Astrocytic LRRK2 Controls Synaptic Connectivity through ERM Phosphorylation.

Shiyi Wang[1], Dhanesh Sivadasan Bindu[1], Christabel Xin Tan[1], Kristina Sakers[1,9], Tetsuya Takano[2,3], Maria Pia Rodriguez[1], Kylie Dimond[1,9], Scott H. Soderling[1,4,5], Albert R. La Spada[6,7,8], and Cagla Eroglu[1, 4, 5, 9]

[1]The Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[2]The Department of Neurophysiology, Keio University School of Medicine, Japan

[3]Japan Science and Technology Agency, PRESTO, Japan

[4]The Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[5]Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD

[6]The Department of Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[7]Departments of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, Neurology, Biological Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

[8]UCI Center for Neurotherapeutics, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

[9]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Corresponding authors: Shiyi Wang: shiyi.wang@duke.edu;

Albert R. La Spada: alaspada@uci.edu;

Cagla Eroglu: cagla.eroglu@duke.edu

Abstract

Astrocytes tightly control neuronal connectivity and function in the brain through direct contact with synapses[1-5]. These glial cells become reactive during disease pathogenesis[6-8] including Parkinson's disease (PD)[9-14]. However, it remains unknown if astrocyte dysfunction is an initiating factor of PD pathogenesis and whether astrocytes can be targeted to stop or reverse the synaptic dysfunction seen in PD. Using *in vitro* and *in vivo* methods, we found that the PD-linked gene Lrrk2 controls astrocyte morphology via regulating the phosphorylation of ERM proteins (Ezrin, Radixin, and Moesin), a structural component of the perisynaptic astrocyte processes. ERM phosphorylation is robustly elevated both in mice and humans carrying the LRRK2 G2019S Parkinsonism mutation. Importantly, the reduction of the ERM phosphorylation, specifically in the LRRK2 G2019S in adult astrocytes, is sufficient to restore excitatory synapse number and function deficits in the LRRK2 G2019S knock-in mouse cortex. These results show a role for Lrrk2 in controlling astrocyte morphogenesis and synaptogenic function and reveal that early astrocyte dysfunction in PD could be causal to disruptions in cortical excitatory synaptic connectivity. The astrocytic dysfunction can be corrected by dampening ERM phosphorylation, pinpointing astrocytes as critical cellular targets for PD therapeutics.

Main Text

Astrocytes instruct the formation and function of synaptic circuits[3,12,15]. Most synapses in the central nervous system are in direct contact with perisynaptic astrocyte processes[16-19]. This structural association is essential for the regulation of synaptic function; therefore, the perisynaptic astrocyte process and the pre- and postsynaptic neuronal compartments were coined the term "the tripartite synapse"[18,20]. At the tripartite synapse, astrocytes clear excess neurotransmitters, maintain ion homeostasis, and secrete factors regulating synapse development and function[21-25]. To accomplish these crucial roles at the synapse, astrocytes gain a highly elaborate morphology and form a network coupled through gap junctions to continuously tile the entire brain parenchyma[2,19,26]. Previous studies found that astrocyte morphogenesis, tiling, and neuronal synaptogenesis are interdependent processes controlled by bidirectional signaling via secreted factors and cell adhesion molecules[27-32].

Astrocytes become reactive in numerous neurological disorders, including Parkinson's Disease (PD)[33,34]. PD is a progressive neurodegenerative motor disorder affecting over 8 million people worldwide[35-37]. PD is commonly diagnosed at the onset of motor symptoms, including tremors, bradykinesia, and rigidity. By the time these motor symptoms are apparent, the PD patients have already lost many of their dopaminergic neurons in their substantia nigra pars compacta (SNPc)[38,39]. However, decades before the onset of motor dysfunction, individuals with PD often display non-motor symptoms, such as cognitive, mood, and sleep disorders[40-43]. These clinical observations suggest that during the early phases of PD, synaptic circuit dysfunction exists across multiple brain regions, including the cerebral cortex[44,45]. Recent studies suggested that astrocytes

61   contribute to neurodegeneration in PD by producing neurotoxic factors, increasing

62   glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, disrupting K^+ buffering and Ca^{2+} homeostasis[10,46,47].

63   However, it remains unknown if astrocyte dysfunction is an initiating factor or a reaction

64   to neuronal pathology in PD.

Astrocytic LRRK2 controls astrocyte morphology through ERM phosphorylation.

Even though most PD cases are idiopathic, ~15% are caused by mutations in

Parkinsonism genes[48]. The PD-linked genes identified to date point to dysfunction in

fundamental cellular processes such as lysosome and mitochondria function, vesicular

trafficking, and secretion[49-51]. However, how the dysfunction of these genes results in

impaired synaptic circuits and dopaminergic neuron death is unknown. Moreover, many

cell types in the brain and body express these PD-linked genes[52-54]. Thus, the cellular

origins of PD may not be restricted to neurons.

Mutations in the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) gene cause familial PD[55,56].

In particular, the LRRK2 G2019S is the most common mutation that causes familial

PD[43,49,57]. It is largely accepted that LRRK2 G2019S is a gain-of-function mutation that

increases LRRK2's kinase activity[58-63]. PD patients with the LRRK2 G2019S mutation

exhibit symptoms and disease progression that resembles idiopathic PD, such as late-

onset disease, responsiveness to L-DOPA treatment, and the presence of Lewy body

and Tau-tangle pathology[64,65]. PD patients with the LRRK2 G2019S mutation also have

non-motor symptoms, such as depression, hallucinations, and sleep and cognitive

disorders[43,57,66]. Several rodent models with LRRK2 G2019S mutation have been

generated; however, these models do not show motor dysfunction or dopaminergic

neuron loss[67-69]. However, non-motor symptoms such as cognitive dysfunction is

common in PD, indicating impairments in numerous brain regions, including the cerebellar

cortex[70,71]. Previous human and rodent studies showed that LRRK2 is highly expressed

in the cortex and LRRK2 G2019S alters cortical and cortico-striatal circuits and causes

cognitive problems such as impaired goal-directed actions, reflecting critical non-motor

symptoms of PD[72-81].

Because astrocytes play essential roles in cortical circuit formation and function[5,82],

and cortical connectivity is altered in PD, we tested whether mouse and rat cortical

astrocytes express Lrrk2. Using a knockout (KO)-verified LRRK2 antibody[83,84], we

visualized the expression of Lrrk2 protein in cortical mouse astrocytes by immunostaining

coronal brain sections from wild-type (WT) or LRRK2 G2019S knock-in ([ki/ki]) mice[76,85].

These mice also contained the Aldh1l1-eGFP transgene[28], labeling all astrocytes with

GFP. Lrrk2 staining was evident in both WT and LRRK2 G2019S mouse astrocytes,

localizing throughout astrocytic processes (Extended Data Fig. 1a-b). Similarly, cortical

astrocytes from WT or LRRK2 G2019S BAC transgenic rats also express Lrrk2 *in vitro,*

which we verified by immunoblotting (Extended Data Fig. 1c-d; Extended Data Fig. 1e-f).

To investigate the function of LRRK2 in astrocyte morphogenesis, we first utilized

a primary rat cortical astrocyte and neuron co-culture system to examine how the loss of

Lrrk2 affects astrocyte morphology. To do so, we knocked down Lrrk2 with a short hairpin

RNA (shRNA) that targets both the rat and mouse *Lrrk2* (Extended Data Fig. 1g-h). In this

neuron-astrocyte co-culture assay, we isolated WT (unmanipulated) cortical neurons and

astrocytes from neonatal rats and cultured them separately for 9 days *in vitro* (DIV).

Astrocytes were then transfected with GFP-tagged shRNA against *Lrrk2* (sh*Lrrk2*) or a

scrambled control shRNA (shControl). Two days post-transfection, astrocytes were

plated onto DIV11 WT neurons (Fig. 1a). As previously shown[28,86], astrocytes transfected

with shControl acquired a complex morphology in response to 48 hours of neuronal

contact (Fig. 1b). On the contrary, Lrrk2 knockdown stunted astrocyte morphological

complexity (Fig. 1b). To avoid possible off-target effects from shRNA, we also isolated

cortical astrocytes from LRRK2 KO rats[87-90]. We examined their morphological complexity

in response to contact with WT neurons. Like sh*Lrrk2* astrocytes, LRRK2 KO astrocytes

had significantly diminished arborization compared to WT rat astrocytes, indicating that

Lrrk2 is required for neuron-induced astrocyte morphogenesis *in vitro* (Fig. 1b).

To study how LRRK2 G2019S affects astrocyte morphological complexity, we

isolated cortical astrocytes from WT or LRRK2 G2019S BAC-transgenic rats[87-89] and

examined their morphological complexity in response to contact with WT neurons *in vitro*.

We found that LRRK2 G2019S rat astrocytes showed a reduction in astrocyte complexity

compared to WT astrocytes (Fig. 1c-d). These results suggest that both loss and gain of

LRRK2 kinase function impair astrocyte morphogenesis *in vitro*.

To determine if neuronal LRRK2 G2019S mutation could impact astrocyte

morphogenesis in a cell non-autonomous manner, we cocultured WT or LRRK2 G2019S

astrocytes with LRRK2 G2019S neurons. When cultured on top of LRRK2 G2019S

neurons, the WT astrocytes also displayed reduced arborization, indicating that LRRK2

G2019S mutation bi-directionally impairs astrocyte-neuron signaling required for

astrocyte morphogenesis (Fig. 1c-d). These results show that LRRK2 G2019S impairs

astrocyte morphology in both a cell-autonomous and non-cell autonomous manner,

illustrating important roles for astrocytic and neuronal Lrrk2 function in establishing proper astrocyte-neuron contacts.

Lrrk2 is a large multidomain protein containing ROC (Ras of complex), COR (C-terminal of ROC), catalytic kinase, and 4 protein-protein interactions domains (armadillo, ankyrin, leucine-rich repeats, WD40 domains)[64,91,92]. The PD-linked mutation, LRRK2 G2019S, is in the kinase domain and is known to increase LRRK2 kinase activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*[58-60,62]. Previous studies identified numerous intracellular proteins (Rab8A, Rab10, endophilin A1, auxillin, ribosomal protein s15, N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein, synaptojanin, and P62/SQSTM1) as LRRK2 kinase substrates[62,93-99]. LRRK2 plays critical roles in ciliogenesis, lysosome function, immune system, and synaptic vesicle trafficking by phosphorylation of its substrates[100-115].

ERM proteins (Ezrin, Radixin, and Moesin) are structural components of perisynaptic astrocyte processes (PAPs)[16,17]. LRRK2 phosphorylates a conserved threonine residue present in all ERM proteins[60,116,117]. When phosphorylated, ERM proteins change conformation and link the F-actin cytoskeleton to the cell membrane[118,119]. This switch is thought to play an important role in organizing cell morphology by allowing process outgrowth[119,120]. Among the ERM proteins, ezrin is specifically and highly enriched in astrocytes (Extended Data Fig. 1i-j) and is known to preferentially localize at the perisynaptic astrocyte processes[16]. Genetic ablation or down regulation of ezrin has been shown to reduce astrocyte morphological complexity *in vivo*[121-123]. Given these potential functional links between LRRK2 and ERM proteins, we wondered whether Lrrk2 controls astrocyte morphology via ERM phosphorylation (phospho-ERM).

To determine if LRRK2 function in astrocytes is required for ERM phosphorylation,

we analyzed the levels of phospho-ERM in WT rat astrocytes treated with two different

doses of MLi-2, a highly specific LRRK2 kinase inhibitor and compared phospho-ERM

levels to the vehicle (DMSO) treated astrocyte cultures using immunoblotting. The well-

established LRRK2 phosphorylation substrate Rab10 served as a control for this

assay[61,100,103]. As expected, MLi-2 treatment inhibited Rab10 phosphorylation (Fig. 1e).

We found that, compared to astrocytes treated with DMSO, both 30 and 100 nM MLi-2

treatment decreased phospho-ERM levels in WT rat astrocytes by ~75%, indicating that

LRRK2 kinase function is required for ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes (Fig. 1f). Next,

we compared the levels of ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes isolated from WT or LRRK2

G2019S rats and found an increase (increased by ~100%) in ERM phosphorylation in

LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes (Fig. 1g-h). These data reveal an important role for LRRK2 in

mediating ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes *in vitro*. These findings also suggest that

LRRK2 controls astrocyte morphology by regulating ERM phosphorylation levels.

ERM phosphorylation state underlies Lrrk2-mediated astrocyte morphological

complexity.

Because both loss of Lrrk2 function (shRNA and LRRK2 KO) and gain of Lrrk2

kinase function (LRRK2 G2019S) perturbed astrocyte morphogenesis, we hypothesized

that a balance between phosphorylated and unphosphorylated ERM protein levels may

be crucial for regulating astrocyte morphological complexity. To test this hypothesis, we

manipulated the balance between phosphorylated and unphosphorylated ERM in

sh*Lrrk2*-transfected or LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes using two EZRIN mutants with

phosphorylation-mimicking or -deficient properties. Switching the amino acid threonine (T)

at location 567 with aspartate (D) results in a conformation of EZRIN that mimics a

constitutively phosphorylated state[124,125]. On the other hand, mutating the same residue

T567 to alanine (A) results in a version of the protein that cannot be phosphorylated:

phospho-dead EZRIN[119,120]. Phospho-dead EZRIN binds to the plasma membrane like

WT EZRIN but is poorly associated with the actin cytoskeleton[119,120,126,127].

We first tested if overexpressing phospho-mimetic EZRIN in sh*Lrrk2*-transfected

astrocytes would rescue their morphology. Astrocytes transfected with shControl, and WT

EZRIN or phospho-mimetic EZRIN served as controls. In these experiments, only

astrocytes were manipulated and plated onto WT rat neurons. WT or phospho-mimetic

EZRIN transfection did not change the morphological complexity of shControl astrocytes

(Fig. 1i). However, overexpression of phospho-mimetic EZRIN, but not WT EZRIN, fully

rescued the complexity of sh*Lrrk2* astrocytes (Fig. 1j).

We next tested if increasing unphosphorylated EZRIN would rescue the

morphogenesis of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes. To do so, we isolated cortical astrocytes

from WT or LRRK2 G2019S rats, and over-expressed WT or phospho-dead EZRIN, and

plated transfected astrocytes on WT cortical neurons. We found that overexpression of

WT EZRIN did not change the morphology of WT or LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes. However,

overexpression of the phospho-dead EZRIN reduced the morphological complexity of WT

astrocytes (Fig. 1k). Conversely, overexpression of the phospho-dead EZRIN fully

rescued the morphological complexity of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes *in vitro* (Fig. 1l).

These results show that LRRK2 mediates astrocyte morphological complexity by

regulating ERM phosphorylation and strongly suggest that the balance between

phosphorylated and unphosphorylated ERM proteins is needed for neuronal contact-

induced astrocyte morphogenesis.

To determine if LRRK2 G2019S mutation impacts ERM phosphorylation in

astrocytes *in vivo*, we examined the levels of phospho-ERM in coronal brain sections from

21-day-old (P21) and 12-week-old WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice in which astrocytes

were labeled via the Aldh1L1-eGFP transgene. When compared to WTs, we observed an

increase in phospho-ERM staining in both P21 and 12-week-old LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice.

This increase was not uniform but rather localized exclusively to some of the astrocytes

within the layers L1 and L2/3 of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and the primary motor

cortex (MOp) (Fig. 2a-c; Extended Data Fig. 2a-b). In P21 LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, the

phospho-ERM integrated densities in the ACC Layer 1 and Layer 2/3 and the MOp Layer

1 and Layer 2/3 were increased by ~412% and ~158% compared to the WTs, respectively

(Fig. 2d). In 12-week-old (P84) LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, the phospho-ERM integrated

densities in the ACC Layer 1 and Layer 2/3 and in the MOp Layer 1 and Layer 2/3 were

increased by ~700% and ~398% compared to the WTs, respectively (Extended Data Fig.

2c). However, the phospho-ERM integrated density in neighboring brain regions such as

the primary somatosensory cortex (SSp) and dorsal medial striatum (DMS) was not

different between LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] or WTs (Extended Data Fig. 2h). These findings

show that LRRK2 G2019S mutation induces aberrant ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes.

