1	Cover Page
2 3	Title: Long-Distance Electrical and Calcium Signals Evoked by Hydrogen Peroxide in Physcomitrella
4	Short title: Hydrogen peroxide-evoked signals in Physcomitrella
5	Corresponding author: M. Koselski
6 7 8	Department of Plant Physiology and Biophysics, Institute of Biological Sciences, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Akademicka 19, Lublin/20-033, Poland, Telephone: (+48)815375955, Email address: mateusz.koselski@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl
9	Subject areas: cell-cell interaction, membranes and transport
10	Number of black and white figures: 3
11	Number of colour figures: 3
12	Number of tables: 3
13	Number of supplementary materials: 5 figures, 7 videos, 1 table
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

30	Title Page
31 32	Title: Long-Distance Electrical and Calcium Signals Evoked by Hydrogen Peroxide in Physcomitrella
33	Short title: Hydrogen peroxide-evoked signals in Physcomitrella
34 35	Mateusz Koselski ¹ *, Sebastian N. W. Hoernstein ² , Piotr Wasko ¹ , Ralf Reski ^{2,3} , Kazimierz Trebacz ¹
36 37 38 39 40 41	 Department of Plant Physiology and Biophysics, Institute of Biological Sciences, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin, 20-033 Poland Plant Biotechnology, Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, Schaenzlestrasse 1, 79104, Freiburg, Germany Signalling Research Centres BIOSS and CIBSS, Schaenzlestrasse 18, 79104, Freiburg, Germany
42	Corresponding author: Mateusz Koselski
43	Email address: mateusz.koselski@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl
44	
45	ORCID-IDs:
46	Mateusz Koselski: 0000-0002-7375-9136
47	Sebastian N. W. Hoernstein: 0000-0002-2095-689X
48	Piotr Wasko: 0009-0001-1605-9096
49	Ralf Reski: 0000-0002-5496-6711
50	Kazimierz Trębacz: 0000-0001-5642-9955
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60 Abstract

Electrical and calcium signals in plants are one of the basic carriers of information transmitted 61 over a long distance. Together with reactive oxygen species (ROS) waves, electrical and 62 calcium signals can participate in cell-to-cell signaling, conveying information about different 63 stimuli, e.g. abiotic stress, pathogen infection, or mechanical injury. There is no information 64 on the ability of ROS to evoke systemic electrical or calcium signals in the model moss 65 Physcomitrella and on the relationships between these responses. Here, we show that external 66 application of hydrogen peroxide evokes electrical signals in the form of long-distance 67 changes in the membrane potential, which transmit through the plant instantly after 68 stimulation. The responses were calcium dependent, since their generation was inhibited by 69 lanthanum, a calcium channel inhibitor (2 mM) or EDTA, a calcium chelator (0.5 mM). The 70 electrical signals were partially dependent on glutamate receptor ion channels (GLR), since 71 the knockout of GLR genes only slightly reduced the amplitude of the responses. The basal 72 part of the gametophyte, which is rich in protonema cells, was the most sensitive to hydrogen 73 peroxide. The measurements carried out on the protonema expressing fluorescent calcium 74 75 biosensor GCaMP3 proved that. We also demonstrate upregulation of a stress-related gene which appears in a distant section of the moss 8 minutes after H_2O_2 treatment. The results 76 77 help to understand the importance of both types of signals in the transmission of information about the appearance of ROS in the plant cell apoplast. 78

79

80 Keywords: calcium imaging, cell-to-cell signaling, cell excitability, glutamate receptor,

81 hydrogen peroxide, stress-related genes

83 Introduction

As an early terrestrial plant, the moss Physcomitrella (new botanical name Physcomitrium 84 *patens*), from the beginning of the adaptation to land conditions, had to cope with exposure to 85 UV radiation, ozone, wounding, and many other abiotic and biotic stressors that enhance the 86 synthesis of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Rensing et al., 2020, 2008; Tucker et al., 2005). 87 Physcomitrella is an emerging model plant with a fully sequenced genome (Rensing et al., 88 2008; Lang et al., 2018). It is widely used to study defense mechanisms against environmental 89 stress factors (Frank et al., 2005; Hoernstein et al., 2023; Koselski et al., 2019; Saidi et al., 90 2009). It was previously demonstrated in our lab that Physcomitrella is capable of generating 91 action potentials (APs) after illumination with light of sufficient (over-threshold) intensity, 92 cooling, glutamate (Glu) treatment, etc. (Koselski et al., 2020, 2019, 2008). 93 Electrophysiological experiments with the application of ion channel inhibitors and 94 manipulation of ion gradients across the plasma membrane indicated that Ca²⁺ fluxes from 95 external and internal stores were involved in the generation of APs in Physcomitrella. They 96 interacted with K⁺ and, to a lesser extent, with Cl⁻ fluxes. Our recent study on GCaMP 97 mutants allowing monitoring of changes in the cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} concentration ($[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$) 98 demonstrated that local Glu application caused an increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_{cvt}$ confined to the site of 99 100 the Glu treatment, whereas AP was transmitted to distant cells (Koselski et al., 2020). This was rather an unexpected result, because in other plant species examined before, action 101 potentials or variation potentials (VPs, known also as Slow Wave Potentials, SWPs) spread 102 together with Ca²⁺ waves and seem mutually dependent (Choi et al., 2017, 2014; Gilroy et al., 103 104 2016). In the model vascular plant Arabidopsis thaliana two glutamate receptors AtGLR3.3 and AtGLR3.6 were identified as key players in wound-induced SWP generation and 105 106 transmission (Farmer et al., 2020; Mousavi et al., 2013). Both genes are predominantly expressed in vascular tissues: phloem and xylem contact cells, respectively. Glutamate (and 107 108 other amino acids) released from wounded tissues binds to the Ligand Binding Domain (LBD) subunit of the glutamate receptor-like channels facilitating opening of the channel pore 109 and in consequence initiating a Ca^{2+} wave and other downstream responses, like jasmonate 110 (JA) key genes and other stress-related gene expressions (Grenzi et al., 2022). 111

According to the recent findings, in vascular plants, in addition to the ion fluxes facilitating regenerative $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ wave formation and transmission, the ROS based component supplements the long-distance signaling system (Baxter et al., 2014; Gilroy et al., 2016; Kurusu et al., 2015; Marcec et al., 2019). NADPH oxidases (respiratory burst oxidase

homologs, RBOHs) localized in the plasma membrane seem to be good candidates to fit this 116 scheme. RBOHs cause reduction of oxygen to superoxide anion (O_2^{-}) , which is quickly 117 converted to H_2O_2 . They possess EF-hand motives which activate them upon binding of Ca²⁺ 118 to enhance ROS production (Marcec et al., 2019; Wojtaszek, 1997). Before the machinery of 119 ROS scavenging reduces the ROS level back to normal, its temporary excess affects many 120 metabolic and signaling pathways and their components. H₂O₂ produced in the apoplast can 121 be quickly transported to the cytosol via aquaporins (Tian et al., 2016). Recently, a specific 122 apoplastic H₂O₂ receptor was discovered, Hydrogen-Peroxide-Induced Ca²⁺ Increases 1 123 (HPCA1) (Wu et al. 2020). It is a membrane-spanning protein composed of an extracellular 124 H_2O_2 sensor and a cytoplasmic kinase component, which is postulated to activate Ca²⁺-125 permeable channels after H₂O₂ binding to the sensor (Foyer, 2020; Wu et al., 2020). Thus, ion 126 127 channels can be affected from both sides of the plasma membrane. It was previously 128 demonstrated that ROS can activate ion channels in different plant species classified in different branches of the phylogenetic tree of characean algae (Demidchik et al., 1997) to 129 130 dicots and monocots (Wu et al., 2015).

In vascular plants, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals affect Ca²⁺-permeable channels 131 in the plasma membrane and in the internal store - the vacuole. In Arabidopsis thaliana, two 132 classes of non-selective calcium-permeable channels are postulated to be involved: GLR 133 channels activated by glutamate and cyclic nucleotide-gated channels CNGCs (Finka et al., 134 2012; Mousavi et al., 2013). Additionally, annexin1 has been reported to be involved in ROS-135 induced $[Ca^{2+}]_{cvt}$ elevation (Richards et al., 2014). It has been demonstrated that homologs of 136 137 GLU and CNGC channels were involved in signaling processes in Physcomitrella (Finka and Goloubinoff, 2014; Koselski et al., 2020; Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2017). In addition to calcium-138 permeable channels, K^+ channels in the plasma membrane have been found to respond to an 139 increase in the ROS level (Demidchik et al., 2010). Massive K⁺ efflux in ROS-treated plants 140 was one of the first observations of plant response to this type of stress factors (Demidchik et 141 142 al., 2010; Nassery, 1979). In Arabidopsis, GORK (Guard Cell Outward Rectifying K⁺) and SKOR (Stellar Potassium Outward Rectifier) channels have been identified to be responsible 143 144 for that effect (Demidchik, 2018). These channels are also regarded as good candidates to pass an outward current during the AP repolarization phase in excitable plants (Cuin et al., 145 146 2018).

