mediated by quorum sensing, or via an interaction between the AMC
[64] and DNA replication fidelity. The latter possibility arises due to the role of the AMC in generating
[65] the methyl-group donor *S*-adenosyl-*L*-methionine (SAM), which is required for DNA methylation
[66] and *E. coli*-specific methyl-directed mismatch repair (MMR)[24]. Thus, Δ*luxS* could potentially be
[67] deficient in methyl-directed MMR. Alternatively, the effect of *luxS* on mutation rate may emerge
[68] due to altered gene regulation more generally. Deletion of *luxS* influences gene expression in
[69] an environment-dependent manner[25]. In particular, *luxS* affects regulation of metabolism,
[70] nutrient acquisition and virulence traits[26,27], which could influence how this strain responds to
[71] and metabolises glucose.

[72] Observing how the spectrum of spontaneous mutations shifts in response to glucose
[73] concentration and deletion of Δ*luxS* can provide insight into some of the molecular processes
[74] that underpin the response of mutation rate to glucose in *E. coli*. To accomplish this, we have
[75] simultaneously measured the response of mutation rate to glucose, and characterised how the
[76] spectrum of spontaneous mutations differs in high and low glucose environments. Our results
[77] confirm the previous finding that low glucose environments increase the overall mutation rate in
[78] wild-type *E. coli*, but not a Δ*luxS* deletion strain[19]. We observed an increase in AT>GC
[79] transitions in low glucose conditions (consistent with[13]), which suggests that methyl-directed
[80] MMR may operate less efficiently under resource-poor conditions, driving the increase in overall
[81] mutation rate. However, this increase is observed for both wild-type and Δ*luxS* deletant,
[82] suggesting that the change is not driven by AI-2 mediated quorum sensing or a direct
[83] connection between the AMC and methyl-directed MMR. Intriguingly, the Δ*luxS* deletant
[84] showed an increased frequency of another SNV, GC>TA transversions, which occurred
[85] independently of glucose concentration. The increase in GC>TA transversions in Δ*luxS* causes
[86] an apparent non-responsiveness of the overall mutation rate of this strain. In concert, this
[87] suggests that seemingly small-scale genomic and environmental changes can have a
[88] significant effect on the quantity and nature of genetic variation available to selection.

Materials and methods

Strains and media

Experiments used *E. coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655 as the wild-type and a Δ*luxS* deletant (KX1228), which constructed in the same genetic background[28]). We used lysogeny broth for routine culturing of strains [LB, 10 g/l tryptone (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK), 5 g/l Bacto yeast extract (BD Biosciences, UK), 10 g/l NaCl (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK)]. Where indicated, LB agar was prepared by adding 12 g/l agar (BD Biosciences, UK).

For fluctuation tests, we used Davis minimal medium [DM, 7 g/l potassium phosphate dibasic trihydrate, 2 g/l potassium phosphate monobasic anhydrous, 1 g/l ammonium sulfate, 0.5 g/l sodium citrate (all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, UK)]. After autoclaving, we added filter sterilised magnesium sulfate (in each litre of medium, 500 μl of 10% w/v, Fisher Scientific) and thiamine hydrochloride (500 μl of 0.2% w/v, Sigma Aldrich, UK). We supplemented with α-D-glucose (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK) as a carbon source, either to 80 mg/l ('low glucose' treatment), or 250 mg/l ('high glucose' treatment).

For plating of the fluctuation tests, we used tetrazolium agar (TA, 10 g/l tryptone, 1 g/l Bacto yeast extract, 3.75 g/l NaCl, 12 g/l agar) with L(+)-arabinose (3 g/l, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (50 mg/l, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) added post-autoclaving. Selective media was TA supplemented with 50 mg/l rifampicin (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK), which was dissolved in 99% methanol (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK) before adding to warm (~55°C) medium.

