Optimal HLA imputation of admixed population with dimension reduction

Venceslas Douillard[1], Nayane dos Santos Brito Silva[1,2], Sonia Bourguiba-Hachemi[1], Michel S. Naslavsky[3,4,5], Marilia O. Scliar[3], Yeda A. O. Duarte[6,7], Mayana Zatz[3,4], Maria Rita Passos-Bueno[3,4], Sophie Limou[1], Pierre-Antoine Gourraud[1], Élise Launay[1,8], Erick C. Castelli[2], Nicolas Vince[1,*], on behalf of the SNP-HLA Reference Consortium (SHLARC)

1. Nantes Université, INSERM, Ecole Centrale Nantes, Center for Research in Transplantation and Translational Immunology, UMR 1064, F-44000 Nantes, France.
2. São Paulo State University, Molecular Genetics and Bioinformatics Laboratory, School of Medicine, Botucatu, State of São Paulo, Brazil.
3. Human Genome and Stem Cell Research Center, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP Brazil
4. Department of Genetics and Evolutionary Biology, Biosciences Institute, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP Brazil
5. Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, SP Brazil
6. Medical-Surgical Nursing Department, School of Nursing, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP Brazil
7. Epidemiology Department, Public Health School, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP Brazil
8. Department of Pediatrics and Pediatric Emergency, Hôpital Femme Enfant Adolescent, CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France.

Correspondence:

nicolas.vince@univ-nantes.fr

Nicolas Vince

Nantes Université, CR2TI UMR1064 – ITUN, CHU Nantes Hôtel Dieu, 30 bld Jean Monnet, 44093 Nantes Cedex 01, France, +33 2 40 08 74 24

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3767-6210

Running title: Optimal HLA imputation of admixed population

Abstract

Human genomics has quickly evolved, powering genome-wide association studies (GWASs). SNP-based GWASs cannot capture the intense polymorphism of *HLA* genes, highly associated with disease susceptibility. There are methods to statistically impute *HLA* genotypes from SNP-genotypes data, but lack of diversity in reference panels hinders their performance. We evaluated the accuracy of the 1,000 Genomes data as a reference panel for imputing HLA from admixed individuals of African and European ancestries, focusing on (a) the full dataset, (b) 10 replications from 6 populations, (c) 19 conditions for the custom reference panels. The full dataset outperformed smaller models, with a good F1-score of 0.66 for *HLA-B*. However, custom models outperformed the multiethnic or population models of similar size (F1-scores up to 0.53, against up to 0.42). We demonstrated the importance of using genetically specific models for imputing admixed populations, which are currently underrepresented in public datasets, opening the door to HLA imputation for every genetic population.

Introduction

Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have now become a strong ally in the understanding of the underlying mechanisms of diseases susceptibility and outcomes, with historical associations such as rs2395029 in HIV (Limou and Zagury 2013; Fellay et al. 2007), or the identification of 233 genomic regions linked to multiple sclerosis susceptibility (International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium 2019). GWASs have also been performed as first lines of research at the beginning of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak to evaluate how host genetics can influence COVID-19 outcomes (Pairo-Castineira et al. 2021; COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative 2021; Douillard et al. 2021b; Castelli et al. 2022). Starting from the first GWAS with hundreds of individuals in the 2000s (Klein et al. 2005; Duerr et al. 2006), multiple initiatives emerged in the last decade seeking to systematically gather clinical and genetic information, such as the UK Biobank (Bycroft et al. 2018), Japanese BioBank (Hirata et al. 2017), or TOPMed (Taliun et al. 2021), which count hundreds of thousands of samples. These studies greatly improved the comprehension of the genetic impact on phenotype variation (Visscher et al. 2017; Tam et al. 2019; Claussnitzer et al. 2020). Along with the collective organization effort, continuous advances in the domain of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) imputation (Browning et al. 2018), and the availability of computing power from imputation servers, globally helped the genomics community (McCarthy et al. 2016).

A bystander effect of these GWASs has been confirming the central role of the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC), especially the *HLA* genes, in immune-related diseases. The MHC was discovered in the 1950s (Dausset 1958), and was identified as crucial for transplantation success (Dausset 1981). Association studies expanded our understanding of the role of MHC since 2.5% of all significant associations in the GWAS catalog (MacArthur et al. 2017) coincide with the MHC region, and approximately 20% of all traits are associated with at least one SNP within the MHC (Douillard et al. 2021b). The associations go from auto-immune diseases such as type 1 diabetes (Concannon et al. 2009) or multiple sclerosis (International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium 2019), neurological

disorders such as Parkinson(Nalls et al. 2019), to infectious diseases such as HIV (Limou et al. 2009;

Fellay et al. 2007), Hepatitis B (Hu et al. 2013) and C (Vergara et al. 2019).

In the context of genetic association studies, a parallel effort focused on direct association with *HLA*

polymorphisms to understand the mechanisms in which HLA molecules impact disease susceptibility

and severity. These studies have identified protective and risk *HLA* alleles, such as *HLA-DRB1*15:01* in

multiple sclerosis (Moutsianas et al. 2015), *HLA-DRB1*09:01* with tIgE levels in asthma (Vince et al.

2020b), specific HLA-DQB1 amino acids in hepatitis C virus infection (Valencia et al. 2022), or the HLA-

DRB1 valine 11 in Parkinson's disease (Domenighetti et al. 2022), among many others. The five most

polymorphic *HLA* genes (*HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, *HLA-C*, *HLA-DQB1,* and *HLA-DRB1*) are exceptionally diverse,

with almost 30,000 alleles combined (Robinson et al. 2020). However, most of these alleles seem to

have frequencies <1% (Maiers et al. 2007). Therefore, because of the high number of alleles and their

low frequency, the HLA typing of thousands of individuals is necessary to reach sufficient statistical

power for detecting associations. The cost-efficiency of directly typing *HLA* for such cohorts is limited.

Thus, following the steps of the SNP association, the HLA community organized multiple typing

initiatives and developed imputation tools (Meyer and Nunes 2017; Douillard et al. 2021a). The

literature on HLA imputation articulates a dual focus on algorithms and reference data.

Regarding algorithms, several *HLA* imputation tools allow to create reference panels for imputing *HLA*

alleles from SNP data: HIBAG (Zheng et al. 2014) and SNP2HLA (Jia et al. 2013) are the most common

choices. Pappas et al. evaluated HIBAG to be the most accurate (Pappas et al. 2015). A new generation

of software followed, with improvements to existing algorithms such as HLA*IMP:03 (Motyer et al.