147 In the present study, we examined the effects of H_2O_2 treatment of intact Physcomitrella. 148 Electrical potential changes and $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$ transients were measured in wild type plants (WT)

and $glr l^{KO}$ mutants treated with H₂O₂. We demonstrated that in the WT plants, H₂O₂ evoked 149 APs recorded both in the gametophyte leaves and protonema. The susceptibility of the 150 protonema to the treatment was much higher than in the leaf cells (0.5 mM versus 5 mM). 151 These signals were blocked by La^{3+} - a calcium channel inhibitor and by EDTA – a Ca^{2+} 152 chelator. In the $glr I^{KO}$ mutants, the electrical signals were reduced but not totally blocked, 153 which reveals that GLR channels are not crucial in H₂O₂-induced membrane potential 154 changes and Ca^{2+} fluxes in Physcomitrella. Involvement of other factors affecting $[Ca^{2+}]_{cvt}$ is 155 discussed. Looking for downstream effects of the signals, we demonstrate an enhanced 156 157 expression of stress-related genes.

158 **Results**

159 Electrical signals in leaf cells

The microelectrode measurements carried out on leaf (phylloid) cells from the Physcomitrella 160 gametophyte proved that the application of hydrogen peroxide evoked systemic electrical 161 signals in the form of membrane-potential changes transmitted along the plant. The long-162 distance responses transmitted from the basal part of the plant (from the rhizoid side) and 163 recorded in apical leaf cells are presented in Fig. 1A. Experiments with different H₂O₂ 164 concentrations indicate an influence of the concentration on amplitude and shape of 165 membrane potential changes recorded during the response. Stimulation of the basal part of the 166 plant with 0.05 mM and 0.1 mM H₂O₂ evoked irregular membrane potential changes with 167 similar amplitude, duration, and rate of depolarization (Fig. 1A, Tab 1). After an increase of 168 H₂O₂ concentration to 0.5 mM, significant changes in basic parameters describing the 169 membrane potential changes were obtained (Fig. 1A, Tab 1). The cell membrane depolarized 170 over twice as fast than in the lower concentrations (14.5±1.6 mV/s, n=18), and reached more 171 172 positive values. The amplitude of the depolarization amounted to 68±3 mV (n=19) and was about 30 mV higher than after treatment with 0.05 and 0.1 mM, H₂O₂, respectively. Another 173 difference occurred in the shape of the responses, where after the peak of depolarization 174 evoked by 0.5 mM H₂O₂, a several-minute plateau of the membrane potential was recorded. 175

Hydrogen peroxide in the concentration of 0.5 mM was used to compare transmission ability of electrical signals in the opposite direction - from the apical to the basal part of the plant (Fig. 1B). The electrical signals transmitted from the apical part of the plant characterized in a lower rate of depolarization $(2.3\pm0.4 \text{ mV/s}, n=8)$, amplitude $(22\pm5 \text{ mV}, n=9)$ and more negative values of maximum depolarization $(-142\pm8 \text{ mV}, n=9)$. The additional feature was an

absence of a characteristic plateau of the membrane potential. The shape of the membrane 181 potential changes resembled the responses obtained after direct stimulation of a cell in the 182 apical part of the plant (Fig. 1C) or removing of the basal part (Fig. 1D), where a 10-fold 183 increase in the H₂O₂ concentration (to 5 mM) evoked responses similar to these transmitted 184 from the basal part after 0.5 mM H₂O₂ administration. These results indicate that the highest 185 susceptibility to hydrogen peroxide occurs in the basal part of the plant having protonema 186 cells and rhizoids, which act as an initial place for the generation of long-distance electrical 187 signals propagated toward the plant apex. The results also showed that an increase of the 188 189 H₂O₂ concentration facilitates generation of fully developed responses resembling action 190 potentials (APs).

Action potentials belong to the basic long-distance electrical signals recorded in plant cells 191 192 whose generation is dependent on an influx of calcium ions into the cytoplasm. We decided to study the dependence of the recorded responses on the presence of an inhibitor of calcium 193 194 channels (2 mM lanthanum) or a calcium chelator (0.5 mM EDTA), respectively (Fig. 2 A, B). Apart from effects of lanthanum and EDTA, the possibility to reverse the evoked effects 195 was also examined. Each plant was stimulated twice - after initial immersion for 3-4 hours in 196 lanthanum or EDTA, and then after the exchange of the solution back to the standard solution. 197 198 Immersion of the plants in lanthanum caused a total blockage of the response in 10 of the 19 tested cells. The responses in the other plants had a significantly reduced amplitude (to 9 ± 2 199 mV, n=9). Lanthanum also shifted the resting potential to positive values (to $-130\pm3\pm$ mV, 200 n=19). The depolarization after the lanthanum application was slower (the rate amounted to 201 0.5±0.2 ±mV/s, n=8) and reached more negative values (-128±5, n=9). After a washout of 202 lanthanum from the measuring chamber, the amplitude of the responses increased to 27±4 mV 203 (n=4), which indicated that inhibition of the responses by lanthanum is partially reversible. 204 EDTA totally blocked the responses in 9 of the 18 tested cells. Similar to lanthanum, EDTA 205 evoked reduction of the amplitude (to 13±4 mV, n=9), shift of the resting potential to more 206 207 positive values (to -122±5-± mV, n=18) and reduction of the rate of depolarization (to 1.4±0.7±mV/s, n=7). The shift of the resting potential after EDTA was partially reversible, 208 209 since a washout resulted in a restoration of the resting potential close to the values measured 210 in standard solution (-144±4 mV, n=18). The comparison of all these parameters of the 211 membrane potential changes is compiled in Table 2.

213

214 Electrical signals in protonema cells

215 The results of the measurements carried out on the leaf cells indicated that it is hard to evoke electrical signals in such cells, and the responses can start mainly from the protonema cells 216 217 and/or rhizoids - probably the target for the action of hydrogen peroxide. In order to study this hypothesis, we decided to examine the effect of H₂O₂ on electrical membrane potential 218 changes in protonema cells. In these measurements, stimulation was carried out by 219 microinjection of H₂O₂ in three regions: initially into a chain of protonema cells adjacent to 220 the tested cell with the inserted microelectrode, then directly into the tested cell, and at the 221 222 end into the gametophyte base.

223 The results confirmed that, although electrical signals in the chain of protonema cells are transmitted from cell to cell, the responses recorded in the same cell differed depending on the 224 225 region of stimulation (Fig. 4, Tab. 3, Supplementary Video S1). The stimulation of the gametophyte base was the most effective, as it evoked electrical signals in each tested cell 226 227 immediately upon stimulation. In comparison to the electrical signals recorded in the leaf cells, the signals from the protonema reached a higher amplitude (81±4 mV, n=8) and 228 229 duration (486±100 s, n=8). Surprisingly, weaker effects were achieved by the direct stimulation of the tested cell or the stimulation of adjacent cells located close to the tested 230 cell. This method of stimulation evoked electrical signals with a smaller amplitude (66±6 mV, 231 n=8) than when H_2O_2 was applied in the gametophyte base. The responses recorded in the 232 protonema after the direct or indirect stimulation also exhibited a low depolarization rate 233 (1.6±0.6 mV/s, n=8), which was lower than the responses to the stimulation of the basal part 234 of the gametophyte $(9.3\pm2.5 \text{ mV/s}, n=8)$ or than those recorded in the leaf cells. Cell-to-cell 235 236 transmission of the electrical signal evoked by stimulation of the single cells from the chain of protonema cells was rarely recorded and occurred only in 2 out of 18 tested plants 237 238 (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Video 2).

One of the candidates responsible for cell-to-cell communication in plants is the GLR receptor, which can act as a non-selective calcium-permeable channel. The participation of the GLR receptor in the transmission of electrical signals recorded in our experiments was tested with the use of a *glr1* mutant of Physcomitrella ($Ppglr^{KO}$). In the $Ppglr1^{KO}$ mutants, as in the wild type (WT), electrical signals propagated from the base of the gametophyte to the leaf and protonema cells (Fig. 3, 4, Supplementary Video S3). In comparison to WT,

electrical signals recorded in the leaf cells of $Ppglrl^{KO}$ had a reduced amplitude (to 46±3 mV, 245 n=26) and a lower depolarization rate (9.6±1.2 mV/s, n=24). The amplitude and rate of 246 depolarization of responses in the protonema cells from Ppglr1^{KO} recorded after the 247 stimulation of the basal gametophyte part reached similar values to those recorded in the WT 248 protonema cells (78±3 mV, n=10 and 8.9±3 mV/s, n=10, respectively). As in the WT 249 protonema cells, the direct or indirect stimulation of the protonema cells from $Ppglrl^{KO}$ 250 evoked a smaller amplitude (63±4 mV, n=10) and a lower depolarization rate (1.1±0.3 mV/s. 251 n=10) than after the stimulation of the basal part of the $Ppglrl^{KO}$ gametophyte. All these 252 changes in the parameters of changes in membrane potential in the protonema cells are 253 254 presented in Table 3.

