High prevalence of lipopolysaccharide mutants and R2-Pyocin susceptible variants in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations sourced from cystic fibrosis lung infections

Madeline Mei[a,b,c], Preston Pheng[a], Detriana Kurzeja-Edwards[a] & Stephen P. Diggle[a*]

[a]Center for Microbial Dynamics and Infection, School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; [b]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Immunology, Cystic Fibrosis, and Sleep, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; [c]Emory+Children's Center for Cystic Fibrosis and Airway Disease Research, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

Running title: High Frequency of R2-pyocin susceptible *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in CF lungs

*Address correspondence to stephen.diggle@biosci.gatech.edu

Keywords: R-pyocin, bacteriocin, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, cystic fibrosis, lipopolysaccharide

Author contributions: M.M., and S.P.D. conceived the study and wrote the paper; M.M., P.P. and D.K.E. performed the experimental work and analyzed the data.

ABSTRACT

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a prevalent pathogen in cystic fibrosis (CF) lungs which displays strong resistance to various antibiotic classes, contributing to antimicrobial resistance (AMR). *P aeruginosa* populations in CF lungs exhibit considerable genetic and phenotypic diversity, raising questions about their susceptibility to non-traditional antimicrobials, such as bacteriocins. R-pyocins, bacteriocins produced by *P. aeruginosa*, are highly potent, non-replicating phage tail-like protein complexes with a narrow killing spectrum. The diversity of *P. aeruginosa* variants within CF lung infections may lead to varying susceptibility to R-pyocins due to changes in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) structure, which acts as the R-pyocin receptor. However, the extent of susceptibility to the five known R-pyocin subtypes (R1-R5) remains unknown, especially considering the diverse *P. aeruginosa* populations in CF lungs. Additionally, the connection between LPS phenotype and R-pyocin susceptibility is not well understood. We tested 139 P. aeruginosa variants from 17 sputum samples of seven CF patients for R2-pyocin susceptibility and analyzed their LPS phenotypes. Our findings revealed that approximately 83% of sputum samples contained diverse *P. aeruginosa* populations without R2-pyocin resistant variants, while all samples had some susceptible variants. Moreover, there was no clear correlation between LPS phenotypes and R-pyocin susceptibility. The absence of a clear correlation between LPS phenotypes and R-pyocin susceptibility suggests that LPS packing density may significantly influence R-pyocin susceptibility among CF variants. Our research supports the potential use of R-pyocins as therapeutic agents, as numerous infectious CF variants appear to be susceptible to R2-pyocins, even within diverse *P. aeruginosa* populations.

IMPORTANCE

Cystic fibrosis (CF) patients often experience chronic, debilitating lung infections caused by antibiotic-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The genetic and phenotypic diversity of *P. aeruginosa* populations in CF lungs raises questions about their susceptibility to non-traditional antimicrobials, like bacteriocins. In this study, we focused on R-pyocins, a type of bacteriocin with high potency and a narrow killing spectrum. Our findings indicate that a large number of *P. aeruginosa* variants are susceptible to R2-pyocins, even within diverse bacterial populations, supporting their potential use as therapeutic agents. The absence of a clear correlation between lipopolysaccharide (LPS) phenotypes and R-pyocin susceptibility suggests that LPS packing density may play a significant role in R-pyocin susceptibility among CF variants. Understanding the relationship between LPS phenotypes and R-pyocin susceptibility is crucial for developing future effective treatments for these chronic infections.

INTRODUCTION

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a genetic disorder primarily characterized by chronic respiratory infections, leading to progressive loss of lung function and increased morbidity and mortality in affected individuals (1). The disease arises from a defective anion pump, known as the cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator (CFTR), which results in an imbalance of chloride on epithelial cell surfaces (1). This imbalance causes mucus dehydration, making it too thick for proper clearing of airways along the respiratory tract in people with CF. Consequently, this creates an environment that fosters bacterial and fungal infections, which are notoriously challenging to treat.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a Gram-negative opportunistic bacterium, is the most prevalent bacterial pathogen that colonizes and persistently infects CF lungs (2-7). With a large genome, *P. aeruginosa* can regulate diverse metabolic processes, resist antibiotic treatment, and control various complex social behaviors that aid in its persistence in infections (8-15). Once established

in the CF lung, *P. aeruginosa* is extremely difficult to eradicate and often becomes multi-drug
resistant (MDR). Although *P. aeruginosa* inherently possesses many antibiotic-resistant
mechanisms, strains sourced from chronic lung infections are known to become particularly
problematic and resistant to multiple antibiotics over time (16-20). While recent data suggests that
the approval and use of the new triple therapeutic TRIKAFTA® (elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor)
have improved the overall prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* infection, the 2019 CF Foundation Patient
Registry Annual Report notes that the prevalence of MDR *P. aeruginosa* infection remains
constant (21). In the era of antibiotic resistance, new treatment approaches are crucial against *P.*
aeruginosa and other multi-drug resistant pathogens, especially in the case of CF chronic lung
infections.

One of the challenges in eradicating *P. aeruginosa* from CF lungs is likely due to the high degree
of phenotypic, and genomic diversity that evolves within *P. aeruginosa* populations over the
course of infection (6, 17, 18, 22, 23). While this heterogeneity is known to affect antibiotic
susceptibility, its impact on alternative therapeutic options, such as bacteriocins and
bacteriophage, remains poorly understood (16, 18, 20). As pathogens like *P. aeruginosa* become
increasingly difficult to eliminate, non-traditional antimicrobials, such as bacteriocins, may serve
as useful alternative therapies in combination with antibiotics and phage for more effective
treatment.