2016) and CookHLA (Cook et al. 2021), or using deep learning with DEEP-HLA (Naito et al. 2021), all of

which will probably gain traction over time. However, regarding reference datasets, the accuracy of

HLA imputation results depends on the reference panel used to predict the target genotypes; if training

and target data are not of the same ancestry, it will provide inaccurate results due to different HLA

alleles and linkage disequilibrium patterns between SNP and HLA in different populations. To

circumvent this issue, researchers advocated for both: specific reference panels, such as in Japan

(Okada et al. 2015), Finland (Ritari et al. 2020), or SweHLA (Nordin et al. 2020), and large multi-ethnic

reference panels (Degenhardt et al. 2019; Luo et al. 2021). To pursue the different efforts, we created

the SNP-HLA Reference Consortium, or SHLARC (Vince et al. 2020a). Our goal is to coordinate an

international effort to gather HLA data and reference panels, make them available to the scientific

community and improve the methodology of *HLA* association studies. Generally, *HLA* imputation is

highly performant for European-origin populations as a large amount of data are available to build

reference panels. Conversely, the challenge is higher when focusing on admixed or underrepresented

populations as fewer data are available. A clear HLA imputation strategy remain to be defined to

improve accuracy in these populations: here, we want to increase our understanding about HLA

imputation performance between larger reference panels or smaller but customized (ancestry-

matched) reference panels. Indeed, our hypothesis is that oversampling individuals for the reference

panel with close genetic ancestry to the target individuals would increase accuracy for their specific

HLA alleles. To explore this in our study, we focused on the results of *HLA* imputation on admixed

populations using a multiethnic reference panel from the 1,000 Genomes Project (1KG), and

investigating dimension reduction as a method to mitigate *HLA* imputation errors on rare alleles (1000

Genomes Project Consortium et al. 2015; Byrska-Bishop et al. 2022).

Results

HLA imputation strategy

HLA imputation accuracy heavily depends on the data used as reference. Our study aims at finding the preferred *HLA* imputation combination of reference data selection and imputation method when dealing with a target population whose ancestry is absent or underrepresented in the available training data. The 1KG dataset presents a large diversity in populations as described in table S1 (1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al. 2015; Clarke et al. 2017), which can be grouped in 5 populations: African (AFR), American (AMR), European (EUR), East Asian (EAS), and South Asian (SAS). We selected these data as a training dataset to create 395 reference panels to be tested (Figure 1), including: (a) the full dataset (full1KG, N=2,504), (b) 10 replications from 6 populations (1KG, AFR, AMR, EUR, EAS, and SAS; N=200 for each), (c) 19 conditions for the custom reference panels (further described in the next chapter; 200<N<485); each condition replicated 5 times for each *HLA* gene (*HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, *HLA-C*, *HLA-*

Figure 1 Selection strategy: description of the dataset selection for training and testing. Different subsets of the 1KG dataset are used as reference, selected by super-population or from genetic proximity with the CAAPA dataset. The HLA genotypes from CAAPA are either imputed from a single model or by multiple models specific to subsets of CAAPA.

DQB1, and *HLA-DRB1*).

The CAAPA cohort (Consortium on Asthma among African-ancestry Populations in the Americas) is

constituted of 880 individuals with SNPs of the *MHC* region and HLA genotypes. These individuals are

from admixed African and European ancestry in various proportions (Vince et al. 2020b). Only a small

fraction of these populations ancestries are represented in the 1KG dataset, so we also wanted to

evaluate the impact of admixture in the imputation process and accuracy. Thus, the CAAPA population

was alternatively considered a unique dataset of 880 individuals, or as multiple subsets of it, depending

on the representation with dimension reduction methods.

Data selection for customized HLA imputation

We created models with individuals from 1KG genetically close to the CAAPA target data: the custom

models. We decided to rely on dimension reduction, common in population genetics, to assess

individuals ancestry. The goal is to select 200 individuals from 1KG closest to the target data, regardless

of their designated ancestry. Classically, ancestry is assessed with whole-genome SNPs by Principal

Component Analysis (PCA). However, since we focused our study on HLA, we decided to represent the

populations using only SNPs within the MHC region (29-34Mb from chr6). This representation strategy

separated the African population and a portion of the American population in one part, and the rest

of 1KG on the other (Figure 2A). The usual granularity of PCA on whole-genome genotypes (Figure S1A)

is not obtained and does not allow grouping ancestries. However, we could identify well-separated

Figure 2 PCA (A) and UMAP (B) representation of 1KG and CAAPA dataset with merged genotypes of the MHC region. CAAPA is represented in black. Super-populations are colored in five main colors divided into different shades for each population (Table S1), including 5 super-populations: African (AFR) in blue, American (AMR) in purple, European (EUR) in orange, South Asian (SAS) in green, East Asian (EAS) in red. PCA does not separate well the population when restrained to the MHC region, whereas UMAP creates different groups of ancestries.

groups with a two-dimension UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) of the MHC

region (Figure 2B; UMAP on whole-genome genotypes in Figure S1B).

To investigate the effect of dimension reduction on HLA imputation, we tested 3 parameters for

representation: the algorithm (PCA or UMAP), the number of dimensions used (2 or 10), and the

genomic region covered by the genotypes dataset (the whole chromosome 6 or the MHC region, see

also Figures S1). The different conditions are named after the combination of these parameters. For

instance, a selection of the training data based on a UMAP using the distance computed in 10

dimensions on every SNP available on chromosome 6 is named UMAPnonMHC_10D.

We performed a silhouette score analysis to the resulting projection of the CAAPA dataset. We

identified that, in every UMAP condition and with the ten-dimensions PCA in the MHC region, we could

cluster CAAPA in more than one group. In these cases, we decided to create one model per group. We

computed the average coordinates of the CAAPA individuals, then selected the 200 individuals from

1KG closest to this point (Figure 3). To avoid redundant models, we checked the overlap of selected

individuals between the conditions. Surprisingly, they all yielded a unique list of 1KG individuals, with

low overlap between conditions (Figure S2). For the conditions where the CAAPA dataset was

separated into different subsets, we imputed the individuals separately, thus relying on multiple

models, but merged the results into one table. For example, with the two-dimension UMAP

representation (genotypes from the MHC region), we computed three different models of 200 1KG

individuals (Figure 3). We then imputed three CAAPA groups independently (357, 344, and 179

individuals for a total of 880) and combined results into a unique table of imputation for the full

dataset.

