Allele pairing at Sun1-enriched domains at the nuclear periphery

via T_1A_3 tandem DNA repeats

Gayan I. Balasooriya[1] and David L. Spector[1]*

[1]Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
One Bungtown Road
Cold Spring Harbor, New York 11724. USA.

*Corresponding author: spector@cshl.edu

Abstract

Spatiotemporal gene regulation is fundamental to the biology of diploid cells. Therefore,

effective communication between two alleles and their geometry in the nucleus is important.

However, the mechanism that fine-tunes the expression from each of the two alleles of an autosome

is enigmatic. Establishing an allele-specific gene expression visualization system in living cells,

we show that alleles of biallelically expressed *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes are paired prior to acquiring

monoallelic expression. We found that active alleles of monoallelic genes are preferentially

localized at Sun1-enriched domains at the nuclear periphery. These peripherally localized active

DNA loci are enriched with adenine-thymidine-rich tandem repeats that interact with Hnrnpd and

reside in a Hi-C-defined A compartment within the B compartment. Our results demonstrate the

biological significance of T_1A_3 tandem repeat sequences in genome organization and how the

regulation of gene expression, at the level of individual alleles, relates to their spatial arrangement.

Introduction

Numerous studies have shown that chromatin is localized in chromosome territories in the interphase mammalian nucleus, seemingly linking chromosome architecture to gene regulation and transcriptional heredity[1-7]. In a diploid genome, precise regulation of transcription dosage requires effective communication between the two alleles; therefore, the positioning of the two alleles of a gene in the nucleus may play a significant role in autosomal gene regulation[8-13]. Although, in theory, both alleles of a gene could be equally exposed to the identical *trans* environment in the nucleus, the presence of nuclear domains indicates a compartmentalized nucleoplasm, potentially facilitating gene-gathering in "hubs" owing to genome folding and allele pairing potential[14-18] which could result in differential allelic gene regulation. Autosomal monoallelic gene expression has been identified in a sub-set of mammals in which only a stochastically or deterministically chosen single allele is transcriptionally active in a given cell[19-21]. Although a recent study suggested allele-specific differential regulation[22], the mechanism that regulates the allele choice of a monoallelic gene and the allelic geometry of those genes is not fully understood.

Pioneering molecular techniques have been developed to visualize mRNA, DNA, and proteins in live cells, allowing the understanding of the spatial and temporal dynamics of those molecules[23-31]. However, the techniques thus far established to explore genome regulation in living cells could not capture the temporal dynamics of allelic gene expression. Thus, insights into temporal allelic gene regulation will immensely advance our fundamental understanding of governing aspects of biology, including homeostasis, development, and disease[32-34].

In this study, we developed a molecular approach to distinguish the temporal expression of a gene's maternal and paternal alleles in living or fixed cells using polymorphic F1 hybrid mouse

embryonic stem cells (mESCs). We showed that this system could be used to detect the specific

spatial arrangement of each of the two alleles of a gene. Utilizing this system to probe two

monoallelically expressed autosomal genes, we showed that when the genes are biallelically

expressed in mESCs, alleles are paired, and the transcriptionally active alleles are localized at

Sun1-enriched domains at the nuclear periphery (NP). Upon differentiation to neural progenitor

cells (NPCs) those genes gain monoallelic status, and the active alleles are localized at the Sun1-

enriched domain. By pulling down the chromatin associated with Sun1-enriched domains, we

further showed that monoallelic genes are preferentially expressed at the NP compared to biallelic

genes. Using publicly available data, we further showed that the monoallelic genes are localized

in "micro-TADs" in Hi-C-defined euchromatic A compartments within peripherally located

LADs. In addition, we discovered that *de novo T_1A_3* tandem DNA repeat sequences are associated

with genes localized at the NP and these T_1A_3 repeats are involved in the localization of the alleles

to the Sun1-enriched domains by Hnrnpd.

Results

Visualizing allele-specific gene expression in living cells

One of the main hurdles in live imaging of subnuclear structures is the molecular noise

common to any biological system[31,35,36] that significantly impacts image processing and analysis

because of the low signal-to-noise ratio. Further, ectopically expressed fluorescent-labeled reporter

proteins may cause molecular-crowding in cells, affecting the cells' physiology. In addition, cell-

penetrating fluorescent reporter molecules[37] may in some cases become a limiting factor during

long-term imaging. To overcome these issues, we generated a doxycycline-inducible and tunable

EYFP gene fused MS2 coat protein (MS2-EYFP) and mCherry gene fused PP7 coat protein (PP7-

mCherry) expressing mESC line (Fig.1a and Extended Data Fig.1a). Next, we conditionally

knocked-in 24-repeats of the PP7 stem-loop (PP7-SL) sequence into the 3' UTR of the CAST/EiJ

allele and 24-repeats of an MS2 stem-loop (MS2-SL) sequence into the 3' UTR of the C57BL/6J

allele using Cas9-D10A nickase and allele-specific SNP targeting gRNAs (Fig.1a). We established

this system for two autosomal monoallelic genes, *Cth* and *Ttc4*, biallelically expressed in mESCs

but monoallelically expressed upon differentiation[22], using two independent mESC clones (Fig.

1c).

Active *Cth* and *Ttc4* alleles are paired

To confirm that the MS2-SL and PP7-SL are integrated into the targeted *Cth* and *Ttc4* gene

alleles but not off-targeted to different loci, we performed dual-color DNA-FISH using GFP-

coupled nucleotide incorporated probes designed to detect the endogenous alleles of the genes and

the RFP-couplled nucleotide incorporated probes designed to detect the inserted stem-loop

cassettes. We detected the RFP and GFP fluorescent signals to be exclusively adjacent, confirming

the stem-loop knock-in into the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes (Extended Data Fig.1b). To our surprise we

observed that both alleles of the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes were paired (*Cth* alleles are within ~1µm

distance of each other and *Ttc4* alleles are within ~1.5 µm distance of each other) in mESCs

(Extended Data Fig.1b - green dots & c). To assess the binding specificity of the coat proteins to

their unique stem-loops, we induced the MS2-EYFP and PP7-mCherry coat proteins expression in

mESCs and mESC-derived NPCs to target the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes. By imaging fixed mESCs and

NPCs, we confirmed that the coat proteins interact specifically with the appropriate stem-loops

(MS2 or PP7) and they do not cross-react in our clones (Fig.1c and Extended Data Fig.1d).

Figure 1 | Development of an allele-specific gene expression system to assess the localization of *Cth* and *Ttc4* alleles.

a, Schematic illustration of allele-specific gene expression visualization system. Inducible expression of EYFP-MS2CP and mChe-PP7CP is sensitive to the dosage of doxycycline. These coat proteins interact with unique stem-loops, MS2-SL with EYFP-MS2CP and PP7-SL with mChe-PP7CP at the 3' UTR of nascent mRNAs. Each RNA molecule contains 24 stem-loops. The maternal (C57BL/6J) mRNAs are marked by EYFP, and the paternal (CAST/EiJ) mRNAs are marked by mCherry. Low-background fluorescent signals are an advantage in our system as they will allow for easier allele detection and significant background noise reduction will not be necessary for image analysis. In addition, this introduces minimal disturbance to the cell's physiology as the fluorescent molecules crowding in the cells is minimal and controllable. **b,** EYFP-MS2CP and mChe-PP7CP signals in doxycycline indused *Cth* and *Ttc4* ESC and NPC cells. Cells were fixed at 36 hrs post doxycycline induction. Dotted areas in the left image panels are enlarged to demonstrate the fluorescent signal colocalization at the nuclear periphery. Fluorescent signals, possibly from single RNA molecules (maternal: arrow, paternal: arrowhead) are visible in enlarged images in the first row. Scale bar - 6 μm. **c,** Snapshots of a tracked single pair of alleles at different time points from a 20 min video using the 3i lattice lightsheet microscope. Green and red dots represent the maternal and paternal *Cth* alleles, respectively in ESCs. **d,** Degree of colocalization of the EYFP and mCherry signals calculated from tracked 22 EYFP and mCherry signal pairs in 22 cells from a 20 min video. Columns represent the overlap coefficient of green and red

dots (blue column) and colocalization coefficients of EYFP (green column) and mCherry (red column). Error bars: SEM. n=22 cells. **e,** Line plot showing the fluctuation in intensity of the colocalized EYFP and mCherry dots. Live images were captured every minute using the 3i lattice lightsheet microscope. Error bars: SEM. n=22. **f,** Representative RNA-FISH images show that *Cth* and *Ttc4* allele's nascent mRNAs are localized at the nuclear periphery in mESCs and NPCs. Arrowheads indicate the nascent RNA-FISH signals. Magnified images (in the dotted lined box) show the active *Cth* and *Ttc4* alleles pairing. Scale bar - 6 μm. The column bar graphs show the percentages of the number of observations made for the distance between the two alleles. Two alleles within 2 μm proximity of each other are considered as paired alleles.

Homologous allele pairing has been shown to impact a gene's regulation[8,11,38], but the

broader impact of allele pairing in terms of transcriptional heredity and the spatial arrangement of

the allele in the 3D nucleus of mammalian cells in particular is not well understood. By examining

the *Cth* genes' maternal and paternal alleles' nascent RNAs in every 20 sec for 20 minutes by live

cell imaging (Fig.1d and Supplementary Movie 1); we observed that both alleles are colocalized

(Fig.1e) and actively being transcribed (Fig.1f) in mESCs (n=22 cells). However, DNA

manipulation of specific loci could create altered genome organization in 3D nuclear space due to

potential changes in chromatin structure[39,40]. Therefore, to test whether the homologous allele

pairing we observed in regard to the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes in our stem-loop targeted mESC clones

was due to genetic manipulation, we performed nascent RNA-FISH for these two genes in wild-

type mESCs and mESC derived NPCs (Fig.1g and Extended Data Fig.1e). We observed that

the *Cth* alleles are paired in 81% of the mESCs (8% are monoallelic), and *Ttc4* alleles are paired

in 59% of the mESCs (Fig.1g-column graph), confirming that the allele-specific gene labeling

technique did not alter the chromatin loci; thus, the allele pairing of the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes are

true biological events.

Active *Cth* and *Ttc4* alleles localize to the nuclear periphery

In mESCs and NPCs having MS2-SLs and PP7-SLs knocked into the *Cth* and *Ttc4* gene

alleles (Fig.1c), and in addition by a nascent RNA-FISH assay (Fig.1g), we observed that the

active *Cth* and *Ttc4* alleles were localized to the NP (Fig.2a and Extended Data Fig.2a). Because

the *Cth* and *Ttc4* are monoallelic genes, we asked whether this allele localization is common to

monoallelic genes using a panel of known 13 random autosomal monoallelic genes (RaMA) and

14 deterministic monoallelic (DeMA) genes to assess the nuclear positioning of their

transcriptionally active alleles in NPCs by nascent RNA-FISH. We found that the DeMA and

RaMA genes we assessed were preferentially (DeMA genes 95% on average, RaMA genes 93%

on average, n=100 per gene) localized at the NP of NPCs (Fig.2b and Extended Data Fig.2b,c).

Interestingly, it has been reported in previous studies that the transcriptionally active some

olfactory receptor genes, a family of autosomal monoallelic genes, are also localized at the NP[41-

43], further confirming our observation in relation to RaMA and DeMA genes' expression at the

NP.

Monoallelic genes localize at Sun1 territories

119   Following our observation of the localization of *Cth* and *Ttc4* transcriptionally active

alleles at the NP, we asked whether these transcriptionally active alleles exhibit any association

with specific protein or protein territories at the inner nuclear membrane. Sun1, a inner-nuclear-

membrane protein (Extended Data Fig.2d), is involved in positioning the nucleus in the cytoplasm

by interacting with actin filaments via nesprin[44,45]. In addition, Sun1 is associated with the nuclear

pore complex, which has a role in mRNA transport[46,47] and harboring active genes[14,48]. While the

Sun1-enriched nuclear domains are associated with stabilizing chromatin architecture[49], their

specific molecular function link to gene regulation is unknown. Although overall, the lamina-

associated domains at the NP harbor heterochromatic chromatin[50], the transcriptional status of

genes associated with Sun1-enriched nuclear domains is unknown. Immunofluorescent labeling of

Figure 2 | Active alleles are localized at Sun1 protein territories.
a, Quantitation of *Cth* and *Ttc4* nascent RNA-FISH signals localization in the nucleus. Each line represents a counted cell and empty points on the X-axis presents the cells with one signal at the nuclear periphery and the other signals more internally in the nucleoplasm. Blue and red lines indicate nuclear periphery or nucleoplasm localized nascent RNA-FISH signals, respectively (n=100 cells in each group). Column graphs showing the percentages of the RNA-FISH signals at the nuclear periphery versus nucleoplasm in ESCs and NPCs. **b,** Representative nascent RNA-FISH images of several transcriptionally active random autosomal and deterministic monoallelic genes in NPCs. Imprinted genes are labeled in green font, and stochastic monoallelic genes are labeled in red font. Scale bars - 6 μm. **c,** *Cth,* and *Ttc4* genes' nascent RNA localization at Sun1 protein territories. Scale bars - 6 μm. **d,** Correlation of proteins pulled

down by Sun1 antibody in mESCs and NPCs. The highest enrichment was shown for the Sun1 protein confirming the specificity of the Sun1 antibody. The overall Pearson's correlation R is 0.673 with 95% confidence interval from 0.606 to 0.73 and p-value of 3.9e-41. **e,** Immunoblot of the Sun1 pull-down. Sun1 antibody recognize five Sun1 protein isoforms (lane Sun1-U.B). **f,** Enrichment of *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes in Sun1 pulled-down chromatin. Enrichment was assayed by qRT-PCR using primer sets (*Cth*: #1 and #2, *Ttc4*: #3 and #4) covering known SNPs. Fold enrichment was calculated against the input. **g,** Histogram of the Sanger sequenced qRT-PCR products from Sun1 pull-down chromatin from NPCs. Parental allele status in the pull-down was confirmed by SNPs (*Cth*: rs220811620-primer set #2 and *Ttc4*: rs28135194-primer set #3) unique to each allele. **h,** Allele-specific genome browser tracks illustrating Sun1 ChIP-seq peaks. TA-bridge motif-containing peaks associated with *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes are shown by the dotted boxes. Pink lines: Maternal alleles, blue lines: Paternal alleles. **i,** Venn diagram showing the intersects of Sun1 associated genes (including X and Y chromosome genes) identified in ChIP-seq assay and DeMA, RaMA, and the total expressed genes in mESCs. RNA expression data is from Balasooriya and Spector, Nat. Commns, 2022[22].

