Title: Synaptopodin is necessary for *Shigella flexneri* intercellular spread.

Authors: Jenna M. Vickery[1], Jody D. Toperzer[1], Julie E. Raab[1], Laurel L. Lenz[1], Sean P.

Colgan[2], Brian C. Russo[1*]

1. Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado School of

Medicine, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

2. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, University of

Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

*Corresponding author

Mailing address: 12800 East 19th Avenue, Mail Stop 8333, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

Phone: 303-724-9033

Email: brian.russo@cuanschutz.edu

Abstract

For many intracellular pathogens, their virulence depends on an ability to spread

between cells of an epithelial layer. For intercellular spread to occur, these pathogens

deform the plasma membrane into a protrusion structure that is engulfed by the

neighboring cell. Although the polymerization of actin is essential for spread, how these

pathogens manipulate the actin cytoskeleton in a manner that enables protrusion

formation is still incompletely understood. Here, we identify the mammalian actin binding

protein synaptopodin as required for efficient intercellular spread. Using a model cytosolic

pathogen, *Shigella flexneri,* we show that synaptopodin increases actin recruitment

around bacteria and stabilizes the position of the actin tail at the posterior pole of the

bacteria. We show that synaptopodin presence enables protrusions to form and to resolve

at a greater rate, indicating that the proper alignment of the tail enables the bacteria to

push against the membrane with greater force. We demonstrate that synaptopodin

recruitment around bacteria requires the bacterial protein IcsA, and we show that this

recruitment is further enhanced in a type 3 secretion system dependent manner. Myosin

X, known to enhance protrusion formation, is associated with more intracellular bacteria

in the presence of synaptopodin. These data establish synaptopodin as required for

intracellular bacteria to reprogram the actin cytoskeleton in a manner that enables

efficient protrusion formation and enhance our understanding of the cellular function of

synaptopodin.

Keywords: Synaptopodin; *Shigella flexneri*; cell-to-cell spread; intercellular spread;

intracellular pathogens; IcsA; Spa15; plasma membrane; protrusions; type 3 secretion

system

Significance

Intercellular spread is essential for many cytosolic dwelling pathogens during their infectious life cycle. Despite knowing the steps required for intercellular spread, relatively little is known about the host-pathogen interactions that enable these steps to occur. Here, we identify a requirement for the actin binding protein synaptopodin during intercellular spread by cytosolic bacteria. We show synaptopodin is necessary for the organization and abundance of actin around bacteria. We also demonstrate synaptopodin is necessary for the formation of plasma membrane structures known as protrusions that are necessary for the movement of these bacteria between cells. Thus, these findings implicate synaptopodin as an important actin-binding protein for the virulence of intracellular pathogens that require the actin cytoskeleton and spread between cells.

Introduction

Many cytosolic-dwelling pathogens recruit actin-associated proteins to enable intercellular spread in mammalian cells (1), including *Listeria* spp*., Rickettsia* spp*., Burkholderia* spp*., Mycobacterium marinum, Shigella* spp*.,* and poxviruses. The forces generated from the polymerization of actin enable their intracellular motility and movement between cells (2, 3). In order to polymerize actin, these organisms subvert preexisting mammalian cytoskeletal pathways. Whereas the ability to repurpose the cellular cytoskeleton is critical for virulence, the molecular mechanisms that enable actin motility among these pathogens are still incompletely understood (4-6).

Synaptopodin is the founding member of a family of natively unfolded proteins. Approximately 20% of synaptopodin is composed of prolines, which prevent the assembly of most secondary structures (7). Synaptopodin can function as a molecular scaffold that interacts with multiple binding partners, including actin (8, 9). The mechanisms of synaptopodin function remain poorly described. It is implicated in promoting actin fiber formation through recruitment of Nck1 and through interaction with RhoA GTPase (10). It promotes barrier integrity of the intestinal epithelium in response to butyrate by localizing to tight junctions, where it interacts with actin fibers (11). In MDCK cells, synaptopodin promotes cellular extrusion by forming a complex known as a contractomere, which comprises synaptopodin, α-actinin-4, β-catenin, and myosin IIb and generates contractile forces along the cell junction (12). Due to the critical role of actin-binding proteins during infection by cytosolic pathogens, we sought to test whether and how synaptopodin contributes to infection.

To examine the role of synaptopodin, we focused on interrogating its function during

infection by the model cytosolic pathogen *Shigella flexneri.* This Gram-negative bacterium

is a leading cause of bacillary dysentery, a form of bloody diarrhea (6). *S. flexneri* causes

disease by invading cells of the colonic epithelium and by spreading between cells in this

epithelial layer (13, 14). Cytosolic *S. flexneri* express IcsA at one bacterial pole (15). IcsA

binds Neural Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (N-WASP), which then recruits the Actin

Related Protein 2/3 complex (Arp2/3) and promotes actin nucleation (16). The

polymerization of actin enables the bacteria to move in the cytosol of the cell (17). At the

periphery of the cell, some bacteria deform the plasma membrane into a protrusion

structure that pushes into the neighboring (recipient) cell (18), where it is actively

engulfed. In the recipient cell, these protrusions are resolved into double membrane

vacuoles. The bacteria quickly escape the double membrane vacuole into the cytosol,

where they can repeat this cycle of intercellular spread (19). Intercellular spread allows

the bacterium to access nutrients while evading the immune system, and both the

invasion of the colonic epithelium and the spread between cells are essential for

pathogenesis (5, 20-23). Defining the molecular mechanisms that promote the

intercellular spread of *Shigella* is essential to understand how this organism causes

disease.

Here, we identify the mammalian protein synaptopodin as required for *S.*

flexneri intercellular spread by enabling the formation of protrusions. Synaptopodin

promotes greater abundance of actin around the bacteria in the cytosol and enables the

generation of tail structures with more optimized force vectors for generating protrusions.

Synaptopodin is recruited to bacteria in a manner that is dependent on the bacterial

protein IcsA, and its recruitment is additionally enhanced by a Spa15-dependent bacterial

protein. We show that synaptopodin function likely occurs in a manner distinct from

previously described pathways. Together, these data, using *S. flexneri* as a model,

identify synaptopodin as a critical cellular component that enables intercellular spread by

cytosolic pathogens.

Results

Synaptopodin is required for efficient intercellular spread by *S. flexneri*.

Since *S. flexneri* requires actin polymerization for intercellular spread (6) and since

synaptopodin is an actin-binding protein recently demonstrated to be essential for the

function of epithelial actin (11), we hypothesized that synaptopodin may contribute to *S.*

flexneri intercellular spread in epithelial cells. To test this, a plaque assay was used to

compare the efficiency of *S. flexneri* intercellular spread through a monolayer of cells that

produce synaptopodin to the efficiency of spread through monolayers that lack

synaptopodin. In this assay, the bacteria kill the cells as they spread intercellularly,

creating a zone of clearance in the monolayer known as a "plaque". Whereas the number

of plaques is a function of the efficiency of bacterial invasion, the area of the plaques is a

function of the efficiency of intercellular spread. We measured the area of plaques formed

in T84 human colonic adenocarcinoma epithelial (T84) cells and Madin-Darby canine

kidney (MDCK) cells that either produced a control shRNA or a shRNA specific to

synaptopodin (11, 12). Synaptopodin protein levels are reduced by 80% in the T84 cells

(11) and 85% in MDCK cells (Fig. S1A-B). The plaques formed in monolayers of these

cells were significantly smaller when synaptopodin was knocked down compared to

plaques formed in monolayers of cells producing wild-type levels of synaptopodin (Fig.

