PD-linked LRRK2 G2019S mutation impairs astrocyte morphology and synapse maintenance via ERM hyperphosphorylation

Shiyi Wang[1,9], Ryan Baumert[1,9], Gabrielle Séjourné[1,9], Dhanesh Sivadasan Bindu[1,2], Kylie Dimond[3], Kristina Sakers[1,13], Leslie Vazquez[1,4,9], Jessica L. Moore[1,13], Christabel Xin Tan[5], Tetsuya Takano[6,7], Maria Pia Rodriguez[1], Nick Brose[1], Luke Bradley[1], Reed Lessing[1], Scott H. Soderling[1,8,9], Albert R. La Spada[10,11,12], and Cagla Eroglu[1,8,9,13]

[1]The Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[2]Department of Neonatology, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO, USA

[3]College of Psychology, Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA

[4]The Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[5]Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

[6]Division of Molecular Systems for Brain Function, Kyushu University Institute for Advanced Study, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Japan

[7]Japan Science and Technology Agency, PRESTO, Japan

[8]The Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[9]Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD

[10]The Department of Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[11]Departments of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, Neurology, Biological Chemistry, and Neurobiology & Behavior, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

[12]UCI Center for Neurotherapeutics, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

[13]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Corresponding authors: Shiyi Wang: shiyi.wang@duke.edu;

Albert R. La Spada: alaspada@uci.edu;

Cagla Eroglu: cagla.eroglu@duke.edu

Abstract

Astrocytes are highly complex cells that mediate critical roles in synapse formation and maintenance by establishing thousands of direct contacts with synapses through their perisynaptic processes. Here, we found that the most common Parkinsonism gene mutation, LRRK2 G2019S, enhances the phosphorylation of the ERM proteins (Ezrin, Radixin, and Moesin), components of the perisynaptic astrocyte processes in a subset of cortical astrocytes. The ERM hyperphosphorylation was accompanied by decreased astrocyte morphological complexity and reduced excitatory synapse density and function. Dampening ERM phosphorylation levels in LRRK2 G2019S mouse astrocytes restored both their morphology and the excitatory synapse density in the anterior cingulate cortex. To determine how LRRK2 mutation impacts Ezrin interactome, we used an *in vivo* BioID proteomic approach, and we found that astrocytic Ezrin interacts with Atg7, a master regulator of autophagy. The Ezrin/Atg7 interaction is inhibited by Ezrin phosphorylation, thus diminished in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes. Importantly, the Atg7 function is required to maintain proper astrocyte morphology. Our data provide a molecular pathway through which the LRRK2 G2019S mutation alters astrocyte morphology and synaptic density in a brain-region-specific manner.

INTRODUCTION

Most synapses in the central nervous system are in direct contact with perisynaptic astrocyte processes (PAPs)[1], forming the "tripartite synapse"[1]. At the tripartite synapse, astrocytes clear excess neurotransmitters, maintain ion homeostasis, and secrete factors regulating synapse development and function[2]. To accomplish these crucial functions, astrocytes develop elaborate morphologies to tile the entire brain parenchyma[3-5]. Astrocyte morphogenesis and synaptogenesis are interdependent and bidirectional[6, 7]. In neurological disorders like Parkinson's Disease (PD), astrocytes become reactive, undergoing morphological and molecular changes[8, 9]. Recent studies suggested that astrocyte dysfunction contributes to PD[10-12]. However, the underlying mechanisms are largely unknown.

Mutations in the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) gene cause familial PD[13, 14], with LRRK2 G2019S being the most common[15, 16]. It is largely accepted that LRRK2 G2019S is a gain-of-function mutation that increases LRRK2's kinase activity[17-20]. Brain RNA-seq databases suggested that LRRK2 expressed in astrocytes[21, 22]. Previous studies also suggested ERM proteins (Ezrin, Radixin and Moesin) as LRRK2 substrates[18, 23, 24]. ERM proteins are structural components of perisynaptic astrocyte processes (PAPs), with Ezrin being the most enriched in expression[25, 26]. Previous studies suggested that LRRK2 phosphorylates a conserved threonine residue present in all ERM proteins[18, 23, 24]. When phosphorylated, ERM proteins change conformation and link the F-actin cytoskeleton to the cell membrane[27, 28]. This switch is thought to play an important role in organizing cell morphology[28, 29]. Among the ERM proteins, Ezrin is specifically and highly enriched in astrocytes and is known to localize at the PAPs

preferentially[25]. Genetic ablation or downregulation of Ezrin has been shown to alter

astrocyte morphology *in vivo*[30-33].

Here, we tested whether LRRK2 regulates astrocyte morphology and synaptic

densities using the LRRK2 G2019S knockin ([ki/ki]) mouse model[34, 35] in conjunction with

in vivo astrocyte-specific genetic, proteomic, electrophysiological, and biochemical

approaches. We found that, in the prefrontal cortex of humans and mice carrying the

LRRK2 G2019S mutation, a subset of astrocytes has increased ERM (Ezrin, Radixin, and

Moesin) phosphorylation levels. This phospho-ERM change accompanies three

observations: Astrocytes have reduced morphological complexity; The anterior cingulate

cortex (ACC) has decreased excitatory (VGLUT1/PSD95) synapse density; The primary

motor cortex (MOp) has increased inhibitory (VGAT/Gephyrin) synapse density. By

overexpression of an Ezrin mutant that cannot be phosphorylated in astrocytes, we

dampened astrocytic ERM hyperphosphorylation. This reduction in astrocytic ERM

phosphorylation restored both LRRK2 G2019S astrocyte morphology and the ACC

excitatory synapse density. However, this phospho-ERM manipulation did not rescue the

MOp inhibitory synapse changes. We further compared *in vivo* Ezrin interactomes in WT

and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse cortical astrocytes. We discovered that Ezrin interacts with

a master regulator of autophagy, Atg7. This interaction is weakened by Ezrin's

phosphorylation. We also found that Atg7 is required for astrocyte morphological

complexity. Knocking down Atg7 in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes partially restores their

morphology. This study shows that LRRK2-ERM pathways are important for astrocytes

to reach their morphological complexity. This study also hinted that astrocyte

morphological changes correlate with synapse density changes in a brain region-specific

manner.

RESULTS

ERM phosphorylation is increased in the prefrontal cortices of mice and humans

carrying the LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

To study how the LRRK2 G2019S mutation impacts astrocytes in the prefrontal

cortex, a cortical region linked to PD, we stained sections from the frontal cortices of age

and sex-matched control and LRRK2 G2019S mutation-carrying PD patients

(Supplemental Table 1) with glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, a marker for astrocyte

branches) and phosphorylated ERM (Phospho-ERM, which are enriched in PAPs) (Figure

1A). Remarkably, the astrocytic organization, determined by GFAP staining, was severely

disrupted, and GFAP levels were significantly elevated (~42%) in PD patients carrying

the LRRK2 G2019S mutation, indicative of reactive gliosis (Figure 1B-C). Unexpectedly,

the phospho-ERM signal was increased by 7-fold in the frontal cortices of PD patients

carrying the LRRK2 G2019S mutation (Figure 1B-C). To determine if this ERM

hyperphosphorylation is cell type-specific we co-stained for phospho-ERM with astrocyte

marker S100β and neuronal dendrite marker MAP2. We found a significant increase in

phospho-ERM signal colocalized with both S100β and MAP2 (Figure S1A-C).

To determine whether ERM hyperphosphorylation is an early event in LRRK2

G2019S PD pathology, we used LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, in which the human G2019S

mutation was introduced into exon 41 of the LRRK2 gene[34, 35]. To determine if ERM

hyperphosphorylation localizes to astrocytes, we crossed these mice to an astrocyte

reporter line carrying the Aldh1L1-eGFP transgene, which labels all astrocytes by GFP[36]. We examined the phospho-ERM levels in coronal brain sections from 21-day-old (P21, juvenile) and 12-week-old (P84, adult) WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. Compared to WT mice, LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice across both age groups have a brain region-specific and heterogeneous phospho-ERM increase (Figure 1D-L). This phospho-ERM increase was localized to layers (L)1 and L2-3 of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and the primary motor cortex (MOp) (Figure 1E-L; Figure S2A-C) but was absent in both the primary sensory cortex (SSp) and the dorsal medial striatum (DMS) (Figure S2D-I). In P21 LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, the phospho-ERM integrated densities in the ACC and MOp L1 and L2-3 were increased by ~32% (ACC) and ~41% (MOp) compared to the WTs (Figure 1I); In P84 LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, the phospho-ERM integrated densities were increased by ~57% (ACC) and ~37% (MOp) compared to the WTs (Figure 1L). In the ACC and MOp L1 and L2/3 of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, phospho-ERM was significantly increased and colocalized with S100β and MAP2 but not Iba1 (microglia marker), with a predominant localization to astrocytes in the ACC and MOp of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice (Figure S3A-C). We also confirmed the specificity of the phospho-ERM antibody by treating brain sections from both mice and human samples with lambda protein phosphatase (λ-PPase). λ-PPase dephosphorylates proteins[37]. In both cases, the phospho-ERM signal was eliminated after λ-PPase treatment, confirming the phospho-ERM antibody specificity (Figure S4A-F).

In the human samples, phospho-ERM elevation was observed concurrently with reactive gliosis (elevated GFAP staining). To determine if, in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, there were reactive astrocytes detectable at early ages, we stained sections from the

same P21 mouse brains with an anti-GFAP antibody. In the mouse cortex, grey matter astrocytes do not display GFAP staining unless there is reactive gliosis[38, 39]. We did not detect any increase in the levels of GFAP (Figure S5A-C). These data indicate that, in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, phospho-ERM upregulation does not occur concurrently with reactive astrogliosis. Moreover, distinct from the P21 and P84 LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice where phospho-ERM was restricted to a subset of astrocytes in a brain region-specific manner, in the human patient brains (~80 years old), phospho-ERM accumulation was present equally in both astrocytes and neurons. These data suggest that ERM hyperphosphorylation is an early and astrocyte-specific event in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse cortices; however, is more widespread in human patient brains.

LRRK2 G2019S mutation alters excitatory and inhibitory synapse densities and functions in the cortex.

Previous studies did not observe dopaminergic neuron loss or motor dysfunction in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice[40, 41]; however, synapse dysfunction at cortico-striatal circuits was reported in adult mice[42]. Despite the critical role of LRRK2 in cortico-striatal synapses and PD-related cortical circuits[43], whether LRRK2 G2019S affects cortical synapse densities and function has not been explored. Understanding these cortical synaptic abnormalities is crucial, as they may underlie the early cognitive deficits in PD. Next, we investigated if LRRK2 G2019S mutation alters synaptic densities in the ACC and MOp L1 and L2-3 (Figure 2A), regions in which ERM hyperphosphorylation within astrocytes was observed.

We quantified the densities of excitatory and inhibitory intracortical synapses in

WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. To do so, we marked the excitatory synapses as the

co-localization of the presynaptic Vesicular Glutamate Transporter 1 (VGlut1) and the

Postsynaptic Density Protein (PSD95), whereas inhibitory synapses were labeled using

presynaptic Vesicular GABA Transporter (VGAT), and postsynaptic gephyrin [44, 45]

(Figure 2B). Structural synapses were identified as the apposition and co-localization of

presynaptic and postsynaptic markers, which are in two distinct neuronal compartments

(i.e., axons and dendrites) and would only appear to co-localize at synapses due to their

proximity[46].

Excitatory synapse density was quantified both at P21 and P84. In the ACC of the

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, there was a significant decrease in intracortical excitatory

synapse density in both layers and ages we analyzed (~30%) when compared to the WT

controls (Figure 2C-D and Figure S6A-B). However, no differences in excitatory synapse

density were observed between genotypes in the MOp (Figure 2E-F and Figure S6C-D).

When we quantified the densities of inhibitory synapses in the MOp, we found significant

differences between genotypes (~40% increase). In contrast, in the ACC of WT and

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, no differences were observed for inhibitory synapse density

(Figure 2G-J). These results revealed two changes in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse brains:

reduced excitatory synapse density in the ACC; increased inhibitory synapse density in

the MOp. These data suggested a brain region-specific synapse density change

associated with the LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

We then used electrophysiology to assess how altered synapse density in the ACC

and MOp of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice affects synaptic function. We recorded miniature

excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) in the ACC L2-3 pyramidal neurons and miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in the MOp L2-3 pyramidal neurons from acute brain slices of P84 WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] neurons displayed reduced mEPSC frequency by ~65% and a corresponding right shift in the cumulative distributions of mEPSC inter-event intervals (Figure 3A-B) when compared to WT neurons, with no change in mEPSC amplitude (Figure 3C). mEPSC frequency changes correlate with synapse densities and/or the probability of presynaptic glutamate release[47], whereas mEPSC amplitude indicates the synaptic strength reflecting the number of AMPARs at the postsynapse[48]. Therefore, together with our anatomical data, these findings suggest that the LRRK2 G2019S mutation reduces the number of intracortical excitatory connections formed on L2-3 pyramidal neurons in the ACC without altering synaptic strength. In contrast, in the MOp, LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] neurons exhibited a ~72% increase in mIPSC frequency compared to WT, with no significant change in amplitude (Figure 3D-F). These data indicate that inhibitory synapse density is elevated in the MOp of the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice.

Reducing ERM hyperphosphorylation in astrocytes restores excitatory synapse density and function in the ACC of adult LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice.

LRRK2 phosphorylates a conserved threonine residue present in all ERM proteins[18, 23]. We found that LRRK2 G2019S mutation increases ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes (Figure 1) and asked if dampening ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes could restore synapse densities in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. To test this, we overexpressed a phospho-dead Ezrin mutant, which cannot be phosphorylated, in astrocytes via

adenovirus (AAV) retro-orbital injection. We chose Ezrin because Ezrin is highly abundant

and specifically enriched in astrocytes compared to its family members, Radixin and

Moesin[21] (Figure S7A-B). Switching the amino acid threonine (T) at location 567 with

alanine (A) results in a version of the protein that cannot be phosphorylated (Phospho-

dead Ezrin, Figure S7C)[28, 29].

To determine if phospho-dead Ezrin can reduce ERM phosphorylation in

astrocytes of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, we retro-orbitally injected 9-week-old WT and

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice with AAVs to express Hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged WT Ezrin or

phospho-dead Ezrin under the control of the astrocyte-specific GfaABC1D promoter

(Figure S7D). The GfaABC1D promoter ensures astrocyte-specific expression, as

validated in prior work[49]. While AAV serotypes may infect multiple cell types, our data

support astrocyte-specific expression based on promoter specificity and cell labeling. 3

weeks after injection, the mouse brains were harvested for histological analyses. The

widespread viral expression of Ezrin in astrocytes was verified by staining with an anti-

HA tag antibody (Figure 4A). While WT or phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression had no

effect on ERM phosphorylation in WT brains, WT Ezrin increased phospho-ERM levels

in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes; phospho-dead Ezrin abolished this increase (Figure 4A-

B). This indicates that the LRRK2 G2019S sensitizes astrocytes to LRRK2-mediated

ERM phosphorylation. To determine the specificity of phospho-dead Ezrin, we examined

the hyperphosphorylation of another LRRK2 substrate, Rab10 (Thr 73)[19, 50][51]. We

found higher Rab10 phosphorylation in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] brain sections than in WT mice.

However, neither WT nor phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression impacted Rab10

phosphorylation (Figure S8), showing that phospho-dead Ezrin selectively reduces ERM

hyperphosphorylation in astrocytes.

We next tested whether reducing ERM hyperphosphorylation in astrocytes could

rescue synaptic deficits in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC and MOp. We found that, in WT ACC,

WT Ezrin overexpression in astrocytes had no effect on excitatory synapse density

(Figure S9). However, compared to WT mice overexpressing WT Ezrin, overexpression

of phospho-dead Ezrin in WT astrocytes reduced excitatory synapse density by ~30%

(Figure 4C-D). In contrast, in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes, overexpression of phospho-

dead Ezrin increased the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC excitatory synapse density. This

increase brings the excitatory synapse density of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC similar to those

of WT ACC overexpressing astrocytic WT Ezrin (Figure 4C-D). We also found that, in the

MOp L1 and L2-3 of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, neither the WT nor the phospho-dead Ezrin

overexpression in adult astrocytes restored inhibitory synapse density back to the levels

in the WT MOp overexpressed with WT Ezrin (Figure S10). These data indicate that

phospho-dead Ezrin expression in adult WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes is sufficient

to modify excitatory synapse density in the ACC but cannot alter inhibitory synapse

density in the MOp. It is plausible that LRRK2 G2019S mutation impairs inhibitory

synapses in the MOp by altering the number or connectivity of cortical interneurons

through a developmental mechanism. Thus, manipulation in adults is insufficient to rectify

this inhibitory synapse phenotype.

