Bitesize bundles F-actin and influences actin remodeling in

syncytial *Drosophila* embryo development

Authors: Anna R. Yeh[1], Gregory J. Hoeprich[2], Bruce L. Goode[2], Adam C. Martin[1]

[1]Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

[2]Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA

Abstract

Actin networks undergo rearrangements that influence cell and tissue shape. Actin

network assembly and organization is regulated in space and time by a host of actin

binding proteins. The *Drosophila* Synaptotagmin-like protein, Bitesize (Btsz), is

known to organize actin at epithelial cell apical junctions in a manner that depends

on its interaction with the actin-binding protein, Moesin. Here, we showed that Btsz

functions in actin reorganization at earlier, syncytial stages of *Drosophila* embryo

development. Btsz was required for the formation of stable metaphase pseudo-

cleavage furrows that prevented spindle collisions and nuclear fallout prior to

cellularization. While previous studies focused on Btsz isoforms containing the

Moesin Binding Domain (MBD), we found that isoforms lacking the MBD also

function in actin remodeling. Consistent with this, we found that the C-terminal half of

BtszB cooperatively binds to and bundles F-actin, suggesting a direct mechanism for

Synaptotagmin-like proteins regulating actin organization during animal

development.

Introduction

During animal development, actin networks are tightly regulated and undergo dynamic rearrangements to promote morphogenesis (Camuglia et al., 2021; Lecuit and Yap, 2015; Sokac et al., 2023). However, the range of mechanisms that control actin network assembly and organization during development is still not fully understood. There are various levels at which actin must be regulated, which we describe here as three major levels. First, the nucleation and assembly of monomeric G-actin (globular actin) into polymeric F-actin (filamentous actin) are tightly regulated in both time and space. Spontaneous nucleation of actin is inhibited by many factors *in vivo* (Plastino and Blanchoin, 2019). Therefore, actin nucleators and assemblers such as the formin, Diaphanous (Dia), as well as the Arp2/3 complex are essential in driving actin polymerization. Dia assembles actin structures composed of linear (unbranched) filaments, whereas Arp2/3 complex assembles branched actin filament networks. Next is the organization of filamentous actin into higher-order structures, or networks, which involves a plethora of actin binding and bundling/crosslinking proteins such as Fascin/Singed, Filamin/Cheerio and Fimbrin (Cant et al., 1994; Davidson et al., 2019; Krueger et al., 2019; Tilney et al., 2000). These networks are important for structure, transport, and transducing mechanical forces across the organism. Crosslinking actin filaments not only governs the network's architecture but also its rigidity and contractile properties (Koenderink and Paluch, 2018). Finally, the actin network must be connected to the cell membrane through actin-binding proteins in order to provide structure and help induce cell shape changes (Chugh and Paluch, 2018). The ERM (Ezrin Radixin Moesin) family of proteins plays an essential role in this process (Algrain et al., 1993;

Gould et al., 1989). When activated by phosphorylation through kinases, ERM proteins

undergo a conformational change to reveal an F-actin binding and membrane protein-

binding site such that they can tether F-actin to membrane (Gary and Bretscher, 1995;

Zaman et al., 2021). Therefore, each cell must coordinate actin nucleation, assembly

and disassembly, along with higher-order levels of control such as organizing actin

networks and connecting these networks to cell membrane.

The early *Drosophila* embryo provides an optimal system in which to study actin

regulation due to its dynamic actin network rearrangements. At the earliest stages of

development, the embryo is a syncytium consisting of one cell with multiple nuclei in a

shared cytoplasm. The syncytium undergoes multiple rounds of synchronous modified

cell divisions lacking cytokinesis and intervening gap phases, called nuclear divisions

(Figure 1A) (Foe et al., 2000). Nuclear cycles (NC) 1-9 occur in the yolk at the center of

the embryo, and nuclei migrate to the apical surface of the embryo for NC 10-13 (Foe

et al., 2000). Centrosomes then help direct the formation of F-actin structures called

'caps' (Stevenson et al., 2002; Stevenson et al., 2001), which are positioned above the

syncytial nuclei. During each nuclear cycle, F-actin assembly drives the growth of actin

caps that expand into one another (Figure 1A). Collisions between neighboring caps

lead to the formation of pseudo-cleavage furrows, structures made up of both actin and

membrane (Zhang et al., 2018) (Figure 1A). Pseudo-cleavage furrows act as a barrier

between dividing spindles and are essential for proper nuclear divisions. Perturbing

pseudo-cleavage furrows with drugs or depleting actin-related proteins often results in

spindle collisions (Afshar et al., 2000; Callaini et al., 1992; Spracklen et al., 2019;

Sullivan et al., 1993; Webb et al., 2009; Zallen et al., 2002).

In addition to actin assembly, membrane trafficking is essential for syncytial

blastoderm development. When membrane trafficking is perturbed by knocking down

components of the trafficking machinery, such as Nuf and Rab11, pseudo-cleavage

furrows are shorter and resemble those in embryos defective in actin assembly (Cao et

al., 2008; Holly et al., 2015; Riggs et al., 2003; Rikhy et al., 2015; Rothwell et al., 1998;

Sherlekar et al., 2020). Together, these findings indicate that actin network

reorganization is coupled with membrane remodeling to create pseudo-cleavage

furrows. However, there is still much to uncover about the roles that actin and/or

membrane remodelers play in morphogenesis.

Synaptotagmin-like proteins (Slps) are potential candidates for coupling actin and

membrane remodeling during syncytial blastoderm development. Slps are conserved

across vertebrates and are characterized by an N-terminal Slp homology domain (SHD)

and two C-terminal C2 domains (Figure 1B). The mammalian SHD interacts with the

GTPase Rab27, and the C2 domain consists of the C2A and C2B domains that bind

membrane, sometimes in a calcium-dependent manner (Fukuda, 2002; Galvez-

Santisteban et al., 2012). Specifically, the C2A domain binds with high affinity to

$PI(4,5)P_2$ or $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ and the C2B domain binds with high affinity to $PI(4,5)P_2$

(Galvez-Santisteban et al., 2012; Lyakhova and Knight, 2014). A family of proteins

related to Slps are Slac2s, Synaptotagmin-like proteins lacking C2 domains, which

contain the SHD at their N-terminus but lack the C-terminal C2 domains (Figure 1B).

Slac2 proteins function as adaptor proteins for cargo transport, interacting with Rab27A

via their SHD, as well as Myosin Va via their myosin-binding sites found in the middle of

Slac2 (Fukuda et al., 2002). Interestingly, the C-termini of Slac2-a and Slac2-c also bind

G- and F-actin (Fukuda et al., 2002). Both Slps and Slac2s are Rab27 effectors

important for vesicle secretion. For example, Slp4 is necessary for proper exocytosis

and granule docking in the pancreas (Mizuno et al., 2016). During *de novo* epithelial

formation, Slp2-a is required for targeting vesicles to the apical surface in a PIP2

dependent manner, and Slp4-a couples these vesicles to apical plasma membrane to

generate lumen (Galvez-Santisteban et al., 2012). Overall, there are six Slp genes and

three Slac2 genes in mammals. The reasons for such a diverse array of Slp and Slac

genes and whether less complex metazoans have functional equivalents to this diverse

gene family are unknown.

Drosophila encodes a single Slp gene, *Bitesize* (*Btsz*) that plays a role in actin

cytoskeleton organization (Pilot et al., 2006b) similar to mammalian Slp4/granuphilin.

However, it is unclear whether Btsz contains an SHD, or plays a direct role in

exocytosis. Pilot et al. showed that Btsz is essential for maintaining adherens junctions

and epithelial integrity. They discovered that certain Btsz isoforms interact with the

membrane-cortex crosslinker, Moesin (Moe), via a Moesin binding domain (MBD)

(Figure 1C). Their observations supported a model in which Btsz binds

phosphoinositides via its C2A and C2B domains, localizing it to adherens junctions at

the apical surface of the cell where it recruits Moesin to regulate actin organization and

stabilize E-cadherin (Figure 1D). During tracheal morphogenesis, Btsz recruits Moesin

to the luminal membrane to promote proper actin organization (Jayanandanan et al.,

2014). Therefore, previous work has established the importance of Btsz in regulating

the actin cytoskeleton through Moesin. However, alternative splicing generates nine

different Btsz isoforms and most of them lack the exon that encodes the MBD (Figure

1C). This raises the question of whether these other Btsz isoforms have distinct

functional roles in development, and how the functions of these isoforms may be related

to mammalian Slp and Slac2.