Bacteriocins are narrow-spectrum, proteinaceous antimicrobials produced by one strain of
bacteria and active against other strains of the same or closely related species (24, 25). *P.*
aeruginosa produces various bacteriocins called pyocins, which are grouped based on similarities
in their physical and chemical properties, export mechanisms, and mode of action (26-30).
Pyocins produced by *P. aeruginosa* are classified as S-, R-, and F-pyocins, each with varying
antimicrobial spectra (29-34). R-pyocins are narrow-spectrum, contractile, phage tail-like
bacteriocins, further categorized into subtypes R1-R5 based on specificity to different receptors
on target cells (29, 30, 35-37). The proposed mode of action involves the R-pyocin tail fiber foot
binding to a monosaccharide receptor on the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (specific to each R-
subtype), causing the sheath to contract and push the tail spike and core to puncture the outer
membrane, resulting in membrane depolarization and eventual cell death (**Fig. S1**) (38-44). R-
pyocins have gained interest for their potential to combat *P. aeruginosa* due to their powerful
ability to kill target cells, their inability to replicate without a DNA-containing head, and their well-
documented mechanism of action (29, 30, 41, 43-45). In this study, we focus on R-pyocins
because of their promising features as antimicrobial agents and the need for a better
understanding of their effectiveness against clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa*.

In previous research, we tested 20 individual *P. aeruginosa* variants from sputum samples
collected from three different individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF) (60 variants total, from 3 *P.*
aeruginosa populations). We found a variation in susceptibility to R2-pyocins within these
populations (46). Additionally, we isolated and compared the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)
phenotypes of three of these variants from the same sputum sample and patient, discovering that
each variant had different LPS glycoforms, which likely contributed to their varying susceptibility
(46). If R-pyocins are to be considered as a therapy, it is essential to further investigate their
efficacy against diverse clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa*, as the prevalence of R-pyocin-
susceptible strains in CF chronic lung infections is currently not well understood.

We previously found that R1-pyocin producers make up the majority of R-pyocin-typeable CF *P.*
aeruginosa strains, and other studies have shown that R1-type producers are often susceptible
to R2-pyocins (37, 46, 47). As a result, we expected a high frequency of *P. aeruginosa* strains
isolated from chronic lung infections with LPS mutations conferring R2-pyocin susceptibility.

Although we discovered no correlation between LPS phenotype and R2-pyocin susceptibility,
most *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from CF lung infections were sensitive to R2-pyocins. Our
findings support the potential use of R-pyocins as alternative anti-pseudomonal treatments in
chronic infections.
123
RESULTS

The majority of *P. aeruginosa* populations in CF patients have variants susceptible to R2-
pyocins. To determine the prevalence of R2-pyocin susceptible variants among *P. aeruginosa*
populations from CF sputum samples, we analyzed up to 10 previously described variants (46)
from longitudinal samples of 7 individuals with CF (139 total variants collected from 17 sputum
samples or "populations") using the soft-agar overlay method (**Fig. S2**). For consistency, we used
PAO1Δ*rmlC* as an R2-pyocin susceptible control and PAO1 wt as a resistant control in each
experiment. Using R2-pyocins from PAO1 without the pf4 prophage (PAO1ΔPf4), we assessed
the relative susceptibility of each CF variant and found that (i) each population showed
heterogeneity within the population, consistent with previous work using a microtiter plate method
(46), and (ii) nearly every *P. aeruginosa* population included variants with some degree of
susceptibility to R2-pyocins. In our sample, we found that only 3 out of 7 individuals had sputum
containing R2-pyocin resistant variants (**Fig. 1**). We also discovered that most populations
contained R2-susceptible variants even across longitudinal sampling from the same patient,
although the degree of relative susceptibility and sensitivity to R2-pyocins fluctuated over time
(**Fig. 2**). Longitudinal sputum collections in our biobank showed no trend of variants becoming
R2-pyocin resistant over a period of approximately 2 years. To further confirm that these results
were R2-pyocin dependent, we included lysates from a PAO1ΔPf4ΔR mutant (lacking both the
prophage and a functional R2-pyocin) in each assay, ensuring no killing activity when R2-pyocins
were absent.

144
There is a high frequency of LPS mutants and diversity among LPS phenotypes in CF
strains of *P. aeruginosa*. To characterize the LPS phenotypes of R2-pyocin susceptible and
resistant variants in our biobank, we isolated LPS using a hot-aqueous phenol method (48) and
compared the LPS migration profiles of our clinical variants to those of isogenic LPS mutants of
our Nottingham PAO1 wild type strain. Out of 139 variants across all populations, we observed
that 40% lacked common antigen (CPA or A-band) (**Fig. 3A**) and 76% lacked O-specific antigen
(OSA or B-band) (**Fig. 3B**). Additionally, 24% presented altered LPS cores (**Fig. 3C**), as
determined by comparing to PAO1. We found that 13 out of the 17 sputum collections sampled
contained variants with diverse LPS profiles; approximately 76% of our *P. aeruginosa* populations
contained a mixture of variants with varying LPS phenotypes.

