1 **TITLE:**
2 *Arabidopsis thaliana* subclass I ACTIN DEPOLYMERIZING FACTORs regulate nuclear
3 organization and gene expression
4

5 **AUTHORS:**
6 [1]Tomoko Matsumoto, [2,3]Takumi Higaki, [4]Hirotomo Takatsuka[†], [5]Natsumaro Kutsuna,
7 [1]Yoshiyuki Ogata, [6]Seiichiro Hasezawa, [4]Masaaki Umeda and [1]Noriko Inada*
8
ADDRESS:
[1]Graduate School of Agriculture, Osaka Metropolitan University, 1-1 Gakuen-cho, Naka-ku,
Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan
[2]Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-8555,
Japan
[3]International Research Organization for Advanced Science and Technology (IROAST),
Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan
[4]Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and Technology,
Takayama-cho 8916-5 Ikoma, Nara 630-0192 Japan
[5]LPIXEL, Inc., 1-6-1 Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0004, Japan
[6]Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Hosei University, Tokyo 102-8160, Japan
20
21
22 [†]Present address:School of Biological Science and Technology, College of Science and
23 Engineering, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan
24 *Author in correspondence

25
26
27

ABSTRACT

ACTIN DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR (ADF) is a conserved protein that regulates the organization and dynamics of actin microfilaments. Eleven ADFs in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome are grouped into four subclasses, and subclass I ADFs, ADF1–4, are all expressed throughout the plant. Previously, we showed that subclass I ADFs function in the regulation of the response against powdery mildew fungus as well as in the regulation of cell size and endoreduplication. Here, we report a new role of subclass I ADFs in the regulation of nuclear organization and gene expression. Through a microscopic observation of epidermal cells in mature leaves, we found that the size of chromocenters in both *adf4* and transgenic lines where expression of subclass I *ADF*s are downregulated (*ADF1-4Ri*) was reduced compared with that of wild-type Col-0. *A. thaliana* possesses eight *ACTIN* genes, among which *ACT2, -7*, and *-8* are expressed in vegetative organs. The chromocenter size in *act7*, but not in the *act2/8* double mutant, was enlarged compared with that in Col-0. Microarray analysis revealed that 1,818 genes were differentially expressed in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. In particular, expression of 22 nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (*NLR*) genes, which are involved in effector-triggered plant immunity, was reduced in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. qRT-PCR confirmed the altered expressions shown with microarray analysis. Overall, these results suggest that ADF regulates various aspects of plant physiology through its role in regulation of nuclear organization and gene expression. The mechanism how ADF and ACTIN regulate nuclear organization and gene expression is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Actin depolymerizing factor (ADF) is an ancient protein that is conserved among eukaryotes. ADF regulates both the organization and dynamics of actin microfilaments (AFs) by severing these and depolymerizing them at the minus end (Inada, 2017). Analyses using various plants including *Arabidopsis thaliana* have revealed that ADFs function in regulating many aspects of plant physiology, including growth and development (Dong et al. 2001, Henty et al. 2011, Inada et al. 2021), flowering (Dong et al. 2001, Burgos-Rivera et al. 2008), immunity against bacterial (Tian et al. 2009, Porter et al. 2012) and fungal pathogens (Inada et al. 2016, Zhang et al. 2017, Sun et al. 2021), root-knot nematodes (Clément et al. 2009), and aphids (Mondal et al. 2018), rhizobial infection and nodule formation (Ortega-Ortega et al. 2020), and responses against drought (Qian et al. 2019, Sengupta et al. 2019), salt stress (Sengupta et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2021), and low temperature (Xu et al. 2021). However, it is unknown how ADFs regulate these broad aspects of plant physiology.

AFs mainly function underneath the plasma membrane by regulating intercellular transport (Henty-Ridilla et al. 2013); however, recent research using animal and insect cells has shown the roles of actin and actin-binding proteins in the nucleus and in the regulation of gene expression.

The actin monomer has been shown to be a functional component of RNA polymerases and
chromosome remodeling complex (Percipalle and Vartiainen 2019). Furthermore, recent
development of nuclear AF visualization method revealed that nuclear AFs are transiently
formed upon various stimuli, such as treatments with serum and reagents that induce DNA
damage (Baarlink et al. 2013, Hurst et al. 2019) and contribute to the regulation of gene
expression (Percipalle and Vartiainen 2019). Cytoplasmic AFs also regulate gene expression
through association with nuclear envelope-embedded protein complexes (Davidson and Cadot
2021).
Interest has increased in plants in the role of actin and actin-binding proteins in the nucleus
and in the regulation of gene expression (Porter and Day 2013, Porter and Day 2016, Inada
2017). Immunostaining using antibodies that label *A. thaliana* ACTIN2/8 (ACT2/8) and ACT7
showed accumulation of these actins within isolated nuclei (Kandasamy et al. 2010). Among the
11 members of ADFs in *A. thaliana* (Ruzicka et al. 2007, Inada, 2017), a knockout mutant of
ADF4 showed an increased susceptibility against the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*
DC3000 harboring AvrPphB (Tian et al. 2009). This increased susceptibility is correlated with a
decreased expression of *FRK1* and *RPS5*, which encode bacterial flagellin-induced receptor
kinase and a resistance protein required for recognition of AvrPphB, respectively (Porter et al.
2012). The loss of *A. thaliana ADF9* expression causes reduced expression of *FLOWERING*
LOCUS C (*FLC*), which is a master repressor of the transition from the vegetative to
reproductive growth, and increased expression of *CONSTANS* (*CO*), *FLOWERING LOCUS T*
(*FT*), *SUPPRESSOR OF CONSTANS1* (*SOC1*), and *LEAFY* (*LFY*), resulting in early flowering
(Burgos-Rivera et al. 2008).
We previously demonstrated that *A. thaliana* subclass I ADFs (ADF1-4), particularly
ADF4, are involved in response against powdery mildew fungus (Inada et al. 2016) as well as in
the regulation of endoreduplication and plant size (Inada et al. 2021) and suggested that subclass
I ADFs may have a function in the nucleus and in the regulation of gene expression. The *ADF4-*
knockout mutant showed strong resistance against the *A. thaliana*-adapted powdery mildew
fungus *Golovinomyces orontii*. This resistance was further enhanced in transgenic plants where
expression of all subclass I *ADF*s was suppressed (*ADF1-4Ri*). By visualizing AFs with
expression of GFP-hTalin (Takemoto et al. 2003), we found that the density and level of
bundling of AFs at the cell surface are not significantly altered in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*.
Observation of *adf4* plants expressing ADF4-GFP revealed nuclear localization of ADF4 in
addition to colocalization with AFs at the cell surface. Expression of ADF4-GFP complemented
the *adf4 G. orontii*-resistant phenotype. However, expression of ADF4-GFP conjugated with
nuclear exporting signal did not complement *adf4*, indicating that nuclear localization of ADF4
is important for response against *G. orontii* (Inada et al. 2016). We found that *adf4* and *ADF1-*
4Ri had an increased plant size and an enhanced endoreduplication (Inada et al. 2021). All
known factors that regulate endoreduplication are localized to the nucleus (discussed in Inada et
al. 2021), further suggesting the role of subclass I ADFs in the nucleus or in the regulation of
events occurring within the nucleus.

