TITLE: Anatomically-specific coupling between innate immune gene repertoire and
microbiome structure during coral evolution

SHORT TITLE: Coral innate immunity and the microbiome

CLASSIFICATION: Biological Sciences

MINOR CATEGORY: Microbiology

AUTHORS:

Tanya Brown[1]
Dylan Sonett[2]
Ryan McMinds[3]
F. Joseph Pollock[4]
Mónica Medina[5]
Jesse R. Zaneveld[1] [#]

AFFILIATIONS:

[1] University of Washington, Bothell, School of Science, Technology, Engineering, and
Mathematics, Division of Biological Sciences, UWBB-277, Bothell, WA 98011,
USA
[2] School of Pharmacy, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA
[3] Center for Global Health and Infectious Diseases Research, University of South
Florida, 13201 Bruce B. Downs Blvd, MDC 56, Tampa, FL 33612, USA
[4] Hawaiʻi & Palmyra Program, The Nature Conservancy, Honolulu, HI, USA, 96817
[5] Pennsylvania State University, Department of Biology, 208 Mueller Lab, University
Park, PA 16802, USA
[#] Corresponding author

CORRESPONDENCE TO: Jesse Robert Zaneveld
University of Washington, Bothell, Department of Biology, UWBB-277, Bothell, WA
98011, USA
Telephone: 541-760-2411; Fax: 541-737-0496
Email: zaneveld@gmail.com

KEYWORDS: coral, microbiome, coevolution

Abstract
31

Tropical reef-building corals exist in intimate symbiosis with diverse microbes and
viruses. Coral microbiomes are generally much less diverse than their environment, but
across studied corals, the biodiversity of these microbiomes varies greatly. It has
previously been hypothesized that differences in coral innate immunity in general, and

the copy number of TIR-domain containing innate immune genes in particular, may drive
interspecific differences in microbiome structure. Despite many existing studies of coral
microbiomes, this hypothesis has previously been difficult to test due to a lack of
consistently collected cross-species data on coral microbiomes. In this manuscript, we
reannotate TIR-domain containing genes across diverse coral genomes, and use
phylogenetic comparative methods to compare these innate immune gene copy numbers
against 16S rRNA marker gene data on coral mucus, tissue, and skeleton microbiomes
from the Global Coral Microbiome Project (GCMP). The copy number of Toll-like
receptor (TLRs) and Interleukin-1 receptor (IL-1Rs) gene families, as well as the total
genomic count of their constituent domains (LRR and TIR domains; and Ig and TIR
domains, respectively), explained most interspecific differences in microbiome richness
and beta-diversity among corals with sequenced genomes. We find that these correlations
are also anatomically specific, with an especially strong correlation between IL-1R gene
copy numbers and microbiome richness in the coral's endolithic skeleton. Together, these
results suggest innate immunity may play a key role in sculpting microbiome structure in
corals.
52

53 ## *Introduction*

54
The 1681 described species of scleractinian corals[1] are environmentally critical
ecosystem engineers that underpin many tropical reef ecosystems. Microbiomes are
important contributors to the health of these tropical corals, with competing and
cooperating microbes influencing animal health[2]. Therefore, evaluating how corals
regulate this microbiome is of great importance. Numerous studies have uncovered
important features of coral microbiomes, including the relative influence of differences
across host anatomy[3,4], between species[4,5], among reefs[6–8], and along environmental
gradients[8,9]. This literature has also extensively documented coral microbiome responses
to various stressors, including heat[10,11], bleaching[12,13], sedimentation[14,15], nutrient
pollution[10,14,16], predation[16], plastic pollution[17], turf or macro- algal competition[16,18,19],
etc. Genetic studies within coral species have further found genotypic differences that
correlate with microbiome composition[20,21]. Building on these ecological and population-
genetic comparisons, specific coral microorganisms have been linked to important host
health outcomes, such as protection against pathogens or susceptibility to them[22]. Recent
microbiome manipulation experiments have even begun to establish the causal role of
specific coral-associated bacteria in influencing key host traits like heat resistance[23].
Despite this thriving literature on coral microbiomes, the broader scale patterns of how
modern coral microbiomes have evolved, and which host traits, if any, drive the large
differences in microbiome structure and function seen between modern corals is not yet
clear.
75
Increased attention to the question of how host traits have sculpted coral microbiomes
over evolution is important. Comparative studies of coral microbiome evolution may
identify host traits that have regulated coral microbiomes up to the present day.
Comparative studies of coral microbiome evolution will also clarify a key part of the
broader story of animal microbiome evolution. While vertebrate gut microbiomes are

structured by both host phylogenetic relatedness and convergently evolved host traits like
diet or flight[24,25], the traits shaping the microbiome evolution of basally divergent animal
taxa are less clear.
84
Among the many coral traits that could influence microbiome structure, differences in
innate immunity are promising candidates, since they have the potential to directly
regulate the microbiome by activating pathways that preferentially target particular
groups of microbes. The copy number of gene families containing Toll/Interleukin
Repeat (TIR) domains in particular, have received special attention as a possible
influence on microbiome structure[26]. TIR domains are a key intracellular signaling
domain, found in multiple innate immune gene families, such as Toll-like Receptors
(TLR), Interleukin-1 Receptors (IL-1R), coral-specific TIR-only genes of unknown
function, and Myeloid Differentiation Factor 88 (myD88). Collectively, these genes are
known as TIR-domain containing genes[27]. The genomic copy number of some gene
families of TIR-domain containing genes is known to vary greatly between coral species,
and on this basis these genes have been hypothesized to influence cross-species
differences in coral microbiome structure[26]. However, a lack of consistently collected
cross-species microbiome data has so far precluded empirical testing of this intriguing
idea.
100
In this study we tested whether dramatic changes in the copy number of coral TLR or IL-
1R gene families have driven corresponding changes in microbiome richness, evenness,
or composition during more than 250 million years of scleractinian coral evolution. Our
analysis combined genomic analysis of all major lineages of scleractinian coral for which
genomes are publicly available with data on coral mucus, tissue, and endolithic skeleton
microbiomes from the Global Coral Microbiome Project (GCMP) dataset[3] — a collection
of more than 1440 16S rRNA libraries from diverse coral species. We then used
established phylogenetic comparative methods to test whether coral species' TLR or IL-
1R gene families correlated with their microbiome structure or composition. The results
identify potential drivers of microbiome structure among sequenced corals, and highlight
the value of comparative analysis for supporting or refuting whether specific host traits
underlie differences in microbiome structure between animal species. They further
support the idea that different regions of coral anatomy differ not just in microbiome
composition, but also in responsiveness to host traits — with the parts of the coral that
are most strongly linked to host traits sometimes being a surprise.

Methods

Genomic Data Acquisition

117
118 Reference coral genomes were selected based on completeness and overlap with the
119 Global Coral Microbiome Project dataset. Twelve coral genomes were downloaded from
120 NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and Reef Genomics (http://reefgenomics.org). A
121 list of urls for the genomes can be found in **Table S1A**.
122

Domain Annotation

123
The downloaded coral genomes were used to locate TIR, leucine rich repeats (LRR), and
immunoglobulin (Ig) containing genes using a custom pipeline found on github

(https://github.com/zaneveld/GCMP_genomics). Genome analysis occurred in two steps.
First, genomes were analyzed using TransDecoder (v5.5.0)
(https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki) to identify candidate coding
regions within the genome files. During this process, TransDecoder converts the
nucleotide sequences in the genome file to possible amino acid sequences using different
open reading frames needed to conduct this translation. The peptide file generated from
TransDecoder was next searched with HMMER (hmmer.org, HMMER 3.3.2 (November
2020)) to locate putative TIR domains as well as known TIR-associated domains. The
TIR, LRR, and Ig associated peptide alignment files used in this search were downloaded
from the Pfam website (http://pfam.xfam.org/). These 14 alignment files used are found
in **Table S2B, C**. The Pfam domain alignment files were used to build profiles in
HMMER using hmmbuild by reading in the alignment file and creating a new Hidden
Markov Model (HMM) profile. The HMM profiles were then used to search the
TransDecoder peptide files. The output file from hmmscan contained significant matches
between the HMM profile and the transdecoder peptide file. The resultant hmmscan file
was used to count the number of TIR-domain containing sequences found for each
organism.
143
TIR-domain containing genes were further subdivided by scanning each for LRR or Ig
domains using the same procedure. TIR-domain-containing genes were then subdivided
into categories based on their domains: TIR only (defined by TIR domain and no LRR or
Ig domains), TLR (TIR and one or more LRR domains), and IL-1R (TLR and one or
more Ig domains) genes. Numbers of each type of gene, and average numbers of Ig or
LRR domains within each type of gene were used in further analysis.
150

