Title

Meta-Analysis of Public RNA Sequencing Data of Abscisic Acid-Related Abiotic Stresses in

Arabidopsis thaliana

Author names and affiliations

Mitsuo Shintani[a], Keita Tamura[b], Hidemasa Bono[a,b*]

[a]Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, 3-10-23 Kagamiyama,

Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-0046, Japan

[b]Genome Editing Innovation Center, Hiroshima University, 3-10-23 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima,

Hiroshima 739-0046, Japan

*Corresponding author

13
14

Corresponding author:

Hidemasa Bono

3-10-23 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-0046, Japan

Email: bonohu@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Tel: +81-82-424-4013

Running title:

Meta-analysis of ABA-related abiotic stress RNA-Seq data

Keywords:

Abiotic stress, Abscisic acid, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, Meta-analysis, RNA-Seq

Abstract

Abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, and cold negatively affect plant growth and crop productivity. Understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying plant responses to these stressors is essential for stress tolerance in crops. The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) is significantly increased upon abiotic stressors, inducing physiological responses to adapt to stress and regulate gene expression. Although many studies have examined the components of established stress signaling pathways, few have explored other unknown elements. This study aimed to identify novel stress-responsive genes in plants by performing a meta-analysis of public RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) data on *Arabidopsis thaliana*, focusing on five ABA-related stress conditions (ABA, Salt, Dehydration, Osmotic, and Cold). The meta-analysis of 216 paired datasets from five stress conditions was conducted, and differentially expressed genes were identified by introducing a new metric, called TN (stress-treated (T) and non-treated (N))-score. We revealed that 14 genes were commonly upregulated and 8 genes were commonly downregulated across all five treatments, including some that were not previously associated with these stress responses. On the other hand, some genes regulated by salt, dehydration, and osmotic treatments were not regulated by exogenous ABA or cold stress, suggesting that they may be involved in the plant response to dehydration independent of ABA. Our meta-analysis revealed a list of candidate genes with unknown molecular mechanisms in ABA-dependent and ABA-independent stress responses. These genes could be valuable resources for selecting genome editing targets and potentially contribute to the discovery of novel stress tolerance mechanisms and pathways in plants.

Introduction

Drought and salinity are the major abiotic stressors in plants. These stresses are becoming more severe with climate change and negatively affect crop growth, leading to yield loss (Pereira, 2016; Zhu, 2016; Mareri et al., 2022). Drought, salt stress, and secondary osmotic stress induced by them reduce plant water availability, leading to dehydration stress (Dhankher and Foyer, 2018; Ma et al., 2020). The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) increases significantly by these dehydration stresses and induces physiological responses to adapt to the stresses, such as stomatal closure and regulation of gene expression (Sah et al., 2016; Dar et al., 2017; Vishwakarma et al., 2017). Dehydration-responsive genes can be divided into two categories: expression regulated by ABA or specifically regulated by dehydration stress, but not by ABA (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2000; Yoshida et al., 2014). Additionally, ABA is synthesized under cold stress conditions (Aslam et al., 2022). The pathways that respond to cold stress and their associated gene expression share similarities with those that respond to dehydration stress (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2000; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). However, the expression of some genes is regulated by stimuli specific to cold stress, such as a decrease in temperature (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2000; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). Thus, plants respond to stress through common or uncommon pathways (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2000; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). Therefore, it is important to clarify the characteristics of stress-responsive genes, such as their regulatory stress and whether they are ABA-responsive (ABA-regulated or ABA-unregulated), to understand the complex regulatory mechanisms of stress responsiveness in plants.

The core of the ABA signaling pathway consists of three types of proteins: ABA receptors (PYR/PYL/RCAR: pyrabactin resistance/PYR-like/regulatory component of ABA receptor), protein phosphatases (PP2C: protein phosphatases type-2C), and protein kinases (SnRK2: SNF1-related protein kinase 2) (Cutler et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015). These proteins regulate the activity of ABA signaling. ABA binds to receptors (PYR/PYL/RCAR) that inhibit phosphatases (PP2C) and activate kinases (SnRK2) that regulate various physiological responses through signaling cascades (Cutler et al., 2010). ABA-activated SnRK2 phosphorylates transcription factors such as ABA-RESPONSIVE EREMENT-BINDING PROTEIN (AREB)/ABRE-binding factors (ABFs) and ABI5, thereby

controlling the expression of downstream ABA-responsive genes (Furihata et al., 2006; Sah et al.,

2016). One of the most crucial SnRK activated by ABA is Open Stomata 1 (OST1)/SRK2E/SnRK2.6,

which regulates ABA-mediated stomatal closure (Mustilli et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2002; Lee et al.,

2009). In addition, recent studies have revealed a crucial role for B2 and B3 Raf-like kinases (RAFs)

as upstream regulators in the core ABA signaling pathway (Lin et al., 2020; Takahashi et al., 2020).

These RAFs are required for ABA signaling for the activation of SnRK2 proteins, particularly

OST1/SRK2E/SnRK2.6 (Takahashi et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2021). Furthermore, in the downstream

signaling of ABA, several genes play pivotal roles. For instance, *RESPONSIVE TO DESICCATION*

22 (RD22) is recognized as a representative ABA-responsive gene (Abe et al., 1997). Moreover,

genes such as the *low-temperature-responsive protein 78 (LTI78)/desiccation-responsive protein 29A*

(RD29A) is induced by both ABA-dependent and ABA-independent pathways under conditions of

drought, salinity, and cold (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1993, 1994). Also, genes located

downstream of transcription factors like DREB1s/CBFs and DREB2s are known to be induced

through pathways independent of ABA regulation, contributing to responses against cold, salt, and

dehydration stresses(Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1994, 2006; Liu et al., 1998; Lata and

Prasad, 2011). Although several studies have examined the core elements of these signals, few have

explored other novel elements. The data-driven studies have the advantage of analyzing large and

independent datasets, which can lead to the identification of novel targets, distinct from the

extensively studied established factors and accelerate the development of stress-tolerant crops (Bono,

2021; Ono and Bono, 2021; Tamura and Bono, 2022).

This study aimed to identify novel stress-responsive genes in plants by performing a meta-analysis of

publicly available RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) data from five ABA-related stress conditions (ABA,

Salt, Dehydration, Osmotic, and Cold) in *Arabidopsis thaliana* with a focus on ABA, a factor

common to many stresses. The meta-analysis revealed that 14 genes were commonly upregulated and

8 genes were commonly downregulated across all five treatments, including some that were not

previously associated with these stress responses. However, some genes regulated by salt, dehydration,

and osmotic treatments were not regulated by exogenous ABA or cold stress, suggesting that they

may be involved in the plant response to dehydration independent of ABA.

