Gallionellaceae in rice root plaque: metabolic roles in iron oxidation, nutrient cycling, and plant interactions

Clara S. Chan[1,2,3,4*], Gretchen E. Dykes[3,4,5^], Rene L. Hoover[1,3,4], Matt A. Limmer[5], Angelia L. Seyfferth[1,5*]

1 Dept. of Earth Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE

2 School of Marine Science and Policy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA

3 Microbiology Graduate Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE

4 Delaware Biotechnology Institute, Newark, DE

5 Dept. of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE

*corresponding authors cschan@udel.edu, angelias@udel.edu

^current address: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004

Running title: Gallionellaceae Fe oxidizers in rice root iron plaque

Abstract

On the roots of wetland plants such as rice, iron oxidation forms iron-rich plaques that modulate plant nutrient and metal uptake. An enduring question is whether microbes catalyze this iron oxidation and, furthermore, if these iron-oxidizers mediate other important biogeochemical and plant interactions. To investigate this, we studied the microbial communities, metagenomes, and geochemistry of iron plaque on field-grown rice, as well as the surrounding rhizosphere and bulk soil. Plaque iron content (per mass root) increased over the growing season, showing continuous deposition. Analysis of 16S rRNA genes showed abundant iron-oxidizing and iron-reducing bacteria (FeOB and FeRB) in plaque, rhizosphere, and bulk soil. FeOB were enriched in plaque, suggesting FeOB affinity for the root surface. Gallionellaceae FeOB *Sideroxydans* were enriched during the vegetative and early reproductive rice growth stages, while a *Gallionella* was enriched during reproduction through grain maturity, suggesting distinct FeOB niches over the rice life cycle. FeRB *Anaeromyxobacter* and *Geobacter* increased in plaque later, during reproduction and grain ripening, corresponding to increased plaque iron. Metagenome-assembled genomes revealed that the Gallionellaceae may grow mixotrophically using both Fe(II) and organics. The *Sideroxydans* are facultative, able to use non-Fe substrates, which may allow colonization of rice roots early in the season. FeOB genomes suggest adaptations for interacting with plants, including colonization, immunity, utilization of plant organics, and nitrogen fixation. Together, our results strongly suggest that rhizoplane and rhizosphere FeOB are specifically associated with the rice plants, catalyzing the formation of iron plaque, with the potential to contribute to plant growth.

Importance

In waterlogged soils, iron plaque forms a reactive barrier between the root and soil, collecting phosphate and metals such as arsenic and cadmium. It is well established that iron-reducing bacteria solubilize iron, releasing these associated elements. In contrast, microbial roles in plaque formation have not been clear. Here we show that there is a substantial population of iron oxidizers in plaque, and furthermore, that these organisms (*Sideroxydans* and *Gallionella*) are distinguished by genes for plant colonization and nutrient fixation. Our results suggest that Fe-oxidizing and Fe-reducing bacteria form and remodel iron plaque, making it a dynamic system that represents both a temporary sink for elements (P, As, Cd, C, etc.) as well as a source. In contrast to abiotic iron oxidation, microbial iron oxidation results in coupled Fe-C-N cycling, as well as microbe-microbe and microbe-plant ecological interactions that need to be considered in soil biogeochemistry, ecosystem dynamics, and crop management.

Introduction

Iron cycling is a key biogeochemical process in rice paddies that plays important roles in the growth and quality of rice crops. Iron oxidation leads to the production of iron oxyhydroxides, which are strong sorbents of organic carbon, phosphate, and metal(oid)s such as As and Cd (1–5); iron reduction dissolves the oxyhydroxides and releases sorbed nutrients and toxins (6). Of particular interest are iron oxyhydroxide coatings (plaques) that develop on the surface of rice roots (rhizoplane), as well as in the rhizosphere (satellite plaque). Because plaque is closely associated with the plant, its formation and dissolution may drive plant uptake of oxyhydroxide-sorbed chemicals (7–10), motivating us to study mechanisms of plaque formation.

Iron-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB) can catalyze formation of iron oxyhydroxides via their

metabolism. FeOB have been detected in paddy soil (10–12), making them a potential

mechanism for iron plaque formation in conditions in which they can outcompete abiotic Fe

oxidation.

The rice rhizoplane is in fact an ideal niche for microaerophilic FeOB, which gain energy

by coupling Fe(II) oxidation to oxygen reduction, and thus grow best where Fe(II) and O_2 fluxes

are high. Rice paddy soil is rich in Fe(II) formed by microbial respiration of organic matter

coupled to Fe(III) reduction, thus plaque formation is partially dependent on activities of FeRB.

Rice root aerenchyma are conduits of O_2, which diffuses from roots into saturated soil rich in

Fe(II), providing both electron donor and acceptor for FeOB. Aerobic FeOB must compete with

abiotic oxidation, and kinetics studies show they become the dominant mechanism of iron

oxidation as oxygen concentrations decrease (13, 14). Different neutrophilic FeOB can thrive at

O_2 concentrations <1-100 μM (15–18), concentrations that coincide with ranges typically found

at the surface of rice roots (few micromolar to tens of micromolar O_2) (19, 20). Thus, it is

plausible that a significant proportion of plaque iron oxidation is microbial.

Indeed, studies have increasingly documented FeOB in rice paddy soil, thus increasing

recognition that microbes can contribute to iron oxidation in this environment. FeOB can be

documented by culturing, 16S rRNA gene analyses, and metagenomic studies, which give

different evidence of potential contributions. Numerous studies have shown that FeOB can be

cultured from rice paddy soil, with a range of taxa represented (12, 18, 21–25). While culturing

demonstrates microbial iron oxidation activity, it only proves that soil-derived organisms are

capable of iron oxidation, but cannot show that these FeOB represent the major active organisms

in situ. Studies using 16S rRNA genes give a broader view of soil microbial communities, but it

79 is often unclear which organisms are FeOB, or identification is equivocal due to the metabolic

80 flexibility of putative FeOB. The exceptions are taxa specifically known for iron oxidation, like

81 Gallionellaceae iron-oxidizing genera, including *Gallionella*, *Ferrigenium*, and *Sideroxydans*

82 (22, 26, 27). As their primary metabolism is iron oxidation, detection of Gallionellaceae FeOB in

83 field samples is a strong indication of microbial iron oxidation in the environment.

84   Gallionellaceae FeOB have been cultured from paddy soil, including the isolates

85 *Gallionella/Ferrigenium kumadai* An22 (22, 28) and *Sideroxydans* sp. (18). While the isolate

86 An22 was named *Ferrigenium* based on 16S rRNA gene dissimilarity from *Gallionella*,

87 subsequent full-genome analyses showed that it is a *Gallionella* (29). Gallionellaceae have also

88 been identified using 16S rRNA gene analyses including universal and taxa-specific primers in

89 paddy soil and the rice rhizosphere (25, 30–33). Their abundance has been shown to correspond

90 to iron oxidation in paddy soil and soil incubations (24, 34). However, it has not been shown

91 whether Gallionellaceae are specifically enriched at the rhizoplane (vs. soil) and associated with

92 plaque oxyhydroxides. Schmidt and Eickhorst (2014) used CARD-FISH to show

93 Betaproteobacteria (which includes Gallionellaceae) on root surfaces, and suggested that these

94 may represent Gallionellaceae detected via 16S rRNA sequences (35). In all, there is strong

95 evidence for the existence of these FeOB in paddy soil, but it is still unclear if Gallionellaceae

96 are specifically associated with plaque and therefore contribute to iron oxyhydroxide formation.

97 If FeOB are in fact associated with plaque, this close association with the root could cause them

98 to compete with the plant for nutrients like N, or alternatively, FeOB may provide nutrients to

99 help promote plant growth. To understand the biogeochemical cycling in the rice root

100 rhizosphere, we need to determine the dynamics and functions of plaque microorganisms, and

101 their interactions with the rice plant.

In this study, we investigated the spatial and temporal dynamics of rice-associated FeOB

and FeRB in rice paddies over a growing season, using 16S rRNA gene analyses of bulk soil,

rhizosphere, and plaque samples. This was coupled to plaque Fe analyses as well as examination

of metagenomic-assembled genomes of FeOB to more specifically identify metabolic

capabilities and niche. Together, this allowed us to identify the major FeOB enriched in iron

plaque and gives insight into how microbes contribute to plaque formation over the life cycle of

field-grown rice.

Results and discussion

Plaque Fe content and mineralogy

We measured plaque Fe content on root samples obtained from 12 rice paddies at five

timepoints over the growing season. Plaque Fe was quantified as dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate

(DCB)-extractable Fe per dry root mass, obtained from one plant per paddy at each time point.

The amount of plaque Fe per dry root mass increased over time, with the highest rate of plaque

increase between heading to grain maturity (71-88 days past transplant (DPT) and 88-98 days

DPT, **Fig. 1a**). The increase in plaque Fe is not a function of increasing Fe in porewater, as

dissolved Fe(II) did not increase over time (**Fig. 1b**). The continuous increase of plaque Fe over

time shows active formation of plaque throughout the growing season.

On initial precipitation, iron oxyhydroxide minerals tend to be poorly crystalline phases

like ferrihydrite, later increasing in crystallinity as they age. Thus, to evaluate the potential for

active plaque formation, we assessed mineral composition of iron plaque at harvest (98 DPT;

dataset from (36)). The iron plaque mineral composition at harvest was primarily ferrihydrite,

with the plaque ranging from 38.6%-65.7% ferrihydrite (average 55.9%), followed by goethite

(21.8-37.7%, average 29.4%) (**Fig. 1c**). These results are consistent with previous observations

that ferrihydrite is the most abundant iron plaque mineral in rice plants at harvest (7, 9, 37–40),

and other wetland plants (41, 42) with goethite as a substantial proportion (9, 37, 38, 40–43).

While presence of ferrihydrite in the plaque at harvest is consistent with active plaque

formation until harvest, ferrihydrite minerals are sometimes stabilized by organics (e.g. root

exudates) or inorganic ions (e.g. silicon), which are present in the soil solution throughout the

growing season (7, 44, 45). Here, nine out of twelve paddies were amended with additional

silicon in various forms while three control paddies (nos. 1, 9 and 10) had no silicon added.

Silicon addition is known to retard the crystallization of ferrihydrite to higher ordered phases

(44, 46). Although plaque from the control paddies generally had lower ferrihydrite than the

others at harvest, ferrihydrite still comprised at least 38% of the Fe mineral composition in

plaque at harvest, even in controls. When considered together, increasing plaque Fe and

ferrihydrite in the plaque at harvest, regardless of treatment, imply active precipitation of Fe

oxyhydroxides over the course of the growing season.

