**Home security cameras as a tool for behavior observations and science equity**

Billie C. Goolsby[1*], Marie-Therese Fischer[1*], Daniela Pareja-Mejia[1,2], Amaris R. Lewis[1], Gaelle
Raboisson[1], Lauren A. O'Connell[1]

1 Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

2 Graduate Program in Zoology, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Bahía, Brazil

* These authors contributed equally to the manuscript and mutually agree that authorship listing
is interchangeable.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Billie C. Goolsby
Department of Biology
Stanford University
371 Jane Stanford Way
Stanford, CA 94305
bgoolsby@stanford.edu

Lauren A. O'Connell, PhD.
Department of Biology
Stanford University
371 Jane Stanford Way
Stanford, CA 94305
loconnel@stanford.edu

**Abstract:**

Reliably capturing transient animal behavior in the field and laboratory remains a
logistical and financial challenge, especially for small ectotherms. Here, we present a camera
system that is affordable, accessible, and suitable to monitor small, cold-blooded animals
historically overlooked by commercial camera traps, such as small amphibians. The system is
weather-resistant, can operate offline or online, and allows collection of time-sensitive

behavioral data in laboratory and field conditions with continuous data storage for up to four
weeks. The lightweight camera can also utilize phone notifications over Wi-Fi so that observers
can be alerted when animals enter a space of interest, enabling sample collection at proper time
periods. We present our findings, both technological and scientific, in an effort to elevate tools
that enable researchers to maximize use of their research budgets. We discuss the relative
affordability of our system for researchers in South America, which is home to the largest
population of ectotherm diversity.

## Introduction:

Accurately quantifying animal behavior is important for understanding how behavioral
adaptations evolve and influence the fitness and survival of a species. However, human
presence can obscure natural behaviors (Sorge et al., 2014; Puścian & Knapska, 2022) and
remote cameras bypass the need for physical presence of the researcher. Remote camera
approaches are used to study population-level patterns (Tape & Gustine, 2014; Jachowski et al.,
2015; Buchholz et al,. 2021; Cserkész et al., 2023) and individual animals to understand
behavior (Caravaggi et al., 2020). Mammals and birds are the most common fauna monitored
with cameras in the wild and only a small range of studies (<2%) have focused on ectotherms,
specifically amphibians and reptiles (Burton et al., 2015; Hobbs and Brehme, 2017; Welbourne
et al., 2017; Barata et al., 2018; Amber et al., 2021). This gap between ectotherms and
endotherms can be explained by both technical and logistical difficulties, where for use in
remote areas, an ideal camera system should be capable of detecting small ectotherm
movement, weather-resistant, and operational in the absence of electricity and phone
connectivity.

Differences in science productivity play against a backdrop of resource disparity.
Economically exploited countries in the Global South hold much of the world's ectotherm
biodiversity, and yet accessibility and affordability of camera traps for local researchers can be
prohibitive. For example, increasing camera weather resistance correlates with higher prices
and many camera traps rely on Global North cellular networks. We here describe an affordable
camera system (Wyze), that uses pixel change, rather than IR detection, to trigger recording.
We benchmark the system against three commonly used camera traps and discuss their
suitability to monitor cold-blooded animals. We present a case study on how this camera system
can be used to quantify social behavior in a small, diurnal poison frog (*Ranitomeya variabilis*)
both in captivity and in the wild. Finally, we suggest efforts to make the system more available
for colleagues in the Global South as an example of how privileged institutions can actively
contribute to making science more accessible.

## Results & Discussion:

65 *Benchmarking remote monitoring systems for small ectotherms*

We compared specifications, performance and availability of three monitoring systems and two home security cameras to evaluate the suitability for lab and field studies of amphibian behavior (**Table 1**). We found that the home security camera Wyze v3 is on par or superior to other professional systems regarding image and video quality, detection methods, field of view and motion detection video length while being 3-18 times less expensive.