Because astrocytic overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin impacted excitatory

synapse density in the ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, we next performed

whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from ACC L2-3 pyramidal neurons transduced with the

same AAVs. In LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L2-3, overexpression of WT Ezrin in astrocytes

did not rescue the decreased frequency of mEPSCs. However, overexpression of

phospho-dead Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes restored the frequency up to the

levels of WT mice expressing WT Ezrin (Figure 4E-F). Interestingly, in WT ACC L2-3,

overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin in WT astrocytes significantly reduced the

frequency of mEPSCs (~54%) (Figure 4E-F). Posthoc comparisons confirmed no

significant differences in mean mEPSC amplitudes across all 4 conditions (Figure 4G).

Altogether, these electrophysiological and neuroanatomical analyses reveal that

the LRRK2 G2019S mutation dysregulates proper excitatory and inhibitory synapse

densities and functions in the cortex in a brain region-specific manner. These results also

pinpoint a link between ERM hyperphosphorylation in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes (Figure

1) and the excitatory synaptic density change in the ACC (Figures 2 and 3). Our results

suggest that balancing ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes plays a role in maintaining

excitatory synapse density and function in the ACC.

LRRK2 regulates astrocyte morphological complexity *in vivo* through ERM
phosphorylation.

In the brain, astrocytes have numerous functions[2]. To accomplish these functions,

astrocytes develop elaborate morphologies[3-5]. Astrocyte morphology is a crucial

indicator for its function. We next asked whether ERM hyperphosphorylation in LRRK2

G2019S astrocytes would impair their morphological complexity. To answer this question,

we sparsely labeled astrocytes with a membrane-targeted fluorescent protein (mCherry-

CAAX) using postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE). To image and

reconstruct the morphology of individual whole astrocytes, we collected 100-μm thick

mouse brain sections (Figure S11A). We focused our analyses of astrocyte territory size

and complexity on the ACC and MOp L1 and L2-3 at P21 (see Methods for details). We

found that, compared to WT astrocytes, both the territory volumes and morphological

complexity of LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes were significantly reduced (by ~34%) (Figure

5A-C). However, this alteration of astrocyte morphology did not change the number of

ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cells (number of astrocytes) in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC and MOp

(Figure S11B-D). Together, these results reveal that, *in vivo*, LRRK2 G2019S mutation

reduces both astrocyte territory volume and morphological complexity without affecting

astrocyte numbers.

Because phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression in astrocytes differentially impacts

synapse densities and function in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice (Figure 4), we

wondered if overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin would also impact astrocyte

morphology differently between genotypes. We found that, in the ACC and MOp, the

overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin decreased the WT astrocyte territory volume and

morphological complexity but restored LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocyte territory volume and

complexity (Figure 5A-C). These results suggested that unphosphorylated Ezrin

differentially regulates astrocyte morphology in WT versus LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes.

We postulated that Ezrin's conformational status may need to be tightly regulated for

proper astrocyte morphogenesis (Figure 5D). In LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes, Ezrin is

more likely to be in a phosphorylated and open state. This would deplete the presence of

the closed unphosphorylated Ezrin. Such a distorted phospho-Ezrin balance may explain

the morphological defects of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes. To test this possibility, we

sought to shift the balance in the opposing direction by reducing Ezrin phosphorylation

through the knockdown of mouse Lrrk2. Similar to LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes,

astrocytes transfected with shLrrk2 (~90% *Lrrk2* reduction, Figure S12A-B) exhibited

significantly smaller territory volumes (~36% reduction) and reduced complexity (Figure

5E-G).

Previous studies suggested phosphorylation at T567 promotes Ezrin acquiring an

open conformation by binding to phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2)[52]. In this

conformation, the N-terminus interacts with membrane proteins, and the C-terminus is

available to bind to the actin cytoskeleton[53, 54]. An Ezrin mutant in which the T567

residue is switched to aspartate (D) prefers Ezrin's open conformation, thereby mimicking

the phosphorylated state (Phospho-mimetic Ezrin, Figure S4C)[55, 56]. When we

overexpressed phospho-mimetic Ezrin in astrocytes, we found that phospho-mimetic

Ezrin restored the territory volume and morphological complexity of shLRRK2 astrocytes

(Figure 5E-G) but had no effect on shControl astrocytes (Figure 5E-G). These results

show that both the gain of LRRK2 kinase function (in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice) and the

loss of LRRK2 function (shLRRK2) decrease astrocyte complexity and size and the

manipulation of Ezrin conformational/phosphorylation states in opposing directions

(expression of phospho-dead or phospho-mimetic Ezrin respectively) can rescue these

morphological phenotypes.

LRRK2 G2019S mutation changes Ezrin interactome in astrocytes

To investigate how ERM hyperphosphorylation affects astrocyte morphology in

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes, we identified possible Ezrin interactors in WT and LRRK2

G2019S^{ki/ki} astrocytes by *in vivo* astrocyte-specific proximity labeling. We used two virally

encoded astrocyte-specific biotin ligase probes: Ezrin fused with BioID (Astro-Ezrin-BioID)

and soluble BioID with a nuclear export sequence (Astro-Cyto-BioID) (Figure 6A). These

were delivered via intracortical AAV injections to P0-2 WT and LRRK2 G2019S^{ki/ki} mouse

pups, followed by subcutaneous biotin injections starting at P18 for 3 days, and tissue

collection at P21 (Figure 6B). Biotinylated proteins were detected in astrocytes using

immunohistochemistry and immunoblotting for HA and Streptavidin (Figure S13).

Affinity-purified proteins from cortices were analyzed using quantitative liquid

chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (Figure 6B), identifying 30,815

unique peptides corresponding to 4,081 unique proteins (Supplemental Tables 2-4).

Principle component analyses (PCA) of BioID results showed that distinct clustering

between Cyto-BioID (control) and Ezrin-BioID (bait) samples, with further separation

between WT or LRRK2 G2019S^{ki/ki} astrocytes (Figure S14A). Radixin and Moesin, known

as Ezrin family proteins, were significantly enriched in the Ezrin-BioID sample compared

to Cyto-BioID (Figure S14B)[57]. Comparative analysis revealed 344 proteins with

significantly altered proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S^{ki/ki} astrocytes (fold-change >1.5

or < -1.5, *p* < 0.05); 190 gained, and 154 lost Ezrin proximity (Figure 6C; Figure S14C-D;

Supplemental Table 5). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of these proteins showed

enrichment in phospholipid binding, microtubule binding, and membrane transporter and

cell-adhesion mediator activity were over-represented in differentially enriched proteins

(Figure 6D; Supplemental Table 6). This aligns with our model that the LRRK2 G2019S

mutation would shift Ezrin towards its phosphorylated/open state, promoting membrane

interactions. Unexpectedly, GO-term analyses of biological processes highlighted

enrichment in catabolic processes, macroautophagy, negative regulation of developmental growth, and intracellular transport (Figure 6E; Supplemental Table 7). These findings indicated that Ezrin plays a role in these biological processes, which are altered by the LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

Previous studies showed macroautophagy deficits in neurons with the LRRK2 G2019S mutation[58]. To assess global cytosolic changes, we analyzed the Astro-Cyto-BioID dataset across genotypes, identifying 195 differentially enriched proteins (78 gained, 117 lost in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$; Figure S14E; Supplemental Table 8). GO-term analysis revealed an enrichment of proteins associated with phospholipid binding and vesicle trafficking (Figure S14F-G; Supplemental Tables 9-10). However, macroautophagy-related terms were not enriched, suggesting that the observed changes in Ezrin-BioID are specifically related to alterations in Ezrin function in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes.

BioID captures proteins in close proximity to the bait but does not guarantee direct interactions. To identify potential direct Ezrin interactors affected by LRRK2 G2019S, we compared protein abundance in Astro-Ezrin-BioID to Astro-Cyto-BioID within each genotype (Ezrin/Cyto fold -change > 1.5, p < 0.05, 346 proteins in WT and 335 proteins in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$; Supplemental Tables 11-12). To generate high-confidence lists of interactors that gained or lost proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes, we set a second requirement for proteins with fold-change > 1.5 or < -1.5 between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ and WT Astro-Ezrin-BioID. Using these filters, we narrowed down our list to 28 as "gained proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes" and 30 as "lost proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes" (Figure 6F-H; Supplemental Table 13). Upregulated proteins, such as Rala, Cops3, and Anks1a, are involved in vesicular

trafficking, cell signaling, and cytoskeletal regulation, aligning with enhanced ERM

phosphorylation and their recruitment to the plasma membrane. In contrast, the

downregulated proteins (e.g., Atg7, Cul4b, Myo1e, Irs1) suggest a disruption in

intracellular trafficking and autophagy due to excessive ERM phosphorylation. Together,

these data map Ezrin interactomes in WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse cortical

astrocytes *in vivo*, revealing changes in Ezrin interactions with proteins involved in

catabolic processes and autophagy. This highlights a potential molecular mechanism

linking LRRK2-Ezrin signaling to astrocyte morphogenesis.

Atg7 is an Ezrin interactor that is required for proper astrocyte morphogenesis

Among high-confidence astrocytic Ezrin interactors, we identified autophagy-

related gene 7 (Atg7), a crucial autophagy regulator, which was enriched (~2.3-fold) in

the Ezrin-BioID fraction of WT astrocytes but de-enriched (0.5-fold) in the Ezrin-BioID

fraction of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes compared to the Cyto-BioID. Atg7 is essential

for autophagy initiation and has been implicated in neurological disorders[59][60].

However, its role in astrocytes and its interaction with Ezrin is unclear. To explore this,

we used AlphaFold-2.0 Multimer[61] to model the structures of Ezrin and Atg7 alone or in

complex.

Atg7, a homodimer, has two globular domains: An N-terminal domain and a C-

terminal domain that are separated by a short flexible linker. The C-terminal domain is

further divided into the adenylation and extreme C-terminal domains (Figure 7A-B)[62].

Ezrin has an N-terminal FERM (four-point one, Ezrin, Radixin, Moesin) domain

(subdivided into three subdomains- F1, F2, and F3), α-helical domain, and a C-terminal

ERM Association domain (C-ERMAD) (Figure 7C)[56]. Upon phosphorylation of T567 and

binding to PIP2, Ezrin adopts an open conformation (Figure 7D; see Methods for details

of modeling)[63]. We then predicted the structure of the complex formed between the

closed conformation of Ezrin and the homodimer of Atg7 (Figure 7E). Alpha-fold 2.0

multimer, in conjunction with CABS-Flex 2.0 (https://biocomp.chem.uw.edu.pl/CABSflex2,

RRID: SCR_025674) and PyMol (http://www.pymol.org/, RRID: SCR_000305), were

used to predict and assess conformational changes in Atg7 and Ezrin after binding each

other (Figure 7F). When Ezrin binds Atg7, it is predicted to undergo drastic conformational

changes in its α-helical domain, which is pulled towards the ERMAD and FERM domains,

whereas the changes in the Atg7 dimer structure after Ezrin binding are minimal (Figure

7F).

To validate the interaction between Ezrin and Atg7, we performed co-

immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) in HEK293T cells overexpressing Myc-tagged Atg7 (Atg7-

Myc) and HA-tagged WT Ezrin. To understand how the open or closed conformation of

Ezrin would impact the Ezrin – Atg7 interaction, we also conducted Co-IPs with

modulation of Ezrin phosphorylation levels: phospho-mimetic T567D ("TD", open) and

phospho-dead T567A ("TA", closed) Ezrin. We found that WT Ezrin or phospho-dead

Ezrin coimmunoprecipitated with Myc-Atg7, indicating that Atg7 interacts with WT Ezrin

or phospho-dead Ezrin (Figure 7G). However, the interaction between Atg7 and phospho-

mimetic Ezrin was significantly reduced (Figure 7H). These results support a

phosphorylation-dependent interaction between Ezrin and Atg7, consistent with our BioID

data showing reduced Atg7-Ezrin interaction in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes.

To test if Atg7 is required for astrocyte morphology, we developed a short hairpin

RNA (shRNA) that targets both rat and mouse *Atg7,* which effectively reduced *Atg*7

mRNA in mouse cells (Figure S15A). Knockdown of Atg7 in rat astrocytes significantly

reduced neuron-induced astrocyte morphogenesis *in vitro* in a neuron-astrocyte co-

culture assay (Figure S15B-C). *In vivo*, Atg7 knockdown in WT astrocytes reduced

astrocyte territory volume and morphological complexity, resembling the LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes transfected with shControl (Figure 7I-K). In LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

astrocytes, Atg7 knockdown improved morphological complexity but did not further

reduce territory volume (Figure 7J-K). These findings highlight Atg7's role in regulating

astrocyte morphology and suggest its dysfunction in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes

contributes to altered morphology.

DISCUSSION

Here, we describe a previously unknown role for LRRK2, a PD-linked gene, in

controlling astrocyte morphological complexity by regulating the phosphorylation state of

ERM proteins. In the presence of LRRK2 G2019S mutation, we found enhanced ERM

phosphorylation in human and mouse cortical astrocytes. ERM hyperphosphorylation in

the LRRK2 G2019S background is specific to a subset of the ACC and MOp astrocytes

of juvenile and adult mice. Previous studies suggested a direct interaction between

LRRK2 and ERM proteins[18] and that ERM proteins are substrates of LRRK2[23, 24].

However, whether the enhanced ERM phosphorylation in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes is

due to a direct effect of LRRK2 kinase is unclear. Several other kinases, such as

lymphocyte-oriented kinase, STE20-like protein kinase (SLK), and Rho kinase, were

found to be involved in ERM phosphorylation[64], suggesting the enhanced ERM

phosphorylation we observed in LRRK2 G2019S brains may be an indirect effect of the

LRRK2 G2019S mutation on the activities of these kinases.

How does ERM phosphorylation control astrocyte morphology and synaptogenic functions?

The canonical function of ERM proteins is linking the actin cytoskeleton with the

plasma membrane, thus allowing cellular process outgrowth and morphogenesis[53]. Our

findings suggest that disruptions in the interaction between Ezrin and a core autophagy-

related protein, Atg7, contribute to the altered astrocyte morphology observed in LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. *In vivo* Ezrin interactome in astrocytes included several other proteins

related to autophagy, which changed their proximity to Ezrin in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

astrocytes, compared to WT astrocytes. These observations indicate that LRRK2

G2019S-induced ERM hyperphosphorylation may impair astrocyte autophagy and cause

astrocyte dysfunction.

Another intriguing observation is that, *in vivo*, knocking down Atg7 in WT

astrocytes reduces astrocyte morphological complexity. Atg7 is a homodimeric E1-like

enzyme essential for autophagy, which maintains cellular homeostasis by degrading and

recycling damaged organelles and proteins[59]. Autophagic dysregulation is linked to

neurodegenerative diseases, including those associated with PD-related LRRK2

mutations[40, 65][58]. However, the role of autophagy in astrocyte morphology and

synaptogenic functions is not well understood, nor is the impact of LRRK2 G2019S on

autophagy in astrocytes. It is possible that Atg7 depletion disrupts autophagic flux,

causing the accumulation of damaged components that compromise astrocyte structure

and function.

Our data also show that Atg7 knockdown in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes does not

worsen their morphological defects. The G2019S mutation enhances LRRK2's kinase

activity, leading to aberrant phosphorylation of Rab proteins and trapping them on the

membrane surface[66]. Our studies revealed that Atg7/Ezrin interaction requires the

unphosphorylated form of Ezrin. It is plausible that this interaction, which is impaired in

LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes, diminished astrocytic Atg7 function. Therefore, additional

knockdown of Atg7 in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes does not further reduce their size and

complexity. Instead, LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes may try to compensate by upregulating

catabolic pathways like ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. These mechanisms

are crucial for clearing misfolded or damaged proteins in the absence of functional

endolysosomal function and may help mitigate the loss of autophagic flux[67, 68]. Our

Ezrin BioID dataset also showed that Nedd4l, an E3 ubiquitin ligase[69], lost proximity to

Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes (Figure 6).