Assessing the Fe-cycling microbial community by 16S rRNA gene analyses

FeOB and FeRB in iron plaque

We assessed the community composition using the 16S rRNA gene V4-V5 region. We

sampled bulk soil, rhizosphere soil, and Fe plaque from 12 rice paddy plots over the course of

one growing season (5 time points). In total, we analyzed 130 samples, from which we retrieved

a total of 37,072,594 quality-filtered sequences, with rarefaction analysis showing sufficient

sequencing depth (**Fig. S1**). The sequences clustered into 11,678 operational taxonomic units

(OTUs, 97% similarity), of which 3,914 were assigned taxonomy to the genus level (**Table S1**),

from which we could predict FeOB and FeRB.

Here, we focus on organisms in the Fe plaque. To determine the most abundant plaque

community members, we ranked the OTUs by their median relative abundance in the plaque

(**Fig. 2a**) and looked for iron cycling organisms (**Table S2**). Twelve OTUs had median

abundances greater than 0.5%, including two FeOB OTUs. The most abundant plaque OTU

(OTU7) was initially identified as Gallionellaceae, and a BLAST search showed 100% identity

to *Gallionella/Ferrigenium kumadai*, an FeOB that was isolated from rice paddy soil (22);

hereafter, OTU7 is referred to as *Gallionella*. The third most abundant plaque OTU (OTU2) has

100% identity to a number of sequences, including *Sideroxydans* clones from riparian wetlands

(acc. nos. JQ060114, JQ060109, JQ060108; (47)) and sequences from rice paddy soil

(AB657736; (48)). *Sideroxydans* is a well-characterized FeOB within the *Gallionellaceae*, and

has previously been cultured from rice paddies (49) and wetland plant roots (50).

The top OTUs also include several FeRB, including two *Anaeromyxobacter* OTUs, a

Geobacter, and a *Geothrix* OTU, all of which have been previously identified in paddy soils (51–

54). The second most abundant OTU was *Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0, which had 100% identity to

an organism in a rice paddy soil enrichment (acc. no. MF547866; (55)). The *Geobacter* and

Geothrix OTUs were also related to sequences from rice paddy soils (OTU6 100% to

MF968163, OTU5 100% to KY287536).

In addition to iron-cycling organisms, the highly abundant plaque community members

included one methylotroph (*Methylotenera* OTU37) and known cellulose degraders

(*Spirochaetaceae* OTU3 and *Fibrobacterales* OTU14, (56–58). Beyond the top 12 OTUs, there

were many other iron cycling OTUs, with a notable diversity of FeRB, including 32

Anaeromyxobacter and 32 *Geobacter* OTUs detected in plaque. This contrasted with three

Gallionellaceae OTUs, suggesting a greater range of iron-reducing niches, despite the

comparable abundance of FeOB and FeRB in plaque.

Enrichment of iron-cycling microbes in the plaque

If FeOB or FeRB are involved in plaque formation, we would expect to see them

specifically enriched in plaque compared to bulk soil, and possibly also enriched in the

rhizosphere. The FeOB and FeRB OTUs were generally present in all sampled biospheres: bulk,

rhizosphere, and plaque. Thus, we were able to calculate an enrichment factor as the ratio of

relative abundance in plaque versus bulk soil or rhizosphere versus bulk soil (**Fig. 3**).

While there was always an FeOB enriched in the plaque, different FeOB OTUs

dominated over the growth cycle. The FeOB *Sideroxydans* OTU2 was enriched at earlier time

points (**Fig. 3**). *Sideroxydans* OTU2 was most enriched at the first time point (20 DPT), at 10x

relative abundance in plaque, relative to bulk soil. *Sideroxydans* OTU2 was still enriched in

plaque at early reproduction (42 DPT), but continued to decrease in plaque while increasing in

bulk soil (**Fig. 4a**). In contrast, *Gallionella* OTU7 is most abundant and enriched in plaque at

grain maturity (98 DPT) at 8x bulk soil abundance, though it was also enriched at early

reproduction in the rhizosphere (42 DPT) (**Fig. 3, Fig. 4b**). These contrasting enrichment and

abundance patterns suggest that *Sideroxydans* and *Gallionella* have different niches, and that

Gallionella may be more responsive as the rice roots develop. In all, FeOB are abundant at all

time points, and there is always one FeOB enriched in plaque at all times, consistent with iron

oxidizer roles in plaque formation.

In contrast to FeOB, the FeRB OTUs are initially low and increase in plaque over time, in

both relative abundance (**Fig. 4**) and enrichment factor (**Fig. 3**). Both of the top two FeRB OTUs,

Anaeromyxobacter OTU0 and *Geobacter* OTU6 are more abundant in the bulk soil at earlier

time points (**Fig. 4c, 4e**). *Geobacter* OTU6 later becomes enriched in the plaque (12x bulk) at

heading (71 DPT), while *Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0 is most abundant at grain maturity (98 DPT,

4x bulk). *Anaeromyxobacter* OTU13 and *Geothrix* OTU5 are similar to *Geobacter* OTU6 in that

they peak in plaque at heading (71 DPT) (**Fig. 3, Fig. 4d, 4f**). While all the major FeRB tend to

increase and become enriched in plaque over time, the different patterns suggest that there are

distinct FeRB niches.

Both FeOB and FeRB are also enriched in the rhizosphere (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). Although the

timing and magnitude of enrichment differs somewhat from plaque, the enrichment suggests that

these organisms, particularly the FeOB, could also be associated with iron oxyhydroxide

formation in the rhizosphere (satellite plaque).

Correlations between FeOB, FeRB, and plaque iron

Both FeOB and FeRB were consistently enriched and abundant in the plaque. To better

understand their relationships with iron geochemistry, we looked for correlations between

predominant plaque organisms and plaque Fe (**Fig. 5, Fig. S2**). Plaque Fe content was positively

correlated with the relative abundance of the top FeOB *Gallionella* OTU7 (rho=0.47, p=0.09,

Fig. 5c) and top FeRB *Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0 (rho=0.53, p=0.05, **Fig. 5a**). Because the data

are from 12 paddies that vary in geochemistry, we also plotted trends within individual paddies

in **Fig. 5b and 5d**. In cases where data were available for multiple time points in specific

paddies, the relative abundance of both *Gallionella* OTU7 and *Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0

corresponded to higher plaque Fe in each paddy.

FeOB genomes reconstructed from rhizosphere and bulk soil metagenomes

To investigate the metabolic capabilities of FeOB, we sequenced two metagenomes from

bulk soil (9BB) and rhizosphere (9BH), which were sampled from the same paddy in the same

year at early reproduction (42 DPT). Based on our 16S rRNA gene analyses, these two samples

include all major FeOB and FeRB OTUs, and indeed, both metagenomes yielded high quality

genomes of FeOB and FeRB (>90% completeness, <5% contamination), summarized in **Table 1**.

Here we briefly describe the FeRB and then focus on analyses of FeOB to assess their potential

metabolic influences on biogeochemical cycling as well as plant interactions.

FeRB genomes

The metagenomes yielded nine high quality FeRB genomes, which were identified as

Anaeromyxobacter, *Geobacter*/Geobacterales, and *Rhodoferax*. While most (6 of 9) were

reconstructed from the bulk soil, one genome for each FeRB taxonomic group was recovered

from the rhizosphere (**Table 1**). All of these FeRB genomes contained genes for oxygen

respiration: *Anaeromyxobacter* and *Rhodoferax* genomes all encoded genes for both aa_3- and

cbb_3-type cytochrome *c* oxidases while the *Geobacter* encoded either aa_3 or cbb_3 types. This

indicates that all of the FeRB are facultative anaerobes.

Rhodoferax is known as an FeRB, but there is one recent report of Fe oxidation by

Rhodoferax MIZ03 ((59); 81.7% ANI with *Rhodoferax* 9BH_DT005). The *Rhodoferax* genome

from the rhizosphere includes genes that may catalyze either iron oxidation or reduction. These

are homologs of the outer membrane-associated decaheme cytochrome MtoA/MtrA and porin

MtoB/MtrB. In the FeRB *Shewanella oneidensis*, MtrA has also been shown to both reduce iron

and take up electrons from an electrode (60). In the FeOB *Sideroxydans* ES-1, MtoA is an iron

oxidase (61, 62). Phylogenetic analysis of the *Rhodoferax* 9BH_DT005 MtoA/MtrA homolog

shows that it clusters with MtoA sequences from Gallionellaceae FeOB (**Figure S3**). Altogether,

this suggests that there is a possibility that the rice rhizosphere *Rhodoferax* may be a facultative

Fe-reducer and Fe-oxidizer.

FeOB genomes

The metagenomes yielded four high quality FeOB genomes–three *Sideroxydans* and one

Gallionella, classified based on a synthesis of GTDB results, average amino acid identity

(AAI)/average nucleotide identity (ANI) (**Supp. Table S3**), and a phylogenetic tree of

concatenated ribosomal protein sequences (**Fig. 6**). The *Sideroxydans* bins from the bulk soil

(9BB_43) and rhizosphere (9BH_100) are 100% identical by ANI and cluster with *Sideroxydans*

lithotrophicus ES-1 (81% ANI/82% AAI). The rhizosphere genome bin 9BH_112 is closely

related to the rice paddy soil isolate *Ferrigenium kumadai* An22, (90.1% ANI/91.5% AAI);

however, GTDB classifies AN22 and bin 9BH_112 as *Gallionella,* which is also confirmed by

our phylogenetic analysis based on 13 concatenated ribosomal protein sequences (**Fig. 6**; details

in methods and Hoover, et at. 2023). Thus, going forward, we refer to bin 9BH_112 as

Gallionella 9BH_112 with the understanding that it is closely related to *F. kumadai* An22. The

unclassified Gallionellaceae 9BH_MB008 is more distant from isolates: 79.5% ANI to

Sideroxydans ES-1, 79.4% ANI to *Ferrigenium* An22, 79.0% ANI to *Ferriphaselus* R-1. GTDB

classifies 9BH_MB008 as genus "Palsa-1006," which has no cultured representatives, and to our

knowledge, this clade has not yet been described from a rice paddy environment. Our whole

genome phylogenetic analysis determined that 9BH_Bin008 and the GTDB "Palsa-1006" fall

within *Sideroxydans*, so we refer to this bin as *Sideroxydans* 9BH_MB008.

While none of the reconstructed Gallionellaceae genomes from 9BB or 9BH samples

include 16S rRNA genes, we can use metagenomes from samples from the following year (2017)

to help connect the genomes to 16S rRNA sequences. The *Sideroxydans* genomes 9BB_43 and

9BH_100 are practically identical (99.9% ANI, 100% AAI) with another genome reconstructed

from the same sampling site a year later (9GH_6), which does have a 16S rRNA gene fragment.