155
To assess the correlation between R2-pyocin susceptibility and specific LPS glycoform
phenotypes in CF strains, we conducted a one-tailed Spearman correlation analysis for each
phenotype individually. We assumed independence between the three glycoform phenotypes
(CPA+ or CPA-, OSA+ or OSA-, WT or altered core) for these analyses, even though some
dependencies may exist due to overlapping biosynthetic pathways and the essentiality of the LPS
core. We found no significant correlations specifically between the presence or absence of CPA
(**Fig. 3D**), OSA (**Fig. 3E**), or an altered core (**Fig. 3F**) (p > 0.05).

163
We further evaluated the relationship between LPS phenotype and R2-pyocin susceptibility by
assessing the LPS as a whole. Using a numbered scale, we assigned an LPS Score of 0 when a
variant presented as CPA-, OSA-, and had an altered core; this variant was treated as having 0/3
WT LPS characteristics. A score of 1 was assigned when a variant exhibited one of the three LPS
glycoforms as WT (presence of OSA, CPA, or unaltered core), a score of 2 when two of three

glycoforms were WT, and a score of 3 when a variant presented CPA+, OSA+, with a WT core.
Using this classification system, we also found no correlation with R2-pyocin susceptibility (Fig.
4). However, we did find that three R2-pyocin resistant variants were all CPA- and OSA-. Only
20% of variants from all sputum samples exhibited CPA+, OSA+, and WT LPS cores.
173
174   **DISCUSSION**
175
Cystic fibrosis (CF) chronic lung infections present a significant challenge due to their high
antibiotic resistance, difficulty in eradication, and potentially lethal nature (1). *P. aeruginosa*, a
common pathogen found in CF lungs, contributes to infection-related morbidity and mortality due
to its significant antibiotic resistance and ability to diversify over time (2-7). As a result, it is crucial
to explore alternative antimicrobial and therapeutic options for treating these chronic infections.

Bacteriocins, particularly R-pyocins, have recently gained attention for their high potency, narrow
spectrum, and anti-pseudomonal properties. However, the efficacy of R-pyocins and other phage-
like bacteriocins remains uncertain due to the diverse phenotypic behavior of *P. aeruginosa* in
chronic CF lung infections. Previous work demonstrated that *P. aeruginosa* populations display
varying susceptibility to R-pyocins, emphasizing the need to better understand the implications of
this heterogeneity on treatment outcomes (46). This heterogeneity is particularly important when
considering resistant variants in infection, as the prevalence of resistant variants and the benefits
of resistance-related phenotypes to survival in chronic infection remain unclear.

In a previous study, we tested the R2-pyocin susceptibility of 60 isolates from 3 patients
expectorated sputum samples, but the limited sample size did not provide a comprehensive
understanding of R-pyocin sensitivity and resistance behaviors over time or across more CF
patients. In the current study, a larger number of variants were tested, collected from multiple
longitudinal samples and more individuals with chronic CF lung infections. A total of 139 *P.*
aeruginosa variants were collected from 17 expectorated sputum samples (including longitudinal
collections) of 7 individuals with CF and were tested for R2-pyocin susceptibility and LPS
phenotypes.

The analysis of *P. aeruginosa* populations from CF lung infections revealed that (i) approximately
76% of sputum samples contained *P. aeruginosa* populations exhibiting heterogeneity among
LPS phenotypes; (ii) no correlation was found between R2-pyocin susceptibility and the presence
or absence of a specific LPS glycoform; and (iii) it was estimated that about 76% of the variants
sampled from sputum lacked O-specific antigen, 40% lacked common antigen, and 23% exhibited
altered LPS cores.

In previous studies, R-pyocin susceptibility testing has typically focused on one variant per sputum
collection (37, 47). However, the current study expanded this approach by examining multiple
variants from a wide range of sputum samples from various individuals, including some
longitudinal samples. This comprehensive analysis revealed that nearly all bacterial populations
studied contained susceptible variants (16 out of 17 populations), with heterogeneity in
susceptibility observed among the susceptible variants (46). Only about 5% of the tested variants
were resistant to R2-pyocins, and these variants were obtained from only 4 sputum samples from
3 patients. No trends in R2-pyocin susceptibility were identified across longitudinal samples, and
susceptibility did not cluster by patient, but by longitudinal collection.

The high frequency of R2-pyocin susceptible strains in CF lung infections suggests that (i) there
is no strong selective pressure for *P. aeruginosa* to alter LPS in a way that leads to R2-pyocin

resistance, and (ii) R2-pyocins could be effective against *P. aeruginosa* for the majority of
individuals with CF lung infections, as 94% of individuals in the cohort were infected with R2-
pyocin susceptible variants.

While previous studies have assessed correlations between serotype and R-pyocin susceptibility
(37, 47), the relationship between LPS phenotype and R-pyocin susceptibility has remained
unexplored. In this study, LPS phenotypes were analyzed based on the presence or absence of
the common antigen (CPA), the O-specific antigen (OSA), and the altered or PAO1-like (WT) LPS
core. No correlations were found between any of the phenotypes or between various
combinations of LPS phenotypes and R2-pyocin susceptibility. However, some sputum samples
contained variants with similar LPS phenotypes. For example, one sputum collection had all
variants exhibiting the phenotype CPA+, OSA+, and presenting a WT core. A high percentage of
variants lacked OSA (76%), while more than half maintained the CPA (40%). The absence of
correlation between specific LPS glycoform phenotypes suggests that other LPS-modifying
behaviors, such as packing density, may be more influential in determining R2-pyocin
susceptibility. Another group has proposed the importance of LPS packing density on R-pyocin
susceptibility, though the mechanism or regulation of this behavior remains poorly understood
(49).