The main challenge in remotely recording ectotherms is that many cameras rely on passive infrared sensors (PIR) to detect thermal emissions (heat) of endotherms (mainly mammals and birds) (Savidge & Seibert, 1988; Karanth, 1995; Hobbs & Brehme, 2017). Wyze v3 is the most affordable in- and outdoor camera that uses pixel change, rather than IR detection, to trigger recording, which enables detection of ectotherms. In contrast to other systems we evaluated, Wyze v3 has multiple degrees of night vision capabilities, including color night vision for dawn and dusk hours and for fully dark environments both near-infrared for close distance (940 nm) and far-infrared light range for far distance (850 nm).

An additional feature that is advantageous in the laboratory is the ability to signal to cellular devices to notify the user of a trigger. Many home security devices use the same technical infrastructure for monitoring filmed areas, such as a baby's nursery or the front door to a home. Wyze v3 connects to local networks rather than having 3G or 4G coverage, which is better for accessibility in countries in the Global South. Other considerations for remote recording of ectotherms are the humid wet environments, device weight, and connectivity. Most cameras we evaluated are water resistant and suitable for outdoor placement. Wyze cameras are also relatively lightweight, which is critical for field applications where cameras are carried long distances to record for long periods of time.

Wyze cameras have been used to record behavior in frogs (Gupta et al., 2016), fish (McGaugh et al., 2020), pigs (Netzley et al., 2021), and chimpanzees (Havercamp et al., 2022). The diversity of animals and environments studied with these cameras illustrate their adaptability for many research projects.

**Table 1. Wyze v3 performance for studying ectotherm behavior in comparison to four leading surveillance devices.** We benchmark features and accessibility of Wyze v3 against four most commonly used camera traps (Google Nest Camera, Reconyx Hyperfire 2, Bushnell Nature View HD and Bushnell Trophy Cam HD,). We discuss each feature in the context of studies on amphibian behavior. Abbreviations: LTE: long term evolution (a standard for wireless data transmission), HD: high definition, PIR: passive infrared sensor, IR: infrared, IP65 and IP66: indices for ingress protection (weather resistance, the higher the better), AA: single cell dry battery. Prices retrieved March 2023.

| System Specifications | Consequence on Amphibian Studies | Wyze Camera v3 | Google Nest Camera, Indoor & Wired | Reconyx Hyperfire 2 Cellular Professional Covert IR Camera | Bushnell Nature View HD | Bushnell Trophy Cam HD Brown Model 119676 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ability to notify phone with local network | Quickly alerts researchers to behavior | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Ability to notify phone <u>without</u> local network | Quickly alerts researchers to behavior | No | No | No | No | No |
| Cellular Source | Alerts rely on either local networks or cellular ones | 2.4 GHz local Wifi | 2.4GHz/5GHz) Wi-Fi | 3-4G LTE (ATT & Verizon, some international plans) | None | None |
| Price (USD) | Cost influences number of cameras purchasable | $35.98 | $99.99 | $659.99 | $169.99 | $100 |
| Image Resolution | Equivalent across most cameras | HD 1920 x 1080p | HD (1920 x 1080) | 1080P HD Widescreen | HD 1080P | HD 1080P |
| Video Quality and sound | Equivalent across most cameras | HD 1920 x 1080p | HD (1920 x 1080) | 720P HD Video with Audio (cellular enabled cameras do not transmit video) | HD 1920x1080p | HD 1280x720p |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Detection Method (Day) | Risk of not detecting amphibians with PIR | Pixel change | Pixel change | PIR | PIR | PIR |
| Motion Detection Video length | Variable, depends on research goal | Up to 5 minutes per notification | Up to 3 hours of event history totally | Up to 90 seconds per notification | up to 60s | 5-60s |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Continuous Video length | Remote monitoring of behaviors that may last longer than several minutes. | 24/7 for up to 4 weeks with SD card | 24/7 for up to 10 days with subscription | No continuous recording abilities | No continuous recording abilities | No continuous recording abilities |
| Detection Method (Night and wavelength in nm) | IR wavelength that can detect amphibians | Near-IR (940) and Far-IR (850) | Far-IR (850) | No-Glow™ High Output Covert IR | PIR | PIR |
| Camera weight (g) | Lower weights are more carryable for fieldwork | 98.8 | 393 | ~750 | 246.6 | ~545 |
| IP Score | IP66 best for heavy pressurized water exposure, IP65 handles rain | IP65 | None provided. | IP66 | IP66 | IP66 |
| Operating Temperatures (°C) | Most cameras tolerate most biome temperature ranges | -20 - +40 | 0-+40 | -40 - +60 | -4 - +140 | -20 - +60 |