The 9GH_6 16S rRNA gene fragment shares 98.4% identity with a representative *Sideroxydans*

OTU2 16S rRNA gene fragment. In all, the phylogenetic analyses suggest that genome

reconstruction yielded genomes that are representative of the major FeOB detected by 16S

analyses, *Sideroxydans* OTU2 and *Gallionella* OTU7, plus one additional *Sideroxydans* genome.

FeOB energy metabolisms - electron donors and acceptors

We analyzed Gallionellaceae bins to explore the FeOB for biogeochemical contributions,

including Fe, C, N, P, and S cycling. Genes and metabolisms are summarized in **Figure 7A**.

Iron oxidation. All four 9BB/9BH Gallionellaceae genomes have genes for

microaerophilic iron oxidation. The genomes contain the iron oxidase gene *cyc2*, which is

characteristic of most Gallionellaceae (29). They lack *mtoAB*, an outer membrane multiheme

cytochrome-porin complex associated with iron oxidation in *S. lithotrophicus* ES-1 (61–63).

Each genome has the potential for oxygen respiration, with at least one set of terminal oxidase

genes, *ccoNOP, coxAB,* and/or *cydAB*.

Denitrification. Only *Sideroxydans* 9BH_MB008 has the potential for dissimilatory

nitrate reduction, with *napAB* in its genome. The *Sideroxydans* 9BB_43 and 9BH_100 genomes

encode nitrite reductase *nirS* while *Gallionella* 9BH_112 has the *nirK* nitrite reductase. These

denitrification genes are not sufficient for growth using nitrate-dependent iron oxidation

metabolism, though *Sideroxydans* 9BH_MB008 may be able to use denitrification for redox

balance when O_2 is limiting, as posited for Zetaproteobacteria (64).

Sulfur oxidation. All bins had genes for sulfur oxidation. In particular, *Sideroxydans*

9BB_43 and 9BH_100 have genes for multiple sulfur oxidation pathways, including thiosulfate

oxidation (*soxABXYZ)* and sulfide oxidation (*dsrAB/aprAB/sat*, *fccAB, sqr*). *S. lithotrophicus* ES-

1 similarly has *sox* and *dsr* genes, and is able to grow by thiosulfate oxidation. Based on previous

phylogenetic analysis, the DsrAB from ES-1 and the four 9BB/9BH Gallionellaceae genomes

cluster with reverse DSR (rDSR) (29).

Hydrogen. All four Gallionellaceae bins have the potential for hydrogen oxidation using

a variety of hydrogenase genes. All have *hoxFUYH* and *hyaAB,* while the *Sideroxydans* genomes

9BB_43 and 9BH_100 also have *hupUV* and *hybOABC*. Hydrogenase genes are common

amongst FeOB (e.g. (29, 65, 66)) and other bacteria, and may play a role in providing reducing

equivalents for carbon and nitrogen fixation since the Fe(II)/Fe(III) redox potential is relatively

high and requires reverse electron transport to produce NADH and ferredoxin. The variety of

hydrogenases implies a range of roles, which may also include growth on hydrogen as well as

fermentation, with hydrogen formation serving as an electron sink (see below).

Electron transport chain. Genes for components of the rest of the electron transport chain

are present including Complex III (bc1) NADH dehydrogenase, and ATPase(s). Genes for

alternative complex III (ACIII) were not found in the *Sideroxydans* 9BB_43 and 9BH_100 or

Gallionella genomes but were present in *Sideroxydans* 9BH_MB008.

Fermentation/"anaerobic" metabolism. The Gallionellaceae genomes have a number of

genes associated with anaerobic metabolisms, possibly for fermentation. *Gallionella* 9BH_112

and *Sideroxydans* 9BH_MB008 both have pyruvate formate lyase, which converts pyruvate to

formate and acetyl CoA. In addition, all four Gallionellaceae encode genes for pyruvate

ferredoxin/flavodoxin oxidoreductase (PFOR), which would generate acetyl coA and reduced

ferredoxin or flavodoxin. The four genomes also encode acetyl CoA synthetase which can

generate ATP during the conversion of acetyl CoA to acetate. In some cases the PFOR genes are

adjacent to *hox* hydrogenase genes, which may be used to evolve H_2 for redox balance (e.g.

(67)). As these Gallionellaceae have genes for glycogen formation and usage, this altogether

suggests the ability to ferment glucose into acetate, which would allow energy generation for

survival of anaerobic conditions. It has also been shown that PFOR can be used in place of

pyruvate dehydrogenase under aerobic, but highly reducing conditions as cells shift toward using

ferredoxin-dependent enzymes, rather than NADH, with the lower redox potential ferredoxin

capturing more energy and reducing power (68).

Summary. Analysis of energy metabolism genes show that the Gallionellaceae genomes

include genes for aerobic iron oxidation as well as sulfur oxidation, hydrogen oxidation,

fermentation, and in some cases, denitrification. Thus, overall, the FeOB show metabolic

flexibility that could serve to help them survive across chemical gradients or fluctuating redox

conditions. The ability to use multiple substrates can provide additional energy and reducing

power for biosynthetic reactions such as C and N fixation.

Nutrient acquisition, fixation, storage, and usage

As the FeOB are closely associated with roots, they may help promote plant growth by

providing nutrients. To evaluate this, we looked for genes involved in the fixation, storage, and

release of nutrients (**Fig. 7B**). In addition, we took note of genes that suggest utilization of plant

exudates and polysaccharides. As Gallionellaceae are typically considered autotrophic, such

genes could further link these FeOB to the plant rhizosphere environment.

Carbon fixation and storage. All Gallionellaceae isolates can grow autotrophically (22,

26, 27, 69–71), and like these isolates, all four Gallionellaceae genomes in this study include the

Rubisco gene *rbcL* and other genes for the complete Calvin Benson Bassham pathway for carbon

fixation. The genomes also include genes for glycogen formation and degradation, which allow

cells to store carbon and energy.

Organic carbon utilization. While Gallionellaceae isolates are not known for

heterotrophy, the 9BB and 9BH Gallionellaceae genomes contain a number of genes for organic

carbon utilization, including polysaccharide degradation, and proteinases, and organic uptake.

We identified carbohydrate-degrading and production genes using the DRAM software-based

classification of genes according to the Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes (CAZY) Database (**Table**

S4; (72)). The four genomes contain numerous genes encoding enzymes for degradation of

polysaccharides, including carbohydrate esterases and many glycoside hydrolases. We also noted

numerous peptidases according to the MEROPS database, also using DRAM

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/merops/). Further work will be needed to confirm the target substrates for

the genes identified above. *Sideroxydans* 9BH_MB008 encodes a dicarboxylate transporter, and

all four genomes encode acetate permease. Altogether these results suggest that these

Gallionellaceae are able to take advantage of active C cycling in the soil/rhizosphere and utilize

plant- and microbial-derived organic carbon, and therefore grow as mixotrophic FeOB (as in ref

(73, 74)).

Nitrogen fixation and storage. All four Gallionellaceae genomes have nitrogen fixation

genes, including key genes *nifHDK,* as well as genes to produce the nitrogen storage compound

cyanophycin and the Rnf complex, which provides electrons to nitrogenase by reducing

ferredoxin via NADH (75, 76). These genes are not present in all Gallionellaceae genomes,

likely because nitrogen fixation is an energy-intensive process that would be difficult to support

using the limited energy available from chemolithoautotrophic iron oxidation. However, N_2

fixation and storage would give FeOB a competitive advantage at the root surface, as the cells

could help supply the plant with fixed nitrogen. Past work has shown that N fixation occurs in

flooded paddies mainly at the heading stage in rice (77, 78), which coincides with when

Gallionella/Ferrigenium OTU7 begins to increase in abundance in the plaque.

Phosphorous uptake and storage. Like all Gallionellaceae, the four 9BB and 9BH FeOB

genomes have genes to take up inorganic phosphate. They also have genes for polyphosphate

formation and utilization, allowing them to store phosphate. This storage would be useful as

dissolved phosphate levels near the root may fluctuate as phosphate is adsorbed onto iron plaque.

The four FeOB genomes also include a number of genes with annotations associated with

organic phosphorous utilization, but a full pathway was not observed. Thus, there may be the

potential for the FeOB to use organic phosphorous sources, but this is yet to be confirmed.

What genes suggest these FeOB are specific to rice paddy soil and the root environment?

Because plaque is formed at the root surface, any plaque-forming microbes must occupy

the rhizoplane and interact directly with plant roots. The Gallionellaceae genomes include

various genes that could be involved in colonization and attachment to roots (**Fig. 7C**). The

Gallionella 9BH_112 genome includes a number of adhesion genes that suggest direct

interactions with the plant root. *Gallionella* 9BH_112 has genes for the "widespread colonization

island" that encodes for Tad/Flp pilin, which is responsible for tight attachment to surfaces. This

includes flp-1, which encodes the structural component of the pilin as well as genes to secrete the

pilin and assemble the pilus. These pili mediate surface adherence and biofilm formation, and

have been shown to be important for colonization (79).

Another adhesive pilin, the mannose-sensitive hemagglutination (MSHA) pilin cluster, is

encoded by *Sideroxydans* 9BB_43 and 9BH_100 and *Gallionella* 9BH_112. MSHA is involved

in virulence in vibrio (80) and has also been shown to mediate non-virulent attachment of

Pseudoalteromonas tunicata to the green alga *Ulva australis* (81). The *Gallionella* 9BH_112

genome also encodes a large (3638 aa) haemagglutinin-like protein adjacent to a gene encoding a

hemolysin activation/secretion protein. The homologs in *Bordetella pertussis* encode FhaC, an

outer membrane transporter, which secretes FhaB, a filamentous haemagglutinin that mediates

adhesion to host tissues (82).

The various adhesion mechanisms would enable attachment to roots. After this initial

attachment, the FeOB cells would form biofilms. The genomes include a variety of genes to help

build biofilms, including polysaccharide synthesis. Notably, *Gallionella* 9BH_112 encodes

cellulose synthase, and cellulose fibrils are known to aid in *Rhizobium* and *Agrobacterium* cell

attachment to roots (83). *Gallionella* 9BH_112 and two *Sideroxydans* genomes 9BB_43 and

9BH_100 also encode a number of genes associated with capsular polysaccharide synthesis.

Colonization also requires the microbes to overcome plant immunity defenses. The

Sideroxydans genomes 9BH_43 and 9BH_100 both include a gene encoding alpha 2

macroglobulin, a protease inhibitor that is a factor in virulence and colonization (84). The alpha

2 macroglobulin gene is adjacent to a penicillin-binding protein 1c, similar to *Salmonella*

enterica ser. typhimurium and other bacteria. These two are thought to work together, with alpha

2 macroglobulin inhibiting proteases when cell walls are ruptured while the penicillin-binding

protein repairs cell wall peptidoglycan (84). Plants produce polyphenols, which are toxic to

bacteria (85); all four genomes encode polyphenol oxidases which help defend against these

antimicrobial compounds.

