Candida auris undergoes adhesin-dependent and -independent cellular aggregation

Chloe Pelletier[1,2,4], **Sophie Shaw**[3,5], **Sakinah Alsayegh**[1], **Alistair J. P. Brown**[2], and **Alexander Lorenz**[1,*]

[1]Institute of Medical Sciences (IMS), University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

[2]MRC Centre for Medical Mycology, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

[3]Centre for Genome-Enabled Biology and Medicine (CGEBM), University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

[4]Present Address: Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

[5]Present Address: All Wales Medical Genomics Service, Institute of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK

*Correspondence should be addressed to

Alexander Lorenz

Institute of Medical Sciences (IMS)

University of Aberdeen

Foresterhill

Aberdeen AB25 2ZD

United Kingdom

E-mail: a.lorenz@abdn.ac.uk

ORCIDs: 0000-0002-5581-3442 (CP), 0000-0003-2367-2670 (SS), 0000-0003-1406-4251 (AJPB), 0000-0003-1925-3713 (AL)

Abstract

Candida auris is a fungal pathogen of humans responsible for nosocomial infections with high mortality rates. High levels of resistance to antifungal drugs and environmental persistence mean these infections are difficult to treat and eradicate from a healthcare setting. Understanding the life cycle and the genetics of this fungus underpinning clinically relevant traits, such as antifungal resistance and virulence, is of the utmost importance to develop novel treatments and therapies. Epidemiological and genomic studies have identified five geographical clades (I-V), which display phenotypic and genomic differences. Aggregation of cells, a phenotype primarily of clade III strains, has been linked to reduced virulence in mouse and *Galleria mellonella* infection models. The aggregation phenotype has thus been associated with conferring an advantage for (skin) colonisation rather than for systemic infection. However, strains with different clade affiliations were compared to infer the effects of different morphologies on virulence. This makes it difficult to distinguish morphology-dependent causes from clade-specific or even strain-specific genetic factors. Here, we identify two different types of aggregation: one induced by antifungal treatment which is a result of a cell separation defect; and a second which is controlled by growth conditions and only occurs in strains with the ability to aggregate. The latter aggregation type depends on an ALS-family adhesin which is differentially expressed during aggregation in an aggregative *C. auris* strain. Finally, we demonstrate that macrophages cannot clear aggregates, suggesting that aggregation might after all provide a benefit during systemic infection and could facilitate long-term persistence in the host.

Key words: *Candida auris*, adhesin, cell aggregation, virulence.

Author Summary

Candida auris is a single-celled fungus, a yeast, that can cause severe infections in hospital patients. This fungus is difficult to treat because it is resistant to many antifungal drugs. Therefore, to understand the processes that enhance the virulence of this yeast with a view to developing new treatments. Previous studies have found that *C. auris* can form aggregates, or clumps of cells, which may play a role in how the fungus infects people. In this study, we identified two different types of aggregation in *C. auris*, one triggered by antifungal treatment, and another controlled by growth conditions. This discovery allowed us to study aggregate formation in the same genetic background. In doing so, we found that a certain protein, an ALS-family adhesin, is involved in the aggregation process. Surprisingly, we also discovered that aggregates may promote infection by making it harder for the immune system to clear the yeast. This new understanding could help researchers develop better ways to fight *C. auris* infections.

Introduction

The fungus *Candida auris*, first identified in 2009, has been responsible for outbreaks of infections in hospitals on six continents [1,2]. It has become a global concern due to the high levels of antifungal resistance displayed across the species, its environmental persistence, and its nosocomial transmission [3,4]. The species is divided into 5 clades which have distinct geographic origins and show different levels of intra-clade variations. The 4 main clades, clade I (South Asia), clade II (East Asia), clade III (South Africa), and clade IV (South America) are well documented, whereas only a few isolates of clade V (Iran) have been identified so far [5–7].

In fungi, morphological changes have been linked to gene expression modifications that can impact virulence and pathogenicity [8,9]. For example, the expression of adhesins and proteases are co-regulated alongside the yeast-to-hyphae transition of *Candida albicans* [10]. The yeast *C. auris* typically grows as single ellipsoidal cells, and can form filaments, but not true hyphae, under certain conditions [11,12]. Furthermore, certain *C. auris* strains can form aggregates, which are clumps of cells that cannot be dispersed by chemical or mechanical means and that are thought to be caused by a cell separation defect [13–15]. Cellular aggregation is a phenotype predominantly of clade III strains, but there are also aggregative isolates in clade I and clade II [16–18]. The biological relevance and the genetic requirements for this aggregation morphology are not fully understood yet [19], but some potential factors have recently been identified [14,17,20,21]. Indeed, this kind of cellular behaviour can also be observed in other species of the *Candida haemulonii* complex [21], to which *C. auris* belongs. *C. auris* strains displaying the aggregation phenotype seem to be less virulent, more commonly

associated with skin colonisation, have greater biofilm mass, and greater environmental

persistence over non-aggregative isolates [16,18,22,23]. A recent study has distinguished

two types of aggregation, and has suggested that overexpression of an ALS-family

adhesin, caused by copy-number variation of the corresponding locus, is involved in this

[17]. So far, a major limitation of studies exploring aggregation has been that aggregative

strains are often compared to non-aggregative ones from different clades.

Our study has revealed that there are two different kinds of aggregation both of which

represent an inducible phenotype. One type of aggregation depends on growth conditions

and can only be induced in aggregative strains (mostly clade III strains). The other

depends on treatment with sub-inhibitory concentrations of echinocandins (a class of

antifungal drugs) and can also be induced in non-aggregative strains. The first type of

aggregation is induced by growth in rich medium and repressed in minimal medium. In

contrast to media-induced aggregates which are seemingly caused by cells sticking to

each other, echinocandin-induced aggregation is characterized by cells that fail to fully

separate [15]. Having identified the conditions for media-induced aggregation, we

exploited this to elucidate the genetic requirements for this type of aggregation. For this,

we performed transcriptomic analysis to identify differentially expressed genes in

aggregative and non-aggregative strains grown in rich and minimal medium. We identified

a gene with homology to a *C. albicans ALS* gene that is strongly upregulated in the

aggregative strain when grown in rich medium. We constructed a deletion mutant of this

gene, and the resulting strain lacks the ability to aggregate in rich medium, but still

aggregates in response to sub-inhibitory concentrations of echinocandins. We also show

that virulence in an invertebrate infection model is affected by pre-infection culture

conditions especially for strains with moderate or low virulence. If aggregation is

prevented by deletion of the upregulated ALS factor in an aggregative strain, then

virulence is moderately reduced. Finally, we used macrophages derived from

THP-1 monocytes to demonstrate that aggregates could be difficult for the immune

system to clear, and thus could potentially be responsible for persistent infections.

Results

Two distinct types of aggregation

The ability to aggregate (or not) has been used to classify strains of *C. auris*, and several

studies have reported aggregative capacities for some clinical isolates [15,18,24]. It was

thus surprising that, when grown in RPMI-1640, a defined minimal medium, we observed

a lack of this phenotype regardless of clade or status as aggregative or non-aggregative

strain (Fig 1A). However, we did observe that, if grown in Sabouraud dextrose broth

(SabDex), an undefined rich medium, the clade III strain (UACa20) and the clade IV strain

(UACa22) did form aggregates alongside single yeast cells, whereas non-aggregative

clade I (UACa11) and clade II (UACa83) strains did not show any clumping of cells (Fig

1A). The inability of this clade I isolate to aggregate, as well as the aggregative ability of

the clade III strain, we used in this study, agreed with previous observations [16].

We also found that, after growth in SabDex, aggregation was affected by the chemical

composition of the liquid used to resuspend the cells. Aggregating cultures retained

aggregation when resuspended in 1× PBS (phosphate-buffered saline), but aggregation

was greatly reduced when cells were resuspended in ddH$_2$O (Fig 1B). Interestingly, cells

that were dis-aggregated in ddH$_2$O, immediately re-aggregated when they were then

resuspended in 1× PBS (Fig 1B). Non-aggregative strains remained as single cells

regardless of suspension liquid (Fig 1B). This strongly indicates that a cell wall component

that remains present regardless of the change in suspension liquid is associated with

aggregation.

It has been reported that *C. auris* strains aggregate in response to sub-inhibitory

concentrations of two classes of antifungals, azoles, and echinocandins [15,16]. We

focused on two echinocandins, caspofungin (CSP) and micafungin (MFG) as these drugs

are used preferentially in the clinical setting to treat *C. auris* infections (CDC Guidelines,

PHE Guidlines). E-test strips were used to determine minimum inhibitory concentrations

(MICs) for the strains tested (Table S1). Growth was not completely abolished at any

concentration of CSP but was clearly diminished above a certain concentration which we

recorded as the MIC$_{90}$ value (Table S1, Fig S1). Growth in the presence of MFG was

abolished above 0.094 mg/L for clade I strains UACa11 and UACa25 (Table S1, Fig S2).

