SINGLE CELL RESOLUTION OF THE ADULT ZEBRAFISH INTESTINE UNDER CONVENTIONAL CONDITIONS, AND IN RESPONSE TO AN ACUTE *VIBRIO CHOLERAE* INFECTION.

AUTHORS:

Lena O. Jones[1], Reegan J. Willms[1], Minjeong Shin[1], Xinyue Xu[1^], Ralph Derrick V. Graham[1^], Mckenna Eklund[1^], Edan Foley[1,2*].

1. Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
2. Department of Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

^Equally contributing authors. Author order was randomized using Siri on EF's iPhone.

*Corresponding Author: efoley@ualberta.ca

ABSTRACT

Vibrio cholerae is an aquatic bacterium that primarily infects the gastrointestinal tract, causing the severe and potentially deadly diarrheal disease, cholera. Despite the impact of *Vibrio* on global health, our understanding of host mucosal responses to the pathogen at the site of infection remains limited, highlighting a critical gap in our knowledge that must be addressed to develop more effective prevention and treatment strategies. Using a natural infection model, we combined physiological and single-cell transcriptomic studies to characterize adult zebrafish guts raised under conventional conditions and after a challenge with *Vibrio*. We discovered that *Vibrio* caused a mild inflammatory response characterized by T cell activation and enhanced antigen capture in the epithelium. Additionally, we discovered that *Vibrio* suppresses host interferon signaling, and that ectopic activation of interferon significantly increases shedding of live pathogen by the host. Notably, we also found that the adult zebrafish gut shares many similarities with mammalian counterparts, including the presence of previously undescribed Best4+ cells, tuft cells, and transit amplifying cells. These discoveries provide important insights into host-pathogen interactions and emphasize the utility of zebrafish as a natural model of *Vibrio* infection.

INTRODUCTION

The aquatic bacterium *Vibrio cholerae* (*Vc*) is a non-invasive, deadly human pathogen that infects millions, claiming roughly 100,000 lives each year through the diarrheal disease cholera, with disproportionately high mortality rates among children[1]. Although rehydration can prevent death, access to clean drinking water is frequently limited during cholera outbreaks, especially in regions impacted by civil conflict or natural disaster[2,3]. Antibiotics and vaccines are effective against *Vc*, but antibiotic-resistant strains have emerged[4], and the efficacy of existing vaccines wanes with time[5,6]. Despite the importance of developing therapies that protect from disease, we have limited understanding of the immediate impacts of *Vc* on immune responses within the host gut. A paucity of information on intestinal anti-*Vc* responses significantly impedes our ability to develop rational, effective therapies or markers for disease severity. Gene expression data and histological examination of patient biopsies indicate a mild inflammatory response that likely includes granulocyte engagement and T cell mobilization[7–9]. However, assigning host responses directly to *Vc* is complicated. Many patients were co-infected with intestinal parasites, treated with antibiotics, and may have had prior *Vc* exposures. As a result, the intestinal response to a natural, primary encounter remains almost entirely unknown.

Several experimental models have been deployed to characterize the impacts of *Vc* on a host gut[10]. *Drosophila melanogaster* is excellent for studying *Vc* pathogenesis and pathogen-commensal interactions during disease[11–14]. However, flies lack immune-regulatory Intestinal Epithelial Cell (IEC) types found in humans, and the fly gut does not have associated populations of lymphoid and myeloid cells. Rabbits and mice are valuable mammalian models[15–17], but require work with immunologically immature juveniles, exposure to antibiotic cocktails, or surgical manipulation to replicate the diarrheal disease observed in humans[18].

In recent years, the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*, has emerged as an excellent vertebrate model of *Vc* pathogenesis[19–21]. Fish offer several advantages that other infection models lack. Wild and lab-raised fish naturally co-associate with *Vibrio* strains, and fish are a candidate vector for the transmission of pathogenic *Vc* in the wild[22,23]. Of equal significance, the simple addition of live *Vc* to fish water results in a host disease hallmarked by rapid diarrheal shedding of live pathogen[24]. Thus, the fish is ideal for studies

that characterize host responses to *Vc* in a natural infection. Despite general progress with the fish-*Vibrio* model, we have a rudimentary understanding of the gut immune response to infection. Physiologically, the fish intestine is quite similar to mammalian counterparts[25]. However, critical gaps in our understanding of adult intestinal physiology impair our ability to understand how *Vc* impacts gut defenses. Developmental, transcriptional and functional studies have outlined broad similarities between fish and mammalian intestines[26–33]. However, we have an incomplete picture of fish IEC composition and arrangement within the gut, and we lack a detailed characterization of the identity and state of gut-associated leukocytes. We believe a comprehensive characterization of cell functions encoded within the adult gut is essential for developing the zebrafish as a *Vibrio* infection model.

To advance our understanding of *Vc* pathogenesis in a natural infection, we completed structural and transcriptional characterizations of the adult fish gut in the presence or absence of pathogenic *Vc*. We discovered previously unknown adult fish IEC types, including lineages with human counterparts such as proliferative transit amplifying cells, tuft cells, and Best4+ absorptive cells. We simultaneously generated an unbiased atlas of the transcriptional states of gut-associated leukocytes that included granulocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, B cells, and specialist T cell subpopulations. We resolved the transcriptional response of each intestinal cell type to *Vc* at the level of individual cells and discovered that *Vc* prompts an inflammatory response in mature epithelial cells, activates protective anti-bacterial responses in gut-associated leukocytes, and suppresses expression of key interferon pathway elements throughout the gut. We consider possible relationships between infection and interferon signaling noteworthy, as interferon is the subject of natural selection in a human population from a cholera-endemic region[34]. In follow-up work, we showed that pre-treatment of infected adults with an interferon agonist reprogrammed the transcriptional response to infection and significantly increased diarrheal shedding of *Vc* by the host, suggesting a link between interferon and intestinal disease. Our work expands the value of zebrafish for studying enteric pathogens and resolves the gut response to *Vc* in a natural infection model. We believe a detailed resolution of the intestinal immune response to infection will be particularly valuable for the development of effective vaccines and biomarkers for disease.

RESULTS

The Adult Intestine Associates with Sub- and Intraepithelial Leukocytes

To determine how *Vc* affects intestinal function and morphology in adult fish, we first used tissue histology, immunofluorescence, and immunohistochemistry to characterize gut architecture in wildtype adults. H&E stains confirmed earlier reports that the adult intestine includes an epithelial monolayer that protrudes into the lumen[25] (Figure 1A). Each fold contained physically distinct cell types that included mucus-filled goblet cells, columnar epithelial cells, and inter-epithelial leukocytes (Figure 1B-C). Intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) were marked by membrane-enriched beta-catenin staining, most visibly at the basal margins of goblet cells (Figure 1D). We also detected basal clusters of PCNA-positive proliferating cells that parallel a recent description of cycling cells in the adult gut and are highly reminiscent of the intestinal stem cell/transit amplifying cell compartment of mammalian small intestinal crypts (Figure 1E). Immunofluorescence staining of the pan-leukocyte marker L-plastin revealed a regular distribution of intraepithelial leukocytes (Figure 1F-H), showing that, like mammals, fish intestinal epithelial cells interdigit with specialist immune cells. We also observed occasional subepithelial aggregates of intestinal leukocytes (Figure 1A, I-K) that included round, lymphoid-like cells, large, eosin-rich cells (Figure 1J), and cells that expressed the antigen-presenting cell marker *mpeg1.1* (Figure 1L). In combination, our data highlight the adult fish gut as an elaborate structure with overt similarities to mammalian counterparts, including subepithelial aggregates of gut-associated leukocytes.