1A-D).

We also compared the ability of *S. flexneri* to spread through monolayers of HeLa

cells, which are a model cell line to investigate *S. flexneri* infection. We used HeLa cells

producing a control shRNA or one of five synaptopodin-specific shRNAs. We observed

that plaques formed in monolayers of HeLa cells producing four of the five synaptopodin

specific shRNA were smaller than plaques formed in cells producing the control shRNA

(Fig. 1E-F and Fig. S1C-D). The four cell lines displaying reduced plaque size also

demonstrated a reduction in synaptopodin protein level as measured by western blot (Fig.

S1E-F). Thus, the smaller plaque size observed above was unlikely the result of non-

specific effects of an individual shRNA. *S. flexneri* replicated at a similar efficiency in T84,

MDCK, and HeLa cells that produced or lacked synaptopodin (Fig. S1G-L), indicating that

the reduction in plaque size is not due to differences in bacterial replication. Moreover,

since similar results were observed in T84, MDCK, and HeLa cells, these data indicate

that the requirement of synaptopodin for *S. flexneri* spread is unlikely to be specific for a

particular cell type.

We hypothesized that the spread defect observed with *S. flexneri* in synaptopodin

knockdown cells would be applicable to other pathogens that nucleate actin. *Listeria*

monocytogenes is a gram-positive bacterium that, like *Shigella,* requires actin-based

motility for intercellular spread (24). We compared the ability of *L. monocytogenes* to

spread through a monolayer of HeLa cells producing a control shRNA compared to cells

producing a shRNA specific to synaptopodin and used fluorescent microscopy to

measure the area of spread. Similar to the results observed with *S. flexneri, L.*

monocytogenes infection resulted in smaller areas of clearing in synaptopodin knock

down cells compared to the control cells (Fig. S2), demonstrating that synaptopodin was

required for intercellular spread of *Listeria*. Together, these data show that *S. flexneri*

and *L. monocytogenes* require synaptopodin for efficient intercellular spread and that the

requirement of synaptopodin may be a general feature of intracellular bacterial pathogens

that spread.

Synaptopodin is required for protrusion formation by *S. flexneri*

To investigate how synaptopodin contributes to efficient intercellular spread, we

focused on aspects of the *S. flexneri* intracellular life cycle that require actin. We assessed

the ability of bacteria to form plasma membrane protrusions in HeLa cells producing either

a control or a synaptopodin-specific shRNA. We determined the percentage of bacteria

present in a protrusion at 90 minutes of infection by examining the manner of actin

polymerized at the posterior pole of bacteria by immunofluorescence microscopy. A

smaller percentage of bacteria in synaptopodin knockdown cells were present in plasma

membrane protrusions compared the percentage of bacteria in protrusions in control cells

(Fig. 2A-B), demonstrating that synaptopodin is required for efficient protrusion formation.

Synaptopodin is associated with the bundling and elongation of actin filaments in

cells (25, 26), but actin intensity at the plasma membrane near protrusions was similar in

the presence and absence of synaptopodin (Fig. 2C), indicating that the protrusion defect

is not due to defects in the amount of actin recruited to protrusions. Protrusion formation

is also dependent on actin that is polymerized by formins. The formin mDia1 is required

for formation of protrusions by *S. flexneri* and *Listeria monocytogenes* (27, 28). mDia1

was efficiently recruited to protrusions formed in control or synaptopodin knockdown cells

(Fig. S3), indicating reduced mDia1 levels around bacteria are not driving the reduction

in protrusion formation in the relative absence of synaptopodin. The length of the

protrusion is more generally associated with the efficiency of intercellular spread (29).

Protrusion lengths were similar irrespective of synaptopodin levels in HeLa cells (Fig. 2D),

indicating that the requirement for synaptopodin was not associated with protrusion

length.

We next investigated the kinetics of protrusion formation by *S. flexneri* to further

clarify the role of synaptopodin in the development of membrane protrusions. To visualize

the formation of protrusions, intracellular bacteria were tracked by time-lapsed

microscopy during infection of HeLa cells that produce a YFP that is anchored to the

plasma membrane (30). Bacteria in control cells formed protrusions at a greater velocity

than bacteria in cells lacking synaptopodin (Fig. 2E-F). Protrusions formed in the relative

absence of synaptopodin were also slower to resolve, lasting an average of 27 min

compared to 18 min in controls cells (Fig. 2G). These data show synaptopodin enables

more rapid formation and resolution of protrusions.

Synaptopodin regulates actin tail formation by *S. flexneri*

The ability to efficiently assemble an actin tail in the cell cytosol is associated with

efficient protrusion formation at the plasma membrane (31). We hypothesized that the

defects in protrusion formation were the result of aberrant actin tail formation. To test this,

we infected HeLa cells with *S. flexneri* and characterized the actin tails. Although a similar

percentage of bacteria formed actin tails in the control and synaptopodin knockdown cells

(Fig. 3A-B), actin tails that formed in the synaptopodin knockdown cells were visibly

different compared to those that formed in the control cells. Actin tails in the control cells

were significantly longer than actin tails in the synaptopodin knock down cells (Fig. 3C),

and the width of the actin tails at the base of the bacteria was wider in control cells than

in synaptopodin knockdown cells (Fig. 3D). In MDCK cells, the actin tails formed in the

control cells were also significantly longer than tails in the synaptopodin knockdown cells

(Fig. S4A-B). Interestingly, there were no difference observed in the width of the actin tail

at the base of the bacteria in MDCK cells (Fig. S4C). Previous studies have shown that

the length of the actin tails correlates with the rate of actin polymerization and the speed

bacteria move in the cytosol (32). Since we observed shorter tails in HeLa and MDCK

cells, it suggested to us that the rate of actin polymerization could be reduced in the

absence of synaptopodin and would result in *S. flexneri* moving more slowly through the

cytosol of the cells. To test this, time-lapsed microscopy was performed on intracellular

bacteria in HeLa cells to evaluate the efficiency of actin-based motility. In contrast to our

expectation based on the length of the actin tails, the bacterial velocity was similar in the

control and synaptopodin knockdown cells (Fig. 3E-F).