| Night vision distance (m) | Depends on animal of interest | None provided | 15 | 45 | 18.3 | No data available |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cloud saving abilities | Depends on researcher needs | Yes, with extra fees | Yes, with extra fees | No | No | No |
| Highest amount of memory on camera (Gb) | Higher Gb allows for cameras being left alone longer | 256 | Up to | 512 | 32 | 32 |
| Power source (years last) | USB requires continuous power source, which needs to be resolved before field work | USB | USB | 12 AA (2 yrs) | AA (4-12) Up to one year | AA (8-12) |
| Field of View | Depends on camera's ability to orient and point towards area of interest | 130° | 135° | None provided | 50° | None provided |

*Proof of concept in the lab and field*

As ectotherms constitute more than 99% of species diversity (Wilson, 1992; Ohlberger,
2013), affordable remote camera systems would substantially improve approaches for studying
behavior across a wide range of species. In this case study, we show how Wyze v3 overcomes
challenges of monitoring ectotherm behavior under lab and field conditions by monitoring
parental behavior of *Ranitomeya variabilis* (**Figure 1**). In this species, eggs are laid terrestrially
and then males transport their offspring to a pool of water, where the tadpole completes
development (Brown et al., 2008).

**Figure 1: Camera installations in lab vivaria and in the field**. **(A)** In lab vivaria, velcro was used to fix
cameras above the desired focal subject, allowing for easy removal of the camera to switch SD cards. For
monitoring parent-offspring interactions, the camera body (inlay, white arrow) was adjusted to focus on
either the canisters containing the eggs that were mounted horizontally (inlay B) or the tadpole nursery
(inlay C) (black arrows). The USB cables were connected to an outlet via a USB charging station. Inlay
pictures represent screenshots extracted from the recordings obtained from **(B)** egg attendance, **(C)**
tadpole attendance in captivity and **(D)** tadpole attendance in the field. White scale bars represent 30 mm.
**(E)** In nature, tadpoles grow up in water bodies formed by tightly overlapping leaf bases (black arrow,
inlay (D) of large terrestrial plants called bromeliads. For observations, rubber bands were used to mount
the cameras on bromeliad leaves above natural tadpole nurseries. The cameras (white arrows) were
connected to the USB port of a power bank protected from the rain by two layers of plastic zip lock bags.
Zoomed camera photo courtesy of Wyze Laboratories.

We first used Wyze v3 for recording and quantifying parental care behavior in laboratory vivaria.
We specially tested whether there were sex differences in parental interactions with egg
clutches or tadpoles in two *R. variabilis* families (**Figure 2**). Only the father showed clutch
attendance, where he visited daily, with a maximum of four times in a day, over a period of 10

days. He spent more time with his clutch for the first 3 days after deposition (roughly 10 min) compared to the last 3 days of his clutch's development just before tadpole transport (roughly 5 min). These visits were typically spent touching or sitting on the eggs. In contrast, clutch visits by the female were limited to one brief event without physical contact with the clutch. During tadpole transport, the father transported each tadpole individually (n=3), with tadpole onboarding lasting 36 min on average. We also observed canister site fidelity for raising clutches, where the day after tadpole transport finished, the male resumed courtship and after two days of courting, the next clutch of eggs were laid.

Next, we observed nursery visitations by parents. To distinguish hydration from parenting, we added an empty water pool adjacent to the nursery. We found that both the male and female preferred to visit unoccupied canister pools (Figure 2B; 60% of female visits and 67% of male visits). The number and length of visits to tadpole pools was equivalent in both parents (Figure 2C).

**Figure 2: Comparisons of parental investment across offspring stage and in different environments**. **(A)** Clutch attendance was a male duty (dark green). Dads displayed high time investments on visiting a freshly laid clutch that steadily decreased as eggs matured. **(B)** Tadpole nursery visits by female (light green) and male (dark green) *R. variabilis* in comparison to visits of an adjacent water canister (blue) not housing offspring during the same monitoring period. **(C)** Captive frogs (green) visited tadpoles more often and exhibited more variance in durations of the nursery visits than wild frogs (purple), ranging from several seconds to minutes.

