Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central noradrenergic neurons is dispensable for normal

breathing and chemosensory reflexes

Author names and affiliations

Yuan Chang[1], Savannah Lusk[2], Russell Ray[1,2,3,4]

[1]Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston TX

[2]Department of Neuroscience, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston TX

[3]McNair Medical Institute, Houston TX

[4]Corresponding author, russell.ray@bcm.edu

Abstract

Central noradrenergic (NA) neurons are key constituents of the respiratory homeostatic network.

NA dysfunction is implicated in several developmental respiratory disorders including Central

Congenital Hyperventilation Syndrome (CCHS), Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) and Rett

Syndrome. The current unchallenged paradigm in the field, supported by multiple studies, is that

glutamate co-transmission in subsets of central NA neurons plays a role in breathing control. If

true, NA-glutamate co-transmission may also be mechanistically important in respiratory

disorders. However, the requirement of NA derived glutamate in breathing has not been directly

tested and the extent of glutamate co-transmission in the central NA system remains

uncharacterized. Therefore, we fully characterized the cumulative fate maps and acute adult

expression patterns of all three Vesicular Glutamate Transporters (Vglut1, Vglut2, and Vglut3) in

NA neurons, identifying a novel dynamic expression pattern for Vglut2 and an undescribed co-

expression domain for Vglut3 in the NA system. Our functional studies showed that loss of Vglut2

throughout the NA system failed to alter breathing or metabolism under room air, hypercapnia,

or hypoxia in unrestrained and conscious mice, which demonstrates that Vglut2-based

glutamatergic signaling within the central NA system is not required for normal baseline

breathing and hypercapnic, hypoxic chemosensory reflexes. These outcomes challenge the

current understanding of central NA neurons in the control of breathing and suggests that

glutamate may be not a critical target to understand NA neuron dysfunction in respiratory

diseases.

Introduction

Breathing is a vital and life-sustaining function supporting homeostatic processes, most critically

maintaining blood pH/CO_2 and O_2 levels within a narrow physiological range. Respiratory

homeostasis is mediated by neuron-modulated lung ventilation adjustments in response to

physiological deviations resulting in high pCO_2 or low pO_2 blood and tissue levels, known as the

hypercapnic and hypoxic reflexes respectively (Del Negro et al., 2018; Dick et al., 2018). These

reflexes are part of a complex brainstem neural network that integrates a multitude of

information streams across the central and peripheral nervous systems to regulate respiratory

output. Within this brainstem network, central noradrenergic (NA) neurons are known to be an

important component that plays a variety of roles in modulating breathing. Furthermore, various

perturbations across the central NA system have been implicated in several developmental

disorders with respiratory and chemosensory features such as Central Congenital

Hyperventilation Syndrome (CCHS), Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) and Rett Syndrome

(Beltrán-Castillo et al., 2017; Feldman et al., 2013; Gauda et al., 2007; Viemari, 2008).   Thus,

understanding how central NA neurons modulate respiratory chemoreflexes or chemosensory

breathing is critically important for the development of new diagnostic and therapeutic

interventions to address respiratory pathophysiology.

Central noradrenergic neurons are commonly thought to exert their effect on breathing through

their primary neurotransmitter noradrenaline and adrenaline. However, in addition to

noradrenaline, several studies suggest that glutamate is co-transmitted in subsets of central NA

neurons. Vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (Vglut2), a gene marker of glutamatergic signaling,

has been shown to be co-expressed in subsets of central NA neurons including, C1/A1, C2/A2,

A5, and LC in adult rats and mice (DePuy et al., 2013; Souza et al., 2022a; Stornetta et al., 2002a,

2002b; Yang et al., 2021), though NA specific expression of related Vglut1 and Vglut3

transporters remains unknown. Additionally, it has been well documented that central NA

neurons co-expressing Vglut2 innervate key respiratory centers, such as preBötzinger complex

and parafacial region (pFRG), as well as many other autonomic brainstem and forebrain centers

that could indirectly drive a change in breathing if perturbed, i.e., cardio-vascular function, the

intermediolateral nucleus (IML)-sympathetic system and metabolism (Supplemental table 1).

Thus, it has currently become a dominant paradigm in the field of respiratory physiology that NA-

based glutamate release is a critical form of neurotransmission in the control of breathing. This

paradigm is supported by multiple papers. Abbott et al. (2014) showed that Vglut2 is required for

an increase in respiratory rate when anterior C1 neurons are unilaterally opto-genetically

stimulated. Malheiros-Lima et al. (2020) showed that Vglut2-expressing C1 neurons project to

the pFRG region, and hypoxic breathing was blunted after blockade of ionotropic glutamatergic

receptors at the pFRG site in anesthetized rats, together supporting a role for anterior C1 neurons

releasing glutamate at the pFRG site in turn to regulate breathing under hypoxia. Similarly,

Malheiros-Lima et al. (2022) and Malheiros-Lima et al. (2018) showed that Vglut2-expressing C1

neurons project to the NA A5 region and the preBötzinger complex respectively, and again the

blockade of ionotropic glutamatergic receptors at the A5 region or preBötzinger complex reduced

the increase in phrenic nerve activities or in respiratory frequency elicited by optogenetic

stimulation of C1 cells in an anesthetized preparation. In addition, Guyenet et al. (2013) and

others speculate that the apparent lack of plasmalemmal monoamine transporter in C1 fibers

indicates reduced or absent noradrenergic or adrenergic signaling due a lack of re-uptake and

neurotransmitter pool depletion (Comer et al., 1998; Lorang et al., 1994). Cumulatively, the

studies argue for glutamate as the predominant functional neurotransmitter for C1 NA neurons

in the breathing neural network. To our knowledge, this dominant perspective has not been

otherwise previously challenged. Although these studies are informative, the evidence

supporting the role of NA-based Vglut2 signaling in respiratory control are either indirect and

circumstantial or cannot be seen as physiological given experimental limitations, such as the focal

nature of opto-genetic stimulation. Thus, it is not yet clear what the requirement is for NA-based

glutamatergic signaling in homeostatic breathing in the awake and unrestrained animal and how

that might inform upon disease.

To better understand the role of NA-based glutamatergic signaling in breathing, we sought to

both fully characterize the molecular profiles of the central noradrenergic system with respect to

glutamate co-expression and to determine the requirement of Vglut2-based glutamatergic

release in respiratory control under physiological chemosensory challenges in awake and

unrestrained mice. We first fully characterized the recombinase-based cumulative fate maps for

Vglut1, Vglut2 and Vglut3 expression and compared those maps to their real time expression

profiles in central NA neurons by RNA *in situ* hybridization in adult mice. We found a novel

dynamic expression pattern for Vglut2 and an entirely undescribed co-expression domain for

Vglut3 in the central NA system. Secondly, to determine if Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling

in NA neurons is required for respiratory homeostasis, we conditionally ablated Vglut2 in all NA

neurons and tested respiratory, chemosensory, and metabolic function in unrestrained and

conscious mice. Using the same genetic model in prior studies (Abbott et al., 2014), conditional

deletion of Vglut2 in NA neurons did not significantly impact breathing under room air,

hypercapnic, or hypoxic conditions. These results demonstrate, for the first time, that NA Vglut2-

based glutamatergic signaling is dispensable for respiratory control, which challenges the

prevalent perspective on the role of C1 and other Vglut2 expressing NA neurons in respiratory

homeostasis and suggests that glutamate may not be a critical target to understand NA neuron

dysfunction in respiratory diseases.

Results

Cumulative fate maps of central noradrenergic neurons co-expressing Vglut1, Vglut2 and

Vglut3

To fully characterize the expression profiles of all three glutamate markers Vglut1, Vglut2 and

Vglut3 in central noradrenergic neurons, we first used an intersectional genetic strategy (Figure

1A). We bred three Cre drivers *Vglut1-Cre/+, Vglut2-Cre/+ and Vglut3-Cre/+* to *DBH-p2a-Flpo/+*

(targeting noradrenergic neurons) mice respectively. The three compound lines of *Vglut1-Cre/+;*

DBH-p2a-Flpo/+, Vglut2-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+, and Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+ were then

crossed with the *RC::F_TdTomato_P_GFP (RC::FLTG)* intersectional reporter mice which express

tdTomato in cells expressing only flippase (Flpo) while expressing eGFP in cells co-expressing both

Flpo and Cre recombinases. Thus, in each of the three intersectional reporter crosses [e.g. 1)

Vglut1-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+, 2) *Vglut2-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+, and* 3)

Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+], NA neurons without any Vglut1/2/3 expression are

labelled by red fluorescent protein (tdTomato) while NA neurons co-expressing either Vglut1,

Vglut2, or Vglut3 are labeled by green fluorescent protein (eGFP). By using this method, we

characterized and quantified the expression profiles of all three vesicular glutamate transporters

Vglut1, Vglut2 and Vglut3 across every NA nucleus in adult mice including A7, Locus Coeruleus