Intriguingly, this increase in ERM phosphorylation is not uniform but rather localized to

ACC and MOp, which are cortical regions that are impacted in PD patients[128-130].

To determine the *in vivo* functions of astrocytic Lrrk2, we examined astrocyte

morphological complexity in Layer 1 and Layer 2/3 of ACC and MOp of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

mice. To do so, we used postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE) combined

with the PiggyBac transposon system to introduce a membrane-targeted fluorescent label

(mCherry-CAAX) into a sparse population of cortical astrocytes in WT and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice at P0 (Extended Data Fig. 2k). At P21, following the period of astrocyte

growth and extensive elaboration, the territory volumes for LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes

were significantly smaller (reduced by ~34%) than WT astrocytes (Fig. 2f). In addition,

compared to WT astrocytes, the branching complexity of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes was

reduced (Fig. 2g). The reduction in astrocyte size and complexity in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

astrocytes did not result in an increase in the numbers of astrocytes in these brain regions.

(Extended Data Fig. 3a-e). The number of Aldh1L1-EGFP/Sox9 double-positive

astrocytes in these brain regions was similar in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

(Extended Data Fig. 3c). However, there were patches within ACC and MOp parenchyma

in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice in which the EGFP-positive astrocyte processes had

noticeably reduced. These changes in astrocytes were not due to a typical reactive gliosis

phenotype because we did not detect any increase in the levels of GFAP, which

differentially labels reactive astrocytes in the cortical grey matter (Extended Data Fig. 3f).

Taken together, these results reveal that LRRK2 G2019S mutation reduces astrocyte

morphological complexity without affecting astrocyte numbers or reactivity *in vivo*.

To determine if LRRK2 G2019S-mediated astrocyte morphological deficits are due

to the phospho-ERM upregulation in astrocytes, we tested whether phospho-dead EZRIN

can rescue the morphological complexity of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes *in vivo*. To do so,

we performed PALE to introduce mCherry-CAAX and phospho-dead EZRIN into

astrocytes of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. Like our *in vitro* results, the overexpression

of phospho-dead EZRIN in WT astrocytes is sufficient to decrease the territory volume

and morphological complexity (Fig. 2e-g). However, the overexpression of phospho-dead

EZRIN restored LRRK2 G2019S astrocyte territory volume and complexity (Fig. 2f-g).

These results indicate that balancing phosphorylated and unphosphorylated ERM levels

is crucial for regulating astrocyte morphology, and overexpression of phospho-dead

EZRIN can rescue morphological deficits of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes *in vivo*.

To further test this hypothesis, we studied if knocking down LRRK2 in WT

astrocytes affects astrocyte morphological complexity *in vivo*. We introduced shControl

or sh*Lrrk2* into a sparse population of cortical astrocytes in WT mice by using PALE. We

found that, like LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes, sh*Lrrk2* astrocytes had significantly smaller

territory volumes (reduced by ~36%) and reduced morphological complexity when

compared to shControl astrocytes (Fig. 2h-j). To determine whether phospho-mimetic

EZRIN can restore astrocyte morphology in LRRK2 knockdown astrocytes *in vivo*, we

performed PALE to introduce either sh*Lrrk2* or shControl together with phospho-mimetic

EZRIN overexpression vector into astrocytes of the ACC and MOp. We found that over-

expression of phospho-mimetic EZRIN in shControl astrocytes did not change astrocyte

territory volume (Fig. 2h-i). However, expression of phospho-mimetic EZRIN in sh*Lrrk2*-

containing astrocytes restored astrocyte territory volume and morphological complexity

up to the WT levels (Fig. 2j). Taken together, these findings revealed that the balance

between phosphorylated and unphosphorylated ERM is crucial for LRRK2-mediated

astrocyte morphological complexity *in vivo*. Disrupting the balance of ERM

phosphorylation status in astrocytes compromises astrocyte morphological complexity,

and modulation of this balance can be used to restore astrocyte morphology in the LRRK2

G2019S genotype.

ERM phosphorylation is upregulated in the frontal cortices of PD patients carrying

LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

To determine if phospho-ERM abundance is altered in PD patients carrying LRRK2

G2019S mutation, we stained frontal cortices from 3 patients who carry this mutation and

compared them to age and sex-matched donors (Supplemental Table 1). We observed

that, compared to sex and age-matched human control subjects, the overall levels of

phosphorylated ERM were robustly increased by 7-fold in the frontal cortex of PD patients

carrying the LRRK2 G2019S mutation (Fig. 2m). The elevated signal of phospho-ERM is

often surrounded by GFAP positive astrocytes and astrocyte processes. The astrocytic

organization, determined by GFAP staining, appeared to be disrupted in PD patients

carrying the LRRK2 G2019S mutation (Fig. 2l). We observed increased GFAP levels in

grey matter astrocytes (increased by ~42%) of LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers,

suggesting the presence of reactive gliosis in PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S

mutation (Fig. 2n). These data indicate that ERM phosphorylation is dysregulated in PD

patients carrying the LRRK2 G2019S mutation. Together, our data show that phospho-

ERM levels are elevated in the cortices of PD patients with LRRK2 G2019S mutation. The

increase in phospho-ERM is concurrent with reactive gliosis and astrocyte morphology

changes. Distinct from the P21 and 3-month-old LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice where phospho-

ERM was restricted to astrocytes, in the human patient brains (~80 years old), we also

saw phospho-ERM accumulation outside the GFAP-labelled astrocytes. This observation

suggests a role for aberrant ERM phosphorylation in multiple cell types in later stages of

PD caused by the LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

LRRK2 G2019S mutation disrupts excitatory and inhibitory synapse numbers and
function in the cortex.

Astrocyte morphological complexity is a crucial indicator of proper astrocyte-synapse

interactions that control synapse numbers[2,27,131-133]. LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice revealed no

dopaminergic neuron loss or motor dysfunction[68,69]; however, synapse dysfunction at

cortico-striatal circuits was observed in adult mice. For example, LRRK2 G2019S alters

presynaptic glutamatergic transmission and disrupts glutamate receptor trafficking at

striatal postsynaptic terminals[72,76,85,134-136]. However, whether LRRK2 G2019S affects

cortical connectivity is unknown. Given the critical role of LRRK2 in synapses and PD-

related cortical circuits[137-141], we next investigated the role of LRRK2 G2019S mutation in

synaptic connectivity in the ACC and MOp (Fig. 3a). To quantify the density of structural

cortical synapses, we used the Vesicular Glutamate Transporter 1 (VGlut1) and the

postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD95) or the Vesicular GABA Transporter (VGAT), and

gephyrin (GEPHYRIN), which mark the respective pre- and post-synaptic compartments

of intracortical excitatory and inhibitory synapses[142-146] (Fig. 3b). Structural synapses

were identified as the apposition and co-localization of pre and postsynaptic markers,

which are in two distinct neuronal compartments (i.e., axons and dendrites) and would

only appear to co-localize at synapses due to their close proximity[147].

Synapse densities were quantified in the Layers 1 and 2/3 of the ACC and MOp of

12-week-old LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] and WT mice. There was a significant decrease (~30%)

in the VGluT1/PSD95-positive excitatory synapse densities in the ACC of the LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice compared to WT controls, whereas the VGAT/GEPHYRIN-positive

inhibitory synapse numbers in the ACC were not different between genotypes (Fig. 3c-d;

Extended Data Fig. 4a-b). On the other hand, we found no changes in the densities of

VGluT1/PSD95-positive excitatory synapses in the Layers 1 and 2/3 of the MOp in LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice compared to WT controls (Extended Data Fig. 4c-d). However,

compared to the 12-week-old WT controls, the density of VGAT/ GEPHYRIN-positive

inhibitory synapses in Layer 1 and in Layer 2/3 of MOp of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice were

increased by ~45% and ~35%, respectively (Fig. 3e-f). These data indicate that LRRK2

G2019S mutation differentially impacts the excitatory and inhibitory synapse densities in

the ACC and the MOp.

We next tested the effects of altered synapse density in the ACC and the MOp of

LRRK2 G2019S mice on synaptic function using electrophysiology. To do so, we

recorded miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) in the ACC and miniature

inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in the MOp layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons from

acute brain slices of 12-week-old LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] and WT mice. In agreement with a

reduction in excitatory synapse density in the ACC, LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] neurons displayed

reduced mEPSC frequency by ~65% and a corresponding right shift in the cumulative

distributions of mEPSC inter-event intervals (Fig. 3g-h) when compared to WT neurons.

There were no significant changes in the mean mEPSC amplitude or the cumulative

probability between genotypes (Fig. 3i). mEPSC frequency changes correlate with

changes in synapse numbers or the probability of presynaptic glutamate release[148],

whereas the mEPSC amplitude is an indicator of the synaptic strength reflecting the

number of AMPARs at the synapse[149]. Therefore, together with our anatomical data,

these physiological analyses reveal that LRRK2 G2019S reduced the number of

intracortical excitatory connections made onto the Layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in the

ACC without a major change in the synaptic strength.

In the MOp motor cortex, on the other hand, we found a robust increase (~73%) in

mIPSC frequency when we recorded from the Layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons of the LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice compared with WT, with no significant change in the amplitude (Fig. 3j-

l). The increased mIPSC frequency aligns with our findings that inhibitory synapse

numbers are elevated in the MOp of the LRRK2 G2019S mice. This increase could be a

result of enhanced inhibitory synaptogenesis or a local increase in the number of

inhibitory interneurons in this brain region.

Altogether, these electrophysiological and neuroanatomical analyses show that

LRRK2 G2019S reduces the density and the function of excitatory synaptic inputs made

onto the ACC Layer 2/3 but increases the density and the function of inhibitory synaptic

inputs made onto the MOp Layer 2/3. These data are in accordance with our finding that

LRRK2 G2019S decreases excitatory synapse numbers in the ACC but increases

inhibitory synapse numbers in the MOp. Furthermore, these results suggest that LRRK2

kinase function is critical to control proper excitatory and inhibitory synapse development

and function in the cortex.

Phospho-dead EZRIN rescues excitatory synapse density in the ACC of adult
LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice.

Because in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, all cell types, including astrocytes and

neurons, express the mutant LRRK2, the cellular origin of the synaptic phenotypes is

unclear. Moreover, if these synaptic phenotypes can be rescued by manipulating

astrocytes in the adult brain is unknown. Given the robust effects of phospho-dead EZRIN

on rescuing LRRK2 G2019S astrocyte morphology, we sought to test whether

manipulating the balance of ERM phosphorylation in adult LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes

can reverse the synaptic phenotypes we observed in the ACC or MOp of the LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice.

To do so, 9-week-old WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice were retro-orbitally injected

with AAVs to express Hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged WT EZRIN or phospho-dead EZRIN

under the control of the astrocyte-specific GfaABC1D promoter (Extended Data Fig. 5a).

The injected mouse brains were harvested at 12 weeks (3 weeks after injection) for

synapse quantification, and electrophysiology (Extended Data Fig. 5a). The widespread

viral expression of EZRIN proteins in ACC astrocytes was verified by staining with anti-

HA tag antibody (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Overexpression of WT EZRIN in WT mouse

brains did not cause a noticeable increase in phospho-ERM levels in the cortex. However,

WT EZRIN overexpression was accompanied by intense phospho-ERM elevation in the

LRRK2 G2019S$^{(ki/ki)}$ cortices. Whereas overexpression of the phospho-dead EZRIN

eliminated the phospho-ERM elevation in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ cortex (Extended Data

Fig. 5b). This observation indicates that overexpression of phospho-dead EZRIN

suppresses the elevation of ERM phosphorylation in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ cortices.

Overexpression of WT EZRIN was not sufficient to rescue the excitatory synapse

density decline in layer 1 and layer 2/3 ACC of the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. However,

overexpression of phospho-dead EZRIN reduced excitatory synapse density in the WT

background by around 30% (Fig. 4a-b). Strikingly, astrocytic overexpression of phospho-

dead EZRIN in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ fully rescued the excitatory synapse numbers up

to WT levels in ACC layer 1 and layer 2/3 (Fig. 4a-b). These data show that modulating

the phosphorylation status of astrocytic ERMs through overexpression of the phospho-

dead EZRIN in astrocytes is sufficient to generate synapse number deficits in WT mice,

whereas in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, the same manipulation rescues synapse deficits.

These data strongly suggest that the reduction we observed in synapse numbers in the

ACC of the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice is largely due to an astrocytic dysfunction caused by

the imbalance of ERM phosphorylation. These results also indicate that astrocytes are

crucial contributors to excitatory synaptic dysfunction in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse

cortex.

We next investigated whether the same manipulation of adult astrocytes can

rescue the inhibitory synapse upregulation in the MOp. We found that neither the WT nor

the phospho-dead EZRIN overexpression in adult astrocytes reduced the density of

inhibitory synapses back to WT levels in the MOp layer 1 and layer 2/3 of the LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice (Extended Data Fig. 5c-d). These data suggest that overexpression of

phospho-dead EZRIN in adult WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes is sufficient to modify

the excitatory synapse numbers in the ACC but cannot restore or impair inhibitory

synaptic connectivity changes seen in the MOp. It is plausible that LRRK2 G2019S

impairs inhibitory synapses in the MOp by altering the number or connectivity of cortical

interneurons through a developmental mechanism. Thus, manipulation in the adult is not

sufficient to eliminate this phenotype. Future studies on the role of Lrrk2 in cortical

development are likely to be beneficial in determining its role in controlling inhibition and

how this control is disrupted in PD.

Because astrocytic overexpression of phospho-dead EZRIN impacted excitatory

synapse numbers in the WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. Next, we performed whole cell

patch clamp recordings from the ACC layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons of WT and LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice transduced with the same AAVs (Extended Data Fig. 5a.

Overexpression of WT EZRIN in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes did not rescue the

decreased frequency of mEPSCs in the ACC layer 2/3 neurons. However, astrocytic

overexpression of the phospho-dead EZRIN significantly reduced the frequency of

mEPSCs (reduced by ~54%) in the WT ACC neurons but revamped the frequency of

mEPSCs in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] ACC neurons (Fig. 4d). Similarly, the cumulative

distribution analysis of mEPSCs showed that inter-event interval durations were

significantly impacted by astrocytic phospho-dead EZRIN expression in both genotypes

in opposite directions (Fig. 4d). In contrast, no significant differences were observed in

the mean mEPSC amplitudes across all 4 conditions using posthoc comparisons (Fig.

4e).

Together, our results reveal that astrocytic overexpression of phospho-dead

EZRIN in adult LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice can recover the excitatory synapse density and

function, whereas the same manipulation disrupts synapse numbers and function in WT

ACC neurons. These findings show that the balance of ERM phosphorylation status in

astrocytes is crucial for maintaining excitatory synapse density and function in the ACC.

This work also reveals a causal link between the astrocytic and synaptic dysfunction

induced by the LRRK2 G2019S mutation, providing a non-cell autonomous mechanism

for rescuing aberrant cortical wiring in PD pathogenesis.

In summary, here we describe a previously unknown role for Lrrk2, a PD-linked

gene, in controlling astrocyte morphology and astrocyte-mediated synaptogenic function.

Lrrk2 is needed for establishing astrocyte morphological complexity through the

phosphorylation of ERMs. LRRK2 G2019S mutation, which increases ERM

phosphorylation in astrocytes, also impaired astrocyte morphogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

These findings indicate that ERM phosphorylation balance is critical for the acquisition of

complex astrocyte morphology. EZRIN and other ERM proteins are known to interact with

cytoskeletal proteins such as F-actin[119] and control the dynamics of F-actin

polymerization in a phosphorylation-dependent manner[120]. Future studies investigating

how phosphorylated and unphosphorylated states of EZRIN control astrocyte

morphogenesis through modulation of the cytoskeleton will be helpful in deciphering

mechanisms of astrocyte morphogenesis.

