Long-read genome assembly of the insect model organism *Tribolium castaneum*

reveals extensive propagation of satellite DNA long arrays in gene-rich regions

Volarić Marin[#], Despot-Slade Evelin[#], Mravinac Brankica, Meštrović Nevenka*

Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Correspondence: nevenka@irb.hr

Abstract

Eukaryotic genomes are replete with satellite DNAs (satDNAs), large stretches of tandemly repeated

sequences which are mostly underrepresented in genome assemblies. As a result, we know little about

their spread, movement, and rearrangement across the genome or about their potential impact on the

genome. Here, we have combined Oxford Nanopore long-read sequencing with a reference-guided

assembly approach to generate a new, high-quality genome assembly (TcasONT) of the model beetle

Tribolium castaneum. The new TcasONT is enriched by 50 Mb in the repetitive genome part, which is as

much as 1/4 of *T. castaneum* genome size. Therefore, we used the enhanced assembly to conduct global

and in-depth analyses of abundant non-(peri)centromeric satDNAs, Cast1-Cast9. We found a large

proportion of long arrays of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs embedded in gene-rich regions. In addition, occurrence

of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs and transposable elements often did not overlap. Contrary to accepted opinion,

our finding clearly speaks to the ability of satDNAs to expand in gene-rich regions and also demonstrate

the flexibility of gene-rich regions to tolerate and accommodate satDNA sequences. In addition, we found

that suppressed recombination on X chromosomes has less effect on the spread of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs,

but rather tolerates amplification of these satDNAs into longer arrays. Our results also show the extensive

spread of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs, characterized by distribution along the entire chromosome length and

between different chromosomes. This observed pattern points out very efficient mechanisms of

propagation of satDNAs on the whole genome scale including gene-rich regions. The presence of such a

large portion of satDNAs with extensive propagation and amplification potential in gene-rich regions of

the *T. castaneum* genome can strongly influence both gene expression and the dynamics of genome

evolution.

Background

Satellite DNAs (satDNAs) are the most abundant and rapidly evolving non-coding DNA of all eukaryotic genomes. In contrast to other groups of repetitive elements such as interspersed transposable elements (TE), which are scattered throughout the genome, satDNAs are characterized by monomer sequences tandemly arranged into long arrays. Previous studies on many animal and plant species indicate preferential localization of satDNAs in the (peri)centromeric heterochromatin [1]. Heterochromatin represents a highly compact gene-poor specialized chromatin structure that is distinct from the gene-rich euchromatin. SatDNAs in (peri)centromeric heterochromatin have a long history of investigation including many plant and animal species, and studies have suggested a role of satDNA in chromatin packaging, centromere formation/maintenance, and centromere evolution [2–4]. SatDNAs are also linked to chromosome mis-segregation [3], disease phenotypes [5], and reproductive isolation between species [6]. In addition to standard satDNA-rich regions such as (peri)centromeric heterochromatin, recent studies revealed examples where short arrays of (peri)centromeric satDNAs also spread to euchromatic regions. For example, (peri)centromeric satDNA families in humans, *Drosophila* and the beetle *T. castaneum* can also be dispersed within euchromatin in the form of clustered or short single repeat arrays [7–9]. In *Drosophila* X-specific (peri)centromeric 1688 satDNAs dispersed in euchromatic regions have a role in dosage compensation through up-regulation of X-linked genes in *Drosophila* males [10,11]. The euchromatic counterpart of (peri)centromeric DNA in the beetle *T. castaneum* modulates the local chromatin environment upon heat stress changing the expression of neighboring genes [12]. Although there is clear evidence that satDNAs exist outside the (peri)centromere and that some roles have been assigned to them, understanding of their organization, evolutionary dynamics, and disclosure of the molecular mechanisms driving their spread, movement, and rearrangement in euchromatin is still very limited. The key requirement that would help to understand the evolution and function of these sequences is their detection at the genome level and genome-wide studies of satDNAs in various model organisms. One of the main reasons for the current lack of global and in-depth studies of satDNAs in euchromatin is certainly the fact that satDNA regions are the most difficult part of the genome to sequence and assemble, and therefore they are underrepresented or absent even in the best genome assemblies (reviewed in [13,14]). However, with the improvement of long-read sequencing technology, especially Oxford Nanopore platform, it has recently been demonstrated that genomic loci made of

satDNAs can be efficiently assembled [15]. Moreover, end-to-end maps of all human chromosomes,

spanning highly repetitive (peri)centromeric and telomeric regions, have recently been obtained [16].

The beetle *T. castaneum* is a worldwide pest of stored products and the representative of the most

species-rich animal order on Earth, the coleopterans. *T. castaneum* has become one of the most important

models in the field of evolution, physiology and development of insects because its development is more

representative for insects compared to *Drosophila* [17,18]. The *T. castaneum* genome has been

sequenced, annotated and a first version of genome assembly was available in 2008 [19]. Structural

genome analyses revealed large amounts (>30%) of different classes of repetitive DNA in the assembled

part of the *T. castaneum* genome. Among them, the (peri)centromeric heterochromatic satDNA TCAST,

which was drastically underrepresented in the assembled genome, dominates on all *T. castaneum*

chromosomes comprising up to 17% of the genome [20,21]. All *T. castaneum* chromosomes are

characterized by large blocks of (peri)centromeric heterochromatin, while no prominent heterochromatic

blocks could be detected cytogenetically on chromosome arms [22]. Regarding the possible presence of

satDNAs in euchromatin of *T. castaneum*, our previous study on the *T. castaneum* reference genome

assembly disclosed that, in addition to short arrays of counterpart of (peri)centromeric DNA in

euchromatin regions [9], *T. castaneum* genome abounds with many different and unrelated satDNAs

distributed out of (peri)centromeric regions [23]. Nine satDNAs, Cast1-Cast9, represent the most

prominent non-pericentromeric satDNA portion which constitutes ~0.3 % of the genome assembly.

Unlike of the most euchromatic satDNAs, found for example in *Drosophila*, which have short monomer

units, Cast1-Cas9 represent "classical" satellite DNAs based on ~170 and ~340 bp long monomers. The

experimentally determined genome content of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs showed abundance of approximately

~4.3%, which is ~10X higher than the analyzed representativeness in the assembled genome [23]. The

genome version from 2008 was based on a Sanger 7x draft assembly and was anchored to Linkage Groups

(LGs) [19]. Recently, the genome assembly was upgraded to a new version, Tcas5.2, which was improved

by using large-distance jumping libraries and BioNano Genomics optical mapping, bringing also a new

official gene set OGS3 [24]. Nevertheless, our previous satDNA analyses showed that satDNA genome

fractions were highly underrepresented in Tcas5.2 as well.

We considered that for a deep genome-wide analysis of dominant satDNAs distributed outside the

(peri)centromere, the first prerequisite is the availability of the assembly enriched in the repetitive

sequences. For that reason, we used Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) long-read sequencing and

applied a reference-guided assembly strategy using the reference assembly Tcas5.2 to produce an

improved genome assembly of *T. castaneum*. This approach allowed us to achieve our ultimate goal: filling

the gaps and elongating the repetitive regions, especially the satDNAs in the new TcasONT assembly. The

new nanopore-based assembly (TcasONT) expanded the repetitive part of the genome by 50.8 Mb. In

addition to enrichment in the repetitive part, the analyses of the completeness of the predicted gene

model also increased compared to the Tcas5.2 assembly. Further, we used the TcasONT assembly

provided here, as a platform for global and in-depth analyses of the dominant satDNA fraction located in

euchromatic chromosomal arms. Specifically, we developed a workflow for Cast1-Cast9 detection in the

TcasONT assembly and subsequent analysis of their chromosomal distribution, organization, evolutionary

trends as well as analysis of satDNAs' environment.

