The soil-borne white root rot pathogen *Rosellinia necatrix* expresses antimicrobial proteins during host colonization

Edgar A. Chavarro-Carrero[1,2], Nick C. Snelders[2,3,$], David E. Torres[1,3,$], Anton Kraege[2], Ana López-Moral[2], Gabriella C. Petti[2], Wilko Punt[2], Jan Wieneke[2], Rómulo García-Velasco[4], Carlos J. López-Herrera[5], Michael F. Seidl[3,#] and Bart P.H.J. Thomma[1,2,#,‡]

[1]Laboratory of Phytopathology, Wageningen University & Research, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands.

[2]Institute for Plant Sciences, Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

[3]Theoretical Biology & Bioinformatics Group, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

[4]Laboratory of Phytopathology, Tenancingo University Center, Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, Tenancingo, State of Mexico, Mexico.

[5]CSIC, Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible, Dept. Protección de Cultivos, C/Alameda del Obispo s/n, 14004, Córdoba, Spain.

Short title: *Rosellinia necatrix* antimicrobial effector proteins

[$]These authors contributed equally

[#]These authors contributed equally

[‡]Corresponding author: B.P.H.J. Thomma; Telephone: 0049-221-47089261; E-mail: bthomma@uni-koeln.de

ABSTRACT

Rosellinia necatrix is a prevalent soil-borne plant-pathogenic fungus that is the causal agent of white root rot disease in a broad range of host plants. The limited availability of genomic resources for *R. necatrix* has complicated a thorough understanding of its infection biology. Here, we sequenced nine *R. necatrix* strains with Oxford Nanopore sequencing technology, and with DNA proximity ligation we generated a gapless assembly of one of the genomes into ten chromosomes. Whereas many filamentous pathogens display a so-called two-speed genome with more dynamic and more conserved compartments, the *R. necatrix* genome does not display such genome compartmentalization. It has recently been proposed that fungal plant pathogens may employ effectors with antimicrobial activity to manipulate the host microbiota to promote infection. In the predicted secretome of *R. necatrix*, 26 putative antimicrobial effector proteins were identified, nine of which are expressed during plant colonization. Two of the candidates were tested, both of which were found to possess selective antimicrobial activity. Intriguingly, some of the inhibited bacteria are antagonists of *R. necatrix* growth *in vitro* and can alleviate *R. necatrix* infection on cotton plants. Collectively, our data show that *R. necatrix* encodes antimicrobials that are expressed during host colonization and that may contribute to modulation of host-associated microbiota to stimulate disease development.

INTRODUCTION

Rosellinia necatrix is a prevalent soil-borne plant-pathogenic fungus that is found in temperate and tropical areas worldwide (Sivanesan and Holliday, 1972). As causal agent of white root rot disease, *R. necatrix* has a broad host range comprising at least 170 species of dicotyledonous angiosperms that are dispersed over 63 genera and 30 families (Sztejnberg and Madar, 1980). Many of these species are of great economic importance, such as *Coffea* spp. (coffee), *Malus* spp. (apple), *Olea europea* L. (olive), *Persea americana* Mill. (avocado), *Prunus* spp. (peaches, almonds, etc.), *Vitis vinifera* L. (grape) (Eguchi et al., 2009) and *Rosa* sp. (rose) (García-Velasco et al., 2012).

Plants infected by *R. necatrix* usually display two types of symptoms. The first type is displayed below-ground on the root system, where white and black colonies of mycelium can occur on the surface of infected roots. As the fungus penetrates and colonizes the root tissue, the roots acquire a dark brown color (Guillaumin et al., 1982). The second type of symptom occurs above-ground. These symptoms can develop rapidly as a consequence of the damaged root system and comprise wilting of leaves, typically after a period of drought or physiological stress, which affects plant vigor and eventually can lead to plant death. Symptoms of *R. necatrix* infection can also appear slowly, leading to a decline in growth, decreasing leaf numbers, along with wilting of leaves, chlorosis, and death of twigs and branches. On perennials these symptoms aggravate over time, and when moisture and temperature are unfavorable, the plant eventually dies (Guillaumin et al., 1982).

Various studies have addressed the biology of *R. necatrix* (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2002; Pliego et al., 2009). Nevertheless, the molecular mechanisms underlying pathogenicity of *R. necatrix* remain largely unexplored, mainly

because the limited availability of genomic resources to date complicates investigations into the molecular biology of *R. necatrix* infections. Until recently, only a single Illumina technology short-read sequencing-based *R. necatrix* draft genome assembly was generated of strain W97 that was isolated from apple in Japan (Shimizu et al., 2018). This 44 Mb genome assembly is highly fragmented as it comprises 1,209 contigs with 12,444 predicted protein-coding genes (Shimizu et al., 2018). Recently, another assembly was released of a South-African strain (CMW50482) that was isolated from avocado, yet this assembly is even more fragmented with 1,362 contigs (Wingfield et al., 2022).

It is generally accepted that plant pathogens secrete dozens to hundreds of so-called effectors into their host plants to stimulate host colonization (Rovenich et al., 2014; Wilson and McDowell, 2022). Effectors can be defined as small, secreted proteins of ≤300 amino acids that are cysteine-rich and have tertiary structures that are stabilized by disulfide bridges and that are secreted by pathogens to promote disease on plant hosts (Duplessis et al., 2011; Gan et al., 2013; Stergiopoulos et al., 2013; Lo Presti et al., 2015). However, also larger secreted proteins have been found to act as effectors, such as several LysM effectors (Djamei et al., 2011; Kombrink and Thomma, 2013). Furthermore, also non-proteinaceous effectors have been described, such as fungal secondary metabolites as well as small RNA (sRNA) molecules that are delivered into host cells to suppress host immunity (Weiberg et al., 2014). Many effectors have been shown to act in suppression of host immune responses (Rovenich et al., 2014). However, recent studies have uncovered additional functions of pathogen effector proteins in host colonization (Wilson and McDowell, 2022). For example, the soil-borne pathogen *Verticillium dahliae* secretes effector proteins to modulate microbiota compositions inside the host

plant as well as outside the host in the soil to support host colonization (Snelders

et al., 2020; 2021; 2022; 2023). One of them is the effector protein VdAve1 that

was shown to display selective antimicrobial activity and facilitate colonization of

tomato and cotton plants through host microbiota manipulation by suppressing

antagonistic bacteria, such as members of the *Spingomonadaceae* (Snelders et

al., 2020). Besides VdAve1, ten additional potentially antimicrobial effector

protein candidates were predicted by mining the *V. dahliae* secretome for

structural homologues of known antimicrobial proteins (AMPs) (Snelders et al.,

2020). One of these candidates, VdAMP2, was subsequently found to contribute

to *V. dahliae* survival in soil through its efficacy in microbial competition

(Snelders et al., 2020). Another candidate, VdAMP3, was shown to promote

microsclerotia formation in decaying host tissue through its antifungal activity

directed against fungal niche competitors (Snelders et al., 2021). Most recently,

a multiallelic gene homologous to *VdAve1* was identified as *VdAve1-like*

(*VdAve1L*) of which the *VdAve1L2* variant was shown to encode an effector that

promotes tomato colonization through suppression of antagonistic Actinobacteria

in the host microbiota (Snelders et al., 2023). These findings have led to the

hypothesis that microbiota manipulation is a general virulence strategy of plant

pathogens to promote host colonization (Snelders et al., 2022). However, thus

far evidence for such activity has been lacking for other fungal plant pathogens.

Similar to *V. dahliae*, also *R. necatrix* is a soil-borne pathogen that spends

at least part of its life cycle in the soil, known to be an extremely competitive

and microbe-rich environment (Smercina et al., 2021). In the present study, we

aimed to generate a high-quality genome assembly to mine the *R. necatrix*

genome for potential antimicrobial proteins that are exploited during host

colonization and test the hypothesis that other pathogenic fungi besides *V.*

dahliae exploit effector proteins with antimicrobial activity during host

colonization as well.

RESULTS

A chromosome-level assembly of *Rosellinia necatrix* strain R18

Thus far, two fragmented *R. necatrix* draft genome assemblies that comprise over 1,200 contigs are publicly available (Shimizu et al., 2018; Wingfield et al., 2022). To generate additional and more contiguous *R. necatrix* genome assemblies, we sequenced nine strains of *R. necatrix* that were collected from rose and avocado in Mexico and Spain, respectively, with Oxford Nanopore sequencing technology (ONT). This resulted in genome assemblies of 28 (for strain R18) to 399 (for strain CH12) contigs (Table 1). The most contiguous assembly was obtained for strain R18, isolated from infected rose plants in Mexico.

Table 1. Sequencing summary and genome assembly statistics for nine *Rosellinia necatrix* strains.

Strain name	Rn19[a]	CH12[a]	Rn400[a]	R10[a]	R25[a]	R27[a]	R28[a]	R30[a]	R18[a]	R18[b]
Isolated from	Avocado	Avocado	Avocado	Rose	Rose	Rose	Rose	Rose	Rose	
Country of origin	Spain	Granada, Spain	Granada, Spain	State of Mexico, Mexico	State of Mexico, Mexico	State of Mexico, Mexico	State of Mexico, Mexico	State of Mexico, Mexico	State of Mexico, Mexico	
Year	2001	1988	1991	2005	2008	2005	2011	2014	2014	
Read N50 (kb)	23	17	21	18	16	21	21	9	18	**30**
Coverage	45X	46X	35X	35X	45X	46X	41X	52X	42X	**120X**
No. of contigs	35	399	37	50	58	31	47	130	28	**11**
Total length (Mb)	48.2	49.9	48.2	48.9	49.2	49.2	48.7	48.3	49	**49.1**
Average length (Mb)	1.3	0.1	1.3	1	0.8	1.5	1	0.3	0.8	**4**
Maximum length (Mb)	6.4	6.3	5.8	4.4	4	6.4	6.4	4.5	4	**7.1**
N50 (Mb)	3.4	4.6	3.8	1.9	2.5	3.2	3.4	1.6	3.1	**5.1**
N90 (Mb)	0.8	3.1	1.3	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.6	**3.3**
GC (%)	46.28	46.37	46.37	45.35	45.49	45.43	45.50	45.99	45.35	**45.46**
BUSCO (%)	76.7	69.9	70.2	68.4	78.9	72.3	68.2	80.7	82.5	**97**

[a]*de novo* assembly based on Nanopore sequencing of high-molecular weight (HMW) DNA.

[b]*de novo* assembly based on Nanopore sequencing of HMW and UHMW DNA and Hi-C sequencing.

To further improve the genome assembly of strain R18, additional ONT sequencing was performed using ultra HMW (UHMW) DNA as template. Additionally, chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) followed by high-throughput sequencing was performed (Burton et al., 2013; Varoquaux et al., 2015). Based on these orthogonal sequencing data, we ultimately assembled the R18 genome into 11 contigs (Table 1). Using Tapestry (Davey et al., 2020) twenty telomeric regions ([TTAGGG]n) were identified (Fig. 1A), and ten of the 11 contigs were found to contain telomeric regions at both ends, suggesting that these represent completely assembled chromosomes, which could be confirmed by Hi-C analysis (Fig. 1B). The 11th, and smallest, contig contained no telomeric regions, displayed a markedly higher coverage (read depth) (Fig. 1B), and BLAST analysis revealed hits to fungal mitochondrial genomes, showing that this contig belongs to the mitochondrial genome. Accordingly, no mitochondrial genes could be found on any of the ten chromosomal contigs. Thus, we conclude that our assembly contains ten complete chromosomes that compose the nuclear genome, and an 11th contig that composes the mitochondrial genome, and thus that we generated a gapless, telomere-to-telomere, genome assembly. To measure the completeness of the genome assembly, we used BUSCO v4 with the Ascomycota dataset (Simão et al., 2015), which resulted in a completeness score of 97%.