Other genes that may be involved in interactions with plants and other rhizosphere

microbes include genes for antibiotics, and toxin/antitoxins. In all, the Gallionellaceae FeOB

appear to be well-equipped to colonize plant roots, deploy immunity or antibiotic defenses as

needed, contribute nutrients to plants, and utilize plant exudates in their own nutrition.

Conclusions and Implications

Our overall goal was to determine whether iron-oxidizing bacteria are associated with

iron plaque and gain insight into their metabolism, dynamics, and potential plant interactions,

toward understanding FeOB roles in plaque formation. In contrast to iron reduction, microbial

iron oxidation is often an invisible process in the environment because abiotic processes can also

oxidize Fe(II) and it can be difficult to distinguish biotic and abiotic effects. However, it is

important to do so because microbial iron oxidation also drives C, N and P cycling and

potentially plant processes. Here we reveal the dynamics of FeOB and FeRB in rice root plaque

and rhizosphere across an entire growing season. We show that Gallionellaceae, which are well-

known chemolithotrophic FeOB, are specifically enriched in both plaque and rhizosphere

microbial communities and have the genetic potential to aerobically oxidize Fe(II), cycle

nutrients, colonize roots, and contribute to plant nutrition.

We detected at least two different Gallionellaceae; 16S rRNA gene analyses revealed that

Sideroxydans dominated early in the season while a *Gallionella* related to *Ferrigenium* increased

over time, as plaque increased. Genomics revealed an additional, phylogenetically distinct

Sideroxydans (9BH_MB008) that was not distinguished during 16S analyses. Both the temporal

dynamics and the coexistence of the different Gallionellaceae suggest distinct niches.

Sideroxydans are facultative iron oxidizers, shown to grow on other substrates such as thiosulfate

(62, 86) and H_2 (74). At the same time, there are signs that *Sideroxydans* are primarily FeOB, as

S. lithotrophicus ES-1 highly expresses iron oxidation genes during thiosulfate oxidation (62).

The *Sideroxydans* may use their alternate (non-Fe) energy metabolisms to thrive and create

biomass in low Fe conditions, putting them in position to quickly colonize rice roots early in the

season.

Gallionellaceae are known as chemolithoautotrophs, but they are commonly found in

soils and sediments, and have been found to thrive in organic-rich environments like

wetlands/fens (27, 87–89). Accordingly, Gallionellaceae, including the rice paddy genomes in

this study, have genes for organic uptake and utilization, i.e. heterotrophy. This suggests that

Gallionellaceae in fact can grow mixotrophically using both Fe and organics, taking advantage of

plant exudates as a carbon source. The rice paddy Gallionellaceae have genes that further suggest

a specific niche at the root surface, as they have genes for plant interactions, including

colonization, immunity, uptake/utilization of plant organics, and nutrient fixation. *Gallionella*

9BH_112 is especially rich in these genes, which may explain why it increases in relative

abundance as the plant matures and root mass increases. Plants are more likely to tolerate root-

associated microbes that help promote plant growth, and indeed, the FeOB could provide

nutrition to the rice plants. All of the Gallionellaceae genomes encode nitrogen fixation, which is

otherwise a relatively unusual trait in the Gallionellaceae (< 20% of other Gallionellaceae

genomes; (29)). As N fixation is an energy-intensive process, this further supports the specificity

to the paddy soil environment, as Gallionellaceae have the capacity to contribute to plant

nutrition and growth. Our work shows that the rhizoplane/rhizosphere hosts these

microaerophilic FeOB, whose activity can produce iron oxyhydroxides. The increasing relative

abundance corresponds to increasing oxyhydroxides, and thus in total, the evidence suggests

these Gallionellaceae FeOB are specifically suited to the rhizosphere/rhizoplane niche and very

likely contribute to plaque formation.

Alongside the FeOB, we also find FeRB enriched in the plaque, and both FeOB and

FeRB increase in relative abundance over time. While it may be surprising that FeRB would

occupy the aerobic zone and increase over time as oxygen levels increase, the FeRB appear to be

aerotolerant, and it makes sense that FeRB would increase with increasing iron oxyhydroxide.

This suggests an active iron cycle within the plaque, as well as the rhizosphere and bulk soil,

where both FeOB and FeRB are also found. The production of iron plaque is likely due to the

concerted action of both oxidizers and reducers, as follows. In the more reducing bulk soil, iron

reduction coupled to oxidation of organics fuels the formation of dissolved Fe^{2+}. Mass flow

toward the roots pulls the Fe^{2+} into the more oxic rhizosphere and root surface, where FeOB

catalyze Fe^{2+} oxidation to Fe(III) oxyhydroxides. The coexistence of FeOB and FeRB suggest

further redox cycling, and continued oxidation and reduction that results in dynamic remodeling

of rhizosphere and rhizoplane oxyhydroxides (i.e. plaque). Thus, the formation of plaque

requires *both* reducing and oxidizing conditions, and *both* FeOB and FeRB. This dynamic redox

conceptual model has implications for oxyhydroxide-associated elements, and therefore plant

interactions with elements like P and As. While oxyhydroxides may collect and temporarily

sequester phosphate and arsenate, iron reduction in the plaque will release these elements, with

consequent impacts on plant health and food safety. Further temporal studies will be needed to

determine if iron-cycling dynamics within rice root plaque ultimately cause greater uptake of

phosphorous and metals into plant and grain. However, this work suggests the rapid iron redox

cycling between FeOB and FeRB could contribute to the plaque behaving as a dynamic source of

nutrients and contaminants.

Methods

Rice paddy experiment

Samples for microbial and geochemical analyses were collected from the University of Delaware Rice Information, Communication, and Education (RICE) Facility in 2016, described by (37) and (36), and in 2017. In brief, rice (*Oryza sativa* L. 'Jefferson') was grown each year in 12, 2 x 2 m mesocosms (49 plants per paddy) that contained non-amended soil or soil amended with Si at identical Si loading rates of 5 Mg Si/ha with either rice husk, charred rice husk, or calcium silicate/silicic acid as the Si source (3 replicate paddy mesocosms per treatment). Paddies were amended prior to planting in 2015 and did not receive further Si amendments in 2016 (37) or in 2017. Treatments had less of an impact than redox status and microhabitats on the microbial community (90, 91); therefore, the present study focused on diversity and abundance of microbes associated with Fe cycling over the rice life cycle and data are averaged across all four treatments. Prior to planting in 2016 and in 2017, only roots from the previous year were tilled into the soil and paddies were fertilized with 112 kg N/ha as urea and 135 kg K_2O/ha as KCl based on soil testing and fertility recommendations. The silty clay loam soil contained 1.9 (± 0.5) g/kg acid ammonium oxalate extractable Fe and 12.0 (± 1.8) g/kg dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate extractable Fe as measures of amorphous and crystalline Fe (average $\pm$ standard deviation, n=12) (92). The soil pH was 6.1 (± 0.5) and the soil organic matter was 2.4 (± 0.6) % as measured by loss on ignition. During growth, paddies were kept flooded at transplanting until grain maturity when paddies were drained before harvest. In 2016, paddies were drained at 96 days post transplant (DPT) and in 2017, paddies were drained at 100 DPT.

Porewater chemical analyses

Weekly porewater data for 2016 are described in detail in a separate manuscript (36). In

brief, porewater samples were collected weekly from June 8th, 2016 to September 6th, 2016 with

Rhizon samplers (polyethersulfone, 0.15 μm pores, Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., Goleta, CA).

Porewater samples were aliquoted for colorimetric measurement of Fe(II) by the ferrozine

method (93), and separate porewater samples were acidified with 2% HNO_3 prior to analysis for

total Fe by ICP-OES (Thermo Iris Intrepid II XSP Duo View ICP). Because porewater sampling

did not occur on the same days as microbial sampling, porewater values used for comparison are

an average of the closest porewater values preceding (by no more than 7 days) and following (by

no more than 7 days) the microbial sampling event, except in the case of the harvest time point

(98 DPT) in which only the preceding porewater time point (96 DPT) was used. Porewater

sampling dates averaged for comparison to microbial sampling events are summarized in **Table**

S5.

Root and soil sampling

During transplanting in May 2016 and 2017, five seedlings for each paddy mesocosm (60

plants total per year) were individually placed into 100 μm pore-size nylon mesh bags filled with

paddy soil, according to (94) to define the rice rhizosphere. Different bag sizes were used to

accommodate plant growth and were (diameter x depth) 10.2 cm x 20.3 cm for samples

harvested at vegetative growth (20 days past-transplant (DPT)), 12.7 cm x 20.3 cm for early

reproduction (42 DPT), and 15.2 cm x 31.8 cm for heading (71 DPT), grain ripening (88 DPT),

and harvest (98 DPT). The five plants in mesh bags were randomly placed among 42 other

transplanted seedlings.

To collect samples, bags were pulled from each paddy mesocosm at designated growth

stages, and bulk soil was collected from the area surrounding the bag. Samples were immediately

brought to the laboratory and separated into biospheres (plaque and rhizosphere) on ethanol-

sterilized benchtops. Using sterilized instruments, rice roots were gently separated from the

shoots. Roots were submerged in 25 mL 18 MΩ-cm sterile water (2016) or RNA later (2017) and

vortexed twice to collect the rhizosphere. Bulk soil and rhizosphere samples were frozen at -20

°C until DNA extraction. Cleaned, rhizosphere-free roots were then divided into subsets, with

half frozen prior to collecting the plaque, and half dried prior to DCB extraction of plaque Fe

(described below). To collect the plaque for DNA extraction, thawed roots were transferred into

10 mL phosphate buffer solution and sonicated for 30 s twice (modified from (56)). Samples

were centrifuged at 670 x g for five minutes to collect plaque, supernatant was decanted, and

DNA was immediately extracted.

Plaque iron and mineralogical analysis

Fe plaque was characterized to examine changes in the quantity of plaque Fe over the rice

life cycle and Fe mineral composition at harvest according to established protocols (7, 95). For

this, roots in collected bags (described above) were subject to dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate

extraction (95), and total Fe was measured via ICP-OES. At harvest, an additional subset of

rhizosphere-free roots (three root masses sampled diagonally across each paddy and pooled)

were sonicated in water and filtered onto 0.2 μm nitrocellulose membranes for bulk analysis of

plaque Fe mineral composition via Fe X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy

according to (7). Samples were analyzed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource

(SSRL) on beamline 11-2. There, incident energy was calibrated by assigning the first Fe

inflection point from a standard Fe foil of 7112 eV, and two Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra were

recorded for each sample in fluorescence with a Lytle detector. Spectra were averaged,

normalized, background subtracted and fit by linear combination in Athena using reference

spectra of known minerals found in Fe plaque including ferrihydrite, goethite, lepidocrocite, and

siderite. Further details regarding geochemical analysis are available in (36).