Results

1. Chromosome-scale ONT-genome assembly

The size of the reference *T. castaneum* genome assembly (Tcas5.2) is 165,9 Mb [24]. Removing of placeholders and sequencing gaps results in a genome assembly size of 136 Mb. Considering that the experimentally estimated genome size is 204 Mb [25], it is clear that 68 Mb of the genome is missing from the Tcas5.2 reference assembly. In order to improve the existing assembly, especially in repetitive genome parts, we employed Oxford Nanopore Technologies' (ONT) long-read sequencing platform. In total, 121 Gb of nanopore long reads were generated. Incorporating only those reads that had a mean quality score of >7, a total of 89 Gb were used for the new genome assembly representing genome coverage of 436X (Suppl Table 1 Nanoplot). Selected reads were up to 500 kb in length with a mean read length of 15.8 kb and mean quality score per read of 12.8. Main steps of genome assembly process are presented in Suppl Figure 1. First, nanopore reads were divided into two fractions based on their length, short reads (<20kb; total of 52.7Gb; 258X genome coverage), and long reads (>20kb; total of 36.3 Gb; 178X genome coverage). Next, long reads (>20kb) were used for the initial assembly using Canu [26]. This initial Canu assembly had 1479 contigs with total length of 321 Mb and a N50 of 835.5 kb. (Suppl Table 2, left Canu statistics). The longest contig was 16.4 Mb in length. Our initial Canu assembly was approximately 117 Mb larger than the previously experimentally estimated genome size of 204 MB [27]. To verify the genome size and genome repetitiveness *in silico*, the k-mer frequencies of the corrected reads generated during the Canu assembly process using the FindGSE and CovEST programs were calculated. FindGSE estimated the genome to be 204 Mb, while CovEST RE showed only slightly higher estimation of 208 Mb (Supp Table 3 genome size), which is fully consistent with experimental estimation. The repeat ratio calculated by FindGSE was 27% of genome confirming the previously observed high genome repetitiveness [22]. In terms of repetitiveness, previous studies showed that up to 17% of the *T. castaneum* genome is (peri)centromeric satDNA TCAST [20,21]. Considering that abundant tandem repeats might be problematic for proper assembly [28], we assumed that the additional 117 Mb in our Canu assembly (Supp table 2) were primarily generated by gene-poor and highly repetitive (peri)centromeric TCAST arrays. To reduce the suspected negative effects of TCAST satDNA on the genome assembly process, we performed an additional filtering step. In this step, the contigs that did not contain at least 1000 bp of unique gene-

coding sequence were removed, resulting in successful filtering of 471 out of 1479 total contigs (Suppl

Figure 1).

These 471 sorted contigs were further used to achieve a new chromosome-scale assembly using

reference-guided approach. The latest improved *T. castaneum* genome assembly Tcas5.2 [24] was used

as the reference for organizing these contigs into chromosomes. Two reasons were crucial for the

selection of this approach: 1) the availability of the high-quality *T. castane*um reference genome, Tcas5.2,

and 2) assembly approach that allows the input sequence to be ordered based on the mapping quality of

the reference sequence. Given that the reference genome Tcas5.2 contained 3669 unresolved gaps with

total N (ambiguous nucleotides) size of 11 Mb and mean gap size of 3125 Kb, the first step of reference-

guided assembly approach included gap filling of Tcas5.2 with TGS-GapCloser using 8.6 Gb of Canu

corrected larger reads (length >20 kb). TGS-GapCloser was efficient in filling most of the gaps, so in total

3607 gaps (98.3 %) were closed which increased the genome size by 10.5 Mb (Suppl table 4). The gap-

filled Tcas5.2. reference genome assembly was further used as a template for orientating 471 Canu-

contigs into the 10 chromosomes using RagTag software tool [29]. A total of 244 contigs were

unambiguously assigned to a reference Tcas5.2. genome representing a new ONT-based genome

assembly, named TcasONT (Supply table 5). The rest (227 contigs) did not accurately map on the

assembly, remaining assigned as unassembled sequences (Supp table 6). To further validate structure of

omitted contigs, we mapped them with highly abundant (peri)centromeric TCAST satDNAs and found that

around half of unplaced sequences (14 Mb) are indeed mostly covered (>50 %) with (Supp table 6). To

gain insight into the actual representation of these regions, we mapped the TcasONT assembly with TCAST

satDNA and obtained 9446 monomers, accounting for 3.6 Mb (1.7%) of the genome.

To improve genome accuracy, the TcasONT genome assembly was polished using Racon with 56.3 Gb of

raw short nanopore reads (<20 kb) which have been excluded from the initial Canu-assembly but passed

the quality check. The final polished TcasONT has a size of 225.9 Mb, with 191 Mb assembled in ten

chromosomes and the remainder in unassembled contigs (Suppl table 7). Compared to the reference

Tcas5.2, the new TcasONT assembly shows a 53 Mb increase of total chromosome length (189 Mb in

contrast to 136 Mb genome without gaps or Ns, Suppl Table 7). Comparing TcasONT and Tcas5.2

chromosomes, the elongation of chromosome lengths ranges from 10.6 to 56.3 %, indicating a significant

improvement of genome assembly continuity at the chromosome level (Suppl table 8).

To measure completeness and quality of the new assembly, we compared the TcasONT assembly to the

reference Tcas5.2 using dot plot analyses. The result revealed high levels of macrosynteny and collinearity

across all chromosomes with high sequence identity (Figure 1). Furthermore, we calculated that 88% of

the total Tcas5.2 unplaced contig sequence length is now placed within chromosomes of the new TcasONT

assembly.

187
188   Figure 1. Dot-plot representation of TcasONT vs. Tcas5.2 assembly comparison. The horizontal axis
189   corresponds to the intervals along the TcasONT assembly and the vertical axis corresponds to the intervals
190   along the Tcas5.2 assembly. LG1-LG10 represent chromosomes, while unplaced contigs contain
191   sequences in Tcas5.2. that were not associated with any chromosome. Dots closest to the diagonal line
192   indicate co-linearity between the two assemblies.

193
194

We next assessed the completeness of the TcasONT assembly by comparative BUSCO analyses between

TcasONT and Tcas5.2 using insect universal orthologs from the odb10 database (Figure 2). Of the 1367

genes, we identified 1329, which corresponds to 97.2 % of BUSCO single-copy completeness. Further, 17

genes were duplicated, 13 were fragmented and only 8 genes were missing. A considerable increase in

number of complete BUSCOs (32 genes) can be observed in the TcasONT assembly compared to Tcas5.2.

In addition to BUSCO analysis, we "lifted" genes from the Tcas5.2 annotation onto the TcasONT assembly

using the Liftoff package and assessed their final numbers (Suppl Table 9). Only 48 out of 14467 genes

(0.3%) were left unmapped, mostly representing genes with no known biological functions.

Genes (N=1367)	Tcas5.2	TcasONT
Complete	1297	1329
Duplicated	6	17
Fragmented	4	13
Missing	60	8

Table 1. Gene completeness assessment based on BUSCO analysis of Tcas5.2 and TcasONT assemblies
using insect universal orthologs. The analysis was expressed as absolute numbers for complete and single-
copy, complete and duplicated, fragmented and missing genes.

2. Repeat identification in the TcasONT assembly

To evaluate the improvement in the representation of the repetitive genome portion in the new TcasONT

assembly compared to Tcas5.2, a self-similarity dot-plot analyses were performed using >70% sequence

identity as a criterion (Figure 3). The comparison of dot-plots revealed that the TcasONT assembly has a

higher level of self-similarity with medium to large dark blocks representing regions of repetitive

sequences in comparison to Tcas5.2 assembly (Figure 2). Quantified, the number of self-similar sequences

was 44,671 in the TcasONT genome assembly, while in Tcas5.2 it was only 2,202, which means that the

new TcasONT assembly has about 20-fold higher proportion of repetitive genome fraction.

220
221 Figure 2. The whole genome-to-genome dot-plots analyses of A) TcasONT, and B) Tcas5.2 assemblies.
222 Each dot represents a region of ≥1000 bp long region which is mapped in its entirety to another part of
223 the genome. The dot density is proportional to the number of highly similar regions.
224

To define the improvement specifically in transposable elements (TEs) content, the TEs in both Tcas5.2

and TcasONT assemblies were annotated using RepBase database. The TEs were classified into 4 main

types, including DNA elements, long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), long terminal repeats (LTRs)

and short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs). The TcasONT assembly revealed an increase of 11,297

DNA TE, 12,268 LTR TE and 27,553 LINE elements (Figure 3A and Suppl Table 10) compared to the Tcas5.2.

Next, we investigated abundance of these elements in both genomes. Among the four TE categories, in

terms of cumulative sequence occupancy there is a notable increase in TcasONT compared to Tcas5.2 for

three of them; DNA TE (5.2 Mb), LINE (14.5 Mb) and LTR (1.8 Mb) (Figure 3B and Suppl Table 10). Taken

together, the TcasONT assembly is enriched with 21.5 Mb of TE elements, compared to Tcas5.2. (Suppl

Table 10).

Next, we assessed the content of another class of repetitive DNA sequences, tandem repeats (TRs),

detecting them by the Tandem Repeat Finder (TRF), which was successfully used in previous studies of *T.*

castaneum [23]. Detected TRs were classified into 3 groups based on their monomer length (<50bp, 50-

500bp, and >500bp). The analysis revealed a total of 35.3 Mb TRs in the TcasONT assembly compared to

9.1 Mb in Tcas5.2 (Suppl Table 10), indicating a significant improvement in TRs content. On closer

inspection, the results show that the number of TRs elements detected in the TcasONT assembly was

doubled in all three classes (Figure 3C), while the 50-500 bp and >500 bp TRs, which represent "classical"

satDNAs, contributed significantly to the cumulative genome length (31.5 Mb in TcasONT vs 7.3Mb in

Tcas5.2) (Figure 3D).