FIGURE 1: Arrangement of Epithelial Cells and Associated-Leukocytes in the Adult Zebrafish Gut. (A-C) H&E stains of sagittal posterior intestinal sections from adult zebrafish. Scale bars are indicated in each panels. In A, a prominent subepithelial leukocyte aggregate is marked with an arrowhead. In C, tissue is also stained with alcian blue to visualize goblet cells. Representative inter-epithelial leukocytes and alcian blue-positive goblet cells are marked with arrowheads and asterisks, respectively. (D-E) Immunohistochemical images of a sagittal posterior intestine section stained for beta-catenin (D) and PCNA (E). (F-H) Immunofluorescence imaging of two posterior intestinal folds stained for DNA (F) and the pan-leukocyte marker, L-plastin (G). In the merged, false-colored image (H), DNA is labeled blue, leukocytes are labeled red, and the tight junction marker ZO-1 is labeled green. (J) H&E stains of a subepithelial leukocyte aggregate from the posterior intestine. (J) Digital magnification of the boxed region from I. Round, lymphoid-like cells are marked with asterisks and larger, eosin-rich cells are marked with arrowheads. (K) A merged, false-colored image of a sub-epithelial aggregate with DNA labeled blue, leukocytes labeled red, and the tight junction marker ZO-1 labeled green. (L) Immunofluorescence imaging of a leukocyte aggregate from *mpeg1.1:GFP* fish with DNA labeled blue, and filamentous actin labeled red. GFP-positive APCs within a lymphoid aggregate are labeled with a white arrowhead.

The Intestine Contains a Complex Population of Spatially and Functionally Specialist Epithelial Cells.

To uncover the range of cellular functions encoded within such a complex epithelial structure, we determined the transcriptomes of single cells purified from healthy adult fish guts. We successfully generated high-quality expression data for 18,358 cells that partitioned into 16 transcriptional states

through graph-based clustering (Figure 2A). We assigned a cellular identity to each state based on expression of established cell type markers from fish and mammals (Figure 2B, Supplementary table 1). Among the transcriptional clusters, we identified leukocytes, differentiated stromal cells, and nine IEC types that included a cycling population enriched for the Notch mediator *her15.1* (Figure 2B), a pan-stem cell marker in zebrafish[35–37]. Fluorescence in situ hybridization showed that *her15.1*+ cells are proliferative residents of the interfold base (Figure 2C), as expected for intestinal stem cells. Our transcriptional identification of a second cluster of cycling cells (Figure 2A-B), alongside our immunohistochemical characterization of multiple proliferative cells at each fold base (Figure 1E) indicates that, like mammals, the adult fish intestine contains a population of basal, undifferentiated, transit amplifying (TA) cells (Figure 2B). We also identified transcriptional profiles for secretory enteroendocrine, goblet, and tuft cells, as well as absorptive enterocytes, lysosome-rich enterocytes (LREs), and recently discovered Best4+ cells (Figure 2B). We found that *pou2f3*+ IECs did not express the endocrine marker *neurod1*, and were morphologically distinct from goblet cells (Figure 2D), confirming the existence of tuft cells in adult fish guts.

To fully characterize IEC cell types, we systematically analyzed gene expression profiles of all secretory and absorptive lineage for specialist subsets. In this manner, we resolved the enteroendocrine population (Figure 2B) into eight subtypes based on their unique peptide-hormone expression profiles (Figure 2F). We also identified two tuft cell subsets distinguished by expression of *si:dkey-61f9.1*, a C-type lectin domain-containing protein that exhibits homology to the IgE receptor *fcer2* (Figure 2G). Upon examination of enterocytes, we identified four unique clusters. Cells within cluster two were enriched for expression of the bile acid binding protein gene *fabp6*; cluster three cells expressed high levels of endopeptidases including meprin subunits; and cluster four cells expressed interferon-response genes, such as *ifit14*, *isg15* and *rsad2*. Our identification of interferon-sensitive enterocytes in adults matches our recent discovery of an enterocyte population in conventionally raised larvae that expressed interferon response genes[38], and suggests enhanced immune activity within a dedicated enterocyte population (Figure 2H). We also discovered transcriptionally distinct LRE and Best4+ populations (Figure 2I,J), where LRE cluster three and Best4+ cluster two closely resembled canonical enterocytes, suggesting

that these absorptive subtypes may arise from a common progenitor. *In vivo* visualization of the Best4+ cell marker *cftr* showed that *cftr*-positive cells generally resided in the lower half of intestinal folds (Figure 2E), suggesting a spatially restricted requirement for Best4+ cells. In sum, our imaging and transcriptional data reveal the adult fish gut as an integrated community of spatially organized specialist cells that act in concert to support animal health and protect from infection. We consider our findings of value to a large community of researchers and have made all conventional IEC and leukocyte gene expression data available as a searchable tool on the Broad Institute Single Cell Portal (https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/study/SCP2141/adult-zebrafish-intestine#study-visualize).



FIGURE 2: The Intestinal Epithelium Contains a Complex Mix of Proliferative and Mature Specialist Epithelial Cells. (A) 2D t-SNE projections of 18,358 intestinal cells color coded by cell type. (B) Heatmap of IEC cluster markers colored by relative gene expression and arranged according to cell type. Cell types are indicated by colored bars on the left and top. Prominent markers for each cluster are shown on the right y-axis of the heatmap. (C) Fluorescence visualization of EdU-positive cycling cells (cyan), and *her15.1* positive cells (magenta) in an intestinal fold base. In the merged image all nuclei are labeled in white and a *her15.1*/EdU double-positive cell is marked with a yellow arrowhead. Scale bar =

10μm (D) Fluorescence visualization of *neurod1*-positive endocrine cells (cyan), and *pou2f3*-positive tuft cells (arrowhead, magenta) in an intestinal fold. In the merged image all nuclei are labeled in white. Scale bar = 25μm for left panel and 5μm for inset. (E) Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization showing the basally enriched expression pattern of the Best4+ cell marker *cftr* in three intestinal folds. Scale bar = 25μm. (F) t-SNE plot of enteroendocrine cells color coded by subset type. Bubble plot shows the relative expression levels for eighteen peptide hormones across all eight cell types (G-J) t-SNE plots of Tuft (G), Enterocyte (H), Lysosome-Rich Enterocytes (I) and Best4+ clusters from original graph-based analysis, re-clustered and color coded by cell type. In each instance, heatmaps show expression of subset cell markers colored by relative gene expression. Cell types are indicated by colored bars on the left and top. Markers for each subset are shown on the right y-axis of each heatmap.

Gut-Associated Leukocytes Mediate Critical Protective Responses

Given the importance of gut-associated leukocytes for intestinal homeostasis, and for defense against pathogenic microbes, we elected to characterize the transcriptional states of intestinal leukocytes in greater detail. Using graph-based clustering of 2985 leukocytes, we identified five cell types: a dominant population of T cells, alongside B cells, macrophages, granulocytes and dendritic cells (Figure 3A). Each cluster had a unique gene expression profile (Figure 3B, Supplementary Figure 1A-E, supplementary table 2), that implicate specialist, cell type-specific contributions to intestinal innate and adaptive defenses (Supplementary Figure 1F). May-Grunwald Giemsa stains of gut-associated leukocytes confirmed the existence of morphologically distinct cell types, including round lymphocytes (Figure 3C), polymorphonuclear granulocytes (Figure 3D), phagocytes (Figure 3E), and dendritic cells with extensive membrane ruffling (Figure 3F). A recent study demonstrated that zebrafish produce conventional antigen-processing dendritic cells, as well as plasmacytoid dendritic cells typically associated with anti-viral responses[39]. We found that gut-associated dendritic cells primarily expressed conventional DC markers such as the CD225 Dispanin family member *si:dkey-33i11.9*, *spi1a*, and *hepacam2*, with minimal expression of the plasmacytoid DC markers *ctsbb*, *irf8*, and *ftl3* (Figure 3G), indicating that intestinal dendritic cells primarily belong to the conventional subset.

Looking at the T cell population, we distinguished three transcriptional states (Figure 3H). The most prominent T cell type was conventional T cells that expressed *cd4* or *cd8* paralogs (Figure 3I-L), indicating an abundance of cytolytic and helper T cell populations in adult fish guts. CD8+ cells were more abundant than CD4+ cells (Figure 3M), and all T cells appeared to be fully mature, as we detected co-expression of *cd4* or *cd8* paralogs within individual cells (Figure 3N), but never co-expression of *cd4* and *cd8* (Figure

3O). The remaining T cell subsets were marked by expression of numerous *nitr* genes or *gata3*, respectively, matching the recently characterized type three and two populations of gut-associated innate lymphoid cells[40] (ILCs, Figure 3P). Functionally, ILC2 and ILC3 cells displayed clear distinctions, with ILC3 cells primarily expressing genes linked with T cell activation and type 2 antibacterial responses, whereas ILC2 cells expressed genes associated with adaptive immune responses (Supplementary Figure 1G). Combined, our work points to cell type-specific execution of intestinal defenses by a mix of lymphoid and myeloid lineages.



