Chronic exposure of mosquito larvae to pesticide residues endangers a new generation of

agrochemicals repurposed for malaria prevention

Marilene M. Ambadiang[1, 2], Caroline Fouet[3], Fred A. Ashu[1, 2], Véronique Penlap-Beng[2], and

Colince Kamdem[3]*

[1]Centre for Research in Infectious Diseases (CRID), P.O. Box 13591, Yaoundé, 9 Cameroon

[2]Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Yaoundé 1, P.O. Box 11 812,

Yaoundé, Cameroon

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W. University

Ave. El Paso, TX 79968

* Correspondence: gckamdemdjo@utep.edu

Abstract

Agrochemicals have been successfully repurposed to control mosquitoes worldwide, but

pesticides used in agriculture challenge their effectiveness by contaminating surface waters

and driving insecticide resistance in larval populations. Here we implemented a new

experimental approach to predict the efficacy of agricultural pesticides newly repurposed for

malaria vector control. We mimicked insecticide resistance selection as it occurs in

contaminated aquatic habitats by rearing field-collected mosquito larvae in water containing a

dose of insecticide at which 100% of individuals from a susceptible strain died within 24 h.

We then simultaneously monitored short-term lethal toxicity within 24 h and sublethal effects

for 7 days. We found that due to chronic exposure to agricultural pesticides some mosquito

populations are currently predisposed to rapidly adapt to neonicotinoids if they were used in

vector control. Larvae collected from rural and agricultural areas where neonicotinoid

formulations are intensively used for insect pest management were able to survive, grow,

pupate and emerge in lethal doses of acetamiprid, imidacloprid and clothianidin. These results

emphasize the importance of addressing prior exposure of larvae to formulations used in

agriculture before applying agrochemicals against malaria vectors.

Keywords: pesticide, neonicotinoids, *Anopheles*, mosquito, urbanization.

1. Introduction

Vector control for malaria prevention relies heavily on repurposing agrochemicals, which

provides a rapid and cost-effective approach to identify new active ingredients [1,2]. In order

to effectively control *Anopheles* mosquitoes that have become resistant to several classes of

insecticides, dozens of agrochemicals have recently been tested against adult populations

leading to the identification of some promising candidates [1,3–6]. However, concomitant use

of the same chemicals in agricultural pest management can provide sufficient selection

pressure to engineer resistant mosquito populations well before any application of the

agrochemical in public health [7–9]. For example, prior to the scale up of pyrethroid-

impregnated bed nets across the continent, resistance driven by crop protection spraying was

already reported in West Africa [10]. There is a concern that history may repeat itself with the

new generation of agrochemicals that are being adopted for malaria vector control [11].

Lax regulations allow the use of hundreds of pesticide formulations for agricultural pest

management in some African countries [12–16]. A large part of this application occurs in

small farms held by non-professional operators who are not trained and not supervised for

safe and effective use of pesticides. As a result, too frequent and untargeted application

provides suitable conditions for chronic exposure of mosquito larvae to pesticide residues. In

tropical regions, rain and human activities create ponds in farmlands, which become ideal

breeding sites of the most dangerous malaria mosquito species such as *Anopheles gambiae*

and *An. coluzzii* in agricultural areas [17–19]. When these breeding sites are contaminated

with pesticide residues, they turn into culture media where chronic exposure contributes to

selecting larval populations with increased adaptation to synthetic chemicals [9]. One of the

consequences of this unwanted experiment is the emergence of resistance to a number of

active ingredients used in agriculture, which in turn undermines their suitability for public

health application.

Neonicotinoids are among the most widely used pesticides in agriculture worldwide [20,21].

They are highly water-soluble and environmentally persistent, and thus may leach into surface

waters and become a risk for aquatic insects [22–24]. Two new formulations of clothianidin, a

neonicotinoid, were recently approved for indoor residual spraying, but have yet to be applied

in endemic countries [11,25–27]. Additionally, clothianidin is normally not a registered

neonicotinoids for crop protection in most African countries [13,14]. So far, agricultural

spraying of other registered compounds such as acetamiprid, imidacloprid, thiamethoxam and

thiacloprid remain the main source of selection pressure that could drive resistance to

clothianidin in *Anopheles* mosquitoes. This hypothesis has yet to be supported by empirical

evidence, but observational studies have described suboptimal levels of neonicotinoid

susceptibility in adults of at least two *Anopheles* species, populations from agricultural areas

being the most tolerant [28–30]. Importantly, neonicotinoids used for crop protection were

also suspected to confer cross-resistance to the new insecticide clothianidin, raising concerns

about the efficacy the new generation of agrochemicals repurposed for malaria prevention

[29–31].

