Astrocytic LRRK2 Controls Synaptic Connectivity via Regulation of ERM Phosphorylation

Shiyi Wang[1,9], Ryan Baumert[1,9], Gabrielle Séjourné[1,9], Dhanesh Sivadasan Bindu[1,2], Kylie Dimond[3], Kristina Sakers[1,13], Leslie Vazquez[1,4,9], Jessica Moore[1,13], Christabel Xin Tan[5], Tetsuya Takano[6,7], Maria Pia Rodriguez[1], Scott H. Soderling[1,8,9], Albert R. La Spada[10,11,12], and Cagla Eroglu[1,8,9,13]

[1]The Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[2]Department of Neonatology, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO, USA

[3]College of Psychology, Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA

[4]The Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[5]Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

[6]Division of Molecular Systems for Brain Function, Kyushu University Institute for Advanced Study, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Japan

[7]Japan Science and Technology Agency, PRESTO, Japan

[8]The Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[9]Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD

[10]The Department of Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[11]Departments of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, Neurology, Biological Chemistry, and Neurobiology & Behavior, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

[12]UCI Center for Neurotherapeutics, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

[13]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Corresponding authors: Shiyi Wang: shiyi.wang@duke.edu;

Albert R. La Spada: alaspada@uci.edu;

Cagla Eroglu: cagla.eroglu@duke.edu

SUMMARY

Astrocytes, a major glial cell type of the brain, regulate synapse numbers and function. However, whether astrocyte dysfunction can cause synaptic pathologies in neurological disorders such as Parkinson's Disease (PD) is unknown. Here, we investigated the impact of the most common PD-linked mutation in the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) gene (G2019S) on the synaptic functions of astrocytes. We found that both in human and mouse cortex, the LRRK2 G2019S mutation causes astrocyte morphology deficits and enhances the phosphorylation of the ERM proteins (Ezrin, Radixin, and Moesin), which are important components of perisynaptic astrocyte processes. Reducing ERM phosphorylation in LRRK2 G2019S mouse astrocytes restored astrocyte morphology and corrected excitatory synaptic deficits. Using an *in vivo* BioID proteomic approach, we found Ezrin, the most abundant astrocytic ERM protein, interacts with the Autophagy-Related 7 (Atg7), a master regulator of catabolic processes. The Ezrin/Atg7 interaction is inhibited by Ezrin phosphorylation, thus diminished in the LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes. Importantly, Atg7 function is required to maintain proper astrocyte morphology. These studies reveal an astrocytic molecular mechanism that could serve as a therapeutic target in PD.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's Disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative motor disorder affecting over 8 million people worldwide[1]. PD is commonly diagnosed at the onset of motor symptoms, including tremors, bradykinesia, and rigidity[1]. By the time these motor symptoms are apparent, PD patients have already lost many of their dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNPc)[2]. However, decades before the onset of motor dysfunction, individuals with PD often display non-motor "prodromal" symptoms, such as cognitive, mood, and sleep disorders, suggesting that early synaptic circuit dysfunction exists across multiple brain regions, including the cerebral cortex[3,4]. Even though most PD cases are idiopathic, ~15% are caused by mutations in Parkinsonism genes[5]. The PD-linked genes identified to date point to dysfunction in fundamental cellular processes such as lysosome and mitochondria function, vesicular trafficking, and secretion[6]. However, how the dysfunction of these genes results in impaired synaptic circuits and dopaminergic neuron death is unknown. Moreover, many cell types in the brain and body express these PD-linked genes[7]. Thus, the cellular origins of PD may not be restricted to neurons.

Astrocytes instruct the formation and function of synaptic circuits[8,9]. Most synapses in the central nervous system are in direct contact with perisynaptic astrocyte processes (PAPs)[10]. This structural association is essential for regulating synaptic function; therefore, the PAPs and the presynaptic and postsynaptic neuronal compartments are collectively termed "the tripartite synapse"[10]. At the tripartite synapse, astrocytes clear excess neurotransmitters, maintain ion homeostasis, and secrete factors regulating synapse development and function[11]. To accomplish these crucial roles at the synapse, astrocytes

gain a highly elaborate morphology and form a network coupled through gap junctions to

continuously tile the entire brain parenchyma[8,12,13]. Previous studies found that astrocyte

morphogenesis, tiling, and neuronal synaptogenesis are interdependent processes

controlled by bidirectional signaling via secreted factors and cell adhesion molecules[14,15].

Recent studies also found that astrocytes become reactive, undergoing morphological

and molecular changes in numerous neurological disorders, including PD[16,17].

Mutations in the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) gene cause familial PD.

Lrrk2 is a large multidomain protein containing ROC (Ras of complex), COR (C-terminal

of ROC), catalytic kinase, and four protein-protein interactions domains (armadillo,

ankyrin, leucine-rich repeats, WD40 domains)[18,19,]. Lrrk2 plays critical roles in ciliogenesis,

lysosome function, immune system, and synaptic vesicle trafficking by phosphorylation

of its substrates[20-26]. LRRK2 G2019S is the most common mutation that causes familial

PD[20,27,28]. Long before the death of dopaminergic neurons, PD patients with the G2019S

mutation have non-motor symptoms, such as depression, hallucinations, and sleep and

cognitive disorders[29]. Several rodent models with LRRK2 G2019S mutation do not show

motor dysfunction or dopaminergic neuron loss[30,31] but alterations in cortical and cortico-

striatal circuits and cognitive problems such as impaired goal-directed actions have been

observed[32-34].

It is widely accepted that neuronal dysfunction drives synaptic pathologies in PD;

however, given the important roles of astrocytes in synaptic connectivity, we reasoned

astrocytic dysfunction caused by LRRK2 G2019S mutations can also underlie the circuit

phenotypes. Here, we explored this possibility by using LRRK2 G2019S knockin ([ki/ki])

mouse model[35,36] in conjunction with *in vivo* astrocyte-specific genetic, proteomic,

electrophysiological, and biochemical approaches.

RESULTS

LRRK2 G2019S mutation causes ERM hyperphosphorylation in the prefrontal

cortices of mice and humans.

Cortical astrocytes play critical roles in cognitive functions by controlling the

number and activity of synapses. Their highly elaborate morphology allows them to

physically and functionally interact with thousands of synapses through their PAPs[37,38].

In disease states, astrocytes change their morphology and tiling, which is proposed to

induce synaptic abnormalities[39].

To study how the LRRK2 G2019S mutation impacts astrocytes in the prefrontal

cortex, a cortical region linked to PD, we stained sections from the frontal cortices of age

and sex-matched control and LRRK2 G2019S mutation-carrying PD patients

(Supplemental Table 1) with glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, a marker for astrocyte

branches) and phosphorylated ERM (Phospho-ERM, which are enriched in PAPs) (Figure

1A). Remarkably, the astrocytic organization, determined by GFAP staining, was severely

disrupted, and GFAP levels were significantly elevated (~42%) in PD patients carrying

the LRRK2 G2019S mutation, indicative of reactive gliosis (Figure 1B-C). Unexpectedly,

the phospho-ERM signal was increased by 7-fold in the frontal cortices of PD patients

carrying the LRRK2 G2019S mutation (Figure 1B-C). The elevated phospho-ERM signal

was observed in GFAP-positive astrocytes and other cortical cells. These data reveal that

there are reactive astrocytes in the cortices of LRRK2 G2019S PD patients, and ERM

phosphorylation is highly upregulated in the brains of these patients.

Is ERM hyperphosphorylation an early or late event in LRRK2 G2019S PD

pathology? To address this question, we utilized the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice in which the

human G2019S point mutation was introduced into exon 41 of the mouse *Lrrk2* gene[35,36].

To determine if ERM hyperphosphorylation localizes to astrocytes, we crossed these

mice to an astrocyte reporter line carrying the Aldh1L1-eGFP transgene, which labels all

astrocytes by GFP[40]. We examined the levels of phospho-ERM in coronal brain sections

from 21-day-old (P21, juvenile) and 12-week-old (P84, adult) WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

mice. In LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, a brain region-specific and heterogeneous increase in

phospho-ERM staining was observed in astrocytes across both age groups, compared to

WT mice (Figure 1D-L). The increase in phospho-ERM in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice was

localized to a subpopulation of astrocytes within layers (L)1 and L2-3 of the anterior

cingulate cortex (ACC) and the primary motor cortex (MOp) (Figure 1E-L; Figure S1A-C)

but was absent in other regions such as the primary sensory cortex (SSp) and the dorsal

medial striatum (DMS) (Figure S1D-I). In P21 LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, the phospho-ERM

integrated densities in the ACC and MOp L1 and L2-3 were increased by ~32% (ACC)

and ~41% (MOp) compared to the WTs (Figure 1I), whereas in P84 LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

mice, the phospho-ERM integrated densities were increased by ~57% (ACC) and ~37%

(MOp) compared to the WTs (Figure 1L). Importantly, we did not observe any difference

in total ERM levels in the ACC and MOp between WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ brain

sections (Figure S1J-L). These findings show that the LRRK2 G2019S mutation

enhanced ERM phosphorylation in cortical astrocytes *in vivo*. This increase in ERM

phosphorylation is not uniform but rather localized to a subset of ACC and MOp astrocytes.

These findings reveal that the LRRK2 G2019S mutation increases phospho-ERM

levels in the cortices of PD patients and mice. In the human samples, phospho-ERM

elevation was observed concurrently with reactive gliosis (elevated GFAP staining). To

determine if, in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, there were reactive astrocytes detectable at

early ages, we stained sections from the same P21 mouse brains with an anti-GFAP

antibody. In the mouse cortex, grey matter astrocytes do not display GFAP staining

unless there is reactive gliosis[41,42]. We did not detect any increase in the levels of GFAP

(Figure S2A-C), indicating that phospho-ERM upregulation does not occur concurrently

with reactive astrogliosis in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. Distinct from the P21 and P84

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice where phospho-ERM was restricted to a subset of astrocytes in

a brain region-specific manner, in the human patient brains (~80 years old), we saw

phospho-ERM accumulation outside the GFAP-labelled astrocytes. This observation

suggests aberrant ERM hyperphosphorylation in multiple cell types occurs at later stages

of PD in patients with LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

LRRK2 G2019S mutation alters excitatory and inhibitory synapse numbers and

function in the cortex.

Previous studies did not observe dopaminergic neuron loss or motor dysfunction

in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice[31,43]; however, synapse dysfunction at cortico-striatal

circuits was reported in adult mice[34]. Despite the critical role of Lrrk2 in cortico-striatal

synapses and PD-related cortical circuits[44], whether LRRK2 G2019S affects cortical

synapse numbers and function has not been explored. Understanding these cortical

synaptic abnormalities is crucial, as they may underlie the early cognitive deficits in PD

that are unrelated to dopaminergic dysfunction. Therefore, we next investigated how

LRRK2 G2019S mutation impacts synaptic connectivity in the ACC and MOp L1 and L2-

3 (Figure 2A), regions in which ERM hyperphosphorylation within astrocytes was

observed.

Astrocytes, through secreted factors and direct contact with synapses, strongly

promote excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation and maintenance[13]. We quantified

the density of structural excitatory and inhibitory intracortical synapses in WT and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. To do so, we marked the excitatory synapses as the co-localization of

the presynaptic Vesicular Glutamate Transporter 1 (VGlut1) and the Postsynaptic Density

Protein (PSD95), whereas inhibitory synapses were labeled using presynaptic Vesicular

GABA Transporter (VGAT), and postsynaptic gephyrin (GEPHYRIN)[45,46] (Figure 2B).

Structural synapses were identified as the apposition and co-localization of presynaptic

and postsynaptic markers, which are in two distinct neuronal compartments (i.e., axons

and dendrites) and would only appear to co-localize at synapses due to their proximity[47].

Excitatory synapse densities were quantified both at P21 and P84. In the ACC of

the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, there was a significant decrease in intracortical excitatory

synapse densities in both layers and ages we analyzed (~30%) when compared to the

WT controls (Figure 2C-D and Figure S3A-B). However, no differences in excitatory

synapse numbers were observed between genotypes in the MOp (Figure 2E-F and Figure

S3C-D). When we quantified the densities of inhibitory synapses in the MOp, we found

significant differences between genotypes (~40% increase). In contrast, no differences

were observed for inhibitory synapse numbers in the ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

mice (Figure 2G-J). These results revealed that the LRRK2 G2019S mutation causes a

brain region-specific reduction in excitatory synapse densities in the ACC and an increase

in inhibitory synapse densities in the MOp, highlighting brain region-specific synaptic

alterations associated with this mutation.

Next, we used electrophysiology to test the functional impact of altered synapse

density in the ACC and the MOp of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice on synaptic function. We

recorded miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) in the ACC L2-3 pyramidal

neurons and miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in the MOp L2-3

pyramidal neurons from acute brain slices of P84 LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] and WT mice. In

agreement with a reduction in excitatory synapse density in the ACC, LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

L2-3 neurons displayed mEPSC frequency reduced by ~65% and a corresponding right

shift in the cumulative distributions of mEPSC inter-event intervals (Figure 3A-B) when

compared to WT neurons. There were no significant changes in the mean mEPSC

amplitude between genotypes (Figure 3C). mEPSC frequency changes correlate with

synapse numbers and/or the probability of presynaptic glutamate release[48], whereas

mEPSC amplitude indicates the synaptic strength reflecting the number of AMPARs at

the postsynapse[49]. Therefore, together with our anatomical data, these physiological

analyses reveal that the LRRK2 G2019S mutation reduces the number of intracortical

excitatory connections formed on L2-3 pyramidal neurons in the ACC without a change

in synaptic strength. In contrast, in the MOp, we found a robust ~72% increase in mIPSC

frequency when we recorded from the L2-3 pyramidal neurons of the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

mice compared to WT, with no significant change in amplitude (Figure 3D-F). The

increased mIPSC frequency aligns with our findings that inhibitory synapse numbers are

elevated in the MOp of the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice.

203   Reducing ERM hyperphosphorylation in astrocytes rescues excitatory synapse

204   density and function in the ACC of adult LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice.

Lrrk2 phosphorylates a conserved threonine residue present in all ERM

proteins[50,51]. We found that LRRK2 G2019S mutation leads to increased ERM

phosphorylation in astrocytes (Figure 1). We hypothesized that dampening ERM

phosphorylation, specifically in astrocytes, might be sufficient to restore the synapse

alteration in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. To test this hypothesis specifically in astrocytes *in*

vivo, we first investigated if we could reduce ERM phosphorylation in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

astrocytes by virally overexpressing an Ezrin mutant lacking the ability to be

phosphorylated. We chose Ezrin because Ezrin is highly abundant and specifically

enriched in astrocytes compared to its family members, Radixin and Moesin[52] (Figure

S4A-B). Switching the amino acid threonine (T) at location 567 with alanine (A) results in

a version of the protein that cannot be phosphorylated (Phospho-dead Ezrin, Figure

S4C)[53,54].

To determine if phospho-dead Ezrin can reduce ERM phosphorylation in

astrocytes of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, we retro-orbitally injected 9-week-old WT and

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice with adenoviruses (AAVs) to express Hemagglutinin (HA)-

tagged WT Ezrin or phospho-dead Ezrin under the control of the astrocyte-specific

GfaABC1D promoter (Figure S4D). The injected mouse brains were harvested at 12

weeks (3 weeks after injection) for histological analyses.

The widespread viral expression of Ezrin in astrocytes was verified by staining with

an anti-HA tag antibody (Figure 4A). First, overexpressing WT or phospho-dead Ezrin in

WT mouse brains did not increase the phospho-ERM level in the ACC and MOp (Figure

4A-B). However, WT Ezrin overexpression in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse brains was

accompanied by a robust phospho-ERM elevation in a population of astrocytes in the

ACC and MOp (Figure 4A-B). In contrast, overexpression of the phospho-dead Ezrin

eliminated the ERM hyperphosphorylation in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] ACC and MOp

(Figure 4A-B). This observation indicates that the LRRK2 G2019S mutation sensitizes

astrocytes to Lrrk2-mediated ERM phosphorylation.