(LC), sub CD/CV, A5, and throughout the anterior - posterior dimension of C1/A1, C2/A2 (Figure

1B-D). *Vglut1-Cre* was not co-expressed in any central NA nuclei in adult mice. However, *Vglut2-*

Cre and *Vglut3-Cre* both showed co-expression in central NA neurons. *Vglut3-Cre*-expressing NA

neurons are restricted to posterior C2/A2 and posterior C1/A1, and the majority is in posterior

C2/A2 where $26.9 \pm 3.16\%$ NA neurons are *Vglut3-Cre*[+]. In posterior C1/A1, only $1.26 \pm 0.559\%$

NA neurons are *Vglut3-Cre*[+]. Surprisingly, $84.6 \pm 3.75\%$ NA neurons in total have *Vglut2-Cre* co-

expression and each NA nucleus was predominantly labelled by *Vglut2-Cre* expression. The

percentage of *Vglut2-Cre*^{+} NA neurons in A7, LC, A5, sub CD/CV, anterior and posterior part of

C1/A1, anterior and posterior part of C2/A2 is $69.8 \pm 8.29\%$, $80.5 \pm 3.78\%$, $84.8 \pm 11.3\%$, $51.5 \pm$

2.61%, $99.0 \pm 0.681\%$, $95.7 \pm 2.40\%$, $96.1 \pm 1.98\%$, $97.8 \pm 0.771\%$, respectively (Mean $\pm$ SEM).

The presence of *Vglut2-Cre* co-expression in anterior NA groups was unexpected as previous *in*

situ data in adult rats found Vglut2^{+} NA neurons only in the posterior C2/A2 and C1/A1 (DePuy et

al., 2013; Stornetta et al., 2002a, 2002b). Notably, however, the Vglut2 co-expression in the LC

region agrees with Yang et al. (2021) which also showed that a high percentage of LC NA neurons

are Vglut2^{+} by using another intersectional strategy in mice, *TH-Flp; vGluT2-Cre; Ai65.* The

differences in our and other fate maps compared to *in situ* hybridization may either reflect early

gene expression that is down regulated in the adult or may reflect low levels of expression not

detectable by *in situ* hybridization but that are nonetheless sufficient to effect recombination in

the intersectional genetic strategy.

Real time mRNA expression patterns of Vglut1, Vglut2 and Vglut3 in central noradrenergic

neurons in adult mice

DePuy et al. (2013), Stornetta et al. (2002a) and Stornetta et al. (2002b) showed that Vglut2 co-
expression is only located in the C2/A2 and C1/A1 region in the adult rat but not in anterior NA
nuclei in the brainstem by *in situ* hybridization. To verify if the real time NA-based Vglut2
expression pattern in adult mice is the same as in adult rats and to further characterize the
expression patterns of the other two vesicular glutamate transporters (Vglut1 and Vglut3) in
adult mice, we performed fluorescent RNA *in situ* hybridization experiments. We co-stained
Vglut1, Vglut2, Vglut3 with DBH respectively in brain tissue of adult mice and characterized the
colocalization of Vglut1/2/3 with DBH in brainstem NA nuclei A7, LC, A5, sub CD/CV, anterior
C1/A1 and C2/A2, and posterior C1/A1 and C2/A2 (Figure 2A-C). No Vglut1/DBH double positive
neurons were detected in any part of the central NA system, consistent with our fate map. Vglut3
mRNA colocalization with DBH is only found in the posterior part of C2/A2 where $27.1 \pm 1.86\%$
DBH$^+$ neurons have Vglut3 co-localization, again consistent with our fate map. For Vglut2, we
only found detectable levels of Vglut2 mRNA in C1/A1 and C2/A2 NA region, but not in A7, LC,
A5, sub CD/CV. Quantitatively, the percentage of Vglut2/DBH double positive neurons in anterior
C1/A1, anterior C2/A2, posterior C1/A1 and posterior C2/A2 is $84.7 \pm 5.82\%$, $66.3 \pm 0.335\%$, 35.7
$\pm 3.01\%$ and $90.1 \pm 2.45\%$, respectively. This result is consistent with the previous *in situ* data in
adult rats (DePuy et al., 2013; Stornetta et al., 2002a, 2002b) suggesting that there is no obvious
expression difference of Vglut2 in the central NA system between mouse and rat. However, the
Vglut2 *in situ* data did not show expression in anterior NA populations. This difference between
our *in situ* data and fate map data supports our previous hypothesis that many NA neurons
expressed Vglut2 at some point from early development toward adulthood but the expression of
Vglut2 is turned back down during adulthood. Interestingly, Yang et al. (2021) did show adult
Vglut2 co-expression in LC by a viral injection of both Cre and Flpo-dependent eYFP into the LC
of a bi-transgenic mouse with both TH-Flpo and Vglut2-Cre. To verify this result, we injected an
AAV virus containing Cre-dependent tdTomato (pAAV-EF1a-DIO-tdTomato-WPRE) into the LC
region of adult *Vglut2-Cre* mice. Consistent with Yang et al. (2021), we observed sparse Vglut2-
Cre and TH (immunopositive) double positive neurons in the LC (Figure 2 supplement 1).
Additionally, Souza et al. (2022a) showed about 28.5% A5 neurons are Vglut2 mRNA$^+$ in adult rats
by RNA scope, a method which is more sensitive than the traditional *in situ* hybridization we used

here. These data suggest that some adult NA neurons in LC and A5 have Vglut2 co-expression,

but the Vglut2 mRNA level cannot be detected by traditional *in situ* hybridization.

Vglut2 expression is effectively knocked down in the whole central noradrenergic system in

***TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice**

To investigate the requirement of Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in the central NA system

in breathing under physiological challenges, we used *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* as the mouse

model. This is the same model used by Abbott et al. (2014) to show that *Vglut2* expression in the

NA system was required for a modest increase in respiratory frequency following unilateral

optogenetic stimulation of anterior C1. To verify if Vglut2 expression is effectively removed from

central NA neurons in *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice, we carried out fluorescent RNA *in situ*

hybridization for Vglut2 and DBH in the mouse brainstems and compared the Vglut2 signal

intensity in DBH$^+$ neurons in *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* and its littermate controls. We found that

Vglut2 mRNA expression is $96.4 \pm 0.226\%$ decreased compared to controls, indicating that the

Vglut2 is effectively recombined to abrogate expression in NA neurons (Figure 3A-B).

Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is not required for baseline

breathing nor for the hypercapnic ventilatory reflex under distinct CO_2 challenges

Multiple prior studies provide indirect evidence that NA glutamate transmission may play roles

in respiratory function, particularly that anterior C1 neurons mediate the hypoxic ventilatory

response through the pFRG/RTN and/or the NA A5 group (Malheiros-Lima et al., 2022, 2020).

Additionally, the A5 group has been implicated in the hypercapnic reflex (Haxhiu et al., 1996).

Thus, we sought to determine if Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is

necessary to regulate baseline breathing and the hypercapnic chemoreflex in awake and

unrestrained animals. We used whole-body barometric plethysmography to measure the

breathing and metabolism of conscious and free-moving mice. After a five-day habituation

protocol, the ventilation response of *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice and their littermate

controls was measured under room air and hypercapnia (5% CO_2) (Figure 4A). Surprisingly,

TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ mice didn't show significant differences in overall respiratory output

(V_E/V_{O_2}), respiratory rate (V_f), tidal volume (V_T), minute ventilation (V_E) and metabolism demand

(V_{O_2}) compared to their littermate controls under both room air and 5% CO_2 challenge (Figure 4B

and Table 1-2). These results suggested that Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling is not required

for regulating baseline breathing and hypercapnic ventilatory reflex under 5% CO_2.

To further investigate if Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is required

for ventilation response of higher CO_2 challenges, we also measured the breathing of *TgDBH-*

Cre/+; Vglut2^{flox/flox} mice under 7% CO_2 and 10% CO_2. We found that under the 7% CO_2 condition,

TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^{flox/flox} mice showed only a significantly decreased tidal volume (V_T), but the

overall respiratory output (V_E/V_{O_2}), respiratory rate (V_f), minute ventilation (V_E) and metabolism

demand (V_{O_2}) didn't show a significant difference between the mutant mice and their sibling

controls (Figure 5A-B and Table 3). This result suggests that Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling

in central NA neurons is not required or at least is not as important as previously expected for

regulating the hypercapnic ventilatory reflex under 7% CO_2.

Under 10% CO_2 challenge, none of the overall respiratory outputs (V_E/V_{O_2}), respiratory rate (V_f),

tidal volume (V_T), minute ventilation (V_E) and metabolism demand (V_{O_2}) were significantly

different between *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^{flox/flox}* mice and their littermate controls, suggesting that

Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is not required for the hypercapnic

ventilatory reflex under 10% CO_2 (Figure 6A-B and Table 4).

Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is not required for the hypoxic

ventilatory reflex under 10% O_2

To determine if Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is necessary to

regulate the hypoxic chemoreflex, we measured the ventilation response of *TgDBH-Cre/+;*

Vglut2^{flox/flox} mice under 10% O_2. We analyzed the five respiratory parameters in three 5-min time

periods under 10% O_2 challenge. No significant difference was found in the overall respiratory

output (V_E/V_{O_2}), respiratory rate (V_f), tidal volume (V_T), minute ventilation (V_E) and metabolism

demand (V_{O_2}) between *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^{flox/flox}* mice and controls in either of the three 5-min

10% O_2 periods (Figure 7A-B and Table 5). These data suggest that Vglut2-based glutamatergic

signaling in central NA neurons is not required for the hypoxic ventilatory reflex under 10% O_2.

Discussion

Neuron co-transmission of two or more neurotransmitters across excitatory, inhibitory, and

neuro-modulatory facets is becoming an increasingly appreciated phenomenon in the central

nervous system. Functional interrogation of co-transmission is essential not only to understand

the distinct and cooperative roles that multiple signaling molecules may play at the synapse but

also to determine the most critical targets for potential therapeutics. To our knowledge, the

unopposed paradigm in the field until now has been that vesicular glutamate transporter 2

(Vglut2)-based glutamate transmission from central noradrenergic neurons is important in

respiratory homeostasis. Anatomically, it has long been appreciated that central NA neurons co-

express Vglut2, the major glutamate marker among three glutamate transporters (DePuy et al.,

2013; Souza et al., 2022a; Stornetta et al., 2002a, 2002b; Yang et al., 2021). Also, it has been well

documented that NA Vglut2 positive fibers innervate known central respiratory centers or other

autonomic centers, that, when perturbed, could disrupt respiratory homeostasis (Supplemental

Table 1). Functionally, multiple reports have argued that Vglut2-based glutamate transmission

plays a role in respiratory homeostasis. It has also been suggested that, at least for C1 neurons,

the apparent lack of a plasmalemmal monoamine transporter may attenuate or eliminate

noradrenergic or adrenergic release from these fibers. However as discussed below, much of the

functional evidence supporting a role for Vglut2-based glutamate transmission from central

noradrenergic neurons is circumstantial or of a non-physiological nature, and absent or

attenuated release of adrenaline and noradrenaline has not been demonstrated. Nonetheless,

the dominant perspective that NA-Vglut2 glutamate transmission plays a role in breathing has

remained unchallenged. Our studies here uncover a novel dynamic expression pattern for Vglut2

and an entirely undescribed co-expression domain for Vglut3 in the central NA neurons, and in

contrast to prior work, our results show that loss of Vglut2 in NA neurons does not appreciably

change baseline or chemosensory breathing in conscious and free-moving mice.

Vglut2 has been shown to be co-expressed in subsets of central NA neurons, however, to our
knowledge, the potential for the central NA neurons to express the other glutamate transporters
Vglut1 or Vglut3 has not been reported at the anatomical level. To determine if central NA
neurons express either Vglut1 or Vglut3 and to confirm the Vglut2 expression, we carried out
cumulative intersectional fate mapping using *Vglut1-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+, Vglut2-*
Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+ and Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+ compound
mouse lines. As this approach maps the cumulative history of expression, we also examined acute
adult expression of Vglut1, Vglut2 and Vglut3 using fluorescent RNA *in situ* hybridization. Our *in*
situ results for Vglut2 agreed with earlier published outcomes (DePuy et al., 2013; Stornetta et
al., 2002a, 2002b) showing as much as 80% expression in posterior NA neurons. However, there
was no appreciable signal in anterior groups (A7, LC, A5, sub CD/CV). This lack of expression was
in stark contrast to the cumulative fate map for Vglut2, showing as much as 75% of the anterior
central NA neurons were recombined by the *Vglut2-Cre* over the lifetime of the animal. To further
interrogate the acute activity of the *Vglut2-Cre* in the Locus Coeruleus in the adult mouse, we
injected a Cre responsive virus in LC of *Vglut2-Cre* mice. The outcomes from the cumulative fate
map and acute injections are in agreement with published results from Yang et al. (2021).
Notably our use of the *DBH-p2a-Flpo* avoided expression in the PBN that was seen in the *TH-Flp*
mouse. In addition, 28.5% A5 neurons were shown to be Vglut2^{+} in adult rats by RNA scope (Souza
et al., 2022b). It is notable that both our lab and work published by (DePuy et al., 2013; Stornetta
et al., 2002a, 2002b) failed to detect Vglut2 expression in anterior groups, but that apparently
very low levels can be detected by more sensitive recombinase and RNA scope methods.
Additional electrophysiological evidence suggests that this expression is functional (Yang et al.,
2021). Our data suggests that Vglut2 co-expression in anterior NA groups is time dependent,
though the underlying temporal dynamics of Vglut2 remain unknown. It is notable that we see
nearly 80% of the LC and 85% of A5 recombined in the intersectional strategy representing
lifetime expression, but our and other studies find only 20% of the LC and 28.5% of A5 may be
expressing Vglut2 at any given moment in adults. This suggests either a temporal restriction in
expression as the animal matures or may reflect dynamic change driven by behavioral or
physiological experiences.

For Vglut1, neither fate map nor *in situ* hybridization revealed any co-expression within the

central NA system. However, Vglut3 expression was found in the posterior part of NA neurons

including C2/A2 and C1/A1, which is first characterized by this study. The majority of this Vglut3

co-expression is restricted to the posterior part of the C2/A2 NA population. This NA region has

not been heavily implicated in respiratory control nonetheless it readily lends itself to

interrogation by intersectional genetic methods and *in situ* hybridization. Given its distal

expression from anterior C1, it is unlikely that Vglut3 would compensate for loss of Vglut2 in

anterior C1, though this has not been ruled out. Further, while Vglut3 is a marker of glutamatergic

neurons, its role in neurotransmission is not wholly defined as Vglut3 is typically found in soma

and dendrites (Fremeau et al., 2004).

Based on the Vglut2 co-expression in NA neurons and their projections to multiple brain regions

important in respiration, several studies suggest that glutamate in NA populations is important

in breathing control. Those studies provide a mix of circumstantial, indirect, or limited evidence

for the potential role of Vglut2-based glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons in breathing

regulation. However, it has not yet been shown whether or not NA-based Vglut2 signaling is

required for respiratory control due to experimental caveats or limitations.

Abbott et al. (2014) showed that conditionally knocking out Vglut2 in the whole central NA

system attenuated a modest increase in respiratory rate resulting from unilateral C1 optogenetic

stimulation. Absolute values and other respiratory parameters, including tidal volume (V_T) and

minute ventilation (V_E) were not reported, making direct comparison difficult. While the

optogenetic stimulation did drive a Vglut2 dependent increase in respiratory rate, it is not clear

if the resulting change in breathing reflects a native feature of the network that might be engaged

in chemosensory responses, exercise, or volitional control. Thus, it remains possible that strong

optogenetic stimulation of a small, isolated population drives a Vglut2 dependent but ectopic

function that is not typically engaged in the normal operation of the breathing network. Such

ectopic outcomes could come from several potential, non-exclusive mechanisms. First, unilateral

stimulation could drive a network hysteresis or dysregulation akin to a focal injury that is not a

typical biological feature (Ducros et al., 2003). This point is well made in Abbott et al. (2013) in

their discussion of C1 optogenetic stimulation where they state, "resulting cardiorespiratory

response pattern should not be considered strictly "physiological," because a physiological

response is never initiated by selective activation of a single cluster of CNS neurons and the

various subsets of C1 neurons are presumably never recruited en bloc under any physiological

condition". Second, strong stimulation could result in overwhelming synaptic mechanisms (i.e.,

glial uptake) resulting in glutamate spillover and unintended cross talk to affect extra-synaptic

neurons proximal to targeted NA fibers. Activity dependent spillover transmission has been

documented elsewhere in the nervous system (Henneberger et al., 2020; Hülsmann et al., 2000).

Third, the outcomes could be secondary to a different autonomic or behavioral function requiring

NA-derived glutamatergic signaling involving metabolism or circulation (DePuy et al., 2013; Yang

et al., 2021).

More circumstantially, Malheiros-Lima et al. (2020) suggested C1 neurons potentially release

glutamate at the pFRG site to regulate breathing under hypoxia by providing three indirect lines

of evidence: 1) Vglut2-expressing C1 neurons project to the pFRG region; 2) Increased breathing

was blunted after blockade of ionotropic glutamatergic receptors at the pFRG site under

anesthesia using chemical hypoxia; 3) Depletion of C1 neurons eliminated the increased

breathing elicited by hypoxia. However, 1) the glutamatergic signaling targeting the pFRG region

is not necessarily from C1 NA neurons since glutamatergic neurons from other regions also

project to the pFRG site (Yang and Feldman, 2018).Thus, it is possible that the glutamatergic

signaling that is required for hypoxic response is derived from other glutamatergic neurons such

as the preBötzinger complex and the RTN itself; 2) C1 neuron depletion knocks out all the

signaling modalities in the C1 population including both noradrenaline and glutamate. It is not

clear which signaling drives the hypoxic response.