However, for the clade III strains (UACa10 and UACa20) MIC$_{90}$s of 0.094 mg/L and 0.064

mg/L, respectively, were determined as residual background growth was observed above

these concentrations (Table S1, Fig S2). Therefore, 32 mg/L CSP and 0.075 mg/L MFG

were chosen as subinhibitory concentrations.

Echinocandin-induced aggregation experiments were performed in RPMI-1640 to avoid

clade III strains forming media-induced aggregates. Indeed, both the aggregative clade

III strain (UACa20) and the non-aggregative clade I strain (UACa11) formed aggregates

when grown in RPMI-1640 containing either 32mg/L CSP or 0.075 mg/L MFG (Fig 2A-B),

but not in the presence of the DMSO vehicle (Fig S3). These aggregates did not disperse

when cells were resuspended in ddH$_2$O, indicating that antifungal-induced aggregation

differs from media-induced aggregation (Figs 1B, 2A-B). This difference was also

noticeable when looking at the liquid cultures. After 5 minutes without agitation there was

noticeable sedimentation of the media-induced aggregates in the aggregative UACa20

(clade III) strain not seen in any of the other cultures (Fig 2C). However, both the non-

aggregative UACa11 (clade I) isolate and the aggregative UACa20 (clade III) strain

largely remained in suspension when echinocandin-dependent aggregates were formed

(Fig 2C). Neither media- nor echinocandin-induced aggregation was reflected in an

altered colony morphology on solid medium (Fig 2D).

The capacity of media-induced aggregates to dissociate and reform depending on the

suspension liquid, suggests the involvement of a component of (or associated with) the

cell wall, whereas the stability of antifungal-induced aggregates is consistent with a cell

separation defect [15]. To explore these phenotypes in more detail, we used calcofluor

white (CFW) to stain total cell wall chitin on paraformaldehyde-fixed cells. Media-induced

aggregates contained a mix of larger rounded cells marked with multiple bud scars

alongside smaller ellipsoidal cells (Fig 3A). It was also noted that the media-induced

aggregates started to fall apart when proteinase K treatment was used during preparation,

causing aggregates to spread out across glass slides during preparation for microscopy.

No pressure was applied during preparation as a hard-set mounting medium was used.

In contrast, echinocandin-induced aggregates appeared to grow from a small cluster of

cells with daughter cells emanating from a central point (Fig 3B). These tight clusters of

cells retained their shape during microscopy even after treatment with proteinase K. The

daughter cells appeared to remain attached to their mothers indicating a cytokinesis

defect similar to rapamycin-treated cells [25]. A lack of obvious bud scars on cells at the

edge of clusters corroborates this interpretation (Fig 3B) [25].

To better understand whether antifungal-induced aggregation was due to a cell

separation defect, confocal microscopy was used to visualize aggregates in three

dimensions. UACa20 (clade III) cells grown in SabDex were double-stained with CFW

(chitin) and Concanavalin A (ConA) (cell wall mannan). Media-induced aggregates

displayed an intact chitin cell wall that was completely covered by mannan (Fig 3C, red

arrows). Only small budding cells lacked the mannan outer cell wall layer between mother

and daughter cells (Fig 3C, white arrows). In contrast, cells in antifungal-induced

aggregates had a chitin layer completely surrounding them, but there was a lack of a

mannan layer where cells were closely juxtaposed (Fig 3D, white arrows), similar to small

budding cells in media-induced aggregates. Again, this is consistent with the idea that

antifungal-induced aggregation is the result of a cell separation defect, as previously

suggested [13–15,17].

During the preparation of cells for microscopy we noted that there was a lack of cohesion

of media-induced aggregates as well as loss of aggregation after treatment with

proteinase K for 1 hour at 50 °C. This was never observed for echinocandin-induced

aggregates. To quantify this observation, aggregates were counted using a

haemocytometer, and 1×10^6 aggregates were heated at 50 °C for 1 hour with or without

12.5 µg of proteinase K. The $\log_2$-fold change of aggregates remaining after treatment

was determined relative to number of aggregates before treatment. As predicted, the

number of media-induced aggregates showed a significant decrease when proteinase K

was present. For UACa20 (clade III), there was a significant ~15-fold decrease ($p = 0.03$,

independent samples t-test), and UACa10 (clade III) showed a significant ~28-fold

decrease ($p < 0.001$, independent samples t-test) in aggregates after treatment with

proteinase K (Fig 4A). These results are consistent with observations by others [17,21],

and suggest that the cell wall component involved in media-induced aggregation is not

perturbed by temperatures up to 50 °C. Neither CSP- nor MFG-induced aggregates were

significantly reduced by proteinase K treatment (Fig 4B-C).

Based on these observations, to semantically distinguish these phenotypes, we propose

that media-induced aggregation is henceforth referred to as "aggregation", whereas

aggregation caused by a cell separation defect is called "clustering". We focused on

aggregation induced by growth conditions to understand why this phenotype only occurs

in some isolates of *C. auris* and what the biological relevance of this phenotype might be.

Media-induced aggregation is not correlated with cell wall ultrastructure

Dispersion of aggregates by proteinase K suggested that a proteinaceous cell wall

component is involved in media-induced aggregation. To rule out other cell wall

ultrastructure changes and a potentially obscure cell separation defect, transmission

electron microscopy (TEM) was performed. Cells were grown in either RPMI-1640 or

SabDex before high-pressure freezing fixation without washing. No noticeable differences

in the cell wall ultra-structure were observed between conditions for all strains regardless

of the growth conditions (Fig 5A).

The diameters of the inner and outer cell walls for 30 cells from each condition were

measured. The diameter of the inner cell wall of non-aggregative strain UACa25 (clade I)

showed a significant increase of 21 nm ($p < 0.001$, independent samples t-test) when

grown in SabDex compared to RPMI-1640, but there was no significant difference for

UACa11 (clade I), a second non-aggregative strain. Aggregative clade III strains UACa6

and UACa20 grown in SabDex had significantly thicker inner cell walls by 20 nm ($p <$

0.001, independent samples t-test) and 25 nm ($p < 0.001$ independent samples t-test),

respectively, than when grown in RPMI-1640 (Fig 5A). The mannan fibrils (outer cell wall)

of UACa25 (clade I) were significantly longer by 18 nm ($p < 0.001$, independent samples

t-test) when grown in SabDex compared to RPMI-1640 (Fig 5B). While UACa20 (clade

III) grown in SabDex had slightly longer mannan fibrils by 4 nm ($p = 0.029$, independent

samples t-test) compared to when grown RPMI-1640. There were no significant

differences of outer cell wall diameters for UACa6 (clade III) and UACa11 (clade I).

Although there were differences in inner and outer cell wall thickness between the two

growth conditions, there was no clear trend which separated aggregative from non-

aggregative isolates.

Transcriptomic analysis identifies clade-specific and aggregation-specific

differentially expressed genes

We had shown that the aggregation phenotype is inducible in aggregative isolates, which

mostly belong to clade III (Fig 1A). Therefore, we opted to exploit this by using RNA-seq

to characterize genetic requirements and identify drivers of aggregation in UACa20 (clade

III) compared to the non-aggregative clade I strain UACa11. We compared differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) between growth in RPMI-1640 and SabDex for both strains,

and then compared these DEGs between UACa20 (clade III) and UACa11 (clade I) to

identify changes in transcription that may be important for aggregation. Differential

expression analysis was carried out by pairwise comparison between each sample group

each consisting of three independent samples, which allowed identification of DEGs

between growth in SabDex compared to RPMI-1640 with genes being identified from the

C. auris B11221 genome (UACa20 in this study). For UACa11 (clade I), from a total of

5,419 candidate open reading frames 3,191 significant DEGs at FDR < 0.05 were

identified (Fig 6A). For UACa20 (clade III), from a total of 5,431 candidates 3,393

significant DEGs at FDR < 0.05 were determined (Fig 6B). There were 997 common

DEGs between the two strains. These genes may be involved in adapting to growth under

the two different media conditions (Fig 6C), and hence were excluded from further

analysis. Genes with a log2FC difference greater than 2 between UACa20 (clade III) and

UACa11 (clade I) but with increased expression in SabDex in both strains were identified

(Table S2). Furthermore, we also extracted genes that displayed expression in opposite

directions between UACa11 (clade I) and UACa20 (clade III) when grown in SabDex

(Table S3). Ultimately, we decided to focus on genes that were uniquely upregulated in

the aggregative UACa20 strain compared to the non-aggregative UACa11 strain during

growth in SabDex, as we hypothesized that this would lead us to a positive effector of

aggregation. UACa11 (clade I) had 505 unique DEGs during growth in SabDex, while

UACa20 (clade III) had 658 unique DEGs (Fig 6C).

