Essential roles of the ANKRD31-REC114 interaction in meiotic recombination and mouse spermatogenesis

Jiaqi Xu[1, 2], Tao Li[2], Soonjoung Kim[2†], Michiel Boekhout[2††], and Scott Keeney[1, 2, 3]*

[1] Weill Cornell Graduate School of Medical Sciences, New York, NY 10065, USA
[2] Molecular Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA
[3] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA
[†] Current address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Korea
[††] Current address: UMC Utrecht, Oncode Institute, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

* Correspondence: s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org

DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) initiate meiotic recombination. ANKRD31 recently emerged as a DSB regulator particularly important in the pseudoautosomal regions (PARs) of sex chromosomes. ANKRD31 interacts with multiple proteins, including DSB-promoting REC114. To test if this interaction is important, we generated mice with *Ankrd31* mutations that either reduced (missense) or eliminated (C-terminal truncation) the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction without diminishing contacts with other partners. Eliminating the interaction mimicked an *Ankrd31* null, with delayed DSB formation, defective DSB repair, and altered DSB locations including absence of PAR breaks. When the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction was instead attenuated, DSB formation was again delayed globally, but DSB locations and repair were affected little if at all. The missense *Ankrd31* allele showed a dosage effect, wherein combining it with the null or C-terminal truncation allele resulted in intermediate phenotypes. Our results show that ANKRD31 function is critically dependent on its interaction with REC114, and that defects in ANKRD31 activity correlate with severity of interaction disruption.

Introduction

During meiosis, one round of DNA replication is followed by two rounds of chromosome segregation to reduce the chromosome complement. In many species, the segregation of homologous chromosomes during meiosis I requires programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) to be generated and then repaired by homologous recombination. These DSBs are formed by SPO11 and accessory proteins (Lam and Keeney, 2014), which in mice include meiosis-specific REC114, MEI4, and IHO1 (orthologs of yeast Rec114, Mei4, and Mer2, respectively) (Kumar et al., 2010; Stanzione et al., 2016; Tesse et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2018). Although the major protein players have been known for some time, the physical and functional interactions among them are not well understood, particularly in mammals.

The vertebrate-specific protein ANKRD31 (Ankyrin Repeat Domain Containing 31) was recently identified as a direct interaction partner of REC114 (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). ANKRD31 contains two ankyrin repeat domains and three additional conserved regions (**Figure 1A**). The C-terminal-most conserved region (aa 1808–1857) wraps extensively around the N-terminal pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of REC114 as shown by a crystal structure of the complex (Boekhout et al., 2019) (**Figure S1A**). Other segments in ANKRD31 interact with additional partners IHO1, MEI1, PTIP, and ZMYM3 (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019; Acquaviva et al., 2020) (**Figure 1A,B**).

Ankrd31-deficient male mice have delayed DSB formation and defects in DSB repair, accompanied by partially penetrant arrest and apoptosis of spermatocytes during the pachytene stage (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). *Ankrd31* deficiency also leads to two major changes in DSB locations. First, there is a near complete loss of the high-frequency DSBs in the pseudoautosomal regions (PARs) of the X and Y chromosomes, which are necessary for sex chromosome pairing, recombination, and segregation (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019; Acquaviva et al., 2020). Consequently, most of those spermatocytes that successfully develop beyond pachynema arrest at metaphase I because of achiasmate sex chromosomes, leading to sterility in males. Second, there is a change in global DSB locations (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019), in which the DSB hotspots that are targeted by the PRDM9 histone methyltransferase (Grey et al., 2018) are joined by additional ("default") hotspots that occur near promoters and other genomic locations that are typically only targeted for SPO11 activity in the absence of PRDM9 function (Brick et al., 2012).

During early prophase I, ANKRD31 forms numerous small foci that colocalize with DSB-promoting factors such as REC114, MEI1, and MEI4 on chromosome axes (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019; Acquaviva et al., 2020). These small foci are presumably involved in forming the hundreds of DSBs that are targeted by PRDM9 across the genome. Alterations at these focal sites are also presumably the cause of the changed DSB locations, delayed DSB formation, and defective DSB repair in *Ankrd31* null mutants. In addition, ANKRD31 forms large immunostaining "blobs" on repetitive arrays of the mo-2 minisatellite that are present on the PAR and the centromere-distal ends of chromosomes 4, 9 and 13 (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019; Acquaviva et al., 2020). These blobs also contain REC114, MEI4, MEI1 and IHO1, and are responsible for PAR axis remodeling that enables efficient DSB formation (Acquaviva et al., 2020). ANKRD31, REC114 and MEI4—but not IHO1—are essential for mo-2 blob formation (Acquaviva et al., 2020).