Coral sampling and microbiome analysis
The Global Coral Microbiome Project (GCMP) coral mucus, tissue and skeleton samples
reanalyzed here were originally collected and processed following the methods outlined
in Pollock et al., 2018[3]. Those methods are briefly restated here. All coral samples were
collected by AAUS-certified scientific divers, in accordance with local regulations. These
plus additional international samples were then resequenced with protocols standard for
the Earth Microbiome Project[28]. Bacterial and archaeal DNA were extracted using the
PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA; now Qiagen, Venlo,
Netherlands). To select for the 16S rRNA V4 gene region, polymerase chain reaction
(PCR) was performed using the following primers with illumina adapter sequences
(underlined) at the 5' ends: 515F[29] 5′− TCG TCG GCA GCG TCA GAT GTG TAT
AAG AGA CAG GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A −3′ and 806R[30] 5'− GTC TCG
TGG GCT CGG AGA TGT GTA TAA GAG ACA GGG ACT CAN VGG GTW TCT
AAT −3′. PCR, library preparation and sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq (2x125bp) was
performed by the EMP[28,31]. The resulting 16S rRNA amplicon sequences from the
GCMP are available in the Qiita database Qiita (CRC32 id: 8817b8b8 and CRC32 id:
ac925c85). Metadata for all GCMP samples are available in **Table S1B**.
168

16S library preparation, sequencing, and initial quality control
16S rRNA sequencing data were processed in Qiita[32] using the standard EMP workflow.
Briefly, sequences were demultiplexed based on 12bp Golay barcodes using

"split_libraries" with QIIME 1.9.1 default parameters[33] and trimmed to 100bp to remove
low quality base pairs. Quality control (e.g., denoising, de-replication and chimera
filtering) and identification amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were performed using
deblur 1.1.0[34] with default parameters. The resulting biom and taxonomy tables were
obtained from Qiita (CRC32 id: 8817b8b8 and CRC32 id: ac925c85) and processed using
a customized QIIME2 v. 2020.8.0 [35] pipeline in python
(github.com/zaneveld/GCMP_global_disease).
179
Mitochondrial annotation and quality control
Taxonomic assignment of ASVs was performed using vsearch[36] using a modified version
of the SILVA v. 138[37] taxonomic reference. The sole change to the SILVA138 reference
was supplementation with additional coral mitochondrial reads obtained from metaxa2[38].
In benchmarks, this change greatly improves annotation of coral mitochondrial rRNAs,
without increasing false positive taxonomic assignments[39]. This expanded taxonomy is
referred to as "silva_metaxa2" in code. After taxonomic assignment, all mitochondrial
and chloroplast reads were removed (**Table S3**).
The bacterial phylogenetic tree was built using the SATé-enabled phylogenetic placement
(SEPP) insertion technique with the q2-fragment-insertion plugin[40], again using the
SILVA v. 138[37] database as reference taxonomy. The final output from this pipeline
consisted of a taxonomy table, ASV feature table and phylogenetic tree that were used for
downstream analyses.
193
Phylogenetic comparison of innate immune gene repertoire and microbiome richness
Genome TIR domains were compared to the microbiome data collected from the GCMP.
The α and -diversity of the microbial community were compared to the TIR, TLR, and
IL-R domain copy numbers using phylogenetically independent contrasts (PICs) with the
phytools package in R. PICs use the coral species phylogenetic information in
comparison with the TIR-associated domains and ASV and Gini Index information to
assess the relatedness for α-diversity. PIC analysis for phylogenetic -diversity metrics
(Weighted and Unweighted UniFrac) were created from three PC axes (PC1, PC2, and
PC3) of a PCoA ordination. Analyses were conducted on all three axes. The PIC method
takes into account a priori the importance of phylogenetic history on trait variation when
extracting information in relation to the common ancestor. Code used for this analysis is
found on github.
206
Microbial taxonomic analysis
Microbial taxonomic analysis was conducted using the ANCOM-BC package in phyloseq
in R[41]. Analyses were conducted at the class and family level with relation to IL-1R and
TLR copy numbers. Lists of significant microbes were generated for mucus, tissue,
skeleton, and all compartments. Heatmaps were generated to visualize positive and
negative correlation of the microbial community.

Results

Sequenced coral genomes vary greatly in TIR-domain containing gene copy number.
Previous analyses have reported significant variation in the copy number of TIR-domain
containing genes among coral genomes. We annotated the genomic copy number of TIR-
domain containing genes in 11 coral genomes that were also represented in microbiome
data from the Global Coral Microbiome Project (GCMP) (**Table S1**). While some prior
studies have analyzed both genomes and transcriptomes in order to maximize discovery
of new TLR or IL-1R homologs, we chose to exclude transcriptomes from our analysis in
order to prevent any potential confounding effects of some innate immune genes not
being expressed in transcriptomes. As a result of these annotations (Methods), we
identified numerous TLR, IL-1R and TIR-only genes (**Table S2, 4**). Despite these
methodological differences, our annotations mostly agree in trend and rank with prior
studies of coral innate immune repertoires[26,42].

Many innate immune genes have modular structures based on the domains that they
contain, and TIR domains commonly co-occur with several other domains within innate
immune genes. We further subdivided TIR-domain containing genes based on the other
domains present: genes with both TIR and immunoglobulin (Ig) domains were annotated
as interleukin-1 receptors (IL-1R) while genes with both TIR domains and leucine rich
repeat (LRR) domains were annotated as toll-like receptors (TLR) (**Fig. 1**). We also
analyzed the total count of TIR domains, regardless of which gene they were part of and
the presence or absence of other co-associated domains.

In keeping with past work[26,42], we find that both the copy number of TLR, IL-1R and
TIR-only genes, and the total abundance of their component TIR, LRR and Ig domains
varies greatly across coral genomes (**Table 1**).

**Coral IL-1R and TLR gene family copy number correlate with overall microbiome
richness and evenness.**
In order to determine whether TLR or IL-1R might regulate microbiome biodiversity, we
used phylogenetic independent contrasts (PIC) analysis to correlate changes in the
genomic copy number of IL-1R and TLR against changes in microbiome richness or
evenness during coral evolution.

We measured microbiome richness as the natural log of the observed number of amplicon
sequence variants (ASVs) per 1000 sequences (**Table S5A**) (see Methods). Microbiome
richness was significantly reduced by increases in the copy number of IL-1R (PIC R^2 =
0.835, q_{FDR} = 0.00055; **Fig. 2B, D**; **Fig. S1C, D**; **Table S6A**), or TLR (PIC R^2 = 0.707,
q_{FDR} = 0.0076; **Fig. 2 C, D**; **Fig. S1E, F**; **Table S6A**). Thus, corals that harbor more TLR
or IL-1R gene copies tend to have less diverse microbiomes, and vice versa. In manual
inspection of the data, there were several striking examples of this statistical trend (**Table**

S7). In the genus *Porites*, *P. lutea* had more than twice as many IL-1R and TLR gene
copies as the closely related *P. rus* (14 IL-1R copies and eight TLR gene copies in *P.*
lutea vs. six IL-1R copies and two TLR copies in *P. rus*; **Table 1**). Consistent with the
idea that *P. lutea*'s expanded gene repertoire may play a role in microbiome filtering, *P.*
lutea's microbiome was roughly half as diverse as that of *P. rus* (56 ASVs/1000 reads vs.
114 ASVs/1000 reads, across all sample types).

We also quantified the microbiome evenness of each coral species in the analysis using
the Gini Index (**Table S5B**), which takes on its highest value when ecological
communities are least even. Gini index scores were strongly and significantly negatively
correlated with the genomic copy number of IL-1R genes (PIC R^2 = 0.876, q_{FDR} =
0.000357; **Fig. S5C, D**; **Table S6A**) and TLR genes (PIC R^2 = 0.805, q_{FDR} = 0.0014; **Fig.**
S5E, F; **Table S6A**), indicating that corals with more IL-1R or TLR gene copies have
higher evenness.