DNA extraction

For all bulk, rhizosphere, and plaque samples, DNA was extracted with the following

modifications to the manufacturer's instructions for the DNeasy PowerSoil DNA extraction kit

(Qiagen). Powerbead solution (200 µL) was removed from bead-beating tubes and replaced with

200 µL phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol 25:24:1 (v/v/v). The protocol was followed to

manufacturer's specifications for the PowerSoil kit until conditions were adjusted for column

binding. At this step, equal parts lysate, solution C4, and 100% ethanol were homogenized and

loaded onto the DNA binding column. After DNA binding, the column was washed with 650 µL

100% ethanol, followed by 500 µL solution C5. The column was centrifuged and dried, and

DNA was eluted with molecular biology grade water (protocol based on personal communication

with a Qiagen representative).

16S rRNA gene sequencing and analysis

Samples were submitted to the Joint Genome Institute for paired-end (2 X 300) Illumina

MiSeq iTag sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene V4-V5 region, using primers 515F-Y and 926R

(96). Raw sequences were de-multiplexed, quality filtered and clustered to 97% similarity with

usearch, checking for chimeras, as part of the JGI pipeline. Feature tables and sequences were

imported into QIIME 2 (97), and additionally filtered to exclude any features with a frequency of

less than 10 sequences observed in the dataset that did not appear in at least two samples.

Taxonomy was assigned to features using the qiime2 sklearn naïve bayes feature classifier,

originally trained with SILVA database version 132 (https://www.arb-

silva.de/fileadmin/silva_databases/LICENSE.txt). An initial report of the 16S rRNA data was

given in reference (90). Data were imported into R (v3.6.2, (98)) and prepared for analysis using

phyloseq (v1.28.0, (99)) with qiime2R (v9.99.13, (100)). Differential abundance analysis was

assessed between plaque and bulk samples at individual timepoints using DESeq2 (101). Figures

were built using phyloseq, the phyloseq wrapper for vegan (v2.5-6, (102)) and ggplot2 (v3.3.2,

(103)).

Metagenome sample selection, sequencing, and analysis

For metagenome sequencing, we selected samples that had sufficient DNA and good

representation of major iron cycling OTUs. The data presented here are from a paired

rhizosphere and bulk soil sample set from 2016, 9BH and 9BB, sampled at 42 DPT from Paddy

9, a "control" paddy that received no silica amendments. Metagenome sequencing was also

performed on two rhizosphere samples from 2017, 9GH and 9IH, from Paddy 9 at 45 and 79

DPT. Here, these two samples are only used for linking 16S rRNA gene to the *Sideroxydans*

genomes, but are available for further analyses on IMG. For the 2017 samples, all field and DNA

extraction methods were as above, except that the rhizosphere sample was collected by vortexing

roots in 25 mL of RNA later.

Metagenome sequencing was performed at the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) using an

Illumina NovaSeq S4 (270 bp fragment, paired end 2x151). Initial data processing was done by

JGI, including read correction by bbcms v 38.86, (104), assembly by metaSPAdes v. 3.14.1

(105), and annotation by IMG Annotation Pipeline v.5.0.20. Initial binning was performed by

MetaBAT v2.15, evaluated using CheckM v1.1.3. Medium and high quality genomes

(>=50/90% completeness, <10/5% contamination; defined by the Genome Standards

Consortium; (106)) were uploaded to IMG.

We performed additional binning on the KBase platform using MaxBin v2.2.4

(kb_maxbin v1.1.1) (107), CONCOCT v.1.1 (kb_concoct v1.3.4) (108), MetaBAT2 v1.7 (kb

metabat v2.3.0) (109, 110), and then compared and optimized these bins using DASTool v1.1.2

(kb_das_tool v1.0.7) (111). Resulting genome bins were evaluated using CheckM v.1.0.18

(kb_Msuite v1.4.0) (112) and classified by GTDBtk v. 1.6.0 release 202 (kb_gtdbtk v1.0.0) (113,

114). We selected the highest quality genome of each known FeOB and FeRB taxa (>90%

completeness, <5% contamination) from each sample (9BB and 9BH) for further analysis (Table

1). Genome similarity was analyzed by computing average nucleotide identity (ANI) by FastANI

(115) in Kbase and average amino acid identity (AAI) by CompareM (116). We also assessed the

phylogeny of Gallionellaceae FeOB by creating a concatenated gene tree. Thirteen ribosomal

proteins (L19, L20, L28, L17, L9_C, S16, L21p, L27, L35p, S11, S20p, S6, S9) were aligned,

trimmed, masked to remove regions with >70% gaps, and concatenated in Geneious v.10.2.6

(117). A maximum likelihood tree was constructed in RAxML-NG v1.0.3 (118) with the LG+G

model and 500 bootstraps. Visualization and annotation of the final tree was done in iTOL (119).

Final Gallionellaceae classifications, as described above, used the concatenated gene tree-based

phylogeny and the scheme determined by Hoover et al., biorXiv/in review.

FeOB and FeRB bins selected for further analysis were annotated by RAST, DRAM

(kb_DRAM v.0.1.2) (120), and FeGenie (121). For certain genes of interest, we further

investigated close homologs by BLAST (122) against Uniprot database (123), by Blastp against

functionally characterized sequences, and evaluation of alignments for conserved functional

residues. For improved confidence in functional assignments, genomic context and synteny was

evaluated in annotations, e.g. in RAST output.

To evaluate the potential function of the Rhodoferax Mto/Mtr homolog, we added the

sequence to a tree of Mto and Mtr sequences from Hoover, et al. in review/preprint. The tree

includes reference sequences of functionally verified MtoA, MtrA, and PioA along with

additional Mto/Mtr sequences outlined by Hoover, et al. and Baker et al. (29, 124). Sequences

were aligned, trimmed, and masked to remove gaps >70% in Geneious v.10.2.6 (117). A

maximum likelihood tree was constructed using RAxML-NG v1.0.3 (118) with 500 bootstraps.

The final tree was visualized and annotated using Iroki (125).

Data availability

16S rRNA gene sequences are deposited at JGI DOI 10.25585/1488298 (iTagger

processed and raw sequences), and NCBI under accession PRJNA690162 (raw sequences).

Metagenome sequences are available under NCBI Bioproject PRJNA710504 and at IMG

(genome IDs 3300042876, 3300044673, 3300044712, 3300045051; same DOI as above),

including metagenome bins. *[Note for review: JGI is in the process of submitting the remaining*

3 of 4 metagenomes to NCBI as part of Bioprojects; metagenome bins will be added to these

Bioprojects once this is done. Genome 9BH_MB008 is submitted as fasta files for review; other

genome bins are available at IMG.]

Acknowledgements

We thank the Joint Genome Institute for funding and performing sequencing. We thank

our field sampling team: Patrick Wise, Kristy Northrup, Weida Wu, Ayofela Dare, Kendall

McCoach, Fred Teasley, Douglas Amaral, Ruifang Hu, Alesia Hunter, Julia O'Brien, and

Heather Eby. We thank Olushola Awoyemi for help with genome analyses.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant Nos. 1350580 and

1833525, USDA NIFA Grant No. 2016-67013-24846, the DENIN Environmental Fellows

program, the University of Delaware Doctoral and Dissertation Fellowships, and the Preston C.

Townsend Biotechnology Fellowship. Sequencing for this project was completed by the Joint

Genome Institute for project ID 503349. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource,

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office

of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515.

This work is not a product of the United States Government or the United States

Environmental Protection Agency. The author/editor is not doing this work in any governmental

capacity. The views expressed are his/her own and do not necessarily represent those of the

United States or the US EPA.

References

1.   Khan N, Seshadri B, Bolan N, Saint CP, Kirkham MB, Chowdhury S, Yamaguchi N, Lee

DY, Li G, Kunhikrishnan A, Qi F, Karunanithi R, Qiu R, Zhu Y-G, Syu CH. 2016. Root

Iron Plaque on Wetland Plants as a Dynamic Pool of Nutrients and Contaminants, p. 1–96.

In Advances in Agronomy. Elsevier.

2.   Ye ZH, Baker AJM, Wong MH, Willis AJ. 1998. Zinc, lead and cadmium accumulation

and tolerance in Typha latifolia as affected by iron plaque on the root surface. Aquat Bot

61:55–67.

3.   Seyfferth AL, Limmer MA, Tappero R. 2021. A Method to Preserve Wetland Roots and

Rhizospheres for Elemental Imaging. J Vis Exp 168.

4.   Couture R-M, Rose J, Kumar N, Mitchell K, Wallschläger D, Van Cappellen P. 2013.

Sorption of arsenite, arsenate, and thioarsenates to iron oxides and iron sulfides: a kinetic

and spectroscopic investigation. Env Sci Technol 47:5652–5659.

5.   Kocar BD, Herbel MJ, Tufano KJ, Fendorf S. 2006. Contrasting effects of dissimilatory

iron(III) and arsenic(V) reduction on arsenic retention and transport. Env Sci Technol

40:6715–6721.

6.   Pedersen HD, Postma D, Jakobsen R. 2006. Release of arsenic associated with the

reduction and transformation of iron oxides. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 70:4116–4129.

7.   Amaral DC, Lopes G, Guilherme LRG, Seyfferth AL. 2017. A New Approach to

Sampling Intact Fe Plaque Reveals Si-Induced Changes in Fe Mineral Composition and

Shoot As in Rice. 1. Environ Sci Technol 51:38–45.

8.　Yamaguchi N, Ohkura T, Takahashi Y, Maejima Y, Arao T. 2014. Arsenic Distribution

and Speciation near Rice Roots Influenced by Iron Plaques and Redox Conditions of the

Soil Matrix. 3. Environ Sci Technol 48:1549–1556.

9.　Seyfferth AL, Limmer M, Wu W. 2019. Si and Water Management Drives Changes in Fe

and Mn Pools that Affect As Cycling and Uptake in Rice. 3. Soil Syst 3:58.

10.　Xiao A, Li WC, Ye Z. 2020. Effects of Fe-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB) on iron plaque

formation, As concentrations and speciation in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Ecotoxicol Environ

Saf 190:110136.

11.　Li X, Mou S, Chen Y, Liu T, Dong J, Li F. 2019. Microaerobic Fe(II) oxidation coupled to

carbon assimilation processes driven by microbes from paddy soil. 11. Sci China Earth Sci

62:1719–1729.

12.　Chen Y, Li X, Liu T, Li F. 2017. Microaerobic iron oxidation and carbon assimilation and

associated microbial community in paddy soil. 3. Acta Geochim 36:502–505.

13.　Druschel GK, Emerson D, Sutka R, Suchecki P, Luther GW. 2008. Low-oxygen and

chemical kinetic constraints on the geochemical niche of neutrophilic iron(II) oxidizing

microorganisms. 14. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 72:3358–3370.