In addition to differing in length, polymerized actin was more frequently present

along the sides of the posterior end of bacteria in the control cells compared to in

synaptopodin knockdown cells (Fig. 3A and G). During bacterial infection or when

examining actin polymerization around lipid vesicles*,* the actin on the sides of the bacteria

or vesicle creates an inward force at the base that is predicted to contribute to the

propulsive force (33, 34). Seeing that there was no difference in the velocity at which *S.*

flexneri traveled whether or not actin extended along the sides of the bacteria, we

hypothesized that the additional actin may stabilize the tail and keep the actin tail in line

with the bacteria, which we anticipated would stabilize the path along which the bacteria

travels. To investigate this, we measured the angle at which the actin tail extended from

the posterior pole of the bacteria. We set actin tails that formed completely in line with the

longitudinal axis of the bacteria at 0° and deviations from this alignment resulted in an

increased angle. In the synaptopodin knockdown cells, the actin tails were less aligned

with the bacteria's longitudinal axis than the tails associated with bacteria in the control

cells, which resulted in a greater angle from the longitudinal axis for bacteria in

synaptopodin knockdown cells (Fig. 3H). These data show that synaptopodin enables

actin presence along the lateral sides near the posterior end of the bacteria and that

synaptopodin enables the actin tail to be more in line with the bacteria. We theorized that

the angle of the actin tail would decrease the forward momentum that the actin nucleation

creates at the base of the bacteria. We used the formula $[sin\ (angle\ of\ the\ tail(\theta))\ +$

$cos(angle\ of\ the\ tail(\theta)])$ to calculate the force of the actin tail on the bacteria. We then

calculated the percentage of force that contributed to the forward momentum of the

bacteria by the formula $[cos\ (angle\ of\ the\ tail(\theta))\ /\ total\ force] * 100$. The percentage

of the theoretical forward movement of bacteria in the control cells is higher than in the

synaptopodin knockdown cell lines (Fig. 3I). We hypothesized that the defects in the actin

tail length, width, organization, and angle of attachment would lead to a defect in the linear

trajectory of the bacteria in the absence of synaptopodin. We tracked intracellular bacteria

with time-lapsed microscopy to evaluate the linear trajectory. We calculated the distance

an individual bacteria traveled and divided it by the distance of the theoretical most

efficient path – a straight line. A bacterium that took the most efficient path would have a

linear trajectory ratio of 1.0. We observed that bacteria deviated further from an ideal path

in cells lacking synaptopodin compared to control cells (Fig. 3E and J), which showed

that bacteria traveled along a straighter path in the presence of synaptopodin. These data

show that synaptopodin regulates the actin tail around bacteria (Fig. 3K) and contributes

to the path the bacteria follow but does not affect the velocity of their actin-based motility

in the cytosol.

Synaptopodin colocalizes with actin around *S. flexneri*

Based on the requirement for synaptopodin in organizing the actin tail and in

forming protrusions, we hypothesized that *S. flexneri* would recruit synaptopodin during

intracellular infection. To test this, we infected HeLa and MDCK cells with *S. flexneri* and

used immunofluorescence microscopy to visualize synaptopodin localization in cells.

Both cell lines showed that synaptopodin was recruited to bacteria in the cytosol (Fig. S5-

6). Furthermore, synaptopodin localizes to bacteria that also displayed actin recruitment,

but synaptopodin was not observed around bacteria that failed to recruit actin (Fig. S5-

6). These data show that synaptopodin is recruited to bacteria that nucleate actin

polymerization.

Knockdown of synaptopodin caused fewer bacteria to recruit synaptopodin (Fig.

S5A-B), and among the bacteria that showed synaptopodin recruitment, there was a

reduction in the intensity of synaptopodin (Fig. S5C). Although loss of synaptopodin did

not reduce the percent of bacteria that formed an actin tail (Fig. 3B), the knockdown of

synaptopodin significantly reduced the number of bacteria that recruited actin (Fig. S5D),

yet there was not a significant difference in the intensity of the actin signal among the

bacteria that recruited actin in the presence or absence of synaptopodin (Fig. S5E). These

data show that synaptopodin is recruited to intracellular bacteria and promotes actin

polymerization around bacteria.

Synaptopodin promotes myosin X recruitment around *S. flexneri*.

The localization of IcsA to the posterior pole of *S. flexneri* results in the recruitment

of N-WASP and the Arp2/3 complex and enables the initiation of actin polymerization and

bacterial motility (16). Synaptopodin is known to regulate this process through another

protein Nck1 (35). Synaptopodin promotes Nck1 abundance in cells by blocking c-Cbl-

mediated ubiquitination of Nck1, and Nck1 assists in N-WASP and Arp2/3 recruitment

during vaccina virus infection (35, 36). We tested whether the defect in actin recruitment

in cells lacking synaptopodin was due to a disruption in this synaptopodin-Nck1-Arp2

pathway. We observed a similar percentage of bacteria that recruited Arp2, and these

bacteria were associated with similar levels of Arp2 as measured by the intensity of the

fluorescent signal of Arp2 around bacteria (Fig. S7A-C). We tested whether Nck1 was

recruited to the bacteria during intracellular infection. We found no evidence of Nck1

recruitment in our cells (Fig. S7D). We examined the ability of *S. flexneri* to recruit N-

WASP and observed a similar percentage of bacteria recruited N-WASP (Fig. S7E-F).

Among the bacteria that recruited N-WASP, the amount of N-WASP was similar

irrespective of synaptopodin levels (Fig. S7G). Together, these data show that

synaptopodin facilitates actin organization around the bacteria, but it remains uncertain

how synaptopodin alters the organization of actin in our system.

We further sought to examine whether we could identify interactions that were

important for the formation of the protrusion. Synaptopodin is described to interact with

myosin X and α-actinin-4 at the plasma membrane, where together they form the

contractomere complex that generates force so as to enable the extrusion of cells from a

monolayer (12). Myosin X is required for the formation of protrusions by *S. flexneri* (29),

and recent work shows that α-actinin-4 is present at actin structures formed during *S.*

flexneri infection (1). We tested for the recruitment of myosin X and α-actinin-4 by

immunofluorescence microscopy. Interestingly, a smaller percentage of bacteria recruited

myosin X in the absence of synaptopodin (Fig. 4A and B), but among the bacteria that

recruited myosin X, there was a similar abundance of myosin X as measured by

fluorescent intensity irrespective of synaptopodin levels (Fig. 4C). We observed similar

levels of α-actinin-4 recruited to bacteria and similar abundance of α-actinin-4 around the

bacteria as measured by fluorescent intensity in control and synaptopodin knockdown

cells (Fig. 4D-F). Altogether, these data indicate that synaptopodin presence enhances

myosin X recruitment around *S. flexneri*.

IcsA and the type 3 secretion system regulate synaptopodin recruitment around *S.*

***flexneri*.**

S. flexneri requires a type three secretion system (T3SS) for intercellular spread (37-39).

This type 3 secretion system delivers over 30 bacterial virulence proteins (effectors) into

the cell (40). The delivered effectors coopt cellular signaling pathways and enable *S.*

flexneri to thrive in the intracellular environment. Here, we sought to determine whether

synaptopodin was recruited around the bacteria indirectly due to the high concentrations

of polymerized actin around the bacteria, as a result of direct recruitment by *S.*

flexneri secreted proteins, or both. To do so, we tested whether IcsA or the type 3

secreted effector proteins contributed to synaptopodin recruitment by investigating

synaptopodin localization during cellular infection by several bacterial mutants: *S. flexneri*

$\triangle$*icsA* is unable to polymerize actin; *S. flexneri* $\triangle$*spa15* lacks a chaperone for nine type 3

secreted effector proteins – the absence of Spa15 results in these proteins being unstable

and degraded (41); *S. flexneri* △*mxiE* lacks the MxiE transcription factor that is necessary

to transcriptionally activate the expression of more than 25 effectors – in the absence of

MxiE these effectors are not produced in the bacteria (42-44). Together, the absence of

Spa15 and MxiE enabled us to examine whether more than 30 effectors contribute to

synaptopodin recruitment as two pooled groups. We infected HeLa cells with these

mutants and quantified the percentage of bacteria that showed recruitment of

synaptopodin and/or actin, and we measured the intensities of these recruited proteins.

