Differences in the regulation mechanisms of the glutamine synthetase from methanogenic archaea unveiled by structural investigations.

Marie-Caroline Müller[1], Olivier N. Lemaire[1], Julia M. Kurth[2,3], Cornelia U. Welte[2], Tristan Wagner[1]*

[1] Microbial Metabolism Research Group, Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, Celsiusstraße 1, 28359 Bremen, Germany.

[2] Department of Microbiology, Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

[3] Microcosm Earth Centre, Philipps-University Marburg and Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Hans-Meerwein-Str. 4, 35032 Marburg, Germany.

Corresponding author. Email: twagner@mpi-bremen.de

Keywords: Nitrogen-assimilation, allosteric regulation, methanogenic archaea, structural biology, enzyme mechanism.

Abstract

Glutamine synthetases catalyze the ATP-dependent ammonium assimilation, the initial step of nitrogen acquisition that must be tightly regulated to fit cellular needs. While their catalytic mechanisms and regulation are well-characterized in bacteria and eukaryotes, only limited knowledge exists about the archaeal representatives. Here, we natively purified the glutamine synthetases from *Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus* and *Methermicoccus shengliensis*, two thermophilic methanogens belonging to different orders. Biochemical investigations combined with X-ray crystallography unveiled the first structures of archaeal glutamine synthetases and highlighted differences in their regulation. The enzyme from *M. thermolithotrophicus* is inactive in its resting state and employs 2-oxoglutarate as an on-switch. The 2-oxoglutarate acts as a sensor of cellular nitrogen deficiency, and its reported cellular concentration remarkably overlays with that required for the enzyme activation. Its binding to an allosteric pocket leads to the reconfiguration of the active site and promotes a catalytically competent state. The homolog from *M. shengliensis* does not harbor the 2-oxoglutarate

binding motif and, consequently, is 2-oxoglutarate insensitive. Instead, it is directly feedback-inhibited by

glutamine, as shown for bacterial homologs. The glutamine inhibition depends on a key arginine residue

from the Asp50'-loop. The arginine is substituted by a glycine in *M. thermolithotrophicus,* abolishing the

inhibitory effect. While the effectors are surprisingly different, the molecular switch controlling the

glutamine synthetase activity is fundamentally the same and depends on the correct positioning of the

Asp50'-loop and a catalytic arginine. Residue conservation suggests that both regulation mechanisms

are widespread and not mutually exclusive across archaea.

Main Text

Introduction

Nitrogen is an essential component of all living cells. Its most reduced state, ammonia (here

representative for NH_3 and NH_4^+ in equilibrium), is one of the most common nitrogen sources assimilated

by the microbial world [1-4]. Ammonia enters the central nitrogen metabolism via two systems: the

glutamine synthetase – glutamate synthase (GS-GOGAT) couple and/or the glutamate dehydrogenase

(GDH). While the GDH carries out the reversible reaction of reductive amination of 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)

using NAD(P)H, the couple GS/GOGAT performs an ATP-dependent process [5-8]. Because of its higher

affinity for ammonia, the GS-GOGAT couple is notably known to be more effective than the GDH in

ammonia-limited environments [1]. The nitrogen assimilation by GS-GOGAT is operated in two steps (Fig.

S1A). The GS initially produces glutamine via the condensation of ammonia on glutamate, a reaction

coupled to ATP-hydrolysis that requires Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+} [1]. Then, GOGAT performs the deamination of the

synthesized glutamine, and the ammonia transfer to 2OG to ultimately form two molecules of glutamate,

with the concomitant oxidation of an electron donor (e.g., NADH) [1, 7].

GS can be categorized into three types [1, 9]. Type I is present in prokaryotes, and some homologs were

found in eukaryotes. It organizes as a homododecamer of ~55 kDa-large subunits [10]. Type II is composed

of a homodecameric assembly of ~40 kDa-large subunits and is common in bacteria and eukaryotes [11,

12]. Type III is found in bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes and is composed of a homododecamer of ~75

kDa-large subunits [1, 13]. The GS type I (GSI) is further subdivided into three classes: (i) the GSI-α found

in archaea*, Actinobacteria, Desulfobacterota* and *Bacillota* (formerly *Firmicutes*), (ii) the GSI-β, present

in many bacteria and a few archaea and (iii) the GSI-γ mostly found in bacteria [9]. Studies on the evolution

of this ancient enzyme led to different scenarios, explaining the puzzling phylogeny of GS that should be

the result of gene deletion and horizontal gene transfer, including that GSI-α and GSI-β were already

separated in LUCA and a loss of GSI-α and/or GSI-β occurred in different lineages [9, 14-17]. The GSI-α in

Bacillota was obtained through lateral gene transfer from an archaeal ancestor [9, 14]. The structural

characterization of some GSI-α and GSI-β unveiled a similar organization [6, 18, 19]. The dodecamer is

organized as two stacked hexameric rings, and each polypeptide is organized into two parts, a shorter N-terminal domain (β-Grasp fold) and the remaining C-terminal domain (Fig. S1B-G). The N-terminal domain is mainly responsible for the ring association, while the C-terminal domain composes the main part of the ring and is responsible for inter-ring interactions. GSI-β differs structurally from GSI-α by a 25 amino acid-long extension involved in inter-ring stabilization (Fig. S1B-D). The active sites are located at the interface of the N- and C- terminal domains of the adjacent subunit in the hexameric ring. Each active site is structured as a "bifunnel" with ATP and glutamate binding on opposite sides. The ATP binding site is usually referred to as the top of the funnel since the opening lies towards the external ring surface, and the glutamate-binding site as the bottom of the funnel. Divalent metal cations (Mn^{2+} or Mg^{2+}) are coordinated on the C-terminal domain and positioned at the center of the bifunnel. Two loops in the active center are critical for catalysis: the Glu-flap, involved in shielding the active site during catalysis and deprotonating the intermediate product, and the Asp-50' loop, which binds and deprotonates ammonium. In this concerted orchestra, the glutamate is first phosphorylated on its γ-carboxyl group by the ATP donor, and secondly, the ammonia is incorporated, releasing the products glutamine, ADP and inorganic phosphate [6, 20]. GSI-γ are comprised of only the catalytic domain lacking the N-terminus. A majority of biochemically characterized GSI-γ members lack GS biosynthetic activity and instead function as γ-glutamyl-polyamine synthetases [9].

The GSI-α and GSI-β also differ regarding their regulation. The GSI-β have a complex multi-level regulation by adenylylation, a feature not conserved in GSI-α [8]. The regulation of GSI-α in *Bacillus subtilis* was shown to depend on feedback inhibition by the enzyme's product glutamine [18]. The glutamine-inhibited enzyme also binds the regulator GlnR, triggering a switch from a dodecameric GS to an inactive tetradecameric form [19]. The GS-GlnR complex finally acts as a transcription repressor for genes involved in nitrogen assimilation, including the GS.

The archaeal GSI-α has never been structurally characterized, and only sporadic studies exist [21-25]. While the activity of the GSI-α of *Haloferax mediterranei* is inhibited by glutamine [26], other radically different regulations have been discovered. In the same archaeon, the molecule 2OG was shown to be a more efficient regulator with a 12-fold activity stimulation, reaching 18-fold when P_{II}-family regulatory proteins $GlnK_1$ or $GlnK_2$ were added in addition to 2OG [26]. 2OG and GlnK also enhance the activity of the enzyme from the methanogen *Methanosarcina mazei*, as well as the 23 residue-large sP26 protein [27, 28], although an inhibitory effect of GlnK (under different buffer conditions) has also been shown [28]. The regulatory proteins GlnK and sP26, whose expression is triggered under nitrogen starvation, form a complex and make a tight and specific interaction with the GS [27, 29]. The direct control by 2OG is particularly elegant as the metabolite is a cellular sensor for nitrogen deprivation and has been shown to act on several key nodes of nitrogen acquisition in methanogens (Fig. S1A) [8, 26-28, 30].

To shed light on the regulatory mechanisms of archaeal GSI-α, we performed biochemical and structural

characterizations on the enzymes from two thermophilic methanogens belonging to different phyla. Our

results illustrate the different regulation systems at the molecular level, including an unforeseen switch-

on controlled by 2OG.

Results

MtGS activity is strictly dependent on 2OG in contrast to MsGS.

Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus belonging to the *Methanococcales* order is a strictly

hydrogenotrophic methanogen which possesses a single gene coding for a GSI-α (the gene product is

referred to as MtGS) while lacking any genes coding for GDH. The purification of MtGS was previously

published [31]. We improved the purification protocol to obtain an anaerobically purified MtGS allowing its

detailed enzymatic characterization. Denaturing and high-resolution clear native polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis (hrCN PAGE) are coherent with a dodecameric organization of the ~50 kDa peptide (Fig.