14.　McAllister SM, Moore RM, Gartman A, Luther GW, Emerson D, Chan CS. 2019. The

Fe(II)-oxidizing *Zetaproteobacteria* : historical, ecological and genomic perspectives.

FEMS Microbiol Ecol 95.

15.   Chan CS, McAllister SM, Leavitt AH, Glazer BT, Krepski ST, Emerson D. 2016. The

670   architecture of iron microbial mats reflects the adaptation of chemolithotrophic iron

671   oxidation in freshwater and marine environments. Front Microbiol 7:796.

672   16.   Chiu BK, Kato S, McAllister SM, Field EK, Chan CS. 2017. Novel Pelagic Iron-

673   Oxidizing Zetaproteobacteria from the Chesapeake Bay Oxic–Anoxic Transition Zone.

674   Front Microbiol 8.

675   17.   Fleming EJ, Cetinić I, Chan CS, Whitney King D, Emerson D. 2014. Ecological

676   succession among iron-oxidizing bacteria. ISME J 8:804–815.

677   18.   Maisch M, Lueder U, Kappler A, Schmidt C. 2019. Iron Lung: How Rice Roots Induce

678   Iron Redox Changes in the Rhizosphere and Create Niches for Microaerophilic Fe(II)-

679   Oxidizing Bacteria. Environ Sci Technol Lett acs.estlett.9b00403.

680   19.   Larsen M, Santner J, Oburger E, Wenzel WW, Glud RN. 2015. O2 dynamics in the

681   rhizosphere of young rice plants (Oryza sativa L.) as studied by planar optodes. Plant Soil

682   390:279–292.

683   20.   Revsbech NP, Pedersen O, Reichardt W, Briones A. 1999. Microsensor analysis of

684   oxygen and pH in the rice rhizosphere under field and laboratory conditions. Biol Fertil

685   Soils 29:379–385.

686   21.   Chen Y, Li X, Liu T, Li F, Sun W, Young LY, Huang W. 2022. Metagenomic analysis of

687   Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria for Fe(III) mineral formation and carbon assimilation under

688   microoxic conditions in paddy soil. Sci Total Environ 851:158068.

22. Khalifa A, Nakasuji Y, Saka N, Honjo H, Asakawa S, Watanabe T. 2018. Ferrigenium
kumadai gen. nov., sp. nov., a microaerophilic iron-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a
paddy field soil. 8. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 68:2587–2592.

23. Liesack W, Schnell S, Revsbech NP. 2000. Microbiology of flooded rice paddies. 5.
FEMS Microbiol Rev 24:625–645.

24. Nakagawa K, Murase J, Asakawa S, Watanabe T. 2020. Involvement of microaerophilic
iron-oxidizing bacteria in the iron-oxidizing process at the surface layer of flooded paddy
field soil. J Soils Sediments https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-020-02717-w.

25. Naruse T, Ban Y, Yoshida T, Kato T, Namikawa M, Takahashi T, Nishida M, Asakawa S,
Watanabe T. 2019. Community structure of microaerophilic iron-oxidizing bacteria in
Japanese paddy field soils. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 65:460–470.

26. Emerson D, Moyer C. 1997. Isolation and characterization of novel iron-oxidizing bacteria
that grow at circumneutral pH. 12. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:4784–4792.

27. Lüdecke C, Reiche M, Eusterhues K, Nietzsche S, Küsel K. 2010. Acid-tolerant
microaerophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria promote Fe(III)-accumulation in a fen: Acid-
tolerant Fe(II)-oxidizers in a fen. Environ Microbiol 12:2814–2825.

28. Watanabe T, Khalifa A, Asakawa S. 2021. Complete Genome Sequence of Ferrigenium
kumadai An22, a Microaerophilic Iron-Oxidizing Bacterium Isolated from a Paddy Field
Soil. Microbiol Resour Announc 10.

29.   Hoover RL, Keffer JL, Polson SW, Chan CS. 2023. Gallionellaceae pangenomic analysis

reveals insight into phylogeny, metabolic flexibility, and iron oxidation mechanisms. In

review. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.26.525709.

30.   Schmidt H, Eickhorst T. 2014. Detection and quantification of native microbial

populations on soil-grown rice roots by catalyzed reporter deposition-fluorescence *in situ*

hybridization. 2. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 87:390–402.

31.   Tang X, Zou L, Su S, Lu Y, Zhai W, Manzoor M, Liao Y, Nie J, Shi J, Ma LQ, Xu J.

2021. Long-Term Manure Application Changes Bacterial Communities in Rice

Rhizosphere and Arsenic Speciation in Rice Grains. Environ Sci Technol 55:1555–1565.

32.   Wang M, Tang Z, Chen X-P, Wang X, Zhou W-X, Tang Z, Zhang J, Zhao F-J. 2019.

Water management impacts the soil microbial communities and total arsenic and

methylated arsenicals in rice grains. Environ Pollut 247:736–744.

33.   Zecchin S, Corsini A, Martin M, Cavalca L. 2017. Influence of water management on the

active root-associated microbiota involved in arsenic, iron, and sulfur cycles in rice

paddies. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:6725–6738.

34.   Watanabe T, Katayanagi N, Agbisit R, Llorca L, Hosen Y, Asakawa S. 2021. Influence of

alternate wetting and drying water-saving irrigation practice on the dynamics of

Gallionella-related iron-oxidizing bacterial community in paddy field soil. Soil Biol

Biochem 152:108064.

35.   Schmidt H, Eickhorst T. 2013. Spatio-temporal variability of microbial abundance and

community structure in the puddled layer of a paddy soil cultivated with wetland rice

(Oryza sativa L.). Appl Soil Ecol 72:93–102.

36.   Limmer MA, Seyfferth AL. 2020. Carryover effects of silicon-rich amendments in rice

paddies. Soil Sci Soc Am J saj2.20146.

37.   Limmer MA, Mann J, Amaral DC, Vargas R, Seyfferth AL. 2018. Silicon-rich

amendments in rice paddies: Effects on arsenic uptake and biogeochemistry. Sci Total

Environ 624:1360–1368.

38.   Liu WJ, Zhu YG, Hu Y, Williams PN, Gault AG, Meharg AA, Charnock JM, Smith FA.

2006. Arsenic Sequestration in Iron Plaque, Its Accumulation and Speciation in Mature

Rice Plants (*Oryza Sativa* L.). 18. Environ Sci Technol 40:5730–5736.

39.   Seyfferth AL, Webb SM, Andrews JC, Fendorf S. 2011. Defining the distribution of

arsenic species and plant nutrients in rice (Oryza sativa L.) from the root to the grain. 21.

Geochim Cosmochim Acta 75:6655–6671.

40.   Teasley WA, Limmer MA, Seyfferth AL. 2017. How Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Responds to

Elevated As under Different Si-Rich Soil Amendments. 18. Environ Sci Technol

51:10335–10343.

41.   Hansel CM, Fendorf S, Sutton S, Newville M. 2001. Characterization of Fe Plaque and

Associated Metals on the Roots of Mine-Waste Impacted Aquatic Plants. 19. Environ Sci

Technol 35:3863–3868.

42.   Hansel CM, La Force MJ, Fendorf S, Sutton S. 2002. Spatial and Temporal Association of

As and Fe Species on Aquatic Plant Roots. 9. Environ Sci Technol 36:1988–1994.

43.   Maisch M, Lueder U, Kappler A, Schmidt C. 2020. From Plant to Paddy—How Rice Root

Iron Plaque Can Affect the Paddy Field Iron Cycling. 2. Soil Syst 4:28.

44.   Jones AM, Collins RN, Rose J, Waite TD. 2009. The effect of silica and natural organic

matter on the Fe(II)-catalysed transformation and reactivity of Fe(III) minerals. Geochim

Cosmochim Acta 14.

45.   Seyfferth AL. 2015. Abiotic effects of dissolved oxyanions on iron plaque quantity and

mineral composition in a simulated rhizosphere. 1–2. Plant Soil 397:43–61.

46.   Schwertmann U, Taylor RM. 1972. Influence of silicate on transformation of lepidocrocite

to goethite. Clays Clay Min 20:159–164.

47.   Wang J, Krause S, Muyzer G, Meima-Franke M, Laanbroek H, Bodelier P. 2012. Spatial

Patterns of Iron- and Methane-Oxidizing Bacterial Communities in an Irregularly Flooded,

Riparian Wetland. Front Microbiol 3.

48.   Itoh H, Ishii S, Shiratori Y, Oshima K, Otsuka S, Hattori M, Senoo K. 2013. Seasonal

Transition of Active Bacterial and Archaeal Communities in Relation to Water

Management in Paddy Soils. Microbes Environ 28:370–380.

49.   Maisch M, Lueder U, Laufer K, Scholze C, Kappler A, Schmidt C. 2019. Contribution of

Microaerophilic Iron(II)-Oxidizers to Iron(III) Mineral Formation. 14. Environ Sci

Technol 53:8197–8204.

50. Weiss JV, Rentz JA, Plaia T, Neubauer SC, Merrill-Floyd M, Lilburn T, Bradburne C,

Megonigal JP, Emerson D. 2007. Characterization of Neutrophilic Fe(II)-Oxidizing

Bacteria Isolated from the Rhizosphere of Wetland Plants and Description of

Ferritrophicum radicicola gen. nov. sp. nov., and Sideroxydans paludicola sp. nov.

Geomicrobiol J 24:559–570.

51. Ding L-J, Su J-Q, Xu H-J, Jia Z-J, Zhu Y-G. 2015. Long-term nitrogen fertilization of

paddy soil shifts iron-reducing microbial community revealed by RNA-13C-acetate

probing coupled with pyrosequencing. 3. ISME J 9:721–734.

52. Hori T, Müller A, Igarashi Y, Conrad R, Friedrich MW. 2010. Identification of iron-

reducing microorganisms in anoxic rice paddy soil by 13C-acetate probing. 2. ISME J

4:267–278.

53. Kouzuma A, Kasai T, Nakagawa G, Yamamuro A, Abe T, Watanabe K. 2013.

Comparative Metagenomics of Anode-Associated Microbiomes Developed in Rice Paddy-

Field Microbial Fuel Cells. 11. PLoS ONE 8.

54. Sun M, Xiao T, Ning Z, Xiao E, Sun W. 2015. Microbial community analysis in rice

paddy soils irrigated by acid mine drainage contaminated water. 6. Appl Microbiol

Biotechnol 99:2911–2922.

55. Reid MC, Maillard J, Bagnoud A, Falquet L, Le Vo P, Bernier-Latmani R. 2017. Arsenic

Methylation Dynamics in a Rice Paddy Soil Anaerobic Enrichment Culture. 18. Environ

Sci Technol 51:10546–10554.