Sun1 in doxycycline-treated *Cth* and *Ttc4* mESC clones identified nascent RNA at both

alleles of the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes that are localize in association with Sun1 at the NP (Fig.2c and

Extended Data Fig.2e). Therefore, we hypothesized that monoallelic genes might preferentially

localize at the Sun1 territories at the NP. To investigate this further we performed Chromatin

ImmunoPrecipitation (ChIP) using a Sun1 antibody in mESCs and *in vitro* derived NPCs. First, to

validate the Sun1 antibodies' specificity to Sun1 proteins, we performed MS analysis for the

protein isolated from the Sun1 ChIP assay. In MS data from mESCs and NPCs, Sun1 protein

appeared as the highest significant protein in the pull-down (Fig.2d and Extended Data Table 1),

confirming the antibody specificity to Sun1, which was subsequently confirmed by immunoblot

analysis (Fig.2e).

By performing qPCR analysis from the chromatin isolated from the Sun1 ChIP assay

followed by Sanger sequencing, we confirmed that both *Ttc4* alleles are localized at the Sun1

territories in mESCs, but only a single allele was associated with Sun1 in NPCs (Fig.2f,g and

Extended Data Fig.2f,g). Although we observed only a single *Cth* allele pulled-down in NPCs

(Fig.2g), we could not amplify the *Cth* gene from the DNA pull-down by Sun1 in mESCs (Fig.2f),

perhaps due to the low yield of *Cth* in the Sun1 pull-down. Therefore, to assess the genes

associated with Sun1-enriched chromatin in a high throughput manner, we deep-sequenced the

DNA fragments isolated from the Sun1-ChIP assay. In the allele-specific ChIP-seq analysis, we

observed that the ChIP-seq peaks were enriched for both alleles in mESCs and a single allele in

NPCs at ~4.5 kb downstream of the *Cth* gene (Fig.2h – upper panel). However, we observed the

ChIP-seq peak enrichment for the *Ttc4* alleles at different regions in mESCs vs NPCs (in mESC:

on the gene body of *Ttc4* or neighboring gene *Mroh7* and in NPCs: ~4 kb

downstream *Fam151a* and *Acot11* gene bodies) (Fig.2h – lower panel). In addition, the

monoallelic genes we used in the nascent RNA-FISH assay were also found to be overlapping with

Sun1 protein territory associated genes (Extended Data Fig.2h,i). Moreover, we also found that

49% of transcriptionally active DeMA genes and 61.5% of RaMA genes in mESCs from our

previous study[22] overlapped with the genes enriched in Sun1 associated chromatin in mESCs

(Extended Data Table 2); however, the enrichment of transcriptionally active biallelic genes is

27.8% (Fig.2i). Furthermore, when we assessed how common these monoallelic gene associations

were in Sun1 territories in NPCs, we found that 74.1% of monoallelic genes in mESCs are enriched

in the Sun1 chromatin pull-down (Extended Data Fig.3j and Extended Data Table 3).

"micro-TADs" within LADs harbor active genes

Although lamina-associated domains (LADs) are shown to harbor heterochromatin[51-54],

emerging evidences suggest that genes within LADs are not all transcriptionally inactive[55,56]. Since

we observed the active alleles of monoallelic genes to be preferentially localized at the NP, we

examined the *Cth*, *Ttc4* and the monoallelic gene panels we used in the nascent RNA-FISH assay

for their association in published Hi-C-defined compartments in ESCs and NPCs[57,58] using the

4DN data portal[59,60]. We found that compared to low-resolution compartments, in high-resolution

compartments defined by an insulation score-diamond, the *Cth*, *Ttc4* genes (Fig.3a, Extended Data

Fig. a,b) and the monoallelic gene panel we used (Extended Data Fig.3c,d) are localized in "micro"

A compartments within B compartments. This finding confirms our observation of active

monoallelic genes at the NP and also suggests the possibility of accommodating active genes

within LADs by positioning them in "micro-TADs".

TA-bridges are enriched in monoallelic genes

Chromatin loci are found to be positioned at specific domains in 3D nuclear space.

Although it has been shown that the nuclear lamina functions as a scaffold to anchor chromatin at

the NP via nuclear envelop transmembrane proteins (e.g. HP1, Lap2β, cKrox, Lamin-B receptor)[61-

63], the DNA motifs that are involved in chromatin positioning at the nuclear envelope have been

poorly documented. Since monoallelic genes are preferentially localized at Sun1-enriched

territories, we asked whether specific motif sequences are present in the Sun1 protein territory

associated chromatin. We discovered that T_1A_3 (in ESCs) and A_1T_3 (in NPCs) tandem repeats (we

refer to them as TA-bridges) are significantly (ESCs: p=1e-511 and NPCs: p=1e-242) enriched in

Sun1 pulled-down chromatin fractions (Fig.3c, Extended Data Fig.3e,f, Extended Data Table 4).

We also found the presence of TA-bridges at ~5 kb upstream to the TSS and associated with the

fourth intron of *the Cth* gene, and ~5 kb downstream and ~105 kb upstream (on the first intron

of *Acot11*) of the *Ttc4* gene (Fig.2h). In addition, we further noticed that genes surrounding these

motifs (For *Cth*: *-Zranb2, +Ankrd13c, +Srsf11, +Lrrc40* for *Ttc4*: *-Pars2, -Dhcr24, +Ssbp3,*

+Mrpl37, +Cdcp2) are also known monoallelically expressed genes. Furthermore, we also found

that TA-bridges are associated with the monoallelic gene panel we used in our nascent RNA-FISH

assay suggesting an association between TA-bridges and the establishment and/or maintrnance of

monoallelic expression (Extended Data Fig.2h,i – lower panels of each track).

Figure 3 | TA-bridges position the *Cth* gene in micro-TADs within the chromatin B compartment.
a & b, *Cth* positioning in Hi-C-defined "micro-TADS". The *Cth* gene is located in the A compartment (green) within the predominantly represented B compartment (red). Contact Matrix (lower panels) for each gene showing the broader TADs and the "micro-TADs" within the TADs. Vertical lines show the boundaries of the TADs. Upon ESCs differentiation to NPCs, the monoallelically expressed *Cth* allele A compartment represents an isolated island within the B compartment. Data is from references 59, 60, 61 and 62. **c,** *De novo* TA-bridge DNA motif. **d,** genome-wide association of TA-bridges shown in circular genome representation. The Y chromosome is enriched with TA-bridges. Hight of the lines represent the score of the motif at the specific genomic region. We used Motif Cluster Alignment and Search tool (MCAST) for genome wide TA-bridge motif analysis using below parameters. Sequence length: 11

bases, Motif hit threshold: Hit p-value < 5.0E-4, Adjacent motif spacing: less than or equal to 50, Match threshold: E-value < 10.0   **e,** Genome-wide Hi-C-defined insulation score-diamond A (green) and B (red) compartment distribution in ESCs. Marked lower panel showing the magnified Y chromosome for its insulation score-diamond (upper track), LaminB1-DamID (middle track) and RNA-seq read counts (lower track). **f,** Schematic diagram showing the assay development to visualize the TA-bridges *in vivo* localization and TA-bridge *in vivo* interacting protein discovery. **g &** **h,** transiently transfected $(T_1A_3)_8$ single stranded DNA motif localization in the nuclei. mESCs and NPCs were transiently transfected with biotin tagged $(T_1A_3)_8$. At 72 hrs post-transfection, cells were fixed and immunolabeled for biotin and DNA was labeled with DAPI.

By genome-wide scanning, using our motif search criteria, we scored 4572 TA-bridge

motifs spanning through the whole mouse genome. From the total, we found 887 (19.4%) of these

motifs were located on the Y chromosome (Extended Data Fig.3g). Given the the comparatively

smaller size of the Y chromosome and the higher enrichment of TA-bridges than on other

chromosomes (Fig.3d), and its predominant localization to the A compartment (Fig.3e), we

assessed the location of the Y-chromosome territory in the nucleus using published LaminB1-

DamID data in ESCs in 4DN data portal[59,60]. Although the LaminB1-DamID data

(4DNFI32K5L77 – from Bas van Steensel lab, NKI, NL) showed the Y-chromosome is localized

at the NP (Fig.3e-middle panel of enraged area), Hi-C-define compartments[57] found that the Y

chromosome is predominantly in the A compartment and that the RNA expression profile[64] agreed

with the active compartment profiles (Fig.3e-lower panel of enraged area) further suggesting the

presence of "micro-TADs" within LADs.

TA-bridges localize to Sun1 territories

To further confirm that the TA-bridge motif associates with allele localization to Sun1

territories, we developed an assay to visualize TA-bridge localization using immunofluorescence

microscopy, and identified the *in vivo* TA-bridge interacting proteins upon pull-down followed by

MS (Fig.3f). We transiently transfected biotin-labeled single stranded *(T₁A₃)₈* and *(A₁T₃)₈* DNA

oligonucleotides, and double-stranded *(T₁A₃)₈* DNA into mESCs and NPCs. In our

immunofluorescence assay, we observed that biotin-labeled single-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ and $(A_1T_3)_8$

DNA, as well as double-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ DNA, were located at the NP among the nuclear-

localized signals in ESCs and NPCs (Fig.3g,h and Extended Data Fig.3h).

Next, we attempted to identify the protein candidates interacting with TA-bridges by

performing MS analysis for the pritein pull down by AT-bridges. Although the previously known

Sun1 binding proteins (Lmnb1, Lmnb2, Emerin) were pulled-down by TA-bridges, Sun1 was not

significantly enriched in the $(T_1A_3)_8$ and the double-stranded TA-bridges DNA motif pulled-down

protein fractions (Fig.4a,b and Extended Data Table 5), and also was not pulled-down by the

$(A_1T_3)_8$ motif (Extended Data Fig.5a), therefore, we could not conclude that Sun1 is the direct

binding protein of the TA-bridges. To further investigate the "molecular hook" that binds to the

double-stranded TA-bridges, we performed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using

nuclear protein extracted from mouse ESCs. In the EMSA assay, we observed multiple shifted

biotin-positive bands for $(T_1A_3)_8$ and $(A_1T_3)_8$ since those DNA probes most likely interacted with

multiple protein complexes (Fig.4c). Interstingly, even though we observed that the double-

stranded TA-bridge DNA motifs were localized at the Sun1 territory at the NP in ESCs and NPCs

in the immunofluorescence assay, double-stranded TA-bridge DNA motifs did not show any

binding with nuclear proteins in our EMSA assay.

Hnrnpd binds to TA-bridges

Although we found several protein candidates that are known to interact with single-

stranded DNA in TA-bridge MS data, to identify the proteins that directly bind to TA-bridges, we

performed MS analysis of the proteins extracted from the multiple shifted bands identified in the

EMSA assay. MS data from the five excised bands, did not identify Sun1 protein enrichment

Figure 4 | Hnrnpd interacting TA-bridges localize to Sun1 territories.
a & **b,** Volcano plots showing the pull-downed single-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ (**a**) and double-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ (**b**) bridges *in vivo* interacting proteins. Sun1 proteins were pulled down by both $(T_1A_3)_8$ and double-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ but were not significantly enriched ($Log_{10}2$ of sum intensity and $Log_{10}1.5$ of fold change was used as cut-off values). **c.** EMSA assay for $(T_1A_3)_8$, $(A_1T_3)_8$, and double-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ bridges. ESC nuclear extract was used. MBNA oligos provided with the assay kit were used as the negative control. Proteins were extracted from three excised shifted bands in the $(A_1T_3)_8$ bridge lane and two shifted bands in double-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ bridge lanes. Higher molecular weight biotin-positive bands in all the samples are unspecific interactions. **d** & **e,** Comparisons of significantly enriched proteins pulled-down with Sun1, $(T_1A_3)_8$ single-stranded DNA and $(T_1A_3)_8$ double-stranded DNA. Each dot represents a protein. Hnrnpd shows similar enrichment for $(T_1A_3)_8$ single-stranded DNA (**d**) and $(T_1A_3)_8$ double-stranded DNA (**e**). **f,** Venn diagram showing the intersects of the highly significant protein clusters from five bands excised from the EMSA assay blot. Hnrnpd is the common protein extracted from all the bands. **g,** Gene Ontology (molecular function) for Hnrnpd. FDR 0.05 was used as cut-off threshold.