Astrocytes regulate synapse formation and function through cell adhesion

molecules and secreted proteins that signal to neurons[6]. Synaptogenic proteins like

Hevin and thrombospondins shape cortical excitatory circuits[70],[71]. ERM proteins are

known to link the actin cytoskeleton with the plasma membrane, supporting cellular

process outgrowth and morphogenesis[63, 72, 73], but their role in secretion is less

understood. Phosphorylation of ERM proteins may refine secretory mechanisms in

astrocytes or regulate synapse elimination via phagocytosis. In agreement with this

possibility, a recent study found that synapses are more likely to be eliminated if phospho-

ERM proteins are localized to peri-synaptic astrocyte processes in ALS patient brains and

mouse models[74]. Future research exploring the relationships between LRRK2, ERM,

and autophagy in astrocytes will help clarify these processes and their impact on brain

region-specific excitatory and inhibitory synapse phenotypes.

Implications for Therapeutic Development

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice do not recapitulate motor symptoms of PD because there

is no detectable dopaminergic neuron loss in these mice[41, 75]. However, these mice

may serve as good models for the non-motor (prodromal) PD symptoms seen in LRRK2

G2019S carriers[76]. These non-motor symptoms, which arise long before motor

dysfunction in PD, indicate aberrant synaptic connectivity within multiple brain circuits,

including the cortex, long before the death of dopaminergic neurons[77]. LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice display early circuit dysfunction and non-motor symptoms akin to

prodromal PD. Previous studies showed that LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice have deficits in

attention and goal-directed learning and differential response to stress[34, 78, 79]. Our

findings showing synaptic deficits in the ACC of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice (Figure 2-3) fit

well with these studies because the ACC is a brain region that controls attention, goal-

directed actions, and mood[80, 81]. Furthermore, we observed synaptic deficits in the

ACC of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice concurrently with astrocyte morphological deficits

located in the ACC and MOp.

Why do ERM phosphorylation and synaptic deficits happen in specific brain

regions of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice? Cortical astrocytes are heterogeneous in their gene

expression, morphology, and function[82, 83]. Interestingly, cortical astrocyte

heterogeneity is controlled by layer-specific neuron heterogeneity[82, 83]. It is plausible

that neurons and astrocytes in different cortical regions have varying levels of LRRK2 and

diverse susceptibilities to LRRK2 mutations. The ACC and MOp may highly depend on

the LRRK2-ERM pathway to maintain synaptic integrity and astrocyte function. A recent

single-nucleus RNA sequencing in the striatum revealed that the differential expression

level of LRRK2 is correlated with changes in the ciliogenesis of different cholinergic

neurons[84], suggesting that LRRK2 transcriptional states influence cell function in a cell-

type-specific manner. Future studies investigating the impacts of LRRK2 G2019S on

cortical neurons and astrocytes' transcriptional profiles may help explain why specific

brain regions and astrocyte populations are susceptible to the LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

In sum, our findings reveal that LRRK2 G2019S mutation-mediated ERM

hyperphosphorylation in astrocytes causes morphological dysfunction in cortical

astrocytes. Our results suggest that this astrocytic dysfunction could directly contribute to

excitatory synaptic deficits observed in the ACC of the LRRK2 G2019S mice. Restoring

astrocyte function by inhibiting ERM hyperphosphorylation, even post-development, was

sufficient to rectify excitatory synaptic pathology. Future studies aiming to identify the

molecular mechanisms by which LRRK2 controls ERM phosphorylation and the specific

phosphatases involved could lead to new therapeutic targets for PD.

Limitations of the study

In our study, we found that a subset of astrocytes in the ACC and MOp were

responsive to LRRK2 kinase activity. We also pinpoint that the balance of ERM

phosphorylation plays a crucial role in regulating astrocyte morphology in the ACC and

MOp. However, astrocytes display molecular, morphological, and functional

heterogeneities[85]. We do not yet know why these astrocytes in the ACC and MOp would

be sensitive to LRRK2 kinase activity and how these astrocytes are unique compared to

other astrocytes in terms of their transcriptional and proteomic profiles. Another future

direction would be to determine how the ERM phosphorylation balance modulates

astrocyte morphological changes and synapse changes. ERM is a crucial player in linking

F-actin to the cell membrane and is important for regulating membrane tension. Actin

cytoskeleton dynamics are important for astrocyte morphogenesis[86]. Future single-cell

multi-omics studies and experiments understanding how ERM phosphorylation alters

actin cytoskeleton dynamics in astrocytes will help us address these current limitations.

In addition, the difference in GFAP levels between human PD patients and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice reflects the complexity of human PD pathology, the limitations of single-

gene mouse models, and potential species-specific differences in astrocyte reactivity.

This discrepancy highlights the challenges in modeling human neurodegenerative

diseases in animal models and underscores the need for caution when translating

findings from animal studies to human disease. Furthermore, in our study, we used PALE

to label astrocytes. While PALE is a powerful tool that allows us to label a sparse

population of astrocytes in the brain and study their morphological complexity in detail, it

has limitations in labeling astrocytes in deep brain regions like the striatum and substantia

pars compacta. Future studies using systemic approaches may overcome this technical

limitation and clarify whether and how LRRK2 G2019S affects astrocyte morphology and

function in additional brain regions.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: ERM phosphorylation is impaired in PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S

mutation. (A) Schematic of human frontal cortex regions analyzed for phospho-ERM

staining. (B) Representative confocal images of GFAP (green) and phospho-ERM (purple)

in the frontal cortex of human controls (n = 4; 3M, 1F) and LRRK2 G2019S mutation

carriers (n = 3; 2M, 1F), aged >80 years. Scale bar, 200 μm. (C) Quantification of GFAP

integrated density in (B). GFAP: t (5) = 2.822, *p* = 0.037; phospho-ERM: t (5) = 5.695, *p*

= 0.0023. Grey dots: individual images; black dots: control averages; blue dots: mutation

carrier averages. (D) Schematic of mouse anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and primary

motor cortex (MOp) analyzed for phospho-ERM staining. (E-F) Representative confocal

images of phospho-ERM in the ACC and the MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-

eGFP mice at P21. Scale bar, 10 μm. (G-H) Representative confocal images of phospho-

ERM in the ACC and MOp of WT (n = 4; 2M, 2F) or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP

mice (n = 4; 2M, 2F) at P21. Scale bar, 200 μm. (I) Quantification of phospho-ERM

integrated density in (G-H). For the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (6) =

4.247, *p* = 0.0054. For the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (6) = 2.392, *p*

= 0.0536. (J-K) Representative confocal images of phospho-ERM (purple) in the ACC

and MOp of WT (n = 4; 2M, 2F) or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP (n = 4; 2M, 2F) mice

at P84. Scale bar, 200 μm. (L) Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (J-K),

For the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (6) = 4.383, *p* = 0.0047. For the

MOp, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (6) = 3.898, *p* = 0.0080. Grey dots:

individual images; black dots: WT averages; blue dots: mutant averages.

Figure 2: LRRK2 G2019S affects excitatory and inhibitory synapse densities in the ACC and MOp. (A-B) Schematics of analyzed brain regions (ACC and MOp) and methods for quantifying VGluT1-PSD95 and VGAT-GEPHYRIN colocalized puncta. (C) Representative images of VGluT1-PSD95 staining in ventral ACC L1 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice at P84. Scale bar, 10 μm. (D) Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 puncta in ventral ACC L1 and L2-3, normalized to WT means. n = 5/group (3M, 2F). Nested One-way ANOVA: [$F(3, 56) = 12.48$, $p < 0.0001$]. Bonferroni's test: L1 ($p < 0.0001$), L2-3 ($p = 0.0002$). (E) Representative images of VGluT1-PSD95 staining in MOp L1 at P84. Scale bar, 10 μm. (F) Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 puncta in MOp L1 and L2-3. n = 5/group (3M, 2F). No significant differences (Bonferroni's test: $p > 0.05$). (G) Representative images of VGAT-GEPHYRIN staining in ventral ACC L1. Scale bar, 10 μm. (H) Quantification of VGAT-GEPHYRIN puncta in ACC L1 and L2-3, normalized to WT means. n = 4/group (2M, 2F). No significant differences (Bonferroni's test: $p > 0.05$). (I) Representative images of VGAT-GEPHYRIN staining in MOp L1. Scale bar, 10 μm. (J) Quantification of VGAT-GEPHYRIN puncta in MOp L1 and L2-3. n = 6/group (3M, 3F). Nested One-way ANOVA: [$F(3, 68) = 25.88$, $p < 0.0001$]. Bonferroni's test: L1 ($p < 0.0001$), L2-3 ($p < 0.0001$). Grey dots: individual images; black dots: WT averages; blue dots: mutant averages.

Figure 3: LRRK2 G2019S affects excitatory and inhibitory synapse function in the ACC and MOp. (A) Representative mEPSC traces from ventral ACC L2-3 pyramidal neurons in WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. (B) Cumulative probability and quantification of mEPSC frequency: n = 15 (WT), 13 (LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]) neurons, 4 mice/genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: D = 0.504, $p < 0.001$. Mean frequency: WT (2.878 ± 0.6355),

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (0.9673 ± 0.2328). Unpaired t-test: t (6) = 2.823, p = 0.0302. (C)

Cumulative probability and quantification of mEPSC amplitude: n = 14 (WT), 12 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: D = 0.194, p < 0.0001. Mean amplitude:

WT (15.5 ± 0.6325), LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (13.9 ± 0.9017). Unpaired t-test: t (6) = 1.451, p

= 0.197. (D) Representative mIPSC traces from MOp L2-3 pyramidal neurons in WT and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. (E) Cumulative probability and quantification of mIPSC

frequency: n = 12 (WT), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons, 4 WT, 3 LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

mice. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: D = 0.424, p < 0.0001. Mean frequency: WT (0.7788 ±

0.1012), LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (1.301 ± 0.07291). Unpaired t-test: t (5) = 3.883, p = 0.0116.

(F) Cumulative probability and quantification of mIPSC amplitude: n = 12 (WT), 11

(LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: D = 0.382, p < 0.0001. Mean

amplitude: WT (8.633 ± 0.4883), LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (9.834 ± 0.5558). Unpaired t-test: t

(5) = 1.62, p = 0.1662. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 4: Overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin in adult LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

astrocytes restores excitatory synapse number and function in the ventral ACC. (A)

Representative ventral ACC images of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with

AAV-HA-WT or Phospho-dead EZRIN, stained for HA and phospho-ERM (P84). Scale

bar, 200 μm. (B) Quantification of phospho-ERM intensity (n = 6/group, 3 males, 3

females). One-way ANOVA [F(3, 20) = 31.62, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni's test: significant

differences between WT + WT EZRIN vs. LRRK2 + WT EZRIN (p < 0.0001), and LRRK2

+ WT EZRIN vs. LRRK2 + Phospho-dead EZRIN (p < 0.0001). No significant differences

for other comparisons (p > 0.05). (C-D) Representative ACC L1 and L2-3 images of

VGluT1/PSD95 staining (P84). Scale bar, 10 μm. Quantification of co-localized puncta

normalized to WT + WT EZRIN (n = 5–6/group). L1: One-way ANOVA [F(3, 19) = 5.882, p = 0.0051], Bonferroni's test: significant differences among WT + WT EZRIN, LRRK2 + WT EZRIN, and their Phospho-dead counterparts (p < 0.05). L2-3: One-way ANOVA [F(3, 18) = 10.45, p = 0.0003], Bonferroni's test: significant group differences (p < 0.05). (E) Representative mEPSC traces from L2-3 pyramidal neurons. (F) Synaptic event frequency quantification (n = 11–15 neurons from 4 mice/group). Kruskal-Wallis test [H(3) = 36.83, p < 0.0001], Dunn's posthoc: significant differences between WT + WT EZRIN vs. all other groups (p < 0.0001), except LRRK2 + Phospho-dead EZRIN (p > 0.9999). (G) Synaptic event amplitude (n = 11–15 neurons from 4 mice/group). Kruskal-Wallis test [H(3) = 7.051, p = 0.0703]; no significant differences across groups (p > 0.05). Data are mean ± s.e.m. Data are mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 5: Astrocytic LRRK2 controls astrocyte morphology by balancing ERM phosphorylation levels *in vivo*. (A) Representative images of ACC and MOp L2-3 astrocytes in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (P21) expressing PB-mCherry-CAAX ± Phospho-dead EZRIN. Astrocyte territory in cyan. Scale bar, 10 µm. (B) Astrocyte territory volume (n = 16–18 astrocytes, 6 mice/group). One-way ANOVA [F(3, 20) = 7.987, p = 0.0011] with Bonferroni's test showed significant differences: WT vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (p = 0.0178), WT vs. WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0037), and WT + Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0165); other comparisons were not significant (p > 0.05). (C) Astrocyte branching complexity. n = 16–18 astrocytes (6 mice per group). Two-way ANOVA showed main effects of condition [F(2.505, 3305) = 158.4, p < 0.0001], radius [F(88, 1602) = 218.5, p < 0.0001], and their interaction [F(264, 3958) = 10.62, p < 0.0001]. Bonferroni's test identified

significant differences between groups (all $p < 0.0001$), except WT vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

+ Phospho-dead EZRIN O/E (p = 0.5469). (D) Proposed model of Ezrin conformational

transition and LRRK2 regulation in astrocyte morphogenesis. (E) Images of ACC and

MOp L2-3 astrocytes expressing shControl-mCherry-CAAX or shLRRK2-mCherry-CAAX

± Phospho-mimetic EZRIN. Scale bar, 10 μm. (F) Astrocyte territory volume. n = 18–22

astrocytes (5–6 mice/group). One-way ANOVA [F(3, 19) = 5.47, p = 0.0070] with

Bonferroni's test showed significant differences between shControl and shLRRK2 (p =

0.0132), and shLRRK2 vs. shLRRK2 + Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E (p = 0.0152); no

other comparisons were significant ($p > 0.05$). (G) Astrocyte branching complexity. n =

16–22 astrocytes. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant main effects of condition

[F(2.435, 7008) = 225.0, $p < 0.0001$], radius [F(88, 8633) = 325.4, $p < 0.0001$], and their

interaction [F(264, 8633) = 5.908, $p < 0.0001$]. Bonferroni's test identified significant

differences between most groups (all $p < 0.0001$) except shControl vs. shControl +

Phospho-mimetic EZRIN O/E ($p > 0.9999$). Data are mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 6: LRRK2 G2019S alters the interactome of astrocytic Ezrin *in vivo*. (A)

astrocyte-specific AAVs (serotype PHP.eB) were used to express Ezrin or nonspecific

cytosolic control. NES, nuclear export sequence; ITR, inverted terminal repeats;

GfaABC1D truncated GFAP promoter; HA, hemagglutinin tag; pA, polyadenylation. (B)

outline of the experimental paradigm. n = 3 biological replicates/construct/genotype (1

replicate = 2 animals per pooled sample). (C) Volcano plot showing the differential

abundance of proteins detected by Astro-EZRIN-BioID in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

cortices. (D-E) Bars show the top 10 most significant Gene Ontology (GO) terms, ordered

by lowest adjusted p-value, for the proteins differentially detected by Astro-EZRIN-BioID

in WT compared to LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] (D) Molecular function (E) Biological Process. (F) The interaction network depicts 58 high-confidence proteins that gained or lost proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] compared to WT mice. (G-H) heatmaps depict fold-change in abundance (Astro-EZRIN-BioID / Asto-Cyto-BioID) of proteins with high confidence changed proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes.

Figure 7: Interaction Between Atg7 and Ezrin Depends on Ezrin's Phosphorylation State. (A) Schematic of Atg7 domains. (B) Predicted Atg7 homodimer model. (C) Schematic of Ezrin domains. (D) Predicted Ezrin structures in closed and open conformations. (E) Predicted Atg7-Ezrin interaction. (F) Structural changes in Ezrin and Atg7 upon binding. (G) Co-immunoprecipitation of Ezrin-HA by Atg7-Myc in HEK293T cells expressing WT, phospho-dead, or phospho-mimetic Ezrin. Ezrin was detected with anti-HA and Atg7 was detected with anti-Myc. (H) Quantification of (G). One-way ANOVA [$F_{(2,6)}$ = 9.932, p = 0.0125] with Tukey's test: WT vs. phospho-mimetic Ezrin (p = 0.0151), phospho-dead vs. phospho-mimetic Ezrin (p = 0.0272), WT vs. phospho-dead Ezrin (p = 0.8658). n = 3 experiments. (I) Images of ACC and MOp L2-3 astrocytes at P21 expressing shControl or shAtg7-PB-mCherry-CAAX. Scale bar, 10 µm. (J) Quantification of astrocyte territories. Nested ANOVA [$F_{(3,28)}$ = 9.484, p = 0.0002] with Bonferroni tests: WT shControl vs. LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] shControl (p = 0.0036), WT shControl vs. WT shAtg7 (p = 0.0003), WT shAtg7 vs. LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] shAtg7 (p = 0.0109). n = 20–25 cells from 4–5 mice/group. (K) Astrocyte branching complexity. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant condition [$F_{(2.324, 472.6)}$ = 43.1, p < 0.05], radius [$F_{(9, 250)}$ = 301.8, p < 0.05], and interaction effects [$F_{(27, 610)}$ = 4.539, p < 0.05]. Bonferroni tests showed

672   significant differences between groups, including WT shControl vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

673   shControl ($p < 0.0001$) and WT shControl vs. WT shAtg7 ($p < 0.0001$).