Figure 3 Creation of custom 1KG models for CAAPA imputation. 1) <u>Dimension reduction</u> allows the separation of individuals according to ancestry, using UMAP as an example. CAAPA is represented in black. Super-populations from 1KG are colored in five main colors: African (AFR) in blue, American (AMR) in purple, European (EUR) in orange, South Asian (SAS) in green, East Asian (EAS) in red. It is also possible to apply dimension reduction to one dataset and project another onto it. 2) <u>Clustering</u> of the target dataset: here CAAPA. The silhouette score allows to evaluate the preferred number of clusters, then k-means allows for subsetting. 3) <u>Modeling</u>. The barycenter of each cluster subset is computed, then 1KG individuals closest to this coordinate are selected (in light blue), allowing to create a custom model. Created with biorender.com

CAAPA *HLA* imputation comparison between usual and custom reference panels from 1KG data

We have compared the different conditions by averaging the F1-score of each allele. As explained by

Cook et al. (Cook et al. 2021), the F1-score has an advantage over other accuracy metrics for

representing the rare alleles as it is the mean of two metrics, taking into account both the potential

under- and over-prediction of an allele. As expected, the full1KG model (N=2,504) displayed the highest

F1-score for all *HLA* genes, ranging from 0.64 for *HLA-DRB1* to 0.87 for *HLA-C* (Figure 4). For *HLA-B*,

full1KG has a score of 0.66. However, still for *HLA-B*, when considering the smaller models, we found

that the 1KG models (F1-score of 0.42) and the populations with close ancestry to CAAPA (AFR: 0.37,

AMR: 0.42) had nominally lower F1-score than some custom models (PCAnonMHC_10D: 0.52,

UMAPnonMHC_10D: 0.53). This trend was also observed for *HLA-A* and *HLA-DRB1*, while *HLA-C* and

HLA-DQB1 show a higher mean F1-score for the small 1KG models. F1-scores are not to be interpreted

as regular accuracies. Indeed, when the same methodology is applied to compute the average

174 accuracies of each allele, these accuracies obtained more than 98% (represented as error rates in

175 Figure S3). Additionally, the individual and haplotype accuracies, which corresponds to the proportion

176 of correct genotypes (individuals can be counted as 0 or 1; incorrect vs. correct imputation) and the

177 proportion of correct allele (individuals can be counted as 0, 0.5, or 1; incorrect vs. 1 correct allele vs.

178 2 correct alleles imputation), respectively, also show values above 80% (Figure S4).

Figure 4 Average F1-score of HLA allele predictions for HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, HLA-DQB1, and HLA-DRB1 based on imputation of the CAAPA dataset, with different training models from the 1000 Genomes dataset. We have removed alleles that are not represented in the training datasets. Nomenclature of the models can be found in table S2. Full1KG, N=2,504. Small 1KG models (1KG, AFR, AMR, EUR, EAS, SAS), N=200. Custom models, 200<N<485.

180   To investigate the impact of custom models on imputation and why they seemed to perform better

181   for highly polymorphic genes, we stratified the mean F1-score metric by *HLA* allele frequency (Figure

182   5). The full1KG model (N=2,504) yielded a higher F1-score through all allelelic frequencies. Custom

183   models performed equally or marginally better for the rarest alleles (frequency <= 0.1%) and the most

Figure 5 Mean F1-score of HLA alleles imputation, stratified by groups of frequency, for the full 1KG model, super-population models, and a selection of custom models. The custom models PCAMHC_10D and UMAPnonMHC_10D are displayed as they are the most accurate. #: HLA-C does not have the 10-20% frequency category. Therefore, unlike other genes, the last two categories correspond to 5-10% and >20%.

common alleles (frequency >10%). Still, they scored higher for every other category than population

models. For *HLA-B*, UMAPnonMHC_10D (N=485) presented an F1-score of 0.30, 0.70, 0.85, and 0.91

for the categories from 0.1 to 10% frequency, whereas the multi-ethnic model (1KG, N=200) showed

scores of 0.18, 0.45, 0.78, and 0.91. Notably, the reference panel based on the African population

performed worse for *HLA-DQB1.* It can be explained by the allele *HLA-DQB1*06:01*, which was

represented only once and had an F1-score of 0.1.

The results showed that creating custom reference panels based on a genotypic distance between

individuals can improve the outcome compared to multi-ethnic or declared ancestry panels. However,

larger multi-ethnic reference panels are always more robust. We went further and looked directly at

the imputation of *HLA* alleles individually.

When we analyzed results allele by allele, taking *HLA-A* (Figure 6) as an example, we observed that in

most cases, custom models performed just as well, or a few points under the full dataset models (e.g.

HLA-A*01:01, *HLA-A*23:01*). Several *HLA* alleles were better predicted with the custom models

compared to the multi-ethnic (1KG) and population models (e.g. *HLA-A*01:02*, *HLA-A*80:01*),

highlighting the importance of creating specific reference panels. We found cases where the full1KG

model (N=2,504) or population models (N=200) were the only ones to predict the allele (e.g. *HLA-

A*02:06*, *HLA-A*03:02*). However, we also found cases where custom models were the only ones to

impute correctly the allele (e.g. *HLA-A*02:04*). Zheng et al. (Zheng et al. 2014) showed that at least 10

copies of an allele were needed in a model to be able to impute them. Nine *HLA-A* alleles were present

in the training and target data but were not imputed by any of the models (e.g. *HLA-A*02:11*, *HLA-

A*24:03*, *HLA-A*26:08*). Often, the allele was present only in few individuals of the target data, causing

the miscalled allele to weigh a lot in the score. We focused on *HLA-A* for visualization purposes, but

the results applied to *HLA-B*, *HLA-C*, and *HLA-DRB1* (Figure S5). Interestingly for *HLA-DQB1*, the best

custom models were never the best predictors. For most *HLA-DQB1* alleles, the best training dataset

was the full1KG dataset. For *HLA-DQB1*03:01,* however, the AMR and EUR super-populations yielded

better results. The different examples presented here show how a custom reference panel could help

in the imputation of certain *HLA* alleles. However, since bigger models produce better imputation

results overall, we would need to know when to select the results from the custom reference panel.