As expected, *S. flexneri* △*icsA* did not recruit actin or form actin tails during intracellular

infection (Fig. 5A-B). Interestingly, bacteria lacking IcsA also did not recruit synaptopodin

(Fig. 5C). A similar percentage of wild-type bacteria and bacteria lacking MxiE recruited

actin and synaptopodin (Fig. 5A-C). Loss of Spa15 resulted in a smaller percentage of

bacteria that recruited actin compared to wild-type bacteria, but a similar percentage of

wild-type and Spa15 deficient bacteria recruited synaptopodin (Fig. 5C). When we

examined the amount of actin and synaptopodin recruited around bacteria by comparing

the intensity of fluorescent signal, we observed that except for the *icsA* mutant, actin was

efficiently recruited around bacteria (Fig. 5D). Yet when we examined the amount of

synaptopodin around bacteria, we observed that bacteria lacking Spa15 or IcsA, but not

MxiE, recruited less synaptopodin than wildtype bacteria (Fig. 5E). Altogether these data

show that bacterial recruitment of synaptopodin is dependent on *S. flexneri* protein IcsA

and one or more type 3 secretion system effector proteins regulated by Spa15.

Discussion

Many cytosolic-dwelling pathogens require the subversion of actin and host

proteins for intracellular motility. These pathogens remodel the cytoskeleton and plasma

membrane resulting in the formation of protrusions, which facilitate intercellular spread.

Here, we show that the mammalian protein synaptopodin is recruited to *S. flexneri* in a

manner that is dependent on the bacterial protein IcsA and the type 3 secretion system.

We show that synaptopodin enables efficient *S. flexneri* intercellular spread by increasing

the velocity at which the plasma membrane protrusions form and resolve.

Previous studies have shown that the length of the actin tail formed by *Listeria*

monocytogenes directly correlates with the rate of actin polymerization and the

intracellular velocity of the bacteria (32). Although synaptopodin presence enabled longer

actin tails to form (Fig. 3C and S4B), synaptopodin loss did not alter the speed the bacteria

moved in the cytosol (Fig. 3F). Moreover, proteins associated with actin nucleation, such

as N-WASP and Arp2, were efficiently recruited to bacteria irrespective of synaptopodin

(Fig. S7A-C and E-G). These findings suggest that rather than altering the rate of actin

polymerization, synaptopodin may stabilize the actin filaments that are already formed

leading to the generation of longer actin tails. Similar to our findings, the stabilization of

F-actin by synaptopodin enables the formation of dendritic spines in neurons (26, 45).

Although the tail length did not correlate with bacterial speed in the cytosol, protrusions

formed more slowly in the absence of synaptopodin (Fig. 2F). We speculate that this could

occur because the rate of addition of new actin monomers as well as the rate of loss of

old actin monomers may both contribute to the speed at which the protrusions form.

Along with shorter actin tails, bacterial tails formed in the synaptopodin knockdown

HeLa cells were less aligned with the longitudinal axis of the bacteria and lacked actin

recruitment along the sides of the bacteria. Here, our data indicate that the additional

actin provides a stabilizing effect by maintaining actin polymerization in line with the

bacterial longitudinal axis and enabling the bacteria to move in a more directed manner

forward (Fig. 3J). In MDCK cells, synaptopodin was associated with decreased length of

actin tails, but not the width (Fig. S4). Our data indicate that a reduction of actin on the

sides of the bacteria does not affect the velocity of cytosolic bacteria (Fig. 3). Rather, we

speculate that this specific synaptopodin-dependent localization of actin may be

necessary at the membrane where the bacteria experience additional forces during the

formation of the protrusion.

Previous studies have shown that synaptopodin forms a force-generating complex

with α-actinin-4 and myosin X that pulls from the pointed-end toward the barbed-end of

the actin filaments. This force generation results in the buckling of actin filaments and

pulls on the plasma membrane in a manner that causes cells to extrude from a monolayer

(12). One role for synaptopodin could be to function as a force-generating complex that

pushes actin filaments into the protrusion structure and enables protrusion formation to

be more efficient, but we anticipate this is unlikely to occur during infection. The barbed

end of the filaments would be proximal to the bacteria where the incorporation of new

actin monomers occurs. Thus, force generation by synaptopodin as described for the

contractomere complex would generate a resistive force against protrusion formation by

pulling the barbed end of actin back into the cell rather than pushing them out of the cell.

Yet, we observe protrusions form faster with synaptopodin (Fig. 2E-G). An intriguing

possibility is that synaptopodin, as part of the contractomere complex, is anchored at the

plasma membrane and pulls the membrane along the actin filaments toward the bacteria.

By pulling membrane into the protrusion, the contractomere complex would both create

some slack in the membrane so as to enable the bacteria to more efficiently form the

protrusion structure and would provide some mechanism for how the significant amount

of plasma membrane is redirected into the protrusion. A second possibility is that the

presence of synaptopodin simply stabilizes the actin fibers, as described above, so that

the loss of actin monomers is less efficient and the fibers remain longer in the protrusion

for a greater period of time, thereby promoting the formation of the protrusion structure.

Shigella spp.*, Listeria* spp.*, Rickettsia* spp.*, Burkholderia* spp.*, Mycobacterium*

marinum, and pox viruses such as vaccina virus are all examples of pathogens that

require actin nucleation for their virulence. Recent studies show that mitochondria use

similar mechanisms of motility by generating actin comet tails so as to enable organelle

segregation during mitosis (46). Our findings show that synaptopodin is a critical regulator

of actin polymerization and organization during *S. flexneri* intercellular spread, and we

anticipate that our findings represent a more general role of synaptopodin-dependent

regulation of actin dynamics in cells and during infection.

METHODS:

Bacterial Culture:
389
S. flexneri strain 2457T is used in this study, and all mutants are derived from this strain

(14). *S. flexneri* strains were cultured in trypticase soy broth (TCS) at 37°C and shaken

at 250 rpm. Bacteria were transformed with pROEX-Aqua [Addgene; plasmid #42889,

(47)] or pBR322-mCherry for live and fixed microscopy. *L. monocytogenes* strain 10403S

is used in this study (48). *L. monocytogenes* strains were cultured in trypticase soy broth

(TCS) at 37°C.

Cell Culture:

HeLa cells, MDCK cells (gift of Vivian Tang), and T84 cells were maintained at 37°C in

5% CO_2. HeLa and MDCK cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium

(DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). T84 cells were grown in

Advance DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% L-glutamine. The generation of

T84 cells producing control or synaptopodin specific shRNA were previously described

(11), and the generation of MDCK cells producing control or synaptopodin specific shRNA

were previously described (12). HeLa cells producing shRNA targeting synaptopodin

were generated using retroviral transduction followed by selection with 5 µg/mL

puromycin.

Virus Preparation:

3 million 293T cells were seeded in 100 mm dishes in DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS for 24 hours prior to transduction. Cells were transduced with psPAX2 (Addgene,

12260), pVSVg, and either pLKO.5 (SHC216) for control shRNA or a synaptopodin

specific   shRNA   (TRCN0000218429,   TRCN0000230911,   TRCN0000230913,

TRCN0000230912, TRCN0000218782), or pmbYFP (Gift of Hervé Agaisse). Plasmids

were transfected into cells using calcium phosphate, which was added dropwise to 293

cells, which were then left to incubate at 37°C for 24 hours. The next day, the cells were

washed twice, and the media was replaced with fresh DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS. After incubation for an additional 24 hours, the culture supernatant containing the

viral particles was collected, passed through a 0.45 µm filter, and stored at -20°C.