255 *Calcium signals*

Calcium signals were recorded in plants expressing GCaMP3, i.e. a fluorescent calcium 256 indicator. Fluorescence measurements of calcium signals recorded after the stimulation of the 257 basal part of the plant indicated that the application of 0.5 mM H₂O₂ evoked calcium waves 258 259 which propagated from cell to cell in thread-like protonema cells. In contrast to electrical 260 signals, the calcium signals were slower and appeared a few minutes after the application of the stimulus (Fig. 5, Supplementary Video S4). In some plants, the calcium signals did not 261 262 start at the stimulation site but appeared at some distance from the stimulation, acting as a new source for calcium waves. In such a situation, calcium wave propagation was observed in 263 264 both directions - into and from the stimulation site. The rate of calcium signals propagation along the protonema towards the site of the stimulation was similar to that in the opposite 265 266 direction, reaching 5.5 \pm 0.7 µm/s (n=4) and 5.2 \pm 0.3 µm/s (n=7), respectively. A characteristic 267 trait of some recorded calcium signals was its decrement with distance (Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Video S5). As in the case of electrical signals, the observation of calcium 268 signals in the stimulated leaves was possible after the increase of the hydrogen peroxide 269 concentration to 5 mM (Supplementary Video S6). In turn, in contrast to electrical signals, 270 calcium signals in leaf cells after application of 0.5 mM H₂O₂ to the basal part of the plant 271 were observed occasionally only in several cells from single leaves, but never (in none of 30 272 tested plants) in all leaves (Supplementary Video S7). 273

274 *Expression of genes in distant regions of the moss*

To analyze whether the electrical signal which was triggered upon local treatment would be accompanied by changes in gene expressions in distant tissues, we selected candidate genes

and performed quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). The candidates were selected based on 277 homology to Arabidopsis genes differentially expressed after local stress stimulus (Zandalinas 278 et al., 2019). In that study, a high light stimulus was locally imposed on selected Arabidopsis 279 rosette leaves and changes in gene expression in the locally treated and the distant, non-280 treated leaves (local and systemic response, respectively) were investigated by RNAseq 281 analysis. From this published list, we selected candidate genes which were upregulated in the 282 systemic response if their expression was also inducible via H₂O₂ treatment and depended on 283 the function of the respiratory burst oxidase homolog D (RBOHD). Here, the gene encoding a 284 galacturonosyltransferase-like 10 protein (AT3G28340) was 5-fold upregulated 5 minutes 285 after the light stress treatment (Zandalinas et al., 2019). In Physcomitrella, we identified four 286 (Pp3c5_28420V3.1, Pp3c25_14930V3.1, 287 homologous proteins Pp3c16 25090V3.1, Pp3c2_18670V3.1) via BlastP search (Altschul et al., 1997) against the Physcomitrella 288 289 proteome (Lang et al., 2018). In analogy to Zandalinas et al. (2019) we tested their responsiveness to H_2O_2 treatment and submerged entire gametophores in 0.5 mM H_2O_2 for 8 290 291 minutes. Here, we employed hydroponic gametophore cultures and analyzed the expression of the candidate genes with qPCR. Of the four Physcomitrella homologues, only one gene 292 293 (Supplementary Fig S3, Pp3c16_25090V3.1) exhibited a significant increase in gene expression (p = 0.0305) and hence, was selected for further analysis. For Pp3c5_28420V3.1. 294 295 an increase in gene expression was detectable (Supplementary Fig S3) but the difference was not significant. Nevertheless, this gene was included for further experiments. 296

For these two selected candidates we tested whether both genes are regulated in gametophore 297 298 sections distant from a local treatment with H₂O₂. Apices of gametophores were harvested after treatment with 0.5 mM H₂O₂ at the base for 8 min (Supplementary Fig S4) as well as 299 corresponding untreated apices. A significant upregulation of Pp3c16 25090V3.1 (p = 300 0.00184) was observed (Fig. 6) whereas an upregulation of Pp3c5_28420V3.1 was indicated, 301 but was not statistically significant (p = 0.06075). Thus, a local stress stimulus (here: H_2O_2 at 302 303 the gametophore base) triggers alteration of gene expression within 8 minutes in distant 304 sections (here: apex) in Physcomitrella.

305 Discussion

Plant cell signaling is based mainly on generation and transmission of different types of signals including electrical, calcium, and reactive oxygen species (ROS). Investigations of the relationship between these signals allow unraveling signaling pathways triggered in response to biotic and abiotic stresses. In this study, we tried to answer the question whether external
application of ROS (hydrogen peroxide) evokes systemic response in the form of electrical
and calcium signals, to analyze the interdependence of these signals and their impact on gene
expression in distant regions.

The importance of ROS in the plant defense system has been evidenced by many experiments 313 314 (Huang et al., 2019). One of the first studies focused on the involvement of ROS in cell-tocell communication in Arabidopsis (Miller et al., 2009) proved that local stimuli produced 315 ROS waves propagating through the plant at a rate of 8.4 cm/min and the response was 316 dependent on a gene RBOHD (Respiratory Burst Oxidase Homolog D) encoding plant 317 NADPH oxidase involved in the production of ROS. The rate of propagation of such 318 RBOHD-related ROS signals recorded in different tissues ranged from ~400 to 1400 µm/sec 319 320 and was dependent on the type of stress (Choi et al., 2017). Together with propagation of the signal, the accumulation of extracellular ROS was observed along the path of the signal 321 322 (Miller et al., 2009), indicating that each cell along the path is able to activate RBOHD and release ROS, which in turn trigger adjacent cells to carry out the same process. In such an 323 autopropagation process, named ROS-induced ROS-release (RIRR), hydrogen peroxide 324 generated by RBOHD can be regarded as a long-distance signal. However, in the study by 325 Miller et al. (2009), external application of H_2O_2 did not evoke a ROS wave indicating 326 involvement of some other signal molecules in the propagation of the ROS wave. 327

328 Calcium is a candidate for such a signal molecule and together with ROS can cooperate in long-distance transmission of information about stimuli. For example, calcium-dependent 329 330 protein kinase CPK5 playing a role in plant immunity is important for cell-to-cell communication based on ROS waves (Dubiella et al., 2013). There are also other ways of 331 332 activation of RBOH proteins by calcium, including direct binding of this ion to the EF-hand motif on the RBOH protein (Kimura et al., 2012) or binding of phosphatidic acid to the same 333 protein whose accumulation in the cell can be induced by calcium (Zhang et al., 2009). In 334 addition to the calcium-induced ROS release process, ROS-induced calcium release is 335 possible. For example, it has been shown that ROS can activate different calcium permeable 336 channels, e.g. hyperpolarization-activated Ca²⁺ channels in root cells (Demidchik et al., 2007), 337 Ca^{2+} influx channels in guard cells (Pei et al., 2000), or Ca^{2+} permeable channels regulated by 338 annexin1 (Richards et al., 2014). Recent studies carried out on Arabidopsis allowed the 339 340 discovery of a plasma-membrane leucine-rich-repeat receptor kinase, i.e. hydrogen-peroxide induced Ca^{2+} increase 1 (HPCA1), which links the perception of apoplastic H₂O₂ with Ca^{2+} 341

signaling (Wu et al., 2020). One of the most probable mechanisms of the cooperation between calcium and ROS in long-distance transmission of signals is that an increase in cytoplasmic calcium evoked by a local stimulus can induce production of ROS via Ca^{2+} -RBOH interactions and accumulation of ROS in the apoplast. The transport of ROS from the apoplast to the cell, probably carried out by aquaporins or other channels, would evoke ROS-induced calcium release, which in turn could induce ROS production (Gilroy et al., 2014).

As shown by the results of our study, H₂O₂-evoked calcium signals are not systemic 348 responses, such as those observed earlier in P. patens under osmotic stress and salt 349 stimulation (Storti et al., 2018). Calcium waves with a velocity of about 400 µm/s in response 350 to local salt stress were also measured in Arabidopsis (Choi et al., 2014), where blockage of 351 calcium channels by lanthanum inhibited not only the calcium waves but also the ROS-352 353 regulated transcriptional marker (ZAT12), indicating that calcium and ROS waves are closely linked. Assuming the interconnection of calcium signals and ROS waves, it is unlikely that 354 the extracellular application of H₂O₂ in our experiments evoked the ROS wave, since the 355 H₂O₂-evoked calcium signals admittedly appeared at some distance from the stimulation site 356 357 but propagated with a decrement (Fig. 3). The other feature of the H_2O_2 -evoked calcium signals in Physcomitrella, i.e. the slower rate of propagation than in the case of the ROS wave 358 (above 5 µm/s), also indicates a low probability of appearance of a calcium-associated ROS 359 wave. The ability to evoke local calcium signals but not self-propagating calcium waves by 360 the extracellular H₂O₂ application implies engagement of some other factors taking part in the 361 362 transmission of information about such stimuli. It seems that, in *P. patens*, electrical signals, 363 which appear at the moment of stimulation and propagate along the whole plant, are a proper carrier of the information about H₂O₂ enhancement. There still remains the question of the 364 relationships between electrical, calcium, and ROS signals. 365