To determine if overexpressing phospho-dead Ezrin can also dampen

hyperphosphorylation of another Lrrk2 substrate, Rab10[27,55], We stained sections from

the same brains as in Figure 4A-B with antibodies against phosphorylated Rab10 (Thr

73). Rab10 is a member of the Rab family of small GTPases that plays crucial roles in

neuronal function and intracellular transport pathways[56]. As expected, we found higher

Rab10 phosphorylation in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] brain sections than in WT mice. However,

neither WT nor phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression impacted Rab10 phosphorylation

(Figure S5A-B). These results show that phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression in

astrocytes specifically reduces ERM hyperphosphorylation without impacting another

Lrrk2 substrate, Rab10.

Given the effects of phospho-dead Ezrin in dampening ERM hyperphosphorylation,

we next tested whether this manipulation in adult LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes can

reverse the synaptic phenotypes we observed in the ACC and MOp. To do so, we

followed the same experimental strategy and timeline as in Figure S4D. WT Ezrin

overexpression in astrocytes did not affect the excitatory synapse density decline in the

ACC L1 and L2-3 of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. In contrast, overexpression of phospho-

dead Ezrin reduced excitatory synapse density in the WT background by ~30% (Figure

4C-D). Conversely, astrocytic overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin in LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ rescued the excitatory synapse numbers to WT levels in the ACC (Figure 4C-

D). These data suggest that the reduction in synapse numbers we observed in the ACC

of the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice is largely due to an astrocytic dysfunction through

dysregulated ERM phosphorylation. These results also indicate that astrocytes contribute

to excitatory synaptic dysfunction in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse cortex.

We next investigated whether the same manipulation of adult astrocytes can

change the inhibitory synapse number in the MOp. We found that neither the WT nor the

phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression in adult astrocytes can rescue the density of

inhibitory synapses back to WT levels in the MOp L1 and L2-3 of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

(Figure S5C-D). In summary, phospho-dead Ezrin expression in adult WT or LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes is sufficient to modify excitatory synapse numbers in the ACC but

cannot restore or impair inhibitory synaptic connectivity in the MOp. It is plausible that

LRRK2 G2019S mutation impairs inhibitory synapses in the MOp by altering the number

or connectivity of cortical interneurons through a developmental mechanism. Thus,

manipulation in adults is insufficient to eliminate this inhibitory synapse phenotype.

Because astrocytic overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin impacted excitatory

synapse numbers in the ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, we next performed

whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from ACC L2-3 pyramidal neurons transduced with the

same AAVs. Overexpression of WT Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes did not rescue

the decreased frequency of mEPSCs in ACC L2-3 neurons. However, overexpression of

phospho-dead Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes restored the frequency up to the

levels of WT mice expressing WT Ezrin (Figure 4E-F). Interestingly, overexpression of

phospho-dead Ezrin in WT astrocytes significantly reduced the frequency of mEPSCs

(~54%) in WT ACC neurons (Figure 4E-F). Posthoc comparisons confirmed no significant

differences in mean mEPSC amplitudes across all 4 conditions (Figure 4G).

Altogether, these electrophysiological and neuroanatomical analyses show that

the LRRK2 G2019S mutation reduces the density and function of excitatory synaptic

inputs made onto the ACC L2-3 but increases the density and function of inhibitory

synaptic inputs made onto the MOp L2-3. These data reveal that the LRRK2 G2019S

mutation dysregulates proper excitatory and inhibitory synapse connectivity and function

in the cortex in a brain region-specific manner. Because astrocytes control the number

and function of cortical synapses, these results also pinpoint a link between LRRK2

G2019S-mediated ERM hyperphosphorylation in astrocytes (Figure 1) and the synaptic

dysfunctions in the ACC and MOp (Figure 2 and 3). Our results also reveal that astrocytic

overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin in adult LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice can recover

excitatory synapse density and function, whereas the same manipulation disrupts

synapse numbers and function in WT ACC neurons (Figure 4). These findings show that

balancing ERM phosphorylation in astrocytes is crucial for maintaining excitatory synapse

density and function in the ACC.

Lrrk2 regulates astrocyte morphological complexity *in vivo* through ERM

phosphorylation.

Astrocytes gain their elaborate morphology during the second and third postnatal

weeks in mice[57,58]. Previous studies highlighted the importance of astrocyte morphology

in the regulation of synapse numbers and function[13]. ERM proteins are structural

components of perisynaptic astrocyte processes[59]. When phosphorylated and bound to

phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2), ERM proteins change conformation and

link the F-actin cytoskeleton to the cell membrane[54]. This switch is thought to be important

in organizing cell morphology by allowing process outgrowth[53,54]. Ezrin is the most

abundant ERM protein in astrocytes and is required for proper astrocyte

morphogenesis[60-62]. Based on our observation that ERM hyperphosphorylation in LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes causes aberrant cortical synaptic connectivity as early as P21, we

next investigated how this mutation impacts astrocyte morphological complexity.

To determine if astrocyte morphology was altered in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

cortices, we sparsely labeled astrocytes with a membrane-targeted fluorescent protein

(mCherry-CAAX), using postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE) in WT and

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice and imaged whole astrocytes with confocal microscopy at high

resolution (Figure S6A). We focused our analyses of astrocyte territory size and

complexity (see Methods for details) on the ACC and MOp L1 and L2-3 at P21. In LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice, the territory volumes of astrocytes were significantly smaller (reduced

by ~34%) than WT astrocytes (Figure 5A-B). In addition, compared to WT astrocytes,

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes were significantly less complex (Figure 5C). But the

reduction in astrocyte size and complexity in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] did not change the

number of ALDH1L1+/SOX9+ cells in the ACC and MOp (Figure S6B-D). These results

reveal that LRRK2 G2019S mutation reduces astrocyte morphological complexity without affecting astrocyte numbers *in vivo*.

Because phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression in astrocytes differentially impacts synapse numbers and function in WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice (Figure 4), we hypothesized that overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin would also impact astrocyte morphology differently between genotypes. To test this possibility, we performed PALE to introduce mCherry-CAAX and phospho-dead Ezrin into WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mouse astrocytes. The overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin decreased the territory volume and morphological complexity of WT astrocytes (Figure 5A-C). However, phospho-dead Ezrin expression restored astrocyte territory volume and complexity in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice (Figure 5A-C). Our results show that the abundance of unphosphorylated Ezrin differentially regulates astrocyte morphology in WT versus LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes.

Previous studies suggested phosphorylation at T567 promotes Ezrin acquiring an open conformation by binding to phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2)[63]. In this conformation, the N-terminus interacts with membrane proteins, and the C-terminus is available to bind to the actin cytoskeleton[64,65]. An Ezrin mutant in which the T567 residue is switched with aspartate (D) prefers Ezrin's open conformation, thereby mimicking the phosphorylated state (Phospho-mimetic Ezrin, Figure S4C)[66,67]. Conversely, the phospho-dead Ezrin remains in the closed conformation in which the N and C terminal domains are bound together. This closed conformation is proposed to be unable to interact with membranes or actin[53,68]. Because we found that phospho-dead Ezrin differentially impacts WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes, we hypothesized that Ezrin's

transition between open and closed conformation is regulated by LRRK2 function and

should be tightly balanced for proper astrocyte morphogenesis (Figure 5D). In LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes, Ezrin is more likely to be phosphorylated and in the open state.

This distorted balance of the Ezrin state may explain the morphological defects of LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes. This model also aligns with our findings that dampening Ezrin

phosphorylation via phospho-dead Ezrin disrupts WT astrocyte morphology but rescues

the deficits in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes.

If this model is correct, we would expect that the loss of Lrrk2 function in astrocytes

impacts astrocyte morphogenesis, a phenotype that we could rescue by introducing

phospho-mimetic Ezrin. To test the impact of Lrrk2 loss in astrocytes, first, we used a

knockout (KO)-verified Lrrk2 antibody[69,70] and confirmed the expression of Lrrk2 protein

in cortical mouse astrocytes by immunostaining coronal brain sections from WT or LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice. Lrrk2 staining was evident in WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes,

localizing throughout astrocytic processes (Figure S7A-B). In addition, cortical astrocytes

isolated from WT rats express Lrrk2 *in vitro,* which was detected by immunoblotting

(Figure S7C-D). In agreement, RNA sequencing databases for cortical cell types in mice

and humans also show *lrrk2* mRNA present in astrocytes (Fig. S7C-D).

To test if astrocytic Lrrk2 function is required to control astrocyte morphogenesis,

we knocked down Lrrk2 in WT astrocytes *in vivo*, using PALE. We transfected astrocytes

with the mCherry-CAAX reporter and either with a control shRNA (shControl) or a shRNA

that reduces Lrrk2 expression by ~90% (Figure S7E-F, targets both rat and mouse Lrrk2).

Interestingly, similar to LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes, shLrrk2- transfected astrocytes had

significantly smaller territory volumes (reduced by ~36%) and reduced morphological

complexity when compared to shControl astrocytes (Figure 5E-G).

Could phospho-mimetic Ezrin rescue the morphological complexity of shLrrk2-

transfected astrocytes? To test this, we performed PALE to introduce shLrrk2 or

shControl with a phospho-mimetic Ezrin overexpression vector. Overexpression of

phospho-mimetic Ezrin in shControl astrocytes did not change astrocyte territory volume

and morphological complexity compared to only shControl-transfected astrocytes (Figure

5E-G). However, expression of phospho-mimetic Ezrin in shLrrk2-containing astrocytes

restored astrocyte territory volume and morphological complexity up to the levels of

shControl-transfected astrocytes (Figure 5E-G).

These results show that both the gain of Lrrk2 kinase function (in LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice) and the loss of Lrrk2 function (shLrrk2) decreases astrocyte complexity

and size. In line with our model, we found that the opposite manipulation of Ezrin

conformational/phosphorylation states can rescue these morphological phenotypes.

Interestingly, in the shControl astrocytes overexpressed with phospho-mimetic Ezrin, we

did not observe a reduction in astrocyte territory and morphological complexity. This

outcome can be explained by the presence of endogenous Ezrin in its closed

conformation, which balances the additional phospho-mimetic Ezrin. These findings

reveal that Lrrk2 is crucial to regulate astrocyte morphological complexity via balancing

ERM phosphorylation *in vivo*.

LRRK2 G2019S mutation interrupts Ezrin interactomes in astrocytes

What are the molecular mechanisms by which ERM hyperphosphorylation stunts

astrocyte morphology in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes? To address this question, we

identified possible interactors of Ezrin in WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes by *in vivo*

astrocyte-specific proximity labeling. To do so, we used two virally encoded astrocyte-

specific biotin ligase (BirA2) probes: Ezrin fused with BirA2 (Astro-Ezrin-BirA2) and

soluble BirA2 with a nuclear export sequence (Astro-Cyto-BirA2) (Figure 6A). The viruses

were delivered using intracortical AAV injections to P0-2 WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

mouse pups. AAV-injected mice were given subcutaneous biotin injections starting at P18

for 3 days, and cortices were collected at P21 (Figure 6B). Biotinylated proteins were

detected in astrocytes using immunohistochemistry and immunoblotting for HA and

Streptavidin (Figure S8A-C).

Biotinylated proteins from cortices were affinity-purified and analyzed using

quantitative liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (Figure 6B).

Across the samples, we identified 30,815 unique peptides corresponding to 4,081 unique

proteins. Principle component analyses (PCA) of iBioID results showed that Cyto-BioID

(control) and Ezrin-BioID (bait) samples cluster separately, and Ezrin-BioID samples from

WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes form distinct clusters (Figure S9A). We detected

Radixin and Moesin, known Ezrin family proteins, to be significantly enriched in the Ezrin-

BioID sample compared to Cyto-BioID (Figure S9B)[71].

To delineate genotype-specific interactors of Ezrin, we performed a comparative

analysis of putative Ezrin interactors from WT versus LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. Astro-

Ezrin-BioID detected 344 proteins at a significantly different abundance (fold-change >

1.5 or < -1.5, $p < 0.05$) between genotypes. 190 were found to gain proximity to Ezrin in

LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes; whereas 154 lost Ezrin proximity (Figure 6C; Figure S9C-

D).

To determine how changes in the Ezrin interactome may impact molecular

processes and cellular functions in astrocytes, we applied Gene Ontology (GO) analysis

to the 344 proteins that were differentially enriched between genotypes. We found that

terms for molecular functions related to phospholipid binding, microtubule binding, and

membrane transporter and cell-adhesion mediator activity were over-represented in

differentially enriched proteins (Figure 6D). This aligns with our model that the LRRK2

G2019S mutation would shift Ezrin towards its phosphorylated/open state, which is

associated with membranes by binding lipids and membrane proteins. Unexpectedly,

when we applied GO-term analyses to biological processes, we found terms such as

catabolic processes, macroautophagy, negative regulation of developmental growth, and

intracellular transport to be overrepresented (Figure 6E). These findings indicated a role

for Ezrin in these biological processes, which are altered by the LRRK2 G2019S mutation.

Previous studies in neurons and other cell types demonstrated macroautophagy

deficits when the LRRK2 G2019S mutation is present[72]. Therefore, we next analyzed

global changes in the cytosol induced by the LRRK2 G2019S mutation by comparing the

Astro-Cyto-BioID datasets of all astrocytic proteins across genotypes. There were 195

proteins that were differentially enriched in the Cyto-BioID depending on the genotype

(78 gained and 117 lost in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki], Figure S9E). GO-term analysis of

differentially detected cytosolic proteins revealed an enrichment of proteins associated

with phospholipid binding and vesicle trafficking (Figure S9F-G). Macroautophagy,

catabolic processes, and related GO-terms did not enrich in Cyto-BioID, suggesting that

changes in these biological processes that we found in the Ezrin-BioID are specifically

related to alterations in Ezrin function in the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes.

iBioID captures proteins that are in close proximity to the bait but does not

guarantee direct protein-protein interactions. To identify potential direct interactors of

Ezrin which are differentially regulated by LRRK2 G2019S mutation, we compared protein

abundance in Astro-Ezrin-BioID to Astro-Cyto-BioID within each genotype (Ezrin/Cyto

fold -change > 1.5, *p* > 0.05, 346 proteins in WT and 335 proteins in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$).

To generate high-confidence lists of interactors that gained or lost proximity to Ezrin in

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes, we set a second requirement for proteins with fold-change

> 1.5 or < -1.5 between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ and WT Astro-Ezrin-BioID. Using these filters,

we narrowed down our list to 28 as "gained proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S

astrocytes" and 30 as "lost proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S astrocytes" (Figure 6F-

H; Supplemental Table 2).

Taken together, these data provide a comprehensive map of Ezrin interactomes in

WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse astrocytes *in vivo*. This discovery-based approach

reveals unexpected changes in Ezrin interactions with proteins that function in catabolic

processes and autophagy in the astrocytes of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. Thus, it points us

towards a molecular mechanism underlying the role of Lrrk2-Ezrin signaling pathways in

astrocyte morphogenesis.

Atg7 is an Ezrin interactor that is required for proper astrocyte morphogenesis

Among the high-confidence astrocytic Ezrin interactors, we identified autophagy-

related gene 7 (Atg7), a crucial autophagy regulator. Atg7 was highly enriched (~2.3-fold)

in the Ezrin-BioID fraction of WT astrocytes but was less abundant (-2.0-fold) in the Ezrin-

BioID fraction of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes compared to the Cyto-BioID. Atg7

encodes an E1-like ubiquitin-activating enzyme and plays a crucial role in the initiation of

autophagy[73]. Studies in mice and humans have also pointed to the dysregulation of Atg7

in neurological disorders[74]. However, little is known about the role of Atg7 in astrocytes,

and whether it can directly interact with Ezrin and regulate astrocyte morphology is

unknown.

First, to examine the putative interaction between Ezrin and Atg7, we used

AlphaFold-2.0 Multimer[75] to model the structure of these proteins alone or in complex with

each other. Atg7, which functions as a homodimer, has two globular domains: An N-

terminal domain and a C-terminal domain that are separated by a short flexible linker.

The C-terminal domain is further divided into the adenylation and extreme C-terminal

domains (Figure 7A-B)[76]. Ezrin comprises an N-terminal FERM (four-point one, Ezrin,

Radixin, Moesin) domain (subdivided into three subdomains- F1, F2, and F3), α-helical

domain, and a C-terminal ERM Association domain (C-ERMAD) (Figure 7C)[67]. When

unphosphorylated, Ezrin remains in a closed state where N and C terminal domains

interact, and the central alpha-helical hinge region bends to form a "clamshell-like"

structure. Upon phosphorylation of T567 and binding to PIP2, Ezrin acquires an open

conformation[77]. We also modeled both conformations of Ezrin using Alpha-fold (Figure

7D; see Methods for details of modeling).