Similarly, Malheiros-Lima et al. (2022) and Malheiros-Lima et al. (2018) showed that Vglut2-

expressing C1 neurons project to the NA A5 region and the preBötzinger complex respectively.

The blockade of ionotropic glutamatergic receptors at the A5 region or preBötzinger complex

reduced the increase in phrenic nerve activities or the breathing frequency caused by

optogenetic stimulation of C1 cells in anesthetized preparation. Together these results suggest

that Vglut2-expressing C1 neurons communicate with A5 or preBötzinger complex neurons by

releasing glutamate in turn to regulate phrenic nerve activities or breathing frequency. Again,

these two studies showed that C1 neurons are important to regulate phrenic nerve activities or

breathing frequency, however, it is not necessarily through a direct C1-A5 or C1-preBötzinger

complex glutamatergic pathway, whereas an indirect multi-synaptic pathway originating with C1

noradrenaline and ending with glutamate transmission from an intermediate to A5 or

preBötzinger complex cannot be ruled out. Importantly, in all four studies noted here, respiratory

measurements were limited or proxies of breathing under anesthesia were used. The role of NA-

Vglut2 signaling was not reported in the context of an awake, unrestrained animal under

normoxic, hypoxic, or hypercapnic breathing.

Thus, the limitations and indirect lines of the evidence in these studies raised the question as to

whether or not glutamatergic signaling in central NA neurons is required or necessary to

modulate respiratory homeostasis under more physiologically relevant circumstances and if NA-

derived glutamate is an important mechanism to understand the pathophysiology of NA neurons

in respiratory diseases. The goal of our study was to test the requirement of NA-based

glutamatergic signaling in breathing homeostasis in the awake and unrestrained animal under

conditions that would engage the whole respiratory control network rather than a singular

component that may drive hysteresis or artifactual outcomes. Our *in vivo* breathing data under

room air, hypoxic, and multiple hypercapnic conditions failed to show the requirement of NA-

derived Vglut2 in normal breathing and respiratory chemoreflexes directly. To ensure a rigorous

and robust conclusion, first we use exactly the same mouse model, *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^{flox/flox}*,

as Abbott et al. (2014) used, and confirmed, by a second method (fluorescent RNA *in situ*

hybridization) that Vglut2 expression was indeed abrogated in the whole central NA system. Our

results are in strong agreement with DePuy et al. (2013) that recombination is efficient. Notably,

we assayed for expression at the cell body, rather than the fibers and see no singular cell body

that is scored positive. Second, we measured the breathing of conscious, unrestrained, and

habituated mice under physiological challenges including normoxia, hypercapnia and hypoxia.

Third, multiple respiratory and metabolic parameters were measured and reported in absolute

values including respiratory rate, tidal volume, minute ventilation, oxygen consumption, overall

respiratory output (minute ventilation normalized to oxygen consumption) in order to visualize

breathing function comprehensively. Fourth, our experimental design was overpowered (i.e.,

n=16-21 vs 5-13 needed for power based on power analysis and n=7-8 reported in other

manuscripts). Each respiratory/metabolic parameter for each physiological condition including

room air, hypercapnia, and hypoxia was derived by quantifying the entire respiratory trace and

analyzed between mutant and sibling controls by using a powerful linear mixed-effects

regression model with animal type (experimental vs. control) as fixed effects, animal ID as a

random effect and including sex as a variable (there was no sex specific effect) (Lusk et al., 2022).

Despite our experimental design and the extensive nature of our measurements, there remain

several considerations in the interpretation of our data. First, we uncovered a novel NA co-

expressed glutamate transporter, Vglut3. It remains a formal possibility that Vglut3 somehow

compensates for the loss of Vglut2, however we argue that this is unlikely for the following

reasons. First, our model is the same used in the other study where an effect was seen with

optogenetic stimulation. Second, focus has been on anterior C1 whereas Vglut3 is expressed in

the posterior CA domains. Another consideration is that pre- or post-natal developmental

compensation corrects for the loss of NA derived glutamate. Again, we argue that is not likely, as

we are using the same adult model that provided the most direct evidence and first functionally

demonstrated a potential role for C1-derived glutamate in breathing. Second, Abbott et al.

(2014) highlight that Vglut2 negative NA neurons showed no obvious abnormalities in number,

morphology, and projection patterns. Third, we have examined the requirement of NA-expressed

Vglut2 in P7 neonate mice in the auto-resuscitation reflex and saw no differences (data not

shown). However, this could be better tested by using the *TgDBH-CreER* (Stubbusch et al., 2011)

to more acutely remove Vglut2 expression (though this leaves a window of 2-3 weeks for

compensation to occur). Yet another consideration in interpreting our results is that we only

address the loss of NA-Vglut2 based signaling across the entire NA system. It remains a formal

possibility that we have removed Vglut2 dependent signaling from two counter balancing regions

of the NA system and are therefore left with null results whereas removal from anterior C1 alone

would show a requirement. To test this possibility, it would require an intersection conditional

approach, something that has not yet been shown to be efficient and effective and would be

beyond the scope of these studies. Additionally, in our studies, we account for potential

metabolic changes that may underlie or be coordinate with changes in breathing. However, in

this study we did not test for potential changes in blood pressure or other autonomic functions

as well as affective state that may, in some obscure way, compensate for changes in breathing.

Lastly, our results are loss of function in nature. We do not test sufficiency or gain of function and

cannot fully rule out a role for NA-Vglut2 based glutamatergic signaling in the control of

breathing.

From a translational perspective, our data questions whether or not glutamatergic signaling in

NA neurons is likely to be a key mechanism and therefore a therapeutic target for breathing

disorders, such as Rett syndrome and SIDS. *Mecp2*-deficient mice (a mouse model of Rett

Syndrome that phenocopies many human symptoms) showed both a deficiency of NA

populations (reduced number of NA neurons in C2/A2 and C1/A1 group) and highly variable

respiratory rhythm at around 4-5 weeks of age (Roux et al., 2007; Viemari et al., 2005). 80% Rett

Syndrome patients experience breathing issues, such as unstable breathing, episodes of

hyperventilation and breathing holds, during the whole lifespan including both childhood and

adulthood (Ramirez et al., 2020). SIDS decedents show NA abnormalities and are hypothesized

to ultimately succumb from a failure in cardiorespiratory autoresuscitation (Chigr et al., 1989;

Garcia et al., 2013; Kopp et al., 1993; Mansouri et al., 2001; Ozawa et al., 2003; Takashima and

Becker, 1991). However, *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice, have normal baseline and

chemosensory respiratory parameters as adults and neonate mice show normal

autoresuscitation indicating that perturbed NA based glutamatergic signaling may not be a key

driver in these or other related respiratory pathophysiologies.

In conclusion, our studies demonstrated the extent of glutamate as a functional vesicular

glutamate transporter co-expression in central noradrenergic system. We were able to

characterize a novel Vglut3-expressing NA population in the posterior C2/A2 nuclei and found a

different Vglut2 co-expression pattern in anterior NA groups from a cumulative intersectional

fate map compared to a viral fate map and *in situ* hybridization in the adult, suggesting that

anterior NA populations may have a time-dependent or experience-dependent manner for

Vglut2 expression. Furthermore, despite prior studies providing indirect and circumstantial

evidence that noradrenergic-based glutamatergic signaling may play a role in control of

breathing, our studies that remove Vglut2 expression from noradrenergic neurons failed to

perturb room air breathing, the hypercapnic ventilatory reflex, and the hypoxic ventilatory reflex,

which challenges the current dominant perspective and provides further insight into the

potential role of NA-glutamate transmission in the control of breathing. Based on our data, we

suggest that noradrenergic-based glutamatergic signaling is not required for regulating baseline

breathing or the hypercapnic and hypoxic respiratory chemoreflexes, and glutamate may not be

a critical mechanistic component of NA neuron dysfunction in respiratory disorders.