Figure 3. Comparison of main classes of transposable elements (TEs) found by RepeatMasker and tandem
repeats (TRs) found by TRF in the TcasONT and Tcas5.2 assemblies. The height of the bars indicates **A)**
total number of TEs, **B)** cumulative length of TEs**, C)** total number of TRs, and **D)** cumulative length of TRs.

From all above, the 53 Mb size difference between the Tcas5.2 and TcasONT genome assemblies is mainly

due to the enrichment of repetitive regions (50.8 Mb; 95.8%; Suppl Table 10). The repetitive fractions that

were most enriched in the TcasONT assembly were TEs (21.5 Mb) and TRs (26.2 Mb). If we consider that

the (peri)centromeric TCAST satDNA accounts for only 3.6 Mb, it is evident that the TcasONT assembly

has an increase of 22.6 in TRs outside of (peri)centromeric regions which mainly includes an increase in

"classical" satDNAs with >50bp long monomer units.

3. Characterization of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs in TcasONT assembly

Given the demonstrated improvement in the genome assembly, especially in its repetitive parts, the new

TcasONT assembly represents a very good platform for in-deep analyses of previously disclosed abundant

satDNA sequences outside the (peri)centromeres, Cast1-Cast9 (Pavlek et al, 2015).

The first step, essential for downstream analyses, was the mapping of the Cast1-Cast9 arrays in the

TcasONT assembly. Because of the well-known variability of monomer sequence within a satDNA family,

it was important to establish sequence similarity and sequence coverage parameters, which would ensure

the detection of the vast majority of Cast1-Cast9 monomers in the TcasONT assembly. For that reason,

the BLAST search of nanopore raw reads using consensus sequences of Cast1-Cast9 monomers [23] were

performed. The results were shown as density plots where color intensity correlated with number of

monomers of a particular Cast satDNA (Suppl Figure 2). The majority of Cast satDNAs show highest

intensity, i.e. monomer aggregation in the area corresponding to >75% of sequence coverage and >70%

of percent identity. However, in Cast2 and Cast4, two areas with high density of which one area is

characterized by lower coverage/identity parameters were detected. This pattern is a consequence of the

mutual similarity in one part of consensus sequences between Cast2 and Cast4 (Suppl Figure 3). Despite

their partial similarity they were still considered as individual satDNAs. In addition, the only satDNA that

in BLAST analysis shows increased monomer variability is Cast7, evident by the increased density in the

lower sequence identity area. Therefore, to map the vast majority of arrays from all 9 satDNAs (Cast1-

Cast9) in the TcasONT assembly, the slightly lower parameters of sequence coverage >70% and percent

identity >70% were used.

To disclose the organization of the Cast1-Cast9 arrays, we analyzed the relationship between monomer

distance in the arrays for each Cast (Suppl Figure 4.). More specifically, we wanted to determine whether

the arrays were organized in tandem continuously (consisting exclusively of monomers of a particular Cast

satDNA) or had mixed tandem organization (with a different sequence incorporated into the arrays). The

results showed that, arrays of most satDNAs show continuity of the curve, which depicts a typical satDNA

array organization consisting of continuously arranged monomeric variants. In contrast, Cast2, Cast5 and

Cast7 exhibited a disturbed tandem contiguity demonstrated by sharp increases in average array length

at certain distances. Most prominently, Cast2 showed a sharp increase in probability, indicating the

presence of a specific sequence within the arrays that required further investigation. In addition to

monomeric Cast2 arrays, we found Cast2 monomers also organized as a part of a new, longer repeat unit

of approximately 1270 bp in length (Suppl Fig. 5A and B). This new family of tandem repeats is termed

Cast2', and in the downstream analysis these two types of Cast2 arrays were examined separately. A more

detailed introspection of Cast5 arrays showed that the observed profile is the result of sporadic

occurrence of previously described R66-like sequences [23] dispersed within continuous Cast5 arrays

(Suppl Fig. 5C). In addition to R66-like sequence which turned out to be randomly distributed within Cast5

arrays, R140-like sequences were found exclusively at the end of some Cast5 arrays. In addition, analyses

of Cast7 arrays also reveal a mixed organization where Cast7 arrays often occur in association with

(peri)centromeric TCAST satDNA (Suppl Fig. 5D).

Considering the difficulty of correctly assembling satDNA sequences, the next question was whether the

array lengths and arrangement of the Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs in the TcasONT assembly correspond to the

real situation of the genomic loci containing the Cast1-Cast9 repeats. This is because satDNAs can lead to

assembly collapse, where the number of monomer units (array length) found in a genome assembly could

be less than the actual number. Based on the assumption that raw reads provide a realistic picture of what

is originally present in the genome because they do not undergo an assembly process, we performed a

comparative analysis of Cast1-Cast9 including new Cast2' array pattern across the individual reads and

across the new genome assembly. For this analysis, it was crucial to define optimal parameters for array

detection to avoid fragmentation due to short gaps. Therefore, considering monomer length and mixed

array organization of specific Cast satDNAs such as Cast2, Cast5 and Cast7, the best window length that

ensures detection of the maximum number of arrays was evaluated for each Cast satDNA. The analysis

showed that a minimum of three consecutive monomer units should be a criterion for correct array

characterization for each Cast satDNA. The array patterns between the datasets of individual reads and

the TcasONT assembly show remarkable similarity for all Cast satDNAs (Suppl Figure 6).

To detect enhancement of Cast1-Cast9 regions in TcasONT genome assembly, we compared both their

content and array length with Tcas5.2 (Table 2). In terms of overall enrichment in Cast satDNA portion,

the TcasONT assembly shows an increase of 7.2 Mb in the representation of analyzed Cast satDNAs

compared with Tcas5.2. Among them, Cast1, Cast2' and Cast5 emerged as particularly prominent satDNAs

with an increase of 0.7 Mb, 2.8 Mb and 2.6 Mb, respectively. The total content of Cast satDNAs in the new

TcasONT genome was 8.8 Mb (4.6% of the genome), which is remarkably close to the previous

experimental estimate of the genome abundance for these satDNAs (> 4.3% in [23]). Among them, Cast2'

and Cast5 showed the highest genome abundance with 1.5% for each. Except for Cast8 and Cast9 satDNAs

with low abundance (<0.1%), most Cast satDNAs showed moderate genome abundance in the range of

0.1-0.4%. The results also show an exceptional increase in the number of arrays in the TcasONT assembly

for Cast2', Cast5 and Cast7. In Cast2', for example, the number of arrays has increased by more than 9X.

In addition to the number of arrays, average increase in array length (expressed as mean values) can also

be observed in the TcasONT genome assembly for all Cast satDNAs. A significant increase in average array

length of up to 3X was observed for Cast2', Cast5 and Cast6 satDNAs compared to Tcas5.2. The length of

an average array for Cast satDNAs in the TcasONT assembly ranges from 1.2 to 31 kb. The highest average

array size of ~31 kb has been found for Cast6, while Cast1 has the longest array of ~112 kb.

Table 2. Statistics of Cast1-9 arrays detected in the Tcas5.2 and TcasONT genome assemblies.

	Tcas5.2					TcasONT					
	Array number	Total length (bp)	Array mean (bp)	Maximal array length (bp)	genome abundance (%)	Array number	Total length (bp)	Array mean (bp)	Maximal array length (bp)	genome abundance (%)	Total length difference (bp)
Cast1	71	206,931	2,915	61,324	0.140	106	865,816	8,168	112,523	0.452	658,885
Cast2	121	178,456	1,475	17,682	0.121	77	267,482	3,474	54,320	0.140	89,026
Cast2'	29	117,847	4,064	21,938	0.080	258	2,928,418	11,350	66,157	1.529	2,810,571
Cast3	173	220,599	1,275	4,173	0.149	172	246,560	1,433	10,679	0.129	25,961
Cast4	100	147,755	1,478	9,103	0.100	88	334,031	3,796	56,181	0.174	186,276
Cast5	66	258,820	3,922	12,025	0.175	148	2,897,522	19,578	85,403	1.512	2,638,702
Cast6	17	166,879	9,816	43,796	0.113	23	715,260	31,098	77,335	0.373	548,381
Cast7	86	82,188	956	3,729	0.056	273	332,266	1,217	6,028	0.173	250,078
Cast8	32	38,553	1,205	4,280	0.026	24	51,621	2,151	6,463	0.027	13,068
Cast9	117	145,832	1,246	4,575	0.099	98	139,574	1,424	5,958	0.073	-6,258
Total	812	1,563,860			1.059	1267	8,778,550			4.582	7,214,690

326   The graph of Cast1-Cast9 monomer copy number in TcasONT compared to Tcas5.2 also clearly shows the

327   presence of significant enrichment (2-10X) in the majority of satDNAs analyzed (Figure 4). From the above,

328   it is clear that the new TcasONT assembly contains the representative sample of Cast arrays and can be

329   taken as a credible platform for further genome-wide analysis of Cast satDNAs.