Large African cities are the venue of dynamic coevolution between humans and disease

vectors, which has sometimes reveled textbook examples of rapid adaptation of mosquitoes to

synthetic chemicals [32–34]. In Yaoundé the capital of Cameroon, agricultural activities

associated with intensive use of pesticides are more common in suburban and rural settings in

the outskirts of the city. The center of the city provides an island where surface waters are less

exposed to pesticide contamination and aquatic species are therefore less likely to develop

resistance. In the neighboring rural areas by contrast, mixtures containing neonicotinoids such

as acetamiprid, imidacloprid, and thiamethoxam, as well as pyrethroids and fungicides are

frequently sprayed on diverse crops, creating ideal conditions for contamination of surface

waters and resistance selection [13,15]. Indeed, a survey of susceptibility in adult mosquitoes

using standard testing procedures confirmed this prediction. *An. coluzzii*, the only species

present in urbanized areas of Yaoundé remains susceptible to neonicotinoids while its sibling

species *An. gambiae*, which occurs in the countryside, has developed resistance [29–31]. This

geographic area thus provides a unique setting to dissect the environmental drivers of

neonicotinoid resistance in *Anopheles* mosquitoes.

It has been known for nearly a half century that exposure to agricultural pesticides can lead to

the spread of resistance to public health insecticides in *Anopheles* mosquitoes [7,8]. However,

we still lack a holistic approach that could enable us to simultaneously decipher the lethal

(mortality) and sub-lethal effects (survival, growth, emergence, reproduction, swimming

behavior, mobility etc.) which contribute to resistance selection in agricultural settings. To fill

this gap, we implemented a bioassay protocol where we mimicked pesticide exposure in

agricultural settings by rearing filed-collected *Anopheles* larvae in water containing lethal

doses of agricultural neonicotinoids. Using larval populations collected from urban and rural

areas of Yaoundé, we compared mortality in 24 h as well as some life table parameters in

order to infer the role of exposure to neonicotinoid contaminants in resistance selection. Our

findings indicated that resistance selection in *An. gambiae* due to chronic exposure to

neonicotinoids in rural and agricultural settings translates into low mortality but also high

survival, growth, pupation and emergence in lethal concentrations of pesticides. The present

study highlights concerns regarding the efficacy of some agrochemicals repurposed for

malaria vector control, especially active ingredients that are concomitantly used in

agricultural pest management.

2. Material and methods

(a) Study sites

The study was carried out in Yaoundé, the capital of Cameroon, and some of its neighboring

rural areas. Approval to conduct a study in the Center region (N°: 1-

140/L/MINSANTE/SG/RDPH-Ce), ethical clearance (N°: 1-141/CRERSH/2020) and

research permit (N°: 000133/MINRESI/B00/C00/C10/C13) were granted by the ministry of

public health and the ministry of scientific research and innovation of Cameroon. We

surveyed four sites which included a farming area located in the suburbs (Nkolondom,

3°56'43" N, 11°3'01" E), two densely urbanized neighborhoods (Etoa Meki, 3°52'53" N,

11°31'40" E and Combattant, 3°52'53" N, 11°31'40" E) and another suburban site

(Nkolnkoumou, 3°52'29" N, 11°23'2" E) (Figure 1). The average distance between sites was

4-5 km. The most abundant malaria vector populations in this area belong to the species

complex *An. gambiae sensu lato (s.l.)* [19,33,35]. Previous surveys have revealed that the

nominal species *An. gambiae sensu stricto* (hereafter *An. gambiae*) is the only member of the

complex present in the agricultural site Nkolondom while its sibling species *An. coluzzii*

prefers the most urbanized areas of Yaoundé [33,36,37]. Larvae from the semi-rural

neighborhood, Nkolnkoumou, are a mixture of ~ 80% *An. gambiae* and 20% *An. coluzzii* [29–

31]. In rural and urban settings, larvae thrive in rain-dependent puddles, which may contain

high concentrations of organic pollutants in some densely urbanized areas of the city [19]. In

the agricultural site Nkolondom, *An. gambiae* larvae occur in waters that accumulate between

furrows and ridges in farms due to rain and/or irrigation and are likely contaminated with

pesticide residues (Figure 1).

(b) Larval collection and insecticides

Typical *Anopheles gambiae s.l.* breeding sites were inspected during rainy seasons in 2022 to

collect larvae using the standard dipping method [38]. Larvae were transported in plastic

containers to the insectary where they were immediately tested in a controlled room (27°C,

80% relative humidity, light:dark = 12:12 h).

Three neonicotinoids were tested in this study: in addition to acetamiprid and imidacloprid

that are used in local farming activities, we also tested clothianidin, which remains an exotic

insecticide in Cameroon. Deltamethrin, a first-generation malaria-control insecticide, was

used to compare the efficacy of clothianidin. The following commercial formulations were

tested: acetamiprid (Aceplant 40EC, 40g/L, emulsifiable concentrate, JACO, Yaoundé,

Cameroon), imidacloprid (Plantima 30SC, 30g/L, concentrated suspension, JACO, Yaoundé,

Cameroon), clothianidin (Pestanal^{TM}, analytical standard, Sigma-Aldrich) and deltamethrin

(Decis 25EC, 25 g/L, emulsifiable concentrate, Bayer Cropscience SL, Valencia, Spain).

Stock solutions were prepared by diluting the formulation in absolute ethanol or distilled

water.