We additionally utilized Alpha-fold 2.0 multimer to predict the structure of the

complex formed between the closed conformation of Ezrin and the homodimer of Atg7

(Figure 7E). Alpha-fold 2.0 multimer, in conjunction with CABS-Flex 2.0 and PyMol, were

used to predict and assess conformational changes in Atg7 and Ezrin after binding each

other (Figure 7F). When Ezrin binds Atg7, it is predicted to undergo drastic conformational

changes in its α-helical domain, which is pulled towards the ERMAD and FERM domains,

whereas the changes in the Atg7 dimer structure after Ezrin binding are minimal (Figure

7F). These modeling analyses strongly suggested a direct interaction between Ezrin and

Atg7, which we set out to test empirically next.

To probe for an interaction between Ezrin and Atg7, we performed co-

immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) in HEK293T cells overexpressing Myc-tagged Atg7 (Atg7-

Myc) and HA-tagged WT Ezrin. To understand how the open or closed conformation of

Ezrin would impact the Atg7 interaction, we also conducted Co-IPs with modulation of

Ezrin phosphorylation levels: phospho-mimetic T567D ("TD", open) and phospho-dead

T567A ("TA", closed) Ezrin. We found that WT Ezrin or phospho-dead Ezrin

coimmunoprecipitated with Myc-Atg7, indicating that Atg7 interacts with WT Ezrin or

phospho-dead Ezrin (Figure 7G). However, the interaction between Atg7 and phospho-

mimetic Ezrin was significantly reduced (Figure 7H). Together with the structural modeling,

these results suggest that Atg7 interacts directly with Ezrin in a

phosphorylation/conformation-dependent manner. These findings align well with our

Ezrin BioID data (Figure 6), in which Atg7 lost interaction with Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

astrocytes. These findings also indicate that Atg7 may play a role in regulating astrocyte

morphogenesis, and this function of Atg7 may be altered in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] astrocytes.

Due to the impaired interaction between Atg7 and Ezrin when Ezrin is in its

phospho-mimetic (open) state, we hypothesized that altered Atg7 function contributes to

impaired astrocyte morphology in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. To test this hypothesis, we

developed a short hairpin RNA (shRNA) that targets both rat and mouse *Atg7,* which

effectively reduced *Atg7* mRNA in mouse cells (Figure S10A). Knockdown of Atg7 in rat

astrocytes significantly reduced neuron-induced astrocyte morphogenesis *in vitro* in a

neuron-astrocyte co-culture assay (Figure S10B-C).

To elucidate the necessity of Atg7 in regulating astrocyte morphology *in vivo*, we

introduced shControl or shAtg7 into a sparse population of cortical astrocytes in WT and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice by using PALE. We found that Atg7 knockdown in WT astrocytes

led to a significant reduction in astrocyte territory volume and morphological complexity,

resembling the LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes transfected with shControl (Figure 7I-K).

Atg7 knockdown in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes did not further reduce their territory

volume (Figure 7J) but significantly improved their morphological complexity (Figure 7K).

Overall, these data reveal an essential role for Atg7 in astrocyte morphological complexity

and suggest that disruptions in Atg7 function in astrocytes contribute to the altered

astrocyte morphology observed in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice.

DISCUSSION

Here, we describe a previously unknown role for Lrrk2, a PD-linked gene, in

controlling astrocyte morphological complexity by regulating the phosphorylation state of

ERM proteins. In the presence of LRRK2 G2019S mutation, we found enhanced ERM

phosphorylation in human and mouse cortical astrocytes. ERM hyperphosphorylation in

the LRRK2 G2019S background is specific to a subset of the ACC and MOp astrocytes

of juvenile and adult mice. The hyperphosphorylation of ERM proteins in these regions

occurred concurrently with dysregulated astrocytic morphology and synapse numbers

and activity. These observations prompted us to test how shifting the balance of the ERM

phosphorylation status in astrocytes impacts astrocyte morphology and synaptic

connectivity. We found that overexpression of a phospho-dead Ezrin, specifically in

astrocytes, is sufficient to rescue both the morphological deficits of astrocytes themselves

as well as the number and function of excitatory synapses within the ACC of the LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice. However, the same manipulation is detrimental in WT astrocytes. Taken

together, these results support a model that Lrrk2 activity in astrocytes regulates a tight

balance between phosphorylated and unphosphorylated ERM proteins in astrocytes, and

pushing this balance in either direction impairs astrocytes' morphology and synaptic

functions.

How does ERM phosphorylation control astrocyte morphology and

synaptogenic functions?

The canonical function of ERM proteins is linking the actin cytoskeleton with the

plasma membrane, thus allowing cellular process outgrowth and morphogenesis[64]. Our

findings suggest that disruptions in the interaction between Ezrin and a core autophagy-

related protein, Atg7, contribute to the altered astrocyte morphology observed in LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] mice. *In vivo* Ezrin interactome in astrocytes included several other proteins

related to autophagy, which changed their proximity to Ezrin in the LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki]

astrocytes, compared to WT astrocytes. These observations indicate that dysregulated

autophagy due to ERM hyperphosphorylation may underlie the deficits we observed in

astrocytes.

Another intriguing observation is that, *in vivo*, knocking down Atg7 in WT

astrocytes reduces astrocyte morphological complexity. Atg7 is a homodimeric E1-like

enzyme that is essential for autophagy, a process crucial for maintaining cellular

homeostasis by degrading and recycling damaged organelles and proteins[73]. The

presence of autophagic dysregulation in the context of neurodegenerative diseases,

including PD-associated LRRK2 mutations, is well-documented[31,78,72]. However, whether

autophagy plays a role in astrocyte morphology and its synaptogenic functions is not

known. Moreover, how LRRK2 G2019S mutation impacts autophagy in astrocytes is not

yet elucidated. It is tempting to speculate that the depletion of Atg7 disrupts autophagic

flux, accumulating damaged organelles and proteins within astrocytes, potentially

compromising their structural integrity and function.

However, even without Atg7, other forms of autophagy can still occur[79,80],

suggesting that the role of Atg7 in astrocyte morphogenesis may be independent of its

canonical role in autophagy. For example, Atg7 is implicated in various endocytic

pathways, including LC3-associated endocytosis and phagocytosis, processes crucial for

cellular clearance and process remodeling[81,82]. Our findings also pinpoint a role for Ezrin

(and other ERM proteins) in autophagy regulation. In agreement, there is emerging

evidence linking Ezrin to molecular pathways regulating autophagy[83]. Through molecular

modeling and biochemical methods, we found evidence for a direct interaction between

Atg7 and unphosphorylated Ezrin. Future studies would be highly fruitful if we could

determine how this interaction impacts astrocyte cell biology.

Astrocytes control synapse formation and function through cell adhesion

molecules and secreted proteins that signal to neurons[14]. It is plausible that ERM

phosphorylation regulates synaptogenic protein secretion from astrocytes via autophagy-

related pathways. Another alternative explanation is the role of astrocytic phospho-ERM

proteins in controlling synapse elimination via phagocytosis by astrocytes. In agreement

with this possibility, a recent study found that synapses are more likely to be eliminated if

phospho-ERM proteins were localized to peri-synaptic astrocyte processes in ALS patient

brains and mouse models[84]. Future research investigating the complex relationships

between Lrrk2, ERM, and autophagy in astrocytes will be necessary to test these

intriguing possibilities.

Implications for Therapeutic Development

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice do not recapitulate motor symptoms of PD because there

is no detectable dopaminergic neuron loss in these mice[30,43]. However, these mice serve

as good models for the non-motor (prodromal) PD symptoms seen in LRRK2 G2019S

carriers[3]. These non-motor symptoms, which arise long before motor dysfunction in PD,

indicate aberrant synaptic connectivity within multiple brain circuits, including the cortex,

long before the death of dopaminergic neurons[85].

In agreement, LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice display early circuit dysfunction and non-

motor symptoms akin to prodromal PD. Previous studies showed that LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

mice have deficits in attention and goal-directed learning and differential response to

stress[33,35,86]. Our findings showing synaptic deficits in the ACC of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

(Figure 2-3) fit well with these studies because the ACC is a brain region that controls

attention, goal-directed actions, and mood[87,88]. Furthermore, we observed synaptic

deficits in the ACC of LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice concurrently with astrocyte morphological

deficits located in the ACC and MOp.

Why do ERM phosphorylation and synaptic deficits happen in specific brain

regions of LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice? Adult cortical astrocytes are heterogeneous in their

gene expression, morphology, and function[89,90]. Interestingly, cortical astrocyte

heterogeneity is controlled by layer-specific neuron heterogeneity[89,90]. It is plausible that

neurons and astrocytes in different cortical regions have varying levels of LRRK2 and

diverse susceptibilities to LRRK2 mutations. The ACC and MOp may heavily depend on

LRRK2 to maintain synaptic integrity and astrocyte function. Future studies investigating

the impacts of LRRK2 G2019S in cortical neurons and astrocytes' transcriptional profiles

may help explain why region-specific impact of this mutation in astrocytes.

In sum, our findings reveal a critical function for Lrrk2 in astrocyte development

and synaptic connectivity, suggesting that Lrrk2-mediated ERM hyperphosphorylation in

astrocytes is an early mediator of PD pathogenesis. This astrocytic dysfunction could

directly contribute to synaptic deficits observed in the prodromal stages of PD. Moreover,

our data indicate that restoring astrocyte function, even post-development could be a

promising strategy for treating excitatory synaptic pathology. Identifying the molecular

mechanisms by which Lrrk2 controls ERM phosphorylation and the specific phosphatases

involved could lead to new therapeutic targets for PD. Future studies aimed to elucidate

the molecular mechanisms through which Lrrk2 controls ERM phosphorylation and to

identify the phosphatases required to that maintain the tight balance of ERM

phosphorylation in astrocytes are likely to reveal new targets for PD therapeutics.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: ERM phosphorylation is impaired in PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S

mutation. (A) Schematic representation of analyzed human brain regions for phospho-

ERM staining, frontal cortex. (B) Representative confocal images of GFAP (green) and

ERM phosphorylation (purple) in the frontal cortex of human control subjects or human

PD patients carrying LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers at age >80 years old. Scale bar,

200 μm. (C) Quantification of GFAP integrated density in (B), n = 4 (Human control, 3

males and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1 female)

subjects, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (18) = 3.612, p = 0.0020.

Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (B), n = 4 (Human control, 3 males

and 1 female), 3 (LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers, 2 males and 1 female) subjects,

nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (4) = 3.551, p = 0.0238. Grey dots are the data

acquired from each image. Black dots are the averaged data acquired from each control

subject. Blue dots are the averaged data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S mutation

carrier. (D) Schematic representation of analyzed mouse brain regions for phospho-ERM

staining, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and the primary motor cortex (MOp). (E-F)

Representative confocal images of phospho-ERM (purple) in the ACC and the MOp of

WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP mice at P21. Scale bar, 10 μm. (G-H)

Representative confocal images of phospho-ERM (purple) in the ACC and MOp of WT or

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP mice at P21. Scale bar, 200 μm. (I) Quantification of

phospho-ERM integrated density in (G-H), n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice, For phospho-ERM quantification in the ACC,

nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (6) = 3.295, p = 0.0165. For phospho-ERM

quantification in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired two-tailed t-test. t (24) = 3.462, p = 0.002.

(J-K) Representative confocal images of phospho-ERM (purple) in the ACC and MOp of

WT or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ Aldh1L1-eGFP mice at P84. Scale bar, 200 μm. n = 4 (WT, 2

males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice. (L)

Quantification of phospho-ERM integrated density in (J-K), n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2

females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females) mice, For phospho-ERM

quantification in the ACC, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed t-test. t (46) = 6.173, p <

0.0001. For phospho-ERM quantification in the MOp, nested t-test, unpaired Two-tailed

t-test. t (10) = 3.146, p = 0.0104. Grey dots are the data acquired from each image. Black

dots are the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged

data acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse.

Figure 2: LRRK2 G2019S affects excitatory and inhibitory synapse numbers in the

ACC and MOp. (A) Schematic representation of analyzed brain regions (ACC and MOp)

for excitatory and inhibitory synapse numbers. (B) Schematic representations of

methodologies used to quantify the VGluT1-PSD95 and VGAT-GEPHYRIN colocalized

puncta. (C) Representative images from the ventral ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

mice that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 10 μm. (D)

Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT

values in the ventral ACC L1 and L2-3. n = 5 (WT, 3 males and 2 females), 5 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males and 2 females) mice. Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 56) = 12.48, p

< 0.0001], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference

between WT ACC L1 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L1 (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [16.83,

52.83]), and between WT ACC L2-3 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L2-3 (p = 0.0002, 95%

C.I. = [14.73, 50.74]). alpha = 0.05. (E) Representative images from the MOp of WT and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P84.

Scale bar, 10 μm. (F) Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized

using the means of WT values in the MOp L1 and L2-3. n = 5 (WT, 3 males and 2 females),

5 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males and 2 females) mice. Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 56)

= 0.3681, p = 0.7763], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant

difference between WT MOp L1 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ MOp L1 (p = 0.7358, 95% C.I. =

[-18.62, 42.83]), and between WT MOp L2-3 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ MOp L2-3 (p >

0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-27.51, 33.94]). alpha = 0.05. (G) Representative images from the

ventral ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained with VGAT and

GEPHYRIN antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 10 μm. (H) Quantification of VGAT-GEPHYRIN

co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in the ventral ACC L1 and

L2-3. n = 4 (WT, 2 males and 2 females), 4 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 2 males and 2 females)

mice. Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 43) = 0.1155, p = 0.9505], Bonferroni's multiple

comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT ACC L1 and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L1 (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-28.54, 20.66]), and between WT ACC L2-3

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ ACC L2-3 (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-20.71, 29.60]). alpha = 0.05.

(I) Representative images from MOp of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice that were stained

with VGAT and GEPHYRIN antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 10 μm. (J) Quantification of

VGAT-GEPHYRIN co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of WT values in

MOp L1 and L2-3. n = 6 (WT, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$, 3 males

and 3 females) mice. Nested One-way ANOVA [F (3, 68) = 25.88, p < 0.0001],

Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT MOp

L1 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ MOp L1 (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-60.89, -30.43]), and between

WT MOp L2-3 and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ MOp L2-3 (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-51.48, -21.02]).

alpha = 0.05. Grey dots are the data acquired from each synapse image. Black dots are

the averaged data acquired from each WT mouse. Blue dots are the averaged data

acquired from each LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mouse.

Figure 3: LRRK2 G2019S affects excitatory and inhibitory synapse function in the

ACC and MOp. (A) Representative mEPSC traces from the ventral ACC L2-3 pyramidal

neurons in acute brain slices of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. (B) Cumulative

probability plots and quantification of synaptic event frequency, n = 15 (WT), 13 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.504, p

< 0.001). The average frequency of mEPSC in WT (2.521 ± 0.3846) and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (0.8691 ± 0.1309) mice. Unpaired Two-tailed t-test [t (26) = 3.828, p = 0.0007].

(C) Cumulative probability plots and quantification of synaptic event amplitude, n = 14

(WT), 12 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov

test (D = 0.194, p < 0.0001). The average amplitude of mEPSC in WT (7.826 ± 0.8259)

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (6.959 ± 0.9015) mice. Unpaired t-test [t (24) = 0.7093, p = 0.485].

(D) Representative mIPSC traces from MOp L2-3 pyramidal neurons in acute brain slices

of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice. (E) Cumulative probability plots and quantification of

synaptic event frequency, n = 12 (WT), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) neurons from 4 mice per

genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.424, p < 0.0001). The average frequency of

mIPSC in WT (0.7606 ± 0.06816) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (1.312 ± 0.1041) mice.

Unpaired Two-tailed t-test [t (21) = 4.503, p = 0.0002]. (F) Cumulative probability plots

and quantification of synaptic event amplitude, n = 12 (WT), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$)

neurons from 4 mice per genotype. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.382, p < 0.0001).