(Extended Data Fig.4b and Extended Data Table 6), confirming that Sun1 does not directly interact

with TA-bridges. However, intersecting the highest significant protein (Extended Data Fig.4b –

circled clusters) candidates from multiple bands from the EMSA assay, we identified Hnrnpd as

the only common protein candidate, and it was also enriched in proteins pulled-down by Sun1

(Fig.2d). To further investigate the Hnrnpd and TA-bridge direct interaction, we evaluated known

functions attributed to Hnrnpd. With a similar function to AT-rich interacting domain (ARID)

containing proteins, it has been reported to bind to the adenine-thymine-rich miner groves of B-

form of DNA[65] (GO:0003680) (Extended Data Fig.4c), supporting our identity of Hnrnpd as a

"molecular hook" that binds TA-bridge tandem repeats. Moreover, our finding suggests that,

Hnrnpd could bind to the non-canonical $5'-(T_1A_3)_n-3'$ as well as the $5'-(A_1T_3)_n-3'$ tandem repeat

sequences. Further, to investigate whether Hnrnpd binding to the TA-bridges occurs prior to or

after TA-bridges localize to Sun1-enriched territories, we co-immunoprecipitated the Sun1

associated proteins in nucleic acid-depleted ESC and NPC nuclear extracts using Sun1 antibody

and then performed MS analysis. Upon evaluation of the proteins immunoprecipitated with Sun1,

we found that Hnrnpd was less abundant in the Sun1 pulled-down protein fraction from the ESC

nuclear extract (Fig.4d) and could not be detected in the Sun1 pulled down protein fraction from

NPC nuclear extract (Fig.4e and Extended Data Table 7). These findings suggest that Hnrnpd most

likely binds to the AT-bridge motifs prior to the allele's localizing to the Sun1-enriched territories.

Discussion

Although allele pairing has been observed for decades, the presence of this phenomenon

in mammals has not been widely studied[38]. Moreover, it has not been previously shown that allele-

pairing is critical for some genes to be expressed from both alleles. Using the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes,

which are biallelically expressed in mESCs, but become monoallelic upon differentiation, here we

showed that allele-pairing for some genes is concomitant with transcriptional activity from both

alleles. When the alleles are separated, only the allele that is associated with the Sun1-enriched

domain is active. Establishment of monoallelic expression, in terms of gene imprinting, is

described as a mechanism to control the transcriptional dosage of dosage-sensitive genes in early

development, but no in depth studies have been carried out to evaluate whether the imprinted genes

could be expressed biallelically in differentiated cells in adults or in some reare diseases. Even

though the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes acquire monoallelic status in differentiated cells, their prior

biallelic expression, distinctive from imprinted genes, suggests the plasticity of the inactive allele's

transcriptional status. Consequently, the spontaneous silent allele's reactivation of "disease

causative monoallelic genes" presumably may bear clinical relevance. Further, our finding of the

active alleles of monoallelic genes to be localized to Sun1-enriched territories at the NP suggests

that the expression of those genes may be facilitated in specialized nuclear compartments.

Our observation of monaolleic genes' preferential expression at the NP supports the idea

that the NP localized chromatin is not solely heterochromatic[66-70]. Evaluating published data, we

also showed that the active allele of monoallelic genes are cluster-embedded within the B

compartment at the NP. However, why a large number of monoallelic genes acquire this unique

arrangement at the NP is enigmatic. In our previous studies, we showed that most monoallelic

genes contain only one RNA isoform known to be translated into a protein[22]. Therefore, it is

reasonable to argue that NP positioning may help RNAs to be exported through nuclear pore

complexes to avoid the high rate of RNA turnover in the nucleus, as a "defensive mechanism," as

proposed in the gene gating hypothesis, an idea worth pursuing in future investigations. Moreover,

given that the transcriptionally active alleles are localized at the NP, these genes (monoallelic:

Rac1, S100a10, Junb. Biallelic: Sun1, Scn5a) are at risk of miss-regulation in diseases caused by

laminopathy and nuclear deformation[71] and therefore may bear clinical relevance.

Although in association with SPDYA, SHELTERIN, and TTM complex proteins, Sun1

stabilizes the telomeric rings at the inner nuclear membrane[72], Sun1 does not act as a direct binding

partner to the DNA, therefore in line with our finding, it is likely part of a scaffold to harbor

chromatin. Discoveries of novel repetitive elements in genomes have been made using long-read

DNA sequencing methods[73,74]. However, thus far, only a few repetitive elements have been

identified in relation to genome regulation[75-78], the functional relevance of many remain elusive.

Although some transcriptionally active genes have been reported to be localized at the NP[66-70], the

mechanism by which alleles are physically localized and maintained at the NP is not known. Here,

we discovered that Hnrnpd physically interacts with TA-bridges, thus raising the potential

importance of other DNA tandem repeat elements yet to be uncovered. Although Hnrnpd binds to

TA-bridges, it is not an enriched component of Sun1 territories, suggesting that Hnrnpd binding

to the TA-bridges occurs prior to allele positioning to the Sun1 teritories. Further, we found that

known helicases (Mcm5[79] and Top2a[80]) are significantly enriched among TA-bridge interacting

proteins (Fig.4d,e) suggesting that TA-bridge strand separation likely initiates prior to the motifs

localization at the Sun1 nuclear territories.

During stem cell differentiation into more specialized cells, the gene expression program

changes concomitant with chromatin reorganization, resulting in different architectures depending

on the resulting cell type[81,82]. Furthermore, it has been shown that asynchronous allele replication

occurs more frequently during cell differentiation perhaps promoting the early replicating allele to

be established as the active allele of a monoallelic gene[83,84]. Because Hnrnpd binds to TA-bridges

independently of Sun1, we propose a mechanistic model where Hnrnpd binds to single-stranded

TA-bridges of the early replicating allele in prophase resulting in targeting of the TA-bridge bound

Hnrnpd to the newly forming nuclear membrane Sun1-enriched domains in telophase by a

molecular targeting mechanism to be elucidated in future sudies.

Extended Data Figures

Extended Data Figure 1| Development of an allele-specific gene expression system to assess the localization of *Cth* and *Ttc4* alleles.

a, Immunoblot (lower panel) showing the EYFP and mCherry responsiveness to doxycycline dosage. Bar graph showing the results of quantitation of the EYFP (GFP antibody was used) and mCherry bands in immunoblots from three independent experiments (n=3). **b,** DNA-FISH of *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes targeted with MS2 and PP7 stem-loops in NPCs. Endogenous alleles are labeled with a GFP probe, and knocked in stem-loops are labeled with an RFP probe. Total DNA is labeled with DAPI. Two signals were detected for GFP and RFP in these positively labeled (71%) nuclei. We did not observe only the GFP or only the RFP signals in any nucleus. Scale bar – 6 μm. **c,** Distance between the two *Cth* alleles and the two *Ttc4* alleles in NPC nuclei. The average distances between the endogenous *Cth* alleles is ~0.6 mm and the two inserted stem-loops is ~0.55 mm, whereas the average distance between endogenous *Ttc4* alleles is ~2.5 mm and the stem-loops is ~1.5 mm. **d,** Monoallelic expression of *Ttc4* gene in mESCs. Although *Ttc4* was expected to be expressed biallelically in mESCs, we observed that *Ttc4* is monoallelically expressed in 31% of the mESCs. **e,** Nascent mRNA FISH assay for *Actb* in wild-type mESCs and NPCs. Arrowheads indicate the nascent RNA-FISH signals. The column graphs show the percentages of the number of observations made for the distance between the two alleles. Two alleles within 2 μm proximity to each other are considered as paired alleles. Scale bar - 6 μm. *Actb* gene's active alleles were paired in only 11% of mESCs, and the remaining cells positive for RNA-FISH signals showed single allelic (44% of cells) and biallelic (11% of cells) expression. Given that, compared to *Cth* and *Ttc4* (Fig.1f), the percentage of cells lacking signals (ESCs: 14% and NPCs: 56%) and also agreeing with our previous observation[22] of the imbalance of mature versus nascent RNAs of *Actb* and the published data[85], single allelic expression (44%) of *Actb* is perhaps due to gene pulsing.

h

Extended Data Figure 2 Continued

Extended Data Figure 2 | Active alleles of monoallelic and bi-allelic genes are localized at Sun1 protein territories.

a, Quantitation of *Actb* nascent RNA-FISH signals in the nucleus. Each line represents a counted cell and empty points on the X-axis presents the cells with one signal at the nuclear periphery and the other signal further inside the nucleoplasm. Blue and red lines indicate nascent RNA-FISH signals localized to the nuclear periphery or nucleoplasm (n=100 cells in each group). **b,** Representative images from nascent RNA-FISH assay for a panel of monoallelic genes. DeMA genes are labeled in green font, and RaMA genes are labelled in red font. Scale bars - 6 μm. **c,** Quantitation of the nascent RNA-FISH signals for RaMA and DeMA genes in NPCs. Y axis shows the percentage of cells with RNA-FISH signals located in the nucleus (NP: Nuclear periphery, NPl: Nucleoplasm). **d,** Immunofluorescent image showing Sun1 protein localization at the nuclear periphery. The enlarged dotted area is shown in the lower panel. Green arrowheads show Sun1 and DNA overlapped loci. White arrowheads show Sun1 with no DNA overlapping. Scale bars - 6 μm. **e,** *Cth* nascent RNAs and Sun1 protein and, *Ttc4* nascent RNA and Sun1 protein localization at the nuclear periphery. Scale bars 200 nm. **f,** Fold enrichment of Sun1 pull-down of the *Ttc4* and *Cth* genes. The same primer sets were used as in Fig.2f. Fold enrichment was calculated with reference to each gene's DNA fragment enrichment in input. **g,** Histogram of the Sanger sequenced qRT-PCR products from Sun1 pull-down chromatin from mESCs using *Ttc4* primers (rs28135194). Grey areas are showing the SNPs. Both maternal and paternal alleles were pulled down by Sun1. **h & i,** Allele-specific genome browser tracks showing the ChIP-seq peak association of RaMA (**h**) and DeMA (**i**) genes. Pink tracks: maternal alleles, blue tracks: Paternal alleles. The positions of the shortest TA-bridge motifs (n=2) and the longest (n=8) TA-bridge motifs are shown in the panels below the allele tracks. (n: number of motifs). **j,** UpSet plot showing the gene intersects between Sun1-ChIPed genes in ESCs and NPCs with total genes expressed and monoallelic genes (DeMA and RaMA) expressed in ESCs.

Extended Data Figure 3 | TA-bridge associated monoallelic gene's positioning in micro-TADs within the B chromatin compartment.

a, & b, *Ttc4* positioning in Hi-C-defined "micro-TADS". The *Ttc4* gene is located in the A compartment (green). Monoallelic genes are dense in the *Ttc4* associated A compartment within the B compartment. Contact Matrix (lower panels) for each gene showing the broader TADs and the "micro-TADs" within the TADs. Vertical lines showing the boundaries of the TADs. Data is from references 59, 60, 61 and 62. **c & d**, Association of DeMA and RaMA genes in "micro-TADs". c: RaMA genes and d: DeMA genes. **e & f,** De Novo motif discovery. Narrow peak search criteria were used to mine the shortest possible motifs. Only the nine highest significant motifs found in Sun1-ChIP chromatin in ESCs (**a**) and NPCs (**f**) are listed. **g,** Number of TA-bridges in each chromosome. Y chromosome contains the highest number of TA-bridges. **h,** Single-stranded $(A_1T_3)_8$ DNA and double-stranded $(T_1A_3)_8$ DNA localization in NPC nuclei. At 72 hrs post-transfection, cells were fixed and immunolabeled for biotin, Lamin B1 and DNA (DAPI). Lamin B1 was used to mark the nuclear periphery boundary.

Extended Data Figure 4 | Hnrnpd interacting TA-bridges localize to Sun1 territories.

a, Volcano plots showing the significantly enriched $(A_1T_3)_8$ single-stranded motif *in vivo* interacting proteins. Sun1 protein is not pulled-down by $(A_1T_3)_8$ single stranded motifs. $Log_{10}2$ of sum intensity and $Log_{10}1.5$ of fold change were used as cut-off values. **b,** Volcano plots showing the significantly enriched proteins in five biotin bands (E1-E5) from the EMSA assay. Hnrnpd is present in all the highest significant protein clusters (circled). **c,** Diagram showing the functional gene ontology annotation of Hnrnpd protein mined using QuickGO. GO term 0003680 indicates it binds to the adenine-thymine-rich minor grove of DNA. **d & e,** Correlations of significantly enriched proteins. Sun1 pull-down proteins from non-nucleic acid depleted (d) and nucleic acid depleted (e) nuclear extracts in ESCs and NPCs. Hnrnpd is not enriched in the nucleic acid depleted Sun1 pulled-down proteins (ESC - The overall Pearson's correlation R is 0.359 with a 95% Confidence Interval from 0.186 to 0.511 and a p-value of 1e-4. NPC - The overall Pearson's correlation R is 0.156 with a 95% Confidence Interval from -0.182 to 0.461 and a p-value of 0.364).