1A and B). The activity of MtGS, measured via a coupled enzyme assay (Fig. S2), could not be detected

despite the addition of Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+}, an increase of the protein concentration (up to 0.75 mg/ml final

concentration) or an extension of the incubation time. As 2OG acts as an activity stimulator in archaeal

GS [26-28], 2OG was added to the assay and revealed to be essential for MtGS activity under these

experimental conditions (Fig. 1C). No activity was detected below 60 μM 2OG, 50% activity was reached

at 170 μM and saturation occurred above ~ 0.6 mM. Noteworthy, exposure to oxygen severely reduced

the enzyme activity (1 h of exposure to O_2 decreased the activity to 6%). Specificity for 2OG was tested

by substitution with malate or succinate, which are structurally similar to 2OG. At 15 mM, both surrogates

could not activate MtGS (Fig. S3). However, reactivation by 2 mM 2OG was still observed (Fig. S3).

To investigate whether the 2OG dependency is a feature unique to *M. thermolithotrophicus* or also

distributed among other methanogens, we purified the GS from the phylogenetically distant methanogen

Methermicoccus shengliensis. This archaeon belongs to the *Methanosarcinales* order and uses

methylotrophic methanogenesis for energy and carbon acquisition [32]. Its genome codes for a single GSI-

α (MsGS) and no GDH. MsGS was anaerobically purified from *M. shengliensis* leading to a major band

at ~48 kDa on SDS PAGE (expected molecular weight 49 kDa, Fig. 1A). A dodecameric assembly was

confirmed by hrCN PAGE (Fig. 1B). Unlike for MtGS, 2OG was not required for enzyme activity, and its

addition (2 mM) did not affect its activity (Fig 1D). Kinetic parameters of both enzymes were determined

and are summarized in Fig. 1E. Notably, MtGS reaches a 30-fold higher apparent V_{max} than MsGS once

fully activated with 2OG. Both enzymes exhibit similar apparent K_m for glutamate and ammonium, and

binding of both substrates exhibits slight positive cooperativity in MtGS, while in MsGS, only glutamate

binding was cooperative.

Structural studies were undertaken to investigate the activation mechanism and the difference between
both GS systems.

The first structures of archaeal GS

The structure of MtGS in its resting state was solved by using the diffraction data obtained by Engilberge
et al. [31]. The data was collected on a crystal obtained by co-crystallization with a crystallophore (TbXo4)
that corrected the twinning issue inherent to this crystalline form. The structure was solved by molecular
replacement using the closest structural homolog from *Bacillus subtilis* (BsGS resting state, PDB 4LNN
[18]) and refined to a resolution of 1.65-Å (Table S1). The MtGS structure presents the typical
homododecameric architecture as seen in other structural homologues, with a monomeric unit divided
into Nter- (1-111) and Cter- (112-448) domains (Fig. 2A-C). A deviation of 0.847-Å (on 338 Cα aligned
from one monomer) exists between this structure and the apo structure of BsGS (Fig. S4). The TbXo4,
contributing to the crystal packing, might have artificially provoked this deviation. Moreover, Terbium
atoms are located in the active site, coordinated by the residues involved in the Mg^{2+}/Mn^{2+} recognition
(Fig. S5). To exclude any artefactual effect of TbXo4, we performed crystallization in the absence of the
compound. The resulting crystal belonged to the same space group as the TbXo4-containing form, but
the crystallographic data exhibited a pseudomerohedral twinning with a fraction of 0.12. The protein
structure was refined to 2.43-Å and is almost identical to the TbXo4-containing form (RMSD of 0.206-Å,
410 atoms aligned Fig. S5, residues 67-69 could not be modeled in the TbXo4-lacking structure),
excluding the sequestration of an artefactual conformation provoked by the compound. For this reason,
the MtGS TbXo4-containing structure obtained at the higher resolution of 1.65 Å was used for further
analyses.

The enzyme from *M. shengliensis* was crystallized in the absence of ligands, and two different crystalline
forms, referred to as MsGS apo1 and MsGS apo2, were analyzed (Table S2). MtGS was selected as a
template for molecular replacement, and the structures were refined to 2.64-Å and 3.09-Å, respectively
(Fig. S6). As MtGS, the homolog from *M. shengliensis* has a dodecameric organization with the N-ter (1-
106) and C-ter (107-442) domains forming the protomeric unit (Fig. 2D-F). MsGS apo1 was used for the
following structural analyses due to the better resolution.

A more detailed view of the monomeric structures highlights the key catalytic elements surrounding the
active site in both GS (Fig 2C and 2F, Fig S7 [18]): the Glu-flap (MtGS 306-311, MsGS 299-304), the Tyr-
loop (MtGS 370-378, MsGS 363-371), the Asn-loop (MtGS 236-247, MsGS 229-240), Tyr179-loop
(MtGS 154-163, MsGS 147-156) and Asp50'-loop (MtGS 57-71, MsGS 51-65). A structural comparison
of the monomeric unit reveals that MsGS has a closer fit with BsGS compared to MtGS, which exhibits
larger deviations such as an extended helix α2 resulting from a seven-residue insertion (Fig 2G, Fig.
S4). While some deviations of local loops might be attributed to the increase in flexibility (Fig. S4A), both

archaeal GS deviate from *Bs*GS at the loop before helix α4 (highlighted by a star on Fig. 2G and 2H) at
the subunit interface.

The GS are well known to be dynamic, and structural rearrangement can occur upon ligand binding [6, 18,
19, 33, 34]. For instance, *Bs*GS undergoes dramatic intersubunit conformational movements between the
apo and transition state. Therefore, to investigate why *Mt*GS apo resting state would be catalytically
inactive, co-crystallization with 2OG was undergone.

173 A 2OG allosteric site localized at the inter-subunit junction
The *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+} complex was obtained with and without ATP in a new crystalline form and both
were refined to 2.15-Å, and 2.91-Å resolution, respectively (Table S1). Both 2OG-containing structures
show high tridimensional conservation (RMSD 0.279-Å, 441 atoms aligned, Fig. S8) while deviating from
the apo resting state (2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP: RMSD 0.694-Å, 374 atoms aligned, 2OG/Mg^{2+}: 0.631-Å, 371
atoms aligned Fig. S9 and S10). Since the 2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP complex is at a higher resolution, this structure
was preferred for deeper structural analyses (Fig. S11). The allosteric 2OG binding site, ~15 Å distant
from the catalytic cleft, is localized at the interface between the C-terminal domain and the adjacent N-
terminal domain. 2OG is coordinated via ionic bonds by Arg20' Arg88', Arg175, Arg174, and a hydrogen
bond via Ser191 (primed numbers indicate the adjacent unit, Fig 3A). Phe18' stabilizes the 2OG via
stacking with its phenyl ring. In the apo structure, water molecules replace 2OG in a pocket with a similar
volume and slightly open to the solvent (Fig. S11C). In the 2OG-insensitive *Ms*GS structure, the side
chain of Glu89' substituting the *Mt*GS Val94' would collide with the 2OG position (Fig. 3B). Moreover, in
*Ms*GS, most of the residues relevant for 2OG coordination (Arg88', Arg174 and Ser191) are substituted.
Interestingly, the structures of the bacterial homologs show a tyrosine and glutamate side chain at the
equivalent positions 18' and 34' in *Mt*GS that would collide with the modelled 2OG (Fig. 3C). Additionally,
the 2OG-coordinating Arg88' is absent (Fig. 3D). The Arg88' might be the essential component for 2OG-
based activation as it is positioned on the loop that undergoes the most significant conformational change
during 2OG binding. Therefore, its movement provoked by 2OG binding would trigger further movements
throughout the polypeptide chain.

193 2OG-dependent motions and active site remodeling in *Mt*GS.
2OG presence at the interface of monomers reorients the bulky side chain of Phe18', and remodels salt
bridge networks in its surrounding. Consequently, the N-terminal domain is pushed away from the
neighboring C-terminal domain, and the α-helix 6 and β-hairpin 9-10 (residues 167-206, in the vicinity of
the active site) are shifted (Fig. 3E). The structural alignment on the C-terminal part from one monomer
exemplifies a 4.8° oscillation of the N-terminal domain, leading to a movement of 3-Å (Fig. S9). The
repositioning of the N-terminal domain would clash with the next monomer, and therefore a domino effect
occurs in the hexamer ring upon 2OG binding. This probably explains the cooperative behavior observed

in our kinetics data (Hill coefficient 3.4 for 2OG). Overall, the transition between *Mt*GS resting and 2OG-
bound states provoke a compaction of the outer ring and an opening in the center and middle parts (Fig.
3F), leading to a more "opened" conformation. The observed switch and the 2OG-bound active state are
similar to the one observed between apo and complex of *B. subtilis*. The most dramatic change occurs
in the Asp50'-loop (critical for glutamate and ammonia coordination) interacting with the loop 318-325,
which reorganize to reach a position similar to that observed in the bacterial homologs co-crystallized
with the L-methionine-S-sulfoximine-phosphate (abbreviated as SOX, a mimic of a reaction transition
state) [18, 19] (Fig. S12).