The top 10 unique genes upregulated during SabDex growth for UACa11 (clade I) and

UACa20 (clade III) are shown in Tables S4 and S5, respectively. To understand their

possible gene functions the *C. albicans* homologues were identified. First, the B11221

nucleotide sequence of each of those genes (Tables S4-S5) was BLAST-searched

against the B8441 genome and the corresponding gene/systematic ID names in B8441

were obtained. The B8441 gene identifiers were then used in the batch-download feature

of the *Candida* genome database (http://www.candidagenome.org) to identify

orthologues and best hits in the *C. albicans* SC5314 genome. The best hit in UACa11

(clade I) (Table S4) and the second-best hit in UACa20 (clade III) (Table S4) have been

identified as homologous to *C. albicans ALS4*. However, these represent two different *C.*

auris genes and they show differential regulation in regard to growth media, which was

unexpected. ALS (Agglutinin-Like Sequence)-containing proteins form a family of nine

adhesins in *C. albicans* with various roles including adherence to plastic and host tissues

[26]. *C. auris* genomes seem to contain three separate loci that harbour an Agglutinin-

Like Sequence (ALS) [27,28]. Representatives from clade II miss one of the *ALS*-family

genes, and in some strains a particular *ALS* gene might be amplified (copy-number

variation) [17,28]. Intriguingly, one of these factors (B9J08_004112, XP_028889036) has

mostly been studied, and varyingly touted as the ortholog to *C. albicans* Als3 [29], Als4

[17,28], or Als5 [22]. This is confusing, and as ALS factors in *C. albicans* have distinct

roles and different expression patterns, it is imprudent to assign orthology in *C. auris* when

function and gene expression cues have not been established in this species. Indeed,

phylogenetic analysis indicates that ALS-family members cluster by species and not by a

particular type of ALS from different species [28], this also seems to be the case for Hyr/Iff-

like adhesins [30]. To prevent further confusion, we follow the solution proposed by

O'Meara and colleagues [31] to use the unique number in the systematic ID as gene

number. And therefore, B9J08_004112/XP_028889036 should be called Agglutinin-<u>L</u>ike

<u>S</u>equence 4112 (*ALS4112*/Als4112), B9J08_002582 *ALS2582*, and B9J08_004498

ALS4498. This nomenclature should avoid potential confusion with ALS-type proteins

from other species where exact relationships are hard to establish.

Als4112 is necessary for aggregation

The *C. albicans* ALS-family proteins are found on the cell surface, play prominent roles

in adhesion to host tissues and other surfaces, and are important for virulence [26,32,33].

As media-induced aggregation is likely caused by a cell surface protein and *ALS4112*

displays differential expression during SabDex and aggregative growth in UACa20 (clade

III), this gene was taken forward as a candidate for potentially playing a role in

aggregation. We attempted to generate a clean *als4112* deletion in the aggregative strain

UACa20 (clade III). Unfortunately, due to the difficulties in the genetic manipulation of *C.*

auris [12,19,34]*,* the one mutant strain we obtained harboured an approximate 21.3 kb

deletion encompassing the *ALS4112* locus and genes CJI97_004175, CJI97_004176,

CJI97_004177, CJI97_004178, CJI97_004179, CJI97_004180, as determined by inverse

PCR (Fig S4). We proceeded with this mutant as the additional genes deleted were not

present as DEGs in our RNA-seq dataset and are, therefore, not thought to play a role in

aggregation; the *als4112* mutant strain also did not display an obvious growth defect. This

als4112 mutant failed to aggregate after 24 hours of growth in SabDex but did still cluster

upon exposure to 0.075 mg/L MFG (Fig 7A). This reinforces the idea that there are two

distinct types of aggregation, because the same type of cell separation defect is observed

in echinocandin-induced clustering in the wild-type clade III UACa20 strain, as well as in

the *als4112* mutant made in the same background (Figs 3D and 7B). Thus, *ALS4112*

appears to be a key genetic requirement for media-induced aggregation but not for

echinocandin-mediated clustering.

Virulence depends on growth conditions of cells before inoculation

There are mixed reports regarding the impact of aggregation on virulence in *Galleria mellonella* and mouse infection models, with some studies showing reduced virulence of aggregative strains in contrast to others observing no such a correlation [13,18,35]. Indeed, an infection study conducted in neutropenic mice found that there was no obvious association of a given strain's virulence with either its aggregative capacity or it being isolated from the blood stream [18]. Given that in other *Candida* species, such as *C. albicans*, virulence can be influenced by cellular morphology [10], we decided to investigate whether virulence was impacted by the aggregation morphology or was isolate-dependent using an *in vivo G. mellonella* infection assay. Fungal cells were grown in either RPMI-1640 as single cells or pre-grown in SabDex inducing the aggregation phenotype in aggregative isolates UACa20 (clade III), UACa6 (clade III), and UACa23 (clade IV) while non-aggregative isolates UACa11 (clade I), UACa25 (clade I), and UACa83 (clade II) remained as single cells, before being injected into the moth larvae.

All isolates, with the exception of UACa25 (clade I), showed a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in killing regardless of their ability to aggregate when grown in SabDex, rather than RPMI-1640, before inoculation (Fig 8A-F). This suggests that virulence does not depend directly on the ability to aggregate, but is more likely driven by more complex strain-specific traits influenced by pre-inoculation culture conditions. Being grown in rich medium (SabDex) before inoculation in particular boosts pathogenicity of strains with low to moderate virulence (Fig 8C-F), whereas the two clade I strains receive comparatively little benefit (Fig 8A-B). Indeed, also in *C. albicans* growth conditions influence virulence in invertebrate and vertebrate models of infection [36,37].

As the *als4112* deletion strain in the UACa20 background allowed us to directly assess

whether aggregation impacts virulence. We also compared the wild-type UACa20 isolate

with UACa177 in the *Galleria* infection model after growing these strains in SabDex,

where UACa20 aggregates and UACa177 does not. The aggregating wild-type UACa20

isolate was moderately, but significantly, more virulent than the non-aggregating

*als4112*Δ mutant strain UACa177 (Figs 8G). This suggests that ALS-dependent

aggregation has a positive effect on virulence rather than a negative one as previously

thought [13].

Aggregates are not cleared by macrophages

Aggregates have been reported in tissue from sacrificed animals [18]. Given the bulky

nature of aggregates, we wanted to understand how they would interact with

macrophages. THP-1 monocytes were differentiated into macrophages with 200 nM

phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) and co-cultured at a multiplicity of infection (MOI)

of 1:3 with UACa20 (clade III) cells, which were either grown in SabDex, RPMI-1640,

RPMI-1640 containing 0.001% DMSO, or RPMI-1640 containing 0.075 mg/L MFG and

0.001% DMSO. Cells were washed and added to CO_2-independent medium for co-

culture. Aggregates were counted as one unit for MOI; because cell uptake by

macrophages was not quantified, we do not consider this an issue. For cells grown in

RPMI-1640 or RPMI-1640 containing 0.001% DMSO, there was no noticeable defect in

uptake of single yeast cells (Videos V1 & V2). When presented with media-induced

aggregates (Fig 9A, Video V3) or MFG induced clusters (Fig 9B, Video V4) macrophages

struggled to engulf the mass of fungal cells with fungal growth occurring at the site not

occupied by the macrophage. Media-induced aggregation is not complete, as it produces

a heterogenous population of single cells and large aggregates. The single yeast cells

under these co-culture conditions are readily taken up by macrophages (Video V5). This

indicates that there is no issue with sensing the *C. auris* cells growing under aggregating

conditions but that the ability of macrophages to clear the fungal aggregates is dampened.

Aggregation and clustering could potentially be causes for long-term persistence of fungal

cells in the host and thereby contribute to increased virulence.

Discussion

Here, we show that aggregative *C. auris* strains, usually belonging to clade III, only

aggregate when grown in a rich medium, such as SabDex or YPD (Fig 1A). This

aggregation phenotype is repressed in a minimal medium, such as RPMI-1640, in which

aggregative strains grow as single-celled yeasts, like non-aggregative strains (Fig 1A). A

second type of aggregation, which we suggest calling "clustering", was seen when cells

were exposed to sub-inhibitory concentrations of echinocandins. This clustering can be

induced in aggregative and non-aggregative strains (Fig 2). Such changes in

morphological phenotype in *C. auris,* which are triggered by environmental cues, are likely

biologically relevant. Similar morphological transitions are observed in other *Candida*

species where the switches are often associated with cell stress, such as increased

temperature or lack of nutrients [38,39]. SabDex is a rich undefined medium, so the switch

of aggregative strains to grow as aggregates suggests that either rich medium is causing

some cellular stress or contains specific chemical triggers for such a switch. Indeed, our

work highlights the importance of selecting appropriate growth conditions for

experimentation with *C. auris,* especially when the aggregation phenotype is to be

dissected. Hence, publicly available data from previous work should be reanalysed

cautiously [15], as effects of media-induced aggregation and antifungal-induced

clustering could potentially confound each other.