In summary, the majority of *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from CF lung infections appear to be
susceptible to R2-pyocins, supporting the potential for R-pyocins as effective antimicrobials. This
study also revealed no correlation between LPS phenotype and R2-susceptibility in strains
evolved in chronic infection, indicating that further research is necessary to evaluate other LPS-
modifying behaviors and packing density in the CF lung environment, as well as the implications
of these behaviors for the use of LPS-binding phage and bacteriocins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
238
Bacterial strains, media, and culture conditions. We collected expectorated sputum samples
for this study in previous work (46) from adult CF patients through Emory–Children's Center for
Cystic Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research by the Cystic Fibrosis Biospecimen Laboratory
with IRB approval (Georgia Tech approval H18220). We isolated *P. aeruginosa* populations from
each sputum sample using selective media (Pseudomonas Isolation Agar, Sigma-Aldrich) before
isolating single colonies for further characterization. In total we studied 139 variants sourced from
CF chronic lung infections for R2-pyocin susceptibility and LPS phenotype. All *P. aeruginosa* lab
strains used in this work are listed and described in **Table 1**. All *P. aeruginosa* strains isolate from
CF sputum are listed and described in **Supplementary Dataset 1**. We grew all bacterial cultures
in lysogeny broth (LB) medium at 37°C with 200 rpm shaking agitation and used standard genetic
techniques for construction of *P. aeruginosa* mutants. The construction of plasmids and
generation of mutants are described below and all plasmids and primers used for mutant
generation can be found in **Table 1**.
252
Generation of mutants. We constructed the PAO1Δ*rmlC* mutant as follows: 600 bp DNA
sequences flanking the open reading frames of *rmlC* (PA5164) were PCR amplified using Q5
DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) (37). We then cloned these sequences
into the SphI-XbaI digested suicide vector pDM4 (50) by Gibson assembly using NEBuilder HiFi
Assembly master mix (New England Biolabs), transformed into *E. coli* S17-λpir by electroporation
and selected on LB agar plates supplemented with 30 µg/mL chloramphenicol. We verified cloned
inserts by colony PCR and Sanger sequencing (Eton Bioscience, NC). We introduced the deletion
construct into PAO1 wt by electroporation and strains carrying single crossover insertions of the

deletion constructs were selected on LB agar plates supplemented with 300 µg/mL
chloramphenicol (51,52). Resistant colonies were cultured in LB without antibiotic and plated on
LB agar plates with 0.25% NaCl and 5% sucrose to select for loss of the construct (53). We
screened sucrose-resistant colonies for antibiotic sensitivity to ensure loss of the vector sequence
and were assessed for presence of the gene deletion by colony PCR and Sanger sequencing of
the PCR product. We constructed the PAO1ΔPf4ΔR mutant by deleting the tail fiber and
chaperone genes of the R-pyocin gene cassette (PA0620-PA0621) from the PAO1ΔPf4 strain as
described previously (46).
269
Expression and collection of R-pyocins. We collected R-pyocins in lysates as previously
described (46). LB cultures of PAO1, PAO1ΔR (R-pyocin null mutant) (46), PAO1ΔPf4 (prophage
mutant) (54), and PAO1Δ*pf4* ΔR were inoculated at 1:100 from overnight planktonic cultures, and
grown to mid-logarithmic growth phase (approximately 4 h) in 10 mL of LB media. We then added
ciprofloxacin to each culture for a final concentration of 0.1 µg/mL to induce R-pyocin production
(46, 55, 56), and cultures were incubated for a further 3 h. Chloroform was used to lyse remaining
cells, before centrifuging lysates at ~3,300 $x\,g$ for 10 min. The R-pyocin-containing supernatants
were separated and stored at 4°C.
278
Spot assay for Relative R-pyocin Susceptibility. Spot assays to assess R-pyocin activity were
conducted as previously described (46). We used overnight cultures of clinical *P. aeruginosa*
variants to inoculate 4 mL of cooled soft top (0.4%) agar at an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600})
of 0.01. This mixture was poured onto LB agar plates for an overlay of the indicator strain. We
extracted R2-pyocin lysates from PAO1, PAO1ΔPf4 and respective R-pyocin null mutants were
vortexed, then serial diluted 10-fold in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) before spotting 5 µL of
each dilution onto the soft-top overlay indicator strains. Spots were allowed to dry before
incubating plates at 37°C overnight. Clear zones of growth inhibition from PAO1ΔPf4 lysates were
used to determine R-pyocin dependent activity against the indicator strains, and we determined
relative susceptibility by counting the number of these serial dilutions of lysate indicating inhibition.
PAO1 wild type was used as an R2-resistant control strain and PAO1Δ*rmlC* was used as an R2-
susceptible control strain to standardize and quality control each experiment (37). We conducted
spot assays for R-pyocin susceptibility in triplicate.
292
LPS isolation and visualization. We isolated LPS of bacterial cultures and visualized as
described by previous methods (48). We used overnight bacterial cultures of 5 mL LB grown at
37°C at 200 rpm shaking. We then diluted overnight cultures 1:10 with LB to measure OD_{600}
before making a 1 mL suspension of bacteria adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.5 and pelleting by
centrifugation at 10,600 $x\,g$ for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 200 µL of 1x SDS-
buffer. Suspended bacterial cells were boiled for 15 min before we prepared LPS by hot aqueous-
phenol extraction as previously described (48) but without the addition of DNase I and RNase
solutions (as deemed optional in the protocol). We visualized samples using 15 µL of LPS
preparation on a 4%-20% Tris-glycine mini gel using the Pro-Q Emerald 300 Lipopolysaccharide
Gel Stain Kit (ThermoFisher) (48).
303
304 **Statistical analysis.** We performed all data analysis using Prism (Graphpad software, version
305 9).
306
307 **Data Availability.** We have made raw data and code available in the Dryad Digital Repository:
308 (URL in progress) (57).
309
Supplemental Material
Supplementary Dataset 1