These results support the prior hypothesis that IL-1R and TLR gene family expansions
may influence coral microbiome structure. The magnitude of the effect was, however,
quite surprising, with IL-1R gene copy number explaining ~83% of the variation in
microbiome richness, and ~88% of the variation in microbiome evenness, among coral
species in the analysis. Although necessarily limited to coral species for which genomes
are available, these correlations are much stronger than the effects of several biotic and
abiotic factors in the same GCMP dataset, including depth, temperature, and turf-algal
contact[3].

Endolithic skeleton microbiomes drive correlations between TLR and IL-1R gene
family expansion and microbiome structure.
To this point, all our results were conducted by correlating genomic features of corals
against the overall microbiome diversity of all available microbiome samples from each
species in the GCMP dataset. However, microbiome richness has been shown to vary
between coral compartments[3]. We expected that host tissues — where the immune
system could most obviously act — would have microbiomes that most closely correlate
with the innate immune gene repertoire of the host.

To test this idea, we separated coral microbiome samples into those that derive from
mucus, tissue or endolithic skeleton, and repeated tests for correlations between coral
innate immune repertoires and microbiome richness and evenness within each of those
regions of anatomy.

Contrary to our hypothesis, coral endolithic skeleton — not tissue or mucus — was the
sole driver of correlations between coral innate immune repertoire and microbiome
richness (**Fig. 2E-G**; **Fig. S1-4**; **Table S6, 8**) and evenness (**Fig. S5-8**; **Table S6, 8**).
Microbiome richness in coral endolithic skeleton was significantly correlated with IL-1R
(R^2 = 0.944, q_{FDR} = 2.05 x 10^{-5}; **Fig. 2F**, **Fig. S4C, D**; **Table S6D**), and TLR (R^2 =
0.90798, q_{FDR} = 9.36 x 10^{-5}; **Fig. 2G**; **Fig. S4E, F**; **Table S6D**) gene copies, whereas
tissue (**Fig. S3**; **Table S5C**) and mucus (**Fig. S2**; **Table S6B**) microbiome richness was
not. Microbiome evenness showed similar patterns (**Fig. S6-8**; **Table S6, 8**).

303
304   Previous studies have reported that coral endolithic skeleton microbiomes are more
305   species-rich than mucus or tissue, and show both species-specificity and signals of
306   phylosymbiosis with their coral hosts[3]. Our results further suggest that endolithic skeleton
307   microbiome diversity has tracked gene family expansions or contractions of coral TLR
308   and IL-1R genes over evolution.
309
Microbiome composition varies with IL-R and TLR gene copy numbers.
In addition to regulating microbiome richness and evenness, coral innate immune systems
may also influence coral microbiome composition. If so, we might expect microbiome
composition to correlate with the repertoire of innate immune proteins encoded in coral
genomes. To test this, we compared differences in overall microbiome composition for
each pair of coral species using two phylogenetic beta diversity metrics: Weighted
UniFrac and Unweighted UniFrac. Using these beta-diversity distance metrics, we
conducted principal coordinates analysis (PCoA), using the first three PC axes (PC1,PC2,
and PC3) of the PCoA ordination (Methods). We correlated the microbiome PC
coordinates against the number of predicted TLR or IL-1R gene copies (**Fig. 3**; **Table**
S9).
321
Innate immune gene copy numbers strongly and significantly correlated with microbiome
composition. When all samples were considered together (irrespective of anatomical
compartment) Weighted UniFrac PC1 correlated strongly with the genomic copy number
of IL-1R genes (PIC Weighted UniFrac PC1 R^2 = 0.917, q_{FDR} = 0.00012; **Fig. 3A, C, D**;
Table S9A) and TLR genes (PIC Weighted UniFrac PC1 R^2 = 0.77, q_{FDR} = 0.0028; **Fig.**
3B, E, F; **Table S9A**). Unweighted UniFrac PC1 showed similar trends (**Table S9A**).
These results indicate that gene family expansions or contractions of the TLR and IL-1R
gene families over evolution corresponded to dramatic changes in overall microbiome
composition.
We repeated the above protocol separately on the mucus, tissue, and skeleton
compartments separately (**Table S9B-D**). In coral mucus, tissue and skeleton, IL-1R gene
copy number significantly correlated with Weighted UniFrac PC1 of the microbiome
(PIC R^2 = 0.72-0.81, FDR q < 0.01; **Table S9A-C**). TLR gene copy number significantly
correlated with Weighted UniFrac PC1 in coral mucus and tissue (PIC R^2 = 0.73, q_{FDR} =
0.004; **Table S9B, C**) but not endolithic skeleton (**Table S9D**). In qualitative
(presence/absence) analysis of microbiome β-diversity, IL-1R gene copy number
correlated with Unweighted UniFrac PC1 in coral tissue and skeleton compartments, but
not mucus (**Table S9B-D**), while TLR gene copy number correlated with Unweighted
UniFrac PC1 in coral tissue but not mucus or skeleton (**Table S9B-D**).
341
These β-diversity results were more complex than the straightforward pattern of
associations between innate immune gene copy number and microbiome richness. In
coral tissue both IL-1R gene copy number and TLR gene copy number correlated with
key aspects of quantitative (Weighted UniFrac PC1) and qualitative (Unweighted
UniFrac PC1) microbiome β-diversity, in keeping with our original expectation that
immunity should act strongly on the tissue-associated microbiome. In mucus, both TLR
and IL-1R gene copy numbers appeared correlated with microbiome β-diversity when

using quantitative but not qualitative metrics, suggesting immunity might influence microbial relative abundance more strongly than microbial presence/absence. In skeleton, IL-1R appeared correlated with IL-1R gene copy number, but not TLR gene copy number regardless of β-diversity metric. Together, these results suggest anatomically specific correlations between gene family expansion of some key innate immune genes and microbiome β-diversity.

IL-1R and TLR copy number is associated with differential abundance of key microbes.

We sought to identify microbial taxa that may be influenced by IL-1R or TLR gene copy number. This analysis could be confounded by the compositional nature of coral microbiome data. Therefore ANCOM-BC[41], which accounts for compositionality, was used for the analysis. We first tested whether IL-1R copy number correlated with microbial differential abundance in all samples regardless of tissue compartment (i.e. 'all'). In this overall analysis, IL-1R copy number correlated with the differential abundance of 102 families of bacteria and archaea, while TLR copy number correlated with 88 families (**Table S10A**).

Correlations between microbiome composition and IL-1R or TLR copy number varied with anatomy. The abundance of 38 bacterial families were significantly correlated with TLR copy number (ANCOM-BC $q_{FDR} < 0.05$ in mucus, tissue, and skeleton; **Tables S10B-D**; **Fig. S11-S13**) and 38 bacterial families were also significantly and consistently correlated with IL-1R copy number (ANCOM-BC $q_{FDR} < 0.05$ in mucus, tissue, and skeleton; **Table S10B-D**; raw heatmaps in **Fig. S14-S16**), both regardless of compartment. In contrast to the bacterial families with consistent interrelationships, some were specific to one or more compartments. One example are Rickettsiaceae, which live intracellularly in host cells. Rickettsiaceae relative abundance in tissue decreased with IL-1R (ANCOM-BC coef = -0.011, W = 0.33, $q_{FDR} = 0$; **Fig. 4**, **Fig. S15**; **Table S10C**) and TLR (ANCOM-BC coef = -0.028, W =1.36, $q_{FDR} = 0$; **Fig. 4**, **Fig S12**, **Table S10C**), but this taxon was not present in mucus (**Fig. S11**, **Fig S14**) or skeleton (**Fig. S13**, **Fig. S16**). *Nitrosopumiliaceae* archaea were notable for correlating with IL-1R and TLR gene copy number across all compartments (all ANCOM-BC $q_{FDR} < 0.05$; **Fig S11-S16**).

The domain architecture of TLR but not IL-1R genes is associated with microbiome richness.

IL-1R and TLR genes are known to vary in the domain architecture of their extracellular regions, with variable numbers of Ig or LRR sensing domains, respectively. We reasoned that if higher copy numbers of TLR or IL-1R genes are associated with microbiome richness because they act as filters on the microbiome, the same selective pressures (for greater specificity in microbial associates) might also influence the domain architecture of extracellular sensing components within TLR or IL-1R genes. To test this, we analyzed the average number of LRR and Ig domain copy numbers associated with each TLR or IL-1R gene in each coral species.