Figure 1. Genome assembly of *Rosellinia necatrix* strain R18. (A) Genome assembly plot generated with Tapestry (Davey et al., 2020). Green bars indicate contig sizes with the color intensity correlating with read coverage, while red bars indicate telomeres with the color intensity correlating with the number of telomeric repeats. Contig 11 lacks telomeres and represents the mitochondrial genome. (B) Hi-C contact matrix showing interaction frequencies between regions in the genome of *Rosellinia necatrix* strain R18. Ten regions with high inter-chromosomal interaction frequencies are indicative of centromeres and are indicated with arrowheads.

To further explore the *R. necatrix* strain R18 assembly, genome annotation was performed using Funannotate (Palmer and Stajich, 2017) guided by publicly available RNAseq data (Shimizu et al., 2018), resulting in 11,760 predicted protein-coding genes (Table 2). Furthermore, effector genes were predicted using EffectorP (Sperschneider et al., 2018). The assembled genome of *R. necatrix* strain R18 was predicted to encode 1,126 secreted proteins, of which 192 were predicted to be effector candidates. BLAST searches revealed that 182

of these have homology to Ascomycete fungal proteins, of which 69 had functional domain annotations, while the remaining 113 were annotated as hypothetical proteins (Supplemental Table 1). The largest group of annotated effectors were cell wall degrading enzymes, including glycoside hydrolases and carbohydrate esterases that are generally involved in plant cell wall degradation (Underwood, 2012; Malinovsky et al., 2014). Effectors carrying carbohydrate-binding domains while lacking enzymatic domains, specifically a concanavalin A-like lectin and two cell wall integrity and stress response component (WSC) domain-containing proteins, were also found. Concanavalin A-like lectin has been described as a virulence factor, although no molecular mechanism has been presented (Ismail and Able, 2016), while WSC domain-containing proteins can protect fungal cell wall through structural strengthening, but their contribution to plant colonization remains unclear (Wawra et al., 2019). Additionally, two lysin motif (LysM) effectors were found that typically bind chitin and play roles in virulence through suppression of chitin-triggered immunity or shielding hyphae against host-derived chitinases (de Jonge et al., 2010; Kombrink and Thomma, 2013; Tian et al., 2021; 2022). Four genes were found to encode hydrophobins; proteins that have been implicated in virulence through acting as phytotoxin (Takai, 1974), or promoting attachment to plant surfaces and appressoria formation (Talbot et al., 1996), although negative impacts on host colonization have also been reported (Luciano-Rosario et al., 2022). Finally, three potential toxins were found, namely a cerato-platanin domain-containing protein (Carresi et al., 2006) and two necrosis and ethylene-inducing-like (NLP) effectors that are typically thought to be phytotoxic to eudicot plants (Lenarčič et al., 2017; Seidl & van den Ackerveken, 2019) (Supplemental Table 1).

To investigate the conservation of effector gene catalogues among the *R. necatrix* genomes that we sequenced in our study, we performed BLAST searches to find homologs of each of the predicted effector genes in the genome of strain R18 in each of the other genomes. Intriguingly, we found remarkably little presence-absence variation, with only few effector genes missing in some of the other genomes. While strains R27, R28 and R30 carry all the effector genes that were identified in strain R18, strain R10 and R25 lack two, strains R19 lacks three, and strains CH12 and Rn400 lack four of the 192 predicted effector genes (Supplemental Table 2). Furthermore, we determined the ratio of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for each of the predicted effectors. Interestingly, while strains Rn19 and strain Rn400 share no identical effector genes and strain CH12 only a single identical effector gene with strain R18, on the other end of the spectrum strain R10 shares 152 identical effector genes with strain R18. Furthermore, SNP ratios vary from 0% up to 26,12% for effector *FUN_011522* in strain CH12. Generally, we observed higher variability in effectors of the Spanish strains (Rn19, CH12, Rn400) than in the Mexican strains (R10, R25, R27, R28, R30) (Supplemental Table 2).

Table 2. Genome annotation of *Rosellinia necatrix* strain R18.

Genomic trait	Value
Protein-coding genes (Funannotate version 1.8.13, Palmer and Stajich, 2017)	11,760
Mean gene length (bp)	1,689.48
Mean exons per gene	2.92
Mean intergenic length (bp)	1,114
Homologs in InterPro database	8,125
Secreted proteins (SignalP; version 5.0, Almagro et al., 2019)	1,126
Effectors (EffectorP; version 2.0, Sperschneider et al., 2018)	192
CAZymes (Funannotate)	535
CAZymes (secreted) (Funannotate)	300

Small cysteine-rich proteins (Funannotate)	209
LysM (lysin motif) effectors (Funannotate)	2
NLP (necrosis and ethylene-inducing-like) effectors (Funannotate)	2
Secondary metabolite clusters (antiSMASH; version 5.0, Blin et al., 2019)	48

Besides proteinaceous effectors, 48 secondary metabolite gene clusters

were predicted using antiSMASH fungal version (Blin et al., 2019; Table 2). From

these, 13 showed homology to known secondary metabolite clusters, including

those for the production of swainsonine, cytochalasin E/K, pyriculol, enniatin, and

naphthalene, which have been implicated in pathogenicity through their

phytotoxic activity (Thomas, 1978; Sawai et al., 1983; Walton, 1990; Shimizu et

al., 2014; Cook et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2021), and copalyl

diphosphate which has been implicated in elongation disorders in plants

(Rademacher and Graebe, 1979; Kawaide, 2006) (Supplemental Table 3).

Absence of distinctive genome compartmentalization

In many filamentous pathogens, effector genes are found in repeat-rich and gene

sparse genomic compartments, whereas they are depleted in repeat-poor and

gene-dense regions that typically harbor housekeeping genes, a genome

organization that is typically referred to as the two-speed genome (Frantzeskakis

et al., 2020). Thus, we aimed to explore if effector genes were associated with

repetitive regions in *R. necatrix* too. Interestingly, the repeat content in *R.*

necatrix strain R18 is low (2.39%) and evenly distributed throughout the

genome, with the most abundant families being DNA/Helitron (67%) and long

terminal repeats (LTRs; 31%). Accordingly, most repetitive elements are not

preferentially located near effectors (p>0.05 after permutation test for distance).

Moreover, effector genes are not preferentially found in regions with large

intergenic distances (Fig. 2). Thus, our results do not support association of

effector genes with repeat-rich regions in *R. necatrix*.

Figure 2. **Effector genes do not localize in gene-sparse regions.** Gene density
plot of '5 and '3 flanking log10 transformed intergenic distance with the dashed lines
depicting the mean intergenic distances for all genes (A) and candidate effector
genes encoded in the *R. necatrix* genome (B).

Genomic comparisons in various filamentous fungi have revealed extensive

chromosomal rearrangements and structural variants (SVs) in close association

with effector genes (Torres et al., 2020; Faino et al., 2016; Oggenfuss et al.,

2021; Fouché et al., 2020; Shi-Kunne et al., 2018). Thus, we tested whether

effector genes are associated with SVs in *R. necatrix*. First, to explore the

genomic diversity among *R. necatrix* strains, a phylogenetic tree of all genomes

was constructed with Realphy (version 1.12) (Bertels *et al.,* 2014). Two clusters

were identified, one with strains from Mexico isolated from roses, and one cluster

with the strains from Spain and South Africa, isolated from avocado, as well as

Japan, isolated from apple (Fig. 3). Then, SVs were predicted for each of the

genomes sequenced in this study using NanoSV (version 1.2.4 with default

settings; Stancu et al., 2017) by identifying split- and gapped-aligned long reads

for the various strains to define breakpoint-junctions of structural variations

when using the gapless genome assembly of strain R18 as a reference. We

retrieved 2,639 SVs in total, comprising 1,264 insertions, 1,344 deletions, 4

inversions and 27 translocations (Fig. 3A). The number of SVs per strain

corresponds to their phylogenetic relationships based on whole-genome

comparisons. To investigate the occurrence of the SVs in the *R. necatrix* strains,

we calculated the frequency of each SV over the strains used in the analysis.

Most of the SVs (94.2%) are shared by <50% of the strains, meaning that they

occur in less than four strains. This suggests that SV is a common phenomenon

in line with the phylogenetic and geographic relationship of *R. necatrix* strains.

Interestingly, SVs occur all across the genome (Fig. 3B), largely independently of

repetitive regions (p>0.05 after permutation test for distance), but are found in

close association with effector genes (p<0.05 after permutation test for

distance). Collectively, our results for *R. necatrix* substantiate the lack of the

typical genome compartmentalization that is associated with the two-speed

genome organization that was found in many other filamentous fungi (Raffaele

and Kamoun, 2012).

Figure 3. **Phylogeny and structural variation of nine *Rosellinia necatrix***
strains. (A) Phylogeny of sequenced *R. necatrix* strains was inferred using Realphy
(Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). The robustness of the phylogeny was assessed
using 1000 bootstrap replicates, and branch lengths represent sequence divergence.
Rosellinia corticium was used to root the tree. The amount of structural variants was
calculated using NanoSV (Stancu et al., 2017) using strain R18 as a reference. (B)
Circular plot displaying the genomic distribution of 2,019 SVs along the 10
chromosomes of *R. necatrix*. The tracks are shown in the following order from
outside to inside: Gene density (10 kb), repetitive density (10 kb), effector gene
locations, deletions, insertions and inversions and translocations. The color intensity
of the lines for each SV track depict frequency in the *R. necatrix* collection.

Weak structural clustering in the effector catalog

Effectors continuously evolve towards optimal functionality while simultaneously evading recognition by plant immune receptors, which is considered one of the reasons for the lack of sequence conservation among effector proteins. Despite this lack of sequence conservation, groups of effector proteins that share their three-dimensional structure have been identified in various filamentous phytopathogens, such as the MAX-effector (*Magnaporthe* Avrs and ToxB like) family of the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* (de Guillen et al., 2015) that, together with some other families, are also found in the scab fungus *Venturia inaequalis* (Rocafort et al., 2022). It has been speculated that MAX effectors exhibit this structural conservation as an adaptation either to the apoplastic environment, or for transport into the plant cytosol (de Guillen et al., 2015). To investigate whether subsets of *R. necatrix* effectors display a similar fold conservation, their structures were predicted with Alphafold2 with an average quality score in a so-called predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) of 86.1 (SD 11.8) on a scale from 0 to 100, with 100 indicating a perfect prediction. As only 11% of the predicted structures scored lower than 70 and only 2% lower than 50, we conclude that the fold prediction of the *R. necatrix* effector catalogue is generally robust. Next, we performed similarity clustering of the predicted effector folds, revealing that almost 40% of the effector candidates are structurally unique, while the remaining effectors could be assigned to a total of 31 clusters. As these clusters were only small, with on average only four members, we conclude that relatively little clustering occurs among *R. necatrix* effectors.

To examine whether the observed clustering is merely based on structural similarity, or is mainly driven by sequence conservation, the five largest clusters

that contain six to 11 members were further analysed (Fig. 4B, 4C). Two of the

five clusters show high average template modelling (TM) scores, with 0.85 and

0.91, respectively, on a scale from 0-1, while also showing a relatively high

degree of sequence conservation of 43 and 47 percent, respectively. The other

three clusters exhibit lower sequence conservation, with maximum 21 percent,

but also show considerably lower respectively structural conservation, with TM

scores between 0.57 and 0.64 only, indicating that structural similarity within the

clusters is positively correlating with sequence conservation. Hence, the

structural clustering can be explained by the sequence conservation amongst the

effector proteins. Taken together, although a considerable number of structural

effector clusters can be observed in *R. necatrix*, they contain only few members

and structural conservation is mostly based on sequence conservation. Thus,

effector family expansion seems to have played only a minor role in *R. necatrix*

effector evolution.

Cluster	Effector proteins	Average TM-score	Average Sequence identity	Annotation
1	11	0,85	43,47 %	Dehydrogenases
2	8	0,63	17,46 %	Glycoside hydrolases
3	7	0,64	21,27 %	Small secreted proteins
4	6	0,91	46,71 %	Cyanamide hydratases
5	6	0,57	18,29 %	Glucanosyl-transferases

Figure 4. *R. necatrix* effector candidates show weak structural clustering, which is based on sequence conservation. (A) Ordered by hierarchical clustering based on structural similarity, the heatmap displays the structural similarity of each effector pair in an all-vs-all alignment based on template modelling (TM) scores that range from 0 to 1. Effector clusters were identified based on a similarity threshold > 0.5. The five largest clusters are highlighted with a black square and ordered by size. (B) Example structure for each of the five clusters based on the effector with the highest similarity to other effectors in the cluster. (C) Characteristics of the five largest structural effector clusters.