Materials and Methods

Curation of public gene expression data

RNA-Seq data relevant to stress conditions involving abscisic acid were collected from the public

database, NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (Barrett et al., 2013). A comprehensive search in

GEO using the search query: ("ABA"[All Fields] OR "abscisic acid"[All Fields] OR "salt"[All Fields]

OR "NaCl"[All Fields] OR "salinity"[All Fields] OR "dehydration"[All Fields] OR "osmotic"[All

Fields] OR "mannitol"[All Fields] OR "drought"[All Fields] OR "cold"[All Fields] OR "low

temperature"[All Fields]) AND "*Arabidopsis thaliana*"[porgn] AND "Expression profiling by high

throughput sequencing"[Filter] AND ("0001/01/01"[PDAT] : "2022/08/03"[PDAT]). As a result of

manual curation, the collected data were divided into five treatments: ABA, Salt, Dehydration,

Mannitol, and Cold. The numbers of pairs of treated and control samples were 90, 53, 27, 12, and 34,

respectively. In total, 216 paired datasets collected in this manner were used for the meta-analysis. In

this study, a "paired dataset" refers to a set of two samples: one subjected to a specific stress treatment

(such as abscisic acid, salt, dehydration, mannitol, or low temperature) and a corresponding control

sample not subjected to the stress treatment. These paired datasets provide the basis for comparing

gene expression changes induced by these stress conditions. The RNA-Seq data used in this meta-

analysis are summarized in the table available online (Supplementary Table 1;

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

Gene expression quantification

We used the SRA Toolkit (v3.0.0) (https://github.com/ncbi/sra-tools (Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) to

retrieve FASTQ-formatted files for each RNA-Seq run accession number, with prefetch and fastq-

dump commands, and then concatenated the files for the same experiments. Quality control of the raw

reads was performed using Trim Galore (v0.6.7) (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore

(Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) with Cutadapt (v4.1) (Martin, 2011). Transcripts were quantified using

Salmon (v1.8.0) (https://github.com/COMBINE-lab/salmon (Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) (Patro et al.,

2017) with a reference cDNA sequence downloaded from Ensembl Plants (release 53)

(Arabidopsis_thaliana.TAIR10.cdna.all.fa.gz). As a result, the quantitative RNA-Seq data were

calculated as transcripts per million (TPM). The transcript-level TPM were summarized to the gene

level using tximport (v1.26.1) (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/tximport.html

(Accessed Sep 12, 2023)).

These operations were performed according to the workflow in SAQE

(https://github.com/bonohu/SAQE (Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) (Bono, 2021). The TPM data are

available online (Supplementary Table 2; https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

Calculation score

Gene expression data from different experiments were normalized by calculating the TN-ratio, which

represents the ratio of gene expression between stress-treated (T) and non-treated (N) samples.

The TN-ratio was calculated using the following equation:

TN-ratio = (stress-treated TPM +1) / (non-treated TPM +1)

If the TN-ratio was higher than the threshold, the gene was considered upregulated; if it was less than

the reciprocal of the threshold, it was deemed downregulated; otherwise, the gene was unchanged. To

classify the upregulated and downregulated genes, we evaluated 2-fold, 5-fold, and 10-fold thresholds

and finally chose the 2-fold threshold; therefore, genes with a TN-ratio higher than 2 were classified

as upregulated, whereas genes with a TN-ratio lower than 0.5 were classified as downregulated.

The TN-score of each gene was determined by subtracting the number of downregulated experiments

from the number of upregulated experiments to assess the changes in gene expression under stress

conditions across experiments.

TN-ratio and TN-score were calculated using a set of scripts at

(https://github.com/no85j/hypoxia_code (Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) (Ono and Bono, 2021) and the data

are available online (Supplementary Table 3, 4; https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

Gene set enrichment analysis

The web tool Metascape (https://metascape.org/ (Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) was used for gene set

enrichment analysis to analyze the differentially expressed gene sets. The analysis was based on a

queried gene list and the corresponding terms and p-values were examined.

Visualization

We used a web-based Venn diagram tool (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/

(Accessed Sep 12, 2023)) and the UpSet tool (https://asntech.shinyapps.io/intervene/ (Accessed Sep

12, 2023)) to search for and visualize overlapping genes.

Results

Data curation of RNA-Seq from public database

RNA-Seq data on abscisic acid (ABA) and stress conditions were collected from the public database

NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). A comprehensive keyword search yielded a list of

experimental data series. In contrast to microarray data from different platforms, RNA-Seq data,

mostly from Illumina, are suitable for comparative analyses among studies by different research

groups. Therefore, only the RNA-Seq data were used in this study. Moreover, *Arabidopsis* data were

selected for the analysis because of the abundance of data in the database compared with other plants.

No mutant or transgenic lines were selected and wild type samples were used. Abscisic acid, salt

(NaCl), dehydration, osmotic (mannitol), and low temperature (4 °C or 10 °C) were used as stress

treatment conditions. The data were curated as 216 paired datasets of stress-treated and control

samples. A total of 216 pairs were used in the meta-analysis, and the tissue breakdown was as

follows: 139 seedlings, 28 leaves, 23 rosette leaves, 14 roots, 6 shoots, and 6 bud tissues (Figure 1).

Metadata for the curated datasets, including Sequence Read Archive (SRA) study ID, run ID, sample

tissue, treatment type, treatment time, and sequence library type, are available on Supplementary

Table 1.

Identification of differentially expressed genes

Differentially expressed genes using RNA-Seq data in *Arabidopsis* under each stress condition were

meta-analyzed by calculating the TN (stress-treated (T) and non-treated (N))-ratio and the TN-score

of each gene. After considering various thresholds, we selected a 2-fold threshold (TN2). This

threshold was slightly lower to provide a comprehensive analysis. More severe scores for the 5-fold

(TN5) and 10-fold (TN10) thresholds were also calculated and are listed in the table. The lists of

upregulated and downregulated genes are available online (Supplementary Table 5, 6;

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5). The differences between the numbers of

upregulated and downregulated experiments were calculated as the TN-scores for each gene. Thus, a

higher score indicated a trend toward increased expression over the entire experiment, whereas a

lower (negative) score indicated a trend toward decreased expression over the entire experiment. In

the meta-analysis, we defined upregulated and downregulated as approximately top 500 genes with

the highest and lowest TN2 scores, respectively. The ranges of TN2 scores for the upregulated and

downregulated genes are summarized in Table 1.