In this study, we characterized the nuclear morphology and gene expression profile of *A. thaliana adf* mutants. Nuclei in epidermal cells of *A. thaliana* mature leaves contain distinctive chromocenters that are strongly stained with DNA-binding fluorescent dyes (Fransz et al. 2002). By a close observation using confocal laser scanning microscope, we found that the size of these chromocenters of nuclei in the epidermal cells of mature leaves was significantly reduced in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* transgenic plants (Tian et al. 2009). The *A. thaliana* genome contains eight ACTIN members, of which *ACTIN2*, *-7*, and *-8* are expressed in vegetative tissues (McKinney and Meagher 1998). We found that *act7*, but not *act2/8* mutants showed increased chromocenter size. Microarray analysis of wild-type Col-0, *adf4*, and *ADF1-4Ri* mature leaves showed that expression of a large number of genes was altered in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. These results suggest that actin and ADF are involved in the regulation of nuclear organization and of gene expression.

RESULTS

The nuclear organization was altered in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
For the first step to understand the role of ADFs in the nucleus or in the regulation of events occurring in the nucleus, we took a close observation of nuclei using a confocal laser scanning microscope. In fixed-*A. thaliana* mature leaves, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-stained nuclei contain well-defined chromocenters (Fransz et al. 2002). These chromocenters consist of heterochromatin regions including centromeric and pericentromeric domains as well as nucleolar organizing regions (NORs) that are composed of ribosomal DNA tandem repeats located in the short arms of chromosomes 2 and 4 (Fransz et al. 2002). NORs are located close to the nucleolus while centromeres are located near the nuclear periphery. By observing epidermal nuclei in mature leaves, we noticed that chromocenters in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* were smaller than those in Col-0 (Figs. 1A–C). No significant alteration in the shape and size of nuclei was noticed.

To quantify the size of chromocenters, maximum projection images produced from Z-stack DAPI-stained nuclei images were processed to evaluate the chromocenter regions. The number of chromocenters per nucleus (Fig. 1D), average size of nucleus (Fig. 1E), average size of chromocenters (Fig. 1F), and percentage of area occupied by chromocenters in the nucleus (Fig. 1G) were determined. Wild-type Col-0 nuclei contain 8–10 chromocenters as in previous observations (Fransz et al. 2002). As shown in Fig. 1D, the number of chromocenters per nucleus was unaltered in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. The size of nuclei in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* was comparable with that in Col-0 (Fig. 1E). However, the average size of chromocenters in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* was significantly reduced compared with that in Col-0 (Fig. 1F). The percentage of chromocenter occupancy in the nucleus was also significantly reduced in *ADF1-4Ri* but not in *adf4* (Fig. 1G). Four independent lines have been established for *ADF1-4Ri* (Tian et al. 2009), and all *ADF1-4Ri* lines showed significant reduction in chromocenter size (Fig. S1).

Close examination of the obtained images showed that the NOR chromocenters tended to
be larger than those near the nuclear periphery in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* (Figs. 1A–C; nucleoli are
indicated by dotted lines). We examined the size of NOR chromocenters separately from
chromocenters located at the nuclear periphery. The size of chromocenters at the nuclear
periphery was significantly reduced in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* compared with the size of those
in Col-0 (Fig. 1H). However, the NOR chromocenter size was not significantly altered in *adf4*
compared with that in Col-0, whereas a significant reduction in chromocenter size was observed
in *ADF1-4Ri* (Fig. 1I). This result suggests that chromocenters near the nucleoli are affected
differently from those near the nuclear periphery in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*.
Subclass I *ADF*s are strongly expressed in mature leaves but less so in cotyledons (Ruzicka
et al. 2007). We examined the chromocenter size of both cotyledons and mature leaves (first
leaves) to understand the relationship between loss of subclass I *ADF* expression and
chromocenter size reduction. The chromocenter size in the cotyledons of *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
was comparable to that in wild-type Col-0 (Figs. 2A–D), whereas the size of chromocenters in
the first leaves of *ADF1-4Ri* was significantly reduced (Figs. 2E–H), further indicating the role
of subclass I ADFs in the regulation of chromocenter size.
The reduction in chromocenter size could be caused by the decondensation of
chromocenters. We performed in situ fluorescence hybridization (FISH) using a probe
specifically labeling centromeric repetitive DNA to examine this possibility. Although most
FISH labeling showed a condensed pattern, several nuclei showed diffused FISH labeling (Fig.
S2), suggesting that the diffusion of heterochromatin caused a reduction in chromocenter size in
adf4 and *ADF1-4Ri*.
We also noticed that a portion of the nuclei in *ADF1-4Ri* contained chromocenters that
tended to be clustered compared with the relatively random distribution in Col-0 nuclei (Figs.
S3A and S3B). We quantified this chromocenter organization by measuring the distance of the
two chromocenters (Fig. S3C). The median value of the distances between any closest
chromocenter of nuclei was lower in *ADF1-4Ri* than that in Col-0. As the number of
chromocenters per nucleus was unaltered in *ADF1-4Ri,* the positioning of chromocenters of
ADF1-4Ri was disturbed and more aggregated than that in Col-0.
Thus, nuclear organization alters in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* but more significantly in
ADF1-4Ri.
178
The size of chromocenters was altered in *act7* but not in *act2/8*
Among the 10 *ACT* genes in the *A. thaliana* genome (McDowell et al. 1996a), *ACT2*, *-7*, and *-8*
are expressed in the vegetative tissues (An et al. 1996, McDowell et al. 1996b), where subclass I
*ADF*s are mainly expressed (Ruzicka et al. 2007). ACT2 and ACT8 are highly similar in amino
acid sequences and are functionally equivalent (Kandasamy et al. 2009). We reasoned that if
ADFs regulate nuclear organization via AFs, it is possible that *act* mutants also show alterations
in nuclear organization. We therefore examined the nuclear morphology of the *act2*/*act8* double
mutant as well as that of *act7* mutant (Figs. 3A–C).