In the *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP structure, the ATP is located in a similar position compared to bacterial
homologs (Fig. 4A and B). The adenosine part is stacked in between Phe206 and Arg336 and
coordinated via hydrogen bonds with Lys333 main chain and Ser254 side chain. The ribose moiety is
coordinated by the Phe204 and Phe206 main chain and Glu189 side chain. Arg336, Arg326, and Arg321
bind the triphosphate backbone with ionic bonds. In the resting state, the ATP would clash with the
Phe206 and would not bind without displacing the β-sheet 10 (residues 199-205) and the following loop
(206-209) (Fig. 4C and S13).

We also obtained and refined to 2.70-Å a *Ms*GS structure in complex with ATP and Mg^{2+}. Only minor
differences exist compared to the apo state (Fig. S10). While the adenine part of the ATP is bound in a
similar way as described before, the ribose backbone is tilted by 90 °, pointing the triphosphate away
from the active site (Fig. 4D). This results in a non-catalytic state, similar to the one observed in the
*Bs*GS structure loaded with AMPPCP (PDB 4LNK [18]). Nevertheless, a sequence alignment of *Bs*GS,
*Mt*GS and *Ms*GS revealed a complete conservation of the residues involved in nucleotide binding (Fig
4E). Therefore, we concluded that the three GS share the same ATP coordination throughout the
catalytic steps.

2OG binding in *Mt*GS also impacts the glutamate binding site architecture. The residues involved in
glutamate binding (or the mimic SOX molecule) in *B. subtilis* are perfectly conserved in *Mt*GS, *Ms*GS
and other archaeal GS (Fig. 5). The conservation between the archaeal and bacterial domains reinforces
the importance of these residues to orchestrate the catalysis. While the predicted residues involved in
Mg^{2+}/glutamate binding share the same position between the *Mt*GS resting and 2OG-bound states
(Glu137, Glu139, Glu194, Gly246, His250, Glu309, Arg303, Arg340), the catalytic Arg321 (homologous
to Arg316 in *Bs*GS) shows a drastic difference of position (Fig. 5B and C). In the resting state, the loop
318-325 is constrained by a network of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges from the Asp50'-loop, the side
chain of the Arg321 itself being sequestered by Glu70'. Strikingly, in the 2OG-bound state of *Mt*GS, the
318-325 and Asp50´-loops adopt a position similar to all described active transition states in bacterial
homologs (Fig. S14). This suggests that the binding of 2OG triggers conformational changes leading to

a catalytically competent conformation and explaining the 2OG dependency for activity. Moreover, the

Glu309 from the Glu-flap is disengaged and in a more relaxed state in the 2OG-bound model, allowing

its assistance for catalysis. In *Ms*GS, the β-hairpin β3-β4 carrying the Asp50'-loop appears to adopt a

similar position with or without ATP. However, the Asp50' loop is flexible and cannot be modeled. The

flexibility might directly affect the stabilization of the adjacent loop 313-319 (318-325 in *Mt*GS) that

exhibits a different position than that found in *Mt*GS and bacterial GS with the catalytically important

Arg314 (Arg321 in *Mt*GS) retracted on the Tyr-loop (Fig. 5D).

*Ms*GS is feedback inhibited by glutamine

*Bs*GS is inhibited by the reaction product glutamine [18, 19], a feedback regulation under the control of the

Asp50' loop. When glutamine occupies the substrate-binding site, it interacts with Glu304 (*Bs*GS

numbering), required for catalysis. The Arg62' from the Asp50' loop stabilizes this interaction, resulting

in a lock of the Glu-flap, closing the active site. The Arg62' is not conserved in *Mt*GS (substituted by

Gly67') but is present in *Ms*GS (Arg61', Fig. 5E). To investigate this feedback inhibition mechanism, we

performed activity assays with glutamine addition for *Mt*GS and *Ms*GS. As suspected from the

sequences, the addition of glutamine (20 mM) inhibited the activity of *Ms*GS by 97%, while no difference

was observed for *Mt*GS (Fig. 5F). The substitution of the arginine appears to completely abolish

glutamine inhibition.

Direct regulation by 2OG and glutamine within the domain *Archaea*

In addition to the previous studies of archaeal GSI-α [26-28], the characterization of the enzyme from *M.*

thermolithotrophicus and *M. shengliensis* highlighted that the mechanisms of post-translational

regulation are not conserved among archaea. Our study points out that the direct binding of 2OG and

glutamine depends on a restricted number of residues, suggesting that the potential regulation of GS

activity by both 2OG and glutamine might be predictable from the amino acid sequences. The

conservation of residues involved in regulator-binding was analyzed through 500 GS sequences

regrouping *Mt*GS and its closest archaeal homologs in the RefSeq database (Fig. 6 and Fig. S15). The

GSI-α sequences used to build the tree are, in most cases, forming monophyletic branches that separate

archaeal orders (sometimes different groups of GS within orders) and that apparently share a regulation

mechanism (see residue conservation in Fig. S15), except for some sequences including *Ms*GS which

do not clearly branch with any other GS (Fig. 6). Around half of the GS groups isolated from this tree (8

over the 17 archaeal GS groups) appear to harbor the residues necessary for binding either 2OG or

glutamine. In comparison, a third of the GS groups can be theoretically regulated by both (6 over the 17

archaeal GS groups, Fig. 6), of which the enzymes from *H. mediterranei* and *M. mazei* are

representatives. In certain archaeal orders (e.g. *Methanosarcinales*), the genome encodes two GS

isoforms that appear to have different sensitivity toward 2OG and glutamine. The enzymes found in

Thermococcales, *Thermoplasmatales* or *Methanomassiliicoccales* present substitutions that would

hinder their capacities to bind both 2OG and glutamine. Yet, binding at another position in the protein

structure cannot be excluded to allow potential interactions with regulatory partners. This analysis, yet

restricted to a small number of archaeal orders and therefore far from describing the overall archaeal

GS family, suggests variability in regulation strategies among these enzymes pointed out by the

enzymatic and structural analyses.

Discussion

In the absence of a GDH system, GS represents the main entry point for ammonia assimilation, feeding

the cellular metabolism with nitrogen. The ATP-dependent reaction must be under tight control to fit

cellular needs. The GS comes in different flavors in eukaryotes and prokaryotes, as does their regulation

[8, 18, 19, 26-28]. Many studies have been performed on bacterial and eukaryotic enzymes, but only a few are

focused on the GS from the domain *Archaea*. This work unveiled the first GSI-α structures of

representatives from archaea. Their homododecameric organization is highly similar to their bacterial

counterparts. This is in accordance with published phylogenetic analyses in which archaeal and bacterial

GSI-α are part of a monophyletic group (Fig. 6 [9]). Our results expand the knowledge gathered on this

enzyme and aim to dissect the regulation mechanism at the molecular level.

2OG is a sensor for cellular nitrogen availability and has recently been recognized as a master regulator

of multiple biochemical pathways [30], and especially other elements of nitrogen assimilation. For instance,

most of the P_{II}-family regulatory proteins, operating the signaling for nitrogen fluxes (including the

regulation of GS), are regulated by 2OG [30, 35-38]. Previous studies highlighted 2OG as a direct regulator

of GSI-α in *Archaea* [26-28], such as *Haloferax mediteraneii* and *Methanosarcina mazei*, in which 2OG

activates the enzyme by 12-fold [26] and 16-fold [28], respectively. Our study found that *Mt*GS activity is

strictly dependent on this metabolite under the described experimental conditions. *Mt*GS activation is

saturated at 0.6 mM 2OG and is inactive below 0.06 mM. This range remarkably fits the measured 2OG

cellular concentration in the methanogen *Methanococcus maripaludis*, which is around 0.8 mM under

N_2-fixing conditions (nitrogen-limited) and 0.08 mM after ammonium addition [39]. Relying on 2OG

concentration as a sensor of cellular nitrogen availability could benefit an energy-limited organism such

as *M. thermolithotrophicus*, and might represent a "primitive" regulation mechanism, which evolved

before more elaborate regulatory networks emerged. In addition, regulation at the transcriptional level

also occurs as *M. thermolithotrophicus* upregulates *glnA* expression when cells switch to N_2 fixation [40],

and it is known that small RNAs are involved in nitrogen metabolism regulation in prokaryotes, including

methanogens [41].

The structure of *Mt*GS reveals an allosteric pocket perfectly suited to accommodate 2OG via specific

salt bridges, hydrogen bonds, and Van der Waals contacts. Binding of 2OG leads to a succession of

conformational rearrangements resulting in a catalytically competent state. A conservation of all five
residues of the 2OG binding site can be found in other orders of archaea (Fig. 6 and S15). However,
while the motif would allow 2OG binding, the influence on the activity remains to be verified as several
other structural features are involved in the accurate positioning of catalytic residues. A 2OG binding
motif is far from being a feature shared by all archaea, as exemplified by the characterization of the 2OG-
insensitive MsGS. Previous works on the enzymes from $H.$ $mediterranei$ and $M.$ $mazei$ pointed towards
a unified 2OG activation mechanism as main regulation in archaeal GS. However, spreading the analysis
to other species rather highlights a variety of different regulation mechanisms depending on archaeal
groups and enzyme isoforms (Fig. 6). The glutamine inhibition for MsGS, previously described in BsGS
[18], is dependent on one essential arginine (R62') responsible for the Glu-flap sequestration preventing
the release of the product from the active site, which is not happening in MtGS due to a substitution
(Gly67').