Enrichment analysis of differently expression genes

We performed enrichment analysis using Metascape for five different treatment types of gene sets to

characterize the differentially expressed genes (Supplementary Figure 1;

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5). The two most significantly enriched terms in each

gene set from the enrichment analysis are summarized in table (Table 2). The most significantly

enriched terms in the ABA, salt, and dehydration upregulated gene sets were all consistent with

"GO:0009651 response to salt stress". Focusing on the downregulated genes, the light-responsive

genes annotated as "GO:0009642 response to light intensity" were commonly enriched in dehydration

and cold treatment. The auxin-responsive genes with "GO:0009733 response to auxin" were

commonly enriched in dehydration and mannitol treatment. The lists of all genes and the results of

enrichment analysis are available on available online (Supplementary Table 5, 6;

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

Exploring commonly regulated genes by three treatments: ABA, salt, dehydration

A previous study demonstrated that some genes are involved in the regulation and response to

multiple stresses and that these genes have a potential role in universal stress tolerance in plants

(Husaini, 2022). We investigated whether such candidate genes were differentially expressed under

three stress conditions: ABA, salt, and dehydration. While many genes were commonly regulated

under the three conditions, we identified 166 upregulated (ABA_Up Salt_Up Dehydration_Up) and

66 downregulated genes (ABA_Down Salt_Down Dehydration_Down) under the three different

conditions (Figure 2). The meta-analysis also showed that the expression of *RAV1*, *RAV2*, *PYR1*,

PYL4, *PYL5*, *PYL6*, and *PCAR3* (*PYL8*) was downregulated by ABA, salt, and dehydration stress,

which is consistent with previous studies (Chan, 2012; Feng et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2014).

Furthermore, we performed enrichment analysis of these commonly regulated genes to evaluate gene

characteristics. The results showed that the top two significant enrichment terms for the 166

commonly upregulated genes (ABA_Up Salt_Up Dehydration_Up) were "GO:0071215 cellular

response to abscisic acid stimulus" and "GO:0009651 response to salt stress". In addition, the top two

significant enrichment terms for the 66 commonly downregulated genes (ABA_Down Salt_Down

Dehydration_Down) were "ath04075 Plant hormone signal transduction - *Arabidopsis thaliana* (thale

cress)" and "GO:0071215 cellular response to abscisic acid stimulus". The gene sets common to the

three treatments were significantly enriched in terms of ABA and salt stress, indicating that these

genes are likely involved in ABA and salt stress. The lists of all genes overlapping in the three

treatments, and the results of the enrichment analysis are available online (Supplementary Table 7, 8;

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

Exploring commonly regulated genes by five treatments: ABA, salt, dehydration, osmotic, cold

In the previous section, we identified commonly regulated genes across ABA, salt, and dehydration

treatments. We then added mannitol and cold treatments to analyze gene overlap. The overlap of

genes commonly regulated by the five treatments (ABA, salt, dehydration, osmotic stress, and cold

stress) was visualized using UpSet plots and Venn diagrams (Figure 3). As a result, 14 commonly

upregulated genes (ABA_Up Salt_Up Dehydration_Up Mannitol_Up Cold_Up) and 8 commonly

downregulated genes (ABA_Down Salt_Down Dehydration_Down Mannitol_Down Cold_Down)

were identified. The genes included in these two groups are listed in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. The

genes commonly upregulated in the five treatments contained *LTI78/RD29A*, *LTI30*, *KIN1*, *COR47*,

and *ADH1*, which are reported to be induced by ABA, salt, dehydration, and cold stress conditions

(Kurkela and Franck, 1990; Jarillo et al., 1993; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1994; Ishitani et

al., 1998; Shi et al., 2015). On the other hand, genes such as *ATHMAD1*, which have not been

reported to have ABA-related or stress response of functions, were also identified. These genes have

been identified as novel stress-responsive genes involved in the ABA response. Also, the ABA-

independent pathway, which is specifically induced by osmotic stress has been reported in plants

(Nakashima et al., 2014; Yoshida et al., 2014). This pathway is hypothesized to be activated

independently of ABA and its closely related cold stimulus (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki,

2006). Therefore, we examined three treatments, excluding ABA and cold, to explore genes involved

in the ABA-independent osmotic signaling pathway. As a result, 7 commonly upregulated genes

(Salt_Up Dehydration_Up Mannitol_Up) and 48 commonly downregulated genes (Salt_Down

Dehydration_Down Mannitol_Down), regulated by salt, dehydration, and mannitol, were also

identified. Notably, these genes were not included among those suggested to be regulated by ABA

and cold stress in the present study, and the effects of ABA and cold on the regulation of their

expression may not be significant. Therefore, they may be involved in the ABA-independent stress

response pathways. The genes included in these two groups are listed in Tables 5 and 6. The lists of

all genes that overlap in the five treatments are available online (Supplementary Table 9, 10;

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

Discussion

In this study, we aimed to explore uncharacterized differentially expressed genes by meta-analyzing

public RNA-Seq data of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to gain new insights into the effects of abscisic acid

(ABA) and various stress conditions on gene expression. The data were collected from the public

database NCBI GEO, and the study focused on RNA-Seq data because of their suitability for

comparative analyses among different studies. We analyzed the effects of ABA, salt, dehydration,

osmotic, and low-temperature stress on gene expression. In total, 216 paired datasets of stress-treated

and control samples were used for the meta-analysis. The central to this research is the notion that by

employing a comprehensive integrated analysis using large-scale data from multiple research groups,

we can uncover patterns and insights that might be overlooked in individual studies. We employed the

TN-score to identify differentially expressed genes under each stress condition. We defined

upregulated and downregulated genes as the top 500 genes with the highest and lowest TN-scores,

respectively, in the meta-analysis. Enrichment analysis was performed using Metascape to

characterize the differentially expressed genes. The most significantly enriched terms in the

downregulated genes, the light-responsive genes annotated as "GO:0009642 response to light

intensity" were commonly enriched in dehydration and cold treatment, and the auxin-responsive genes

annotated as "GO:0009733 response to auxin" were commonly enriched in dehydration and mannitol

treatment. Abiotic stress negatively affects various aspects of plant photosynthesis including stomatal

conductance, oxidative stress, RUBISCO activity, photosystems (PS I and PS II), photosynthetic

electron transport, and chlorophyll biosynthesis (Sharma et al., 2020). These factors reduce the

photosynthetic efficiency and plant growth. Therefore, it is possible that dehydration and cold

treatments could have downregulated the expression of light-responsive genes "GO:0009642 response

to light intensity" due to adjustments in light sensitivity and photosynthetic responses during the

process of adapting to stress. In addition, osmotic stress reduces the biosynthesis of auxin, a plant

growth hormone, by directly or indirectly inhibiting the auxin pathway (Naser and Shani, 2016). This

might contribute to reduced plant growth under stress conditions. Auxin biosynthesis may be

suppressed by osmotic stress conditions, resulting in downregulated auxin-responsive genes