No significant alterations were observed in the number of chromocenters per nucleus (Fig.
3D) or in the nuclear size (Fig. 3E) in *act2/8* or *act7*. We noticed that the size of chromocenters
tended to be larger in *act7* (Fig. 3C) than that in Col-0 (Fig. 3A). However, quantitative analysis
of chromocenter size showed no significant difference among Col-0, *act2/8*, and *act7* when all
chromocenters were included for analysis (Fig. 3F). When chromocenters at the nuclear
periphery and those near the nucleolus were separately analyzed, the chromocenters at the
nuclear periphery were significantly larger in *act7* than those in Col-0 (Fig. 3H), whereas the
chromocenters near the nucleolus were comparable among Col-0, *act2/8*, and *act7* (Fig. 3I).
These results further confirmed that actin disturbance affects the size of chromocenters,
and that chromocenters near the nucleolus were regulated differently from those at the nuclear
periphery.
198
Gene expression profile was significantly altered in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
The altered nuclear organization in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* described above may affect gene
expression. To test this idea, we performed a large-scale gene expression analysis using the
Arabidopsis Gene 1.0 ST array. RNA extracted from mature leaves of 4-week-old Col-0, *adf4*,
and *ADF1-4Ri* was used for microarray analysis. Two independent sets of plants were sampled
for biological replication. Genes that showed more than two-fold differences in expression levels
were extracted. With this criterion, we found that expression of 911 genes in total was
upregulated in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*, and that of 907 genes in total was downregulated in *adf4* and
ADF1-4Ri (Figs. 4A, B). Among these, expression of 137 genes was upregulated in both *adf4*
and *ADF1-4Ri*, whereas that of 232 genes was downregulated in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
(Tables S1 and S2).
Gene Ontology analysis performed for these up- or downregulated genes in both *adf4* and
ADF1-4Ri revealed enrichment of biotic-responsive genes (Fig. 4C). We also found that
downregulated genes included many nucleotide-binding-leucine-rich repeat (*NLR*) genes (Table
1). NLRs function in the recognition of pathogen effectors that are delivered into plant cells.
Recognition of effectors by NLRs triggers plant immunity (Pok Man Ngou et al. 2022).
Misregulation of *NLR* expression can cause autoimmunity and growth defects, and the
expression level of *NLR* genes is therefore maintained at a low level in uninfected plants (Fick et
al. 2022). We found that the expression of 22 *NLR* genes were further downregulated in both
adf4 and *ADF1-4Ri* (Table 1). The list of downregulated *NLR*s in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
included *RPS5* (At1g12220), whose expression is reported to be downregulated in *adf4* (Porter et
al. 2012). The expression of five *NLR*s were downregulated in *adf4* but not in *ADF1-4Ri*.
Twenty-one *NLR*s showed reduced expression in *ADF1-4Ri* but not in *adf4* (Table 1).
Upregulated *NLR*s included one *NLR* in *adf4*, and eight *NLR*s in *ADF1-4Ri*. No *NLR*s were
upregulated in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* (Table 1). This result suggests that each member of
subclass I ADFs contributes differently to the regulation of expression of different *NLR*s.
225
qRT-PCR confirmed the altered gene expression in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*

As we only took biological duplications for microarray analysis, we verified the microarray data
by performing qRT-PCR for genes that are up- or downregulated in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*.
We selected genes for qRT-PCR analysis based on three criteria: genes whose functions have
been reported; genes where the expression level is sufficiently high in Col-0 (based on eFP
browser analysis; http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp2/Arabidopsis/Arabidopsis_eFPBrowser2.html), and
genes that possess specific sequences that have low homology with other genes.
For upregulated genes, At1g13210, At1g18710, At1g45145, At1g52000, At1g52400, and
At3g45860 were selected. At1g13210 encodes autoinhibited Ca^{2+}/ATPase II (ACA.l), which
functions as a P4-type ATPase that maintains asymmetry between the intra- and extracellular
lipid layers in phospholipid membrane bilayers (Axelsen et al. 2001). At1g18710 encodes MYB
DOMAIN PROTEIN 47 (MYB47), a member of R2R3-MYB proteins that function in plant
primary and secondary metabolism, cell fate determination, development, and responses to both
biotic and abiotic stresses (Dubos et al. 2010). *MYB47* expression is reported to be induced by
wounding and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) treatment (Devoto et al. 2005). At1g45145 encodes
thioredoxin *h5* (TRX5), a member of *h*-type thioredoxin. *A. thaliana TRX5* is required for the
response to victorin, an effector produced by a fungus *Cochliobolus victoriae*, which causes
Victoria blight of oats (Sweat and Wolpert. 2007). At1g52000, encoding a member of jacalin-
related lectin family (Eggermont et al. 2017), is reportedly upregulated by treatment with both
jasmonic acid and MeJA (Sasaki-Sekimoto et al., 2005) as well as by inoculation with fungal
pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* (Zhu et al. 2013). At1g52400 encodes BETA GLUCOSIDASE
18 (BGLU18), a protein that localizes the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and accumulates in ER
bodies (Ogasawara et al. 2009). BGLU18 is also known to mediate hydrolysis of abscisic acid
(ABA)-glucose ester to produce active ABA (Han et al. 2020). At3g45860 encodes CYSTEINE-
RICH RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN KINASE 4 (CRK4). *CRK4* expression is induced by
salicylic acid treatment and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 (*Pst*) infection (Chen et
al. 2004). Overexpression of *CRK4* induces hypersensitive cell death (Chen et al. 2004) and
confers resistance to the virulent bacteria *Pst* (Yeh et al. 2015). *CRK4* overexpression can
enhance drought tolerance (Lu et al. 2016). The upregulation of expression of these genes in *adf4*
and *ADF1-4Ri* was confirmed with qRT-PCR (Figs. 5A–F).
For downregulated genes, At1g58602, At1g58848, At1g61300, At2g05070, At2g05540,
and At3g26380 were examined for their expression with qRT-PCR. At1g58602, At1g58848, and
At1g61300 encode NLRs. NLRs can be divided into three groups according to their N-terminal
domains, namely, those with Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain, coiled-coil (CC) domain
(CNL), and RESISTANCE TO POWDERY MILDEW (RPW) 8-like CC domain (Pok Man
Ngou et al. 2022). At1g58602, At1g58848, and At1g61300 are all CNL. At1g58602 encodes
RPP7 (for resistance to *Peronospora paracitica* 7), which is required for resistance against
oomycete *Hyaloperonospora paracitica* Hiks1 (McDowell et al. 2000). The expression of
At1g58602, At1g58848, and At1g61300 in wild-type Col-0 was low but detectable (Figs. 5G–I).
However, in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*, the expression of these *NLRs* was reduced to an undetectable
level (Figs. 5G–I), confirming the microarray results.