Even if both, 2OG and glutamine, act through different mechanisms, e.g. competitive inhibition $versus$
allosteric activation, it is interesting that their modulation acts on the same key determinants. In the
resting state of MtGS without 2OG or the glutamine feedback-inhibited BsGS, the Glu-flap and the
catalytic arginine are locked in an unproductive conformation by the Asp50'-loop. In MtGS the 2OG
binding provokes a major displacement of the N-terminal domain towards the adjacent C-terminal
domain and the overall conformational changes promote a restructuration of the Asp50'-loop, leading to
the repositioning Arg321 and of the Glu-flap, yielding an active conformation. Additionally, the 2OG-
dependent motions provide enough flexibility to allow the correct structuration of the Mg^{2+}/ATP binding
site, similar to MsGS in its resting state.

Kinetic measurements revealed similar apparent K_M for ammonia and glutamate between both GS (Fig.
1E) and are comparable to previous studies [18, 42]. However, they differ more drastically in their apparent
V_{max}. While they are still in the range of previously reported specific activities of bacterial (up to 23
µmol.min^{-1}.mg^{-1} for $B.$ $subtilis$ [43]) and archaeal glutamine synthetases (up to around 6 µmol.min^{-1}.mg^{-1} in
both $H.$ $mediterranei$ and $M.$ $mazei$ [26, 28]), the apparent V_{max} of MsGS is about 30 times lower compared
to MtGS and might indicate the need for additional regulators. The sP26 protein described in $M.$ $mazeii$
is not encoded in the $M.$ $thermolithotrophicus$ and $M.$ $shengliensis$ genomes. However, P_{II}-family proteins
might play a role in MsGS activation that could mediate 2OG sensitivity, a hypothesis that future
exploratory studies will hopefully confirm.

The works gathered on GS illustrate that despite the universal requirement of assimilating ammonia to
fuel nitrogen metabolism and a conserved reaction mechanism, microbes rely on different ways to
modulate this ATP-dependent activity. Such regulatory networks might have been elaborated over time
to adapt to a particular physiology, environment, or catabolism. Opening scientific investigation to a

broader group of organisms will hopefully contribute to extend our knowledge on this crucial and ancient

enzyme.

Materials and Methods

Cultivation

M. thermolithotrophicus (DSM 2095) cells were obtained from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ - German

Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (Braunschweig, Germany). Cells were grown in a

minimal medium as described in Jespersen et al. [44]. Anaerobic cultivation of *M. thermolithotrophicus* was

performed in a fermenter with NH_4Cl and SO_4^{2-} as described in Jespersen et al. 2022 [45] with slight

modifications, or in a fermenter with N_2 and SO_4^{2-} as described in Maslać et al 2022 [40]. We did not

observe dramatic changes in the expression of GS nor its properties between both cultivation processes.

M. shengliensis ZC-1 (DSM 18856) was also obtained from the DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany) and

was grown anaerobically on methanol as previously described in Kurth and Müller et al. 2021 [46].

Purification

Cell lysis and extracts were prepared in an anaerobic chamber at room temperature filled with an N_2/CO_2

atmosphere (90:10 %). Enzyme purification was carried out under anaerobic conditions in a Coy tent

filled with an N_2/H_2 atmosphere (97:3 %), at 20 °C and under yellow light. For each step, chromatography

columns were washed with at least three column volumes (CV) of the corresponding loading buffer, and

samples were filtrated on 0.2 µm filters (Sartorius, Germany) prior to loading. During purification, the

enzyme was followed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and

absorbance monitoring at 280 nm. Purifications were performed with adapting protocols, and the optimal

one is described below for *Mt*GS and *Ms*GS.

*Mt*GS purification

25 g (wet weight) cells were thawed and diluted in 200 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tricine/NaOH pH 8, 2 mM

dithiothreitol (DTT)), sonicated (~5 x 10 sec at 60%, probe KE76 Bandelin SONOPULS, Germany) and

centrifuged at 45,000 x *g* for 45 min at 18 °C. The supernatant was passed twice on a 4x5 ml HiTrap™

DEAE Sepharose FF column (Cytiva, Sweden). Elution was performed with a NaCl gradient ranging

from 150 to 500 mM in the same buffer. The gradient was applied for 90 min at 2 ml/min, and *Mt*GS was

eluted at 170 to 260 mM NaCl. The pool was diluted 1:4 in 20 mM $NaPO_4^{2-}$ pH 7.6 and 2 mM DTT. The

sample was passed twice on 2 x Mini CHT ™ type I column (Bio-Rad, United States). Elution was

performed with a gradient of 0 to 200 mM $NaPO_4^{2-}$ in 10 min, followed by a second gradient from 200 to

500 mM $NaPO_4^{2-}$ in 10 min. The gradient was run at 1.5 ml/min. Under these conditions, *Mt*GS was

eluted at 20 to 200 mM $NaPO_4^{2-}$. The resulting pool was concentrated to 900 µl with a 30-kDa cutoff

concentrator (Sartorius, Germany). The sample was injected thrice on a Superose™ 6 Increase 10/300

GL column (Cytiva, Sweden). The protein was eluted at 0.4 ml/min in 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 10%

glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT. The resulting pool was diluted 1:1 in 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 2

M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 2 mM DTT, and injected on a Source™15 Phe 4.6/100 PE column (Cytiva, Sweden).

Elution was performed with a gradient ranging from 1 to 0 M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ for 60 min at 0.5 ml/min. *Mt*GS

was eluted between 0.86 to 0.74 M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$. The final pool was diluted 1:100 in 50 mM Tricine/NaOH

pH 8, 2 mM DTT, and loaded on a MonoQ™ 5/50 GL column (Cytiva, Sweden). *Mt*GS was eluted with

a NaCl gradient ranging from 0 to 200 mM NaCl in 10 min followed by 200 to 500 mM NaCl in 60 min at

0.5 ml/min (eluted at 375-395 mM NaCl). The final pool was washed 1:1000 in 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6,

10% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and concentrated to 200 μl with a 30-kDa cutoff concentrator

(Sartorius, Germany). The protein concentration was determined via Bradford assay, and the sample

was flash-frozen and stored anaerobically at -80 °C.

*Ms*GS purification

The pellet (4 g) was suspended in 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8 and 2 mM DTT (lysis buffer). Cell lysis and

preparation of extracts were performed similarly, except that the pellet after centrifugation was

resuspended in the lysis buffer, sonicated and centrifuged a second time to extract additional protein.

Soluble fractions were pooled and diluted 1:1.4 with the lysis buffer (a total 15-fold dilution was reached

to decrease the salt concentration). The filter sample was loaded on a 2 x 5 mL HiTrap™ DEAE

Sepharose FF (Cytiva, Sweden) equilibrated with the same buffer. The protein was eluted with a 0 to

400 mM NaCl linear gradient for 150 min at a 2 ml/min flow rate. *Ms*GS eluted between 240 mM and

310 mM NaCl. The pooled sample was diluted with three volumes of lysis buffer and was loaded on a 5

ml HiTrap Q HP™ column (Cytiva, Sweden). The protein was eluted with a 150 to 500 mM NaCl linear

gradient using a 70 min at a 1 ml/min flow rate. *Ms*GS was eluted between 0.38 and 0.41 M NaCl under

these conditions. The pooled sample was diluted with 1 volume of 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 2 M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$

and 2 mM DTT before loading on a 5 ml HiTrap™ Phenyl Sepharose HP column (Cytiva, Sweden)

equilibrated with the same buffer. *Ms*GS was eluted with a 1.1 to 0 M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ linear gradient for 70

min at a 1 ml/min flow rate. The protein was eluted between 0.91 M and 0.81 M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$. Pooled

fractions were concentrated on a 10-kDa cutoff centrifugal concentrator (Sartorius, Germany), and the

buffer was exchanged for 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM DTT.

5-12% gradient hrCN PAGE was adapted as described in Lemaire et al. [47] (originally described in

Lemaire et al. [48]) and run at 40 mA for 1 h.

Activity assays

GS activities were measured using the pyruvate kinase/ lactate dehydrogenase (PK/LDH) coupled

enzymes from rabbit muscle ordered from Sigma-Aldrich (containing 600-1,000 units/ml pyruvate kinase

900-1,400 units/ml lactate dehydrogenase). The activity was measured by following NADH oxidation
resulting in the change of absorbance at 340 nm (Fig. S2).