Antimicrobial activity in culture filtrates

It has recently been proposed that fungal plant pathogens employ effectors with antimicrobial activity to manipulate the host microbiota to promote infection

(Rovenich et al., 2014; Wilson and McDowell, 2022; Snelders et al., 2022). To explore if the soil-borne fungus *R. necatrix* potentially exploits antimicrobials, we first tested whether *R. necatrix* culture filtrates can inhibit the growth of plant-associated bacteria. To this end, we collected *R. necatrix* culture medium after 4, 7 and 9 days of fungal growth by filtration through a 0.45 nm filter, and an aliquot of each of the culture filtrates was heat-inactivated at 95°C for 10 minutes. Finally, the culture filtrates were used as growth medium for individual bacterial species from a diversity panel of 37 plant-associated bacteria (Supplemental Table 4). After overnight incubation, growth of four of the 37 bacteria was inhibited in the 4- and 7-day culture medium filtrates when compared with cultivation in the heat-inactivated culture filtrates, namely *Bacillus drentensis, Achromobacter denitrificans, Sphingobium mellinum* and *Flavobacterium hauense.* While three of these were not found to be inhibited anymore in the 9-day culture medium filtrate, growth of *B. drentensis* was also still inhibited in this filtrate (Fig. 5). Given that the heat-treatment inactivated the antimicrobial activity, suggesting that the activity is of proteinaceous nature, we passed the culture filtrates through a spin column with 3 kDa cut-off and tested the growth of *Bacillus drentensis* and *Flavobacterium hauense* in these filtrates. Interestingly, none of the filtrates inhibited bacterial growth, suggesting that the activity is mediated by proteins that are retained in the spin column, as metabolites and other small molecules are expected to pass through. Collectively, these findings suggest that the culture medium of *R. necatrix* contains (a) heat-sensitive protein(s) with selective antimicrobial activity.

A

B

Figure 5. *Rosellinia necatrix* culture filtrates inhibit the growth of particular plant-associated bacterial species. (A) Bacterial growth was measured over time in *R. necatrix* culture filtrates, which were obtained after 4 (red), 7 (grey) and 9 (green) days of fungal growth. Heat-inactivated culture filtrates collected after 4 (blue), 7 (yellow) and 9 (black) days of fungal growth were used as controls. (B) Growth of *Bacillus drentensis* and *Flavobacterium hauense* was measured upon passing the culture filtrates collected after 4 (red), 7 (grey) and 9 (green) days of fungal growth through spin-columns with 3 kDa cut-off (black, light green and pink, respectively). The experiment was performed twice and error bars display standard deviations (n=3).

382 **Table 3. Predicted secreted proteins of *R. necatrix* strain R18 with**
383 **structural homology to known antimicrobial proteins.**

R. necatrix gene ID	SignalP prediction confidence[a]	Structural homolog[b]	Prediction confidence (%)	Alignment coverage (%)[c]	Homolog protein size (kDa)[d]	Cys (%)[e]	pI[f]
FUN_000307	96.4	Bacterial enterotoxin	38.6	14	22.2	2.8	4.86
FUN_000408	99.3	Toxin	100	64	40.7	3.8	5.68
FUN_000625	99.3	Peptidoglycan-binding	100	98	12.3	4.5	8.79
FUN_000907	98.4	Toxin	40.1	35	28	2.6	5.03
FUN_001825	99.9	Hydrolase	100	95	38.4	1.8	5.11
FUN_001865	98.7	EGF/Laminin	91.4	13	34.6	3.6	7.01
FUN_002055	99.7	Endoglucanase	100	89	28.6	5.9	6.73
FUN_003304	99.3	Hydrolase	100	96	39.2	2.4	5.68
FUN_003451	99.1	Fungal ribonucleases	100	92	14.7	3.6	4.19
FUN_004580	99.8	Antifungal protein AFP1	55.5	6	47	2.5	4.85
FUN_004961	97.4	Toxin	25.2	23	19.7	3.8	7.75
FUN_005431	94.8	Thermolabile hemolysin	100	99	32.4	0.8	4.36
FUN_005483	99.6	NLP	100	87	28.9	3.3	4.87
FUN_005751	98.4	Fungal ribonuclease	100	93	14.4	3.6	6.05
FUN_005940	99.4	Toxin	40.6	17	13.9	5.8	6.63
FUN_006760	86.2	Carboxypeptidase	100	92	60.9	1.5	5.11
FUN_007808	91.5	Toxin	100	55	89	5.8	5.36
FUN_007991	98.2	Toxin	45.1	26	19	2.6	9.00
FUN_008352	99.6	Toxin	100	99	16.9	6.2	6.14
FUN_009115	96.1	Antimicrobial MIAMP1	87.8	33	24	3.2	4.65
FUN_009151	84.6	Phage lysozyme	100	94	20.7	3.6	6.92
FUN_009266	92.6	Neutrophil defensin 4	44.9	10	15.6	3.3	7.59
FUN_010039	97.8	Toxin	100	99	17.0	4.5	6.73
FUN_010165	99.5	Toxin	50.3	11	17.5	5.8	4.83
FUN_011519	98.6	Antimicrobial protein	15.2	57	5.8	5.7	4.78
FUN_011592	92.8	Defensin	49.9	10	18.8	6.7	7.41

[a]Secreted protein prediction confidence based on SignalP Version 5.0 (Almagro et al., 2019).

[b]Structural homology was determined based on Phyre2 prediction (Kelley et al., 2015).

[c]Alignment coverage of the *R. necatrix* gene query to the database homolog (subject).

[d]Protein size expressed in kilodaltons (kDa) of the database homolog.

[e]Amount of cysteines (Cys) present in the database homolog expressed in percentage (%).

[f]Isoelectric point (pI) of the database homolog.

Prediction of potential antimicrobial effector candidates

We previously showed that structural prediction successfully identified antimicrobial effector proteins encoded in the genome of *V. dahliae* (Snelders et al., 2020; 2021). Thus, we similarly mined the secretome of the *R. necatrix* strain R18 for structural homologues of known antimicrobial proteins using Phyre2 (Snelders et al., 2020; Kelley et al., 2015), leading to the identification of 26 candidates with potential antimicrobial activity (Table 3). Subsequently, we used publicly available RNAseq data (Shimizu et al., 2018) to assess expression of these candidates and found that nine of the 26 candidates are highly expressed during avocado colonization (Fig. 6A). To further assess *in planta* expression of the nine candidates, we measured their expression in *R. necatrix* strain R18 upon cotton inoculation, revealing that five genes are highly expressed during cotton colonization (Fig. 6B). To test whether these *in planta*-expressed predicted secreted proteins indeed possess antimicrobial activity, we pursued heterologous protein production in *E. coli*. Unfortunately, we repeatedly failed to produce four of these proteins (FUN_009266, FUN_0100039, FUN_005751 and FUN_006760) which, although it may be interpreted as a sign of potential antimicrobial activity (Ingham and Moore, 2007), obstructs functional analysis. However, one protein could be successfully produced and purified (FUN_004580).

FUN_004580 has predicted structural homology to antifungal protein 1 (AFP1) from the bacterium *Streptomyces tendae* (Campos-Olivas et al., 2001) (Figure 7A,B). This protein was identified in a screen for antifungal activity as a non-enzymatic chitin-binding antifungal directed against particular Ascomycete fungi (Bormann et al., 1999). Intriguingly, attempts to produce functional AFP1 in the lactic acid bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* failed (Freitas et al., 2005),

suggesting that the protein may exert antibacterial activity as well. BLAST searches using the FUN_004580 amino acid sequence revealed ~100 Ascomycete fungal homologs, while HMMER searches revealed homologs in the Streptophyta clade (Viridiplantae) too (Supplemental Table 6). Interestingly, BLAST and HMMER searches using the amino acid sequence of FUN_004580 did not reveal homology to AFP1, underpinning that structural homology, rather than sequence homology, drove the prediction of FUN_004580 as an antimicrobial.

Figure 6. *In planta* expression of *Rosellinia necatrix* genes predicted to encode effector proteins with antimicrobial activity. (A) *In silico* analysis of publicly available RNAseq data of avocado plants infected with *R. necatrix* (n=3) reveals nine genes that are highly induced *in planta* (shown in bold) out of 26 that encode potential antimicrobial proteins (AMPs). Several of these are also expressed upon cultivation of *R. necatrix in vitro* on potato dextrose agar (PDA) (n=2). (B) Real-time PCR of nine *in planta* expressed *R. necatrix* genes identified in (A) reveals that five genes are expressed during cotton colonization at 14 and 21 days post inoculation (shown in bold).

An alternative manner to obtain proteins for functional analysis that does not rely on expression in heterologous microbial expression systems is peptide synthesis, although this is typically limited to sequences shorter than 100 amino acids. Unfortunately, all five effectors that are expressed in cotton are larger than this size. However, among the nine candidates that are highly expressed during avocado colonization, one encodes a protein (FUN_011519) small enough for protein synthesis (Biomatik Corporation, Ontario, Canada).

FUN_011519 has predicted structural homology to the antimicrobial protein AcAMP2 from the plant *Amaranthus caudatus* (Martins et al., 1996) (Figure 7C,D). This protein was identified based on homology to cysteine/glycine-rich domain of plant chitin-binding proteins and showed antifungal activity, as well as activity against Gram-positive bacteria (Broekaert et al., 1992). BLAST and HMMER searches revealed only Ascomycete fungal homologs, identifying ~100 fungal proteins (Supplemental Table 7). Interestingly, BLAST and HMMER searches using the amino acid sequence of FUN_011519 did not reveal homology to AcAMP2, underpinning that also in this case structural homology, rather than sequence homology, drove the prediction as antimicrobial.

Figure 7. *Rosellinia necatrix* effector proteins with predicted antimicrobial activity based on structural homology. (A) Alphafold2 model of *R. necatrix*

effector protein FUN_004580 (left) shows structural homology to antifungal protein 1 (AFP1) from the bacterium *Streptomyces tendae* (right). (B) Alphafold2 model of *R. necatrix* effector protein FUN_011519 (left) shows structural homology to antimicrobial protein AcAMP2 from the plant *Amaranthus caudatus* (right).

Candidate antimicrobial effector proteins display selective antimicrobial activity

Given that FUN_004580 and FUN_011519 were identified based on structural homology to proteins with antifungal activity, we tested potential antimicrobial activity on eight fungal species: five filamentous fungi (*Verticillium dahliae*, *Alternaria brassicicola*, *Cladosporium cucumerinum*, *Trichoderma viridae* and *R. necatrix*), and four yeasts (*Pichia pastoris*, *Cyberlindnera jadinii*, *Debaryomyces vanrijiae* and *Rhodorotula bogoriensis*). Interestingly, both proteins displayed selective antifungal activity. Whereas FUN_004580 inhibited the growth of all filamentous fungi tested, except *R. necatrix* (Fig. 7A) as well as of all yeasts except *P. pastoris* (Fig. 7B), FUN_011519 had a narrower activity spectrum as it only inhibited the growth of *V. dahliae* and *A. brassicicola* (Fig. 7C), and the yeasts *C. jadinii* and *R. bogoriensis* (Fig. 7D). Thus, we conclude that both proteins possess clear antifungal activity.

To further investigate the antimicrobial activity of the two predicted secreted effector proteins FUN_004580 and FUN_011519, we tested their activity on the diversity panel of 37 bacteria that span a wide range of taxonomic diversity, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative taxa (Table 5). Interestingly, although we found that the growth of most bacteria was not affected by any of the two proteins, growth of some bacteria was clearly hampered. Whereas FUN_004580 strongly impacted growth of *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis*, *Flavobacterium hauense*, and *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans*, and inhibited *Chryseobacterium wanjuense* to a

483   lesser extent, FUN_011519 inhibited *Bacillus drentensis* and *Achromobacter*

484   *denitrificans*, and possibly also *Sphingobium mellinum* and *Candidimonas*

485   *bauzanensis* (Fig. 8). Thus, we conclude that both effectors display antibacterial

486   activity as well. Collectively, our data show that both effector proteins possess

487   selective antimicrobial activity.