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice do not recapitulate cardinal motor symptoms of PD

because there is no detectable dopaminergic neuron loss in these mice[67-69]. LRRK2

mutation carriers show non-motor symptoms in comparison to non-carriers, such as

hyposmia and decreased cognitive performance[150 41,151,152]. These non-motor symptoms

arise long before motor dysfunction in PD, indicating that the aberrant synaptic

connectivity within multiple brain circuits is present long before the death of the

dopaminergic neurons[40-43]. We reasoned that LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice may display early

circuit dysfunction akin to the non-motor symptoms of prodromal PD. In agreement with

this possibility, previous studies showed that LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice have deficits in

attention and goal-directed learning and differential response to stress[74-76,153]. Our

findings showing synaptic deficits in the ACC of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice fit well with these

studies because the ACC is a brain region that controls attention, goal-directed actions,

and mood[154-159].

We observed synaptic deficits in the ACC of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice concurrently

with enhanced ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes. Remarkably, overexpression of the

phospho-dead EZRIN in the astrocytes of 9-week-old mice can restore the excitatory

synapse numbers and function in the ACC layer 2/3 neurons from 12-week-old mice. This

result causally links astrocyte dysfunction to the cortical synaptic dysfunction in the

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. Moreover, our findings indicate that restoring astrocyte function,

even after development is completed, can be used to treat excitatory synaptic pathology.

Future work aimed at understanding the molecular underpinnings of how LRRK2

mutations alter synaptogenic functions of astrocytes may lead to effective strategies to

treat PD.

How does ERM phosphorylation control both the structure and the synaptogenic

functions of astrocytes? Astrocytes control synapse formation and function both through

cell adhesion and secreted proteins that signal to neurons[31,160]. The canonical function of

ERM proteins is linking the actin cytoskeleton with the plasma membrane, thus allowing

cellular process outgrowth and morphogenesis[161-163]. Less is known about the roles of

ERM in the secretion process. However, it is plausible that ERM phosphorylation

regulates synaptogenic protein secretion from astrocytes independent of its roles in

astrocyte morphology. Another alternative explanation is a role for astrocytic phospho-

ERM proteins in controlling synapse elimination by astrocytes. In agreement with this

possibility, a recent study found that synapses are more likely to be eliminated if phospho-

ERM proteins were localized to peri-synaptic astrocyte processes in ALS patient brains

and mouse models[164]. Future studies investigating LRRK2 and ERM interactomes in

astrocytes will help uncover the molecular pathways involved in LRRK2-ERM signaling

at the tripartite synapse.

In summary, our findings reveal a critical function for LRRK2 in astrocyte

development and how LRRK2 G2019S mutation derails important roles of astrocytes in

maintaining synaptic connectivity in the developing and mature cortex. Furthermore, here

we pinpoint the regulation of astrocytic ERM phosphorylation as a molecular mechanism

that is impaired when LRRK2 G2019S mutation is present in astrocytes. These findings

suggest that LRRK2-mediated ERM phosphorylation is an early mediator of PD

pathogenesis in astrocytes and that astrocytes can be directly responsible for synaptic

dysfunction seen in the early stages of PD.

METHODS

Animals:

All mice and rats were used in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and the Duke Division of Laboratory Animal Resources (DLAR) oversight (IACUC Protocol Numbers A147-17-06 and A117-20-05). All mice and rats were housed under typical day/night conditions of 12-hour cycles. LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice (RRID: IMSR_TAC:13940) were obtained through Taconic. Aldh1l1-EGFP (RRID: MMRRC_011015-UCD) mice were obtained through MMRRC. Wild-type CD1 mice used for PALE were purchased through Charles River Laboratories (RRID: IMSR_CRL:022). LRRK2 G2019S-BAC Transgenic rats (RRID: IMSR_TAC:10681) were obtained through Taconic. LRRK2 knock-out rats (RRID: RGD_7241056) were obtained through SAGE Labs, Inc. in St. Louis, MO.

Mice and rats were used for experiments as specified in the text and figure legends. For all experiments, age, and sex-matched mice were randomly assigned to experimental groups based on genotypes. For MLi-2 treatment of astrocytes, only female rat astrocyte cultures were used for Western blot and analysis because male rat astrocyte cultures have low phospho-ERM levels *in vitro*. For other experiments, mice of both sexes were included in the analysis, and we did not observe any influence or association of sex on the experimental outcomes.

Primary cortical neuron isolation and culture:

Purified (glia-free) rat cortical neurons were prepared as described previously[28]. Briefly, cortices from P1 rat pups of both sexes (Sprague Dawley, Charles River Laboratories, SD-001) were micro-dissected, digested in papain (~7.5 units/ml) at 33°C for 45 minutes,

triturated in low and high ovomucoid solutions, resuspended in panning buffer (DPBS

(GIBCO 14287) supplemented with BSA and insulin) and passed through a 20 µm mesh

filter (Elko Filtering 03-20/14). Filtered cells were incubated on negative panning dishes

coated with Bandeiraea Simplicifolia Lectin 1 (x2), followed by goat anti-mouse IgG+IgM

(H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 115-005-044), and goat anti-rat IgG+IgM (H+L)

(Jackson ImmunoResearch 112-005-044) antibodies, then incubated on positive panning

dishes coated with mouse anti-L1 (ASCS4, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank,

Univ. Iowa) to bind cortical neurons. Adherent cells were collected by forceful pipetting

with a P1000 pipette. Isolated neurons were pelleted (11 minutes at 200 g) and

resuspended in serum-free neuron growth media (NGM; Neurobasal, B27 supplement,

2 mM L-Glutamine, 100 U/ml Pen/Strep, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 µg/ml Forskolin,

50 ng/mL BDNF, and 10 ng/mL CNTF). 70,000 neurons were plated onto 12 mm glass

coverslips coated with 10 µg/ml poly-D-lysine (PDL, Sigma P6407) and

2 µg/ml laminin and incubated at 37°C in 10% CO_2. On day *in-vitro* (DIV) 2, half of the

media was replaced with NGM Plus (Neurobasal Plus, B27 Plus, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep,

1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 µg/ml Forskolin, 50 ng/ml, BDNF, and 10 ng/ml CNTF) and

AraC (10 µM) was added to stop the growth of proliferating contaminating cells. On DIV

3, all the media was replaced with NGM Plus. In experiments involving lentivirus infection,

100 µl of supernatant containing lentivirus plus polybrene (1 µg/ml) was added to the

AraC NGM mixture on DIV 2 and completely washed out on DIV 3 and replaced with NGM

Plus containing 100 ng/ml BDNF. Neurons were fed on DIV 6 and DIV 9 by replacing half

of the media with NGM Plus.

Primary cortical astrocyte isolation and culture:

Rat cortical astrocytes were prepared as described previously[28]. P1 rat cortices from both

sexes were micro-dissected, papain digested, triturated in low and high ovomucoid

solutions, and resuspended in astrocyte growth media (AGM: DMEM (GIBCO 11960), 10%

FBS, 10 µM, hydrocortisone, 100 U/ml Pen/Strep, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 5 µg/ml Insulin,

1 mM Na Pyruvate, 5 µg/ml N-Acetyl-L-cysteine). Between 15-20 million cells were plated

on 75 mm^2 flasks (non-ventilated cap) coated with poly-D-lysine and incubated at 37°C

in 10% CO_2. On DIV 3, the removal of non-astrocyte cells was performed by forcefully

shaking closed flasks by hand for 10-15 s until only an adherent monolayer of astrocytes

remained. AraC was added to the media from DIV 5 to DIV 7 to eliminate contaminating

fibroblasts. On DIV 7, astrocytes were trypsinized (0.05% Trypsin-EDTA) and plated into

12-well or 6-well dishes. On DIV 8, cultured rat astrocytes were transfected

with shRNA and/or expression plasmids using Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent

(Thermo Scientific) per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 1 µg (12-well) or 2 µg (6-well)

total DNA was diluted in Opti-MEM containing Plus Reagent, mixed with Opti-MEM

containing LTX (1:2 DNA to LTX), and incubated for 30 minutes. The transfection solution

was added to astrocyte cultures and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. On DIV 10, astrocytes

were trypsinized, resuspended in NGM plus, plated (20,000 cells per well) onto DIV 10

neurons, and co-cultured for 48 hours.

shRNA plasmids:

pLKO.1   Puro   plasmids   containing   shRNA   (pLKO.1-shRNA)   against

mouse/rat Lrrk2 (shLrrk2: TRCN0000322193; GGCCGAGTTGTGGATCATATT), was

obtained from the RNAi Consortium (TRC) via Dharmacon. A scrambled shRNA

sequence was generated (GTTGCTGAATGGCGGATCTAT) and cloned into the pLKO.1

TRC cloning vector[165] according to Addgene protocols

(https://www.addgene.org/protocols/plko/). To generate pLKO.1 shRNA plasmids that

express EGFP (pLKO.1-shRNA-EGFP), CAG-EGFP was removed from pLenLox-shNL1-

CAG-EGFP[166] and inserted between Kpn1 and SpeI sites in pLKO.1 Puro, replacing

the puromycin resistance gene. pLKO.1 shRNA mCherry plasmids were generated by

replacing EGFP with mCherry between KpnI and NheI sites.

PiggyBac plasmids:

pPB-CAG-EGFP and pGLAST-PBase were a gift from Dr. Joseph Loturco[167]. To generate

pPB-CAG-mCherry-CAAX, mCherry-CAAX was inserted between XmaI and NotI

restrictions sites to replace EGFP. To insert the hU6 promoter and shRNA in pPB-CAG-

mCherry-CAAX, a DNA fragment containing hU6 and shRNA was amplified from pLKO.1-

shRNA using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) with primers that introduced

SpeI restriction sites (Forward Primer: GGACTAGTCAGGCCCGAAGGAATAGAAG;

Reverse Primer: GGACTAGTGCCAAAGTGGATCTCTGCTG). PCR products were

purified, digested with SpeI, and ligated into pPBCAG-mCherry-CAAX at the SpeI

restriction site. An analytical digest with EcoRI followed by sequencing was used to

confirm the orientation of the inserted DNA fragment.

Ezrin plasmids:

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Lck-GCaMP6f was a gift from Dr. Baljit Khakh (Addgene plasmid

#52924). pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-BioID2-HA was generated by PCR of BioID2 from pAAV-

hSyn-BioID2-Linker-Synapsin1a-HA[168] (primers Fw: 5'-

ctagcctcgagaattcaccatgttcaaaaatcttatttg-3', Rv: 5'-ccgggtcgactctagatgcgtaatccggtacatcg-

3') and insertion into the EcoRI and XbaI restriction sites of pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Lck-

GCaMP6f using In-Fusion cloning (TaKaRa). pHJ421(pEGFP-Ezrin WT) and pHJ423

(pEGFP-Ezrin T567D) were a gift from Stephen Shaw (Addgene plasmid # 20680 and #

20681). pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-BioID2-HA and pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin

T567D-BioID2-HA were generated by PCR of Ezrin from pHJ421 or pHJ423 (primers Fw:

5'-ctagcctcgagaattcaccatgccgaaaccaatca-3', Rv: 5'-

tgaacatggtgaattccgacagggcctcgaactcg-3') and insertion into the EcoRI restriction sites of

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-BioID2, respectively. To generate pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin

T567A-BioID2-HA plasmid, Q5® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) was used with

mutagenesis primers (Fwd: CAAGTACAAGGCGCTGCGGCAGA; Rev:

TCCCGGCCTTGCCTCATG)

Lentivirus production and transduction:

Lentiviruses containing shRNA targeting vectors were produced to test the knockdown

efficiency of shRNA constructs in cultured primary astrocytes or to bulk transduce

neurons with shRNA and GFP. To produce lentivirus, HEK293T cells were transfected

with a pLKO.1 shRNA Puro targeting plasmid (for astrocyte transduction), an envelope

plasmid (VSVG), and a packaging plasmid (dR8.91) using X-tremeGENE (Roche). One

day after transfection, the media was replaced with AGM (for astrocyte transduction), and

media containing lentivirus was collected on days 2 and 3 post-transfection. To assess

the knockdown efficiency of shRNAs in astrocytes, rat primary astrocytes at DIV 7 were

plated in 6-well dishes in 2 ml of AGM. On DIV 8, 1 ml of AGM was removed, and 500 µl

of fresh AGM was added along with 500 µl of lentivirus-containing media and 1 µg/ml

polybrene. Cultured astrocytes were treated with puromycin (1 µg/ml) from DIV 10-15 to

select for transduced cells. Cultured astrocytes were lysed at DIV 15 for protein extraction

and Western blot analysis.

MLi-2 treatment of astrocytes:

Cortical astrocytes were isolated from P0-P1 rat female pups as described in the "Cortical

astrocyte isolation and culture" section. Cultured astrocytes were treated with 0, 30, and

100 nM LRRK2 kinase inhibitor, MLi-2 (Tocris; Catalog number: 5756), for 72 hours.

Cultured astrocytes were lysed at DIV 11 for protein extraction and Western blot analysis.

Protein extraction and Western blotting:

Protein was extracted from cultured rat astrocytes using membrane solubilization buffer

(25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% NP-40, and protease

inhibitors). Cultured astrocytes were washed twice with ice-cold TBS containing 1 mM

$CaCl_2$ and 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and incubated on ice in membrane solubilization buffer for

20 minutes with occasional agitation. Cell lysates were collected, vortexed briefly, and

centrifuged at 4°C at high speed for 10 minutes to pellet non-solubilized material. The

supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C.

Pierce BSA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher) was used to determine protein

concentration, and lysates were mixed with 4x Pierce™ Reducing Sample Buffer (Thermo

Scientific) and incubated at 45°C for 45 minutes to denature proteins. 7-10 µg (cultured

astrocyte lysates) of protein was loaded into Bolt™ 4–12% Bus-Tris Plus gels (Thermo

Scientific) and run at 150 V for 1 hour. Proteins were transferred at 100 V to PVDF

membrane (Millipore) for 1.5 hours, blocked in 5% BSA in TBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM

KCl, 24.7 mM Tris-Base, 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20) for 1 hour and incubated in primary

antibodies overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were: anti-LRRK2 (Rabbit, 1:500;

ab133474, abcam), GAPDH (mouse, 1:5000; ab8245, abcam), β-actin (mouse, 1:5000;

A5441, Millipore Sigma), phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:500; #3141, Cell Signaling), ERM

(Rabbit, 1:500; #3142, Cell Signaling), phospho-Rab10 (Rabbit, 1:500; ab230261,

abcam), Rab10 (Rabbit, 1:500; ab237703, abcam). The next day, membranes were

washed with TBST, incubated in HRP secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

for 2 hours, washed in TBST, and imaged on a Biorad Gel Doc imaging system. Protein

levels were quantified using FIJI.

Immunocytochemistry:

Astrocyte-neuron co-cultures on glass coverslips were fixed on DIV 12 with warm 4%

PFA for 7 minutes, washed 3 times with PBS, blocked in a blocking buffer containing 50%

normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.4% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes, and washed in PBS.

Samples were then incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies diluted in blocking

buffer containing 10% NGS, washed with PBS, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated

secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) for 2 hours at room temperature, and washed

again in PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto glass slides (VWR Scientific) with

Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI (Vector Labs), sealed with nail polish, and

imaged on an AxioImager M1 (Zeiss) fluorescence microscope. Images of healthy

astrocytes with strong expression of fluorescent markers that did not overlap with other

fluorescent astrocytes were acquired at 40x magnification in red, green, and/or DAPI

channels using a CCD camera. Astrocyte morphological complexity was analyzed in FIJI

using the Sholl analysis plugin[169], as described previously[28] (https://github.com/Eroglu-

Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). Statistical analyses were conducted using a custom code in R

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). A mixed-effect model with multiple

comparisons made using the Tukey post-test was used for Sholl analysis to account for

the variability per experiment as a random effect to evaluate differences between the

conditions. The exact number of independent experiments and the exact number of cells

analyzed are indicated in the figure legend for each experiment. To ensure the health of

astrocyte-neuron co-cultures, the peak for the number of astrocyte intersections must be

greater than or equal to 25 in the control condition. We imaged non-overlapping

astrocytes that contained a single nucleus (DAPI stain) and expressed consistent

fluorescent markers and EZRIN constructs according to the experimental conditions.

Postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE):

Late P0/early P1 mice were sedated by hypothermia until anesthetized, and 1 µl

of plasmid DNA mixed with Fast Green Dye was injected into the lateral ventricle of one

hemisphere using a pulled glass pipette (Drummond). For shRNA knockdown

experiments in wild-type CD1 mice, the 1 µl of DNA contained 1 µg of pGLAST-PBase

and 1 µg of pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX was injected. To label astrocytes in WT

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, the 1 µl of DNA contained 1 µg of pGLAST-PBase and 1

µg of pPB-mCherry-CAAX was injected per mouse.

For PALE-mediated overexpression of phospho-mimetic EZRIN in shRNA knockdown

experiments, 0.5 µg pGLAST-PBase, 0.5 µg pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX, and 1 µg

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA were injected in a total volume of 1 µl. For

phospho-dead EZRIN overexpression in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, 0.5 µg

pGLAST-PBase, 0.5 µg of pZac2.1-gfaABC1D-mCherry-CAAX and 1 µg of pZac2.1-

GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA were injected in a total volume of 1 µl. Following DNA

injection, electrodes were oriented with the positive terminal above the frontal cortex and

the negative terminal below the chin, and 5 discrete 50 ms pulses of 100 V spaced

950 ms apart were applied. Pups were recovered on a heating pad, returned to their home

cage, and monitored until collection at P21. The number of mice used for each experiment

is indicated in the figure legends. All animals appeared healthy at the time of collection.

Brain sections were examined for the presence of electroporated cells before staining.

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) production and administration:

Purified AAVs were produced as described previously[170]. Briefly, HEK293T cells were

transfected with pAd-DELTA F6, serotype plasmid AAV PHP.eB, and AAV plasmid

(pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin-BioID2-HA or pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA).

Three days after transfection, cells were collected in 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5,

and lysed with repeat freeze-thaw cycles followed by treatment with Benzonase (Novagen

70664) at 37°C for 30 minutes. Lysed cells were pelleted by centrifugation, and the

supernatant, containing AAVs, was applied to an Optiprep density gradient (Sigma D1556,

15%, 25%, 40%, and 60%) and centrifuged at 67,000 rpm using a Beckman Ti-70 rotor

for 1 hour. The AAV-enriched fraction was isolated from between 40% and

60% iodixanol solution and concentrated by repeated washes with sterile PBS in an

Amicon Ultra-15 filtration unit (NMWL: 100 kDa, Millipore UFC910008) to a final volume

of ~100 µl and aliquoted for storage at −80°C. 9-week-old WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

placed in a stereotaxic frame were anesthetized through inhalation of 1.5% isofluorane

gas. 10 µl of purified AAVs having a titer of ~1 x 10^{12} GC/ml was introduced into the

mouse brain intravenously by injection into the retro-orbital sinus. After 3 weeks at 12-

week-old, mice were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg Tribromoethanol (Avertin) and

transcardially perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room

temperature (RT). Harvested brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA, cryoprotected

in 30% sucrose, and the brain blocks were prepared with O.C.T. (TissueTek) to store at

−80°C. 30 μm thick brain sections were obtained through cryosectioning using a Leica

CM3050S (Leica, Germany) vibratome and stored in a mixture of TBS and glycerol at

−20°C for further free-float antibody staining procedures.

Immunohistochemistry on mouse brain sections:

Mice used for immunohistochemistry were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg Avertin and

perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% PFA. Brains were collected and post-fixed in 4% PFA

overnight, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, frozen in a solution containing 2 parts 30%

sucrose and 1-part O.C.T. (TissueTek), and stored at −80°C. Floating coronal tissue

sections of 30 μm, 40 μm or 100 μm thickness were collected and stored in a 1:1 mixture

of TBS/glycerol at −20°C. For immunostaining, sections were washed in 1x TBS

containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (TBST), blocked in 10% NGS diluted in TBST, and

incubated in primary antibody for 2-3 nights at 4°C with gentle shaking. Primary antibodies

used were anti-LRRK2 (Rabbit, 1:500; ab133474, Abcam), phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:500;

#3141, Cell Signaling), Sox9 (Rabbit, 1:500; AB5535, Millipore), GFAP (Rabbit, 1:500;

Z0334, Agilent DAKO), VGluT1 (Guinea pig, 1:2000; 135304, Synaptic Systems), PSD95

(Rabbit, 1:300; 51-6900, Invitrogen), VGAT (Guinea pig, 1:1000; 131004, Synaptic

Systems), and GEHPYRIN (Rabbit, 1:1000; #14304S, Cell Signaling). Following the

primary incubation, sections were washed in TBST, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated

secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 (Life Technologies) for 2-3 hours at room temperature,

washed with TBST, and mounted onto glass slides using a homemade mounting media

(90% Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl gallate) and sealed with nail polish. For

DAPI staining, DAPI (1:50,000) was added to the secondary antibody solution for the final

10 minutes of incubation. Images were acquired with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope.

Mouse astrocyte territory volume analysis:

To assess the territory volume of individual astrocytes in the mouse cortex, 100 µm-thick

floating sections containing anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and primary motor cortex

(MOp) astrocytes labeled sparsely via PALE with mCherry-CAAX were collected. High-

magnification images containing an entire astrocyte (50-60 µm z-stack) were acquired

with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope with the 60x objective. Criteria for data inclusion

required that the entirety of the astrocyte could be captured within a single brain section

and that the astrocyte was in layer 2/3 of the ACC or MOp. Astrocytes in which the entire

astrocyte could not be captured within the section or was in other layers or outside of the

ACC or MOp were not imaged. Imaged astrocytes were analyzed using Imaris Bitplane

software as described previously[28]. Briefly, surface reconstructions were generated, and

the Imaris Xtensions "Visualize Surface Spots" and "Convex Hull" were used to create an

additional surface render representing the territory of the astrocyte. The volume of each

territory was recorded, and astrocyte territory sizes from biological replicates were

analyzed across experimental conditions using a nested two-way ANOVA followed by

Bonferroni posthoc test. For 3D Sholl analysis of individual PALE astrocytes, we first

loaded images onto Imaris and then created a surface. After generating the surface of

astrocytes, we created filaments using 'Add new filament (leaf icon)'. For the

quantification of complexity, we clicked on the gear tool on Imaris to display Sholl

intersections. The number of animals and cells/animals analyzed are specified in the

figure legend for each experiment.

Mouse synapse imaging and analysis:

Staining, image acquisition, and analysis of synaptic density were performed as described

previously[28,171] with slight adjustments. Synaptic staining was performed in coronal

sections (30 μm thick) containing the ACC and MOp from WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

mice. To label pre and postsynaptic proteins, the following antibody combinations were

used: VGluT1 and PSD95 (excitatory, intracortical), VGAT, and GEPHYRIN (inhibitory).

High magnification 60x objective plus 1.64x optical zoom z-stack images containing 15

optical sections spaced 0.34 μm apart were obtained using an Olympus FV 3000 inverted

confocal microscope. Each z-stack was converted into 5 maximum projection images

(MPI), each corresponding to a 1 μm section of z plane, by using FIJI. Synapses were

identified by the colocalization of pre and postsynaptic puncta. The number of co-localized

synaptic puncta of excitatory intracortical (VGluT1/PSD95) and inhibitory

(VGAT/GEPHYRIN) synapses were obtained using the FIJI plugin Puncta Analyzer[147].

15 MPIs were analyzed for each mouse (5 MPI/tissue section, 3 tissue sections/mouse).

Between 4 and 5, age and sex-matched mice/genotype/condition were analyzed for each

synaptic staining combination, as indicated in the figure legends. All animals appeared

healthy at the time of collection. No data were excluded.

Cell counting, imaging, and analysis:

Tile scan images containing the anterior cingulated cortex (ACC) and primary motor

cortex (MOp) from P21 WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]; Aldh1l1-eGFP mice were acquired

on a confocal Leica SP8 STED microscope using the galvo scanner and 20x objective.

For ALDH1L1-EGFP and SOX9 double positive cell counting in the ACC and MOp, the

cells labeled with ALDH1L1-EGFP and SOX9 were counted by hand using the cell

counter tool in FIJI. 2-4 sections per brain from 3 sex and age-matched mice/genotype

were analyzed.

Human brain section staining:

Floating human frontal cortex sections of 40 µm thickness were obtained from Banner

Sun Health Research Institute in Sun City, Arizona (4 control and 3 LRRK2 G2019S

mutation carrier subjects). None of the control subjects had a history of dementia or

neurological or psychiatric disorders at the time of death (See Supplemental Table 1).

Informed and written consent was obtained from the donors. For immunostaining,

sections were washed in 1x TBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (TBST), blocked in 3%

NGS diluted in TBST, and incubated in primary antibody 2-3 nights at 4°C with shaking.

Primary antibodies used were GFAP (chicken, 1:250; AB5541, Millipore Sigma) and

phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:250; #3726, Cell Signaling). Following primary incubation,

sections were washed in TBST, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated secondary

antibodies diluted 1:200 (Life Technologies) for 2-3 hours at room temperature, washed

with TBST, and mounted onto glass slides using a homemade mounting media (90%

Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl gallate) and sealed with nail polish.

Mouse brain slice electrophysiology:

For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, 3-4 mice of each genotype and condition were

used for miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) and miniature inhibitory

postsynaptic current (mIPSC) measurements. WT and *LRRK2* G2019S[ki/ki] mice of both

sexes were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg tribromoethanol (avertin) and decapitated. After

decapitation, the brains were immersed in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF, in

mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, 10 glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25

$NaHPO_4$, 0.4 L-ascorbic acid, and 2 Na-pyruvate, pH 7.3-7.4 (310 mOsmol). 350 μm thick

coronal slices containing the ACC were obtained using a vibrating tissue slicer (Leica

VT1200; Leica Biosystems). Slices were immediately transferred to standard aCSF (33°C,

continuously bubbled with 95% O_2 – 5% CO_2) containing the same as the low-calcium

aCSF but with 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and 1-2 mM $CaCl_2$. After 30-minute incubation at 33°C, slices

were transferred to a holding chamber with the same extracellular buffer at room

temperature (RT: ~25°C). Brain slices were visualized by an upright microscope (BX61WI,

Olympus) through a 40x water-immersion objective equipped with infrared-differential

interference contrast optics in combination with a digital camera (ODA-IR2000WCTRL).

Patch-clamp recordings were performed by using an EPC 10 patch-clamp amplifier,

controlled by Patchmaster Software (HEKA). Data were acquired at a sampling rate of 50

kHz and low-pass filtered at 6 kHz.

To measure mEPSCs, the internal solution contained the following (in mM): 125 K-

gluconate, 10 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 4.5 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, and 10 Na-

phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.2 – 7.4 with KOH and osmolality set to ~ 300 mOsmol.

mEPSCs were measured in the aCSF bath solution containing 1 μM tetrodotoxin and 50

μM Picrotoxin at -70 mV in voltage-clamp mode. To measure mIPSCs, the internal

solution contained the following (in mM): 77 K-gluconate, 77 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA,

4.5 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, and 10 Na-phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.2 – 7.4 with KOH

and osmolality set to ~ 300 mOsmol. mIPSCs were measured in the aCSF bath solution

containing 1 μM tetrodotoxin and 10 μM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3- dione (CNQX),

and 50 μM D-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (D-AP5) at -70 mV in voltage-clamp

mode. mEPSCs and mIPSCs recorded at -70 mV were detected using Minhee Analysis

software (https://github.com/parkgilbong/Minhee_Analysis_Pack). To analyze the

frequency, events were counted over 5 minutes of recording. To obtain the average

events for each cell, at least 100 non-overlapping events were detected and averaged.

The peak amplitude of the average mEPSCs was measured relative to the baseline

current.

Quantification and statistical analysis:

All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 9. Exact value of n, what n

represents, and specific statistical tests for each experiment are indicated in the figure

legend for each experiment. All data are represented as mean ± standard error of the

mean, and data points are shown where applicable. Exact *P*-values are listed in the figure

for each experiment. Where indicated, the unpaired two-tailed t-tests were run using

Welch`s correction, and a correction for multiple comparisons was applied using Hom-

Sidak method with an alpha threshold of 0.05 for adjusted *P*-value. A Geisser-

Greenhouse correction was used for both One-way and Two-way ANOVA analyses. For

Sholl analysis, statistical analyses were conducted using a custom code in R

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). A mixed-effect model with multiple

comparisons made using the Tukey post-test was used for Sholl analysis to account for

the variability per experiment as a random effect to evaluate differences between the

conditions. Sample sizes were determined based on previous experience for each

experiment to yield high power to detect specific effects. No statistical methods were used

to predetermine the sample size. All experimental animals that appeared healthy at the

time of tissue collection were processed for data collection.

Acknowledgments:

We thank Drs. Nicole Calakos, Katja Brose, Andrew Singleton, Dolores Irala, Francesco

P. Ulloa Severino, Juan Ramirez, Justin T. Savage, Gabrielle Séjourné, and other Eroglu

lab members for critical feedback about the manuscript. We thank Adam Okinaga and

Donna Porter for excellent technical help. This work was supported by a Foerster

Bernstein Women In STEM Postdoctoral Fellowship to SW, and a Chan Zuckerberg

Initiative, Neurodegeneration Challenge Network Collaborative grant (2018-191999 and

DAF2021-237435) to CE and ARLS, and by the N.I.H. grants R35-NS122140 to A.R.L.S.

and R01-NS102237 to CE, and by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research

(MJFF) Astrocyte Biology in PD pilot grant (MJFF 17914 to CE and ARLS), and by the

joint efforts of MJFF and the Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) initiative. MJFF

administers the grant [ASAP-020607 to CE and SHS] on behalf of ASAP and itself

Michael J Fox Foundation. Dr. Cagla Eroglu is an HHMI Investigator. We are grateful to

the Banner Sun Health Research Institute Brain and Body Donation Program of Sun City,

Arizona for the provision of human biological materials. The Brain and Body Donation

Program has been supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and

Stroke (U24 NS072026 National Brain and Tissue Resource for Parkinson's Disease and

Related Disorders), the National Institute on Aging (P30AG19610 and P30AG072980,

Arizona Alzheimer's Disease Center), the Arizona Biomedical Research Commission

contracts 4001, 0011, 05-901 and 1001 to the Arizona Parkinson's Disease Consortium)

and the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research.

IP rights notice

Author contributions

Conceptualization, SW, ARLS, and CE; Methodology, SW, DSB, CXT, TT, MPR, KD, SHS, and CE; Investigation, SW, DSB, CXT, KD; Formal analysis, SW, CXT, and MPR; Resources, KS; Writing – original draft, SW and CE; Writing – Review & Editing, SW, SHS, CXT, TT, MPR, KD, KS, ARLS, DSB, and CE; Funding Acquisition, SW, SHS, ARLS, and CE.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author (Dr. Cagla Eroglu) upon request after the publication of this manuscript. The data include experiment records, raw data, and analysis. The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings will be made fully available without restriction upon publication.

Code availability

The code used to analyze Sholl data is available on the link https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/Sholl_Macro.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Astrocytic LRRK2 controls astrocyte morphology by balancing ERM

phosphorylation levels *in vitro*. a, Schematic of astrocyte-neuron co-culture assay. **b,**

(Upper) Rat cortical astrocytes transfected with scrambled shRNA (shControl-GFP) or

shRNA targeting LRRK2 (sh*Lrrk2*-GFP) and LRRK2 knockout (KO) rat cortical astrocytes

co-cultured with wild-type cortical neurons. Scale bar, 10 µm. (Lower) Quantification of

astrocyte branching complexity. n = 56 (shControl-GFP), 54 (sh*Lrrk2*-GFP), 52 (LRRK2

KO) astrocytes co-cultured with wild-type (WT) cortical neurons compiled from three

independent experiments. We fitted a linear mixed model with the number of intersections

as an outcome variable, condition as a predictor, and the number of cells and cell radius

were entered as random effects. Within this model, sh*Lrrk2* (beta = 5.91, t(171) = 12.59)

and LRRK2 KO (beta = 5.43, t(171) = 11.28) led to a significant decrease of number of

intersections compared to shControl (beta = 12.37, t(171) = 25.72). Tukey multiple

comparisons test revealed a significant difference between shControl-GFP and LRRK2

KO (*p* < 0.001) and between shControl-GFP and sh*Lrrk2*-GFP (*p* < 0.001). Tukey multiple

comparisons test revealed no difference between sh*Lrrk2*-GFP and LRRK2 KO (*p* =

0.7579). **c,** WT or LRRK2 G2019S rat cortical astrocytes transfected with GFP and co-

cultured with WT cortical neurons or LRRK2 G2019S cortical neurons. Scale bar, 10 µm.

d, Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity. n = 61 (WT), 61 (LRRK2 G2019S)

astrocytes co-cultured with WT cortical neurons compiled from three independent

experiments. n = 41 (WT), 40 (LRRK2 G2019S) astrocytes co-cultured with LRRK2

G2019S cortical neurons compiled from two independent experiments. We fitted a linear

mixed model with number of intersections as outcome variable, condition as predictor and

number of cells and cell radius were entered as random effects. Within this model, LRRK2

G2019S (beta = 7.55, t(113) = 18.95) led to a significant decrease of number of

intersections compared to WT astrocytes (beta = 10.86, t(113) = 28.47). WT (beta = 7.12,

t(152) = 15.44) and LRRK2 G2019S (beta = 6.49, t(152) = 13.72) astrocytes co-cultured

on LRRK2 G2019S neurons led to a significant decrease of number of intersections

compared to WT astrocytes co-cultured with WT neurons (beta = 14.42, t(152) = 30.09).