"GO:0009733 response to auxin". Next, we identified genes that were commonly regulated by ABA,

salt, and dehydration stress. We also performed enrichment analysis of genes commonly regulated by

different stresses and verified their consistency with previously reported gene expression patterns. We

expanded this analysis to include genes commonly regulated by all five treatments (ABA, salt,

dehydration, osmotic, and cold). Several genes were upregulated or downregulated in response to

these stress conditions, suggesting their potential roles in global plant stress tolerance mechanisms.

Fourteen upregulated genes were found to be closely involved in ABA regulation (ABA_Up Salt_Up

Dehydration_Up Mannitol_Up Cold_Up, Table 3), including genes that have not been previously

studied, one of which is ATHMAD1 (AT1G51090). ATHMAD1 contains a heavy metal-associated

(HMA) domain that is upregulated in response to nitric oxide (NO) (Imran et al., 2016). NO plays a

variety of roles in plant adaptation to abiotic stresses such as drought, salt, and cold (Fancy et al.,

2017; Lau et al., 2021). NO synthesis is promoted by abscisic acid (ABA) treatment and abiotic stress,

which contributes to stomatal closure during drought stress (Neill et al., 2008). Analysis of *athmad1*

mutant indicated that ATHMAD1 may play a role in regulating plant growth and immunity (Imran et

al., 2016). However, the function of ATHMAD1 under abiotic stress has not yet been thoroughly

investigated. In this study, *ATHMAD1* expression was upregulated upon treatment with ABA, salt,

dehydration, osmotic, and cold stress. Based on these findings, *ATHMAD1* expression may increase in

response to NO, which is promoted by ABA treatment and abiotic stress. Therefore, analyzing the

function of ATHMAD1 in plants under abiotic stress conditions is an important challenge for future

research.

In addition, focusing on genes downregulated by multiple stressors, we examined eight genes

considered to be deeply involved in ABA-dependent expression regulation (ABA_Down Salt_Down

Dehydration_Down Mannitol_Down Cold_Down, Table 4) and 48 genes considered to be less

influenced by ABA-mediated expression regulation (Salt_Down Dehydration_Down Mannitol_Down,

Table 6). It was found that among the eight genes, four were *SAUR (Small Auxin Up RNA)* genes

(*SAUR15, 16, 62, 63*), and among the 48 genes, five *XTH (Xyloglucan*

endotransglucosylase/hydrolase) genes (*XTH4, 6, 8, 9, 16*) were included, respectively. Although

SAUR and XTH gene families have distinct mechanisms and molecular targets, they play a common

role in controlling plant growth and cell wall expansion. Furthermore, several studies have identified

these genes during stress stimulation and changes in stress response phenotypes in mutants lacking

their functions.

The *SAUR* genes are a plant-specific gene family that plays a crucial role in plant growth and

development by regulating cell wall acidification in response to auxin stimulation (Ren and Gray,

2015; Stortenbeker and Bemer, 2019). Several *SAUR* genes were downregulated in response to ABA,

drought, and osmotic stress. ARABIDOPSIS ZINC-FINGER 1 (AZF1) and AZF2 were induced by

osmotic stress and abscisic acid, function as transcriptional repressors of 15 SAUR genes, thereby

inhibiting plant growth under abiotic stress conditions (Kodaira et al., 2011). Among the genes

repressed by AZF, AZF directly binds to the promoter region of *SAUR63* (Kodaira et al., 2011).

SAUR63 localizes to the plasma membrane and by inhibiting plasma membrane (PM)-associated

PP2C.D phosphatases, it stimulates PM H^+-ATPase proton pump activity, thereby promoting cell

growth (Nagpal et al., 2022). However, the functions of most SAUR genes under abiotic stress and

their direct relationship with stress remain unclear.

XTH is an enzyme family involved in plant cell wall remodeling that catalyzes the cleavage and

polymerization of xyloglucan to regulate cell elasticity and extensibility (Rose et al., 2002). XTH not

only plays a role in regulating cell wall structure and morphology, but also plays a crucial role in plant

adaptation to external stress (Ishida and Yokoyama, 2022). The expression of *AtXTH19* and *AtXTH23*

was induced by brassinosteroids, and the *atxth19* mutant showed lower freezing tolerance than the

wild type, whereas the *atxth19/atxth23* double mutant showed increased sensitivity to salt stress (Xu

et al., 2020; Takahashi et al., 2021). Similarly, *AtXTH30* contributes to salt tolerance (Yan et al.,

2019). Additionally, the loss-of-function of several *XTH* genes has been reported to affect metal ion

tolerance. *AtXTH31* is associated with cell wall aluminum binding capacity, and mutation of this gene

leads to increased aluminum tolerance (Zhu et al., 2012). Similarly, *Atxth18* mutant showed reduced

sensitivity to lead stress (Zheng et al., 2021). *AtXTH4* and *AtXTH9* contribute to the regulation of

xylem cell expansion and secondary growth, including the production of secondary xylem and the

accumulation of secondary walls. However, the function of stress conditions has not yet been clarified

(Kushwah et al., 2020). These studies suggested that XTH plays an important role in various stress

responses. Therefore, understanding the functions of the five XTH genes (*XTH4, 6, 8, 9, 16*), the

genes that were downregulated by ABA-independent stress pathways in this study, is a future

challenge.

Finally, we investigated whether the hypoxia-responsive genes identified in a previous meta-analysis

in *Arabidopsis thaliana* were included in the genes we focused on in this study (Tamura and Bono,

2022). Under hypoxic conditions, root water transport is inhibited and stomatal closure is induced.

This process requires aquaporins, which are proteins involved in water transport, as well as the

hormones ABA and ethylene (Tan et al., 2018). Furthermore, during recovery from submergence,

reactive oxygen species (ROS), ABA, ethylene, respiratory burst oxidase homolog D (RBOH D),

senescence-associated gene113 (SAG113), and ORESARA1 regulate ROS homeostasis, stomatal

opening/closing, and chlorophyll degradation (Yeung et al., 2018). These studies suggest that hypoxia

and ABA levels are related to each other. The analysis demonstrated that the expression of several

stress-regulated genes, which were the focus of this study, was regulated by hypoxia (Tables 3–6).