It was originally assumed that aggregates in *C. auris* formed due to a lack of cell

separation [13]. Indeed, some genetic requirements for this type of cell clustering have

been identified by elegant forward genetic screening [14,40]. We only saw this particular

phenotype when we exposed *C. auris* strains to echinocandins, and this did not happen

when *C. auris* clade III strains were grown in rich media (Fig 1A & 3). However, Bing *et*

al. (2023) characterized a clinical *C. auris* clade I isolate that forms clusters via a cell

separation defect, which seems to be inherent to this particular strain [17]. It will be

interesting to assess whether clustering in this strain can be modulated by growth

condition or is exacerbated by treatment with echinocandins. In the same study [17], a *C.*

auris clade III strain was shown to undergo proteinase-sensitive aggregation which is

associated with overexpression of an ALS-type adhesin (B9J08_004112). For reasons

outlined above, we refer to B9J08_004112 as *ALS4112*. Overexpression of Als4112 (Bing

et al. call it Als4 [17]) in some clinical *C.auris* clade III isolates is apparently caused by

copy-number variation of that gene apparently linked to adherence and biofilm forming

capability [17]. Copy-number variation and induced gene expression levels also neatly

explain our observation that a change in media provokes aggregation. In such a scenario,

an aggregative strain expresses Als4112 at low levels when grown in minimal medium

(RPMI-1640) but has a high-enough copy number of *ALS4112* [17] to produce sufficient

protein molecules to enable aggregation once expression is induced. Here, we find that

the non-aggregative UACa11 clade I strain does not upregulate expression of *ALS4112*

when grown in SabDex but a different *ALS* gene, *ALS2582* (B9J08_002582). Als2582 at

861 amino acids is considerably smaller than Als4112 (1804 amino acids). Our data

suggest that Als2582 does not drive aggregation, as UACa11 (clade I) does not form

aggregates. Whether this particular adhesin is involved in biofilm formation, adherence to

host cells, or adherence to other surfaces (medical equipment) remains to be tested.

Indeed, a recently identified *C. auris*-specific adhesin, Scf1, contributes to virulence by

promoting adhesion to both biological and abiotic surfaces [31].

We show that antifungal treatment causes a cell separation defect which leads to the

formation of cell clusters, and that this phenotype is independent of the ability to

aggregate in response to the growth media, which has been assumed to be the typical

aggregation phenotype [13,17]. Although this is an important distinction to make, both

forms of aggregation are apparently hard to clear by the immune system, as our

coincubation experiments with macrophages show (Fig 9, Videos V1-V5). Further studies

will be needed to fully understand the implications of media-induced aggregation and

antifungal-mediated clustering on clinical treatment. The differences in aggregation types

might also explain the varying results linking biofilm formation and aggregation ability in

separate studies [17,22,23].

We focused our attention on media-induced aggregation as there is mounting evidence

that aggregation of this type is associated with increased biofilm formation and adherence

[17]. Given the importance of the cell wall in cell-cell interactions we examined the cell

wall ultrastructure comparing *C. auris* cells from different strains grown in RPMI-1640 and

SabDex (Fig 5). TEM showed a lack of obvious differences indicating that cell wall

mannans are not notably remodelled between aggregated and single cells, supporting

the hypothesis of a protein which is not a bulk component of the cell wall mediating media-

induced aggregation. We observed moderate, but significant differences in inner cell wall

thickness and mannan fibril length between growth condition in some isolates, but these

were strain-specific and not associated with a particular clade or the ability to aggregate.

Transcriptomic analysis demonstrated large differences in gene expression between

strains of different clades, with 505 DEGs unique to UACa11 (clade I) and 653 DEGs

unique to UACa20 (clade III). Of interest to us was that two distinct *ALS* genes were

differentially expressed indicating that different ALS-type adhesins might have separate

roles [16]. We produced an *als4112* null mutant with some difficulty despite trying multiple

techniques, including CRISPR-Cas9-targeted mutation [14]; this might have been

aggravated by copy-number variation at the locus [17]. Our *als4112* mutant unfortunately

is not a clean deletion, but the region removed does not contain differentially expressed

genes identified in our RNA-seq data (Fig S4). Therefore, these genes may not play a

role in aggregation and this mutant was thus used for analysis. The results suggest that

Als4112 is involved in media-induced aggregation as *als4112* cells formed no aggregates

after 24 hours of growth in SabDex. A different mechanism underpins antifungal-induced

clustering, as this phenotype was unaffected in *als4112*Δ cells (Figs 2 & 7). This result

corroborates the work by Bing *et al.* (2003) where they showed that overexpression of

Als4112 induced the aggregation phenotype in non-aggregating strains and that clinical

isolates lacking a fully functional Als4112 do not aggregate [17].

The *G. mellonella* infection model has been useful for comparing the pathogenicity of *C.*

auris strains [35]. Intriguingly, we show that the choice of growth medium for the inoculum

has a significant impact on the outcome (Fig 8A-F). Cells grown in SabDex are more

virulent than those grown in RPMI-1640 for all strains tested except one, the non-

aggregative clade I strain UACa25. Further testing with a lower starting inoculum of

UACa25 (clade I) would rule out a toxic shock phenomenon that could be caused by

UACa25 (clade I) having a higher overall virulence compared to other strains (Fig 8A-F).

This demonstrates the importance of experimental design and of detailed reporting of

experimental conditions to enable a valid comparison across published results.

Importantly, when the contribution of aggregation to virulence was directly tested by

comparing the wild-type UACa20 isolate to the *als4112Δ* mutant, wild-type infection

reduced survival among *G. mellonella* larvae significantly (Fig 8G).

Another difficulty working with aggregation is to correctly determine the number of cells

without removing the aggregates from the cell culture before inoculating a host.

Therefore, we analysed host-pathogen interaction using macrophages to elucidate how

the immune system might deal with *C. auris*. We observed that the uptake of single yeast

cells was not impeded in a noticeable way even if aggregates were present (Video V5).

Interestingly, macrophages interacted with aggregates but were unable to fully engulf or

clear them (Fig 9, Videos V1-V5). We also observed that many macrophages ignored

fungal cells which is in line with previous observations [41]. The inability of the immune

system to clear aggregates would explain their presence in animal models post infection

[18]. We hypothesize that cell aggregates might act as reservoirs in the host during

infection, with single cells being cleared by resident macrophages during active infection.

However, aggregates might persist for longer times, and cause breakthrough infections

when the immune system of the host is weakened or suppressed. Whether this explains

the higher virulence of an aggregative wild-type strain compared to a non-aggregative

als4112 mutant requires further experimentation with primary human macrophages and

a whole-animal mammalian infection model.

To summarize, we have shown that there are two types of aggregation, canonical strain-

inherent aggregation induced by the growth environment, and a different morphology we

call "clustering" which is mediated by sub-inhibitory concentrations of echinocandins. We

have demonstrated that an ALS-family adhesin, Als4112, is involved in media-induced

aggregation, and that aggregates of both types pose a challenge for the immune system

and might be a cause of the persistence of *C. auris* systemic infections.

Materials & Methods

Yeast strains and culture conditions

All strains used in the study are listed in Table S6. All strains were recovered from storage

at -70 °C, grown for 1 day at 37 °C and maintained for up to 1 month on mycological YPD

(1% yeast extract (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK), 2% mycological peptone (Oxoid Ltd.),

2% D-glucose (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and 2% agar (Oxoid Ltd.))

slopes at 4 °C, with fresh cultures spread on mycological YPD plates and incubated at 37

°C for 2 days before use. All experiments were performed in Sabouraud dextrose

(SabDex) (1% mycological peptone (Oxoid Ltd.), 4% D-glucose (Thermo Fisher

Scientific)) or RPMI-1640 (10.4 g/L RPMI-1640 powder without phenol red (Merck KGaA,

Darmstadt, Germany), 1.8% D-Glucose (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.165 M 3-(N-

morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (Melford, Suffolk, UK) adjusted to pH 7 by 1 M NaOH

(Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA)). Cultures were grown at 37 °C shaking at 200 rpm.

Where plates have been used it is the medium specified with the addition of 2% agar

(Becton, Dickinson & Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

The antifungal drugs, Caspofungin and Micafungin, were purchased from Merck KGaA

and resuspended in DMSO (Merck KGaA). For liquid culture they were added to RPMI-

1640 as prepared above at time of fungal inoculation. For agar plates, the antifungals

were added to RPMI-1640 with 2% agar after autoclaving and the media temperature had

cooled to 60 °C to avoid degradation of the antifungal activity.

Determination of Aggregation

Strains were grown for 16 hours at 37 °C shaking at 200 rpm. Cells were harvested by

centrifugation (2,500 ×g, 5 minutes) after which culture media was removed. Cells where

then resuspended in 1× phosphate buffer saline (1× PBS) or ddH$_2$O this was repeated

twice to completely remove growth media. Cells finally suspended in 1× PBS or ddH$_2$O

were vortexed for 1 minute before microscopic examination to check for presence of

aggregates.