Acknowledgements. We thank the Cystic Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research (CF-AIR) at
Emory University, for clinical CF populations of *P. aeruginosa*. We also thank Patrick Secor for
generously sharing the PAO1ΔPf4 strain with us. This work was supported by the Cystic Fibrosis
Foundation by grants (DIGGLE18I0 and DIGGLE20G0),the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for
a grant (R01AI153116) and the National Science Foundation (NSF) for a grant (2003721) to SPD.
This work was also supported by the NIH Vaccinology Training Program Grant (#T32AI074492)
to MM.

Figure legends

Table 1. Strains, primers and plasmids used in this study.

**Figure 1. R2-pyocin inhibition zones for multiple CF variants from different patient sputum
samples.** The inhibition zones caused by R2-pyocin applied to soft agar overlays containing
clinical variants from the CF sputum samples listed on the left. PAO1Δ*rmlC* serves as an R2-
susceptible control, and PAO1 wt as an R2-resistant control. Red boxes indicate patients with
populations containing resistant variants. Up to ten variants per sputum sample were tested. An
inhibition zone indicates R2-pyocin susceptibility, while the absence of a visible zone indicates
resistance.

**Figure 2. R2-pyocin susceptibility varies among clinical *P. aeruginosa* populations and
changes over time.** Relative susceptibility to R2-pyocins differs among variants in each
population and across populations. Susceptibility heterogeneity is observed across all five
patients and within the same patient's longitudinal samples. There is no trend of strains becoming
more resistant to R2-pyocins in longitudinally sampled populations (consecutive numbers with
collection number in parentheses). Two sputum samples contained at least one variant resistant
to R2-pyocins (Relative Susceptibility = 0). Relative Susceptibility was determined by counting
the number of serial dilutions (including neat) where inhibition zones appeared after R2-pyocins
were applied to agar overlays. Means are shown with 95% confidence interval error bars.

Figure 3. LPS phenotype heterogeneity and lack of correlation with R2-susceptibility. A
one-tailed Spearman correlation analysis for each phenotype revealed no correlations between
R2-pyocin susceptibility and the absence of (A) common antigen (CPA), (C) O-specific antigen
(OSA), or (E) an altered core (p > 0.05). CPA was absent in 42.73% of variants (B), OSA was
absent in 76.36%, and (F) 27.27% of variants had altered LPS cores. Each data point represents
the average Relative Susceptibility of a variant, and variants are colored based on their
longitudinal collection.

**Figure 4. No correlation between combined LPS deficiency phenotypes and R2-pyocin
susceptibility.** An LPS Score of 0 to 3 was assigned to each variant from each sputum collection
to assess the correlation between overall LPS phenotypes and R2-pyocin susceptibility. Variants
from each population are shown as data points of the same color. No correlation was found
between LPS Score and R2-pyocin susceptibility (p > 0.05).

Figure S1. R-pyocin binding to LPS receptor and puncturing target cell membrane. When
released into the environment by producing cells, R-pyocins bind to target cells by recognizing
core residues of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) on the outer cell membrane. After tail fiber binding,
the baseplate dissociates, initiating sheath contraction and driving the iron-tipped tube through
the cell surface, killing the target bacterium.

363
Figure S2. R2-pyocin lysate collection and susceptibility testing procedure. R-pyocins are
isolated from *P. aeruginosa* by growing strains to early logarithmic growth, adding sub-minimal
inhibitory concentration (MIC) ciprofloxacin, and lysing cells with chloroform after several hours
for maximum R-pyocin production. Cultures are then centrifuged, separating into phases, allowing
for the collection of cell-free R-pyocin-containing supernatant (lysates) to be stored. R-pyocin
lysates are serially diluted and spotted onto soft agar overlays to test the susceptibility of strains
of interest.
371
372