Interestingly, we find that there is a significant positive correlation between LRR domain copy number per TLR genes and TLR copies ($R^2 = 0.437$, p = 0.0159; **Fig. 5A, B**; **Fig. S9A**) but not Ig copy number with IL-1R ($R^2 = 0.00067$, p = 0.345; **Fig. 5C, D**; **Fig.**

395   **S9B**). In other words, corals with more TLR genes also have TLR genes with more LRR
396   domains each.
397

Furthermore, we find that microbiome richness is correlated negatively with the average
number of LRR domain copies (PIC R^2 = 0.748, p = 0.00059; **Fig 5B**) but not Ig domain
copies (PIC R^2 = 0.341, p = 0.059; Fig 5D). That is, corals whose TLR genes typically
have more elaborate domain architectures also tend to have simpler (or more specific)
microbial associations. Importantly, the genomic copy number of LRR or Ig domains in
total (rather than per gene) did not correlate with microbiome richness and beta diversity
(**Table S8, S11**), so this finding does not simply recapitulate our previous findings
regarding gene copy number.
406

Responses to IL-1R and TLR are correlated between bacterial families.
The microbe associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) detected by species-specific
members of the TLR and IL-1R gene families could in principle be the same or
independent. If independent, the correlation between any given microorganism and TLR
gene family expansions would be independent of IL-1R gene family expansions. To test
this, we analyze whether the correlation coefficients between each microbial family's
relative abundance with TLR or IL-1R copy number were themselves correlated with one
another. Microbial families' responses to IL-1R and TLR gene family copy numbers
were themselves very strongly correlated (R^2 = 0.9062; **Fig S11**). Thus, microbes that are
in high abundance in corals with many IL-1R gene copies also tend to be in high
abundance in corals with many TLR gene copies. This suggests that TLR and IL-1R gene
family expansions sculpt coral microbiomes in similar, rather than contrasting ways.

Discussion

By combining coral microbiome and genomic data in a comparative framework, we
demonstrate that TIR-domain containing innate immune gene repertoires strongly
correlate with microbiome structure and diversity. These results suggest that gene family
expansions of innate immune genes may have contributed to differences in the structure
of coral microbiomes across millions of years of evolution. Those differences in
immunity and microbiome structure, in turn, may influence the ability of modern
scleractinian corals to survive escalating challenges from disease and climate change.
427

Coral innate immune systems may be filtering microbiome membership.
A key finding of the Earth Microbiome Project was that the diverse communities of
microbes associated with animals and plants are nonetheless much less diverse than most
environmental microbiomes[28]. Indeed, these animal-associated microbiomes were found
to show high nestedness relative to the environment, indicating that what lives on animals
is mostly — though certainly not entirely — a subset of environmental microbes[28]. This
suggests that filtering of environmental microbes is one key process shaping animal and
plant microbiomes. That filtering is likely to be genomically encoded, since more closely
related animals tend to have more similar microbiomes ('phylosymbiosis'[3,43–45]),
excepting important deviations from this trend such as those driven by the evolution of

specialized diets[46,47] or flight[48]. Could evolutionary changes in host immunity drive this
trend, by altering which environmental microbes are excluded?
440
Our results in corals suggest that, for this group at least, immunity plays an important role
in overall microbiome richness and evenness. Both coral microbiome composition[3], and
coral innate immune responses[49,50] have long been known to vary between species.
Within coral populations, there is evidence that variation in immune activity between
coral fragments correlates with their microbiome structure. For example, in *Montipora*
capitata, increases in phenoloxidase activity correlated with decreased microbiome
richness[51]. If such correlations extended across species, it could begin to explain why
coral microbiomes differ so greatly in bacterial and archaeal biodiversity.
TIR-domain containing genes have undergone large gene-family expansions in some
lineages of corals, which has been proposed as a driver of differences in coral
microbiome richness[26]. Our findings support this hypothesis, and suggest that expansions
of key innate immune genes reduce microbiome richness by allowing for sensing and
exclusion of more diverse groups of microbes.
454
Microbiome composition changes with TLR and IL-1R copy number
We find a relationship between microbiome β-diversity and the copy number of TIR-
containing innate immune genes. Immune genes of animals and plants have in the past
been reported to alter the β-diversity of symbiotic microbes, including commensals. For
example, using Toll-like receptor (TLR) 5 deficient compared to wild type mice, TLR
genes have been correlated with membership and host-microbial interactions with
specific members of the mouse gut microbiome, although the overall effect of TLR on
gut microbiome β-diversity remains controversial[52]. Similarly, *Arabidopsis* with loss of
function mutations in the pattern recognition receptor (PRR) gene FLS2, have altered
rhizosphere microbiome β-diversity relative to wild type controls[53]. Our findings extend
these observations to coral microbiomes, and suggest that differences in innate immunity
may explain substantial portions of the known differences in microbiome structure
between coral species.
468
In our results, the relative abundances of diverse microbial taxa correlated with TLR or
IL-1R gene copy number. Interestingly, these effects were not independent: microbes that
correlated with TLR copy number also tended to be correlated with IL-1R copy number.
This might reflect either that TLR and IL-1R gene family expansions are driven by
similar selective pressures and therefore tend to co-occur, or that both types of gene
family expansion influence the microbiome in similar ways.
475
The relationship between innate immune gene repertoire and the microbiome is
anatomically-specific
While there are clear reasons to expect coral innate immune gene repertoire to affect
tissue-associated coral microbiomes, our results suggest that these gene family
expansions have even more clear-cut effects on coral's endolithic skeleton microbiomes.
Corals show compartmentalized differences in molecular function[54] and microbial
richness and composition across anatomy[3]. Perhaps surprisingly, the microbiome of coral
endolithic skeleton has been shown to be far more diverse than coral tissues or mucus[3]. In

our results, endolithic skeleton microbiomes were more strongly correlated with host
innate immunity than either mucus or tissue.
486
These results suggest that coral immunity strongly influences endolithic microbiomes.
They also underline the importance of efforts to reevaluate how we conceptualize coral
immunity and calcification. While coral calcification and immunity are typically thought
of separately, recent work synthesizes these two fields[55], noting that skeleton is a key
barrier against pathogens, and in many species contains diverse defensive chemical
compounds and enzymes. These notably include melanin, which is a key aspect of
immune defense in many non-vertebrate lineages.
494
Disease-susceptible corals have more, not fewer, TIR-domain containing genes.
One counter-intuitive aspect of our results is that the coral taxa with the largest numbers
of TIR-containing innate immune genes tend to be those, such as *Acropora,* regarded as
more susceptible to disease[56]. This is surprising, because we might expect more disease-
susceptible coral species to have less diverse innate immune gene repertoires. How gene-
family expansion, the commensal microbiome, and coral disease interact is a rich topic
for future investigation. Our results here suggest several hypotheses that could be
explored. It could be that more diverse microbial ecosystems are less susceptible to
invasion by pathogens, as per Elton's 1958 biotic resistance hypothesis[57,58]. Alternatively,
less rich microbiomes may be less functionally redundant, increasing the risk that loss of
any particular beneficial microbe may degrade host health [59,60]. Finally, the damage
threshold hypothesis[61] proposes that corals differ in immune strategy, with many slow-
growing corals constitutively expressing a variety of innate immune genes, while other
fast-growing corals adopt a reactive strategy, which avoids expending resources on
baseline expression of many innate immune genes in order to maximize growth or
fecundity. If so, then reactive, fast-growing corals may experience stronger selective
pressures to diversify sensors that can detect pathogens or cellular damage, since their
baseline level of protection is low. TIR-domain containing gene family expansions in
these reactive corals may enable more sensitive and/or specific responses to pathogen
exposure.
515
516 **Conclusions**
517
We find that among sequenced coral genomes, gene family expansions of TLR and IL-1R
genes are correlated with alterations in microbiome structure, and reductions in
microbiome richness — with this apparent interplay between innate immunity and the
microbiome most noticeable in coral endolithic skeleton. These findings are consistent
with the idea that animal immunity sculpts microbiome structure and composition in part
by sensing and filtering out many environmental microbes. This interpretation of the
correlations we found between gene copy number and the microbiome is reinforced by
the correlation between microbiome richness and the domain architecture within TLR
genes, wherein there are more sensing (i.e. LRR) domains per TLR gene in corals that
have lower microbiome richness. Our results further underscore the importance of
distinguishing coral microbiomes across anatomy, and of exploring how coral innate
immunity regulates corals' diverse endolithic microbiomes. Finally, these results

emphasize that integrating expanding coral genome and microbiome datasets in
comparative frameworks is a promising approach that will help to uncover the
interactions between immunity, the microbiome, and reef health.

