Title: "Metformin Impairs Intestinal Fructose Metabolism"

Authors:

Wenxin Tong[1], Sarah A. Hannou[1,3], Ashot Sargsyan[1], Guo-Fang Zhang[1,2], Paul A.

Grimsrud[1,2], Inna Astapova[1,2,3], and Mark A. Herman[1,2,3]

1 Duke Molecular Physiology Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA;

2 Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Nutrition, Duke University, Durham, North

Carolina, USA;

3 Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism, Baylor College of Medicine,

Houston, Texas, USA

Corresponding Author:

Mark A. Herman; Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism, Baylor College of

Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA

mark.herman@bcm.edu

Abstract

Objective: To investigate the effects of metformin on intestinal carbohydrate metabolism *in vivo*.

Method: Male mice preconditioned with a high-fat, high-sucrose diet were treated orally with metformin or a control solution for two weeks. Fructose metabolism, glucose production from fructose, and production of other fructose-derived metabolites were assessed using stably labeled fructose as a tracer.

Results: Metformin treatment decreased intestinal glucose levels and reduced incorporation of fructose-derived metabolites into glucose. This was associated with decreased intestinal fructose metabolism as indicated by decreased enterocyte F1P levels and diminished labeling of fructose-derived metabolites. Metformin also reduced fructose delivery to the liver. Proteomic analysis revealed that metformin coordinately down-regulated proteins involved carbohydrate metabolism including those involved in fructolysis and glucose production within intestinal tissue.

Conclusion: Metformin reduces intestinal fructose metabolism, and this is associated with broad-based changes in intestinal enzyme and protein levels involved in sugar metabolism indicating that metformin's effects on sugar metabolism are pleiotropic.

Highlights

- Metformin decreases intestinal fructose absorption, metabolism, and fructose delivery to the liver.
- Metformin reduces intestinal glucose production from fructose-derived metabolites.
- Metformin reduces protein levels of multiple metabolic enzymes involved in fructose and glucose metabolism in intestinal tissue.

Introduction

Metformin is a first-line anti-diabetic drug and the most widely prescribed oral anti-hyperglycemic worldwide [1]. Beneficial effects of metformin have also been suggested for people with obesity [2], non-alcohol fatty liver disease [3], Alzheimer's disease [4], and some cancers [5; 6]. Even though metformin has been investigated intensively for decades, the target organ(s) and the underlying mechanism of metformin's beneficial effects remain controversial.

Metformin's anti-diabetic effects are often attributed to its ability to reduce endogenous glucose production [7; 8]. Because the liver is the major site of gluconeogenesis in mammals, most studies have focused on metformin's effects on liver tissue [9]. However, the role of liver in metformin's actions is receiving more scrutiny as therapeutic doses of metformin may not produce sufficient concentrations of metformin in hepatocytes to mediate its observed systemic effects [8; 10]. In contrast with liver, metformin is highly concentrated in intestinal tissue [11; 12]. In intestinal tissue, metformin may achieve concentrations that are 100 to 300-fold higher than circulating plasma levels, and ~ 2-10-fold higher than that in the liver [11; 12]. This suggests that metformin has the potential to have outsized impact on intestinal function to regulate systemic glucose homeostasis [13]. Metformin may regulate glycemia through effects on the intestine via multiple mechanisms. For instance, a recent study showed that metformin's glucose-lowering effect is mediated through activating the PEN2-directed lysosomal AMPK pathway in intestinal tissue and not in liver [14]. Additionally, multiple studies in both humans and preclinical models using a F18- fluorodeoxyglucose (F18-FDG) tracer indicate that metformin enhances glucose uptake in the colon and possibly the small intestine [13; 15; 16]. Recent studies from our group and others demonstrated that fructose is metabolized at high rates in intestinal tissue and contributes to intestinal glucose production [17; 18]. In this study, using fructose to assess enterocyte metabolic function and as a gluconeogenic tracer, we show that short-term treatment of mice with metformin impairs intestinal carbohydrate metabolism and reduces intestinal glucose production adding to the mechanisms by which metformin impacts systemic glucose homeostasis.

Methods

Materials:

Metformin (hydrochloride) was purchased from Cayman Chemical (No. 13118). D-Fructose was purchased from VWR international (VWRV0226). [U-^{13}C]-fructose, and [U-^{13}C]-fructose-6-phosphate (M6-F6P) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Labs (CLM-1553-PK, and CLM-8616 respectively). Norvaline and D-[1,6-^{13}C$_2$]-fructose (M2-fructose) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (53721 and 587613 respectively).

Animals:

All animal experiments were approved by Duke Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. 17-18 weeks old wild-type C57/BL6J (Stock# 000664) male mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and maintained in a pathogen free vivarium at Duke University. All animals were maintained at ~ 22°C on a 12-hour light-dark cycle (6 AM to 6 PM) and adapted for at least one week before any experimental procedure or intervention. Within experiments, mice were divided randomly into either water control or metformin treatment groups. To prepare the metformin oral-gavage solution, 500 mg metformin was dissolved in 20 mL sterile water and aliquoted into 14 vials stored at 4 °C. After pretreatment of all mice with 1 week of high-fat, high-sucrose diet (45% fat, 20% sucrose, Research Diet, D12451i), we randomly distributed mice to two groups and orally gavaged water or metformin solutions at a dose of 10 µL/g body weight. After two weeks of metformin or water administration, an i.p. glucose tolerance test (GTT) was conducted. Two days after the i.p. GTT was performed, mice were sacrificed 30 minutes after fructose gavage (1:1 mixture of unlabeled fructose and [U-^{13}C]-fructose). Unless otherwise noted, all procedures including oral gavage and body weight measurement were performed at 9:30 AM. Euthanasia after fructose gavage was performed at 2 PM, 5 hours after food removal at 9 AM.

Glucose tolerance test:

Animals were fasted for 5 hours starting at 9 AM. At 2PM, animal body weight was measured, and sterile glucose solution was injected intraperitoneally at a dose of 1.5 g/Kg

body weight. Glycemia was measured using a drop of blood drawn from a nicked tail vein

via glucometer (Bayer Contour) at 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes after injection.

Animal euthanasia and sample/tissue harvest:

Animals were euthanized under isoflurane anesthesia 30 minutes after fructose

gavage (1:1 mixture of unlabeled fructose and [U-^{13}C]-fructose at 4g/Kg body weight).

Mice were anesthetized under continuous oxygen following the guidelines recommended

by the Duke Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Once deep anesthesia was

confirmed by a toe pinch, blood samples from the tail vein were harvested. Laparotomy

was subsequently performed, and the portal vein was exposed. Clean scissors were used

to make a small incision in the portal vein, and blood (200 µL) was extracted using a 1

mL syringe from the peritoneal cavity and transferred to an EDTA-coated blood collection

tube (Sarstedt, 16.440.100). The liver and intestine were removed from the abdominal

cavity. Liver tissue was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. The small intestine extending from

0.5 cm distal to the end of the stomach and extending to 0.5 cm proximal to the cecum

was excised, flushed with ice-cold PBS and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS):

Plasma was prepared from collected blood by centrifugation at 2,000 g, 4°C for 15

minutes. Tissue samples were powdered under liquid N_2. 0.2 mM 2-Deoxy-D-glucose

(2DG), 0.2 mM M2-fructose, 0.1 nM M6-F6P or 0.1 mmol norvaline was added to 10 µL

plasma samples or 10 mg powdered tissue samples as an internal standard for the

measurement of glucose, fructose or TCA cycle intermediates respectively. After that,

400 µL MeOH, 400 µL ddH2O, and 400 µL chloroform were added sequentially and briefly

vortexed after each addition. After centrifugation at 12,000 g for 15 minutes, supernatants

were collected and dried under nitrogen.