(c) Larval bioassays

In order to assess the lethal and sublethal effects of each insecticide, we reared larvae

collected from the field in water containing a dose of the insecticide that was lethal to larvae

of a susceptible strain and we measured mortality as well as some life history parameters. The

mosquito life cycle comprises four larval stages that precede pupation and emergence of a

male or female adult [38]. The four stages include the 1st (L1), 2nd (L2), 3rd (L3) and 4th (L4)

instars and can last between one and two weeks depending on the mosquito species, the

feeding regime and the environmental conditions. We monitored mortality and life table

parameters starting from 3rd instars until emergence. 3rd instar larvae of *Anopheles* are

abundant in the wild, are easy to identify morphologically and typically complete

transformation into L4, pupation and emergence in approximately 7 days.

The doses tested for each insecticide were determined as follows: we exposed 3rd instar larvae

of the susceptible strain *An. gambiae* Kisumu to increasing concentrations of the insecticide,

starting from 0.001 mg/L and we selected the lowest dose at which 100% of individuals died

in 24 h. Batches of 25 larvae were placed in 500 mL plastic trays filled with 200 mL borehole

water containing the desired concentration of insecticide and covered with a net. Four

replicates were tested for each insecticide dose in addition to a control without insecticide (i.e.

containing only water). The lowest lethal concentrations were 0.035 mg/L clothianidin, 0.075

mg/L imidacloprid, 0.15 mg/L acetamiprid and 1.5 mg/L deltamethrin. According to studies

conducted worldwide, the concentrations of neonicotinoids tested fell in the upper limits of

average values detected in contaminated waters and soils [22–24]. However, in some

agricultural areas, these concentrations can be 100 times higher [24].

Larvae were collected from the field in the morning and brought to the insectary. 3rd instars

were sorted immediately and rinse in a tray containing borehole before being transferred into

test trays. Four replicates of 25 larvae were tested in 500 mL plastic trays that were filled with

200 mL borehole water containing the above-determined lethal dose of the insecticide. Water

was not changed throughout the experiment, and 10 mg of TetraMin® fish food was added to

each tray daily. Every 24 h, the number of L3, L4, pupae and adults was counted in each tray.

Larvae were considered dead if they were unable to move when touched with a dropper. Dead

larvae were removed from the test containers and were not replaced. Adults were also

removed using a mouth aspirator. Survival, growth, pupation and emergence were assessed

daily for 7 days, which was sufficient for 3rd instar larvae to reach the adult stage.

(d) Data analysis

The lethal endpoint of insecticide exposure was assessed using the mortality rate after 24 h. The sublethal effects of the different insecticides were addressed using survival probability as well as L4 transformation rate, pupation rate and emergence rate. L4 transformation rate was defined as the percentage of L3 larvae transformed into L4. Pupation rate represented the percentage of L3 that reached the pupa stage and emergence rate the percentage of L3 that became adults. Line plots of mean and standard error, computed with the packages *plyr* and *ggplot2* in R, were used to compare L4 transformation rate, pupation rate and emergence rate at 24 h intervals. The ratio between the number of dead larvae and the initial number of larvae was calculated every 24 h and provided an estimate of survival probability. Kaplan Meier survival curves were plotted using the packages *ggplot 2* and *ggfortify* in R [39]. Larvae reaching the adult stage before the end of the test were treated as censored data. Confidence intervals were computed for the four replicates, and a log-rank test was used to determine if survival was significantly different between treatments.

3. Results

(a) Lethal toxicity in 24 h

We first tested a gradient of insecticide doses on the laboratory strain *An. gambiae* Kisumu, which is susceptible to common classes of insecticides used in mosquito control such as pyrethroids, organophosphates, carbamates and organochlorines. The objective was to determine a dose yielding 100% mortality in 24 h. We then used this dose as a discriminating concentration to study the lethal and sublethal effects of neonicotinoids on field collected *Anophleles* larvae. We compared the efficacy of neonicotinoids to that of deltamethrin. As opposed to the susceptible strain, 100% mortality was not achieved with field populations in

24 h (Figure 2). Mortality rates were very low in larvae of both *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*

reared in water containing deltamethrin. Pyrethroid resistance is widespread in anopheline

mosquitoes, and parallel application of deltamethrin in malaria prevention and in agricultural

pest management has drastically reduced its efficacy against vectors. 24-h mortality in water

containing deltamethrin was typically below 30% and was not significantly different between

the four tested populations (Wilcoxon rank sum test, p>0.05). In contrast with deltamethrin,

susceptibility to neonicotinoids varied between species and geographic areas. Consistent with

findings obtained using adult bioassays [29,30], *An. coluzzii* larvae collected from the two

urban neighborhoods, Combattant and Etoa meki, were more susceptible, with mortality rates

between 70-80%, except clothianidin for which only about 50% of larvae were killed in 24 h.

The species present in suburban and rural areas of Yaoundé, *An. gambiae*, has developed

strong resistance to neonicotinoids. Particularly, larvae collected from the Nkolondom farm

where pesticide spraying has selected for resistance to acetamiprid and imidacloprid and

conferred cross-resistance to clothianidin [29,30], displayed less than 10% mortality in water

containing a neonicotinoid. Mortality rate of larvae collected from the agricultural site was

<10% in the new malaria-control chemical, clothianidin, just as in deltamethrin, a first-

generation insecticide, suggesting that both active ingredients currently have similar efficacy

against some *An. gambiae* populations.