Viral Transduction:

3×10^5 HeLa cells were seeded in 6-well plates and 2 mL of filtered 293 supernatant

containing 4mM polybrene was added to the cells and centrifuged for 2 hours at 1000 g

at 30°C. Cells then were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours, and the media was replaced

with DMEM supplemented 10% FBS and 5 µg/mL puromycin. Resistant cells were

expanded. For cells transduced with virus encoding the membrane anchored YFP, cells

were sorted at the Flow Cytometry Shared Resource Laboratory in the Department of

Immunology and Microbiology to enrich for YFP positive cells.

Plaque Assay:

Cells were seeded at 8×10^5 cells per well in 6-well plates. The next day, cells were

infected with *S. flexneri* at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.2 for HeLa cells or a MOI

of 20 for MDCK cells and for T84 cells. Bacteria were centrifuged onto cells at 800 g for

10 minutes and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2 for 90 min. Media was replaced with DMEM

containing 10% FBS, 25 µg/mL gentamicin, and 0.5% agarose, and the cells were

incubated for 48 hours. To visualize plaques, a second overlay was added with 0.7%

agarose in DMEM with 10% FBS, 25 µg/mL gentamicin, 0.1% neutral red. Following

incubation for at least 4 hours, the plates were imaged with an ImmunoSpot S6 Universal

Visible/Fluorescent Analyzer (Cellular Technology Limited). Analysis of the plaque area

was performed using a pipeline generated in ImageJ in which images were thresholded

to remove background, pixel intensity was converted to a binary, and the images were

segmented into objects; objects matching plaques on unmodified images were selected,

and their area was quantified, as done previously (38).

Spread Assay by Microscopy:

Cells were seeded at 7×10^5 cells per well in 6-well plates onto sterile coverslips. The

next day, cells were infected with *Listeria monocytogenes* at a MOI of 15 in PBS. The

bacteria were centrifuged onto cells at room temperature at 800 *g* for 10 minutes. The co-

culture was incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 45 mins. Excess bacteria were removed

by three successive washes with HBSS. Any remaining extracellular bacteria were killed

by incubation in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 25 µg/mL gentamicin. The

infection was incubated for an additional 24 or 48 hours and then were fixed with 3.7%

paraformaldehyde for at least 20 minutes at room temperature. The cells were

permeabilized with 1% triton X-100 in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. The cells

were washed 5 times with PBS. Cells were stained with phalloidin conjugated to Alexa

Flour 750 (Invitrogen A30105) washed with PBS, stained with Hoechst 33342 (Biotech

No. 5117), washed twice with PBS, and mounted with Prolong Diamond Antifade

Mountant (ThermoFisher, P36930). Images were taken at random. 5 fields of view of

453 infection were analyzed per condition per experiment and area of spread was measured

454 with NIS elements.

455 **Quantification of Intracellular Bacteria:**

456 T84 cells were seeded at 8 X 10^5 cells per well, and HeLa cells and MDCK cells were

457 seeded at 4 X 10^5 cells per well in a 6-well plate. The next day, cells were infected with

458 *S. flexneri* at an MOI of 200. The bacteria were centrifuged onto cells at room temperature

459 at 800 *g* for 10 minutes. The co-culture was incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 45

460 minutes. Excess bacteria were removed by three successive washes with HBSS. Any

461 remaining extracellular bacteria were killed by incubation in DMEM supplemented with

462 10% FBS and 25 µg/mL gentamicin. The infection was incubated for an additional 1, 2,

463 or 3 hours. The cells were washed three times with HBSS, and then the cells were lysed

464 with 0.02% SDS in HBSS. The cell lysates with intact bacteria were serially diluted in TCS

465 broth and plated onto TCS agar to determine the intracellular CFU.

466 **Western Blots:**

467 SDS-PAGE gels were transferred to nitrocellulose using a Turbo semi dry transfer

468 apparatus (BioRad). For detection of antigen, 1:100 rabbit anti-synaptopodin (Abcam,

469 ab224491) was incubated overnight at 4°C in 5% milk. The next day, blots were incubated

470 with 1:5,000 goat anti-rabbit (Jackson Immuno Research Labs, 111-035-144) for 2 hours

471 at room temperature or 1:10,000 anti-β-actin conjugated to HRP (Sigma A3854) for 1 hour

472 at room temperature. Western blots were developed, and the signal was acquired by a

473 G:Box (Syngene). Band intensity was measured using ImageJ (NIH) with the background

474 subtracted.

Localization of Host Proteins:

HeLa cells or MDCK cells were seeded at 8 X 10^5 cells per well in a 6-well plate and

cultured for 24 hours (HeLa) or 48 hours (MDCK). On the day of the infection, media was

replaced with DMEM containing 10% FBS and 100 mM IPTG. Cells were infected with

designated strains of *S. flexneri* harboring pROEX-Aqua. Bacteria were centrifuged onto

cells at 800 *g* for 10 minutes and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2. At 45 minutes of infection,

cells were washed three times with HBSS, and media was replaced with DMEM

containing 10% FBS, 25 µg/mL gentamicin, and 100 mM IPTG. After an additional 45

minutes, cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for at least 20 minutes at room

temperature. The cells were permeabilized with 1% triton X-100 in PBS for 30 minutes at

room temperature. The cells were washed 5 times with PBS and incubated in PBS with

10% FBS and 1:100 rabbit anti-synaptopodin (Abcam, ab224491), 1:1000 rabbit anti-N-

WASP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, sc100964), 1:500 rabbit anti-α-actinin-4 (Abcam,

ab108198), 1:50 rabbit anti-mDia1 (Abcam, AB129167), 1:500 mouse anti-myosin X

(Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, sc-376942), or 1:50 goat anti-Arp 2 (Novus Biologicals,

NB100-1037) overnight at 4°C. The cells were washed with PBS, incubated with 1:100

donkey anti-rabbit conjugated with Alexa Fluor 594 (ThermoFisher, A-21207), goat anti-

rabbit conjugated with Alexa Fluor 750 (ThermoFisher, A21039), donkey anti-mouse

conjugated with Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen, A32744), or donkey anti-goat conjugated

with Alexa Fluor 594 (ThermoFisher, A32758) for 2 hours at room temperature, and

washed with PBS. Cells were stained with phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Flour 750

(Invitrogen A30105) or Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen, A22287), washed with PBS, stained

with Hoechst 33342 (Biotech No. 5117), washed twice with PBS, and mounted with

Prolong Diamond Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher, P36930).

Live Imaging for Speed of Cytosolic Bacteria and of Protrusion Formation:

HeLa cells expressing a membrane-anchored yellow fluorescent protein were seeded at

8×10^5 cells per well on Ibid 2-well chamber slides (Ibidi, 80286). The next day, media

was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 mM IPTG, cells were

infected with designated strains of *S. flexneri* harboring the pROEX-Aqua plasmid at an

MOI of 400. At 1 hour of infection, cells were washed with HBSS 10 times, and media

was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 25 µg/mL gentamicin, and 100

mM IPTG. A time-lapsed Z-stack of images was collected with a Nikon Eclipse Ti-2

inverted light microscope at 37°C and 5% CO_2 at 10-second intervals for 10 minutes.