366 The relationship between ROS and electrical signals was previously confirmed in Arabidopsis mutants lacking RBOHD, where propagating electrical signals after heat stress or high light 367 had a significantly reduced amplitude or were totally blocked in comparison to the wild type 368 (Suzuki et al., 2013). Taking into account the ability of extracellular ROS (mainly hydrogen 369 peroxide) to activate different ion channels (Demidchik, 2018), including calcium-permeable 370 channels which can participate in long-distance electrical signals, it seems that ROS can 371 372 promote electrical signals along the ROS wave path. This hypothesis can be also supported by the similar velocity of different types of plant self-propagating electrical signals to the 373 374 velocity of the ROS wave [like action potentials (20-400 cm/min) and system potentials (5-10

cm/min); (Zimmermann et al., 2009)]. In Physcomitrella, the H₂O₂-evoked electrical signals 375 propagated immediately from the basal part along the whole plant (Fig, 1 and 2), indicating 376 that the signals appeared before the slowly propagating calcium waves. In cooperation with 377 ROS and calcium waves, electrical signals, which are probably the fastest carriers of 378 information, can initiate the whole array of plant defense responses. It is also probable that, by 379 reaching cells distant from the stimulation site, electrical signals initiate a calcium-wave 380 response only in some cells, in which the threshold of calcium signal generation is lower than 381 in other cells. The relevance of this assumption is proved by the features of some calcium 382 383 waves observed in the protonema cells, where at a distance from the stimulation site in the 384 basal part of the plant, only a few protonema cells in the thread-like chain generated calcium signals propagating in two directions - into and out of the site of stimulation. 385

One of the candidates of ion channels participating in long-distance electrical signals in plants 386 are glutamate receptor-like channels (GLR), which was confirmed in experiments carried out 387 on Arabidopsis (Mousavi et al., 2013; Salvador-Recatalà, 2016; Salvador-Recatalà et al., 388 2014). Wound-induced electrical signals recorded in this species were dependent on clade III 389 390 GLRs (GLR 3.3 and GLR 3.6) and played a crucial role in the distal production of jasmonates taking part in plant defense responses (Mousavi et al., 2013). It is a matter of discussion if 391 those channels are directly responsible for Ca^{2+} fluxes since they are predominantly expressed 392 in endomembranes (Farmer et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2018). 393

394 In Physcomitrella, only two GLR genes have been identified (Verret et al., 2010). Both genes (PpGLR1 and PpGLR2) are paralogs to GLR clade III from Arabidopsis (De Bortoli et al., 395 396 2016) and encode channels participating in chemotaxis and reproduction of Physcomitrella 397 (Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2017). A patch-clamp study indicated that GLR1 is a calcium-398 permeable ion channel localized in the cell membrane and partially inhibited by glutamate receptor antagonists (Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2017). These data indicate that GLR1 in 399 Physcomitrella, similar to GLR 3.3 and GLR 3.6 from Arabidopsis, can be important in the 400 transmission of long-distance electrical signals. This hypothesis was not fully confirmed in 401 our study, since H₂O₂ evoked long-distance electrical signals in the Physcomitrella glr1^{KO} 402 mutants; however, the response differed in the amplitude (Tab. 1). Such a "weak" effect of the 403 GLR1 receptor knockout suggests that, in addition to GLR channels, other channels must be 404 405 engaged in the long-distance propagation of ROS-induced electrical signals. The most important channels taking part in the generation of electrical signals in Physcomitrella are 406 probably calcium-permeable, given the blockage of H₂O₂-evoked responses by the calcium 407

channel inhibitor (lanthanum) or the calcium chelator (EDTA) (Fig. 1D). It is also probable 408 409 that, in addition to ion channels in the plasma membrane, a significant role in the transmission of electrical signals is assigned to intracellular calcium channels. One of the best-known 410 411 intracellular channels permeable e.g. to calcium is the two-pore channel 1 (TPC1) located in 412 the vacuolar membrane, the tonoplast (Dadacz-Narloch et al., 2011). A patch-clamp study carried out in our laboratory demonstrated that TPC channels in Physcomitrella vacuoles 413 conduct Ca²⁺ currents (Koselski et al., 2013). Involvement of the channel in the transmission 414 of long-distance calcium waves has been demonstrated (Choi et al., 2014), but there is no 415 416 information about the role of TPC1 in the transmission of electrical signals. As calciumpermeable channels, TPC1 may be involved in the release of calcium from intracellular 417 418 compartments (Qudeimat et al., 2008) leading to calcium-induced calcium release (CICR), a desired phenomenon for long-distance signal transmission, but this assumption arouses 419 controversy (Pottosin et al., 1997; Ward et al., 1994). The role of H₂O₂ in CICR is 420 questionable since MIFE (Microelectrode Ion Flux Estimation) and patch-clamp studies 421 carried out on vacuoles from *Beta vulgaris* demonstrated that H_2O_2 suppressed the Ca²⁺ efflux 422 from the vacuole and slow vacuolar (SV) currents carried by TPC1 (Pottosin et al., 2009). 423

424 Effectively, the treatment with 0.5 mM H₂O₂ at the base of gametophores was sufficient to trigger an increase in gene expression in the apex of a component of the homogalacturonan 425 Pp3c16_25090V3.1). Here, 426 biosynthesis (Fig. 6. the homologous candidate Pp3c5_28420V3.1 was not significantly upregulated although an increasing trend was 427 detectable. This agrees with publicly available data indicating that both genes are not co-428 expressed. Galacturonosyltransferase-like proteins such as Pp3c16_25090V3.1 act in the 429 pectin assembly (homogalacturonan biosynthesis) of the primary cell wall (reviewed in Loix 430 et al., 2017). Under oxidative stress, cell-wall pectins also represent a source for the 431 biosynthesis of ascorbic acid (García-Caparrós et al., 2021; Valpuesta and Botella, 2004), 432 which in turn is used to detoxify ROS such as H_2O_2 . Both selected candidates are homologues 433 434 of an Arabidopsis isoform (AT3G28340) whose gene expression is regulated via ROS waves (Zandalinas et al., 2019). However, it should be noted that Galacturonosyltransferase-like 435 proteins comprise a large gene family with at least 21 members in Arabidopsis and 17 genes 436 437 in Physcomitrella (Van Bel et al., 2022) and clear ortholog relations are not yet resolved. The 438 expression of the Arabidopsis homolog (AT3G28340) was 5-fold increased only in systemic leaves distant from a local high light stress impulse (Zandalinas et al., 2019) and those data 439 440 further indicate that the increase of expression was a response to a ROS wave. In contrast, the

two selected homologues in Physcomitrella were not regulated by high light stress (Supplementary Fig S5) but their expression increased after heat stress. Heat stress in plants is accompanied by the elevated production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as H_2O_2 (reviewed in Mittler et al., 2022). In summary, these data show that the gene expression of at least one of the two Physcomitrella candidates (Pp3c16_25090V3.1) is responsive to ROS. Consequently, the increase of expression in the untreated apex (Fig. 6) was likely based on a propagating ROS-wave.

Taken together, our study demonstrates differences in the generation and propagation of 448 H₂O₂-evoked electrical and calcium signals in the model moss Physcomitrella. Many of the 449 applied variants of measurements indicated that the basal part of the gametophyte is the most 450 excitable region, probably because a large number of protonema cells are juvenile cells from 451 452 an early stage of new gametophyte development. In comparison to the leaf cells, the responses in the protonema exhibited higher amplitudes and lasted longer, which may indicate higher 453 susceptibility of such cells to ROS (Table 2 and 3, Fig. 1 and 4). The main difference between 454 the electrical and calcium signals was the velocity of propagation, which was higher in the 455 456 electrical signals. The other difference was the ability to propagate without a decrement; in contrast to the electrical signals, calcium signals diminished with distance, even if they 457 appeared in some distance from stimuli (Fig. 5, Supplementary Video S4 and S5). Given 458 these differences, we propose that H₂O₂-evoked long-distance electrical signals are the first to 459 reach distant regions of the plant and activate calcium signals, but not in every cell. The 460 protonema cells were the most susceptible to calcium signals, indicating that the signals play 461 462 a key role in young and developing cells. A similar observation was reported in our previous work focused on glutamate-evoked calcium signals (Koselski et al., 2020). Electrical and 463 calcium signals were not the only effect of H_2O_2 application. Gene expression analysis proved 464 that apart from the signals, an increase of stress-related genes expression is observed in not 465 stimulated distant regions of plants (Fig. 6). The increase in the gene expression appeared 466 467 after 8 minutes - the time close to duration of electrical signals. Similar time scale of electrical signals and genes expression, raises the question about interdependence of the both 468 469 phenomena.

470 Materials and methods

471 Cultivation of plant material used for electrophysiological and calcium imaging analysis

Physcomitrella gametophytes were grown on KNOP solid agar medium (Reski and Abel, 472 1985) in 160 mm diameter Petri dishes. The wild-type plants (WT) and knockout glr1 473 mutants ($Ppglrl^{KO}$) were used for the analysis of membrane potential changes in leaf and 474 protonema cells with use of the microelectrode technique. A Physcomitrella mutant 475 expressing GCaMP3 was used for the fluorescence imaging of changes in the calcium 476 concentration. The plants were grown in a growing chamber (Conviron Adaptis A1000, 477 Conviron. Winnipeg, Canada) at a photoperiod of 16/8 light/dark, with light intensity 50 478 μ mol/m² s, and at temperature set to 23°C. 479

480 Cultivation of plant material used for gene expression analysis

Physcomitrella WT protonema (new species name: Physcomitrium patens (Hedw.) Mitt. 481 (Medina et al., 2019); ecotype "Gransden 2004" was cultivated in Knop medium with 482 microelements. Knop medium (pH 5.8) containing 250 mg/L KH₂PO₄, 250 mg/L KCl, 250 483 mg/L MgSO₄ x 7 H₂O, 1,000 mg/L Ca(NO₃)₂ x 4 H₂O and 12.5 mg/L FeSO₄ x 7 H₂O was 484 prepared as described (Reski and Abel, 1985) and 10 mL per litre of a microelement (ME) 485 486 stock solution (309 mg/L H₃BO₃, 845 mg/L MnSO₄ x 1 H₂O, 431 mg/L ZnSO₄ x 7 H₂O, 41.5 mg/L KI, 12.1 mg/L Na₂MoO₄ x 2 H₂O, 1.25 mg/L CoSO₄ x 5 H₂O, 1.46 mg/L Co(NO₃)₂ x 6 487 H₂O) as described (Egener et al., 2002; Schween et al., 2003). The suspension culture was 488 489 dispersed weekly with an ULTRA-TURRAX (IKA) at 18,000 rpm for 90 s.