Materials and Methods

Ethical Approval

Studies were approved by the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee (IACUC) under protocol AN-6171, and all experiments reported here were performed

in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Breeding, Genetic Background, and Maintenance of Mice

We maintained all our heterozygous mouse strains by backcrossing to wildtype C57BL/6J mice

and homozygous mouse strains by sibling crosses. For immunofluorescence experiments,

heterozygous *Vglut1-*Cre *(Jax Stock No: 023527), Vglut2-Cre (Jax Stock No: 016963) and Vglut3-*

Cre (Jax Stock No: 018147) were mated with heterozygous *DBH-p2a-Flpo (MMRRC ID: 41575)*

(Sun and Ray, 2016) mice respectively to derive compound lines with both Cre and Flpo alleles

(*Vglut1-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+, Vglut2-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+, and Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-*

Flpo/+). Then these three compound lines were each mated with homozygous

RC::F_TdTomato_P_GFP (RC::FLTG, Jax Stock No: 026932) to derive three different intersectional

mouse lines *Vglut1/Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+, Vglut2/Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+;*

RC::FLTG/+ and Vglut3/Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+. For *in situ* hybridization experiments,

wildtype C57BL/6J mice were ordered from the Center of Comparative Medicine (CCM), Baylor

College of Medicine. For NA-Vglut2 conditional loss of function *in situ* hybridization and

plethysmography experiments, hemizygous *TgDBH-Cre (MMRRC ID: 036778-UCD GENSAT)* mice

were mated with homozygous *Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ (Jax Stock No: 012898)* to derive *TgDBH-Cre;*

Vglut2$^{flox/+}$. *TgDBH-Cre; Vglut2$^{flox/+}$* mice were mated with *Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* to derive *TgDBH-Cre;*

Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$. Sibling mice that lacked the Cre allele or carried the Cre allele but lacked the floxed

Vglut2 alleles were used as controls. Rosa26 specific primers for the *RC::FLTG* mice were 5'-

GCACTTGCTCTCCCAAAGTC, 5'-GGGCGTACTTGGCATATGAT, and 5'-CTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGA

(Ray et al., 2011) and yield a 495 bp band (targeted) and 330 bp band (wt). Cre-specific primers

for all Cre drivers were 5'-ATCGCCATCTTCCAGCAGGCGCACCATTGCCC and 5'-

GCATTTCTGGGGATTGCTTA and yielded a 550 bp band if positive. Flpo-specific primers for *DBH-*

p2a-Flpo are 5'- CACGCCCAGGTACTTGTTCT and 5'-CCACAGCAAGAAGATGCTGA (Sun and Ray,

2016) and yielded a 226 bp band if positive. Vglut2^{flox} specific primers for Vglut2-floxed mice are

available at The Jackson Laboratory website (https://www.jax.org/strain/012898).

Immunofluorescence staining

Vglut1, Vglut2, or Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+ adult mice were sacrificed and

transcardially perfused with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) then with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Mouse brains were dissected out and fixed for 2h in 4% PFA

before a PBS rinse and dehydration in 30% sucrose in PBS. Brains were embedded in OCT blocks,

sectioned at the thickness of 30μm, mounted on slides, and stored at -80°C until they were ready

for staining. The slides were hydrated in 0.1% Triton-X in PBS (PBST) for 15 mins, blocked with 5%

donkey serum in 0.1% PBST for 1h at room temperature and then incubated with primary

antibodies for 72 hours at 4°C in 0.1% PBST with 5% donkey serum. Tissues were washed in 0.1%

PBST 3 times for 10 min each and then incubated with secondary antibodies for 2hs at room

temperature in 0.1% PBST with 5% donkey serum. Slides were washed with 0.1% PBST for 10 min

and washed in PBS twice for 10 min each, stained for DAPI, washed 3 times for 10 min each with

PBS and mounted in ProLong Glass (Invitrogen). The following primary and secondary antibodies

were used: chicken anti-GFP (1:1,000, Abcam ab13970), rabbit anti-dsRed (1:1,000, Clontech

632496), donkey anti-chicken Cy2 (1:500, Jackson 703-225-155), donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 (1:500,

Jackson 711-165-152).

In situ hybridization

Mouse brains were dissected out from adult mice with 6-8 week of age, sectioned into

25μm brain sections and mounted on slides. We generated a digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled

mRNA antisense probe against *Vglut1, Vglut2, and Vglut3* and fluorescein (FITC)-labeled mRNA

against *DBH* using reverse-transcribed mouse cDNA as a template and an RNA DIG or FITC-

labeling kits from Roche (Sigma). Primer and probe sequences for the *Vglut1, Vglut2, Vglut3* and

DBH probes are available in the Allen Brain Atlas (http://www.brain-map.org). For the Vglut2 and

DBH double ISH in *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^{flox/flox}* and their littermate controls, we generated a new

Vglut2 probe targeting exon 2 of Vglut2 specifically (Tong et al., 2007) and the probe sequence is

892-1144bp as Slc17a6 transcript variant 1 and the size is 253bp. ISH was performed by the

RNA In Situ Hybridization Core at Baylor College of Medicine using an automated robotic

platform as previously described (Yaylaoglu et al., 2005) with modifications of the protocol for

double ISH. Modifications in brief (see Yaylaoglu et al. (2005) for buffer descriptions): both

probes were hybridized to the tissue simultaneously. After the described washes and blocking

steps the DIG-labeled probes were visualized using tyramide-Cy3 Plus (1/75 dilution, 15-minute

incubation, Akoya Biosciences). After washes in TNT, the remaining HRP-activity was quenched

by a 10-minute incubation in 0.2M HCl. The sections were then washed in TNT, blocked in TNB

for 15 minutes, and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes with HRP-labeled sheep anti-

FITC antibody (1/500 in TNB, Roche/Sigma). Following washes in TNT, the FITC-labeled probe was

visualized using tyramide-FITC Plus (1/50 dilution, 15-minute incubation, Akoya Biosciences).

Following washes in TNT, the slides were stained with DAPI (invitrogen), washed again, removed

from the machine, and mounted in ProLong Diamond (Invitrogen).

Viral Injection

To verify Vglut2 co-expression in LC in adult mice (Yang et al., 2021), 6-week-old *Vglut2-Cre* mice were injected with pAAV-EF1a-DIO-tdTomato-WPRE virus (RRID:Addgene_133786, obtained from Joshua Ortiz at the Optogenetics and Viral Vectors Core at the Jan and Dan Duncan Neurological Research Institute, 1ul at 7.90E+10 Gc/mL) into the LC (coordinates from bregma anteroposterior −5.4 mm, lateral + 0.8 mm and dorsoventral – 4.0 mm) and allowed to incubate for 4 weeks.

Plethysmography

Plethysmography on conscious and free-moving mice was carried out as described in (Ray et al., 2011) on 6-8-week-old adult animals with at least 16 animals in both the experimental and control group for each experiment. Animals were subjected to a five-day habituation protocol with each day including several minutes of handling, temperature taken by rectal probe and at least 30 min exposure in the plethysmography chamber (Martinez et al., 2019). Plethysmography was then performed for each mouse within 1 week of the last day of habituation. On the day of testing, mice were taken out from their home cage, weighed, and rectal temperature was taken. Animals were then placed into a flow-through, temperature-controlled (about 32°C with real-time temperature recording) plethysmography chamber and allowed to acclimate for at least 45 min in room air (21% O_2/79% N_2) conditions. After acclimation and measurement under room air, the chamber gas was switched to a hypercapnic or hypoxic mixture of 5% CO_2/21% O_2/74% N_2, 7% CO_2/21% O_2/72% N_2, 10% CO_2/21% O_2/69% N_2, or 10% O_2/90% N_2, depending on the protocol, for 20 min. Chamber gas was then switched back to room air for another 20 min. The animals were removed from the chamber and rectal temperature was measured immediately after the mice were taken out from the chamber.

Plethysmography data analysis and statistics

Details were previously described in (Martinez et al., 2019; Ray et al., 2011). Plethysmography pressure changes were measured using a Validyne DP45 differential pressure transducer, CD15 carrier demodulator and a reference chamber, and were recorded with LabChart Pro in real time.

Respiratory waveforms were analyzed by the BASSPRO module of the Breathe Easy software to

determine respiratory frequency (V_f), tidal volume (V_T), minute ventilation (V_E), oxygen

consumption (V_{O2}) and ventilatory equivalents for oxygen (V_E/V_{O2}) (Lusk et al., 2022). A power

analysis was performed using the reported effect size in Abbott et al. (2014) which used the same

mouse model as we used here and 5-13 mice were necessary to observe a statistically significant

result (Abbott et al. (2014) was able to see a significant difference between two groups with n=7

mice). In our experiments, the sample size for each group (*TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^flox/flox* and

control) exceeded 13. Results (V_f, V_E, V_T, V_{O2}, V_E/V_{O2}) for room air and hypercapnic or hypoxic

data were compared between *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^flox/flox* cohorts and sibling controls using a

linear mixed-effects regression model with animal type (experimental vs. control) as fixed effects

and animal ID as a random effect (Lusk et al., 2022). A $p < 0.05$ was used to indicate statistical

significance, and individual data points, mean, and standard error of the mean are shown on all

charts. The graphs were plotted by Prism 8.