373 **References**
374
1.   Elborn JS. 2016. Cystic fibrosis. The Lancet 388:2519-2531.
2.   Hogardt M, Heesemann J. 2010. Adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during
persistence in the cystic fibrosis lung. Int J Med Microbiol 300:557-62.
3.   Kerem E, Corey M, Gold R, Levison H. 1990. Pulmonary function and clinical course in
patients with cystic fibrosis after pulmonary colonization with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
J Pediatr 116:714-9.
4.   Boucher JC, Yu H, Mudd MH, Deretic V. 1997. Mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic
fibrosis: characterization of muc mutations in clinical isolates and analysis of clearance in
a mouse model of respiratory infection. Infect Immun 65:3838-46.
5.   Winstanley C, O'Brien S, Brockhurst MA. 2016. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Evolutionary
Adaptation and Diversification in Cystic Fibrosis Chronic Lung Infections. Trends in
Microbiology 24:327-337.
6.   Williams D, Evans B, Haldenby S, Walshaw MJ, Brockhurst MA, Winstanley C, Paterson
S. 2015. Divergent, coexisting *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lineages in chronic cystic fibrosis
lung infections. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 191:775-85.
7.   Workentine M, Sibley C, Glezerson B, Purighalla S, Norgaard-Gron J, Parkins M, Rabin
H, Surette M. 2013. Phenotypic Heterogeneity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Populations
in a Cystic Fibrosis Patient. PLoS One 8:e60225.
8.   Stover CK, Pham XQ, Erwin AL, Mizoguchi SD, Warrener P, Hickey MJ, Brinkman FSL,
Hufnagle WO, Kowalik DJ, Lagrou M, Garber RL, Goltry L, Tolentino E, Westbrock-
Wadman S, Yuan Y, Brody LL, Coulter SN, Folger KR, Kas A, Larbig K, Lim R, Smith K,
Spencer D, Wong GKS, Wu Z, Paulsen IT, Reizer J, Saier MH, Hancock REW, Lory S,
Olson MV. 2000. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an
opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406:959-964.
9.   Foweraker JE, Laughton CR, Brown DFJ, Bilton D. 2005. Phenotypic variability of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in sputa from patients with acute infective exacerbation of
cystic fibrosis and its impact on the validity of antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Journal
of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 55:921-927.
10.   Bradbury R, Tristram S, Roddam L, Reid D, Inglis T, Champion A. 2011. Antimicrobial
susceptibility testing of cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis clinical isolates of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a comparison of three methods. British Journal of Biomedical
Science 68:1-4.
11.   Morita Y, Tomida J, Kawamura Y. 2014. Responses of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to
antimicrobials. Frontiers in Microbiology 4.
12.   Smith RS, Iglewski BH. 2003. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing systems and
virulence. Curr Opin Microbiol 6:56-60.
13.   Diggle SP, Griffin A, S Campbell G, West S. 2007. Cooperation and conflict in quorum-
sensing bacterial populations, vol 450.

14.   Pesci EC, Pearson JP, Seed PC, Iglewski BH. 1997. Regulation of las and rhl quorum
414   sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 179:3127-32.
415   15.   D'Costa VM, McGrann KM, Hughes DW, Wright GD. 2006. Sampling the Antibiotic
416   Resistome. Science 311:374-377.
417   16.   Bhagirath AY, Li Y, Somayajula D, Dadashi M, Badr S, Duan K. 2016. Cystic fibrosis lung
418   environment and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. BMC Pulm Med 16:174.
419   17.   Jorth P, Ehsan Z, Rezayat A, Caldwell E, Pope C, Brewington JJ, Goss CH, Benscoter D,
420   Clancy JP, Singh PK. 2019. Direct Lung Sampling Indicates That Established Pathogens
421   Dominate Early Infections in Children with Cystic Fibrosis. Cell Rep 27:1190-1204.e3.
422   18.   Darch S, McNally A, Harrison F, Corander J, Barr H, Paszkiewicz K, Holden S, Fogarty A,
423   Crusz S, Diggle S. 2015. Recombination is a key driver of genomic and phenotypic
424   diversity in a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* population during cystic fibrosis infection.
425   Scientific Reports 5:7649.
426   19.   Williams D, Fothergill JL, Evans B, Caples J, Haldenby S, Walshaw MJ, Brockhurst MA,
427   Winstanley C, Paterson S. 2018. Transmission and lineage displacement drive rapid
428   population genomic flux in cystic fibrosis airway infections of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
429   epidemic strain. Microbial Genomics 4:-.
430   20.   Feliziani S, Luján AM, Moyano AJ, Sola C, Bocco JL, Montanaro P, Canigia LF, Argaraña
431   CE, Smania AM. 2010. Mucoidy, Quorum Sensing, Mismatch Repair and Antibiotic
432   Resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Cystic Fibrosis Chronic Airways Infections.
433   PLOS ONE 5:e12669.
434   21.   Registry CFFP. 2019. Annual Data Report.
435   22.   Clark S, Diaz Caballero J, Cheang M, Coburn B, Wang P, Donaldson S, Zhang Y, Liu M,
436   Keshavjee S, Yau Y, Waters V, Elizabeth Tullis D, Guttman D, Hwang D. 2015. Phenotypic
437   diversity within a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* population infecting an adult with cystic
438   fibrosis. Scientific Reports 5:10932.
439   23.   Roberts AE, Kragh KN, Bjarnsholt T, Diggle SP. 2015. The Limitations of In Vitro
440   Experimentation in Understanding Biofilms and Chronic Infection. J Mol Biol 427:3646-61.
441   24.   Bradley DE. 1967. Ultrastructure of bacteriophage and bacteriocins. Bacteriological
442   reviews 31:230-314.
443   25.   Reeves P. 1972. The bacteriocins (molecular biology, biochemistry and biophysics).
444   Spring-Verlag, New York.
445   26.   Kageyama M, Egami F. 1962. On the purification and some properties of a pyocin, a
446   bacteriocin produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Life Sciences 1:471-476.
447   27.   Holloway BW. 1969. Genetics of Pseudomonas. Bacteriological reviews 33:419-443.
448   28.   Kageyama M. 1975. Bacteriocins and bacteriophages in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
449   Microbial drug resistance:291-305.
450   29.   Michel-Briand Y, Baysse C. 2002. The pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Biochimie
451   84:499-510.
452   30.   Scholl D. 2017. Phage Tail-Like Bacteriocins. Annu Rev Virol 4:453-467.
453   31.   Jacob F. 1954. Induced Biosynthesis and Mode of Action of a Pyocine, an Antibiotic
454   obtained from Ps. pyocyanea. Ann Inst Pasteur 86:149-60.
455   32.   Ito S, Kageyama M. 1970. Relationship between pyocins and a bacteriophage in
456   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology 16:231-240.
457   33.   Govan JRW. 1974. Studies on the Pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Morphology and
458   Mode of Action of Contractile Pyocins. Microbiology 80:1-15.
459   34.   Govan JRW. 1974. Studies on the Pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Production of
460   Contractile and Flexuous Pyocins in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbiology 80:17-30.
461   35.   Ikeda K, Egami F. 1969. Receptor Substance for Pyocin R I. Partial Purification and
462   Chemical Properties. The Journal of Biochemistry 65:603-609.