Relative quantification and enrichment measurements for glucose, glycerate, F1P,

and GA3P: Dried sample residues were derivatized with methoxylamine hydrochloride

and trimethylsilyl (TMS) sequentially. Specifically, 25 µL of methoxylamine hydrochloride

(2% (w/v) in pyridine) was added to the dried residues and incubated for 90 minutes at

40°C before the addition of 35 µL of TMS and incubation for 30 minutes at 60°C. The

samples were then centrifuged for 2 minutes at 12,000 g and the supernatants of
derivatized samples were transferred to GC vials for further analysis.

Absolute quantification of fructose: the dried residues were derivatized with
methoxylamine hydrochloride and propionic anhydride sequentially adapted from a
previously described method [19]. 25 µL of methoxylamine hydrochloride (2% (w/v) in
pyridine) was added to the dried residues and incubated for 60 minutes at 70°C. After
centrifugation for 2 minutes at 12,000 g, 50 µL propionic anhydride was added and
incubated for 30 minutes at 60°C prior to another round of drying under nitrogen. The
dried residues were resuspended with 55 µl pure ethyl acetate and transferred to GC vials
for analysis.

Relative quantification and enrichment measurements of TCA cycle intermediates:
Dried residues were derivatized with methoxylamine hydrochloride and
tertbutyldimetheylchloros (TBDMS) sequentially as previously described [3]. 25 µL of
methoxylamine hydrochloride (2% (w/v) in pyridine) was added to the dried residues and
incubated for 90 minutes at 40°C before the addition of 35 µL of TBDMS and incubation
for 30 minutes at 60°C. The samples were then centrifuged for 2 minutes at 12,000 g and
the supernatants of derivatized samples were transferred to GC vials for further analysis.

GC/MS analysis was conducted using an Agilent 7890B GC system and Agilent
5977A Mass Spectrometer. 1 µL of the derivatized sample was injected into the GC
column. The GC temperature gradient started at 90°C, increased to 260°C at the speed
of 9°C per minute and further increased to 290 °C at the speed of 30°C per minute. Then
the temperature was held at 290°C for 5 minutes with a run time of 24.9 minutes.
Ionization was conducted by EI at 70 eV with Helium flow at 1 mL/min. Temperatures of
the source, the MS quad, the interface, and the inlet were maintained at 230°C, 150°C,
280°C, and 250°C, respectively. Mass spectra were recorded in mass scan mode from
m/z 50 to 700. The levels of metabolites were calculated based on the area of the peak
at its specified retention time. Total ion counts (A.U.) of metabolites were assessed as
the sum of its isotopologues and normalized to their specific standards as detailed above
and below.

Stable Isotope Analysis and Average Carbon-13 Label Incorporation Rates:

Isotopologues containing 0, 1, to n heavy atom(s) in a molecule were referred as

M+0, M+1, to M+n respectively. The retention times of individual metabolites and their

isotopomers are described in Supplementary Table 1. Isotope enrichment and labeling

analysis in this study were corrected for natural isotope distribution as previously

described [20; 21]. Specifically, the stable isotope enrichment of each metabolite in

plasma and tissue samples was corrected based on the natural isotope distribution

measured in the same type of sample treated without labeled molecules. For example,

the natural isotope distribution matrix of individually measured metabolites in the blood

samples were experimentally determined by assaying and averaging the blood samples

from animals who had not received any treatment with isotopically labeled sources.

The average carbon-13 incorporation was calculated according to the equation as

previously described in reference (18). Specifically, n_{M+i} refers to the number of ^{13}C

carbons in the isotopomer (M+i). For example, n = 0 for M+0, n = 1 for M+1, and n = 2 for

M+2, and so on. "A" refers to the corresponding percentage abundance of the isotopomer.

N refers to the total carbon number, which for glucose, N = 6.

$$Average\ C13\ incorporation = \frac{(\sum_{i=0}^{N} n_{M+i} * A)}{N}$$

Quantification of metabolites:

The relative quantification of total glucose, F1P, and GA3P levels were calculated

as the ratio derived from the sum of total ion counts of all isotopologues to their specific

internal standards (2DG for glucose and M6-F6P for F1P and GA3P respectively). The

absolute quantification of M+0 and M+6 fructose isotopologues were calculated as the

ratio of M+0 fructose ion counts or M+6 fructose ion counts to M+2 fructose ion counts

which is derived from the M2-fructose internal standard respectively.

For the quantification of glucose derived from any fructose carbons, we first

calculated the stable isotope enrichment of each isotopologue of glucose after correction

for the natural isotope distribution. Because fructose was administered as an equal

mixture of unlabeled and [U-^{13}C]-labeled fructose, levels of glucose derived from any

fructose carbons were calculated as twice the total glucose ion counts multiplied by the

corrected percentage of the sum of glucose isotopomers containing any ^{13}C. Levels of
glucose with three fructose-derived carbons were calculated as twice the total glucose
ion counts multiplied by the corrected percentage of M+3 glucose isotopomers.
209
Proteomics Sample Preparation:
Samples from mice treated with and without metformin (n=5) underwent large-
scale analysis of relative protein abundance changes as described previously [22]. For
each sample, approximately 15 mg of pulverized mouse intestinal tissue was solubilized
in ice-cold urea Lysis buffer (8 M urea in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 40 mM NaCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$,
1x Roche cOmplete ULTRA EDTA-free protease inhibitor mini tablet, 1x PhosStop
phosphatase inhibitor tablet, 5 mM NaF and 10 mM Na pyrophosphate). Samples were
lysed with a combination of disruption with a TissueLyzer (Qiagen) for 30 sec at 30 Hz,
subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles, and sonication with a probe sonicator. After
centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, protein concentration in the supernatant was
determined by BCA, and a 400 µg aliquot of each was adjusted to 2 mg/mL with Urea
Lysis Buffer. To reduce and alkylate cysteine residues, samples were incubated with 5
mM DTT at 32 °C for 30 min, cooled to RT, and incubated with 15 mM iodoacetamide for
30 min in the dark at RT. Following quenching of unreacted iodoacetamide by the addition
of equimolar DTT (up to 15 mM), samples were enzymatically digested with LysC (100:1
w/w, protein to enzyme) at 32 °C for 4 hr. After dilution to 1.5 M urea with 50 mM Tris (pH
8.0), 5 mM $CaCl_2$, samples were digested with trypsin (50:1 w/w, protein:enzyme)
overnight at 32 °C. Samples were acidified to 0.5% TFA and centrifuged at 10,000 ×g for
10 min at RT to pellet insoluble material. Supernatant containing soluble peptides was
subjected to solid phase extraction (SPE) with a Waters 50 mg tC18 SEP-PAK column to
remove salt, eluting once with 500 µL 25% acetonitrile/0.1% TFA and twice with 500 µL
50% acetonitrile/0.1% TFA. The eluate was frozen and dried by speed vac. Samples were
resuspended in 100 µL of 200 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB), vortexed,
mixed with a different 10-plex Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) reagent (0.8 mg resuspended in
50 µL 100% acetonitrile), shaken for 4 hr at RT, and quenched with 0.8 µL 50%
hydroxylamine (shaking for 15 min at RT). All samples were mixed, frozen, and dried by
speed vac. The mixture was resuspended in 1 mL 0.5% TFA and subjected to SPE again

with a Waters 100 mg tC18 SEP-PAK SPE column as described above and the eluate

was frozen and dried by speed vac. The 4 mg TMT-labeled peptide mixture was

fractionated by high pH reversed-phase (HPRP) chromatography. After each of 12

fractions was lyophilized and resuspended in 1 mL 80% acetonitrile/0.15% TFA, 50 µL

was removed for analysis of unmodified peptides, frozen, and dried by speed vac.