Absorbance was measured aerobically in a 96-well plate with a SPEKTROstarNano (BMG Labtech,
Germany) at 45 °C in 100 µl final reaction volume. GS was added immediately after opening the
anaerobic storage flask to minimize the negative effects of oxygen and low temperature. Activities were
measured in triplicate.

All reagents were prepared in the reaction buffer (200 mM KH_2PO_4 pH 7, 10 mM KCl) apart from $MgCl_2$
x $6H_2O$ which was dissolved in water. The standard reaction mix contained as a final concentration: 1
mM NADH (freshly prepared), 2 mM PEP (freshly prepared), 3 mM ATP (freshly prepared), 50 or 80 mM
sodium glutamate (for MtGS and MsGS, respectively), 20 mM NH_4Cl, 25 mM $MgCl_2$ x $6H_2O$, 2 mM
sodium 2OG (freshly prepared), 0.02 mg/ml GS and 5 µl PK/LDH from a 1:10 dilution of the stock. Kinetic
parameters were determined by varying the glutamate concentrations from 0 to 100 mM for MtGS and
0 to 200 mM for MsGS, and NH_4Cl from 0 to 5 mM for MtGS and 0 to 20 mM for MsGS. The determination
of the $K_{0.5}$ and hill coefficient for MtGS activation was performed by varying the 2OG concentration from
0 to 5 mM. Glutamine feedback inhibition was measured at 20 mM glutamine. However, it has to be
mentioned that in this case, both MtGS and MsGS have been frozen at -80 °C for an extensive period
(2.5 and 1 year, respectively) which affected the specific activity. Unspecific interactions with malate and
succinate for MtGS were measured at 15 mM of the compounds by preparing the reaction mix without
2OG, measuring for 20 min and then adding 2OG (2 mM final). Here, the activity was also recorded from
the enzyme frozen for one year at -80 °C. O_2 sensitivity was measured in anaerobic cuvettes with 0.01
mg/ml MtGS, starting the reaction with glutamate addition. All solutions were prepared anaerobically,
and then half of the master mix, GS and glutamate were separately incubated for one hour at ambient
air. The anaerobic or aerobic compounds were combined, and activity was measured immediately. It
has been previously observed that certain buffer conditions contribute to a faster activity loss, including
compounds like β-mercaptoethanol or dithiothreitol [42]. Therefore, it should be considered when
comparing specific activities from different studies, as it might also affect the O_2-effect on enzymes.

Rates were measured by exploiting the linear regression for each dataset through the points with the
steepest, most linear slope. The control slope without enzyme was subtracted from the slope obtained
with the enzyme in the same timeframe. Activities are presented in µmol of NADH oxidized per minute
per mg of added GS, using a molar extinction coefficient of ε_{340nm}= 6,220 $M^{-1}.cm^{-1}$ for NADH. The
apparent K_M and V_{max} were calculated with the Km Vmax Tool Kit (ic50tk/kmvmax.html).

Crystallization

All proteins were crystallized fresh without any freezing step, and all were obtained through the sitting

drop method on a 96-Well MRC 2-Drop Crystallization Plates in polystyrene (SWISSCI, United Kingdom)

at 20 °C under anaerobic conditions (N_2:H_2, gas ratio of 97:3).

*Mt*GS apo without TbXo4 was crystallized at a concentration of 15 mg/ml. The crystallization reservoir

contained 90 μL of mother liquor (20 % w/v polyethylene glycol 3,350 and 100 mM potassium sodium

tartrate), the crystallization drop contained a mixture of 0.55 μL protein and 0.55 μL precipitant.

Hexagonal plates appeared after few weeks and were soaked in the mother liquor supplemented with

25% v/v ethylene glycol prior to freezing in liquid nitrogen.

*Mt*GS with 2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP was crystallized at 3.7 mg/ml with a final concentration of 2 mM 2OG, 2 mM

ATP and 2 mM MgCl. The crystallization reservoir contained 90 μl of mother liquor (20 % w/v

polyethylene glycol 3,350 and 200 mM sodium fluoride). The crystallization drop contained 0.6 μl GS

with ligands and 0.6 μl precipitant. Short thick hexagonal rods appeared after few weeks and were

soaked in the mother liquor supplemented with 20 % v/v glycerol prior to freezing in liquid nitrogen.

*Mt*GS with 2OG/Mg^{2+} was crystallized at 3.4 mg/ml with a final concentration of 2 mM 2OG and 2 mM

MgCl. The crystallization reservoir contained 90 μl of mother liquor (25 % w/v Polyethylene glycol 1,500

and 100 mM SPG (succinic acid, sodium dihydrogen phosphate, and glycine) buffer pH 5.0), and the

crystallization drop contained 0.6 μl GS with ligands and 0.6 μl precipitant. Hexagonal plates obtained

after few weeks were soaked in the mother liquor supplemented with 15 % v/v glycerol prior to freezing

in liquid nitrogen.

All *Ms*GS crystals were obtained by mixing of 0.55 μL protein at 9 mg/ml with 0.55 μL precipitant.

For *Ms*GS apo 1 the crystallization reservoir contained 90 μl of 200 mM ammonium formate and 20 %

(w/v) polyethylene glycol 3,350. Rectangular rods appeared within weeks, and crystals were soaked in

the mother liquor supplemented with 25% v/v ethylene glycol prior to freezing in liquid nitrogen. *Ms*GS-

Mg^{2+}/ATP was obtained from the same condition. Here, crystals were soaked for 4 min in the

crystallization solution supplemented with 10 mM ATP/Mg^{2+}/sodium glutamate and then back soaked in

the crystallization solution supplemented with 25% glycerol prior to freezing in liquid nitrogen. It is worth

noting that no additional electron density could be attributed despite soaking the *Ms*GS crystal with 10

mM glutamate. Therefore, the structure was named *Ms*GS-Mg^{2+}/ATP bound complex. The absence of

binding might come from a packing artefact, a low occupancy of magnesium in the active site, or the

aberrant position of the ATP phosphate backbone.

For *Ms*GS apo 2 the crystallization reservoir contained 90 μl of 1.6 M sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate.

Flat squares appeared within weeks, and crystals were soaked in the mother liquor supplemented with

30% v/v glycerol prior to freezing in liquid nitrogen.

Data collection, processing and structure refinement

All datasets were collected at 100 K at the Swiss Light Source (SLS), beamline PXIII (X06DA). Data processing was performed with *autoPROC* [49] combined with STARANISO [50] except for *Mt*GS apo co-crystallized with the TbXo4 (obtained from Engilberge et al. 2019 [31]), which was processed by XDS [51] and scaled with SCALA from the CCP4 package. *Mt*GS structure was solved by molecular replacement with MOLREP (CCP4) [52] by using the closest structural homolog from *Bacillus subtilis* (*Bs*GS resting state, PDB 4LNN). Other *Mt*GS structures were solved by molecular replacement with PHASER from the PHENIX package with the *Mt*GS apo + TbXo4 model. *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP was used as template to solve *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+} and *Ms*GS apo 1 structures with PHASER. The two other *Ms*GS structures were solved with PHASER by using *Ms*GS apo 1 as template.

Refinement was performed with *PHENIX* [53] and *BUSTER* [54] in combination with fast automatic visual model building in *COOT* [55]. All models were systematically validated by using Molprobity [56]. *Mt*GS apo cocrystallized with TbXo4 was refined with considering all atoms except water anisotropic. Additionally, riding hydrogens were added during the refinement. *Mt*GS apo without TbXo4 was refined by applying translation libration screw model (TLS) and adding riding hydrogens. Hydrogens were omitted in these final deposited models. In addition, this dataset was refined by applying the following twin operator: 1/2h+1/2k,3/2h-1/2k,-l. *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP was refined in BUSTER by applying non crystallography symmetry (NCS) and TLS, while *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+} was refined in PHENIX with TLS and without NCS and with riding hydrogens.

All *Ms*GS models were refined by applying TLS, without NCS and without generating hydrogens.

The PDB ID codes, data collection and refinement statistics for the deposited models are listed in Tables S1 and S2.

Structural analyses.

All structure visualization was performed with PyMol (2.2.0 Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, USA). RMSD putty graphs were generated by generating RMSD values with SUPERPOSE from the CCP4 package [52], substituting the B-values in the according PDB file with the new RMSD values and displaying it in the Putty preset in PyMol. Coloring was set to "spectrum b, yellow_orange_magenta, minimum=0, maximum=5". Putty scaling was set to absolute linear scaling from the B factor column.

Sequence alignments were generated with Clustal Omega [57] and superposed to the secondary structure with the Espript 3.0 server (https://espript.ibcp.fr) [58].

Phylogeny and residues conservation analysis.