Estimating mutation rates via fluctuation tests

We estimated mutation rates using a high-throughput variation[29] of the classic fluctuation test[30,31]. Briefly, overnight cultures of MG1655 and Δ*luxS* were initiated by inoculating single colonies (streaked on LB agar) into 5 ml LB in 50 ml Falcon conical centrifuge tubes (Corning, USA). Overnight cultures were diluted to 0.3 OD, then subsequently diluted by a factor of 5×10^{-4} to achieve a density of 1×10^3 to 5×10^3 bacterial cells per ml. From these dilutions, 0.5 ml was used to establish 182 independent cultures in 96-well deep well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Austria). Cultures grew at 37°C with 200 RPM shaking for 24 h. Each independent culture was subsequently plated on 5 ml selective media, using 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Austria) as the culture vessel. After 48 h growth, we counted the number of rifampicin resistant mutants that arose in each parallel culture. In concert, we estimated the population density of a subset of three parallel cultures, by plating serial dilutions on TA agar in 90 mm Petri dishes. We isolated resistant mutants from the selective plates and inoculated these into 1 ml cultures of LB for overnight growth, prior to storage in 75% LB and 25% glycerol at −80°C in 96-well polypropylene plates (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK). To ensure mutations were independent, only one colony was isolated from independent cultures where mutants appeared (and nothing was isolated from cultures where no resistant mutants appeared).

Determining the spectrum of spontaneous resistance mutations

We used the rifampicin-resistant mutants arising from the fluctuation tests to characterise the spectrum of spontaneous mutations. Rifampicin resistance typically arises due to mutations in the gene *rpoB*, the β-subunit of RNA polymerase[32,33]. Resistance is generally conferred by SNVs and occasionally by in-frame indels in the 'rifampicin resistance determining region'

(RRDR). Mutations in *rpoB* have been used extensively to characterise mutational spectra. More than 80 SNVs have been identified to give rise to rifampicin resistance[32,34,35], hence it provides a useful marker to sample a diverse range of SNVs. As *rpoB* is an essential gene, loss of function mutations do not confer resistance, making *rpoB* an efficient marker for observing changes in SNVs. In contrast, other antibiotics where resistance arises due to loss of function will have higher proportions of indels, as well as insertion sequence disruption (e.g. D-cycloserine resistance via the *cycA* gene[36]).

For each isolated mutant, we amplified a region of the *rpoB* gene containing the RRDR (specifically the region 1328–2235) using forward primer 5'-ATGATATCGACCACCTCGG-3' and reverse primer 3'-TTCACCCGGATACATCTCG-5 (Integrated DNA Technologies, Belgium). Primers were resuspended and diluted to a stock concentration of 100 µM in nuclease-free water prior to use. To obtain DNA for Sanger sequencing, resistant mutants were revived from the freezer by inoculating 1 µl of culture on to LB agar using a 96-well pin replicator (Boekel Scientific, USA). Following overnight growth, a pipette tip was used to scrape a small amount of colony material into 10 µl nuclease-free water. Each of 1 µl forward primer stock, 1 µl reverse primer stock, and 12.5 µl master mix (Platinum Green Hot Start PCR Master Mix, ThermoFisher Scientific, UK) was added to each reaction tube, and was mixed gently by pipetting. PCRs were performed as follows: i) initial denaturation (94°C for 5 min), ii) equilibration (30°C for 5 min), iii) denaturation (94°C for 0.5 min), iv) annealing (62°C for 0.5 min), v) extension (72°C for 0.5 min), vi) repeat steps iii–v for 35 cycles, vii) final extension (72°C for 10 min), viii) hold at 4°C. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, UK). Purified DNA was diluted to approximately 5 ng/µl. Sanger sequencing was performed by Eurofins LightRun service (Eurofins Genomics, Germany) using the reverse primer indicated above as the sequencing primer.

Mutations were identified by aligning Sanger sequence data to the *rpoB* of *E. coli* str. K-12 [NCBI accession NC_000913.3 (4181245..4185273)] using Unipro UGENE version 33 obtained from http://ugene.net[37] (data provided in File S1). As we acquired different numbers of mutants from the fluctuation tests, we present SNVs in as frequencies rather than absolute counts.