Proteomics data acquisition:

Samples were analyzed by nanoflow liquid chromatography (nLC) on an EASY-nLC 1200

UH-PLC, followed by electrospray ionization (ESI) with an EASY-Spray source, and

tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) with a Q Exactive Plus Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sample fractions were resuspended in 22 µL of 0.1% FA and

were analyzed with triplicate runs, injecting 1 µL for the first and adjusting the volume for

subsequent runs to target an MS1 base peak intensity of 1×10^{10}. For each injection, the

sample was first trapped on an Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 trapping column (3 µg particle

size, 75 µm ×20 mm) with solvent A (0.1% FA) at a variable flow rate dictated by a

maximum pressure of 500 bar, after which the analytical separation was performed over

a 140 min gradient (flow rate of 300 nL/min) of 2% to 40% solvent B (90% ACN, 0.1%

FA) using an Acclaim Pep-Map RSLC C18 analytical column (2 µg particle size, 75µg

×500 mm column (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a column temperature of 55 °C. MS1

(precursor ions) was performed at 70,000 resolution with an AGC target of 3×10^6 ions

and a maximum injection time (IT) of 60 ms. MS2 spectra (product ions) were collected

by data-dependent acquisition of the top 10 most abundant precursor ions with a charge

greater than 1 per MS1 scan, with dynamic exclusion enabled for a window of 30 s.

Precursor ions were filtered with a 0.7 m/z isolation window and fragmented with a

normalized collision energy of 30. MS2 scans were performed at 35,000 resolution, with

an AGC target of 1×10^5 ions and a maximum IT of 60 ms.

Proteomics data analysis:

Raw files were processed with Proteome Discoverer 2.5 (PD2.5) from Thermo Fisher

Scientific. A complete mouse proteome fasta file containing 55,315 reviewed (Swiss-Prot)

and unreviewed (TrEMBL) protein sequences, downloaded from UniProt on 8/15/2022,

was imported into PD2.5. "Treatment" (metformin) versus "Water" (control) were
incorporated as "Study Factors". MS1 precursor mass recalibration was performed after
a pre-search using the Spectrum Files RC node. Subsequent searches with Sequest HT
were conducted at 10 ppm (recalibrated) and 0.02 Da mass tolerances for precursor and
fragment ions, respectively. Modifications considered included oxidation on methionine
(variable + 15.995 Da), carbamidomethylation of cysteine (fixed + 57.021 Da), and
TMT6plex addition to lysine peptide N-termini (fixed + 229.163 Da). Searches allowed for
up to 2 missed K/R cleavages by trypsin (full specificity). Percolator [9] was used to filter
peptide spectral matches (PSMs) to an estimated FDR of less than 1%. The Peptide
Isoform Grouper node was used to collapse PSMs down to unique peptides, while
maintaining FDR < 1% at the peptide level. The Protein FDR Validator node was used to
group peptides into proteins using the rules of strict parsimony and maintain FDR < 1%
at the protein level. The Reporter Ion Quantifier node was used to filter PSMs for
quantitative quality, setting an upper threshold 50% precursor co-isolation and an average
reporter ion S/N> 2.5. For PSMs meeting these criteria, quantitative values were summed
together at the peptide and protein levels (after adjusting for TMT isotope impurities).
Unique peptides and Razor peptides were used for protein quantitation calculations,
excluding peptides with oxidized methionine. Quantitative values were normalized for any
deviations from equal total peptide signal on each TMT "channel". Data were further
analyzed in R. PCA analysis was performed within each conditions, water and metformin
specifically, for outlier detection. One sample in each group appeared to be an extreme
outlier compared to the other samples in the group and were not used in subsequent
analysis. The remaining data were normalized before using DEseq2 for differential
analysis. Data visualization is conducted in R studio using ggplot2.
292
Western Blot:
20 to 30 mg intestinal tissue samples were homogenized in 0.5 mL cell signaling lysis
buffer that contains 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na2EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1%
Triton and further supplemented with protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340) and
phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Pierce, A32957). After centrifugation at 12,000 g for 15
minutes, the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube to measure protein concentration

using the BCA method (ThermoFisher, 23225). Approximately 35 ug of protein was
loaded per lane for SDS-PAGE electrophoresis. After electrophoresis, immunoproteins
were transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were then incubated with primary
antibodies overnight at 4 °C with gentle rocking after blocking with 5% solution of BSA
(Cytivia, SH30574.02) at room temperature for 1 hour. Primary antibodies used in this
work are as follows: anti-AMPK (Cell Signaling, 5831S), anti-AMPK (phospho T172)
antibody (Cell Signaling, 2635S), and anti-P85 (Upstate, 06-496). Blots were incubated
with appropriate secondary antibody after washing with TBST for 3 times.
Chemiluminescence was conducted using the Clarity Western ECL substrate kit (Bio-
Rad, 1705061) and membranes were imaged on ChemiDoc XP (Bio-Rad). Quantification
of blots was conducted using ChemiDoc XP associated Image Lab software v6.0. For
loading normalization, whole lane proteins were quantified using Bio-Rad Stain free
technology.

Statistics:

All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Comparison of metabolite levels between
the metformin group and controls were conducted using a two-tailed student's t-test in
GraphPad Prism. Statistical significance was assumed at p less than 0.05.

Results

Short-term metformin treatment improves glucose tolerance without altering
fasting glycemia

To investigate metformin's role in intestinal metabolism, 18-week-old wildtype
C57BL/6J mice were preconditioned with 1 week of high-fat, high-sucrose diet (45% Fat,
40% Sucrose) and then orally gavaged with metformin at a daily dose of 250 mg/kg of
body weight for two weeks. This dose of metformin in mice is reported to achieve
circulating concentrations of ~ 5 - 10 µM which is comparable to that in humans with Type-
2 Diabetes (T2D) taking 1 - 2 g metformin per day [23].

Metformin treatment did not affect body weight (Figure 1A, metformin: 33.5 ± 2.7
vs water: 34.2 ± 2.1 g). This is similar to observations that short-term metformin treatment
does not significantly impact body weight in human patients [24]. Fasting glycemia

remained similar between the metformin and control groups (Figure 1B). Whereas
metformin had no significant effect on body weight or fasting glycemia, 2 weeks of
metformin enhanced glucose tolerance (Figure 1C and D) with a reduction in glycemia at
the 30-minute time point (metformin: 292.44 ± 92.57 mg/dL vs water: 393.89 ± 87.23
mg/dL, P<0.05) and decreased area under the curve (metformin: 27790 ± 3326 A.U. vs
water: 34600 ± 2676 A.U., P<0.05). Thus, short-term metformin treatment enhanced
glucose tolerance without significant changes in body weight.