HLA imputation with HIBAG yields post-probabilities for each genotype. We tried to harness the few

cases where custom models performed better (in terms of post-probabilities) to obtain hybrid

imputation between the full models and the custom model. We chose UMAPnonMHC_10D as it

performed the best on multiple *HLA* genes. Unfortunately, the small number of samples in the custom

models led to lower post-probabilities than the full model. In the few cases where UMAPnonMHC_10D

yielded better post-probabilities, the imputed genotype was not always correct, whereas the less likely

genotype imputed by the other model was correct. In a real situation where the *HLA* alleles of the

target data would not be known, there would be no way to choose between the imputed genotype of

the two models (Figure S6).

Figure 6 Mean F1-score of each HLA-A alleles (N=42) for the full 1KG training dataset, the African, American, European super-populations datasets, and the most accurate custom reference panels PCAMHC_10D and UMAPnonMHC_10D. Alleles are ordered by decreasing frequency in the 1KG dataset. Those absent from the training dataset have been removed to compute the means.

Replication with admixed Brazilian individuals from SABE

We replicated our methodology on another cohort of admixed individuals, the Longitudinal Health, Well-Being, and Aging cohort (SABE - *Saúde, Bem-estar e Envelhecimento*) from Brazil, to validate the impact of the models composition on *HLA* imputation (Figure 7). SABE is an independent dataset of 1,322 individuals from Brazil, mostly with European and African admixed ancestry (Naslavsky et al. 2022). To validate our conclusions, we used the same models as with the CAAPA dataset; therefore, between 11.6% and 45.1% of the model SNPs were missing in the target data. Though it probably reduced the imputation score overall, the missing SNPs were homogeneous across conditions for each gene, with averages of 30,0% for *HLA-A*, 14,3% for *HLA-B*, 13,9% for *HLA-C*, 39,4% for *HLA-DQB1*, and

Figure 7 Mean F1-score of SABE's imputed HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, HLA-DQB1, and HLA-DRB1 genotypes, using the full 1KG model, compared to super-populations from 1KG or individual custom models selected by dimension reduction. Alleles absent from the training datasets were removed to obtain these values.

39,6% for *HLA-DRB1*. We also limited our study to the PCAMHC_10D and UMAPnonMHC_10D custom

models, as these two models predicted *HLA-A*, *HLA-C*, *HLA-DQB1,* and *HLA-DRB1* better, out of all the

custom models in the CAAPA dataset.

As with CAAPA, the custom models had nominally higher F1-score than the 1KG model, but only for

the *HLA-B* (0.44, 0.50 for PCA and UMAP vs. 0.42 for 1KG) and *HLA-DRB1* (0.56 for UMAP vs. 0.48 for

1KG). Overall, the validation with the SABE population showed the same patterns as the CAAPA

population, with a global preference for the full1KG model and multiple cases where the custom

reference panels were to be preferred but presented low post-probabilities genotypes.

Discussion

The HLA and immunogenetic community, along with the SHLARC (Vince et al. 2020a), carries a broad dynamic to provide scientists with reliable tools and reference panels for *HLA* imputation, thus increasing the power of *HLA* association studies to that of existing GWASs. We believe our results contribute to this effort. Our work focused on improving existing methods of *HLA* imputation by finely accounting for ancestry in the choice of the training model. Our underlying hypothesis was that oversampling individuals to create reference panels with close genetic ancestry compared to the target individuals would increase HLA imputation accuracy for rare *HLA* alleles. In this context, we chose to evaluate the imputation of CAAPA, an admixed African-American cohort, using reference panels composed of different combinations of 1,000 Genomes Project individuals: randomly selected from a population or selected for their estimated ancestry by dimension reduction. We showed that, ultimately, the number of individuals was the crucial point of HLA imputation. The reference panel composed of 2,504 individuals from 1KG systematically had a higher F1-score than other smaller models. Using fewer individuals for training by selecting individuals close to the ancestry of the target population was a good strategy and resulted in slightly better HLA imputation F1-scores, compared to multi-ethnic reference panels. The improvement did not concern the rarest or most common alleles, which are respectively badly and well imputed by all those models. At the allele level, we expected the full model to impute *HLA* alleles other models would not; we also saw the opposite with custom reference panels capturing a part of the information left out in the full model. Unfortunately, we could not conclude on its applicability since the custom reference panels had fewer individuals resulting in lower post-probabilities that rendered a hybrid imputation impossible. Research on SNP to SNP imputation also encounters the problem of lack of diversity for the imputation of rarer alleles, and are working with specific reference panels to enhance imputation accuracy (Kals et al. 2019; Herzig et al. 2022).

Interestingly, we were also able to use UMAP for genomic ancestry representation, as can also be seen in recent research (Diaz-Papkovich et al. 2021; Sakaue et al. 2020; Dai et al. 2020). It presented a good

separation of ancestry groups in two dimensions when only using the MHC SNPs, concordant with the

frequency difference of *HLA* alleles between populations (Maróstica et al. 2022). In contrast, PCA

would fail to separate them in only two dimensions, limiting the possibility to visualize. PCA uses SNPs

to explain most of the variance. Conversely, UMAP tries to preserve the topography of the higher

dimensions in its reduction, taking into account every SNP available for distance. Besides, we observed

a distance between individuals sometimes higher inside a labeled 1KG population than between

populations, as described in Maróstica et al. (Lewontin 1972; Maróstica et al. 2022). This

representation of this genomic diversity inside the MHC directly impacts how we should construct

reference panels in the future and highlights the importance of gathering more data from different

ancestry backgrounds.

Our work showed the potential interest of population-specific reference panels, as multiple studies

have demonstrated (Okada et al. 2015; Ritari et al. 2020; Nordin et al. 2020; Luo et al. 2021; Mimori et

al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2016; Nunes et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2020). However, we strayed further from the

geographic definition of the population. We tried to find a local definition of ancestry to select training

datasets. While doing so, we also omitted potential sides to the problem and created limits to our

method. One important difference to *HLA* imputation compared to typing, inherent to the method, is

the impossibility of predicting *de novo* alleles and the difficulty of imputing rare alleles. This issue is

intrinsic to all training machine learning methods, and it is especially true for HLA, where each gene

can have thousands of alleles. In HIBAG, for instance, an allele should be present at least 10 times in

the training dataset to be predicted (Zheng et al. 2014). This study showed that this limit can be

overcome to a certain extent but still hinders *HLA* imputation accuracy overall. Additionally, the choice

to limit the number of randomly selected individuals was directly linked to the maximum of samples

in the smallest population ($n_{AMR}=347$). However, it has led to low imputation scores. Even though we

performed replications, the difference between population models and the full dataset, or the custom

models, may greatly vary if we increase this limit with another multi-ethnic dataset. It is one potential

improvement to this work, which may validate or not our findings.