Statistical analysis

Data processing and mutation rate estimation

Data processing and statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.0.3)[38] using functions from the tidyverse[39] (analysis code provided in File S2). Figures were produced using ggplot2[40]. We used `mutestim()` (with default settings) from the flan package (version 0.9)[41] to estimate mutation rates from the mutant counts and population sizes obtained via fluctuation tests (data provided in Files S3 and S4).

Mutation rate comparison

We compared ratios of mutation rates measured at different glucose concentrations to see if the wild-type and Δ*luxS* responded differently. We calculated a low-to-high ratio for mutation rates, i.e. a ratio close to 1 indicates a limited response to glucose, a ratio > 1 indicates a higher mutation rate at low glucose, and a ratio < 1 indicates a lower mutation rate at low glucose. We first calculated point estimates of the mean and variance of these ratios (the latter using the 'sigma method'[42]), and then performed a z-test on the ratio for each strain (for full details, see

174 supplemental material).

Mutational spectrum comparison

We assessed the effect of glucose concentration and strain on the overall mutational spectrum
by performing multinomial logistic regression using `multinom()` from the nnet package[43]. As
their numbers were small, all insertions were grouped into one category, and all deletions into
another category. We subsequently looked at individual SNV categories by fitting logistic
regression models on each SNV separately using `glm()` with a binomial family. We used Type
II ANOVA (with a likelihood-ratio χ^2 as the test statistic) to determine the significance of
removing each predictor variable in the presence of other predictor variables using the `Anova()`
function from the car package[44].

Results and discussion

Figure 1A shows that wild-type and $\Delta luxS$ deletant have very different mutation rate responses
to glucose concentrations in minimal media. To assess the change in mutation rate with
environment, we calculated the ratio of mutation rates estimated in the low and high glucose
concentration treatments, which we refer to as the 'low-to-high ratio'. We observed a
significantly higher mutation rate at low glucose in the wild-type strain [low-to-high ratio: 1.60,
95% CI=$(1.58, 1.62)$], but only a marginal change in $\Delta luxS$ [low-to-high ratio: 1.06, 95%
CI=$(1.00, 1.12)$], which is consistent with our previous research[19–22]. The wild-type and $\Delta luxS$
low-to-high ratios were significantly different (z-test: $Z = 2.69$, $p = 0.004$).

To characterise the spectrum of mutations underlying these differences in mutation rate, we
sequenced the *rpoB* gene of resistant isolates that arose under low and high glucose conditions
(Figure 1B). Mutations were found in the rifampicin resistance determining region for 214 out of
274 sequenced isolates (Table S1 and File S1). The majority of mutations observed were SNVs
at loci previously described to give rise to rifampicin resistance[18,32,34,35]. We also observed 10
isolates with in-frame insertions or deletions, which were significantly more frequent in the
wild-type genetic background, but not significantly affected by glucose concentration (logistic
model, glucose concentration: $\chi^2_1 = 0.01$, $p = 0.89$; strain: $\chi^2_1 = 5.47$, $p = 0.019$; interaction:
$\chi^2_1 = 0.81$, $p = 0.36$). We observed no indels that would result in frame shifts, which is expected
given that *rpoB* is an essential gene[45].

Across all types of mutations, we found a significant effect of strain, but no significant effect of
glucose concentration or their interaction on the mutational spectrum as a whole (multinomial
regression model, glucose concentration: $\chi^2_7 = 11.9$, $p = 0.10$; strain: $\chi^2_7 = 15.2$, $p = 0.034$;
interaction: $\chi^2_7 = 5.92$, $p = 0.55$). Subsequently, we examined the impact of genotype and
environment on the frequency of individual mutational categories. For SNVs, we observed
distinct shifts in two classes, AT>GC transitions and GC>TA transversions. AT>GC transitions
were significantly higher in the low glucose environment, but were unaffected by strain (logistic
model, glucose concentration: $\chi^2_1 = 5.44$, $p = 0.020$; strain: $\chi^2_1 = 0.17$, $p = 0.68$; interaction
$\chi^2_1 = 0.67$, $p = 0.41$). A shift toward AT>GC transitions under glucose-limited conditions was
previously observed for *E. coli* str. MC4100 by Maharjan and Ferenci[13] using a chemostat
system and a different seletive marker (D-cycloserine resistance arising from loss of function
mutations in *cycA*), suggsting the observation of elevated AT>GC transitions is not a result of
our experimental conditions.