Image quantification

Images were taken by using a Zeiss upright epifluorescent microscope and a Zeiss LSM 880 with

Airyscan FAST confocal microscope. Images were captured using Zen software with z-stack

function from top to bottom with 0.34μm intervals, exported, and then analyzed in Imaris using

the spots and surface functions. For quantification of immunofluorescence staining, each GFP$^+$

area coincident with DAPI (to denote nuclear localization) was defined as Vglut2 or Vglut3-

expressing NA neurons while each tdTomato$^+$ area coincident with DAPI was defined as NA

neurons without any Vglut2 or Vglut3 co-expression. For quantification of Vglut2 or Vglut3 with

DBH double *in situ* hybridization in adult WT mice, DBH$^+$ areas coinciding with DAPI were

identified as NA neurons and the DBH$^+$ areas overlapped with Vglut2/3$^+$ pixels and DAPI were

defined as NA neurons colocalized with Vglut2/3. The number of Vglut2/3$^+$ NA neurons and

Vglut2/3$^-$ NA neurons was counted in each image for both immunofluorescence and in situ

experiments and the percentage of Vglut2/3$^+$ NA neurons among all NA neurons in each NA

nucleus in each mouse brainstem was calculated every other brain section unilaterally. For

quantification of Vglut2 and DBH double ISH in *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2^flox/flox* and their littermate

controls, Vglut2 pixel intensities in DBH$^+$ areas coincident with DAPI (NA neurons) were measured

in mutant and control images separately. The Vglut2 pixel intensity in NA neurons of control

brains was normalized as 1 and the relative Vglut2 pixel intensity of NA neurons in mutant brains

compared to that in control brains was calculated. At least three mouse brains were examined

for each set of experiments in each group. All quantitative results were graphed using Prism 8.

Data availability

All the data supporting this study is available from the corresponding author upon request.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Optical Imaging & Vital Microscopy Core (OiVM) at Baylor College of Medicine with

the expert assistance of Jason Kirk for confocal imaging. We thank BCM Neuropathology Core

and Tao Lin for tissue sectioning. We thank the RNA In Situ Hybridization Core at Baylor College

of Medicine with the expert assistance of Cecilia Ljungberg for performing in situ hybridization

(NIH S10 OD016167 and NIH IDDRC Grant P50 HD103555). We thank Dr. Joshua Ortiz and Dr.

Benjamin Arenkiel at the NRI Optogenetics and Viral Vectors Core for providing Cre-responsive

AAV virus used in viral injection.

Author contributions

YC designed and completed immunofluorescence staining, imaging, and plethysmography

experiments, analyzed data, and prepared the manuscript.

SL completed the viral injection experiments.

RR conceptualized and designed the study, analyzed the data, and prepared the manuscript.

Competing interests

No competing interests are declared.

Figure 1. Cumulative fate maps of glutamate co-expressing NA neurons characterized by

intersectional genetics. (A) Breeding schematic. *Vglut1-Cre/+*, *Vglut2-Cre/+* and *Vglut3-Cre/+*

were each bred with *DBH-p2a-Flpo/+* mice respectively. These *Vglut1, Vglut2, or Vglut3-Cre/+;*

DBH-p2a-Flpo/+ compound lines were then crossed with the *RC::F_TdTomato_P_GFP*

intersectional reporter mice (*RC::FLTG*). In each of the three intersectional reporter crosses, NA

neurons co-expressing either Vglut1, Vglut2, or Vglut3 are labeled by green fluorescent protein

(eGFP) while NA neurons without any Vglut1,2, or 3 expression are labelled by red fluorescent

protein (tdTomato). Shown as an example is the *Vglut2-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+ RC::FLTG*

intersectional reporter line. (B) Quantification of the percentage of Vglut2-coexpressing NA

neurons among NA neurons in each brainstem NA nuclei including A7, LC, A5, sub CD/CV, anterior

C1/A1 and C2/A2, posterior C1/A1 and C2/A2. (C) Quantification of the percentage of Vglut3-

coexpressing NA neurons among NA neurons in each NA nuclei. (D) Fluorescent expression of

tdTomato (red) and eGFP (green) in coronal sections of Vglut1, Vglut2, or Vglut3 intersectional

reporter lines in brainstem NA nuclei. Nucleus is labeled by DAPI (blue). Scale bar 50μm.

Figure 2. Characterization of real time co-expression of Vglut1, Vglut2 and Vglut3 in brainstem

NA neurons in adult mice by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. (A) Representative images of

Vglut1, Vglut2, or Vglut3 and DBH double ISH in coronal sections of WT mice in all of brainstem

NA nuclei in adult mice. DBH (green), Vglut1/2/3 (red), DAPI (cyan). Scale bar 50μm. (B)

Quantification of the percentage of Vglut2 co-expression in NA neurons in each NA nuclei. (C)

Quantification of the percentage of Vglut3 co-expression in NA neurons in each NA nuclei.

Figure 3. DBH with Vglut2 co-staining by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization confirms that Vglut2

expression is disrupted in the whole central NA system in *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice. (A)

Representative images of Vglut2 and DBH double ISH in anterior C1/A1, posterior C1/A1, anterior

C2/A2 and posterior C2/A2 in control and *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice. DBH (green), Vglut2

(red), DAPI (cyan). Scale bar 20μm.(B) Quantification of intensity of Vglut2 signal in control and

TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ mice.

Figure 4. Vglut2 conditional knockout in central noradrenergic neurons fails to alter baseline

breathing and the hypercapnic ventilatory reflex (5% CO_2). (A) Mouse model schematic and

experimental protocol including habituation and hypercapnia protocol. (B) Under both room air

and hypercapnia (5% CO_2), *TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* mice didn't show significant changes in

respiratory output (V_E/V_{O_2}), minute ventilation (V_E), respiratory rate (V_f), tidal volume (V_T), and

metabolism demand (V_{O_2}). Linear mixed-effects regression model, *$p<0.05$.

Figure 5. Vglut2 conditional knockout in central noradrenergic neurons fails to alter the

majority of hypercapnic ventilation parameters and metabolism under 7% CO_2. (A) Mouse

model schematic and experimental protocol including habituation and hypercapnia protocol. (B)

Knocking out Vglut2 in the whole NA system failed to alter ventilation (V_E/V_{O_2}, V_f, V_E) and

metabolism (V_{O_2}) but only showed a significantly reduce in V_T under 7% CO_2 condition. Linear

mixed-effects regression model, *$p<0.05$.

Figure 6. Vglut2 conditional knockout in central noradrenergic neurons fails to alter the

hypercapnic ventilatory reflex (10% CO_2). (A) Mouse model schematic and experimental

protocol including habituation and hypercapnia protocol. (B) Knocking out Vglut2 in the whole

NA system didn't significantly alter ventilation (V_E/V_{O_2}, V_f, V_T, V_E) and metabolism (V_{O_2}) under 10%

CO_2 condition. Linear mixed-effects regression model, *$p<0.05$.

Figure 7. Vglut2 conditional knockout in central noradrenergic neurons fails to alter the hypoxic

ventilatory reflex (10% O_2). (A) Mouse model schematic and experimental protocol including

habituation and hypoxia protocol. (B) Knocking out Vglut2 in the whole NA system didn't show

significant breathing changes including V_E/V_{O_2}, V_E, V_f, V_T, and V_{O_2} in either of the three five-minute

time periods under hypoxia (10% O_2). Linear mixed-effects regression model, *$p<0.05$.

660
Figure 2 Supplement 1. Virus injection of Cre-dependent tdTomato into LC of *Vglut2-Cre* mice.

Table 1. Respiratory and metabolic values under room air conditions and the statistical tests.

Table 2. Respiratory and metabolic values under 5% CO_2 conditions and the statistical tests.

Table 3. Respiratory and metabolic values under 7% CO_2 conditions and the statistical tests.

Table 4. Respiratory and metabolic values under 10% CO_2 conditions and the statistical tests.

Table 5. Respiratory and metabolic values under 10% O_2 conditions and the statistical tests.

Supplemental table 1. Vglut2^{+} innervations from central noradrenergic neurons to the brain
nuclei important in breathing control.

669
670   **References**
671

Abbott SBG, DePuy SD, Nguyen T, Coates MB, Stornetta RL, Guyenet PG. 2013. Selective
optogenetic activation of rostral ventrolateral medullary catecholaminergic neurons
produces cardiorespiratory stimulation in conscious mice. *J Neurosci* **33**:3164–3177.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1046-12.2013
Abbott SBG, Holloway BB, Viar KE, Guyenet PG. 2014. Vesicular glutamate transporter 2 is
required for the respiratory and parasympathetic activation produced by optogenetic
stimulation of catecholaminergic neurons in the rostral ventrolateral medulla of mice in vivo.
Eur J Neurosci **39**:98–106. doi:10.1111/ejn.12421
Beltrán-Castillo S, Olivares MJ, Contreras RA, Zúñiga G, Llona I, von Bernhardi R, Eugenín JL.
2017. D-serine released by astrocytes in brainstem regulates breathing response to CO2
levels. *Nat Commun* **8**:838. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00960-3
Chigr F, Najimi M, Jordan D, Denoroy L, Pearson J, Tommasi M, Gilly R, Kopp N. 1989.
[Immunohistochemical absence of adrenergic neurons in the dorsal part of the solitary tract
nucleus in sudden infant death]. *C R Acad Sci III, Sci Vie* **309**:543–549.
Comer AM, Qi J, Christie DL, Gibbons HM, Lipski J. 1998. Noradrenaline transporter expression
in the pons and medulla oblongata of the rat: localisation to noradrenergic and some C1
adrenergic neurones. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* **62**:65–76. doi:10.1016/s0169-328x(98)00241-1
Del Negro CA, Funk GD, Feldman JL. 2018. Breathing matters. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **19**:351–367.
doi:10.1038/s41583-018-0003-6
DePuy SD, Stornetta RL, Bochorishvili G, Deisseroth K, Witten I, Coates M, Guyenet PG. 2013.
Glutamatergic neurotransmission between the C1 neurons and the parasympathetic
preganglionic neurons of the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus. *J Neurosci* **33**:1486–1497.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4269-12.2013
Dick TE, Dutschmann M, Feldman JL, Fong AY, Hülsmann S, Morris KM, Ramirez JM, Smith JC,
Respiratory Neurobiology Consortium. 2018. Facts and challenges in respiratory
neurobiology. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* **258**:104–107. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2015.01.014
Ducros L, Vahedi K, Similowski T, Bousser M-G, Payen D. 2003. Uncontrollable high-frequency
tachypnea in a case of unilateral medial medullary infarct. *Intensive Care Med* **29**:841–844.
doi:10.1007/s00134-003-1658-6
Feldman JL, Del Negro CA, Gray PA. 2013. Understanding the rhythm of breathing: so near, yet
so far. *Annu Rev Physiol* **75**:423–452. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-040510-130049