Methods

Mouse ESC (mESC) culture and *in vitro* neural progenitor cell (NPC) differentiation

Polymorphic F1 mESCs were generated and maintained as previously described[22]. NPC in vitro differentiation was caried out as follows. Male F1 mESCs were seeded on 0.1% gelatin coated 8-well chamber ibidi slides at 0.5×10^4 cells per well in 300 ul of 2i medium. At 24 hrs post seeding, cells were washed three times, five min each, with 1x PBS. After washing, 1 ml of differentiation medium (10% FBS (Millipore, Cat.No. ES-009-B, 1X L-Glutamine (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No.25030081, 1X Non-Essential AA, Millipore, Cat.No. TMS-001-C), 0.15 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol (Millipore, Cat.No. ES-007-E), 100 U/ml Penicilline-Streptomycin (Gibco, Cat.No. 15140-112) in Knockout DMEM (Gibco, Cat.no.10829-018)) was added and incubated at 37^0C, 5% CO_2. Around 12 hrs post-differentiation initiation, mESCs changed their morphology to neural-like cells. At 24 hrs post differentiation induction, cells were washed 3 times with 1xPBS and 2.5 ml of basic NPC differentiation medium was added supplemented with 2.5 ug/ul murine EGF (PeproTech Inc, Cat.No. 315-09) and 2.5 ug/ul murine Fgf2 (PeproTech Inc, Cat.No.450-33) and cultures were incubated for another 36 hrs. Subsequently, cells were trypsinised and seeded at 1×10^5 cells per well density with the medium supplemented with EGF and Fgf2 to maintain the long-term NPC cultures. Cells were harvested by trypsinization and the total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. 1559618). Using 1 ug of total RNA, cDNA was synthesized with SuperScript lll (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. 18080-093) reverse transcriptase using Oligo(dt)20 primers (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No.18418-020).

Establishment of an allele-specific gene expression visualization system

Generating a parental mESC line with mCherry-PP7CP and EYFP-MS2CP Tet-On inducible expression system. First, Tet-On Inducible Expression System® plasmid (Clontech, Cat.No. 634301) was linearized by digestion with EcoRI and AgeI. Then, mCherry-PP7CP DNA fragments were excised out (double-digested with BaeI from PP7-mCherry plasmid -from Spector lab) and adaptors compatible with the digested Tet-On plasmid were ligated to the 5' end (annealed Oligo#1 + Oligo#2. 3' end: annealed Oligo#3 + Oligo#4) to generate Tet-On-PP7CP-mCherry plasmid. EYFP-MS2CP fragment was excised from the pMS2-cp-YFP (Spector lab plasmid) with NheI and NgoMIV and the adaptors (3'-end: annealed Oligo#5 + Oligo#6) compatible to the Tet-On linearized plasmid were ligated to generate the Tet-On-MS2CP-EYFP plasmid. Then, both Tet-On-PP7CP-mCherry and Tet-On-MS2CP-EYFP plasmids were double digested (with BglII and PclI) to excise the expression cassettes. Subsequently, annealed Adpt A (Oligo#7 + Oligo#8) and annealed Adpt B (Oligo#9 + Oligo#10) were ligated into the mCherry end of the excised expression cassette and Tet-On-3G end of the Tet-On-PP7CP-mCherry plasmid, respectively. Next, the annealed Adpt C (Oligo#11 + Oligo#12) and the annealed Adpt D (Oligo#13 + Oligo#14) were ligated into the EYFP end of the excised expression cassette and Tet-On-3G of the Tet-On-MS2CP-EYFP plasmid, respectively. These expression cassettes with overhanging sequences complimentary to the insert site sequences of the Rosa26 alleles were used to transfect into mESCs.

Oligos:

Oligo#1-CCGAGTGAGTCGTATTA

Oligo#2-TCGATAATACGACTCACT

Oligo#3-AAGCCAAAAAAGAAGAGAAAGGTATAA

Oligo#4-AATTTTATACCTTTCTCTTCTTTTTTGGCTTGGACA

Oligo#5-CTAGTAATACGACTCACTATAGG

Oligo#6-AATTCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTA

Oligo#7-

GATCGGATGGATCCATCGGAAGGGGAGCCTTTCTCTCTGGGCAAGAGCGGTGCAAT

GGTGTGTAAAGGTAGCCGCTC

Oligo#8-CGATGGATCCATCC

Oligo#9- GGATGGATCCACTG

Oligo#10-

CATGCAGTGGATCCATCCTCTCGGCTACCTTTACACACCATTGCACCGCTCTTGCCCA

GAGAGAAAGGCTCCCCTTC

Oligo#11-GATCGGATGGATCCATCGTCTGAAGGAGAGCCTTTC

Oligo#12- CGATGGATCCATCC

Oligo#13-CGATGGATCCATCC

Oligo #14-CATGCAGTGGATCCATCCGAAAGGCTC**T**CCTTCATC

<u>Designing allele-specific gRNAs for expression cassette knock-in to the Rosa26 alleles.</u> gRNAs

were designed to include SNPs in the R26 alleles at the PAM site cloned into pSpCas9n(BB)

(PX460) (Addgene, Cat.No. 48873). The following gRNA sequences were used in combination.

gR26#1 (Annealed R26-5'Cast1.1 and R26-5'Cast1.2), gR26#2 (Annealed R26-3'Cast-Comp1.1

and R26-3'Cast-Comp 1.2), gR26#3 (Annealed R26-5'B6 1.1 and R26-5'B61.2), gR26#4

(Annealed R26-3'B61.1 and R26-3'B61.2).

Next, the following combinations of gRNAs and complimentary adaptor ligated expression

cassettes were transfected into the F1 mESCs using Xfect transfection kit (Takara,

Cat.No.631317).

To target the Tet-On-PP7CP-mCherry expression cassette to the R26 CAST (paternal) allele,

gR26#1, gR26#2 and Tet-On-PP7CP-mCherry combination was used. To targt the Tet-On-

MS2CP-EYFP to the R26 C57 (maternal) allele, gRNA#3, gRNA#4 and Tet-On-MS2CP-EYFP

combination was used. We used 2 ug of gRNA-Cas9 plasmids and 1.0 ug of adaptors in each

transfection. Prior to the transfection, mESCs were seeded at 0.5×10^5 cell/ well in 6-well Corning

tissue culture plates (Corning, Cat.No. 353046) and incubated for 12 hrs. At 24 hrs post-

transfection, cells were rinsed with 10% FBS containing KnockOut™ DMEM(1X) (Gibco,

Cat.No.10829-018) and replaced with new 2i medium. At 48 post-transfection, single clones were

picked under the microscope, expanded and genotyped using R26-PP7/MS2-R26-F and R26-

PP7/MS2-R26-R.

Oligos:

R26-5'Cast1.1-CACCGAGTGGGGTCGACTATCTGAAGGG

R26-5'Cast1.2-AAACCCCTTCAGATAGTCGACCCCACTC

R26-3'Cast-Comp1.1-CACCGGCTTGCCCTTTTCGTCTTCTCGG

R26-3'Cast-Comp1.2-AAACCCGAGAAGACGAAAAGGGCAAGCC

R26-5'B61.1-CACCGTAGTGGGGTCGACTATCTGAAGG

R26-5'B61.2-AAACCCTTCAGATAGTCGACCCCACTAC

R26-3'B61.1-CACCGCCGCTCTTGCCCAGAGAGAAAGG

R26-3'B61.2-AAACCCTTTCTCTCTGGGCAAGAGCGGC

MS2 and PP7 stem loops construction. First pCR4-24xMS2SL (Addgene, Cat.No.31865) and

pCR-24xPP7SL (Addgene, Cat.No. 31864) plasmids were double digested using SpeI and NotI

restriction enzymes and the 24xMS2SL (1352bp) and 24xPP7SL (1492bp) DNA fragments were

gel purified. The annealed adapters were ligated into the excised stem-loop DNA fragments as

follows:

For Ttc4 gene's C57a allele (Lig#1): anneled Ttc4-B6-5.1 + Ttc4-B6-5.2 (and annealed Ttc4-B6-

3.1 + Ttc4-B6-3.2.

For the Ttc4 gene CAST allele (Lig#2): annealed Ttc4-CAST-5.1 + Ttc4-CAST -5.2 and Anealed

Ttc4-CAST -3.1 + Ttc4-CAST -3.2.

For the Cth gene's C57 alle (Lig#3): Anneneld Cth-B6-5.1 + Cth-B6-5.2 and annealed Cth-B6-3.1

+ Cth-B6-3.2.

For the Cth gene's CAST allele (Lig#5): Cth-CAST-5.3 + Cth-CAST-5.4 and annealed Cth-

CAST-3.3 + Cth-CAST-3.4.

Oligos:

Ttc4-B6-5.1 TAATACGACTCACTATAGG

Ttc4-B6-5.2

GGCCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTAAAAGGGAAAACAACACAAGCCTACTTGCAAACC

ACTGCCCATTGACTCCTCAAGCTTCTGTCAGATGTGGCACAAGCCCTTGCATGGGCA

TTGAGAAGTAGAGAATCCAGTAGGGCTCTGAGTAGTCCCAGGATCCAAGT

Ttc4-B6-3.1

CTAGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGACTTGGATCCTGGGACTACTCAGAGCCCTACTGG

ATTCTCTACTTCTCAATGCCCATGCAAGGGCTTGTGCCACATCTGAC

AGAAGCTTGAGGAGTCAATGGGCAGTGGTTTGCAAGTAGGCTTGTGTTGTTTTCCCT

TT

Ttc4-B6-3.2 CCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTA

Ttc4-CAST-5.1 AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGG

Ttc4-CAST-5.2

CTAGCCCTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTACAGGATGTGGCTTCATGGCTCCAGTC

Ttc4-CAST-3.1

GGCCAATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTTGGATCCTGGGACTACTCAGAGCCCTACTG

GATTCTCTACTTCTCAATGCCCATGCAAGGGCTTGTGCCACATCTGACAGAAGCTTG

AGGAGTCAATGGGCAGTGGTTTGCAAGTAGGCTTGTGTTGTTTTCCCTTTATCGTGA

GGCCTCAT

Ttc4-CAST-3.2 CCCTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATT

Cth-B6-5.1

AGAACTGTCTTCTGTTTATCTTCTAACTAACAGGTTGTTCTGTTAGTATCATTTCGGT

AATTTTGCTATATTTGTGTCCAAGGAAGTAAGAGTTGTTCTGTAATACGACTCACTAT

AGG

Cth-B6-5.2 GGCCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTA

Cth-B6-3.1 CTATAGTGAGTCGTATTAGATC

Cth-B6-3.2

TAATACGACTCACTATAGGTTCATTCTCAACAAGACAAAACCCTAGTACAACAGAA

AAAAGAAAGAAGTCATCGAATACTATATAAAATTAGTACAAATGTTACAATGTTAA

ATCATAAC TACAAAATACTTCAATTTAATAATTTACTTACCAGAATTTAGGTGAC

Cth-CAST-5.3 AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGG

Cth-CAST-5.4

CTAGCCCTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTCCTTGGACACAAATATAGCAAAATTACCGAAAT

GATACTAACAGAACAACCTGTTAGTTAGAAGATAAACAGAAGACAGTCCTTAA

Cth-CAST-3.3

GGCCAATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGTAAAGGTTGTACTTGATACTTGTTATTTTTCTTA

AATAAATCCAGTT

Cth-CAST-3.4 CCCTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATT

gRNA cloning for allele-specific insertion of stem-loops. For cloning gRNAs into pSpCas9n(BB)

(PX460) plasmids we generated a gRNA targeting library to target maternal and paternal alleles

separately. gRNA libraries are as follows:

T8: Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-1.1: + Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-1.2:

T9: Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-2.1: + Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-2.2:

T10: Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.1: + Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.2:

T11: Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.1a: + Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.2a:

T12: Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.1a: + Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.2a:

T13: Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.1: + Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.2:

C1: Cth-C57BL-gRNA-1.1: + Cth-C57BL-gRNA-1.2:

C2: Cth-C57BL-gRNA-2.1: + Cth-C57BL-gRNA-2.2:

C3: Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.1: + Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.2:

C4: Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.1a: + Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.2a:

C5: Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.1a: + Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.2a:

C6: Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.1b: + Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.2b:

C7: Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.1: + Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.2:

Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-1.1: CACCGTTCTGTTGACTGTATAAACTTGG

Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-1.2: CAAGACAACTGACATATTTGAACCCAAA

Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-2.1: CACCGAAATGAGACCTCACAATAAAGGG

Ttc4-C57BL-gRNA-2.2: CTTTACTCTGGAGTGTTATTTCCCCAAA

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.1: CACCGTGTGGATGCCATGAAGTGACTGG

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.2: CACACCTACGGTACTTCACTGACCCAAA

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.1a: CACCGTTCTGTTGACTATATAAACTTGG

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-3.2a: CAAGACAACTGATATATTTGAACCCAAA