In contrast, GC>TA transversions were significantly elevated in the $\Delta luxS$ deletant, with a slight

but non-significant increase in both strains in the high glucose treatment and no significant interaction (logistic model, glucose concentration: $\chi_1^2 = 3.45$, $p = 0.0.063$; strain: $\chi_1^2 = 5.21$, $p = 0.022$; interaction: $\chi_1^2 = 0.50$, $p = 0.48$). For all other SNVs, there was no significant effect of either glucose concentration or strain (logistic models, all $p > 0.1$).

The increase in AT>GC transitions under low glucose indicates two molecular processes that may be involved in the mutation rate response to glucose. Elevated rates of AT>GC transitions are associated with deficient MMR, which typically repairs both types of transition mutations, AT>GC and GC>AT. Consistent with this finding, we previously observed that deletion of key MMR genes diminishes the response of mutation rate to glucose[22]. Although we did not detect a significant increase in GC>AT transitions in low glucose (in fact, the proportion of GC>AT transitions in both strains is smaller in low than high glucose), the relative proportion of this class of SNVs is much smaller overall. An elevated rate of AT>GC transitions, without a similar elevation of GC>AT transitions, has also been observed under conditions inducing thymine starvation in *E. coli* and other organisms, suggesting a common mechanism involving cellular pyrimidine availability[46].

Intriguingly, the loss of the mutation rate response to glucose in the Δ*luxS* deletant (Figure 1A) likely did not arise from deficient MMR, as we observed similar effects of glucose on AT>GC transitions in both strains (Figure 1B). This is contrary to the idea that the disruption of SAM production in Δ*luxS* deletants[47] decreases the efficiency of methyl-directed MMR. Instead, increased frequencies of GC>TA transversions in the Δ*luxS* strain make it seem as though the responsiveness of mutations to glucose is abolished, although the increase in AT>GC transitions under low glucose conditions remains. Elevated GC>TA transversions are typically associated with oxidative damage, and are usually corrected by the GO system involving MutM and MutY. That similar effects are observed for Δ*luxS* raises the possibility that *luxS* has a role in regulating either the GO system or the oxidative stress response that has yet to be characterised in *E. coli*. Several other lines of evidence also suggest this possibility. In *Streptococcus mutans*, *luxS* is involved in regulating genes involved in recombination and base excision repair, namely *recA*, and the apurinic/apyrimidinic AP endonucleases *smnA* and *nth*), as well as oxidative stress response genes[48,49]. In *E. coli*, similar AP endonucleases are required for filling in nucleotide gaps caused by the GO system[50]. Deletion of *E. coli luxS* also affects expression of multiple genes[51], including several involved in oxidative damage response[25], carbon utilisation, and biofilm formation[52].

Our results indicate that mutation rate plasticity associated with growth to different population densities results from changes in the rate of a specific mutational class, AT>GC transitions, and not an overall increase in mutation rate. The resulting effect on mutation rate can be masked by increases in the relative frequencies of other mutational classes that have a different response to the glucose environment. As the response of AT>GC transitions to glucose concentration occurred in both wild-type and Δ*luxS* strains, the association between population density and mutation rate observed in many microbes[19–22] is not likely driven by quorum sensing[53], but instead potentially due to deficiencies in mutation repair under low glucose conditions. As the mutational spectrum can have downstream consequences for adaptive evolution[54,55], understanding how population growth and density affect mutation generation, avoidance, and repair processes will be essential for understanding adaptive potential.

Data availability

Strains are available upon request. File S1 contains the mutations found in sequenced rifampicin-resistant strains originating from fluctuation test and used to assess changes in mutational spectrum. File S2 contains the R analysis code used to perform all statistical analyses and generate figures. File S3 contains mutant counts used to estimate mutation rates to rifampicin resistance for MG1655 and $\Delta luxS$ strains grown at low and high glucose in the fluctuation test. File S4 contains population density data (N_t) for MG1655 and $\Delta luxS$ strains grown at low and high glucose in the fluctuation test.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Karina Xavier (Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência) for the gift of the $\Delta luxS$ deletant strain KX1228 and its MG1655 parent strain.