36.   Ikeda K, Egami F. 1973. Lipopolysaccharide of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with special
reference to pyocin R receptor activity. The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology
19:115-128.
37.   Köhler T, Donner V, van Delden C. 2010. Lipopolysaccharide as Shield and Receptor for
R-Pyocin-Mediated Killing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Bacteriology
192:1921-1928.
38.   Higerd TB, Baechler CA, Berk RS. 1969. Morphological Studies on Relaxed and
Contracted Forms of Purified Pyocin Particles. Journal of Bacteriology 98:1378-1389.
39.   Yui C. 1971. Structure of Pyocin R I. Isolation of Sheath from Pyocin R by Alkali Treatment
and Its Properties. The Journal of Biochemistry 69:101-110.
40.   Ikeda K, Nishi Y. 1973. Interaction between pyocin R and pyocin R receptor. The Journal
of General and Applied Microbiology 19:209-219.
41.   Ohsumi M, Shinomiya T, Kageyama M. 1980. Comparative Study on R-type Pyocins of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The Journal of Biochemistry 87:1119-1126.
42.   Uratani Y, Hoshino T. 1984. Pyocin R1 inhibits active transport in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa and depolarizes membrane potential. J Bacteriol 157:632-6.
43.   Ge P, Scholl D, Leiman PG, Yu X, Miller JF, Zhou ZH. 2015. Atomic structures of a
bactericidal contractile nanotube in its pre- and postcontraction states. Nature Structural
& Molecular Biology 22:377-382.
44.   Ge P, Scholl D, Prokhorov NS, Avaylon J, Shneider MM, Browning C, Buth SA, Plattner
M, Chakraborty U, Ding K, Leiman PG, Miller JF, Zhou ZH. 2020. Action of a minimal
contractile bactericidal nanomachine. Nature 580:658-662.
45.   Nakayama K, Takashima K, Ishihara H, Shinomiya T, Kageyama M, Kanaya S, Ohnishi
M, Murata T, Mori H, Hayashi T. 2000. The R-type pyocin of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is
related to P2 phage, and the F-type is related to lambda phage. Mol Microbiol 38:213-31.
46.   Mei M, Thomas J, Diggle SP. 2021. Heterogenous Susceptibility to R-Pyocins in
Populations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Sourced from Cystic Fibrosis Lungs. mBio 12.
47.   Redero M, López-Causapé C, Aznar J, Oliver A, Blazquez J, I Prieto A. 2018.
Susceptibility to R-pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates from cystic
fibrosis patients, vol 73.
48.   Davis MR, Jr., Goldberg JB. 2012. Purification and visualization of lipopolysaccharide from
Gram-negative bacteria by hot aqueous-phenol extraction. J Vis Exp doi:10.3791/3916.
49.   Carim S, Azadeh AL, Kazakov AE, Price MN, Walian PJ, Lui LM, Nielsen TN, Chakraborty
R, Deutschbauer AM, Mutalik VK, Arkin AP. 2021. Systematic discovery of pseudomonad
genetic factors involved in sensitivity to tailocins. Isme j 15:2289-2305.
50.   Milton DL, O'Toole R, Horstedt P, Wolf-Watz H. 1996. Flagellin A is essential for the
virulence of Vibrio anguillarum. J Bacteriol 178:1310-9.
51.   Choi K-H, Schweizer HP. 2006. mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites:
example *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Nature Protocols 1:153.
52.   Holloway BW. 1955. Genetic recombination in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Gen Microbiol
13:572-81.
53.   Hmelo LR, Borlee BR, Almblad H, Love ME, Randall TE, Tseng BS, Lin C, Irie Y, Storek
KM, Yang JJ, Siehnel RJ, Howell PL, Singh PK, Tolker-Nielsen T, Parsek MR, Schweizer
HP, Harrison JJ. 2015. Precision-engineering the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genome with
two-step allelic exchange. Nat Protoc 10:1820-41.
54.   Schmidt AK, Fitzpatrick AD, Schwartzkopf CM, Faith DR, Jennings LK, Coluccio A, Hunt
DJ, Michaels LA, Hargil A, Chen Q, Bollyky PL, Dorward DW, Wachter J, Rosa PA,
Maxwell KL, Secor PR. 2022. A Filamentous Bacteriophage Protein Inhibits Type IV Pili
To Prevent Superinfection of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. mBio 13:e0244121.
55.   Brazas MD, Hancock REW. 2005. Ciprofloxacin Induction of a Susceptibility Determinant
in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 49:3222-3227.