Figure 4. Comparison of monomer copy numbers for Cast satDNAs annotated in the reference Tcas5.2 and the TcasONT genome assemblies (see also Suppl Table 11). The assemblies were mapped with Cast1-Cast9 satDNA monomeric consensus sequences published previously [23].

4. Genome organization and evolution of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs

The distribution of Cast1-Cast9 including Cast2' satDNAs on *T. castaneum* chromosomes was further investigated by mapping all Cast1-Cast9 monomers on the assembled TcasONT linkage groups. The two main patterns were observed. The first pattern is characterized by Cast satDNAs located on a subset of chromosomes (Figure 5). For example, Cast1 dominates on LG3, LG6 and LG10, while it is underrepresented on other chromosomes. Similarly, Cast6 dominates on LG3, but is also present, albeit to a much lesser extent, on LG6, LG8 and LG9. In contrast, the other Cast satDNAs such as Cast2', Cast5 and Cast7 show a more uniform pattern characterized by similar content on almost all chromosomes (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Distribution of Cast satDNAs across different chromosomes in the TcasONT genome assembly.
Different colors represent specific Cast satDNA across ten chromosomes of *T. castaneum*. Monomers
within the genome assembly were annotated based on a query percentage identity >70% and query
coverage >75% for all Cast satDNAs.

Next, we analyzed the distribution of Cast1-Cast9 along chromosomal length (Suppl Figure 7). Since it is

already known that TCAST builds up huge blocks of (peri)centromeric heterochromatin [20] the presumed

localization of the (peri)centromere on each chromosome is marked. Detailed examination of Cast1-Cast9

distribution disclosed an exceptional spread of Cast satDNAs along the chromosomes with no tendency

to cluster in proximal regions of the (peri)centromere. Only Cast7 occurs preferentially in regions enclosed

by (peri)centromeric satDNA TCAST. In addition, some Cast satDNAs show a tendency to cluster in distal

chromosomal regions.

The distribution of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs on chromosomes compared with genes and transposon elements

(TEs) was also analyzed (Figure 6). Interestingly, Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs are often part of gene rich regions

and rarely overlap with TE (the most prominent regions with these features are highlighted in Figure 6).

The only coincidence of the occurrence of Cast satDNA and TEs was found in the (peri)centromeric regions,

which correlates with the occurrence of Cast7 satDNA.

Figure 6. Circular representation of the TcasONT genome assembly with annotated Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs, genes and transposable elements (TEs). The boxes indicate the most prominent regions where the accumulation of Cast satDNAs correlates positively with gene density and negatively with the TEs density. The position of the (peri)centromere on each chromosome is indicated by a red arrow.

For a more detailed correlation analysis of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs and genes, 50 kb flanking regions surrounding Cast1-Cast9 arrays were examined for the presence of genes. More specifically, all exons in the flanking regions of Cast1-Cast9 arrays were counted, and the base exon content of the whole genome assembly was calculated and used to assess the relative exon density in the vicinity of Cast arrays (Figure 7). From the scaled values based on the total number of arrays, it is evident that Cast satDNAs have median exon content values that are above the median of the genome, except for Cast1, Cast6 and Cast7. Moreover, the arrays Cast2', Cast3, Cast5 and Cast8 are surrounded by a significantly larger number of genes (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p<0.01) than the rest of the genome (Suppl Table 12). In addition, these Cast satDNAs have distributions above 3rd quartile range of the genome indicating the presence of several

arrays surrounded by extremely gene-rich regions. The only Cast satDNA that has lower number of

adjacent genes is Cast7 (Supple Table 12, Figure 7). This correlates with the observation that Cast7 arrays

occur in an intermingled organization with (peri)centromeric TCAST satDNA (Suppl Figure 7).

Figure 7. Distribution of exon counts in vicinity of Cast arrays. The relative amount of exons was calculated
based on the distribution of exon content in the assembled genome with the red line representing the
median number of exons in regions of same size (100 kb) and the dotted line represents the first and third
quartiles (Q1 and Q3) of the distribution.
388

Next, we aimed to determine if there is a correlation between the arrays' length and the density of nearby

genes. For this purpose, Cast1-Cast9 arrays were divided into three classes according to their length:

(small arrays (<1000kb), moderate arrays (1000-10 000 kb) and long arrays (>10 000kb) and gene density

was calculated. The results for each Cast satDNAs are presented in Supplementary Figure 8. Interestingly,

most Cast satDNAs have the same profile with most gene occurring associated with arrays of intermediate

length (1000-10 000 bp). In addition, a significant number of genes were observed in the Cast5 arrays,

especially near large arrays (>10 kb). Furthermore, the raw exon numbers in the 50kb windows show no

discernible pattern, suggesting that the arrays are immersed in regions that exhibit uniform gene

presentation.

To better understand the evolution of Cast satDNAs, a genome-wide database of Cast monomers was

created and annotated with respect to their actual chromosomal positions. In addition, principal

component analysis (PCA) of monomer alignments was performed separately for each Cast satDNA

(Figure 6). The PCA analyses revealed a similar trend for almost all Cast satDNAs, which was characterized

by a scattered pattern of monomers with rare chromosome-specific clusters. These results argue for a

significant level of recent array exchange of Cast satDNAs between different chromosomes. This is

particularly evident for Cast1, Cast2, Cast2', Cast3, and Cast4 satDNAs, where regions of extremely high

density of monomers from different chromosomes are visible. A notable clustering of monomers from the

same chromosome can be observed only in Cast6. Additional analysis showed that the clustered

monomers mostly originate either from the same arrays or from arrays located nearby on the

chromosome. From the above, it is evident that the propagation of Cast satDNAs is dynamic and extensive

process both on the inter and intra chromosomal level.

413
Figure 8. Principal component analysis (PCA) of aligned Cast1–Cast9 satDNAs monomer distance matrices.
Dots represent a monomer unit. Monomers were colored according to the chromosome of origin. The
most prominent and recent exchange events between different chromosome is visible in regions with
high density of points with different colors.

To gain insight into the spread of Cast1-Cast9 arrays throughout the genome the surrounding regions of

the Cast arrays were analyzed. To address this, regions of 2 kb in length upstream and downstream of

individual Cast arrays were extracted and grouped according to Cast satDNA. Then the regions were

aligned using the MAFFT program and the mutual sequence similarity values were calculated from the

alignment. The results show that of the ten Cast satDNAs analyzed, only Cast5 and Cast7 show significant

similarity of surrounding regions in most arrays (Figure 9). Detailed analysis of Cast5 arrays surrounding

regions showed that previously detected R66-like (1 kb in length) were incorporated as dispersed

sequence in the Cast5 arrays and R140-like sequences were found at both ends of Cast5 arrays (Suppl Fig.

5C). In addition to Cast5, most Cast7 arrays were arranged with (peri)centromeric TCAST (Suppl Fig 5D.

The rest of Cast satDNAs showed only partial similarities of the surrounding regions characterized for

some subsets of arrays. For example, detailed analyzes of the subset of Cast1 arrays with similarity of

surrounding regions showed that all these arrays originate from the same chromosome (LG7), and had

the same transposon-like sequence on one side (Suppl Fig 9). To identify putative sequence motifs

enriched near junctions of Cast arrays we generated the MEME dataset for each Cast satDNAs by

extracting 500 bases on each side of all arrays. In addition to the similarities already identified above in

the surrounding regions (e.g. Cast5, part of Cast1), we could not identify any motifs enriched near the

junction regions that apply to the majority of the arrays in the specific Cast satDNA.

437
Figure 9. Heatmap visualization of sequence similarity of 2 kb regions around Cast1-Cast9 arrays
throughout the TcasONT assembly. The legend indicates that the sequence similarity of the surrounding
regions increases, with colors going from blue to red.

In general, chromosomal regions with suppressed or without recombination tend to accumulate satDNAs

[30]. Because sex chromosomes represent such regions, we examined the number and length of Cast1-

Cast9 arrays on the X chromosome and compared them with other chromosomes. This type of analysis

would be even more informative using the Y chromosome, which is mostly non-recombining but

unfortunately, the Y chromosome was not available because neither the former Tcas5.2 nor the new

TcasONT assembly contain the Y chromosome. Mapping of Cast1-Cast9 arrays to chromosomes disclosed

that the X chromosome did not have a significantly higher average number of Cast1-Cast9 arrays per Mb

compared to the others (Supp table 13). However, in contrast to number of arrays per chromosome, the

analysis of Cast1-Cast9 array length showed a statistically significant longer length of arrays on the X

chromosome compared to the others (Wilcoxon test, pval<0.05) (Figure 10.)

Figure 10. Distribution of Cast1-Cast9 satDNA array lengths across chromosomes, LG2-LG10 including X

chromosome (LGX).