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.1a: CACCGGTTTATATAGTCAACAGAACAGG

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.2a: CCAAATATATCAGTTGTCTTGTCCCAAA

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.1: CACCGCTACTCCTATTTCAGAAATGAGG

Ttc4-CAST-gRNA-4.2: CGATGAGGATAAAGTCTTTACTCCCAAA

Cth-C57BL-gRNA-1.1: CACCGAAACAGAAGACAGTTCTTAAGGG

Cth-C57BL-gRNA-1.2: AAACCCCTTAAGAACTGTCTTCTGTTTC

Cth-C57BL-gRNA-2.1: CACCGTGGTCTTAAATCCACTGTGGTGG

Cth-C57BL-gRNA-2.2: AAACCCACCACAGTGGATTTAAGACCAC

Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.1: CACCGCTTCCATGGATCTTCCCTTAAGG

Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.2: AAACCCTTAAGGGAAGATCCATGGAAGC

Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.1a: CACCGCAGAACAACTCTTACTTCCTTGG

Cth-CAST-gRNA-3.2a: AAACCCAAGGAAGTAAGAGTTGTTCTGC

Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.1a: CACCGAGTAAGAGTTGTTCTGTTTTGGG

Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.2a: AAACCCCAAAACAGAACAACTCTTACTC

Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.1b: CACCGAAACCACCACAGTGGATTTAAGG

Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.2b: AAACCCTTAAATCCACTGTGGTGGTTTC

Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.1: CACCGAAGAAAAATAACTTGATAACTGG

Cth-CAST-gRNA-4.2: AAACCCAGTTATCAAGTTATTTTTCTTC

<u>Allele-specific MS2 and PP7 stem loops knock-in to the *Cth* and *Ttc4* genes.</u> An mCherry-PP7CP

and EYFP-MS2CP expressing single clone derived mESC line was transfected with a cocktail of

DNAs and gRNA-Cas9 plasmids using Xfect transfection reagents to knock-in stem-loops to

individual-alleles as follows. 0.5×10^5 mESCs per well of 6-plates were plated and cultured for 12

hrs before the transfection. To target the *Cth* gene alleles, Lig#3 and Lig#5 (3.45 ug of DNA in

each) combined with gRNAs C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6 and C7 (0.3 ug of plasmid from each) were

used. To target the *Ttc4* gene alleles, Lig#1 and Lig#2 (3.6 ug of DNA in each) combined with

gRNAs T8, T9, T10, T11, T12 and T13 (0.3 g of plasmid from each) were used. Medium was

removed 12 hrs after transfection and refreshed with 2i medium. At 24 hrs post transfection, single

colonies were picked under a light microscope and expanded for genotyping using Cth-Wt gene F

(AAAGTTCGAGTVAAAGCTGTCAT   and   Cth-Wt   gene   R

(TCAAGTACAACCTTTAATCTCTTGAA)   (for   Cth   clones)   and   Ttc4   Wt   gene   F

(GGCAGTGGTTTGCAAGTAGG)   and   Ttc4   Wt   gene   R

(CCAGCTGGTTTCAGTTTAATAATGT) (for *Ttc4* gene). The mESC clones for both *Cth* and

Ttc4 were further validated for on-targeted integration by a DNA-FISH assay (Extended Data Fig.1

b).

Immunoblotting

1.0 mm thick and 8x10 cm Tris-glycine SDS-polyacrylamide gels cast with 5% Stacking gel (30%

acrylamide mix, 1.0 M Tris (pH6.8), 10% SDS, 10% ammonium persulfate, 0.1% TEMED in

dH2O) and 12 % resolving gel (30% acrylamide mix, 1.5 M Tris (pH8.8), 10% SDS, 10%

ammonium persulfate, 0.1% TEMED in dH2O) were used for protein electrophoresis. Gels were

run in 1x running buffer and then the proteins were transferred from the gel *in* ice cold 1x Buffer

onto Immobilon®-P Transfer membranes (Merck Millipore, Cat.No. IPVH00005). Transfer

membranes were air dried to dehydrate and stored until use. To blot with antibodies, membranes

were rehydrated in 0.1% PBST (0.1% Tween20 in 1xPBS) changing the buffer several times until

the membrane became wet. Rehydrated membranes were blocked in 5% blocking buffer

containing skim milk (Sigma, Cat.No. 1153630500) in 0.1% PBST for 1½ hrs and then incubated

with primary antibodies diluted in 5% blocking buffer, overnight. Next day, the membranes were

washed in 0.1% PBST for 4 times changing the buffer every 10 mins and then incubated with

secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase diluted in secondary antibody buffer

(3% milk in 0.1% PBSTX) for 2 hrs at RT on a shaker. After the incubation, membranes were

washed 4 times 10 moins each with 0.1% PBST buffer. As a final wash 1x PBS was used. The

ECL (Thermo Fisher, Cat. No. PI32209) was added onto the membrane to cover the whole

membrane and exposed to X-Ray film (Amersham Hyperfilm™ ECL, GE Healthcare Limited,

Cat.No. 28906836). Primary antibodies used: YFP Goat polyclonal antibody (1:500) (ORIGENE,

Cat.No. AB1166-100), mouse mCherry antibody (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. MA5-32977).

Secondary antibodies: Donkey anti-Goat IgG, (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP (Thermo Fisher,

Cat.No. A15999). Donkey anti-Mouse IgG H&L, HRP (1:500) (abcam, Cat.No. AB205719).

Histone H3 antibody (1:1000) (Novus Biological, Cat.No. NB500-171-0.025 ml).

Immunofluorescence assay

Cells were cultured on ibidi u-Slide 8 well Glass Bottom chambers (ibidi GmbH, Cat.No. 80827).

Cells were washed once with 1x PBS and then fixed in 4% PFA for 12 min while gently rocking

and the PFA was washed off by rinsing the cells with 400 ul of 1x PBS, three times. Then the cells

were permeabilized with 0.3% PBSTx (Triton X-100 in 1xPBS) for 10 min at RT while gently

rocking and washed with 400 ul of 0.1% PBSTx three times. Cells were then blocked with blocking

buffer (3% BSA, 10% NDS, 0.1% PBSTx) for 1 hr at RT and 200 ul of blocking buffer containing

primary antibodies was added to each well and then incubated overnight at 4ºC on a shaker. Next

day, primary antibodies were washed off by rinsing 4 times with 400 ul of 0.1% PBSTx, 10 min

for each wash. 200 ul of the secondary antibody diluted in buffer (5% NDS in 0.1% PBSTx) was

added to each well and incubated for 1½ hrs at RT on a slow rocker. After the incubation period,

secondary antibodies were washed off with 0.1% PBSTx three times and washed once with 1X

PBS as the last wash. DAPI diluted at 1:10000 in 1xPBS was (200 ul) added to each well and

incubated for another 5 min then washed 3 times with 1X PBS. 100 ul of ibidi mounting medium

(ibidi GmbH, Cat.No. 50001) was added to each well and the chamber slides were kept wrapped

in aluminum foil at 4ºC until imaged. Primary antibodies used: Sun1 (1:700) a gift from Min lab,

Biotin antibody (33) 1: 1000 (Santa Cruz, Cat.No. 101339), LaminB1 (1:500) (Abcam, Cat.No.

ab16048). Secondary antibodies used: Donkey anti-Rabbit, AF 568 (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No.

A10042), Donkey anti-Mouse, AF 647 (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. A-21235, Goat anti-Rabbit, AF

488 (Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. A-11008). Secondary antibodies were in 1:1000 dilution.

Live imagine using lattice light-sheet microscopy and quantitation

mESCs were cultured on small diameter round cover glass, #1 thickness, 5 mm (Thomas Scientific,

Cat.No.1217H19) overnight. Four hrs prior to image acquisition, medium was replaced with

medium containing 20 ng/ml doxycycline (Sigma, Cat.No. 324385-1GM) and during image

acquisition, the chamber was filled with the same medium.

The live images were captured using the 3i lattice light sheet microscope (LLSM) with the

following parameters. Objective: 62.5x LLS (magnification: 62.50x), Mag. Changer: 1.0x)

magnification: 1.00x), Binning: 1x1, Average timelaps interval: 20 sec, Microns per pixel (XY):

0.104 um, step size in microns (Z): 0.553, Channel 1: 488 at 300 ms and Channel 2: 560 at 300

ms. The system uses a 25x 1.1 NA detection objective but increased the magnification using optics

after the objective to reach 62.5x as the final magnification on the system. Each laser was set to a

total power of 9% out of the AOTF with the laser power at the head being 50 mW each. The images

were deskewed and deconvolved using the algorithms embedded in SlideBook6 software.

Nick translated probe preparation

One microgram of DNA probe (from PCR) was used in each reaction. Reaction was prepared

mixing DNA fragments, 3.8 ul of labelled dUTP (from 0.2 mM), 2.5 ul of 0.1 mM dTTP, 10 ul of

0.1 mM dNTP mix, 5.0 ul of 10x Nick translation buffer adding nuclease free ddH$_2$O to volume

up to 45 ul. Next, the sample mixture was vortexed and briefly spin-down and 5.0 ul of Nick

translation enzyme was added. Reaction mixtures were gently vortexed and centrifuged and

proceeded to amplification by incubation at 15ºC for 10 hrs, and then heat inactivated at 70ºC for

10 min and cooled down to 4ºC. Samples were briefly spin-down and transferred into 1.5 ml RNase

free micro centrifuge tubes. Then 1 ul of 0.5 M EDTA, 1 ul of linear acrylamide (Ambion), 5 ul

of 3M NaOAc (pH5.2) and 125 ul of 100% ethanol (from -20ºC cold) was added, briefly mixed

by tipping and then incubated at -80ºC for 2 hrs. After the incubation, the samples were centrifuged

at 20000 rcf for 60 min at 4 ºC. A pellet should be visible at this point. Next, 1 ml of 75% ethanol

was added and centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 5 min and repeated for one time. The pellet was then

dried at 37ºC in an incubator for 15 min. Next, 50 ul of DEPC treated DNase and RNase free H_2O

was added to each tube containing a sample pellet and placed in a heat block at 37ºC and vortexed

for 1 hr 200 rmp speed. Probes were kept at -20ºC until used.

Nascent RNA-FISH assay

NPCs were cultured in 24-well plates (MatTek, Cat.No. P24G013F) and used when the cultures

were 80% confluent. In all the steps, DEPC treated 1x PBS was used. Freshly made 4% PFA (made

in DEPC treated 1xPBS) was chilled on ice and then warmed in a 37ºC water bath for 30 min.

Cells were rinsed once with 1xPBS and 200 ul of 4% PFA was added to each well and incubated

for 15 min at room temperature with gentle shaking to fix the cells. 200 mM VRC was warmed on

60ºC heat block until thawed. Cells were then washed with 1xPBS three times (10 min per wash)

with gently shaking. 0.5% Triton X-100/2 mM VCR buffer was made in 1xPBS and 300 ul of the

mix was added to each well and incubated on ice for 10 min. Cells were then washed three times

with 1xPBS on a shaker and then saturated in 400 ul of 2x SSC for 2 hrs in a 37ºC humidified

chamber. While saturating, probes were prepared as follows. 100 ng of probe and 2 ul of

competitor yeast tRNA (10ug/ul) were mixed in a microcentrifuge tube and dried down in a Speed

Vac (at maximum heat) for 25 min. Once dried ,10 ul of deionized formamide (Ambion) was added

and pipetted up-down to dissolve the probes. Tubes were then placed on a 37ºC heat-block for 20

min until probes were completely dissolved and then probes were denatured by heating at 95ºC for

10 min and chilled on ice for 10 min. Hybridization buffer was prepared combining 4 ul of 50%

dextran sulfate (warmed to 42ºC), 3 ul of DEPC treated ddH$_2$O, 1 ul of RNasin (Promega), and 2

ul of 20x SSC per probe mix and 10 ul of the hybridization buffer was added to a tube with probe

pipetting five times up-down to mix. 40 ul of probe mixture was added into each well containing

cells, sealed with parafilm, wrapped with aluminum foil and incubated for 16 hrs in 37ºC I a

humidified incubator. After incubation, cells were washed with pre-warmed (42ºC) 2x SSC/ 50%

deionized formamide solution three times (5 min per each wash). Next, the cells were washed with

pre-warmed (42ºC) 2x SSC for three times every 5 min, pre-warmed (37ºC) 1x SSC three times (5

min per each wash), 0.5% SSC three times (5 min per each wash). Then the cells were incubated

with 200 ul of DAPI containing 4x SSC for 5 min and washed with 4x SSC for 5 min. 100 ul of

ibidi mounting medium (ibidi GmbH, Cat.No.50001) was added to each well and plates were kept

wrapped in aluminum foil at 4ºC until imaged.