Remote observations of poison frogs in their natural humid and rainy climates require cameras with heat and water tolerance. We used the Wyze v3 cameras to record *R. variabilis* parental behavior in the Amazonian rainforest, where daily rainfall at the field site fluctuated between 0 to 30 mm and temperatures ranged from 20.5°C to 50°C. We monitored five tadpoles in bromeliad leaf axil pools for 10 days. Frogs visited tadpoles with less frequency (5 visits) and duration

(average of 29 seconds) compared to the lab environment (Figure 1A, E). We also recorded transient behaviors that are rarely documented, like *R. variabilis* adult interactions with heterospecific intruders (Supp Video 1), predator encounters (Supp Video 2), and aggressive behavior between tadpoles (Supp Video 3, 0:18).

The Wyze v3 camera allowed us to easily compare parental behavior of *R. variabilis*, a very small frog, in lab and field conditions, and capture transient interspecies interactions occurring in nature. We provide the first evidence that *R. variabilis* surveys water bodies that house tadpoles in lab and field conditions. In the laboratory, we confirmed tadpole transport in *R. variabilis* is performed by male frogs (Summers et al., 2008), and describe how egg attendance behavior is being performed by males. Finally, we confirmed the camera could be used to record nocturnal ectotherm behavior by recording the fringed leaf frog (*Cruziohyla craspedopus*), which successfully triggered our camera (Supp Video 4; 0:48).

*Camera resource equity in scientific research*

Much of the world's ectothermic biodiversity is in the Global South, where research takes place against a backdrop of colonialism and economic exploitation (Green, 2019; Haelewaters et al., 2021; Mahajan et al., 2022). Research is a fundamental activity taking place in almost every higher education institution, but funding inequity between the Global North and South impacts the types of questions that can be asked and how those questions will be answered (Chankseliani, 2023). In contrast to PhD programs in the US and Europe, graduate students in many South American countries pay for their own tuition and research, usually by incurring debt that takes years to pay off (Britton et al., 2019). We purposely searched for an affordable solution to remote monitoring of ectotherms in field conditions, as field equipment and technology for research are not always available in the Global South and if they are, the prices are very high. We also provide here a Spanish translation of the Wyze v3 app and quick guide to counteract the disadvantage incurred by the dominance of the English in science (Ramirez-Castaneda, 2020). Researchers in the Global North have a scientific and ethical responsibility to lead or participate in efforts that make scientific knowledge and tools more accessible.

## Methods

*Captive bred animals*

All *Ranitomeya variabilis* used in the laboratory study were captive bred in our poison frog colony or purchased from Ruffing's Ranitomeya (Tiffon, Ohio, USA). One adult male and one adult female were housed together in a 45.72 x 30.48 x 30.48 cm terraria (Exoterra, Rolf C. Hagen USA, Mansfield, MA) containing sphagnum moss substrate, driftwood, live *Pothos* plants, horizontally mounted film canisters as egg deposition sites, and film canisters filled with water (treated with reverse osmosis R/O Rx, Josh's Frogs, Owosso, MI) for tadpole deposition. Terraria were automatically misted ten times daily for 20 seconds each, and frogs were fed live *Drosophila melanogaster* flies dusted with a vitamin powder and springtails three times per

week. The observation housing was set on a 12:12 light cycle from 6:00 to 18:00. The average
temperature and humidity of the observation was recorded for each day of observation, usually
around 25°C and 95% humidity within the tank. Camera recording of *Ranitomeya* in our captive
breeding colony is approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Stanford
University (protocol #34242).
All *Cruziohyla craspedopus* tree frog juveniles (Fringed leaf frog) used in this study were
captive bred in Wikiri (Wikiri Sapoparque, Quito, Ecuador) and purchased from Indoor
Ecosystems (Whitehouse, Ohio, USA). Individuals were housed within 45.72 x 30.48 x 30.48 cm
terraria (Exoterra, Rolf C. Hagen USA, Mansfield, MA). Terraria were automatically misted ten
times daily for 20 seconds each, and frogs were fed live crickets three times per week. As these
frogs are nocturnal, the observation housing was set on a 12:12 light cycle from 15:00 to 3:00.
The average temperature and humidity of the observation was recorded for each day of
observation, usually around 24°C and 98% humidity within the tank. Camera recording of *C.*
*craspedopus* is approved under Stanford University APLAC protocol #34304.