Given the complexity of ANKRD31 function and the fact that ANKRD31 interacts with multiple meiotic proteins, we hypothesized that ANKRD31 might act as a scaffold that anchors REC114 and other interactors to specific genomic loci at different times, thus regulating DSB formation (Boekhout et al., 2019). A key question that emerges from this hypothesis is whether the specific protein-protein interactions are important and, if so, what function(s) of ANKRD31 depends on particular interactions.

To address these questions, we used CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to alter the last *Ankrd31* exon (encoding the REC114-interaction domain) to generate mutations that disrupt the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction to different extents. Analysis of mice carrying *Ankrd31* mutant alleles in various combinations showed that this interaction is essential for all ANKRD31 functions, with specific functions dependent to different quantitative degrees on the strength of the interaction.

Results

Generation of ANKRD31–REC114 interaction-deficient mice

In the crystal structure for ANKRD31 aa 1808–1857 (ANKRD31$_C$) complexed with REC114 aa 1–158 (REC114$_N$), the side chain of ANKRD31 Glu-1831 is anchored by two intermolecular salt bridges and

Figure 1. Generation of ANKRD31–REC114 interaction-deficient mice. (A) Diagram of mouse ANKRD31 domain structure, interactions and CRISPR/Cas9 guide RNA target. Ankyrin repeat domains (dark purple), conserved domains (CD3–CD5), interacting regions (shaded in pink), exons (red and orange lines), and gRNA sequence (light purple) are indicated. DNA and amino acid sequences of wild-type and mutated alleles are indicated. Mutant sequences are shown in red. The premature stop codon in ANKRD31-ΔC is shaded in red. (B) Y2H interactions of wild-type and mutated ANKRD31 with full-length or parts (indicated) of REC114, MEI1, PTIP, and ZMYM3. Cells express the indicated Gal4 activating domain (AD) and binding domain (BD) fusions. EV, empty vector. "Selection" indicates amino acid dropouts and aureobasidin to detect reporter activation at moderate (+) and high (++) stringency. (C) Immunoprecipitation (IP) and immunoblotting (IB) of ANKRD31 from whole-testis extracts of control and mutated mice.

one hydrogen bond (Boekhout et al., 2019) (**Figure S1A**). In pulldown assays with recombinant GST-tagged $ANKRD31_C$ and purified $REC114_N$, substituting alanine for Glu-1831 dramatically reduced the interaction (Boekhout et al., 2019). This mutation similarly disrupted the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) interaction between $ANKRD31_C$ and REC114 aa 1–145 (**Figure S1B**).

We therefore used a guide RNA (**Figure 1A**) to target Glu-1831 encoded in exon 25 plus a donor DNA containing desired mutations as the repair template to generate a point mutated allele harboring G-to-A and A-to-C mutations at positions 5490 and 5492 (an E to A mutation at aa1831, hereafter $Ankrd31^{EA}$). We also fortuitously obtained a truncated allele (hereafter $Ankrd31^{ΔC}$) with a 1-bp deletion at position 5484 that results in a frameshift mutation and premature termination, replacing the last 21 amino acids with 9 extraneous residues (**Figure 1A**).

We performed Y2H assays to test if the interaction with $REC114_N$ is disrupted by these two mutations when in the context of full-length ANKRD31 protein. EA severely, but not completely, disrupted the interaction, while ANKRD31-ΔC showed no evidence of residual interaction (**Figure 1B**). The less complete interaction defect for the point mutation when it was in the full-length protein as compared to the short $ANKRD31_C$ peptide (Boekhout et al., 2019) (**Figure S1B**) suggests that there may be additional contacts between $REC114_N$ and other parts of ANKRD31 (Papanikos et al., 2019). If so, the inability of otherwise full-length ANKRD31-ΔC to interact with $REC114_N$ indicates that these contacts are not sufficient.