In this study, we discovered a role for Btsz in regulating actin organization during

syncytial stages of *Drosophila* embryo development, which in turn impacts spindle and

nuclear morphology. This function of Btsz is mediated in part by Btsz isoforms lacking

the Moesin-binding domain. We demonstrated this by making a CRISPR allele that

affects Btsz isoforms lacking the MBD as well as an isoform-specific

UAS>Btsz::mCherry tool to assess the localization of an individual isoform. Despite

lacking the MBD, we found that Btsz isoform B directly binds to F-actin and bundles F-

actin *in vitro*, suggesting a more direct role for Btsz in regulating actin architecture.

Figure 1. **Btsz is a Synaptotagmin-like protein (Slp) with multiple splice isoforms. (A)** Cartoon depiction of a syncytial embryo during a nuclear cycle. Top-down view of a syncytial embryo (top row). Zoomed in view of the actin caps in the dashed rectangular box where actin caps grow and collide with neighboring actin caps (middle row). Cross section of the zoomed in view where pseudo-cleavage furrows form from actin caps that have collided (bottom row). **(B)** Schematic of mammalian (mouse) Slp1, Slp4, Slac2-a, and fly Btsz isoform B and C proteins. **(C)** Schematic of the nine splice isoforms of the Btsz protein. Letters on the left-hand side denote the isoform name. Location of RT-PCR primer pairs #1 and #2 for exons 4-6 and exon 8, respectively, are shown with green arrows. **(D)** Model depicting Btsz's interaction with the plasma membrane and Moesin. Btsz and Moesin localized to apical membrane through interactions with PIP2. There, Btsz can bind the FERM3 domain of Moesin and Moesin binds F-actin.

Results

Btsz is required for actin remodeling during syncytial blastoderm development

To examine Btsz function in early development, we used an RNAi line to maternally

deplete embryos of Btsz (Figure S1A). This shRNA targets a sequence in the 3' UTR

shared by all isoforms. Past work on Btsz focused on isoforms containing the

Moesin-binding domain (MBD) and their roles during gastrulation in regulating actin

organization, epithelial integrity, and lumen formation (Jayanandanan et al., 2014;

Pilot et al., 2006b). Upregulation of Btsz isoforms containing the MBD at the mid-

blastula transition was noted in previous studies (Pilot et al., 2006a). We observed

that knock-down of all Btsz isoforms revealed striking defects in syncytial embryo

development as well as defects after epithelium formation, during gastrulation. We

found that 60% of Btsz-RNAi embryos displayed syncytial or cellularization defects

compared to 13% of control embryos (Figure S1B). Because defects prior to

gastrulation in Btsz-depleted embryos have not been described, and the syncytial

blastoderm is an excellent system in which to study actin remodeling, we further

investigated Btsz function in the syncytial blastoderm.

To determine Btsz function in syncytial stages of embryonic development, we

visualized actin in live embryos by driving mCherry::MoesinABD (mCh::Moe)

expression with the maternal Gal4 driver mat67 to observe actin cap and pseudo-

cleavage furrow structure and dynamics (Xie et al., 2021). We found that Btsz

depletion affected actin cap organization dynamics (Figure 2A; Video 1). We

measured cap size once the caps had finished expanding in control and Btsz-RNAi

embryos. Our results show that in Btsz-depleted embryos, the average cap area is

smaller compared to those of control embryos (Figure 2A-B). When comparing the

distribution of cap areas, there is a bimodal distribution of cap sizes and a greater

proportion of small actin caps (<80mm^2) in Btsz-shRNA embryos compared to the

control (Figure 2B-B'').

Actin cap expansion and subsequent collisions between neighboring caps

drive pseudo-cleavage furrow formation and growth (Zhang et al., 2018) so we

hypothesized that pseudo-cleavage furrows would be affected in Btsz-RNAi

embryos. Interestingly, small actin caps in Btsz-depleted embryos still formed

pseudo-cleavage furrows between nuclei. Live imaging of actin in pseudo-cleavage

furrows did reveal that a higher frequency of furrows prematurely retract during

mitosis in Btsz-RNAi embryos (Figure 2C, D; Video 2). These unstable furrows

formed normally (Figure 2C, yellow arrows) but receded during metaphase, resulting

in furrows that are either very short or no longer visible (Figure 2C, red arrows).

However, pseudo-cleavage furrows that formed properly and did not retract in Btsz-

RNAi embryos reached their maximum length and were comparable in length to

wild-type furrows (Figure S2A, B).

Figure 2. **Btsz is required for actin remodeling during syncytial blastoderm development. (A)** Maximum projection of a top-down view of control and Btsz RNAi nuclear cycle 12 actin caps. Actin was visualized live using the mCherry::MoesinABD marker. **(B)** In Btsz-depleted embryos, the average cap size is smaller compared to those in the control. Each data point is an average of all caps in the field of view per embryo. n = 6 embryos for both control RNAi and Btsz RNAi. p = 0.0043, Mann-Whitney U test. **(B', B'')** Histogram of raw data of actin cap area from Figure 2B. There is a peak of small actin caps (~30 μm^2) in Btsz RNAi embryos that is absent in the control embryos. **(C)** Time-lapse showing the formation of pseudo-cleavage furrows in control and Btsz-depleted embryos, with single slices (top panels) and orthogonal views (bottom panels). Actin was visualized live using the mCherry::MoesinABD marker. In Btsz RNAi embryo, a pseudo-cleavage furrow forms normally (yellow arrows), but breaks and recedes (red arrows) during metaphase while neighboring furrows are at their maximum length. **(D)** Number of missing furrows normalized over total number of actin caps. In Btsz-depleted embryos, pseudo-cleavage furrows prematurely recede during mitosis more often than in wild type embryos. Each point is the ratio of missing furrows to actin caps in a field of view (212 x 106 μm) per embryo. n = 7 embryos for control RNAi, n = 10 embryos for Btsz RNAi, p = 0.0007, Mann-Whitney U test. Scale bars are 10 μm.

Btsz depletion leads to spindle collision and nuclear defects

Because pseudo-cleavage furrows are essential for preventing spindle collisions

during each nuclear cycle, we assessed the integrity of the nuclear divisions in Btsz-

depleted embryos. Using the microtubule plus-end-tracking protein CLIP170 fused

with GFP to visualize spindles, we found that aberrant spindle morphology

accompanied *Btsz* knock-down (Figure 3A, C). In Btsz-depleted embryos, spindles

were often abnormally fused between neighboring nuclei (Figure 3A). This spindle

collision phenotype has been noted in various conditions where proteins that

regulate actin and/or membrane remodeling are disrupted (Dia, Arp2/3, Par1, APC2,

Abl, Nuf, Rab11, etc.) (Grevengoed et al., 2003; Jiang and Harris, 2019; McCartney

et al., 2001; Riggs et al., 2003; Rothwell et al., 1998; Webb et al., 2009; Zhang et al.,

2018).

Proper actin cytoskeleton regulation is important for centrosome migration to

opposite poles during nuclear division cycles (Cao et al., 2008). Centrosome

separation was abnormal in Btsz-depleted embryos (Figure 3B) with centrosomes

failing to migrate to 180° opposite each other prior to nuclear envelope breakdown

more often than in wild-type embryos (Figure 3B, C'). Both the distance between

centrosomes (Figure 3C'') and spindle length (Figure 3C''') were shorter in Btsz-

depleted embryos compared to wild-type embryos. These phenotypes were

reminiscent of those observed in other actin and APC2 mutants (Cao et al., 2008;

McCartney et al., 2001). Fixed imaging of both F-actin and nuclei during

cellularization revealed heterogeneity in compartment sizes and nuclear morphology

in Btsz RNAi embryos, indicative of improper nuclear divisions (Figure 3D).