*Field study site and wild study population*

Cameras were tested for their usability in the field in a tropical primary rainforest in French
Guiana. Testing was conducted in a natural study site situated on top of the mountain 'Inselberg'
in vicinity to the Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique managed research station (4°5'
N, 52°41' W) within the Nature Reserve ´Les Nouragues´. The study site is characterized by
patches of Clusia trees separated by bare granite rocks and exposed to extreme environmental
conditions (e.g. temperature oscillations between 18°C - 75°C)(Sarthou et al., 2009). The study
site is inhabited by a population of *Ranitomeya variabilis* (CITES Appendix II, IUCN
Conservation status: Least Concern). Camera recording of the study population on Inselberg
was approved by the scientific committee of the Nouragues Ecological Research Station and
under Stanford University APLAC protocol #33691.

*Field study species*

*Ranitomeya variabilis* is a small dendrobatid poison frog that uses bromeliads as a resource for
egg-laying and tadpole-rearing. Adult frogs are polygamous and typically lay clutches of 3-4
eggs into small arboreal bromeliads of the species *Catopsis berteroniana* that are abundant on
Clusia trees. Male frogs defend their territory and shuttle hatched tadpoles to individual water
bodies that form in the leaf axils of the large terrestrial tank bromeliad *Aechmea aquilega*. The
cannibalistic tadpoles grow up in individual pools of 80 ml (Poelman et al., 2013) where they
feed on algae and leaf debris until they complete their development after about 3 months
(Poelman and Dicke, 2007). While this species is not considered to engage in further parental
care (Brown et al., 2008), frogs of this population have been documented to deposit fertilized
eggs into bromeliad pools occupied by tadpoles at the start of the dry season (Poelman and
Dicke, 2007). How frogs assess tadpole presence and resource availability to coordinate
shuttling of offspring to unoccupied pools under these extreme environmental conditions is not
known.

*Camera setup*

Detailed instructions on camera setup and usage can be found in the Supplementary materials
on GitHub.

In the laboratory, Wyze v3 cameras were adhered by velcro onto the side of the Exoterra tanks
and suspended above the tadpole canister, with the face of the camera approximately 15.5-17.5
cm above the bottom of the canister. For egg care observations, Wyze v3 cameras are oriented
horizontally, with the face of the camera facing approximately 10 cm from the canister entry site.

Cameras were charged using their prepackaged USB cords and connected via a Nexwell USB
charging station (Amazon, Bellevue, WA, USA) to the building outlets. Cameras were given 128
Gb SD cards for continuous recording. 128 Gb SD cards fill after approximately 10 days, and
are switched by researchers and replaced with an empty SD card.

In the field, Wyze v3 cameras were equipped with a 128 GB SD card and set to the desired
operating mode (motion triggered or continuous) using the WiFi of the field station before being
transported to the field. We recommend setting the phone to the time zone in which the camera
will be used during setup to avoid incorrect timestamps after changing time zone. Cameras were
set to motion detection using the lowest sensibility, sound detection and notifications were
switched off. All SD cards were formatted in the camera prior to use. Cameras were
disconnected from power sources and WiFi during the transport to the study site. We used
Anker PowerCore Fusion 10000 Model A1623 (9700mAh/35.21Wh) power banks for short-term
(1 day) monitoring and Anker PowerCore26800 Model A1277 as well as 2nd Gen Astro E7
ModelA1210 (both 26800mAh/96.48Wh) for longer-term (10 days) surveil of tadpoles in their
natural habitat. For long-term operation, we replaced the power bank every 2 days and
recharged the devices in the camp. Prior to field implementation, we tested the efficiency of
these power banks and found the operating mode of the camera (continuous vs motion-
triggered) did not affect the monitoring time.