Both ANKRD31-EA and ANKRD31-ΔC proteins were detected at normal levels by immunoprecipitation from whole-testis extracts followed by immunoblotting (**Figure 1C**), indicating that the mutations did not substantially alter protein stability in vivo. In addition, both mutant proteins maintained Y2H interaction with other known ANKRD31 partners MEI1, PTIP, and ZMYM3 (**Figure 1B**), which interact with other parts of ANKRD31 (**Figure 1A**) (Acquaviva et al., 2020). We conclude that we successfully generated mutations that cause defects specifically in the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction to different extents.

Hypogonadism and infertility in an interaction-deficient Ankrd31 allelic series

$Ankrd31^{-/-}$ males are sterile and their testes are about one-third of the weight of those in control mice (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019) (**Figure 2A**). $Ankrd31^{ΔC/ΔC}$ males were also sterile: neither of the two animals tested sired offspring when bred with fertile females for 8 weeks, with similarly sized testes as in $Ankrd31^{-/-}$ males (**Figure 2A**). In contrast, $Ankrd31^{EA/EA}$ males were fertile, albeit with testis weights significantly lower than wild type ($p < 0.0001$, Student's t test; **Figure 2A**). Consistent with fertility, epididymal sperm were observed in $Ankrd31^{EA/EA}$ and control mice, but not in $Ankrd31^{ΔC/ΔC}$ or $Ankrd31^{-/-}$ males (**Figure 2B**).

To explore if there is a dosage effect of the $Ankrd31^{EA}$ allele, we also generated compound heterozygous mutants $Ankrd31^{EA/-}$ and $Ankrd31^{EA/ΔC}$. Heterozygous males of both genotypes had testis weights

Figure 2. Hypogonadism and infertility in an interaction-deficient *Ankrd31* allelic series. (A) Quantification of testis weights (red lines, means ± SD). (B) Sections of epididymides from adult mice, PFA fixed and H&E stained. (C,D) Sections of adult testes (C) and seminiferous tubules (D), Bouin's fixed and periodic acid Schiff (PAS) stained. (E) Quantification of tubules with abnormal spermatogenesis. Each point on the scatterplot is the measurement from one animal; the red lines are means. (F,G) Adult testis sections were stained with TUNEL and hematoxylin. Each point on the scatterplot is the measurement from one animal; the red lines are means. (H) Fraction of apoptotic tubules in mutants according to spermatocyte stage present (mean and SD for at least two experiments). MI, metaphase I; P, pachytene; ?, ambiguous. The results of two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests in A, E, G are shown: ns, not significant (p > 0.05), ∗p ≤ 0.05, ∗∗p ≤ 0.01, ∗∗∗p ≤ 0.001, and ∗∗∗∗p ≤ 0.0001.

that were significantly lower than in *Ankrd31^EA/EA* mice (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0244, respectively), but significantly heavier than *Ankrd31^ΔC/ΔC* and *Ankrd31^−/−* males (p < 0.0001; **Figure 2A**). Epididymal sperm were observed in *Ankrd31^EA/−* and *Ankrd31^EA/ΔC* males (**Figure 2B**), and males of both genotypes were able to sire pups, although we cannot rule out that they were sub-fertile.

Testis sections from control animals had the full array of spermatogenic cells, including spermatocytes and round and elongated spermatids, as expected (**Figure 2C–D**). In contrast, *Ankrd31^−/−* tubules, as was previously shown (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019), contained spermatogonia and primary spermatocytes but were largely if not completely devoid of post-meiotic cells. *Ankrd31^ΔC/ΔC* tubules were again similar to *Ankrd31^−/−* (**Figure 2C–E**). In contrast, the majority of *Ankrd31^EA/EA* tubules appeared normal and only a small fraction appeared abnormal (emptier and lacking post-meiotic cells; **Figure 2C–E**). Compound heterozygotes *Ankrd31^EA/−* and *Ankrd31^EA/ΔC* both had some

normal tubules but also had a larger fraction of abnormal tubules as compared to *Ankrd31^EA/EA* (**Figure 2C–E**).