At2g05070 encodes light-harvesting chlorophyll-binding 2.2 (Lhcb2.2). *Lhcb1*, *Lhcb2*, and
Lhcb3 genes encode polypeptides for trimeric LHCII (Jansson 1999). Lhcb1 is the most
abundant among these Lhcb proteins, and Lhcb2 plays a critical role in state transitions
(Pietrzykowska et al. 2014). Three genes in *A. thaliana* Col-0 encode *Lhcb2*, namely, *Lhcb2.1*
(At2g05100), *Lhcb2.2* (At2g05070), and *Lhcb2.3* (At3g27690). As *Lhcb2.1*, *2.2*, and *2.3* encode
identical proteins (Jansson 1999), we designed primers that detect the 3'-UTR of *Lhcb2.2* that
showed a decrease in *Lhcb2.2* expression in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* (Fig. 5J). The expression of
Lhcb2.1 (At2g05100) and *Lhcb2.3* (At3g27690) also exhibited a degree of decrease in
expression according to microarray analysis (Table S3). At2g05540 encodes a member of
glycine-rich protein family, although the function is largely unknown. Expression of At2g05540
is regulated by SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling protein AtCHR12 (Mlynárová et al. 2007) and
by the SET domain protein, SDG4, which maintains the level of H3K4 and H3K36 methylation
(Cartagena et al. 2008). At3g26380 encodes β-$_L$-ARAPASE (APSE) that functions in the
hydrolysis of β-$_L$-arabinopyranosyl residues in arabinogalactan-proteins. A mutation in APSE
causes inhibition of the elongation growth of hypocotyls (Imaizumi et al. 2017). The expression
of both At2g05540 and At3g26380 was reduced in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* (Figs. 5K and 5L).
Overall, qRT-PCR analyses confirmed the microarray results, and we concluded that expression
of many genes, including those encoding NLRs, was significantly altered in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*.
285
286
287   **DISCUSSION**
288
Herein, we showed that chromocenter organization was significantly altered in both *adf4* and
ADF1-4Ri. The size of DAPI-stained chromocenters was significantly reduced in both *adf4* and
ADF1-4Ri, and part of nuclei in *ADF1-4Ri* showed clustered chromocenters. This reduction in
size was likely caused by the decondensation of chromocenters. In the *act7* mutant but not the
act2/8 mutant, the size of chromocenters was increased compared with that of Col-0. These
results suggest that disturbances in AF organization and dynamics affect chromocenter
organization. Microarray analyses revealed alterations in the expression of many genes in *adf4*
and *ADF1-4Ri*. Overall, our results indicate that subclass I ADFs and ACT function in the
regulation of nuclear organization and gene expression.
Indeed, the loss of *ADF* expression in mammalian cells causes alteration in nuclear
organization. Mammalian genomes have three ADFs, namely, Cofilin-1 (COF), ADF, and COF-
2. The depletion of both COF and ADF expression induces severe deformation of nuclear shape
in mice (Kanellos et al. 2015) and in several mammalian cultured cells, including HeLa cells
(Wiggan et al. 2017). The nuclear deformation in COF/ADF-silenced cells is associated with
excessive activation of myosin-II in the cytoplasm and disruption of the nuclear lamina (Wiggan
et al. 2017). The cytoplasmic AFs are connected with the nuclear envelope-embedded linker of
the nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton complex, which is composed of outer nuclear envelope-
localized Klarsicht, ANC-1, and SYNE homology (KASH) domain-containing nesprins and

inner nuclear envelope-localized SUN (Sad1 and UNC-84) domain-containing proteins (Tamura
et al. 2015). SUN proteins interact with nuclear lamina (Tamura et al. 2015), and nuclear lamina
interact with heterochromatin (Hoskins et al. 2021). Nuclei in COF/ADF-depleted mammalian
cells show the disorganization of the nuclear lamina (Wiggan et al. 2017), and the authors
concluded that excessive tension in the cytoplasm caused by the depletion of COF/ADF results
in alterations in nuclear organization and gene expression (Wiggan et al. 2017).

COF/ADF-depleted HeLa cells exhibit a significant reduction in the level of histone H3
trimethylated at lysine 27 (H3K27me3), which marks facultative heterochromatin and is mainly
localized at the nuclear periphery (Wiggan et al. 2017). This phenotype is similar to the
reduction in the size of chromocenters in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. In plants, DAPI-stained
chromocenters are colocalized with the heterochromatin mark H3K9me2 (Fransz et al. 2003). In
addition, chromocenters at the nuclear periphery were more strongly affected compared with
those near the nucleoli in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* (see Figs. 1H and 1I). The clustered
chromocenters in *ADF1-4Ri* (Fig. S3) was similar to the polarized organization of
chromocenters observed in *A. thaliana* mutants of KASH and SUN (Sakamoto et al. 2022).
Thus, the reduction in chromocenter size and alteration in gene expression in *adf4* and *ADF1-*
4Ri could be caused by disturbed cytoplasmic AFs, similar to that in mammalian cells.

Chromocenters at the nuclear periphery in *act7* were also affected differently from those
near the nucleoli, and therefore the mechanism that caused alteration in chromocenter size in
act7 could partly overlap with that in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. However, as opposed to that in *adf4*
and *ADF1-4Ri*, the size of the chromocenter became enlarged in *act7*, suggesting that ACT7 is
involved in the regulation of chromocenter organization via a different mechanism. In
mammalian cells, the loss of *ACTIN* expression causes altered nuclear organization and gene
expression. Embryonic fibroblasts of β-actin knockout mouse mutants showed an increase in
nuclear area and a decrease in DAPI fluorescence intensity in nuclei (Xie et al. 2018). Both
immunofluorescence microscopy and western blotting showed that the amount of histone H3
trimethylated at lysine 9 (H3K9me3), another marker of facultative heterochromatin, was
increased in β-actin knockout cells. Heterochromatin protein (HP)1α is a central component of
heterochromatin in mammalian and *Drosophila* cells (Saksouk et al. 2015). The number of
HP1α-labeled spot areas increased in β-actin knockout cells (Xie et al. 2018). This increase in the
heterochromatin area in β-actin knockout cells is similar to the increased chromocenter size in
act7.

In mammalian cells, β-actin is an essential component of the chromatin remodeling
complex BRG1/BRM-associated factor (BAF, mammalian SWI/SNF) (Kapoor and Shen 2014).
β-actin is required for maximum ATPase activity of Brg1, an ATPase subunit of the BAF
complex. Loss of β-actin significantly decreased DNA binding of Brg1 (Xie et al. 2018).
Therefore, β-actin regulates heterochromatin organization through its DNA-binding activity of
the BAF complex (Xie et al. 2018). In plants, orthologs of SWI/SNF subunits have been
identified, and their functions have been characterized (Reyes 2014, Nishioka et al. 2020). The
interaction between actin and BRM has not yet been reported in plants, but our results suggest

that plant actin also plays a role in regulating the activities of the chromatin remodeling complex,
which regulates heterochromatin organization and gene expression.