490 Hydroponic cultures of Physcomitrella gametophores were cultivated as described in Hoernstein et al. (2023). Glass rings covered with mesh (PP, 250 m mesh, 215 m thread, Zitt 491 Thoma GmbH, Freiburg, Germany) were prepared as described in Erxleben et al. (2012). 492 Protonema suspension was adjusted to a final density of 440 mg/L (dry weight per volume) as 493 described (Decker et al., 2017) and evenly distributed in equal volumes on the mesh surface. 494 Glass rings covered with protonema were placed in Magenta®Vessels (Sigma-Aldrich, St. 495 Louis, USA). KnopME medium was supplemented until it touched the bottom of the mesh. 496 The medium was changed every 4 weeks. 497

- Suspension cultures and hydroponic cultures were cultivated under standard light conditions
 (55 µmol photons/m²s) at 22°C in a 16h/8h light/dark cycle.
- 500 Measurements of membrane potential in leaf cells

501 The method of membrane-potential measurements with microelectrodes was similar to that 502 employed in Koselski et al. (2020). Plastic Petri dishes used in the microelectrode

measurements were divided into two chambers with a barrier. The barrier had a small (1 mm 503 width) gap sealed with Vaseline. Before the experiments the plants were incubated for 3-6 504 hours at light intensity of 50 μ mol/m² in a bath solution containing (in mM) 1 KCl, 1 CaCl₂, 505 50 sorbitol, and 2 HEPES, pH 7.5 (buffered by Tris). The stimuli were introduced by 506 application of 500 µL of a bath solution supplemented with 0.5 mM hydrogen peroxide. The 507 lanthanum chloride or EDTA influence on the H₂O₂-evoked responses described in this study 508 was assessed by application of one of these substances into one of the Petri dish 509 compartments containing the basal part of the plant. Borosilicate glass capillaries (1B150F-6, 510 511 World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, USA) were used to make micropipettes with the use of a P-30 micropipette puller (Shutter Instrument Co., Novato, USA), filled with 100 mM 512 513 KCl, and connected to the FD223 electrometer (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, USA). A Sensapex SMX (Sensapex, Oulu, Finland) electronic micromanipulator was used for 514 515 positioning and insertion of the microelectrode. The reference electrode was composed of an Ag/AgCl₂ wire inside a plastic tube filled with 100 mM KCl and ending with a porous tip. 516 517 The measured data were acquired by a Lab-Trax-4 device (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, USA) working with LabScribe2 software, which also allowed analyses of the data. 518 519 The analysis of statistical differences was performed in SigmaStat 4.0 (Systat Software Inc., 520 California, USA). Recordings of changes in the membrane potential were recorded with 2 Hz data collection frequency. Figures were prepared with the use of Sigma Plot 9.0 (Systat 521 Software Inc., California, USA) and CorelDraw12 (Corel Corporation, Ottawa, Canada) 522 software. 523

524 Measurements of membrane changes in protonema cells

525 The plants were prepared in the same way as for the measurements carried out on the leaves. The microelectrode was positioned and inserted using a PatchStar (Scientifica, East Sussex, 526 527 UK) micromanipulator and observed under an Olympus IX71 (Tokyo, Japan) microscope with a camera (Artcam-500MI, Tokyo, Japan) working with QuickPhoto Camera software 528 (version 2.3, Promicra, Prague, Czech Republic). A CellTram Vario microinjector 529 (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with a borosilicate glass micropipette (with a diameter of 530 about 3 µm) was used for the application of H₂O₂ onto the cell surface. Likewise, in our 531 previous paper (Koselski et al. 2020), 1 mM methyl blue was used for staining of the 532 stimulating solution, which allows observation of its dispersion. Live recording of membrane 533 potentials visible in LabScribe3 software and microscope camera images were recorded by 534 OBS software (ver. 23.2.1; Open Broadcaster Software, Massachusetts, USA). 535

536 Fluorescence calcium imaging

Films and images of calcium concentration changes in the GCaMP3 P. patens mutants were 537 recorded with the use of NIS-Elements AR software (ver.5.20.00 Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) 538 working with a Nikon Eclipse Ti fluorescence microscope equipped with a Nikon Plan UW 539 2X WD:7.5 objective and a Nikon DS-Ri2 camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The excitation of 540 541 fluorescence was provided by a Prior lumen 200 metal arc lamp (Prior Scientific Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, England) and a 495-nm dichroic mirror with a standard GFP excitation filter 542 470 ± 20 nm. Images of fluorescence emission were recorded with 1-s exposure and a 543 standard GFP fluorescence emission barrier filter 525 ± 50 nm (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). 544 Stimulation of the plants was carried out by microinjection using a CellTram Vario 545 microinjector (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The standard solution supplemented with 546 547 H_2O_2 was visible due to tinting by 0.025 µM fluorescein.

548 Treatment of plants used for gene expression analysis

Gametophores from hydroponic cultures were used for the treatment with H₂O₂. Half of the 549 gametophores from one glass ring were cut approximately in the middle and only the upper 550 half of the gametophores (~100 mg) was used as control sample. Cut gametophore apices 551 were gently dried by dabbing with filter paper. The glass ring with the remaining uncut 552 gametophores was transferred into a new Magenta[®]Vessel containing KnopME with 0.5 mM 553 H₂O₂. After eight minutes, the upper half of the remaining uncut gametophores (treated 554 sample) was harvested as described before. In total, gametophores from four independent 555 hydroponic cultures (four biological replicates) were sampled. 556

557 RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

Extraction of RNA was done with the innuPREP Plant RNA Kit (Analytic Jena, Jena, 558 Germany) using the extraction buffer "PL". 5 µg total RNA were treated with DNAseI 559 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.) at 37°C for 1 hour and integrity of 560 the RNA was checked on Agarose gels. 2 µg DNAseI digested RNA were used for reverse 561 transcription using the TaqManTM Reverse Transcription kit (N8080234, Thermo Fisher 562 Scientific) with random hexamer primers. Reverse transcription was performed at 42°C for 1 563 hour. A non-transcribed control without the addition of MultiScribeTMRT enzyme was 564 included. Primers for the qPCR were designed using Primer3Plus software 565 (https://www.primer3plus.com/, Untergasser et al., 2012) with qPCR settings and an 566

efficiency of 2 was confirmed using a 1:2 dilution series of cDNA. Melting curve analysis 567 was performed to exclude the presence of off-targets. qPCR was performed in 96-well plates 568 using the SensiFastTM SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline) in technical triplicates for each biological 569 replicate. 50 ng cDNA were used for each technical triplicate and the PCR reaction was 570 performed in a LightCycler[®] 480 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). -RT and water controls for 571 each primer pair were included. The PCR reaction was performed in 45 cycles with a melting 572 temperature of 60°C. Expression analysis of the genes Pp3c5_28420V3 573 and Pp3c16_25090V3 (genes of interest, GOI) was performed as described (Bohlender et al., 574 575 2020) in relation to the housekeeping (reference) genes L21 (Pp3c13 2360V3, Beike et al., 2015) and LWD (Pp3c22_18860V3, Schuessele et al., 2016). All primers are listed in 576 577 Supplementary Table 1. The expression levels were calculated relative to the reference genes according to Livak and Schmittgen (2001) using the software for the LightCycler® 480 578 (V1.5.0, Roche). Relative expression is represented as $2^{(-\Delta CT)}$ with $\Delta CT = CT_{IGOII}$ – 579 CT_[reference]. Figure 6 was created and statistics were calculated in R (R Core Team, 2022). 580 581 Statistical significance was tested via one-way Anova with subsequent post-hoc test. Significance was accepted at p < 0.05. 582

583 Data Availability

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementarymaterial.

586 Funding

This work was supported by National Science Centre, Poland [grant DAINA 1 No.
2017/27/L/NZ1/03164] and by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Germany's
Excellence Strategy (CIBSS – EXC-2189 – Project ID 390939984).

590 Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. José A. Feijó, Dr. Jörg D. Becker and Dr. Mário R. Santos for sharing
the glutamate receptor 1 (GLR1) knockout mutant of Physcomitrella. The authors thank Dr.
Thomas J. Kleist for providing the transgenic lines of Physcomitrella expressing GCaMP3.
We thank Richard Haas for performing the qPCR experiments and Anne Katrin Prowse for
language editing.

596 Author contribution

- 597 M.K. conceived and designed the manuscript, prepared the main part of experiments,
- analyzed and interpreted the data, and drafted the main part of the work. P.W. participated in
- 599 preparation of the membrane potential measurements. K.T. participated in writing and revised
- 600 the manuscript. S. N. W. H and R. R. designed qPCR experiments, analyzed data and helped
- 601 writing the manuscript.