Images were deconvolved using a Richardson-Lucy algorithm with 20 iterations and all

slices within a stack were collapsed into a single image based upon the maximum

intensity of pixels in each slice using NIS elements software. For time-lapsed series, in

which some focal drift occurred in the XY position, FIJI plugin: Linear Stack Alignment

with SIFT, was used to realign timepoints. NIS elements particle tracking software was

used to track in-frame bacteria throughout the video to calculate protrusion velocities.

Speed was determined for each bacterium by measuring the distance traveled over the

duration of time bacterium was in focus.

Live-cell Imaging Analysis for Protrusions Duration:

HeLa cells expressing a membrane-anchored yellow fluorescent protein were seeded at

2×10^5 cells per well on Ibidi 8-well chamber slides (Ibidi, 80826). The next day, media

was replaced with DMEM and 10% FBS and cells were infected with designated strains

of *S. flexneri* expressing mCherry at an MOI of 200. At 1 hour of infection, cells were

washed with HBSS 10 times, and media was replaced with DMEM supplemented with

10% FBS. A time-lapsed Z-stack of images was taken with a Nikon Eclipse Ti-2 inverted

light microscope at 37°C and 5% CO_2 at 5-minute intervals for 2.5 hours to examine

protrusion duration. Images were deconvolved using a Richardson-Lucy algorithm with

20 iterations and all slices within a stack were collapsed into a single image based upon

the maximum intensity of pixels in each slice using NIS elements software. Protrusion

duration was measured by quantifying the amount of time the bacteria were in each

protrusion stage (49).

Microscopy:

Fluorescent images were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse Ti-2 inverted light microscope

equipped with an Orca Fusion BT cMOS camera (Hammamatsu), an IRIS 15 cMOS

camera (Photometrics), Semrock Brightline filters, and an Oco stage top incubator

chamber that enables regulation of temperature, CO_2, and humidity. NIS elements

software (Nikon) was used for image acquisition and analysis. Images were deconvolved

using a Richardson-Lucy algorithm with 20 iterations. Microscopic images were pseudo-

colored and assembled using Adobe photoshop or FIJI (NIH). Images were collected

randomly across the coverslip using an automated imaging pipeline created in NIS

elements or the samples were blinded prior to imaging. A minimum of five Z-stacks were

collected per coverslip with 0.25 µm step size. To display representative images, all slices

within a stack were collapsed into a single image based upon the maximum intensity of

pixels in each slice using NIS elements software.

Intensity Analysis:

The signal intensity of random spots of synaptopodin, actin, mDia, Arp2, N-WASP, α-

actinin-4 and myosin X around bacteria was measured using NIS elements. Three spots

were analyzed at each bacterium, and 5-30 bacteria were measured per condition per

experiment, and the average intensity was recorded per experiment.

Statistical Analysis:

Statistical difference between means was analyzed with Graph Pad Prism 9. Statistical

difference of two means was determined either by Student's t-test or a paired t-test.

Differences between the means of multiple groups was determined either by two-way

ANOVA with Sidak *post hoc* test or by one-way ANOVA with a Holm-Sidak's *post hoc* test

or a Dunnett's *post hoc* test.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Vivian Tang, Cammie Lesser, and Hervé Agaisse for reagents. We would like to thank Marcia Goldberg, Cristina Penaranda, Marijke Keestra-Gounder, and the Keestra-Gounder lab for helpful discussions. We would like to thank Tinalyn Kupfer and the CU | AMC ImmunoMicro Flow Cytometry Shared Resource, RRID:SCR_021321, for assistance with cell sorting. This work was funded by K22 AI137296, the GI and Liver Innate Immune Program at the University of Colorado, and the University of Colorado start-up funds to BCR. JER was funded by T32 AI052066.

Author Contributions

J.M.V and B.C.R wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. J.M.V, S.P.C., L.L.L., and B.C.R

designed experiments. J.M.V, J.D.T, B.C.R, and J.E.R preformed experiments. All

authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES

1. A. S. Dhanda, J. A. Guttman, Localization of host endocytic and actin-associated proteins during *Shigella flexneri* intracellular motility and intercellular spreading. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 10.1002/ar.24955 (2022).

2. J. M. Stevens, E. E. Galyov, M. P. Stevens, Actin-dependent movement of bacterial pathogens. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 91-101 (2006).

3. G. Hiller, K. Weber, L. Schneider, C. Parajsz, C. Jungwirth, Interaction of assembled progeny pox viruses with the cellular cytoskeleton. *Virology* **98**, 142-153 (1979).

4. R. L. Lamason, M. D. Welch, Actin-based motility and cell-to-cell spread of bacterial pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **35**, 48-57 (2017).

5. E. Weddle, H. Agaisse, Principles of intracellular bacterial pathogen spread from cell to cell. *PLoS Pathog* **14**, e1007380 (2018).

6. H. Agaisse, Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms of *Shigella flexneri* Dissemination. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **6**, 29 (2016).

7. P. Mundel *et al.*, Synaptopodin: an actin-associated protein in telencephalic dendrites and renal podocytes. *J Cell Biol* **139**, 193-204 (1997).

8. J. M. Chalovich, M. M. Schroeter, Synaptopodin family of natively unfolded, actin binding proteins: physical properties and potential biological functions. *Biophys Rev* **2**, 181-189 (2010).

9. A. Weins *et al.*, Differentiation- and stress-dependent nuclear cytoplasmic redistribution of myopodin, a novel actin-bundling protein. *J Cell Biol* **155**, 393-404 (2001).

10.   K. Asanuma *et al.*, Synaptopodin orchestrates actin organization and cell motility

via regulation of RhoA signalling. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**, 485-491 (2006).

11.   R. X. Wang, J. S. Lee, E. L. Campbell, S. P. Colgan, Microbiota-derived butyrate

dynamically regulates intestinal homeostasis through regulation of actin-

associated protein synaptopodin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 11648-11657

(2020).

12.   T. Morris, E. Sue, C. Geniesse, W. M. Brieher, V. W. Tang, Synaptopodin stress

fiber and contractomere at the epithelial junction. *J Cell Biol* **221** (2022).

13.   T. L. Hale, Genetic basis of virulence in *Shigella* species. *Microbiol Rev* **55**, 206-

224 (1991).

14.   E. H. Labrec, H. Schneider, T. J. Magnani, S. B. Formal, Epithelial Cell

Penetration as an Essential Step in the Pathogenesis of Bacillary Dysentery. *J*

Bacteriol **88**, 1503-1518 (1964).

15.   M. B. Goldberg, O. Barzu, C. Parsot, P. J. Sansonetti, Unipolar localization and

ATPase activity of IcsA, a *Shigella flexneri* protein involved in intracellular

movement. *Infect Agents Dis* **2**, 210-211 (1993).

16.   C. Egile *et al.*, Activation of the CDC42 effector N-WASP by the *Shigella flexneri*

IcsA protein promotes actin nucleation by Arp2/3 complex and bacterial actin-

based motility. *J Cell Biol* **146**, 1319-1332 (1999).

17.   M. L. Bernardini, J. Mounier, H. d'Hauteville, M. Coquis-Rondon, P. J. Sansonetti,

Identification of icsA, a plasmid locus of *Shigella flexneri* that governs bacterial

intra- and intercellular spread through interaction with F-actin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A **86**, 3867-3871 (1989).