The *Mt*GS sequence was used as query for a BLAST P [59] search in the RefSeq database, restricted to
the *Archaea* domain. This database was used to limit the redundancy of sequences from similar species.
The research limit was set to 500 sequences. The sequences of the GS from *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *P.*
polymyxa and *L. monocytogenes* were added, leading to a total of 504 sequences, all listed in Table S3.
The phylogenic tree was constructed by the MEGA X program [60]. The alignment was done by MUSCLE
with default parameters and the tree was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood method and JTT
matrix-based model [61]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-191831.27) is shown. The node scores
were calculated with 200 replications. There were a total of 656 positions in the final dataset. The
branches were manually colored according to the taxonomy from the NCBI database [62]. The tree was
visualized and imaged using iTol v6 [63].
The sequences were manually separated in different monophyletic groups. The different sequences
forming the groups were aligned by Clustal Omega [57] and the residue conservation images were
constructed using Weblogo 3 (version 3.7.12) [64] based on the residues position in *Mt*GS and multiple
sequences alignments.
515

Acknowledgments
We would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology and the Max-Planck-Society for
their continuous support. We are also grateful for the beam time allocation at SLS and the support of the
PXIII beamline staff. We would also like to thank Christina Probian and Ramona Appel from the Microbial
Metabolism laboratory for their continuous support, as well as Marion Jespersen and Nevena Maslać for
providing *M. thermolithotrophicus* cells. We acknowledge Sylvain Engilberge, Éric Girard, Olivier Maury
and François Riobé for their contribution to the *Ms*GS-TbXo4 structure. We are grateful to Stian Torset
and Tomás Alarcón Schumacher for their help with data formatting for the RMSD graph generation. C.W.
and J.M.K. were supported by the SIAM gravitation program (grant #024002002) granted by the
Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research and the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science.
J.M.K was furthermore supported by the Deutsche Forschungs Gesellschafts (DFG) Grant KU 3768/1-
1.

Author Contributions: *Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus* cultivation was mainly performed
by M-C.M. *M. shengliensis* was cultivated by J.M.K. *Mt*GS and *Ms*GS were purified and crystallized by
M-C.M and O.N.L., respectively. Biochemical characterization and activity assays were performed by M-
C.M. X-ray data collection was performed by M-C.M., O.N.L and T.W. Data processing, model building,
structure refinement, validation and deposition were performed by M-C.M and T.W. Structures were
analyzed by M-C.M. and T.W. O.N.L. performed phylogenetic analyses. C.U.W. and T.W. acquired
funding to realize the project. The paper was written by M-C.M, O.N.L. and T.W. with contributions and
final approval of all co-authors.

Competing Interest Statement: Authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Cabello, P.; Roldán, M. D.; Moreno-Vivián, C., Nitrate reduction and the nitrogen cycle in
archaea. *Microbiology* **2004,** *150* (Pt 11), 3527-3546.
2.   Kuypers, M. M. M.; Marchant, H. K.; Kartal, B., The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **2018,** *16* (5), 263-276.
3.   Zhang, X.; Ward, B. B.; Sigman, D. M., Global Nitrogen Cycle: Critical Enzymes, Organisms,
and Processes for Nitrogen Budgets and Dynamics. *Chem Rev* **2020,** *120* (12), 5308-5351.
4.   Martinez-Espinosa, R. M., Microorganisms and Their Metabolic Capabilities in the Context of
the Biogeochemical Nitrogen Cycle at Extreme Environments. *International Journal of Molecular*
Sciences **2020,** *21* (12).
5.   Arcondéguy, T.; Jack, R.; Merrick, M., P$_{II}$ Signal Transduction Proteins, Pivotal Players in
Microbial Nitrogen Control. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **2001,** *65* (1), 80-105.
6.   Eisenberg, D.; Gill, H. S.; Pfluegl, G. M.; Rotstein, S. H., Structure-function relationships of
glutamine synthetases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **2000,** *1477* (1-2), 122-45.
7.   van den Heuvel, R. H. H.; Curti, B.; Vanoni, M. A.; Mattevi, A., Glutamate synthase: a
fascinating pathway from L-glutamine to L-glutamate. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* **2004,** *61* (6),
669-681.
8.   Leigh, J. A.; Dodsworth, J. A., Nitrogen Regulation in Bacteria and Archaea. *Annu Rev Microbiol*
2007, *61*, 349-77.
9.   de Carvalho Fernandes, G.; Turchetto-Zolet, A. C.; Pereira Passaglia, L. M., Glutamine
synthetase evolutionary history revisited: Tracing back beyond the Last Universal Common Ancestor.
Evolution **2022,** *76* (3), 605-622.
10.   Almassy, R. J.; Janson, C. A.; Hamlin, R.; Xuong, N. H.; Eisenberg, D., Novel subunit-subunit
interactions in the structure of glutamine-synthetase. *Nature* **1986,** *323* (6086), 304-309.
11.   Unno, H.; Uchida, T.; Sugawara, H.; Kurisu, G.; Sugiyama, T.; Yamaya, T.; Sakakibara, H.;
Hase, T.; Kusunoki, M., Atomic Structure of Plant Glutamine Synthetase: A KEY ENZYME FOR PLANT
PRODUCTIVITY. *J Biol Chem* **2006,** *281* (39), 29287-96.
12.   He, Y. X.; Gui, L.; Liu, Y. Z.; Du, Y.; Zhou, Y. Y.; Li, P.; Zhou, C. Z., Crystal structure of
Saccharomyces cerevisiae glutamine synthetase Gln1 suggests a nanotube-like supramolecular
assembly. *Proteins* **2009,** *76* (1), 249-254.
13.   van Rooyen, J. M.; Abratt, V. R.; Belrhali, H.; Sewell, T., Crystal Structure of Type III Glutamine
Synthetase: Surprising Reversal of the Inter-Ring Interface. *Structure* **2011,** *19* (4), 471-483.
14.   Pesole, G.; Gissi, C.; Lanave, C.; Saccone, C., Glutamine Synthetase Gene Evolution in
Bacteria. *Mol Biol Evol* **1995,** *12* (2), 189-97.
15.   Brown, J. R.; Masuchi, Y.; Robb, F. T.; Doolittle, W. F., Evolutionary Relationships of Bacterial
and Archaeal Glutamine Synthetase Genes. *J Mol Evol* **1994,** *38* (6), 566-76.
16.   Kumada, Y.; Benson, D. R.; Hillemann, D.; Hosted, T. J.; Rochefort, D. A.; Thompson, C. J.;
Wohlleben, W.; Tateno, Y., Evolution of the glutamine-synthetase gene, one of the oldest existing and
functioning genes. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **1993,** *90* (7), 3009-3013.
17.   Pesole, G.; Bozzetti, M. P.; Lanave, C.; Preparata, G.; Saccone, C., Glutamine synthetase
gene evolution: A good molecular clock. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **1991,** *88* (2), 522-526.
18.   Murray, D. S.; Chinnam, N.; Tonthat, N. K.; Whitfill, T.; Wray, L. V., Jr.; Fisher, S. H.;
Schumacher, M. A., Structures of the *Bacillus subtilis* Glutamine Synthetase Dodecamer Reveal Large
Intersubunit Catalytic Conformational Changes Linked to a Unique Feedback Inhibition Mechanism. *J*
Biol Chem **2013,** *288* (50), 35801-11.
19.   Travis, B. A.; Peck, J. V.; Salinas, R.; Dopkins, B.; Lent, N.; Nguyen, V. D.; Borgnia, M. J.;
Brennan, R. G.; Schumacher, M. A., Molecular dissection of the glutamine synthetase-GlnR nitrogen
regulatory circuitry in Gram-positive bacteria. *Nat Commun* **2022,** *13* (1), 3793.
20.   Liaw, S. H.; Kuo, I. C.; Eisenberg, D., Discovery of the ammonium substrate site on glutamine
synthetase, a third cation binding site. *Protein Science* **1995,** *4* (11), 2358-2365.

21. Martinez-Espinosa, R. M.; Esclapez, J.; Bautista, V.; Bonete, M. J., An octameric prokaryotic glutamine synthetase from the haloarchaeon *Haloferax mediterranei. FEMS Microbiol Lett* **2006,** *264* (1), 110-6.

22. Adul Rahman, R. N.; Jongsareejit, B.; Fujiwara, S.; Imanaka, T., Characterization of Recombinant Glutamine Synthetase from the Hyperthermophilic Archaeon *Pyrococcus* sp. strain KOD1. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **1997,** *63* (6), 2472-6.

23. Neelon, K.; Schreier, H. J.; Meekins, H.; Robinson, P. M.; Roberts, M. F., Compatible solute effects on thermostability of glutamine synthetase and aspartate transcarbamoylase from *Methanococcus jannaschii. BBA-Proteins Proteom* **2005,** *1753* (2), 164-173.

24. Robinson, P.; Neelon, K.; Schreier, H. J.; Roberts, M. F., β-Glutamate as a Substrate for Glutamine Synthetase. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **2001,** *67* (10), 4458-63.

25. Yin, Z. M.; Purschke, W. G.; Schafer, G.; Schmidt, C. L., The Glutamine Synthetase from the Hyperthermoacidophilic Crenarcheon *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*: Isolation, Characterization and Sequencing of the Gene. *Biol Chem* **1998,** *379* (11), 1349-1354.