These results imply that there might be an undiscovered molecular cross talk between "hypoxia" and

"ABA or dehydration," which has not been frequently discussed in previous research. The overlap of

genes commonly regulated by the six treatments (ABA, salt, dehydration, osmotic, cold, and hypoxia)

was visualized using UpSet plots (Figure 4). These genes are regulated by a wide range of stresses

and identifying their roles could contribute to further advances in our understanding of the broad

mechanisms of stress tolerance in plants. The lists of all genes overlapping in the six treatments are

available online (Supplementary Table 11, 12; https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

This study has several limitations that need to be considered. First, the identification of differentially

expressed genes was not subjected to statistical testing, demanding caution in the interpretation of

results. Second, the RNA-Seq data used in this study were collected under various growth and

experimental conditions, careful consideration of these variabilities. Third, experimental validation

using plant samples exposed to specific stress environments has not been performed, necessitating

further research for functional clarification of these genes.

On the other hand, the strength of this study is the integration of data from multiple research projects

and various stress conditions. Representative ABA-responsive or stress-responsive genes were

extracted using the TN-score method. Furthermore, the candidate genes with unknown function listed

in this study have the potential to provide new insights into the stress response mechanisms in plants.

These findings are expected to contribute to the broadening of the future plant stress research.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis lists candidate genes that are responsive to ABA-dependent or ABA-

independent stress conditions, potentially playing roles in plant responses to these stresses. In this

study, we focused on the gene expression commonly found under different stresses and validated our

analysis by confirming its consistency with previously reported patterns of gene expression. As the

data in the database increases, it is expected that new findings will be revealed by updating and

reanalyzing the datasets. Although further studies are required to reveal the role of the genes identified

in this study, the list obtained in this study can be a useful decision criterion for the selection of

genome editing targets and the discovery of novel molecular mechanisms. We think that our research

forms the foundation for revealing patterns and insights that might have been overlooked in individual

studies.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

MS and HB conceived and designed the study. MS performed the experiments and collected data. KT

helped the analysis. Writing—original draft: MS. All authors discussed the data and helped with

manuscript preparation. HB supervised the project. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Center of Innovation for Bio-Digital Transformation (BioDX), an

open innovation platform for industry–academia cocreation (COI-NEXT), Japan Science and

Technology Agency (JST, COI-NEXT, JPMJPF2010), provided to HB.

Acknowledgements

Computations were performed on the computers at Hiroshima University Genome Editing Innovation

Center.

Supplementary Material

Additional data presented in this study are publicly available online

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22566583.v5).

References

Abe, H., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Urao, T., Iwasaki, T., Hosokawa, D., and Shinozaki, K. (1997).
Role of arabidopsis MYC and MYB homologs in drought- and abscisic acid-regulated gene
expression. *Plant Cell* 9, 1859–1868.

Aslam, M., Fakher, B., Ashraf, M. A., Cheng, Y., Wang, B., and Qin, Y. (2022). Plant Low-
Temperature Stress: Signaling and Response. *Agronomy* 12, 702.

Barrett, T., Wilhite, S. E., Ledoux, P., Evangelista, C., Kim, I. F., Tomashevsky, M., et al. (2013).
NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets--update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D991-

5.

Bono, H. (2021). Meta-Analysis of Oxidative Transcriptomes in Insects. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 10. doi:
10.3390/antiox10030345.

Chan, Z. (2012). Expression profiling of ABA pathway transcripts indicates crosstalk between abiotic
and biotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. *Genomics* 100, 110–115.

Cutler, S. R., Rodriguez, P. L., Finkelstein, R. R., and Abrams, S. R. (2010). Abscisic acid:
emergence of a core signaling network. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 651–679.

Dar, N. A., Amin, I., Wani, W., Wani, S. A., Shikari, A. B., Wani, S. H., et al. (2017). Abscisic acid:
A key regulator of abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Gene* 11, 106–111.

Dhankher, O. P., and Foyer, C. H. (2018). Climate resilient crops for improving global food security
and safety. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41, 877–884.

Fancy, N. N., Bahlmann, A.-K., and Loake, G. J. (2017). Nitric oxide function in plant abiotic stress.
Plant Cell Environ. 40, 462–472.

Feng, C.-Z., Chen, Y., Wang, C., Kong, Y.-H., Wu, W.-H., and Chen, Y.-F. (2014). Arabidopsis
RAV1 transcription factor, phosphorylated by SnRK2 kinases, regulates the expression of
ABI3, ABI4, and ABI5 during seed germination and early seedling development. *Plant J.* 80,
654–668.

Fu, M., Kang, H. K., Son, S.-H., Kim, S.-K., and Nam, K. H. (2014). A subset of Arabidopsis RAV
transcription factors modulates drought and salt stress responses independent of ABA. *Plant
Cell Physiol.* 55, 1892–1904.

Furihata, T., Maruyama, K., Fujita, Y., Umezawa, T., Yoshida, R., Shinozaki, K., et al. (2006).
Abscisic acid-dependent multisite phosphorylation regulates the activity of a transcription
activator AREB1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 1988–1993.

Husaini, A. M. (2022). High-value pleiotropic genes for developing multiple stress-tolerant
biofortified crops for 21st-century challenges. *Heredity* 128, 460–472.

Imran, Q. M., Falak, N., Hussain, A., Mun, B.-G., Sharma, A., Lee, S.-U., et al. (2016). Nitric Oxide
Responsive Heavy Metal-Associated Gene AtHMAD1 Contributes to Development and
Disease Resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1712.

Ishida, K., and Yokoyama, R. (2022). Reconsidering the function of the xyloglucan
endotransglucosylase/hydrolase family. *J. Plant Res.* 135, 145–156.

Ishitani, M., Xiong, L., Lee, H., Stevenson, B., and Zhu, J. K. (1998). HOS1, a genetic locus involved
in cold-responsive gene expression in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10, 1151–1161.

Jarillo, J. A., Leyva, A., Salinas, J., and Martinez-Zapater, J. M. (1993). Low Temperature Induces the
Accumulation of Alcohol Dehydrogenase mRNA in Arabidopsis thaliana, a Chilling-Tolerant
Plant. *Plant Physiol.* 101, 833–837.