18.   J. L. Kadurugamuwa, M. Rohde, J. Wehland, K. N. Timmis, Intercellular spread

617   of *Shigella flexneri* through a monolayer mediated by membranous protrusions

618   and associated with reorganization of the cytoskeletal protein vinculin. *Infect*

619   *Immun* **59**, 3463-3471 (1991).

620   19.   F. X. Campbell-Valois, M. Sachse, P. J. Sansonetti, C. Parsot, Escape of Actively

621   Secreting *Shigella flexneri* from ATG8/LC3-Positive Vacuoles Formed during

622   Cell-To-Cell Spread Is Facilitated by IcsB and VirA. *mBio* **6**, e02567-02514

623   (2015).

624   20.   P. J. Sansonetti, J. Arondel, A. Fontaine, H. d'Hauteville, M. L. Bernardini, OmpB

625   (osmo-regulation) and icsA (cell-to-cell spread) mutants of *Shigella flexneri*:

626   vaccine candidates and probes to study the pathogenesis of shigellosis. *Vaccine*

627   **9**, 416-422 (1991).

628   21.   T. Suzuki, C. Sasakawa, Molecular basis of the intracellular spreading of

629   *Shigella*. *Infect Immun* **69**, 5959-5966 (2001).

630   22.   L. K. Yum, M. X. Byndloss, S. H. Feldman, H. Agaisse, Critical role of bacterial

631   dissemination in an infant rabbit model of bacillary dysentery. *Nat Commun* **10**,

632   1826 (2019).

633   23.   P. S. Mitchell *et al.*, NAIP-NLRC4-deficient mice are susceptible to shigellosis.

634   *Elife* **9** (2020).

635   24.   J. R. Robbins *et al.*, *Listeria monocytogenes* exploits normal host cell processes

636   to spread from cell to cell. *J Cell Biol* **146**, 1333-1350 (1999).

637   25.   K. Ichimura, H. Kurihara, T. Sakai, Actin filament organization of foot processes

638   in rat podocytes. *J Histochem Cytochem* **51**, 1589-1600 (2003).

639   26.   K. Yap *et al.*, The actin-modulating protein synaptopodin mediates long-term

640   survival of dendritic spines. *Elife* **9** (2020).

641   27.   J. E. Heindl, I. Saran, C. R. Yi, C. F. Lesser, M. B. Goldberg, Requirement for

642   formin-induced actin polymerization during spread of *Shigella flexneri*. *Infect*

643   *Immun* **78**, 193-203 (2010).

644   28.   R. Fattouh *et al.*, The diaphanous-related formins promote protrusion formation

645   and cell-to-cell spread of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Infect Dis* **211**, 1185-1195

646   (2015).

647   29.   E. A. Bishai *et al.*, Myosin-X facilitates *Shigella*-induced membrane protrusions

648   and cell-to-cell spread. *Cell Microbiol* **15**, 353-367 (2013).

649   30.   A. M. Dragoi, H. Agaisse, The serine/threonine kinase STK11 promotes *Shigella*

650   *flexneri* dissemination through establishment of cell-cell contacts competent for

651   tyrosine kinase signaling. *Infect Immun* **82**, 4447-4457 (2014).

652   31.   D. M. Monack, J. A. Theriot, Actin-based motility is sufficient for bacterial

653   membrane protrusion formation and host cell uptake. *Cell Microbiol* **3**, 633-647

654   (2001).

655   32.   J. A. Theriot, T. J. Mitchison, L. G. Tilney, D. A. Portnoy, The rate of actin-based

656   motility of intracellular *Listeria monocytogenes* equals the rate of actin

657   polymerization. *Nature* **357**, 257-260 (1992).

658   33.   F. Gerbal, P. Chaikin, Y. Rabin, J. Prost, An elastic analysis of *Listeria*

659   *monocytogenes* propulsion. *Biophys J* **79**, 2259-2275 (2000).

34.   P. A. Giardini, D. A. Fletcher, J. A. Theriot, Compression forces generated by

actin comet tails on lipid vesicles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 6493-6498

(2003).

35.   L. Buvall *et al.*, Proteasomal degradation of Nck1 but not Nck2 regulates RhoA

activation and actin dynamics. *Nat Commun* **4**, 2863 (2013).

36.   V. Moreau *et al.*, A complex of N-WASP and WIP integrates signalling cascades

that lead to actin polymerization. *Nat Cell Biol* **2**, 441-448 (2000).

37.   R. Schuch, R. C. Sandlin, A. T. Maurelli, A system for identifying post-invasion

functions of invasion genes: requirements for the Mxi-Spa type III secretion

pathway of *Shigella flexneri* in intercellular dissemination. *Mol Microbiol* **34**, 675-

689 (1999).

38.   J. K. Duncan-Lowey, A. L. Wiscovitch, T. E. Wood, M. B. Goldberg, B. C. Russo,

Shigella flexneri Disruption of Cellular Tension Promotes Intercellular Spread.

Cell Rep **33**, 108409 (2020).

39.   C. J. Kuehl, A. M. Dragoi, H. Agaisse, The *Shigella flexneri* type 3 secretion

system is required for tyrosine kinase-dependent protrusion resolution, and

vacuole escape during bacterial dissemination. *PLoS One* **9**, e112738 (2014).

40.   A. Nasser, M. Mosadegh, T. Azimi, A. Shariati, Molecular mechanisms of

Shigella effector proteins: a common pathogen among diarrheic pediatric

population. *Mol Cell Pediatr* **9**, 12 (2022).

41.   N. H. Ernst, A. Z. Reeves, J. E. Ramseyer, C. F. Lesser, High-Throughput

Screening of Type III Secretion Determinants Reveals a Major Chaperone-

Independent Pathway. *mBio* **9** (2018).

42.   T. L. Gall *et al.*, Analysis of virulence plasmid gene expression defines three

classes of effectors in the type III secretion system of *Shigella flexneri*.

Microbiology (Reading) **151**, 951-962 (2005).

43.   C. D. Kane, R. Schuch, W. A. Day, Jr., A. T. Maurelli, MxiE regulates intracellular

expression of factors secreted by the *Shigella flexneri* 2a type III secretion

system. *J Bacteriol* **184**, 4409-4419 (2002).

44.   C. P. Hall, N. B. Jadeja, N. Sebeck, H. Agaisse, Characterization of MxiE- and H-

NS-Dependent Expression of ipaH7.8, ospC1, yccE, and yfdF in *Shigella flexneri*.

mSphere **7**, e0048522 (2022).

45.   A. Vlachos *et al.*, Synaptopodin regulates plasticity of dendritic spines in

hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci* **29**, 1017-1033 (2009).

46.   A. S. Moore *et al.*, Actin cables and comet tails organize mitochondrial networks

in mitosis. *Nature* **591**, 659-664 (2021).

47.   M. Erard *et al.*, Minimum set of mutations needed to optimize cyan fluorescent

proteins for live cell imaging. *Mol Biosyst* **9**, 258-267 (2013).

48.   E. M. Eshleman, N. Bortell, D. S. McDermott, W. J. Crisler, L. L. Lenz, Myeloid

cell responsiveness to interferon-gamma is sufficient for initial resistance to

Listeria monocytogenes. *Curr Res Immunol* **1**, 1-9 (2020).