26. Pedro-Roig, L.; Camacho, M.; Bonete, M. J., Regulation of ammonium assimilation in *Haloferax mediterranei*: Interaction between glutamine synthetase and two GlnK proteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **2013,** *1834* (1), 16-23.

27. Gutt, M.; Jordan, B.; Weidenbach, K.; Gudzuhn, M.; Kiessling, C.; Cassidy, L.; Helbig, A.; Tholey, A.; Pyper, D. J.; Kubatova, N.; Schwalbe, H.; Schmitz, R. A., High complexity of Glutamine synthetase regulation in *Methanosarcina mazei*: Small protein 26 interacts and enhances glutamine synthetase activity. *FEBS J* **2021,** *288* (18), 5350-5373.

28. Ehlers, C.; Weidenbach, K.; Veit, K.; Forchhammer, K.; Schmitz, R. A., Unique mechanistic features of post-translational regulation of glutamine synthetase activity in *Methanosarcina mazei* strain Gö1 in response to nitrogen availability. *Mol Microbiol* **2005,** *55* (6), 1841-54.

29. Ehlers, C.; Grabbe, R.; Veit, K.; Schmitz, R. A., Characterization of GlnK$_1$ from *Methanosarcina mazei* strain Go1: Complementation of an *Escherichia coli* glnK mutant strain by GlnK$_1$ (vol 184, pg 1040, 2002). *Journal of Bacteriology* **2002,** *184* (8), 2331-2331.

30. Huergo, L. F.; Dixon, R., The Emergence of 2-Oxoglutarate as a Master Regulator Metabolite. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **2015,** *79* (4), 419-35.

31. Engilberge, S.; Wagner, T.; Santoni, G.; Breyton, C.; Shima, S.; Franzetti, B.; Riobé, F.; Maury, O.; Girard, E., Protein crystal structure determination with the crystallophore, a nucleating and phasing agent. *J Appl Crystallogr* **2019,** *52* (Pt 4), 722-731.

32. Cheng, L.; Qiu, T. L.; Yin, X. B.; Wu, X. L.; Hu, G. Q.; Deng, Y.; Zhang, H., *Methermicoccus shengliensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic, methylotrophic methanogen isolated from oil-production water, and proposal of *Methermicoccaceae* fam. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **2007,** *57* (Pt 12), 2964-2969.

33. Liaw, S. H.; Eisenberg, D., Structural Model for the Reaction Mechanism of Glutamine Synthetase, Based on Five Crystal Structures of Enzyme-Substrate Complexes. *Biochemistry* **1994,** *33* (3), 675-81.

34. Krajewski, W. W.; Collins, R.; Holmberg-Schiavone, L.; Jones, T. A.; Karlberg, T.; Mowbray, S. L., Crystal Structures of Mammalian Glutamine Synthetases Illustrate Substrate-Induced Conformational Changes and Provide Opportunities for Drug and Herbicide Design. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2008,** *375* (1), 217-228.

35. Arcondéguy, T.; Jack, R.; Merrick, M., P$_{II}$ Signal Transduction Proteins, Pivotal Players in Microbial Nitrogen Control. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **2001,** *65* (1), 80-105.

36. Forchhammer, K.; Selim, K. A.; Huergo, L. F., New views on PII signaling: from nitrogen sensing to global metabolic control. *Trends in Microbiology* **2022,** *30* (8), 722-735.

37. L. F. Huergo; G. Chandra; M. Merrick, P$_{II}$ signal transduction proteins: nitrogen regulation and beyond. *Fems Microbiology Reviews* **2013,** *37* (2), 251-283.

38. Müller, M.-C.; Wagner, T., The Oxoglutarate Binding Site and Regulatory Mechanism Are Conserved in Ammonium Transporter Inhibitors GlnKs from *Methanococcales*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2021,** *22* (16).

39.   Dodsworth, J. A.; Cady, N. C.; Leigh, J. A., 2-Oxoglutarate and the PII homologues NifI$_1$ and
NifI$_2$ regulate nitrogenase activity in cell extracts of *Methanococcus maripaludis*. *Mol Microbiol* **2005,** *56*
(6), 1527-38.
40.   Maslać, N.; Sidhu, C.; Teeling, H.; Wagner, T., Comparative Transcriptomics Sheds Light on
Remodeling of Gene Expression during Diazotrophy in the Thermophilic Methanogen
Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus. *Mbio* **2022,** *13* (6).
41.   Prasse, D.; Schmitz, R. A., Small RNAs Involved in Regulation of Nitrogen Metabolism. *Microbiol*
Spectr **2018,** *6* (4).
42.   Wedler, F. C.; Shreve, D. S.; Kenney, R. M.; Ashour, A. E.; Carfi, J.; Rhee, S. G., Two
Glutamine Synthetases from *Bacillus caldolyticus*, an Extreme Thermophile. ISOLATION,
PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND KINETIC PROPERTIES. *J Biol Chem* **1980,** *255* (19), 9507-16.
43.   Wang, Q.; Min, C.; Yan, T. T.; Pu, H. F.; Xin, Y. Q.; Zhang, S. Q.; Luo, L.; Yin, Z. M.,
Production of glutamine synthetase in *Escherichia coli* using SUMO fusion partner and application to l-
glutamine synthesis. *World J Microb Biot* **2011,** *27* (11), 2603-2610.
44.   Jespersen, M.; Pierik, A. J.; Wagner, T., Structures of the sulfite detoxifying F$_{420}$-dependent
enzyme from *Methanococcales*. *Nat Chem Biol* **2023**.
45.   Jespersen, M.; Wagner, T., How a methanogen assimilates sulfate: Structural and functional
elucidation of the complete sulfate-reduction pathway. *bioRxiv* **2022,** *2022.10.18.512691*.
46.   Kurth, J. M.; Müller, M.-C.; Welte, C. U.; Wagner, T., Structural Insights into the Methane-
Generating Enzyme from a Methoxydotrophic Methanogen Reveal a Restrained Gallery of Post-
Translational Modifications. *Microorganisms* **2021,** *9* (4).
47.   Lemaire, O. N.; Müller, M. C.; Kahnt, J.; Wagner, T., Structural Rearrangements of a
Dodecameric Ketol-Acid Reductoisomerase Isolated from a Marine Thermophilic Methanogen.
Biomolecules **2021,** *11* (11).
48.   Lemaire, O. N.; Infossi, P.; Ali Chaouche, A.; Espinosa, L.; Leimkuhler, S.; Giudici-Orticoni,
M. T.; Méjean, V.; Iobbi-Nivol, C., Small membranous proteins of the TorE/NapE family, crutches for
cognate respiratory systems in Proteobacteria. *Sci Rep* **2018,** *8* (1), 13576.
49.   Vonrhein, C.; Flensburg, C.; Keller, P.; Sharff, A.; Smart, O.; Paciorek, W.; Womack, T.;
Bricogne, G., Data processing and analysis with the *autoPROC* toolbox. *Acta Crystallogr D* **2011,** *67*,
293-302.
50.   Tickle, I. J.; Flensburg, C.; Keller, P.; Paciorek, W.; Sharff, A.; Vonrhein, C.; Bricogne, G.,
STARANISO. http://staraniso.globalphasing.org/cgi-bin/staraniso.cgi. *Global Phasing Ltd., Cambridge,*
United Kingdom.
51.   Kabsch, W., *XDS*. *Acta Crystallographica Section D-Biological Crystallography* **2010,** *66*, 125-
132.
52.   Winn, M. D.; Ballard, C. C.; Cowtan, K. D.; Dodson, E. J.; Emsley, P.; Evans, P. R.; Keegan,
R. M.; Krissinel, E. B.; Leslie, A. G. W.; McCoy, A.; McNicholas, S. J.; Murshudov, G. N.; Pannu, N.
S.; Potterton, E. A.; Powell, H. R.; Read, R. J.; Vagin, A.; Wilson, K. S., Overview of the *CCP4* suite
and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr D* **2011,** *67*, 235-242.
53.   Liebschner, D.; Afonine, P. V.; Baker, M. L.; Bunkóczi, G.; Chen, V. B.; Croll, T. I.; Hintze,
B.; Hung, L. W.; Jain, S.; McCoy, A. J.; Moriarty, N. W.; Oeffner, R. D.; Poon, B. K.; Prisant, M. G.;
Read, R. J.; Richardson, J. S.; Richardson, D. C.; Sammito, M. D.; Sobolev, O. V.; Stockwell, D. H.;
Terwilliger, T. C.; Urzhumtsev, A. G.; Videau, L. L.; Williams, C. J.; Adams, P. D., Macromolecular
structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in *Phenix*. *Acta*
Crystallogr D **2019,** *75*, 861-877.
54.   Bricogne, G.; Blanc, E.; Brandl, M.; Flensburg, C.; Keller, P.; Paciorek, W.; Roversi, P.;
Sharff, A.; Smart, O. S.; Vonrhein, C., Buster Version 2.10.4. *Cambridge, United Kingdom: Global*
Phasing Ltd. **2017**.
55.   Emsley, P.; Lohkamp, B.; Scott, W. G.; Cowtan, K., Features and development of *Coot*. *Acta*
Crystallographica Section D-Biological Crystallography **2010,** *66*, 486-501.
56.   Chen, V. B.; Arendall, W. B.; Headd, J. J.; Keedy, D. A.; Immormino, R. M.; Kapral, G. J.;
Murray, L. W.; Richardson, J. S.; Richardson, D. C., *MolProbity*: all-atom structure validation for
macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D* **2010,** *66*, 12-21.