Short-term metformin treatment decreases intestinal fructose metabolism and
fructose delivery to the liver

The intestine is capable of robust fructose metabolism and a large portion of
intestinally metabolized fructose is used to produce glucose within intestinal tissue (Figure
2A) [17; 18]. After transport into the cell, fructose is first metabolized to fructose-1-
phosphate (F1P) followed by further metabolism to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP)
and glyceraldehyde (GA). Glyceraldehyde can be converted into glyceraldehyde-3-
phosphate (GA3P) via triokinase or into glycerate via aldehyde dehydrogenase. Glycerate
production tends to increase following a bolus of fructose and saturation of triokinase [25].
Fructose-derived triose phosphates then enter the glycolytic/gluconeogenic triose-
phosphate pool and can be used either for glucose production or can be further
metabolized to pyruvate/lactate and potentially enter the TCA cycle for oxidation. We
used ^{13}C-labeled fructose as a tracer to investigate the effects of metformin on intestinal
fructose and carbohydrate metabolism including glucose production. After a 5 hour fast,
we gavaged animals from both metformin and control groups with a 1:1 mixture of
unlabeled fructose and fructose that was universally labeled with carbon-13 ([U-^{13}C]-
fructose).

The levels of F1P were markedly reduced (from 14.20 ± 3.85 to 6.50 ± 3.54 A.U.,
p<0.01) in the intestinal tissue of animals treated with metformin versus water (Figure
2B). Metformin treatment reduced the enrichment of isotope-labeled three-carbon
metabolites, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (GA3P) and glycerate in intestinal tissue
(Figure 2C and D). These data indicate that fructose catabolism in intestinal tissue is
impaired by metformin treatment. Reductions in intestinal fructose metabolism could lead

to increased hepatic fructose delivery and contribute to fructose-induced metabolic
disease [26]. However, we observed that metformin treatment decreased portal vein M+0
and M+6 fructose levels by ~ 34% (Figure 2E). Reduced intestinal fructose metabolism
in combination with decreased portal fructose levels indicates that metformin impairs
intestinal fructose absorption. Additionally, the reduction in portal fructose following
metformin treatment indicates that metformin may reduce fructose delivery to the liver.
Consistent with this, metformin reduced levels of hepatic F1P (3.14 ± 1.59 to 1.25 ± 0.68
A.U., p<0.05, Figure 2F). Metformin treatment produced similar reductions in hepatic
GA3P levels (0.19 ± 0.10 to 0.08 ± 0.03 A.U., p<0.05, Figure 2G). Altogether, these results
indicate that two weeks of metformin treatment is sufficient to decrease intestinal fructose
metabolism and reduce fructose delivery to the liver.
372
Short-term metformin treatment blunts intestinal glucose production
At euthanasia - 30 minutes after fructose gavage - metformin reduced glucose
levels within intestinal tissue by 47% compared to control (Figure 3A). The reduced
glucose levels within intestinal tissue could be caused either by an increase in intestinal
glucose metabolism as suggested by experiments using FDG-glucose as a tracer [13],
reduced intestinal glucose production, or a combination of the two. Within intestinal tissue,
metformin also reduced the percentage of glucose containing fructose derived labeled
carbons (Figure 3B). At this time point, glycemia in systemic circulation is only reduced
by 13% in metformin treated mice compared to controls (Figure 3C). The labeling of
intestinal glucose with fructose-derived, labeled carbons is ~ 2-fold higher than in
peripheral circulation (Figure 3B and 3D). In combination, these results show that glucose
derived from fructose is being produced locally within the intestinal tissue. Glucose
produced from fructose within enterocytes is generated following metabolism of fructose
to trioses and will therefore predominately contain three fructose-derived carbons (Figure
2A and 3B). Within intestinal tissue, metformin reduced the labeling of glucose compared
to controls (Figure 3B) and reduced the levels of glucose containing any or three fructose-
derived carbons (Figure 3E and F) to a larger extent than glucose without fructose derived
carbons (Figure 3G). The reduction in intestinal glucose containing fructose-derived
carbons accounts for most of the reduction in intestinal glucose levels in the metformin

treated mice (comparing Fig 3A and 3E). Thus, the reduction in intestinal glucose
production from fructose is a major contributor to reduced intestinal glucose levels
following metformin treatment. This is consistent with metformin's effect to reduce
fructose absorption and metabolism as indicated in Figure 2.
396
Short-term metformin treatment decreases fructose incorporation into the TCA
cycle.
We previously demonstrated that fructose gavage rapidly labels the intestinal TCA
cycle pool which is a major contributor to circulating TCA cycle metabolites [27]. We next
investigated whether metformin also affects this process. The enrichment of labeled TCA
cycle intermediates was reduced in intestinal tissue of metformin treated mice (Figure 4A-
C). Metformin treatment produced a similar reduction in portal plasma TCA cycle
metabolite enrichment (Figure 4D-F). These data support our previous finding that the
intestine is a major source of circulating TCA cycle intermediates. Moreover, these results
indicate that labeling of circulating TCA cycle metabolites is responsive to metformin
treatment and measurement of this labeling pattern in blood may be a useful surrogate
index of intestinal metabolic function.
Whereas metformin uniformly reduced TCA cycle enrichment in both intestinal
tissue and portal blood samples, its effect on TCA cycle metabolite levels was mixed.
Metformin reduced citrate levels in both intestinal tissue and portal circulation (Figure 4G
and H). In contrast, metformin produced no changes in either tissue or circulating
succinate and produced an isolated increase in portal malate levels without a change in
malate in intestinal tissue although the variance was high for malate measurements in
tissue. The changes in TCA cycle metabolite levels may suggest that metformin inhibits
malate to citrate conversion in the TCA cycle, potentially via inhibition of malate
dehydrogenase. This would be consistent with metformin's purported effects to inhibit
Complex I activity as malate dehydrogenase donates electrons to Complex I and its
activity is closely coupled to that of the electron transport chain [28-31].
420

Metformin reduces the abundance of intestinal proteins involved in carbohydrate metabolism.

As isotope-tracing metabolomics indicated that metformin reduced intestinal fructose catabolism and glucose production, we next aimed to investigate molecular mechanisms contributing to these changes via proteomics in intestinal tissue. In total, 5328 proteins were quantified and annotated via our discovery proteomics (Supplementary Table 2). Principal component analysis (PCA) using all quantified proteins identified two clusters that separate the treatment groups (Figure 5A). However, the 95% confidence intervals for the metformin and control clusters overlap suggesting that global protein expression levels within the intestine were not markedly different between treatment conditions (Figure 5A).

A total of 1942 proteins were identified as differentially abundant between metformin and control groups using a p-value less than 0.05 (two-tailed student's t-test). After adjusting for repeated measures by the Benjamini and Hochberg method, a total of 219 proteins were identified as differentially abundant with an adjusted P-value less than 0.05 and an absolute $\log_2$ fold-change greater than 0.5 (Figure 5B and Supplementary Table 3). Many of the top-ranked differentially abundant proteins are associated with metabolic process including gluconeogenic enzymes such as Fbp1 and G6pc (Figure 5B). Despite variability in protein abundance levels within groups, unsupervised K-means clustering segregated metformin treated from untreated mice (Figure 5C). Pathway analysis using differentially expressed proteins with an adjusted P-value less than 0.05 suggested that metformin treatment affected multiple metabolic pathways in the intestinal tissue (Supplementary Table 4 and 5). One of the top down-regulated pathways in intestinal tissue from the metformin group is "Glycolysis and Gluconeogenesis (WP157)" (Figure 5D). Other metabolic pathways demonstrating downregulation with metformin treatment include the "Electron Transport Chain (WP295)" and "Oxidative Phosphorylation", pathways potentially complementary to the putative effects of metformin to inhibit mitochondrial function [32; 33] (Figure 5D). In addition, proteins associated with both glycolysis and gluconeogenic pathways, including pyruvate carboxykinase (Pck1), Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase (Fbp1), glucose 6-phosphatase (G6pc1), lactate dehydrogenase (Ldhb), pyruvate dehydrogenase (Pdh1), malate