Funding

This project was funded by the BBSRC (EM: part of BB/M011208/1), UKRI (DRG: MR/R024936/1, RK: MR/T021225/1), and the Wellcome Trust (AB, DRG, CGK: part of 204796/Z/16/Z).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] Matic I, Radman M, Taddei F, Picard B, Doit C, Bingen E, et al. Highly variable mutation rates in commensal and pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Science. 1997;277(5333):1833-4.

[2] Oliver A, Cantón R, Campo P, Baquero F, Blázquez J. High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Science. 2000;288(5469):1251–1253.

[3] Foster PL. Stress-induced mutagenesis in bacteria. Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2007;42(5):373-97.

[4] Maharjan RP, Ferenci T. The impact of growth rate and environmental factors on mutation rates and spectra in *Escherichia coli*. Environmental Microbiology Reports. 2018 Dec;10(6):626-33.

[5] Chu XL, Zhang BW, Zhang QG, Zhu BR, Lin K, Zhang DY. Temperature responses of mutation rate and mutational spectrum in an *Escherichia coli* strain and the correlation with metabolic rate. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2018 Dec;18(1):1-8.

[6] Williams LE, Wernegreen JJ. Sequence Context of Indel Mutations and Their Effect on Protein Evolution in a Bacterial Endosymbiont. Genome Biology and Evolution. 2013 Mar;5(3):599-605.

[7] Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous

295   mutations in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing.
296   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012 sep;109(41):E2774-83.

[8]   Foster PL, Lee H, Popodi E, Townes JP, Tang H. Determinants of spontaneous mutation in
297 the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Proceedings of
298 the National Academy of Sciences. 2015 Nov;112(44):E5990-9.

[9]   Tsuru S, Ishizawa Y, Shibai A, Takahashi Y, Motooka D, Nakamura S, et al. Genomic
300 confirmation of nutrient-dependent mutability of mutators in *Escherichia coli*. Genes to
301 Cells. 2015 Dec;20(12):972-81.

[10] Maharjan RP, Ferenci T. A shifting mutational landscape in 6 nutritional states:
303 Stress-induced mutagenesis as a series of distinct stress input-mutation output
304 relationships. PLOS Biology. 2017 Jun;15(6):e2001477.

[11] Fajardo-Cavazos P, Leehan JD, Nicholson WL. Alterations in the Spectrum of
306 Spontaneous Rifampicin-Resistance Mutations in the *Bacillus subtilis rpoB* Gene after
307 Cultivation in the Human Spaceflight Environment. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2018 Feb;9.

[12] Leehan JD, Nicholson WL. The Spectrum of Spontaneous Rifampin Resistance Mutations
309 in the *Bacillus subtilis rpoB* Gene Depends on the Growth Environment. Applied and
310 Environmental Microbiology. 2021 Oct;87(22):e0123721.

[13] Maharjan R, Ferenci T. The fitness costs and benefits of antibiotic resistance in drug-free
312 microenvironments encountered in the human body. Environmental Microbiology Reports.
313 2017;9(5):635-41.

[14] Maharjan RP, Ferenci T. *Escherichia coli* mutation rates and spectra with combinations of
315 environmental limitations. Microbiology. 2018;164(12):1491-502.

[15] Maharjan R, Ferenci T. Mutational signatures indicative of environmental stress in
317 bacteria. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2015 Feb;32(2):380-91.

[16] Schaaper RM, Dunn RL. Spectra of spontaneous mutations in *Escherichia coli* strains
319 defective in mismatch correction: the nature of in vivo DNA replication errors. Proceedings
320 of the National Academy of Sciences. 1987 Sep;84(17):6220-4.

[17] Yanofsky C, Cox EC, Horn V. The unusual mutagenic specificity of an *E. coli* mutator
322 gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1966 Feb;55(2):274-81.