674   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

675   **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
β-actin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A5441, RRID: AB_476744
ERM	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3142, RRID:AB_2100313
GAPDH	Abcam	Cat# ab8245, RRID:AB_2107448
Gephyrin	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 147 011, RRID:AB_887717
GFAP	Agilent	Cat# Z0334, RRID:AB_10013382
GFAP	Millipore	Cat# AB5541, RRID:AB_177521
GFP	Aves labs	GFP-1020, RRID:AB_10000240
HA	Roche	Cat# 11867423001, RRID:AB_390918
L1 (ASCS4)	DSHB	Cat# ascs4, RRID:AB_528349
LRRK2	Abcam	Cat# ab133474, RRID:AB_2713963
phospho-ERM	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3141, RRID:AB_330232
phospho-ERM	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3726, RRID: AB_10560513
phospho-Rab10	Abcam	Cat# ab230261, RRID:AB_2811274
PSD-95	Innovative Research	Cat# 51-6900, RRID: AB_87705
Sox9	Millipore	Cat# AB5535, RRID:AB_2239761
VGAT	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 131 004, RRID: AB_887873
VGluT1	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 135304, RRID: AB_887878
S100β	Millipore Sigma	Cat# S2532, RRID: AB_477499
Iba1	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 234009, RRID: AB_2891282
MAP2	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 13-1500, RRID:AB_2533001
Lambda protein phosphatase	New England Biolabs	Cat# P0753L
Bacterial and virus strains		
One Shot STBL3	Thermo Fisher	Cat# C737303
AAV PhP.eB	This paper	N/A
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		

AraC	Sigma	Cat# C1768
B27	GIBCO	Cat# 17504044
B27 Plus	GIBCO	Cat# A3582801
BDNF	PeproTech	Cat# 450-02
Carboxypeptidase E	R&D	Cat# 3587-ZN
CNTF	PeproTech	Cat# 450-13
DMEM	GIBCO	Cat# 11960
DPBS	GIBCO	Cat# 14287
Dystroglycan	R&D	Cat# 6868-DG
Fetal Bovine Serum	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 10-437-028
Forskolin	Sigma	Cat# F6886
Glycerol	Acros Organics	Cat# AC158920010
L-Glutamine	GIBCO	Cat# 25030-081
Hydrocortisone	Sigma	Cat# H0888-5G
Insulin	Sigma	Cat# I1882
Neurobasal	GIBCO	Cat# 21103049
Neurobasal Plus	GIBCO	Cat# A3582901
Odyssey Blocking Buffer	LI-COR Biosciences	Cat# 927-40000
Opti-MEM	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 11058021
Paraformaldehyde	Electron microscopy Sciences	Cat# 19210
Pen/Strep	GIBCO	Cat# 15140
PhosSTOP™	Roche	Cat# 4906845001
Poly-D-Lysine	Sigma	Cat# P6407
Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Roche	Cat# 4693132001
RIPA Buffer	Sigma	Cat# R0278
Sodium Pyruvate	GIBCO	Cat# 11360-070
Tissue-Tek® O.C.T. Compound	Sakura® Finetek	Cat# 4583
Triton X-100	Roche	Cat# 11332481001
Critical commercial assays		
Endo-Free Maxi Prep Kit	QIAGEN	Cat# 12362
Experimental models: cell lines		
HEK293T	ATCC	Cat# CRL-11268, RRID:CVCL_1926

Rat primary cortical neurons	This paper	N/A
Rat primary cortical astrocytes	This paper	N/A
Experimental models: organisms/strains		
Mouse: Aldh1L1-EGFP	MMRRC	RRID: MMRRC_011015-UCD
Mouse: CD1	Charles River	RRID: IMSR_CRL:022
Mouse: LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$	Taconic	RRID: IMSR_TAC:13940
Rat: Sprague-Dawley	Charles River	Cat# 001, RRID:IMSR_CRL:400
Recombinant DNA		
pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-BioID2-HA	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227681
pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227682
pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA plasmid	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227683
pLKO.1-sh*Atg7*-EGFP	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227684
pLKO.1-shControl-EGFP	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227685
pPB-CAG-sh*LRRK2*-mCherry-CAAX	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227686
pPB-CAG-sh*Atg7*-mCherry-CAAX	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227687
pPB-CAG-shControl-mCherry-CAAX	This paper	RRID:Addgene_227689
Software and algorithms		
GraphPad Prism 9	GraphPAD	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/, RRID:SCR_002798
ImageJ	NIH	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, RRID:SCR_003070
Puncta Analyzer	Savage et al., 2024[87]	https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/Syn_Bot, RRID:SCR_025425
Minhee Analysis	Kim et al., 2021[88]	https://github.com/parkgilbong/Minhee_Analysis_Pack; RRID:SCR_021250

In vitro Sholl analysis	Tan et al., 2023[89]	https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl, RRID:SCR_015795
CABS-Flex	CABS-Flex	https://biocomp.chem.uw.edu.pl/CABSflex2, RRID:SCR_025674
PyMol	PyMol	http://www.pymol.org/, RRID:SCR_000305
Imaris	Imaris	http://www.bitplane.com/imaris/imaris, RRID:SCR_007370
Simple Western Compass	Bio-techne	https://www.bio-techne.com/resources/instrument-software-download-center/compass-software-simple-western, RRID:SCR_022930
Alphafold	Alphafold	https://github.com/google-deepmind/alphafold, RRID:SCR_025454
AMBER	AMBER	https://github.com/CAMI-challenge/AMBER, RRID:SCR_016151
Proteome Discoverer	Thermofisher	https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/IQLAAEGABSFAKJMAUH, RRID:SCR_014477
Cytoscape	Cytoscape	http://cytoscape.org, RRID:SCR_003032
ClusterProfiler	ClusterProfiler	http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/clusterProfiler.html, RRID:SCR_016884
R	R Project for Statistical Computing	https://www.r-project.org/, RRID:SCR_001905
Gene Ontology (GO)	Gene Ontology	http://www.geneontology.org/, RRID:SCR_002811
Image Studio	LICOR	https://www.licor.com, RRID:SCR_015795

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and

will be fulfilled by the lead contacts, Shiyi Wang (shiyi.wang@duke.edu) and Cagla Eroglu

(cagla.eroglu@duke.edu).

Materials availability

The reagents generated in this study are available without restriction.

Data availability

The data generated during this study are available at the link

https://zenodo.org/records/15282825.

The proteomic data is uploaded to the repository ProteomeXchange via the PRIDE

database (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/), project accession number: PXD062312.

Code availability

The code used to analyze Sholl data is available via the link https://github.com/Eroglu-

Lab/Sholl_Macro.

Protocol availability

The protocols used for this study are available at the link

https://www.protocols.io/researchers/shiyi-wang1/publications

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

All mice and rats were used in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee (IACUC) and the Duke Division of Laboratory Animal Resources (DLAR)

oversight (IACUC Protocol Numbers A147-17-06 and A117-20-05). All mice and rats were

housed under typical day/night conditions of 12-hour cycles. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

(RRID: IMSR_TAC:13940) were obtained through Taconic. This model was generated on

the C57BL6/NTac background. It has been maintained on that pure background in

Taconic. Aldh1l1-EGFP (RRID: MMRRC_011015-UCD) mice were obtained through

MMRRC and backcrossed on a C57BL/6J background. Wild-type CD1 mice used for

PALE were purchased through Charles River Laboratories (RRID: IMSR_CRL:022).

Mice and rats were used for experiments as specified in the text and figure legends.

For all experiments, age, and sex-matched mice were randomly assigned to experimental

groups based on genotypes. Mice of both sexes were included in the analysis, and we

did not observe any influence or association of sex on the experimental outcomes.

Primary cortical neuron isolation and culture

Purified (glia-free) rat cortical neurons were prepared as described previously[36]. Briefly,

cortices from P1 rat pups of both sexes (Sprague Dawley, Charles River Laboratories,

SD-001) were micro-dissected, digested in papain (~7.5 units/ml) at 33°C for 45 minutes,

triturated in low and high ovomucoid solutions, resuspended in panning buffer (DPBS

(GIBCO 14287) supplemented with BSA and insulin) and passed through a 20 µm mesh

filter (Elko Filtering 03-20/14). Filtered cells were incubated on negative panning dishes

coated with Bandeiraea Simplicifolia Lectin 1 (x2), followed by goat anti-mouse IgG+IgM

(H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 115-005-044), and goat anti-rat IgG+IgM (H+L)

(Jackson ImmunoResearch 112-005-044) antibodies, then incubated on positive panning

dishes coated with mouse anti-L1 (ASCS4, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank,

Univ. Iowa) to bind cortical neurons. Adherent cells were collected by forceful pipetting

with a P1000 pipette. Isolated neurons were pelleted (11 minutes at 200 g) and

resuspended in serum-free neuron growth media (NGM; Neurobasal, B27 supplement,

2 mM L-Glutamine, 100 U/ml Pen/Strep, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 µg/ml Forskolin,

50 ng/mL BDNF, and 10 ng/mL CNTF). 70,000 neurons were plated onto 12 mm glass

coverslips coated with 10 µg/ml poly-D-lysine (PDL, Sigma P6407) and

2 µg/ml laminin and incubated at 37°C in 10% CO_2. On day *in-vitro* (DIV) 2, half of the

media was replaced with NGM Plus (Neurobasal Plus, B27 Plus, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep,

1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 µg/ml Forskolin, 50 ng/ml, BDNF, and 10 ng/ml CNTF) and

AraC (10 µM) was added to stop the growth of proliferating contaminating cells. On DIV

3, all the media was replaced with NGM Plus. In experiments involving lentivirus infection,

100 µl of supernatant containing lentivirus plus polybrene (1 µg/ml) was added to the

AraC NGM mixture on DIV 2 and completely washed out on DIV 3 and replaced with NGM

Plus containing 100 ng/ml BDNF. Neurons were fed on DIV 6 and DIV 9 by replacing half

of the media with NGM Plus.

Primary cortical astrocyte isolation and culture

Rat astrocyte isolation and culture

Rat cortical astrocytes were prepared as described previously[36]. P1 rat cortices from

both sexes were micro-dissected, papain digested, triturated in low and high ovomucoid

solutions, and resuspended in astrocyte growth media (AGM: DMEM (GIBCO 11960), 10%

FBS, 10 µM, hydrocortisone, 100 U/ml Pen/Strep, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 5 µg/ml Insulin,

1 mM Na Pyruvate, 5 µg/ml N-Acetyl-L-cysteine). Between 15-20 million cells were plated

on 75 mm^2 flasks (non-ventilated cap) coated with poly-D-lysine and incubated at 37°C

in 10% CO_2. On DIV 3, the removal of non-astrocyte cells was performed by forcefully

shaking closed flasks by hand for 10-15 s until only an adherent monolayer of astrocytes

remained. AraC was added to the media from DIV 5 to DIV 7 to eliminate contaminating

fibroblasts. On DIV 7, astrocytes were trypsinized (0.05% Trypsin-EDTA) and plated into

12-well or 6-well dishes. On DIV 8, cultured rat astrocytes were transfected

with shRNA and/or expression plasmids using Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent

(Thermo Scientific) per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 1 μg (12-well) or 2 μg (6-well)

total DNA was diluted in Opti-MEM containing Plus Reagent, mixed with Opti-MEM

containing LTX (1:2 DNA to LTX), and incubated for 30 minutes. The transfection solution

was added to astrocyte cultures and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. On DIV 10, astrocytes

were trypsinized, resuspended in NGM plus, plated (20,000 cells per well) onto DIV 10

neurons, and co-cultured for 48 hours.

shRNA plasmids

pLKO.1 Puro plasmids containing shRNA (pLKO.1-shRNA) against mouse/rat *Lrrk2*

(sh*LRRK2*: TRCN0000322193; GGCCGAGTTGTGGATCATATT) and mouse/rat *Atg7*

(sh*Atg7*: TRCN0000092163; TATTATTGAGTTCAGAGCTGG), were obtained from the

RNAi Consortium (TRC) via Dharmacon. Two scrambled shRNA sequences were

generated (GTTGCTGAATGGCGGATCTAT; GTTGCGGTTATGAATAGTACT) and

cloned into the pLKO.1 TRC cloning vector[90] according to Addgene protocols

(https://www.addgene.org/protocols/plko/). To generate pLKO.1 shRNA plasmids that

express EGFP (pLKO.1-shRNA-EGFP), CAG-EGFP was removed from pLenLox-shNL1-

CAG-EGFP[91] and inserted between Kpn1 and SpeI sites in pLKO.1 Puro, replacing

the puromycin resistance gene. pLKO.1 shRNA mCherry plasmids were generated by

replacing EGFP with mCherry between KpnI and NheI sites.

PiggyBac plasmids

pPB-CAG-EGFP (RRID:Addgene_40973) and pGLAST-PBase were a gift from Dr. Joseph Loturco[92]. To generate pPB-CAG-mCherry-CAAX, mCherry-CAAX was inserted between XmaI and NotI restrictions sites to replace EGFP. To insert the hU6 promoter and shRNA in pPB-CAG-mCherry-CAAX, a DNA fragment containing hU6 and shRNA was amplified from pLKO.1-shRNA using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) with primers that introduced SpeI restriction sites (Forward Primer: GGACTAGTCAGGCCCGAAGGAATAGAAG; Reverse Primer: GGACTAGTGCCAAAGTGGATCTCTGCTG). PCR products were purified, digested with SpeI, and ligated into pPB-CAG-mCherry-CAAX at the SpeI restriction site. An analytical digest with EcoRI followed by sequencing was used to confirm the orientation of the inserted DNA fragment.

Ezrin plasmids

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Lck-GCaMP6f was a gift from Dr. Baljit Khakh (Addgene plasmid #52924, RRID:Addgene_52924). pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-BioID2-HA was generated by PCR of BioID2 from pAAV-hSyn-BioID2-Linker-Synapsin1a-HA[93] (primers Fwd: 5'-ctagcctcgagaattcaccatgttcaaaaatcttatttg-3', Rev: 5'-ccgggtcgactctagatgcgtaatccggtacatcg-3') and insertion into the EcoRI and XbaI restriction sites of pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Lck-GCaMP6f using In-Fusion cloning (TaKaRa). pHJ421(pEGFP-Ezrin WT) and pHJ423 (pEGFP-Ezrin T567D) were a gift from Stephen Shaw (Addgene plasmid # 20680 and # 20681; RRID:Addgene_20680, RRID:Addgene_20681). pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-BioID2-HA (RRID:Addgene_227681) and pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA

(RRID:Addgene_227682) were generated by PCR of Ezrin from pHJ421 or pHJ423

(primers Fw: 5'-ctagcctcgagaattcaccatgccgaaaccaatca-3', Rv: 5'-

tgaacatggtgaattccgacagggcctcgaactcg-3') and insertion into the EcoRI restriction sites of

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-BioID2, respectively. To generate pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin

T567A-BioID2-HA plasmid, Q5® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) was used with

mutagenesis primers (Fwd: CAAGTACAAGGCGCTGCGGCAGA; Rev:

TCCCGGCCTTGCCTCATG)

Lentivirus production and transduction

Lentiviruses containing shRNA targeting vectors were produced to test the knockdown

efficiency of shRNA constructs in cultured primary astrocytes or to bulk transduce

neurons with shRNA and GFP. To produce lentivirus, HEK293T cells were transfected

with a pLKO.1 shRNA Puro targeting plasmid (for astrocyte transduction), an envelope

plasmid (VSVG) (RRID:Addgene_12259), and a packaging plasmid (dR8.91) using X-

tremeGENE (Roche). One day after transfection, the media was replaced with AGM (for

astrocyte transduction), and media containing lentivirus was collected on days 2 and 3

post-transfection. To assess the knockdown efficiency of shRNAs in astrocytes, rat

primary astrocytes at DIV 7 were plated in 6-well dishes in 2 ml of AGM. On DIV 8, 1 ml

of AGM was removed, and 500 µl of fresh AGM was added along with 500 µl of lentivirus-

containing media and 1 µg/ml polybrene. Cultured astrocytes were treated with

puromycin (1 µg/ml) from DIV 10-15 to select for transduced cells. Cultured astrocytes

were lysed at DIV 15 for protein extraction and Western blot analysis.