Discussion

In our study, a high-quality *T. castaneum* genome assembly was generated at the chromosome level by combining nanopore long-read sequencing and reference-guided approach. The reference-guided approach has recently been successfully used to generate genome assemblies in many species (reviewed in [31]). Therefore, in our attempt to improve the *T. castaneum* assembly, especially in the repetitive genome part, we used the latest version of the *T. castaneum* assembly Tcas5.2 [24] as a reference. The new TcasONT assembly generated by this approach was found to be nearly 53 Mb larger than the Tcas5.2 reference genome with an extension of chromosome lengths in the range of 10.6-56.3%. In addition, the TcasONT assembly has a higher completeness of genes than the last version of the reference Tcas5.2, which underlines the credibility of the gene representation. It is also important to note that the TcasONT genome assembly lacks only 13 Mb of the estimated *T. castaneum* genome sequence of 204 Mb, previously determined experimentally [27] and also *in silico* in this study. We assume that the missing 13 Mb could be attributed primarily to (peri)centromeric regions, as the gene-poor and highly repetitive (peri)centromeric satDNA TCAST regions [21]. These highly repetitive regions proved to be problematic for correct assembly and thus were largely excluded from the TcasONT assembly process. This is not unusual that despite significant advances in sequencing technologies and assembly methods, (peri)centromeric regions remains still incomplete in most even nanopore based assemblies [32]. The only exceptions are the recently published Telomere-to-Telomere assemblies of prominent model organisms such as human and *Arabidopsis* that contain the complete (peri)centromere (Nurk et al., 2022; Altemose et al., 2022; Naish et al., 2021).

In addition to enrichment in the gene regions, TcasONT genome proved to be representative for the study of the repetitive regions. In that line, comparative studies of the assemblies show a 20-fold enrichment in the repetitive part in the TcasONT assembly compared to Tcas5.2. Transposons have increased in both number of elements and abundance, which is particularly evident in the case of LINE elements. Considering all transposon classes, an increase of as much as 21.5 Mb was observed in the TcasONT genome. When all classes of tandem repeats (TRs) were considered the TcasONT genome assembly enlargement was up to 26.3 Mb. The increase is particularly significant for TRs with a monomer length of >50bp, which are usually declared as "classical" satDNA. The enrichment of the proportion of transposons and TRs totaling 47.8 Mb compared to Tcas5.2 indicates that the TcasONT genome provides an excellent platform for the analysis of different repetitive fractions of the genome. Our study shows that nanopore sequencing approach enable resolution of previously assembly-impeding regions, most of which consist of highly repetitive sequences such as satDNAs and transposable elements. It is also important to note

that TcasONT is larger than the previous version by 25%, which means that a large portion of the genome
is now available for further research.

In this work, we used the TcasONT genome assembly provided here as a source for a detailed analysis of
the most dominant satDNAs outside the (peri)centromere, Cast1-Cas9, previously characterized in [23].
Given that our previous study of these satDNAs was limited because it was based on a portion of Cast1-
Cast9 which was found in the Tcas3.0 reference genome, representing less than 1/10 of the actual Cast1-
Cast9 genome fraction. The availability of the TcasONT genome assembly provided the opportunity for a
comprehensive analysis of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs. The assembly process often does not correctly assemble
the satDNAs leading to collapsed arrays (Tørresen et al., 2019). However, our comparative analyses of
Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs between raw data and TcasONT assembly show credibility of the TcasONT assembly
in terms of representativeness of these sequences. The TcasONT assembly also showed abundance of Cast
satDNAs of 8.8 Mb, which accounts for 4.6% of the genome and it is consistent with their experimentally
confirmed genome abundance [23]. These two features, have provided the basis for a deep and credible
platform for genome-wide analyses of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs. Interestingly we found that these ten most
abundant and "classical" satDNAs (Cast1-Cast9, including a new version of Cast2, Cast2') occur in the form
of long tandem arrays. Considering that they are located outside the (peri)centromere where
accumulation of satDNAs is not common, they show a very high average and maximum) length of arrays
(Cast6 with 31kb and Cast1 with 112kb respectively). The question arises whether the presence of such
long satDNA arrays in regions considered not suitable for the accumulation of satDNAs is a distinctive
feature of the *T. castaneum* genome, or whether the absence of satDNA arrays in these regions in other
analyzed genomes is a consequence of significant gaps in the assemblies. Given that the previous high-
quality genome assembly Tcas5.2, based on a library BAC and improved by optical mapping, contained
only 1/10 of experimentally estimated amount of Cast satDNAs, and that their content in the TcasONT
assembly almost completely approached their real amount, we conclude that the strategy of nanopore
sequencing combined with reference-guided assembly approach ensures the enviable representation of
satDNAs.

Furthermore, one of the important questions that arose from the observed organization characterized by
long arrays localized in non-pericentromeric regions that were assumed to be euchromatic is whether
Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs are truly euchromatic satDNAs. Although it has been previously shown
experimentally that these satDNAs are mostly located outside the (peri)centromeric regions, we believe
that this fact alone cannot classify them as euchromatic. Indeed, it is possible that satDNAs, even if located
outside the (peri)centromeric region, could be localized in gene-poor regions populated by, for example,

transposons or other types of noncoding DNA [34]. For this reason, we performed the analysis of

surrounding regions of Cast satDNA arrays in terms of gene density and compared them with the average

gene density in the whole genome. Interestingly, the results showed that the surrounding regions of

almost all Cast satDNAs correlated positively with the gene regions. In addition, some satDNA arrays were

surrounded by extremely gene-rich regions. It is also important to note that the surrounding gene-rich

regions are not characteristic for short arrays as would be expected but the gene-rich regions are also

found surrounding the >10kb arrays. Because it is known that satDNAs can inhabit regions rich in

transposons, another dominant repetitive DNA, we also analyzed the distribution of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs

compared to transposons. Contrary to expectations, we found that the occurrence of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs

and TEs often did not overlap. To summarize, Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs including their long arrays, were mostly

integrated into an environment rich in genes and poor in transposons. This fact clearly speaks to the ability

of Cast satDNA to invade euchromatic regions and also indicates the flexibility of euchromatin, i.e., gene-

rich regions, to tolerate and accommodate these sequences. Previous models support the fact that the

accumulation of satDNA is characteristic of regions where recombination is repressed, such as

(peri)centromeric heterochromatin (Charlesworth et al., 2005). This is thought to be due to the potentially

deleterious effect of satDNAs, which can affect neighboring regions because of its high turnover. However,

from our study, it appears that euchromatin where recombination is expected to occur may have some

tolerance to the accumulation of satDNA even in the form of long arrays. In order to test how potentially

suppressed recombination influences the dynamics of Cast1-Cast9 propagation, we performed a

comparative analysis of arrays between autosomal chromosomes and X chromosome. In that line, our

analyses of Cast1-Cast9 showed that the X chromosome had a similar number of loci with Cast1-Cast9

satDNAs in comparison with autosomes, but arrays were longer in the X chromosome than in the

autosomes. These results suggest that suppressed recombination on X chromosomes has less effect on

the spread of satDNAs in euchromatin, but rather tolerate propagation of satDNAs into longer arrays.

Considering that previous studies have mostly reported short arrays in euchromatin regions, our finding

that there are "classical" satDNAs widely distributed across the genome, even in the form of long arrays

in gene-rich regions, is something completely new. Previous studies based on euchromatic satDNA in a

form of short arrays suggest that these satDNAs may play roles in gene regulation by acting as

"evolutionary tuning knobs" [35]   regulating chromatin [9,12], and facilitating X chromosome

recognition/dosage compensation [11]. SatDNAs located in euchromatic regions also could regulate gene

expression by modulating local chromatin structure, or via transcripts derived from the repeats. For

example, contractions of the human subtelomeric satellite D4Z4 alter the chromatin state of nearby genes

causing muscular dystrophy [36]. Recent studies disclosed that expression of euchromatic satDNA-derived

transcripts control embryonal development in the mosquito via sequence-specific gene silencing [37].

Being located in the gene-rich regions, epigenetic histone marks of satDNAs in euchromatin could also

have an effect on surrounding gene regions. For example, human genome-wide analysis of satDNAs

located in euchromatin, has shown that they are associated with repressive histone mark H3K9me3,

suggesting that they may affect the expression of neighboring genes as repressive H3K9me3 encompasses

surrounding regions in addition to satDNA arrays [38]. In addition to the direct impact on genes, large-

scale rearrangements that involve the observed long Cast1-Cast9 arrays scattered throughout the genome

are also highly probable. The ability of euchromatic satDNA to undergo high evolutionary turnover may

also contribute to the rapid change of gene landscape across the genome and consequently on gene

function [39]. In summary, the presence of such a large portion of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs in euchromatin

regions of the *T. castaneum* genome can strongly influence both gene expression and the dynamics of

genome evolution.