Kodaira, K.-S., Qin, F., Tran, L.-S. P., Maruyama, K., Kidokoro, S., Fujita, Y., et al. (2011).
Arabidopsis Cys2/His2 zinc-finger proteins AZF1 and AZF2 negatively regulate abscisic
acid-repressive and auxin-inducible genes under abiotic stress conditions. *Plant Physiol.* 157,
742–756.

Kurkela, S., and Franck, M. (1990). Cloning and characterization of a cold- and ABA-inducible
Arabidopsis gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 15, 137–144.

Kushwah, S., Banasiak, A., Nishikubo, N., Derba-Maceluch, M., Majda, M., Endo, S., et al. (2020).
Arabidopsis XTH4 and XTH9 Contribute to Wood Cell Expansion and Secondary Wall
Formation. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 1946–1965.

Lata, C., and Prasad, M. (2011). Role of DREBs in regulation of abiotic stress responses in plants. *J.*
Exp. Bot. 62, 4731–4748.

Lau, S.-E., Hamdan, M. F., Pua, T.-L., Saidi, N. B., and Tan, B. C. (2021). Plant Nitric Oxide
Signaling under Drought Stress. *Plants* 10. doi: 10.3390/plants10020360.

Lee, S. C., Lan, W., Buchanan, B. B., and Luan, S. (2009). A protein kinase-phosphatase pair
interacts with an ion channel to regulate ABA signaling in plant guard cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. 106, 21419–21424.

Lin, Z., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Ma, L., Zhang, Z., et al. (2021). Initiation and amplification of
SnRK2 activation in abscisic acid signaling. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 2456.

Lin, Z., Li, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, X., Hsu, C.-C., Du, Y., et al. (2020). A RAF-SnRK2 kinase cascade
mediates early osmotic stress signaling in higher plants. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 613.

Liu, Q., Kasuga, M., Sakuma, Y., Abe, H., Miura, S., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., et al. (1998). Two
transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain
separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-
responsive gene expression, respectively, in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10, 1391–1406.

Ma, Y., Dias, M. C., and Freitas, H. (2020). Drought and Salinity Stress Responses and Microbe-
Induced Tolerance in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 591911.

Mareri, L., Parrotta, L., and Cai, G. (2022). Environmental Stress and Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23. doi:
10.3390/ijms23105416.

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.journal 17, 10–12.

Mustilli, A.-C., Merlot, S., Vavasseur, A., Fenzi, F., and Giraudat, J. (2002). Arabidopsis OST1
protein kinase mediates the regulation of stomatal aperture by abscisic acid and acts upstream
of reactive oxygen species production. *Plant Cell* 14, 3089–3099.

Nagpal, P., Reeves, P. H., Wong, J. H., Armengot, L., Chae, K., Rieveschl, N. B., et al. (2022).
SAUR63 stimulates cell growth at the plasma membrane. *PLoS Genet.* 18, e1010375.

Nakashima, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2014). The transcriptional regulatory
network in the drought response and its crosstalk in abiotic stress responses including
drought, cold, and heat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5, 170.

Naser, V., and Shani, E. (2016). Auxin response under osmotic stress. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 91, 661–672.

Neill, S., Barros, R., Bright, J., Desikan, R., Hancock, J., Harrison, J., et al. (2008). Nitric oxide,
stomatal closure, and abiotic stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59, 165–176.

Ono, Y., and Bono, H. (2021). Multi-Omic Meta-Analysis of Transcriptomes and the Bibliome
Uncovers Novel Hypoxia-Inducible Genes. *Biomedicines* 9. doi:
10.3390/biomedicines9050582.

Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and
bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* 14, 417–419.

Pereira, A. (2016). Plant Abiotic Stress Challenges from the Changing Environment. *Front. Plant Sci.*
7, 1123.

Ren, H., and Gray, W. M. (2015). SAUR Proteins as Effectors of Hormonal and Environmental
Signals in Plant Growth. *Mol. Plant* 8, 1153–1164.

Rose, J. K. C., Braam, J., Fry, S. C., and Nishitani, K. (2002). The XTH family of enzymes involved
in xyloglucan endotransglucosylation and endohydrolysis: current perspectives and a new
unifying nomenclature. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 43, 1421–1435.

Sah, S. K., Reddy, K. R., and Li, J. (2016). Abscisic Acid and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Crop
Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 571.

Sharma, A., Kumar, V., Shahzad, B., Ramakrishnan, M., Singh Sidhu, G. P., Bali, A. S., et al. (2020).
Photosynthetic Response of Plants Under Different Abiotic Stresses: A Review. *J. Plant*
Growth Regul. 39, 509–531.

Shi, H., Chen, Y., Qian, Y., and Chan, Z. (2015). Low Temperature-Induced 30 (LTI30) positively
regulates drought stress resistance in Arabidopsis: effect on abscisic acid sensitivity and
hydrogen peroxide accumulation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 893.

Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2000). Molecular responses to dehydration and low
temperature: differences and cross-talk between two stress signaling pathways. *Curr. Opin.*
Plant Biol. 3, 217–223.

Stortenbeker, N., and Bemer, M. (2019). The SAUR gene family: the plant's toolbox for adaptation of
growth and development. *J. Exp. Bot.* 70, 17–27.

Takahashi, D., Johnson, K. L., Hao, P., Tuong, T., Erban, A., Sampathkumar, A., et al. (2021). Cell
wall modification by the xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase XTH19 influences
freezing tolerance after cold and sub-zero acclimation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 44, 915–930.

Takahashi, Y., Zhang, J., Hsu, P.-K., Ceciliato, P. H. O., Zhang, L., Dubeaux, G., et al. (2020).
MAP3Kinase-dependent SnRK2-kinase activation is required for abscisic acid signal
transduction and rapid osmotic stress response. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 12.

Tamura, K., and Bono, H. (2022). Meta-Analysis of RNA Sequencing Data of Arabidopsis and Rice
under Hypoxia. *Life* 12. doi: 10.3390/life12071079.

Tan, X., Xu, H., Khan, S., Equiza, M. A., Lee, S. H., Vaziriyeganeh, M., et al. (2018). Plant water
transport and aquaporins in oxygen-deprived environments. *J. Plant Physiol.* 227, 20–30.

Vishwakarma, K., Upadhyay, N., Kumar, N., Yadav, G., Singh, J., Mishra, R. K., et al. (2017).
Abscisic Acid Signaling and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants: A Review on Current
Knowledge and Future Prospects. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 161.