dehydrogenase (Mdh2), enolase 1 (Eno1), hexokinase (Hk1), pyruvate kinase (Pkm),
bisphosphoglycerate mutase (Bpgm), were markedly down-regulated in the metformin
group compared to controls (Figure 5E). Similarly, hexose transporters capable of
fructose transport including both GLUT5 (Slc2a5) and GLUT2 (Slc2a2), as well as key
fructolytic enzymes ketohexokinase (Khk) and aldolase, fructose-bisphosphate B (Aldob)
were all significantly down-regulated in metformin treated intestinal tissues (Figure 5F).
The reduction in glycolytic, gluconeogenic, and fructolytic transporters and enzymes are
consistent with the results from the metabolomic tracer studies that indicate that
metformin impairs intestinal fructose absorption and catabolism as well as glucose
production.

Activation of AMPK signaling is likely to impact metformin's effects on intestinal
metabolic functions

The proteomics study suggests that mitochondria, and especially the electron
transport chain, are impacted by metformin treatment through coordinate downregulation
of many of its constituent proteins (Figure 5D, Figure 6A, and Supplementary Table 4).
The ability of metformin to impact mitochondrial function is well documented [32-35].
Activation of AMPK is purported to play a central role in metformin's mechanism of action
and activation of AMPK may be mediated via metformin's ability to inhibit mitochondrial
Complex I and via other mechanisms [32; 36; 37]. We examined the abundance levels of
detectable proteins quantified in our proteomics data that are annotated within the "AMPK
signaling pathway (KEGG: mmu04152)" and conducted a PCA analysis using these 50
proteins. As is indicated in Figure 6B, expression of components of the AMPK signaling
pathway in the intestine samples from metformin versus control treated mice tend to
segregate based on the first and second principal components (PC1 and PC2,
Supplementary Table 5). Our intestinal proteomics study only detected the α (Prkaa1,
Prkaa2) and ɣ subunits (Prkag1) of AMPK component (Figure 6C). Among these, the
abundance of Prkaa1 significantly decreased after metformin treatment while Prkaa2
tended to increase (Figure 6C). To further examine the role of AMPK signaling, we
measured the total protein levels of AMPKα and the phosphorylation of AMPKα at the Thr
172 site, a marker of AMPK activation. As expected, metformin treatment increased the

phosphorylation level of AMPKα Thr172 indicating that short-term metformin treatment
activates intestinal AMPK signaling (Figure 6D and E).

Discussion

Metformin is a widely prescribed anti-diabetic drug with uncertain target tissues
and mechanism of action. Identification of metformin's target tissue will facilitate the
understanding of metformin's glucose lowering effects and may promote the development
of additional therapies for metabolic diseases. Previous studies suggested the potential
of the intestine as an important metformin target since metformin concentrates to high
levels in intestinal tissue [11; 12]. Intravenous administration of metformin or reducing
intestinal metformin absorption impairs metformin's effects to lower glucose production
[38; 39]. Few studies have investigated the role of metformin on intestinal function and its
association with systemic glucose homeostasis. Among the studies focusing on the
intestine, the beneficial effects of metformin were suggested to closely associated with its
role on stimulating intestinal secretion of GLP-1 and GDF15 which are known to regulate
systemic glucose homeostasis [23; 33; 40]. To our knowledge, no study has directly
assessed metformin's effects on intestinal metabolic function using stable isotopic
tracers. In this study, we assessed intestinal fructose metabolism after an oral fructose
bolus (1:1 ratio of unlabeled:^{13}C-labeled fructose) to probe intestinal carbohydrate
metabolism after short-term metformin treatment. This methodology is based on recent
findings from our lab and others that fructose is rapidly metabolized in the small intestine
and can be readily used by the intestine to produce glucose [17; 18].

In this study, we observed that 30 minutes after fructose gavage, the majority of
glucose within intestinal tissue contained fructose-derived carbons and half contained
three fructose-derived carbons (Figure 3A, E, and F) in both metformin treated mice and
controls. In systemic circulation, enrichment of glucose with fructose-derived carbon was
~ 50% lower than in intestinal tissue (Figure 3B vs 3D) indicative of dilution of intestinally
derived glucose in systemic circulation. This supports prior work indicating that fructose
is readily used as metabolic substrate for glucose production in the intestine and this
contributes significantly to whole-body glucose production [17; 18].   Our data

demonstrates that short-term metformin treatment reduced intestinal glucose production
from fructose and fructose delivery to the liver (Figure 2 and 3).

Metformin reduced intestinal glucose production, and this is associated with
enhanced glucose tolerance (Figure 1C and D). Importantly, these beneficial effects
occurred prior to significant weight changes (Figure 1A). Whether the effect of metformin
to reduce intestinal glucose production is a major mechanism by which metformin
enhances systemic glucose tolerance remains to be defined.

With the prevalence of high fructose corn syrup in the typical Western diet, the
potential for fructose consumption as a contributor to metabolic disease has attracted
increasing attention [41]. Studies conducted in both human and rodents support the
hypothesis that excessive fructose consumption can contribute to metabolic disorders
including obesity, insulin resistance, and NAFLD [42-44]. Intestinal tissue is a major site
of fructose metabolism and shields the liver from fructose induced metabolic disease [17],
as hepatic fructose metabolism is essential for the development of fructose related
pathologies like increased de novo lipogenesis, steatosis, and insulin resistance [26]. A
prior study suggested that fructose-induced metabolic disease may be attenuated by
metformin treatment in mice although this was attributed to an effect of metformin to alter
the microbiome and protect against deterioration of gut barrier function [45]. Our results
are consistent with a protective effect of metformin against fructose-induced metabolic
disease as we demonstrated that metformin decreased fructose delivery to the liver
(Figure 2E-G). These results might point towards a particularly beneficial effect of
metformin in people with diabetes and metabolic disease that consume excessive
amounts of dietary sugar.

In addition to decreasing fructose delivery to the liver, we also demonstrated that
metformin decreased intestinal fructose metabolism. Specifically, intestinal F1P levels
were nearly halved in the intestinal tissue of metformin treated animals when compared
to controls (Figure 2B). Additionally, significantly lower enrichment of isotope labeling was
detected in the downstream three-carbon phosphates and TCA cycle metabolites (Figure
2C and D, Figure 4 A-C). It is interesting that metformin treatment not only decreased
intestinal fructose metabolism, but also reduced the amount of fructose delivered to the
liver, suggesting the possibility that metformin treatment reduced intestinal fructose

absorption. Indeed, unbiased proteomics indicated that the abundance of luminal fructose
transporter GLUT5 and the basolateral glucose/fructose transporter GLUT2 were both
reduced by metformin treatment (Figure 5F). This finding seems contradictory to a recent
study where metformin increased GLUT2 expression in the colon and to a lesser extent
in the ileum [13]. This discrepancy may be due in part to differences in intestinal segments
analyzed between the two studies. Further investigation will be required to determine the
impact of metformin on distinct intestinal segments.