Fremeau RT, Voglmaier S, Seal RP, Edwards RH. 2004. VGLUTs define subsets of excitatory neurons and suggest novel roles for glutamate. *Trends Neurosci* **27**:98–103. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2003.11.005

Garcia AJ, Koschnitzky JE, Ramirez J-M. 2013. The physiological determinants of sudden infant death syndrome. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* **189**:288–300. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2013.05.032

Gauda EB, Cristofalo E, Nunez J. 2007. Peripheral arterial chemoreceptors and sudden infant death syndrome. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* **157**:162–170. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2007.02.016

Guyenet PG, Stornetta RL, Bochorishvili G, Depuy SD, Burke PGR, Abbott SBG. 2013. C1 neurons: the body's EMTs. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **305**:R187-204. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00054.2013

Haxhiu MA, Yung K, Erokwu B, Cherniack NS. 1996. CO2-induced c-fos expression in the CNS catecholaminergic neurons. *Respir Physiol* **105**:35–45. doi:10.1016/0034-5687(96)00034-5

Henneberger C, Bard L, Panatier A, Reynolds JP, Kopach O, Medvedev NI, Minge D, Herde MK, Anders S, Kraev I, Heller JP, Rama S, Zheng K, Jensen TP, Sanchez-Romero I, Jackson CJ, Janovjak H, Ottersen OP, Nagelhus EA, Oliet SHR, Stewart MG, Nägerl UV, Rusakov DA. 2020. LTP induction boosts glutamate spillover by driving withdrawal of perisynaptic astroglia. *Neuron* **108**:919-936.e11. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.08.030

Hülsmann S, Oku Y, Zhang W, Richter DW. 2000. Metabotropic glutamate receptors and blockade of glial Krebs cycle depress glycinergic synaptic currents of mouse hypoglossal motoneurons. *Eur J Neurosci* **12**:239–246.

Kopp N, Chigr F, Denoroy L, Gilly R, Jordan D. 1993. Absence of adrenergic neurons in nucleus tractus solitarius in sudden infant death syndrome. *Neuropediatrics* **24**:25–29. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1071508

Lorang D, Amara SG, Simerly RB. 1994. Cell-type-specific expression of catecholamine transporters in the rat brain. *J Neurosci* **14**:4903–4914. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-08-04903.1994

Lusk S, Ward CS, Chang A, Twitchell-Heyne A, Fattig S, Allen G, Jankowsky J, Ray R. 2022. Breathe Easy, an automated respiratory data pipeline for waveform characteristic analysis. *BioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2022.12.02.518741

Malheiros-Lima MR, Silva JN, Souza FC, Takakura AC, Moreira TS. 2020. C1 neurons are part of the circuitry that recruits active expiration in response to the activation of peripheral chemoreceptors. *eLife* **9**. doi:10.7554/eLife.52572

Malheiros-Lima MR, Silva TM, Takakura AC, Moreira TS. 2022. A5 noradrenergic-projecting C1 neurons activate sympathetic and breathing outputs in anaesthetized rats. *Exp Physiol* **107**:147–160. doi:10.1113/EP089691

Malheiros-Lima MR, Totola LT, Lana MVG, Strauss BE, Takakura AC, Moreira TS. 2018. Breathing responses produced by optogenetic stimulation of adrenergic C1 neurons are dependent on the connection with preBötzinger complex in rats. *Pflugers Arch* **470**:1659–1672. doi:10.1007/s00424-018-2186-0

Mansouri J, Panigrahy A, Filiano JJ, Sleeper LA, St John WM, Kinney HC. 2001. Alpha2 receptor binding in the medulla oblongata in the sudden infant death syndrome. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* **60**:141–146.

Martinez VK, Saldana-Morales F, Sun JJ, Zhu PJ, Costa-Mattioli M, Ray RS. 2019. Off-Target
Effects of Clozapine-N-Oxide on the Chemosensory Reflex Are Masked by High Stress Levels.
Front Physiol **10**:521. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00521
Ozawa Y, Takashima S, Tada H. 2003. Alpha2-adrenergic receptor subtype alterations in the
brainstem in the sudden infant death syndrome. *Early Hum Dev* **75 Suppl**:S129-38.
doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2003.08.016
Ramirez J-M, Karlen-Amarante M, Wang J-DJ, Bush NE, Carroll MS, Weese-Mayer DE, Huff A.
2020. The pathophysiology of rett syndrome with a focus on breathing dysfunctions.
Physiology (Bethesda) **35**:375–390. doi:10.1152/physiol.00008.2020
Ray RS, Corcoran AE, Brust RD, Kim JC, Richerson GB, Nattie E, Dymecki SM. 2011. Impaired
respiratory and body temperature control upon acute serotonergic neuron inhibition. *Science*
333:637–642. doi:10.1126/science.1205295
Roux J-C, Dura E, Moncla A, Mancini J, Villard L. 2007. Treatment with desipramine improves
breathing and survival in a mouse model for Rett syndrome. *Eur J Neurosci* **25**:1915–1922.
doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05466.x
Souza GMPR, Stornetta DS, Vitali AJ, Wildner H, Zeilhofer HU, Campbell JN, Abbott SBG. 2022a.
Chemogenetic activation of noradrenergic A5 neurons increases blood pressure and visceral
sympathetic activity in adult rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* **323**:R512–R531.
doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00119.2022
Souza GMPR, Stornetta RL, Stornetta DS, Guyenet PG, Abbott SBG. 2022b. Adrenergic C1
neurons monitor arterial blood pressure and determine the sympathetic response to
hemorrhage. *Cell Rep* **38**:110480. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110480
Stornetta RL, Sevigny CP, Guyenet PG. 2002a. Vesicular glutamate transporter DNPI/VGLUT2
mRNA is present in C1 and several other groups of brainstem catecholaminergic neurons. *J*
Comp Neurol **444**:191–206. doi:10.1002/cne.10141
Stornetta RL, Sevigny CP, Schreihofer AM, Rosin DL, Guyenet PG. 2002b. Vesicular glutamate
transporter DNPI/VGLUT2 is expressed by both C1 adrenergic and nonaminergic
presympathetic vasomotor neurons of the rat medulla. *J Comp Neurol* **444**:207–220.
doi:10.1002/cne.10142
Stubbusch J, Majdazari A, Schmidt M, Schütz G, Deller T, Rohrer H. 2011. Generation of the
tamoxifen-inducible DBH-Cre transgenic mouse line DBH-CT. *Genesis* **49**:935–941.
doi:10.1002/dvg.20773
Sun JJ, Ray R. 2016. Generation of Two Noradrenergic-Specific Dopamine-Beta-Hydroxylase-
FLPo Knock-In Mice Using CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Targeting in Embryonic Stem Cells. *PLoS*
ONE **11**:e0159474. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159474
Takashima S, Becker LE. 1991. Delayed dendritic development of catecholaminergic neurons in
the ventrolateral medulla of children who died of sudden infant death syndrome.
Neuropediatrics **22**:97–99. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1071424
Tong Q, Ye C, McCrimmon RJ, Dhillon H, Choi B, Kramer MD, Yu J, Yang Z, Christiansen LM, Lee
CE, Choi CS, Zigman JM, Shulman GI, Sherwin RS, Elmquist JK, Lowell BB. 2007. Synaptic
glutamate release by ventromedial hypothalamic neurons is part of the neurocircuitry that
prevents hypoglycemia. *Cell Metab* **5**:383–393. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2007.04.001
Viemari J-C, Roux J-C, Tryba AK, Saywell V, Burnet H, Peña F, Zanella S, Bévengut M,
Barthelemy-Requin M, Herzing LBK, Moncla A, Mancini J, Ramirez J-M, Villard L, Hilaire G.