The average amplitude of mIPSC in WT (8.776 ± 0.4961) and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (9.921

± 0.5043) mice. Unpaired t-test [t (21) = 1.617, *p* = 0.1209]. Data are presented as mean

± s.e.m.

Figure 4: Overexpression of phospho-dead Ezrin in adult LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki

astrocytes restores excitatory synapse number and function in the ventral ACC. (A)

Representative images from the ventral ACC of WT and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki mice injected

with AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead Ezrin that were stained

with HA and phospho-ERM antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 200 μm. n = 6 (WT + WT Ezrin

O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 5 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 2 females),

6 (LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + WT Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki +

Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females) mice. (B) Quantification of phospho-

ERM integrated density in (A). n = 6 (WT + WT Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (WT

+ Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + WT Ezrin

O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males

and 3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA [F (3, 20) = 31.62, *p* < 0.0001], Bonferroni's

multiple comparisons test revealed a significant difference between WT + WT Ezrin O/E

and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + WT Ezrin O/E (*p* < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-34272667, -17645403]),

and between LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + Phospho-

dead Ezrin O/E (*p* < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [11069274, 27696537]). There were no

differences between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (*p* = 0.3065,

95% C.I. = [-14207483, 2419780]), and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and

LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (*p* > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-8995910,

7631353]), alpha = 0.05. (C) Representative images from the ventral ACC L1 of WT and

LRRK2 G2019S^ki/ki mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin or AAV-HA-tagged-

Phospho-dead Ezrin that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P84. Scale

bar, 10 μm. Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the

means of WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin in the ventral ACC L1. n = 6

(WT + WT Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 5 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males

and 2 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA

[$F_{(3, 19)}$ = 5.882, p = 0.0051], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a

significant difference between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p

= 0.0493, 95% C.I. = [0.05586, 53.21]), between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0269, 95% C.I. = [2.396, 53.08]), and between LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.049,

95% C.I. = [-50.77, -0.08163]). There were no differences between WT + WT Ezrin O/E

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-23.03,

27.66]), between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E

(p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-25.48, 27.68]), and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0863, 95% C.I. = [-50.90, 2.259]),

alpha = 0.05. (D) Representative images from the ventral ACC L2-3 of WT and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-

dead Ezrin that were stained with VGluT1 and PSD95 antibodies at P84. Scale bar, 10

μm. Quantification of VGluT1-PSD95 co-localized puncta, normalized using the means of

WT mice injected with AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin in the ventral ACC L2-3. n = 6 (WT +

WT Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 5 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and

2 females), 6 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females), 6 (LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E, 3 males and 3 females) mice. One-way ANOVA

[F (3, 18) = 10.45, p = 0.0003], Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test revealed a

significant difference between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p

= 0.0041, 95% C.I. = [8.857, 55.39]), between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

+ WT Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0016, 95% C.I. = [11.67, 56.22]), between WT + Phospho-dead

Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0232, 95% C.I. = [-

47.19.67, -2.636]) and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0092, 95% C.I. = [-47.97.77, -5.494]). There

were no differences between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-

dead Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-15.06, 29.49]), and between WT + Phospho-

dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-20.45,

24.10]), alpha = 0.05. (E) Representative mEPSC traces from the ventral ACC L2-3

pyramidal neurons in acute brain slices of WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice injected with

AAV-HA-tagged-WT Ezrin or AAV-HA-tagged-Phospho-dead Ezrin. (F) Cumulative

probability plots and quantification of synaptic event frequency. n = 14 (WT + WT Ezrin

O/E), 15 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E), 14

(LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E) neurons from 4 mice per condition.

Kruskal-Wallis test [H (3) = 36.83, p < 0.0001]. Average frequency of mEPSC in WT +

WT Ezrin O/E (1.555 ± 0.1125), WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (0.8416 ± 0.05145),

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E (0.7154 ± 0.05959), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ +

Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (1.253 ± 0.04424) mice. The posthoc Dunn's test with

Bonferroni adjustments showed to be significant for WT + WT Ezrin O/E vs. WT +

Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p < 0.0001), WT + WT Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT

Ezrin O/E ($p < 0.0001$), WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.0084$), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.0005$). The posthoc Dunn's test with Bonferroni adjustments revealed no significant difference between WT + WT Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$) and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$). (G) Cumulative probability plots and quantification of synaptic event amplitude. n = 11 (WT + WT Ezrin O/E), 15 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E), 11 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E), 13 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E) neurons from 4 mice per condition. Kruskal-Wallis test [H (3) = 7.051, $p = 0.0703$]. Average amplitude of mEPSC in WT + WT Ezrin O/E (4.873 ± 0.1034), WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (6.339 ± 0.4284), LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E (5.354 ± 0.2988), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (5.591 ± 0.2247) mice. The posthoc Dunn's test with Bonferroni adjustments revealed no significant difference for WT + WT Ezrin O/E vs. WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.0688$), WT + WT Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$), WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$), and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$), WT + WT Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.3605$), and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E vs. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + WT Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.9468$). alpha = 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 5: Astrocytic LRRK2 controls astrocyte morphology by balancing ERM phosphorylation levels *in vivo*. (A) Images of ACC and MOp L2-3 WT and LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes at P21 expressing PB-mCherry-CAAX ± Phospho-dead Ezrin. Astrocyte territory in cyan. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Average territory volumes of P21 astrocytes. n = 16 (WT; 6 mice), 18 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E; 6 mice), 16 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$; 6 mice), 17 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E; 6 mice). Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA [F (3, 20) = 7.987, p = 0.0011], Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (p = 0.0178, 95% C.I. = [0.4542, 6.313]), between WT and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0037, 95% C.I. = [1.132, 6.991]), and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0165, 95% C.I. = [-6.346, -0.4875]), and no differences between WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-2.284, 3.574]), between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-2.251, 3.608]), and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0763, 95% C.I. = [-5.668, 0.1908]), alpha = 0.05. Data points are mouse averages. (C) Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity. n = 16 (WT; 6 mice), 18 (WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E; 6 mice), 16 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$; 6 mice), 17 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E; 6 mice). Two-way ANOVA for repeated measures. Main effects of conditions [F (2.505, 3305) = 158.4, p < 0.0001] and radius [F (88, 1602) = 218.5, p < 0.0001] and interaction [F (264, 3958) = 10.62, p < 0.0001]. Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [16.09, 26.40]), between WT and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [29.06, 39.54]), between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ and WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [7.6, 18.52]),

between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p <

0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-22.19, -13.43]), and between WT + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E and

LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-37.02, -24.72]),

and no difference between WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ + Phospho-dead Ezrin O/E (p =

0.5469, 95% C.I. = [-1.932, 8.796]). (D) A proposed working model of how Ezrin in

astrocytes transitions between open and closed conformation and Lrrk2 regulates

astrocyte morphogenesis by modulating this transition. (E) Images of ACC and MOp L2-

3 astrocytes at P21 expressing shControl-mCherry-CAAX or sh*Lrrk2*-mCherry-CAAX ±

Phospho-mimetic Ezrin. Astrocyte territory in cyan. Scale bar, 10 µm. (F) Average territory

volumes of P21 astrocytes. n = 18 (shControl; 6 mice), 18 (shControl + Phospho-mimetic

Ezrin O/E; 5 mice), 22 (sh*Lrrk2*; 6 mice), 19 (sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E; 6

mice). Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA [F (3, 19) = 5.47, p =

0.0070], Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between

shControl and sh*Lrrk2* (p = 0.0132, 95% C.I. = [0.4928, 5.387]), and between sh*Lrrk2* and

sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E (p = 0.0152, 95% C.I. = [-5.337, -0.4428]), and no

differences between shControl and shControl + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999,

95% C.I. = [-1.495, 3.639]), between shControl and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E

(p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-2.397, 2.497]), and between shControl + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin

O/E and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E (p > 0.9999, 95% C.I. = [-3.589, 1.545]),

alpha = 0.05. Data points are mouse averages. (G) Quantification of astrocyte branching

complexity. n = 16 (shControl), 22 (shControl + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E), 21 (sh*Lrrk2*),

21 (sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E). Two-way ANOVA for repeated measures.

Main effects of conditions [F (2.435, 7008) = 225.0, p < 0.0001] and radius [F (88, 8633)

= 325.4, $p < 0.0001$] and interaction [F (264, 8633) = 5.908, $p < 0.0001$]. Bonferroni

multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between shControl and sh*Lrrk2* (*p*

< 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [31.02, 40.20]), between sh*Lrrk2* and shControl + Phospho-mimetic

Ezrin O/E ($p < 0.0001$, 95% C.I. = [-38.59, -29.67]), between sh*Lrrk2* and sh*Lrrk2* +

Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E ($p < 0.0001$, 95% C.I. = [-34.32, -25.69]), between shControl

and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E ($p = 0.0139$, 95% C.I. = [0.7510, 10.47]), and

between shControl + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E and sh*Lrrk2* + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin

O/E ($p = 0.0188$, 95% C.I. = [0.4426, 7.813]), and no difference between shControl and

shControl + Phospho-mimetic Ezrin O/E ($p > 0.9999$, 95% C.I. = [-3.083, 6.044]). Data

are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 6: LRRK2 G2019S alters the interactome of astrocytic Ezrin *in vivo*. (A)

astrocyte-specific AAVs (serotype PHP.eB) were used to express Ezrin or nonspecific

cytosolic control. NES, nuclear export sequence; ITR, inverted terminal repeats;

GfaABC1D truncated GFAP promoter; HA, hemagglutinin tag; pA, polyadenylation. (B)

outline of the experimental paradigm. n = 3 biological replicates per construct per

genotype (1 replicate = 2 animals per pooled sample). (C) Volcano plot showing the

differential abundance of proteins detected by Astro-Ezrin-BioID in WT and LRRK2

G2019S[ki/ki] cortices. (D-E) Bars show the top 10 most significant Gene Ontology (GO)

terms, ordered by lowest adjusted p-value, for the proteins differentially detected by Astro-

Ezrin-BioID in WT compared to LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] (D) Molecular function (E) Biological

Process. (F) The interaction network depicts 58 high-confidence proteins that gained or

lost proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] compared to WT mice. (G-H) heatmaps depict

fold-change in abundance (Astro-Ezrin-BioID / Asto-Cyto-BioID) of proteins with high

confidence changed proximity to Ezrin in LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes.

Figure 7: Interaction Between Atg7 and Ezrin Depends on Ezrin's Phosphorylation

State. (A) Schematic of domains within Atg7. (B) Predicted model of Atg7 homodimer. (C)

Schematic of domains within Ezrin. (D) Model of the predicted structure of Ezrin in its

closed or open conformation. (E)   Model of the predicted interaction between Atg7

homodimer and Ezrin. (F) (Upper) Model of the predicted structural changes of Ezrin

when bound to Atg7. (Lower) Model of the predicted structural changes of Atg7 when

bound to Ezrin. (G) Co-immunoprecipitation of Ezrin-HA by Atg7-Myc pull down.

Immunoblot of HEK293T cell lysates overexpressing WT Ezrin, phospho-dead Ezrin, or

phospho-mimetic Ezrin with or without Atg7-Myc. Ezrin is detected by an anti-HA antibody,

while Atg7 is detected by an anti-Myc antibody. (H) Quantification of Ezrin co-

immunoprecipitation signal intensity in (G). Signals corresponding to Ezrin were first

normalized to that for WT Ezrin. Statistical significance was determined by One-way

ANOVA [$F_{(2, 6)}$ = 9.932, p = 0.0125]. Tukey's multiple comparisons test revealed a

significant difference between WT Ezrin and phospho-mimetic Ezrin (p = 0.0151, 95% C.I.

= [0.1724, 1.205]) and between phospho-dead Ezrin and phospho-mimetic Ezrin (p =

0.0272, 95% C.I. = [0.08525, 1.118]) and no differences between WT Ezrin and phospho-

dead Ezrin (p = 0.8658, 95% C.I. = [-0.4292, 0.6035]), alpha = 0.05. n = 3 independent

experiments. (I) Images of ACC and MOp L2-3 WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ astrocytes at

P21 expressing shControl-PB-mCherry-CAAX or sh*Atg7*-PB-mCherry-CAAX. Astrocyte

territory in cyan. Scale bar, 10 μm. (J) Average territory volumes of P21 astrocytes. n =

20 (WT shControl; 4 mice), 21 (WT sh*Atg7*; 4 mice), 25 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl; 5

mice), 23 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ sh*Atg7*; 5 mice). Statistical significance was determined by

Nested one-way ANOVA [F (3, 28) = 9.484, *p* = 0.0002]. Bonferroni multiple comparisons

revealed a significant difference between WT shControl and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl

(*p* = 0.0036, 95% C.I. = [0.4504, 2.701]), between WT shControl and WT sh*Atg7* (*p* =

0.0003, 95% C.I. = [0.8655, 3.176]), and between WT sh*Atg7* and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

sh*Atg7* (*p* = 0.0109, 95% C.I. = [-2.502, -0.2662]), and no differences between WT

shControl and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ sh*Atg7* (*p* = 0.4294, 95% C.I. = [-0.4935, 1.766]),

between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl and WT sh*Atg7* (*p* = 0.6977, 95% C.I. = [-0.6684,

1.558]), and between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ sh*Atg7* (*p* =

0.1089, 95% C.I. = [-2.026, 0.1480]), alpha = 0.05. Data points are mouse averages. (K)

Quantification of astrocyte branching complexity. n = 20 (WT shControl; 4 mice), 21 (WT

sh*Atg7*; 4 mice), 26 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl; 5 mice), 23 (LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

sh*Atg7*; 5 mice). Two-way ANOVA for repeated measures. Main effects of conditions [F

(2.324, 472.6) = 43.1, *p* < 0.05] and radius [F (9, 250) = 301.8, *p* < 0.05] and interaction

[F (27, 610) = 4.539, *p* < 0.05]. Bonferroni multiple comparisons revealed a significant

difference between WT shControl and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl (*p* < 0.0001, 95% C.I.

= [34.87, 66.02]), between WT shControl and WT sh*Atg7* (*p* < 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [26.39,

58.96]), between WT shControl and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ sh*Atg7* (*p* = 0.0021, 95% C.I. =

[6.359, 41.23]), between LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ sh*Atg7* (*p*

< 0.0001, 95% C.I. = [-36.99, -16.31]), and between WT sh*Atg7* and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$

sh*Atg7* (*p* = 0.0006, 95% C.I. = [-31.62, -6.15]), and no difference between LRRK2

G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ shControl and WT sh*Atg7* (*p* = 0.3353, 95% C.I. = [-18.53, 2.994]).

STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
β-actin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A5441, RRID: AB_476744
ERM	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3142, RRID:AB_2100313
GAPDH	Abcam	Cat# ab8245, RRID:AB_2107448
Gephyrin	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 147 011, RRID:AB_887717
GFAP	Agilent	Cat# Z0334, RRID:AB_10013382
GFAP	Millipore	Cat# AB5541, RRID:AB_177521
GFP	Aves labs	GFP-1020, RRID:AB_10000240
HA	Roche	Cat# 11867423001, RRID:AB_390918
L1 (ASCS4)	DSHB	Cat# ascs4, RRID:AB_528349
LRRK2	Abcam	Cat# ab133474, RRID:AB_2713963
phospho-ERM	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3141, RRID:AB_330232
phospho-ERM	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3726, RRID: AB_10560513
phospho-Rab10	Abcam	Cat# ab230261, RRID:AB_2811274
PSD-95	Innovative Research	Cat# 51-6900, RRID: AB_87705
Sox9	Millipore	Cat# AB5535, RRID:AB_2239761
VGAT	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 131 004, RRID: AB_887873
VGluT1	Synaptic Systems	Cat# 135304, RRID: AB_887878
Bacterial and virus strains		
One Shot STBL3	Thermo Fisher	Cat# C737303
AAV PhP.eB	This paper	N/A
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
AraC	Sigma	Cat# C1768
B27	GIBCO	Cat# 17504044
B27 Plus	GIBCO	Cat# A3582801
BDNF	PeproTech	Cat# 450-02
Carboxypeptidase E	R&D	Cat# 3587-ZN
CNTF	PeproTech	Cat# 450-13
DMEM	GIBCO	Cat# 11960
DPBS	GIBCO	Cat# 14287
Dystroglycan	R&D	Cat# 6868-DG
Fetal Bovine Serum	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 10-437-028

Forskolin	Sigma	Cat# F6886
Glycerol	Acros Organics	Cat# AC158920010
L-Glutamine	GIBCO	Cat# 25030-081
Hydrocortisone	Sigma	Cat# H0888-5G
Insulin	Sigma	Cat# I1882
Neurobasal	GIBCO	Cat# 21103049
Neurobasal Plus	GIBCO	Cat# A3582901
Odyssey Blocking Buffer	LI-COR Biosciences	Cat# 927-40000
Opti-MEM	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 11058021
Paraformaldehyde	Electron microscopy Sciences	Cat# 19210
Pen/Strep	GIBCO	Cat# 15140
PhosSTOP™	Roche	Cat# 4906845001
Poly-D-Lysine	Sigma	Cat# P6407
Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Roche	Cat# 4693132001
RIPA Buffer	Sigma	Cat# R0278
Sodium Pyruvate	GIBCO	Cat# 11360-070
Tissue-Tek® O.C.T. Compound	Sakura® Finetek	Cat# 4583
Triton X-100	Roche	Cat# 11332481001
Critical commercial assays		
Endo-Free Maxi Prep Kit	QIAGEN	Cat# 12362
Experimental models: cell lines		
HEK293T	ATCC	CRL-11268
Rat primary cortical neurons	This paper	N/A
Rat primary cortical astrocytes	This paper	N/A
Experimental models: organisms/strains		
Mouse: Aldh1L1-EGFP	MMRRC	RRID: MMRRC_011015-UCD
Mouse: CD1	Charles River	RRID: IMSR_CRL:022

Mouse: LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$	Taconic	RRID: IMSR_TAC:13940
Rat: Sprague-Dawley	Charles River	001
Recombinant DNA		
pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-BioID2-HA	This paper	N/A
pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA	This paper	N/A
pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA plasmid	This paper	N/A
pLKO.1-sh*Atg7*-EGFP	This paper	N/A
pLKO.1-shControl-EGFP	This paper	N/A
pPB-CAG-sh*LRRK2*-mCherry-CAAX	This paper	N/A
pPB-CAG-sh*Atg7*-mCherry-CAAX	This paper	N/A
pPB-CAG-shControl-mCherry-CAAX	This paper	N/A
Software and algorithms		
GraphPad Prism 9	GraphPAD	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
ImageJ	NIH	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Puncta Analyzer	Savage et al., 2024[91]	https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/Syn_Bot
Minhee Analysis	Kim et al., 2021[92]	https://github.com/parkgilbong/Minhee_Analysis_Pack
In vitro Sholl analysis	Tan et al., 2023[93]	https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl
Image Studio	LICOR	https://www.licor.com

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

906 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and
907 will be fulfilled by the lead contacts, Shiyi Wang (shiyi.wang@duke.edu) and Cagla Eroglu
908 (cagla.eroglu@duke.edu).

Materials availability

910 The reagents generated in this study are available without restriction.

Data and code availability

912 The data generated during this study are available from the lead contact upon request.
913 The proteomic data is uploaded in the repository Massive (massive.ucsd.edu), accession
914 number: MSV000095133

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

All mice and rats were used in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee (IACUC) and the Duke Division of Laboratory Animal Resources (DLAR)

oversight (IACUC Protocol Numbers A147-17-06 and A117-20-05). All mice and rats were

housed under typical day/night conditions of 12-hour cycles. LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice

(RRID: IMSR_TAC:13940) were obtained through Taconic. This model was generated on

the C57BL6/NTac background. It has been maintained on that pure background in

Taconic. Aldh1l1-EGFP (RRID: MMRRC_011015-UCD) mice were obtained through

MMRRC and backcrossed on a C57BL/6J background. Wild-type CD1 mice used for

PALE were purchased through Charles River Laboratories (RRID: IMSR_CRL:022).

Mice and rats were used for experiments as specified in the text and figure legends.

For all experiments, age, and sex-matched mice were randomly assigned to experimental

groups based on genotypes. Mice of both sexes were included in the analysis, and we

did not observe any influence or association of sex on the experimental outcomes.

Primary cortical neuron isolation and culture

Purified (glia-free) rat cortical neurons were prepared as described previously[40]. Briefly,

cortices from P1 rat pups of both sexes (Sprague Dawley, Charles River Laboratories,

SD-001) were micro-dissected, digested in papain ($\sim$7.5 units/ml) at 33°C for 45 minutes,

triturated in low and high ovomucoid solutions, resuspended in panning buffer (DPBS

(GIBCO 14287) supplemented with BSA and insulin) and passed through a 20 µm mesh

filter (Elko Filtering 03-20/14). Filtered cells were incubated on negative panning dishes

coated with Bandeiraea Simplicifolia Lectin 1 (x2), followed by goat anti-mouse IgG+IgM

(H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 115-005-044), and goat anti-rat IgG+IgM (H+L)

(Jackson ImmunoResearch 112-005-044) antibodies, then incubated on positive panning

dishes coated with mouse anti-L1 (ASCS4, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank,

Univ. Iowa) to bind cortical neurons. Adherent cells were collected by forceful pipetting

with a P1000 pipette. Isolated neurons were pelleted (11 minutes at 200 g) and

resuspended in serum-free neuron growth media (NGM; Neurobasal, B27 supplement,

2 mM L-Glutamine, 100 U/ml Pen/Strep, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 µg/ml Forskolin,

50 ng/mL BDNF, and 10 ng/mL CNTF). 70,000 neurons were plated onto 12 mm glass

coverslips coated with 10 µg/ml poly-D-lysine (PDL, Sigma P6407) and

2 µg/ml laminin and incubated at 37°C in 10% CO_2. On day *in-vitro* (DIV) 2, half of the

media was replaced with NGM Plus (Neurobasal Plus, B27 Plus, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep,

1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 µg/ml Forskolin, 50 ng/ml, BDNF, and 10 ng/ml CNTF) and

AraC (10 µM) was added to stop the growth of proliferating contaminating cells. On DIV

3, all the media was replaced with NGM Plus. In experiments involving lentivirus infection,

100 µl of supernatant containing lentivirus plus polybrene (1 µg/ml) was added to the

AraC NGM mixture on DIV 2 and completely washed out on DIV 3 and replaced with NGM

Plus containing 100 ng/ml BDNF. Neurons were fed on DIV 6 and DIV 9 by replacing half

of the media with NGM Plus.

Primary cortical astrocyte isolation and culture

Rat astrocyte isolation and culture

Rat cortical astrocytes were prepared as described previously[40]. P1 rat cortices from both

sexes were micro-dissected, papain digested, triturated in low and high ovomucoid

solutions, and resuspended in astrocyte growth media (AGM: DMEM (GIBCO 11960), 10%

FBS, 10 µM, hydrocortisone, 100 U/ml Pen/Strep, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 5 µg/ml Insulin,

1 mM Na Pyruvate, 5 µg/ml N-Acetyl-L-cysteine). Between 15-20 million cells were plated

on 75 mm^2 flasks (non-ventilated cap) coated with poly-D-lysine and incubated at 37°C

in 10% CO_2. On DIV 3, the removal of non-astrocyte cells was performed by forcefully

shaking closed flasks by hand for 10-15 s until only an adherent monolayer of astrocytes

remained. AraC was added to the media from DIV 5 to DIV 7 to eliminate contaminating

fibroblasts. On DIV 7, astrocytes were trypsinized (0.05% Trypsin-EDTA) and plated into

12-well or 6-well dishes. On DIV 8, cultured rat astrocytes were transfected

with shRNA and/or expression plasmids using Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent

(Thermo Scientific) per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 1 µg (12-well) or 2 µg (6-well)

total DNA was diluted in Opti-MEM containing Plus Reagent, mixed with Opti-MEM

containing LTX (1:2 DNA to LTX), and incubated for 30 minutes. The transfection solution

was added to astrocyte cultures and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. On DIV 10, astrocytes

were trypsinized, resuspended in NGM plus, plated (20,000 cells per well) onto DIV 10

neurons, and co-cultured for 48 hours.

shRNA plasmids

pLKO.1 Puro plasmids containing shRNA (pLKO.1-shRNA) against

mouse/rat *Lrrk2* (sh*Lrrk2*: TRCN0000322193; GGCCGAGTTGTGGATCATATT) and

mouse/rat *Atg7* (sh*Atg7*: TRCN0000092163; TATTATTGAGTTCAGAGCTGG), were

obtained from the RNAi Consortium (TRC) via Dharmacon. Two scrambled shRNA

sequences were generated (GTTGCTGAATGGCGGATCTAT;

GTTGCGGTTATGAATAGTACT) and cloned into the pLKO.1 TRC cloning vector[94]

according to Addgene protocols (https://www.addgene.org/protocols/plko/). To generate

pLKO.1 shRNA plasmids that express EGFP (pLKO.1-shRNA-EGFP), CAG-EGFP was

removed from pLenLox-shNL1-CAG-EGFP[95] and inserted between Kpn1 and SpeI sites

in pLKO.1 Puro, replacing the puromycin resistance gene. pLKO.1 shRNA mCherry

plasmids were generated by replacing EGFP with mCherry between KpnI and NheI sites.

PiggyBac plasmids

pPB-CAG-EGFP and pGLAST-PBase were a gift from Dr. Joseph Loturco[96]. To generate

pPB-CAG-mCherry-CAAX, mCherry-CAAX was inserted between XmaI and NotI

restrictions sites to replace EGFP. To insert the hU6 promoter and shRNA in pPB-CAG-

mCherry-CAAX, a DNA fragment containing hU6 and shRNA was amplified from pLKO.1-

shRNA using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) with primers that introduced

SpeI restriction sites (Forward Primer: GGACTAGTCAGGCCCGAAGGAATAGAAG;

Reverse Primer: GGACTAGTGCCAAAGTGGATCTCTGCTG). PCR products were

purified, digested with SpeI, and ligated into pPB-CAG-mCherry-CAAX at the SpeI

restriction site. An analytical digest with EcoRI followed by sequencing was used to

confirm the orientation of the inserted DNA fragment.

Ezrin plasmids

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Lck-GCaMP6f was a gift from Dr. Baljit Khakh (Addgene plasmid

#52924). pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-BioID2-HA was generated by PCR of BioID2 from pAAV-

hSyn-BioID2-Linker-Synapsin1a-HA[97]   (primers   Fwd: 5'-

ctagcctcgagaattcaccatgttcaaaaatcttatttg-3',   Rev: 5'-

ccgggtcgactctagatgcgtaatccggtacatcg-3') and insertion into the EcoRI and XbaI

restriction sites of pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Lck-GCaMP6f using In-Fusion cloning (TaKaRa).

pHJ421(pEGFP-Ezrin WT) and pHJ423 (pEGFP-Ezrin T567D) were a gift from Stephen

Shaw (Addgene plasmid # 20680 and # 20681). pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-BioID2-

HA and pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA were generated by PCR of Ezrin

from pHJ421 or pHJ423 (primers Fw: 5'-ctagcctcgagaattcaccatgccgaaaccaatca-3', Rv:

5'-tgaacatggtgaattccgacagggcctcgaactcg-3') and insertion into the EcoRI restriction sites

of pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-BioID2, respectively. To generate pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin

T567A-BioID2-HA plasmid, Q5® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) was used with

mutagenesis   primers   (Fwd: CAAGTACAAGGCGCTGCGGCAGA;   Rev:

TCCCGGCCTTGCCTCATG)

Lentivirus production and transduction

Lentiviruses containing shRNA targeting vectors were produced to test the knockdown

efficiency of shRNA constructs in cultured primary astrocytes or to bulk transduce

neurons with shRNA and GFP. To produce lentivirus, HEK293T cells were transfected

with a pLKO.1 shRNA Puro targeting plasmid (for astrocyte transduction), an envelope

plasmid (VSVG), and a packaging plasmid (dR8.91) using X-tremeGENE (Roche). One

day after transfection, the media was replaced with AGM (for astrocyte transduction), and

media containing lentivirus was collected on days 2 and 3 post-transfection. To assess

the knockdown efficiency of shRNAs in astrocytes, rat primary astrocytes at DIV 7 were

plated in 6-well dishes in 2 ml of AGM. On DIV 8, 1 ml of AGM was removed, and 500 µl

of fresh AGM was added along with 500 µl of lentivirus-containing media and 1 µg/ml

polybrene. Cultured astrocytes were treated with puromycin (1 µg/ml) from DIV 10-15 to

select for transduced cells. Cultured astrocytes were lysed at DIV 15 for protein extraction

and Western blot analysis.

Immunocytochemistry

Astrocyte-neuron co-cultures on glass coverslips were fixed on DIV 12 with warm 4%

PFA for 7 minutes, washed 3 times with PBS, blocked in a blocking buffer containing 50%

normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.4% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes, and washed in PBS.

Samples were then incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies diluted in blocking

buffer containing 10% NGS, washed with PBS, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated

secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) for 2 hours at room temperature, and washed

again in PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto glass slides (VWR Scientific) with

Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI (Vector Labs), sealed with nail polish, and

imaged on an AxioImager M1 (Zeiss) fluorescence microscope. Images of healthy

astrocytes with strong expression of fluorescent markers that did not overlap with other

fluorescent astrocytes were acquired at 40x magnification in red, green, and/or DAPI

channels using a CCD camera. Astrocyte morphological complexity was analyzed in FIJI

using the Sholl analysis plugin[98], as described previously[40] (https://github.com/Eroglu-

Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). Statistical analyses were conducted using a custom code in R

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). A mixed-effect model with multiple

comparisons made using the Tukey post-test was used for Sholl analysis to account for

the variability per experiment as a random effect to evaluate differences between the

conditions. The exact number of independent experiments and the exact number of cells

analyzed are indicated in the figure legend for each experiment. To ensure the health of

astrocyte-neuron co-cultures, the peak for the number of astrocyte intersections must be

greater than or equal to 25 in the control condition. We imaged non-overlapping

astrocytes that contained a single nucleus (DAPI stain) and expressed consistent

fluorescent markers and Ezrin constructs according to the experimental conditions.

Postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE)

Late P0/early P1 mice were sedated by hypothermia until anesthetized, and 1 µl

of plasmid DNA mixed with Fast Green Dye was injected into the lateral ventricle of one

hemisphere using a pulled glass pipette (Drummond). For shRNA knockdown

experiments in wild-type CD1 mice, the 1 µl of DNA contained 1 µg of pGLAST-PBase

and 1 µg of pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX was injected. To label astrocytes in WT

and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ mice, the 1 µl of DNA contained 1 µg of pGLAST-PBase and 1

µg of pPB-mCherry-CAAX was injected per mouse.

For PALE-mediated overexpression of phospho-mimetic Ezrin in shRNA knockdown

experiments, 0.5 µg pGLAST-PBase, 0.5 µg pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX, and 1 µg

pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567D-BioID2-HA were injected in a total volume of 1 µl. For

phospho-dead Ezrin overexpression in WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice, 0.5 µg pGLAST-

PBase, 0.5 µg of pZac2.1-gfaABC1D-mCherry-CAAX and 1 µg of pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-

Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA were injected in a total volume of 1 µl. Following DNA injection,

electrodes were oriented with the positive terminal above the frontal cortex and the

negative terminal below the chin, and 5 discrete 50 ms pulses of 100 V spaced 950 ms

apart were applied. Pups were recovered on a heating pad, returned to their home cage,

and monitored until collection at P21. The number of mice used for each experiment is

indicated in the figure legends. All animals appeared healthy at the time of collection.

Brain sections were examined for the presence of electroporated cells before staining.

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) production and administration

Purified AAVs were produced as described previously[99]. Briefly, HEK293T cells were

transfected with pAd-DELTA F6, serotype plasmid AAV PHP.eB, and AAV plasmid

(pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin-BioID2-HA or pZac2.1-GfaABC1D-Ezrin T567A-BioID2-HA).