Meiotic recombination defects cause hypogonadism and sterility because of spermatocyte apoptosis (de Rooij and de Boer, 2003). We interpret the less populated tubules in interaction-deficient mutants as those in which apoptosis has already eliminated aberrant cells. Pachytene arrest can be triggered by persistent DSBs or defects in synapsis or meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (Royo et al., 2010; Kauppi et al., 2013; Pacheco et al., 2015). In contrast, metaphase I arrest is typical for mutants that can complete DSB repair but harbor achiasmate chromosomes that trigger a spindle checkpoint (Odorisio et al., 1998; Eaker et al., 2001).

As previously reported, TUNEL staining detected a high frequency (nearly 30%) of apoptotic tubules in *Ankrd31^−/−* testis sections, displaying partially penetrant pachytene arrest and more fully penetrant metaphase I arrest (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019) (**Figure 2F–G**). *Ankrd31^ΔC/ΔC* testis sections were comparable (**Figure 2F–G**). In

Figure 3. ANKRD31–REC114 interaction deficiencies cause progressive defects in DSB formation and recombination. (A) Representative DMC1 staining of spermatocyte chromosome spreads. Scale bars, 10 µm. (B–D) Quantification of focus numbers of DMC1 (B), RAD51 (C), and RPA2 (D). Each point is the count from one cell (total cell numbers are given below the graphs) from three or more animals of each genotype. The red lines are means ± SD. The results of two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests are shown: ns, not significant (p > 0.05), ∗p ≤ 0.05, ∗∗p ≤ 0.01, ∗∗∗p ≤ 0.001, and ∗∗∗∗p ≤ 0.0001.

contrast, *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ testes had a smaller fraction (~10%) of apoptotic tubules, and the compound heterozygotes again showed an intermediate phenotype (~20% apoptotic tubules) (**Figure 2F–G**). In all mutants tested, dying spermatocytes in both pachynema and metaphase I were observed, indicating varying penetrance of arrest at both stages of prophase I (**Figure 2H**).

ANKRD31–REC114 interaction deficiencies cause graded defects in DSB formation and recombination

To assess meiotic DSB formation and recombination, we immunostained chromosomes for γH2AX, RPA2, DMC1, and RAD51. γH2AX is a phosphorylated form of histone H2AX that is generated by the kinases ATM and ATR during meiotic prophase I in response to SPO11-generated DSBs (Mahadevaiah et al., 2001; Bellani et al., 2005; Barchi et al., 2008; Royo et al., 2013). γH2AX initially appears genome-wide during early prophase I, then concentrates in a DSB-independent manner on the silenced X and Y chromosomes (Mahadevaiah et al., 2001; Barchi et al., 2005; Bellani et al., 2005). RPA2 is a subunit of the single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) binding protein RPA (Marcon and Moens, 2005). DMC1 (meiosis-specific) and RAD51 (ubiquitously expressed) are strand-exchange proteins homologous to bacterial RecA (Marcon and Moens, 2005). The 3′-terminal ssDNA overhangs produced from meiotic DSBs are initially bound by RPA, which is then replaced by RAD51 and DMC1 (Brown and Bishop, 2014; Crickard and Greene, 2018; Hinch et al., 2020). In normal meiosis, chromosome-associated foci of DMC1, RAD51and RPA appear in leptonema, accumulate to maximal levels in early zygonema, then decline as DSB repair proceeds (Moens et al., 2002).

As previously shown, recombination focus numbers and γH2AX staining intensity were initially low in *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ spermatocytes, but

Figure 4. ANKRD31–REC114 interaction deficiencies cause progressive defects in DSB formation and recombination. (A) Representative γH2AX staining of spermatocyte chromosome spreads. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Quantification of γH2AX staining. The red lines are means. The results of two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests are shown: ns, not significant (p > 0.05), ∗p ≤ 0.05, ∗∗p ≤ 0.01, ∗∗∗p ≤ 0.001, and ∗∗∗∗p ≤ 0.0001. (C) Quantification of γH2AX patterns from at least two mice per genotype. Error bars indicate SD.

they eventually caught up to near normal levels (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019) (**Figures 3, 4A–B, and S2**). Seeing fewer RPA2 foci in early cells suggests that the reduction of RAD51 and DMC1 foci is caused by a delay and/or reduced efficiency of forming cytologically observable sites containing resected DSBs, not simply because of defective loading of the strand-exchange proteins. Thus, it has been interpreted that there is a delay in global DSB formation in the absence of ANKRD31 (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). Additionally, elevated focus numbers and γH2AX staining were observed during pachynema (**Figures 3 and 4**); these were interpreted as signs of persistent DSBs, suggesting a defect in DSB repair (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019).