Microscopy

For light microscopy cells were suspended in the indicated solution and 50 μL was added

to a glass slide before images were captured on a Zeiss Axioskop 20 microscope with a

Axiocam 105 Colour using Zen 2.3 software (version 2.3.69.10000).

For fluorescence microscopy, cells were fixed with 4% methanol-free paraformaldehyde

overnight, cells were harvested by centrifugation (2,000 ×g, 5 minutes) and resuspended

in 1× PBS, this was repeated twice to remove traces of paraformaldehyde.

For cell wall chitin, cells were incubated for 1 hour with 10 µg/mL Calcofluor White M2R

(CFW) (Merck KGaA). Cells were harvested by centrifugation (2,000 ×g, 5 min) and

supernatant removed. Cells were resuspended in 10 µL Vectashield HardSet Antifade

Mounting Medium (2B Scientific, Kidlington, UK), which was placed on a microscope

glass slide and coverslip placed on top without applying pressure. Images were captured

on a Zeiss Imager M2 upright microscope with a Hamamatsu Flash 4 LT camera using

Zen 2.3 software (version 2.3.69.1018).

For confocal fluorescent microscopy of chitin and mannan staining, fixed cells were

incubated with 1× PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (Merck KGaA) for 1 hour on

ice. This was removed by centrifugation (2,000 ×g, 5 min) and cells were resuspended in

1× PBS containing 10 µg/mL CFW and 25 mg/mL Concanavalin A (Con A) conjugated to

Alexa Fluor 488 for 1 hour on ice. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (2,000 ×g, 5

min) and resuspended in 1× PBS, this was repeated twice. After the final wash with 1×

PBS, cells were spun down again and supernatant was removed, cells were then re-

suspended in 10 µL Vectashield HardSet Antifade Mounting Medium and mounted onto

microscope slides, a coverslip was applied without pressure. Images were taken as Z-

stacks with an image every 0.1 µm with a Ultraview VoX spinning disk confocal

microscope using a Hamamatsu C11440-22C camera. Images were processed with

Volocity software (Version 6.5.1) selecting images that showed the connection point

between cells.

***Galleria mellonella* survival assay**

Strains were prepared for inoculation by picking a single colony from a SabDex plate and

growing the cells for 16 hours in fresh liquid medium (SabDex or RPMI-1640). Medium

was removed by centrifugation (2,500 ×*g*, 5 minutes). Cells where then washed twice with

ddH$_2$O by centrifugation (2,500 ×*g*, 5 minutes) between each resuspension. Finally, cells

were suspended in 1× PBS. Cell suspensions stood for 10 minutes to allow aggregates

to sink to the bottom of the suspension and single cells were harvested from the top layer

of the solution for counting. Cells were adjusted to give a final inoculum of 50 µL

containing 5 × 10^5 cells in 1× PBS. *G. mellonella* larvae (TruLarv BioSystems

Technology, Exeter, UK or UK Waxworms Ltd, Sheffield, UK) were inoculated within two

days of receipt. A 50 µL inoculum was given via the last left proleg with a 0.5 ml 29G

Micro-Fine U-100 insulin injection unit (BD Medical, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

Larvae were incubated for 6 days at 37 °C. Every 24 hours larvae were examined and

deemed dead when they no longer responded to physical stimuli. Each experiment also

had a non-injected control group and a control group injected with 1× PBS only. Results

were pooled across three independent experiments and statistical analysis was done

using a Kaplan-Meier survival plot followed by Log-rank test statistics to determine if

differences in survival were significant.

MIC Testing

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination was done using Caspofungin and

Micafungin MIC E-test strips (Liofilchem srl, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy) following the

manufacturer's guidance with small modifications. Isolates were grown for 24 hours on

SabDex agar plates, one colony was picked and dispersed in ddH$_2$O. Onto RPMI-1640

agar plates 2 × 10^6 cells were spread and allowed to dry for 10 minutes. A MIC test strip

was carefully placed onto the agar surface, and the plate was incubated for 24 hours at

37 °C before determining the zone of inhibition and the MIC or MIC$_{90}$ where appropriate.

High-pressure freezing sample preparation for transmission electron microscopy

(TEM)

Cells were grown for 16 hours in the indicated media. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation (2,500 ×g, 5 minutes) and removal of excess cell culture media was performed before fixation and preparation. Fixation and preparation were performed by the Microscopy and Histology Core Facility at the University of Aberdeen following a published protocol [42]. Fixation was done by high-pressure freezing using a Leica Empact 2/RTS high-pressure freezer. Samples were returned to us and we performed imaging using a JEOL 1400 plus transmission electron microscope with an AMT UltraVUE camera. All images were processed, and cell wall thickness was measured with Fiji (ImageJ version 1.53f51) [43].

Proteinase K assay

Cells were grown for 16 hours in the indicated liquid medium at 37 °C shaking at 200 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (2,500 ×g, 5 minutes), the supernatant was discarded before the pellet was resuspended in 1× PBS, centrifugation and resuspension was repeated twice before aggregates were counted using a haemocytometer. For the assay 1 × 10^6 cells were added to 50 μL of ddH$_2$O either containing 12.5 μg of proteinase K or not before being incubated for 1 hour at 50 °C, a second ddH$_2$O sample was also prepared and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour to ensure the application of heat did not increase the number of aggregates. After incubation 950 μL 1× PBS was added, and cells were vortexed at high speed for 1 minute. The number of aggregates were counted again with a haemocytometer and the fold-change of aggregates between the

unincubated against the incubated samples calculated. Statistical significance was

determined by an independent samples t-test.

Transcriptomics

UACa20 (clade III) and UACa11 (clade I) were grown in the specified media for 16 hours

and RNA was extracted for RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) using the method outlined below,

this was repeated three times on independent colonies at different times. After growth for

16 hours cells were harvested by centrifugation (2,500 ×g, 5 minutes). Supernatant was

discarded and cells resuspended in residual supernatant before immediately proceeding

to RNA extraction. RNA was extracted by homogenisation of cells with acid-washed glass

beads in 600 µL TRIazole (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) per

60 µL culture using a FastPrep-24 5G (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) for cell

lysis. Glass beads and cell debris were discarded after centrifugation (12,000 ×g for 10

minutes at 4 °C) and the supernatant was used for further steps. Addition of 0.2 mL

chloroform per 1 mL TRIazole was followed by vigorous shaking for 15 seconds and

incubation at room temperature for 2 minutes. Centrifugation (12,000 ×g for 15 minutes

at 4°C) separated the proteins, DNA, and RNA into 3 phases. RNA in the aqueous layer

was removed and subjected to precipitation by addition of 500 µL isopropanol and

incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. Centrifugation (12,000 ×g for 10 minutes

at 4°C) resulted in a pellet of RNA, which was washed with 600 µL 75% ethanol. Ethanol

was removed after centrifugation (6,000 ×g for 10 minutes at 4°C) and the RNA pellet

was dried for 10 minutes at room temperature. The RNA samples were resuspended in

RNase-free water before clean-up treatment with the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen,

Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol for off-column clean-up. RNA was

then subjected to further clean-up by using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the

manufacturer's instructions, before final suspension in RNase-free water and storage at

-80 °C before sequencing.

Sequencing of mRNA was carried out by the Centre for Genome-Enabled Biology and

Medicine (CGEBM) at the University of Aberdeen. Before sequencing External RNA

Controls Consortium (ERCC) spike controls were added to samples for assessment of

library quality and as estimation of lowest limit of detection [44]. Library preparation was

done using Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and

sequenced using the High Output 1×75 kit on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform

producing 75 bp single-end reads. Quality control of the sequencing data was performed

using FastQC (version 0.11.8)

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) with lower-quality reads

and adaptor content being removed with TrimGalore! (version 0.6.4)

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) with a Phred quality

score threshold of 30. ERCC spike controls consist of 92 synthesized transcripts that

range both in length and concentration. Two mixes (Mix 1 and Mix 2) are provided with

differing transcript concentration ratios of 4:1, 1:1, 1:1.5, and 2:1 to allow for assessment

of detection of differential expression. One of these two mixes was randomly added to

each of the samples. ERCC reads were removed by aligning all reads to the ERCC

reference genome using HISAT2 (version 2.1.0) [45] with unmapped *C. auris* reads being

kept for alignment. Illumina-sequencing produced between ~25 million and ~30 million

reads per sample (Table S7).