602 Disclosures

603 Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest declared

605 **References**

- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., et al. (1997)
 Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search
 programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25: 3389–3402.
- Baxter, A., Mittler, R., and Suzuki, N. (2014) ROS as key players in plant stress signalling. J *Exp Bot.* 65: 1229–1240.
- Beike, A.K., Lang, D., Zimmer, A.D., Wüst, F., Trautmann, D., Wiedemann, G., et al. (2015)
 Insights from the cold transcriptome of *Physcomitrella patens*: Global specialization
 pattern of conserved transcriptional regulators and identification of orphan genes
 involved in cold acclimation. *New Phytol.* 205: 869–881.
- Van Bel, M., Silvestri, F., Weitz, E.M., Kreft, L., Botzki, A., Coppens, F., et al. (2022)
 PLAZA 5.0: Extending the scope and power of comparative and functional genomics in
 plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50: D1468–D1474.
- Bohlender, L.L., Parsons, J., Hoernstein, S.N.W., Rempfer, C., Ruiz-Molina, N., Lorenz, T.,
 et al. (2020) Stable protein sialylation in Physcomitrella. *Front Plant Sci.* 11.
- De Bortoli, S., Teardo, E., Szabò, I., Morosinotto, T., and Alboresi, A. (2016) Evolutionary
 insight into the ionotropic glutamate receptor superfamily of photosynthetic organisms. *Biophys Chem.* 218: 14–26.
- Choi, W.G., Miller, G., Wallace, I., Harper, J., Mittler, R., and Gilroy, S. (2017) Orchestrating
 rapid long-distance signaling in plants with Ca²⁺, ROS and electrical signals. *Plant J.* 90:
 698–707.
- Choi, W.G., Toyota, M., Kim, S.H., Hilleary, R., and Gilroy, S. (2014) Salt stress-induced
 Ca2+ waves are associated with rapid, long-distance root-to-shoot signaling in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 111: 6497–6502.
- Cuin, T.A., Dreyer, I., and Michard, E. (2018) The role of potassium channels in *Arabidopsis thaliana* long distance electrical signalling: AKT2 modulates tissue excitability while
 GORK shapes action potentials. *Int J Mol Sci.* 19.
- Dadacz-Narloch, B., Beyhl, D., Larisch, C., López-Sanjurjo, E.J., Reski, R., Kuchitsu, K., et
 al. (2011) A novel calcium binding Site in the slow vacuolar cation channel TPC1 senses

luminal calcium levels. *Plant Cell*. 23: 2696–2707.

- Decker, E.L., Alder, A., Hunn, S., Ferguson, J., Lehtonen, M.T., Scheler, B., et al. (2017)
 Strigolactone biosynthesis is evolutionarily conserved, regulated by phosphate starvation
 and contributes to resistance against phytopathogenic fungi in a moss, *Physcomitrella patens. New Phytol.* 216: 455–468.
- Demidchik, V. (2018) ROS-activated ion channels in plants: biophysical characteristics,
 physiological functions and molecular nature. *Int J Mol Sci.* 19.
- Demidchik, V., Cuin, T.A., Svistunenko, D., Smith, S.J., Miller, A.J., Shabala, S., et al.
 (2010) Arabidopsis root K⁺-efflux conductance activated by hydroxyl radicals: Singlechannel properties, genetic basis and involvement in stress-induced cell death. *J Cell Sci.*123: 1468–1479.
- Demidchik, V., Shabala, S.N., and Davies, J.M. (2007) Spatial variation in H₂O₂ response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* root epidermal Ca²⁺ flux and plasma membrane Ca²⁺ channels. *Plant J.* 49: 377–386.
- Demidchik, V., Sokolik, A., and Yurin, V. (1997) The Effect of Cu²⁺ on ion transport systems
 of the plant cell plasmalemma. *Plant Physiol*. 114: 1313.
- Dubiella, U., Seybold, H., Durian, G., Komander, E., Lassig, R., Witte, C.P., et al. (2013)
 Calcium-dependent protein kinase/NADPH oxidase activation circuit is required for
 rapid defense signal propagation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 110: 8744–8749.
- Egener, T., Granado, J., Guitton, M.C., Hohe, A., Holtorf, H., Lucht, J.M., et al. (2002) High
 frequency of phenotypic deviations in *Physcomitrella patens* plants transformed with a
 gene-disruption library. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2: 1–9.
- Erxleben, A., Gessler, A., Vervliet-Scheebaum, M., and Reski, R. (2012) Metabolite profiling
 of the moss *Physcomitrella patens* reveals evolutionary conservation of osmoprotective
 substances. *Plant Cell Rep.* 31: 427–436.
- Farmer, E.E., Gao, Y.Q., Lenzoni, G., Wolfender, J.L., and Wu, Q. (2020) Wound- and
 mechanostimulated electrical signals control hormone responses. *New Phytol.* 227:
 1037–1050.
- Finka, A., Cuendet, A.F.H., Maathuis, F.J.M., Saidi, Y., and Goloubinoff, P. (2012) Plasma

- membrane cyclic nucleotide gated calcium channels control land plant thermal sensing
 and acquired thermotolerance. *Plant Cell*. 24: 3333–3348.
- Finka, A., and Goloubinoff, P. (2014) The CNGCb and CNGCd genes from *Physcomitrella patens* moss encode for thermosensory calcium channels responding to fluidity changes
 in the plasma membrane. *Cell Stress Chaperones*. 19: 83–90.
- Foyer, C.H. (2020) Making sense of hydrogen peroxide signals. *Nature*. 578: 518–519.
- Frank, W., Ratnadewi, D., and Reski, R. (2005) *Physcomitrella patens* is highly tolerant
 against drought, salt and osmotic stress. *Planta*. 220: 384–394.
- García-Caparrós, P., De Filippis, L., Gul, A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Ozturk, M., Altay, V., et al.
 (2021) Oxidative stress and antioxidant metabolism under adverse environmental
 conditions: a review. *Bot Rev.* 87: 421–466.
- Gilroy, S., Białasek, M., Suzuki, N., Górecka, M., Devireddy, A.R., Karpiński, S., et al.
 (2016) ROS, calcium, and electric signals: key mediators of rapid systemic signaling in
 plants. *Plant Physiol.* 171: 1606–1615.
- Gilroy, S., Suzuki, N., Miller, G., Choi, W.G., Toyota, M., Devireddy, A.R., et al. (2014) A
 tidal wave of signals: calcium and ROS at the forefront of rapid systemic signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 19: 623–630.
- Grenzi, M., Bonza, M.C., and Costa, A. (2022) Signaling by plant glutamate receptor-like
 channels: What else! *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 68: 102253.
- Hoernstein, S.N.W., Özdemir, B., van Gessel, N., Miniera, A.A., Rogalla von Bieberstein, B.,
 Nilges, L., et al. (2023) A deeply conserved protease, acylamino acid-releasing enzyme
 (AARE), acts in ageing in Physcomitrella and Arabidopsis. *Commun Biol.* 6: 61.
- Huang, H., Ullah, F., Zhou, D.X., Yi, M., and Zhao, Y. (2019) Mechanisms of ROS
 regulation of plant development and stress responses. *Front Plant Sci.* 10: 800.
- Kimura, S., Kaya, H., Kawarazaki, T., Hiraoka, G., Senzaki, E., Michikawa, M., et al. (2012)
 Protein phosphorylation is a prerequisite for the Ca²⁺-dependent activation of
 Arabidopsis NADPH oxidases and may function as a trigger for the positive feedback
 regulation of Ca²⁺ and reactive oxygen species. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res.*1823: 398–405.

- Koselski, M., Trebacz, K., and Dziubinska, H. (2013) Cation-permeable vacuolar ion
 channels in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*: a patch-clamp study. *Planta*. 238: 357–367.
- Koselski, M., Trebacz, K., Dziubinska, H., and Krol, E. (2008) Light- and dark-induced
 action potentials in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Signal Behav*. 3: 13–18.
- Koselski, M., Wasko, P., Derylo, K., Tchorzewski, M., and Trebacz, K. (2020) Glutamateinduced electrical and calcium signals in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 61: 1807–1817.
- Koselski, M., Wasko, P., Kupisz, K., and Trebacz, K. (2019) Cold- and menthol-evoked
 membrane potential changes in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*: influence of ion channel
 inhibitors and phytohormones. *Physiol Plant*. 167: 433–446.
- Kurusu, T., Kuchitsu, K., and Tada, Y. (2015) Plant signaling networks involving Ca²⁺ and
 Rboh/Nox-mediated ROS production under salinity stress. *Front Plant Sci.* 6.
- Lang, D., Ullrich, K.K., Murat, F., Fuchs, J., Jenkins, J., Haas, F.B., et al. (2018) The *Physcomitrella patens* chromosome-scale assembly reveals moss genome structure and
 evolution. *Plant J.* 93: 515–533.
- Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT method. *Methods*. 25: 402–408.
- Loix, C., Huybrechts, M., Vangronsveld, J., Gielen, M., Keunen, E., and Cuypers, A. (2017)
 Reciprocal interactions between cadmium-induced cell wall responses and oxidative
 stress in plants. *Front Plant Sci.* 8: 1–19.
- Marcec, M.J., Gilroy, S., Poovaiah, B.W., and Tanaka, K. (2019) Mutual interplay of Ca²⁺
 and ROS signaling in plant immune response. *Plant Sci.* 283: 343–354.
- Medina, R., Johnson, M.G., Liu, Y., Wickett, N.J., Shaw, A.J., and Goffinet, B. (2019)
 Phylogenomic delineation of Physcomitrium (Bryophyta: Funariaceae) based on targeted
 sequencing of nuclear exons and their flanking regions rejects the retention of
 Physcomitrella, Physcomitridium and Aphanorrhegma. *J Syst Evol.* 57: 404–417.
- Miller, G., Schlauch, K., Tam, R., Cortes, D., Torres, M.A., Shulaev, V., et al. (2009) The
 plant NADPH oxidase RBOHD mediates rapid systemic signaling in response to diverse
 stimuli. *Sci Signal.* 2.