Visualizing both F-actin and spindles confirmed that furrow breakages in the

Btsz RNAi background led to two sets of spindles in the same compartment (Figure

S2C). These spindle abnormalities preceded massive nuclear fallout from the cell

cortex during syncytial stages and cellularization, observed through live imaging the

nuclear marker Histone::GFP (Figure 3E, yellow arrows; Video 3). Quantification of

relative nuclear density shows that there are fewer nuclei in Btsz-depleted embryos

compared to the control (Figure 3F), likely a consequence of the pseudo-cleavage

furrow defects (Figure 2C). Overall, these results demonstrate a critical role for Btsz

in embryo development, well prior to its known role in epithelial integrity during

gastrulation.

Figure 3. **Btsz-depletion leads to spindle collision and nuclear defects. (A, B)** Maximum projections
of spindles and centrosomes visualized live using GFP::CLIP170 in control and Btsz-depleted embryos.
Spindle collisions and aberrant centrosome morphology occur more frequently in Btsz-RNAi embryos.
Scale bars are 10 µm for spindles, 5 µm for centrosomes. **(C)** Fraction of spindles that have collided in
control and Btsz RNAi embryos. Each large, bold circle is the fraction of occurrences in an embryo **(C'-
C''')** Quantification of shortest distance between a centrosome pair (cyan line), angle of a vertex drawn
between a centrosome pair (yellow dashed line), and spindle length. Each large, bold circle is the
average of one embryo and smaller circles of corresponding color are the centrosome pairs or individual
spindles used in the analysis. n = 5 embryos for control RNAi, n = 6 embryos for Btsz RNAi. p = 0.0043
for fraction with defects, 0.0087 for centrosome distance and angle, 0.0043 for spindle length, Mann-
Whitney U test. **(D)** Single slice of cellularizing control RNAi or Btsz RNAi embryos. F-actin was
visualized using Phalloidin and nuclei was visualized using Hoechst in fixed samples. Both the actin
cytoskeleton and nuclei are abnormal in Btsz RNAi embryos. **(E)** Orthogonal slice of nuclei visualized
live using Histone::GFP at cellularization in control (top) or Btsz RNAi (bottom) embryos at 0s and 260s
later. Yellow arrow indicates one nucleus at the surface of the Btsz RNAi embryo undergoing fallout.

247 **(F)** Nuclear fallout occurs more frequently in Btsz-depleted embryos compared to the control. Each
248 point is the number of nuclei over area (nuclear density) in one embryo. p = 0.0043, Mann-Whitney U
249 test.
250
Non-MBD and MBD containing Btsz isoforms are both important for

development

Previous studies have focused on how Btsz regulates actin indirectly through Moesin

and demonstrated that expression of MBD isoforms was induced at the maternal to

zygotic transition (MZT) which occurs around 2-3 hours into development (Pilot et

al., 2006a; Pilot et al., 2006b). However, only a minority of the Btsz isoforms contain

the Moesin Binding Domain (MBD). We found that non-MBD isoforms were also

developmentally upregulated at the MZT (Figure 4A). Thus, both "classes" of Btsz

isoforms (those with or without MBD) could be important during development.

To test whether non-MBD Btsz isoforms specifically contribute to Btsz

function, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to engineer a *btsz* mutant (*btsz exon 4*) with an

early truncation present in the majority of non-MBD isoforms (Figure 4B). This

truncation is also present in the BtszI isoform that contains both exon 4 and exon 8

(which contains the MBD) (Figure 1C). We compared these to germline clones of the

btsz^{K13-4} mutant, which has a deletion in the MBD-containing exon 8 (Figure 4B)

(Serano and Rubin, 2003). Because Btsz-RNAi has an obvious nuclear density

phenotype and live actin markers occasionally modified the furrow canal phenotypes

(Spracklen et al., 2014), we analyzed the cytoskeleton network during cellularization

using the non-muscle myosin II marker, Spaghetti squash::GFP (Sqh::GFP). We

found that *btsz exon 4* embryos that are maternal and zygotic homozygous for the

mutation exhibited noticeable nuclear fallout and abnormal cellularization compared

to the wildtype. In *btsz*$^{K13-4}$ and *btsz exon 4* mutants, the myosin network constricted

over the space where nuclei had fallen out, resembling Btsz-RNAi embryos though

not as severe (Figure 4C, D). In addition, germline clones of the *btsz^{K13-4}* mutant

affected nuclear density, with the myosin network constricting over the space where

nuclei had fallen out (Figure 4D, E). Taken together, our data suggest that Btsz

functions in the early embryo in a manner that is not entirely dependent on the MBD-

containing isoforms.

Figure 4. **Non-MBD containing Btsz isoforms are important for development**. **(A)** Gel of RT-PCR
products measuring isoform expression over developmental time. Both non-MBD isoforms and MBD
isoforms are upregulated during the maternal to zygotic transition (MZT). Primer pair #1 detected non-
MBD isoforms and primer pair #2 detected MBD-containing isoforms as indicated in green. **(B)**
Schematic of Btsz isoforms B and C, along with Btsz mutants *btsz exon 4 (*which was generated in this
study) and *btsz^{K13-4}*. *btsz exon 4* has an early truncation in exon 4, and *btsz^{K13-4}* has a deletion in exon
8. **(C)** Schematic of the protein products of the Btsz isoforms shown in (B). **(D)** Maximum projection
images of cellularizing live embryos expressing Spaghetti squash::GFP (Sqh::GFP). Labeling

myosin allows for visualization of the cytoskeletal network to count nuclei. Yellow arrows indicate
gaps where nuclei have dropped out that have been filled in by the myosin network. Scale bar is
25 μm. **(E)** Relative nuclear density in *btsz exon 4* and *btsz^{K13-4}* mutants, compared to controls. p
= 0.0260 for both comparisons, Mann-Whitney U test.
292
BtszB binds and bundles F-actin

Given the defects in actin-related processes exhibited by Btsz-RNAi and Btsz mutants,

we hypothesized that non-MBD Btsz may directly interact with actin. We examined

BtszB function *in vitro* because it is the shortest isoform that contains exons 4 through

7, which are shared by the majority of Btsz isoforms, and the C2 domains which are

shared by all Btsz isoforms. BtszB does not contain the MBD detailed in previous work

(Pilot et al., 2006b). Full-length BtszB was prone to degradation and the N-terminal

fragment of BtszB tended to pellet on its own, making F-actin cosedimentation assays

with these proteins difficult to interpret. However, a purified C-terminal fragment of

BtszB (BtszB-CT) demonstrated good expression and solubility. The BtszB-CT N-

terminus is within exon 6 and the fragment contains the C2A and C2B domains (Figure

5A). Notably, the BtszB-CT fragment is present in the majority of Btsz isoforms.

We performed high-speed pelleting assays to test whether Btsz-CT binds to actin

filaments and low-speed pelleting assays to test whether Btsz-CT bundles actin

filaments. In high-speed pelleting assays, a fixed concentration of BtszB-CT was mixed

with variable concentrations of F-actin, and then the amount of BtszB-CT bound to F-

actin was determined by analyzing the supernatant and pellet fractions on gels. With

increasing concentrations of F-actin, BtszB-CT shifted from the supernatant to the pellet

(Figure 5B). The data best fit a cooperative binding model with a binding affinity (K_d) of

0.45 μM and a Hill coefficient (h) of 2.9 (Figure 5C). In low-speed pelleting assays, F-

actin alone did not pellet significantly, but the addition of BtszB-CT shifted F-actin to the

pellet (Figure 5D and E), suggesting that BtszB-CT organizes F-actin into higher order

structures. These effects were confirmed independently through direct visualization in

TIRF microscopy assays, where BtszB-CT organized F-actin into bundles with

increased fluorescence and thickness (Figure 5F and G). Overall, these results show

that BtszB-CT binds directly and cooperatively to F-actin (K_d = 0.45 µM) and organizes

actin filaments into bundles, suggesting that all Btsz isoforms may bind and bundle F-

actin.