The *C. auris* B11221 reference genome was downloaded from NCBI

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/38761?genome_assembly_id=678645)   [46]

along with the equivalent annotation file and prepared for use with the alignment software

HISAT2 (version 2.1.0) [45]. Reads were aligned to this prepared reference using HISAT2

(version 2.1.0) [45] with the parameter for stranded library preparation used. SAMtools

(version 1.9) [47] was used to process the alignments and reads were counted at gene

locations using featureCounts (part of the sub-read version 1.6.2 package) [48] utilizing

the parameter to split multi-mapped reads as a fraction across all genes that they align

to, and the parameter for stranded analysis. 83.66% to 88.75% of reads aligned to coding

regions and after quality control and removal of low-count genes a total of 5,431 genes

remained for analysis.

edgeR (version 3.30.3) [49] was used to detect which genes had a significant differential

change in expression. All genes that had a CPM (count per million) value of more than

one in three or more samples were kept for analysis, and all other genes were removed

as low-count genes. Differential expression analysis was performed via pairwise

comparisons between each sample group. To obtain the B8441 gene names, the gene

DNA sequence for the B11221 strain was obtained and compared to the B8441 gene

sequences using BlastN [50].

***als4112* mutant generation**

All genomic DNA was prepared as described previously [12]. All PCRs were done using

VeriFi Hot Start Mix (PCR Biosystems Ltd., London, UK), with the exception of

transformant screening which was done with PCRBIO Taq Mix Red (PCR Biosystems

Ltd.). The deletion mutant was generated by a previously described method of homology-

directed repair and lithium-acetate transformation [51] using a nourseothricin marker.

Briefly, the *CaNAT1* resistance marker was amplified from plasmid pALo218 using

primers oUA315 and oUA316 (Table S8) [12]. The *ALS4112* gene sequence and flanking

sequences 2 kb upstream and downstream were obtained from NCBI (Accession

XP_028889036.1) to design primers, 1 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of *ALS4112*

was amplified with primers oUA989 and oUA990, and oUA991 and oUA992 respectively,

from UACa20 genomic DNA (Table S8). The deletion cassette was assembled using

fusion PCR and primers oUA993 and oUA994 (Table S8) to amplify the final deletion

cassette which was confirmed by gel electrophoresis. UACa20 (clade III) was grown

overnight at 30 ºC with shaking before being diluted 1:100 in fresh bacteriological YPD

(1% yeast extract (Oxoid Ltd.), 2% bacteriological peptone (Oxoid Ltd.), 2% D-glucose

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 2% agar (Oxoid Ltd.)) and grown for a further 4 hours.

Cells were then harvested by centrifugation for 2 minutes at 1,000 ×g and resuspended

in ddH$_2$O. This step was repeated twice before cells were suspended in 0.1 M lithium

acetate and were centrifuged again for 1 minute at 1,000 ×g before being suspended in

0.1 M lithium acetate. To this 5 µg of the deletion cassette in 50 µL of 50% PEG-3350, 1

M lithium acetate and 10 mg/mL denatured herring sperm DNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

was added and incubated overnight shaking at 30 ºC. Heat shock was applied in a heat

block the next day at 44 ºC for 15 minutes, and cells were resuspended in bacteriological

YPD and incubated shaking at 30 ºC for 3 hours to recover. After recovery cells were

plated on bacteriological YPD plates containing 200 µg/mL nourseothricin (clonNAT;

Werner BioAgents GmbH, Jena, Germany) and incubated at 30 ºC until colonies

appeared (usually 2 days). Transformants were screened for *als4112* deletion with

primers oUA987 and oUA988 (Table S8), and UACa20 genomic DNA was used as a

positive control.

Inverse PCR

Genomic DNA from the *als4112* null mutant was prepared as described previously [12].

Inverse PCR design is outlined in Fig S4. For the restriction digest 1 µg of genomic DNA

from UACa20 and *als4112Δ* was incubated for 1 hour at 37 ºC with the restriction

endonuclease BamHI-HF (New England Biolabs (NEB), Ipswich, MA, USA) following the

manufacturer's instructions. The enzyme was removed with the Monarch PCR & DNA

Cleanup Kit (NEB) following the manufacturer's instructions. For the ligation reaction 8 µL

of the digest was treated with T4 Ligase (NEB) for 16 hours at 16 ºC, before stopping the

reaction at 65 ºC for 10 minutes. PCR was done using VeriFi Hot Start Mix (PCR

Biosystems Ltd.) to amplify DNA for sequencing with primers oUA995 and oUA996 (Table

S8) for upstream region boundary of the deletion cassette and primers oUA997 and

oUA998 (Table S8) were used for the downstream boundary of the deletion cassette,

amplification of the *als4112Δ* DNA but not UACa20 DNA was confirmed by gel

electrophoresis. DNA was sent for Sanger sequencing (Eurofins Scientific, Luxembourg,

Luxembourg) with primers oUA999 for upstream and oUA1000 for downstream

sequencing (Table S8), and results were compared using BLAST against the *C. auris*

reference genome (NCBI Cand_auris_B11221_V1) to determine boundaries of the

deletion cassette.

THP-1 Macrophage Differentiation

The human monocyte cell line THP-1 (MerckKGaA) were maintained at 2×10^5 cells/mL

in RPMI-1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 200

U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 2 mM GlutaMAX (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C in 5% CO_2. Macrophages were differentiated with 200 nM

phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (Merck KGaA) following a previously described

method with slight modifications [52]. Briefly 1 × 10^5 cells/ml were incubated in RPMI-

1640 with 200 nM PMA, 10% FBS, 200 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM

GlutaMAX at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 for 3 days. PMA-containing media and non-adherent cells

were removed by washing twice with 1× PBS. THP-1 macrophages were then allowed to

rest for 3 days in RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS, 200 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM

GlutaMAX at 37 °C in 5% CO_2. Media was removed and THP-1 macrophages were lifted

by scraping. 2 × 10^5 THP-1 macrophages were added to each well of an 18-well slide

(ibidi, Gräfelfing, Germany) in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 200 U/mL

penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM GlutaMAX at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 and allowed to rest for

a further 24 hours before being used for experimentation.

Live-Cell Imaging

Fungal cells were grown for 24 hours in desired media and cells were harvested by

centrifugation 2,000 ×g for 5 minutes and resuspended in ddH_2O, this was repeated twice

before cells were counted with a haemocytometer. Medium was removed from THP-1

macrophages and replaced with CO_2-independent medium (Fisher Scientific) containing

fungal cells at MOI 1:3 and 0.02 µg/µL propidium iodide (Fisher Scientific). Images were

taken every 3 min for 2 hours on an Ultraview VoX spinning disk confocal microscope

using Volocity software (Version 6.5.1).

Statistics

All statistics were performed in SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 28.0) (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) except for log-rank tests of *G. mellonella* survival data which were performed in R (version Rx64, 4.3.0) (http://www.r-project.org/).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Rhys Farrer (MRC CMM, University of Exeter) for guidance on bioinformatic analysis, to Louise Walker (University of Aberdeen) for advice on macrophage work, and to Neil A. R. Gow (MRC CMM, University of Exeter) and Dhara Malavia (MRC CMM, University of Exeter) for discussing their unpublished results. We thank Gillian Milne (Microscopy & Histology Facility, University of Aberdeen) for technical assistance with electron microscopy. *Candida auris* strains were kindly provided by Anuradha Chowdhary (Vallabhbhai Patel Chest Institute, University of Delhi, Delhi, India), Public Health England (PHE) (Bristol, UK), and the Mycotic Diseases Branch of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Atlanta, GA, USA).

Funding

This work was supported by a PhD studentship (MR/P501955/1) from the Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre for Medical Mycology at the University of Exeter, UK (MR/N006364/2). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Fig 1. Aggregation is an inducible phenotype. (**A**) Changes in growth media induce aggregation only in aggregative strains. Light microscopic (brightfield) images of cells of the indicated strains (left) in the indicated medium (top). Cells grown in RPMI-1640 displayed no aggregation regardless of ability to aggregate. Only aggregative (Agg) strains grew as aggregates when grown in SabDex while non-aggregative (Non-Agg) strains remained as single cells. (**B**) Aggregation is lost when media is replaced with water but is retained when replaced with 1× PBS. Light microscopy (phase contrast) of cells grown overnight in SabDex followed by either replacement of media with ddH$_2$O, 1× PBS, or ddH$_2$O followed by 1× PBS. Non-aggregative (Non-Agg) strains were unaffected by the suspension liquid while aggregative (Agg) strains retained aggregation when suspended in 1× PBS, but aggregates were noticeably reduced after washing with ddH$_2$O. Scale bars represent 50 μm.

Fig 2. Sub-inhibitory concentrations of echinocandins induce a different type of aggregation (clustering). (**A**) UACa20 (clade III) and (**B**) UACa11 (clade I) grown overnight in RPMI-1640 containing either 32 mg/L CSP or 0.075 mg/L MFG, images were taken with a light microscope (phase contrast) of cells in media and when resuspended in ddH$_2$O, showing drug-induced clusters remain intact after washing with ddH$_2$O. Scale bars in (A) and (B) represent 50 μm. (**C**) Differences in sedimentation were not observed in the RPMI-1640 cultures or the drug-induced clusters, while media-induced aggregates did fall out of suspension. (**D**) Colony morphology was not conspicuously impacted by aggregation.