Xu, P., Fang, S., Chen, H., and Cai, W. (2020). The brassinosteroid-responsive xyloglucan
endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 19 (XTH19) and XTH23 genes are involved in lateral root
development under salt stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 104, 59–75.

Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (1993). Characterization of the expression of a
desiccation-responsive rd29 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana and analysis of its promoter in
transgenic plants. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 236, 331–340.

Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (1994). A novel cis-acting element in an Arabidopsis
gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-temperature, or high-salt stress. *Plant Cell*
6, 251–264.

Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2006). Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular
responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57, 781–803.

Yan, J., Huang, Y., He, H., Han, T., Di, P., Sechet, J., et al. (2019). Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase-
hydrolase30 negatively affects salt tolerance in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 70, 5495–5506.

Yeung, E., van Veen, H., Vashisht, D., Sobral Paiva, A. L., Hummel, M., Rankenberg, T., et al.
(2018). A stress recovery signaling network for enhanced flooding tolerance in *Arabidopsis*
thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E6085–E6094.

Yoshida, R., Hobo, T., Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Takahashi, F., Aronso, J., et al. (2002). ABA-
activated SnRK2 protein kinase is required for dehydration stress signaling in Arabidopsis.
Plant Cell Physiol. 43, 1473–1483.

Yoshida, T., Mogami, J., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2014). ABA-dependent and ABA-
independent signaling in response to osmotic stress in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 21, 133–
139.

Zhang, X. L., Jiang, L., Xin, Q., Liu, Y., Tan, J. X., and Chen, Z. Z. (2015). Structural basis and
functions of abscisic acid receptors PYLs. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 88.

Zheng, S., Ren, P., Zhai, M., Li, C., and Chen, Q. (2021). Identification of Genes Involved in Root
Growth Inhibition Under Lead Stress by Transcriptome Profiling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Mol.*
Biol. Rep. 39, 50–59.

Zhu, J.-K. (2016). Abiotic Stress Signaling and Responses in Plants. *Cell* 167, 313–324.

Zhu, X. F., Shi, Y. Z., Lei, G. J., Fry, S. C., Zhang, B. C., Zhou, Y. H., et al. (2012). XTH31,
encoding an in vitro XEH/XET-active enzyme, regulates aluminum sensitivity by modulating
in vivo XET action, cell wall xyloglucan content, and aluminum binding capacity in
Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 24, 4731–4747.

Tables

Table 1. The ranges of TN2 scores for the upregulated and downregulated genes

Treatment Type	Up or Down	TN-Score (S)	Number of Genes
ABA	upregulated	$47 \leq S \leq 88$	489
ABA	downregulated	$-81 \leq S \leq -31$	486
Salt	upregulated	$18 \leq S \leq 41$	501
Salt	downregulated	$-36 \leq S \leq -11$	446
Dehydration	upregulated	$12 \leq S \leq 24$	457
Dehydration	downregulated	$-17 \leq S \leq -9$	431
Mannitol	upregulated	$7 \leq S \leq 12$	520
Mannitol	downregulated	$-11 \leq S \leq -6$	532
Cold	upregulated	$16 \leq S \leq 32$	474
Cold	downregulated	$-32 \leq S \leq -14$	551

581 **Table 2. The two most significantly enriched terms in each gene set from the enrichment**

582 **analysis**

Treatment Type	Up or Down	Term	Description	LogP	Log(q-value)
ABA	upregulated	GO:0009651	response to salt stress	-28.758572	-24.99
ABA	upregulated	GO:0071215	cellular response to abscisic acid stimulus	-20.943027	-17.652
ABA	downregulated	GO:0050832	defense response to fungus	-14.485652	-10.717
ABA	downregulated	GO:0001666	response to hypoxia	-7.9936821	-4.568
Salt	upregulated	GO:0009651	response to salt stress	-31.120518	-27.352
Salt	upregulated	GO:0009753	response to jasmonic acid	-18.821332	-15.402
Salt	downregulated	GO:0001666	response to hypoxia	-10.473446	-6.886
Salt	downregulated	GO:0009733	response to auxin	-6.5022334	-3.579
Dehydration	upregulated	GO:0009651	response to salt stress	-36.0593	-32.291
Dehydration	upregulated	GO:0010243	response to organonitrogen compound	-19.3438	-15.876
Dehydration	downregulated	GO:0009642	response to light intensity	-19.290212	-15.522
Dehydration	downregulated	GO:0009733	response to auxin	-17.262779	-13.795
Mannitol	upregulated	GO:0009751	response to salicylic acid	-28.659664	-24.921
Mannitol	upregulated	GO:0090693	plant organ senescence	-26.790069	-23.499
Mannitol	downregulated	GO:0033013	tetrapyrrole metabolic process	-13.988496	-10.22
Mannitol	downregulated	GO:0009733	response to auxin	-7.0652901	-3.774
Cold	upregulated	GO:0009409	response to cold	-24.0776	-20.309
Cold	upregulated	GO:0042254	ribosome biogenesis	-20.3946	-16.927
Cold	downregulated	GO:0009642	response to light intensity	-31.842859	-28.123
Cold	downregulated	ath00196	Photosynthesis - antenna proteins - Arabidopsis thaliana (thale cress)	-15.580344	-12.162

586 **Table 3.** The lists of commonly upregulated genes in ABA, Salt, Dehydration, Mannitol, and Cold
587 treatments
588

Gene ID	Gene Name	Description	Regulated by Hypoxia in previous meta-analysis
AT1G11210	-	cotton fiber protein, putative (DUF761)	No
AT1G16850	-	transmembrane protein	No
AT1G73810	-	Core-2/I-branching beta-1,6-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase family protein	No
AT4G01985	-	hypothetical protein	No
AT5G11110	SPS2F	sucrose phosphate synthase 2F	No
AT1G51090	ATHMAD1	Heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily protein	No
AT5G17460	DFR1	glutamyl-tRNA (Gln) amidotransferase subunit C	No
AT1G67360	LDAP1	Rubber elongation factor protein (REF)	Induced
AT1G77120	ADH1	alcohol dehydrogenase 1	Induced
AT1G20440	COR47	cold-regulated 47	No
AT5G15960	KIN1	stress-responsive protein (KIN1) / stress-induced protein (KIN1)	No
AT1G01470	LEA14	Late embryogenesis abundant protein	No
AT3G50970	LTI30	dehydrin family protein	No
AT5G52310	RD29A	low-temperature-responsive protein 78 (LTI78) / desiccation-responsive protein 29A (RD29A)	No