[18] Curti E, McDonald JP, Mead S, Woodgate R. DNA polymerase switching: effects on
324 spontaneous mutagenesis in *Escherichia coli*. Molecular Microbiology. 2009
325 Jan;71(2):315-31.

[19] Krašovec R, Belavkin RV, Aston JA, Channon A, Aston E, Rash BM, et al. Mutation rate
327 plasticity in rifampicin resistance depends on *Escherichia coli* cell–cell interactions. Nature
328 Communications. 2014;5(1):1-8.

[20] Krašovec R, Richards H, Gifford D, Belavkin R, Channon A, Aston E, et al. Opposing
330 effects of final population density and stress on *Escherichia coli* mutation rate. ISME
331 Journal. 2018;12(12):2981-7.

[21] Richards H. Evolution of Evolvability?: Understanding Mutation Rate Plasticity [Ph.D. thesis]. The University of Manchester; 2019.

[22] Krašovec R, Richards H, Gifford DR, Hatcher C, Faulkner KJ, Belavkin RV, et al. Spontaneous mutation rate is a plastic trait associated with population density across domains of life. PLoS Biology. 2017;15(8):e2002731.

[23] Wang Q, He Z, Hu Y, Jiang Y, Ma R, Tang Z, et al. *luxS* Mutant Regulation: Quorum Sensing Impairment or Methylation Disorder? Sensors. 2012 May;12(5):6176-85.

[24] Putnam CD. Evolution of the methyl directed mismatch repair system in *Escherichia coli*. DNA Repair. 2016 Feb;38:32-41.

[25] Wang L, Li J, March JC, Valdes JJ, Bentley WE. *luxS*-Dependent Gene Regulation in *Escherichia coli* K-12 Revealed by Genomic Expression Profiling. Journal of Bacteriology. 2005;187(24):8350-60.

[26] Mitra A, Herren CD, Patel IR, Coleman A, Mukhopadhyay S. Integration of AI-2 Based Cell-Cell Signaling with Metabolic Cues in *Escherichia coli*. PLOS ONE. 2016 Jun;11(6):e0157532.

[27] Ha JH, Hauk P, Cho K, Eo Y, Ma X, Stephens K, et al. Evidence of link between quorum sensing and sugar metabolism in *Escherichia coli* revealed via cocrystal structures of LsrK and HPr. Science Advances. 2018 Jun;4(6):eaar7063.

[28] Xavier KB, Bassler BL. Regulation of Uptake and Processing of the Quorum-Sensing Autoinducer AI-2 in *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Bacteriology. 2005 Jan;187(1):238-48.

[29] Krašovec R, Richards H, Gomez G, Gifford DR, Mazoyer A, Knight C. Measuring Microbial Mutation Rates with the Fluctuation Assay. Journal of Visualized Experiments. 2019;153(2):e60406.

[30] Luria SE, Delbrück M. Mutations of bacteria from virus sensitivity to virus resistance. Genetics. 1943;28(6):491.

[31] Rosche WA, Foster PL. Determining mutation rates in bacterial populations. Methods. 2000;20(1):4–17.

[32] Jin DJ, Gross CA. Mapping and sequencing of mutations in the *Escherichia coli rpoB* gene that lead to rifampicin resistance. Journal of Molecular Biology. 1988 Jul;202(1):45-58.

[33] Goldstein BP. Resistance to rifampicin: a review. The Journal of antibiotics. 2014;67(9):625-30.

[34] Garibyan L, Huang T, Kim M, Wolff E, Nguyen A, Nguyen T, et al. Use of the *rpoB* gene to determine the specificity of base substitution mutations on the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. DNA Repair. 2003 may;2(5):593-608.

[35] Wolff E, Kim M, Hu K, Yang H, Miller JH. Polymerases leave fingerprints: analysis of the mutational spectrum in*Escherichia coli* rpoB to assess the role of polymerase IV in spontaneous mutation. Journal of Bacteriology. 2004 apr;186(9):2900-5.

[36] Ferenci T. Irregularities in genetic variation and mutation rates with environmental stresses. Environmental Microbiology. 2019 Nov;21(11):3979-88.