Figure 3: The Adult Intestinal Epithelium Associates with Protective Lymphoid and Myeloid Cells. (A) 2D t-SNE projections of 2,985 gut-associated leukocytes color coded by cell type. (B) Heatmap of leukocyte cluster markers colored by relative gene expression and arranged according to cell type. Cell types are indicated by colored bars on the right and top. Markers for each cluster are labeled on the y-axis of the heatmap. (C-F) May-Grunwald Giemsa stains of leukocytes isolated from the intestines of adult fish showing classical morphologies of lymphocytes (C), neutrophils (D), phagocytes (E), and dendritic cells (F). (G) Heatmap of the relative expression of conventional dendritic cell markers (DC1) and plasmacytoid dendritic cell markers (DC2) In the indicated antigen-presenting cell populations. Conventional dendritic cell markers are specifically enriched in intestinal dendritic cells. (H) t-SNE plots

of T cell clusters color coded by cell subtype. (I-L) Feature plots showing the expression of *cd8a*, *cd8b*, *cd4-1* and *cd4-2.1* in intestinal T Cells. (M) Bubble plot showing the relative expression levels of four *cd4* or *cd8* paralogs across all three T cell subtypes. (N-O) Scatter plots examining the relative expression of *cd8a* and *cd8b* (N) and *cd8a* and *cd4-1* (O) across conventional T cells. r value indicates Pearson correlation for both curves. (P) Heatmap showing the expression of active, cytotoxic T cell-associated granzymes, and ILC3 and ILC2 cell markers in each T cell subtype. T cell subtypes are indicated by colored bars on the top. Markers are labeled on the y-axis.

Vibrio cholerae Infections Modify Innate Immune Responses in the Adult Intestinal Epithelium

Thus far, our data reveal the structural and transcriptional organization of adult intestinal cells during homeostasis. To understand how infection with *Vc* impacts gut function, we generated single cell gene expression profiles of intestines from adult fish that we challenged overnight with *Vc* at the same time as our profiles of conventional, uninfected fish. We found that *Vc* associated with anterior, middle and posterior intestinal segments to approximately equal levels throughout the first three days of infection (Figure 4A-C). Histological stains revealed extensive epithelial damage during infection. Unchallenged fish had large, regular villus-like projections that permeated the intestinal lumen (Figure 4D-E). In contrast, infections disrupted epithelial integrity (Figure 4F), leading to breaches, lumenal shedding of intestinal material (Figure 4G), and occasional internalization of microbial cells with Vc-like morphology (Figure 4H). Looking at differential gene expression within an integrated dataset derived from IECs of infected and unchallenged fish (Figure 4I), we observed immune responses to infection that included increased expression of proinflammatory genes (e.g. *grn1*, *agr2*, *icn* Figure 4J) and suppressed transcription of numerous interferon response signatures (e.g. *isg15*, *ifit15*, *rsad2*, Figure 4J,K). Examination of cell type specific responses (supplementary table 3) revealed modest suppression of genes required for leukocyte recruitment, most notably in Best4+ cells (Figure 4L); enhanced expression of genes involved in antigen presentation, particularly in enterocytes (Figure 4M); and cell type-specific shifts in expression of genes linked with mucin production, including an unexpected attenuation of *mucin2* expression in goblet cells (Figure 4N). The most prominent epithelial response to infection was a broad suppression of genes associated with the interferon response in mature, differentiation IECs. With the exception of progenitors, we found that exposure to *Vc* significantly attenuated expression of a host of interferon signaling markers (e.g. *ifi46*, *isg15*, *stat1a*, *stat1b* and *stat2*) in secretory and absorptive cells (Figure 4K). Thus, our data show that infection with pathogenic *Vc* impacts intestinal integrity, and

prompts an epithelial response characterized by induction of genes required for antigen capture, alongside suppression of genes linked with interferon pathway activity.



Figure 4: *Vibrio cholerae* activates Inflammatory Responses While Suppressing Interferon Signaling in IECs. (A-C). CFU Counts of gut-associated *Vc* in anterior, middle, and posterior intestinal sections of infected fish, 16h (A), 40h (B) and 64h post-infection (h.p.i.). (D-G) H&E stains of sagittal posterior intestinal sections from uninfected (D-E) and infected adult zebrafish (F-G) at the indicated magnifications. Scale bars are indicated in all panels. (H) Transmission Electron Microscopy image from a sectioned, infected adult intestine. An internalized microbe with a *Vc*-like morphology is indicated with a red arrowhead. (I) t-SNE projections of profiled cells from an integrated data set generated from uninfected and infected IECs color-coded by cell type. The left panel shows uninfected IECs and the right panel shows infected IECs. (J) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes in infected epithelial relative to uninfected controls. Y-axis shows the relative expression changes on a log2 scale, and x-axis shows significance values as a -log10 value. (K-N) Heatmaps showing differentially expressed genes related to interferon signaling (K), leukocyte recruitment (L), antigen presentation (M) and mucin production (N) in infected cells relative to uninfected counterparts.

Vibrio Challenges Initiate an Anti-Bacterial, Pro-Inflammatory Response in Gut-Associated Leukocytes.

Vc is a non-invasive microbe that exclusively infects the intestine. However, we have minimal data on the impacts of *Vc* on leukocyte function at the site of infection in any experimental model, or in patient samples. For example, we do not know how intestinal antigen-presenting cells or lymphocytes respond to a primary encounter with *Vc*. Our integrated single cell data included 3,871 gut-associated myeloid and lymphoid cells (Figure 5E), providing a unique window into the leukocyte response to *Vc*.

Infections did not have appreciable effects on the proportion of gut-associated leukocytes that expressed GFP reporters driven by the T cell-specific *lck* promoter (*lck:GFP*, Figure 5A-B), or the antigen-presenting cell-specific *mpeg1.1* promoter (*mpeg1.1:GFP*, Figure 5C-D). In contrast, we saw substantial, cell type-specific effects of *Vc* on gene expression in each leukocyte cell type. For example, infection enhanced expression of antibacterial type two immune response genes in B cells (Figure 5F), as well as genes linked with T cell recruitment and activation, such as the *ccl20l* cytokine and the co-stimulatory paralogs *tnfrsf9a* and *tnfrsf9b* (Figure 5G). At this early stage of infection, the T cell response was muted relative to all other cell types (Figure 5H), likely reflecting the fact that T cells are dispensable for antigen capture during initial stages of infection. Many of the *Vc*-response genes in T cells were primarily linked with metabolic adaptations (Figure 5F). However, we observed signatures of T cell activation that included enhanced expression of the il2 receptor beta ortholog *il2rb*, and elevated expression of *ccr9a*, a gene linked with maturation of mucosal T cells (Figure 5H).

Looking at phagocytes, we detected lineage-specific responses to infection. For example, dendritic cells modified expression of genes required for peptidoglycan metabolism and cellular chemotaxis (Figure 5F), enhanced expression of the pro-inflammatory granulins *grn1* and *grn2* (Figure 5I), and increased expression of key components of the antigen processing and presentation machinery (e.g. *ctsh*, *ctsz*, *mhc2a*, Figure 5I). In agreement with a previous report, unchallenged macrophages expressed pro- and anti-inflammatory M1 and M2 markers at the population level[41] (supplementary figure 2A-D). However, individual macrophages showed clear signs of polarization towards either the M1 or M2 phenotype (supplementary Figure 2E-G), with a pro-inflammatory M1 profile dominating the response to infection (supplementary figure 2H-I). Upon infection, we observed altered macrophage-specific expression of immune regulators (Figure 5F), diminished expression of the anti-inflammatory il10 receptor, *il10ra*, and increased expression of *ccl19a*, a chemokine-encoding gene associated with T cell recruitment (Figure 5J). In sum, our data uncover a coordinated engagement of specialist immune cells by *Vc* that promotes inflammatory defenses within the gut, increases the capacity to capture microbial antigens, and enhances recruitment and activation of gut-associated T cells. Notably, we discovered that, like the IEC response to infection, *Vc* attenuated expression of multiple interferon-response genes, including *cxcl11* paralogs in B cells and macrophages (Figure 5G, J), *ifi30* in T cells (Figure 5H) and *isg15* in macrophages (Figure 5J), indicating that suppressed interferon signaling is a unifying feature of the host intestinal response to *Vc*.