Tukey multiple comparisons test revealed no difference between WT and LRRK2 G2019S

astrocytes co-cultured on LRRK2 G2019S neurons (p = 0.7696). Tukey multiple

comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT and LRRK2 G2019S

astrocytes co-cultured on WT neurons (p = 1.99E-09). **e,** WT astrocytes treated with

DMSO, 30 nM MLi-2, or 100 nM MLi-2 were lysed and subjected to Western blotting.

Western blotting was performed using phospho-ERM, ERM, phospho-Rab10, and Rab10

antibodies. Results are representative of 4 independent experiments. **f,** Densitometric

analysis of phospho-ERM levels in **Fig. 1e**. Signals corresponding to phospho-ERM were

normalized to that for ERM. Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA

[$F_{(2, 9)}$ = 354.8, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni multiple comparison revealed a significant

difference between 0 nM and 30 nM MLi-2 treatments (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [0.6566,

0.8600]) and between 0 nM and 100 nM MLi-2 treatments (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [0.7341,

0.9375]) and no differences between 30 nM and 100 nM MLi-2 treatments (p = 0.1568,

95% C.I. = [-0.02422, 0.1792]), alpha = 0.05. **g,** WT or LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes were

lysed and subjected to Western blotting, using phospho-ERM and ERM antibodies.

Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. **h,** Densitometric analysis of

phospho-ERM levels in **Fig. 1g**. Signals corresponding to phospho-ERM were normalized

to that for ERM. Statistical significance was determined by Unpaired Two-tailed t-test [t

(5.944) = 5.536], *p* = 0.0015. **i,** (Upper) Representative rat cortical astrocytes transfected

shControl-GFP + WT EZRIN, shControl-GFP + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN, and co-cultured

with WT cortical neurons. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Lower) Quantification of astrocyte branching

complexity. n = 47 (shControl-GFP + WT EZRIN O/E), 46 (shControl-GFP + Phospho-

mimetic EZRIN O/E) astrocytes co-cultured with WT cortical neurons compiled from three

independent experiments. We fitted a linear mixed model with number of intersections as

outcome variable, condition as predictor and number of cells and cell radius were entered

as random effects. Within this model, neither WT EZRIN (beta = 14.76, t(107) = 19.17) or

Phospho-mimetic EZRIN (beta = 13.22, t(107) = 19.07) lead to significant changes of

number of intersections of shControl-GFP astrocytes (beta = 12.75, t(107) = 19.82).

Tukey multiple comparisons test revealed no difference between shControl-GFP and

shControl-GFP + WT EZRIN O/E (*p* = 0.1110), shControl-GFP and shControl-GFP +

Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (*p* = 0.8727), and shControl-GFP + WT EZRIN O/E and

shControl-GFP + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (*p* = 0.2969). **j,** (Upper) Representative

rat cortical astrocytes transfected sh*Lrrk2*-GFP + WT EZRIN, sh*Lrrk2*-GFP + Phospho-

mimetic EZRIN, and co-cultured with WT cortical neurons. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Lower)

Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity. n = 55 (sh*Lrrk2*-GFP + WT EZRIN O/E),

47 (sh*Lrrk2*-GFP + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E) astrocytes co-cultured with WT cortical

neurons compiled from three independent experiments. We fitted a linear mixed model

with number of intersections as outcome variable, condition as predictor and number of

cells and cell radius were entered as random effects. Within this model, WT EZRIN (beta

= 4.99, t(162) = 10.32) did not increase the number of intersections of sh*Lrrk2*-GFP

astrocytes but Phospho-mimetic EZRIN (beta = 15.27, t(162) = 27.93) increased number

of intersections of shLRRK2-GFP astrocytes (beta = 4.80, t(162) = 10.63). Tukey multiple

comparisons test revealed no difference between sh*Lrrk2*-GFP and sh*Lrrk2*-GFP + WT

EZRIN O/E (*p* = 0.9926). Tukey multiple comparisons test revealed significant difference

between sh*Lrrk2*-GFP and sh*Lrrk2*-GFP + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (*p* < 0.0001). **k-**

l, (Upper) Representative WT **(k)** or LRRK2 G2019S **(l)** rat astrocytes transfected GFP +

WT EZRIN, or GFP + Phospho-dead EZRIN, and co-cultured with WT cortical neurons,

respectively. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Lower) Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity.

n = 57 (WT + WT EZRIN O/E), 66 (WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E), 57 (LRRK2 G2019S

+ WT EZRIN O/E), 48 (LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E) astrocytes co-

cultured with WT cortical neurons compiled from three independent experiments. We

fitted a linear mixed model with number of intersections as outcome variable, condition

as predictor and number of cells and cell radius were entered as random effects. Within

this model, WT EZRIN (beta = 13.49, t(137) = 25.15) did not change the number of

intersections of WT astrocytes, but Phospho-dead EZRIN (beta = 5.37, t(137) = 10.02)

decreased number of intersections of WT astrocytes (beta = 10.86, t(137) = 24.82). WT

EZRIN (beta = 7.52, t(209) = 15.91) did not change the number of intersections of LRRK2

G2019S astrocytes but Phospho-dead EZRIN (beta = 10.88, t(209) = 22.54) increased

number of intersections of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes (beta = 7.55, t(209) = 16.77). Tukey

multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between LRRK2 G2019S and

LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (*p* = 2.03E-06), and LRRK2 G2019S +

WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (*p* = 4.83E-06).

Tukey multiple comparisons test revealed no difference between LRRK2 G2019S and

LRRK2 G2019S + WT EZRIN O/E (p = 0.9999), and between WT and LRRK2 G2019S +

Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p = 0.9999). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Extended Data Figure 1 (related to Figure 1). Localization and expression of LRRK2

in astrocytes. a-b, LRRK2 (red) in the primary motor cortex (MOp) of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki];

Aldh1l1-eGFP mice at P21. Scale bar, 10 µm. **c,** Cultured WT and LRRK2 KO rat cortical

astrocytes were lysed, and cytoplasmic proteins were subjected to Western blotting, using

LRRK2 and β-actin antibodies. Results are representative of 4 independent experiments.

d, Densitometric analysis of LRRK2 levels in **Extended Data Fig. 1c**. Signals

corresponding to LRRK2 were normalized to that for β-actin. Relative LRRK2 levels were

then normalized to the LRRK2 signals in WT rat cortical astrocytes. Statistical significance

was determined by an Unpaired Two-tailed t-test [t (6) = 13.79], $p < 0.0001$. **e,** Cultured

WT and LRRK2 G2019S rat cortical astrocytes were lysed, and cytoplasmic proteins were

subjected to Western blotting using LRRK2 and β-actin antibodies. Results are

representative of 3 independent experiments. **f,** Densitometric analysis of LRRK2 levels

in **Extended Data Fig. 1e**. Signals corresponding to LRRK2 were normalized to that for

β-actin. Relative LRRK2 levels were then normalized to the LRRK2 signals in WT rat

cortical astrocytes. Statistical significance was determined by an Unpaired Two-tailed t-

test [t (4) = 47.96], $p < 0.0001$. **g,** Cultured WT, and shControl and sh*Lrrk2* transfected

rat cortical astrocytes were lysed, and cytoplasmic proteins were subjected to Western

blotting using LRRK2 and GAPDH antibodies. Results are representative of 3

independent experiments. **h,** Densitometric analysis of LRRK2 levels in **Extended Data**

Fig. 1g. Signals corresponding to LRRK2 were first normalized to that for β-actin. Relative

LRRK2 levels were then normalized to the LRRK2 signals in WT rat cortical astrocytes.

Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA [F (2, 6) = 92.25, *p* < 0.0001],

Bonferroni multiple comparison revealed a significant difference between WT and sh*Lrrk2*

(*p* < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [0.6743, 1.183]) and between sh*Lrrk2* and shControl (*p* < 0.0001,

95% C.I. = [-1.145, -0.6363]) and no differences between WT and shControl (*p* > 0.9999,

95% C.I. = [-0.2165, 0.2923]), alpha = 0.05. **i-j,** Bar plots of Ezr, Rdx, and Msn gene

expression in various brain cell types in mice (yellow) or humans (red). These plots are

generated using publicly available data at https://www.brainrnaseq.org/[52,172].

Figure 2. Astrocytic LRRK2 controls astrocyte morphology by balancing ERM

phosphorylation levels *in vivo*. a-c, Representative confocal images of ERM

phosphorylation (purple) in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and primary motor cortex

(MOp) of WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] Aldh1l1-eGFP transgenic mice at P21. Scale bar, 200

μm (**a-b**). Scale bar, 10 μm (**c**). **d,** Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in

a-b, n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki], 2 males and 2 females)

mice, For phospho-ERM quantification in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-

test. t (16) = 15.16, *p* < 0.0001. For phospho-ERM quantification in the MOp, nested t-

test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (14) = 8.963, *p* < 0.0001. Grey dots are the data acquired

from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue

dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse. **e,** Images of

ACC and MOp layer 2/3 WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes at P21 expressing PB-

mCherry-CAAX ± Phospho-dead EZRIN. Astrocyte territory in cyan. Scale bar, 10 μm. **f,**

Average territory volumes of P21 astrocytes. n = 16 (WT; 6 mice), 18 (WT + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E; 6 mice), 16 (LRRK2 G2019S; 6 mice), 17 (LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E; 6 mice). Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA

[F (3, 20) = 7.987, p = 0.0011], Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant

difference between WT and LRRK2 G2019S (p = 0.0178, 95% C.I. = [0.4542, 6.313]),

between WT and WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0037, 95% C.I. = [1.132, 6.991]),

and between WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-mimetic

EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0165, 95% C.I. = [-6.346, -0.4875]), and no differences between WT

and LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-2.284,

3.574]), between LRRK2 G2019S and WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95%

C.I. = [-2.251, 3.608]), and between LRRK2 G2019S and LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-

mimetic EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0763, 95% C.I. = [-5.668, 0.1908]), alpha = 0.05. Data points

are mouse averages. **g,** Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity. n = 16 (WT; 6

mice), 18 (WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E; 6 mice), 16 (LRRK2 G2019S; 6 mice), 17

(LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E; 6 mice). Two-way ANOVA for repeated

measures. Main effects of conditions [F (2.505, 3305) = 158.4, p < 0.0001] and radius [F

(88, 1602) = 218.5, p < 0.0001] and interaction [F (264, 3958) = 10.62, p < 0.0001].

Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between WT and

LRRK2 G2019S (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [16.09, 26.40]), between WT and WT + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [29.06, 39.54]), between LRRK2 G2019S and

WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [7.6, 18.52]), between LRRK2

G2019S and LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-

22.19, -13.43]), and between WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S +

Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-37.02, -24.72]), and no difference

between WT and LRRK2 G2019S + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.5469, 95% C.I. =

[-1.932, 8.796]). **h,** Images of ACC and MOp layer 2/3 astrocytes at P21 expressing

shControl-mCherry-CAAX or sh*Lrrk2*-mCherry-CAAX ± Phospho-mimetic EZRIN.

Astrocyte territory in cyan. Scale bar, 10 μm. **i,** Average territory volumes of P21

astrocytes. n = 18 (shControl; 6 mice), 18 (shControl + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E; 5

mice), 22 (sh*Lrrk2*; 6 mice), 19 (sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E; 7 mice).

Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA [$F_{(3, 20)}$ = 5.674, p =

0.0056], Bonferroni multiple comparison revealed a significant difference between

shControl and sh*Lrrk2* (p = 0.0104, 95% C.I. = [0.5585, 5.322]), and between sh*Lrrk2* and

sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0114, 95% C.I. = [-5.096, -0.5065]), and

no differences between shControl and shControl + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p >

0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-1.426, 3.570]), between shControl and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic

EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-2.156, 2.433]), and between shControl + Phospho-

mimetic EZRIN O/E and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. =

[-3.349, 1.482]), alpha = 0.05. Data points are mouse averages. **j,** Quantification of

astrocyte branching complexity. n = 16 (shControl), 24 (shControl + Phospho-mimetic

EZRIN O/E), 23 (sh*Lrrk2*), 18 (sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E). Two-way ANOVA

for repeated measures. Main effects of conditions [$F_{(2.435, 7008)}$ = 225.0, p < 0.0001]

and radius [$F_{(88, 8633)}$ = 325.4, p < 0.0001] and interaction [$F_{(264, 8633)}$ = 5.908, p <

0.0001]. Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between

shControl and sh*Lrrk2* (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [31.02, 40.20]), between sh*Lrrk2* and

shControl + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-38.59, -29.67]),

between sh*Lrrk2* and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-

34.32, -25.69]), between shControl and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p =

0.0139, 95% C.I. = [0.7510, 10.47]), and between shControl + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN

O/E and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0188, 95% C.I. = [0.4426, 7.813]),

and no difference between shControl and shControl + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p >

0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-3.083, 6.044]). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. **k,** Schematic

representation of analyzed human brain regions for phospho-ERM staining, frontal cortex.

l, Representative confocal images of GFAP (green) and ERM phosphorylation (purple) in

the frontal cortex of human control subjects or human PD patients carrying LRRK2

G2019S mutation carriers at age >80 years old. Scale bar, 200 μm. n = 4 (Human control,

3 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1 female)

subjects. **m,** Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in **l,** n = 4 (Human control,

3 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1 female)

subjects, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 3.551, p = 0.0238. The grey dot

is the data acquired from each image. The black dot is the averaged data acquired from

each control subject. The blue dot is the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2

G2019S mutation carrier. **n,** Quantification of GFAP integrated density in **l,** n = 4 (Human

control, 3 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1

female) subjects, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (18) = 3.612, p = 0.0020. Grey

dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired

from each control subject. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2

G2019S mutation carrier.

Extended Data Figure 2 (related to Figure 2). Phospho-ERM is upregulated in

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes in ACC and MOp but not SSp and DMS. a-b,

Representative confocal images of ERM phosphorylation (purple) in the MOp and ACC

of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1l1-eGFP transgenic mice at P84. Scale bar, 200 μm. n

= 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. **c,**

Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in **a-b**, n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2

females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice, For phospho-ERM

quantification in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (14) = 11.84, *p* <

0.0001. For phospho-ERM quantification in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed

t-test. t (14) = 6.585, *p* < 0.0001. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black

dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged

data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse. **d-e,** Representative confocal images

of ERM phosphorylation (purple) in the MOp and ACC of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

Aldh1l1-eGFP transgenic mice at P84. Scale bar, 20 µm. **f-g,** Representative confocal

images of ERM phosphorylation (purple) in the SSp and DMS of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

Aldh1l1-eGFP transgenic mice at P21. Scale bar, 200 µm. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2

females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. **h,** Quantification of

phospho-ERM integrated density in **f-g** and **i-j**, n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4

(LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. For phospho-ERM integrated density

at P21, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (22) = 0.1556, *p* = 0.8777. For phospho-

ERM integrated density at 12-week-old, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (4) =

0.5028, *p* = 0.6415. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the

averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired

from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse. **i-j,** Representative confocal images of ERM

phosphorylation (purple) in the SSp and DMS of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1l1-eGFP

transgenic mice at P84. Scale bar, 200 µm. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. **k,** Overview of postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE) with PiggyBac plasmids.