Another important question that arose in view of the extensive distribution of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs

through the *T. castaneum* genome is how these satDNAs are propagated. While the mechanisms of TE

propagation are relatively well known and depend on the type of transposon elements [40], the

propagation of satDNA especially in euchromatin regions is still quite a mystery. Given that we know little

about the evolutionary dynamics and molecular mechanisms that shape the distribution of euchromatic

satDNA on the genome scale, we carried out analysis of the distribution pattern, evolutionary trends as

well as analysis of surrounding and junction regions of euchromatic Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs. The results

mainly show a scattered pattern characterized by arrays dispersed on all chromosomes and along their

entire chromosome length without regional preference. Interestingly, most Cast satDNAs showed

relatively recent and extensive propagation between different chromosomes. Observed pattern of

chromosomal distribution of Cast satDNAs indicates efficient mechanisms responsible for their

propagation. Although satDNAs were considered less mobile in contrast to TEs, which are able to move

extensively throughout the genome ultimately forming interspersed repeats, our study showed that

satDNAs have the same potential to spread extensively throughout the genome. To determine whether

some of them are passively spreading as part of some other sequence, analyzes of neighbor regions were

made. Apart from the already known associations of Cast7 with TCAST major satDNAs and Cast5 with

transposon-like sequences, other Cast satDNAs showed association with a common sequence only in a

small fraction of the arrays. To date, there are three mechanisms that have been proposed to be involved

in the propagation of satDNA through the euchromatin (i) dispersion in a form of short arrays integrated

as central repeats of non-autonomous transposons elements [41]; (ii) the spread of satDNAs across long

physical distances in euchromatin through extrachromosomal circular DNAs (eccDNAs) [42]; (iii) interlocus

gene conversion events via 3-D interactions between loci in interphase nucleus [43]. There are quite a few

examples where dispersed satDNAs have been found in the form of short arrays integrated as central

repeats of transposons elements [41,44,45]. Moreover, tandem repeats within Tetris transposon DNA

have been reported to be the basis for the generation of long satellite arrays in *Drosophila virilis* that

eventually lose transposon features in adjacent regions [41]. Considering that most of the Cast5 arrays

have common adjacent regions, one of which shows low similarities with the Mariner element, it is to be

assumed that this Cast5 satDNA owes its expansion to this element, similar to the origin of satDNA in

Drosophila through mediation by Tetris element. However, Cast satDNAs did not show a tendency to

associate with other mobile elements but show very extensive propagation indicating an efficient self-

propagation mechanism that operates at the inter- and intra-chromosome level. Mechanisms that have

recently been highlighted as possible drivers in the dispersal of satDNAs in *Drosophila* euchromatin are

eccDNA reintegration and interlocus gene conversion [39]. However, a detailed analysis of the junction

regions of Cast satDNAs arrays did not indicate which, if any, of the two remaining proposed mechanisms

could be involved in this extensive propagation of Cast satDNAs in the *T. castaneum* genome.

In conclusion, this work aims to (1) provide a high-quality reference genome of the insect model organism

T. castaneum, TcasONT, (2) take advantage of the new *T. castaneum* assembly to perform a deep genome-

wide analysis of dominant euchromatic satDNAs (3) and provide a highly reliable data point for future

comparative analyses of repetitive genome portion among related species. First, we have produced the

most contiguous genome assembly of *T. castaneum* to date with significant improvement in the

representation of the repetitive genome portion by Oxford Nanopore long-read sequencing. Our study

demonstrates that Nanopore sequencing approach has the potential to overcome the limitations of short

reads and enable resolution of previously assembly-impeding regions, most of which consist of highly

repetitive sequences such as satDNAs and transposable elements. Our reference genome sequences have

great potential to be important genomic resources for future research of both genes and various

repetitive fractions of the genome. Second, our comprehensive analyses of the abundant satDNAs

embedded in euchromatin regions of the *T. castaneum* genome show their massive proliferation of a wide

range, implying efficient mechanisms of their spread and amplification in gene-rich regions. Contrary to

the previously generally accepted view that the coexistence of gene-rich regions and abundant satDNA is

precluded, our study raises the question to which extent this hypothesis is actually based on assemblies

that generally show a lack of satDNA sequences not only in highly repetitive (peri)centromeric regions,

but also in satDNAs embedded in gene-rich regions.

Materials and methods

Insects sample and DNA preparation

Laboratory cultures of the red flour beetle *T. castaneum*, highly cultured strain Georgia 2 (GA2), were routinely reared in whole wheat flour with the addition of whole rye flour and oats under conditions favoring faster multiplication (32 °C and 70% relative humidity) in the dark. Selection of the different life stages of the insects was performed by sieving through a 0.71-mm sieve and picking the beetles individually with tweezers. Larvae and pupae were collected to achieve the most efficient DNA isolation efficiency. The collected amount of beetles had to be sufficient to perform the isolation with 200 mg of the starting material for pupae and 500 mg for larvae as starting sample for each DNA isolation. DNA isolation was performed followed the protocol described in [46] .Briefly, in order to isolate DNA of sufficient quality and quantity, nuclei were first isolated using a nuclei isolation buffer (NIB), followed by lysis , and finally transferred to a Qiagen Genomic Tip 100/G column. Pure DNA was spooled from eluates and precipitated in isopropanol. In total, we have performed 8 of described isolations, 4 for larvae and 4 for pupae.

Library preparation and sequencing

Oxford Nanopore library was prepared using SQK-LSK110 library preparation kit. Preparation was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions with modifications described below. Prior to sequencing DNA was sheared 30X times using a 30-gauge needle and purified of short fragments using the Short Read Eliminator (SRE) XS kit (Circulomics) according to the manufacturers protocol. The sheared and purified DNA was then used in library preparation protocols in amounts 2X larger than the ONT protocol recommendation. Additionally, all recommended elution and incubation times were extended to twice the length. These steps were performed to avoid library loss and to increase final library concentration sufficient to load multiple MinION flow cell (final concentrations of the library were >100 ng/µL allowing multiple loads from a single library). The flow cells were washed and reloaded 2-5 times to maximize the output. A total of 7 MinION 10.3.4 and 9.4.1 flow cells were used to obtain the data with a total cumulative output of 89.9 GB and an N50 of 20.1 kb. The software used for sequencing was the Oxford Nanopore MinKnow 20.10.3.

Genome assembly

Data obtained from all sequencing runs was basecalled using Oxford Nanopore basecalling software
Guppy 5.0.1 with parameters as listed in the Supplementary table 14. The basecalled reads were then
used in the assembly process, using Canu v2.2 [26] with the parameters listed in the Supplementary table
14. According to Canu documentation the parameters were adjusted for high repetitiveness of the
genome [22] and the high observed AT content in the reference Tas5.2 genome assembly [24]. The reads
were filtered to >20 kb in order to reduce the computational intensity needed to perform the assembly,
given the amount of data and the relatively small genome of the beetle. Canu assembly was performed
using the resources of computer cluster Isabella based in University Computing Centre (SRCE), University
of Zagreb.

Filling the gaps

To successfully arrange the Canu contigs into chromosomes based on the Tcas5.2 (GCF_000002335.3)
assembly, pre-existing gaps in the Tcas5.2 assembly needed to be bridged. This was done using the TGS-
Gapcloser software [47] with default for gap-filling settings (Supplementary table 14) using corrected
reads generated as the output of the Canu pipeline. Gap filling was used to bridge small and medium gaps
in the Tcas5.2 assembly to prevent that Nanopore-based long contigs be interrupted due to discontinuity
of the previous assembly.

Contig orientation

After filling the gaps in the Tcas5.2 assembly chromosomes, the RagTag collection of software tools [29]
was used. The contigs obtained with Canu were used as the query sequence and the gap-filled Tcas5.2
assembly was used as the reference sequence with "scaffold" parameter (Supplementary table 14).
RagTag uses the reference sequence (gap filled Tcas5.2 assembly), as a guide to which it aligns and places
the input sequences (Canu contigs) to create the new assembly and fill the gaps in the subject assembly
with new successfully aligned contigs. Since the highly repetitive regions are in majority lacking from the
Tcas5.2 assembly, this approach allowed to incorporate a new region of repetitive elements in the
TcasONT assembly. RagTag maps the high confidence genomic regions on chromosomes and then, if a
contig ends or begins with a repetitive region it is placed in the gap giving us insight into previously
unknown regions. The output of the RagTag tool is the unpolished assembly that is used as a template for
polishing (Supplementary Figure 1).

Polishing

To improve the quality of the assembly and reduce the error ratio, correction of Canu contigs was
performed. To polish the assembly, two separate rounds of RACON [48] polishing were performed using
reads excluded from the initial assembly (<20 kb). These short reads used for polishing involved significant
addition of genomic region information as this excluded data was ~50 Gb. Polishing was performed
according to the instructions within the RACON documentation. The RACON workflow consists of mapping
the reads onto the assembled genome with minimap2 [49] and then using the information about the
mapped reference reads to perform polishing. Produced assembly, named TcasONT was used for
downstream analysis.