Figure 5: Lymphoid and Myeloid Responses to a Primary Encounter with *Vc*. (A-D) Percentage of gut-associated leukocytes that express GFP reporters under control of the *lck* or *mpeg1.1* promoters in uninfected and infected conditions as indicated. (E) t-SNE projections of an integrated data set generated from all leukocytes and color-coded by cell type. The left panel shows uninfected leukocytes and the right panel shows infected leukocytes. (F) The top five non-redundant Gene Ontology terms induced by infection per cell type. Enrichment score is represented by bar length and the negative log p value is shown with black circles. (G-J) Volcano plots of infection-dependent differentially expressed genes in the indicated cell type, with significance values represented on the y-axis and relative fold-change of gene expression on the x-axis. For all four cell types, only genes with log2 expression changes greater than 0.25 are shown. Heatmaps indicate expression values of three *Vc*-response genes for each cell type.

***Vibrio cholerae* Suppresses Interferon Signaling in Infected Intestines.**

Our single cell gene expression data indicated an intestinal immune response to *Vc* characterized by pro-inflammatory gene expression, and attenuated interferon signals in IECs (Figure 4) and gut-associated leukocytes (Figure 5). Consistent with links between infection and diminished interferon activity, we detected significantly suppressed expression of the interferon-sensitive *viperin* ortholog *rsad2* in mature IECs, including endocrine, goblet, and enterocyte cells (Figure 6A). We also discovered that challenges with *Vc* greatly diminished the extent of STAT phosphorylation in IECs (Figure 6D-E) relative to unchallenged IECs (Figure 6B-C), further supporting an inhibitory effect of *Vc* on intestinal IFN signaling.

We consider possible interactions between *Vc* and host interferon intriguing, as interferon is the subject of natural selection in a human population where *Vc* is endemic[34]. Therefore, we examined the relationship between interferon activation, gut immunity, and disease severity in infected fish. In initial experiments, we used quantitative gene expression analysis to compare the intestinal immune response of infected adults (*Vc*) to an experimental group that we pre-treated with the interferon pathway agonist poly I:C prior to infection (I:C/*Vc*, Figure 6F). In agreement with our single cell data, we found that infection with *Vc* alone drove an immune response characterized by induction of numerous pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. *ccl25b*, *ccl19a.1*, *ccl19b* and *ccl20a.2*, Figure 6G), enhanced expression of genes associated with macrophage function (e.g. *grn2*, *fcer1gl*, *mpeg1.1* and *traf6*, Figure 6H), and supported B cell activation marked by production of the IgM heavy chain, *ighm*, and the IgA-related IgZ heavy chain, *igzh* (Figure 6H). Notably, we confirmed that infection with *Vc* greatly attenuated expression of numerous interferon response genes (Figure 6I). Thus, the data in Figure 6C-E confirm that *Vc* establishes an inflammatory environment in the gut that includes a broad suppression of interferon-response genes.

From there, we quantified the impacts of pretreatment with poly I:C on the gut response to *Vc*. We found that poly I:C blocked *Vc*-dependent induction of many pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. *ccl20b*, *ccl25b* and *il1fma*, Figure 6G), and prevented *Vc*-mediated expression of critical signatures of B cell activation (e.g. *ighm*, *b2m*, *relb*, *ighz* Figure 6H). Instead, exposure to poly I:C enhanced *Vc*-dependent expression of multiple IFN pathway components (e.g. *jak2a*, *jak2b*, *irf3* Figure 6I), and increased

expression of a number of interferon-response genes (e.g. *isg20*, *ifitm1*, *rsad2*, *socs2*, *socs3b*, Figure 6I), indicating that poly I:C treatment tilted the gut *Vc* response towards an interferon signature.

Finally, we asked if poly I:C exposure impacted disease outcome. We were particularly interested in effects on *Vc* shedding, as fish are a candidate vector for *Vc* transmission in the wild. For this assay, we challenged poly I:C-treated fish with *Vc* for 16h, transferred infected fish to a fresh container daily, and measured water contamination by *Vc* at 24h intervals (Figure 6F). At both 24 and 48h post infection, we found that pre-treatment with poly I:C significantly increased the shedding of *Vc* relative to untreated, infected controls (Figure 6J). Thus, our data indicate that *Vc* suppresses interferon signaling in an infected fish, and that induction of the interferon response significantly increases the release of live bacteria by infected animals.


A: rsad2 infected relative to uninfected.
Best4-1
Best4-2
Enterocyte 3
Endocrine 2
Endocrine 7
Goblet
Goblet-like
LRE2
LRE3
Tuft-like
log2FC
0.0
-0.5
-1.0
-1.5
B: Uninfected 20X pSTAT
C: Uninfected 40X pSTAT
D: Infected 20X pSTAT
E: Infected 40X pSTAT
50μm
F
PBS
Vc
Gene expression, CFU, Fresh container
CFU
8h
24h
48h
I:C
G
ccl20b
ccl25b
cd180
il1fma
ccl19a.1
zbtb16a
zbtb16b
ccl20a.3
ccl19b
il16
ikzf1
ccr9a
il10b
ccl38.b
cxcr3.1
ccr4
ccl35.1
rag1
ccl25a
tnfrsf9a
Vc
I:C/Vc
Inflammation/T Cell Response
H
grn2
nfkbiab
ighm
b2m
fcer1gl
relb
mpeg1.1
ighz
tnip1
traf6
faslg
log2FC
1.0
0.5
0.0
-0.5
-1.0
Innate/B Cell Response
I
ifit10
socs1b
irf2
irf2bp1
jak2a
isg20
jak2b
ifit8
IFITM1
irfd2
irf2bpl
irf2bp2a
ifit4
ifit15
ifi30
isg15
rsad2
irf4b
socs2
irf3
ifit9
socs3b
Interferon Response
J
P=0.001
P=0.011
log10CFU
7
6
8
24
48
Time (h)

***Vc* Suppresses Host Interferon Signaling.** (A) Heatmap for the expression levels of *rsad2* in mature intestinal epithelial cells. (B-E) Immunohistochemical imaging of phosphorylated STAT in the intestinal epithelium of uninfected (B-C) and infected (D-E) fish at the indicated magnifications. Scale bars are shown for each panel. (F) A timeline for quantitative NanoString-based gene expression analysis and water CFU levels of *Vc* in infected fish pre-treated without (brown circles), or with poly I:C (red circles). (G-I) Heatmaps showing relative induction of indicated gene categories in infected fish (*Vc*) and in infected fish pre-treated with poly I:C (I:C/*Vc*). (J) CFU levels of *Vc* in water sampled from fish treated with *Vc* or poly I:C prior to *Vc* for the indicated periods. P values were calculated using an ANOVA test with Tukey's corrections for multiple comparisons.

DISCUSSION

Physiological, genetic and developmental studies demonstrated extensive similarities between fish and mammalian intestines, highlighting the utility of zebrafish to characterize drivers of intestinal illness[25,42–46]. Due to *ex utero* development, genetic accessibility, and optical transparency, larvae provide a simple, manipulable system to track intestinal function in real time. However, the larval gut is small, has not completed development, and interacts with a limited repertoire of leukocytes[41]. In contrast, the large, structurally elaborate adult intestine associates with a complex leukocyte mix that includes critical immune-regulatory myeloid and lymphoid lineages. However, we lack a comprehensive picture of IEC composition and distribution in adult guts, and most studies of gut-associated leukocytes examined purified subsets that expressed fluorescent marker proteins. We consider a thorough, functional characterization of the adult gut essential for experimental manipulation of zebrafish as an intestinal disease model. To understand how the gut functions during homeostasis and in response to acute infection, we prepared single cell gene expression atlases of adult intestines that we raised under conventional conditions, or after infection with *Vibrio cholerae*. Our study identifies the adult gut as a sophisticated organization of specialist cells that collectively protect from damage, while maximizing nutrient acquisition.