Ankrd31$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ spermatocytes behaved comparably to *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ spermatocytes for all of these DSB markers, at all substages of prophase I (**Figures 3, 4, and S2**). We conclude that the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction is essential for ANKRD31 functions in global DSB formation and recombination.

Ankrd31$^{EA/EA}$ mice showed a similar but quantitatively milder defect. Compared to control mice, *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ spermatocytes had reduced

γH2AX staining throughout early prophase I (**Figure 4**). RPA2 focus numbers were also lower during leptonema but caught up during later substages, while RAD51 and DMC1 foci remained comparable to controls throughout (**Figure 3 and S2**). Again, *Ankrd31*$^{EA/-}$ and *Ankrd31*$^{EA/\Delta C}$ compound heterozygotes showed an intermediate phenotype, with reduced γH2AX staining throughout early prophase I (**Figure 4**) and even fewer DMC1, RAD51, and RPA foci than the *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ homozygous mice (**Figures 3 and S2**).

In all cases, focus numbers declined as chromosomes synapsed, but to different extents, resulting in more or less similar numbers of foci at late zygonema for all of the genotypes that include the missense allele. However, pachytene cells with apparently normal synapsis also had elevated numbers of DMC1 and RAD51 foci, with the degree of elevation correlating with the severity of the REC114 interaction defect (**Figure 3B–C**). We conclude that attenuating the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction causes a delay in global DSB formation and a defect in completion of recombination that are both quantitatively more modest than when the interaction is more completely disrupted.

We additionally examined crossing over on autosomes by staining

Figure 5. Autosomal recombination. (A) Representative pachytene cells labeled with anti-SYCP3 and anti-MLH1 antibodies. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Quantification of MLH1 foci from two or more animals of each genotype. The number of cells analyzed is indicated below. The red lines are means ± SD. The results of two-tailed Mann-Whitney are shown: ns, not significant (p > 0.05). (C) Quantification of percent of cells containing autosomes with the indicated number of MLH1 foci in pachynema (same cells as presented in panel B).

for MLH1, a component of the Holliday junction resolvase (Anderson et al., 1999; Ranjha et al., 2014). Pachytene cells with complete autosome synapsis had similar numbers of MLH1 foci in all genotypes (means of 24.6 in wild type, 24.3 in *Ankrd31$^{EA/EA}$*, 25.1 in *Ankrd31$^{EA/-}$*, 24.3 in *Ankrd31$^{EA/\Delta C}$*, and 24.4 in *Ankrd31$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$*, and 24.8 in *Ankrd31$^{-/-}$*; **Figure 5A–B**). This analysis did not confirm the small but statistically significant increase (Boekhout et al., 2019) or decrease (Papanikos et al., 2019) in MLH1 foci previously reported for *Ankrd31$^{-/-}$*, but similar to previous results, a small increase in the number of cells with at least one autosome lacking an MLH1 focus was seen in all mutants (**Figure 5C**). The increases in *Ankrd31$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$* and in *Ankrd31$^{-/-}$* were significant (p = 0.034 and 0.042, respectively; Fisher's exact test).

Sex chromosome pairing relies on ANKRD31–REC114 interactions

Ankrd31$^{-/-}$ metaphase I cells frequently had unaligned chromosomes, and sex chromosomes failed to pair in 92% of otherwise normal looking pachytene cells (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019) (**Figure 6**). This high frequency of unpaired and/or achiasmate sex chromosomes is the likely cause of the highly penetrant metaphase I arrest in *Ankrd31$^{-/-}$* mutants.

In keeping with the similarly high frequency of metaphase I arrest as *Ankrd31$^{-/-}$* (**Figure 2H**), *Ankrd31$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$* spermatocytes showed 95.2% of sex chromosomes remaining unpaired in pachynema (**Figure 6**). In contrast, *Ankrd31$^{EA/EA}$* had a much more modest defect, with only 20.6% of sex chromosomes unpaired, while *Ankrd31$^{EA/-}$* and *Ankrd31$^{EA/\Delta C}$* compound heterozygotes again had an intermediate defect, with about 50% of sex chromosomes unpaired (**Figure 6**). Therefore, the likelihood of successful sex chromosome pairing also correlates with the strength of the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction.