BUSCO analysis

Benchmarking Single Copy orthologs (BUSCO) [50] analysis were performed using the BUSCO v5.0.0.
module on the Galaxy web platform (usegalaxy.org). Same settings, as listed in the Supplementary table
14 were repeated for all assembly validations.

Repeat detection

RepeatMasker is a widely used tool for finding and masking different repeat elements within a given target
sequence [51]. RepeatMasker was used to get the GFF/GTF formatted data with the position and
orientation of classified RepBase repeat elements. This was a base for the information about the quantity,
size, and distribution of different elements within examined genome assemblies. Assemblies were
annotated with different elements using the RepeatMasker program on the Galaxy web platform
(usegalaxy.org) with different repeat elements from the latest RepBase database (RELEASE 20181026) of
repeats and the "Hexapoda" species listing for clade specific repeats. We also rerun RepeatMasker for the
Tcas5.2 assembly to update the repeat annotations, as it was created using previous version of RepBase
database.

For quantifying of three satDNAs classes (with defined monomer length ~50; 50-500; >500bp) in
TcasONT and Tcas5.2 assembly, Tandem Repeat Finder (TRF) program [52] was used with default
parameters.

Gene annotation

In order to map genes in Canu contigs (filtering) and in TcasONT assembly, we used the novel LiftOff
package [53] using gene annotations from the Tcas5.2 assembly. The Liftoff first maps the newly created

TcasONT assembly to the reference Tcas5.2 and then uses these overlaps to accurately align genes from

a reference Tcas5.2 assembly to a target TcasONT. This approach was limited to finding possible new

genes in the improved version of the TcasONT assembly, but because the Tcas5.2 assembly was annotated

based on a large database of RNA-seq reads combined with gene and general trait prediction methods,

this approach ensures gene completeness.

Identification of Cast1-Cast9 satDNAs

Annotation of satellite repeats within the genomes was performed using the standalone NCBI's BLAST

algorithm, and the interface to the R programming language package metablastr [54]. The subject

sequences were the different analyzed assemblies (Tcas5.2 and TcasONT) while the queries were the

previously characterized Cast1-Cast9 [23]. Post-analysis included filtering BLAST hits in the genome to

discover the Cast1-Cast9 trends and arrays.

Analysis of Cast1-Cast9 satDNA arrays

All Cast1-Cast9 monomers were defined from the BLAST result table, filtered according to parameters

described in Supplementary Figure 2. Next, it was crucial to define optimal parameters for satDNA arrays

detection to avoid fragmentation due to putative short different sequence(s) incorporated into the arrays.

Total arrays of particular Cast satDNA were analyzed to evaluate best neighboring window length that

ensures the connection of the continuous repeating monomers into one array (Supplementary Figure 3).

This approach to array definition was introduced to allow for errors and array insertions and to correctly

link all existing monomers of a given satellite as accurately as possible. Afterwards we performed basic

filtering in order to define arrays and remove short interspersed monomers using the following

parameters:

(array!="Cast2-mix" & width>530) | (array=="Cast2-mix" & width > 2000)

These parameters ensured arrays with 3 or more repeat units for each satDNA. Exception was the Cast2'

array (Cast2 monomer interspersed with the newly discovered sequence Cast2') where 3 different length

monomers were included, out of which 1100 bp Cast2' is mixed with 170 bp Cast2.

Analysis of gene content in vicinity of Cast1-Cast9 arrays

A region of 50 kb upstream or downstream of the arrays was selected to define gene profiles around the

different Cast1-Cast9 arrays. In these 100-kb regions, 100 equal bins of 1 kb size (50 upstream and 50

downstream of a particular array) were created, and the number of exons was counted in each of them.

This allowed gene profiling around different Cast1-Cast9 arrays. Expected exon densities were calculated

by computing median, 1Q, and 3Q exon densities across the entire genome in 100-kb windows using a

custom R script.

Analysis of Cast1-Cast9 junction regions (MEME)

In order to find particular motifs surrounding Cast1-9 arrays we used source code compiled version of

MEME-5.5.1. [55]. We performed MEME motif identification in 100 bp, 200 bp and 500 bp windows

around particular arrays of interest, resulting motifs were investigated and in both quantity and

localization.

Multiple sequence alignment and tree construction

We used MAFFT [56] to create multiple sequence alignments of Cast1-9 monomers in the assembly. After

alignment we used the "F81" genetic distance evolutionary model from the package "ape"[57] in R to

generate genetic distance matrices which were subsequently used in the PCA analysis. PCA was performed

using the FactoMineR [58] package PCA function and first 2 dimensions for each satDNA were visualized

using *ggplot2*.

Visualizations and calculations

All plots and calculations were produced in R using custom made data processing notebooks. Apart from

the standard libraries, we also used the *circilize* package [59] to create the circular visualization plots of

global genome patterns. To create the complex heatmaps used in the characterization of neighboring

regions similarity we used the *ComplexHeatmap* package [60].

Data avaliability

The *T. castaneum* genome assembly TcasONT has been deposited to the European Nucleotide Archive

(ENA) under the BioProject accession PRJEB61413 and assembly accession number GCA_XXXX. The

genome annotation presented in this study are available in FigShare at

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22683325.v1

References

1. Plohl M, Meštrović N, Mravinac B. Centromere identity from the DNA point of view. *Chromosoma*. 2014; doi: 10.1007/s00412-014-0462-0.

2. Blattes R, Monod C, Susbielle G, Cuvier O, Wu JH, Hsieh TS, et al.. Displacement of D1, HP1 and topoisomerase II from satellite heterochromatin by a specific polyamide. *EMBO J*. 2006; doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601125.

3. Aldrup-MacDonald ME, Kuo ME, Sullivan LL, Chew K, Sullivan BA. Genomic variation within alpha satellite DNA influences centromere location on human chromosomes with metastable epialleles. *Genome Res*. 2016; doi: 10.1101/gr.206706.116.

4. Melters DP, Bradnam KR, Young HA, Telis N, May MR, Ruby JG, et al.. Comparative analysis of tandem repeats from hundreds of species reveals unique insights into centromere evolution. *Genome Biol*. 2013; doi: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r10.

5. Bersani F, Lee E, Kharchenko P V., Xu AW, Liu M, Xega K, et al.. Pericentromeric satellite repeat expansions through RNA-derived DNA intermediates in cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1518008112.

6. Ferree PM, Prasad S. How Can Satellite DNA Divergence Cause Reproductive Isolation? Let Us Count the Chromosomal Ways. *Genet Res Int*. 2012; doi: 10.1155/2012/430136.

7. Feliciello I, Pezer Ž, Kordiš D, Madarić BB, Ugarković D. Evolutionary history of alpha satellite DNA repeats dispersed within human genome euchromatin. *Genome Biol Evol*. 2020; doi: 10.1093/gbe/evaa224.

8. Kuhn GCS, Küttler H, Moreira-Filho O, Heslop-Harrison JS. The 1.688 repetitive DNA of *Drosophila*: Concerted evolution at different genomic scales and association with genes. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2012; doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr173.

9. Brajković J, Feliciello I, Bruvo-MadWarić B, Ugarković DW. Satellite DNA-like elements associated with genes within euchromatin of the beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet*. 2012; doi: 10.1534/g3.112.003467.

10. Menon DU, Coarfa C, Xiao W, Gunaratne PH, Meller VH. siRNAs from an X-linked satellite repeat promote X-chromosome recognition in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. National Academy of Sciences; 2014; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1410534111.

11. Joshi SS, Meller VH. Satellite Repeats Identify X Chromatin for Dosage Compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster* Males. *Curr Biol*. Elsevier Ltd.; 2017; doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.078.

12. Feliciello I, Akrap I, Ugarković Đ. Satellite DNA Modulates Gene Expression in the Beetle *Tribolium castaneum* after Heat Stress. *PLoS Genet*. 2015; doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005466.

13. Miga KH. Completing the human genome: the progress and challenge of satellite DNA assembly. *Chromosom Res*. Springer Netherlands; 2015; doi: 10.1007/s10577-015-9488-2.

14. Lower SS, McGurk MP, Clark AG, Barbash DA. Satellite DNA evolution: old ideas, new approaches. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. Elsevier Ltd; 2018; doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2018.03.003.

15. Miga KH, Koren S, Rhie A, Vollger MR, Gershman A, Bzikadze A, et al.. Telomere-to-telomere
assembly of a complete human X chromosome. *Nature*. Springer US; 2020; doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-
2547-7.

16. Nurk S, Koren S, Rhie A, Rautiainen M, Bzikadze A V., Mikheenko A, et al.. The complete sequence of
a human genome. *Science (80-)*. American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2022; doi:
10.1126/science.abj6987.