Upon examination of gene expression data in conventional adults, we discovered that the gut contains tuft and Best4+ cells that were only recently identified in developing larvae. Best4+ cells are an enigmatic cell type that was only recently identified in humans and fish[38,47,48]. The role of Best4+ cells in gut health is unclear. However, excess Best4 activity appears to support progression and metastasis of colorectal cancer[49], suggesting a role for Best4+ cells in intestinal homeostasis. Mice lack Best4+ cells, preventing us from studying this cell type in a popular vertebrate model. However, with the extensive toolset available for genetic studies, we anticipate that zebrafish will emerge as a critical model to understand the impacts of Best4+ cells on health and disease. Likewise, our identification of IECs that express classical tuft cell markers establishes the adult as a powerful tool to explore the role of tuft cells in intestinal immunity, including in the context of gut-resident innate and adaptive immune cells. We also uncovered two distinct populations of cycling IECs: an undifferentiated cluster that expressed classical stem cell markers,

alongside a second group enriched for Notch pathway components. We believe the latter cell type is a counterpart of vertebrate transit amplifying cells, and provided supporting immunohistochemical evidence that the fish gut epithelium contains an abundance of proliferative cells at each fold base. Thus, it appears that despite the absence of a crypt-like structure, fish rugae contain basal arrangements of stem and transit amplifying cells that mirror the progenitor compartment of mammalian intestines.

We also discovered striking specializations within mature epithelial cell types, including enteroendocrine cells, enterocytes, and lysosome-rich enterocytes. Each lineage had transcriptionally distinct subsets that allow fine-tuned control of nutrient processing and interorgan communication. For example, we identified specialist enterocytes that are hallmarked by active interferon signaling, a critical regulator of IEC survival and proliferation[50,51]. Our work also expands prior transcriptional characterizations of intestinal blood cell types by simultaneously generating an unbiased expression atlas of all gut-associated leukocytes in uninfected and infected adults. We confirmed polarized macrophages[41], intestinal T cells[40], and innate lymphoid cells[40], but also generated gene expression atlases for intestinal dendritic cells, B cells and granulocytes. Notably, we found that the adult intestine contains previously uncharacterized lymphoid aggregate-like structures with enriched populations of immune cells. Our work aligns with recent identification of mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue in the gill[52,53] and suggests that fish leukocyte defenses are elaborate in terms of organization and contribution from participant cells.

Alongside a transcriptional profile of conventional guts, we resolved the host response to *Vc* to the level of individual intestinal cell types. *Vc* is a deadly, non-invasive pathogen that impacts human populations globally. Fish are established models of *Vc* pathogenesis[24,54,55], but we lack detailed understanding of the impacts of a natural, primary encounter with *Vc* in patients or biomedically relevant models. Our work supports patient data that *Vc* causes a mild, inflammatory response that includes T cell engagement, but also clarifies the impact of *Vc* on host IECs, as well as gut-associated leukocytes. We discovered a coordinated response of IECs and their associated lymphocytes to infection that resulted in attenuated interferon signaling, and a moderate inflammatory response that included initiation of T cell-based defenses.

We consider interferon inhibition a striking feature of the fish response to *Vc*. Type I interferons act directly on the epithelium to arrest proliferation and prevent apoptosis, thereby supporting cellular maturation and maintaining epithelial barrier integrity[56,57]. At the same time, interferon acts on gut-associated leukocytes, including Treg, Th1 and Th17 cells to suppress inflammation and contribute to the repair of damaged epithelia[58–60]. In this regard, it is interesting that interferon appears to be the subject of natural selection in a human population where *Vc* is endemic, raising the possibility that modified interferon signaling impacts the progression of a *Vc* infection. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that exposure to an interferon pathway agonist suppressed intestinal inflammatory responses and increased the expression of numerous host genes linked with interferon activity. Interferon activation enhanced the diarrheal shedding of live pathogen by infected fish, thereby increasing the impact of fish as a vector for *Vc* transmission in the wild. Although, additional work is necessary to understand how interferon impacts gut defenses, we believe this study provides a rational cellular roadmap to track the involvement of each gut cell type in host intestinal immune response to *Vc*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. All zebrafish experiments were performed at the University of Alberta using protocols approved by the University's Animal Care & Use Committees, Biosciences and Health Sciences (#3032), operating under the guidelines of the Canadian Council of Animal Care. Wild type TL zebrafish were reared at 29°C in tank water (Instant Ocean Sea Salt dissolved in reverse osmosis water for a conductivity of 1000µS and pH buffered to pH 7.0 with sodium bicarbonate) under a 14h/10h light/dark cycle using standard zebrafish husbandry protocols. Zebrafish were fasted for 20h prior to infections.

Generation of transgenic zebrafish. Zebrafish with the promoter region of the pan-phagocyte marker, mpeg1.1[61], or T-cell marker, lck (Jeffrey Yoder, unpublished), driving EGFP expression were generated using the Tol2kit[62]. Entry plasmids were purchased from Addgene (p5E-mpeg1.1 plasmid #75023 and p5'Entry-lck-5.5kb plasmid #58890) then recombined into pDestTol2CG2 along with pME-EGFP and p3E-polyA using gateway cloning. The final constructs were confirmed via restriction digest and co-injected with transposase RNA into one-cell stage, wild type TL embryos. Larvae were screen for *cmlc2:EGFP* and reporter co-expression. A stable line was established by outcrossing founders to wild type AB zebrafish and screening for GFP expression.

Infection with *Vibrio cholerae* by immersion. The V52 *V. cholerae* strain was streaked from a glycerol stock onto LB agar supplemented with streptomycin (100µg/mL final) and grown overnight at 37°C. A single colony was grown overnight with aeration at 37°C in LB broth supplemented with streptomycin (100µg/mL final). Bacteria were washed with 1xPBS (pH 7.4) then resuspended to an OD600 of 1 (~10^8CFU/mL) in 1xPBS. Five fish were incubated in a 400-mL beaker containing 1mL of the OD600 = 1 culture or 1mL of 1xPBS ('uninfected') in 200mL sterile tank water (filtered through a 0.22µm filter) at 29°C and 14h/10h light/dark cycle as described previously[21]. To enumerate *V. cholerae* CFU in the intestine and tank water over time, fish were infected with GFP-expressing strains and fluorescence used to count *V. cholerae* colonies. Fish were transferred to fresh, sterile tank water and fed daily until the end of each experiment.

Treatment of zebrafish with Poly I:C by immersion. Five zebrafish housed in 400-mL beakers containing 200mL sterile tank water were immersed in high molecular weight polyinosine-polycytidylic acid (HMW poly I:C, InvivoGen Cat.# tlrl-pic-5) (50µg/mL final concentration in 200mL tank water) or 1xPBS ('untreated') for 5h. Five hours after treatment, fish were infected with *V. cholerae* or treated with 1xPBS, as indicated above, for 16h.

Generating single-cell suspensions for single cell RNA-sequencing. Five fish were infected or treated with PBS for 16h as described above. Zebrafish intestines were dissected, surrounding organs removed, then minced into smaller pieces with Vannas scissors. Minced intestinal tissue was washed in 10mL PBS (5 minutes, 300rcf, 4°C). The tissue was digested in a dissociation cocktail containing fresh collagenase A (1mg/mL), 40µg/mL Proteinase K, and Tryple (Gibco 12605-010, diluted 1:1000 [final]) in PBS for 30 min in a 37°C water bath. Tissue was mechanically disrupted by gentle pipetting 40X every 10 minutes to aid in digestion. A 10% stock of non-acetylated bovine serum albumin (BSA) was added at a final concentration of 1% in PBS to stop the dissociation after 30 minutes. Cell suspensions were diluted in 5mL ice-cold PBS then filtered through a 40µm filter fitted on a 50mL conical. Cells were pelleted (15min, 300rcf, 4°C) then the pellet was resuspended in 450µL PBS + 0.04% BSA and live cells collected using OptiPrep™ Density Gradient Medium (Sigma, D1556-250ML). OptiPrep™ Application Sheet C13 was followed to remove dead cells. Briefly, a 40% (w/v) iodixanol working solution was prepared by diluting 2 volumes of OptiPrep™ in 1 volume of PBS + 0.04% BSA. The working solution was used to prepare a 22% (w/v) iodixanol solution in PBS + 0.04% BSA. One volume of working solution was carefully mixed with 0.45 volume of cell suspension by gentle inversion. The cell suspension mixture was transferred to a 15mL conical tube and topped up to 6mL with working solution. The working solution was then overlaid with 3mL 22% (w/v) iodixanol diluted PBS + 0.04% BSA. Finally, the 22% iodixanol solution was overlaid with 0.5mL PBS + 0.04% BSA. Live cells were separated by centrifuging at 800g for 20 minutes at 20°C. Viable cells were collected from the top interface (top 500µL). Cells were washed in 1mL PBS + 0.04% BSA (10min, 300rcf, 4°C), supernatant removed, then resuspended in 50µL PBS +