2005. Mecp2 deficiency disrupts norepinephrine and respiratory systems in mice. *J Neurosci*
25:11521–11530. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4373-05.2005
Viemari J-C. 2008. Noradrenergic modulation of the respiratory neural network. *Respir Physiol*
Neurobiol **164**:123–130. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2008.06.016
Yang B, Sanches-Padilla J, Kondapalli J, Morison SL, Delpire E, Awatramani R, Surmeier DJ. 2021.
Locus coeruleus anchors a trisynaptic circuit controlling fear-induced suppression of feeding.
Neuron **109**:823-838.e6. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.12.023
Yang CF, Feldman JL. 2018. Efferent projections of excitatory and inhibitory preBötzinger
Complex neurons. *J Comp Neurol* **526**:1389–1402. doi:10.1002/cne.24415
Yaylaoglu MB, Titmus A, Visel A, Alvarez-Bolado G, Thaller C, Eichele G. 2005. Comprehensive
expression atlas of fibroblast growth factors and their receptors generated by a novel robotic
in situ hybridization platform. *Dev Dyn* **234**:371–386. doi:10.1002/dvdy.20441

Figure 1

A

RC::FLTG intersectional reporter

Vglut1-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+
or
Vglut2-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+
or
Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+

B *Vglut2-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+*

C *Vglut3-Cre/+; DBH-p2a-Flpo/+; RC::FLTG/+*

Figure 1. cont.

D

Figure 2

A

	Vglut1	Vglut2	Vglut3
A7	DBH Vglut1 DAPI	DBH Vglut2 DAPI	DBH Vglut3 DAPI
Locus Coeruleus			
A5			
Sub CD/CV			
Anterior C1/A1			
Anterior C2/A2			
Posterior C1/A1			
Posterior C2/A2			

B

Vglut2_DBH In situ

Vglut2$^+$ DBH$^+$ / DBH$^+$ (%)

C

Vglut3_DBH In situ

Vglut3$^+$ DBH$^+$ / DBH$^+$ (%)

Figure 3

A

Anterior C1/A1

| Control | DBH | Vglut2 | DBH/Vglut2/DAPI |
| DBH-Cre; Vglut2*flox/flox* | | | |

Anterior C2/A2

| Control | DBH | Vglut2 | DBH/Vglut2/DAPI |
| DBH-Cre; Vglut2*flox/flox* | | | |

Posterior C1/A1

| Control | DBH | Vglut2 | DBH/Vglut2/DAPI |
| DBH-Cre; Vglut2*flox/flox* | | | |

Posterior C2/A2

| Control | DBH | Vglut2 | DBH/Vglut2/DAPI |
| DBH-Cre; Vglut2*flox/flox* | | | |

B

Relative Intensity of Vglut2 in DBH⁺ neurons
(Normalized to Ctrl)

Control

TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2*flox/flox*

0.0   0.5   1.0   1.5   2.0

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

B

V_E/V_{O2}

Minute Ventilation (V_E)

- *TgDBH-Cre; Vglut2^{flox/flox}*, n=18
- Control, n=18

Respiratory Rate (V_f)

Tidal Volume (V_T)

Oxygen Consumption (V_{O2})

Locus Coeruleus

TH

tdTomato

TH/ tdTomato/ DAPI

Table 1. Respiratory and metabolic values under room air conditions and the statistical tests

	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	*TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* vs Control linear mixed-effects regression model
V_E/V_{O2}	30.01 ± 2.58	33.22 ± 3.97	P = 0.98
V_f	204.08 ± 10.04	213.58 ± 15.36	P = 0.98
V_T	0.009 ± 0.0007	0.009 ± 0.0006	P = 0.88
V_E	1.93 ± 0.14	1.98 ± 0.22	P = 0.995
V_{O2}	0.067 ± 0.004	0.061 ± 0.004	P = 0.78

Table 2. Respiratory and metabolic values under 5% CO_2 conditions and the statistical tests

	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	*TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* vs Control linear mixed-effects regression model
V_E/V_{O2}	47.99 ± 3.28	58.60 ± 5.44	P = 0.33
V_f	246.72 ± 9.78	261.66 ± 14.00	P = 0.24
V_T	0.011 ± 0.0007	0.010 ± 0.0008	P = 0.81
V_E	2.67 ± 0.20	2.80 ± 0.32	P = 0.98
V_{O2}	0.057 ± 0.003	0.051 ± 0.005	P = 0.42

Table 3. Respiratory and metabolic values under 7% CO_2 conditions and the statistical tests

	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	*TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* vs Control linear mixed-effects regression model
V_E/V_{O2}	58.48 ± 4.29	74.70 ± 6.22	P = 0.23
V_f	262.69 ± 12.82	284.44 ± 10.59	P = 0.98
V_T	0.011 ± 0.0011	0.015 ± 0.0012	P = 0.023*
V_E	2.90 ± 0.32	4.23 ± 0.43	P = 0.16
V_{O2}	0.051 ± 0.004	0.059 ± 0.006	P = 0.64

Table 4. Respiratory and metabolic values under 10% CO_2 conditions and the statistical tests

	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$ Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	*TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2$^{flox/flox}$* vs Control linear mixed-effects regression model
V_E/V_{O2}	105.77 ± 10.80	105.69 ± 11.51	P = 0.9996
V_f	336.31 ± 5.26	336.38 ± 8.52	P = 0.9997
V_T	0.018 ± 0.0015	0.016 ± 0.0012	P = 0.98
V_E	5.94 ± 0.48	5.54 ± 0.45	P = 0.9996
V_{O2}	0.059 ± 0.003	0.058 ± 0.005	P = 0.9999999

Table 5. Respiratory and metabolic values under 10% O₂ conditions and the statistical tests

	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2*flox/flox* Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	Linear mixed-effects regression model	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2*flox/flox* Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	Linear mixed-effects regression model	TgDBH-Cre/+; Vglut2*flox/flox* Mean ± SEM	Control Mean ± SEM	Linear mixed-effects regression model
	10% O₂_1			10% O₂_2			10% O₂_3		
V_E/V_{O2}	43.79 ± 4.57	57.75 ± 10.88	P = 0.99	41.30 ± 3.85	49.36 ± 6.93	P = 0.99	42.82 ± 3.97	49.19 ± 6.02	P = 0.99
V_f	269.71 ± 8.49	243.89 ± 7.64	P = 0.67	268.38 ± 8.40	251.15 ± 8.67	P = 0.83	273.96 ± 9.31	255.84 ± 12.19	P = 0.80
V_T	0.009 ± 0.0009	0.010 ± 0.0009	P = 0.89	0.009 ± 0.0008	0.011 ± 0.0009	P = 0.85	0.009 ± 0.0009	0.011 ± 0.0013	P = 0.64
V_E	2.58 ± 0.25	2.40 ± 0.21	P = 0.999998	2.45 ± 0.24	2.65 ± 0.21	P = 0.9998	2.53 ± 0.24	2.92 ± 0.41	P = 0.998
V_{O2}	0.063 ± 0.008	0.055 ± 0.006	P = 0.995	0.063 ± 0.007	0.061 ± 0.005	P = 0.9998	0.064 ± 0.008	0.063 ± 0.006	P = 0.99999999

Supplemental table 1. Vglut2^{+} innervations from central noradrenergic neurons to the brain nuclei important in breathing control

Vglut2[+] noradrenergic nuclei	Downstream target of Vglut2^{+} NA neurons	The role of the downstream target in breathing control	Reference
C1	preBötzinger complex	Generate inspiratory rhythm	Malheiros-Lima et al. (2018)
	parafacial region (pFRG)	Regulate active expiration during chemosensory stimulation	Malheiros-Lima et al. (2020)
	Locus Coeruleus (LC)	Chemosensitive, regulate chemosensation	Abbott et al. (2013); Holloway et al. (2013)
	A5	Inhibitory drive for chemosensation and respiratory rhythm	Abbott et al. (2013); Malheiros-Lima et al. (2022)
	A1, A2	Modulate chemosensation, stabilize respiratory rhythm	Abbott et al. (2013); Holloway et al. (2013)
	Lateral parabrachial nucleus (PBN)	Regulate chemosensation and arousal	Abbott et al. (2013)
	Medullary raphe	Regulate chemosensation and expiration	Abbott et al. (2013)
	Nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS)	Receive input from the carotid bodies (a key center for O₂ chemosensation) and other cardiopulmonary afferents	Abbott et al. (2013)
	Dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus	Control respiration rate, regulate airway tone and defense	Abbott et al. (2013)
	Dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) lateral hypothalamic area (LHA)	Modulate baseline respiration, chemosensation, arousal	Abbott et al. (2013)
	Paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVT)	Regulate arousal	Abbott et al. (2013)
	Paraventricular nucleus (PVN)	Modulate baseline respiration, respiratory response to hypoxia	Abbott et al. (2013)
Locus Coeruleus (LC)	Lateral parabrachial nucleus	Regulate chemosensation and arousal	Yang et al. (2021)