We showed that genes related to plant defense response are both up- and downregulated in
adf4 and *ADF1-4Ri*. Furthermore, we found that expression of many *NLR* genes are
downregulated in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. Twenty-two *NLRs* showed reduced expression in both
adf4 and *ADF1-4Ri*. While our microarray analysis used uninfected mature leaves, it has been
shown that *RPS5* expression, of which reduction was confirmed in our microarray, was reduced
both in uninfected and *Pst* AvrPphB-infected *adf4* (Porter et al. 2012), suggesting that *NLR*
expression is also affected in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* during pathogen infection. Our result that
expression of some *NLRs* was suppressed only in *adf4* or in *ADF1-4Ri* suggests that different
ADF members affect expression of different sets of *NLR*s. This could explain previous results
where loss of *ADF*s results in both increase or decrease in resistance depending on pathogens
and that different ADF members affect pathogen response differently. Tian et al. reported that *A.*
thaliana adf4 showed an increased susceptibility against the bacterial pathogen *Pst* harboring
AvrPphB, whereas *adf4* showed comparable susceptibility against *Pst* or *Pst* AvrB. Neither *adf1*
nor *adf3* showed altered susceptibility against these pathogens. The susceptibility of *ADF1-4Ri*
against *Pst* AvrPphB was also comparable with that of Col-0 (Tian et al. 2009). However, both
adf4 and *ADF1-4Ri* showed an increased resistance to *G. orontii* (Inada et al. 2016). In wheat
(*Triticum aestivum* L.), the suppression of different members of ADFs has different effects on
immunity against fungus causing stripe rust. Wheat genotype Suwon 11 is resistance to *Puccinia*
striiformis f.sp. *tritici* CYR23 but is susceptible to *P. striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* CYR31. Knockdown
of *TaADF7* (Fu et al. 2014) and *TaADF4* (Zhang et al. 2017) both increased a susceptibility
against CYR23. By contrast, knockdown of *TaADF3* expression caused increased resistance
against CYR31 (Tang et al. 2016). It is possible that TaADF3, 4, and 7 affect the expression of
different set of *NLR*s, which results in the above-mentioned phenotypic differences.

Taken together, our results strongly suggests that *A. thaliana* subclass I ADFs regulate
various aspects of plant physiology including pathogen response through their roles in regulation
of nuclear organization and gene expression. Future research elucidating the mechanism how
ADFs and actin regulate nuclear organization and gene expression will contribute to understand
the new roles of plant cytoskeletons as well as new mechanisms regulating gene expression.
377
378

379 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
380

Plant materials
Arabidopsis seeds were suspended in autoclaved 0.1% agarose and incubated at 4°C for
vernalization for more than 1 day (up to 2 weeks) before direct sowing on 1:3
metromix:vermiculite in plastic pots. Entire pots were covered with plastic wrap for 1 week after
sowing to maintain humidity and to encourage germination. Plants were grown at 22°C in a
growth chamber under a 16-h light:8-h dark photoperiod (LH-411PFD-S, NK Systems, Osaka,
Japan).

Observation of chromocenters

For observation of chromocenters in epidermal cells, 4 week old mature leaves were fixed with 3:1 ethanol:acetic acid at R.T. overnight. Fixed leaves were then rinsed with milliQ three times before stained with 1 ug/mL DAPI. Images of DAPI-stained nuclei in epidermal cells were excited with 405 nm laser, and fluorescence between 410-585 nm was captured using confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM700) that was equipped with ×63 objective lens (N.A. 1.4). Z-stack images were obtained with 0.5 μm step size.

Quantitative image analysis of chromocenters

Obtained Z-stack confocal images of DAPI were combined using maximum intensity projection. To measure the heterochromatin number and size, a binary image was obtained from the maximum intensity projection image by intensity thresholding. Before binarization, a Gaussian filter (sigma = 2 pixels) was applied to the images in order to improve the signal to noise ratio. Intensity thresholding was performed based on Yen's method (Yen et al. 1995), and then the binary images were masked by manually segmented nuclear regions. Number and size of the heterochromatin blobs in the binary images were measured. All image processing and analysis were performed by ImageJ software (Schneider et al. 2012).

Microarray analysis

4-week old mature leaves were harvested and frozen in liquid N_2. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies Japan, Tokyo, Japan) and purified with an RNeasy Micro kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturers' instructions. Arabidopsis Gene 1.0 ST arrays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for microarray analysis. Quality of RNA was checked using RNA 600 Nano LabChip Kit (Agilent) following the manufacturer's protocol. Sense-strand DNA target preparation was performed with WT Expression Kit (Ambion) and GeneChip WT Terminal labeling Kit and Controls Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocols. Hybridization, washing, and staining procedures were performed on Fluidics Station 450 (Affymetrix) with GeneChip Hybridization, Wash, and Stain Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). GeneChips were scanned with GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G. Gene-level RMA sketch normalization was performed was performed using standard settings for GeneChip Gene 1.0 ST arrays on Expression Console Version 1.3 (Affymetrix). Microarray data were quantified using Transcriptome Analysis Console 4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Expression levels were compared between Col-0 and *adf4* and between Col-0 and *ADF1-4Ri* by calculating average log2 ratios. Significantly altered genes were defined as those with a fold change of ≥2 or ≤-2 from Col-0 for both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. GO analysis was performed using PANTHER 17.0 (Released 20220223).

qRT-PCR

Mature leaves were harvested from 4-week-old plants and frozen in liquid N_2. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies Japan, Tokyo, Japan) in accordance with the

429 manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized with the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix
430 with gDNA Remover (TOYOBO) using 100 ng of total RNA. qRT-PCR was carried out using
431 THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) on 7300 Real Time PCR Systerm (Applied
432 Biosysterms). *ACT8* (At1g49240) was used as internal control gene for normalization. Primer
433 information is shown in Table S3.
434
435