[37] Okonechnikov K, Golosova O, Fursov M, the UGENE team. Unipro UGENE: a unified bioinformatics toolkit. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:1166-7.

[38] R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria; 2020. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/.

[39] Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan LD, François R, et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software. 2019;4(43):1686.

[40] Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York; 2016. Available from: https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

[41] Mazoyer A, Drouilhet R, Despreaux S, Ycart B. flan: FLuctuation ANalysis on Mutation Models; 2021. R package version 0.9. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=flan.

[42] Beyene J, Moineddin R. Methods for confidence interval estimation of a ratio parameter with application to location quotients. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2005 Dec;5(1):1-7.

[43] Venables WN, Ripley BD. Modern Applied Statistics with S. 4th ed. New York: Springer; 2002. ISBN 0-387-95457-0.

[44] Fox J, Weisberg S. An R Companion to Applied Regression. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage; 2019.

[45] Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, et al. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Molecular systems biology. 2006;2(1).

[46] Kunz BA, Glickman BW. Mechanism of mutation by thymine starvation in *Escherichia coli*: clues from mutagenic specificity. Journal of Bacteriology. 1985 Jun;162(3):859.

[47] Halliday NM, Hardie KR, Williams P, Winzer K, Barrett DA. Quantitative liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry profiling of activated methyl cycle metabolites involved in LuxS-dependent quorum sensing in *Escherichia coli*. Analytical Biochemistry. 2010 Aug;403(1):20-9.

[48] Wen ZT, Burne RA. LuxS-mediated signaling in *Streptococcus mutans* is involved in regulation of acid and oxidative stress tolerance and biofilm formation. Journal of Bacteriology. 2004 May;186(9):2682-91.

[49] Wen ZT, Nguyen AH, Bitoun JP, Abranches J, Baker HV, Burne RA. Transcriptome analysis of LuxS-deficient *Streptococcus mutans* grown in biofilms. Molecular Oral Microbiology. 2011 Feb;26(1):2-18.

[50] Mendoza-Chamizo B, Løbner-Olesen A, Charbon G. Coping with Reactive Oxygen Species to Ensure Genome Stability in *Escherichia coli*. Genes. 2018 Nov;9(11).

[51] Haigh R, Kumar B, Sandrini S, Freestone P. Mutation design and strain background influence the phenotype of *Escherichia coli luxS* mutants. Molecular Microbiology. 2013 Jun;88(5):951-69.

[52] Niu C, Robbins CM, Pittman KJ, Osborn JL, Stubblefield BA, Simmons RB, et al. LuxS influences *Escherichia coli* biofilm formation through autoinducer-2-dependent and autoinducer-2-independent modalities. FEMS Microbiology Ecology. 2013 Mar;83(3):778-91.

[53] Krašovec R, Belavkin RV, Aston JA, Channon A, Aston E, Rash BM, et al. Where antibiotic resistance mutations meet quorum-sensing. Microbial Cell. 2014 Jun;1(7):250-2.

[54] Cano AV, Rozhoňová H, Stoltzfus A, McCandlish DM, Payne JL. Mutation bias shapes the spectrum of adaptive substitutions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2022 Feb;119(7):e2119720119.

[55] Sane M, Diwan GD, Bhat BA, Wahl LM, Agashe D. Shifts in mutation spectra enhance access to beneficial mutations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2023 May;120(22):e2207355120.

Figure 1: Effect of glucose concentration on rate and spectrum of mutations in *E. coli* str. K-12. wild-type and Δ*luxS* deletant. A) Spontaneous mutation rate measured by fluctuation test (mean±SE from $n = 182$ independent populations for each estimate). B) Spectrum of spontaneous mutations in wild-type MG1655 and Δ*luxS* deletant under high and low glucose treatments, showing increased AT>GC transitions in low glucose for both strains (*$\chi_1^2 = 6.07$, $p = 0.014$), elevated GC>TA transversions for the Δ*luxS* deletant (†$\chi_1^2 = 5.21$, $p = 0.022$), and a greater frequency of indels in the wild-type MG1655 background (‡$\chi_1^2 = 5.46$, $p = 0.019$).