Immunocytochemistry

Astrocyte-neuron co-cultures on glass coverslips were fixed on DIV 12 with warm 4%

PFA for 7 minutes, washed 3 times with PBS, blocked in a blocking buffer containing 50%

normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.4% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes, and washed in PBS.

Samples were then incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies diluted in blocking

buffer containing 10% NGS, washed with PBS, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated

secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) for 2 hours at room temperature, and washed

again in PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto glass slides (VWR Scientific) with

Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI (Vector Labs), sealed with nail polish, and

imaged on an AxioImager M1 (Zeiss) fluorescence microscope. Images of healthy

astrocytes with strong expression of fluorescent markers that did not overlap with other

fluorescent astrocytes were acquired at 40x magnification in red, green, and/or DAPI

channels using a CCD camera. Astrocyte morphological complexity was analyzed in FIJI

using the Sholl analysis plugin[94], as described previously[36]

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl, RRID: SCR_022758). Statistical analyses

were conducted using a custom code in R (https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl,

RRID: SCR_022758). A mixed-effect model with multiple comparisons made using the

Tukey post-test was used for Sholl analysis to account for the variability per experiment

as a random effect to evaluate differences between the conditions. The exact number of

independent experiments and the exact number of cells analyzed are indicated in the

figure legend for each experiment. To ensure the health of astrocyte-neuron co-cultures,

the peak for the number of astrocyte intersections must be greater than or equal to 25 in

the control condition. We imaged non-overlapping astrocytes that contained a single

nucleus (DAPI stain) and expressed consistent fluorescent markers and Ezrin constructs

according to the experimental conditions.

Postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE)

Late P0/early P1 mice were sedated by hypothermia until anesthetized, and 1 µl

of plasmid DNA mixed with Fast Green Dye was injected into the lateral ventricle of one

hemisphere using a pulled glass pipette (Drummond). For shRNA knockdown

experiments in wild-type CD1 mice, the 1 µl of DNA contained 1 µg of pGLAST-PBase

and 1 µg of pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX was injected. To label astrocytes in WT

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, the 1 µl of DNA contained 1 µg of pGLAST-PBase and 1

µg of pPB-mCherry-CAAX was injected per mouse.

For PALE-mediated overexpression of phospho-mimetic Ezrin in shRNA knockdown

experiments, 0.5 µg pGLAST-PBase, 0.5 µg pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX, and 1 µg

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA were injected in a total volume of 1 µl. For

phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, 0.5 µg pGLAST-

PBase, 0.5 µg of pZac2.1-gfaABC1D-mCherry-CAAX and 1 µg of pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-

Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA were injected in a total volume of 1 µl. Following DNA injection,

electrodes were oriented with the positive terminal above the frontal cortex and the

negative terminal below the chin, and 5 discrete 50 ms pulses of 100 V spaced 950 ms

apart were applied. Pups were recovered on a heating pad, returned to their home cage,

and monitored until collection at P21. The number of mice used for each experiment is

indicated in the figure legends. All animals appeared healthy at the time of collection.

Brain sections were examined for the presence of electroporated cells before staining.

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) production and administration

Purified AAVs were produced as described previously[95]. Briefly, HEK293T cells were transfected with pAd-DELTA F6, serotype plasmid AAV PHP.eB (RRID: Addgene_103005), and AAV plasmid (pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin-BioID2-HA; RRID:Addgene_227681 or pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA; RRID:Addgene_227683). Three days after transfection, cells were collected in 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, and lysed with repeat freeze-thaw cycles followed by treatment with Benzonase (Novagen 70664) at 37°C for 30 minutes. Lysed cells were pelleted by centrifugation, and the supernatant, containing AAVs, was applied to an Optiprep density gradient (Sigma D1556, 15%, 25%, 40%, and 60%) and centrifuged at 67,000 rpm using a Beckman Ti-70 rotor for 1 hour. The AAV-enriched fraction was isolated from between 40% and 60% iodixanol solution and concentrated by repeated washes with sterile PBS in an Amicon Ultra-15 filtration unit (NMWL: 100 kDa, Millipore UFC910008) to a final volume of ~100 µl and aliquoted for storage at −80°C. 9-week-old WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice placed in a stereotaxic frame were anesthetized through inhalation of 1.5% isoflurane gas. 10 µl of purified AAVs having a titer of ~1 x 10^{12} GC/ml was introduced into the mouse brain intravenously by injection into the retro-orbital sinus. After 3 weeks at 12-week-old, mice were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg Tribromoethanol (Avertin) and transcardially perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room temperature (RT). Harvested brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, and the brain blocks were prepared with O.C.T. (TissueTek) to store at −80°C. 30 µm thick brain sections were obtained through

880   cryosectioning using a Leica CM3050S (Leica, Germany) vibratome and stored in a

881   mixture of TBS and glycerol at −20°C for further free-float antibody staining procedures.

Immunohistochemistry on mouse brain sections

Mice used for immunohistochemistry were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg Avertin and

perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% PFA. Brains were collected and post-fixed in 4% PFA

overnight, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, frozen in a solution containing 2 parts 30%

sucrose and 1-part O.C.T. (TissueTek), and stored at −80°C. Floating coronal tissue

sections of 30 μm, 40 μm or 100 μm thickness were collected and stored in a 1:1 mixture

of TBS/glycerol at −20°C. For immunostaining, sections were washed in 1x TBS

containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (TBST), blocked in 10% NGS diluted in TBST, and

incubated in primary antibody for 2-3 nights at 4°C with gentle shaking. Primary antibodies

used were anti-LRRK2 (Rabbit, 1:500; ab133474, Abcam, RRID:AB_2713963), HA (Rat,

1:500; 11867423001, Roche, RRID:AB_390918), phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:500; 3141,

Cell Signaling, RRID:AB_330232), Sox9 (Rabbit, 1:500; AB5535, Millipore Sigma,

RRID:AB_2239761), GFAP (Rabbit, 1:500; Z0334, Agilent DAKO, RRID:AB_177521),

VGluT1 (Guinea pig, 1:2000; 135304, Synaptic Systems, RRID: AB_887878), PSD95

(Rabbit, 1:300; 51-6900, Innovative Research, RRID: AB_87705), VGAT (Guinea pig,

1:1000; 131004, Synaptic Systems, RRID: AB_887873), Gephyrin (Rabbit, 1:1000;

147011, Synaptic Systems, RRID:AB_887717), S100β (Mouse IgG1, 1:200; S2532,

Millipore Sigma, RRID: AB_477499), MAP2 (Mouse IgG1, 1:200; 13-1500, Thermo Fisher

Scientific, RRID:AB_2533001), and Iba1 (Chicken, 1:500; 234009, Synaptic Systems,

RRID: AB_2891282). For lambda protein phosphatase (λ-PPase) treatment, brain

sections were divided into two groups: λ-PPase treatment group and a control group.

Brain sections in the treatment group were incubated with λ-PPase at 30°C for 2 hours,

while brain sections in the control group were incubated under identical conditions without

the enzyme. All sections were blocked in 5% normal goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100

for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by overnight incubation with primary antibodies

at 4°C. Following the primary incubation, sections were washed in TBST, incubated in

Alexa Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 (Life Technologies) for 2-3

hours at room temperature, washed with TBST, and mounted onto glass slides using a

homemade mounting media (90% Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl gallate)

and sealed with nail polish. Images were acquired with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope.

Immunohistochemistry on human brain sections

Floating human frontal cortex sections of 40 µm thickness were obtained from Banner

Sun Health Research Institute in Sun City, Arizona (4 control and 3 LRRK2 G2019S

mutation carrier subjects). None of the control subjects had a history of dementia or

neurological or psychiatric disorders at the time of death (See Supplemental Table 1).

Informed and written consent was obtained from the donors. For immunostaining,

sections were washed in 1x TBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (TBST), blocked in 3%

NGS diluted in TBST, and incubated in primary antibody 2-3 nights at 4°C with shaking.

Primary antibodies used were GFAP (chicken, 1:250; AB5541, Millipore Sigma,

RRID:AB_177521), phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:250; 3726, Cell Signaling, RRID:

AB_10560513), S100β (Mouse IgG1, 1:200; S2532, Millipore Sigma, RRID: AB_477499),

and MAP2 (Mouse IgG1, 1:200; 13-1500, Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_2533001).

Following primary incubation, sections were washed in TBST, incubated in Alexa Fluor

conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 (Life Technologies) for 2-3 hours at room

temperature, washed with TBST, and mounted onto glass slides using a homemade

mounting media (90% Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl gallate) and sealed

with nail polish.

Mouse astrocyte territory volume analysis

To assess the territory volume of individual astrocytes in the mouse cortex, 100 μm-thick

floating sections containing anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and primary motor cortex

(MOp) astrocytes labeled sparsely via PALE with mCherry-CAAX were collected. High-

magnification images containing an entire astrocyte (50-60 μm z-stack) were acquired

with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope with the 60x objective. Criteria for data inclusion

required that the entirety of the astrocyte could be captured within a single brain section

and that the astrocyte was in L2-3 of the ACC or MOp. Astrocytes in which the entire

astrocyte could not be captured within the section or was in other layers or outside of the

ACC or MOp were not imaged. Imaged astrocytes were analyzed using Imaris Bitplane

software (RRID: SCR_007370) as described previously[36]. Briefly, surface

reconstructions were generated, and the Imaris Xtensions "Visualize Surface Spots" and

"Convex Hull" were used to create an additional surface render representing the territory

of the astrocyte. The volume of each territory was recorded, and astrocyte territory sizes

from biological replicates were analyzed across experimental conditions using a nested

two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni posthoc test. For 3D Sholl analysis of

individual PALE astrocytes, we first loaded images onto Imaris and then created a surface.

After generating the surface of astrocytes, we created filaments using 'Add new filament

(leaf icon)'. For the quantification of complexity, we clicked on the gear tool on Imaris to

display Sholl intersections. The number of animals and cells/animals analyzed are

specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Mouse synapse imaging and analysis

Staining, image acquisition, and analysis of synaptic density were performed as described

previously[96]. Synaptic staining was performed in coronal sections (30 µm thick)

containing the ACC and MOp from WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. To label pre and

postsynaptic proteins, the following antibody combinations were used: VGluT1 and

PSD95 (excitatory, intracortical), VGAT, and GEPHYRIN (inhibitory). High magnification

60x objective plus 1.64x optical zoom z-stack images containing 15 optical sections

spaced 0.34 µm apart were obtained using an Olympus FV 3000 inverted confocal

microscope. Each z-stack was converted into 5 maximum projection images (MPI), each

corresponding to a 1 µm section of z plane, using FIJI. Synapses were identified by the

colocalization of pre and postsynaptic puncta. The number of co-localized synaptic puncta

of excitatory intracortical (VGluT1-PSD95) and inhibitory (VGAT-GEPHYRIN) synapses

were obtained using the FIJI plugin Puncta Analyzer[46]. 15 MPIs were analyzed for each

mouse (5 MPI/tissue section, 3 tissue sections/mouse). Between 4 and 5, age and sex-

matched mice/genotype/condition were analyzed for each synaptic staining combination,

as indicated in the figure legends. All animals appeared healthy at the time of collection.

No data were excluded.

Cell counting, imaging, and analysis

Tile scan images containing the anterior cingulated cortex (ACC) and primary motor

cortex (MOp) from P21 WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$; Aldh1l1-eGFP mice were acquired

on a confocal Leica SP8 STED microscope using the galvo scanner and 20x objective.

For ALDH1L1-EGFP and SOX9 double positive cell counting in the ACC and MOp, the

cells labeled with ALDH1L1-EGFP and SOX9 were counted by hand using the cell

counter tool in FIJI. 2-4 sections per brain from 3 sex and age-matched mice/genotype

were analyzed.

Biochemical assays

mRNA extraction and cDNA preparation

Cells stored in TRIzol (15596026, Invitrogen) were thawed and resuspended in 1 mL of

TRIzol. After adding 200 µL of chloroform, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 g for

15 minutes at 4°C. The aqueous phase was collected, and RNA was precipitated with

GlycoBlue Coprecipitant (AM9515, Invitrogen) and isopropanol. The RNA pellet was

washed with 75% ethanol, air-dried, and resuspended in 40 µL of nuclease-free water.

The RNA was then isolated using the Zymo Research RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 Kit

(R1014, Zymo), quantified with the Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (Q32852, Invitrogen), and

diluted to equalize concentrations. Finally, cDNA libraries were generated using qScript

cDNA SuperMix (101414-102, VWR) with a temperature profile of 25°C for 5 minutes,

42°C for 30 minutes, and 85°C for 5 minutes, and the resulting cDNA was diluted threefold

and stored at −80°C.

Real-time qPCR

cDNA samples were plated on a 96-well qPCR plate and incubated with Fast SYBR

Green Master Mix (4385616, Applied Biosystems), nuclease-free water, and the forward

and reverse primers of interest at a ratio of 5 µl SYBR: 3 µl water: 0.5 µl forward primer:

0.5 µl reverse primer: 1 µl sample. Each sample was plated two to four times to ensure

technical replicates. A control sample (water with primers and Master Mix) served as a

negative control. Cycle threshold values were collected for each well and normalized to

GAPDH as a housekeeping gene. The sequences of forward (F) and reverse (R) primers

used (5′→ 3′) are:

Atg7: (F) 5′- GTTCGCCCCCTTTAATAGTGC -3′ and (R) 5′-

TGAACTCCAACGTCAAGCGG -3′

Protein extraction and Western blotting

Protein was extracted from cultured rat astrocytes using membrane solubilization buffer

(25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% NP-40, and protease

inhibitors). Cultured astrocytes were washed twice with ice-cold TBS containing 1 mM

$CaCl_2$ and 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and incubated on ice in membrane solubilization buffer for

20 minutes with occasional agitation. Cell lysates were collected, vortexed briefly, and

centrifuged at 4°C at high speed for 10 minutes to pellet non-solubilized material. The

supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C.

Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher) was used to determine protein

concentration, and lysates were mixed with 4x Pierce™ Reducing Sample Buffer (Thermo

Scientific) and incubated at 45°C for 45 minutes to denature proteins. 7-10 µg (cultured

astrocyte lysates) of protein was loaded into Bolt™ 4–12% Bus-Tris Plus gels (Thermo

Scientific) and run at 150 V for 1 hour. Proteins were transferred at 100 V to PVDF

membrane (Millipore) for 1.5 hours, blocked in 5% BSA in TBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM

KCl, 24.7 mM Tris-Base, 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20) for 1 hour and incubated in primary

antibodies overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were: anti-LRRK2 (Rabbit, 1:500;

ab133474, abcam, RRID:AB_2713963), GAPDH (mouse, 1:5000; ab8245, abcam,

RRID:AB_2107448), β-actin (mouse, 1:5000; A5441, Millipore Sigma, RRID:AB_476744).

The next day, membranes were washed with TBST, incubated in HRP secondary

antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 hours, washed in TBST, and imaged on a

Biorad Gel Doc imaging system. Protein levels were quantified using FIJI.

Coimmunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation assays were performed in HEK293T cells. Cells were

transfected 36 hours prior to lysis with Ezrin/Atg7 cDNA using X-tremeGENE HP (Roche)

and grown to 85-90% confluency. At 36 hours post-transfection, cells were rinsed with 1x

PBS and collected for lysis. Cellular lysis and protein extraction was conducted via brief

vortexing in chilled membrane solubilization buffer (25mM HEPES, 150mM KCl, 1.5mM

MgCl2, 0.5% NP40, 10% Glycerol) in the presence of both protease (cOmplete, Roche)

and phosphatase (PhosSTOP, Roche) inhibitors. Protein concentrations of cell lysates

were equalized using the Pierce™ BSA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher) and

CLARIOstar Plus Plate Reader (BMG Labtech). Equalized cell lysates were incubated,

while rotating, with Pierce™ Anti-c-Myc Magnetic Beads (Thermo Fisher) for 6 hours at

4°C. Following this incubation, the beads were washed with chilled lysis buffer. Protein

samples were eluted from beads through the addition of 2x Bromophenol Blue-free

Laemilli Sample Buffer and heating to 95°C for 5 minutes. Eluted protein samples were

subjected to western blot analysis and quantification using a SimpleWestern Jess

(ProteinSimple) automated immunoassay system with a 12-230kDa Fluorescence

Separation module and associated manufacturer's protocol. Primary antibodies used for

protein detection were: Anti-Myc (Rabbit, 1:40, Cell Signaling Technologies, mAb#2278)

and Anti-HA (Rat, 1:20, Roche, 11867423001). Signal detection was achieved using the

following fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies at a dilution of 1:100: IRDye®

680RD Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (LI-COR, 926-68071) and IRDye® 680RD Goat anti-Rat IgG

(LI-COR, 926-68076). Signal quantification was conducted with the Simple Western

Compass software (https://www.bio-techne.com/resources/instrument-software-

download-center/compass-software-simple-western, RRID: SCR_022930), utilizing

quantitative electropherograms of detected signals. Ezrin co-immunoprecipitation signal

intensity was background-subtracted and normalized to Ezrin protein load and Atg7 IP

levels for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 9,

using One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test and alpha threshold of

0.05.

Protein interaction modeling

Full-length mouse Ezrin (UniProt ID: Q4KML7) and Atg7 (UniProt ID: Q9D906) were used

for structure prediction in Alphafold 2.0 Multimer. The structure of Ezrin in the open

conformation was generated by segmenting independently predicted Ezrin N- and C-

termini and threading them onto an open ERM hinge structure using the PyMOL2

molecular visualization software. To model the Ezrin:Atg7 interaction and associated

conformational changes, the structures of Ezrin and the Atg7 homodimer were predicted

and docked using Alphafold 2.0 Multimer. Conformational changes in the Ezrin:Atg7

protein complex were assessed using PyMOL2 and CABS-flex 2.0[97]. For all predicted

structure models, the highest-confidence structures, calculated via the predicted local

distance difference test (pLDDT), were subjected to energy-minimization via AMBER

relaxation. The resulting minimized structures were imported into PyMOL2 for

representative model production.

Proteomic analysis

***In vivo* BioID protein purification**

In vivo, BioID experiments were performed as described in Takano et al., 2020 with

modifications[98]. Genotype-matched animals (wild-type C57BL6 or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$)

were bred to produce single-genotype litters. For each genotype (WT or G2019S), 6 pups

were injected with AAVs carrying Astro-Ezrin-BioID (PHP.eB.GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-

BioID2-HA) or Astro-CYTO-BioID (PHP.eB.GfaABC1D-BioID2-HA). 2 genotype-matched

cortices were pooled at the time of protein isolation, yielding 3 independent replicates per

BioID construct. 12 total samples (3 per genotype per construct) were subjected to LC-

MS/MS and downstream analysis. WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ P0 – P2 mouse pups were

anesthetized by hypothermia, and 1μl of each concentrated AAV-BioID vector was

injected bilaterally into the cortex using a Hamilton syringe. Pups were monitored until

they recovered on a heating pad. At P18, P19, and P20, biotin was subcutaneously

injected at 24 mg/kg to increase the biotinylation efficiency. At P21, the cerebral cortices

were removed and stored at -80° C. For the protein purification, each cortex was lysed in

a buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; protease inhibitor

mixture (Roche); and phosphatase inhibitor mixture (PhosSTOP, Roche). Next, an equal

volume of buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 0.4 %

SDS; 2 % TritonX-100; 2 % deoxycholate; protease inhibitor mixture; and phosphatase

inhibitor mixture was added to the samples, following by sonication and centrifugation at

15,000 g for 10 min. The remaining supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for

30min at 4° C. Finally, SDS detergent was added to the samples and heated at 45 ° C for

45 min. After cooling on ice, each sample was incubated with High-Capacity Streptavidin

Agarose beads (ThermoFisher) at 4° C overnight. Following incubation, the beads were

serially washed: 1) twice with a solution containing 2% SDS; 2) twice with a buffer 1%

TritonX-100, 1% deoxycholate, 25 mM LiCl; 3) twice with 1M NaCl, and finally, five times

with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The biotinylated proteins attached to the agarose

beads were eluted in a buffer of 125 mM Tris/HCl, pH6.8; 4 % SDS; 0.2 % β-

mercaptoethanol; 20 % glycerol; 3 mM biotin at 60°C for 15min.

Sample Preparation

The Duke Proteomics and Metabolomics Shared Resource (DPMSR) received 12

samples (3 of each WT CYT, GS CYT, WT EZR, and GS EZR) which were kept at -80C

until processing. Samples were spiked with undigested bovine casein at a total of either

1 or 2 pmol as an internal quality control standard. Next, they were reduced for 15 min at

80C, alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min at room temperature, then

supplemented with a final concentration of 1.2% phosphoric acid and 636 µl of S-Trap

(Protifi) binding buffer (90% MeOH/100mM TEAB). Proteins were trapped on the S-Trap

micro cartridge, digested using 20 ng/µL sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) for 1 hr at

47C, and eluted using 50 mM TEAB, followed by 0.2% FA, and lastly using 50% ACN/0.2%

FA. All samples were then lyophilized to dryness. Samples were resuspended in 12 µl of

1% TFA/2% acetonitrile with 12.5 fmol/µl of yeast ADH. A study pool QC (SPQC) was

created by combining equal volumes of each sample.

LC-MS/MS Analysis

Quantitative LC/MS/MS was performed on 3 μL of each sample, using an MClass UPLC

system (Waters Corp) coupled to a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion Lumos high resolution

accurate mass tandem mass spectrometer (Thermo) equipped with a FAIMSPro device

via a nanoelectrospray ionization source. Briefly, the sample was first trapped on a

Symmetry C18 20 mm × 180 μm trapping column (5 μl/min at 99.9/0.1 v/v

water/acetonitrile), after which the analytical separation was performed using a 1.8 μm

Acquity HSS T3 C18 75 μm × 250 mm column (Waters Corp.) with a 90-min linear

gradient of 5 to 30% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 400

nanoliters/minute (nL/min) with a column temperature of 55C. Data collection on the

Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer was performed for three difference compensation

voltages (-40v, -60v, -80v). Within each CV, a data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode

of acquisition with a r=120,000 (@ m/z 200) full MS scan from m/z 375 – 1500 with a

target AGC value of 4e5 ions was performed. MS/MS scans with HCD settings of 30%

were acquired in the linear ion trap in "rapid" mode with a target AGC value of 1e4 and

max fill time of 35 ms. The total cycle time for each CV was 0.66s, with total cycle times

of 2 sec between like full MS scans. A 20s dynamic exclusion was employed to increase

the depth of coverage. The total analysis cycle time for each sample injection was

approximately 2 hours.

Quantitative Data Analysis

Following 15 total UPLC-MS/MS analyses (excluding conditioning runs, but including 3

replicate SPQC samples), data were imported into Proteome Discoverer 3.0 (Thermo

Scientific Inc.) and individual LCMS data files were aligned based on the accurate mass

and retention time of detected precursor ions ("features") using Minora Feature Detector

algorithm in Proteome Discoverer. Relative peptide abundance was measured based on

peak intensities of selected ion chromatograms of the aligned features across all runs.

The MS/MS data was searched against the SwissProt *M. musculus* database, a common

contaminant/spiked protein database (bovine albumin, bovine casein, yeast ADH, etc.),

and an equal number of reversed-sequence "decoys" for false discovery rate

determination. Sequest with INFERYS was utilized to produce fragment ion spectra and

to perform the database searches. Database search parameters included fixed

modification on Cys (carbamidomethyl) and variable modification on Met (oxidation).

Search tolerances were 2ppm precursor and 0.8Da product ion with full trypsin enzyme

rules. Peptide Validator and Protein FDR Validator nodes in Proteome Discoverer were

used to annotate the data at a maximum 1% protein false discovery rate based on q-

value calculations. Note that peptide homology was addressed using razor rules in which

a peptide matched to multiple different proteins was exclusively assigned to the protein

has more identified peptides. Protein homology was addressed by grouping proteins that

had the same set of peptides to account for their identification. A master protein within a

group was assigned based on % coverage.

Prior to normalization, a filter was applied such that a peptide was removed if it was not

measured at least twice across all samples and in at least 50% of the replicates in any

one single group. After that filter, samples were total intensity normalized (total intensity

of all peptides for a sample are summed and then normalized across all samples). Next,

the following imputation strategy is applied to missing values. If less than half of the values

are missing in a biological group, values are imputed with an intensity derived from a

normal distribution of all values defined by measured values within the same intensity

range (20 bins). If greater than half values are missing for a peptide in a group and a

peptide intensity is > 5e6, then it was concluded that the peptide was misaligned and its

measured intensity is set to 0. All remaining missing values are imputed with the lowest

2% of all detected values. Peptide intensities were then subjected to a trimmed-mean

normalization in which the top and bottom 10 percent of the signals were excluded, and

the average of the remaining values was used to normalize across all samples. Lastly, all

peptides belonging to the same protein were then summed into a single intensity. These

normalized protein level intensities are what was used for the remainder of the analysis.

To assess technical reproducibility, we calculated the % coefficient of variation (%CV) for

each protein across 3 injections of an SPQC pool that were interspersed throughout the

study (Table S4). The mean %CV of the SPQC pools was 11.7%, which is well within our

expected analytical tolerances. To assess biological + technical variability, %CVs were

measured for each protein across the individual groups which averaged 19.8%, which is

also within our normal tolerances and indicated a reproducible IP and sample processing.

As an initial statistical analysis, we calculated fold-changes between various sample

groups based on the protein expression values and calculated two-tailed heteroscedastic

t-test on log2-transformed data for this comparison. Those fold changes and p-values are

presented for all proteins in Tables S5, S7, S9, and S10. False Discovery Rate (FDR)

correction was performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, and these values are

reported ("p.adjusted") in Tables S5, S7, S9, and S10. To optimize for discovery, we opted

to use the less stringent parameter of unadjusted p-value for downstream analyses.

Within supplemental tables, we have labeled proteins significantly more abundant ("Up",

fold change >1.5 and unadjusted p-value <0.05), or less abundant ("Down", fold change

>-1.5 and unadjusted p-value <0.05) in a particular genotype or BioID sample group. These annotated proteins were used for downstream analyses, including protein interaction networks using Cytoscape (v.3.9.1) and Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis using the ClusterProfiler package for R, with all M. musculus genes as the reference background.

Mouse brain slice electrophysiology

For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, 3-4 mice of each genotype and condition were used for miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) and miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current (mIPSC) measurements. WT and *LRRK2* G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice of both sexes were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg tribromoethanol (avertin) and decapitated. After decapitation, the brains were immersed in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF, in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, 10 glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 $NaHPO_4$, 0.4 L-ascorbic acid, and 2 Na-pyruvate, pH 7.3-7.4 (310 mOsmol). 350 μm thick coronal slices containing the ACC were obtained using a vibrating tissue slicer (Leica VT1200; Leica Biosystems). Slices were immediately transferred to standard aCSF (33°C, continuously bubbled with 95% O_2 – 5% CO_2) containing the same as the low-calcium aCSF but with 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and 1-2 mM $CaCl_2$. After 30-minute incubation at 33°C, slices were transferred to a holding chamber with the same extracellular buffer at room temperature (RT: ~25°C). Brain slices were visualized by an upright microscope (BX61WI, Olympus) through a 40x water-immersion objective equipped with infrared-differential interference contrast optics in combination with a digital camera (ODA-IR2000WCTRL). Patch-clamp recordings were performed by using an EPC 10 patch-clamp amplifier,

controlled by Patchmaster Software (HEKA). Data were acquired at a sampling rate of 50

kHz and low-pass filtered at 6 kHz.

To measure mEPSCs, the internal solution contained the following (in mM): 125 K-

gluconate, 10 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 4.5 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, and 10 Na-

phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.2 – 7.4 with KOH and osmolality set to ~ 300 mOsmol.

mEPSCs were measured in the aCSF bath solution containing 1 µM tetrodotoxin and 50

µM Picrotoxin at -70 mV in voltage-clamp mode. To measure mIPSCs, the internal

solution contained the following (in mM): 77 K-gluconate, 77 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA,

4.5 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, and 10 Na-phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.2 – 7.4 with KOH

and osmolality set to ~ 300 mOsmol. mIPSCs were measured in the aCSF bath solution

containing 1 µM tetrodotoxin and 10 µM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX),

and 50 µM D-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (D-AP5) at -70 mV in voltage-clamp

mode. mEPSCs and mIPSCs recorded at -70 mV were detected using Minhee Analysis

software (https://github.com/parkgilbong/Minhee_Analysis_Pack). To analyze the

frequency, events were counted over 5 minutes of recording. To obtain the average

events for each cell, at least 100 non-overlapping events were detected and averaged.

The peak amplitude of the average mEPSCs was measured relative to the baseline

current.

Quantification and statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 9. Exact value of n, what n

represents, and specific statistical tests for each experiment are indicated in the figure

legend for each experiment. All data are represented as mean ± standard error of the

mean, and data points are shown where applicable. Exact *P*-values are listed in the figure

for each experiment. Where indicated, the unpaired two-tailed t-tests were run using

Welch`s correction, and a correction for multiple comparisons was applied using Hom-

Sidak method with an alpha threshold of 0.05 for adjusted *P*-value. A Geisser-

Greenhouse correction was used for both One-way and Two-way ANOVA analyses. For

Sholl analysis, statistical analyses were conducted using a custom code in R

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). A mixed-effect model with multiple

comparisons made using the Tukey post-test was used for Sholl analysis to account for

the variability per experiment as a random effect to evaluate differences between the

conditions. Sample sizes were determined based on previous experience for each

experiment to yield high power to detect specific effects. No statistical methods were used

to predetermine the sample size. All experimental animals that appeared healthy at the

time of tissue collection were processed for data collection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1231

We thank Drs. Amy Lee, Zhiyong Liu, Nicole Calakos, Katja Brose, and Andrew Singleton

for critical feedback about the manuscript. We thank Adam Okinaga and Donna Porter

for their excellent technical help. This work was supported by a Foerster Bernstein

Women In STEM Postdoctoral Fellowship to SW, and a Chan Zuckerberg Initiative,

Neurodegeneration Challenge Network Collaborative grant (DAF2018-191999 and

DAF2021-237435) to CE and ARLS, and by the N.I.H. grants R35-NS122140 to A.R.L.S.

and R01-NS102237 to CE, and by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's

Research (MJFF) Astrocyte Biology in PD pilot grant (MJFF 17914 to CE and ARLS), and

by the joint efforts of MJFF and the Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) initiative.

MJFF administers the grant [ASAP-020607 to CE and SHS] on behalf of ASAP and the

Michael J Fox Foundation. Dr. Cagla Eroglu is an HHMI Investigator. We are grateful to

the Banner Sun Health Research Institute Brain and Body Donation Program of Sun City,

Arizona for the provision of human biological materials. The Brain and Body Donation

Program has been supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and

Stroke (U24 NS072026 National Brain and Tissue Resource for Parkinson's Disease and

Related Disorders), the National Institute on Aging (P30AG19610 and P30AG072980,

Arizona Alzheimer's Disease Center), the Arizona Biomedical Research Commission

contracts 4001, 0011, 05-901 and 1001 to the Arizona Parkinson's Disease Consortium

and the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research.

1251

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, SW, ARLS, and CE; Methodology, SW, RB, GS, DSB, LV, KS, JM, CXT, TT, MPR, KD, NB, LB, SHS, and CE; Investigation, SW, RB, GS, and LV; Formal analysis, SW, RB, GS, and LV; Resources, CXT, MPR, and KS; Writing – original draft, SW and CE; Writing – Review & Editing, SW, RL, and CE; Funding Acquisition, SW, SHS, ARLS, and CE.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCE

1.   Semyanov, A. and A. Verkhratsky, *Astrocytic processes: from tripartite synapses to the active milieu.* Trends Neurosci, 2021. **44**(10): p. 781-792.