591 **Table 4.** The lists of commonly downregulated genes in ABA, Salt, Dehydration, Mannitol, and Cold
592 treatments
593

Gene ID	Gene Name	Description	Regulated by Hypoxia in previous meta-analysis
AT3G23880	-	F-box and associated interaction domains-containing protein	No
AT5G19190	-	hypothetical protein	No
AT4G38850	SAUR15	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	No
AT4G38860	SAUR16	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	Repressed
AT1G29430	SAUR62	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	Repressed
AT1G29440	SAUR63	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	Repressed
AT1G74670	GASA6	Gibberellin-regulated family protein	Repressed
AT4G36570	RAD-LIKE 3	RAD-like 3	Repressed

597 **Table 5.** The lists of commonly upregulated genes in Salt, Dehydration, and Mannitol treatments
598

Gene ID	Gene Name	Description	Regulated by Hypoxia in previous meta-analysis
AT1G17830	-	hypothetical protein (DUF789)	Induced
AT1G76590	-	PLATZ transcription factor family protein	No
AT5G42050	-	DCD (Development and Cell Death) domain protein	No
AT3G22370	AOX1A	alternative oxidase 1A	No
AT2G21590	APL4	Glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase family protein	No
AT1G02850	BGLU11	beta glucosidase 11	No
AT4G37370	CYP81D8	cytochrome P450, family 81, subfamily D, polypeptide 8	No

601   **Table 6.** The lists of commonly downregulated genes in Salt, Dehydration, and Mannitol treatments
602

Gene ID	Gene Name	Description	Regulated by Hypoxia in previous meta-analysis
AT4G30610	BRS1	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein	No
AT1G55330	AGP21	arabinogalactan protein 21	Repressed
AT4G16980	-	arabinogalactan-protein family	Repressed
AT4G12980	-	Auxin-responsive family protein	Repressed
AT2G41240	BHLH100	basic helix-loop-helix protein 100	No
AT2G42380	BZIP34	Basic-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family protein	Repressed
AT3G58120	BZIP61	Basic-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family protein	Repressed
AT2G10940	-	Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/seed storage 2S albumin superfamily protein	Repressed
AT5G48485	DIR1	Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/seed storage 2S albumin superfamily protein	No
AT2G45180	-	Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/seed storage 2S albumin superfamily protein	No
AT5G48490	-	Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/seed storage 2S albumin superfamily protein	No
AT1G23480	CSLA03	cellulose synthase-like A3	Repressed
AT5G15350	ENODL17	early nodulin-like protein 17	No
AT4G12730	FLA2	FASCICLIN-like arabinogalactan 2	Repressed
AT5G44130	FLA13	FASCICLIN-like arabinogalactan protein 13 precursor	No
AT2G45470	FLA8	FASCICLIN-like arabinogalactan protein 8	Repressed
AT1G10020	-	formin-like protein (DUF1005)	Repressed
AT5G20630	GER3	germin 3	No
AT1G72610	GER1	germin-like protein 1	No
AT1G33240	GTL1	GT-2-like 1	No
AT5G44020	-	HAD superfamily, subfamily IIIB acid phosphatase	Repressed
AT1G04040	-	HAD superfamily, subfamily IIIB acid phosphatase	No
AT2G23690	-	HTH-type transcriptional regulator	No
AT4G37240	-	HTH-type transcriptional regulator	No
AT4G00950	MEE47	hypothetical protein (DUF688)	Repressed
AT4G04840	MSRB6	methionine sulfoxide reductase B6	Repressed
AT1G24170	LGT9	Nucleotide-diphospho-sugar transferases superfamily protein	No
AT1G12500	-	Nucleotide-sugar transporter family protein	No
AT4G23820	-	Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein	No
AT4G08950	EXO	Phosphate-responsive 1 family protein	No
AT2G42870	PAR1	phy rapidly regulated 1	Repressed
AT5G44420	PDF1.2	plant defensin 1.2	No
AT1G66100	-	Plant thionin	Repressed
AT4G36850	-	PQ-loop repeat family protein / transmembrane family protein	No

AT5G02760	-	Protein phosphatase 2C family protein	Repressed
AT2G04790	-	PTB domain engulfment adapter	No
AT3G23805	RALFL24	ralf-like 24	Repressed
AT1G22330	-	RNA-binding (RRM/RBD/RNP motifs) family protein	Repressed
AT1G72430	-	SAUR-like auxin-responsive protein family	No
AT5G08330	TCP11	TCP family transcription factor	No
AT5G03120	-	uncharacterized protein	Repressed
AT5G35480	-	uncharacterized protein	No
AT2G01755	-	uncharacterized protein	No
AT3G23730	XTH16	xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 16	No
AT2G06850	XTH4	xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 4	Repressed
AT5G65730	XTH6	xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 6	Repressed
AT1G11545	XTH8	xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 8	No
AT4G03210	XTH9	xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase 9	Repressed

605   **Captions**

606   **Figure 1. Distribution of tissue types in curated RNA-Seq data**

607   Visualization of 216 paired datasets showing the distribution of tissues such as seedlings, leaves,

608   rosette leaves, roots, shoots, and bud tissues.

609
Figure 2. Analysis of the overlaps of upregulated or downregulated genes in 3 different types of
treatments
Venn diagrams and gene set enrichment analysis in (A-D) represent the upregulated or downregulated

genes in ABA, Salt, and Dehydration treatments.

(A): Venn diagram of the upregulated genes, (B): Venn diagram of the downregulated genes, (C):

Gene set enrichment analysis of the commonly upregulated genes, (D): Gene set enrichment analysis

of the commonly downregulated genes.

Figure 3. Analysis of the overlaps of upregulated or downregulated genes in 5 different types of
treatments
UpSet plots and venn diagrams in (A-D) represent the upregulated or downregulated genes in ABA,

Salt, Dehydration, Mannitol, and Cold treatments.

(A): UpSet plots of the upregulated genes, (B): Venn diagram of the upregulated genes, (C): UpSet

plots of the downregulated genes, (D): Venn diagram of the downregulated genes.

Figure 4. Analysis of the overlaps of upregulated or downregulated genes in 6 different types of

treatments

UpSet plots and venn diagrams in (A, B) represent the upregulated or downregulated genes in ABA,

Salt, Dehydration, Mannitol, Cold, and Hypoxia treatments.

(A): UpSet plots of the upregulated genes, (B): UpSet plots of the downregulated genes.

Tissue distribution

Sample tissues	Counts
seedlings	139
leaves	28
rosette leaves	23
roots	14
shoots	6
bud tissue	6