Cameras were labeled and secured to bromeliad leaves 20-40 cm above the water reservoir
housing a tadpole (Fig.1 E, black arrow) using commercial rubber bands (1 E, white arrow).
Power banks were wrapped with an absorbent diaper and placed in 2 plastic zip lock bags with
their opening facing into different directions to minimize the amount of rain water seeping in
(Figure 1 E). We purposefully chose inexpensive zip lock plastic bags to cover the power supply
from rain to test the operation of our system on a budget. Alternatively, plastic bags can be
replaced by a variety of outdoor waterproof boxes designed for electrical equipment. We tried to
shelter Power banks from the sun and rain by placing them under bromeliad leaves. To assure
an accurate timestamp, we recorded the screen of our mobile phone showing the accurate time
as a first action with the camera after reconnecting the camera to the power source. We
replaced the SD card every 2 days when changing the power source to back up contained
footage and moved the camera to a different tadpole nursery after 10 days.

*Data Processing*

With continuous recording, every minute of every day is recorded. Videos were first
processed and kept only when frogs entered the camera. The scorer marked the times the frog

entered the focal canister, defining entrance and exit as when the entire frog's body was within the canister. Data processing was performed in RStudio (version 4.2.3, PBC, Boston, MA). Figures were created using the package '*ggplot2*' (Wickham, 2016) and in Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Illustrator 2023).

**Acknowledgements:**

This research was conducted at Stanford University, which is located on the ancestral and unceded land of the Muwekma Ohlone tribe. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Michael Hobbs, who brainstormed with BG in the early stages of her PhD how to best detect our frogs. We thank the Wyze community forum, where members and the company together brainstorm new ideas and troubleshoot current technology. We thank Wikiri and Dr Andrew Gray for sharing the protocol "Notes on the Captive husbandry of Cruziohyla" with us. We thank Madison Lacey and David Ramirez for their care of our domestic poison frog colony. Our field work was conducted in the Nature Reserve 'Les Nouragues' in French Guiana, that was founded on land ancestrally inhabited by the Amerindien Nouragues ('Norak') tribe. We thank the Nouragues research field station (managed by CNRS) which benefits from "Investissement d'Avenir" grants managed by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (AnaEE France ANR-11-INBS-0001; Labex CEBA ANR-10-LABX-25-01). We are extremely grateful to the camp managers and in particular Patrick Chatelet for his valuable technical, logistical and mental support throughout the field season. We thank the ONF Special Unit around Alexandre David for their efforts in combatting illegal gold mining within the Nouragues Reserve and thus contributing to making field work in the area safe.

**Funding:**

This research was funded with grants from National Institutes of Health (DP2HD102042), the Rita Allen Foundation, the McKnight Foundation, Pew Charitable Trusts, and the New York Stem Cell Foundation to LAO. BCG is supported by an HHMI Gilliam Fellowship (GT15685) and a National Institutes of Health Cellular Molecular Biology Training Grant (T32GM007276). MF is supported by an Erwin Schrödinger fellowship from the FWF (J-4526B). AL is supported by a Matthew Pecot Fellowship from the McKnight Foundation. LAO is a New York Stem Cell Foundation – Robertson Investigator.

**Data Availability:**

Videos as well as code for running visualizations can be found in supplementary materials. Detailed instructions for setting up cameras can be found on Github.

**Author Contributions:**

*Conceptualization:* BG, MTF

*Data Curation:* BG, MTF, GR, DPM
*Formal Analysis:* BG
*Investigation:* BG, MTF, GR, AL, DPM
*Visualization:* BG, MTF
*Methodology:* BG, MTF
*Writing - Original Draft:* BG, MTF, DPM
*Writing - Review and Editing:* BG, MTF, DPM, LAO
*Project Administration :* BG, MTF, LAO
*Supervision:* BG, MTF
*Resources:* LAO *Funding*
*Acquisition:* LAO

## References:

Amber, E. D., Lipps Jr, G. J., & Peterman, W. E. (2021). Evaluation of the AHDriFT camera trap
system to survey for small mammals and herpetofauna. *Journal of Fish and Wildlife*
*Management*, *12*(1), 197-207.