0.04% BSA. Cell suspensions were filtered through a 40µm filter fitted on a 1.5mL tube. The filter was washed with 20µL PBS + 0.04% BSA. Viability and cell counts were determined by staining dead cells with Trypan blue and counting with a hemacytometer. Cell suspensions were then diluted to a concentration of 1200 cell/µL and immediately run through the 10X Genomics Chromium Controller with Chromium Single Cell 3' Library & Gel Bead Kit v3.1. Library QC and sequencing was performed by Novogene using the Illumina HiSeq platform.

Processing and analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data. Cell Ranger v3.0 (10X Genomics) was used to demultiplex raw base call files from Illumina sequencing and to align reads to the Zebrafish reference genome (Ensembl GRCz11.96). Cell Ranger output matrices were analyzed using the Seurat R package version 4.1[63] in RStudio. Cells possessing fewer than 200 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs), greater than 2500 UMIs, or greater than 25% mitochondrial reads were removed to reduce the number of low-quality cells and doublets. Seurat was then used to normalize expression values and perform cell clustering on individual or integrated datasets at a resolution of 0.8 with 50 principal components (PCs), where optimal PCs were determined using JackStraw scores[64] and elbow plots. After using the "FindMarkers" function in Seurat to identify marker genes for each cluster, clusters were annotated according to known cell type markers in zebrafish, or orthologous markers in mammals.

RNA extraction for Nanostring. RNA was extracted from zebrafish intestinal tissue using TRIzol™ Reagent (Invitrogen Cat# 15596026) as follows. Three zebrafish intestines were dissected, surrounding tissue removed, then quickly homogenized in 250µL TRIzol™. The intestinal homogenates were topped up to 1mL with TRIzol™. Homogenized tissue was stored at -70°C prior to RNA extraction. Four hundred microliters of chloroform were added to destabilize the aqueous phase, gently vortexed for 15 seconds then incubated at room temperature for 3 minutes. Chloroform extraction was repeated with the upper aqueous phase at a 1:1 ratio. Sodium acetate (3M, pH 5.2) was added at one tenth the volume of the collected aqueous phase (50µL sodium acetate). Ninety-five percent ethanol was added at two times the volume (1mL) then incubated overnight at 4°C to precipitate salts and nucleic acids. Nucleic acids were

pelleted by centrifuging at 12,000rcf, 10min 4°C. Ethanol was carefully removed. RNA pellet was washed twice with 0.5mL 75% ethanol (7500rcf, 10min, 4°C). RNA pellet was allowed to dry 4 minutes then resuspended in 50µL nuclease-free water. RNA concentrations were determined by Nanodrop then diluted to 10µL at 100ng/µL for Nanostring hybridization using the nCounter® Elements™ platform.

Immunofluorescence and fluorescence microscopy. Zebrafish intestines were fixed in 4% PFA (EMS Cat #15710, 16% paraformaldehyde diluted in 1xPBS) overnight at 4°C. Intestines were washed twice in 1xPBS. Posterior intestinal segments were cut from the rest of the intestine then cryoprotected in 15% (w/v) sucrose/PBS at room temperature until sunk followed by sinking in 30% (w/v) sucrose/PBS (overnight at 4°C). Posterior intestines were mounted in Optimal Cutting Temperature Embedding Medium (Fisher Scientific Cat#23-730-571), then frozen on dry ice. Five-micron cryosections were collected on Superfrost Plus (Fisherbrand Cat# 22-037-246) slides. After allowing sections to adhere onto slides, slides were immersed in PBS for 20 minutes at room temperature. Tissue was blocked for 1h at room temperature in 3% (w/v) BSA dissolved in PBST (1xPBS + 0.2% (v/v) Tween-20). Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and layered onto sections. Sections were incubated in primary antibody solution overnight in a humid chamber at 4°C. Excess primary antibody was washed by immersing slides in fresh PBST for 1.5h. Sections were incubated in secondary antibody solution (prepared in blocking buffer) for 1h at room temperature in a humid chamber, protected from light. Secondary solution was removed then sections incubated in Hoechst (Molecular Probes Cat# H-3569) diluted 1:2000 in PBST for 10 minutes protected from light. Slides were washed in PBST by brief immersion followed by a 30-minute incubation in fresh PBST protected from light. Slides were mounted in Fluoromount™ Aqueous Mounting Medium (Sigma F4680-25ML).

Hematoxylin & Eosin staining. Zebrafish intestines were fixed in 4% neutral buffered formalin at room temperature. Posterior intestinal segments were processed for paraffin embedding and 5µm sections collected on slides. Tissue was deparaffinized then stained with Hematoxylin Gill III (Leica Ca.# 3801542)

for two minutes followed by an eosin counterstain (Leica Cat# 3801602). Sections were dehydrated, cleared in toluene, then mounted in DPX (EMS Cat#13512).

Immunohistochemistry. Zebrafish intestines were fixed at 4°C in BT fixative: 4% PFA, 0.15mM CaCl2, 4% Sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)[26]. Posterior intestinal segments were processed for paraffin embedding and 5µm sections collected on Superfrost Plus slides. Tissue was deparaffinized, rehydrated, then boiled in 10mM sodium citrate pH 6, 0.05% Tween-20 for 20 min at 98°C to unmask antigen. After cooling sections to room temperature for 30min, sections were incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 minutes, washed twice in dH_2O, and once in PBST (PBS + 0.5% Triton-X 100). Sections were then blocked for 1h at room temperature in 10% (v/v) normal goat serum (NGS)/PBST followed by overnight incubation in primary antibody solution (prepared in blocking buffer) in a humid chamber at 4°C. Slides were washed three times in PBST and tissue incubated in SignalStain® Boost Detection Reagent (HRP, Rabbit or Mouse) for 30 min at room temperature. Colorimetric detection was done by incubating in SignalStain® DAB Chromogen solution (Cell Signaling Technology #8059) for 5 minutes. Sections were counterstained in ¼-strength Hematoxylin Gill III for 30 seconds dehydrated in ethanol, cleared with toluene and mounted in DPX.

HCR™ RNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. Whole zebrafish intestines were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS overnight at 4°C, then washed in PBS. Intestines were then positioned in OCT (Fisher Scientific 4585) in base molds (Fisher Scientific 22-363-552) and frozen on dry ice. After tissue sectioning (5 µm sections), slides were stored at -70°C until processing. Tissue was then prepared according to established protocols (Molecular Instruments). Briefly, tissue was immersed in ice-cold 4% PFA for 15 min at 4°C. Tissue was then dehydrated with a graded ethanol wash series (50%; 70%; 100%; 100%), followed by two PBS washes. Slides were then pre-hybridized with probe hybridization buffer (Molecular Instruments) at 37°C for 15 minutes inside humid chamber. Next, tissue was incubated in a humid chamber overnight at 37°C with probes in hybridization buffer and covered with parafilm to prevent liquid loss. Probes were then removed, and slides were washed with probe wash buffer (Molecular

Instruments), followed by a series of 15-minute washes at 37°C with probe wash buffer/ 5X SSCT (75/25; 50/50; 25/50; 0/100). After an additional wash with 5X SSCT (0.1% Tween-20) at room temperature, slides were incubated with amplification buffer (Molecular Instruments) for 30 minutes at room temperature. At the same time, hairpin amplifiers were heated to 95°C for 90 seconds and snap-cooled to room temperature for 30 minutes. Hairpins were mixed in amplification buffer, and slides were incubated with hairpin solution (covered with parafilm) overnight in a humid chamber at room temperature. The next day, slides were washed in 5X SSCT and counter-stained with Hoechst 33258 (Molecular Probes Cat# H-3569) diluted 1:2000 in 5X SCCT before mounting in Fluoromount™ Aqueous Mounting Medium (Sigma F4680-25ML).