Primers used for PCR amplification and use as a template:

Acyp2: F- GGGCCGACTTTTCTAACGA, R- TGAATGACTCCATAAAACAACATT

Arc: F- GTGAAGACAAGCCAGCATGA, R- CTCCAGGGTCTCCCTAGTCC

Fggy: F-CCTGGCATTGCAAAATATGA, R- CCTGGATCCAATGAGTGAGC

Bag3: F-CGAGAGCCTCCACCTGTTAC, R- CCAGACAACCAGAGGGGTTA

Eya1: F- TGTCAGTGCTGGACTTCAGG, R- CTGCTGCCCCCAGTATTAAA

Dis3l: F- CGCTGCCACTCTGATACAAT, R- TCTGAAGACAGAGGCAAGAGG

Lypla1: F- AGTCACCTCCTCCTGCTGAA, R- CCAGACAACCAGAGGGGTTA

Cap2: F- GCCTTCCCTGAGTCTCCTCT, R- GCGCTATGCTTCGTTACACA

Cth: F- CCTCTGTGCCTGAGAAGGAC, R- TGAATTTCACAGTAGGTATTCCAAA

Npl: F- CATCATGACGCTGGTCTCTG, R- CCCAAAAGGCAGGTGAAAT

Saysd1: F- GTCCTGCTGGGACTGTTTGT, R- TCCTCAGGCACATGGTACAC

Smim3: F- CTATCACCCAATCCCCTGTG, R- TGGGTTGTAGCTCAGTGGTG

Fam111a:F- GGGACCAAAGACCACTTTCA, R- GATCCAGAAGACCCACCAAA

Ctsz: F- TCGTGACCAGCACCTACAAG, R- GGATTCCAGGGCTCCAGAT

Ptgr1: F- TCCCTGGAGGGTTAGCTTTT, R- AATGGGCTTAGGGAATGGAC

Cd81: F- ATTCTGAGCATGGTGCTGTG, R- CTTGAAATGGAGGCAGGAAA

Meg3: F- TCAAAGGGCTGAGGAGAAAA, R- AAGTGGTCAGATTGCGAAGC

Xist: F- TGTGTATTGTGGGTGTGTCTATTT, R- AGTCACGAAAGGCAGGAGTG

Gpc3: F- CAAGCAGCATGGAAATCAGA, R- TGTCAAAGAAATCCATGCAGA

Tssc4: F- ATGACACCCTGCCTTCTGAC, R- CACACCTTCCCACTTGGACT

Ube3a: F- CCACCTAGTCCTCTCGTCCA, R- CGCCTCACTGGTCCTTGT

Sry: F- CTGCAGTTGCCTCAACAAAA, R- CATGAGACTGCCAACCACAG

Peg3: F- TTCATCCTGAGCGAGAAGGT, R- GATGGGTTGATTTGGGTCAC

Zdbf2: F- AAGCGACCGACATCATCAG, R- TGGGTATGTGTGCAAATGAGA

Mcts2: F- GAACCAGTGGGGTAATCACG, R- CACACACAGAGATACAACGCAAT

Phlda2:F-TCGAAATGGCTTCGAAAATC, R- AAGAAAAGTGACATTGTTTATTTGGA

Grb10: F- TATGACCTCCTTGCCCACTC, R- AGAGGCCATCAAGCTTTCAA

Tgfb1: F- GCCCCAGAGTCTGAGACCA, R- ACGCGGGTGACCTCTTTAG

Zfat: F- CCCAGTTCCAACCACACAGT, R- CAGTGTCCTTGCACTGAGGA

Trp73: F- GCCCATCAAAGAGGAGTTCA, R- GCTCCTCTGTGCTTCTCCAC

Actb: F- GCGCAAGTACTCTGTGTGGA, R- CTGGCTGCCTCAACACCT

Gapdh: F- GTCACCAGGGCTGCCATT, R- GGCCTTCTCCATGGTGGT

Ppib: F- ATGTGGTACGGAAGGTGGAG, R- CCACTTTATTGATCAGCATTGG

B2m: F- TGAAGATTCATTTGAACCTGCTTA, R- AAAAGCAGAAGTAGCCACAGG

DNA-FISH assay

NPCs were cultured and fixed as described in nascent RNA-FISH assay. Cells were rinsed in

1xPBS three times (5 min per wash) and permeabilized adding ice-cold 0.5% Triton X-100/1xPBS

and keeping on ice for 10 min. After that cells were washed with 1xPBS three times (5 min per

wash) and equilibrated in 2x SSC changing the solution twice, each for 5 min and incubated with

2x SSC containing 0.1 mg/ml RNase A for 1 hr at 37ºC. The hybridization probe preparation was

carried out as follows. 10 ug of mouse Cot-1DNA, 5 ul of salmon sperm DNA, 5 ul of yeast tRNA

and 40 ng of nick translated probe were mixed in 1.5 ul microcentrifuge tube and the volume was

adjusted up to 20 ul with ddH$_2$O. The components were mixed gently by tapping and dehydrated

(at 65 ºC) in a Speed-Vac for 30 min. 10 ul of deionized formamide was then added to the pellet,

resuspended gently and incubated at 37ºC for 60 min while shaking until the probe was completely

dissolved. After the RNase treatment, the cells were washed twice (5 min each) with 2x SSC at

42ºC. 70% of deionized formamide in 2x SSC (at 80ºC) was added onto the cells (keep the 24-

well MatTek plates floating on 80ºC water bath) an incubated for 3 min, liquid was removed from

the wells as quickly as possible and ice-cold (-20ºC) 70% ethanol was added onto the cells and

incubated for 5 min on ice. Next the cells were dehydrated using 95% ethanol for 5 min and then

in 100% ethanol for 5 min and kept in 100% ethanol until proceeding to hybridization steps. Just

before the hybridization was started, probe mixture was warmed up on 95ºC on a heat block for

10 min and incubated for 2 min on ice. Then 10 ul of pre-warmed 2x hybridization buffer (20%

Dextran Sulfate, 4x SSC in dH$_2$O at 37ºC) was added to 10 ul of probe and 20 ul of the probe

mixture was added onto the cells. The lid of the plate was sealed with Parafilm and incubated at

37ºC in a humidified chamber overnight. After the probe hybridization, probe mixture was

removed from the well and 50% deionized formamide containing 2X SSC (pH 7.0) was added and

incubated at 37ºC for 30 min. Then the cells were washed with 2x SSC - 15 min at 37ºC, 1x SSC

- 15 min at 37ºC and then equilibrated in 4x SSC for 5 min at RT. 1:10,000 diluted DAPI in 4x

SSC was added to each well, incubated for 3 min at RT and then washed in 4x SSC twice (5 min

each) at RT. 100 ul of ibidi mounting medium (ibidi GmbH, Cat.No.50001) was added to each

well and the plates were kept wrapped in aluminum foil at 4ºC until imaged.

Primers used for PCR amplification to use as a template:

Cth Nik F: GGTCAAGTGCTTGCAACAGA

Cth Nik R: GAGCTTCCGGAGACACAGTC

Ttc4 Nik F: CTCCATGGGAGGATGAGAAA

Ttc4 Nik R: CCAAACCAAACCAAACCAAC

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation by Sun1 and Sequencing

ESCs and NPCs from 80% confluent cultures were harvested by trypsinization and rinsed and

resuspended in 10% FBS containing Knockout DMEM (Gibco, Cat.no.10829-018). Crosslinking

was carried out incubating $5X10^7$ cells with 1% Formaldehyde in 20 ml of 10% FBS containing

Knockout DMEM for 10 min at RT while gently shaking. Crosslinking was blocked by adding 2

ml of 1.5M Glycine and further incubation for 10 min at RT with gentle shaking. Then the cells

were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 6 min at 4ºC, medium was removed and washed twice with 20

ml of ice-cold 1xPBS. Pellets were then re-suspended in 500 ul of ChIP buffer (0.1% SDS, 10 mM

EDTA, 50mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, Protease inhibitor, 1 mM in dH_2O) and kept on ice. Sonication

was carried out using a Bioruptor® Pico sonication device (diagenode, Cat.No. B01060010) (30

Sec ON and 30 Sec OFF for 15 cycles). After sonication samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g for

10 min at 4ºC. Supernatant was transferred to separate 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and kept at -80ºC

until used. To deplete the nucleic acids to use in Sun1-ChIP nucleic acid depleted extracts, nuclear

extracts were treated with Benzonase® Nuclease (Millipore Sigma, Cat. No. E1014-5KU

following the manufacturer's guidelines. Sonicated lysates were used to extract the shredded DNA

and the average fragment size was 300-500 bps and the concentration of the shredded DNA was

measured using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. 150 ul of Dynabeads™ Protein G was used for

Immunoprecipitation (Invitrogen, Cat.No. 10004D) per ChIP reaction. First the beads were washed

with ice cold 0.02% Tween20 in 1xPBS and then resuspended in 150 ul of 0.02% Tween20 in

1xPBS. 0.8 ug of Sun1 antibody (Proteintech, Cat.No. 24568-I-AP) or Rabbit IgG Isotype Control

(Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. 10500c) was added to the beads and the volumes were brought to 200 ul

adding 0.02% Tween20 in 1xPBS. The mixtures were incubated for 2 hrs at 4ºC in a tube rotator.

After 2 hrs incubation, excess liquid was removed from the bead-antibody reaction mixture and

the beads were gently rinsed again with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 2

mM EDTA (pH8.0), 1% NP40, 0.5% Sodium Deoxicholate, 0.05% SDS, 1 Protease inhibitor

tablet (cOmplete Mini, EDTA-free, Cat.No. 39802300), in 10 ml of dH$_2$O). 150 ug of DNA

containing lysates were volumed up to 800 ul with 1x RIPA buffer and added to the bead-antibody

mixture and incubated overnight at 4ºC while gently mixing in a tube rotator. Next day the beads

and protein complexes were separated from the excess lysate on a magnetic rack. Beads were then

washed three times with 1000 ul of 1xTE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH8.0), 2 mM EDTA in dH$_2$O)

and last, 200 ul of elution buffer (50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.5), 0.1 mM PMSF, 5 mM

EDTA, 0.1% SDS in ddH$_2$O) was added and incubated at 31ºC for 15 min in a heat bock while

mixing the samples at 800 rpm. For paired-end sequencing, DNAs were extracted from 100 ul of

elute, per sample, using Genomic DNA Clean & Concentrator™ -25 Kit (Zymo Research, Cat.No.

D4064) and concentrated and paired-end libraries were prepared and sequenced (NextSeq500, PE-

150, mid output) at the Next Generation Sequencing Core Facility at Cold Spring Harbor

Laboratory. Nucleic acids from the protein samples were removed by incubating the samples with

Benzonase (Millipore Sigma, Cat. No.E1014) for 20 min on ice before use in Sun1 interacting

pulldown assay.

qPCR and Sanger sequencing

1 ug of genomic DNA fragments from Sun1-ChIP assay and from the input sample were used as

the templates. Quantitative PCR was performed using PowerUp™ SYBR® Green Master Mix

(Thermo Fisher, Cat.No. A25743) and the primers covering SNPs in the *Cth* (F-

GAGCCTGGGAGGATATGAGA, R- AAGCTCGATCCAGGTCTTCA) and *Ttc4* (F –

GACAGGGCGGAACTATACCA, genes. qPCR products were Sanger sequenced using the

GenScript Biotec Sanger sequencing service.

Methods for Solution Digestion Analysis by LC-MS/MS

50 ul of elute from a sample was sent to Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility at the

Harvard Medical School. In brief, 10ul (20ng/ul) of modified sequencing-grade trypsin (Promega,

Madison, WI) was spiked into 300ul PBS and the samples were placed in a 37ºC room overnight.

Samples were acidified by spiking in 20ul 20% formic acid solution and then desalted by STAGE

tip (1). On the day of analysis, the samples were reconstituted in 10 µl of HPLC solvent A. A nano-

scale reverse-phase HPLC capillary column was created by packing 2.6 µm C18 spherical silica

beads into a fused silica capillary (100 µm inner diameter x ~30 cm length) with a flame-drawn

tip (2). After equilibrating the column each sample was loaded via a Famos auto sampler (LC

Packings, San Francisco, CA) onto the column. A gradient was formed and peptides were eluted

with increasing concentrations of solvent B (97.5% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid).

As peptides eluted, they were subjected to electrospray ionization and then entered into an LTQ

Orbitrap Velos Elite ion-trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Peptides were detected, isolated, and fragmented to produce a tandem mass spectrum of specific

fragment ions for each peptide. Peptide sequences (and hence protein identity) were determined

by matching protein databases with the acquired fragmentation pattern by the software program,

Sequest (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) (3). All databases include a reversed version

of all the sequences and the data was filtered to between a one and two percent peptide false

discovery rate.

Allele-specific ChIP-seq analysis and motif analysis

We used the MEA pipeline[4] for ChIP-seq analysis. In silico diploid C57BL/6J / CAST/EiJ genome

was reconstructed using the SHAPEIT2 pipeline by combining the mm10 reference genome

(mgp.v5.merged.snps_all.dbSNP142.vcf.gz) and genetic variants

(mgp.v5.merged.indels.dbSNP142.normed.vcf.gz). We then aligned sequencing read files (.fastq)

to the constructed in silico genome using Bowtie2 aligner (version 2.3.4.3). Generated '.bam' files

were then used in the ChIP-seq pipeline embedded in SeqMonk bio-informatic web portal, and the

peaks were called using the MACS algorithm setting p=0.05 and 500 base fragment size. Motif

analysis was performed using HOMER (v4.11) in Basepair (Version 3.2.7) web portal.