A

Water   FUN_004580 (6 µM)

B

Water   FUN_004580 (6 µM)

C

Water   FUN_011519 (10 µM)

D

Water   FUN_011519 (10 µM)

Figure 8. *Rosellinia necatrix* effector proteins display antifungal activity.
Fungal growth in 5% potato dextrose broth (PDB) in presence of FUN_004580 (6 µM)
or water of filamentous fungal species (A) and yeasts (B), or in presence of
FUN_011519 (10 µM) or water of filamentous fungal species (C) and yeasts (D).
Relative growth as display in microscopic pictures (n=6) was quantified using
ImageJ. Asterisks indicate significant differences (unpaired two-sided Student's t test
(P<0.01)).

**Figure 9. *Rosellinia necatrix* effector proteins display selective antimicrobial
activity against plant-associated bacteria.** (A) Red lines show bacterial growth in
presence of FUN_011519 (10 µM) while blue lines show bacterial growth in water.
For *Bacillus drentensis* additional orange line shows bacterial growth in protein
filtered with spin-column with 10 kDa cut off, green line shows bacterial growth in
protein filtered with spin-column with 3 kDa cut off, and yellow line shows growth in
heat-inactivated protein. (B) Red lines show bacterial growth in presence of
FUN_004580 (6 µM) while blue lines show bacterial growth in water. For
Flavobacterium hauense additional orange line shows bacterial growth in protein
filtered with spin-column with 10 kDa cut off, green line shows bacterial growth in
protein filtered with spin-column with 3 kDa cut off, and yellow line shows growth in
heat-inactivated protein. Error bars display standard deviations (n=3). Growth of
Exiguobacterium artemiae is not inhibited by either protein and is shown as a
representative of non-inhibited bacterial taxa.

Several plant-associated bacteria display antagonistic activity

One obvious reason for a fungus to target particular microbes with antimicrobials

is that these may be detrimental to fungal growth due to the display of

antagonistic activities. To test for such activities directed against *R. necatrix*, we

conducted confrontation assays *in vitro*, where we grew *R. necatrix* near the

individual bacteria species from the diversity panel of 37 bacteria (Table 5). In

these assays, we observed antagonistic effects of several bacterial species on *R.*

necatrix (Fig. 10). Interestingly, several of the bacterial species inhibited by

either of the two effector proteins displayed antagonistic activity against *R.*

necatrix, including *Bacillus drentensis*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, and *Sphingobium*

mellinum (inhibited by FUN_011519), and *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans*,

Chryseobacterium wanjuense and *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis* (inhibited by

protein FUN_004580) (Fig. 10). However, also some other bacterial species that

were not found to be inhibited by either of the two effector proteins displayed

antagonistic activity, namely *Kaistia adipata*, *Pedobacter steynii*, *Pedobacter*

panaciterrae, *Pseudomonas corrugata*, *Pseudomonas knackmusii, Solibacillus*

isronensis and *Solibacillus silvestris*. These findings suggest that a diversity of

plant-associated bacteria possess inherent antagonistic activity against *R.*

necatrix and that some of these bacteria are targeted by effector proteins of *R.*

necatrix.

Particular antagonists alleviate Rosellinia disease in cotton

To test whether any of the bacterial species that are inhibited by the *R. necatrix*

effector proteins and that display antagonistic activity against the fungus can

inhibit disease development, we pursued inoculation assays in the presence and

absence of these bacteria. As *R. necatrix* is a broad host range pathogen, we

performed infection assays on cotton plants. To this end, 14-day-old cotton cv.

XLZ seedlings were inoculated with *R. necatrix* strain R18, leading to clear

disease symptoms by two weeks after inoculation (Fig. 11). Next, we pre-treated

cotton cv. XLZ seeds with antagonistic bacteria *Bacillus drentensis*, *Sphingobium*

mellinum, *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans*, or *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis*. To

show that the inhibition of disease development by the antagonistic bacteria is

not caused by the activation of MAMP-triggered host immunity we included pre-

treatment with the non-antagonistic bacteria *Exiguobacterium artemiae*,

Candidomonas bauzanensis, *Flavobacterium hauense*, *Achromobacter*

denitrificans, or soil. Furthermore, a mix of all bacteria including the antagonistic

and non-antagonistic bacteria species was included as well as water as a control,

followed by *R. necatrix* inoculation. Interestingly, two weeks after inoculation it

could be observed that pretreatment with each of the antagonistic bacteria

resulted in reduced susceptibility of the cotton plants to *R. necatrix* when

compared with the plants that did not receive a bacterial pre-treatment. Because

treatment with the non-antagonistic bacterial species or soil suspension did not

lead to reduced disease symptoms, we infer that the reduced disease

development upon treatment with then antagonistic bacteria is unlikely to be

caused by the activation of MAMP-triggered host immunity, but rather likely

through direct antagonism towards the fungal pathogen. Finally, none of the

bacteria affected growth of the cotton plants in absence of pathogen inoculation

(Fig. 11).

Rosellinia necatrix R18

Bacillus drentensis

Bacillus licheniformis

Achromobacter denitrificans

Sphingobium mellinum

Chryseobacterium wanjuense

Flavobacterium hauense

Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis

Cellulosimicrobium cellulans

Candidimonas bauzanensis

Kaistia adipata

Pedobacter steynii

Pedobacter panaciterrae

Pseudomonas corrugata

Pseudomonas knackmusii

Solibacillus isronensis

Solibacillus silvestris

562 **Figure 10**. **Various plant-associated bacteria display antagonistic activity**
563 **against R*osellinia necatrix*.** Fungal PDA disks were placed in the center of a petri
564 dish and next to it individual bacteria species were deposited in a straight line with

help of a spreader (n=5), bacteria species were placed alone (right column) as controls.

Figure 11. **Antagonistic plant-associated bacteria reduce white root rot disease in cotton.** (A) Top and side pictures of cotton plants. Cotton seeds were soaked in a suspension of the antagonistic bacteria *Bacillus drentensis*, *Sphingobium mellinum*, *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans*, or *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis*, and the non-antagonistic bacteria *Exiguobacterium artemiae*, *Candidomonas bauzanensis*, *Flavobacterium hauense*, or *Achromobacter denitrificans*, a mix of all bacteria, soil suspension, or water as a control. Subsequently, germlings (n=10) were inoculated with *R. necatrix* strain R18 or mock-inoculated. (B) Quantification of stunting. Asterisks indicate significant differences between *R. necatrix*-inoculated plants and plant seeds treated with bacteria (ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD test (P<0.01)). The experiment was performed twice.

DISCUSSION

Increasing evidence supports the notion that plants can recruit microbes into their microbiota that can help them to withstand pathogen infection (Wang et al., 2012; Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2016; Zhalnina et al., 2018; Chai and Schachtman, 2022). Based on this notion, it has been hypothesized that pathogens have evolved to counter-act the recruitment of beneficial microbes to promote host colonization (Snelders et al., 2018). In support of this hypothesis, it has been shown that the soil-borne fungal pathogen *Verticillium dahliae* secretes a suite of effector molecules with selective antimicrobial activities to suppress antagonistic microbes in host microbiota to support host ingress (Snelders et al. 2020; 2021; 2022). Although it has been hypothesized that other fungal pathogens are likely to have evolved to modulate host microbiota as well (Snelders et al. 2020; Snelders et al. 2022), evidence for this hypothesis has been lacking thus far. In this study, we show that the soil-borne pathogen *Rosellinia necatrix* similarly expresses predicted secreted effector proteins during host colonization with selective antimicrobial activity. Specifically, we show that two genes encoding the effector proteins, FUN_004580 and FUN_011519, are expressed during host colonization and these predicted secreted effectors display selective antimicrobial activity *in vitro* towards specific plant-associated bacteria and fungi. Intriguingly, some of the bacterial species that are inhibited by the antimicrobial effector proteins display antagonistic activity towards *R. necatrix in vitro*. Moreover, when applied to cotton seeds, several of the antagonistic bacterial species reduce white root rot disease. Collectively, our findings suggest that *R. necatrix* secretes antimicrobial effector proteins during host colonization to modulate host microbiota and support disease development.

The effector proteins FUN_004580 and FUN_011519 were found based on

structural homology to AFP1 from the bacterium *Streptomyces tendae* and

homology to AcAMP2 from the plant *Amaranthus caudatus*, respectively. AFP1

has been described as a non-enzymatic chitin-binding antifungal directed against

particular Ascomycete fungi (Bormann et al., 1999), and recent studies have

shown that a homolog of AFP1 isolated from the plant *Carum carvi* (Cc-AFP1)

alters fungal cell membrane permeability (Sadat et al., 2021). AcAMP2 was

identified based on homology to cysteine/glycine-rich domain of plant chitin-

binding proteins and showed antifungal activity, as well as activity against Gram-

positive bacteria (Broekaert et al., 1992). The antimicrobial mechanism

described for the structural homologs suggest that FUN_004580 and

FUN_011519 could potentially be involved in cell membrane disruption, but

further functional studies that also include antifungal activity assays are required

to investigate this.

The effector proteins FUN_004580 and FUN_011519 showed selective

antimicrobial activity against plant-associated bacterial and fungal species *in*

vitro and display divergent activity spectra, suggesting that they possess additive

activities. Similar observations have been made for the antimicrobial effector

proteins VdAMP2 and VdAve1 of *V. dahliae* that add to each other's activity

spectra during soil colonization (Snelders et al., 2020). Divergent activity spectra

have been also observed in the *in planta*-expressed effectors VdAve1, VdAve1L2

and VdAMP3 (Snelders et al., 2020; 2022). Furthermore, differential expression

of antimicrobial effectors on different plant hosts was observed in *R. necatrix*.

Out of the 26 AMP candidates, nine are expressed during avocado colonization,

and five of them were also expressed during cotton colonization. From these,

FUN_004580 and *FUN_011519* are expressed during avocado colonization, while

only *FUN_004580* is expressed on cotton (Fig. 4). The expression profiles on

different host plants suggest differential expression of antimicrobials in *R.*

necatrix, potentially due to differential microbiota compositions of these plant

hosts. Possibly, FUN_011519 evolved to target microbes that are specifically

associated with avocado, or absent from the microbiota of cotton plants.

Various studies have shown that plants shape their microbiota composition

(Berendsen et al., 2012). For example, one single gene responsible for the

production of glucosinolate significantly altered the microbial community on the

roots of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (Bressan, 2009). Moreover, the ATP-binding

cassette (ABC) transporter *abcg30* mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* secretes root

exudates that are enriched in phenolic compounds and contain fewer sugars,

resulting in distinct root microbiota compositions (Badri and Vivanco, 2009).

Furthermore, *A. thaliana* genes responsible for defense, kinase-related activities,

and cell wall integrity impact the microbiota composition (Beilsmith et al., 2019).

Besides *A. thaliana*, different potato and tomato plants assemble different

microbiota compositions (Weinert et al., 2011; Cordovez et al., 2021).

Like *V. dahliae*, *R. necatrix* is a soil-borne pathogen that resides for part of

its life cycle in the microbe-rich soil where inter-microbial competition for limited

nutrients is ferocious. Although *R. necatrix* is phylogenetically related to *V.*

dahliae, both belonging to the class of Sordariomycetes, our findings suggest

that exploitation of antimicrobial effector proteins among soil-borne fungi may be

commonplace. However, whether the employment of such effector proteins is

relevant for soil-borne pathogens only, or shared by other types of plant

pathogens too, presently remains enigmatic (Snelders et al., 2022a).