Altered REC114 and ANKRD31 localization in interaction-deficient mice

ANKRD31 is required for the formation of immunostaining blobs of REC114 and other proteins on mo-2 minisatellite arrays on the PAR and elsewhere (Introduction). We therefore asked if the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction itself is specifically required. When chromosome spreads were stained for REC114, blobs were reduced in number and intensity in all of the *Ankrd31* mutants, with the severity of the defect correlating with the strength of interaction defect (**Figure 7A–C**). ANKRD31 blobs showed similar decreases (**Figure 7E–G**).

Figure 6. Sex chromosome pairing relies on ANKRD31–REC114 interactions. (A) Representative pachytene cell chromosome spreads stained with anti-SYCP3 and anti-SYCP1 antibodies. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Quantification of X-Y pairing frequency at pachynema, based on immunofluorescence for SYCP3 and either SYCP1 or γH2AX, for three animals of each genotype. Total cell numbers are indicated under the graph. The results of Student's t tests after arc sine transformation are shown: ns, not significant (p > 0.05), *p ≤ 0.05.

Figure 7. Altered REC114 and ANKRD31 localization in interaction-deficient mice. (A, E) Representative images of REC114 (A) or ANKRD31 (E) staining of early prophase spermatocyte chromosome spreads. Scale bars, 10 µm. (B–D, F–H) Quantification of the REC114 blob number (B) and intensity (C); ANKRD31 blob number (F) and intensity (G); and numbers of small foci of REC114 (D) or ANKRD31 (H) from two or more animals of each genotype. Total cell numbers analyzed are given below each plot. Each point in the scatterplots is the measurement for one cell; red lines indicate means ± SD. In the boxplots (C, G), intensities of blobs are normalized to the median blob intensity in wild type in early zygonema. The boxes indicate the median, 25th, and 75th percentiles. The whiskers indicate the 10th and 90th percentiles. The results of negative binomial regression tests (B, F) or two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests (C, D, G, and H) are shown: ns, not significant (p > 0.05), ∗p ≤ 0.05, ∗∗p ≤ 0.01, ∗∗∗p ≤ 0.001, and ∗∗∗∗p ≤ 0.0001.

REC114 and ANKRD31 also extensively colocalize with one another in numerous small foci spread across the chromatin (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). The small REC114 foci still form in the absence of *Ankrd31*, but are reduced in number and intensity during leptonema and early zygonema (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019) (**Figure 7A, D**). Not surprisingly then, the smaller REC114 foci were still present in our nonnull *Ankrd31* mutants. Fewer REC114 foci were observed in the truncation mutant at leptonema and early zygonema, similar to the pattern previously shown for *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ spermatocytes. However, there was no obvious decrease in *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ cells, and the compound heterozygotes again showed an intermediate phenotype, with a modest decrease in focus numbers (**Figure 7A, D**).

The small foci of ANKRD31 were more strongly affected by the *Ankrd31* mutations. *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ cells had significantly fewer ANKRD31 foci at leptonema and early zygonema, and the compound heterozygotes were still further decreased (**Figure 7E, H**). Thus, even though the interaction-defective mutant proteins accumulate to normal levels in testes, their localization on chromatin is substantially altered. These findings confirm and extend prior observations and indicate that the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction is important for assembly both of the large immunostaining structures on mo-2 regions and of smaller foci genome-wide.

The DSB landscape is shaped by the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction

In most mammals, DSB locations are controlled by PRDM9, which binds to specific DNA sequences and methylates nearby nucleosomes on histone H3 lysines 4 and 36, thereby targeting SPO11 (Grey et al., 2018). By single-stranded DNA sequencing (SSDS) of DMC1-bound DNA, most DSB hotspots genome wide overlap with sites of PRDM9-dependent H3K4me3 (Smagulova et al., 2011; Brick et al., 2012). In the absence of *Prdm9*, DSBs are instead directed to other H3K4me3-modified loci (default hotspots) such as transcription promoters and CpG islands (Smagulova et al., 2011).