- Mittler, R., Zandalinas, S.I., Fichman, Y., and Van Breusegem, F. (2022) Reactive oxygen
 species signalling in plant stress responsese. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 23: 663–679.
- Mousavi, S.A.R., Chauvin, A., Pascaud, F., Kellenberger, S., and Farmer, E.E. (2013)
 GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE genes mediate leaf-to-leaf wound signalling. *Nat*2013 5007463. 500: 422–426.
- Nassery, H. (1979) Salt-induced loss of potassium from plant roots. *New Phytol.* 83: 23–27.
- Nguyen, C.T., Kurenda, A., Stolz, S., Chételat, A., and Farmer, E.E. (2018) Identification of
 cell populations necessary for leaf-toleaf electrical signaling in a wounded plant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 115: 10178–10183.
- 730 Ortiz-Ramírez, C., Michard, E., Simon, A.A., Damineli, D.S.C., Hernández-Coronado, M.,
- 731 Becker, J.D., et al. (2017) GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE channels are essential for
- chemotaxis and reproduction in mosses. *Nat 2017 5497670*. 549: 91–95.
- Pei, Z.M., Murata, Y., Benning, G., Thomine, S., Klüsener, B., Allen, G.J., et al. (2000)
 Calcium channels activated by hydrogen peroxide mediate abscisic acid signalling in
 guard cells. *Nature*. 406: 731–734.
- Pottosin, I., Wherrett, T., and Shabala, S. (2009) SV channels dominate the vacuolar Ca²⁺
 release during intracellular signaling. *FEBS Lett.* 583: 921–926.
- Pottosin, I.I., Tikhonova, L.I., Hedrich, R., and Schönknecht, G. (1997) Slowly activating
 vacuolar channels can not mediate Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release. *Plant J.* 12: 1387–1398.
- Qudeimat, E., Faltusz, A.M.C., Wheeler, G., Lang, D., Brownlee, C., Reski, R., et al. (2008)
 A PIIB-type Ca²⁺-ATPase is essential for stress adaptation in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 105: 19555–19560.
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing (R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, 2022).
- Rensing, S.A., Goffinet, B., Meyberg, R., Wu, S.Z., and Bezanilla, M. (2020) The moss *Physcomitrium (Physcomitrella) patens*: a model organism for non-seed plants. *Plant Cell*. 32: 1361–1376.
- Rensing, S.A., Lang, D., Zimmer, A.D., Terry, A., Salamov, A., Shapiro, H., et al. (2008) The

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.21.537805; this version posted April 21, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- Physcomitrella genome reveals evolutionary insights into the conquest of land by plants.*Science*. 319: 64–69.
- Reski, R. (1998) Development, genetics and molecular biology of mosses. *Bot Acta*. 111: 1–
 15.
- Reski, R., and Abel, W.O. (1985) Induction of budding on chloronemata and caulonemata of
 the moss, *Physcomitrella patens*, using isopentenyladenine. *Planta 1985 1653*. 165: 354–
 358.
- Richards, S.L., Laohavisit, A., Mortimer, J.C., Shabala, L., Swarbreck, S.M., Shabala, S., et
 al. (2014) Annexin 1 regulates the H₂O₂-induced calcium signature in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots. *Plant J.* 77: 136–145.
- Saidi, Y., Finka, A., Muriset, M., Bromberg, Z., Weiss, Y.G., Maathuis, F.J.M., et al. (2009)
 The heat shock response in moss plants is regulated by specific calcium-permeable
 channels in the plasma membrane. *Plant Cell*. 21: 2829–2843.
- Salvador-Recatalà, V. (2016) New roles for the GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE 3.3, 3.5,
 and 3.6 genes as on/off switches of wound-induced systemic electrical signals. *Plant Signal Behav.* 11.
- Salvador-Recatalà, V., Tjallingii, W.F., and Farmer, E.E. (2014) Real-time, in vivo
 intracellular recordings of caterpillar-induced depolarization waves in sieve elements
 using aphid electrodes. *New Phytol.* 203: 674–684.
- Schuessele, C., Hoernstein, S.N.W., Mueller, S.J., Rodriguez-Franco, M., Lorenz, T., Lang,
 D., et al. (2016) Spatio-temporal patterning of arginyl-tRNA protein transferase (ATE)
 contributes to gametophytic development in a moss. *New Phytol.* 209: 1014–1027.
- Schween, G., Hohe, A., Koprivova, A., and Reski, R. (2003) Effects of nutrients, cell density
 and culture techniques on protoplast regeneration and early protonema development in a
 moss, *Physcomitrella patens*. *J Plant Physiol*. 160: 209–212.
- Storti, M., Costa, A., Golin, S., Zottini, M., Morosinotto, T., and Alboresi, A. (2018)
 Systemic calcium wave propagation in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 59:
 1377–1384.
- 777 Suzuki, N., Miller, G., Salazar, C., Mondal, H.A., Shulaev, E., Cortes, D.F., et al. (2013)

- temporal-spatial interaction between reactive oxygen species and abscisic acid regulates
 rapid systemic acclimation in plants. *Plant Cell*. 25: 3553–3569.
- Tian, S., Wang, X., Li, P., Wang, H., Ji, H., Xie, J., et al. (2016) Plant aquaporin AtPIP1;4
 links apoplastic H₂O₂ induction to disease immunity pathways. *Plant Physiol.* 171:
 1635–1650.
- Tucker, E.B., Lee, M., Alli, S., Sookhdeo, V., Wada, M., Imaizumi, T., et al. (2005) UV-A
 induces two calcium waves in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 46: 1226–1236.
- 785 Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B.C., Remm, M., et al. (2012)
 786 Primer3-new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40: 1–12.
- Valpuesta, V., and Botella, M.A. (2004) Biosynthesis of L-ascorbic acid in plants: New
 pathways for an old antioxidant. *Trends Plant Sci.* 9: 573–577.
- Verret, F., Wheeler, G., Taylor, A.R., Farnham, G., and Brownlee, C. (2010) Calcium
 channels in photosynthetic eukaryotes: implications for evolution of calcium-based
 signalling. *New Phytol.* 187: 23–43.
- Ward, J., Cell, J.S.-T.P., and 1994, undefined (1994) Calcium-activated K⁺ channels and
 calcium-induced calcium release by slow vacuolar ion channels in guard cell vacuoles
 implicated in the control of stomatal. *academic.oup.com.* 6: 669–683.
- Wojtaszek, P. (1997) Mechanisms for the generation of reactive oxygen species in plant
 defence response. *Acta Physiol Plant.* 4: 581–589.
- Wu, F., Chi, Y., Jiang, Z., Xu, Y., Xie, L., Huang, F., et al. (2020) Hydrogen peroxide sensor
 HPCA1 is an LRR receptor kinase in Arabidopsis. *Natute* 578: 577–581.
- Wu, H., Shabala, L., Zhou, M., and Shabala, S. (2015) Chloroplast-generated ROS dominate
 NaCl- induced K⁺ efflux in wheat leaf mesophyll. *Plant Signal Behav.* 10: 1–4.
- Zandalinas, S.I., Sengupta, S., Burks, D., Azad, R.K., and Mittler, R. (2019) Identification
 and characterization of a core set of ROS wave-associated transcripts involved in the
 systemic acquired acclimation response of Arabidopsis to excess light. *Plant J.* 98: 126–
 141.
- Zhang, Y., Zhu, H., Zhang, Q., Li, M., Yan, M., Wang, R., et al. (2009) Phospholipase

dalpha1 and phosphatidic acid regulate NADPH oxidase activity and production of
reactive oxygen species in ABA-mediated stomatal closure in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*.
21: 2357–2377.

Zimmermann, M.R., Maischak, H., Mithöfer, A., Boland, W., and Felle, H.H. (2009) System
potentials, a novel electrical long-distance apoplastic signal in plants, induced by
wounding. *Plant Physiol.* 149: 1593.

812



814

1. Hydrogen peroxide-evoked long-distance electrical signals recorded in a 815 Fig. Physcomitrella leaf (phylloid) cell. The recordings were carried out in bipartite chambers with 816 a barrier separating two parts of the gametophyte. Schemes presenting the site of stimulation 817 and insertion of the microelectrode are in placed on the top of the recordings. A - long-818 distance electrical signals in the form of membrane potential changes recorded after the 819 stimulation of the basal part of the gametophyte with different H₂O₂ concentrations. B -820 electrical signals recorded after stimulation of the apical part of the gametophyte. C and D -821 reduction of the sensitivity of the leaf cells to H₂O₂ recorded after direct stimulation of the 822 tested cell located in the apical part of the gametophyte and in the gametophyte with a cut-off 823 824 basal part, respectively. Vertical axes present the values of the membrane potential (in mV).