Data Availability
534 Biom and taxonomy tables are available on Qiita (Study ID 10895). Analysis code is
535 available on GitHub: https://github.com/zaneveld/GCMP_Genomics/

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge many contributors for their field assistance
during past collections of Global Coral Microbiome Project data reanalyzed in this
manuscript, including: Rebecca Vega Thurber, a leader of the original GCMP project;
Tasman Douglass, Margaux Hein, Frazer McGregor, Kathy Morrow, Katia Nicolet,
Cathie Page, and Gergely Torda for their field assistance in Australia; Valeria Pizarro,
Mateo López-Victoria, Styles Smith, Alaina Weinheimer, Claudia Tatiana Galindo for
Assistance in Colombia; Chris Voolstra, Maren Ziegler, Anna Roik, and many others at
KAUST for field assistance in Saudi Arabia; Mark Vermeij, Kristen Marhaver, Pedro
Frade, Ben Mueller, and others at CARMABI for field assistance during sampling in
Curaçao; Danwei Huang for field assistance in Singapore; Ruth Gates, Katie Barrott,
Courtney Couch, Keoki Stender for field assistance in Hawai'i; Le Club de Plongee
Suwan Macha and Jean-Pascal Quod for field assistance, and Amelia Foster and Jerome
Payet for sampling in Isle de la Réunion; the Burkepile lab for field assistance in
Mo'orea; Yossi Loya, Raz Tamir for sampling assistance in Israel; and Lyndsy Gazda,
Jamie Lee Proffitt, Gabriele Swain, and Alaina Weinheimer for their assistance in the
laboratory. The authors also acknowledge the staff of the Coral Bay Research Station,
Lizard Island Research Station, Lord Howe Island Marine Park, Lord Howe Island
Research Station, and RV Cape Ferguson for their logistical support. This work was
supported by a The GCMP dataset was supported by a National Science Foundation
Dimensions of Biodiversity grant (#1442306) to Rebecca Vega Thurber and MM, with
sequencing provided by an in-kind UC San Diego Seed Grant in Microbiome Science
grant to JZ. Analysis in this manuscript was supported by NSF IoS CAREER grant (#
1942647) and an in-kind UC San Diego Seed Grant in Microbiome Science grant to JZ.
GCMP data collection was supported by a National Science Foundation Dimensions of
Biodiversity grant (#1442306) to M.M.

Author Contributions
563 TB, DS, JZ analyzed the data; TB, JZ, DS wrote the manuscript; RM, JFP, MM, and JZ
564 collected GCMP data and metadata; all authors edited the manuscript.
565

Conflict of Interest Statement
567 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

568
569

570
571 **Tables**

572
573 **Table 1.** TIR domain containing elements and their associated numbers per species
574
575

Coral Species	TIR Isoforms	IL-1R Copies	TLR Copies	Richness (ln ASVs /1000 reads)	Genome Sequence Reference
Acropora hyacinthus	37	11	8	3.788	Sinzato *et al* 2020
Acropora cytherea	33	10	7	3.619	Sinzato *et al* 2020
Pocillopora damicornis	24	6	5	4.368	Cunning *et al* 2018
Pocillopora verrucosa	28	7	7	4.369	Buitrago-Lopez *et al* 2020
Orbicella faveolata	27	7	6	4.484	Prada *et al* 2016
Stylophora	23	8	6	4.236	Chen *et al* 2008
Galaxea fascicularis	19	3	1	5.266	Ying et al 2018
Porites lutea	36	14	8	4.016	Robbins et al 2019
Fungia fungites	32	7	8	4.3	Ying et al 2018
Montipora capitata	27	5	1	4.034	Helmkampf *et al* 2019
Porites rus	29	6	2	4.739	Celis et al 2018

Figures and Figure Legends

580
581
582 **Fig. 1.** TIR containing gene architecture in coral genomes. Diagram depicts all domains
583 present in TIR only, TLR, or IL-1R genes identified in this study. TIR: Toll-Interleukin
584 Repeat; Ig: Immunoglobulin; LRR: Leucine Rich Repeat; TLR: Toll/Interleukin-like
585 Receptor; IL-1R: Interleukin-1 Receptor.
586

Fig. 2. Rows show phylogenetic independent contrast analysis of genomic copy number
of innate immune components against log microbiome richness (ln ASVs), for all **A.** TIR
domains (R^2 =0.403, q_{FDR} = 0.086, p = 0.021); **B.** IL-1R genes (R^2 = 0.835, q_{FDR} =
0.00055, p = 5.13 x 10^{-15}); **C.** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.707, q_{FDR} = 0.0076, p = 0.0012).
Shading in phylogenetic independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence
interval of the mean. **D.** R^2 values from correlations of the genomic copy number of
innate immune components against microbiome richness, organized by compartment.
The bottom row uses microbiome data from coral skeleton only to show phylogenetic
independent contrasts of innate immune components vs. log microbiome richness for: **E.**
TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.791, q_{FDR}=0.002, p = 0.000252); **F.** IL-1R genes (R^2 = 0.944,
q_{FDR}=2.15 x 10^{-5}, p = 6.39 x 10^{-7}); **G.** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.90798, q_{FDR}= 9.36 x 10^{-5}, p =
5.85 x 10^{-6}). No correlations had outliers, as defined by studentized residuals of >3 in
absolute value.

602
603
604
605

606
607
Fig. 3. Comparison of innate immune gene repertoire and microbiome composition. **A.**
Principle Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) of Weighted UniFrac Beta diversity distances for
samples from all coral compartments, colored by genomic IL-1R copy number in the
coral host. **B.** PCoA ordination of Weighted UniFrac distances for all compartments
colored by genomic copy number for TLR genes. **C.** Phylogenetic independent contrasts
regression of IL-1R copy number vs. Weighted UniFrac PC1 ($R^2 = 0.917$, $q_{FDR} =$
0.00012). **D.** Phylomorphospace of IL-1R copy number vs. Weighted UniFrac PC1. **E.**
Phylogenetic independent contrasts regression of TLR copy number vs. Weighted
UniFrac PC1 ($R^2 = 0.774$, $q_{FDR} = 0.0028$). **F.** Phylomorphospace of IL-1R copy number
vs. Weighted UniFrac PC1. No correlations had outliers, as defined by studentized
residuals of >3 in absolute value.
619

Fig. 4. Heatmap showing microbial families significantly correlated with IL-1R and TLR copy numbers. Columns show correlations between the relative abundance of microbial families and IL-1R and TLR copy numbers in the mucus, tissue, and skeleton, respectively.

627
628
Fig. 5. Domain copy numbers influence microbiome richness. Phylogenetic contrasts
comparing **A.** average LRR domain copies per TLR vs TLR domain copy number (R^2 =
0.863, q_{FDR} = 2.20 x 10^{-5}, **B.** average LRR domain copies per TLR vs ln ASVs (R^2 =
0.748, q_{FDR} = 0.00059), **C.** average Ig domain copies per IL-1R vs IL-1R copy number
(R^2 = 0.497, q_{FDR} = 0.0093), and **D.** average Ig domain copies per IL-1R vs ln ASVs per
genome (R^2 = 0.341, q_{FDR} = 0.059).
635

636
Supplementary Figures
638
639 Supplementary Figures 1-4: Richness vs. gene copy number for 1) All compartments 2)
640 mucus, 3 tissue, 4 skeleton
641
642 Supplementary Figures 5-8: Evenness vs. gene copy number for 1) All compartments 2)
643 mucus, 3 tissue, 4 skeleton
644
645 Supplementary Figure 9: Comparison of domain copy number within genes and gene
646 copies within genomes.
647
648 Supplementary Figure 10: Correlated responses of microbial families to TLR and IL-1R
649 gene copy number
650
651 Supplementary Figures 11-16. Relative abundance of microbial classes in mucus 11,
652 tissue 12 and skeleton 13 samples sorted by TLR copy number or IL-1R copy number
653 (14,15,16).