Barata, I. M., Griffiths, R. A., & Ferreira, G. B. (2018). Activity pattern and behavior of an
endemic bromeliad frog observed through camera trapping. *Herpetological Review*, *49*(3), 432-
438.

Britton, J., van der Erve, L., & Higgins, T. (2019). Income contingent student loan design:
Lessons from around the world. *Economics of Education Review*, *71*, 65-82.

Buchholz, R., Stamn, J., & Neha, S. A. (2021). Can camera traps be used to measure climate
change induced alterations of the activity patterns of elusive terrestrial vertebrates? *Climate*
*Change Ecology*, *2*, 100020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecochg.2021.100020

Burton, A. C., Neilson, E., Moreira, D., Ladle, A., Steenweg, R., Fisher, J. T., Bayne, E., &
Boutin, S. (2015). REVIEW: Wildlife camera trapping: a review and recommendations for linking
surveys to ecological processes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *52*(3), 675–685.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12432

Caravaggi, A., Burton, A. C., Clark, D. A., Fisher, J. T., Grass, A., Green, S., Hobaiter, C.,
Hofmeester, T. R., Kalan, A. K., Rabaiotti, D., & Rivet, D. (2020). A review of factors to consider
when using camera traps to study animal behavior to inform wildlife ecology and conservation.
*Conservation Science and Practice*, *2*(8). https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.239

Chankseliani, M. Who funds the production of globally visible research in the Global South?.
*Scientometrics* 128, 783–801 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007

Cserkész, T., Kiss, C., & Sramkó, G. (2023). Seasonal and diel activity patterns of small
mammal guilds on the Pannonian Steppe: a step towards a better understanding of the ecology
of the endangered Hungarian birch mouse (Sicista trizona) (Sminthidae, Rodentia). *Mammal*
*Research*, *68*(1), 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13364-022-00656-0

Fouilloux, C. A., Serrano Rojas, S. J., Carvajal-Castro, J. D., Valkonen, J. K., Gaucher, P.,

Fischer, M., Pašukonis, A., & Rojas, B. (2021). Pool choice in a vertical landscape:

Tadpole-rearing site flexibility in phytotelm-breeding frogs. *Ecology and Evolution*, *11*(13), 9021–

9038. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7741

Green, T. (2019). North-South dynamics in academia. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, *31*(3),

280-283.

Gupta, S., Marchetto, P. M., & Bee, M. A. (2020). Customizable Recorder of Animal Kinesis

(CRoAK): A multi-axis instrumented enclosure for measuring animal movements. *HardwareX*, *8*,

e00116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2020.e00116

Haelewaters, D., Hofmann, T. A., & Romero-Olivares, A. L. (2021). Ten simple rules for Global

North researchers to stop perpetuating helicopter research in the Global South. *PLoS*

*Computational Biology*, *17*(8), e1009277.

Havercamp, K., Brindle, M., Sommer, V., & Hirata, S. (2022). Spontaneous nocturnal erections

and masturbation in captive male chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). *Behaviour*, *159*(12), 1177–

1191. https://doi.org/10.1163/1568539X-bja10166

Hobbs, M. T., & Brehme, C. S. (2017). An improved camera trap for amphibians, reptiles, small

mammals, and large invertebrates. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(10), e0185026.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185026

Jachowski, D. S., Katzner, T., Rodrigue, J. L., & Ford, W. M. (2015). Monitoring landscape-level

distribution and migration Phenology of Raptors using a volunteer camera-trap network. *Wildlife*

*Society Bulletin*, *39*(3), 553–563. https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.571

Karanth, K. U. (1995). Estimating tiger *Panthera tigris* populations from camera-trap data using

capture—recapture models. *Biological Conservation*, *71*(3), 333–338.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(94)00057-W

Mahajan, S. L., Ojwang, L., & Ahmadia, G. N. (2022). The good, the bad, and the ugly:

reflections on co-designing science for impact between the Global South and Global North.

*ICES Journal of Marine Science*, fsac115.