Extended Data Figure 3 (related to Figure 2). LRRK2 G2019S does not change GFAP level and ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell numbers in the ACC and MOp. a-b, Representative confocal images of SOX9 (purple) in the ACC and MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1l1-eGFP transgenic mice at P21. Scale bar, 200 μm. **c,** Quantification of ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell numbers in **a-b**, n = 3 (WT, 2 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 1 female) mice, For ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell counting in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (9) = 1.533, p = 0.2470. For ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell counting in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (2) = 1.544, p = 0.2625. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse. **d-e,** Representative confocal images of GFAP (purple) in the ACC and MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1l1-eGFP transgenic mice at P21. Scale bar, 200 μm. **f,** Quantification of GFAP integrated density in **d-e**, n = 3 (WT, 2 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 1 female) mice, For GFAP quantification in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (10) = 1.524, p = 0.1586. For GFAP quantification in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (10) = 0.5028, p = 0.6260. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse.

Figure 3. LRRK2 G2019S affects excitatory and inhibitory synapse number and function in the ACC and MOp. a, Schematic representation of analyzed brain regions

(ACC and MOp) for excitatory and inhibitory synapse numbers. **b,** Schematic

representations of methodologies used to quantify the VGluT1-PSD95 and VGAT-

GEPHYRIN colocalized puncta. **c,** (Left) Representative images from the ventral ACC

layer 1 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95

antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Right) Quantification of VGluT1/PSD95 co-

localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in the ventral ACC layer 1. n

= 5 (WT, 3 males and 2 females), 5 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males and 2 females) mice,

nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (28) = 4.358, *p* = 0.0002. **d,** (Left)

Representative images from the ventral ACC layer 2/3 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(Right) Quantification of VGluT1/PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the means

of WT values in the ventral ACC layer 2/3. n = 5 (WT, 3 males and 2 females), 5 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males and 2 females) mice, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (28)

= 4.287, *p* = 0.0002. **e,** (Left) Representative images from MOp layer 1 of WT and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGAT and GEPHYRIN antibodies at 12-week-old.

Scale bar, 10 μm. (Right) Quantification of VGAT/GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta,

normalized using the means of WT values in MOp layer 1. n = 6 (WT, 3 males and 3

females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males and 3 females) mice, nested t-test, unpaired

Two-tailed t-test. t (34) = 7.164, *p* < 0.0001. **f,** (Left) Representative images from MOp

layer 2/3 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGAT and

GEPHYRIN antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Right) Quantification of

VGAT/GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in MOp

layer 2/3. n = 6 (WT, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males and 3

females) mice, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (34) = 5.25, p < 0.0001. **g,** Representative mEPSC traces from the ventral ACC layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in acute brain slices of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. **h,** Cumulative frequency plots and quantification of synaptic event frequency, n = 15 (WT), 13 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.504, p < 0.001). The average frequency of mEPSC in WT (2.521 ± 0.3846) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (0.8691 ± 0.1309) mice. Unpaired Two-tailed t-test [t (26) = 3.828, p = 0.0007]. **i,** Cumulative frequency plots and quantification of synaptic event amplitude, n = 15 (WT), 13 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.194, p < 0.0001). The average amplitude of mEPSC in WT (15.53 ± 0.8105) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (13.52 ± 0.5818) mice. Unpaired t-test [t (26) = 1.951, p = 0.0619]. **j,** Representative mIPSC traces from MOp layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in acute brain slices of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. **k,** Cumulative frequency plots and quantification of synaptic event frequency, n = 12 (WT), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.424, p < 0.0001). The average frequency of mIPSC in WT (0.7606 ± 0.06816) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (1.312 ± 0.1041) mice. Unpaired Two-tailed t-test [t (21) = 4.503, p = 0.0002]. **l,** Cumulative frequency plots and quantification of synaptic event amplitude, n = 12 (WT), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.382, p < 0.0001). The average amplitude of mIPSC in WT (8.776 ± 0.4961) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (9.921 ± 0.5043) mice. Unpaired t-test [t (21) = 1.617, p = 0.1209]. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Extended Data Figure 4 (related to Figure 3). LRRK2 G2019S does not change excitatory synapse numbers in the primary motor cortex. a, (Left) Representative

images from the ventral ACC layer 1 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained

with VGAT and GEPHYRIN antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Right)

Quantification of VGAT/GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of

WT values in the ventral ACC layer 1. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (22)

= 0.3365, *p* = 0.7397. Grey dots are the data acquired from each synapse image. Black

dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged

data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse. **b,** (Left) Representative images from

the ventral ACC layer 2/3 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGAT

and GEPHYRIN antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Right) Quantification of

VGAT/GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in the

ventral ACC layer 2/3. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males

and 2 females) mice, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (21) = 0.4692, *p* = 0.6438.

c, (Left) Representative images from the MOp layer 1 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(Right) Quantification of VGluT1/PSD95 co-localized puncta in **c**, normalized using the

means of WT values in the MOp layer 1. n = 5 (WT), 5 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) mice, 3 males

and 2 females, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (28) = 1.012, *p* = 0.3203. **d,**

(Left) Representative images from the MOp layer 2/3 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(Right) Quantification of VGluT1/PSD95 co-localized puncta in **c**, normalized using the

means of WT values in the MOp layer 2/3. n = 5 (WT), 5 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) mice, 3

males and 2 females, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 0.20465, *p* = 0.8479.

Figure 4. Overexpression of phospho-dead EZRIN in adult LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes restores excitatory synapse number and function in the ventral ACC. a, Representative images from the ventral ACC layer 1 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 µm. Quantification of VGluT1/PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN in the ventral ACC layer 1. n = 6 (WT + WT EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 5 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 2 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA [F (3, 19) = 5.882, p = 0.0051], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0493, 95% C.I. = [0.05586, 53.21]), between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0269, 95% C.I. = [2.396, 53.08]), and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.049, 95% C.I. = [-50.77, -0.08163]). There were no differences between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-23.03, 27.66]), between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-25.48, 27.68]), and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0863, 95% C.I. = [-50.90, 2.259]), alpha = 0.05. **b,** Representative images from the ventral ACC layer 2/3 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at

12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 µm. Quantification of VGluT1/PSD95 co-localized puncta,

normalized using the means of WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN in the

ventral ACC layer 2/3. n = 6 (WT + WT EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 5 (WT +

Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 2 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN

O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males

and 3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA [F (3, 18) = 10.45, p = 0.0003], Bonferroni's

multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT + WT EZRIN O/E

and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0041, 95% C.I. = [8.857, 55.39]), between WT

+ WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0016, 95% C.I. = [11.67,

56.22]), between WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0232, 95% C.I. = [-47.19.67, -2.636]) and between LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p =

0.0092, 95% C.I. = [-47.97.77, -5.494]). There were no differences between WT + WT

EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-

15.06, 29.49]), and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ +

WT EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-20.45, 24.10]), alpha = 0.05. **c,** Representative

mEPSC traces from the ventral ACC layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in acute brain slices of

WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN or AAV-HA-

tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN. **d,** Cumulative frequency plots and quantification of

synaptic event frequency. n = 14 (WT + WT EZRIN O/E), 15 (WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN

O/E), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E), 14 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead

EZRIN O/E) neurons from 4 mice per condition. Kruskal-Wallis test [H (3) = 36.83, p <

0.0001]. Average frequency of mEPSC in WT + WT EZRIN O/E (1.555 ± 0.1125), WT +

Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (0.8416 ± 0.05145), LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E

(0.7154 ± 0.05959), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (1.253 ±

0.04424) mice. The posthoc Dunn's test with Bonferroni adjustments showed to be

significant for WT + WT EZRIN O/E vs. WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E ($p < 0.0001$),

WT + WT EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E ($p < 0.0001$), WT +

Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E ($p =$

0.0084), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E ($p = 0.0005$). The posthoc Dunn's test with Bonferroni adjustments

revealed no significant difference between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

+ Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E ($p > 0.9999$) and between WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E ($p > 0.9999$). **e,** Cumulative frequency plots and

quantification of synaptic event amplitude. n = 11 (WT + WT EZRIN O/E), 15 (WT +

Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E), 13 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E) neurons from 4 mice per condition. Kruskal-

Wallis test [H (3) = 7.051, $p = 0.0703$]. Average amplitude of mEPSC in WT + WT EZRIN

O/E (4.873 ± 0.1034), WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (6.339 ± 0.4284), LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (5.354 ± 0.2988), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead

EZRIN O/E (5.591 ± 0.2247) mice. The posthoc Dunn's test with Bonferroni adjustments

revealed no significant difference for WT + WT EZRIN O/E vs. WT + Phospho-dead

EZRIN O/E ($p = 0.0688$), WT + WT EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E

($p > 0.9999$), WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead

EZRIN O/E ($p > 0.9999$), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

+ Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E ($p > 0.9999$), WT + WT EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

+ Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.3605), and WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E vs.

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (p = 0.9468). alpha = 0.05. Data are presented as

mean ± s.e.m.

Extended Data Figure 4 (related to Figure 5). Overexpression of AAV-HA-tagged-

WT EZRIN and AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN in WT and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes. a, Experiment workflow of AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN and

AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes. **b,**

Representative images from the ventral ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected

with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN that were

stained with HA and phospho-ERM antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 200 μm. n = 6

(WT + WT EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 5 (WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3

males and 2 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females),

6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females) mice. **c,**

Representative images from the MOp layer 1 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected

with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN that were

stained with VGAT and GEPHYRIN antibodies at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Quantification of VGAT/ GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of

WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN in MOp layer 1. n = 3 (WT + WT EZRIN

O/E, 2 males and 1 female), 5 (WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 2 females),

6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

+ Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA [$F_{(3, 55)}$

= 19.7, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant

difference between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (p <

0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-98.23, -32.48]), and between WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-82.37, -26.61]).

There were no differences between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and WT + Phospho-dead

EZRIN O/E (p = 0.6323, 95% C.I. = [-53.85, 13.40]), and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ +

WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95%

C.I. = [-36.33, 17.61]), alpha = 0.05. **d,** Representative images from the MOp layer 2/3 of

WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN or AAV-HA-

tagged-Phospho-dead EZRIN that were stained with VGAT1 and GEPHYRIN antibodies

at 12-week-old. Scale bar, 10 μm. Quantification of VGAT/GEPHYRIN co-localized

puncta, normalized using the means of WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT EZRIN

in MOp layer 2/3. n = 3 (WT + WT EZRIN O/E, 2 males and 1 female), 5 (WT + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and 2 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E, 3

males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E, 3 males and

3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA [$F_{(3, 54)}$ = 15.10, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni's multiple

comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT + WT EZRIN O/E and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0107, 95% C.I. = [-65.46, -5.94]), and between

WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p

< 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-78.07, -26.92]). There were no differences between WT + WT

EZRIN O/E and WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-37.73, 23.15]),

and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT EZRIN O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-

dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0610, 95% C.I. = [-48.84, 0.6819]), alpha = 0.05.

1300

REFERENCE

Khakh, B. S. & Sofroniew, M. V. Diversity of astrocyte functions and phenotypes in neural circuits. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 942-952, doi:10.1038/nn.4043 (2015).

Torres-Ceja, B. & Olsen, M. L. A closer look at astrocyte morphology: Development, heterogeneity, and plasticity at astrocyte leaflets. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **74**, 102550, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2022.102550 (2022).

Allen, N. J. & Lyons, D. A. Glia as architects of central nervous system formation and function. *Science* **362**, 181-185, doi:10.1126/science.aat0473 (2018).

Eroglu, C. & Barres, B. A. Regulation of synaptic connectivity by glia. *Nature* **468**, 223-231, doi:10.1038/nature09612 (2010).

Hirrlinger, J. & Nimmerjahn, A. A perspective on astrocyte regulation of neural circuit function and animal behavior. *Glia* **70**, 1554-1580, doi:10.1002/glia.24168 (2022).

Sofroniew, M. V. Astrocyte Reactivity: Subtypes, States, and Functions in CNS Innate Immunity. *Trends Immunol* **41**, 758-770, doi:10.1016/j.it.2020.07.004 (2020).

di Domenico, A. *et al.* Patient-Specific iPSC-Derived Astrocytes Contribute to Non-Cell-Autonomous Neurodegeneration in Parkinson's Disease. *Stem Cell Reports* **12**, 213-229, doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.12.011 (2019).

Liddelow, S. A. *et al.* Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. *Nature* **541**, 481-487, doi:10.1038/nature21029 (2017).

Saijo, K. *et al.* A Nurr1/CoREST pathway in microglia and astrocytes protects dopaminergic neurons from inflammation-induced death. *Cell* **137**, 47-59, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.038 (2009).

10   Chan, C. S., Gertler, T. S. & Surmeier, D. J. Calcium homeostasis, selective vulnerability and Parkinson's disease. *Trends Neurosci* **32**, 249-256, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2009.01.006 (2009).

11   Kam, T. I., Hinkle, J. T., Dawson, T. M. & Dawson, V. L. Microglia and astrocyte dysfunction in parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **144**, 105028, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2020.105028 (2020).

12   Brandebura, A. N., Paumier, A., Onur, T. S. & Allen, N. J. Astrocyte contribution to dysfunction, risk and progression in neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, doi:10.1038/s41583-022-00641-1 (2022).

13   Guttenplan, K. A. *et al.* Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes induce cell death via saturated lipids. *Nature* **599**, 102-107, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03960-y (2021).

14   Yun, S. P. *et al.* Block of A1 astrocyte conversion by microglia is neuroprotective in models of Parkinson's disease. *Nat Med* **24**, 931-938, doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0051-5 (2018).

15   Nagai, J. *et al.* Behaviorally consequential astrocytic regulation of neural circuits. *Neuron* **109**, 576-596, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.12.008 (2021).

16   Lavialle, M. *et al.* Structural plasticity of perisynaptic astrocyte processes involves ezrin and metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 12915-12919, doi:10.1073/pnas.1100957108 (2011).

17   Derouiche, A., Anlauf, E., Aumann, G., Muhlstadt, B. & Lavialle, M. Anatomical aspects of glia-synapse interaction: the perisynaptic glial sheath consists of a specialized astrocyte compartment. *J Physiol Paris* **96**, 177-182, doi:10.1016/s0928-4257(02)00004-9 (2002).

18   Semyanov, A. & Verkhratsky, A. Astrocytic processes: from tripartite synapses to
the active milieu. *Trends Neurosci* **44**, 781-792, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2021.07.006 (2021).

19   Farizatto, K. L. G. & Baldwin, K. T. Astrocyte-synapse interactions during brain
development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **80**, 102704, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2023.102704 (2023).

20   Hasan, U. & Singh, S. K. The Astrocyte-Neuron Interface: An Overview on
Molecular and Cellular Dynamics Controlling Formation and Maintenance of the Tripartite
Synapse. *Methods Mol Biol* **1938**, 3-18, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9068-9_1 (2019).

21   Lyon, K. A. & Allen, N. J. From Synapses to Circuits, Astrocytes Regulate Behavior.
Front Neural Circuits **15**, 786293, doi:10.3389/fncir.2021.786293 (2021).

22   Allen, N. J. & Barres, B. A. Neuroscience: Glia - more than just brain glue. *Nature*
457, 675-677, doi:10.1038/457675a (2009).

23   Murphy-Royal, C., Dupuis, J., Groc, L. & Oliet, S. H. R. Astroglial glutamate
transporters in the brain: Regulating neurotransmitter homeostasis and synaptic
transmission. *J Neurosci Res* **95**, 2140-2151, doi:10.1002/jnr.24029 (2017).

24   Farhy-Tselnicker, I. & Allen, N. J. Astrocytes, neurons, synapses: a tripartite view
on cortical circuit development. *Neural Dev* **13**, 7, doi:10.1186/s13064-018-0104-y (2018).

25   Allen, N. J. & Eroglu, C. Cell Biology of Astrocyte-Synapse Interactions. *Neuron*
96, 697-708, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.056 (2017).

26   Clavreul, S. *et al.* Cortical astrocytes develop in a plastic manner at both clonal
and cellular levels. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4884, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12791-5 (2019).