(b) L4 transformation rate

Some neonicotinoid insecticides act slowly and are also known to have a wide range of

sublethal effects in addition to acute toxicity on non-target species [23,40]. Thus, beside lethal

toxicity in 24 h, we used life table analysis to monitor some of the sublethal effects of

neonicotinoids on *Anopheles* larvae, starting with L4 transformation rate. The three

neonicotinoids tested had little effect on the ability of *An. gambiae* L3 larvae to become L4

(Figure 3A). The highest transformation rate was observed in populations from the

agricultural site, Nkolondom: 90 ± 3% in water containing acetamiprid followed by 75 ± 9%

in imidacloprid in two days and 60 ± 3% in clothianidin after 3 days. Similarly, in

Nkolnkoumou, a semi-rural site harboring ~ 80% *An. gambiae*, transformation rates varied

from 60 ± 6% for acetamiprid, to 35 ± 16% and 23 ± 7% for imidacloprid and clothianidin,

respectively after 2 to 4 days. Conversely, even if based on 24-h mortality, *An. coluzzii* larvae

showed a degree of tolerance, they were strongly inhibited in water containing neonicotinoids

leading to very low transformation rates between 0 and 10% in both Etoa Meki and

Combattant populations. In control tests, transformation rates were 100% after 2 to 3 days.

(c) Pupation rate

Pupation rate was consistent with L4 transformation and highlighted the low susceptibility of

agricultural and semi-rural populations of *An. gambiae* to neonicotinoids (Figure 3B). The

agricultural population of *An. gambiae* (Nkolondom) had the highest pupation rate, 77.5 ± 4%

in acetamiprid, and 45 ± 11% in imidacloprid reflecting their tolerance to the both

neonicotinoid pesticides that are sprayed in local farms. The exotic insecticide, clothianidin, at

0.035 mg/L had the most important inhibitory power among neonicotinoids, but 15 to 20% of

An. gambiae larvae were able to achieve pupation. *Anopheles coluzzii* larvae had low pupation

rates with less than 5% pupae obtained in all the neonicotinoids tested. Notably, none of the

An. coluzzii populations were able to pupate in clothianidin.100% pupae were obtained in all

the control tests after 72 h.

(d) Emergence rate

100% of *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* pupae emerged into adults in the absence of

insecticides between the first and the sixth day in standard laboratory conditions. The total

emergence rate of *An. coluzzii* larvae was 0%, 2% and 4% in water containing clothianidin,

acetamiprid or imidacloprid, respectively after 7 days (Figure 3C). Meanwhile, at least half of

An. gambiae L3 larvae tested on average emerged between 2-7 days in acetamiprid and

imidacloprid. Only *An. gambiae* larvae from the agricultural site were able to emerge in

clothianidin albeit at lower rate ($7 \pm 3\%$) compared with the other neonicotinoids. However,

emergence rate was also very low in deltamethrin, around 10%, indicating that for any

insecticide, the ability to inhibit emergence can be compatible with strong resistance in adult

mosquitoes and loss of efficacy of the insecticide. In addition to lethal toxicity in 24 h,

emergence rate revealed another similarity between clothianidin, a new insecticide and

deltamethrin whose effectiveness in malaria vector control has become very limited.

(e) Survival probability

Rearing field-collected larvae in water containing an agricultural pesticide affected their

survival probability as revealed by Kaplan Meier survival curves (Figure 4). Larvae that

survived lethal toxicity within the first 24 h of the experiment were monitored for seven days.

Although *An. coluzzii* showed potential to resist the 24-h lethal effect of some of the

agricultural pesticides, larvae of this species had low survival probability in neonicotinoids.

By contrast, *An. gambiae* populations from agricultural and semi-rural sites displayed high

survival potential in water containing lethal doses of neonicotinoids. A log-rank test revealed

that regardless of the neonicotinoid that was added to water, larvae collected from

Nkolondom were super-resistant and had significantly higher survival probability compared

with any of the three other populations tested ($p < 0.05$). 100% survival was obtained in all

control experiments without insecticide.

4. Discussion

Here we have used an innovative approach to assess both the lethal and sublethal effects of

pesticide exposure in mosquito larvae. We found that in addition to routine quantification of

mortality in larvae and adults, monitoring some sublethal effects provide critical information

to better evaluate pre-existing levels of adaptation to agrochemicals in malaria mosquitoes.

We conducted a laboratory experiment mimicking the selection process, which is promoting

the emergence of *Anopheles* populations with increased tolerance to neonicotinoids. While

analyzing mortality and life table in wild larvae reared in artificial media containing a lethal

dose of the active ingredient, we observed gradients of tolerance reflecting past exposure to

neonicotinoids in nature. Control tests supported the validity of our experimental approach as

3rd instar larvae unexposed to insecticides showed standard survival, growth and emergence in

controlled insectary conditions.