REFERENCES

An, Y-Q., McDowell, J.M., Huang, S., McKinney, E.C., Chambliss, S., and Meagher, R.B.
(1996) Strong, constitutive expression of the *Arabidopsis ACT2/ACT8* actin subclass in
vegetative tissues. Plant J. 10, 107-121.
Axelsen, K.B., and Palmgren, M.G. (2001) Inventory of the superfamily of P-type ion pumps in
Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 126, 696-706.
Baarlink, C., Wang, H., and Grosse, R. (2013) Nuclear actin network assembly by formins
regulates the SRF coactivator MAL. Science 340, 864-867.
Burgos-Rivera, B., Ruzicka, D.R., Deal, R.B., McKinney, E.C., King-Reid, L., and Meagher,
R.B. (2008) *ACTIN DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR9* controls development and gene expression
in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Mol Biol. 68, 619-632.
Cartagena, J.A., Matsunaga, S., Seki, M., Kurihara, D., Yokoyama, M., Shinozaki, K. et al.
(2008) The *Arabidopsis* SDG4 contributes to the regulation of pollen tube growth by methylation
of histone H3 lysines 4 and 36 in mature pollen. Dev. Biol. 315, 355-368.
Chen, K., Fan, B., Du, L., and Chen, Z. (2004) Activation of hypersensitive cell death by
pathogen-induced receptor-like protein kinases from *Arabidopsis*. Plant Mol. Biol. 56, 271-283.
Clément, M., Ketelaar, T., Rodiuc, N., Banora, M.Y., Smertenko, A., Engler, G., et al. (2009)
Actin-Depolymerizing Factor2-mediated actin dynamics are essential for root-knot nematode
infection of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 21, 2963-2979.
Davidson, P.M., and Cadot, B. (2021) Actin on and around the nucleus. Trend. Cell Biol. 31,
211-223.
Devoto, A., Ellis, C., Magusin, A., Chang, H-S., Chilcott, C., Zhu, T., and Turner, J.G. (2005)
Expression profiling revelas COI1 to be a key regulator of genes involved in wound- and methyl
jasmonate-induced secondary metabolism, defence, and hormone interactions. Plant Mol. Biol.
58, 497-513.
Dong, C-H., Xia, G-X., Hong, Y., Ramachandran, S., Kost, B., and Chua, N-H. (2001) ADF
proteins are involved in the control of flowering and regulate F-actin organization, cell expansion
and organ growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 13, 1333-1346.
Dubos, C., Stracke, R., Grotewold, E., Weisshaar, B., Martin C., and Lepniec, L. (2010) MYB
transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. Trend. Plant Sci. 15, 573-581.
Eggermont, L., Verstraeten, B., and Van Damme E.J.M. (2017) Genome-wide screening for
lectin motifs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Genome 10. Doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2017.02.0010

Fick, A., Swart, V., and van den Berg, N. (2022) The ups and downs of plant NLR expression
during pathogen infection. Front. Plant Sci. 13, 921148.
Fransz, P., de Jong, J.H., Lysak, M., Castiglione, M.R., and Schubert, I. (2002) Interphase
chromosomes in *Arabidopsis* are organized as well defined chromocenters from which
euchromatin loops emanate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 99, 14584-14589.
Fransz, P., Soppe, W., and Schubert, I. (2003) Heterochromatin in interphase nuclei in
Arabidopsis thaliana. Chrom. Res. 11, 227-240.
Fu, Y., Duan, X., Tang, C., Li, X., Voegele, R.T., Wang, X. et al. (2014) TaADF7, an actin-
depolymerizing factor, contributes to wheat resistance against *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici*.
Plant J. 78, 16-30.
Han, Y., Watanabe, S., Shimada, H., and Sakamoto, A. (2020) Dynamics of the leaf endoplasmic
reticulum modulate β-glucosidase-mediated stress activated ABA production from its glucosyl
ester. J. Exp. Bot. 71, 2058-2071.
Henty, J.L., Bledsoe, S.W., Khurana, P., Meagher, R.B., Day, B., Blanchoin, L., et al. (2011)
Arabidopsis Actin Depolymerizing Factor 4 modulates the stochastic dynamic behavior of actin
filaments in the cortical array of epidermal cells. Plant Cell 23, 3711-3726.
Henty-Ridilla, J.L., Li, J., Blanchoin, L., and Staiger, C.J. (2013) Actin dynamics in the cortical
array of plant cells. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 16, 678-687.
Hoskins, V.E., Smith, K., and Reddy, K.L. (2021) The shifting shape of genomes: dynamics of
heterochromatin interactions at the nuclear lamina. Curr. Opin. Gene. Dev. 67, 163-173.
Hurst, V., Shimada, K., and Gasser, S.M. (2019) Nuclear actin and actin-binding proteins in
DNA repair. Trend. Cell Biol. 29, 462-476.
Imaizumi, C., Tomatsu, H., Kitazawa, K., Yoshimi, Y., Shibano, S., Kikuchi, K. et al. (2017)
Heterologous expression and characterization of an Arabidopsis β-$_L$-arabinopyranosidase and α-
$_D$-galactosidases acting on β-$_L$-arabinopyranosyl residues. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 4651-4661.
Inada, N., Higaki, T., and Hasezawa, S. (2016) Nuclear function of subclass I Actin
Depolymerizing Factor contributes to susceptibility in Arabidopsis to an adapted powdery
mildew fungus. Plant Physiol. 170, 1420-1434.
Inada, N. (2017) Plant Actin Depolymerizing Factor – Actin microfilament disassembly and
more. J. Plant Res. 130, 227-238.
Inada, N., Takahashi, N., and Umeda, M. (2021) Arabidopsis thaliana subclass I ACTIN
DEPOLYMERIZING FACTORs and vegetative ACTIN2/8 are novel regulators of
endoreplication. J. Plant Res. 134, 1291-1300.
Jansson, S. (1999) A guide to the Lhc genes and their relatives in Arabidopsis. Trend. Plant Sci.
4, 236-240.
Kandasamy, M.K., McKinney, E.C., and Meagher, R.B. (2009) A single vegetative actin
isovariant overexpressed under the control of multiple regulatory sequences in sufficient for
normal Arabidopsis development. Plant Cell 21, 701-718.
Kandasamy, M.K., McKinney, E.C., and Meagher, R.B. (2010) Differential sublocalization of
actin variants within the nucleus. Cytoskeleton 67, 729-743.