Three days after transfection, cells were collected in 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5,

and lysed with repeat freeze-thaw cycles followed by treatment with Benzonase (Novagen

70664) at 37°C for 30 minutes. Lysed cells were pelleted by centrifugation, and the

supernatant, containing AAVs, was applied to an Optiprep density gradient (Sigma D1556,

15%, 25%, 40%, and 60%) and centrifuged at 67,000 rpm using a Beckman Ti-70 rotor

for 1 hour. The AAV-enriched fraction was isolated from between 40% and

60% iodixanol solution and concentrated by repeated washes with sterile PBS in an

Amicon Ultra-15 filtration unit (NMWL: 100 kDa, Millipore UFC910008) to a final volume

of ~100 µl and aliquoted for storage at −80°C. 9-week-old WT or LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice

placed in a stereotaxic frame were anesthetized through inhalation of 1.5% isofluorane

gas. 10 µl of purified AAVs having a titer of ~1 x 10^{12} GC/ml was introduced into the

mouse brain intravenously by injection into the retro-orbital sinus. After 3 weeks at 12-

week-old, mice were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg Tribromoethanol (Avertin) and

transcardially perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room

temperature (RT). Harvested brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA, cryoprotected

in 30% sucrose, and the brain blocks were prepared with O.C.T. (TissueTek) to store at

−80°C. 30 µm thick brain sections were obtained through cryosectioning using a Leica

CM3050S (Leica, Germany) vibratome and stored in a mixture of TBS and glycerol at

−20°C for further free-float antibody staining procedures.

Immunohistochemistry on mouse brain sections

Mice used for immunohistochemistry were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg Avertin and

perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% PFA. Brains were collected and post-fixed in 4% PFA

overnight, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, frozen in a solution containing 2 parts 30%

sucrose and 1-part O.C.T. (TissueTek), and stored at −80°C. Floating coronal tissue

sections of 30 µm, 40 µm or 100 µm thickness were collected and stored in a 1:1 mixture

of TBS/glycerol at −20°C. For immunostaining, sections were washed in 1x TBS

containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (TBST), blocked in 10% NGS diluted in TBST, and

incubated in primary antibody for 2-3 nights at 4°C with gentle shaking. Primary antibodies

used were anti-LRRK2 (Rabbit, 1:500; ab133474, Abcam), HA (Rat, 1:500; 11867423001,

Roche), phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:500; 3141, Cell Signaling), Sox9 (Rabbit, 1:500;

AB5535, Millipore), GFAP (Rabbit, 1:500; Z0334, Agilent DAKO), VGluT1 (Guinea pig,

1:2000; 135304, Synaptic Systems), PSD95 (Rabbit, 1:300; 51-6900, Innovative

Research), VGAT (Guinea pig, 1:1000; 131004, Synaptic Systems), and Gephyrin

(Rabbit, 1:1000; 147011, Synaptic Systems). Following the primary incubation, sections

were washed in TBST, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies diluted

1:200 (Life Technologies) for 2-3 hours at room temperature, washed with TBST, and

mounted onto glass slides using a homemade mounting media (90% Glycerol, 20 mM

Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl gallate) and sealed with nail polish. For DAPI staining, DAPI

(1:50,000) was added to the secondary antibody solution for the final 10 minutes of

incubation. Images were acquired with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope.

Mouse astrocyte territory volume analysis

To assess the territory volume of individual astrocytes in the mouse cortex, 100 µm-thick

floating sections containing anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and primary motor cortex

(MOp) astrocytes labeled sparsely via PALE with mCherry-CAAX were collected. High-

magnification images containing an entire astrocyte (50-60 µm z-stack) were acquired

with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope with the 60x objective. Criteria for data inclusion

required that the entirety of the astrocyte could be captured within a single brain section

and that the astrocyte was in L2-3 of the ACC or MOp. Astrocytes in which the entire

astrocyte could not be captured within the section or was in other layers or outside of the

ACC or MOp were not imaged. Imaged astrocytes were analyzed using Imaris Bitplane

software as described previously[40]. Briefly, surface reconstructions were generated, and

the Imaris Xtensions "Visualize Surface Spots" and "Convex Hull" were used to create an

additional surface render representing the territory of the astrocyte. The volume of each

territory was recorded, and astrocyte territory sizes from biological replicates were

analyzed across experimental conditions using a nested two-way ANOVA followed by the

Bonferroni posthoc test. For 3D Sholl analysis of individual PALE astrocytes, we first

1133 loaded images onto Imaris and then created a surface. After generating the surface of

1134 astrocytes, we created filaments using 'Add new filament (leaf icon)'. For the

1135 quantification of complexity, we clicked on the gear tool on Imaris to display Sholl

1136 intersections. The number of animals and cells/animals analyzed are specified in the

1137 figure legend for each experiment.

Biochemical assays

mRNA extraction and cDNA preparation

Cells stored in TRIzol (15596026, Invitrogen) were thawed and resuspended in 1 mL of

TRIzol. After adding 200 µL of chloroform, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 g for

15 minutes at 4°C. The aqueous phase was collected, and RNA was precipitated with

GlycoBlue Coprecipitant (AM9515, Invitrogen) and isopropanol. The RNA pellet was

washed with 75% ethanol, air-dried, and resuspended in 40 µL of nuclease-free water.

The RNA was then isolated using the Zymo Research RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 Kit

(R1014, Zymo), quantified with the Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (Q32852, Invitrogen), and

diluted to equalize concentrations. Finally, cDNA libraries were generated using qScript

cDNA SuperMix (101414-102, VWR) with a temperature profile of 25°C for 5 minutes,

42°C for 30 minutes, and 85°C for 5 minutes, and the resulting cDNA was diluted threefold

and stored at −80°C.

Real-time qPCR

cDNA samples were plated on a 96-well qPCR plate and incubated with Fast SYBR

Green Master Mix (4385616, Applied Biosystems), nuclease-free water, and the forward

and reverse primers of interest at a ratio of 5 µl SYBR: 3 µl water: 0.5 µl forward primer:

0.5 µl reverse primer: 1 µl sample. Each sample was plated two to four times to ensure

technical replicates. A control sample (water with primers and Master Mix) served as a

negative control. Cycle threshold values were collected for each well and normalized to

GAPDH as a housekeeping gene. The sequences of forward (F) and reverse (R) primers

used (5′→ 3′) are:

Atg7: (F) 5′- GTTCGCCCCCTTTAATAGTGC -3′ and (R) 5′-

TGAACTCCAACGTCAAGCGG -3′

Protein extraction and Western blotting

Protein was extracted from cultured rat astrocytes using membrane solubilization buffer

(25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% NP-40, and protease

inhibitors). Cultured astrocytes were washed twice with ice-cold TBS containing 1 mM

$CaCl_2$ and 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and incubated on ice in membrane solubilization buffer for

20 minutes with occasional agitation. Cell lysates were collected, vortexed briefly, and

centrifuged at 4°C at high speed for 10 minutes to pellet non-solubilized material. The

supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C.

Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher) was used to determine protein

concentration, and lysates were mixed with 4x Pierce™ Reducing Sample Buffer (Thermo

Scientific) and incubated at 45°C for 45 minutes to denature proteins. 7-10 µg (cultured

astrocyte lysates) of protein was loaded into Bolt™ 4–12% Bus-Tris Plus gels (Thermo

Scientific) and run at 150 V for 1 hour. Proteins were transferred at 100 V to PVDF

membrane (Millipore) for 1.5 hours, blocked in 5% BSA in TBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM

KCl, 24.7 mM Tris-Base, 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20) for 1 hour and incubated in primary

antibodies overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were: anti-LRRK2 (Rabbit, 1:500;

ab133474, abcam), GAPDH (mouse, 1:5000; ab8245, abcam), β-actin (mouse, 1:5000;

A5441, Millipore Sigma). The next day, membranes were washed with TBST, incubated

in HRP secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 hours, washed in TBST,

and imaged on a Biorad Gel Doc imaging system. Protein levels were quantified using

FIJI.

Coimmunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation assays were performed in HEK293T cells. Cells were

transfected 36 hours prior to lysis with Ezrin/Atg7 cDNA using X-tremeGENE HP (Roche)

and grown to 85-90% confluency. At 36 hours post-transfection, cells were rinsed with 1x

PBS and collected for lysis. Cellular lysis and protein extraction was conducted via brief

vortexing in chilled membrane solubilization buffer (25mM HEPES, 150mM KCl, 1.5mM

MgCl2, 0.5% NP40, 10% Glycerol) in the presence of both protease (cOmplete, Roche)

and phosphatase (PhosSTOP, Roche) inhibitors. Protein concentrations of cell lysates

were equalized using the Pierce™ BSA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher) and

CLARIOstar Plus Plate Reader (BMG Labtech). Equalized cell lysates were incubated,

while rotating, with Pierce™ Anti-c-Myc Magnetic Beads (Thermo Fisher) for 6 hours at

4°C. Following this incubation, the beads were washed with chilled lysis buffer. Protein

samples were eluted from beads through the addition of 2x Bromophenol Blue-free

Laemilli Sample Buffer and heating to 95°C for 5 minutes. Eluted protein samples were

subjected to western blot analysis and quantification using a SimpleWestern Jess

(ProteinSimple) automated immunoassay system with a 12-230kDa Fluorescence

Separation module and associated manufacturer's protocol. Primary antibodies used for

protein detection were: Anti-Myc (Rabbit, 1:40, Cell Signaling Technologies, mAb#2278)

and Anti-HA (Rat, 1:20, Roche, 11867423001). Signal detection was achieved using the

following fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies at a dilution of 1:100: IRDye®

680RD Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (LI-COR, 926-68071) and IRDye® 680RD Goat anti-Rat IgG

(LI-COR, 926-68076). Signal quantification was conducted with the Simple Western

Compass software, utilizing quantitative electropherograms of detected signals. Ezrin co-

immunoprecipitation signal intensity was background-subtracted and normalized to Ezrin

protein load and Atg7 IP levels for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed

in GraphPad Prism 9, using One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test and

alpha threshold of 0.05.

Protein interaction modeling

Full-length mouse Ezrin (UniProt ID: Q4KML7) and Atg7 (UniProt ID: Q9D906) were used

for structure prediction in Alphafold 2.0 Multimer. The structure of Ezrin in the open

conformation was generated by segmenting independently predicted Ezrin N- and C-

termini and threading them onto an open ERM hinge structure using the PyMOL2

molecular visualization software. To model the Ezrin:Atg7 interaction and associated

conformational changes, the structures of Ezrin and the Atg7 homodimer were predicted

and docked using Alphafold 2.0 Multimer. Conformational changes in the Ezrin:Atg7

protein complex were assessed using PyMOL2 and CABS-flex 2.0[100]. For all predicted

structure models, the highest-confidence structures, calculated via the predicted local

distance difference test (pLDDT), were subjected to energy-minimization via AMBER

relaxation. The resulting minimized structures were imported into PyMOL2 for

representative model production.

Proteomic analysis

In vivo BioID protein purification

In vivo, BioID experiments were performed as previously described in Takano et al., 2020 with modifications[101]. Genotype-matched animals (wild-type C57BL6 or LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$) were bred to produce single-genotype litters. For each genotype (WT or G2019S), 6 pups were injected with AAVs carrying Astro-Ezrin-BioID (PHP.eB.GfaABC1D-Ezrin WT-BioID2-HA) or Astro-CYTO-BioID (PHP.eB.GfaABC1D-BioID2-HA). 2 genotype-matched cortices were pooled at the time of protein isolation, yielding 3 independent replicates per BioID construct. 12 total samples (3 per genotype per construct) were subjected to LC-MS/MS and downstream analysis. WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$ P0 – P2 mouse pups were anesthetized by hypothermia, and 1µl of each concentrated AAV-BioID vector was injected bilaterally into the cortex using a Hamilton syringe. Pups were monitored until they recovered on a heating pad. At P18, P19, and P20, biotin was subcutaneously injected at 24 mg/kg to increase the biotinylation efficiency. At P21, the cerebral cortices were removed and stored at -80° C. For the protein purification, each cortex was lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; protease inhibitor mixture (Roche); and phosphatase inhibitor mixture (PhosSTOP, Roche). Next, an equal volume of buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 0.4 % SDS; 2 % TritonX-100; 2 % deoxycholate; protease inhibitor mixture; and phosphatase inhibitor mixture was added to the samples, following by sonication and centrifugation at 15,000 g for 10 min. The remaining supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for 30min at 4° C. Finally, SDS detergent was added to the samples and heated at 45 ° C for 45 min. After cooling on ice, each sample was incubated with High-Capacity Streptavidin Agarose beads (ThermoFisher) at

4° C overnight. Following incubation, the beads were serially washed: 1) twice with a

solution containing 2% SDS; 2) twice with a buffer 1% TritonX-100, 1% deoxycholate, 25

mM LiCl; 3) twice with 1M NaCl, and finally, five times with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate.

The biotinylated proteins attached to the agarose beads were eluted in a buffer of 125

mM Tris/HCl, pH6.8; 4 % SDS; 0.2 % β-mercaptoethanol; 20 % glycerol; 3 mM biotin at

60°C for 15min.

Sample Preparation

The Duke Proteomics and Metabolomics Shared Resource (DPMSR) received 12

samples (3 of each WT CYT, GS CYT, WT EZR, and GS EZR) which were kept at -80C

until processing. Samples were spiked with undigested bovine casein at a total of either

1 or 2 pmol as an internal quality control standard. Next, they were reduced for 15 min at

80C, alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min at room temperature, then

supplemented with a final concentration of 1.2% phosphoric acid and 636 µl of S-Trap

(Protifi) binding buffer (90% MeOH/100mM TEAB). Proteins were trapped on the S-Trap

micro cartridge, digested using 20 ng/µL sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) for 1 hr at

47C, and eluted using 50 mM TEAB, followed by 0.2% FA, and lastly using 50% ACN/0.2%

FA. All samples were then lyophilized to dryness. Samples were resuspended in 12 µl of

1% TFA/2% acetonitrile with 12.5 fmol/µl of yeast ADH. A study pool QC (SPQC) was

created by combining equal volumes of each sample.

LC-MS/MS Analysis

Quantitative LC/MS/MS was performed on 3 µL of each sample, using an MClass UPLC

system (Waters Corp) coupled to a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion Lumos high resolution

accurate mass tandem mass spectrometer (Thermo) equipped with a FAIMSPro device

via a nanoelectrospray ionization source. Briefly, the sample was first trapped on a

Symmetry C18 20 mm × 180 µm trapping column (5 µl/min at 99.9/0.1 v/v

water/acetonitrile), after which the analytical separation was performed using a 1.8 µm

Acquity HSS T3 C18 75 µm × 250 mm column (Waters Corp.) with a 90-min linear

gradient of 5 to 30% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 400

nanoliters/minute (nL/min) with a column temperature of 55C. Data collection on the

Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer was performed for three difference compensation

voltages (-40v, -60v, -80v). Within each CV, a data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode

of acquisition with a r=120,000 (@ m/z 200) full MS scan from m/z 375 – 1500 with a

target AGC value of 4e5 ions was performed. MS/MS scans with HCD settings of 30%

were acquired in the linear ion trap in "rapid" mode with a target AGC value of 1e4 and

max fill time of 35 ms. The total cycle time for each CV was 0.66s, with total cycle times

of 2 sec between like full MS scans. A 20s dynamic exclusion was employed to increase

the depth of coverage. The total analysis cycle time for each sample injection was

approximately 2 hours.

Quantitative Data Analysis

Following 15 total UPLC-MS/MS analyses (excluding conditioning runs, but including 3

replicate SPQC samples), data were imported into Proteome Discoverer 3.0 (Thermo

Scientific Inc.) and individual LCMS data files were aligned based on the accurate mass

and retention time of detected precursor ions ("features") using Minora Feature Detector

algorithm in Proteome Discoverer. Relative peptide abundance was measured based on

peak intensities of selected ion chromatograms of the aligned features across all runs.

The MS/MS data was searched against the SwissProt *M. musculus* database, a common

contaminant/spiked protein database (bovine albumin, bovine casein, yeast ADH, etc.),

and an equal number of reversed-sequence "decoys" for false discovery rate

determination. Sequest with INFERYS was utilized to produce fragment ion spectra and

to perform the database searches. Database search parameters included fixed

modification on Cys (carbamidomethyl) and variable modification on Met (oxidation).

Search tolerances were 2ppm precursor and 0.8Da product ion with full trypsin enzyme

rules. Peptide Validator and Protein FDR Validator nodes in Proteome Discoverer were

used to annotate the data at a maximum 1% protein false discovery rate based on q-

value calculations. Note that peptide homology was addressed using razor rules in which

a peptide matched to multiple different proteins was exclusively assigned to the protein

has more identified peptides. Protein homology was addressed by grouping proteins that

had the same set of peptides to account for their identification. A master protein within a

group was assigned based on % coverage.