57.   Sievers, F.; Wilm, A.; Dineen, D.; Gibson, T. J.; Karplus, K.; Li, W. Z.; Lopez, R.; McWilliam,
H.; Remmert, M.; Söding, J.; Thompson, J. D.; Higgins, D. G., Fast, scalable generation of high-quality
protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **2011,** *7*.
58.   Robert, X.; Gouet, P., Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript
server. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2014,** *42* (W1), W320-W324.
59.   Altschul, S. F.; Madden, T. L.; Schaffer, A. A.; Zhang, J. H.; Zhang, Z.; Miller, W.; Lipman, D.
J., Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic
Acids Research* **1997,** *25* (17), 3389-3402.
60.   Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Tamura, K., MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version
7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **2016,** *33* (7), 1870-1874.
61.   Jones, D. T.; Taylor, W. R.; Thornton, J. M., *The rapid generation of mutation data matrices
from protein sequences. Comput Appl Biosci* **1992,** *8* (3), 275-282.
62.   Schoch, C. L.; Ciufo, S.; Domrachev, M.; Hotton, C. L.; Kannan, S.; Khovanskaya, R.; Leipe,
D.; Mcveigh, R.; O'Neill, K.; Robbertse, B.; Sharma, S.; Soussov, V.; Sullivan, J. P.; Sun, L.; Turner,
S.; Karsch-Mizrachi, I., NCBI Taxonomy: a comprehensive update on curation, resources and tools.
Database-Oxford **2020**.
63.   Letunic, I.; Bork, P., Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display
and annotation. *Bioinformatics* **2007,** *23* (1), 127-128.
64.   Crooks, G. E.; Hon, G.; Chandonia, J. M.; Brenner, S. E., WebLogo: A Sequence Logo
Generator. *Genome Res* **2004,** *14* (6), 1188-1190.

Figures and Tables

714
715

716
717
718
719 **Figure 1: Purification and characterization of GS from methanogenic archaea.** (A) SDS-PAGE and
720 (B) Native PAGE of 2 µg purified *Mt*GS and *Ms*GS. (C) Relative activity of *Mt*GS at different 2OG
721 concentrations. (D) The specific activity of *Ms*GS with or without 2 mM 2OG at 40 mM glutamate and 20
722 mM NH_4Cl. (E) Kinetic parameters of both GS for NH_4Cl and glutamate. All activities were measured in
723 triplicates.

Figure 2: Structural organization of archaeal GS. All models are represented in cartoons. (A) Top view of one *Mt*GS hexamer. (B) Side view of the *Mt*GS dodecamer with one subunit shown in a transparent surface (cyan). (C) *Mt*GS monomer with the main loops highlighted. The color coding of the loops is indicated in the box. The N- and C- terminal domains are colored light blue and cyan, respectively. (D) Top view of one *Ms*GS hexamer. (E) The side view of the *Ms*GS dodecamer with one subunit is shown as a transparent surface (purple). (F) *Ms*GS monomer with the main loops highlighted with the same color coding as in panel C. The N-and C- terminal domains are colored lavender and purple, respectively. (G) Overlay of *Mt*GS (cyan) and *Bs*GS apo state (red, PDB 4LNN). (H) Overlay of *Ms*GS (purple) and *Bs*GS apo state (red). For panels G and H, the star indicates the position of the loop deviating in both archaeal GS compared to *Bs*GS. Mg atoms are displayed as green spheres.

Figure 3: 2OG binding site and structural rearrangement in *Mt*GS. (A) Close-up of the 2OG binding site in *Mt*GS (cyan cartoon, the adjacent monomer in light blue). 2OG and the residues in its vicinity are shown as balls and sticks with contacts in black dashes. (B-C) Same view as in A showing *Ms*GS apo (B, purple cartoon, with the adjacent subunit in light purple) and *Bs*GS (C, red cartoon, with the adjacent subunit in light red, PDB 4LNN). 2OG from *Mt*GS (grey) was superposed to visualize the clash with E89' for *Ms*GS and E36'/Y20' for *Bs*GS. (D) Sequence alignment of different GSI-α in which 2OG-binding residues observed in *Mt*GS are highlighted with a cyan box (see Fig. S7 for the entire alignment). (E) Structural rearrangements between the apo (grey cartoon) and 2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP bound state (cyan cartoon). The adjacent monomer is colored lighter. Phe18' is shown as sticks. Arrows highlight the movements caused by 2OG binding. (F) *Mt*GS apo (grey cartoon) superposed to *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP (cyan cartoon). The superposition was done on one monomer, and a dashed line was drawn on the Cα position of Val4, Gly198, and Asn267 to illustrate the overall movements. For all, oxygen, nitrogen, and phosphorus are colored in red, blue, and orange, respectively. Carbons are colored depending on the chain and in yellow for ligands.

Figure 4: ATP-binding site comparison between different GSI-α. (A) ATP binding site in *Bs*GS transition state (containing SOX/Mg^{2+}/ADP, PDB 4LNI) and (B) *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP. (C) Superposition of the C-terminal domain of *Mt*GS apo (grey) on *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg^{2+}/ATP (cyan), with an overlay of the ATP-binding residues. (D) ATP binding site in *Ms*GS-Mg^{2+}/ATP. Models are represented in transparent cartoons with the ligands (yellow) and interacting residues shown as balls and sticks. Oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, phosphorus, and magnesium are colored red, blue, dark yellow, orange, and green, respectively. Carbons are colored by chain and ATP carbons in yellow. Hydrogen bonds are visualized as black dashes. (E) Sequence alignment of the ATP binding residues. Residues coordinating the nucleotide via side chain and main chain hydrogen bonds are highlighted by a blue and green box, respectively (based on *Mt*GS). *Ms*GS K43'/S327 were omitted from the analysis due to the artefactual γ-phosphate position.

Figure 5. Glutamate-binding site comparison and glutamine feedback inhibition. (A-D) Glutamate binding site in (A) *Bs*GS-SOX/Mg²⁺/ADP (red, 4LNI), (B) *Mt*GS-2OG/Mg²⁺/ATP (cyan), (C) *Mt*GS apo (cyan), and (D) *Ms*GS-Mg²⁺/ATP (purple). Models are in cartoons with ligands and equivalent residues binding SOX as balls and sticks. Oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, phosphorus, and magnesium are colored red, blue, dark yellow, orange, and green, respectively. Carbons are colored by chain and ATP carbons in yellow. Hydrogen bonds are visualized as black dashes. The Asp-50' loop region is highlighted by a blue glow. For *Ms*GS, the Tyr-loop is highlighted with an orange glow. (E) Alignment of the residues involved in glutamate binding (based on *Bs*GS). Side chain and main chain interactions are highlighted by a blue and green box, respectively. The arginine responsible for glutamine feedback inhibition in *Bs*GS is highlighted by a star. (F) Specific activity in the absence and presence of glutamine in both archaeal GS.

Figure 6. Conservation of residues binding 2OG and glutamine in archaeal GS. The presented phylogenic tree (maximum likelihood) was constructed with the 500 closest sequences to *Mt*GS in the RefSeq database, restricted to the domain *Archaea*, as well as the sequences of the bacterial GSI-α from *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *L. monocytogenes* and *P. polymyxa*. The tree was colored by orders (except for *Bacteria*), and grey dots with different radii represent the bootstrap support of each branch. Branches containing monophyletic groups are collapsed. The most common residues at positions involved in 2OG (Arg20, Arg88, Arg174, Arg175 and Ser191, *Mt*GS numbering, bottom line) and glutamine (position 67 in *Mt*GS, upper line) according to multiple sequence alignment are presented. Ala34 in *Mt*GS is not involved in 2OG coordination, but a substitution by a bulky residue (e.g. glutamate) would hinder its fixation. The residues are colored in light grey or dark grey depending on whether they allow metabolite binding or not, respectively. The residue distribution for each position in each group is presented in Fig. S15, and the sequences used in Table S3. The GS that are predicted to be able or unable to bind 2OG and glutamine are framed in green and red, respectively. Red dots indicate the GSI-α structurally characterized previously or in the present work. The branch containing 337 sequences belonging to *Natrialbales*, *Halobacteriales* and *Haloferales* orders is condensed as no clear monophyletic groups could have been extracted.