Gastrointestinal symptoms including bloating and diarrhea are the most prevalent
side effects of metformin treatment and these symptoms are often experienced in the first
few weeks following treatment initiation [46]. It is also well-established that defects in
intestinal fructose metabolism can cause significant GI disturbances [47-50]. It is
interesting to speculate that the ability of metformin to impair fructose absorption may
contribute to its unwanted gastrointestinal effects. Future studies may include
investigation into how metformin alters intestinal epithelial absorptive function and
substrate transport.

Most studies investigating metformin's mechanism of action focus on specific
putative targets include Complex 1, AMPK, mitochondrial glycerophosphate
dehydrogenase, PEN2, and others [14; 36; 45; 51; 52]. Unexpectedly, our proteomics
data conducted on metformin treated intestinal tissue suggests that metformin produces
broad-based reductions in the levels of many metabolic enzymes including key enzymes
in glycolytic, gluconeogenic, and fructolytic pathways. These results are consistent with
our conclusions regarding metformin's multiple metabolic effects derived from isotope
tracing data that fructose metabolism, glucose production, and other aspects of intestinal
carbohydrate metabolism are all significantly impacted by metformin treatment. This novel
finding extends our knowledge of metformin's potential mechanistic effects and points to
novel mechanisms of action.

Broad-based effects of metformin on the levels of components of the mitochondrial
electron transport chain are also indicated by the proteomics data analysis. Metformin is
known to regulate mitochondrial function by inhibiting Complex I of the mitochondrial
electron transport chain [36]. However, the physiological relevance of this mechanism is
debated as this mechanism requires high concentrations of metformin (~ 150 μM) within

the mitochondrial matrix [28]. At the therapeutically relevant doses that we used in this
study, we observed that metformin reduced citrate levels and increased malate levels in
the portal circulation (Figure 4G and H). This suggests inhibition of malate dehydrogenase
and Complex I of the electron transport chain as malate dehydrogenase activity is coupled
to Complex I activity. However, as the proteomics analysis indicated that the expression
levels of many Complex I subunits including multiple Ndufa, Ndufb and Ndufs subunits
were significantly down-regulated by metformin treatment (Figure 6A), we cannot
determine whether metformin's apparent effect to inhibit Complex I activity might be
mediated by direct inhibition or through metformin's more general effect to reduce levels
of Complex I components.

AMPK activation is often invoked as a key mechanism mediating metformin action
[7; 32]. Although, recent studies suggest metformin relies on both AMPK-dependent and
AMPK-independent mechanisms to mediate its beneficial effects [9]. We also observed
that the intestinal AMPK signaling was impacted by metformin treatment (Figure 6C and
D). Metformin increased phosphorylation of AMPK at Thr172 potentially consistent with
increased AMP/ATP ratio (Figure 6E and F). Again, because of the broad-based effects
of metformin on metabolic and mitochondrial protein levels, we cannot readily define the
mechanism by which metformin treatment leads to AMPK activation. Neither could we
determine whether AMPK signaling participates in metformin's effects to reduce intestinal
fructose metabolism and glucose production.

This study supports our recent work indicating that the intestine is a major source
of circulating TCA cycle intermediates [27], and that measurement of fructose-derived
label in portal and possibly peripheral circulating blood TCA cycle metabolites may
provide a useful index of intestinal metabolic function. In the future this approach may be
useful for assessing intestinal metabolic function in large-scale human studies and has
the potential to open new avenues for investigating intestinal metabolic function in a wide
range of scenarios. In this study, we used this approach to demonstrate that the intestine
is a target for therapeutic doses of metformin and short-term metformin treatment impacts
intestinal glucose and fructose metabolism. Moreover, proteomics analysis suggests that
metformin reduces the intestinal levels of a broad array of metabolic enzymes and
transporters involved in sugar metabolism. Additional work will be required to define

mechanisms by which metformin reduces the levels metabolic enzymes and impacts key
metabolic pathways within the intestine to enhance glucose homeostasis.
608
609

Author Contributions:
MAH, WT, SAH, AS and IA designed, performed, and interpreted the mouse
experiments. **GZ, WT, MAH, and SAH** designed, performed, and interpreted
metabolomics analysis. **PAG, MAH, IA, and WT** designed, performed, and interpreted
proteomics analysis. **MAH** conceived of, designed, and supervised the experimental plan,
and interpreted experiments. **WT** and **MAH** wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the
manuscript.
617

Acknowledgements:
This work was supported by NIH grant 5R01 DK121710 (MAH). Metabolomics support
was provided by the North Carolina Diabetes Research Center (NCDRC) (P30
DK124723).

Figure Legends:
Figure 1. Short-term metformin treatment improves glucose tolerance without
reducing body weight and fasting glycemia levels.
(A)   Body weight before and after metformin versus water treatment. (B) Fasting
glycemia levels after 2 weeks of treatment. (C - D) Intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test
after 2 weeks of treatment. Data represent means ± SEM. n=9 per group * $p<0.05$; **
$p<0.01$; *** $p<0.001$. Analysis performed via paired student-t test.
630

Figure 2. Short-term metformin treatment is sufficient to decrease fructose
catabolism in the intestinal tissue and fructose delivery to the liver.
(A)   Diagram showing the fructose catabolism pathways within enterocytes. (B)
Relative quantity of fructose 1-phosphate, and enrichment of (C) glyceraldehyde 3-
phosphate, and (D) glycerate in the intestinal tissue 30 minutes after fructose gavage in
mice treated with water versus metformin for 2 weeks. (E) Absolute fructose levels

measured in the portal blood. (F) Relative quantification of fructose 1-phosphate and (G)

glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate in the liver tissue after fructose gavage. 0.1 nmol M6-

Fructose 6-phosphate and 4 nmol M2-fructose were used as internal standard for the

measurement of fructose 1-phosphate and fructose respectively. Norvaline was used as

the internal standard for the measurement of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, and glycerate.

Data represent means ± SEM. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Analysis performed via

paired student-t test.

Figure 3. Short-term metformin treatment reduces glucose production from

fructose in intestinal tissue.

(A) Relative glucose levels and (B) the enrichment of glucose with labeled fructose tracer

in intestinal tissue. (C) Relative circulating glucose and (D) enrichment of glucose

measured in tail vein plasma. The levels of glucose with (E) any, (F) three, and (G) no

carbons derived from fructose in intestinal tissue. 2 nmol 2-Deoxu-D-glucose (2DG) was

used as internal standard for the measurement of glucose. Data represent means ± SEM.

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Analysis performed via paired student-t test.

Figure 4. Short-term metformin treatment reduces production of intestinal TCA

cycle intermediates from fructose.

The enrichment of (A) succinate, (B) malate, and (C) citrate in intestinal tissue 30 minutes

after fructose gavage in mice treated with water versus metformin for 2 weeks. The

enrichment of (D) succinate, (E) malate, and (F) citrate in the portal plasma after fructose

gavage. Relative quantification of TCA cycle metabolites in the (G) intestinal tissue, and

(H) portal circulation. Norvaline was used as the internal standard for these

measurements. Data represent means ± SEM. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Analysis

performed via paired student-t test.

Figure 5. Proteomics demonstrates down-regulation of proteins involved in

carbohydrate metabolism in intestinal tissue.

(A)　Principal component analysis of quantitative proteomics data generated from the

intestinal tissue samples of mice treated with water versus metformin. (B) Volcano plot

and (C) K-means clustering heatmap of differentially abundant proteins in the intestinal
tissue samples from water versus metformin groups. (D) Pathway analysis using proteins
that are significantly down-regulated in the intestinal tissue samples of metformin versus
water group. (E) Significantly altered protein levels in the gluconeogenesis pathway as
well as key hexose transporters and fructolytic enzymes. Analysis performed in R using
data generated from Proteome Discoverer.