27   Takano, T. *et al.* Chemico-genetic discovery of astrocytic control of inhibition in
vivo. *Nature* **588**, 296-302, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2926-0 (2020).

28   Stogsdill, J. A. *et al.* Astrocytic neuroligins control astrocyte morphogenesis and synaptogenesis. *Nature* **551**, 192-197, doi:10.1038/nature24638 (2017).

29   Porter, J. T. & McCarthy, K. D. Astrocytic neurotransmitter receptors in situ and in vivo. *Prog Neurobiol* **51**, 439-455, doi:10.1016/s0301-0082(96)00068-8 (1997).

30   Kofuji, P. & Araque, A. G-Protein-Coupled Receptors in Astrocyte-Neuron Communication. *Neuroscience* **456**, 71-84, doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.03.025 (2021).

31   Tan, C. X. & Eroglu, C. Cell adhesion molecules regulating astrocyte-neuron interactions. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **69**, 170-177, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2021.03.015 (2021).

32   Holt, L. M. *et al.* Astrocyte morphogenesis is dependent on BDNF signaling via astrocytic TrkB.T1. *Elife* **8**, doi:10.7554/eLife.44667 (2019).

33   Braak, H., Sastre, M. & Del Tredici, K. Development of alpha-synuclein immunoreactive astrocytes in the forebrain parallels stages of intraneuronal pathology in sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* **114**, 231-241, doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0244-3 (2007).

34   Wakabayashi, K., Hayashi, S., Yoshimoto, M., Kudo, H. & Takahashi, H. NACP/alpha-synuclein-positive filamentous inclusions in astrocytes and oligodendrocytes of Parkinson's disease brains. *Acta Neuropathol* **99**, 14-20, doi:10.1007/pl00007400 (2000).

35   Tolosa, E., Garrido, A., Scholz, S. W. & Poewe, W. Challenges in the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol* **20**, 385-397, doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00030-2 (2021).

36   Bloem, B. R., Okun, M. S. & Klein, C. Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* **397**, 2284-2303,

doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00218-X (2021).

37   Kalia, L. V. & Lang, A. E. Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* **386**, 896-912,

doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61393-3 (2015).

38   Maiti, P., Manna, J. & Dunbar, G. L. Current understanding of the molecular

mechanisms in Parkinson's disease: Targets for potential treatments. *Transl*

Neurodegener **6**, 28, doi:10.1186/s40035-017-0099-z (2017).

39   Dauer, W. & Przedborski, S. Parkinson's disease: mechanisms and models.

Neuron **39**, 889-909, doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00568-3 (2003).

40   Hussein, A. *et al.* Non-Motor Symptoms of Parkinson's Disease: The Neurobiology

of Early Psychiatric and Cognitive Dysfunction. *Neuroscientist*, 10738584211011979,

doi:10.1177/10738584211011979 (2021).

41   Pachi, I. *et al.* Apathy: An underestimated feature in GBA and LRRK2 non-

manifesting   mutation   carriers.   *Parkinsonism   Relat   Disord*   **91**,   1-8,

doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2021.08.008 (2021).

42   Weintraub, D. *et al.* The neuropsychiatry of Parkinson's disease: advances and

challenges. *Lancet Neurol* **21**, 89-102, doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00330-6 (2022).

43   Belarbi, S. *et al.* LRRK2 G2019S mutation in Parkinson's disease: a

neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric study in a large Algerian cohort. *Parkinsonism*

Relat Disord **16**, 676-679, doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.09.003 (2010).

44   Blesa, J., Foffani, G., Dehay, B., Bezard, E. & Obeso, J. A. Motor and non-motor

circuit disturbances in early Parkinson disease: which happens first? *Nat Rev Neurosci*

23, 115-128, doi:10.1038/s41583-021-00542-9 (2022).

45   Foffani, G. & Obeso, J. A. A Cortical Pathogenic Theory of Parkinson's Disease.

Neuron **99**, 1116-1128, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.028 (2018).

46   Dong, X. X., Wang, Y. & Qin, Z. H. Molecular mechanisms of excitotoxicity and

their relevance to pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* **30**,

379-387, doi:10.1038/aps.2009.24 (2009).

47   Booth, H. D. E., Hirst, W. D. & Wade-Martins, R. The Role of Astrocyte Dysfunction

in   Parkinson's   Disease   Pathogenesis.   *Trends   Neurosci*   **40**,   358-370,

doi:10.1016/j.tins.2017.04.001 (2017).

48   Bandres-Ciga, S., Diez-Fairen, M., Kim, J. J. & Singleton, A. B. Genetics of

Parkinson's disease: An introspection of its journey towards precision medicine.

Neurobiol Dis **137**, 104782, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104782 (2020).

49   Pang, S. Y. *et al.* LRRK2, GBA and their interaction in the regulation of autophagy:

implications on therapeutics in Parkinson's disease. *Transl Neurodegener* **11**, 5,

doi:10.1186/s40035-022-00281-6 (2022).

50   Udayar, V., Chen, Y., Sidransky, E. & Jagasia, R. Lysosomal dysfunction in

neurodegeneration: emerging concepts and methods. *Trends Neurosci* **45**, 184-199,

doi:10.1016/j.tins.2021.12.004 (2022).

51   Najafi, S. *et al.* Recent insights into the roles of circular RNAs in human brain

development   and   neurologic   diseases.   *Int   J   Biol   Macromol*,

doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.11.166 (2022).

52   Zhang, Y. *et al.* Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature Human

Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse. *Neuron* **89**,

37-53, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.013 (2016).

53   Uhlen, M. *et al.* Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science*

347, 1260419, doi:10.1126/science.1260419 (2015).

54   Digre, A. & Lindskog, C. The Human Protein Atlas-Spatial localization of the

human proteome in health and disease. *Protein Sci* **30**, 218-233, doi:10.1002/pro.3987

(2021).

55   Gilks, W. P. *et al.* A common LRRK2 mutation in idiopathic Parkinson's disease.

Lancet **365**, 415-416, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)17830-1 (2005).

56   Benamer, H. T. The ancestry of LRRK2 Gly2019Ser parkinsonism. *Lancet Neurol*

7, 769-770; author reply 770-761, doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70178-9 (2008).

57   Ren, C. *et al.* G2019S Variation in LRRK2: An Ideal Model for the Study of

Parkinson's Disease? *Front Hum Neurosci* **13**, 306, doi:10.3389/fnhum.2019.00306

(2019).

58   West, A. B. *et al.* Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in leucine-rich repeat

kinase 2 augment kinase activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 16842-16847,

doi:10.1073/pnas.0507360102 (2005).

59   Greggio, E. *et al.* Kinase activity is required for the toxic effects of mutant

LRRK2/dardarin. *Neurobiol Dis* **23**, 329-341, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2006.04.001 (2006).

60   Jaleel, M. *et al.* LRRK2 phosphorylates moesin at threonine-558: characterization

of how Parkinson's disease mutants affect kinase activity. *Biochem J* **405**, 307-317,

doi:10.1042/BJ20070209 (2007).

61   Ito, G. *et al.* Phos-tag analysis of Rab10 phosphorylation by LRRK2: a powerful

assay for assessing kinase function and inhibitors. *Biochem J* **473**, 2671-2685,

doi:10.1042/BCJ20160557 (2016).

62   Steger, M. *et al.* Systematic proteomic analysis of LRRK2-mediated Rab GTPase

phosphorylation establishes a connection to ciliogenesis. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.31012

(2017).

63   Steger, M. *et al.* Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase

LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab GTPases. *Elife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.12813 (2016).

64   Kluss, J. H., Mamais, A. & Cookson, M. R. LRRK2 links genetic and sporadic

Parkinson's disease. *Biochem Soc Trans* **47**, 651-661, doi:10.1042/BST20180462 (2019).

65   Monfrini, E. & Di Fonzo, A. Leucine-Rich Repeat Kinase (LRRK2) Genetics and

Parkinson's Disease. *Adv Neurobiol* **14**, 3-30, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-49969-7_1 (2017).

66   Mestre, T. A. *et al.* Clustering of motor and nonmotor traits in leucine-rich repeat

kinase 2 G2019S Parkinson's disease nonparkinsonian relatives: A multicenter family

study. *Mov Disord* **33**, 960-965, doi:10.1002/mds.27272 (2018).

67   Chang, E. E. S. *et al.* LRRK2 mutant knock-in mouse models: therapeutic

relevance in Parkinson's disease. *Translational Neurodegeneration* **11**, doi:ARTN 10

10.1186/s40035-022-00285-2 (2022).

68   Yue, M. *et al.* Progressive dopaminergic alterations and mitochondrial

abnormalities in LRRK2 G2019S knock-in mice. *Neurobiol Dis* **78**, 172-195,

doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2015.02.031 (2015).

69   Longo, F. *et al.* Age-dependent dopamine transporter dysfunction and Serine129

phospho-alpha-synuclein overload in G2019S LRRK2 mice. *Acta Neuropathol Commun*

5, 22, doi:10.1186/s40478-017-0426-8 (2017).

70 Narayanan, N. S., Rodnitzky, R. L. & Uc, E. Y. Prefrontal dopamine signaling and

cognitive symptoms of Parkinson's disease. *Rev Neurosci* **24**, 267-278,

doi:10.1515/revneuro-2013-0004 (2013).

71 Cousineau, J., Plateau, V., Baufreton, J. & Le Bon-Jego, M. Dopaminergic

modulation of primary motor cortex: From cellular and synaptic mechanisms underlying

motor learning to cognitive symptoms in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **167**, 105674,

doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2022.105674 (2022).

72 Volta, M. & Melrose, H. LRRK2 mouse models: dissecting the behavior, striatal

neurochemistry and neurophysiology of PD pathogenesis. *Biochem Soc Trans* **45**, 113-

122, doi:10.1042/BST20160238 (2017).

73 Vingill, S., Connor-Robson, N. & Wade-Martins, R. Are rodent models of

Parkinson's disease behaving as they should? *Behav Brain Res* **352**, 133-141,

doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2017.10.021 (2018).

74 Hussein, A., Tielemans, A., Baxter, M. G., Benson, D. L. & Huntley, G. W.

Cognitive deficits and altered cholinergic innervation in young adult male mice carrying a

Parkinson's disease Lrrk2(G2019S) knockin mutation. *Exp Neurol* **355**, 114145,

doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114145 (2022).

75 Guevara, C. A. *et al.* LRRK2 mutation alters behavioral, synaptic, and nonsynaptic

adaptations to acute social stress. *J Neurophysiol* **123**, 2382-2389,

doi:10.1152/jn.00137.2020 (2020).

76 Matikainen-Ankney, B. A. *et al.* Parkinson's Disease-Linked LRRK2-G2019S

Mutation Alters Synaptic Plasticity and Promotes Resilience to Chronic Social Stress in

Young Adulthood. *J Neurosci* **38**, 9700-9711, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1457-18.2018 (2018).

Chen, C. *et al.* Pathway-specific dysregulation of striatal excitatory synapses by LRRK2 mutations. *Elife* **9**, doi:10.7554/eLife.58997 (2020).

Kuhlmann, N. & Milnerwood, A. J. A Critical LRRK at the Synapse? The Neurobiological Function and Pathophysiological Dysfunction of LRRK2. *Front Mol Neurosci* **13**, 153, doi:10.3389/fnmol.2020.00153 (2020).

Lim, J. *et al.* LRRK2 G2019S Induces Anxiety/Depression-like Behavior before the Onset of Motor Dysfunction with 5-HT(1A) Receptor Upregulation in Mice. *J Neurosci* **38**, 1611-1621, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4051-15.2017 (2018).

Arbez, N. *et al.* G2019S-LRRK2 mutation enhances MPTP-linked Parkinsonism in mice. *Hum Mol Genet* **29**, 580-590, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz271 (2020).

Skiteva, O., Yao, N., Sitzia, G. & Chergui, K. LRRK2-G2019S mice display alterations in glutamatergic synaptic transmission in midbrain dopamine neurons. *J Neurochem* **161**, 158-172, doi:10.1111/jnc.15588 (2022).

Lawal, O., Ulloa Severino, F. P. & Eroglu, C. The role of astrocyte structural plasticity in regulating neural circuit function and behavior. *Glia* **70**, 1467-1483, doi:10.1002/glia.24191 (2022).

Shani, V. *et al.* Physiological and pathological roles of LRRK2 in the nuclear envelope integrity. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**, 3982-3996, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz245 (2019).

Heaton, G. R. *et al.* Sequential screening nominates the Parkinson's disease associated kinase LRRK2 as a regulator of Clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Neurobiol Dis* **141**, 104948, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104948 (2020).

85　Matikainen-Ankney, B. A. *et al.* Altered Development of Synapse Structure and

Function in Striatum Caused by Parkinson's Disease-Linked LRRK2-G2019S Mutation. *J*

Neurosci **36**, 7128-7141, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3314-15.2016 (2016).

86　Baldwin, K. T. *et al.* HepaCAM controls astrocyte self-organization and coupling.

Neuron **109**, 2427-2442 e2410, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.05.025 (2021).

87　Baptista, M. A. *et al.* Loss of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) in rats leads to

progressive abnormal phenotypes in peripheral organs. *PLoS One* **8**, e80705,

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080705 (2013).

88　Ness, D. *et al.* Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)-deficient rats exhibit renal

tubule injury and perturbations in metabolic and immunological homeostasis. *PLoS One*

8, e66164, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066164 (2013).

89　Daher, J. P., Volpicelli-Daley, L. A., Blackburn, J. P., Moehle, M. S. & West, A. B.

Abrogation of alpha-synuclein-mediated dopaminergic neurodegeneration in LRRK2-

deficient rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 9289-9294, doi:10.1073/pnas.1403215111

(2014).

90　Miklavc, P. *et al.* Surfactant secretion in LRRK2 knock-out rats: changes in lamellar

body morphology and rate of exocytosis. *PLoS One* **9**, e84926,

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084926 (2014).

91　Taylor, M. & Alessi, D. R. Advances in elucidating the function of leucine-rich

repeat protein kinase-2 in normal cells and Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **63**,

102-113, doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2020.01.001 (2020).

92　Bonet-Ponce, L. & Cookson, M. R. LRRK2 recruitment, activity, and function in

organelles. *FEBS J* **289**, 6871-6890, doi:10.1111/febs.16099 (2022).

93   Jeong, G. R. *et al.* Dysregulated phosphorylation of Rab GTPases by LRRK2

induces neurodegeneration. *Mol Neurodegener* **13**, 8, doi:10.1186/s13024-018-0240-1

(2018).

94   Arranz, A. M. *et al.* LRRK2 functions in synaptic vesicle endocytosis through a

kinase-dependent mechanism. *J Cell Sci* **128**, 541-552, doi:10.1242/jcs.158196 (2015).

95   Martin, I. *et al.* Ribosomal protein s15 phosphorylation mediates LRRK2

neurodegeneration   in   Parkinson's   disease.   *Cell*   **157**,   472-485,

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.064 (2014).

96   Belluzzi, E. *et al.* LRRK2 phosphorylates pre-synaptic N-ethylmaleimide sensitive

fusion (NSF) protein enhancing its ATPase activity and SNARE complex disassembling

rate. *Mol Neurodegener* **11**, 1, doi:10.1186/s13024-015-0066-z (2016).

97   Islam, M. S. *et al.* Human R1441C LRRK2 regulates the synaptic vesicle proteome

and phosphoproteome in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* **25**,

5365-5382, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddw352 (2016).

98   Kalogeropulou, A. F. *et al.* P62/SQSTM1 is a novel leucine-rich repeat kinase 2

(LRRK2) substrate that enhances neuronal toxicity. *Biochem J* **475**, 1271-1293,

doi:10.1042/BCJ20170699 (2018).

99   Nguyen, M. & Krainc, D. LRRK2 phosphorylation of auxilin mediates synaptic

defects in dopaminergic neurons from patients with Parkinson's disease. *Proc Natl Acad*

Sci U S A **115**, 5576-5581, doi:10.1073/pnas.1717590115 (2018).

100   Dhekne, H. S. *et al.* A pathway for Parkinson's Disease LRRK2 kinase to block

primary cilia and Sonic hedgehog signaling in the brain. *Elife* **7**, doi:10.7554/eLife.40202

(2018).