49.   E. A. Weddle, V. K. Koseoglu, B. A. DeVasure, H. F. Agaisse, The type three

secretion system effector protein IpgB1 promotes *Shigella flexneri* cell-to-cell

spread through double-membrane vacuole escape. *PLoS Pathog* **18**, e1010380

(2022).

FIGURES

Figure 1: Synaptopodin is required for efficient intercellular spread of *S. flexneri*

Monolayers of T84 (A-B), MDCK (C-D), or HeLa (E-F) cells that produce a control or

synaptopodin specific shRNA were infected with *S. flexneri* and stained with neutral red

at 48 hours of infection. (A, C, and E) Representative images. Dotted lines indicate plaque

boundary. Scale bar, 0.5 mm. (B, D, and F) Quantification of the area of plaques from

images represented in A, C, and E. 15-60 plaques measured per condition per

experiment. Dots are independent experiments. *, $p<0.05$ by paired t-test (B and D) or by

one-way Dunnett's *post hoc* test (F). Data are mean $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 2: Synaptopodin is required for protrusion formation by *S. flexneri*

(A) Representative images of HeLa cells infected with *S. flexneri.* Cyan, *S. flexneri;*

magenta, actin; blue, DNA. Arrows, bacteria in protrusions. Scale bar, 5 µM. 5-10 fields

were analyzed per condition per experiment. (B) Quantification of the percentage of

intracellular bacteria within a protrusion. (C) Quantification of the intensity of actin in

protrusions. (B and C) Dots are independent experiments. (D) Quantification of the length

of protrusions. Tukey method used to define whiskers. (B-D) N.S., not significant; *,

$p < 0.05$ by one-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's *post hoc* test. (E-G) Time lapse images

videos of bacteria forming plasma membrane protrusions. (E) Representative images of

protrusion formation. Cyan, S. *flexneri;* green, plasma membrane. Scale bar 5 µM.

Arrows, bacteria in protrusions; dot, protrusion origination point; dotted line, progression

of protrusion formation. (F) Quantification of the speed of protrusion formation from videos

represented in E. (G) Quantification of the duration bacteria remain in protrusions. (F-G)

Dots are individual bacteria, pooled from 3 independent experiments. *, $p < 0.05$ by

Student's t-test. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 3: Synaptopodin regulates actin tail formation by *S. flexneri*

Infection of HeLa cells infected with *S. flexneri* for 90 min. (A) Representative images.

Cyan, *S. flexneri;* magenta, actin; red, synaptopodin; blue, DNA. Arrows, bacteria with

actin tails. Scale bar, 5 µM. (B) Quantification of percentage of bacteria with actin tails.

(C) Quantification of the length of actin tails. (D) Quantification of the width of actin tails

proximal to the bacterial pole. (E-F) Time-lapsed microscopy of HeLa cells producing a

membrane anchored YFP infected with *S. flexneri* producing CFP. (E) Representative still

images from time-lapsed videos for 2 minutes from initial observation of motility. Cyan, *S.*

flexneri; green, plasma membrane. Dots are the position of bacteria at each time point,

dotted line is arbitrary straight line between dots showing bacterial progression over time.

Scale bar, 5 µM. (F) Quantification of the speed at which bacteria move in the cytosol

from videos represented in E. Mean indicated by bar. (G) Quantification of the percent of

bacteria with an actin tail that also display lateral actin from images represented in A. (H)

Quantification of the angle (0°-90°) at which the actin tail is anchored to the bacterial pole

with respect to the longitudinal midline of the bacteria from images represented in A. (I)

Mathematical estimation of the forward momentum as a result of the angle of the tail from

images of bacteria represented in A. 100% forward moment corresponds to a tail angle

of 0°. (J) Quantification of the linearity of the path bacteria traveled in the cell from videos

represented in E. (K) Schematic of actin tail for bacteria in control or synaptopodin

knockdown cells. Created with biorender.com. (B, C, D, G, H, and I) 5-10 fields were

analyzed per experiment. Dots are independent experiments. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM.

N.S., not significant; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.1$; ***, $p < 0.001$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's

post hoc test. (F and J) N.S., not significant; *, $p < 0.05$ by Student's t-test (F) or paired t-

test (J). Dots are individual bacteria pooled together from three experiments (F) or means

of individual experiments (J).

Figure 4: Synaptopodin is required for Myosin X recruitment around bacteria

HeLa cells were infected with *S. flexneri* for 90 min. (A) Representative images. Cyan, *S.*

flexneri; magenta, actin; red, myosin X; blue, DNA. Arrows, bacteria with myosin X. Scale

bar, 5 µM. (B) Quantification of percentage of bacteria with myosin X. (C) Quantification

of the intensity of myosin X around bacteria. (D) Representative images depicting α-

actinin-4 recruitment around bacteria. Cyan, *S. flexneri;* magenta, actin; red, α-actinin-4;

blue, DNA. Arrows, bacteria with α-actinin-4. Scale bar, 5 µM. (E) Quantification of the

percentage of bacteria with α-actinin-4. (F) Quantification of the intensity of α-actinin-4.

(B-C and E-F) 5-10 fields were analyzed per condition per experiment. Dots are

independent experiments. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM. NS, not significant; *, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$

by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's *post hoc* test.

Figure 5: Synaptopodin is recruited around *S. flexneri* in an IcsA and a type 3 secretion system dependent manner

Control HeLa cells were infected for 90 min with *S. flexneri* strains. (A) Representative

images*.* Cyan, *S. flexneri*; magenta, actin; red, synaptopodin (synpo); blue, DNA. Arrows,

bacteria with actin and synaptopodin. Scale bar 5 µM. (B) Quantification of the percentage

of bacteria with actin. (C) Quantification of the percentage of bacteria with synaptopodin.

(D) Quantification of the intensity of actin around bacteria. (E) Quantification of intensity

of synaptopodin around bacteria. (B-E) 5-10 fields were analyzed per condition per

experiment. Dots are independent experiments. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM. N.D., not

detected. NS, not significant; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's

post hoc test.

Fig. 6. Schematic of *S. flexneri* intercellular spread in control versus synaptopodin knockdown cell lines.

Schematic of *S. flexneri* intercellular lifecycle. (I) *S. flexneri* induces its uptake into cells. (II) Following uptake, it is present in a vacuole. (III) It escapes the vacuole into the cytosol where the bacteria replicate. (IV) A portion of the bacteria nucleate the polymerization of actin, which enables the bacteria to move in the cell cytosol. (V) Bacteria near the cell periphery deform the plasma membrane into a protrusion. (VI) The protrusion resolves into a double membrane vacuole. (VII) The bacteria escape the double membrane vacuole into the cytosol. (A) Cells with synaptopodin enabled bacteria to form actin tails that are longer and more aligned with the bacteria than cells lacking synaptopodin (IV).

Bacteria in cells with synaptopodin form protrusions at a higher velocity that resolve

quickly (V). (B) In cells lacking synaptopodin, the actin tails are shorter and less aligned

with the bacteria (IV). Protrusions are formed at a slower velocity and take longer to

resolve into a double membrane vacuole (V). (A-B) 1. Bacteria lacking IcsA do not

polymerize actin or recruit synaptopodin. 2. Polar localization of IcsA is associated with

synaptopodin recruitment, and IcsA recruits N-WASP and Apr2/3 irrespective of

synaptopodin abundance in cells. 3. Synaptopodin recruitment to bacteria is further

enhanced in a type 3 secretion dependent manner. Created with biorender.com