We chose to represent the *HLA* imputation with the F1-score, as seen in Cook et al. (Cook et al. 2021).

This choice is convenient for the analysis of *HLA*, in which we encounter low and unbalanced

frequencies between the different alleles. We set the F1-score at 0 when a specific allele was not

imputed at all (whereas F1-score should be null) to represent all alleles in common between the two

datasets and weigh this absence of imputation negatively. It has increased the confidence interval of

each averaged F1-score and limited the possibility to find statistical differences between them. It is

important to note that the F1-score gives a harsher view on *HLA* imputation because rare alleles have

low scores, however, HLA imputation performs very well for common alleles (Figure S3) (Meyer and

Nunes 2017).

Besides methodology, *HLA* imputation gains much accuracy from the number of samples and the

diversity in the reference panels. This is why initiatives looking into expanding the *HLA* data and

creating larger reference panels, such as Degenhardt et al., are essential to the field (Degenhardt et al.

2019; Luo et al. 2021; Abi-Rached et al. 2018). With the SHLARC (Vince et al. 2020a), we advocate for

the coordination of such efforts to provide multi-ethnic panels of sufficient size, and help researchers

do *HLA* imputation to investigate HLA risk and protection alleles, focusing on the coverage of the globe

for data gathering. The evolution of imputation tools will also consequently improve *HLA* imputation.

HLA-IMP*03 (Motyer et al. 2016) and CookHLA (Cook et al. 2021) showed improved results over the

algorithms they are created upon, and DeepHLA (Naito et al. 2021) also showed high accuracy, with a

specific focus on rare HLA alleles. Eventually, these efforts will reach a limit, and we think the main

focus of research should be gathering data worldwide.

Our results demonstrated the interest of using genetically specific models for imputing admixed

populations which are currently underrepresented, opening the door to *HLA* imputation for every

genetic population, while also exemplifying some limitation. The SNP-HLA Reference Consortium

(SHLARC) wants to contribute to the *HLA* association analysis community by providing a platform for

HLA imputation with exhaustive and diverse reference panels. We hope this will help association

studies to rapidly increase their statistical power and become a natural extension of genome-wide

association studies pointing towards *HLA* association.

Methods

Data description and processing

SNPs data from the 1KG, CAAPA, and SABE cohorts were obtained from whole-genome sequencing. The 1KG dataset is one of the most diverse public dataset with 2,504 individuals from 26 populations (1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al. 2015; Clarke et al. 2017). These populations are grouped in 5 populations, as described in table S1: African (AFR), American (AMR), European (EUR), East Asian (EAS), and South Asian (SAS). *HLA* genotyping for the *HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, *HLA-C*, *HLA-DQB1,* and *HLA-DRB1* genes was published and made accessible using HLA calling algorithms for whole-genome sequencing data (Abi-Rached et al. 2018). Moreover, the SNP data has been updated with a new whole-genome sequencing of 30X coverage from the New York Genome Center (Byrska-Bishop et al. 2022). The CAAPA cohort (Consortium on Asthma among African-ancestry Populations in the Americas) was created to study asthma in African-ancestry populations. The aim of this study was to catalog genetic diversity in these populations, especially the African Diaspora in the Americas. From this, we had access to 880 individuals with whole-genome sequencing data of the *MHC* region and HLA genotypes (Vince et al. 2020b). The *HLA* alleles were called with the Omixon software (Budapest, Hungary) from whole-genome sequencing data (Vince et al. 2020b). The SABE (*Saúde, Bem-estar e Envelhecimento*) data come from the longitudinal, census-based follow-up, Health, Well-Being, and Aging cohort of elderly people from São Paulo, Brazil. SABE is an independent dataset of 1,322 admixed individuals from Brazil, mostly with European and African admixed ancestry: details can be found in the whole-genome sequencing flagship publication (Naslavsky et al. 2022). *HLA* genotypes for SABE cohort were obtained after read alignment with hla-mapper 4.1. This application was designed to optimize the mapping of *HLA* sequences produced by massively parallel sequencing procedures (Castelli et al. 2018); the pipeline is available at https://github.com/erickcastelli/HLA_genotyping/tree/main/version_2.

SNPs data were handled with PLINK v1.90b6.21 (Chang et al. 2015) and went through the same quality control step: the removal of A/T and G/C ambiguous SNPs, and SNPs with >2% missing genotypes and

<1% minor allele frequency. *HLA* data comprises two-field alleles for *HLA-A*, *HLA-B*, *HLA-C*, *HLA-DQB1,*

and *HLA-DRB1*, stored in a CSV file. *HLA* imputation models were computed on R 3.5.3 (R Core Team

2022) with HIBAG v1.19.3 (Zheng et al. 2014) and its complementary package HIBAG.gpu v0.9.1.

Training data were subsetted with PLINK to contain only the SNPs present in the target data for CAAPA.

We limited the number of individuals within each reference panel to 200 to be able to compare the

specific reference panels to the population reference panels. Indeed, this number is lower than the

smallest population, allowing to resample the population and repeat the experiment.

HLA imputation metrics

We have evaluated imputation accuracy using the F1-score. The F1-score is a harmonic mean of

sensitivity (for a specific allele, # of correctly predicted allele/# of said alleles in the target dataset) and

the positive predictive value (for a specific allele, # of correctly predicted allele/# of predictions of said

allele). This score has the property to give important weight to the coverage of a specific allele

prediction. For instance, if a rare allele is present once in a dataset of 100 alleles and not predicted by

the model, you would have a 99% accuracy but a F1-score of 0.

HLA imputation models are limited by the pool of *HLA* alleles in the training dataset and the SNPs

available, contrary to HLA-typing software based on read alignment, which relies on the complete

database of known *HLA* alleles and the assessment of all gene regions. Therefore, we chose to average

the results of all alleles present in the training and target datasets. Additionally, if one of these alleles

is not predicted by the model, the positive predictive value, by definition, cannot be computed; in this

case, the F1-score is also null. Since we wanted to focus our analysis on rare alleles, we decided to set

the F1 scores of such alleles to 0, to visualize the impact of *HLA* alleles that are in the training dataset

but do not manage to impute the ones in the target data.

Dimension reduction

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is routinely used in population genomics and association studies

to study population ancestry. It relies on SNPs which are attributed to different contributions,

maximizing the variance in their genotypes. It allows separating populations along multiple orthogonal

axes with different contributions for each SNP. Uniform Manifold Approximation Projection (UMAP)

and t-SNE are central in single-cell transcriptomics analyses (McInnes et al. 2018; Becht et al. 2018).