TA-bridge *in vivo* visualization and pull-down of *in vivo* TA-bridge interacting proteins and MS assay

mESCs were seeded at 0.4×10^4 cells per well in 8-well ibidi chamber slides and incubated for 24 hrs before transfection of biotinylated DNAs. For IF assay 0.03 ug of biotinylated oligos per well were used.

For *in vivo* TA-bridge interacting proteins pull-down assay, 2.5×10^5 cells per well in three 6-well plates were seeded with ESCs 24 hrs prior to transfection. 0.5 ug of biotinylated oligos were used per well for transfection. Using Xfect™ transfection reagent kit (Clonetech, Cat.No. 631317), oligos were transfected according to the manufacture's guidelines. Cells and oligos were incubated overnight and then fixed with 4% PFA and kept at 4ºC for IF.

The cells set-up for interacting protein pull-down, were rinsed three times with 1xPBS and the nuclear proteins were extracted using a nuclear extraction kit (ActiveMotif®, Cat.No. 40010). Per pull-down reaction 200 ul of Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin- T1 and 200 ug of nuclear extract was used. Before using the beads, they were washed three times with 500 ul of 2x binding buffer and then resuspended with 150 ul of 2X B&W buffer. The nuclear extracts' volume was then brought up to 150 ul by adding Complete Lysis Buffer from the nuclear extract kit and added to the beads. Binding was performed at room temperature for 1 hr on a tube rotator. At the end, tubes were briefly centrifuged and supernatant was removed on the magnetic rack. Then the beads were washed four times with 1 ml of 1x B&W buffer and then resuspended in 20 ul of elution buffer (50mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl (pH7.5), 0.1 mM PMFS, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS in Milli-Q water) and boiled at 95ºC for 7 min while slowly vertexing in a heat rack. Tubes were briefly centrifuged and the supernatant was collected on a magnetic rack. Elutes were kept at -80ºC until used. For MS analysis 60 ul (20 ul combined from 3 prep) was used (see below).

Identification of proteins bound to TA-bridges by MS

MS was performed at the Cold Spring Harbor Mass Spectrometry Core Facility. Procedure for on-membrane proteolysis was performed as followed.

Excised nylon membranes were hydrated and washed three times with 500 ul of 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) for 10 min at 55ºC under agitation. Then 500 ul of fresh 3 mM TCEP/50mM ABC was added and incubated at 55ºC for 20 min while vortexing at 500 rpm. Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) solution was removed and 500 ul of fresh 10 mM CEMTS (2-carboxymethyl methanethiosulfonate)/10% ethanol was added and then the tubes were incubated at 55ºC for 5 min while vortexing at 500 rpm. CEMTS solution was removed and the membranes were washed three times with 500 ul of 50 mM ABC for 5 min at 55ºC under agitation. 100 ng/ul of Trypsin (Sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin, Promega) was prepared in 50 mM ABC and 5 ul of it added into the middle of the membrane piece, followed by 200 ul of 50 mM ABC/0.1% ProteaseMax surfactant. Membranes were digested at 37ºC overnight while vortexing at 500 rpm. 200 ul of 80% ACN/1% TFA mix was added to each membrane and incubated for another 15 min at 55ºC under agitation. Elutes were collected into clean tubes, lyophilized by vacuum centrifugation and the peptide pellets were resuspended in 20 ul of loading buffer (55% DMSO, 0.1% TFA in water).

Peptides were loaded on a 30 cm x 75 uM ID column packed with Reprosil 1.9 C18 silica particles and resolved on a 5-35% acetonitrile gradient in water (0.1% formate) at 250 nl/min. Eluting peptides were ionized by electrospray (2200V) and transferred into an Exploris 480 mass spectrometer (Thermo). The MS was set to collect 120K resolution precursor scans (m/z 380-2000 Th) and 60K HCD fragmentation spectra at stepped 28,33,38% normalized energy, with first mass locked to 100 Th. Files were searched using the Mascot scoring function within

ProteomeDiscoverer, with mass tolerance set at 5 ppm for MS1and 0.01 for MS2. Spectra were

matched against the Uniprot mouse consensus database plus a database of common contaminants

(cRAP). M-oxidation and N/Q-deamidation were set as variable modifications. Peptide-spectral

matches were filtered to maintain FDR < 1%.

EMSA assay

EMSA assay was performed by using LightShift® Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo

Scientific, Cat.No. 20148). Biotinylated oligos were synthesized by bioSYNTHESIS®.

TAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAA(BM-1), ATTTATTTATTTATTTATTT

ATTTATTTATTT(BM-2), and GCAT(Cont) oligos (5' to 3') were tagged with DesthioBiotin

TEG at the 3' end of the oligos placing six -Sp18- spacers between oligo and DesthioBiotin.

Double stranded TA-bridges were synthesized annealing BM-1 with non-biotinylated oligos and

DNA was purified using Genomic DNA Clean & Concentrator™ (ZYMO RESEARCH, Cat.No.

D4065). 30 fmol from each biotinylated oligos were used per reaction and biotinylated Epstein-

Barr nuclear antigen (EBNA) DNA was used as a negative control. 6% natural polyacrylamide

gels (1.0 mm 8X10 cm) were used for gel shift assay. Nuclear extracts from mESCs were extracted

using nuclear extract kit (ActiveMotif®, Cat.No. 40010) from 70% confluent ESC cultures.

Binding reactions were set-up mixing 10x Binding buffer, 1 ul Poly (dI.dC), Nuclear extract

protein and biotinylated DNA oligos to achieve 20 ul volume of total binding reaction and

incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Then, 5 ul of 5X loading buffer was added to each 20

ul binding reaction and mixed pipetting up-down 5 times. Before loading the reaction mixture onto

the 6% natural polyacrylamide gels, gels were pre-run for 60 min at 100V. The protein gels were

run at 100V until the bromophenol blue dye migrated three quarter of the gel.

To transfer protein from gel to nylon membranes, nylon membranes (Biodyne® A Pre-Cut

Membranes – Thermo Scientific, Cat.No. 77015) were soaked in 0.5X TBE for 10 min and the

gels, nylon membrane and blotting paper were sandwiched according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Transfer was conducted in ice-cold 0.5X TBE while the transfer apparatus was kept

sub-merged in ice all the time. Transfer was carried out for 45 min at 380 mA and the nylon

membrane was semi-dried on a paper towel while bromophenol blue staining side was up-sided.

Then the cross-linking was carried out in a UV StrataLinker™ 1800 (STRATAGENE) at 120000

uJ power for 60 Sec and then the membrane was directly transferred to blocking buffer pre-warmed

to 37ºC for 15 min while gently shaking. Then the blocking buffer was removed and membrane

soaked in conjugated/bocking buffer was incubated for 15 min on a slow rocker. The membrane

was then transferred to a new container, rinsed once with 20 ml 1x wash buffer and then washed

for another four times (5 min for each wash) in 20 ml 1X wash buffer while gently shaking. The

membrane was then transferred to a clean container and incubated in Substrate Equilibration buffer

for 5 min, with gentle shaking. Membrane was then removed into a clean container after blotting

off the excess Equilibration buffer and 12 ml of Substrate working solution was added to the

membrane and incubated for another 5 min without shaking. The membrane was then taken out

from the solution and blotted to remove the excess solution without letting the membrane over-

dry. The membrane was wrapped in plastic film and exposed to X-ray film (Amersham

Hyperfilm™ ECL, GE Healthcare Limited, Cat.No. 28906836) for 7 min.

Data availability

The Sun1 ChIP-seq data (raw and processed) supporting this study's finding have been deposited

into the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession code GSE228344. All other data

supporting the finding of this study has been submitted with the manuscript.

References

1   Bickmore, W. A. The spatial organization of the human genome. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum*

Genet **14**, 67-84, doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-091212-153515 (2013).

2   Binder, H. *et al.* Transcriptional regulation by histone modifications: towards a theory of

chromatin re-organization during stem cell differentiation. *Phys Biol* **10**, 026006,

doi:10.1088/1478-3975/10/2/026006 (2013).

3   Cremer, T. & Cremer, M. Chromosome Territories. *Csh Perspect Biol* **2**, doi:ARTN

a003889 10.1101/cshperspect.a003889 (2010).

4   Fraser, P. & Bickmore, W. Nuclear organization of the genome and the potential for gene

regulation. *Nature* **447**, 413-417, doi:10.1038/nature05916 (2007).

5   Hubner, M. R., Eckersley-Maslin, M. A. & Spector, D. L. Chromatin organization and

transcriptional regulation. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **23**, 89-95, doi:10.1016/j.gde.2012.11.006

(2013).

6   Meaburn, K. J. & Misteli, T. Cell biology: chromosome territories. *Nature* **445**, 379-781,

doi:10.1038/445379a (2007).

7   Takizawa, T., Meaburn, K. J. & Misteli, T. The meaning of gene positioning. *Cell* **135**, 9-

13, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.026 (2008).

8   Abed, J. A. *et al.* Highly structured homolog pairing reflects functional organization of the

Drosophila genome. *Nat Commun* **10**, doi:ARTN 4485 10.1038/s41467-019-12208-3

(2019).

9   Duncan, I. W. Transvection effects in Drosophila. *Annu Rev Genet* **36**, 521-556,

doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.36.060402.100441 (2002).

10 Galouzis, C. C. & Prud'homme, B. Transvection regulates the sex-biased expression of a

fly X-linked gene. *Science* **371**, 396-+, doi:10.1126/science.abc2745 (2021).

11 Hogan, M. S., Parfitt, D. E., Zepeda-Mendoza, C. J., Shen, M. M. & Spector, D. L.

Transient Pairing of Homologous Oct4 Alleles Accompanies the Onset of Embryonic Stem

Cell Differentiation. *Cell Stem Cell* **16**, 275-288, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2015.02.001 (2015).

12 Jack, J. W. & Judd, B. H. Allelic pairing and gene regulation: A model for the zeste-white

interaction in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **76**, 1368-1372,

doi:10.1073/pnas.76.3.1368 (1979).

13 Viets, K. *et al.* Characterization of Button Loci that Promote Homologous Chromosome

Pairing and Cell-Type-Specific Interchromosomal Gene Regulation. *Dev Cell* **51**, 341-+,

doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.09.007 (2019).

14 D'Angelo, M. A. Nuclear pore complexes as hubs for gene regulation. *Nucleus-Phila* **9**,

142-148, doi:10.1080/19491034.2017.1395542 (2018).

15 Mao, Y. T. S., Zhang, B. & Spector, D. L. Biogenesis and function of nuclear bodies.

Trends Genet **27**, 295-306, doi:10.1016/j.tig.2011.05.006 (2011).

16 Meldi, L. & Brickner, J. H. Compartmentalization of the nucleus. *Trends Cell Biol* **21**, 701-

708, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2011.08.001 (2011).

17 Quinodoz, S. A. *et al.* Higher-Order Inter-chromosomal Hubs Shape 3D Genome

Organization in the Nucleus. *Cell* **174**, 744-+, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.024 (2018).

18 Zimber, A., Nguyen, Q. D. & Gespach, C. Nuclear bodies and compartments: Functional

roles and cellular signalling in health and disease. *Cell Signal* **16**, 1085-1104,

doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2004.03.020 (2004).

19   Eckersiey-Maslin, M. A. & Spector, D. L. Random monoallelic expression: regulating gene
expression one allele at a time. *Trends Genet* **30**, 237-244, doi:10.1016/j.tig.2014.03.003
(2014).

20   Ferguson-Smith, A. C. Genomic imprinting: the emergence of an epigenetic paradigm. *Nat*
Rev Genet **12**, 565-575, doi:10.1038/nrg3032 (2011).

21   Reik, W. & Walter, J. Imprinting mechanisms in mammals. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **8**, 154-
164, doi:10.1016/s0959-437x(98)80136-6 (1998).

22   Balasooriya, G. I. & Spector, D. L. Allele-specific differential regulation of monoallelically
expressed autosomal genes in the cardiac lineage. *Nat Commun* **13**, 5984,
doi:10.1038/s41467-022-33722-x (2022).

23   Chen, M. *et al.* Live imaging of RNA and RNA splicing in mammalian cells via the
dcas13a-SunTag-BiFC system. *Biosens Bioelectron* **204**, 114074,
doi:10.1016/j.bios.2022.114074 (2022).

24   Daigle, N. & Ellenberg, J. LambdaN-GFP: an RNA reporter system for live-cell imaging.
Nat Methods **4**, 633-636, doi:10.1038/nmeth1065 (2007).

25   Haim, L., Zipor, G., Aronov, S. & Gerst, J. E. A genomic integration method to visualize
localization of endogenous mRNAs in living yeast. *Nat Methods* **4**, 409-412,
doi:10.1038/nmeth1040 (2007).

26   Hocine, S., Raymond, P., Zenklusen, D., Chao, J. A. & Singer, R. H. Single-molecule
analysis of gene expression using two-color RNA labeling in live yeast. *Nat Methods* **10**,
119-121, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2305 (2013).

27   Janicki, S. M. *et al.* From silencing to gene expression: real-time analysis in single cells.
Cell **116**, 683-698, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(04)00171-0 (2004).

28   Ma, H. H. *et al.* Multiplexed labeling of genomic loci with dCas9 and engineered sgRNAs

using CRISPRainbow. *Nature Biotechnology* **34**, 528-530, doi:10.1038/nbt.3526 (2016).