Figure 5. **The C-terminus of BtszB cooperatively binds and bundles actin filaments. (A)** Schematic
of full length BtszB (BtszB-FL) for reference and the C-terminal fragment (BtszB-CT) that was used in
the F-actin binding and bundling assays. **(B)** High-speed F-actin co-sedimentation assay varying the
F-actin concentration (0.1 - 4 µM) in the presence of 0.5 µM BtszB-CT. F-actin and BtszB-CT samples

were incubated together at room temperature for 30 min and then centrifuged (20 min at 25°C, 316k x
g). **(C)** The fraction of BtszB-CT bound to actin filaments at increasing concentrations of F-actin was fit
to a cooperative binding model with a K_d of 0.45 µM and a hill coefficient (h) of 2.9. Error bars are SD,
n = 3. **(D)** Low-speed F-actin co-sedimentation assay using 2 µM F-actin with and without 1 µM BtszB-
CT. F-actin and BtszB-CT were mixed together and incubated at room temperature for 30 min, then
centrifuged (10 min at room temperature, 16k x g). **(E)** The fraction of F-actin pelleted with and without
BtszB-CT. Error bars are SD, n = 3. p-value < 0.001, Student's t-test. **(F)** Representative time-lapse
images from TIRF microscopy reactions containing 2 µM G-actin (10% Alexa 488-labeled) polymerized
into filaments 10-20 µm in length, and then buffer or 1 µM BtszB-CT was flowed into the reaction
chamber at 300 s and monitored for an additional 300 s. Scale bar is 20 µm. **(G)** Filament/bundle
thickness was assessed by measuring the fluorescence intensity at FWHM (full width at half maximum)
from line segments drawn perpendicular to the filament or bundle. Fluorescence intensity values were
normalized to the mean intensity value of the control reaction (2 µM F-actin). Horizontal dashed lines
are at y = 1, mean 2 µM F-actin control reaction, and y = 3.4, mean 2 µM F-actin + 1 µM BtszB-CT.
($n_{experiments}$ = 3, $n_{line\ segment}$ measurements for 2 µM F-actin = 41, 40, 42 and 1 µM BtszB-CT = 24, 35, 57.
Error bars are SD, * is p-value < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U test).
342

Btsz localizes to apical junctions and pseudo-cleavage furrows

Next, we wanted to determine whether Btsz localizes to pseudo-cleavage

furrows where actin binding and bundling are important for syncytial development.

BtszF is one of the shortest Btsz isoforms (Figure 1C), containing only the C2

domains that are necessary for proper localization and one additional exon. We

observed that BtszF localized to these furrows but did not completely co-localize

with F-actin (Figure 6A). Given that past work indicates that the C2 domains are

responsible for Btsz localization patterns (Pilot et al., 2006b), our data suggest that

other Btsz isoforms localize similarly to BtszF in the syncytium.

To further explore the role of Btsz in actin binding and bundling *in vivo*, we

examined actin organization in Btsz RNAi conditions. Btsz is upregulated during the

MZT, similar to a subset of proteins that regulate F-actin levels in furrow canals, a

distinct compartment that forms at the tip of cellularization furrows (Krueger et al.,

2019; Schejter and Wieschaus, 1993; Sokac and Wieschaus, 2008; Zheng et al.,

2013). Therefore, we wondered whether Btsz could be affecting F-actin at

cellularization. We measured the ratio of actin intensity in the apical region of the

furrow to that in the furrow canals and saw no significant difference in Btsz-RNAi

embryos compared to control (Figure 6B, C).

To determine whether non-MBD isoforms localize to a compartment that is

distinct from furrow canals, we generated a fly line expressing BtszB N-terminally

tagged with mCherry. Fixed imaging revealed largely apical membrane localization

during cellularization and gastrulation (Figure 6D). This result aligns with previous

work that described the MBD-containing Btsz isoforms' localization and role in

regulating apical cell junctions (Pilot et al., 2006b). Unlike other actin cross-linkers

involved in cellularization, BtszB did not localize to furrow canals, suggesting that the

cellularization phenotypes we observed in Btsz mutants and Btsz RNAi (Figure 4D)

were the result of prior syncytial division defects. We propose that Btsz binds the

membrane through its C2 domains where Btsz organizes actin along the membrane

(Figure 6E).

Figure 6. **Btsz localizes to pseudo-cleavage furrows and BtszB localizes to apical junctions and**
(A) Maximum projection of a top-down view of the F-actin network and Btsz isoform F localization
in the syncytium. BtszF localizes to pseudo-cleavage furrows (yellow arrows). Scale bars are 5
µm. **(B)** Cross section of fixed control RNAi or Btsz RNAi embryos during cellularization. F-actin was
visualized using Phalloidin and nuclei was visualized using Hoechst. **(C)** There is no significant
difference between the relative F-actin intensity (ratio of actin intensity in the apical region to that
of the furrow canals) in the wildtype and Btsz-RNAi embryos. Mann-Whitney U test. **(D)** Cross
sections of fixed control embryos, and embryos overexpressing mCherry::BtszB under the UASt
promoter during cellularization (top) or gastrulation (bottom). F-actin (Phalloidin) in yellow, E-cadherin
or junctions (α-Ecad) in cyan, and BtszB (α-RFP) in magenta. BtszB localizes apically during
cellularization and gastrulation. **(E)** Schematic of how Btsz non-MBD and MBD isoforms may
interact with Moesin and/or F-actin at the membrane to bundle and organize the cytoskeleton.

Discussion

Our data show that the Synaptotagmin-like protein Btsz can directly regulate actin networks in addition to functioning through Moesin. We found that depletion of either MBD or non-MBD isoforms resulted in syncytial embryo defects similar to those caused by other mutants and perturbations that affect actin organization. In addition, we showed that a Btsz C-terminal fragment can cooperatively bind to F-actin and promote F-actin bundling. This supports a model in which Btsz binds the membrane through its C2 domains and promotes actin bundling along the membrane.

Actin network organization must be tightly regulated for proper syncytial blastoderm development. This precise regulation is orchestrated by a large group of actin and membrane remodeling proteins to ensure proper nuclear divisions. Our results reveal a novel role for Btsz in organizing actin in the syncytial *Drosophila* embryo. In Btsz-RNAi embryos, actin caps were smaller and pseudo-cleavage furrows were often defective, leading to spindle collisions and nuclear fallout which resembled phenotypes from knocking down other known actin regulators (Afshar et al., 2000; Stevenson et al., 2002; Zallen et al., 2002). In *btsz exon 4* and *btsz^{K13-4}* mutants, we saw evidence of nuclear fallout, similar to, but less severe than the Btsz-RNAi defects, suggesting that both sets of isoforms contribute to Btsz function. Taken together, the data suggest that Btsz can promote higher-order F-actin network structure by bundling F-actin, and by connecting these networks to membrane.

Btsz regulates actin organization at multiple stages of early *Drosophila* embryo development

Our *in vitro* data indicate that the BtszB isoform binds and bundles F-actin, shedding light on how Btsz may regulate actin *in vivo* in a complementary manner to previously published work (Jayanandanan et al., 2014; Pilot et al., 2006b; Zhang et al., 2018). While some Btsz isoforms bind Moesin, our data predict that most or all isoforms bind and bundle F-actin. In the related Slac2 protein, Melanophilin, the actin binding domain (ABD) is also at the C-terminus (Kuroda et al., 2003). Btsz is therefore another Synaptotagmin-related protein that can directly interact with actin.

In the syncytium, Btsz could promote actin filament bundles generated by the formin Diaphanous (Jiang and Harris, 2019). BtszF contains the C2 domains and localizes to syncytial pseudo-cleavage furrows. Because all Btsz isoforms contain the C2 domains responsible for membrane localization, it is possible that all isoforms localize the same way. Btsz bundling may stabilize actin at the pseudo-cleavage furrows and prevent their recession, as furrows in Btsz-depleted embryos often break and retract to the surface. Because each round of nuclear division occurs rapidly (within ~8-10 minutes), pseudo-cleavage furrow growth requires dynamic membrane and actin remodeling. During oogenesis, the *Drosophila* Fascin homolog, Singed, is required for actin bundling when rapid actin polymerization takes place to provide structural integrity for these bundles (Cant et al., 1994). Btsz may function in a similar way, stabilizing F-actin bundles against disassembly during a time of rapid actin remodeling.

Actin bundles are also a key component of adherens junctions (AJs), which

are critical for cell-cell adhesion. The dynamic coupling of the F-actin network to

adherens junctions is necessary for propagating forces across cells (Gorfinkiel and

Arias, 2007; Jodoin, 2015; Maître et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2010; Roh-Johnson et

al., 2012). E-cadherin (E-cad) is an important component of AJs, and its localization

is stabilized by F-actin. When Btsz is disrupted, so is actin organization which in turn

destabilizes E-cad, leading to defects in tissue integrity (Pilot et al., 2006b). As our

data show that BtszB is present at apical junctions (Figure 6D), we hypothesize that

Btsz binds and bundles F-actin to organize actin at these junctions (Figure 6E).