2.   Allen, N.J. and C. Eroglu, *Cell Biology of Astrocyte-Synapse Interactions.* Neuron, 2017. **96**(3): p. 697-708.

3.   Clavreul, S., et al., *Cortical astrocytes develop in a plastic manner at both clonal and cellular levels.* Nat Commun, 2019. **10**(1): p. 4884.

4.   Torres-Ceja, B. and M.L. Olsen, *A closer look at astrocyte morphology:*

Development, heterogeneity, and plasticity at astrocyte leaflets. Curr Opin

Neurobiol, 2022. **74**: p. 102550.

5.   Farizatto, K.L.G. and K.T. Baldwin, *Astrocyte-synapse interactions during brain*

development. Curr Opin Neurobiol, 2023. **80**: p. 102704.

6.   Tan, C.X. and C. Eroglu, *Cell adhesion molecules regulating astrocyte-neuron*

interactions. Curr Opin Neurobiol, 2021. **69**: p. 170-177.

7.   Holt, L.M., et al., *Astrocyte morphogenesis is dependent on BDNF signaling via*

astrocytic TrkB.T1. Elife, 2019. **8**.

8.   Kam, T.I., et al., *Microglia and astrocyte dysfunction in parkinson's disease.*

Neurobiol Dis, 2020. **144**: p. 105028.

9.   Brandebura, A.N., et al., *Astrocyte contribution to dysfunction, risk and*

progression in neurodegenerative disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2023. **24**(1): p.

23-39.

10.   Chan, C.S., T.S. Gertler, and D.J. Surmeier, *Calcium homeostasis, selective*

vulnerability and Parkinson's disease. Trends Neurosci, 2009. **32**(5): p. 249-56.

11.   Dong, X.X., Y. Wang, and Z.H. Qin, *Molecular mechanisms of excitotoxicity and*

their relevance to pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases. Acta Pharmacol

Sin, 2009. **30**(4): p. 379-87.

12.   Booth, H.D.E., W.D. Hirst, and R. Wade-Martins, *The Role of Astrocyte*

Dysfunction in Parkinson's Disease Pathogenesis. Trends Neurosci, 2017. **40**(6):

p. 358-370.

13.   Gilks, W.P., et al., *A common LRRK2 mutation in idiopathic Parkinson's disease.*

1296   Lancet, 2005. **365**(9457): p. 415-6.

1297   14.   Benamer, H.T., *The ancestry of LRRK2 Gly2019Ser parkinsonism.* Lancet

1298   Neurol, 2008. **7**(9): p. 769-70; author reply 770-1.

1299   15.   Pang, S.Y., et al., *LRRK2, GBA and their interaction in the regulation of*

1300   *autophagy: implications on therapeutics in Parkinson's disease.* Transl

1301   Neurodegener, 2022. **11**(1): p. 5.

1302   16.   Ren, C., et al., *G2019S Variation in LRRK2: An Ideal Model for the Study of*

1303   *Parkinson's Disease?* Front Hum Neurosci, 2019. **13**: p. 306.

1304   17.   West, A.B., et al., *Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in leucine-rich*

1305   *repeat kinase 2 augment kinase activity.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005.

1306   **102**(46): p. 16842-7.

1307   18.   Jaleel, M., et al., *LRRK2 phosphorylates moesin at threonine-558:*

1308   *characterization of how Parkinson's disease mutants affect kinase activity.*

1309   Biochem J, 2007. **405**(2): p. 307-17.

1310   19.   Ito, G., et al., *Phos-tag analysis of Rab10 phosphorylation by LRRK2: a powerful*

1311   *assay for assessing kinase function and inhibitors.* Biochem J, 2016. **473**(17): p.

1312   2671-85.

1313   20.   Steger, M., et al., *Systematic proteomic analysis of LRRK2-mediated Rab*

1314   *GTPase phosphorylation establishes a connection to ciliogenesis.* Elife, 2017. **6**.

1315   21.   Zhang, Y., et al., *An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of*

1316   *glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex.* J Neurosci, 2014. **34**(36):

1317   p. 11929-47.

22. Zhang, Y., et al., *Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature Human Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse.* Neuron, 2016. **89**(1): p. 37-53.

23. Parisiadou, L., et al., *Phosphorylation of ezrin/radixin/moesin proteins by LRRK2 promotes the rearrangement of actin cytoskeleton in neuronal morphogenesis.* J Neurosci, 2009. **29**(44): p. 13971-80.

24. Parisiadou, L. and H. Cai, *LRRK2 function on actin and microtubule dynamics in Parkinson disease.* Commun Integr Biol, 2010. **3**(5): p. 396-400.

25. Lavialle, M., et al., *Structural plasticity of perisynaptic astrocyte processes involves ezrin and metabotropic glutamate receptors.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. **108**(31): p. 12915-9.

26. Derouiche, A., et al., *Anatomical aspects of glia-synapse interaction: the perisynaptic glial sheath consists of a specialized astrocyte compartment.* J Physiol Paris, 2002. **96**(3-4): p. 177-82.

27. Bretscher, A., K. Edwards, and R.G. Fehon, *ERM proteins and merlin: integrators at the cell cortex.* Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2002. **3**(8): p. 586-99.

28. Gautreau, A., D. Louvard, and M. Arpin, *Morphogenic effects of ezrin require a phosphorylation-induced transition from oligomers to monomers at the plasma membrane.* J Cell Biol, 2000. **150**(1): p. 193-203.

29. Zhu, L., et al., *High turnover of ezrin T567 phosphorylation: conformation, activity, and cellular function.* Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 2007. **293**(3): p. C874-84.

30. Endo, F., et al., *Molecular basis of astrocyte diversity and morphology across the*

CNS in health and disease. Science, 2022. **378**(6619): p. eadc9020.

31. Kruyer, A., et al., *Heroin Cue-Evoked Astrocytic Structural Plasticity at Nucleus*

Accumbens Synapses Inhibits Heroin Seeking. Biol Psychiatry, 2019. **86**(11): p.

811-819.

32. Schacke, S., et al., *Ezrin deficiency triggers glial fibrillary acidic protein*

upregulation and a distinct reactive astrocyte phenotype. Glia, 2022. **70**(12): p.

2309-2329.

33. Soto, J.S., et al., *Astrocyte-neuron subproteomes and obsessive-compulsive*

disorder mechanisms. Nature, 2023.

34. Matikainen-Ankney, B.A., et al., *Parkinson's Disease-Linked LRRK2-G2019S*

Mutation Alters Synaptic Plasticity and Promotes Resilience to Chronic Social

Stress in Young Adulthood. J Neurosci, 2018. **38**(45): p. 9700-9711.

35. Matikainen-Ankney, B.A., et al., *Altered Development of Synapse Structure and*

Function in Striatum Caused by Parkinson's Disease-Linked LRRK2-G2019S

Mutation. J Neurosci, 2016. **36**(27): p. 7128-41.

36. Stogsdill, J.A., et al., *Astrocytic neuroligins control astrocyte morphogenesis and*

synaptogenesis. Nature, 2017. **551**(7679): p. 192-197.

37. Dumas, L., et al., *RNA G-quadruplexes control mitochondria-localized mRNA*

translation and energy metabolism. Nat Commun, 2025. **16**(1): p. 3292.

38. Sofroniew, M.V. and H.V. Vinters, *Astrocytes: biology and pathology.* Acta

Neuropathol, 2010. **119**(1): p. 7-35.

39.   Hol, E.M. and M. Pekny, *Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and the astrocyte*

intermediate filament system in diseases of the central nervous system. Curr

Opin Cell Biol, 2015. **32**: p. 121-30.

40.   Yue, M., et al., *Progressive dopaminergic alterations and mitochondrial*

abnormalities in LRRK2 G2019S knock-in mice. Neurobiol Dis, 2015. **78**: p. 172-

95.

41.   Longo, F., et al., *Age-dependent dopamine transporter dysfunction and*

Serine129 phospho-alpha-synuclein overload in G2019S LRRK2 mice. Acta

Neuropathol Commun, 2017. **5**(1): p. 22.

42.   Kuhlmann, N. and A.J. Milnerwood, *A Critical LRRK at the Synapse? The*

Neurobiological Function and Pathophysiological Dysfunction of LRRK2. Front

Mol Neurosci, 2020. **13**: p. 153.

43.   Skelton, P.D., V. Tokars, and L. Parisiadou, *LRRK2 at Striatal Synapses: Cell-*

Type Specificity and Mechanistic Insights. Cells, 2022. **11**(1).

44.   Fremeau, R.T., Jr., et al., *The expression of vesicular glutamate transporters*

defines two classes of excitatory synapse. Neuron, 2001. **31**(2): p. 247-60.

45.   Micheva, K.D., et al., *Single-synapse analysis of a diverse synapse population:*

proteomic imaging methods and markers. Neuron, 2010. **68**(4): p. 639-53.

46.   Ippolito, D.M. and C. Eroglu, *Quantifying synapses: an immunocytochemistry-*

based assay to quantify synapse number. J Vis Exp, 2010(45).

47.   Glasgow, S.D., et al., *Approaches and Limitations in the Investigation of Synaptic*

Transmission and Plasticity. Front Synaptic Neurosci, 2019. **11**: p. 20.

48.   Matsuzaki, M., et al., *Dendritic spine geometry is critical for AMPA receptor*

1385   *expression in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons.* Nat Neurosci, 2001. **4**(11): p.

1386   1086-92.

1387   49.   Bindu, D.S., et al., *GEARBOCS: An Adeno Associated Virus Tool for In Vivo*

1388   *Gene Editing in Astrocytes.* bioRxiv, 2024.

1389   50.   Steger, M., et al., *Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase*

1390   *LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab GTPases.* Elife, 2016. **5**.

1391   51.   Chua, C.E.L. and B.L. Tang, *Rab 10-a traffic controller in multiple cellular*

1392   *pathways and locations.* J Cell Physiol, 2018. **233**(9): p. 6483-6494.

1393   52.   Fievet, B.T., et al., *Phosphoinositide binding and phosphorylation act sequentially*

1394   *in the activation mechanism of ezrin.* J Cell Biol, 2004. **164**(5): p. 653-9.

1395   53.   Yin, L.M. and M. Schnoor, *Modulation of membrane-cytoskeleton interactions:*

1396   *ezrin as key player.* Trends Cell Biol, 2022. **32**(2): p. 94-97.

1397   54.   Heiska, L., et al., *Association of ezrin with intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and -*

1398   *2 (ICAM-1 and ICAM-2). Regulation by phosphatidylinositol 4, 5-bisphosphate.* J

1399   Biol Chem, 1998. **273**(34): p. 21893-900.

1400   55.   Zaman, R., et al., *Effector-mediated ERM activation locally inhibits RhoA activity*

1401   *to shape the apical cell domain.* J Cell Biol, 2021. **220**(6).

1402   56.   Tsai, F.C., et al., *Ezrin enrichment on curved membranes requires a specific*

1403   *conformation or interaction with a curvature-sensitive partner.* Elife, 2018. **7**.

1404   57.   Soto, J.S., et al., *Astrocyte-neuron subproteomes and obsessive-compulsive*

1405   *disorder mechanisms.* Nature, 2023. **616**(7958): p. 764-773.

58. Plowey, E.D., et al., *Role of autophagy in G2019S-LRRK2-associated neurite*

shortening in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. J Neurochem, 2008. **105**(3): p. 1048-

56.

59. Mizushima, N., et al., *A protein conjugation system essential for autophagy.*

Nature, 1998. **395**(6700): p. 395-8.

60. Collier, J.J., et al., *Developmental Consequences of Defective ATG7-Mediated*

Autophagy in Humans. N Engl J Med, 2021. **384**(25): p. 2406-2417.

61. Evans, R., et al., *Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer.* bioRxiv,

2022: p. 2021.10.04.463034.

62. Kaiser, S.E., et al., *Structures of Atg7-Atg3 and Atg7-Atg10 reveal noncanonical*

mechanisms of E2 recruitment by the autophagy E1. Autophagy, 2013. **9**(5): p.

778-80.

63. Pelaseyed, T., et al., *Ezrin activation by LOK phosphorylation involves a PIP(2)-*

dependent wedge mechanism. Elife, 2017. **6**.

64. Kawaguchi, K. and S. Asano, *Pathophysiological Roles of Actin-Binding Scaffold*

Protein, Ezrin. Int J Mol Sci, 2022. **23**(6).

65. Bravo-San Pedro, J.M., et al., *The LRRK2 G2019S mutant exacerbates basal*

autophagy through activation of the MEK/ERK pathway. Cell Mol Life Sci, 2013.

70(1): p. 121-36.

66. Alessi, D.R. and S.R. Pfeffer, *Leucine-Rich Repeat Kinases.* Annu Rev Biochem,

2024. **93**(1): p. 261-287.

67.   Zhang, Y., et al., *The role of ubiquitin proteasomal system and autophagy-lysosome pathway in Alzheimer's disease.* Rev Neurosci, 2017. **28**(8): p. 861-868.

68.   Harris, L.D., S. Jasem, and J.D.F. Licchesi, *The Ubiquitin System in Alzheimer's Disease.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2020. **1233**: p. 195-221.

69.   Rotin, D. and G. Prag, *Physiological Functions of the Ubiquitin Ligases Nedd4-1 and Nedd4-2.* Physiology (Bethesda), 2024. **39**(1): p. 18-29.

70.   Singh, S.K., et al., *Astrocytes Assemble Thalamocortical Synapses by Bridging NRX1alpha and NL1 via Hevin.* Cell, 2016. **164**(1-2): p. 183-196.

71.   Kucukdereli, H., et al., *Control of excitatory CNS synaptogenesis by astrocyte-secreted proteins Hevin and SPARC.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. **108**(32): p. E440-9.

72.   Pelaseyed, T. and A. Bretscher, *Regulation of actin-based apical structures on epithelial cells.* J Cell Sci, 2018. **131**(20).

73.   Lombardo, A.T., et al., *ARHGAP18-Ezrin functions as a RhoA autoregulatory module in the organization of apical microvilli.* bioRxiv, 2023: p. 2022.09.12.507663.

74.   Broadhead, M.J., et al., *Selective vulnerability of tripartite synapses in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.* Acta Neuropathol, 2022. **143**(4): p. 471-486.

75.   Chang, E.E.S., et al., *LRRK2 mutant knock-in mouse models: therapeutic relevance in Parkinson's disease.* Transl Neurodegener, 2022. **11**(1): p. 10.

76.   Blesa, J., et al., *Motor and non-motor circuit disturbances in early Parkinson disease: which happens first?* Nat Rev Neurosci, 2022. **23**(2): p. 115-128.

77. Hussein, A., et al., *Non-Motor Symptoms of Parkinson's Disease: The*

Neurobiology of Early Psychiatric and Cognitive Dysfunction. Neuroscientist,

2023. **29**(1): p. 97-116.

78. Guevara, C.A., et al., *LRRK2 mutation alters behavioral, synaptic, and*

nonsynaptic adaptations to acute social stress. J Neurophysiol, 2020. **123**(6): p.

2382-2389.

79. Hussein, A., et al., *Cognitive deficits and altered cholinergic innervation in young*

adult male mice carrying a Parkinson's disease Lrrk2(G2019S) knockin mutation.

Exp Neurol, 2022. **355**: p. 114145.

80. Shenhav, A., M.M. Botvinick, and J.D. Cohen, *The expected value of control: an*

integrative theory of anterior cingulate cortex function. Neuron, 2013. **79**(2): p.

217-40.

81. Tervo, D.G.R., et al., *The anterior cingulate cortex directs exploration of*

alternative strategies. Neuron, 2021. **109**(11): p. 1876-1887 e6.

82. Lanjakornsiripan, D., et al., *Layer-specific morphological and molecular*

differences in neocortical astrocytes and their dependence on neuronal layers.

Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 1623.

83. Bayraktar, O.A., et al., *Astrocyte layers in the mammalian cerebral cortex*

revealed by a single-cell in situ transcriptomic map. Nat Neurosci, 2020. **23**(4): p.

500-509.

84. Khan, S.S., et al., *Loss of primary cilia and dopaminergic neuroprotection in*

pathogenic LRRK2-driven and idiopathic Parkinson's disease. bioRxiv, 2024: p.

2024.01.15.575737.

85.   Zimmer, T.S., A.L. Orr, and A.G. Orr, *Astrocytes in selective vulnerability to*

neurodegenerative disease. Trends Neurosci, 2024. **47**(4): p. 289-302.