To further investigate the effector catalog of *R. necatrix*, we investigated

whether effector genes in *R. necatrix* are associated with repetitive regions. The

genomes of many filamentous plant pathogens are compartmentalized, comprising gene-dense/repeat-poor regions harboring essential and widely conserved housekeeping genes and gene-sparse/repeat-rich regions containing fast-evolving virulence-associated genes (Rafaelle et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2015; Frantzeskakis et al., 2020). However, in the case of *R. necatrix*, the repeat content is low, and interestingly, the vast majority of repetitive elements are not located in close proximity to effectors. This suggests that effector genes in *R. necatrix* are not associated with repeat-rich regions. Similar to *R. necatrix*, other genomes have been found to lack obvious genome compartmentalization such as that of the leaf spot fungus *Ramularia collo-cygni* (Stam et al., 2018), the barley powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei* (Frantzeskakis et al., 2018) and the wheat stripe rust fungus *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* (Schwessinger et al., 2018). Intriguingly, while the genome of the latter two is characterized by a high repeat content, the genome of *Ramularia collo-cygni* has a low repeat content like *R. necatrix* (Stam et al., 2018; Frantzeskakis et al., 2018; Schwessinger et al., 2018). In such genomes, adaptation and evolution of effector genes were suggested to be governed by copy-number variation (CNV) and heterozygosity of the effector loci (Frantzeskakis et al., 2018). In *R. necatrix,* we showed that insertions and deletions are predominant structural variants (SVs) that are associated with effector genes, which suggests that these SVs may play a role in evolution of the effector catalog.

To understand the diversity and functional properties of effectors, we investigated their 3D protein structure. The results of this study demonstrate that there is relatively little structural clustering among *R. necatrix* effectors. The five largest clusters identified were mainly driven by sequence conservation rather than structural similarity, suggesting that effector family expansion based

on structural similarity played only a minor role in *R. necatrix* effector evolution.

Effector protein structure clustering has revealed that many plant pathogen

effectors fall into distinct structural families. For example, MAX (de Guillen et al.,

2015), and RNase-Like proteins associated with haustoria (RALPH) (Spanu,

2017) are effector families with structural similarity. Common themes are

emerging like structural homology and in some cases similar modes of action (Lu

et al., 2015). While structural conservation has been observed in some

pathogenic fungi (de Guillen et al., 2015; Spanu, 2017), sequence conservation

is the main driver of structural clustering among *R. necatrix* effectors. Future

studies could explore the functional significance of the identified effector clusters

in *R. necatrix* and other plant pathogens and investigate the mechanisms

underlying the evolution of effector proteins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nanopore sequencing and genome assembly

High-molecular weight (HMW) DNA was extracted from nine *R. necatrix* strains (Table 1) as described by Chavarro-Carrero et al. (2021), except that mycelium was grown in 1/5 PDB instead of Czapek Dox medium. DNA quality, size and quantity were assessed with Nanodrop, Qubit analyses, and with gel electrophoresis. Library preparation with the Rapid Sequencing Kit (SQK-RAD004) was performed with ~400 ng HMW DNA according to the manufacturer's instructions (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK). An R9.4.1 flow cell (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) was loaded and ran for 24 h, and subsequent base calling was performed using Guppy (version 3.1.3; Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK). Adapter sequences were removed using Porechop (version 0.2.4 with default settings; Wick, 2018) and the reads were self-corrected, trimmed and assembled using Canu (version 1.8; Koren et al., 2017).

To obtain longer reads ultra-high-molecular weight (UHMW) DNA extraction was performed. To this end, after DNA precipitation, the Nanobind Big DNA Kit (SKU NB-900-801-01, Circulomics, USA) was used following the manufacturer's protocol to select DNA fragments >50 kb. Simultaneously, to allow error correction of the ONT reads, paired-end short read (150 bp) sequencing was performed at the Beijing Genome Institute (BGI) using the DNBseq platform. After error correction using FMLRC (version 1.0.0 with default settings; Wang et al., 2018), ONT reads were trimmed and assembled using Canu.

Hi-C was performed based on the Proximo Hi-C kit (Microbe) (Phase Genomics, Seattle, WA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol as

previously described (Seidl et al., 2020), and the Hi-C library was paired-end (150 bp) sequenced on the NextSeq500 platform at USEQ (Utrecht, the Netherlands). Subsequently, Hi-C reads were mapped to the Canu assembly using Juicer (version 1.6 with early-stage setting; Durand et al., 2016), and the three-dimensional (3D) *de novo* assembly (3D-DNA) pipeline (version 180922 with contig size threshold 1000; Dudchenko et al., 2017) was used. The genome assembly was further manually improved using Juicebox Assembly Tools (JBAT) (version 1.11.08; Dudchenko et al., 2018) and the 3D-DNA post review asm pipeline (Dudchenko et al., 2017). Tapestry (version 1.0.0 with default settings; (Davey et al., 2020) was used to visualize chromosomes and telomeric regions with telomeric sequence ([TTAGGG]*n*).

Phylogenetic tree construction and structural variant calling

A phylogenetic tree of all sequenced strains was constructed with Realphy (version 1.12) (Bertels *et al.,* 2014). Briefly, genomic reads were mapped against the genome of strain R18 using Bowtie2 (version 2.2.6) (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) and a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was inferred using RAxML (version 8.2.8) (Stamatakis, 2014), using the genome sequence of *Rosellinia corticium* to root the tree. Subsequently, to predict SVs, we used NanoSV (version 1.2.4 with default settings; Stancu et al., 2017) for each of the genomes when compared to R18 strain as reference. The output of each strain was initially filtered with bcftools v.1.3.2 using GT=AA, QUAL>50, GQ>10, SUPPORT>20 (Danecek et al., 2021). The results were merged using SURVIVOR v.1.0.6 (Jeffares et al., 2017), allowing 1,000 bp as the maximum distance for breakpoints and considering only same SV types. Only SVs with minimum size >100 bp and maximum size of 100 kb were kept. Permutation tests were

computed using R/Bioconductor region v.1.8.1 package (Gel et al., 2016), and

10,000 iterations were performed using the mean distance to evaluate the

closest relationship (bp distance) between SV-breakpoints, TEs and effectors,

and circular randomization as well to maintain the order and distance of the

regions on the chromosomes.

Genome mining

Genome annotation was performed using Funannotate (Version 1.8.13, Palmer

and Stajich, 2017) guided by publicly available RNAseq data (Shimizu et al.,

2018). Furthermore, secreted genes, effector genes and secondary metabolite

gene clusters were predicted using SignalP (Version 5.0, Almagro et al., 2019),

EffectorP (Version 2.0, Sperschneider et al., 2018) and antiSMASH (Version

6.0.0, Blin et al., 2019), respectively. The *R. necatrix* R18 genome was mined for

gene candidates that encode secreted antimicrobials as described previously

(Snelders et al., 2020). Briefly the predicted secretome and effector catalog were

mined using Phyre2 (Kelley et al., 2015), followed by manual curation, to search

for predicted structural homologues of known antimicrobial proteins. Gene

sequences were extracted using Bedtools (setting: getfasta) (Quinlan and Hall,

2010). Subsequently, RNAseq data were used to confirm expression of selected

candidates. To this end, RNAseq data was mapped to the R18 assembly and

relative expression was calculated using Kallisto (version 0.46.1, default settings,

Bray et al., 2016).

Repetitive regions were annotated using EDTA v.1.9.4 (Ou et al., 2019).

Briefly, we used the 'sensitive', 'anno' and 'evaluate' options to maximize the

transposable element discovery and integrity. Then, the TE library was refined

using one code to find them all (Bailly-Bechet et al., 2014), and only full LTRs

were kept.

Structural prediction of the *R. necatrix* effector catalog

Structural predictions of effector candidates were done based on mature amino

acid sequences, lacking signal peptides, with Alphafold2 (Jumper et al., 2021)

using the casp14 preset. Five models were generated for each effector candidate,

of which the one with the highest pLDDT score was used for further analysis. To

assess structural similarity between effector candidates, an all-vs-all alignment

was performed using TM-align (Zhang and Skolnick, 2005). Structures were

considered similar when the average TM-score of pairwise reciprocal alignments,

calculated on a 0-1 scale, was >0.5. Effector clusters were identified by simple

agglomerative hierarchical clustering based on structural similarity using SciPy

(Virtanen et al., 2020) and a minimum similarity threshold of 0.5. For the five

largest clusters the average sequence identity was calculated from a multiple

sequence alignment performed with MAFFT on EMBL-EBI (Katoh and Standley,

2013). Cluster annotation was performed by generating PANNZER (Törönen and

Holm, 2022) annotation for each protein followed by manual curation of a

consensus annotation for each cluster. Protein structures were generated with

PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC,

DeLano Scientific, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

Real-time PCR

To determine expression profiles of candidate genes that encode secreted

antimicrobials during *R. necatrix* infection of cotton, two-week-old cotton (cv.

XLZ) seedlings were inoculated, as previously described (Cazorla et al., 2006),

with *R. necatrix* strain R18, and stems were harvested up to 21 dpi.

Furthermore, mycelium was harvested from ten-day-old *R. necatrix* strain R18

cultures on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates. Total RNA extraction and cDNA

synthesis were performed as previously described (Santhanam et al., 2013).

Real time-PCR was performed with primers listed in Supplemental Table 5, using

the *R. necatrix* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (GAPDH;

FUN_007054) as endogenous control. The PCR cycling conditions consisted of an

initial 95°C denaturation step for 10 min followed by denaturation for 15 s at

95°C, annealing for 30 s at 60°C, and extension at 72°C for 40 cycles.

Heterologous protein production

The sequences encoding mature proteins were cloned into vector pET-15b with

an N-terminal His6 tag sequence (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) (primer

sequences, see Supplemental Table 5). The resulting expression vectors were

verified by sequencing and used to transform *Escherichia coli* strain BL21. For

heterologous protein production, BL21 cells were grown in 2× yeast extract

tryptone (YT) medium at 37°C with continuous shaking at 200 rpm until the

cultures reached an optical density measurement at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 2. Protein

production was induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)

at 42°C and shaking at 200 rpm for 2 h. Bacterial cells were pelleted and washed

with 50mM Tris HCl and 10% glycerol at pH 8.0. To disrupt cells, they were

sonicated three times according to a cycle composed of one second sonication,

followed by 0.5 seconds on ice and a one-minute pause. Next, the samples were

centrifugated at 16,000g for 10 min. The insoluble pellets were resuspended in

30 mL of 6 M guanidine hydrochloride (GnHCl), 10 mM Tris at pH 8.0 and 10 mM

β-mercaptoethanol and incubated overnight at room temperature. Next, debris

was pelleted by centrifuging at 16,000g for 10 min and the resulting protein

solution was transferred to a new tube and filtered step-wise from 1.2 to 0.45

µm. Proteins were subsequently purified under denaturing conditions by metal

affinity chromatography using a pre-packed HisTrap FF column (Cytiva,

Medemblik, The Netherlands) and dialysed (Spectra/Por 3 Dialysis Membrane,

molecular weight cut off of 10 kDa; Spectrum Laboratories, Rancho Dominguez,

U.S.A) against 20 volumes of 0.01 M BisTris, 10 mM reduced glutathione and 2

mM oxidized glutathione at pH 8.0 with decreasing GnHCl concentrations in five

consecutive steps of minimum 24 h from 5 M to 1 M for refolding. Finally,

proteins were dialysed against demineralized water for 24 h and final

concentrations were determined using Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham,

U.S.A).

Culture filtrate production

R. necatrix strain R18 was grown in potato dextrose broth (PDB) at 21°C and

130 rpm. Cultures were collected at 4, 7 and 9 days after the start of incubation

and mycelium was separated from the culture medium using a 0.45 nm filter.

Half of the culture-filtrate was heat-inactivated at 96°C for 10 minutes. Finally,

culture filtrates were stored at -20°C until use.

In vitro **antimicrobial activity assays**

Growth assays were performed as previously described (Snelders et al., 2020).

Briefly, bacterial strains were grown overnight on lysogeny broth agar (LBA),

tryptone soya agar (TSA) or R2A agar at 28°C (Table 5). Subsequently, single

colonies were selected and grown overnight at 28°C while shaking at 130 rpm in

low-salt Luria broth (LB) (NaCl, 0.5 g/L; Tryptone, 10 g/L; Yeast Extract, 5 g/L).