Multiple Btsz isoforms exhibit upregulated gene expression at the maternal-

zygotic transition

Precise gene expression of actin regulators is essential for proper

morphogenesis to ensure that actin networks are assembled and organized at the

correct time. The MZT is a conserved process where the developing embryo transitions

from being transcriptionally quiet to active (Lee et al., 2014). The expression of many

actin remodeling proteins necessary for cellularization, such as Bottleneck, Nullo, Slam,

Dunk, and Serendipity-α (Sry-α), are developmentally upregulated at the MZT (He et al.,

2016; Krueger et al., 2019; Lecuit et al., 2002; Postner and Wieschaus, 1994; Schejter

and Wieschaus, 1993; Simpson and Wieschaus, 1990; Sokac and Wieschaus, 2008;

Wenzl et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2013). If the MZT is disrupted in *Drosophila*,

cellularization does not proceed. Our work reveals that Btsz is one of this group of

developmentally expressed F-actin binding/bundling proteins. However, Btsz is present

uniquely in an apical-lateral compartment distinct from the other actin-remodeling

proteins mentioned above, which each localize to furrow canals. In a *nullo* or *sry-α*

mutant background, F-actin levels are reduced in these furrow canals. While Btsz does

not appear to affect F-actin levels at the apical domain or in furrow canals (Figure 6B,

C), it is possible that Btsz localizes apically during cellularization when adherens

junctions form - in preparation for their role in maintaining junctions during gastrulation.

Alternatively, BtszB may play an undescribed role in the apical or lateral domains of

cellularization furrows.

Possible functions for Btsz in membrane trafficking

An important question that remains unanswered is whether Btsz plays a role in

Drosophila regulating membrane trafficking like mammalian Slps. Our work here

focused primarily on furthering our understanding of Btsz's role in actin organization.

For instance, Nuclear fallout (Nuf) is required for actin and membrane remodeling

during the syncytial divisions through its role in regulating trafficking (Riggs et al.,

2003). In *nuf* mutants, pseudo-cleavage furrows are defective leading to spindle

collisions and nuclear fallout (Rothwell et al., 1998). Considering the close coupling

between actin and membrane remodeling, as well as the spindle collisions and

nuclear fallout observed upon Btsz depletion, we cannot rule out that the phenotypes

we observe in Btsz-RNAi or *btsz* mutant embryos are in part due to defects in

vesicle trafficking.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks and genetics

Fly stocks and crosses used in this study are listed in Supplementary File 1. For

crosses involving maternal gene depletion and overexpression, flies expressing

maternal Gal4 drivers were crossed to UAS-driven constructs and maintained at 25°C.

Nonbalancer F1 females were crossed to nonbalancer F1 males, and F2 embryos were

used for imaging.

For the *btsz exon 4* mutant, a deletion was generated at the endogenous Btsz

locus using CRISPR-Cas9 as previously described (Gratz et al., 2015). Two 15 base

pair (bp) gRNAs targeting sites in Btsz exon 4, roughly 500 bps apart, were identified

using flyrnai.org/crispr and cloned into the pCFD5 plasmid. This vector was constructed

and packaged by VectorBuilder and the vector ID is VB200707-1018qzm, which can be

used to retrieve further information about the vector on vectorbuilder.com. The plasmid

was injected into nanos-Cas9-expressing embryos by BestGene Inc (Chino Hills,

California). Surviving adults were crossed to Dr/TM3 flies and the deletion was

screened for using PCR. Flies with successfully a generated deletion were sequenced

for further analysis. For crosses involving *btsz exon 4*, crosses were set up using two

btsz exon 4 lines of independent CRISPR alleles.

For crosses involving *btsz^{K13-4}*, the FLP-DFS technique was used to generate

mutant germline clones (Chou and Perrimon, 1992). We used *btszK13-4* alone and

sqh::GFP; *btsz^{K13-4}* mutant stocks. Briefly, hsFLP;; Dr/TM3, Sb females were crossed to

FRT82B ovoD1/TM3, Sb males. hsFLP/Y;; FRT 82B ovoD1/Dr males were crossed to

btsz^{K13-4} FRT/TM3, Sb or sqh::GFP; *btsz^{K13-4}* FRT/TM3, Sb females. Larvae from this

cross were heat shocked for 2 hrs at 37°C for 3-4 days. hsFLP; + or sqh::GFP*; btsz^{K13-4}*

FRT/*ovoD* FRT females were crossed to OregonR males to collect embryos resulting

from the *btsz^{K13-4}* germline clones.

The pUASt-mCherry::BtszB fly line was constructed using the coding sequence

of BtszB (generous gift from Thomas Lecuit, Collège de France). The vector used to

overexpress mCherry::Btsz in our study was constructed and packaged by

VectorBuilder (Chicago, Illinois). The vector ID is VB211103-1199vfw. This vector was

injected into attP40 flies and surviving adults were crossed to Sp/CyO flies. Presence of

the vector was screened for by presence of red eye color and confirmed with

subsequent PCR and sequencing.

Live and fixed imaging

Crosses were maintained at 25°C unless otherwise stated. Embryos were collected

from apple-juice agar plates and embryos were staged using Halocarbon 27 oil.

Embryos were then dechorionated in 50% bleach (Chlorox) for 2 minutes and rinsed

twice with water.

For live imaging, embryos were mounted on a slide with embryo glue (Scotch

tape dissolved in heptane). No. 1.5 coverslips were placed on the slide on either side of

the mounted embryos and a No.1 coverslip was used to cover the embryos and create

a chamber. This chamber was filled using Halocarbon 27 oil.

For fixed imaging involving Phalloidin staining, embryos were fixed using 4%

paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in 0.1M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4

with 50% heptane (Alfa Aesar) and manually devitellinated. Embryos were washed in

0.01% Triton X-100 in PBS (PBS-T), incubated in primary antibodies followed by

secondary antibodies (Supplementary File 1), and mounted onto a glass slide using

AquaPolymount (Polysciences).

Live and fixed images were taken using a Zeiss LSM 710 point scanning confocal microscope with a 40x/1.2 C-Apochromat water objective lens or 63x/1.4 Plan-Apochromat oil objective lens, an argon-ion, 405 nm diode, 594 nm HeNe, 633 HeNe laser, and Zen software. Pinhole settings ranged from 1 to 2.5 Airy units. For two-color live imaging, band-pass filters were set at ~410-513 nm for Hoechst, ~490–565 nm for GFP, and ~590–690 nm for mCherry (mCh). For three-color imaging, band-pass filters were set at ~480–560 nm for Alexa Fluor 488, ~580–635 nm for Alexa Fluor 568, and ~660–750 nm for Alexa Fluor 647.

Image processing and analysis

Figure images were processed in FIJI or Matlab R2019b. For actin cap area and nuclear fallout quantifications, images were run through a segmentation analysis (detailed below) in Matlab. For all figures, brightness and contrast were adjusted linearly. For Figures 2, 3, and 5, images were processed in FIJI with a Gaussian blur of 0.5. For Figure 6, images were processed in FIJI with a Gaussian blur of 0.5 and using Subtract Background with a rolling ball radius of 50.0 pixels.

Actin cap and cellularization furrow quantifications

Actin cap area and nuclear fallout

Embryos expressing mCherry::Moe were used to visualize actin caps. To stage-match embryos, we scored the size and number of actin caps. We measured actin cap area during NC12 past the expansion phase of cap growth to minimize gaps in the actin network. This was roughly 4 minutes before pseudo-cleavage furrows reach their maximum depth during NC12. A maximum intensity projection (MIP) at this time point was then used in a segmentation pipeline in MATLAB detailed below and manual

corrections were made in FIJI. To count nuclei, MIPs of embryos expressing Sqh::GFP

were segmented using the same Matlab procedure and FIJI was used to measure

embryo area in the given field of view and to count nuclei.