Fig 3. Differences in chitin bud scars and the coverage of outer cell wall mannan indicate differences between aggregation types. (**A, B**) UACa20 (clade III) cells grown overnight in SabDex (A) or RPMI-1640 containing 32 mg/L CSP (B), cell wall chitin is visualized with 10 µg/mL CFW. White arrows point to bud scars without daughter cells grown in SabDex indicating full cell separation after division, this is not seen on antifungal-induced aggregation. Scale bars in (A) and (B) represents 10 µm. (**C, D**) Cell wall mannan and chitin stained with ConA and CFW, respectively, visualized by confocal microscopy to generate image sections of entire aggregates. (**C**) Cells grown in SabDex are completely outlined by mannan and chitin staining (red arrows), even where cells are closely juxtaposed, only actively dividing cells with small daughter buds appear to have a break in the mannan outer cell wall layer (white arrows). (**D**) When grown in RPMI-1640 containing 0.075 mg/L MFG cells are completely bounded by chitin, but display a lack of mannan staining at cell-cell junctions (white arrows) indicating a cell separation defect. In (C) and (D) the scale bars represent 5 µm.

Fig 4. Proteinase K treatment significantly reduces media-induced aggregation but not antifungal-induced clustering. Log_2-fold change in aggregate/cluster numbers grown in SabDex (**A**), 32 mg/L CSP (**B**), or 0.075 mg/L MFG (**C**) after incubation at 50 °C for 1 hour with or without 12.5 µg of proteinase K. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.001$, as determined by independent samples t-test.

Fig 5. Media-induced aggregation does not cause changes to the cell wall ultrastructure.
Cells grown in indicated media were fixed by high pressure freezing for transmission electron microscopy TEM. (**A**) Representative images from TEM of cell wall of an aggregative (Agg) and a non-aggregative (Non-Agg) strain, outer cell wall (OW) and inner cell wall (IW) are indicated. Scale bar represents 50 nm. (**B**) Inner cell wall measurements show strain-specific changes in response to culture conditions. (**C**) Length of mannan fibrils (outer cell wall) shows moderate changes in response to culture conditions in two isolates, UACa20 (clade III) (Agg) and UACa25 (clade I) (Non-Agg). *$p < 0.05$ as determined by independent samples t-test.

799
Fig 6. Differential expression of genes (DEGs) in an aggregative and a non-aggregative
800
strain grown in SabDex or RPMI-1640. Aggregative (Agg) clade III strain UACa20 and non-
801
aggregative (Non-Agg) clade I strain UACa11 were grown in the specified media for 16 hours and
802
RNA was extracted for RNA-seq, this was repeated three times on independent colonies at
803
different times. (**A, B**) Volcano plots of the total DEGs for UACa11 (A) and UACa20 (B) are shown
804
with the dotted line indicating an FDR = 0.05. (**C**) Venn diagram of statistically significant (FDR <
805
0.05) DEGs, numbers indicate how many DEGs are unique to UACa11 and UACa20 and what
806
portion of DEGs are shared between the strains.
807

Fig 7. *ALS4112* seems to be required for media-induced aggregation, but not for antifungal-induced clustering. (**A**) Light microscopy images (contrast) of the *als4112Δ* mutant grown SabDex or RPMI-1640 containing 0.075 mg/L MFG for 24 hours. Cells grown in SabDex failed to aggregate, whereas cells grown in the presence of MFG did form clusters. Scale bar represent 10 μm. (**B**) The *als4112Δ* mutant was grown for 24 hours in RPMI-1640 containing 0.075 mg/L MFG and was imaged by confocal microscopy. Total cell wall chitin was stained with CFW and mannans stained with ConA. White arrows highlight features consistent with antifungal-induced clustering, where cells are completely surrounded by chitin staining, but display a lack of mannan staining at cell-cell junctions. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Fig 8. Virulence in the *G. mellonella* infection model is dependent on pre-growth media and Als4112. (**A-C**) Non-aggregative and (**D-F**) aggregative *C. auris* strains were grown in RPMI-1640 or SabDex before inoculation into *G. mellonella* larvae. All strains except UACa25 (clade I) in (B) showed significant differences in virulence dependent on the media used to grow the fungal cells before inoculation. (**G**) Wild-type (UACa20) and *als4112Δ* (UACa177) *C. auris* cells were grown in SabDex before inoculation into *G. mellonella* larvae (A log-rank test was used to calculate *p*-values . 30 larvae in 3 independent experiments were used to assess virulence.

Fig 9. Macrophages have difficulty in clearing large aggregates and clusters. (**A**) Aggregates of strain UACa20 (clade III) grown in SabDex were co-incubated with THP-1 derived macrophages, and one macrophage was followed for the duration of the experiment (white arrow), taken from Video V3. (**B**) Clusters of strain UACa20 grown in RPMI-1640 containing 0.075 mg/L

MFG, one macrophage was followed for the duration of the experiment (white arrow), taken from
Video V4. Scale bars represent 15 μm.

References

1.   Satoh K, Makimura K, Hasumi Y, Nishiyama Y, Uchida K, Yamaguchi H.

Candida auris sp. nov., a novel ascomycetous yeast isolated from the

external ear canal of an inpatient in a Japanese hospital. Microbiol

Immunol. 2009;53: 41–44. doi:10.1111/j.1348-0421.2008.00083.x

2.   Rhodes J, Fisher MC. Global epidemiology of emerging *Candida auris*. Curr

Opin Microbiol. 2019;52: 84–89. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2019.05.008

3.   Forsberg K, Woodworth K, Walters M, Berkow EL, Jackson B, Chiller T, et

al. *Candida auris*: The recent emergence of a multidrug-resistant fungal

pathogen. Med Mycol. 2019;57: 1–12. doi:10.1093/mmy/myy054

4.   Stephenson JC, Garza DR, Bouklas T. A fungus for our time: *Candida auris*

emerges into the anthropocene. Curr Trop Med Rep. 2023.

doi:10.1007/s40475-023-00293-w

5.   Lockhart SR, Etienne KA, Vallabhaneni S, Farooqi J, Chowdhary A,

Govender NP, et al. Simultaneous emergence of multidrug-resistant

Candida auris on 3 continents confirmed by whole-genome sequencing and

epidemiological analyses. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2017;64: 134–140.

doi:10.1093/cid/ciw691

6.   Chow NA, de Groot T, Badali H, Abastabar M, Chiller TM, Meis JF.

Potential fifth clade of *Candida auris*, Iran, 2018. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019;25:

1780–1781. doi:10.3201/eid2509.190686

7.   Arastehfar A, Shaban T, Zarrinfar H, Roudbary M, Ghazanfari M, Hedayati

M-T, et al. Candidemia among Iranian patients with severe COVID-19

admitted to ICUs. Journal of Fungi. 2021;7: 280. doi:10.3390/jof7040280

8.   Noble SM, Gianetti BA, Witchley JN. *Candida albicans* cell-type switching

and functional plasticity in the mammalian host. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2017;15:

96–108. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.157

9.   Iracane E, Vega-Estévez S, Buscaino A. On and Off: epigenetic regulation

of *C. albicans* morphological switches. Pathogens. 2021;10: 1463.

doi:10.3390/pathogens10111463

10.   Kumamoto CA, Vinces MD. Contributions of hyphae and hypha-co-

regulated genes to *Candida albicans* virulence. Cell Microbiol. 2005;7:

1546–1554. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00616.x

11.   Yue H, Bing J, Zheng Q, Zhang Y, Hu T, Du H, et al. Filamentation in

Candida auris, an emerging fungal pathogen of humans: passage through

the mammalian body induces a heritable phenotypic switch. Emerg

Microbes Infect. 2018;7: 188. doi:10.1038/s41426-018-0187-x

12.   Bravo Ruiz G, Ross ZK, Gow NAR, Lorenz A. Pseudohyphal growth of the

emerging pathogen *Candida auris* is triggered by genotoxic stress through

the S phase checkpoint. mSphere. 2020;5: e00151-20.

doi:10.1128/msphere.00151-20

13.   Borman AM, Szekely A, Johnson EM. Comparative pathogenicity of United

Kingdom isolates of the emerging pathogen *Candida auris* and other key

pathogenic *Candida* species. mSphere. 2016;1: e00189-16.

doi:10.1128/mSphere.00189-16

14.   Santana DJ, O'Meara TR. Forward and reverse genetic dissection of

morphogenesis identifies filament-competent *Candida auris* strains. Nat

Commun. 2021;12: 7197. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27545-5

15.   Zamith-Miranda D, Amatuzzi RF, Munhoz da Rocha IF, Martins ST, Lucena

ACR, Vieira AZ, et al. Transcriptional and translational landscape of

Candida auris in response to caspofungin. Comput Struct Biotechnol J.