Intestinal leukocyte isolation. Freshly dissected zebrafish intestines were gently squeezed between the frosted ends of two glass slides while immersed in 1xPBS pH 7.4, 25mM Hepes, 1mM EDTA, 2% heat inactivated new born calf serum (Gibco 26010-066). The tissue/cell suspension was filtered through a 70µm filter to remove large tissue chunks. Single cells were pelleted (300rcf, 10min, 4°C), supernatant was decanted, and cells resuspended in remaining liquid. For flow cytometry, nuclei were stained with DRAQ5 (Invitrogen 65-0880-96). Prior to analysis by flow cytometry or cytospin, cells were filtered through a 40µm filter to remove large cells. Unfixed, nucleated, GFP-positive cells were analyzed on an Attune NxT (Life Technologies) or sorted on a Sony MA900. Cell suspension and sorted cells were cytospun (ThermoShandon Cytospin4) onto a Superfrost Plus slide for 3min at 10g[65]. Cells were allowed to air dry then fixed with methanol. Cells were stained with May-Grünwald solution (Sigma 63590) for 10 minutes and counterstained with Giemsa (Sigma 48900) for 45 minutes then mounted.

Microscopy. Histological samples were imaged on a ZEISS AXIO A1 compound light microscope with a SeBaCam 5.1MP camera. Confocal images were captured on an Olympus IX-81 microscope fitted with a CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal scan-head, Hamamatsu EMCCD (C9100-13) camera and operated with Perkin Elmer's Volocity. Confocal Z-stack image processing was done in Fiji. May-Grünwald-

Giemsa-stained leukocytes were imaged on a Zeiss AxioObserver.Z1 with an Axiocam HRm CCD camera.

Transmission electron microscopy of *V. cholerae* in adult zebrafish intestines. Zebrafish were infected with *V. cholerae* strain V52 for 16h by immersion as described above. Posterior intestines were fixed, processed, and imaged as described in[38].

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Figure 1: Transcription Characterization of Gut-Associated Leukocytes. (A-E) Relative expression of the indicated lineage-specific markers in gut-associated leukocytes. (F) The top five enriched non-redundant Gene Ontology terms per cell type. Enrichment score is represented by bar length and the negative log p value is shown with black circles. (G) The top five enriched non-redundant Gene Ontology terms associated with intestinal T cells and type 2 and 3 ILCs. Enrichment score is represented by bar length and the negative log p value is shown with black circles.



Supplementary Figure 2: Transcription Characterization of Gut-Associated Macrophages. (A-D) Relative expression of the indicated M1 and M2 markers in gut-associated macrophages. (E-G) Scatter plots examining the relative co-expression of M1 markers (E) M2 markers (F) and M1 and M2 markers (G) in conventional Macrophages. r value indicates Pearson correlation for all three plots. (H-I) Relative expression of M1 response markers and M2 responses markers in macrophages of uninfected (teal) and infected (brown) fish. For each transcript, P values and log2 fold-change in infected fish is shown.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, M., Nelson, A. R., Lopez, A. L. & Sack, D. A. Updated Global Burden of Cholera in Endemic Countries. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **9**, e0003832 (2015).
2. Piarroux, R., Moore, S. & Rebaudet, S. Cholera in Haiti. *Presse Med* **51**, 104136 (2022).
3. Camacho, A. *et al.* Cholera epidemic in Yemen, 2016–18: an analysis of surveillance data. *Lancet Glob Health* **6**, e680–e690 (2018).
4. Glass, R. I., Huq, I., Alim, A. R. & Yunus, M. Emergence of multiply antibiotic-resistant Vibrio cholerae in Bangladesh. *J Infect Dis* **142**, 939–942 (1980).
5. Masuet Aumatell, C., Ramon Torrell, J. M. & Zuckerman, J. N. Review of oral cholera vaccines: efficacy in young children. *Infect Drug Resist* **4**, 155–160 (2011).
6. Weill, F.-X. *et al.* Genomic history of the seventh pandemic of cholera in Africa. *Science* **358**, 785–789 (2017).
7. Qadri, F. *et al.* Acute dehydrating disease caused by Vibrio cholerae serogroups O1 and O139 induce increases in innate cells and inflammatory mediators at the mucosal surface of the gut. *Gut* **53**, 62–69 (2004).
8. Bhuiyan, T. R. *et al.* Cholera caused by Vibrio cholerae O1 induces T-cell responses in the circulation. *Infect Immun* **77**, 1888–1893 (2009).
9. Qadri, F. *et al.* Increased levels of inflammatory mediators in children and adults infected with Vibrio cholerae O1 and O139. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* **9**, 221–229 (2002).
10. Davoodi, S. & Foley, E. Host-Microbe-Pathogen Interactions: A Review of Vibrio cholerae Pathogenesis in Drosophila. *Front Immunol* **10**, 3128 (2019).
11. Fast, D. *et al.* Vibrio cholerae-Symbiont Interactions Inhibit Intestinal Repair in Drosophila. *Cell Reports* **30**, 1088-1100.e5 (2020).
12. Fast, D., Kostiuk, B., Foley, E. & Pukatzki, S. Commensal pathogen competition impacts host viability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 7099–7104 (2018).
13. Jugder, B.-E. *et al.* Vibrio cholerae high cell density quorum sensing activates the host intestinal innate immune response. *Cell Rep* **40**, 111368 (2022).

14. Jugder, B.-E., Kamareddine, L. & Watnick, P. I. Microbiota-derived acetate activates intestinal innate immunity via the Tip60 histone acetyltransferase complex. *Immunity* **54**, 1683-1697.e3 (2021).
15. Spira, W. M., Sack, R. B. & Froehlich, J. L. Simple adult rabbit model for Vibrio cholerae and enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli diarrhea. *Infect Immun* **32**, 739–747 (1981).
16. Klose, K. E. The suckling mouse model of cholera. *Trends Microbiol* **8**, 189–191 (2000).
17. Herrington, D. A. *et al.* Toxin, toxin-coregulated pili, and the toxR regulon are essential for Vibrio cholerae pathogenesis in humans. *J Exp Med* **168**, 1487–1492 (1988).
18. Olivier, V., Queen, J. & Satchell, K. J. F. Successful small intestine colonization of adult mice by Vibrio cholerae requires ketamine anesthesia and accessory toxins. *PLoS One* **4**, e7352 (2009).
19. Mitchell, K. C. & Withey, J. H. Danio rerio as a Native Host Model for Understanding Pathophysiology of Vibrio cholerae. *Methods Mol Biol* **1839**, 97–102 (2018).
20. Nag, D., Mitchell, K., Breen, P. & Withey, J. H. Quantifying Vibrio cholerae Colonization and Diarrhea in the Adult Zebrafish Model. *J Vis Exp* 57767 (2018) doi:10.3791/57767.
21. Runft, D. L. *et al.* Zebrafish as a natural host model for Vibrio cholerae colonization and transmission. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**, 1710–1717 (2014).
22. Halpern, M. & Izhaki, I. Fish as Hosts of Vibrio cholerae. *Front Microbiol* **8**, 282 (2017).
23. Senderovich, Y., Izhaki, I. & Halpern, M. Fish as reservoirs and vectors of Vibrio cholerae. *PLoS One* **5**, e8607 (2010).
24. Nag, D., Farr, D. A., Walton, M. G. & Withey, J. H. Zebrafish Models for Pathogenic Vibrios. *J Bacteriol* **202**, e00165-20 (2020).
25. Wallace, K. N., Akhter, S., Smith, E. M., Lorent, K. & Pack, M. Intestinal growth and differentiation in zebrafish. *Mech Dev* **122**, 157–173 (2005).
26. Cheesman, S. E., Neal, J. T., Mittge, E., Seredick, B. M. & Guillemin, K. Epithelial cell proliferation in the developing zebrafish intestine is regulated by the Wnt pathway and microbial signaling via Myd88. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108 Suppl 1**, 4570–4577 (2011).
27. Crosnier, C. *et al.* Delta-Notch signalling controls commitment to a secretory fate in the zebrafish intestine. *Development* **132**, 1093–1104 (2005).