1.   The intensity of each pixel was scaled up by a factor of 1.25.

2.   Ridge-like structures in the image were enhanced with FrangiFilter2D

using the default parameters (Dirk-Jan Kroon (2022). Hessian based Frangi

Vesselness filter (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/24409-

hessian-based-frangi-vesselness-filter), MATLAB Central File Exchange); based

on (Frangi et al., 1998).

3.   The resulting image was blurred via a Gaussian blur of sigma 4-10

depending on the image using Matlab's imgaussfilt() function.

4.   Seeds for a watershed segmentation were automatically determined using

the imregionalmin() function on the blurred, background-subtracted image.

5.   The ridge-enhanced image from step 2 was blurred with a median filter of

size 11 pixels, then the seeds were imposed as local minima on this image.

6.   The watershed() function was used on this image to generate lines

corresponding to the boundaries between actin caps.

Furrow actin intensity

Cellularizing embryos were fixed and imaged to calculate the ratio of actin intensity in

the apical to basal regions in the furrows. FIJI was used to measure intensity. A ratio of

intensity was taken of the most apical and basal 2 µm of the furrows. Intensities were

normalized by rescaling values between 0 and 1 using $(I-I_{min})/(I_{max}-I_{min})$.

Molecular biology

For RNA extraction, thirty embryos were collected for each stage analyzed and ground

up in TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Inc.) using a motorized pestle mixer. mRNA

was extracted using TRIzol and chloroform. The aqueous phase was transferred into a

fresh tube and extraction continued using the Monarch RNA Cleanup Kit (NEB). The

extracted mRNA was treated with TURBO DNA-*free*™ (Invitrogen) and cDNA was

produced using Invitrogen SuperScript™ III First-Strand Synthesis System. Subsequent

PCR reactions were run with primers listed in (Supplementary File 1).

Purification of BtszB

Vectors of full-length BtszB and BtszB fragments were generated for protein expression

and purification (ABclonal Science Inc.). Full-length Btsz isoform B was insoluble in

bacteria and so we used the baculovirus system to express Btsz-B in Sf9 cells and

purified protein from those (ABclonal Science Inc).

Purification and Labeling of Actin

Rabbit skeletal muscle actin was purified from acetone powder (Spudich and Watt,

1971) generated from frozen ground hind leg muscle tissue of young rabbits (PelFreez).

Lyophilized acetone powder stored at -80°C was mechanically sheared in a coffee

grinder, resuspended in G-buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 0.5 mM Dithiothreitol [DTT],

0.2 mM ATP, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$), and then cleared by centrifugation for 20 min at 50,000 ×

g. The supernatant was filtered through Grade 1 Whatman paper, then the actin was

polymerized by the addition of 2 mM $MgCl_2$ and 50 mM NaCl and incubated overnight at

4°C. The final NaCl concentration was adjusted to 0.6 M to strip residual actin-binding

proteins, incubated at 4°C for 1 h, and then the F-actin was pelleted by centrifugation for

150 min at 361,000 × g. The pellet was solubilized by dounce homogenization and

dialyzed against 1 L of G-buffer at 4°C (three consecutive times at 12–18 h intervals).

Monomeric actin was then precleared at 435,000 × g and loaded onto a S200 (16/60)

gel-filtration column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in G-Buffer. Peak fractions containing

actin were stored at 4°C.

To fluorescently label actin, G-actin was polymerized by dialyzing overnight against

modified F-buffer (20 mM PIPES [pH 6.9], 0.2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.2mM ATP, 100 mM KCl)

(Shekhar, 2017). F-actin was incubated for 2 h at room temperature with Alexa 488

NHS ester dye (Life Technologies) at a final molar concentration 5 times in excess of

actin concentration. F-actin was then pelleted by centrifugation at 450,000 × g for 40

min at 4°C. The pellet was homogenized with a dounce and dialyzed overnight at 4°C

against 1 L of G-buffer (three consecutive times at 12–18 h intervals). Next, the solution

was centrifuged at 450,000 × g for 40 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and

loaded onto a S200 (16/60) gel-filtration column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in G-

Buffer. Peak fractions containing labeled G-actin were pooled and the concentration and

labeling efficiency was determined by measuring the absorbance at 290 nm and 494

nm. Molar extinction coefficients used were as follows: ε_{290} actin = 26,600 M^{-1} cm^{-1} and

ε_{494} Alexa 488 = 71,000 M^{-1} cm^{-1}. The labeled G-actin was stored in G-Buffer + 50%

glycerol at -20°C and then dialyzed back into G-Buffer (no glycerol) for experiments.

High-Speed and Low-Speed Pelleting (Co-Sedimentation) Assays

F-actin was prepared by polymerizing 20 µM of G-actin with initiation mix (final

concentration: 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM ATP, 50 mM KCl) overnight at room temperature.

For high-speed pelleting assays, different concentrations of F-actin (0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1,

2, 4 µM) were incubated with 0.5 µM BtszB-CT for 30 min at room temperature.

Reactions were then centrifuged at 316,000 × g for 20 min at 25°C using a tabletop

Optima TLX Ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter). Supernatant and pellet fractions were

analyzed on Coomassie stained gels, and quantified by scanning densitometry using a

ChemiDoc Imaging System (BioRad). In calculating the fraction of BtszB-CT bound to

F-actin in each reaction, the BtszB-CT that pelleted nonspecifically (without F-actin) was

subtracted. Fraction of BtszB-CT bound at different concentrations of F-actin was

plotted, and fit to a Hill Equation using Prism (GraphPad). For low-speed pelleting

assays, 2 µM of F-actin was incubated with or without 1 µM BtszB-CT for 30 min at

room temperature. Reactions were then centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 10 min at room

temperature using a tabletop centrifuge (Eppendorf). Supernatant and pellet fractions

were analyzed on Coomassie stained gels and quantified as above. Fraction of F-actin

pelleted +/- BtszB-CT was plotted and compared statistically using a Mann-Whitney U

test from Prism (GraphPad).

F-Actin Bundling TIRF Microscopy Assays and Image Analysis

Glass coverslips (60 × 24 mm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were first cleaned by

sonication in 1% Hellmanex™ III (Sigma-Aldrich) glass cleaner for 60 min at room

temperature, followed by successive sonications in 100% ethanol for 60 min and 1 M

KOH for 20 min. Coverslips were then washed extensively with H_2O and dried in an N_2

stream. The cleaned coverslips were coated with 2 mg/ml methoxy-polyethylene glycol

(PEG)-silane MW 2000 and 2 µg/ml biotin-PEG-silane MW 3400 (Laysan Bio, Arab, AL)

in 80% ethanol, pH 2.0, and incubated overnight at 70°C. Flow cells were assembled by

rinsing PEG-coated coverslips with water, drying with N_2, and adhering to µ-Slide VI0.1

(0.1 × 17 × 1 mm) flow chambers (Ibidi, Fitchburg, WI) with double-sided tape (2.5 cm ×

2 mm × 120 μm) and 5-min epoxy resin (Devcon, Danvers, MA). Before each reaction,

the flow cell was incubated for 1 min with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in HEKG5

buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM KCl, and 5% glycerol), and then

equilibrated with TIRF buffer (10 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM

ethylene glycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 0.2 mM ATP,

10 mM DTT, 15 mM glucose, 20 μg/ml catalase, 100 μg/ml glucose oxidase) plus 0.5%

methylcellulose (4000 cP). Finally, 2 μM G-actin (10% Alexa 488–labeled on Cys 374)

polymerized to 10 - 20 μm, washed with TIRF buffer and then 1 μM BtszB-CT was

flowed into the reaction chamber 300 s after initiation of actin assembly. Upon flowing

wash TIRF buffer and BtszB-CT into the TIRF chamber, filament often would move

around but did not get flushed out because of the crowding agent (methylcellulose) in

the buffer.

Single-wavelength time-lapse TIRF imaging was performed on a Nikon-Ti2000 inverted

microscope equipped with a 150-mW argon laser (Melles Griot), a 60 × TIRF objective

with a numerical aperture of 1.49 (Nikon Instruments), and an electron-multiplying

charge coupled device (EMCCD) camera (Andor Ixon, Belfast, Ireland). One pixel was

equivalent to 143 × 143 nm. Focus was maintained by the Perfect Focus system (Nikon

Instruments). TIRF microscopy images were acquired every 5 s and exposed for 100

ms for at least 10 min using imaging software Elements (Nikon Instruments, New York,

NY).