101   Lara Ordonez, A. J. *et al.* RAB8, RAB10 and RILPL1 contribute to both LRRK2

kinase-mediated centrosomal cohesion and ciliogenesis deficits. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**,

3552-3568, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz201 (2019).

102   Waschbusch, D. *et al.* Structural Basis for Rab8a Recruitment of RILPL2 via

LRRK2   Phosphorylation   of   Switch   2.   *Structure*   **28**,   406-417   e406,

doi:10.1016/j.str.2020.01.005 (2020).

103   Gomez, R. C., Wawro, P., Lis, P., Alessi, D. R. & Pfeffer, S. R. Membrane

association but not identity is required for LRRK2 activation and phosphorylation of Rab

GTPases. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 4157-4170, doi:10.1083/jcb.201902184 (2019).

104   Herbst, S. *et al.* LRRK2 activation controls the repair of damaged endomembranes

in macrophages. *EMBO J* **39**, e104494, doi:10.15252/embj.2020104494 (2020).

105   Lee, H. *et al.* LRRK2 Is Recruited to Phagosomes and Co-recruits RAB8 and

RAB10 in Human Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Macrophages. *Stem Cell Reports* **14**,

940-955, doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2020.04.001 (2020).

106   Thiele, D. L. & Lipsky, P. E. Mechanism of L-leucyl-L-leucine methyl ester-

mediated killing of cytotoxic lymphocytes: dependence on a lysosomal thiol protease,

dipeptidyl peptidase I, that is enriched in these cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **87**, 83-87,

doi:10.1073/pnas.87.1.83 (1990).

107   Takagawa, T. *et al.* An increase in LRRK2 suppresses autophagy and enhances

Dectin-1-induced immunity in a mouse model of colitis. *Sci Transl Med* **10**,

doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aan8162 (2018).

108   Shutinoski, B. *et al.* Lrrk2 alleles modulate inflammation during microbial infection

of   mice   in   a   sex-dependent   manner.   *Sci   Transl   Med*   **11**,

doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aas9292 (2019).

109   Bonello, F. *et al.* LRRK2 impairs PINK1/Parkin-dependent mitophagy via its kinase

activity: pathologic insights into Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**, 1645-1660,

doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz004 (2019).

110   Gan, L., Cookson, M. R., Petrucelli, L. & La Spada, A. R. Converging pathways in

neurodegeneration, from genetics to mechanisms. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 1300-1309,

doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0237-7 (2018).

111   Korecka, J. A. *et al.* Mitochondrial clearance and maturation of autophagosomes

are compromised in LRRK2 G2019S familial Parkinson's disease patient fibroblasts. *Hum*

Mol Genet **28**, 3232-3243, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz126 (2019).

112   Hsieh, C. H. *et al.* Functional Impairment in Miro Degradation and Mitophagy Is a

Shared Feature in Familial and Sporadic Parkinson's Disease. *Cell Stem Cell* **19**, 709-

724, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2016.08.002 (2016).

113   Toyofuku, T., Okamoto, Y., Ishikawa, T., Sasawatari, S. & Kumanogoh, A. LRRK2

regulates endoplasmic reticulum-mitochondrial tethering through the PERK-mediated

ubiquitination pathway. *EMBO J* **39**, e100875, doi:10.15252/embj.2018100875 (2020).

114   Inoshita, T. *et al.* Vps35 in cooperation with LRRK2 regulates synaptic vesicle

endocytosis through the endosomal pathway in Drosophila. *Hum Mol Genet* **26**, 2933-

2948, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx179 (2017).

115   Hur, E. M., Jang, E. H., Jeong, G. R. & Lee, B. D. LRRK2 and membrane trafficking:

nexus of Parkinson's disease. *BMB Rep* **52**, 533-539 (2019).

116 Parisiadou, L. *et al.* Phosphorylation of ezrin/radixin/moesin proteins by LRRK2

promotes the rearrangement of actin cytoskeleton in neuronal morphogenesis. *J Neurosci*

29, 13971-13980, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3799-09.2009 (2009).

117 Parisiadou, L. & Cai, H. LRRK2 function on actin and microtubule dynamics in

Parkinson disease. *Commun Integr Biol* **3**, 396-400, doi:10.4161/cib.3.5.12286 (2010).

118 Bretscher, A., Edwards, K. & Fehon, R. G. ERM proteins and merlin: integrators at

the cell cortex. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **3**, 586-599, doi:10.1038/nrm882 (2002).

119 Gautreau, A., Louvard, D. & Arpin, M. Morphogenic effects of ezrin require a

phosphorylation-induced transition from oligomers to monomers at the plasma membrane.

J Cell Biol **150**, 193-203, doi:10.1083/jcb.150.1.193 (2000).

120 Zhu, L. *et al.* High turnover of ezrin T567 phosphorylation: conformation, activity,

and cellular function. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **293**, C874-884,

doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00111.2007 (2007).

121 Endo, F. *et al.* Molecular basis of astrocyte diversity and morphology across the

CNS in health and disease. *Science* **378**, eadc9020, doi:10.1126/science.adc9020 (2022).

122 Kruyer, A., Scofield, M. D., Wood, D., Reissner, K. J. & Kalivas, P. W. Heroin Cue-

Evoked Astrocytic Structural Plasticity at Nucleus Accumbens Synapses Inhibits Heroin

Seeking. *Biol Psychiatry* **86**, 811-819, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.06.026 (2019).

123 Schacke, S. *et al.* Ezrin deficiency triggers glial fibrillary acidic protein upregulation

and a distinct reactive astrocyte phenotype. *Glia* **70**, 2309-2329, doi:10.1002/glia.24253

(2022).

124 Zaman, R. *et al.* Effector-mediated ERM activation locally inhibits RhoA activity to

shape the apical cell domain. *J Cell Biol* **220**, doi:10.1083/jcb.202007146 (2021).

125   Tsai, F. C. *et al.* Ezrin enrichment on curved membranes requires a specific

conformation   or   interaction   with   a   curvature-sensitive   partner.   *Elife*   **7**,

doi:10.7554/eLife.37262 (2018).

126   Viswanatha, R., Ohouo, P. Y., Smolka, M. B. & Bretscher, A. Local phosphocycling

mediated by LOK/SLK restricts ezrin function to the apical aspect of epithelial cells. *J Cell*

Biol **199**, 969-984, doi:10.1083/jcb.201207047 (2012).

127   Korkmazhan, E. & Dunn, A. R. The membrane-actin linker ezrin acts as a sliding

anchor. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabo2779, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abo2779 (2022).

128   Keo, A. *et al.* Cingulate networks associated with gray matter loss in Parkinson's

disease show high expression of cholinergic genes in the healthy brain. *Eur J Neurosci*

53, 3727-3739, doi:10.1111/ejn.15216 (2021).

129   Carbon, M., Felice Ghilardi, M., Dhawan, V. & Eidelberg, D. Correlates of

movement initiation and velocity in Parkinson's disease: A longitudinal PET study.

Neuroimage **34**, 361-370, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.08.019 (2007).

130   Ranchet, M. *et al.* Changes in Prefrontal Cortical Activity During Walking and

Cognitive Functions Among Patients With Parkinson's Disease. *Front Neurol* **11**, 601686,

doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.601686 (2020).

131   Oberheim, N. A. *et al.* Uniquely hominid features of adult human astrocytes. *J*

Neurosci **29**, 3276-3287, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4707-08.2009 (2009).

132   Freeman, M. R. Specification and morphogenesis of astrocytes. *Science* **330**, 774-

778, doi:10.1126/science.1190928 (2010).

133   Baldwin, K. T. & Eroglu, C. Molecular mechanisms of astrocyte-induced

synaptogenesis. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **45**, 113-120, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2017.05.006

(2017).

134   Beccano-Kelly, D. A. *et al.* LRRK2 overexpression alters glutamatergic presynaptic

plasticity, striatal dopamine tone, postsynaptic signal transduction, motor activity and

memory. *Hum Mol Genet* **24**, 1336-1349, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu543 (2015).

135   Piccoli, G. *et al.* LRRK2 controls synaptic vesicle storage and mobilization within

the recycling pool. *J Neurosci* **31**, 2225-2237, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3730-10.2011

(2011).

136   Parisiadou, L. *et al.* LRRK2 regulates synaptogenesis and dopamine receptor

activation through modulation of PKA activity. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 367-376,

doi:10.1038/nn.3636 (2014).

137   Ponzo, V. *et al.* Impaired intracortical transmission in G2019S leucine rich-repeat

kinase Parkinson patients. *Mov Disord* **32**, 750-756, doi:10.1002/mds.26931 (2017).

138   West, A. B. *et al.* Differential LRRK2 expression in the cortex, striatum, and

substantia nigra in transgenic and nontransgenic rodents. *J Comp Neurol* **522**, 2465-2480,

doi:10.1002/cne.23583 (2014).

139   Botta-Orfila, T. *et al.* Microarray expression analysis in idiopathic and LRRK2-

associated   Parkinson's   disease.   *Neurobiol   Dis*   **45**,   462-468,

doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2011.08.033 (2012).

140   Helmich, R. C. *et al.* Reorganization of corticostriatal circuits in healthy G2019S

LRRK2 carriers. *Neurology* **84**, 399-406, doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001189 (2015).

141   Skelton, P. D., Tokars, V. & Parisiadou, L. LRRK2 at Striatal Synapses: Cell-Type

Specificity and Mechanistic Insights. *Cells* **11**, doi:10.3390/cells11010169 (2022).

142   Kaneko, T. & Fujiyama, F. Complementary distribution of vesicular glutamate

transporters in the central nervous system. *Neurosci Res* **42**, 243-250,

doi:10.1016/s0168-0102(02)00009-3 (2002).

143   Fremeau, R. T., Jr. *et al.* The expression of vesicular glutamate transporters

defines two classes of excitatory synapse. *Neuron* **31**, 247-260, doi:10.1016/s0896-

6273(01)00344-0 (2001).

144   Micheva, K. D., Busse, B., Weiler, N. C., O'Rourke, N. & Smith, S. J. Single-

synapse analysis of a diverse synapse population: proteomic imaging methods and

markers. *Neuron* **68**, 639-653, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.09.024 (2010).

145   Chaudhry, F. A. *et al.* The vesicular GABA transporter, VGAT, localizes to synaptic

vesicles in sets of glycinergic as well as GABAergic neurons. *J Neurosci* **18**, 9733-9750,

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-23-09733.1998 (1998).

146   Tyagarajan, S. K. & Fritschy, J. M. Gephyrin: a master regulator of neuronal

function? *Nat Rev Neurosci* **15**, 141-156, doi:10.1038/nrn3670 (2014).

147   Ippolito, D. M. & Eroglu, C. Quantifying synapses: an immunocytochemistry-based

assay to quantify synapse number. *J Vis Exp*, doi:10.3791/2270 (2010).

148   Glasgow, S. D., McPhedrain, R., Madranges, J. F., Kennedy, T. E. & Ruthazer, E.

S. Approaches and Limitations in the Investigation of Synaptic Transmission and

Plasticity. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* **11**, 20, doi:10.3389/fnsyn.2019.00020 (2019).

149   Matsuzaki, M. *et al.* Dendritic spine geometry is critical for AMPA receptor

expression in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **4**, 1086-1092,

doi:10.1038/nn736 (2001).

150   Thaler, A. *et al.* Lower cognitive performance in healthy G2019S LRRK2 mutation

carriers. *Neurology* **79**, 1027-1032, doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182684646 (2012).

151   Simuni, T. *et al.* Clinical and dopamine transporter imaging characteristics of non-

manifest LRRK2 and GBA mutation carriers in the Parkinson's Progression Markers

Initiative (PPMI): a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol* **19**, 71-80, doi:10.1016/S1474-

4422(19)30319-9 (2020).

152   Thaler, A. *et al.* Altered reward-related neural responses in non-manifesting

carriers of the Parkinson disease related LRRK2 mutation. *Brain Imaging Behav* **13**,

1009-1020, doi:10.1007/s11682-018-9920-2 (2019).

153   Xenias, H. S. *et al.* R1441C and G2019S LRRK2 knockin mice have distinct striatal

molecular, physiological, and behavioral alterations. *Commun Biol* **5**, 1211,

doi:10.1038/s42003-022-04136-8 (2022).

154   Schweimer, J. & Hauber, W. Involvement of the rat anterior cingulate cortex in

control of instrumental responses guided by reward expectancy. *Learn Mem* **12**, 334-342,

doi:10.1101/lm.90605 (2005).

155   Schweimer, J. & Hauber, W. Dopamine D1 receptors in the anterior cingulate

cortex regulate effort-based decision making. *Learn Mem* **13**, 777-782,

doi:10.1101/lm.409306 (2006).

156   Shenhav, A., Botvinick, M. M. & Cohen, J. D. The expected value of control: an

integrative theory of anterior cingulate cortex function. *Neuron* **79**, 217-240,

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.007 (2013).

157   Hart, E. E., Blair, G. J., O'Dell, T. J., Blair, H. T. & Izquierdo, A. Chemogenetic

Modulation and Single-Photon Calcium Imaging in Anterior Cingulate Cortex Reveal a

Mechanism for Effort-Based Decisions. *J Neurosci* **40**, 5628-5643,

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2548-19.2020 (2020).

158   Tervo, D. G. R. *et al.* The anterior cingulate cortex directs exploration of alternative

strategies. *Neuron* **109**, 1876-1887 e1876, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.03.028 (2021).

159   Brockett, A. T., Tennyson, S. S., deBettencourt, C. A., Gaye, F. & Roesch, M. R.

Anterior cingulate cortex is necessary for adaptation of action plans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A **117**, 6196-6204, doi:10.1073/pnas.1919303117 (2020).

160   Tan, C. X., Burrus Lane, C. J. & Eroglu, C. Role of astrocytes in synapse formation

and maturation. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **142**, 371-407, doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2020.12.010

(2021).

161   Pelaseyed, T. & Bretscher, A. Regulation of actin-based apical structures on

epithelial cells. *J Cell Sci* **131**, doi:10.1242/jcs.221853 (2018).

162   Pelaseyed, T. *et al.* Ezrin activation by LOK phosphorylation involves a PIP(2)-

dependent wedge mechanism. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.22759 (2017).

163   Lombardo, A. T., Mitchell, C. A. R., Zaman, R., McDermitt, D. J. & Bretscher, A.

ARHGAP18-Ezrin functions as a RhoA autoregulatory module in the organization of

apical microvilli. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2009.2012.507663, doi:10.1101/2022.09.12.507663

(2022).

164   Broadhead, M. J. *et al.* Selective vulnerability of tripartite synapses in amyotrophic

lateral sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathol* **143**, 471-486, doi:10.1007/s00401-022-02412-9

(2022).

165   Moffat, J. *et al.* A lentiviral RNAi library for human and mouse genes applied to an

arrayed viral high-content screen. *Cell* **124**, 1283-1298, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.040

(2006).

166   Chih, B., Gollan, L. & Scheiffele, P. Alternative splicing controls selective trans-

synaptic interactions of the neuroligin-neurexin complex. *Neuron* **51**, 171-178,

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.06.005 (2006).

167   Chen, F. & LoTurco, J. A method for stable transgenesis of radial glia lineage in

rat neocortex by piggyBac mediated transposition. *J Neurosci Methods* **207**, 172-180,

doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2012.03.016 (2012).

168   O'Neil, S. D. *et al.* Action potential-coupled Rho GTPase signaling drives

presynaptic plasticity. *Elife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.63756 (2021).

169   Ferreira, T. A. *et al.* Neuronal morphometry directly from bitmap images. *Nat*

Methods **11**, 982-984, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3125 (2014).

170   Uezu, A. *et al.* Identification of an elaborate complex mediating postsynaptic

inhibition. *Science* **353**, 1123-1129, doi:10.1126/science.aag0821 (2016).

171   Risher, W. C. *et al.* Thrombospondin receptor alpha2delta-1 promotes

synaptogenesis and spinogenesis via postsynaptic Rac1. *J Cell Biol* **217**, 3747-3765,

doi:10.1083/jcb.201802057 (2018).

1767 172 Zhang, Y. *et al.* An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia,

1768 neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. *J Neurosci* **34**, 11929-11947,

1769 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1860-14.2014 (2014).

1770