Previous studies have suggested that resistance to neonicotinoids observed in anopheline

populations from some agricultural areas could be due to chronic exposure of larvae to

sublethal doses of agricultural pesticides [28–31]. Our findings provide the first experimental

support to this hypothesis. Indeed, we found that *An. gambiae* larvae had exceptional fitness

in field-realistic concentrations of acetamiprid and imidacloprid, two neonicotinoids whose

formulations are extensively used in farming activities in Cameroon [29]. As anopheline

populations have not yet been exposed to clothianidin in sub-Saharan Africa, this exotic

neonicotinoid strongly inhibited larval emergence in both *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*.

However, likely due to cross-resistance selected by intensive exposure to acetamiprid and

imidacloprid, larvae from the agricultural site, Nkolondom, were able to survive in water

contaminated with clothianidin, albeit at lower frequency.

Exposure of non-target insects to sublethal doses of neonicotinoids affect functions such as

motility, behavior, growth, fecundity and survival [23,41,42]. Our study reveals that

notwithstanding these sublethal effects whose magnitude remains unknown, *An. gambiae*

populations have evolved adaptation to chronic exposure to neonicotinoid residues in

contaminated aquatic habitats. *An. gambiae* larvae from rural areas around Yaoundé exhibited

high survivorship, L4 transformation, pupation and emergence rate in water containing

neonicotinoids contrary to urban *An. coluzzii* mosquitoes whose growth and survival were

inhibited. This result corroborates susceptibility profiles of adult populations: *An. coluzzii*

from Yaoundé is more susceptible to neonicotinoids while *An. gambiae* is resistant to at least

four different active ingredients [29–31]. Larval populations whose adults were resistant to an

agrochemical (i.e. mortality against the discriminating dose < 90%) typically displayed less

than 50% mortality in 24 h and more than 5% emergence in 6 days in water containing a

lethal dose of the active ingredient. Testing a larger number of chemicals on diverse

Anopheles species and populations will provide more robust guidelines on the interpretation

of mortality, growth and emergence of larvae reared in pesticide-laced water. However, based

on the current study and complimentary evidence from adults testing [29–31], we could

establish that any agrochemical yielding less that 50% mortality after 24 h and more than 5%

emergence within 6 days is likely to have limited efficacy against *Anopheles* mosquitoes.

The first evidence of insecticide resistance driven by agricultural pesticide application dates

back to the 1960s. Based on observations made in El Salvador, it was clearly established that

aerial spraying of carbamates and organophosphates led to the development of broad-

spectrum resistance to both insecticide classes in the vector *Anopheles albimanus* [7,8]. Since

then, a number of observational studies have argued that intensive use of crop protection

chemicals is a major driver of resistance to public health insecticides in anopheline

mosquitoes [16,43–47]. However, in the majority of cases, it was difficult to determine the

guilty part between agricultural chemicals and malaria vector control insecticides. In a review

of evidence, Lines (1988) [9] proposed a set of conditions that should be met for a crop

protection chemical to be the source of insecticide resistance selection in malaria vectors.

Appearance of resistance in mosquitoes to a particular chemical should occur before it has

been used for mosquito control; there should be correlation in space and time, e.g. higher

levels of resistance in areas with agricultural spraying and seasonality of resistance

overlapping with spraying periods; the cross-resistance spectrum should show that the

chemicals used in agriculture may confer cross-resistance to public health insecticides and

that both adults and larvae can be killed by the agricultural pesticides. Agricultural application

of neonicotinoids fits all of the criteria in Sub-Saharan Africa and provides the best example

of human activities accidentally engineering insecticide resistance in mosquitoes.

In our study, both lethal and sublethal effects point to the existence of levels of resistance that

could be a major obstacle to using neonicotinoids in malaria mosquito control. We noted that

lethal toxicity in 24 h, pupation rate and emergence rate were very similar in water containing

either deltamethrin or clothianidin. This finding suggested that, just like deltamethrin, the

efficacy of clothianidin in *An. gambiae* might already be substantially reduced. In line with

this prediction, it has been shown that neonicotinoid resistant mosquitoes from Nkolondom

were significantly less susceptible to SumiShield® 50WG, a formulation of clothianidin

recently approved for indoor residual spraying [29]. Moreover, a laboratory experiment

recently demonstrated that exposure of *Anopheles* larvae to sublethal concentrations of a

mixture containing several herbicides, pesticides and fungicides resulted in ~ 2.5 increase in

tolerance to clothianidin and Fludora® Fusion, a formulation combining clothianidin and

deltamethrin [48]. These studies and ours highlight the vulnerability of some agrochemicals

repurposed for malaria vector control, as resistance driven by agricultural activities could

become a major thread to their efficacy.

Although our findings are based on only two *Anopheles* species sampled from a relatively

small geographic area, these results confirm concerns about the efficacy of neonicotinoids

against malaria vectors [1,28–30,49]. Repurposing agrochemicals has thus far provided a

rapid mechanism to identifying new candidate insecticides for malaria prevention. However,

the case of neonicotinoids emphasizes the crucial role of evaluating prior exposure and cross-

resistance in areas where mosquito larval populations are likely to be in contact with

agricultural pesticides.