Images were analyzed in FIJI version 2.0.0-rc-68/1.52e (National Institutes of Health,

Bethesda, MD). Background subtraction was conducted using the rolling ball

background subtraction algorithm (ball radius, 5 pixels). To measure actin filament

bundling, the line segment tool was used to draw a line perpendicular to actin

filaments/bundles in the FOV. The intensity profile of the line segment was fit to a 2-

dimensional Gaussian curve in FIJI. The intensity at full-width half-max (FWHM) for

each line trace was recorded at 300 s into the reactions (where BtszB-CT was flowed in

at time 0). All individual intensity measurements were normalized to the average actin

filament/bundle intensity from the control reaction (2 µM F-actin). A Mann-Whitney U

statistical test was performed to determine bundling activity between the control reaction

(2 µM F-actin) and the 1 µM BtsB-CT reaction.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism or MATLAB.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Martin lab for helpful discussions and comments on the

manuscript. We thank Jonathan Jackson for writing the segmentation pipeline code. We

thank J. Solitro, Z. Li, and J. Eskin for their assistance with experiments. We are grateful

to Goode lab members for assistance with actin purification and labeling. Finally, we

thank the Bloomington Stock Center and the TRiP at Harvard Medical School (National

Institutes of Health/National Institute of General Medical Sciences R01-GM084947) for

providing fly stocks used in this study. This research was supported by a grant from the

National Institutes of Health to B.L. Goode (R35 GM134895). This work is also

supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01 GM105984 and R35 GM144115

to A.C. Martin.

References

Afshar, K., B. Stuart, and S.A. Wasserman. 2000. Functional analysis of the *Drosophila*
diaphanous FH protein in early embryonic development. *Development*.
127:1887-1897.

Algrain, M., O. Turunen, A. Vaheri, D. Louvard, and M. Arpin. 1993. Ezrin contains
cytoskeleton and membrane binding domains accounting for its proposed role as
a membrane-cytoskeletal linker. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 120:129-139.
Callaini, G., R. Dallai, and M.G. Riparbelli. 1992. Cytochalasin induces spindle fusion in
the syncytial blastoderm of the early *Drosophila* embryo. *Biology of the Cell*.
74:249-254.
Camuglia, J.M., A.R.A. Yeh, and A.C. Martin. 2021. Extracellular | Tension and Tissue
Morphogenesis. *In* Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry III (Third Edition). J.
Jez, editor. Elsevier, Oxford. 317-325.
Cant, K., B.A. Knowles, M.S. Mooseker, and L. Cooley. 1994. *Drosophila* singed, a
fascin homolog, is required for actin bundle formation during oogenesis and
bristle extension. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 125:369-380.
Cao, J., R. Albertson, B. Riggs, C.M. Field, and W. Sullivan. 2008. Nuf, a Rab11
effector, maintains cytokinetic furrow integrity by promoting local actin
polymerization. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 182:301-313.
Chou, T.B., and N. Perrimon. 1992. Use of a yeast site-specific recombinase to produce
female germline chimeras in *Drosophila. Genetics*. 131:643-653.
Chugh, P., and E.K. Paluch. 2018. The actin cortex at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*.
131:jcs186254.
Davidson, A.J., T.H. Millard, I.R. Evans, and W. Wood. 2019. Ena orchestrates
remodelling within the actin cytoskeleton to drive robust *Drosophila* macrophage
chemotaxis. *Journal of Cell Science*. 132:jcs224618.
Foe, V.E., C.M. Field, and G.M. Odell. 2000. Microtubules and mitotic cycle phase
modulate spatiotemporal distributions of F-actin and myosin II in *Drosophila*
syncytial blastoderm embryos. *Development*. 127:1767-1787.
Frangi, A.F., W.J. Niessen, K.L. Vincken, and M.A. Viergever. 1998. Multiscale vessel
enhancement filtering. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 130-137.
Fukuda, M. 2002. The C2A domain of synaptotagmin-like protein 3 (Slp3) is an atypical
calcium-dependent phospholipid-binding machine: comparison with the C2A
domain of synaptotagmin I. *Biochem J*. 366:681-687.
Fukuda, M., T.S. Kuroda, and K. Mikoshiba. 2002. Slac2-a/Melanophilin, the Missing
Link between Rab27 and Myosin Va. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 277:12432-
12436.
Galvez-Santisteban, M., A.E. Rodriguez-Fraticelli, D.M. Bryant, S. Vergarajauregui, T.
Yasuda, I. Banon-Rodriguez, I. Bernascone, A. Datta, N. Spivak, K. Young, C.L.
Slim, P.R. Brakeman, M. Fukuda, K.E. Mostov, and F. Martin-Belmonte. 2012.
Synaptotagmin-like proteins control the formation of a single apical membrane
domain in epithelial cells. *Nat Cell Biol*. 14:838-849.
Gary, R., and A. Bretscher. 1995. Ezrin self-association involves binding of an N-
terminal domain to a normally masked C-terminal domain that includes the F-
actin binding site. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 6:1061-1075.
Gorfinkiel, N., and A.M. Arias. 2007. Requirements for adherens junction components in
the interaction between epithelial tissues during dorsal closure in *Drosophila*.
Journal of Cell Science. 120:3289-3298.

Gould, K.L., A. Bretscher, F.S. Esch, and T. Hunter. 1989. cDNA cloning and sequencing of the protein-tyrosine kinase substrate, ezrin, reveals homology to band 4.1. *The EMBO Journal*. 8:4133-4142.

Gratz, S.J., C.D. Rubinstein, M.M. Harrison, J. Wildonger, and K.M. O'Connor-Giles. 2015. CRISPR-Cas9 Genome Editing in *Drosophila*. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. 111.

Grevengoed, E.E., D.T. Fox, J. Gates, and M. Peifer. 2003. Balancing different types of actin polymerization at distinct sites. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 163:1267-1279.

He, B., A. Martin, and E. Wieschaus. 2016. Flow-dependent myosin recruitment during *Drosophila* cellularization requires zygotic dunk activity. *Development*. 143:2417-2430.

Holly, R.M., L.M. Mavor, Z. Zuo, and J.T. Blankenship. 2015. A rapid, membrane-dependent pathway directs furrow formation through RalA in the early *Drosophila* embryo. *Development*. 142:2316-2328.

Jayanandanan, N., R. Mathew, and M. Leptin. 2014. Guidance of subcellular tubulogenesis by actin under the control of a synaptotagmin-like protein and Moesin. *Nature Communications*. 5.

Jiang, T., and T.J.C. Harris. 2019. Par-1 controls the composition and growth of cortical actin caps during *Drosophila* embryo cleavage. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 218:4195-4214.

Jodoin, J.N., Coravos, J.S., Chanet, S., Vasquez, C.G., Tworoger, M., Kingston, E.R., Perkins, L.A., Perrimon, N., Martin, A.C. 2015. Stable Force Balance between Epithelial Cells Arises from F-Actin Turnover. *Developmental Cell*. 35:685-697.

Koenderink, G.H., and E.K. Paluch. 2018. Architecture shapes contractility in actomyosin networks. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*. 50:79-85.

Krueger, D., T. Quinkler, S.A. Mortensen, C. Sachse, and S. De Renzis. 2019. Cross-linker–mediated regulation of actin network organization controls tissue morphogenesis. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 218:2743-2761.

Kuroda, T.S., H. Ariga, and M. Fukuda. 2003. The Actin-Binding Domain of Slac2-a/Melanophilin Is Required for Melanosome Distribution in Melanocytes. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 23:5245-5255.

Lecuit, T., R. Samanta, and E. Wieschaus. 2002. slam Encodes a Developmental Regulator of Polarized Membrane Growth during Cleavage of the *Drosophila* Embryo. *Developmental Cell*. 2:425-436.

Lecuit, T., and A.S. Yap. 2015. E-cadherin junctions as active mechanical integrators in tissue dynamics. *Nature Cell Biology*. 17:533-539.

Lee, M.T., A.R. Bonneau, and A.J. Giraldez. 2014. Zygotic Genome Activation During the Maternal-to-Zygotic Transition. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*. 30:581-613.

Lyakhova, T.A., and J.D. Knight. 2014. The C2 domains of granuphilin are high-affinity sensors for plasma membrane lipids. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*. 182:29-37.