663
664
Fig. S1. Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome richness and innate immune
repertoire for all compartments combined. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions
(left column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome richness, as well as
phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms (right column) of
A,B TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.330, q_{FDR}= 0.23, p = 0.065); **C,D** IL-1R genes (R^2 = 0.834,
q_{FDR}= 0.00055, p = 5.14 x 10^{-5}); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.707, q_{FDR}=0.0076, p = 0.0012)
compared against log microbiome richness (ln ASVs). Shading in phylogenetic
independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the mean.
673

674
675
Fig. S2. Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome richness and innate immune
repertoire for the mucus compartment. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions (left
column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome richness, as well as
phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms (right column) of
A,B TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.0078, q_{FDR}= 0.929, p = 0.796); **C,D** IL-1R genes (R^2 = 0.261,
q_{FDR}= 0.261, p = 0.109); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.0971, q_{FDR}=0.624, p = 0.351) compared
against log microbiome richness (ln ASVs). Shading in phylogenetic independent
contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the mean.
684

685
686
Fig. S3. Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome richness and innate immune
repertoire for the tissue compartment. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions (left
column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome richness, as well as
phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms (right column) of
A,B TIR-only genes (R^2 = 0.0238, q_{FDR}=0.929, p = 0.651); **C,D** IL-1R genes (R^2 = 0.174,
q_{FDR}=0.498, p = 0.202); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.0974, q_{FDR}=0.624, p = 0.3502) compared
against log microbiome richness (ln ASVs). Shading in phylogenetic independent
contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the mean.
695

696
697
Fig. S4. Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome richness and innate immune
repertoire for the skeletal compartment. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions
(left column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome richness, as well as
phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms (right column) of
A,B TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.791, q_{FDR}=0.002, p = 0.000252); **C,D** IL-1R genes (R^2 =
0.944, q_{FDR}=2.15 x 10^{-5}, p = 6.39 x 10^{-7}); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.90798, q_{FDR}= 9.36 x 10^{-5}
^{5}, p = 5.85 x 10^{-6}) compared against log microbiome richness (ln ASVs). Shading in
phylogenetic independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the
mean.
707

708
709
Fig. S5. **Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome evenness and innate immune**
repertoire in all compartments combined. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions
(left column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome evenness (Gini
index), as well as phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms
(right column) of **A,B** TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.509, q_{FDR}=0.032, p = 0.0083); **C,D** IL-1R
genes (R^2 = 0.876, q_{FDR}=0.00036, p = 2.30 x 10^{-5}); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.805, q_{FDR}=
0.0014, p =0.00018) compared against microbiome evenness (Gini index). Shading in
phylogenetic independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the
mean.
719

720
721
Fig. S6. **Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome evenness and innate immune**
repertoire in the mucus compartment. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions (left
column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome evenness (Gini index), as
well as phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms (right
column) of **A,B** TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.260, q_{FDR}=0.199, p = 0.109); **C,D** IL-1R genes
(R^2 = 0.415, q_{FDR}=0.083, p = 0.0323); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.441, q_{FDR}= 0.075, p
=0.0258) compared against microbiome evenness (Gini index). Shading in phylogenetic
independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the mean.
730

731
732
Fig. S7. **Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome evenness and innate immune**
repertoire in the tissue compartment. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions (left
column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome evenness (Gini index), as
well as phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms (right
column) of **A,B** TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.0251, q_{FDR}=0.798, p = 0.6421); **C,D** IL-1R genes
(R^2 = 0.0201, q_{FDR}=0.798, p = 0.678); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.00127, q_{FDR}= 0.939, p
=0.917) compared against microbiome evenness (Gini index). Shading in phylogenetic
independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the mean.
741

742
743
Fig. S8. **Phylogenetic comparison of coral microbiome evenness and innate immune**
repertoire in the skeleton compartment. Rows show ancestral state reconstructions
(left column) of innate immune gene copy number and microbiome evenness (Gini
index), as well as phylogenetic independent contrast analysis for all predicted isoforms
(right column) of **A,B** TIR-only genes (R^2 =0.894, q_{FDR}=0.022, p = 1.12 x10^{-5}); **C,D** IL-
1R genes (R^2 = 0.415, q_{FDR}=0.00035, p = 0.0323); **E,F** TLR genes (R^2 = 0.833, q_{FDR}=
0.00092, p =8.86 x 10^{-5}) compared against microbiome evenness (Gini index). Shading in
phylogenetic independent contrasts analysis indicates the 95% confidence interval of the
mean.
753

754
755
756 **Fig. S9. Comparison of domain copy number within genes and gene copies within**
757 **genomes**. **A** LRR domains vs TLR and **B** Ig vs IL-1R plots.
758

759
760
Fig. S10. Correlated response of microbial families to IL-1R or TLR gene copy
number over evolution. Scatterplot shows contrasts in the correlation between microbial
family relative abundance and IL-1R gene copy number (x-axis) and TLR gene copy
number (y-axis). Each point represents one microbial family. Microbial families whose
relative abundance is equally correlated with IL-1R and TLR gene copy number therefore
fall near the diagonal.
767

768
769
770 **Fig. S11. Heatmap of bacterial class abundance in mucus vs. TLR gene copy**
771 **number.** Heatmap colors depict the relative abundance of bacterial classes in coral
772 mucus (y-axis), in samples sorted by TLR gene copy number (x-axis). Colors reflect
773 abundance per 1000 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads.
774

775
776
777 **Fig. S12. Heatmap of bacterial class relative abundance in tissue vs. TLR gene copy**
778 **number.** Heatmap colors depicts the relative abundance of bacterial classes in coral
779 tissue (y-axis), in samples sorted by TLR gene copy number (x-axis). Colors reflect
780 abundance per 1000 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads.
781

782
783
784 **Fig. S13. Heatmap of bacterial class relative abundance in skeleton vs. TLR gene**
785 **copy number.** Heatmap colors depict the relative abundance of bacterial classes in coral
786 skeleton (y-axis), in samples sorted by TLR gene copy number (x-axis). Colors reflect
787 abundance per 1000 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads.
788

789
790
791   **Fig. S14. Heatmap of bacterial class abundance in mucus vs. IL-1R gene copy**
792   **number.** Heatmap colors depict the relative abundance of bacterial classes in coral
793   mucus (y-axis), in samples sorted by IL-1R gene copy number (x-axis). Colors reflect
794   abundance per 1000 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads.
795

796
797
Fig. S15. Heatmap of bacterial class abundance in tissue vs. IL-1R gene copy
number. Heatmap colors depict the relative abundance of bacterial classes in coral tissue
(y-axis), in samples sorted by IL-1R gene copy number (x-axis). Colors reflect abundance
per 1000 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads.

803
804
805 **Fig. S16. Heatmap of bacterial class abundance in skeleton vs. IL-1R gene copy**
806 **number.** Heatmap colors depict the relative abundance of bacterial classes in coral
807 skeleton (y-axis), in samples sorted by IL-1R gene copy number (x-axis). Colors reflect
808 abundance per 1000 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads.

Supplementary Data Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Sample and Genomic metadata. (**A**) Genomes used in the analysis (B) Per sample metadata for the GCMP data (**C**) Mapping file for the GCMP data.

Supplementary Table 2. Annotations of TIR-domain containing gene families in coral genomes for (**A**) Total number of IL-1R and TLR genes, (**B**) Domain make up of IL-1R genes, (**C**) Domain make up of TLR genes.

Supplementary Table 3. Quality control information for the sequencing depth of microbiome samples in the dataset.

Supplementary Table 4. Distribution of TIR, LRR, and Ig domains across unique predicted protein isoforms in sequenced coral genomes.

Supplementary Table 5. Microbiome (**A**) richness and (**B**) evenness across samples in the analysis.

Supplementary Table 6. Phylogenetic comparison of IL-1R and TLR gene family copy number vs. microbiome alpha diversity in (**A**) All Samples (**B**) Mucus (**C**) Tissue, (**D**) Skeleton.

Supplementary Table 7. Trait table of the genomic and microbiome traits of coral species in the analysis.

Supplementary Table 8. Phylogenetic comparison of TIR LRR and Ig domains vs. microbiome alpha diversity in A) All Samples B) Mucus c) Tissue, d) Skeleton.