Recently, It has also appeared in population genomics publications (Diaz-Papkovich et al. 2021; Sakaue

et al. 2020). UMAP is based on simplicial topology to identify sets of neighbors for each individual and

try to preserve them while transforming coordinates into new ones with less dimensions.

We performed dimension reduction after merging 1KG and CAAPA data. We ran PCA with PLINK, and

UMAP on the BiRD cluster from Nantes University, using the umap R package. This package does not

handle missing data; therefore, we applied the PLINK geno filter with a 0 threshold beforehand to

remove any SNP with missing data. We followed the same process with SABE but merged the dataset

with both 1KG and CAAPA.

We applied a silhouette score on the coordinates of the CAAPA individuals to identify the preferred

number of clusters. We then performed k-means with the number of clusters that had the highest

silhouette score. If the maximum score was inferior to 0.4, we chose not to perform clustering because

simulations showed different groups would overlap greatly.

Data access

1,000 Genomes SNP genotypes were retrieved from the International Genome Sample Resource (ISGR) and can be accessed through (https://www.internationalgenome.org/data-portal/data-collection/30x-grch38). 1,000 Genomes HLA genotypes of 2,693 individuals were recovered from Abi-Rached *et al.* (2018) at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206512.s010.

CAAPA SNPs were retrieved from the WGS data deposited in dbGAP with the accession code phs001123.v2.p1, described in Mathias, R. A. *et al.* (2016). CAAPA HLA genotypes were obtained with the Omixon software as described in https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.01.011.

For SABE, individual-level sequence datasets (BAM files) are available at the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA), under EGA Study accession number EGAS00001005052. Further information about EGA can be found on https://ega-archive.org.

Competing interest statement

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Centre de Calcul Intensif des Pays de la Loire. This work was supported by de Nantes Métropole, Région des Pays de la Loire and European Union (FEDER) via the Programme d'investissements d'Avenir (NExT, SHLARC Project, Nantes Université), the ATIP-Avenir Inserm program, the Région Pays de Loire ConnectTalent. Venceslas Douillard has received funding from the Inserm and Région Pays de la Loire. Nicolas Vince has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 846520. Funding was provided by The São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grants and fellowships (CEPID 2013/08028-1, SABE 2014/50649-6, INCT 2014/50931-3, 2013/17084-2, 2017/19223-0, 2012/24731-1, 2018/15579-8, 2015/25020-0, 2020/02413-4) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq INCT 465355/2014-5). The grant 2013/17084-2

410   supported creating and maintaining the hla-mapper software and the pipeline from HLA calling from

411   NGS data.

References

1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, Korbel JO, Marchini JL, McCarthy S, McVean GA, et al. 2015. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**: 68–74.

Abi-Rached L, Gouret P, Yeh J-H, Di Cristofaro J, Pontarotti P, Picard C, Paganini J. 2018. Immune diversity sheds light on missing variation in worldwide genetic diversity panels. *PLoS ONE* **13**: e0206512.

Becht E, McInnes L, Healy J, Dutertre C-A, Kwok IWH, Ng LG, Ginhoux F, Newell EW. 2018. Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nat Biotechnol*.

Browning BL, Zhou Y, Browning SR. 2018. A One-Penny Imputed Genome from Next-Generation Reference Panels. *Am J Hum Genet* **103**: 338–348.

Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, Motyer A, Vukcevic D, Delaneau O, O'Connell J, et al. 2018. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* **562**: 203–209.

Byrska-Bishop M, Evani US, Zhao X, Basile AO, Abel HJ, Regier AA, Corvelo A, Clarke WE, Musunuri R, Nagulapalli K, et al. 2022. High-coverage whole-genome sequencing of the expanded 1000 Genomes Project cohort including 602 trios. *Cell* **185**: 3426-3440.e19.

Castelli EC, de Castro MV, Naslavsky MS, Scliar MO, Silva NSB, Pereira RN, Ciriaco VAO, Castro CFB, Mendes-Junior CT, Silveira E de S, et al. 2022. MUC22, HLA-A, and HLA-DOB variants and COVID-19 in resilient super-agers from Brazil. *Front Immunol* **13**: 975918.

Castelli EC, Paz MA, Souza AS, Ramalho J, Mendes-Junior CT. 2018. Hla-mapper: An application to optimize the mapping of HLA sequences produced by massively parallel sequencing procedures. *Hum Immunol* **79**: 678–684.

Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. 2015. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**: 7.

Clarke L, Fairley S, Zheng-Bradley X, Streeter I, Perry E, Lowy E, Tassé A-M, Flicek P. 2017. The international Genome sample resource (IGSR): A worldwide collection of genome variation incorporating the 1000 Genomes Project data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: D854–D859.

Claussnitzer M, Cho JH, Collins R, Cox NJ, Dermitzakis ET, Hurles ME, Kathiresan S, Kenny EE, Lindgren CM, MacArthur DG, et al. 2020. A brief history of human disease genetics. *Nature* **577**: 179–189.

Concannon P, Chen W-M, Julier C, Morahan G, Akolkar B, Erlich HA, Hilner JE, Nerup J, Nierras C, Pociot F, et al. 2009. Genome-wide scan for linkage to type 1 diabetes in 2,496 multiplex families from the Type 1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium. *Diabetes* **58**: 1018–1022.

Cook S, Choi W, Lim H, Luo Y, Kim K, Jia X, Raychaudhuri S, Han B. 2021. Accurate imputation of human leukocyte antigens with CookHLA. *Nat Commun* **12**: 1264.

COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. 2021. Mapping the human genetic architecture of COVID-19. *Nature* **600**: 472–477.

Dai CL, Vazifeh MM, Yeang C-H, Tachet R, Wells RS, Vilar MG, Daly MJ, Ratti C, Martin AR. 2020.
Population Histories of the United States Revealed through Fine-Scale Migration and
Haplotype Analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* **106**: 371–388.

Dausset J. 1958. [Iso-leuko-antibodies]. *Acta Haematol* **20**: 156–166.

Dausset J. 1981. The major histocompatibility complex in man. *Science* **213**: 1469–1474.

Degenhardt F, Wendorff M, Wittig M, Ellinghaus E, Datta LW, Schembri J, Ng SC, Rosati E, Hübenthal
M, Ellinghaus D, et al. 2019. Construction and benchmarking of a multi-ethnic reference
panel for the imputation of HLA class I and II alleles. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**: 2078–2092.