Figure 6. Short-term metformin treatment impacts mitochondrial components and
activates AMPK signaling.
(A) Metformin reduces protein levels of components of the electron transport chain in
intestinal tissue. (B) Principal component analysis using proteins annotated in the AMPK
signaling pathway (KEGG: mmu04152). (C-D) Intestinal protein abundance in AMPK
signaling pathway in water versus metformin group. (E-F) Western blot and quantification
of the expression levels of AMPKα and phospho-AMPKα T172. Analysis within panel A
and B was performed with R using data generated from Proteome Discoverer. Data in
panel F represent as means ± SEM and paired student-t test was used for statistical
analysis. * $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$; *** $p<0.001$.

Reference

Reference

[1]   Sanchez-Rangel, E., Inzucchi, S.E., 2017. Metformin: clinical use in type 2 diabetes.
Diabetologia 60(9):1586-1593.
[2]   Yerevanian, A., Soukas, A.A., 2019. Metformin: Mechanisms in Human Obesity and
Weight Loss. Curr Obes Rep 8(2):156-164.
[3]   Lin, H.Z., Yang, S.Q., Chuckaree, C., Kuhajda, F., Ronnet, G., Diehl, A.M., 2000.
Metformin reverses fatty liver disease in obese, leptin-deficient mice. Nat Med 6(9):998-1003.
[4]   Farr, S.A., Roesler, E., Niehoff, M.L., Roby, D.A., McKee, A., Morley, J.E., 2019.
Metformin Improves Learning and Memory in the SAMP8 Mouse Model of Alzheimer's
Disease. J Alzheimers Dis 68(4):1699-1710.
[5]   Cerezo, M., Tichet, M., Abbe, P., Ohanna, M., Lehraiki, A., Rouaud, F., et al., 2013.
Metformin blocks melanoma invasion and metastasis development in AMPK/p53-dependent
manner. Mol Cancer Ther 12(8):1605-1615.
[6]   Linnard-Palmer, L., 2012. The use of simulation for pediatric oncology nursing safety
principles: ensuring competent practice through the use of a mnemonic, chemotherapy road
maps and case-based learning. J Pediatr Nurs 27(3):283-286.
[7]   Hundal, R.S., Krssak, M., Dufour, S., Laurent, D., Lebon, V., Chandramouli, V., et al.,
2000. Mechanism by which metformin reduces glucose production in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes
49(12):2063-2069.
[8]   LaMoia, T.E., Shulman, G.I., 2021. Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Metformin
Action. Endocr Rev 42(1):77-96.
[9]   Rena, G., Hardie, D.G., Pearson, E.R., 2017. The mechanisms of action of metformin.
Diabetologia 60(9):1577-1585.
[10]   Foretz, M., Guigas, B., Bertrand, L., Pollak, M., Viollet, B., 2014. Metformin: from
mechanisms of action to therapies. Cell Metab 20(6):953-966.
[11]   Tanja, J.J., Langlass, T.M., 1995. Metformin: a biguanide. Diabetes Educ 21(6):509-510,
513-504.
[12]   Zhang, Q., Hu, N., 2020. Effects of Metformin on the Gut Microbiota in Obesity and
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 13:5003-5014.
[13]   Tobar, N., Rocha, G.Z., Santos, A., Guadagnini, D., Assalin, H.B., Camargo, J.A., et al.,
2023. Metformin acts in the gut and induces gut-liver crosstalk. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
120(4):e2211933120.
[14]   Ma, T., Tian, X., Zhang, B., Li, M., Wang, Y., Yang, C., et al., 2022. Low-dose metformin
targets the lysosomal AMPK pathway through PEN2. Nature 603(7899):159-165.
[15]   Yılmaz, S., Ozhan, M., Sager, S., Yörük Atik, D., Halac, M., Sönmezoğlu, K., 2011.
Metformin-Induced Intense Bowel Uptake Observed on Restaging FDG PET/CT Study in a
Patient with Gastric Lymphoma. Mol Imaging Radionucl Ther 20(3):114-116.
[16]   Massollo, M., Marini, C., Brignone, M., Emionite, L., Salani, B., Riondato, M., et al., 2013.
Metformin temporal and localized effects on gut glucose metabolism assessed using 18F-FDG
PET in mice. J Nucl Med 54(2):259-266.
[17]   Jang, C., Hui, S., Lu, W., Cowan, A.J., Morscher, R.J., Lee, G., et al., 2018. The Small
Intestine Converts Dietary Fructose into Glucose and Organic Acids. Cell Metab 27(2):351-
361.e353.

[18]   Bismut, H., Hers, H.G., Van Schaftingen, E., 1993. Conversion of fructose to glucose in
the rabbit small intestine. A reappraisal of the direct pathway. Eur J Biochem 213(2):721-726.
[19]   Bu, P., Chen, K.Y., Xiang, K., Johnson, C., Crown, S.B., Rakhilin, N., et al., 2018. Aldolase
B-Mediated Fructose Metabolism Drives Metabolic Reprogramming of Colon Cancer Liver
Metastasis. Cell Metab 27(6):1249-1262.e1244.
[20]   Fernandez, C.A., Des Rosiers, C., Previs, S.F., David, F., Brunengraber, H., 1996.
Correction of 13C mass isotopomer distributions for natural stable isotope abundance. J Mass
Spectrom 31(3):255-262.
[21]   Zhang, G.F., Jensen, M.V., Gray, S.M., El, K., Wang, Y., Lu, D., et al., 2020. Reductive
TCA cycle metabolism fuels glutamine- and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. Cell Metab.
[22]   Lyons, S.P., Wilson, R.J., Muoio, D.M., Grimsrud, P.A., 2022. Proteomics and
phosphoproteomics datasets of a muscle-specific STIM1 loss-of-function mouse model. Data
Brief 42:108051.
[23]   Coll, A.P., Chen, M., Taskar, P., Rimmington, D., Patel, S., Tadross, J.A., et al., 2020.
GDF15 mediates the effects of metformin on body weight and energy balance. Nature
578(7795):444-448.
[24]   Jensterle Sever, M., Kocjan, T., Pfeifer, M., Kravos, N.A., Janez, A., 2014. Short-term
combined treatment with liraglutide and metformin leads to significant weight loss in obese
women with polycystic ovary syndrome and previous poor response to metformin. Eur J
Endocrinol 170(3):451-459.
[25]   Liu, L., Li, T., Liao, Y., Wang, Y., Gao, Y., Hu, H., et al., 2020. Triose Kinase Controls the
Lipogenic Potential of Fructose and Dietary Tolerance. Cell Metab 32(4):605-618.e607.
[26]   Andres-Hernando, A., Orlicky, D.J., Kuwabara, M., Ishimoto, T., Nakagawa, T., Johnson,
R.J., et al., 2020. Deletion of Fructokinase in the Liver or in the Intestine Reveals Differential
Effects on Sugar-Induced Metabolic Dysfunction. Cell Metab 32(1):117-127.e113.
[27]   Tong, W., Hannou, S.A., Wang, Y., Astapova, I., Sargsyan, A., Monn, R., et al., 2022. The
intestine is a major contributor to circulating succinate in mice. Faseb j 36(10):e22546.
[28]   Owen, M.R., Doran, E., Halestrap, A.P., 2000. Evidence that metformin exerts its anti-
diabetic effects through inhibition of complex 1 of the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Biochem
J 348 Pt 3(Pt 3):607-614.
[29]   Lee, P., Leong, W., Tan, T., Lim, M., Han, W., Radda, G.K., 2013. In vivo hyperpolarized
carbon-13 magnetic resonance spectroscopy reveals increased pyruvate carboxylase flux in an
insulin-resistant mouse model. Hepatology 57(2):515-524.
[30]   Wang, Q., Yu, L., Yu, C.A., 2010. Cross-talk between mitochondrial malate
dehydrogenase and the cytochrome bc1 complex. J Biol Chem 285(14):10408-10414.
[31]   Ovádi, J., Huang, Y., Spivey, H.O., 1994. Binding of malate dehydrogenase and NADH
channelling to complex I. J Mol Recognit 7(4):265-272.
[32]   Wang, Y., An, H., Liu, T., Qin, C., Sesaki, H., Guo, S., et al., 2019. Metformin Improves
Mitochondrial Respiratory Activity through Activation of AMPK. Cell Rep 29(6):1511-
1523.e1515.
[33]   Yang, M., Darwish, T., Larraufie, P., Rimmington, D., Cimino, I., Goldspink, D.A., et al.,
2021. Inhibition of mitochondrial function by metformin increases glucose uptake, glycolysis
and GDF-15 release from intestinal cells. Sci Rep 11(1):2529.