Author Contributions

MA: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original

draft; CF: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology; FA: Investigation,

Methodology; VP-B, Resources, Supervision. CK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis,

Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

This study was supported by a National Institutes of Health grant (R01AI150529) to CK. The

funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or

preparation of the manuscript.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

The data for this study have been presented within this article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1.   Hoppé M, Hueter OF, Bywater A, Wege P, Maienfisch P. 2016 Evaluation of

        Commercial Agrochemicals as New Tools for Malaria Vector Control. *Chimia*

(Aarau). **70**, 721–729. (doi:10.2533/chimia.2016.721)

2.   Hemingway J. 2017 The way forward for vector control. *Science (80-.).* **358**, 998–999.

3.   Lees R, Praulins G, Davies R, Brown F, Parsons G, White A, Ranson H, Small G,

Malone D. 2019 A testing cascade to identify repurposed insecticides for next-

generation vector control tools: Screening a panel of chemistries with novel modes of

action against a malaria vector. *Gates Open Res.* **3**, 1–25.

(doi:10.12688/gatesopenres.12957.2)

4.   Oxborough RM *et al.* 2010 Evaluation of indoor residual spraying with the pyrrole

insecticide chlorfenapyr against pyrethroid-susceptible Anopheles arabiensis and

pyrethroid-resistant Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med.*

Hyg. **104**, 639–645. (doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2010.07.008)

5.   N'Guessan R, Boko P, Akogbeto M, Yates A, Rowland M. 2007 Chlorfenapyr : A

pyrrole insecticide for the control of pyrethroid or DDT resistant Anopheles gambiae (

Diptera : Culicidae) mosquitoes. *Acta Trop.* **102**, 69–78.

(doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2007.03.003)

6.   Portwood NM *et al.* 2022 Multi-centre discriminating concentration determination of

broflanilide and potential for cross- resistance to other public health insecticides in

Anopheles vector populations. *Sci. Rep.* , 1–10. (doi:10.1038/s41598-022-26990-6)

7.   Georghiou GP. 1972 Studies on Resistance to Carbamate and Organophosphorus

Insecticides in Anopheles albimanus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **21**, 797–806.

8.   Georghiou GP, Breeland SG, V. Ariaratnam. 1973 Seasonal escalation of

organophosphorus and carbamate resistance in Anophelesalbimanus by agricultural

sprays. *Env. Entomol* **2**, 369–374.

9.   Lines JD. 1988 Do agricultural insecticides select for insecticide resistance in

mosquitoes? A look at the evidence. *Parasitol. Today* **4**. (doi:10.1016/0169-

4758(88)90083-X)

10. Curtis GF, Miller JE, Hodjati MH, Kolaczinski JH, Kasumba I. 1998 Can anything be

done to maintain the effectiveness of pyrethroid-impregnated bednets against malaria

vectors? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **353**, 1769–1775.

(doi:10.1098/rstb.1998.0329)

11. World Health Organization. 2023 List of WHO Prequalified Vector Control Products.

See https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vector-control-products/prequalified-product-list.

12. Akpesse A. 2018 Neonicotinoids and their impact on ecosystem service for agriculture

and biodiversity in Africa.

13. Ngamo Tinkeu L. 2018 Current situation on the registration and use of neonicotinoids

in Cameroon.

14. Katambo M. 2018 Neonicotinoids and their impact on ecosystem services in Tanzania.

15. Okolle NJ, Monono EY, Tabikam, Amungwa Ivan Mambo, Stephania Kinge Magwell

PFR. 2022 Insecticide Use and Application in Cameroon. In *Insecticides*, IntechOpen.

16. Urio NH *et al.* 2022 Effects of agricultural pesticides on the susceptibility and fitness

of malaria vectors in rural south-eastern Tanzania. *Parasites and Vectors* **15**, 1–14.

(doi:10.1186/s13071-022-05318-3)

17. Zogo B, Koffi AA, Alou LPA, Fournet F, Dahounto A, Dabiré RK, Baba-Moussa L,

Moiroux N, Pennetier C. 2019 Identification and characterization of Anopheles spp.

breeding habitats in the Korhogo area in northern Côte d'Ivoire: A study prior to a Bti-

based larviciding intervention. *Parasites and Vectors* **12**, 1–10. (doi:10.1186/s13071-

019-3404-0)

18. Mattah PAD, Futagbi G, Amekudzi LK, Mattah MM, De Souza DK, Kartey-Attipoe

WD, Bimi L, Wilson MD. 2017 Diversity in breeding sites and distribution of

Anopheles mosquitoes in selected urban areas of southern Ghana. *Parasites and*

Vectors **10**, 1–15. (doi:10.1186/s13071-016-1941-3)

19.   Antonio-Nkondjio C, Fossog BT, Ndo C, Djantio BM, Togouet SZ, Awono-Ambene P,

Costantini C, Wondji CS, Ranson H. 2011 Anopheles gambiae distribution and

insecticide resistance in the cities of Douala and Yaoundé (Cameroon): influence of

urban agriculture and pollution. *Malar. J.* **10**, 154. (doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-154)

20.   Simon-delso N *et al.* 2015 Systemic insecticides (neonicotinoids and fipronil): trends ,

uses , mode of action and metabolites. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **22**, 5–34.

(doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3470-y)

21.   Matsuda K, Ihara M, Sattelle DB. 2020 Neonicotinoid insecticides: Molecular targets,

resistance, and toxicity. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **60**, 241–255.

(doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010818-021747)

22.   Schaafsma A, Limay-Rios V, Baute T, Smith J, Xue Y. 2015 Neonicotinoid insecticide

residues in surface water and soil associated with commercial maize (corn) fields in

Southwestern Ontario. *PLoS One* **10**, 1–21. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118139)

23.   Morrissey CA, Mineau P, Devries JH, Sanchez-Bayo F, Liess M, Cavallaro MC, Liber

K. 2015 Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters and associated risk to

aquatic invertebrates: A review. *Environ. Int.* **74**, 291–303.

(doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.024)

24.   R. Ramadevi RR, C. Ramachandraiah CR, Reddy GVS. 2022 A Review on

Contamination of Soil and Water by Neonicotinoid Pesticides and Trends it's in Soil

and Water Samples with Chromatographic Analytical Techniques. *Orient. J. Chem.* **38**,

259–267. (doi:10.13005/ojc/380205)

25.   Agossa FR *et al.* 2018 Small-scale field evaluation of the efficacy and residual effect of

Fludora® Fusion (mixture of clothianidin and deltamethrin) against susceptible and

resistant Anopheles gambiae populations from Benin, West Africa. *Malar. J.* **17**, 484.

(doi:10.1186/s12936-018-2633-6)

26.   Uragayala S, Kamaraju R, Tiwari SN, Sreedharan S, Ghosh SK, Valecha N. 2018

Village-scale (Phase III) evaluation of the efficacy and residual activity of SumiShield

50® WG (Clothianidin 50 %, w/w) for indoor spraying for the control of pyrethroid-

resistant Anopheles culicifacies Giles in Karnataka state , India. *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.*

23, 605–615. (doi:10.1111/tmi.13056)

27.   Kweka E, Mahande A, Ouma J, Msangi S, Karanja W, Temba V, Lyaruu L, Himeidand

Y. 2018 Novel Indoor Residual Spray Insecticide With Extended Mortality Effect : A

Case of SumiShield 50WG Against Wild Resistant Populations of Anopheles

arabiensis in Northern Tanzania. *Glob. Heal. Sci. Pract.* **6**, 758–765.

28.   Mouhamadou CS, Souza SS De, Fodjo BK, Zoh MG, Bli NK, Koudou BG. 2019

Evidence of insecticide resistance selection in wild Anopheles coluzzii mosquitoes due

to agricultural pesticide use. *Infect. Dis. Poverty* **8**, 1–8.

29.   Fouet C, Ashu FA, Ambadiang MM, Tchapga W, Wondji CS, Kamdem C. 2020

Resistance to clothianidin reduces the efficacy of SumiShield 50WG®, a neonicotinoid

formulation for indoor residual spraying. *bioRxiv*

30.   Ashu FA, Fouet C, Ambadiang MM, Penlap-Beng V, Kamdem C. 2023 Enhancing the

efficacy of neonicotinoids against mosquitoes and overcoming resistance issues.

bioRxiv

31.   Ashu FA, Fouet C, Ambadiang MM, Penlap-Beng V, Kamdem C. 2023 Vegetable oil

surfactants are synergists that can bias neonicotinoid susceptibility testing in adult

mosquitoes. *bioRxiv*

32.   Kamdem C, Fouet C, Gamez S, White BJ. 2017 Pollutants and insecticides drive local

adaptation in African malaria mosquitoes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 1261–1275. (doi:DOI:

10.1093/molbev/msx087)

33. Kamdem C *et al.* 2012 Anthropogenic Habitat Disturbance and Ecological Divergence

between Incipient Species of the Malaria Mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *PLoS One*. **7**,

e39453. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039453)

34. Antonio-Nkondjio C, Tene Fossog B, Kopya E, Poumachu Y, Menze Djantio B, Ndo

C, Tchuinkam T, Awono-Ambene P, Wondji CS. 2015 Rapid evolution of pyrethroid

resistance prevalence in Anopheles gambiae populations from the cities of Douala and

Yaoundé (Cameroon). *Malar. J.* **14**, 1–9. (doi:10.1186/s12936-015-0675-6)

35. Kamdem C, Fouet C, Etouna J, Etoa F-X, Simard F, Besansky NJ, Costantini C. 2012

Spatially explicit analyses of anopheline mosquitoes indoor resting density:

implications for malaria control. *PLoS One* **7**, e31843.

(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031843)

36. Bamou R, Sonhafouo-Chiana N, Navridis K, Tchuinkam T, Wondji CS, Vontas J,

Antonio-Nkondjio C. 2019 Status of Insecticide Resistance and Its Mechanisms in

Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles coluzzii Populations from Forest Settings in South

Cameroon. *Genes (Basel).* **10**, doi:10.3390/genes10100741.