Diaz-Papkovich A, Anderson-Trocmé L, Gravel S. 2021. A review of UMAP in population genetics. *J
Hum Genet* **66**: 85–91.

Domenighetti C, Douillard V, Sugier P-E, Sreelatha AAK, Schulte C, Grover S, May P, Bobbili DR,
Radivojkov-Blagojevic M, Lichtner P, et al. 2022. The Interaction between HLA-DRB1 and
Smoking in Parkinson's Disease Revisited. *Mov Disord*.

Douillard V, Castelli EC, Mack SJ, Hollenbach JA, Gourraud P-A, Vince N, Limou S. 2021a. Approaching
Genetics Through the MHC Lens: Tools and Methods for HLA Research. *Front Genet* **12**:
774916.

Douillard V, Castelli EC, Mack SJ, Hollenbach JA, Gourraud P-A, Vince N, Limou S, Covid-19, HLA &
Immunogenetics Consortium and the SNP-HLA Reference Consortium. 2021b. Current HLA
Investigations on SARS-CoV-2 and Perspectives. *Front Genet* **12**: 774922.

Duerr RH, Taylor KD, Brant SR, Rioux JD, Silverberg MS, Daly MJ, Steinhart AH, Abraham C, Regueiro
M, Griffiths A, et al. 2006. A genome-wide association study identifies IL23R as an
inflammatory bowel disease gene. *Science* **314**: 1461–1463.

Fellay J, Shianna KV, Ge D, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, Weale M, Zhang K, Gumbs C, Castagna A,
Cossarizza A, et al. 2007. A whole-genome association study of major determinants for host
control of HIV-1. *Science* **317**: 944–947.

Herzig AF, Velo-Suárez L, Frex Consortium, FranceGenRef Consortium, Dina C, Redon R, Deleuze J-F,
Génin E. 2022. *Can imputation in a European country be improved by local reference panels?*
The example of France. Genetics http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.02.17.480829
(Accessed February 27, 2023).

Hirata M, Kamatani Y, Nagai A, Kiyohara Y, Ninomiya T, Tamakoshi A, Yamagata Z, Kubo M, Muto K,
Mushiroda T, et al. 2017. Cross-sectional analysis of BioBank Japan clinical data: A large
cohort of 200,000 patients with 47 common diseases. *J Epidemiol* **27**: S9–S21.

Hu Z, Liu Y, Zhai X, Dai J, Jin G, Wang L, Zhu L, Yang Y, Liu J, Chu M, et al. 2013. New loci associated
with chronic hepatitis B virus infection in Han Chinese. *Nat Genet* **45**: 1499–1503.

Huang Y-H, Khor S-S, Zheng X, Chen H-Y, Chang Y-H, Chu H-W, Wu P-E, Lin Y-J, Liao S-F, Shen C-Y, et
al. 2020. A high-resolution HLA imputation system for the Taiwanese population: a study of
the Taiwan Biobank. *Pharmacogenomics J*.

International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium. 2019. Multiple sclerosis genomic map
implicates peripheral immune cells and microglia in susceptibility. *Science* **365**.

Jia X, Han B, Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen W-M, Concannon PJ, Rich SS, Raychaudhuri S, de Bakker PIW.
2013. Imputing amino acid polymorphisms in human leukocyte antigens. *PLoS ONE* **8**:
e64683.

Kals M, Nikopensius T, Läll K, Pärn K, Tõnis Sikka T, Suvisaari J, Salomaa V, Ripatti S, Palotie A,
Metspalu A, et al. 2019. *Advantages of genotype imputation with ethnically matched*
reference panel for rare variant association analyses. Genomics
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/579201 (Accessed February 27, 2023).

Klein RJ, Zeiss C, Chew EY, Tsai J-Y, Sackler RS, Haynes C, Henning AK, SanGiovanni JP, Mane SM,
Mayne ST, et al. 2005. Complement factor H polymorphism in age-related macular
degeneration. *Science* **308**: 385–389.

Lewontin RC. 1972. The Apportionment of Human Diversity. In *Evolutionary Biology* (eds. T.
Dobzhansky, M.K. Hecht, and W.C. Steere), pp. 381–398, Springer US, New York, NY
http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4684-9063-3_14 (Accessed February 27, 2023).

Limou S, Le Clerc S, Coulonges C, Carpentier W, Dina C, Delaneau O, Labib T, Taing L, Sladek R,
Deveau C, et al. 2009. Genomewide association study of an AIDS-nonprogression cohort
emphasizes the role played by HLA genes (ANRS Genomewide Association Study 02). *J Infect*
Dis **199**: 419–426.

Limou S, Zagury J-F. 2013. Immunogenetics: Genome-Wide Association of Non-Progressive HIV and
Viral Load Control: HLA Genes and Beyond. *Frontiers in Immunology* **4**: 1–13.

Luo Y, Kanai M, Choi W, Li X, Sakaue S, Yamamoto K, Ogawa K, Gutierrez-Arcelus M, Gregersen PK,
Stuart PE, et al. 2021. A high-resolution HLA reference panel capturing global population
diversity enables multi-ancestry fine-mapping in HIV host response. *Nat Genet* **53**: 1504–
1516.

MacArthur J, Bowler E, Cerezo M, Gil L, Hall P, Hastings E, Junkins H, McMahon A, Milano A, Morales
J, et al. 2017. The new NHGRI-EBI Catalog of published genome-wide association studies
(GWAS Catalog). *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: D896–D901.

Maiers M, Gragert L, Klitz W. 2007. High-resolution HLA alleles and haplotypes in the United States
population. *Hum Immunol* **68**: 779–788.

Maróstica AS, Nunes K, Castelli EC, Silva NSB, Weir BS, Goudet J, Meyer D. 2022. How HLA diversity is
apportioned: influence of selection and relevance to transplantation. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond*
B Biol Sci **377**: 20200420.

McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, Kang HM, Fuchsberger C,
Danecek P, Sharp K, et al. 2016. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype
imputation. *Nat Genet* **48**: 1279–1283.

McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J. 2018. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for
Dimension Reduction. https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03426 (Accessed February 27, 2023).

Meyer D, Nunes K. 2017. HLA imputation, what is it good for? *Hum Immunol* **78**: 239–241.

Mimori T, Yasuda J, Kuroki Y, Shibata TF, Katsuoka F, Saito S, Nariai N, Ono A, Nakai-Inagaki N,
Misawa K, et al. 2019. Construction of full-length Japanese reference panel of class I HLA
genes with single-molecule, real-time sequencing. *Pharmacogenomics J* **19**: 136–146.