Prior to normalization, a filter was applied such that a peptide was removed if it was not

measured at least twice across all samples and in at least 50% of the replicates in any

one single group. After that filter, samples were total intensity normalized (total intensity

of all peptides for a sample are summed and then normalized across all samples). Next,

the following imputation strategy is applied to missing values. If less than half of the values

are missing in a biological group, values are imputed with an intensity derived from a

normal distribution of all values defined by measured values within the same intensity

range (20 bins). If greater than half values are missing for a peptide in a group and a

peptide intensity is > 5e6, then it was concluded that the peptide was misaligned and its

measured intensity is set to 0. All remaining missing values are imputed with the lowest

2% of all detected values. Peptide intensities were then subjected to a trimmed-mean

normalization in which the top and bottom 10 percent of the signals were excluded, and

the average of the remaining values was used to normalize across all samples. Lastly, all

peptides belonging to the same protein were then summed into a single intensity. These

normalized protein level intensities are what was used for the remainder of the analysis.

To assess technical reproducibility, we calculated the % coefficient of variation (%CV) for

each protein across 3 injections of an SPQC pool that were interspersed throughout the

study (Table S4). The mean %CV of the SPQC pools was 11.7%, which is well within our

expected analytical tolerances. To assess biological + technical variability, %CVs were

measured for each protein across the individual groups which averaged 19.8%, which is

also within our normal tolerances and indicated a reproducible IP and sample processing.

As an initial statistical analysis, we calculated fold-changes between various sample

groups based on the protein expression values and calculated two-tailed heteroscedastic

t-test on log2-transformed data for this comparison. Those fold changes and p-values are

presented for all proteins in Tables S5, S7, S9, and S10. False Discovery Rate (FDR)

correction was performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, and these values are

reported ("p.adjusted") in Tables S5, S7, S9, and S10. To optimize for discovery, we opted

to use the less stringent parameter of unadjusted p-value for downstream analyses.

Within supplemental tables, we have labeled proteins significantly more abundant ("Up",

fold change >1.5 and unadjusted p-value <0.05), or less abundant ("Down", fold change

>-1.5 and unadjusted p-value <0.05) in a particular genotype or BioID sample group.

These annotated proteins were used for downstream analyses, including protein

interaction networks using Cytoscape (v.3.9.1) and Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis using the ClusterProfiler package for R, with all M. musculus genes as the reference background.

Mouse synapse imaging and analysis

Staining, image acquisition, and analysis of synaptic density were performed as described previously[102]. Synaptic staining was performed in coronal sections (30 μm thick) containing the ACC and MOp from WT and LRRK2 G2019S[ki/ki] mice. To label pre and postsynaptic proteins, the following antibody combinations were used: VGluT1 and PSD95 (excitatory, intracortical), VGAT, and GEPHYRIN (inhibitory). High magnification 60x objective plus 1.64x optical zoom z-stack images containing 15 optical sections spaced 0.34 μm apart were obtained using an Olympus FV 3000 inverted confocal microscope. Each z-stack was converted into 5 maximum projection images (MPI), each corresponding to a 1 μm section of z plane, using FIJI. Synapses were identified by the colocalization of pre and postsynaptic puncta. The number of co-localized synaptic puncta of excitatory intracortical (VGluT1-PSD95) and inhibitory (VGAT-GEPHYRIN) synapses were obtained using the FIJI plugin Puncta Analyzer[47]. 15 MPIs were analyzed for each mouse (5 MPI/tissue section, 3 tissue sections/mouse). Between 4 and 5, age and sex-matched mice/genotype/condition were analyzed for each synaptic staining combination, as indicated in the figure legends. All animals appeared healthy at the time of collection. No data were excluded.

Cell counting, imaging, and analysis

Tile scan images containing the anterior cingulated cortex (ACC) and primary motor

cortex (MOp) from P21 WT and LRRK2 G2019S$^{ki/ki}$; Aldh1l1-eGFP mice were acquired

on a confocal Leica SP8 STED microscope using the galvo scanner and 20x objective.

For ALDH1L1-EGFP and SOX9 double positive cell counting in the ACC and MOp, the

cells labeled with ALDH1L1-EGFP and SOX9 were counted by hand using the cell

counter tool in FIJI. 2-4 sections per brain from 3 sex and age-matched mice/genotype

were analyzed.

Human brain section staining

Floating human frontal cortex sections of 40 µm thickness were obtained from Banner

Sun Health Research Institute in Sun City, Arizona (4 control and 3 LRRK2 G2019S

mutation carrier subjects). None of the control subjects had a history of dementia or

neurological or psychiatric disorders at the time of death (See Supplemental Table 1).

Informed and written consent was obtained from the donors. For immunostaining,

sections were washed in 1x TBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (TBST), blocked in 3%

NGS diluted in TBST, and incubated in primary antibody 2-3 nights at 4°C with shaking.

Primary antibodies used were GFAP (chicken, 1:250; AB5541, Millipore Sigma) and

phospho-ERM (Rabbit, 1:250; #3726, Cell Signaling). Following primary incubation,

sections were washed in TBST, incubated in Alexa Fluor conjugated secondary

antibodies diluted 1:200 (Life Technologies) for 2-3 hours at room temperature, washed

with TBST, and mounted onto glass slides using a homemade mounting media (90%

Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl gallate) and sealed with nail polish.

Mouse brain slice electrophysiology

For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, 3-4 mice of each genotype and condition were used for miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) and miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current (mIPSC) measurements. WT and *LRRK2* G2019S[ki/ki] mice of both sexes were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg tribromoethanol (avertin) and decapitated. After decapitation, the brains were immersed in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF, in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, 10 glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 $NaHPO_4$, 0.4 L-ascorbic acid, and 2 Na-pyruvate, pH 7.3-7.4 (310 mOsmol). 350 µm thick coronal slices containing the ACC were obtained using a vibrating tissue slicer (Leica VT1200; Leica Biosystems). Slices were immediately transferred to standard aCSF (33°C, continuously bubbled with 95% O_2 – 5% CO_2) containing the same as the low-calcium aCSF but with 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and 1-2 mM $CaCl_2$. After 30-minute incubation at 33°C, slices were transferred to a holding chamber with the same extracellular buffer at room temperature (RT: ~25°C). Brain slices were visualized by an upright microscope (BX61WI, Olympus) through a 40x water-immersion objective equipped with infrared-differential interference contrast optics in combination with a digital camera (ODA-IR2000WCTRL). Patch-clamp recordings were performed by using an EPC 10 patch-clamp amplifier, controlled by Patchmaster Software (HEKA). Data were acquired at a sampling rate of 50 kHz and low-pass filtered at 6 kHz.

To measure mEPSCs, the internal solution contained the following (in mM): 125 K-gluconate, 10 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 4.5 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, and 10 Na-phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.2 – 7.4 with KOH and osmolality set to ~ 300 mOsmol. mEPSCs were measured in the aCSF bath solution containing 1 µM tetrodotoxin and 50 µM Picrotoxin at -70 mV in voltage-clamp mode. To measure mIPSCs, the internal

solution contained the following (in mM): 77 K-gluconate, 77 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA,

4.5 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, and 10 Na-phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.2 – 7.4 with KOH

and osmolality set to ~ 300 mOsmol. mIPSCs were measured in the aCSF bath solution

containing 1 µM tetrodotoxin and 10 µM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX),

and 50 µM D-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (D-AP5) at -70 mV in voltage-clamp

mode. mEPSCs and mIPSCs recorded at -70 mV were detected using Minhee Analysis

software (https://github.com/parkgilbong/Minhee_Analysis_Pack). To analyze the

frequency, events were counted over 5 minutes of recording. To obtain the average

events for each cell, at least 100 non-overlapping events were detected and averaged.

The peak amplitude of the average mEPSCs was measured relative to the baseline

current.

Quantification and statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 9. Exact value of n, what n

represents, and specific statistical tests for each experiment are indicated in the figure

legend for each experiment. All data are represented as mean ± standard error of the

mean, and data points are shown where applicable. Exact *P*-values are listed in the figure

for each experiment. Where indicated, the unpaired two-tailed t-tests were run using

Welch`s correction, and a correction for multiple comparisons was applied using Hom-

Sidak method with an alpha threshold of 0.05 for adjusted *P*-value. A Geisser-

Greenhouse correction was used for both One-way and Two-way ANOVA analyses. For

Sholl analysis, statistical analyses were conducted using a custom code in R

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl). A mixed-effect model with multiple

comparisons made using the Tukey post-test was used for Sholl analysis to account for

the variability per experiment as a random effect to evaluate differences between the

conditions. Sample sizes were determined based on previous experience for each

experiment to yield high power to detect specific effects. No statistical methods were used

to predetermine the sample size. All experimental animals that appeared healthy at the

time of tissue collection were processed for data collection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1432

We thank Drs. Amy Lee, Zhiyong Liu, Nicole Calakos, Katja Brose, and Andrew Singleton

for critical feedback about the manuscript. We thank Adam Okinaga and Donna Porter

for their excellent technical help. This work was supported by a Foerster Bernstein

Women In STEM Postdoctoral Fellowship to SW, and a Chan Zuckerberg Initiative,

Neurodegeneration Challenge Network Collaborative grant (DAF2018-191999 and

DAF2021-237435) to CE and ARLS, and by the N.I.H. grants R35-NS122140 to A.R.L.S.

and R01-NS102237 to CE, and by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research

(MJFF) Astrocyte Biology in PD pilot grant (MJFF 17914 to CE and ARLS), and by the

joint efforts of MJFF and the Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) initiative. MJFF

administers the grant [ASAP-020607 to CE and SHS] on behalf of ASAP and the Michael

J Fox Foundation. Dr. Cagla Eroglu is an HHMI Investigator. We are grateful to the Banner

Sun Health Research Institute Brain and Body Donation Program of Sun City, Arizona for

the provision of human biological materials. The Brain and Body Donation Program has

been supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (U24

NS072026 National Brain and Tissue Resource for Parkinson's Disease and Related

Disorders), the National Institute on Aging (P30AG19610 and P30AG072980, Arizona

Alzheimer's Disease Center), the Arizona Biomedical Research Commission contracts

4001, 0011, 05-901 and 1001 to the Arizona Parkinson's Disease Consortium and the

Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research.

1452

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, SW, ARLS, and CE; Methodology, SW, RB, GS, DSB, LV, KS, JM, CXT, TT, MPR, KD, SHS, and CE; Investigation, SW, RB, GS, and LV; Formal analysis, SW, RB, GS, and LV; Resources, CXT, MPR, and KS; Writing – original draft, SW and CE; Writing – Review & Editing, SW and CE; Funding Acquisition, SW, SHS, ARLS, and CE.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author (Dr. Cagla Eroglu) upon request after the publication of this manuscript. The data include experiment records, raw data, and analysis. The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings will be made fully available without restriction upon publication.

CODE AVAILABILITY

The code used to analyze Sholl data is available on the link https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/Sholl_Macro.

REFERENCE

Bloem, B. R., Okun, M. S. & Klein, C. Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* **397**, 2284-2303 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00218-X

Maiti, P., Manna, J. & Dunbar, G. L. Current understanding of the molecular mechanisms in Parkinson's disease: Targets for potential treatments. *Transl Neurodegener* **6**, 28 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1186/s40035-017-0099-z

Blesa, J., Foffani, G., Dehay, B., Bezard, E. & Obeso, J. A. Motor and non-motor circuit disturbances in early Parkinson disease: which happens first? *Nat Rev Neurosci* **23**, 115-128 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41583-021-00542-9

Foffani, G. & Obeso, J. A. A Cortical Pathogenic Theory of Parkinson's Disease. *Neuron* **99**, 1116-1128 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.028

Bandres-Ciga, S., Diez-Fairen, M., Kim, J. J. & Singleton, A. B. Genetics of Parkinson's disease: An introspection of its journey towards precision medicine. *Neurobiol Dis* **137**, 104782 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104782

Udayar, V., Chen, Y., Sidransky, E. & Jagasia, R. Lysosomal dysfunction in neurodegeneration: emerging concepts and methods. *Trends Neurosci* **45**, 184-199 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.tins.2021.12.004

Digre, A. & Lindskog, C. The Human Protein Atlas-Spatial localization of the human proteome in health and disease. *Protein Sci* **30**, 218-233 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1002/pro.3987

Torres-Ceja, B. & Olsen, M. L. A closer look at astrocyte morphology: Development, heterogeneity, and plasticity at astrocyte leaflets. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **74**, 102550 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.conb.2022.102550

9   Allen, N. J. & Lyons, D. A. Glia as architects of central nervous system formation

and function. *Science* **362**, 181-185 (2018).

https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aat0473

10   Semyanov, A. & Verkhratsky, A. Astrocytic processes: from tripartite synapses to

the active milieu. *Trends Neurosci* **44**, 781-792 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.tins.2021.07.006

11   Allen, N. J. & Eroglu, C. Cell Biology of Astrocyte-Synapse Interactions. *Neuron*

96, 697-708 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.056

12   Clavreul, S. *et al.* Cortical astrocytes develop in a plastic manner at both clonal

and cellular levels. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4884 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-019-12791-5

13   Farizatto, K. L. G. & Baldwin, K. T. Astrocyte-synapse interactions during brain

development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **80**, 102704 (2023).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.conb.2023.102704

14   Tan, C. X. & Eroglu, C. Cell adhesion molecules regulating astrocyte-neuron

interactions. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **69**, 170-177 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.conb.2021.03.015

15   Holt, L. M. *et al.* Astrocyte morphogenesis is dependent on BDNF signaling via

astrocytic TrkB.T1. *Elife* **8** (2019). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.44667

16   Kam, T. I., Hinkle, J. T., Dawson, T. M. & Dawson, V. L. Microglia and astrocyte

dysfunction in parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **144**, 105028 (2020).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.nbd.2020.105028

17 Brandebura, A. N., Paumier, A., Onur, T. S. & Allen, N. J. Astrocyte contribution

to dysfunction, risk and progression in neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev*

Neurosci (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41583-022-00641-1

18 Kluss, J. H., Mamais, A. & Cookson, M. R. LRRK2 links genetic and sporadic

Parkinson's disease. *Biochem Soc Trans* **47**, 651-661 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.1042/BST20180462

19 Taylor, M. & Alessi, D. R. Advances in elucidating the function of leucine-rich

repeat protein kinase-2 in normal cells and Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Cell*

Biol **63**, 102-113 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ceb.2020.01.001

20 Steger, M. *et al.* Systematic proteomic analysis of LRRK2-mediated Rab GTPase

phosphorylation establishes a connection to ciliogenesis. *Elife* **6** (2017).

https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.31012

21 Kalogeropulou, A. F. *et al.* P62/SQSTM1 is a novel leucine-rich repeat kinase 2

(LRRK2) substrate that enhances neuronal toxicity. *Biochem J* **475**, 1271-1293

(2018). https://doi.org:10.1042/BCJ20170699

22 Dhekne, H. S. *et al.* A pathway for Parkinson's Disease LRRK2 kinase to block

primary cilia and Sonic hedgehog signaling in the brain. *Elife* **7** (2018).

https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.40202

23 Lara Ordonez, A. J. *et al.* RAB8, RAB10 and RILPL1 contribute to both LRRK2

kinase-mediated centrosomal cohesion and ciliogenesis deficits. *Hum Mol Genet*

28, 3552-3568 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1093/hmg/ddz201

24 Gomez, R. C., Wawro, P., Lis, P., Alessi, D. R. & Pfeffer, S. R. Membrane

association but not identity is required for LRRK2 activation and phosphorylation

of Rab GTPases. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 4157-4170 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.201902184

25 Takagawa, T. *et al.* An increase in LRRK2 suppresses autophagy and enhances

Dectin-1-induced immunity in a mouse model of colitis. *Sci Transl Med* **10** (2018).

https://doi.org:10.1126/scitranslmed.aan8162

26 Gan, L., Cookson, M. R., Petrucelli, L. & La Spada, A. R. Converging pathways

in neurodegeneration, from genetics to mechanisms. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 1300-

1309 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-018-0237-7

27 Steger, M. *et al.* Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase

LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab GTPases. *Elife* **5** (2016).

https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.12813

28 Pang, S. Y. *et al.* LRRK2, GBA and their interaction in the regulation of

autophagy: implications on therapeutics in Parkinson's disease. *Transl*

Neurodegener **11**, 5 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1186/s40035-022-00281-6

29 Mestre, T. A. *et al.* Clustering of motor and nonmotor traits in leucine-rich repeat

kinase 2 G2019S Parkinson's disease nonparkinsonian relatives: A multicenter

family study. *Mov Disord* **33**, 960-965 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1002/mds.27272

30 Chang, E. E. S. *et al.* LRRK2 mutant knock-in mouse models: therapeutic

relevance in Parkinson's disease. *Translational Neurodegeneration* **11** (2022).

https://doi.org:10.1186/s40035-022-00285-2

31 Yue, M. *et al.* Progressive dopaminergic alterations and mitochondrial

abnormalities in LRRK2 G2019S knock-in mice. *Neurobiol Dis* **78**, 172-195

(2015). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.nbd.2015.02.031

32   Volta, M. & Melrose, H. LRRK2 mouse models: dissecting the behavior, striatal

1568   neurochemistry and neurophysiology of PD pathogenesis. *Biochem Soc Trans*

1569   **45**, 113-122 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1042/BST20160238

1570   33   Hussein, A., Tielemans, A., Baxter, M. G., Benson, D. L. & Huntley, G. W.