2021;19: 5264–5277. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2021.09.007

16.   Szekely A, Borman AM, Johnson EM. *Candida auris* isolates of the

Southern Asian and South African lineages exhibit different phenotypic and

antifungal susceptibility profiles *in vitro*. J Clin Microbiol. 2019;57: e02055-

18. doi:10.1128/jcm.02055-18

17.   Bing J, Guan Z, Zheng T, Zhang Z, Fan S, Ennis CL, et al. Clinical isolates

of *Candida auris* with enhanced adherence and biofilm formation due to

genomic amplification of *ALS4*. Cramer RA, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2023;19:

e1011239. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1011239

18.   Forgács L, Borman AM, Prépost E, Tóth Z, Kovács R, Szekely A, et al.

897   Comparison of *in vivo* pathogenicity of four *Candida auris* clades in a

898   neutropenic bloodstream infection murine model. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;9:

899   1160–1169. doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1771218

900   19.   Bravo Ruiz G, Lorenz A. What do we know about the biology of the

901   emerging fungal pathogen of humans *Candida auris*? Microbiol Res.

902   2021;242: 126621. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2020.126621

903   20.   Malavia-Jones D, Farrer RA, Stappers MHT, Edmondson MB, Borman AM,

904   Johnson EM, et al. Strain and temperature dependent aggregation of

905   *Candida auris* is attenuated by inhibition of surface amyloid proteins. The

906   Cell Surface. 2023; DOI: 10.1016/j.tcsw.2023.100110.

907   doi:10.1016/j.tcsw.2023.100110

908   21.   Ramos LS, Parra-Giraldo CM, Branquinha MH, Santos ALS. Cell

909   aggregation capability of clinical isolates from *Candida auris* and *Candida*

910   *haemulonii* species complex. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2023;8: 382.

911   doi:10.3390/tropicalmed8080382

912   22.   Short B, Brown J, Delaney C, Sherry L, Williams C, Ramage G, et al.

913   *Candida auris* exhibits resilient biofilm characteristics *in vitro*: implications

914   for environmental persistence. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2019;103: 92–

915   96. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2019.06.006

916   23.   Singh R, Kaur M, Chakrabarti A, Shankarnarayan SA, Rudramurthy SM.

917   Biofilm formation by *Candida auris* isolated from colonising sites and

918   candidemia cases. Mycoses. 2019;62: 706–709. doi:10.1111/myc.12947

919   24.   Romera D, Aguilera-Correa J-J, García-Coca M, Mahillo-Fernández I,

920   Viñuela-Sandoval L, García-Rodríguez J, et al. The *Galleria mellonella*

921   infection model as a system to investigate the virulence of *Candida auris*

922   strains. Pathog Dis. 2020;78: ftaa067. doi:10.1093/femspd/ftaa067

923   25.   Biswas B, Gangwar G, Nain V, Gupta I, Thakur A, Puria R. Rapamycin and

924   Torin2 inhibit *Candida auris* TOR: Insights through growth profiling, docking,

925   and MD simulations. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2022.

926   doi:10.1080/07391102.2022.2134927

927   26.   Hoyer LL, Cota E. *Candida albicans* Agglutinin-like sequence (Als) family

928   vignettes: a review of Als protein structure and function. Front Microbiol.

929   2016;7: 280. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00280

930   27.   Muñoz JF, Gade L, Chow NA, Loparev VN, Juieng P, Berkow EL, et al.

931   Genomic insights into multidrug-resistance, mating and virulence in

932   *Candida auris* and related emerging species. Nat Commun. 2018;9: 5346.

933   doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07779-6

934   28.   Muñoz JF, Welsh RM, Shea T, Batra D, Gade L, Howard D, et al. Clade-

935   specific chromosomal rearrangements and loss of subtelomeric adhesins in

936   *Candida auris*. Mitchell A, editor. Genetics. 2021;218: iyab029.

937   doi:10.1093/genetics/iyab029

29. Singh S, Uppuluri P, Mamouei Z, Alqarihi A, Elhassan H, French S, et al.

The NDV-3A vaccine protects mice from multidrug resistant *Candida auris*

infection. PLoS Pathog. 2019;15: e1007460.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007460

30. Smoak RA, Snyder LF, Fassler JS, He BZ. Parallel expansion and

divergence of an adhesin family in pathogenic yeasts. Genetics. 2023;223:

iyad024. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyad024

31. Santana DJ, Anku JAE, Zhao G, Zarnowski R, Johnson CJ, Hautau H, et al.

A *Candida auris* –specific adhesin, Scf1, governs surface association,

colonization, and virulence. Science (1979). 2023;381: 1461–1467.

doi:10.1126/science.adf8972

32. Liu Y, Filler SG. *Candida albicans* Als3, a multifunctional adhesin and

invasin. Eukaryot Cell. 2011;10: 168–173. doi:10.1128/EC.00279-10

33. Willaert R. Adhesins of yeasts: protein structure and interactions. Journal of

Fungi. 2018;4: 119. doi:10.3390/jof4040119

34. Mayr E-M, Ramírez-Zavala B, Krüger I, Morschhäuser J. A Zinc Cluster

transcription factor contributes to the intrinsic fluconazole resistance of

Candida auris. Mitchell AP, editor. mSphere. 2020;5: e00279-20.

doi:10.1128/mSphere.00279-20

35. Garcia-Bustos V, Ruiz-Saurí A, Ruiz-Gaitán A, Sigona-Giangreco IA,

Cabañero-Navalon MD, Sabalza-Baztán O, et al. Characterization of the

differential pathogenicity of *Candida auris* in a *Galleria mellonella* infection

model. Microbiol Spectr. 2021;9: e00013-21. doi:10.1128/Spectrum.00013-

21

36.   Ene I V., Adya AK, Wehmeier S, Brand AC, MacCallum DM, Gow NAR, et

al. Host carbon sources modulate cell wall architecture, drug resistance and

virulence in a fungal pathogen. Cell Microbiol. 2012;14: 1319–1335.

doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01813.x

37.   Leach MD, Farrer RA, Tan K, Miao Z, Walker LA, Cuomo CA, et al. Hsf1

and Hsp90 orchestrate temperature-dependent global transcriptional

remodelling and chromatin architecture in Candida albicans. Nat Commun.

2016;7: 11704. doi:10.1038/ncomms11704

38.   Thompson DS, Carlisle PL, Kadosh D. Coevolution of morphology and

virulence in *Candida* species. Eukaryot Cell. 2011;10: 1173–1182.

doi:10.1128/EC.05085-11

39.   Brown AJP, Brown GD, Netea MG, Gow NAR. Metabolism impacts upon

Candida immunogenicity and pathogenicity at multiple levels. Trends

Microbiol. 2014;22: 614–622. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.07.001

40.   Lorenz A, Papon N. New tools for the new bug *Candida auris*. Trends

Microbiol. 2022;30: 203–205. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2022.01.010

41.   Wang Y, Zou Y, Chen X, Li H, Yin Z, Zhang B, et al. Innate immune

responses against the fungal pathogen *Candida auris*. Nat Commun.

2022;13: 3553. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31201-x

42.   Milne G, Walker LA. High-pressure freezing and transmission electron

982   microscopy to visualize the ultrastructure of the *C. auris* cell wall. Methods

983   in Molecular Biology. 2022;2517: 189–201. doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-2417-

984   3_15

985   43.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T,

986   et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat

987   Methods. 2012;9: 676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

988   44.   The External RNA Controls Consortium. The External RNA Controls

989   Consortium: a progress report. Nat Methods. 2005;2: 731–734.

990   doi:10.1038/nmeth1005-731

991   45.   Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low

992   memory requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12: 357–360.

993   doi:10.1038/nmeth.3317

994   46.   Chatterjee S, Alampalli SV, Nageshan RK, Chettiar ST, Joshi S, Tatu US.

995   Draft genome of a commonly misdiagnosed multidrug resistant pathogen

996   *Candida auris*. BMC Genomics. 2015;16: 686. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-

997   1863-z

998   47.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The

999   Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:

1000   2078–2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

1001   48.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose

1002   program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics.

1003   2014;30: 923–930. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

1004   49.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. <tt>edgeR</tt> : a Bioconductor

1005   package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.

1006   Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 139–140. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

1007   50.   Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et

1008   al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:

1009   421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

1010   51.   Bravo Ruiz G, Lorenz A. Genetic transformation of *Candida auris* via

1011   homology-directed repair using a standard lithium acetate protocol.

1012   Methods in Molecular Biology. 2022;2517: 95–110. doi:10.1007/978-1-

1013   0716-2417-3_8

1014   52.   Daigneault M, Preston JA, Marriott HM, Whyte MKB, Dockrell DH. The

1015   identification of markers of macrophage differentiation in PMA-stimulated

1016   THP-1 cells and monocyte-derived macrophages. PLoS One. 2010;5:

1017   e8668. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008668

1018