Overnight cultures were resuspended to $OD_{600}=0.05$ in low-salt LB and 100 µL

were supplemented with 100 µL of purified effector proteins or culture filtrates to

a final $OD_{600}=0.025$ in clear 96 well flat-bottom polystyrene tissue culture plates

(Greiner CELLSTAR®, Sigma, Burlington, U.S.A). Culture plates were incubated in

a CLARIOstar plate reader (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany) at 25°C with

double orbital shaking every 15 minutes and OD_{600} was measured every 15

minutes.

856   Filamentous fungal isolates were grown on PDA at 22°C, while for yeasts,

single colonies were selected and grown overnight in 5% PDB at 28°C while

shaking at 200 rpm. For yeasts overnight cultures were resuspended to

$OD_{600}=0.01$ in fresh 5% PDB supplemented with water, 10 µM FUN_011519 or 6

µM FUN_004580. For filamentous fungi, spores were harvested from PDA and

suspended in 5% PDB supplemented with 10 µM FUN_011519, 6 µM

FUN_004580 or water to a final concentration of 10^4 spores/mL. Next, 200 µL of

the fungal suspensions was aliquoted in clear 96-well flat bottom polystyrene

tissue-culture plates (Greiner CELLSTAR®, Sigma, Burlington, U.S.A). Plates were

incubated at 28°C, and fungal growth was imaged using an SZX10 stereo

microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with EP50 camera (Olympus, Tokyo,

Japan).

Microbial confrontation assays

R. necatrix was grown on PDA for 14 days and mycelium disks of 5 mm diameter

were taken using a biopsy puncher (Kai Europe, Solingen, Germany). Single *R.*

necatrix disks were placed in the center of a PDA plate and 100 µL ($OD_{600}=0.05$)

of bacterial culture (Table 5), grown overnight in LB medium at 28°C at 130 rpm,

was added in proximity of the fungal disk with a spreader (n=5). After seven

days, pictures were taken. Experiment was repeated three times.

Biocontrol assay

Bacillus drentensis, Sphingobium mellinum, Cellulosimicrobium cellulans and

Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis were grown overnight at 28°C in low-salt LB

while shaking at 130 rpm. Subsequently, the bacterial suspension was pelleted,

washed, and resuspended to OD_{600}=0.05 in water. Seeds of cotton cv. XLZ were

surface-sterilized with 2% sodium hypochlorite for ten minutes, washed three

times with sterile water, and then soaked for ten minutes the bacterial

suspension. Next, the seeds were transferred to sterile Petri dishes containing

filter paper moistened with each of the bacterial suspension and incubated in

darkness at room temperature. Once the seeds germinated, germlings were

transferred to potting soil in the greenhouse (16 hours light at 24°C and 8 hours

darkness at 22°C). Seven days after transfer to potting soil, the seedlings were

inoculated with mycelium of a 14-day-old *R. necatrix* culture in PDB. To this end,

the mycelium was pelleted, washed, and resuspended in 250 mL water. This

mycelial suspension was subsequently used for root-dip inoculation of the cotton

seedlings for 10 min, after which they were re-planted and 10 mL of mycelium

suspension was poured onto the pot in close proximity to the stem. At 14 days

post inoculation (dpi) disease symptoms were recorded. Experiment was

repeated three times.

Data availability

Genomes and genome annotation data have been submitted to NCBI under

BioProject PRJNA727191.

Supporting information

Supplemental Table 1. Annotation of predicted effector proteins of *R. necatrix* strain R18.

Supplemental Table 2. Single nucleotide polymorphism ratios (SNPs in %) and presence-absence variation for predicted effector genes in the *R. necatrix* strains sequenced in this study using *R. necatrix* strain R18 as a reference.

Supplemental Table 3. Annotated secondary metabolite clusters of *R. necatrix* strain R18.

Supplemental Table 4. Bacterial strains used in this study.

Supplemental Table 5. Primers used in this study.

Supplemental Table 6. FUN_004580 homologs.

Supplemental Table 67. FUN_011519 homologs.

Acknowledgements

EACC and DET acknowledge receipt of PhD fellowships from CONACyT, Mexico. ALM is holder of a postdoctoral research fellow funded by the 'Fundación Ramón Areces'. BPHJT acknowledges funding by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation in the framework of an Alexander von Humboldt Professorship endowed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, and is furthermore supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany´s Excellence Strategy – EXC 2048/1 – Project ID: 390686111. The authors declare no conflict of interest exists.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Edgar Chavarro-Carrero, Nick Snelders, Michael Seidl, Bart
Thomma.

Formal analysis: Edgar Chavarro-Carrero, Nick Snelders, David Torres, Anton
Kraege.

Investigation: Edgar Chavarro-Carrero, Nick Snelders, David Torres, Anton
Kraege.

Resources: Ana López-Moral, Gabriella Petti, Wilko Punt, Jan Wieneke, Rómulo
García-Velasco, Carlos López-Herrera.

Supervision: Nick Snelders, Michael Seidl, Bart Thomma.

Writing – Original Draft Preparation: Edgar Chavarro-Carrero, Nick Snelders,
David Torres, Anton Kraege.

Writing – Review & Editing: Michael Seidl, Bart Thomma.

REFERENCES

Almagro, J. J. A., Tsirigos, K. D., Sønderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther, O., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., and Nielsen, H. (2019). SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. Nature Biotechnology, 37: 420-423.

Badri, D. V., and Vivanco, J. M. (2009). Regulation and function of root exudates. Plant Cell and Environment, 32: 666-681.

Bailly-Bechet, M., Haudry, A., and Lerat, E. (2014). "One code to find them all": a perl tool to conveniently parse RepeatMasker output files. Mobile DNA, 5: 1-15.

Beilsmith, K., Thoen, M. P. M., Brachi, B., Gloss, A. D., Khan, M. H., and Bergelson, J. (2019). Genome-wide association studies on the phyllosphere microbiome: Embracing complexity in host–microbe interactions. The Plant Journal, 97: 164-181.

Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends in Plant Science, 17: 478-486.

Bertels, F., Silander, O. K., Pachkov, M., Rainey, P. B., and van Nimwegen, E. (2014). Automated reconstruction of whole-genome phylogenies from short-sequence reads. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 31: 1077-1088.

Blin, K., Shaw, S., Steinke, K., Villebro, R., Ziemert, N., Lee, S. Y., Medema, M. H., and Weber, T. (2019). AntiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline. Nucleic Acids Research, 47: 81–87.

Bormann, C., Baier, D., Hörr, I., Raps, C., Berger, J., Jung, G., and Schwarz, H. (1999). Characterization of a novel, antifungal, chitin-binding protein from

Streptomyces tendae Tu☐901 that interferes with growth polarity. Journal

of Bacteriology, 181: 7421-7429.

Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., and Pachter, L. (2016). Near-optimal

probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nature Biotechnology, 34: 525-527.

Bressan, M., Roncato, M., Bellvert, F., Comte, G., Haichar, F., Achouak, W., and

Berge, O. (2009). Exogenous glucosinolate produced by *Arabidopsis*

thaliana has an impact on microbes in the rhizosphere and plant roots.

Multidisciplinary Journal of Microbial Ecology, 3: 1243–1257.

Broekaert, W. F., Marien, W., Terras, F. R. G., De Bolle, M. F. C., Proost, P., Van

Damme, J., Dillen, L., Claeys, M., Rees, A. B., Vanderleyden, J., and

Cammue, B. P. A. (1992). Antimicrobial peptides from *Amaranthus*

caudatus seeds with sequence homology to the cysteine/glycine-rich

domain of chitin-binding proteins. Biochemistry, 31: 4308-4314.

Burton, J. N., Adey, A., Patwardhan, R. P., Qiu, R., Kitzman, J. O., and Shendure,

J. (2013). Chromosome-scale scaffolding of *de novo* genome assemblies

based on chromatin interactions. Nature Biotechnology, 31: 1119–1125.

Campos-Olivas, R., Hörr, I., Bormann, C., Jung, G., and Gronenborn, A. M.

(2001). Solution structure, backbone dynamics and chitin binding of the

anti-fungal protein from Streptomyces tendae TÜ901. Journal of Molecular

Biology, 308: 765-782.

Carresi, L., Pantera, B., Zoppi, C., Cappugi, G., Oliveira, A. L., Pertinhez, T. A.,

Spisni, A., Scala, A., and Pazzagli, L. (2006). Cerato-platanin, a phytotoxic

protein from *Ceratocystis fimbriata*: expression in *Pichia pastoris*,

purification and characterization. Protein Expression and Purification, 49:

159-167.

Cazorla, F. M., Duckett, S. B., Bergström, E. T., Noreen, S., Odijk, R.,
Lugtenberg, B. J. J., Thomas-Oates, J. E., and Bloemberg, G. V. (2006).
Biocontrol of avocado dematophora root rot by antagonistic *Pseudomonas*
fluorescens PCL1606 correlates with the production of 2-hexyl 5-propyl
resorcinol. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 19: 418–428.

Chai, Y. N., and Schachtman, D. P. (2022). Root exudates impact plant
performance under abiotic stress. Trends in Plant Science, 27: 80-91.

Chavarro-Carrero, E. A., Vermeulen, J. P., E. Torres, D., Usami, T., Schouten, H.
J., Bai, Y., Seidl, M. F., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2021). Comparative
genomics reveals the in planta-secreted *Verticillium dahliae* Av2 effector
protein recognized in tomato plants that carry the *V2* resistance locus.
Environmental Microbiology, 23: 1941–1958.

Cook, D., Donzelli, B. G. G., Creamer, R., Baucom, D. L., Gardner, D. R., Pan, J.,
Moore, N., Krasnoff, S. B., Jaromczyk, J. W., and Schardl, C. L. (2017).
Swainsonine biosynthesis genes in diverse symbiotic and pathogenic fungi.
Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 7: 1791-1797.

Cordovez, V., Rotoni, C., Dini-Andreote, F., Oyserman, B., Carrión, V. J., and
Raaijmakers, J. M. (2021). Successive plant growth amplifies genotype-
specific assembly of the tomato rhizosphere microbiome. Science of the
Total Environment, 772: 144825.

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O.,
Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., and Li, H.
(2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience, 10: 1-4.

Davey, J. W., Davis, S. J., Mottram, J. C., and Ashton, P. D. (2020). Tapestry:
validate and edit small eukaryotic genome assemblies with long reads.
BioRxiv, 2019–2020.

de Guillen, K., Ortiz-Vallejo, D., Gracy, J., Fournier, E., Kroj, T., and Padilla, A. (2015). Structure analysis uncovers a highly diverse but structurally conserved effector family in phytopathogenic fungi. PLoS Pathogens, 11: 1-27.

de Jonge, R., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2009). Fungal LysM effectors: extinguishers of host immunity? Trends in Microbiology, 17: 151–157.

de Jonge, R., van Esse, H. P., Kombrink, A., Shinya, T., Desaki, Y., Bours, R., van der Krol, S., Shibuya, N., Joosten, M. H. A. J., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2010). Conserved fungal LysM effector Ecp6 prevents chitin-triggered immunity in plants. Science, 329: 953-955.

Djamei, A., Schipper, K., Rabe, F., Ghosh, A., Vincon, V., Kahnt, J., Osorio, S., Toghe, T., Fernie, A. R., Feussner, K., Meinicke, P., Stierhof; Y., Schwarz, H., Macek, B., Mann, M., and Kahmann, R. (2011). Metabolic priming by a secreted fungal effector. Nature, 478: 395-398.

Dong, S., Raffaele, S., and Kamoun, S. (2015). The two-speed genomes of filamentous pathogens: waltz with plants. Current Opinion in Genetics and Development, 35: 57-65.

Dudchenko, O., Batra, S. S., Omer, A. D., Nyquist, S. K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N. C., Shamin, M. S., Machol, I., Lander, E. S., Aiden, A. P., and Aiden, E. L. (2017). *De novo* assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. Science, 356: 92–95.