Kanellos, G., Zhou, J., Patel, H., Ridgway, R.A., Huels, D., Gurniak, C.B. et al. (2015) ADF and
Cofilin1 control actin stress fibers, nuclear integrity, and cell survival. Cell Rep. 13, 1949-1964.
Kapoor, P., and Shen, X. (2014) Mechanisms of nuclear actin in chromatin remodeling
complexes. Trend. Cell Biol. 24, 238-246.
Lu, K., Liang, S., Wu, Z., Bi, C., Yu, Y-T., Wang, X-F. et al. (2016) Overexpression of an
Arabidopsis cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase, CRK5, enhances abscisic acid sensitivity
and confers drought tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 5009-5027.
McDowell, J.M., Huang, S., McKinney, E.C., An, Y-Q., and Meagher, R.B. (1996a) Structure
and evolution of the actin gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics 142, 587-602.
McDowell, J.M., An, Y-Q., Huang, S., McKinney, E.C., and Meagher, R.B. (1996b) The
Arabidopsis *ACT7* actin gene is expressed in rapidly developing tissues and responds to several
external stimuli. Plant Physiol. 111, 699-711.
McDowell, J.M., Cuzick, A., Can, C., Beynon, J., Dangl, J.L., and Holub, E.B. (2000) Downy
mildew (*Peronospora parasitica*) resistance genes in Arabidopsis vary in functional
requirements for *NDR1*, *EDS1*, *NPR1* and salicylic acid accumulation. Plant J. 22, 523-529.
McKinney, E.C., and Meagher, R.C. (1998) Members of the Arabidopsis actin gene family are
widely dispersed in the genome. Genetics 149, 663-675.
Mlynárová, L., Nap, L-P., and Besseling, T. (2007) The SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling gene
AtCHR12 mediates temporary growth arrest in Arabidopsis thaliana upon perceiving
environmental stress. Plant J. 51, 874-885.
Mondal, H.A., Louis, J., Archer, L., Patel, M., Nalam, V.J., Sivapalan, V., et al. (2018)
Arabidopsis *ACTIN-DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR3* is required for controlling aphid feeding
from the phloem. Plant Physiol.176, 879-890.
Nishioka, S., Sakamoto, T., and Matsunaga, S. (2020) Role of BRAHMA and its interacting
partners in plant chromatin remodeling. Cytologia 85, 263-267.
Ogasawara, K., Yamada, K., Christeller, J.T., Kondo, M., Hatsugai, N., Hara-Nishimura, I. et al.
(2009) Constitutive and inducible ER bodies of *Arabidopsis thaliana* accumulate distinct β-
glucosidases. Plant Cell Physiol. 50, 480-488.
Ortega-Ortega, Y., Carrasco-Castilla, J., Juárez-Verdayes, M.A., Toscano-Morales, R., Fonseca-
García, C., Nava, N., et al. (2020) Actin Depolymerizing Factor modulates rhizobial infection
and nodule organogenesis in common bean. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 1970.
Percipalle, P., and Vartiainen, M. (2019) Cytoskeletal proteins in the cell nucleus: a special
nuclear actin perspective. Mol. Biol. Cell 30, 1781-1785.
Pietrzykowska, M., Suorsa, M., Semchonok, D.A., Tikkanen, M., Boekema, E.J., Aro, E-M., et
al. (2014) The light-harvesting chrolophyll *a/b* binding proteins Lhcb1 and Lhcb2 play
complementary roles during state transitions in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 26, 3646-3660.
Pok Man Ngou, B., Ding, P., and Jones, J.D.G. (2022) Thirty years of resistance : Zig-zag
through the plant immune system. Plant Cell 34, 1447-1478.
Pontvianne, F.,and Grob, S. (2020) Three-dimensional nuclear organization in *Arabidopsis*
thaliana. J. Plant Res. 133, 479-488.

Porter, K., and Day, B. (2013) Actin branches out to link pathogen preception and host gene regulation. Plant Sign. Behav. 8, e23468.

Porter, K., and Day, B. (2016) From filaments to function: the role of the plant actin cytoskeleton in pathogen perception, signaling and immunity. J. Intergr. Plant Biol. 58, 299-311.

Porter, K., Shimono, M., Tian, M., and Day, B. (2012) Arabidopsis Actin-Depolymerizing Factor-4 links pathogen perception, defense activation and transcription to cytoskeletal dynamics. PLOS Pathogens 8, e1003006.

Qian, D., Zhang, Z., He, J., Zhang, P., Ou, X., Li, T., et al. (2019) Arabidopsis ADF5 promotes stomatal closure by regulating actin cytoskeleton remodeling in response to ABA and drought stress. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 435-446.

Reyes, J.C. (2014) The many faces of plant SWI/SNF complex. Mol. Plant 7, 454-458.

Ruzicka, D.R., Kandasamy, M.K., McKinney, E.C., Burgos-Rivera, B., and Meagher, R.B. (2007) The ancient subclasses of Arabidopsis ACTIN DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR genes exhibit novel and differential expression. Plant J. 52, 460-472.

Sakamoto, T., Sakamoto, Y., Grob, S., Slane, D., Yamashita, T., Ito, N. et al. (2022) Two-step regulation of centromere distribution by condensin II and the nuclear envelope proteins. Nat. Plants 8, 940-953.

Saksouk, N., Simboeck, E., and Déjardin, J. (2015) Constitutive heterochromatin formation and transcription in mammals. Epigene. Chrom. 8, 3.

Sasaki-Sekimoto, Y., Taki, N., Obayashi, T., Aono, M., Matsumoto, F., Sakurai, N. et al. (2005) Coodinated activation of metabolic pathways for antioxidants and defence compounds by jasmonates and their roles in stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 44, 653-668.

Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671–675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089

Sengupta, S., Mangu, V., Sanchez, L., Bedre, R., Joshi, R., Rajasekaran, K., et al. (2019) An actin-depolymerizing factor from the halophyte smooth cordgrass, *Spartina alterniflora* (SaADF2), is superior to its rice homolog (OsADF2) in conferring drought and salt tolerance when constitutively overexpressed in rice. Plant Biotech. J. 17, 188-205.

Sun, Y., Zhong, M., Li, Y., Zhang, R., Su, L., Xia, G., et al. (2021) *GhADF6*-mediated actin reorganization is associated with defence against *Verticillium dahliae* infection in cotton. Mol. Plant Pathol. 22, 1656-1667.

Sweat, T.A., and Wolpert, T.J. (2007) Thioredoxin *h5* is required for victorin sensitivity mediated by a CC-NBS-LRR gene in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 19, 673-687.

Takemoto, D., Jones, D.A., and Hardham, A.R. (2003) GFP-tagging of cell components reveals the dynamics of subcellular re-organization in response to infection of *Arabidopsis* by oomycete pathogens. Plant J. 33, 775-792.

Tamura, K., Goto, C., and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2015) Recent advances in understanding plant nuclear envelope proteins involved in nuclear morphology. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 1641-1647.

Tang, C., Deng, L., Chen, S., Wang, X., and Kang, Z. (2016) TaADF3, an Actin-Depolymerizing
Factor, negatively modulates wheat resistance against *Puccinia striiformis.* Front. Plant Sci. 6,
1214.

Tian, M., Chaudhry, F., Ruzicka, D.R., Meagher, R.B., Staiger, C.J., and Day, B. (2009)
Arabidopsis Actin-Depolymerizing Factor AtADF4 mediates defense signal transduction
triggered by the *Pseudomonas syringae* effector AvrPphB. Plant Physiol. 150, 815-824.

Xie, X., Almuzzaini, B., Drou, N., Kremb, S., Yousif, A., Farrants, A-K.Ö., et al. (2018) β-
Actin-dependent global chromatin organization and gene expression programs control cellular
identity. FASEB J. 32, 1296-1314.