Maître, J.-L., H. Berthoumieux, S.F.G. Krens, G. Salbreux, F. Jülicher, E. Paluch, and C.-P. Heisenberg. 2012. Adhesion Functions in Cell Sorting by Mechanically Coupling the Cortices of Adhering Cells. *Science*. 338:253-256.

Martin, A.C., M. Gelbart, R. Fernandez-Gonzalez, M. Kaschube, and E.F. Wieschaus. 2010. Integration of contractile forces during tissue invagination. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 188:735-749.

McCartney, B.M., D.G. McEwen, E. Grevengoed, P. Maddox, A. Bejsovec, and M. Peifer. 2001. *Drosophila* APC2 and Armadillo participate in tethering mitotic spindles to cortical actin. *Nature Cell Biology*. 3:933-938.

Mizuno, K., T. Fujita, H. Gomi, and T. Izumi. 2016. Granuphilin exclusively mediates functional granule docking to the plasma membrane. *Scientific Reports*. 6:23909.

Pilot, F., J.-M. Philippe, C.L. Lemmers, J.-P. Chauvin, and T. Lecuit. 2006a. Developmental control of nuclear morphogenesis and anchoring by *charleston*, identified in a functional genomic screen of *Drosophila* cellularisation. *Development*. 133:711-723.

Pilot, F., J.M. Philippe, C. Lemmers, and T. Lecuit. 2006b. Spatial control of actin organization at adherens junctions by a synaptotagmin-like protein Btsz. *Nature*. 442:580-584.

Plastino, J., and L. Blanchoin. 2019. Dynamic stability of the actin ecosystem. *Journal of Cell Science*. 132:jcs219832.

Postner, M.A., and E.F. Wieschaus. 1994. The nullo protein is a component of the actin-myosin network that mediates cellularization in *Drosophila* melanogaster embryos. *Journal of Cell Science*. 107:1863-1873.

Riggs, B., W. Rothwell, S. Mische, G.R.X. Hickson, J. Matheson, T.S. Hays, G.W. Gould, and W. Sullivan. 2003. Actin cytoskeleton remodeling during early *Drosophila* furrow formation requires recycling endosomal components Nuclear-fallout and Rab11. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 163:143-154.

Rikhy, R., M. Mavrakis, and J. Lippincott-Schwartz. 2015. Dynamin regulates metaphase furrow formation and plasma membrane compartmentalization in the syncytial *Drosophila* embryo. *Biology Open*. 4:301-311.

Roh-Johnson, M., G. Shemer, C.D. Higgins, J.H. McClellan, A.D. Werts, U.S. Tulu, L. Gao, E. Betzig, D.P. Kiehart, and B. Goldstein. 2012. Triggering a Cell Shape Change by Exploiting Preexisting Actomyosin Contractions. *Science*. 335:1232-1235.

Rothwell, W.F., P. Fogarty, C.M. Field, and W. Sullivan. 1998. Nuclear-fallout, a *Drosophila* protein that cycles from the cytoplasm to the centrosomes, regulates cortical microfilament organization. *Development*. 125:1295-1303.

Schejter, E.D., and E. Wieschaus. 1993. bottleneck acts as a regulator of the microfilament network governing cellularization of the *Drosophila* embryo. *Cell*. 75:373-385.

Serano, J., and G.M. Rubin. 2003. The *Drosophila* synaptotagmin-like protein bitesize is required for growth and has mRNA localization sequences within its open reading frame. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 100:13368-13373.

Shekhar, S. 2017. Microfluidics-Assisted TIRF Imaging to Study Single Actin Filament Dynamics. *Current Protocols in Cell Biology*. 77.

Sherlekar, A., G. Mundhe, P. Richa, B. Dey, S. Sharma, and R. Rikhy. 2020. F-BAR domain protein Syndapin regulates actomyosin dynamics during apical cap remodeling in syncytial *Drosophila* embryos. *J Cell Sci*. 133.

Simpson, L., and E. Wieschaus. 1990. Zygotic activity of the nullo locus is required to
stabilize the actin-myosin network during cellularization in *Drosophila*.
Development. 110:851-863.
Sokac, A.M., N. Biel, and S. De Renzis. 2023. Membrane-actin interactions in
morphogenesis: Lessons learned from *Drosophila* cellularization. *Seminars in
Cell & Developmental Biology*. 133:107-122.
Sokac, A.M., and E. Wieschaus. 2008. Local Actin-Dependent Endocytosis Is
Zygotically Controlled to Initiate *Drosophila* Cellularization. *Developmental Cell*.
14:775-786.
Spracklen, A.J., T.N. Fagan, K.E. Lovander, and T.L. Tootle. 2014. The pros and cons
of common actin labeling tools for visualizing actin dynamics during *Drosophila*
oogenesis. *Developmental Biology*. 393:209-226.
Spracklen, A.J., E.M. Thornton-Kolbe, A.N. Bonner, A. Florea, P.J. Compton, R.
Fernandez-Gonzalez, and M. Peifer. 2019. The Crk adapter protein is essential
for *Drosophila* embryogenesis, where it regulates multiple actin-dependent
morphogenic events. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 30:2399-2421.
Spudich, J.A., and S. Watt. 1971. The Regulation of Rabbit Skeletal Muscle
Contraction: I. BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES OF THE INTERACTION OF THE
TROPOMYOSIN-TROPONIN COMPLEX WITH ACTIN AND THE
PROTEOLYTIC FRAGMENTS OF MYOSIN. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*.
246:4866-4871.
Stevenson, V., A. Hudson, L. Cooley, and W.E. Theurkauf. 2002. Arp2/3-Dependent
Psuedocleavage Furrow Assembly in Syncytial *Drosophila* Embryos. *Current
Biology*. 12:705-711.
Stevenson, V.A., J. Kramer, J. Kuhn, and W.E. Theurkauf. 2001. Centrosomes and the
Scrambled protein coordinate microtubule-independent actin reorganization.
Nature Cell Biology. 3:68-75.
Sullivan, W., P. Fogarty, and W. Theurkauf. 1993. Mutations affecting the cytoskeletal
organization of syncytial *Drosophila* embryos. *Development*. 118:1245-1254.
Tilney, L.G., P.S. Connelly, K.A. Vranich, M.K. Shaw, and G.M. Guild. 2000. Regulation
of Actin Filament Cross-linking and Bundle Shape in *Drosophila* Bristles. *Journal
of Cell Biology*. 148:87-99.
Webb, R.L., M.-N. Zhou, and B.M. McCartney. 2009. A novel role for an APC2-
Diaphanous complex in regulating actin organization in *Drosophila*.
Development. 136:1283-1293.
Wenzl, C., S. Yan, P. Laupsien, and J. Großhans. 2010. Localization of RhoGEF2
during *Drosophila* cellularization is developmentally controlled by slam.
Mechanisms of Development. 127:371-384.
Xie, Y., R. Budhathoki, and J.T. Blankenship. 2021. Combinatorial deployment of F-
actin regulators to build complex 3D actin structures in vivo. *eLife*. 10.
Zallen, J.A., Y. Cohen, A.M. Hudson, L. Cooley, E. Wieschaus, and E.D. Schejter. 2002.
SCAR is a primary regulator of Arp2/3-dependent morphological events in
Drosophila. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 156:689-701.
Zaman, R., A. Lombardo, C. Sauvanet, R. Viswanatha, V. Awad, L.E.-R. Bonomo, D.
McDermitt, and A. Bretscher. 2021. Effector-mediated ERM activation locally

inhibits RhoA activity to shape the apical cell domain. *Journal of Cell Biology*.
220.
Zhang, Y., J.C. Yu, T. Jiang, R. Fernandez-Gonzalez, and T.J.C. Harris. 2018. Collision
of Expanding Actin Caps with Actomyosin Borders for Cortical Bending and
Mitotic Rounding in a Syncytium. *Developmental Cell*. 45:551-564.e554.
Zheng, L., L.A. Sepúlveda, R.C. Lua, O. Lichtarge, I. Golding, and A.M. Sokac. 2013.
The Maternal-to-Zygotic Transition Targets Actin to Promote Robustness during
Morphogenesis. *PLoS Genetics*. 9:e1003901.
872