Dudchenko, O., Shamim, M. S., Batra, S. S., Durand, N. C., Musial, N. T., Mostofa, R., Pham, M., St Hilaire, B. G., Yao, W., Stamenova, E., Hoeger, M., Nyquist, S., Korchina, V., Pletch, K., Flanagan, J. P., Tomaszewicz, A., McAloose, D., Perez-Estrada, C., Novak, B. J., Omer, R. D., and Aiden, E. L. (2018). The juicebox assembly tools module facilitates *de novo*

assembly of mammalian genomes with chromosome-length scaffolds for

under $1000. BioRxiv, 254797.

Duplessis, S., Cuomo, C. A., Lin, Y., Aerts, A., Tisserant, E., Veneault-Fourrey,

C., Joly, D., Hacquard, S., Amselem, J., Cantarel, B. L. Chiu, R., Coutinho,

P. M., Feau, N., Field, M., Frey, P., Gelhaye, E., Goldberg, J., Grabherr, M.

G., Kodira, C. D., Kohler, A., Kües, U., Lindquist, E. A., Lucas, S. M., Mago,

R., Mauceli, E., Morin, E., Murat, C., Pangilinan, J. L., Park, R., Pearson,

M., Quesneville, H., Rouhier, N., Sakthikumar, S., Salamov, A., Schmutz,

J., Selles, B., Shapiro, H., Tanguay, P., Tuskan, G. A., Henrissat, B., van

de Peer, Y., Rouzé, P., Ellis, J. G., Dodds, P. N., Schein, J. E., Zhong, S.,

Hamelin, R. C., Grigoriev, I. V., Szabo, L. J., and Martin, F. (2011).

Obligate biotrophy features unraveled by the genomic analysis of rust

fungi. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108: 9166-9171.

Durand, N. C., Shamim, M. S., Machol, I., Rao, S. S. P., Huntley, M. H., Lander,

E. S., and Aiden, E. L. (2016). Juicer provides a one-click system for

analyzing loop-resolution Hi-C experiments. Cell Systems, 3: 95–98.

Eguchi, N., Kondo, K. I., and Yamagishi, N. (2009). Bait twig method for soil

detection of *Rosellinia necatrix*, causal agent of white root rot of Japanese

pear and apple, at an early stage of tree infection. Journal of General Plant

Pathology, 75: 325–330.

Faino, L., Seidl, M. F., Shi-Kunne, X., Pauper, M., van den Berg, G. C.,

Wittenberg, A. H., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2016). Transposons passively

and actively contribute to evolution of the two-speed genome of a fungal

pathogen. Genome Research, 26: 1091–1100.

Fouché, S., Badet, T., Oggenfuss, U., Plissonneau, C., Francisco, C. S., and Croll,

D. (2020). Stress-driven transposable element de-repression dynamics

and virulence evolution in a fungal pathogen. Molecular Biology and

Evolution, 37: 221-239.

Frantzeskakis, L., Di Pietro, A., Rep, M., Schirawski, J., Wu, C., and Panstruga,

R. (2020). Rapid evolution in plant–microbe interactions – a molecular

genomics perspective. New Phytologist, 225: 1134-1142.

Frantzeskakis, L., Kracher, B., Kusch, S., Yoshikawa-Maekawa, M., Bauer, S.,

Pedersen, C., Spanu, P. D., Maekawa, T., Schulze-Lefert, P., and

Panstruga, R. (2018). Signatures of host specialization and a recent

transposable element burst in the dynamic one-speed genome of the

fungal barley powdery mildew pathogen. BMC Genomics, 19: 381.

Freitas, D. A., Leclerc, S., Miyoshi, A., Oliveira, S. C., Sommer, P. S. M.,

Rodrigues, L., Correa-Junior, A., Gautier, M., Langella, P., Azevedo, V. A.,

and Le Loir, Y. (2005). Secretion of *Streptomyces tendae* antifungal

protein 1 by *Lactococcus lactis*. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological

Research, 38: 1585-1592.

Gan, P., Ikeda, K., Irieda, H., Narusaka, M., O'Connell, R. J., Narusaka, Y.,

Takano, Y., Kubo, Y., and Shirasu, K. (2013). Comparative genomic and

transcriptomic analyses reveal the hemibiotrophic stage shift of

Colletotrichum fungi. New Phytologist, 197: 1236-1249.

García-velasco, R., González-díaz, J. G., Domínguez-arizmendi, G., Ayala-

escobar, V., and Aguilar-medel, S. (2012). *Rosellinia necatrix* in *Rosa* sp.,

and an evaluation of its sensitivity to fungicides. Revista Chapingo, Serie

Horticultura, 18: 39–54.

Gel, B., Díez-Villanueva, A., Serra, E., Buschbeck, M., Peinado, M. A., and

Malinverni, R. (2016). regioneR: an R/Bioconductor package for the

association analysis of genomic regions based on permutation tests. Bioinformatics, 32: 289–291.

Guillaumin, J., Mercier, S., and Dubos, B. (1982). Les pourridiés à Armillariella et Rosellinia en France sur vigne, arbres fruitiers et cultures florales I. Etiologie et symptomatologie. Agronomie, 2: 71–80.

Ingham, A. B., and Moore, R. J. (2007). Recombinant production of antimicrobial peptides in heterologous microbial systems. Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry, 47: 1-9.

Ismail, I. A., and Able, A. (2016). Secretome analysis of virulent *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates. Proteomics, 16: 2625-2636.

Jeffares, D. C., Jolly, C., Hoti, M., Speed, D., Shaw, L., Rallis, C., Balloux, F., Dessimoz, C., Bähler, J., and Sedlazeck, F. J. (2017). Transient structural variations have strong effects on quantitative traits and reproductive isolation in fission yeast. Nature Communications, 8: 1-11.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S., Reiman, D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M., Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T., Bodenstein, S., Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A. W., Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P., and Hassabis, D. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature, 596: 583–589.

Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 30: 772–780.

Kawaide, H. (2006). Biochemical and molecular analyses of gibberellin
biosynthesis in fungi. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry, 70:
583-590.

Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N., and Sternberg, M. J. E.
(2015). The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and
analysis. Nature Protocols, 10: 845-858.

Kombrink, A., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2013). LysM effectors: secreted proteins
supporting fungal life. PLoS Pathogens, 9: 1–4.

Koren, S., Walenz, B. P., Berlin, K., Miller, J. R., Bergman, N. H., and Phillippy,
A. M. (2017). Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via
adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Research, 27:
722–736.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with
Bowtie 2. Nature Methods, 9: 357–359.

Lenarčič, T., Albert, I., Böhm, H., Hodnik, V., Pirc, K., Zavec, A. B., Podobnik, M.,
Pahovnik, D., Žagar, E., Pruitt, R., Greimel, P., Yamaji-Hasegawa, A.,
Kobayashi, T., Zienkiewicz, A., Gömann, J., Mortimer, J. C., Fang, L.,
Mamode-Cassim, A., Deleu, M., Lins, L., Oecking, C., Feussner, I.
Mongrand, S., Anderluh, G., and Nürnberger, T. (2017). Eudicot plant-
specific sphingolipids determine host selectivity of microbial NLP
cytolysins. Science, 358: 1431-1434.

Lo Presti, L., Lanver, D., Schweizer, G., Tanaka, S., Liang, L., Tollot, M., Zuccaro,
A., Reissmann, S., and Kahmann, R. (2015). Fungal effectors and plant
susceptibility. The Annual Review of Plant Biology, 66: 513-545.

Lu, Y., Xue, Q., Eisele, M. R., Sulistijo, E. S., Brower, K., Han, L., Amir, E. D.,
Pe'er, D., Miller-Jensen, K., and Fan, R. (2015). Highly multiplexed

profiling of single-cell effector functions reveals deep functional heterogeneity in response to pathogenic ligands. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112: E607-E615.

Luciano-Rosario, D., Eagan, J. L., Aryal, N., Dominguez, E. G., Hull, C. M., and Keller, N. P. (2022). The hydrophobin gene family confers a fitness trade-off between spore dispersal and host colonization in *Penicillium expansum*. mBio, e02754-22.

Malinovsky, F. G., Fangel, J. U., and Willats, W. G. T. (2014). The role of the cell wall in plant immunity. Frontiers in Plant Science, 5: 1-12.

Martins, J. C., Maes, D., Loris, R., Pepermans, H. A. M., Wyns, L., Willen, R., and Verheyden, P. (1996). ^{1}H NMR study of the solution structure of Ac-AMP2, a sugar binding antimicrobial protein isolated from *Amaranthus caudatus*. Journal of Molecular Biology, 258: 322-333.

Oggenfuss, U., Badet, T., Wicker, T., Hartmann, F. E., Singh, N. K., Abraham, L., Karisto, P., Vonlanthen, T., Mundt, C., McDonald, B. A., and Croll, D. (2021). A population-level invasion by transposable elements triggers genome expansion in a fungal pathogen. eLife, 10: e69249.

Ou, S., Su, W., Liao, Y., Chougule, K., Agda, J. R. A., Hellinga, A. J., Blanco-Lugo, C. S., Elliot, T. A., Waren, D., Peterson, T., Jiang, N., Hirsch, C. N., and Hufford, M. B. (2019). Benchmarking transposable element annotation methods for creation of a streamlined, comprehensive pipeline. Genome Biology, 20: 275.

Palmer, J., and Stajich, J. E. (2017). Funannotate: Eukaryotic genome annotation pipeline. Retrieved from https://funannotate.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

Pérez-Jaramillo, J. E., Mendes, R., and Raaijmakers, J. M. (2016). Impact of plant domestication on rhizosphere microbiome assembly and functions. Plant Molecular Biology, 90: 635-644.

Pérez-Jiménez, R. M., Jiménez-Díaz, R. M., and López-Herrera, C. J. (2002). Somatic incompatibility of *Rosellinia necatrix* on avocado plants in southern Spain. Mycological Research, 106: 239–244.

Pliego, C., Kanematsu, S., Ruano-Rosa, D., de Vicente, A., López-Herrera, C., Cazorla, F. M., and Ramos, C. (2009). GFP sheds light on the infection process of avocado roots by *Rosellinia necatrix*. Fungal Genetics and Biology, 46: 137–145.

Quinlan, A. R., and Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics, 26: 841-842.

Rademacher, W., and Graebe, J. E. (1979). Gibberellin A4 produced by *Sphaceloma manihoticola*, the cause of the super elongation disease of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*). Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 91: 35-40.

Rafaelle, S., Farrer, R. A., Cano, L. M., Studholme, D. J., Maclean, D., Thines, M., Jiang, R. H. Y., Zody, M. C., Kunjeti, S. G., Donofrio, N. M., Meyers, B. C., Nusbaum, C., and Kamoun, S. (2010). Genome evolution following host jumps in the irish potato famine pathogen lineage. Science, 330: 1540-1543.

Raffaele, S., and Kamoun, S. (2012). Genome evolution in filamentous plant pathogens: why bigger can be better. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 10: 417-430.

Rocafort, M., Bowen, J. K., Hassing, B., Cox, M. P., McGreal, B., de la Rosa, S., Plummer, K. M., Bradshaw, R. E., and Mesarich, C. H. (2022). The

Venturia inaequalis effector repertoire is dominated by expanded families

with predicted structural similarity, but unrelated sequence, to avirulence

proteins from other plant-pathogenic fungi. BMC Biology, 20: 1-24.

Rovenich, H., Boshoven, J. C., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2014). Filamentous

pathogen effector functions: of pathogens, hosts and microbiomes.

Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 20: 96-103.

Sadat, S. S., Khani, S., Goudarzi, M., Zare-Zardini, H., Shams-Ghahfarokhi, M.,

Jamzivar, F., and Razzaghi-Abyaneh, M. (2021). Characterization,

biological activity, and mechanism of action of a plant-based novel

antifungal peptide, Cc-AFP1, isolated from *Carum carvi*. Frontiers in

Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 11: 1-12.

Santhanam, P., Van Esse, H.P., Albert, I., Faino, L., Nürnberger, T., and

Thomma, B.P.H.J. (2013). Evidence for functional diversification within a

fungal Nep1-like protein family. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions, 26:

278–286.