29   Maass, P. G. *et al.* Spatiotemporal allele organization by allele-specific CRISPR live-cell

imaging (SNP-CLING). *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **25**, 176-+, doi:10.1038/s41594-017-0015-3

(2018).

30   Tsukamoto, T. *et al.* Visualization of gene activity in living cells. *Nat Cell Biol* **2**, 871-878,

doi:10.1038/35046510 (2000).

31   Vera, M., Biswas, J., Senecal, A., Singer, R. H. & Park, H. Y. Single-Cell and Single-

Molecule Analysis of Gene Expression Regulation. *Annual Review of Genetics, Vol 50* **50**,

267-291, doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-034854 (2016).

32   Chen, H. M. & Larson, D. R. What have single-molecule studies taught us about gene

expression? *Gene Dev* **30**, 1796-1810, doi:10.1101/gad.281725.116 (2016).

33   Shao, S. P., Xue, B. X. & Sun, Y. J. Intranucleus Single-Molecule Imaging in Living Cells.

Biophys J **115**, 181-189, doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2018.05.017 (2018).

34   Shav-Tal, Y., Singer, R. H. & Darzacq, X. Imaging gene expression in single living cells.

Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio **5**, 855-862, doi:10.1038/nrm1494 (2004).

35   Blake, W. J., Kaern, M., Cantor, C. R. & Collins, J. J. Noise in eukaryotic gene expression.

Nature **422**, 633-637, doi:10.1038/nature01546 (2003).

36   Larson, D. R., Singer, R. H. & Zenklusen, D. A single molecule view of gene expression.

Trends Cell Biol **19**, 630-637, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2009.08.008 (2009).

37   Wang, L., Frei, M. S., Salim, A. & Johnsson, K. Small-Molecule Fluorescent Probes for

Live-Cell Super-Resolution Microscopy. *J Am Chem Soc* **141**, 2770-2781,

doi:10.1021/jacs.8b11134 (2019).

38   Joyce, E. F., Erceg, J. & Wu, C. T. Pairing and anti-pairing: a balancing act in the diploid

genome. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **37**, 119-128, doi:10.1016/j.gde.2016.03.002 (2016).

39   Blattner, G., Cavazza, A., Thrasher, A. J. & Turchiano, G. Gene Editing and Genotoxicity:

Targeting the Off-Targets. *Front Genome Ed* **2**, 613252, doi:10.3389/fgeed.2020.613252

(2020).

40   Bohne, J. & Cathomen, T. Genotoxicity in gene therapy: An account of vector integration

and designer nucleases. *Curr Opin Mol Ther* **10**, 214-223 (2008).

41   Yoon, K. H. *et al.* Olfactory receptor genes expressed in distinct lineages are sequestered

in different nuclear compartments. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**, E2403-E2409,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1506058112 (2015).

42   Armelin-Correa, L. M., Gutiyama, L. M., Brandt, D. Y. C. & Malnic, B. Nuclear

compartmentalization of odorant receptor genes. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **111**, 2782-2787,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1317036111 (2014).

43   Clowney, E. J. *et al.* Nuclear Aggregation of Olfactory Receptor Genes Governs Their

Monogenic Expression. *Cell* **151**, 724-737, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.043 (2012).

44   Gundersen, G. G. & Worman, H. J. Nuclear Positioning. *Cell* **152**, 1376-1389,

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.031 (2013).

45   Haque, F. *et al.* SUN1 interacts with nuclear lamin A and cytoplasmic nesprins to provide

a physical connection between the nuclear lamina and the cytoskeleton. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**,

3738-3751, doi:10.1128/Mcb.26.10.3738-3751.2006 (2006).

46   Liu, Q. *et al.* Functional association of Sun1 with nuclear pore complexes. *J Cell Biol* **178**,

785-798, doi:10.1083/jcb.200704108 (2007).

47   Li, P. *et al.* The function of the inner nuclear envelope protein SUN1 in mRNA export is

974   regulated by phosphorylation. *Sci Rep-Uk* **7**, doi:ARTN 9157 10.1038/s41598-017-08837-

975   7 (2017).

976   48   Summer, M. C. & Brickner, J. The Nuclear Pore Complex as a Transcription Regulator.

977   *Csh Perspect Biol* **14**, doi:ARTN a039438 10.1101/cshperspect.a039438 (2022).

978   49   Matsumoto, A. *et al.* Loss of the integral nuclear envelope protein SUN1 induces alteration

979   of nucleoli. *Nucleus-Phila* **7**, 68-83, doi:10.1080/19491034.2016.1149664 (2016).

980   50   van Steensel, B. & Belmont, A. S. Lamina-Associated Domains: Links with Chromosome

981   Architecture, Heterochromatin, and Gene Repression. *Cell* **169**, 780-791,

982   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.022 (2017).

983   51   Gonzalez-Sandoval, A. & Gasser, S. M. On TADs and LADs: Spatial Control Over Gene

984   Expression. *Trends Genet* **32**, 485-495, doi:10.1016/j.tig.2016.05.004 (2016).

985   52   Guelen, L. *et al.* Domain organization of human chromosomes revealed by mapping of

986   nuclear lamina interactions. *Nature* **453**, 948-951, doi:10.1038/nature06947 (2008).

987   53   Peric-Hupkes, D. *et al.* Molecular maps of the reorganization of genome-nuclear lamina

988   interactions during differentiation. *Mol Cell* **38**, 603-613,

989   doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.03.016 (2010).

990   54   Leemans, C. *et al.* Promoter-Intrinsic and Local Chromatin Features Determine Gene

991   Repression in LADs. *Cell* **177**, 852-864 e814, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.009 (2019).

992   55   Luo, L. *et al.* The nuclear periphery of embryonic stem cells is a transcriptionally

993   permissive and repressive compartment. *J Cell Sci* **122**, 3729-3737,

994   doi:10.1242/jcs.052555 (2009).

56   Wu, F. & Yao, J. Identifying Novel Transcriptional and Epigenetic Features of Nuclear
Lamina-associated Genes. *Sci Rep* **7**, 100, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00176-x (2017).

57   Bonev, B. *et al.* Multiscale 3D Genome Rewiring during Mouse Neural Development. *Cell*
171, 557-+, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.043 (2017).

58   Monahan, K., Horta, A. & Lomvardas, S. LHX2-and LDB1-mediated trans interactions
regulate olfactory receptor choice. *Nature* **565**, 448-+, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0845-0
(2019).

59   Dekker, J. *et al.* The 4D nucleome project. *Nature* **549**, 219-226, doi:10.1038/nature23884
(2017).

60   Reiff, S. B. *et al.* The 4D Nucleome Data Portal as a resource for searching and visualizing
curated nucleomics data. *Nat Commun* **13**, doi:ARTN 2365 10.1038/s41467-022-29697-4
(2022).

61   Shevelyov, Y. Y. & Ulianov, S. V. The Nuclear Lamina as an Organizer of Chromosome
Architecture. *Cells* **8**, doi:ARTN 136 10.3390/cells8020136 (2019).

62   Czapiewski, R., Robson, M. I. & Schirmer, E. C. Anchoring a Leviathan: How the Nuclear
Membrane Tethers the Genome. *Front Genet* **7**, doi:ARTN 82 10.3389/fgene.2016.00082
(2016).

63   Snyder, M. J. *et al.* Anchoring of Heterochromatin to the Nuclear Lamina Reinforces
Dosage Compensation-Mediated Gene Repression. *Plos Genet* **12**, doi:ARTN e1006341
10.1371/journal.pgen.1006341 (2016).

64   Rivera-Mulia, J. C. *et al.* Allele-specific control of replication timing and genome
organization   during   development.   *Genome   Research*   **28**,   800-811,
doi:10.1101/gr.232561.117 (2018).

65   Arao, Y. *et al.* A+U-rich-element RNA-binding factor 1/heterogeneous nuclear

ribonucleoprotein D gene expression is regulated by oestrogen in the rat uterus. *Biochem J*

361, 125-132, doi:Doi 10.1042/0264-6021:3610125 (2002).

66   Wu, F. N. & Yao, J. Identifying Novel Transcriptional and Epigenetic Features of Nuclear

Lamina-associated Genes. *Sci Rep-Uk* **7**, doi:ARTN 100 10.1038/s41598-017-00176-x

(2017).

67   Leemans, C. *et al.* Promoter-Intrinsic and Local Chromatin Features Determine Gene

Repression in LADs. *Cell* **177**, 852-+, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.009 (2019).

68   Wu, C. H. *et al.* NELF and DSIF cause promoter proximal pausing on the hsp70 promoter

in Drosophila. *Gene Dev* **17**, 1402-1414, doi:10.1101/gad.1091403 (2003).

69   Gressel, S. *et al.* CDK9-dependent RNA polymerase II pausing controls transcription

initiation. *Elife* **6**, doi:ARTN e29736 10.7554/eLife.29736 (2017).

70   Nazer, E., Dale, R. K., Chinen, M., Radmanesh, B. & Lei, E. P. Argonaute2 and LaminB

modulate gene expression by controlling chromatin topology. *Plos Genet* **14**, doi:ARTN

e1007276 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007276 (2018).

71   Hah, J. & Kim, D. H. Deciphering Nuclear Mechanobiology in Laminopathy. *Cells* **8**,

doi:10.3390/cells8030231 (2019).

72   Chen, Y. *et al.* The SUN1-SPDYA interaction plays an essential role in meiosis prophase

I. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3176, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23550-w (2021).

73   Hoyt, S. J. *et al.* From telomere to telomere: The transcriptional and epigenetic state of

human repeat elements. *Science* **376**, eabk3112, doi:10.1126/science.abk3112 (2022).

74   Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., Bollas, A., Wang, Y. & Au, K. F. Nanopore sequencing technology,

bioinformatics and applications. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 1348-1365, doi:10.1038/s41587-021-

01108-x (2021).

75   Bzymek, M. & Lovett, S. T. Instability of repetitive DNA sequences: the role of replication

in multiple mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**, 8319-8325,

doi:10.1073/pnas.111008398 (2001).

76   Altemose, N. *et al.* DiMeLo-seq: a long-read, single-molecule method for mapping protein-

DNA interactions genome wide. *Nat Methods* **19**, 711-723, doi:10.1038/s41592-022-

01475-6 (2022).

77   Nguyen, G. H. *et al.* Regulation of gene expression by the BLM helicase correlates with

the presence of G-quadruplex DNA motifs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 9905-9910,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1404807111 (2014).

78   Mao, S. Q. *et al.* DNA G-quadruplex structures mold the DNA methylome. *Nat Struct Mol

Biol* **25**, 951-957, doi:10.1038/s41594-018-0131-8 (2018).

79   Tye, B. K. MCM proteins in DNA replication. *Annu Rev Biochem* **68**, 649-686,

doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.68.1.649 (1999).

80   Roca, J. Topoisomerase II: a fitted mechanism for the chromatin landscape. *Nucleic Acids

Res* **37**, 721-730, doi:10.1093/nar/gkn994 (2009).

81   Dixon, J. R. *et al.* Chromatin architecture reorganization during stem cell differentiation.

Nature **518**, 331-336, doi:10.1038/nature14222 (2015).

82   Winick-Ng, W. *et al.* Cell-type specialization is encoded by specific chromatin topologies.

Nature **599**, 684-+, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04081-2 (2021).

83   Chess, A., Simon, I., Cedar, H. & Axel, R. Allelic inactivation regulates olfactory receptor

gene expression. *Cell* **78**, 823-834, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(94)90562-2 (1994).

84   Singh, N. *et al.* Coordination of the random asynchronous replication of autosomal loci.

Nat Genet **33**, 339-341, doi:10.1038/ng1102 (2003).

85   Lionnet, T. *et al.* A transgenic mouse for in vivo detection of endogenous labeled mRNA.

Nat Methods **8**, 165-170, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1551 (2011).

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank members of the Spector lab for critical discussions and advice throughout the course of this study. We thank Prof. Min Han for his generous gift of Sun1 antibody. We would also like to thank Osama El Demerdash for assistance with setting up a computational pipeline, Tse-Luen (Erika) Wee and Nour El-amine for assisting with microscopy, and Andrew Barazia (Intelligent Imaging Innovation- 3i) for allowing us to use the 3i lattice lightsheet microscope in one of our experiments. We would also like to thank Paolo Cifani (CSHL) and Ross Tomaino (Taplin Mass Spectrometry Facility, Harvard Medical School) for assisting with Mass Spectrometry experiments, and Sara Goodwin (CSHL) for assisting with DNA sequencing. We acknowledge the CSHL Cancer Center Shared Resources (Animal, Microscopy, Mass Spectrometry, Next-Gen Sequencing) for services and technical expertise (NCI 2P3OCA45508). Sequencing analysis was performed using equipment purchased through NIH grant S10OD028632-01. This research was supported by R35GM131833 (D.L.S.) and grant 18-26 from the Charles H. Revson Foundation (G.I.B.).

Author Contribution

G.I.B. and D.L.S. conceived and designed the overall study. G.I.B. designed and performed all the experiments and analysis. G.I.B. and D.L.S. wrote and edited the manuscript.

Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Corresponding author

David L. Spector (spector@cshl.edu)