(doi:10.3390/genes10100741)

37. Tene-Fossog B, Fotso-Toguem YG, Amvongo-Adjia N, Ranson H, Wondji CS. 2022

Temporal variation of high-level pyrethroid resistance in the major malaria vector

Anopheles gambiae s.l. in Yaoundé, Cameroon, is mediated by target-site and

metabolic resistance. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **36**, 247–259. (doi:10.1111/mve.12577)

38. Service MW. 1993 *Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods*. 2nd edn. Netherlands:

Springer. (doi:10.1007/978-94-015-8113-4)

39. R Core Team. 2016 R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

40. Schuhmann A, Schmid AP, Manzer S, Schulte J, Scheiner R. 2022 Interaction of

Insecticides and Fungicides in Bees. *Front. Insect Sci.* **1**, 1–14.

(doi:10.3389/finsc.2021.808335)

41. Shepherd S, Lima MAP, Oliveira EE, Sharkh SM, Aonuma H, Jackson CW, Newland

PL. 2021 Sublethal neonicotinoid exposure attenuates the effects of electromagnetic

fields on honey bee flight and learning. *Environ. Adv.* **4**, 100051.

(doi:10.1016/j.envadv.2021.100051)

42. Řezáč M, Gloríková N, Wilder SM, Heneberg P. 2021 The sublethal effects of

neonicotinoids on spiders are independent of their nutritional status. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–11.

(doi:10.1038/s41598-021-87935-z)

43. Diabate A *et al.* 2002 The Role Of Agricultural Use Of Insecticides In Resistance To

Pyrethroids In Anopheles gambiae s . l . In Burkina Faso. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **67**,

617–622.

44. Nwane P, Etang J, Chouaibou M, Toto JC, Kerah-hinzoumbé C, Mimpfoundi R,

Awono-ambene HP, Simard F. 2009 Trends in DDT and pyrethroid resistance in

Anopheles gambiae s.s. populations from urban and agro-industrial settings in southern

Cameroon. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **9**, doi:10.1186/1471-2334-9-163. (doi:10.1186/1471-

2334-9-163)

45. Müller P, Chouaïbou M, Pignatelli P, Etang J, Walker ED, Donnelly MJ, Simard F,

Ranson H. 2008 Pyrethroid tolerance is associated with elevated expression of

antioxidants and agricultural practice in Anopheles arabiensis sampled from an area of

cotton fields in Northern Cameroon. *Mol. Ecol.* **17**, 1145–1155. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-

294X.2007.03617.x)

46. Yadouleton AWM, Asidi A, Djouaka RF, Brama J, Agossou CD, Akogbeto MC. 2009

Development of vegetable farming: A cause of the emergence of insecticide resistance

in populations of Anopheles gambiae in urban areas of Benin. *Malar. J.* **8**, 1–8.

(doi:10.1186/1475-2875-8-103)

47. Chouaïbou MS, Fodjo BK, Fokou G, Allassane OF, Koudou BG, David JP, Antonio-
Nkondjio C, Ranson H, Bonfoh B. 2016 Influence of the agrochemicals used for rice
and vegetable cultivation on insecticide resistance in malaria vectors in southern Côte
d'Ivoire. *Malar. J.* **15**, 1–12. (doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1481-5)

48. Zoh MG *et al.* 2022 Exposure of Anopheles gambiae larvae to a sub-lethal dose of an
agrochemical mixture induces tolerance to adulticides used in vector control
management. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **248**. (doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2022.106181)

49. Oxborough RM *et al.* 2019 Susceptibility testing of Anopheles malaria vectors with the
neonicotinoid insecticide clothianidin; results from 16 African countries, in preparation
for indoor residual spraying with new insecticide formulations. *Malar. J.* **18**,
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-2888-6. (doi:10.1186/s12936-019-2888-6)

Figure legends

Figure 1: Examples of breeding sites where wild mosquito larvae were sampled. *An. gambiae*
larvae were collected from furrows between ridges in the agricultural site (A) or from puddles
created by human activities in Nkolnkoumou (suburban area) (B). *An. coluzzii* larvae were
sampled from human-made puddles in densely urbanized settings (C).

Figure 2: 24-h mortality of mosquito larvae reared in water containing a lethal dose of agrochemical. 100% of larvae of the susceptible strain *An. gambiae* Kisumu were killed in 24 h. Wild populations showed varying levels of tolerance to four insecticides. Mortality values were lowest in larvae collected from the agricultural area (Nkolondom). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Figure 3: Sublethal effects of three neonicotinoids on life table parameters of *Anopheles*

larvae. Rate of transformation of 3rd instars (L3) into 4th instars (L4) (A), pupation rate (B)

and emergence rate (C). Larvae that survived 24-h lethal toxicity were monitored for 7 days

under standard laboratory conditions. Controls were larvae reared in water without

insecticide. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Figure 4: Kaplan Meier survival curves (lines) with 95% confidence intervals (colored bands)

of 3rd instar larvae reared in lethal doses of three neonicotinoids. Survival probabilities of four

wild populations were compared under controlled laboratory conditions. (+) indicates

emergence that occurred before the end of the experiment and was treated as censored data.