Motyer A, Vukcevic D, Dilthey A, Donnelly P, McVean G, Leslie S. 2016. *Practical Use of Methods for*
Imputation of HLA Alleles from SNP Genotype Data. Genetics
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/091009 (Accessed February 27, 2023).

Moutsianas L, Jostins L, Beecham AH, Dilthey AT, Xifara DK, Ban M, Shah TS, Patsopoulos NA,
Alfredsson L, Anderson CA, et al. 2015. Class II HLA interactions modulate genetic risk for
multiple sclerosis. *Nat Genet* **47**: 1107–1113.

Naito T, Suzuki K, Hirata J, Kamatani Y, Matsuda K, Toda T, Okada Y. 2021. A deep learning method
for HLA imputation and trans-ethnic MHC fine-mapping of type 1 diabetes. *Nat Commun* **12**:
1639.

Nalls MA, Blauwendraat C, Vallerga CL, Heilbron K, Bandres-Ciga S, Chang D, Tan M, Kia DA, Noyce AJ,
Xue A, et al. 2019. Identification of novel risk loci, causal insights, and heritable risk for
Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Lancet Neurol* **18**:
1091–1102.

Naslavsky MS, Scliar MO, Yamamoto GL, Wang JYT, Zverinova S, Karp T, Nunes K, Ceroni JRM, de
Carvalho DL, da Silva Simões CE, et al. 2022. Whole-genome sequencing of 1,171 elderly
admixed individuals from São Paulo, Brazil. *Nat Commun* **13**: 1004.

Nordin J, Ameur A, Lindblad-Toh K, Gyllensten U, Meadows JRS. 2020. SweHLA: the high confidence
HLA typing bio-resource drawn from 1000 Swedish genomes. *Eur J Hum Genet* **28**: 627–635.

Nunes K, Zheng X, Torres M, Moraes ME, Piovezan BZ, Pontes GN, Kimura L, Carnavalli JEP, Mingroni
Netto RC, Meyer D. 2016. HLA imputation in an admixed population: An assessment of the
1000 Genomes data as a training set. *Hum Immunol* **77**: 307–312.

Okada Y, Momozawa Y, Ashikawa K, Kanai M, Matsuda K, Kamatani Y, Takahashi A, Kubo M. 2015.
Construction of a population-specific HLA imputation reference panel and its application to
Graves' disease risk in Japanese. *Nat Genet* **47**: 798–802.

Pairo-Castineira E, Clohisey S, Klaric L, Bretherick AD, Rawlik K, Pasko D, Walker S, Parkinson N,
Fourman MH, Russell CD, et al. 2021. Genetic mechanisms of critical illness in COVID-19.
Nature **591**: 92–98.

Pappas DJ, Tomich A, Garnier F, Marry E, Gourraud P-A. 2015. Comparison of high-resolution human
leukocyte antigen haplotype frequencies in different ethnic groups: Consequences of
sampling fluctuation and haplotype frequency distribution tail truncation. *Hum Immunol* **76**:
374–380.

R Core Team. 2022. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria https://www.R-project.org/.

Ritari J, Hyvärinen K, Clancy J, FinnGen, Partanen J, Koskela S. 2020. Increasing accuracy of HLA
imputation by a population-specific reference panel in a FinnGen biobank cohort. *NAR*
Genomics and Bioinformatics **2**: lqaa030.

Robinson J, Barker DJ, Georgiou X, Cooper MA, Flicek P, Marsh SGE. 2020. IPD-IMGT/HLA Database.
Nucleic Acids Res **48**: D948–D955.

Sakaue S, Hirata J, Kanai M, Suzuki K, Akiyama M, Lai Too C, Arayssi T, Hammoudeh M, Al Emadi S,
Masri BK, et al. 2020. Dimensionality reduction reveals fine-scale structure in the Japanese
population with consequences for polygenic risk prediction. *Nat Commun* **11**: 1569.

Taliun D, Harris DN, Kessler MD, Carlson J, Szpiech ZA, Torres R, Taliun SAG, Corvelo A, Gogarten SM,
Kang HM, et al. 2021. Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed
Program. *Nature* **590**: 290–299.

Tam V, Patel N, Turcotte M, Bossé Y, Paré G, Meyre D. 2019. Benefits and limitations of genome-wide
association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**: 467–484.

Valencia A, Vergara C, Thio CL, Vince N, Douillard V, Grifoni A, Cox AL, Johnson EO, Kral AH, Goedert
JJ, et al. 2022. Trans-ancestral fine-mapping of MHC reveals key amino acids associated with
spontaneous clearance of hepatitis C in HLA-DQβ1. *Am J Hum Genet* **109**: 299–310.

Vergara C, Thio CL, Johnson E, Kral AH, O'Brien TR, Goedert JJ, Mangia A, Piazzolla V, Mehta SH, Kirk
GD, et al. 2019. Multi-Ancestry Genome-Wide Association Study of Spontaneous Clearance of
Hepatitis C Virus. *Gastroenterology* **156**: 1496-1507.e7.

Vince N, Douillard V, Geffard E, Meyer D, Castelli EC, Mack SJ, Limou S, Gourraud P-A. 2020a. SNP-
HLA Reference Consortium (SHLARC): HLA and SNP data sharing for promoting MHC-centric
analyses in genomics. *Genet Epidemiol* **44**: 733–740.

Vince N, Limou S, Daya M, Morii W, Rafaels N, Geffard E, Douillard V, Walencik A, Boorgula MP,
Chavan S, et al. 2020b. Association of HLA-DRB1∗09:01 with tIgE levels among African-
ancestry individuals with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **146**: 147–155.

Visscher PM, Wray NR, Zhang Q, Sklar P, McCarthy MI, Brown MA, Yang J. 2017. 10 Years of GWAS
Discovery: Biology, Function, and Translation. *Am J Hum Genet* **101**: 5–22.

Zheng X, Shen J, Cox C, Wakefield JC, Ehm MG, Nelson MR, Weir BS. 2014. HIBAG--HLA genotype
imputation with attribute bagging. *Pharmacogenomics J* **14**: 192–200.

Zhou F, Cao H, Zuo X, Zhang T, Zhang X, Liu X, Xu R, Chen G, Zhang Y, Zheng X, et al. 2016. Deep
sequencing of the MHC region in the Chinese population contributes to studies of complex
disease. *Nat Genet* **48**: 740–746.