Sawai, K., Okuno, T., Fujioka, H., and Furuya, M. (1983). The relation between

the phytotoxicity of Cythochalasin E and its molecular structure. Annals of

the Phytopathological Society of Japan, 49: 262-265.

Schwessinger, B., Sperschneider, J., Cuddy, W. S., Garnica, D. P., Miller, M. E.,

Taylor, J. M., Dodds, P. N., Figueroa, M., Park, R. F., and Rathjen, J. P.

(2018). A near-complete haplotype-phased genome of the dikaryotic

wheat stripe rust fungus *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *Tritici* reveals high

interhaplotype diversity. mBio, 9: e02275-17.

Seidl, M. F., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2014). Sex or no sex: evolutionary

adaptation occurs regardless. Bioessays, 36: 335-345.

Seidl, M. F., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2017). Transposable elements direct the

coevolution between plants and microbes. Trends in Genetics, 33: 842–

851.

Seidl, M. F., and van den Ackerveken, G. (2019). Activity and phylogenetics of

the broadly occurring family of microbial nep1-like proteins. Annual Review

of Phytopathology, 57: 367–386.

Seidl, M. F., Kramer, H. M., Cook, D. E., Fiorin, G. L., van den Berg, G. C. M.,

Faino, L., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2020). Repetitive elements contribute

to the diversity and evolution of centromeres in the fungal genus

verticillium. MBio, 11: 1–22.

Shi-Kunne, X., Faino, L., van den Berg G. C. M., Thomma B. P. H. J., and Seidl,

M. F. (2018). Evolution within the fungal genus *Verticillium* is characterized

by chromosomal rearrangement and gene loss. Environmental

Microbiology, 20: 1362-1373.

Shimizu, T., Kanematsu, S., and Yaegashi, H. (2018). Draft genome sequence

and transcriptional analysis of *Rosellinia necatrix* infected with a virulent

mycovirus. Phytopathology, 108: 1206–1211.

Shimizu, T., Tsutae, I., and Kanematsu, S. (2014). Functional analysis of a

melanin biosynthetic gene using RNAi-mediated gene silencing in *Rosellinia*

necatrix. Fungal Biology, 118: 413-421.

Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., and Zdobnov,

E. M. (2015). BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation

completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics, 31: 3210–3212.

Sivanesan, A., and Holliday, P. (1972). *Rosellinia necatrix*. In Description of

Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria, (p. 352).

Smercina, D. N., Bailey, V. L., and Hofmockel, K. S. (2021). Micro on a macroscale: relating microbial-scale soil processes to global ecosystem function. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 97: 1-11.

Snelders, N. C., Boshoven, J. C., Song, Y., Schmitz, N., Fiorin, G. L., Rovenich, H., van den Berg, G. C. M., Torres, D. E., Petti, G. C., Prockl, Z., Faino, L., Seidl, M. F., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2023). A highly polymorphic effector protein promotes fungal virulence through suppression of plant-associated Actinobacteria. New Phytologist, doi:10.1111/nph.18576

Snelders, N. C., Kettles, G. J., Rudd, J. J., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2018). Plant pathogen effector proteins as manipulators of host microbiomes? Molecular Plant Pathology, 19: 257-259.

Snelders, N. C., Petti, G. C., van den Berg, G. C. M., Seidl, M. F., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2021). An ancient antimicrobial protein co-opted by a fungal plant pathogen for *in planta* mycobiome manipulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118: e2110968118.

Snelders, N. C., Rovenich, H., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2022). Microbiota manipulation through the secretion of effector proteins is fundamental to the wealth of lifestyles in the fungal kingdom. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 46: 1-16.

Snelders, N. C., Rovenich, H., Petti, G. C., Rocafort, M., van den Berg, G. C. M., Vorholt, J. A., Mesters, J. R., Seidl, M., Nijland, R., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2020). Microbiome manipulation by a soil-borne fungal plant pathogen using effector proteins. Nature Plants, 6: 1365-1374.

Spanu, P. D. (2017). Cereal immunity against powdery mildews targets RNase-Like Proteins associated with Haustoria (RALPH) effectors evolved from a common ancestral gene. New Phytologist, 213: 1301-1314.

Sperschneider, J., Dodds, P. N., Gardiner, D. M., Singh, K. B., and Taylor, J. M. (2018). Improved prediction of fungal effector proteins from secretomes with EffectorP 2.0. Molecular Plant Pathology, 19: 2094-2110.

Stam, R., Münsterkötter, M., Pophaly, S. D., Fokkens, L., Sghyer, H., Güldener, U., Hückelhoven, R., and Hess, M. (2018). A new reference genome shows the one-speed genome structure of the barley pathogen *Ramularia collo-cygni*. Genome Biology and Evolution, 10: 3243–3249.

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30: 1312–1313.

Stancu, M. C., Van Roosmalen, M. J., Renkens, I., Nieboer, M. M., Middelkamp, S., de Ligt, J., Pregno, G., Giachino, D., Mandrile, G., Espejo, J. V., Korzelius, J., de Bruijn, E., Cuppen, E., Talkowski, M. E., Marschall, T., de Ridder, J., and Kloosterman, W. P. (2017). Mapping and phasing of structural variation in patient genomes using nanopore sequencing. Nature Communications, 8: 1–13.

Stergiopoulos, I., Collemare, J., Mehrabi, R., and de Wit, P. J. G. M. (2013). Phytotoxic secondary metabolites and peptides produced by plant pathogenic *Dothideomycete* fungi. FEMS Microbiology Review, 37: 67-93.

Sztejnberg, A., and Madar, Z. (1980). Host range of *Dematophora necatrix*, the cause of white root rot disease in fruit trees. Plant Disease, 64: 662–664.

Takai, S. (1974). Pathogenicity and cerato-ulmin production in *Ceratocystis ulmi*. Nature, 252: 124-126.

Talbot, N. J., Kershaw, M. J., Wakley, G. E., de Vries, O. M. H., Wessels, J. G. H., and Hamer, J. E. (1996). *MPG1* encodes a fungal hydrophobin involved in surface interaction during infection-related development of *Magnaporthe grisea*. The Plant Cell, 8: 985-999.

Thomas, D. D. (1978). Cytochalasin effects in plants and eukaryotic microbial

systems. Frontiers in Biology, 46: 257-275.

Thomma, B. P. H. J., Seidl, M. F., Shi-Kunne, X., Cook, D. E., Bolton, M. D., van

Kan, J. A. L., and Faino, L. (2016). Mind the gap; seven reasons to close

fragmented genome assemblies. Fungal Genetics and Biology, 90: 24–30.

Tian, H., Fiorin, G. L., Kombrink, A., Mesters, J. R., and Thomma, B. P. H. J.

(2022). Fungal dual-domain LysM effectors undergo chitin-induced

intermolecular, and not intramolecular, dimerization. Plant Physiology,

190: 2033-2044.

Tian, H., MacKenzie, C. I., Rodriguez-Moreno, L., van den Berg, G. C. M., Chen,

H., Rudd, J. J., Mesters, J. R., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2021). Three LysM

effectors of Zymoseptoria tritici collectively disarm chitin-triggered plant

immunity. Molecular Plant Pathology, 22: 683-693.

Törönen, P., and Holm, L. (2022). PANNZER-A practical tool for protein function

prediction. Protein Science, 31: 118-128.

Torres, D. E., Oggenfuss, U., Croll, D., and Seidl, M. (2020). Genome evolution

in fungal plant pathogens: looking beyond the two-speed genome model.

Fungal Biology Reviews, 34: 136-143.

Underwood, W. (2012). The plant cell wall: a dynamic barrier against pathogen

invasion. Frontiers in Plant Science, 3: 1-6.

Varoquaux, N., Liachko, I., Ay, F., Burton, J. N., Shendure, J., Dunham, M. J.,

Vert, J. P., and Noble, W. S. (2015). Accurate identification of centromere

locations in yeast genomes using Hi-C. Nucleic Acids Research, 43: 5331–

5339.

Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T.,

Cournapeau, D., Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van

der Walt, S. J., Brett, M., Wilson, J., Millman, K. J., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A. R. J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E., Carey, C. J., Polat, İ., Feng, Y., Moore, E. W., VanderPlas, J., Laxalde, D., Perktold, J., Cimrman, R., Henriksen, I., Quintero, E. A., Harris, C. R., Archibald, A. M., Ribeiro, A., Pedregosa, F., van Mulbregt, P., and SciPy 1.0 Contributors. (2020). SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. Nature Methods, 17: 261–272.

Walton, J. D. (1990). Peptide phytotoxins from plant pathogenic fungi. In Biochemistry of peptide antibiotics. Kleinkauf, H., and von Dohren, H. (eds). Berlin, 179-203 pp.

Wang, E., Schornack, S., Marsh, J. F., Gobbato, E., Schwessinger, B., Eastmond, P., Schultze, M., Kamoun, S., and Oldroyd, G. E. D. (2012). A common signaling process that promotes Mycorrhizal and Oomycete colonization of plants. Current Biology, 22: 2242-2246.

Wang, J. R., Holt, J., McMillan, L., and Jones, C. D. (2018). FMLRC: Hybrid long read error correction using an FM-index. BMC Bioinformatics, 19: 1–11.

Wawra, S., Fesel, P., Widmer, H., Neumann, U., Lahrmann, U., Becker, S., Hehemann, J., Langen, G., and Zuccaro, A. (2019). FGB1 and WSC3 are *in planta*-induced *β*-glucan-binding fungal lectins with different functions. New Phytologist, 222: 1493-1506.

Weiberg, A., Wang, M., Bellinger, M., and Jin, H. (2014). Small RNAs: a new paradigm in plant-microbe interactions. The Annual Review of Phytopathology, 52: 495-516.

Weinert, N. Piceno, Y., Ding, G., Meincke, R., Heuer, H., Berg, G., Schloter, M., Andersen, G., and Smalla, K. (2011). PhyloChip hybridization uncovered an enormous bacterial diversity in the rhizosphere of different potato

cultivars: many common and few cultivar-dependent taxa. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 75: 497-506.

Wick, R. (2018). Porechop. Retrieved from https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop

Wilson, R. A., and McDowell, J. M. (2022). Recent advances in understanding of fungal and oomycete effectors. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 68: 102228.

Wingfield, B. D., Berger, D. K., Coetzee, M. P. A., Duong, T. A., Martin, A., Pham, N. Q., van den Berg, N., Wilken, P. M., Arun⬛Chinnappa, K. S., Barnes, I., Buthelezi, S., Dahanayaka, B. A., Durán, A., Engelbrecht, J., Feurtey, A., Fourie, A., Fourie, G., Hartley, J., Kabwe, E. N. K., Maphosa, M., Mensah, D. L. N., Nsibo, D. L., Potgieter, L., Poudel, B., Stukenbrock, E. H., Thomas, C., Vaghefi, N., Welgemoed, T., and Wingfield, M. J. (2022). IMA genome-F17: Draft genome sequences of an *Armillaria* species from Zimbabwe, *Ceratocystis colombiana*, *Elsinoë necatrix*, *Rosellinia necatrix*, two genomes of *Sclerotinia minor*, short-read genome assemblies and annotations of four *Pyrenophora teres* isolates from barley grass, and a long-read genome assembly of *Cercospora zeina.* IMA Fungus, 13: 1-22.

Xu, D., Xue, M., Shen, Z., Jia, X., Hou, X., Lai, D., and Zhou, L. (2021). Phytotoxic secondary metabolites from fungi. Toxins, 13: 1-65.

Zhalnina, K., Louie, K. B., Hao, Z., Mansoori, N., Nunes de Rocha, U., Shi, S., Cho, H., Karaoz, U., Loqué, D., Bowen. B. P., Firestone, M. K., Northen, T. R., and Brodie, E. L. (2018). Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. Nature Microbiology, 3: 470-480.

Zhang, Y., and Skolnick J. (2005). TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. Nucleid Acids Research, 33: 2302–2309.

1373   Zhao, Z., Ying, Y., Hung, Y., and Tang, Y. (2019). Genome mining reveals

1374   *Neurospora crassa* can produce the salicylaldehyde sordarial. Journal of

1375   Natural Products, 82: 1029-1033.