Xu, K., Zhao, Y., Zhao, S., Liu, H., Wang, W., Zhang, S., and Yang, X. (2021) Genome-wide
identification and low temperature responsive pattern of actin depolymerizing factor (ADF) gene
family in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Front. Plant Sci. 12, 618984.

Yeh, Y-H., Chang, Y-H., Huang, P-Y., Huang, J-B., and Zimmerli, L. (2015) Enhanced
Arabidopsis pattern-triggered immunity by overexpression of cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases.
Front. Plant Sci. 6, 322.

Yen, J.C., Chang, F.J., and Chang, S. (1995) A new criterion for automatic multilevel
thresholding. IEEE Trans. on Image Processing 4, 370-378.

Wang, L., Qiu, T., Yue, J., Guo, N., He, Y., Han, Y., et al. (2021) *Arabidopsis ADF1* is regulated
by MYB73 and is involved in response to salt stress affecting actin filament organization. Plant
Cell Physiol. 62, 1387-1395.

Wiggan, O., Chroder, B., Krapf, D., Bamburg, J.R., and DeLuca, J.G. (2017) Cofilin regulates
nuclear architecture through a myosin-II dependent mechanotransduction module. Sci. Rep. 7,
40953.

Zhang, B., Hua, Y., Wang, J., Huo, Y., Shimono, M., Day, M., et al. (2017) *TaADF4*, an actin-
depolymerizing factor from wheat, is required for resistance to the stripe rust pathogen *Puccinia*
striiformis f. sp. *tritici*. Plant J. 89, 1210-1224.

Zhu, Q-H., Stephen, S., Kazan, K., Jin, G., Fan, L., Taylor, J. et al. (2013) Characterization of
the defense transcriptome responsive to *Fusarium oxysporum*-infection in *Arabidopsis* using
RNA-seq. Gene 512, 259-266.

Table 1. A list of *NLR* genes that differently expressed in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*.

	Upregulated	Downregulated
in *adf4* only	AT5G47280	AT1G33560
		AT1G58400
		AT1G66090
		AT1G72890
		AT5G05400
in *ADF1-4Ri* only	AT1G57650	AT3G44400
	AT1G72900	AT3G44480
	AT4G16960	AT3G44670
	AT5G04720	AT4G16860
	AT5G18360	AT4G16930
	AT5G45440	AT4G19500
	AT5G46490	AT4G19510
	AT5G47260	AT4G19520
		AT4G19530
		AT4G27220
		AT5G17880
		AT5G17890
		AT5G36930
		AT5G41740
		AT5G41750
		AT5G43725
		AT5G43740
		AT5G45230
		AT5G45490
		AT5G48620
		AT5G51630
in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*		AT1G12220
		AT1G15890
		AT1G56520
		AT1G58602
		AT1G58807
		AT1G58848
		AT1G59124

AT1G59218
AT1G61180
AT1G61190
AT1G61300
AT1G61310
AT1G62630
AT1G63350
AT1G63860
AT1G63880
AT1G72840
AT1G72850
AT1G72852
AT1G72910
AT1G72920
AT1G72930

620
621

622
623
Figure 1. The size of chromocenters was reduced in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* mature leaves. The
fluorescence micrographs of DAPI-stained nuclei in epidermal cells of mature leaves in Col-0
(**A**), *adf4* (**B**), and *ADF1-4Ri* (**C**). Dotted lines indicate nucleoli. Bar indicates 5 μm. (**D**)
Numbers of chromocenters, (**E**) the size of nuclei, (**F**) the size of chromocenters, (**G**) the
percentage of chromocenter area in nuclei, (**H**) the size of chromocenters located at the nuclear
periphery, and (**I**) the size of chromocenters located near the nucleoli in Col-0, *adf4*, and *ADF1-
4Ri* were quantified using fluorescence micrographs. Comparison with Col-0 was performed by
Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate that there is a significant difference (* $P<0.05$).

632
633

634
635
Figure 2. The size of chromocenters was less affected in cotyledons of *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*. The
fluorescence micrographs of DAPI-stained nuclei in epidermal cells of cotyledons in Col-0 (**A**),
adf4 (**B**), and *ADF1-4Ri* (**C**). (**D**) The size of chromocenters in Col-0, *adf4*, and *ADF1-4Ri*
cotyledons. The fluorescence micrographs of DAPI-stained nuclei in epidermal cells of 1st leaves
in Col-0 (**E**), *adf4* (**F**), and *ADF1-4Ri* (**G**). (**H**) The size of chromocenters in Col-0, *adf4*, and
ADF1-4Ri 1st leaves. Bars indicate 5 μm. Comparison with Col-0 was performed by Student's *t*-
test. Asterisks indicate that there is a significant difference (* *P*<0.05).
643
644

645
646
Figure 3. The size of chromocenters was increased in *act7* mature leaves. The fluorescence
micrographs of DAPI-stained nuclei in epidermal cells of mature leaves in Col-0 (**A**), *act2/8* (**B**),
and *act7* (**C**). Dotted lines indicate nucleoli. Bar indicates 5 μm. (**D**) Numbers of chromocenters,
(**E**) the size of nuclei, (**F**) the size of chromocenters, (**G**) the percentage of chromocenter area in
nuclei, (**H**) the size of chromocenters located at the nuclear periphery, and (I) the size of
chromocenters located near the nucleoli in Col-0, *act2/8*, and *act7* were quantified using
fluorescence micrographs. Comparison with Col-0 was performed by Student's *t*-test. Asterisks
indicate that there is a significant difference (* *P*<0.05).
655
656

657
658
659 **Figure 4.** Microarray analysis showed altered expressions of many genes in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
660 mature leaves. Venn diagrams of upregulated (**A**) and downregulated (**B**) genes in *adf4* and
661 *ADF1-4Ri*. (**C**) GO analysis for genes that showed altered expression in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*
662 (genes including both upregulated and downregulated genes).
663
664

665
666
Figure 5. qRT-PCR confirmed alteration in gene expression in *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri* shown by
microarray analysis. The expressions of At1g13210 (**A**), At1g18710 (**B**), At1g52000 (**C**),
At1g52400 (**D**), At1g45145 (**E**), and At3g45860 (**F**) were upregulated in both *adf4* and *ADF1-
4Ri*. The expression of At1g58602 (**G**), At1g58848 (**H**), At1g61300 (**I**), At2g05070 (**J**),
At2g05540(**K**), and At3g26380 (**L**) were downregulated in both *adf4* and *ADF1-4Ri*.

Comparison with Col-0 was performed by Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate that there is a

significant difference (* *P*<0.05).