Supplementary Table 9. Phylogenetic comparison of IL-1R and TLR gene family copy number vs. PC axes from beta-diversity PCoA analysis in (**A**) All Samples (**B**) Mucus (**C**) Tissue, (**D**) Skeleton.

Supplementary Table 10. ANCOMBC comparison of relative abundance of microbial taxa vs. IL-1R and TLR gene family copy number in (**A**) All Samples (**B**) Mucus (**C**) Tissue, (**D**) Skeleton.

Supplementary Table 11. Phylogenetic comparison of TIR LRR and Ig domains vs. microbiome PC axes from beta-diversity PCoA analysis in (**A**) All Samples (**B**) Mucus (**C**) Tissue, (**D**) Skeleton.

References

1.   Hoeksema, B. W. & Cairns, S. *World List of Scleractinia.*
https://www.marinespecies.org/scleractinia.

2.   Rohwer, F., Seguritan, V., Azam, F. & Knowlton, N. Diversity and distribution of coral-associated bacteria. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **243**, 1–10 (2002).

3.   Pollock, F. J. *et al.* Coral-associated bacteria demonstrate phylosymbiosis and cophylogeny. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4921 (2018).

4.   Ricci, F. *et al.* Host Traits and Phylogeny Contribute to Shaping Coral-Bacterial Symbioses. *Msystems* **7**, e00044-22 (2022).

5.   Hernandez-Agreda, A., Leggat, W., Bongaerts, P., Herrera, C. & Ainsworth, T. D. Rethinking the coral microbiome: simplicity exists within a diverse microbial biosphere. *MBio* **9**, e00812-18 (2018).

6.   Littman, R. A., Willis, B. L., Pfeffer, C. & Bourne, D. G. Diversities of coral-associated bacteria differ with location, but not species, for three acroporid corals on the Great Barrier Reef. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **68**, 152–163 (2009).

7.   Rodriguez-Lanetty, M., Granados-Cifuentes, C., Barberan, A., Bellantuono, A. J. & Bastidas, C. Ecological Inferences from a deep screening of the C omplex B acterial C onsortia associated with the coral, *Porites astre*oides. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 4349–4362 (2013).

8.   Pantos, O., Bongaerts, P., Dennis, P. G., Tyson, G. W. & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. Habitat-specific environmental conditions primarily control the microbiomes of the coral *Seriatopora hystrix*. *ISME J.* **9**, 1916–1927 (2015).

9.   Dunphy, C. M., Gouhier, T. C., Chu, N. D. & Vollmer, S. V. Structure and stability of the coral microbiome in space and time. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–13 (2019).

10.   Wang, L. *et al.* Corals and their microbiomes are differentially affected by exposure to elevated nutrients and a natural thermal anomaly. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **5**, 101 (2018).

11.   Pootakham, W. *et al.* Heat-induced shift in coral microbiome reveals several members of the Rhodobacteraceae family as indicator species for thermal stress in Porites lutea. *MicrobiologyOpen* **8**, e935 (2019).

12.   Ricci, F. *et al.* Beneath the surface: community assembly and functions of the coral skeleton microbiome. *Microbiome* **7**, 1–10 (2019).

914 13.   Kusdianto, H. *et al.* Microbiomes of Healthy and Bleached Corals During a 2016
915 Thermal Bleaching Event in the Upper Gulf of Thailand. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **8**, 643962
916 (2021).
917
918 14.   Marchioro, G. M. *et al.* Microbiome dynamics in the tissue and mucus of
919 acroporid corals differ in relation to host and environmental parameters. *PeerJ* **8**, e9644
920 (2020).
921
922 15.   Fifer, J. E. *et al.* Microbiome structuring within a coral colony and along a
923 sedimentation gradient. *Cell. Stress Response Physiol. Adapt. Corals Subj. Environ.*
924 *Stress. Pollut.* (2022).
925
926 16.   Zaneveld, J. R. *et al.* Overfishing and nutrient pollution interact with temperature
927 to disrupt coral reefs down to microbial scales. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 1–12 (2016).
928
929 17.   Corinaldesi, C. *et al.* Multiple impacts of microplastics can threaten marine
930 habitat-forming species. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 1–13 (2021).
931
932 18.   Pratte, Z. A., Longo, G. O., Burns, A. S., Hay, M. E. & Stewart, F. J. Contact with
933 turf algae alters the coral microbiome: contact versus systemic impacts. *Coral Reefs* **37**,
934 1–13 (2018).
935
936 19.   Briggs, A. A., Brown, A. L. & Osenberg, C. W. Local versus site-level effects of
937 algae on coral microbial communities. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **8**, 210035 (2021).
938
939 20.   Miller, N., Maneval, P., Manfrino, C., Frazer, T. K. & Meyer, J. L. Spatial
940 distribution of microbial communities among colonies and genotypes in nursery-reared
941 *Acropora cervicornis*. *PeerJ* **8**, e9635 (2020).
942
943 21.   Palacio-Castro, A. M., Rosales, S. M., Dennison, C. E. & Baker, A. C.
944 Microbiome signatures in *Acropora cervicornis* are associated with genotypic resistance
945 to elevated nutrients and heat stress. *Coral Reefs* **41**, 1389–1403 (2022).
946
947 22.   Rosales, S. M. *et al.* Microbiome differences in disease-resistant vs. susceptible
948 Acropora corals subjected to disease challenge assays. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 18279 (2019).
949
950 23.   Rosado, P. M. *et al.* Marine probiotics: increasing coral resistance to bleaching
951 through microbiome manipulation. *ISME J.* **13**, 921–936 (2019).
952
953 24.   Ley, R. E. *et al.* Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *science* **320**,
954 1647–1651 (2008).
955
956 25.   Bodawatta, K. H. *et al.* Species-specific but not phylosymbiotic gut microbiomes
957 of New Guinean passerine birds are shaped by diet and flight-associated gut
958 modifications. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **288**, 20210446 (2021).
959

26.   Poole, A. Z. & Weis, V. M. TIR-domain-containing protein repertoire of nine
anthozoan species reveals coral–specific expansions and uncharacterized proteins. *Dev.*
Comp. Immunol. **46**, 480–488 (2014).

27.   Medzhitov, R. & Janeway, C. A. Innate immunity: the virtues of a nonclonal
system of recognition. *Cell* **91**, 295–298 (1997).

28.   Thompson, L. R. *et al.* A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale
microbial diversity. *Nature* **551**, 457–463 (2017).

29.   Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M. & Fuhrman, J. A. Every base matters: assessing
small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series
and global field samples. *Environ. Microbiol.* **18**, 1403–1414 (2016).

30.   Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R. & Weber, L. Minor revision to V4 region
SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton.
Aquat. Microb. Ecol. **75**, 129–137 (2015).

31.   Caporaso, J. G. EMP 16S Illumina Amplicon Protocol. (2018)
doi:10.17504/protocols.io.nuudeww.

32.   Gonzalez, A. *et al.* Qiita: rapid, web-enabled microbiome meta-analysis. *Nat.*
Methods **15**, 796–798 (2018).

33.   Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community
sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* **7**, 335–336 (2010).

34.   Amir, A. *et al.* Deblur Rapidly Resolves Single-Nucleotide Community Sequence
Patterns. *mSystems* **2**, e00191-16 (2017).

35.   Bolyen, E. et al. QIIME 2: Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible
microbiome data science. https://peerj.com/preprints/27295 (2018)
doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.27295v2.

36.   Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C. & Mahé, F. VSEARCH: a versatile
open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**, e2584 (2016).

37.   Quast, C. *et al.* The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D590–D596 (2013).

38.   Bengtsson-Palme, J. *et al.* METAXA2: improved identification and taxonomic
classification of small and large subunit rRNA in metagenomic data. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*
15, 1403–1414 (2015).