1571   Cognitive deficits and altered cholinergic innervation in young adult male mice

1572   carrying a Parkinson's disease Lrrk2(G2019S) knockin mutation. *Exp Neurol* **355**,

1573   114145 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114145

1574   34   Kuhlmann, N. & Milnerwood, A. J. A Critical LRRK at the Synapse? The

1575   Neurobiological Function and Pathophysiological Dysfunction of LRRK2. *Front*

1576   *Mol Neurosci* **13**, 153 (2020). https://doi.org:10.3389/fnmol.2020.00153

1577   35   Matikainen-Ankney, B. A. *et al.* Parkinson's Disease-Linked LRRK2-G2019S

1578   Mutation Alters Synaptic Plasticity and Promotes Resilience to Chronic Social

1579   Stress in Young Adulthood. *J Neurosci* **38**, 9700-9711 (2018).

1580   https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1457-18.2018

1581   36   Matikainen-Ankney, B. A. *et al.* Altered Development of Synapse Structure and

1582   Function in Striatum Caused by Parkinson's Disease-Linked LRRK2-G2019S

1583   Mutation. *J Neurosci* **36**, 7128-7141 (2016).

1584   https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3314-15.2016

1585   37   Lawal, O., Ulloa Severino, F. P. & Eroglu, C. The role of astrocyte structural

1586   plasticity in regulating neural circuit function and behavior. *Glia* **70**, 1467-1483

1587   (2022). https://doi.org:10.1002/glia.24191

38 Hirrlinger, J. & Nimmerjahn, A. A perspective on astrocyte regulation of neural

circuit function and animal behavior. *Glia* **70**, 1554-1580 (2022).

https://doi.org:10.1002/glia.24168

39 Escartin, C. *et al.* Reactive astrocyte nomenclature, definitions, and future

directions. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 312-325 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-020-

00783-4

40 Stogsdill, J. A. *et al.* Astrocytic neuroligins control astrocyte morphogenesis and

synaptogenesis. *Nature* **551**, 192-197 (2017).

https://doi.org:10.1038/nature24638

41 Sofroniew, M. V. & Vinters, H. V. Astrocytes: biology and pathology. *Acta*

Neuropathol **119**, 7-35 (2010). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00401-009-0619-8

42 Hol, E. M. & Pekny, M. Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and the astrocyte

intermediate filament system in diseases of the central nervous system. *Curr*

Opin Cell Biol **32**, 121-130 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ceb.2015.02.004

43 Longo, F. *et al.* Age-dependent dopamine transporter dysfunction and Serine129

phospho-alpha-synuclein overload in G2019S LRRK2 mice. *Acta Neuropathol*

Commun **5**, 22 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1186/s40478-017-0426-8

44 Skelton, P. D., Tokars, V. & Parisiadou, L. LRRK2 at Striatal Synapses: Cell-

Type Specificity and Mechanistic Insights. *Cells* **11** (2022).

https://doi.org:10.3390/cells11010169

45 Fremeau, R. T., Jr. *et al.* The expression of vesicular glutamate transporters

defines two classes of excitatory synapse. *Neuron* **31**, 247-260 (2001).

https://doi.org:10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00344-0

46　Micheva, K. D., Busse, B., Weiler, N. C., O'Rourke, N. & Smith, S. J. Single-

synapse analysis of a diverse synapse population: proteomic imaging methods

and markers. *Neuron* **68**, 639-653 (2010).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.09.024

47　Ippolito, D. M. & Eroglu, C. Quantifying synapses: an immunocytochemistry-

based assay to quantify synapse number. *J Vis Exp* (2010).

https://doi.org:10.3791/2270

48　Glasgow, S. D., McPhedrain, R., Madranges, J. F., Kennedy, T. E. & Ruthazer,

E. S. Approaches and Limitations in the Investigation of Synaptic Transmission

and Plasticity. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* **11**, 20 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.3389/fnsyn.2019.00020

49　Matsuzaki, M. *et al.* Dendritic spine geometry is critical for AMPA receptor

expression in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **4**, 1086-1092

(2001). https://doi.org:10.1038/nn736

50　Parisiadou, L. *et al.* Phosphorylation of ezrin/radixin/moesin proteins by LRRK2

promotes the rearrangement of actin cytoskeleton in neuronal morphogenesis. *J*

Neurosci **29**, 13971-13980 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3799-

09.2009

51　Jaleel, M. *et al.* LRRK2 phosphorylates moesin at threonine-558: characterization

of how Parkinson's disease mutants affect kinase activity. *Biochem J* **405**, 307-

317 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1042/BJ20070209

52   Zhang, Y. *et al.* An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia,

neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. *J Neurosci* **34**, 11929-11947

(2014). https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1860-14.2014

53   Zhu, L. *et al.* High turnover of ezrin T567 phosphorylation: conformation, activity,

and cellular function. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **293**, C874-884 (2007).

https://doi.org:10.1152/ajpcell.00111.2007

54   Gautreau, A., Louvard, D. & Arpin, M. Morphogenic effects of ezrin require a

phosphorylation-induced transition from oligomers to monomers at the plasma

membrane. *J Cell Biol* **150**, 193-203 (2000). https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.150.1.193

55   Ito, G. *et al.* Phos-tag analysis of Rab10 phosphorylation by LRRK2: a powerful

assay for assessing kinase function and inhibitors. *Biochem J* **473**, 2671-2685

(2016). https://doi.org:10.1042/BCJ20160557

56   Chua, C. E. L. & Tang, B. L. Rab 10-a traffic controller in multiple cellular

pathways and locations. *J Cell Physiol* **233**, 6483-6494 (2018).

https://doi.org:10.1002/jcp.26503

57   Chaboub, L. S. & Deneen, B. Developmental origins of astrocyte heterogeneity:

the final frontier of CNS development. *Dev Neurosci* **34**, 379-388 (2012).

https://doi.org:10.1159/000343723

58   Molofsky, A. V. *et al.* Astrocytes and disease: a neurodevelopmental perspective.

Genes Dev **26**, 891-907 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1101/gad.188326.112

59   Lavialle, M. *et al.* Structural plasticity of perisynaptic astrocyte processes involves

ezrin and metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**,

12915-12919 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1100957108

60   Endo, F. *et al.* Molecular basis of astrocyte diversity and morphology across the

CNS in health and disease. *Science* **378**, eadc9020 (2022).

https://doi.org:10.1126/science.adc9020

61   Kruyer, A., Scofield, M. D., Wood, D., Reissner, K. J. & Kalivas, P. W. Heroin

Cue-Evoked Astrocytic Structural Plasticity at Nucleus Accumbens Synapses

Inhibits Heroin Seeking. *Biol Psychiatry* **86**, 811-819 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.06.026

62   Soto, J. S. *et al.* Astrocyte-neuron subproteomes and obsessive-compulsive

disorder mechanisms. *Nature* (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-023-05927-

7

63   Fievet, B. T. *et al.* Phosphoinositide binding and phosphorylation act sequentially

in the activation mechanism of ezrin. *J Cell Biol* **164**, 653-659 (2004).

https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.200307032

64   Yin, L. M. & Schnoor, M. Modulation of membrane-cytoskeleton interactions:

ezrin as key player. *Trends Cell Biol* **32**, 94-97 (2022).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.tcb.2021.09.005

65   Heiska, L. *et al.* Association of ezrin with intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and -2

(ICAM-1 and ICAM-2). Regulation by phosphatidylinositol 4, 5-bisphosphate. *J*

Biol Chem **273**, 21893-21900 (1998). https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.273.34.21893

66   Zaman, R. *et al.* Effector-mediated ERM activation locally inhibits RhoA activity to

shape the apical cell domain. *J Cell Biol* **220** (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.202007146

67　Tsai, F. C. *et al.* Ezrin enrichment on curved membranes requires a specific

conformation or interaction with a curvature-sensitive partner. *Elife* **7** (2018).

https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.37262

68　Matsui, T. *et al.* Rho-kinase phosphorylates COOH-terminal threonines of

ezrin/radixin/moesin (ERM) proteins and regulates their head-to-tail association.

J Cell Biol **140**, 647-657 (1998). https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.140.3.647

69　Shani, V. *et al.* Physiological and pathological roles of LRRK2 in the nuclear

envelope integrity. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**, 3982-3996 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.1093/hmg/ddz245

70　Heaton, G. R. *et al.* Sequential screening nominates the Parkinson's disease

associated kinase LRRK2 as a regulator of Clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

Neurobiol Dis **141**, 104948 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104948

71　Soto, J. S. *et al.* Astrocyte-neuron subproteomes and obsessive-compulsive

disorder mechanisms. *Nature* **616**, 764-773 (2023).

https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-023-05927-7

72　Plowey, E. D., Cherra, S. J., 3rd, Liu, Y. J. & Chu, C. T. Role of autophagy in

G2019S-LRRK2-associated neurite shortening in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. *J*

Neurochem **105**, 1048-1056 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1111/j.1471-

4159.2008.05217.x

73　Mizushima, N. *et al.* A protein conjugation system essential for autophagy.

Nature **395**, 395-398 (1998). https://doi.org:10.1038/26506

74   Collier, J. J. *et al.* Developmental Consequences of Defective ATG7-Mediated

Autophagy in Humans. *N Engl J Med* **384**, 2406-2417 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1056/NEJMoa1915722

75   Evans, R. *et al.* Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv*,

2021.2010.2004.463034 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1101/2021.10.04.463034

76   Kaiser, S. E. *et al.* Structures of Atg7-Atg3 and Atg7-Atg10 reveal noncanonical

mechanisms of E2 recruitment by the autophagy E1. *Autophagy* **9**, 778-780

(2013). https://doi.org:10.4161/auto.23644

77   Pelaseyed, T. *et al.* Ezrin activation by LOK phosphorylation involves a PIP(2)-

dependent wedge mechanism. *Elife* **6** (2017). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.22759

78   Bravo-San Pedro, J. M. *et al.* The LRRK2 G2019S mutant exacerbates basal

autophagy through activation of the MEK/ERK pathway. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **70**,

121-136 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00018-012-1061-y

79   Arakawa, S., Honda, S., Yamaguchi, H. & Shimizu, S. Molecular mechanisms

and physiological roles of Atg5/Atg7-independent alternative autophagy. *Proc*

Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci **93**, 378-385 (2017).

https://doi.org:10.2183/pjab.93.023

80   Nishida, Y. *et al.* Discovery of Atg5/Atg7-independent alternative

macroautophagy. *Nature* **461**, 654-658 (2009).

https://doi.org:10.1038/nature08455

81   Durgan, J. *et al.* Non-canonical autophagy drives alternative ATG8 conjugation to

phosphatidylserine. *Mol Cell* **81**, 2031-2040 e2038 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2021.03.020

82 Durgan, J. & Florey, O. A new flavor of cellular Atg8-family protein lipidation -

alternative conjugation to phosphatidylserine during CASM. *Autophagy* **17**, 2642-

2644 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1080/15548627.2021.1947730

83 Giamundo, G. *et al.* Ezrin defines TSC1 activation at endosomal compartments

through EGFR-AKT signaling. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2005.2003.592332 (2024).

https://doi.org:10.1101/2024.05.03.592332

84 Broadhead, M. J. *et al.* Selective vulnerability of tripartite synapses in

amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathol* **143**, 471-486 (2022).

https://doi.org:10.1007/s00401-022-02412-9

85 Hussein, A. *et al.* Non-Motor Symptoms of Parkinson's Disease: The

Neurobiology of Early Psychiatric and Cognitive Dysfunction. *Neuroscientist*,

10738584211011979 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1177/10738584211011979

86 Guevara, C. A. *et al.* LRRK2 mutation alters behavioral, synaptic, and

nonsynaptic adaptations to acute social stress. *J Neurophysiol* **123**, 2382-2389

(2020). https://doi.org:10.1152/jn.00137.2020

87 Shenhav, A., Botvinick, M. M. & Cohen, J. D. The expected value of control: an

integrative theory of anterior cingulate cortex function. *Neuron* **79**, 217-240

(2013). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.007

88 Tervo, D. G. R. *et al.* The anterior cingulate cortex directs exploration of

alternative strategies. *Neuron* **109**, 1876-1887 e1876 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.03.028

89   Lanjakornsiripan, D. *et al.* Layer-specific morphological and molecular

differences in neocortical astrocytes and their dependence on neuronal layers.

Nat Commun **9**, 1623 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-018-03940-3

90   Bayraktar, O. A. *et al.* Astrocyte layers in the mammalian cerebral cortex

revealed by a single-cell in situ transcriptomic map. *Nat Neurosci* **23**, 500-509

(2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-020-0602-1

91   Savage, J. T. *et al.* SynBot: An open-source image analysis software for

automated quantification of synapses. *bioRxiv* (2024).

https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.06.26.546578

92   Kim, Y. G., Shin, J. J. & Kim, S. J. Minhee Analysis Package: an integrated

software package for detection and management of spontaneous synaptic

events. *Mol Brain* **14**, 138 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13041-021-00847-x

93   Tan, C. X. *et al.* delta-Catenin controls astrocyte morphogenesis via layer-

specific astrocyte-neuron cadherin interactions. *J Cell Biol* **222** (2023).

https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.202303138

94   Moffat, J. *et al.* A lentiviral RNAi library for human and mouse genes applied to

an arrayed viral high-content screen. *Cell* **124**, 1283-1298 (2006).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.040

95   Chih, B., Gollan, L. & Scheiffele, P. Alternative splicing controls selective trans-

synaptic interactions of the neuroligin-neurexin complex. *Neuron* **51**, 171-178

(2006). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.06.005

96   Chen, F. & LoTurco, J. A method for stable transgenesis of radial glia lineage in

rat neocortex by piggyBac mediated transposition. *J Neurosci Methods* **207**, 172-

180 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2012.03.016

97   O'Neil, S. D. *et al.* Action potential-coupled Rho GTPase signaling drives

presynaptic plasticity. *Elife* **10** (2021). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.63756

98   Ferreira, T. A. *et al.* Neuronal morphometry directly from bitmap images. *Nat*

Methods **11**, 982-984 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1038/nmeth.3125

99   Uezu, A. *et al.* Identification of an elaborate complex mediating postsynaptic

inhibition. *Science* **353**, 1123-1129 (2016).

https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aag0821

100   Kuriata, A. *et al.* CABS-flex 2.0: a web server for fast simulations of flexibility of

protein structures. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W338-W343 (2018).

https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gky356

101   Takano, T. *et al.* Chemico-genetic discovery of astrocytic control of inhibition in

vivo. *Nature* **588**, 296-302 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-2926-0

102   Risher, W. C. *et al.* Thrombospondin receptor alpha2delta-1 promotes

synaptogenesis and spinogenesis via postsynaptic Rac1. *J Cell Biol* **217**, 3747-

3765 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.201802057

1781

Figure 1