56. Edwards J, Johnson C, Santos-Medellín C, Lurie E, Podishetty NK, Bhatnagar S, Eisen

JA, Sundaresan V. 2015. Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated

microbiomes of rice. 8. Proc Natl Acad Sci 112:E911–E920.

57. Ransom-Jones E, Jones DL, McCarthy AJ, McDonald JE. 2012. The Fibrobacteres: an

Important Phylum of Cellulose-Degrading Bacteria. 2. Microb Ecol 63:267–281.

58. Leschine SB. 1995. Cellulose Degradation in Anaerobic Environments. Annu Rev

Microbiol 49:399–426.

59. Kato S, Ohkuma M. 2021. A Single Bacterium Capable of Oxidation and Reduction of

Iron at Circumneutral pH. Microbiol Spectr 9:e00161-21.

60. Ross DE, Flynn JM, Baron DB, Gralnick JA, Bond DR. 2011. Towards Electrosynthesis

in Shewanella: Energetics of Reversing the Mtr Pathway for Reductive Metabolism. PLOS

ONE 6:e16649.

61. Liu J, Wang Z, Belchik SM, Edwards MJ, Liu C, Kennedy DW, Merkley ED, Lipton MS,

Butt JN, Richardson DJ, Zachara JM, Fredrickson JK, Rosso KM, Shi L. 2012.

Identification and Characterization of MtoA: A Decaheme c-Type Cytochrome of the

Neutrophilic Fe(II)-Oxidizing Bacterium Sideroxydans lithotrophicus ES-1. Front

Microbiol 3.

62. Zhou N, Keffer JL, Polson SW, Chan CS. 2022. Unraveling Fe(II)-Oxidizing Mechanisms

in a Facultative Fe(II) Oxidizer, Sideroxydans lithotrophicus Strain ES-1, via Culturing,

Transcriptomics, and Reverse Transcription-Quantitative PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol

88:e01595-21.

63.   Zhou N, Kupper RJ, Catalano JG, Thompson A, Chan CS. 2022. Biological Oxidation of

809   Fe(II)-Bearing Smectite by Microaerophilic Iron Oxidizer Sideroxydans lithotrophicus

810   Using Dual Mto and Cyc2 Iron Oxidation Pathways. Environ Sci Technol

811   https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c05142.

812   64.   McAllister SM, Vandzura R, Keffer JL, Polson SW, Chan CS. 2020. Aerobic and

813   anaerobic iron oxidizers together drive denitrification and carbon cycling at marine iron-

814   rich hydrothermal vents. ISME J https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00849-y.

815   65.   Mori JF, Scott JJ, Hager KW, Moyer CL, Küsel K, Emerson D. 2017. Physiological and

816   ecological implications of an iron- or hydrogen-oxidizing member of the

817   Zetaproteobacteria, Ghiorsea bivora, gen. nov., sp. nov. ISME J 11:2624–2636.

818   66.   McAllister SM, Polson SW, Butterfield DA, Glazer BT, Sylvan JB, Chan CS. 2020.

819   Validating the Cyc2 neutrophilic iron oxidation pathway using meta-omics of

820   Zetaproteobacteria iron mats at marine hydrothermal vents. mSystems 5:e00553-19.

821   67.   Khanna N, Lindblad P. 2015. Cyanobacterial Hydrogenases and Hydrogen Metabolism

822   Revisited: Recent Progress and Future Prospects. 5. Int J Mol Sci 16:10537–10561.

823   68.   Wang Y, Chen X, Spengler K, Terberger K, Boehm M, Appel J, Barske T, Timm S,

824   Battchikova N, Hagemann M, Gutekunst K. 2022. Pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase and

825   low abundant ferredoxins support aerobic photomixotrophic growth in cyanobacteria.

826   eLife 11:e71339.

69.   Krepski ST, Hanson TE, Chan CS. 2012. Isolation and characterization of a novel

biomineral stalk-forming iron-oxidizing bacterium from a circumneutral groundwater

seep: A novel stalk-forming Fe-oxidizing bacterium. 7. Environ Microbiol 14:1671–1680.

70.   Kato S, Krepski S, Chan C, Itoh T, Ohkuma M. 2014. Ferriphaselus amnicola gen. nov.,

sp. nov., a neutrophilic, stalk-forming, iron-oxidizing bacterium isolated from an iron-rich

groundwater seep. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:921–925.

71.   Kato S, Itoh T, Iino T, Ohkuma M. 2022. Sideroxyarcus emersonii gen. nov. sp. nov., a

neutrophilic, microaerobic iron- and thiosulfate-oxidizing bacterium isolated from iron-

rich wetland sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 72.

72.   Drula E, Garron M-L, Dogan S, Lombard V, Henrissat B, Terrapon N. 2022. The

carbohydrate-active enzyme database: functions and literature. Nucleic Acids Res

50:D571–D577.

73.   Akob DM, Hallenbeck M, Beulig F, Fabisch M, Küsel K, Keffer JL, Woyke T, Shapiro N,

Lapidus A, Klenk H-P, Chan CS. 2020. Mixotrophic iron-oxidizing Thiomonas isolates

from an acid mine drainage-affected creek. Appl Environ Microbiol 86:e01424-20.

74.   Cooper RE, Finck J, Chan C, Küsel K. 2023. Mixotrophy broadens the ecological niche

range of the iron oxidizer Sideroxydans sp. CL21 isolated from an iron-rich peatland.

FEMS Microbiol Ecol fiac156.

75.   Obst M, Steinbüchel A. 2006. Cyanophycin—an Ideal Bacterial Nitrogen Storage Material

with Unique Chemical Properties, p. 167–193. In Shively, JM (ed.), Inclusions in

Prokaryotes. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

76.   Schmehl M, Jahn A, Meyer zu Vilsendorf A, Hennecke S, Masepohl B, Schuppler M,

849   Marxer M, Oelze J, Klipp W. 1993. Identification of a new class of nitrogen fixation genes

850   in Rhodobacter capsalatus: a putative membrane complex involved in electron transport to

851   nitrogenase. Mol Gen Genet MGG 241:602–615.

852   77.   Bothe H, de Bruijn FJ, Newton WE. 1988. In Proceeding in Nitrogen Fixation: Hundred

853   years after, p. 747–752. *In* In Proceeding in Nitrogen Fixation: Hundred years after.

854   Gustav Fischer, Stuttgart, New York.

855   78.   Ladha JK, Padre AT, Punzalan GC, Watanabe I, De Data SK. 1988. Ability of wetland

856   rice to stimulate biological nitrogen fixation and utilize soil nitrogen.

857   79.   Tomich M, Planet PJ, Figurski DH. 2007. The tad locus: postcards from the widespread

858   colonization island. 5. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:363–375.

859   80.   Fullner KJ, Mekalanos JJ. 1999. Genetic Characterization of a New Type IV-A Pilus Gene

860   Cluster Found in Both Classical and El Tor Biotypes ofVibrio cholerae. Infect Immun

861   67:1393–1404.

862   81.   Dalisay DS, Webb JS, Scheffel A, Svenson C, James S, Holmström C, Egan S, Kjelleberg

863   S. 2006. A mannose-sensitive haemagglutinin (MSHA)-like pilus promotes attachment of

864   Pseudoalteromonas tunicata cells to the surface of the green alga Ulva australis.

865   Microbiology 152:2875–2883.

866   82.   Scheller EV, Cotter PA. 2015. Bordetella filamentous hemagglutinin and fimbriae: critical

867   adhesins with unrealized vaccine potential. Pathog Dis 73.

868   83.   Knights HE, Jorrin B, Haskett TL, Poole PS. 2021. Deciphering bacterial mechanisms of
869   root colonization. Environ Microbiol Rep 13:428–444.

870   84.   Wong SG, Dessen A. 2014. Structure of a bacterial α2-macroglobulin reveals mimicry of
871   eukaryotic innate immunity. 1. Nat Commun 5:4917.

872   85.   Daglia M. 2012. Polyphenols as antimicrobial agents. Curr Opin Biotechnol 23:174–181.

873   86.   Emerson D, Field EK, Chertkov O, Davenport KW, Goodwin L, Munk C, Nolan M,
874   Woyke T. 2013. Comparative genomics of freshwater Fe-oxidizing bacteria: implications
875   for physiology, ecology, and systematics. Front Microbiol 4.

876   87.   Kügler S, Cooper RE, Wegner C-E, Mohr JF, Wichard T, Küsel K. 2019. Iron-organic
877   matter complexes accelerate microbial iron cycling in an iron-rich fen. Sci Total Environ
878   646:972–988.

879   88.   Neubauer SC, Toledo-Durán GE, Emerson D, Megonigal JP. 2007. Returning to Their
880   Roots: Iron-Oxidizing Bacteria Enhance Short-Term Plaque Formation in the Wetland-
881   Plant Rhizosphere. 1. Geomicrobiol J 24:65–73.

882   89.   Yang L, Jiang M, Zou Y, Qin L, Chen Y. 2021. Geographical Distribution of Iron Redox
883   Cycling Bacterial Community in Peatlands: Distinct Assemble Mechanism Across
884   Environmental Gradient. Front Microbiol 12.

885   90.   Dykes GE, Chan CS, Seyfferth AL. 2021. 16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing Data
886   from Flooded Rice Paddy Mesocosms Treated with Different Silicon-Rich Soil
887   Amendments. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e00178-21.

91.   Dykes GE, Limmer MA, Seyfferth AL. 2021. Silicon-rich soil amendments impact

microbial community composition and the composition of arsM bearing microbes. Plant

Soil 468:147–164.

92.   1996. Methods of soil analysis. Part 3: Chemical methods. Soil Science Society of

America : American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis.

93.   Stookey LL. 1970. Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. Anal Chem

42:779–781.

94.   Jia Y, Huang H, Zhong M, Wang F-H, Zhang L-M, Zhu Y-G. 2013. Microbial Arsenic

Methylation in Soil and Rice Rhizosphere. 7. Environ Sci Technol 47:3141–3148.

95.   Taylor GJ, Crowder AA. 1983. Use of the DCB Technique for Extraction of Hydrous Iron

Oxides from Roots of Wetland Plants. 8. Am J Bot 70:1254–1257.

96.   Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. 2016. Every base matters: assessing small

subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and

global field samples. 5. Environ Microbiol 18:1403–1414.

97.   Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H,

Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn

CJ, Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R,

Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M,

Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K,

Guo J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S,

Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D,

Koester I, Kosciolek T, Kreps J, Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E,

Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV,

Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-Molina JA, Nothias LF,

Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse E, Rasmussen LB,

Rivers A, Robeson MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer A, Sinha R, Song SJ,

Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A, Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-

Hasan S, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von Hippel M,

Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD, Xu ZZ,

Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible, interactive,

scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. 8. Nat Biotechnol

37:852–857.

98.   R Core Team. 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.

99.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive

Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. 4. PLoS ONE 8:e61217.