28. Flasse, L. C. *et al.* The bHLH transcription factor Ascl1a is essential for the specification of the intestinal secretory cells and mediates Notch signaling in the zebrafish intestine. *Dev Biol* **376**, 187–197 (2013).
29. Muncan, V. *et al.* T-cell factor 4 (Tcf7l2) maintains proliferative compartments in zebrafish intestine. *EMBO Rep* **8**, 966–973 (2007).
30. Roach, G. *et al.* Loss of ascl1a prevents secretory cell differentiation within the zebrafish intestinal epithelium resulting in a loss of distal intestinal motility. *Dev Biol* **376**, 171–186 (2013).
31. Yang, J. *et al.* hnRNP I inhibits Notch signaling and regulates intestinal epithelial homeostasis in the zebrafish. *PLoS Genet* **5**, e1000363 (2009).
32. Lickwar, C. R. *et al.* Genomic dissection of conserved transcriptional regulation in intestinal epithelial cells. *PLoS Biol* **15**, e2002054 (2017).
33. Rawls, J. F., Samuel, B. S. & Gordon, J. I. Gnotobiotic zebrafish reveal evolutionarily conserved responses to the gut microbiota. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 4596–4601 (2004).
34. Karlsson, E. K. *et al.* Natural selection in a bangladeshi population from the cholera-endemic ganges river delta. *Sci Transl Med* **5**, 192ra86 (2013).
35. Steiner, A. B., Kim, T., Cabot, V. & Hudspeth, A. J. Dynamic gene expression by putative hair-cell progenitors during regeneration in the zebrafish lateral line. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, E1393-1401 (2014).
36. Sigloch, C., Spitz, D. & Driever, W. A network of Notch-dependent and -independent her genes controls neural stem and progenitor cells in the zebrafish thalamic proliferation zone. *Development* **150**, dev201301 (2023).
37. Raj, B. *et al.* Emergence of Neuronal Diversity during Vertebrate Brain Development. *Neuron* **108**, 1058-1074.e6 (2020).
38. Willms, R. J., Jones, L. O., Hocking, J. C. & Foley, E. A cell atlas of microbe-responsive processes in the zebrafish intestine. *Cell Rep* **38**, 110311 (2022).
39. Rubin, S. A. *et al.* Single-cell analyses reveal early thymic progenitors and pre-B cells in zebrafish. *J Exp Med* **219**, e20220038 (2022).

40. Hernández, P. P. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptional analysis reveals ILC-like cells in zebrafish. *Sci Immunol* **3**, eaau5265 (2018).

41. Rougeot, J. *et al.* RNAseq Profiling of Leukocyte Populations in Zebrafish Larvae Reveals a cxcl11 Chemokine Gene as a Marker of Macrophage Polarization During Mycobacterial Infection. *Front Immunol* **10**, 832 (2019).

42. Wallace, K. N. & Pack, M. Unique and conserved aspects of gut development in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **255**, 12–29 (2003).

43. Ng, A. N. Y. *et al.* Formation of the digestive system in zebrafish: III. Intestinal epithelium morphogenesis. *Dev Biol* **286**, 114–135 (2005).

44. Brugman, S. The zebrafish as a model to study intestinal inflammation. *Dev Comp Immunol* **64**, 82–92 (2016).

45. Flores, E. M., Nguyen, A. T., Odem, M. A., Eisenhoffer, G. T. & Krachler, A. M. The zebrafish as a model for gastrointestinal tract-microbe interactions. *Cell Microbiol* **22**, e13152 (2020).

46. López Nadal, A. *et al.* Feed, Microbiota, and Gut Immunity: Using the Zebrafish Model to Understand Fish Health. *Front Immunol* **11**, 114 (2020).

47. Parikh, K. *et al.* Colonic epithelial cell diversity in health and inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **567**, 49–55 (2019).

48. Smillie, C. S. *et al.* Intra- and Inter-cellular Rewiring of the Human Colon during Ulcerative Colitis. *Cell* **178**, 714-730.e22 (2019).

49. He, X.-S. *et al.* Oncogenic potential of BEST4 in colorectal cancer via activation of PI3K/Akt signaling. *Oncogene* **41**, 1166–1177 (2022).

50. Kotredes, K. P., Thomas, B. & Gamero, A. M. The Protective Role of Type I Interferons in the Gastrointestinal Tract. *Front Immunol* **8**, 410 (2017).

51. McElrath, C. *et al.* Critical role of interferons in gastrointestinal injury repair. *Nat Commun* **12**, 2624 (2021).

52. Rességuier, J. *et al.* Lymphoid Tissue in Teleost Gills: Variations on a Theme. *Biology (Basel)* **9**, 127 (2020).

53. Dalum, A. S. *et al.* High-Resolution, 3D Imaging of the Zebrafish Gill-Associated Lymphoid Tissue (GIALT) Reveals a Novel Lymphoid Structure, the Amphibranchial Lymphoid Tissue. *Front Immunol* **12**, 769901 (2021).

54. Farr, D. A., Nag, D. & Withey, J. H. Characterization of the Immune Response to Vibrio cholerae Infection in a Natural Host Model. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **11**, 722520 (2021).

55. Farr, D. *et al.* Neutrophil-Associated Responses to Vibrio cholerae Infection in a Natural Host Model. *Infect Immun* **90**, e0046621 (2022).

56. Mirpuri, J. *et al.* Commensal Escherichia coli reduces epithelial apoptosis through IFN-alphaA-mediated induction of guanylate binding protein-1 in human and murine models of developing intestine. *J Immunol* **184**, 7186–7195 (2010).

57. Katlinskaya, Y. V. *et al.* Type I Interferons Control Proliferation and Function of the Intestinal Epithelium. *Mol Cell Biol* **36**, 1124–1135 (2016).

58. Kole, A. *et al.* Type I IFNs regulate effector and regulatory T cell accumulation and anti-inflammatory cytokine production during T cell-mediated colitis. *J Immunol* **191**, 2771–2779 (2013).

59. Henry, T. *et al.* Type I IFN signaling constrains IL-17A/F secretion by gammadelta T cells during bacterial infections. *J Immunol* **184**, 3755–3767 (2010).

60. Guarda, G. *et al.* Type I interferon inhibits interleukin-1 production and inflammasome activation. *Immunity* **34**, 213–223 (2011).

61. Don, E. K. *et al.* A Tol2 Gateway-Compatible Toolbox for the Study of the Nervous System and Neurodegenerative Disease. *Zebrafish* **14**, 69–72 (2017).

62. Kwan, K. M. *et al.* The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. *Dev Dyn* **236**, 3088–3099 (2007).

63. Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587.e29 (2021).

64. Macosko, E. Z. *et al.* Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**, 1202–1214 (2015).

65. Traver, D. *et al.* Transplantation and in vivo imaging of multilineage engraftment in zebrafish bloodless mutants. *Nat Immunol* **4**, 1238–1246 (2003).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Imaging experiments were performed at the University of Alberta Faculty of Medicine & Dentistry (FoMD) Cell Imaging Core, RRID:SCR_019200, which receives financial support from FoMD, the Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, the University Hospital Foundation, and Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) awards to contributing investigators. TEM experiments were performed at the Department of Oncology Cell Imaging Facility. Flow Cytometry experiments were performed at the FoMD Flow Cytometry Facility, RRID:SCR_019195, which receives financial support from FoMD, the Li Ka Shing Institute of Virology, and Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) awards to contributing investigators. Single-cell libraries were prepared at the FoMD High Content Analysis Core, RRID:SCR_019182, which receives financial support from FoMD, the Li Ka Shing Institute of Virology, and Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) awards to contributing investigators. Immunohistochemistry experiments were performed at the Faculty of Science Department of Biological Sciences Advanced Microscopy Facility. We would also like to thank Science Animal Support Services and the Alberta Health Sciences Animal Laboratory Services for their excellent care of the zebrafish aquatics facilities. This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant no. MOP77746) and the Weston Family Microbiome Initiative to EF. RJW has funding support through the National Science and Engineering Research Council Graduate Scholarships, and Alberta Innovates Graduate Student Scholarships.