56.   Penterman J, Singh PK, Walker GC. 2014. Biological cost of pyocin production during the
SOS response in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 196:3351-9.
57.   [Dryad dataset.]
517

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure S1

1. R-pyocin released into environment

2. Foot of the tail fibre binds to residue on the core of LPS

3. Baseplate reaches membrane surface and tail spike prepares to breach

4. Sheath contracts, membrane is punctured with tail spike and tube

Target cell outer membrane

Figure S2

Step 1. Isolate R-pyocins

Grow strains to early/pre-log phase

Add Ciprofloxacin to 0.1μg/mL

Grow strains to mid-late log phase

Add chloroform to lyse cells

Spin and store cell-free lysate at 4°C

Step 2. Add R-pyocins to CF isolates

Grow indicator strains to mid-log phase

Inoculate 0.4% agar overlay at an OD of 0.01

Using cell-free lysate…

Serial dilute lysate (in PBS)

Spot 5μL lysates onto overlay

Incubate plates overnight

Count serial dilutions with inhibition

Table 1. Strains, Primers and Plasmids used in this study.

Strain	Description	Source
PAO1N	wildtype Nottingham laboratory strain	(52)
E. coli S17-1 λ*pir*	galU galK rpsL(Strr) endA1 nupG thi pro hsdR hsdM+ recA (RP4-2 Tc::Mu Km::Tn7) λ*pir*	(51)
PAO1N ΔR	R-pyocin null mutant; lacking tail fiber protein (PA0620) and chaperone protein (PA0621)	(46)
PAO1N Δ*rmlC*	R2-pyocin susceptible; lacking *rmlC* (PA5164)	This study
PAO1 ΔPf4	Nottingham PAO1 lacking filamentous prophage pf4	(54)
PAO1 ΔPf4ΔR	Nottingham PAO1 lacking filamentous prophage pf4, tail fiber protein (PA0620) and chaperone protein (PA0621) of R-pyocin	This study

Primer (bp)*	Sequence (5' to 3')	Description	Reference or Source
rmlC-del upstream-Forward (600)	GAGCTCAGGTTACCCGCATGCGAGTCGTTCATCGGCAACG	Δ*rmlC* mutant generation; To clone PA5164 flanking regions into pDM4 SphI and XbaI sites for deletion	This study
rmlC-del upstream-Reverse	GCTGCGAGTATCCGGGGCATCATCAGTACACGGTCTCGGTCA	Δ*rmlC* mutant generation; To clone PA5164 flanking regions into pDM4 SphI and XbaI sites for deletion	This study
rmlC-del downstream-Forward (600)	ACCGAGACCGTGTACTGATGATGCCCCGGATACTCGCAGCCACGGC	Δ*rmlC* mutant generation; To clone PA5164 flanking regions into pDM4 SphI and XbaI sites for deletion	This study
rmlC-del downstream-Reverse	CACTAGTGGGGCCCTTCTAGGCGCCGGTGCGTCGGCGGAT	Δ*rmlC* mutant generation; To clone PA5164 flanking regions into pDM4 SphI and XbaI sites for deletion	This study
TF-del upstream-Forward (600)	GAGCTCAGGTTACCCGCATGGGTCCTGGATGAAACCCAGG	R-pyocin null mutant generation; To clone PA0620-1 600 bp flanking regions into pDM4 for deletion construct	(46)

TF-del upstream-Reverse	GTAACGCCGTGGAAGAAACTCATGTCACTGTTTCCAGGGGA	R-pyocin null mutant generation; To clone PA0620-1 600 bp flanking regions into pDM4 for deletion construct	(46)
TF-del downstream-Forward (600)	CCCCTGGAAACAGTGACATGAGTTTCTTCCACGGCGTTACGGTAACCAAC	R-pyocin null mutant generation; To clone PA0620-1 600 bp flanking regions into pDM4 for deletion construct	(46)
TF-del downstream-Reverse	CACTAGTGGGGCCCTTCTAGCGAAGCCGGGGCGTTGC	R-pyocin null mutant generation; To clone PA0620-1 600 bp flanking regions into pDM4 for deletion construct	(46)

Plasmids	**Description**	**Source**
pDM4	Suicide vector, Cm^r	Nottingham Collection
pJT95	pDM4 bearing construct gene with deletion of PA0620 and PA0621; Cm^r	(46)
pME6032	Expression vector, Tc^r	Nottingham Collection
pMM08	pDM4 bearing construct gene with deletion of PA5164; Cm^r	This study

*The size of the product of each primer pair is given as base pairs in parentheses.