825

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.21.537805; this version posted April 21, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 2. Blockage of H_2O_2 -evoked long-distance electrical signals by 2 mM lanthanum (A) and 0.5 mM EDTA (B). The method of stimulation was the same as in Fig. 1A. The representative membrane potential changes show the effects of preincubation in lanthanum (A) or EDTA (B), respectively, and then washout of the drugs carried out on the same plant.



Fig. 3. Comparison of H_2O_2 -evoked long-distance electrical signals recorded in wild type and Ppglr1^{KO} mutant. The method of stimulation was the same as in Fig. 1A.



Fig. 4. Hydrogen peroxide-evoked membrane potential changes recorded in protonema cells 837 from wild type and Ppglr1^{KO} mutant. Hydrogen peroxide (0.5 mM) was injected into the 838 selected site by the micropipette. In the pictures placed on the right side of presented traces, 839 three different measurement variants are presented: a - stimulation of the cells adjacent to the 840 tested cell (site of the microelectrode insertion), b - direct stimulation of the tested cell, and c 841 - stimulation of the basal part of the gametophyte. The pictures show dispersion of H_2O_2 842 stained with 1 mM aniline blue (marked by dashed white lines) recorded 5 seconds after time 843 points a, b, and c marked on the trace by black arrows. The end of stimulation (removal of the 844 micropipette from the measuring chamber) was marked by white arrows. 845

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.21.537805; this version posted April 21, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



847 Fig. 5. Hydrogen peroxide-evoked calcium signals recorded in protonema cells expressing fluorescent calcium biosensor GCaMP3. For each cell two circular ROIs (Region of Interest) 848 located in cytoplasmic region from both sides of the nucleus were analyzed. The two panels 849 of pictures show two different types of calcium signal propagation - at the upper the signal 850 propagate in one direction starting from the site of stimulation (basal part of the gametophyte) 851 and at lower panel, the signal propagation starts from one cell (marked by yellow ROIs) and 852 propagate in two opposite directions. Recorded during the experiment changes in fluorescence 853 intensity in different ROIs are presented in the bottom right corner of each picture panels. 854

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.21.537805; this version posted April 21, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 6. Gene expression analysis by qPCR of selected gene candidates. Apices were used from untreated gametophores and gametophores treated at the base with $0.5 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$ for 8 min. Dots represent biological replicates (mean values from three technical replicates). Bars are mean values from the four biological replicates with standard deviation. Relative expression (2^(-ΔCT)) of Pp3c5_28420V3.1 and Pp3c16_25090V3.1 is calculated against the reference genes L21 (Pp3c13_2360V3.1) and LWD (Pp3c22_18860V3.1) according to Livak & Schmittgen (2001). Significance levels are based on a one-way Anova with subsequent post-hoc test (**p < 0.01); n.s. = not significant.

873 Table 1. Values of electrical signal parameters obtained in leaf cells after the application

874 of hydrogen peroxide in different concentrations and different variants of experiments.

	$V_0 (mV)$	V _{max} (mV)	A (mV)	t _{1/2} (s)	R _{dep} (mV/s)
basal stimulation 0.5 mM	-154±3 (n=19)	$-86\pm 3^{a, b, c,}_{d, e}$ (n=19)	68±3 ^{a, b, c} (n=19)	236±44 ^a (n=17)	$14.5\pm1.6^{a, b,}$ c, d, e (n=18)
basal stimulation 0.1 mM	-161±4 (n=14)	-123±6 ^{d, i} (n=14)	38±6 ^g (n=14)	169±33 (n=13)	6±1.4 ^e (n=13)
basal stimulation 0.05 mM	-158±2 (n=12)	-121±7 ^{e, j} (n=12)	37±6 ^h (n=12)	140±15 (n=11)	4.4 ± 1^{d} (n=11)
apical stimulation 0.5 mM	-165±5 (n=13)	-142±8 ^{a, f, k} (n=9)	22±5 ^{b, e, j} (n=9)	85±20 ^{c, f} (n=8)	2.3±0.4 ^b (n=8)
direct apical stimulation 0.5 mM	-153±4 (n=9)	-136±7 ^{b, g, 1} (n=9)	17±7 ^{a, d, i} (n=9)	89±28 ^{d, g} (n=8)	2.9±1.6 ^a (n=6)
direct apical stimulation 5 mM	-153±5 (n=11)	-75 $\pm 5^{f, g, h, i,}_{j}$ (n=11)	$78 \pm 4^{d, e, f, g,}_{h}$ (n=11)	316±52 ^{e, f, g} (n=11)	11.6±3.5 (n=9)
basal stimulation of plant without rhyzoids 0.5 mM	-156±3 (n=15)	-130±7 ^{c, h, m} (n=12)	24±5 ^{c, f, k} (n=12)	51±12 ^{a, b, e} (n=12)	3.3±0.8 ^c (n=12)
basal stimulation of plant without rhyzoids 5 mM	-153±3 (n=10)	-86±6 ^{k, 1, m} (n=10)	67±6 ^{i, j, k} (n=10)	389±79 ^{b, c, d} (n=9)	10.5±2.1 (n=10)

Table Footnote: Basal stimulation, apical stimulation, direct apical stimulation and basal 875 stimulation of plant without rhyzoids represent the variants of experiments presented in Fig. 876 1A, B, C and D, respectively. The values denote mean ± standard error. Dunn's test was 877 performed for all pairwise comparisons (P<0.05). V₀ - value of the membrane potential before 878 stimulation, V_{max} - maximum value of the membrane potential recorded during the response, 879 A - amplitude of the response, R_{dep} - the rate of depolarization measured in half of the 880 amplitude on a section equal to the half of the amplitude, $t_{1/2}$ - duration of the response 881 measured in half of the amplitude, n-number of tested plants. 882

883 Table 2. Values of electrical signal parameters obtained in leaf cells after the application

		$V_0(mV)$	V _{max} (mV)	A (mV)	t _{1/2} (s)	R _{dep} (mV/s)
	standard (WT)	-154±3 ^{a, b, c} (n=19)	-86±3 ^{a, b, c} (n=19)	68±3 ^{a, b, c, d} (n=19)	236±44 (n=17)	14.5±1.6 ^{a, b, c, d} (n=18)
	2 mM La ³⁺	-130±3 ^b (n=19)	-128±5 ^{a, d} (n=9)	9±2 ^{a, e} (n=9)	205±25 (n=3)	0.5±0.2 ^{a, e} (n=8)
	after washout of 2 mM La ³⁺	-130±4 ^c (n=19)	-104±5 (n=5)	27±4 ^d (n=4)	224±34 (n=15)	3.6±0.8 ^c (n=14)
	0.5 mM EDTA	-122±5 ^{a, d, e} (n=18)	-115±7 ^c (n=9)	13±4 ^b (n=9)	126±32 (n=6)	1.4±0.7 ^{b, f} (n=7)
	after washout of 0.5 mM	-144±4 ^e (n=18)	-121±7 ^b (n=8)	24±6 ^c (n=8)	63±14 (n=7)	2.5±0.7 ^d (n=7)
	standard (Ppglr1 ^{KO})	-146±3 ^d (n=26)	-100±4 ^d (n=26)	46±3 ^e (n=26)	194±21 (n=25)	9.6 \pm 1.2 ^{e, f} (n=24)

884 of 0.5 mM hydrogen peroxide in the basal part of the gametophyte.

Table Footnote: The responses recorded in the wild type (WT) were obtained in the standard solution or the standard solution supplemented with 2 mM LaCl₃ or 0.5 mM EDTA. The *P*. *patens* knockout mutants ($Ppglr1^{KO}$) were tested in the standard solution. Explanations - as in Table 1.

889

890

891

892

893 894

- 896 Table 3. Values of parameters of electrical signals in protonema cells after the
- application of 0.5 mM hydrogen peroxide directly/indirectly to the cell or in the basal
- 898 part of the gametophyte.

	V ₀ (mV)	V _{max} (mV)	A (mV)	t _{1/2} (s)	R _{dep} (mV/s)
direct/indirect stimulation (WT)	-155±8 (n=8)	-89±9 (n=8)	66±6 (n=8)	403±105 (n=7)	1.6±0.6 ^{a, d} (n=8)
basal stimulation (WT)	-155±7 ^{d, h} (n=8)	-74±4 (n=8)	81±4 ^a (n=8)	486±100 (n=8)	9.3±2.5 ^{a, b} (n=8)
direct/indirect stimulation (Ppglr ^{KO})	-146±4 (n=10)	-84±5 (n=10)	63±4 ^a (n=10)	300±70 (n=10)	1.1±0.3 ^{b, c} (n=10)
basal stimulation (Ppglr1 ^{KO})	-155±2 (n=10)	-77±3 (n=10)	78±3 (n=10)	294±25 (n=9)	8.9±3 ^{c, d} (n=10)

Table Footnotes: Direct and indirect stimulation correspond to the measuring variantspresented at Fig. 4. Explanations - as in Table 1.













Pp3c16_25090V3.1