[34]   Vial, G., Detaille, D., Guigas, B., 2019. Role of Mitochondria in the Mechanism(s) of
Action of Metformin. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 10:294.
[35]   Soberanes, S., Misharin, A.V., Jairaman, A., Morales-Nebreda, L., McQuattie-Pimentel,
A.C., Cho, T., et al., 2019. Metformin Targets Mitochondrial Electron Transport to Reduce Air-
Pollution-Induced Thrombosis. Cell Metab 29(2):335-347.e335.
[36]   Wheaton, W.W., Weinberg, S.E., Hamanaka, R.B., Soberanes, S., Sullivan, L.B., Anso, E.,
et al., 2014. Metformin inhibits mitochondrial complex I of cancer cells to reduce tumorigenesis.
Elife 3:e02242.
[37]   Ling, S., Shan, Q., Liu, P., Feng, T., Zhang, X., Xiang, P., et al., 2017. Metformin
ameliorates arsenic trioxide hepatotoxicity via inhibiting mitochondrial complex I. Cell Death
Dis 8(11):e3159.
[38]   Buse, J.B., DeFronzo, R.A., Rosenstock, J., Kim, T., Burns, C., Skare, S., et al., 2016. The
Primary Glucose-Lowering Effect of Metformin Resides in the Gut, Not the Circulation: Results
From Short-term Pharmacokinetic and 12-Week Dose-Ranging Studies. Diabetes Care 39(2):198-
205.
[39]   Sum, C.F., Webster, J.M., Johnson, A.B., Catalano, C., Cooper, B.G., Taylor, R., 1992. The
effect of intravenous metformin on glucose metabolism during hyperglycaemia in type 2
diabetes. Diabet Med 9(1):61-65.
[40]   Gontier, E., Fourme, E., Wartski, M., Blondet, C., Bonardel, G., Le Stanc, E., et al., 2008.
High and typical 18F-FDG bowel uptake in patients treated with metformin. Eur J Nucl Med
Mol Imaging 35(1):95-99.
[41]   Herman, M.A., Birnbaum, M.J., 2021. Molecular aspects of fructose metabolism and
metabolic disease. Cell Metab 33(12):2329-2354.
[42]   Khan, T.A., Sievenpiper, J.L., 2016. Controversies about sugars: results from systematic
reviews and meta-analyses on obesity, cardiometabolic disease and diabetes. Eur J Nutr
55(Suppl 2):25-43.
[43]   Ma, J., McKeown, N.M., Hwang, S.J., Hoffmann, U., Jacques, P.F., Fox, C.S., 2016. Sugar-
Sweetened Beverage Consumption Is Associated With Change of Visceral Adipose Tissue Over
6 Years of Follow-Up. Circulation 133(4):370-377.
[44]   Toop, C.R., Gentili, S., 2016. Fructose Beverage Consumption Induces a Metabolic
Syndrome Phenotype in the Rat: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients 8(9).
[45]   Spruss, A., Kanuri, G., Stahl, C., Bischoff, S.C., Bergheim, I., 2012. Metformin protects
against the development of fructose-induced steatosis in mice: role of the intestinal barrier
function. Lab Invest 92(7):1020-1032.
[46]   Subramaniam, K., Joseph, M.P., Babu, L.A., 2021. A Common Drug Causing a Common
Side Effect at an Uncommon Time: Metformin-Induced Chronic Diarrhea and Weight Loss
After Years of Treatment. Clin Diabetes 39(2):237-240.
[47]   Choi, Y.K., Johlin, F.C., Jr., Summers, R.W., Jackson, M., Rao, S.S., 2003. Fructose
intolerance: an under-recognized problem. Am J Gastroenterol 98(6):1348-1353.
[48]   Barone, S., Fussell, S.L., Singh, A.K., Lucas, F., Xu, J., Kim, C., et al., 2009. Slc2a5 (Glut5)
is essential for the absorption of fructose in the intestine and generation of fructose-induced
hypertension. J Biol Chem 284(8):5056-5066.

[49]   Patel, C., Douard, V., Yu, S., Gao, N., Ferraris, R.P., 2015. Transport, metabolism, and
endosomal trafficking-dependent regulation of intestinal fructose absorption. Faseb j 29(9):4046-
4058.
[50]   Kim, M., Astapova, II, Flier, S.N., Hannou, S.A., Doridot, L., Sargsyan, A., et al., 2017.
Intestinal, but not hepatic, ChREBP is required for fructose tolerance. JCI Insight 2(24).
[51]   Cao, J., Meng, S., Chang, E., Beckwith-Fickas, K., Xiong, L., Cole, R.N., et al., 2014. Low
concentrations of metformin suppress glucose production in hepatocytes through AMP-
activated protein kinase (AMPK). J Biol Chem 289(30):20435-20446.
[52]   Thakur, S., Daley, B., Gaskins, K., Vasko, V.V., Boufraqech, M., Patel, D., et al., 2018.
Metformin Targets Mitochondrial Glycerophosphate Dehydrogenase to Control Rate of
Oxidative Phosphorylation and Growth of Thyroid Cancer In Vitro and In Vivo. Clin Cancer
Res 24(16):4030-4043.
826

Figure1

Figure 2

A

Enterocytes

B

Intestine

F1P (A.U.)

□ water
□ metformin

**

F1P

C

GA3P labeling

Enrichment (%)

**

■ M+3
■ M+2
■ M+1
■ M+0

Water   Metformin

D

Glycerate labeling

Enrichment (%)

*

■ M+3
■ M+2
■ M+1
■ M+0

Water   Metformin

E

Portal fructose

Fructose (uM)

*   *

□ water
□ metformin

M+0 Fructose   M+6 Fructose

F

Liver

F1P (A.U.)

*

□ water
□ metformin

F1P

G

Liver

GA3P (A.U.)

*

□ water
□ metformin

GA3P

Figure 3

A Glucose levels in intestine

B Glucose labeling in intestine

C Systemic glucose

D Systemic glucose labeling

E Intestinal glucose with any fructose-derived carbons

F Intestinal glucose with three fructose-derived carbons

G Intestinal glucose with no fructose-derived carbons

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

B

C

D

E