86.   Murk, K., et al., *The antagonistic modulation of Arp2/3 activity by N-WASP,*

WAVE2 and PICK1 defines dynamic changes in astrocyte morphology. J Cell

Sci, 2013. **126**(Pt 17): p. 3873-83.

87.   Savage, J.T., et al., *SynBot: An open-source image analysis software for*

automated quantification of synapses. bioRxiv, 2024.

88.   Kim, Y.G., J.J. Shin, and S.J. Kim, *Minhee Analysis Package: an integrated*

software package for detection and management of spontaneous synaptic

events. Mol Brain, 2021. **14**(1): p. 138.

89.   Tan, C.X., et al., *delta-Catenin controls astrocyte morphogenesis via layer-*

specific astrocyte-neuron cadherin interactions. J Cell Biol, 2023. **222**(11).

90.   Moffat, J., et al., *A lentiviral RNAi library for human and mouse genes applied to*

an arrayed viral high-content screen. Cell, 2006. **124**(6): p. 1283-98.

91.   Chih, B., L. Gollan, and P. Scheiffele, *Alternative splicing controls selective trans-*

synaptic interactions of the neuroligin-neurexin complex. Neuron, 2006. **51**(2): p.

171-8.

92.   Chen, F. and J. LoTurco, *A method for stable transgenesis of radial glia lineage*

in rat neocortex by piggyBac mediated transposition. J Neurosci Methods, 2012.

207(2): p. 172-80.

93.   O'Neil, S.D., et al., *Action potential-coupled Rho GTPase signaling drives*

presynaptic plasticity. Elife, 2021. **10**.

94.   Ferreira, T.A., et al., *Neuronal morphometry directly from bitmap images.* Nat

1496   Methods, 2014. **11**(10): p. 982-4.

1497   95.   Uezu, A., et al., *Identification of an elaborate complex mediating postsynaptic*

1498   *inhibition.* Science, 2016. **353**(6304): p. 1123-9.

1499   96.   Risher, W.C., et al., *Thrombospondin receptor alpha2delta-1 promotes*

1500   *synaptogenesis and spinogenesis via postsynaptic Rac1.* J Cell Biol, 2018.

1501   **217**(10): p. 3747-3765.

1502   97.   Kuriata, A., et al., *CABS-flex 2.0: a web server for fast simulations of flexibility of*

1503   *protein structures.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2018. **46**(W1): p. W338-W343.

1504   98.   Takano, T., et al., *Chemico-genetic discovery of astrocytic control of inhibition in*

1505   *vivo.* Nature, 2020. **588**(7837): p. 296-302.

1506

Figure 2

Supplemental information

PD-linked LRRK2 G2019S mutation impairs astrocyte morphology and synapse maintenance via ERM hyperphosphorylation

Shiyi Wang, Ryan Baumert, Gabrielle Séjourné, Dhanesh Sivadasan Bindu, Kylie Dimond, Kristina Sakers, Leslie Vazquez, Jessica Moore, Christabel Xin Tan, Tetsuya Takano, Maria Pia Rodriguez, Nick Brose, Luke Bradley, Reed Lessing, Scott H. Soderling, Albert R. La Spada, and Cagla Eroglu

Figure S1: Human phospho-ERM is significantly increased and colocalizes with S100β and MAP2. Related to Figure 1.

(A-B) Representative confocal images of phospho-ERM (purple) and S100β (green) or MAP2 (green) in the frontal cortex of human control subjects or human PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers at age >80 years old. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(C)** Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (A-B), n = 4 (Human control, 3 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1 female) subjects, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. For colocalized phospho-ERM with S100β, t (5) = 6.541, p = 0.0013. For colocalized phospho-ERM with MAP2, t (5) = 3.625, p = 0.0151. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each control subject. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S mutation carrier.

A
Analyzed mouse brain regions for phospho-ERM staining

D
Analyzed mouse brain regions for phospho-ERM staining

Figure S2: Phospho-ERM is upregulated in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes in ACC and MOp but not SSp and DMS. Related to Figure 1.
(A) Schematic representation of analyzed mouse brain regions for phospho-ERM staining, the ACC, and the MOp. **(B-C)** Representative confocal images of ERM phosphorylation (purple) in the ACC and MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP mice at P84. Scale bar, 20 μm. **(D)** Schematic representation of analyzed mouse brain regions for phospho-ERM staining, the somatosensory cortex (SSp), and the dorsal medial striatum (DMS). **(E-H)** Representative confocal images of ERM phosphorylation (purple) in the SSp and DMS of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP mice at P21 (E-F) and at P84 (G-H). Scale bar, 200 μm. **(I)** Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (E-H). For phospho-ERM integrated density at P21, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (6) = 0.5005, p = 0.6346. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. For phospho-ERM integrated density at P84, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (6) = 0.8383, p = 0.1123. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice.

Figure S3: mouse phospho-ERM is significantly increased and colocalizes with S100β and MAP2. Related to Figure 1.

(A-B) Representative confocal images of phospho-ERM (purple) and S100β (green), MAP2 (green), or Iba1 (green) from the ACC and MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice at P21. Scale bar, 20 μm. **(C)** Quantification of colocalized phospho-ERM integrated density with S100β, MAP2, and Iba1 in (D-E), n = 3 (WT, 1 male and 2 females), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki], 1 male and 2 females) mice, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. For colocalized phospho-ERM with S100β, t (4) = 12.37, p = 0.0002. For colocalized phospho-ERM with MAP2, t (4) = 3.939, p = 0.017. For colocalized phospho-ERM with Iba1, t (4) = 1.08, p = 0.3408. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse.

Figure S4: phospho-ERM is eliminated after Lambda protein phosphatase (λ-PPase) treatment. Related to Figure 1.

(A-B) Representative confocal images of S100β (green) and phospho-ERM (purple) in the ACC of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice at P21 with or without λ-PPase treatment. Scale bar, 200 μm. **(C)** Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (A-B), n = 3 (WT, 1 male and 2 females), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 1 male and 2 females) mice, Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 56) = 25.19, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT mice and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice without λ-PPase treatment (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-8107799, -3924949]), and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice with and without λ-PPase treatment (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [2605448, 6788298]). alpha = 0.05. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse. **(D-E)** Representative confocal images of S100β (green) and phospho-ERM (purple) in the frontal cortex of human control subjects or human PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers at age >80 years old with or without λ-PPase treatment. Scale bar, 200 μm. **(F)** Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (D-E), n = 4 (Human control, 3 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1 female) subjects, Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 38) = 9.296, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between human control subjects and human PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers without λ-PPase treatment (p = 0.0006, 95% C.I. = [-7928018, -1867234]), and between human PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers with and without λ-PPase treatment (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [2768186, 9247437]). alpha = 0.05. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each control subject. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S mutation carrier.

Figure S5: GFAP level is not altered in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC and MOp. Related to Figure 1.

(A-B) Representative confocal images of GFAP (purple) in the ACC and MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1l1-eGFP mice at P21. Scale bar, 200 µm. **(C)** Quantification of GFAP integrated density in (A-B), n = 3 (WT, 2 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 1 female) mice, For GFAP quantification in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 1.023, p = 0.364. For GFAP quantification in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 0.375, p = 0.7267. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse.

Figure S6: LRRK2 G2019S does not change excitatory synapse numbers in the primary motor cortex. Related to Figure 2.
(A) Representative images from the ventral ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P21. Scale bar, 10 µm. **(B)** Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in the ventral ACC. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 44) = 12.98, $p < 0.0001$], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT ACC L1 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L1 (p = 0.0002, 95% C.I. = [13.71, 47.33]), and between WT ACC L2-3 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L2-3 ($p < 0.0001$, 95% C.I. = [16.48, 50.10]). alpha = 0.05. **(C)** Representative images from the MOp of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P21. Scale bar, 10 µm. **(D)** Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in the MOp L1 and L2-3. n = 5 (WT), 5 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) mice, 3 males and 2 females. Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 56) = 0.1837, p = 0.9070], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT MOp L1 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ MOp L1 ($p > 0.9999$, 95% C.I. = [-14.69, 25.97]), and between WT MOp L2-3 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ MOp L2-3 ($p > 0.9999$, 95% C.I. = [-19.06, 21.61]). alpha = 0.05. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse.

Figure S7: Overexpression of AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin and AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead Ezrin in WT and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki astrocytes. Related to Figure 4.
(A-B) Bar plots of Ezr, Rdx, and Msn gene expression in various brain cell types in mice (yellow) or humans (red). These plots are generated using publicly available data at https://www.brainrnaseq.org **(C)** Schematic of domains within Ezrin. **(D)** Experiment workflow of AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin and AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead Ezrin in WT and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki astrocytes.

Figure S8: Overexpression of AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin and AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead Ezrin in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes. Related to Figure 4.
(A) Representative images from the ventral ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged WT Ezrin or Phospho-dead Ezrin, stained with HA and phospho-Rab10 antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 20 µm. **(B)** Quantification of phospho-Rab10 integrated density. n = 4 per group (2 males and 2 females). One-way ANOVA [F(3,12) = 38.34, $p < 0.0001$] with Bonferroni's post hoc test revealed significant differences between groups, except WT + WT Ezrin O/E vs. WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ groups ($p = 0.3303$).

Figure S9: WT Ezrin overexpression does not change excitatory synapse numbers in the ACC of WT mice. Related to Figure 4.

(A) Representative images from the ventral ACC of WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged BirA2 (cytoplasmic control) or WT Ezrin that were stained with VGLUT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(B)** Quantification of VGLUT1 puncta, normalized using the means of BirA2 values in the ventral ACC. n = 5 mice per group. For ACC L1, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (8) = 0.5035, *p* = 0.6282. For ACC L2/3, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (8) = 0.6465, *p* = 0.5361. **(C)** Quantification of PSD95 puncta, normalized using the means of BirA2 values in the ventral ACC. n = 5 mice per group. For ACC L1, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (8) = 0.02872, *p* = 0.9778. For ACC L2/3, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (8) = 0.4235, *p* = 0.6831. **(D)** Quantification of VGLUT1-PSD95 puncta, normalized using the means of BirA2 values in the ventral ACC. n = 5 mice per group. For ACC L1, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (8) = 0.5400, *p* = 0.6039. For ACC L2/3, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (8) = 0.6013, *p* = 0.5643.

Figure S10: Overexpression of AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin and AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead Ezrin in WT and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki astrocytes. Related to Figure 4.
(A) Representative images from the MOp L1 of the same groups stained with VGAT and GEPHYRIN antibodies. Scale bar, 10 µm. Quantification of VGAT-GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta, normalized to WT + WT Ezrin O/E. n = 3–6 per group. One-way ANOVA [$F_{(3,55)}$ = 19.7, $p < 0.0001$] with Bonferroni's post hoc test revealed significant differences between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + WT Ezrin O/E, and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p < 0.0001$). No differences were found between WT or LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki groups within Ezrin conditions ($p > 0.05$). **(B)** Representative images from the MOp L2-3 of WT and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged WT Ezrin or Phospho-dead Ezrin, stained with VGAT and GEPHYRIN antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 10 µm. Quantification of VGAT-GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta normalized to WT + WT Ezrin O/E. n = 3–6 per group. One-way ANOVA [$F_{(3,54)}$ = 15.10, $p < 0.0001$] with Bonferroni's post hoc test showed significant differences between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + WT Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.0107$) and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p < 0.0001$). No differences were observed between WT groups ($p > 0.9999$) or between LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki groups ($p = 0.0610$).

A Postnatal Astrocyte Labeling by Electroporation = PALE

L1
L2/3
L4
L5
L6
SVZ

Radial glial stem cells

P0 – P1 Electroporation of plasmids

P7

P21 Brain collected at P21 for imaging and analysis

1. Collect and Stain 100um brain sections

2. Image & Imaris reconstruction of whole astrocytes
   • 3D sholl (astrocyte complexity)
   • Astrocyte territory volume

B
WT ACC P21 — ALDH1L1 | SOX9 | MERGE 200 µm
G2019S ACC P21 — ALDH1L1 | SOX9 | MERGE 200 µm

C
WT MOp P21 — ALDH1L1 | SOX9 | MERGE 200 µm
G2019S MOp P21 — ALDH1L1 | SOX9 | MERGE 200 µm

D
• WT • G2019S
ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell counts/mm²
p = 0.2470 p = 0.2625
ACC   MOp

Figure S11: LRRK2 G2019S does not change ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell numbers in the ACC and MOp. Related to Figure 5.
(A) Overview of postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE) with PiggyBac plasmids. **(B-C)** Representative confocal images of SOX9 (purple) in the ACC and MOp of WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] Aldh1l1-eGFP mice at P21. Scale bar, 200 µm. **(D)** Quantification of ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell numbers in (B-C), n = 3 (WT, 2 males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki], 2 males and 1 female) mice, For ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell counting in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 0.9619, p = 0.3906. For ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cell counting in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 1.684, p = 0.1675. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse.

A

B

Figure S12: Expression of LRRK2 in astrocytes. Related to Figure 5.
(A) Cultured WT, shControl, and shLrrk2 transfected rat cortical astrocytes were lysed, and cytoplasmic proteins were subjected to Western blotting using LRRK2 and GAPDH antibodies. Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. **(B)** Densitometric analysis of LRRK2 levels in (E). Signals corresponding to LRRK2 were first normalized to that for β-actin. Relative LRRK2 levels were then normalized to the LRRK2 signals in WT rat cortical astrocytes. Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA [F (2, 6) = 92.25, p < 0.0001], Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between WT and shLrrk2 (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [0.6743, 1.183]) and between shLrrk2 and shControl (p < 0.0001, 95%C.I. = [-1.145, -0.6363]) and no differences between WT and shControl (p > 0.9999, 95%C.I. = [-0.2165, 0.2923]), alpha = 0.05.

Figure S13: BioID constructs expression and biotinylation *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Related to Figure 6.
(A-B) Representative images of *in vivo* expression in the cortex of different BioID constructs labeled with HA and the biotinylating activity labeled with streptavidin. Merged images show the colocalization of HA and biotin signals in astrocytes. Scale bar, 500 µm (A). Scale bar, 20 µm (B). **(C)** Western blot analysis of BioID constructs expression (HA) and biotinylation activity (Streptavidin) *in vitro* in cortical lysates and subsequent immunoprecipitation.

Figure S14: LRRK2 G2019S changes the composition of cytoplasmic proteins in astrocytes *in vivo*. Related to Figure 6.

(A) Principle component analyses (PCA) of Cyto-BioID (control) and Ezrin-BioID (bait) samples. **(B)** The plot shows that Radixin and Moesin, known as Ezrin interacting proteins, are significantly enriched in the Ezrin-BioID sample compared to Cyto-BioID. **(C-D)** Interaction networks depict Ezrin interactions detected by BioID and known protein-protein interactions identified in the publicly available stringDB database for proteins with gained (C) or lost (D) proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes compared to WT astrocytes. **(E)** Volcano plot showing the differential abundance of cytosolic proteins in WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ cortices. **(F-G)** Bars show the top 10 most significant Gene Ontology (GO) terms, ordered by highest gene count and lowest adjusted p-value, for the proteins differentially detected by Astro-cyto-BioID in WT compared to LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (F) Molecular function (G) Biological Process.

Figure S15: Astrocytic Atg7 is essential astrocyte morphological complexity *in vitro*. Related to Figure 7.
(A) Comparable expression of Atg7 mRNA transcripts by RT-PCR in N2A cells transfected with scrambled shRNA (shControl-GFP) or shRNA targeting Atg7 (shAtg7-GFP). n = 3 independent cultures. unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 8.258, *p* = 0.0012. **(B)** Schematic of astrocyte-neuron co-culture assay. **(C)** (Upper) Rat cortical astrocytes transfected with scrambled shRNA (shControl-GFP) or shRNA targeting Atg7 (shAtg7-GFP) co-cultured with wild-type cortical neurons. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Lower) Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity. n = 30 (shControl-GFP), 30 (shAtg7-GFP) astrocytes co-cultured with WT cortical neurons compiled from two independent experiments. We fitted a linear mixed model with a number of intersections as the outcome variable, condition as a predictor, and a number of cells and cell radius entered as random effects. Within this model, shAtg7 (beta = 2.91, t(58) = 7.14) led to a significant decrease in the number of intersections compared to shControl astrocytes (beta = 7.89, t(58) = 19.35). Tukey multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between shControl and shAtg7 astrocytes co-cultured on WT neurons (*p* < 0.0001).