McGaugh, S. E., Weaver, S., Gilbertson, E. N., Garrett, B., Rudeen, M. L., Grieb, S., Roberts, J.,

Donny, A., Marchetto, P., & Gluesenkamp, A. G. (2019). Evidence for rapid phenotypic and

behavioural shifts in a recently established cavefish population. *Biological Journal of the*

*Linnean Society*. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blz162

Netzley, A. H., Hunt, R. D., Franco-Arellano, J., Arnold, N., Vazquez, A. I., Munoz, K. A.,

Colbath, A. C., Bush, T. R., & Pelled, G. (2021). Multimodal characterization of Yucatan minipig

behavior and physiology through maturation. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 22688.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-00782-w

Noronha, J. D. C. D., Prado, C., Hero, J. M., Castley, G., & Rodrigues, D. D. J. (2021). Aspects
of the reproductive ecology of Trachycephalus cunauaru (Anura: Hylidae) in the southern
Amazon. *Acta Amazonica*, *51*, 34-41.

Ohlberger, J. (2013). Climate warming and ectotherm body size - from individual physiology to
community ecology. *Functional Ecology*, *27*(4), 991–1001. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-
2435.12098

Pagnucco, K. S., Paszkowski, C. A., & Scrimgeour, G. J. (2011). Using cameras to monitor
tunnel use by long-toed salamanders (*Ambystoma macrodactylum*): an informative, cost-
efficient technique. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, *6*(2), 277-286.

Poelman, E. H., & Dicke, M. (2007). Offering offspring as food to cannibals: oviposition
strategies of Amazonian poison frogs (*Dendrobates ventrimaculatus*). *Evolutionary Ecology*,
*21*(2), 215–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-006-9000-8

Poelman, E. H., van Wijngaarden, R. P. A., & Raaijmakers, C. E. (2013). Amazon poison frogs
(*Ranitomeya amazonica*) use different phytotelm characteristics to determine their suitability for
egg and tadpole deposition. *Evolutionary Ecology*, *27*(4), 661–674.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-013-9633-3

Puścian, A., & Knapska, E. (2022). Blueprints for measuring natural behavior. *IScience*, *25*(7),
104635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104635

R Core Team (2023). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Ramírez-Castañeda, V. (2020). Disadvantages in preparing and publishing scientific papers
caused by the dominance of the English language in science: The case of Colombian
researchers in biological sciences. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(9), e0238372.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238372

Sarthou, C., Kounda-Kiki, C., Vaçulik, A., Mora, P., & Ponge, J.-F. (2009). Successional patterns
on tropical inselbergs: A case study on the Nouragues inselberg (French Guiana). *Flora*
*Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, *204*(5), 396–407.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2008.05.004

Savidge, J. A., & Seibert, T. F. (1988). An Infrared Trigger and Camera to Identify Predators at
Artificial Nests. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *52*(2), 291.
https://doi.org/10.2307/3801236

Sorge, R. E., Martin, L. J., Isbester, K. A., Sotocinal, S. G., Rosen, S., Tuttle, A. H., Wieskopf, J.
S., Acland, E. L., Dokova, A., Kadoura, B., Leger, P., Mapplebeck, J. C. S., McPhail, M.,
Delaney, A., Wigerblad, G., Schumann, A. P., Quinn, T., Frasnelli, J., Svensson, C. I., … Mogil,
J. S. (2014). Olfactory exposure to males, including men, causes stress and related analgesia in
rodents. *Nature Methods*, *11*(6), 629–632. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2935

Summers, K., Brown, J., Morales, V., & Twomey, E. (2008). Phytotelm size in relation to parental
care and mating strategies in two species of Peruvian poison frogs. *Behaviour*, *145*(9), 1139-
1165.

Tape, K. D., & Gustine, D. D. (2014). Capturing Migration Phenology of Terrestrial Wildlife Using
Camera Traps. *BioScience*, *64*(2), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bit018

Trolliet, F., Huynen, M.-C., Vermeulen, C., & Hambuckers, A. (2014). Use of camera traps for
wildlife studies. A review. *Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement*, *18*(3), 446–
454.

Welbourne, D. J., Paull, D. J., Claridge, A. W., & Ford, F. (2017). A frontier in the use of camera
traps: surveying terrestrial squamate assemblages. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and*
*Conservation*, *3*(3), 133–145. https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.57

Wickham H (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York.
ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4, https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

Wilson, E.O., The diversity of life. (1992). Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.