100. Bisanz JE. 2018. qiime2R: Importing QIIME2 artifacts and associated data into R

sessions.

101. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. 12. Genome Biol 15:550.

102. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR,

O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2019. vegan:

Community Ecology Package. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.

103. Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New

York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

104. Bushnell B. BBMap.

105. Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. 2017. metaSPAdes: a new versatile

metagenomic assembler. Genome Res 27:824–834.

106. Bowers RM, Kyrpides NC, Stepanauskas R, Harmon-Smith M, Doud D, Reddy TBK,

Schulz F, Jarett J, Rivers AR, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Tringe SG, Ivanova NN, Copeland A,

Clum A, Becraft ED, Malmstrom RR, Birren B, Podar M, Bork P, Weinstock GM, Garrity

GM, Dodsworth JA, Yooseph S, Sutton G, Glöckner FO, Gilbert JA, Nelson WC, Hallam

SJ, Jungbluth SP, Ettema TJG, Tighe S, Konstantinidis KT, Liu W-T, Baker BJ, Rattei T,

Eisen JA, Hedlund B, McMahon KD, Fierer N, Knight R, Finn R, Cochrane G, Karsch-

Mizrachi I, Tyson GW, Rinke C, Lapidus A, Meyer F, Yilmaz P, Parks DH, Eren AM,

Schriml L, Banfield JF, Hugenholtz P, Woyke T. 2017. Minimum information about a

single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of

bacteria and archaea. 8. Nat Biotechnol 35:725–731.

107. Wu Y-W, Tang Y-H, Tringe SG, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2014. MaxBin: an automated

binning method to recover individual genomes from metagenomes using an expectation-

maximization algorithm. Microbiome 2:26.

108.   Alneberg J, Bjarnason BS, de Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz UZ, Lahti L, Loman NJ,

950   Andersson AF, Quince C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and

951   composition. 11. Nat Methods 11:1144–1146.

952   109.   Kang DD, Froula J, Egan R, Wang Z. 2015. MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately

953   reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. PeerJ 3:e1165.

954   110.   Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, Wang Z. 2019. MetaBAT 2: an

955   adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from

956   metagenome assemblies. PeerJ 7:e7359.

957   111.   Sieber CMK, Probst AJ, Sharrar A, Thomas BC, Hess M, Tringe SG, Banfield JF. 2018.

958   Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication, aggregation and scoring

959   strategy. 7. Nat Microbiol 3:836–843.

960   112.   Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM:

961   assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and

962   metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055.

963   113.   Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2020. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify

964   genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics 36:1925–1927.

965   114.   Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2022. GTDB-Tk v2: memory

966   friendly classification with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics btac672.

115.   Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. 2018. High

throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries.

Nat Commun 9:5114.

116.   Parks D. 2014. CompareM. Github repository. https://github.com/dparks1134/CompareM.

117.   Geneious v.10.2.6. https://www.geneious.com.

118.   Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast,

scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. 21.

Bioinformatics 35:4453–4455.

119.   Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for

phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:W293–W296.

120.   Shaffer M, Borton MA, McGivern BB, Zayed AA, Rosa SLL, Solden LM, Liu P, Narrowe

AB, Rodriguez-Ramos J, Bolduc B, Gazitua MC, Daly RA, Smith GJ, Vik DR, Pope PB,

Sullivan MB, Roux S, Wrighton KC. 2020. DRAM for distilling microbial metabolism to

automate the curation of microbiome function. bioRxiv 2020.06.29.177501.

121.   Garber AI, Nealson KH, Okamoto A, McAllister SM, Chan CS, Barco RA, Merino N.

2020. FeGenie: A comprehensive tool for the identification of iron genes and iron gene

neighborhoods in genome and metagenome assemblies. Front Microbiol 11:37.

122.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment

search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

123. The UniProt Consortium. 2021. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021.

Nucleic Acids Res 49:D480–D489.

124. Baker IR, Conley BE, Gralnick JA, Girguis PR. 2022. Evidence for Horizontal and

Vertical Transmission of Mtr-Mediated Extracellular Electron Transfer among the

Bacteria. mBio https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02904-21.

125. Moore RM, Harrison AO, McAllister SM, Polson SW, Wommack EK. 2020. Iroki:

automatic customization and visualization of phylogenetic trees.

Figures and Table

Figure 1. Plaque iron content compared to porewater Fe(II), and mineral composition. (A)

Plaque iron content per dry root mass over the growing season plotted for 12 paddies sampled.

(B) Porewater Fe(II) over the growing season plotted by and colored by paddy (see legend in A).

(C) Plaque Fe mineral composition at harvest, by paddy, in order of decreasing ferrihydrite.

Figure 2. (A) Log 10 median rank relative abundance curve of top 100 plaque organisms. (B)

Box and whisker plot showing square-root transformed relative abundance of OTUs with median

relative abundance above 0.5% in plaque. Boxes are colored according to known taxonomy with

orange/yellow representing FeOB, pink/purple FeRB, blue methylotrophs, and green cellulose

degraders. See Tables S1 and S2 for abundance data, ranking calculations, and taxonomic

classification of OTUs.

A. Plaque

	Rank	Taxa	20 DPT	42 DPT	71 DPT	88 DPT	98 DPT
FeOB	1	*Gallionella* OTU7	0.85	1.4	4.2		8.0
	3	*Sideroxydans* OTU2	10	2.5	1.1		0.74
FeRB	2	*Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0	0.04	0.37	0.63		4.2
	7	*Geobacter* OTU6	0.13	0.71	12		0.71
	8	*Anaeromyxobacter* OTU13	0.38	1.3	1.4		1.1
	10	*Geothrix* OTU5	0.18	0.83	2.7		0.21

B. Rhizosphere

	Rank	Taxa	20 DPT	42 DPT	71 DPT	88 DPT	98 DPT
FeOB	1	*Gallionella* OTU7	2.0	4.8	2.5	2.0	3.4
	3	*Sideroxydans* OTU2	6.2	2.5	2.8	0.67	1.6
FeRB	2	*Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0	0.22	0.46	0.77	3.2	2.5
	7	*Geobacter* OTU6	0.39	0.42	1.1	0.62	1.2
	8	*Anaeromyxobacter* OTU13	0.59	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.3
	10	*Geothrix* OTU5	1.3	1.3	1.2	0.67	0.75

Figure 3. Enrichment factors in plaque (A) and rhizosphere (B) of most abundant FeOB and FeRB OTUs over growing season (DPT=days past transplant). Enrichment factor was calculated as relative abundance in plaque or rhizosphere divided by relative abundance in bulk soil, and the median is given for each timepoint. Boxes are shaded according to enrichment factor, with those below 1 (not enriched) in white with gray text. (No plaque sample available for 88 DPT.) OTUs are ranked by median relative abundance in plaque.

Figure 4. Relative read abundance of top FeOB and FeRB in plaque (with median relative abundance above 0.5%) over time for each biosphere. Abundance in bulk soil plotted with black solid line, rhizosphere plotted in tan short-dashed line, and plaque plotted in orange long-dashed line. Error bars show standard error. Asterisks indicate differential abundance in the plaque and bulk soil where adj. p <0.1 based on DESeq2 analysis. Bulk 20 DPT n=12, rhizosphere 20 DPT n=12, plaque 20 DPT n=3, bulk 42 DPT n=11, rhizosphere 42 DPT n=10, plaque 42 DPT n=6,

1018   bulk 71 DPT n=11, rhizosphere 71 DPT n=11, plaque 71 DPT n=4, bulk 88 DPT n=12,

1019   rhizosphere 88 DPT n=12, bulk 98 DPT n=11, rhizosphere 98 DPT n=11, plaque 98 DPT n=4.

1020

Figure 5. Comparison of selected FeRB and FeOB abundance with plaque iron content. Scatter

plots show plaque relative abundance of *Anaeromyxobacter* OTU0 vs. plaque Fe (A, B), and of

Gallionella/*Ferrigenium* OTU7 and plaque Fe (C, D). Points are colored by paddy and shapes

represent sampling time (DPT). In A and C, all plaque data are shown and the blue line shows

linear regression and gray shaded region shows 95% confidence interval. In B and D, only

paddies with data from multiple time points are shown and temporal trends are plotted by paddy.

 Figure 6. Maximum likelihood tree of Gallionellaceae FeOB genomes based on 13 concatenated

 ribosomal protein sequences. Genomes from this study are shown with a star, and isolate

 genomes are shown by arrows only. While *Gallionella* 9BH_112 is closely related to the rice

 paddy isolate *F. kumadai* AN22, the other genomes in this study are more distant from isolates

 (in *Sideroxydans*). Support values based on 1000 bootstraps. Further information on

 classification and tree construction, including all genomes in the tree, are available in Hoover et

 al. (29).

Figure 7. Summary of selected functional genes in Gallionellaceae FeOB genomes. Boxes are colored by number of genes ("No. genes") or gene sets (if >1 gene mentioned) present in each genome. See Table S4 for polysaccharide hydrolysis genes. "N fix" = nitrogen fixation.

FeOB or FeRB	Bin Name	Taxa	Complete-ness	Contamin-ation	Number of bases	No. contigs	%GC	16S
Fe-oxidizer	9BB_43	*Sideroxydans*	99.44	0	2,720,879	10	59.7	n
Fe-oxidizer	9BH_100	*Sideroxydans*	99.44	0	2,735,574	7	59.7	n
Fe-oxidizer	9BH_112	*Gallionella*	98.89	0.95	2,920,635	6	60.5	n
Fe-oxidizer	9BH_MB008	*Sideroxydans*	94.26	2.51	2,925,456	126	55.6	n
Fe-reducer	9BB_DT027	*Anaeromyxobacter*	98.06	0.65	4,302,736	312	75.3	y
Fe-reducer	9BB_IMG54	*Anaeromyxobacter*	93.55	3.51	4,148,843	177	72.5	n
Fe-reducer	9BH_IMG36	*Anaeromyxobacter*	96.82	0.35	4,208,782	273	72.8	y
Fe-reducer	9BH_DT025	*Anaeromyxobacter*	95.65	1.5	4,056,445	238	72.5	n
Fe-reducer	9BB_IMG16	*Geobacter*	100	0	3,353,205	6	51.0	n
Fe-reducer	9BB_IMG32	*Geobacter*	99.98	1.94	5,511,892	147	61.3	y
Fe-reducer	9BB_IMG87	*Geobacter daltonii*	98.67	2.58	3,912,958	78	55.9	y
Fe-reducer	9BH_IMG26	*Geobacterales*	99.32	1.29	3,710,533	39	52.2	n
Fe-reducer	9BH_DT005	*Rhodoferax*	96.21	4.09	5,701,076	665	57.8	n

1037 **Table 1. Metagenome-assembled genome bins**