39.   Sonett, D., Brown, T., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Padilla-Gamiño, J. L. & Zaneveld, J.
R. The Organelle in the Room: Under-annotated Mitochondrial Reads Bias Coral

Microbiome Analysis. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.02.23.431501 (2021)
doi:10.1101/2021.02.23.431501.
1008
1009   40.   Janssen, S. *et al.* Phylogenetic Placement of Exact Amplicon Sequences Improves
1010   Associations with Clinical Information. *mSystems* **3**, (2018).
1011
1012   41.   Lin, H. & Peddada, S. D. Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias
1013   correction. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–11 (2020).
1014
1015   42.   Emery, M. A., Dimos, B. A. & Mydlarz, L. D. Cnidarian pattern recognition
1016   receptor repertoires reflect both phylogeny and life history traits. *Front. Immunol.* **12**,
1017   689463 (2021).
1018
1019   43.   Mazel, F. *et al.* Is host filtering the main driver of phylosymbiosis across the tree
1020   of life? *Msystems* **3**, e00097-18 (2018).
1021
1022   44.   Brooks, A. W., Kohl, K. D., Brucker, R. M., van Opstal, E. J. & Bordenstein, S.
1023   R. Phylosymbiosis: Relationships and Functional Effects of Microbial Communities
1024   across Host Evolutionary History. *PLOS Biol.* **14**, e2000225 (2016).
1025
1026   45.   O'Brien, P. A. *et al.* Diverse coral reef invertebrates exhibit patterns of
1027   phylosymbiosis. *ISME J.* **14**, 2211–2222 (2020).
1028
1029   46.   Delsuc, F. *et al.* Convergence of gut microbiomes in myrmecophagous mammals.
1030   *Mol. Ecol.* **23**, 1301–1317 (2014).
1031
1032   47.   Song, S. J. *et al.* Is there convergence of gut microbes in blood-feeding
1033   vertebrates? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **374**, 20180249 (2019).
1034
1035   48.   Song, S. J. *et al.* Comparative Analyses of Vertebrate Gut Microbiomes Reveal
1036   Convergence between Birds and Bats. *mBio* **11**, e02901-19 (2020).
1037
1038   49.   Palmer, C. V. *et al.* Patterns of coral ecological immunology: variation in the
1039   responses of Caribbean corals to elevated temperature and a pathogen elicitor. *J. Exp.*
1040   *Biol.* **214**, 4240–4249 (2011).
1041
1042   50.   Palmer, C., Bythell, J. & Willis, B. Enzyme activity demonstrates multiple
1043   pathways of innate immunity in Indo-Pacific anthozoans. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **279**,
1044   3879–3887 (2012).
1045
1046   51.   Brown, T., Sonett, D., Zaneveld, J. R. & Padilla-Gamiño, J. L. Characterization of
1047   the microbiome and immune response in corals with chronic Montipora white syndrome.
1048   *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 2591–2606 (2021).
1049
1050   52.   Thaiss, C. A., Levy, M., Suez, J. & Elinav, E. The interplay between the innate
1051   immune system and the microbiota. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **26**, 41–48 (2014).

53. Fonseca, J. P., Lakshmanan, V., Boschiero, C. & Mysore, K. S. The Pattern Recognition Receptor FLS2 Can Shape the Arabidopsis Rhizosphere Microbiome β-Diversity but Not EFR1 and CERK1. *Plants* **11**, 1323 (2022).

54. Axworthy, J. B. *et al.* Shotgun Proteomics Identifies Active Metabolic Pathways in Bleached Coral Tissue and Intraskeletal Compartments. *Front. Mar. Sci.* (2022).

55. Levy, S. & Mass, T. The Skeleton and Biomineralization Mechanism as Part of the Innate Immune System of Stony Corals. *Front. Immunol.* **13**, (2022).

56. Vega Thurber, R. *et al.* Deciphering coral disease dynamics: integrating host, microbiome, and the changing environment. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 575927 (2020).

57. Elton, C. S. *The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants*. (Springer Nature, 2020).

58. Marraffini, M. L. & Geller, J. B. Species richness and interacting factors control invasibility of a marine community. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **282**, 20150439 (2015).

59. Sommer, F. *et al.* Microbiomarkers in inflammatory bowel diseases: caveats come with caviar. *Gut* **66**, 1734–1738 (2017).

60. Sommer, F., Anderson, J. M., Bharti, R., Raes, J. & Rosenstiel, P. The resilience of the intestinal microbiota influences health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 630–638 (2017).

61. Palmer, C. V. Immunity and the coral crisis. *Commun. Biol.* **1**, 91 (2018).

62. Feng, G., Sun, W., Zhang, F., Karthik, L. & Li, Z. Inhabitancy of active Nitrosopumilus-like ammonia-oxidizing archaea and Nitrospira nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in the sponge Theonella swinhoei. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 1–11 (2016).

63. Kim, S.-H., Kim, J.-H., Park, M.-A., Hwang, S. D. & Kang, J.-C. The toxic effects of ammonia exposure on antioxidant and immune responses in Rockfish, Sebastes schlegelii during thermal stress. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **40**, 954–959 (2015).

64. Kvennefors, E., Sampayo, E., Ridgway, T., Barnes, A. & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. Bacterial Communities of Two Ubiquitous Great Barrier Reef Corals. (2010).

65. Aronson, R. B. & Precht, W. F. White-band disease and the changing face of Caribbean coral reefs. in *The ecology and etiology of newly emerging marine diseases* 25–38 (Springer, 2001).

66. Casas, V. *et al.* Widespread association of a Rickettsiales-like bacterium with reef-building corals. *Environ. Microbiol.* **6**, 1137–1148 (2004).

1098
1099   67.   Gignoux-Wolfsohn, S., Marks, C. J. & Vollmer, S. V. White Band Disease
1100   transmission in the threatened coral, *Acropora cervicornis*. *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 1–3 (2012).
1101
1102   68.   Miller, M. W., Lohr, K. E., Cameron, C. M., Williams, D. E. & Peters, E. C.
1103   Disease dynamics and potential mitigation among restored and wild staghorn coral,
1104   *Acropora cervicornis*. *PeerJ* **2**, e541 (2014).
1105
1106   69.   Wan, S. J. *et al.* IL-1R and MyD88 Contribute to the Absence of a Bacterial
1107   Microbiome on the Healthy Murine Cornea. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, 1117 (2018).
1108
1109   70.   Gerardo, N. M., Hoang, K. L. & Stoy, K. S. Evolution of animal immunity in the
1110   light of beneficial symbioses. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* **375**, 20190601
1111   (2020).
1112
1113   71.   McFall-Ngai, M. Care for the community. *Nature* **445**, 153–153 (2007).
1114
1115   72.   Moreira, L. A. *et al.* A Wolbachia symbiont in Aedes aegypti limits infection with
1116   dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. *Cell* **139**, 1268–1278 (2009).
1117
1118   73.   Lee, K. H. & Ruby, E. G. Effect of the Squid Host on the Abundance and
1119   Distribution of Symbiotic Vibrio fischeri in Nature. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **60**, 1565–
1120   1571 (1994).
1121
1122   74.   Visick, K. L., Foster, J., Doino, J., McFall-Ngai, M. & Ruby, E. G. *Vibrio fischeri*
1123   lux genes play an important role in colonization and development of the host light organ.
1124   *J. Bacteriol.* **182**, 4578–4586 (2000).
1125
1126   75.   Broderick, N. A. Friend, foe or food? Recognition and the role of antimicrobial
1127   peptides in gut immunity and Drosophila–microbe interactions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*
1128   *Biol. Sci.* **371**, (2016).
1129
1130   76.   Kwong, W. K., Engel, P., Koch, H. & Moran, N. A. Genomics and host
1131   specialization of honey bee and bumble bee gut symbionts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**,
1132   11509–11514 (2014).
1133
1134   77.   Hillman, K. & Goodrich-Blair, H. Are you my symbiont? Microbial polymorphic
1135   toxins and antimicrobial compounds as honest signals of beneficial symbiotic defensive
1136   traits. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **31**, 184–190 (2016).
1137
1138   78.   Fuess, L. E. *et al.* Immune Gene Expression Covaries with Gut Microbiome
1139   Composition in Stickleback. *mBio* **12**, e00145-21 (2021).
1140
1141   79.   Davies, C. S. *et al.* Immunogenetic variation shapes the gut microbiome in a
1142   natural vertebrate population. *Microbiome* **10**, 41 (2022).
1143

1144   80.   Evans, J. D. *et al.* Immune pathways and defence mechanisms in honey bees Apis
1145   mellifera. *INSECT Mol. Biol.* **15**, 645–656 (2006).
1146
1147   81.   Nyholm, S. V. & Graf, J. Knowing your friends: invertebrate innate immunity
1148   fosters beneficial bacterial symbioses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **10**, 815–827 (2012).
1149
1150