17. Klingler M. Tribolium. *Curr Biol*. 2004; doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2004.08.004.

18. Campbell JF, Athanassiou CG, Hagstrum DW, Zhu KY. *Tribolium castaneum*: A Model Insect for
Fundamental and Applied Research. *https://doi.org/101146/annurev-ento-080921-075157*. Annual
Reviews; 2022; doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV-ENTO-080921-075157.

19. Richards S, Gibbs RA, Weinstock GM, Brown S, Denell R, Beeman RW, et al.. The genome of the
model beetle and pest *Tribolium castaneum*. *Nature*. 2008; doi: 10.1038/nature06784.

20. Gržan T, Despot-Slade E, Meštrović N, Plohl M, Mravinac B. CenH3 distribution reveals extended
centromeres in the model beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. Malik HS, editor. *PLoS Genet*. 2020; doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1009115.

21. Ugarković D, Podnar M, Plohl M. Satellite DNA of the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* -
Comparative study of satellites from the genus *Tribolium*. *Mol Biol Evol*. 1996; doi:
10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025668.

22. Wang S, Lorenzen MD, Beeman RW, Brown SJ. Analysis of repetitive DNA distribution patterns in the
Tribolium castaneum genome. *Genome Biol*. 2008; doi: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-3-r61.

23. Pavlek M, Gelfand Y, Plohl M, Meštrović N. Genome-wide analysis of tandem repeats in *Tribolium*
castaneum genome reveals abundant and highly dynamic tandem repeat families with satellite DNA
features in euchromatic chromosomal arms. *DNA Res*. Oxford Academic; 2015; doi:
10.1093/dnares/dsv021.

24. Herndon N, Shelton J, Gerischer L, Ioannidis P, Ninova M, Dönitz J, et al.. Enhanced genome assembly
and a new official gene set for *Tribolium castaneum*. *BMC Genomics*. BMC Genomics; 2020; doi:
10.1186/s12864-019-6394-6.

25. Brown SJ, Henry JK, Black IV WC, Denell RE. Molecular genetic manipulation of the red flour beetle:
Genome organization and cloning of a ribosomal protein gene. *Insect Biochem*. 1990; doi: 10.1016/0020-
1790(90)90011-I.

26. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. Canu: Scalable and accurate long-
read assembly via adaptive κ-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Res*. 2017; doi:
10.1101/gr.215087.116.

27. Alvarez-Fuster A, Juan C, Petitpierre E. Genome size in *Tribolium* flour-beetles: Inter-and intraspecific
variation. *Genet Res*. 1991; doi: 10.1017/S0016672300029542.

28. Tørresen OK, Star B, Mier P, Andrade-Navarro MA, Bateman A, Jarnot P, et al.. Tandem repeats lead
to sequence assembly errors and impose multi-level challenges for genome and protein databases.
Nucleic Acids Res. Oxford University Press; 2019; doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz841.

29. Alonge M, Lebeigle L, Kirsche M, Aganezov S, Wang X, Lippman ZB, et al.. Automated assembly

scaffolding elevates a new tomato system for high-throughput genome editing. *bioRxiv*.
:2021.11.18.4691352021;

30. Stephan W. Nonlinear Phenomena in the Evolution of Satellite. *Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für*
Phys Chemie. 1986; doi: 10.1002/bbpc.19860901119.

31. Lischer HEL, Shimizu KK. Reference-guided de novo assembly approach improves genome
reconstruction for related species. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2017; doi: 10.1186/s12859-017-1911-6.

32. Talbert PB, Henikoff S. The genetics and epigenetics of satellite centromeres. *Genome Res*. 2022; doi:
10.1101/gr.275351.121.

33. Naish M, Alonge M, Wlodzimierz P, Tock AJ, Abramson BW, Schmücker A, et al.. The genetic and
epigenetic landscape of the *Arabidopsis* centromeres. *Science (80-)*. 2021; doi:
10.1126/science.abi7489.

34. Meštrović N, Mravinac B, Pavlek M, Vojvoda-Zeljko T, Šatović E, Plohl M. Structural and functional
liaisons between transposable elements and satellite DNAs. *Chromosom Res*. 2015; doi:
10.1007/s10577-015-9483-7.

35. King DG, Soller M, Kashi Y. Evolutionary tuning knobs. *Endeavour*. 1997; doi: 10.1016/S0160-
9327(97)01005-3.

36. Zeng W, De Greef JC, Chen YY, Chien R, Kong X, Gregson HC, et al.. Specific loss of histone H3 lysine 9
trimethylation and HP1γ/cohesin binding at D4Z4 repeats is associated with facioscapulohumeral
dystrophy (FSHD). *PLoS Genet*. 2009; doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000559.

37. Halbach R, Miesen P, Joosten J, Taşköprü E, Rondeel I, Pennings B, et al.. A satellite repeat-derived
piRNA controls embryonic development of *Aedes*. *Nature*. Springer US; 2020; doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-
2159-2.

38. Vojvoda Zeljko T, Ugarković Đ, Pezer Ž. Differential enrichment of H3K9me3 at annotated satellite
DNA repeats in human cell lines and during fetal development in mouse. *Epigenetics and Chromatin*.
BioMed Central; 2021; doi: 10.1186/s13072-021-00423-6.

39. Sproul JS, Khost DE, Eickbush DG, Negm S, Wei X, Wong I, et al.. Dynamic evolution of euchromatic
satellites on the x chromosome in *Drosophila melanogaster* and the simulans clade. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2020;
doi: 10.1093/MOLBEV/MSAA078.

40. Kelleher ES, Barbash DA, Blumenstiel JP. Taming the Turmoil Within: New Insights on the
Containment of Transposable Elements. *Trends Genet*. NIH Public Access; 2020; doi:
10.1016/J.TIG.2020.04.007.

41. Dias GB, Svartman M, Delprat A, Ruiz A, Kuhn GCS. Tetris is a foldback transposon that provided the
building blocks for an emerging satellite DNA of *Drosophila virilis*. *Genome Biol Evol*. 2014; doi:
10.1093/gbe/evu108.

42. Cohen S, Yacobi K, Segal D. Extrachromosomal circular DNA of tandemly repeated genomic
sequences in *Drosophila*. *Genome Res*. 2003; doi: 10.1101/gr.907603.

43. Lee YCG, Ogiyama Y, Martins NMC, Beliveau BJ, Acevedo D, Wu C ting, et al.. Pericentromeric
heterochromatin is hierarchically organized and spatially contacts H3K9me2 islands in euchromatin.
PLoS Genet. 2020; doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008673.

44. Vojvoda Zeljko T, Pavlek M, Meštrović N, Plohl M. Satellite DNA-like repeats are dispersed
throughout the genome of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* carried by Helentron non-autonomous
mobile elements. *Sci Rep*. Nature Publishing Group; 2020; doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-71886-y.

45. Macas J, Neumann P. Ogre elements - A distinct group of plant Ty3/gypsy-like retrotransposons.
Gene. 2007; doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2006.08.007.

46. Volarić M, Veseljak D, Mravinac B, Meštrović N, Despot-Slade E. Isolation of high molecular weight
DNA from the model beetle *Tribolium* for nanopore sequencing. *Genes (Basel)*. 2021; doi:
10.3390/genes12081114.

47. Xu M, Guo L, Gu S, Wang O, Zhang R, Peters BA, et al.. TGS-GapCloser: A fast and accurate gap closer
for large genomes with low coverage of error-prone long reads. *Gigascience*. Oxford University Press;
2020; doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giaa094.

48. Vaser R, Sović I, Nagarajan N, Šikić M. Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long
uncorrected reads. *Genome Res*. 2017; doi: 10.1101/gr.214270.116.

49. Li H. Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*. 2018; doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191.

50. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V., Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: Assessing genome
assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*. 2015; doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.

51. Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green P. RepeatMasker Open-4.0.

52. Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1999;
doi: 10.1093/nar/27.2.573.

53. Shumate A, Salzberg SL. Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics*. Oxford
Academic; 2021; doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTAA1016.

54. Benoit M, Drost HG. A Predictive Approach to Infer the Activity and Natural Variation of
Retrotransposon Families in Plants. *Methods Mol Biol*. Humana, New York, NY; 2021; doi: 10.1007/978-
1-0716-1134-0_1.

55. Bailey TL, Elkan C. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in
biopolymers. *Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol*. 2:28–361994;

56. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in
performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2013; doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010.

57. Paradis E, Schliep K. Ape 5.0: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in
R. *Bioinformatics*. 2019; doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633.

58. Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. FactoMineR : An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. *J Stat Softw*. 2008; doi:
10.18637/jss.v025.i01.

59. Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R.
Bioinformatics. Oxford Academic; 2014; doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTU393.

60. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional
genomic data. *Bioinformatics*. Oxford Academic; 2016; doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTW313.

917
918