ANKRD31 is important for establishing normal DSB distributions (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ spermatocytes have little or no SSDS signal in the PAR or PAR-proximal hotspots and there is a mixed usage of both PRDM9-targeted and default hotspots elsewhere in the genome (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). Therefore, we asked if disruption of the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction would alter meiotic DSB locations.

To do this, we performed Exo7/T-seq (modified from S1-seq (Mimitou et al., 2017; Yamada et al., 2020) and END-seq (Paiano et al., 2020)) to map DSBs genome-wide from testes of 14.5 days post-partum (dpp) juvenile mice. In this method, high molecular weight genomic DNA embedded in agarose is digested with exonuclease VII and exonuclease T to remove the single-stranded DNA from resected DSBs, then sequencing adapters are ligated to the blunted DNA ends (**Figure S3A**).

In wild-type mice, Exo7/T-seq yielded the expected enrichment of top-strand reads to the right of PRDM9-specified hotspots and bottom strand reads to the left (reflecting 5′→3′ resection rightward and leftward, respectively) along with a central signal derived from recombination intermediates (**Figure S3A**) (Paiano et al., 2020; Yamada et al., 2020). These resection and central signals were retained in *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$, consistent with previous SSDS experiments (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019), as well as in *Ankrd31*$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ and the other *Ankrd31* mutant genotypes tested (**Figure 8A–B**). Interestingly, a modest decrease in average resection lengths was observed in *Ankrd31*$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ and *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ (**Figures 8B–C and S3B**).

As previously shown using SSDS (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019), *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ mutants displayed pronounced DSB signals at default hotspots in addition to PRDM9-directed hotspots (**Figure 8D**). *Ankrd31*$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ likewise showed elevated use of default hotspots (**Figure 8D**), consistent with its close similarity to *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ for other phenotypes.

To explore default hotspot usage more closely, we called peaks from Exo7/T-seq signals from each genotype indicated, and counted their overlap with either PRDM9-targeted hotspots (n=13,960 from SPO11 oligo sequencing (Lange et al., 2016)) or the 10,000 hottest of previously defined default hotspots (Brick et al., 2012) (**Figure 8E**). As a control for meiotic DSB-independent background, we included *Spo11*$^{-/-}$ mutants. *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ and *Ankrd31*$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ mutants showed an obvious elevation of default hotspot usage compared to other genotypes tested (**Figure 8E**). The *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$, *Ankrd31*$^{EA/-}$ and *Ankrd31*$^{EA/\Delta C}$ mutants behaved broadly similarly to wild type.

Ankrd31$^{-/-}$ mutants displayed little if any of the high-level Exo7/T-seq signals normally found in the PAR or the PAR-proximal regions and were similar to the *Spo11*$^{-/-}$ control (**Figure 8F**), consistent with prior SSDS studies (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019). *Ankrd31*$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ mutants were similar (**Figure 8F**). This finding is consistent with the results that sex chromosomes rarely pair in these two mutants. In contrast, *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ and the two compound heterozygotes displayed strong Exo7/T-seq signals in the PAR or the PAR-proximal regions, broadly similar to control mice.

Discussion

Although multiple axis-associated proteins are now known to promote or control meiotic DSB formation, recombination, and repair in mice, it remains poorly understood how the interactions among these proteins contribute to their functions. ANKRD31 emerged recently as playing a unique set of roles: on the PAR it is essential for high-level DSB formation, while globally it promotes normal timing and locations of DSBs but is dispensable for DSB formation per se. Since the importance of these roles differs between male and female meiosis, ANKRD31 deficiency causes sexually dimorphic phenotypes: spermatogenesis fails catastrophically but oogenesis proceeds, albeit greatly diminished.

In clinical studies, two heterozygous variants (p.Gln 329* and c.1565-2A>G (splice donor before exon 11)) in *ANKRD31* were found in patients with premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) (Wang et al., 2021). Both variants are predicted to result in early protein truncation that should disrupt ANKRD31 interactions with most or all of its known partners, including REC114. The POI resembles known features of the mouse *Ankrd31* null and truncation mutants, suggesting that ANKRD31 functions are conserved between mouse and human. Since these *ANKRD31* mutations cause POI when heterozygous, the truncated proteins may have dominant interfering effects on human oogenesis, or *ANKRD31* function may be dosage sensitive—analogous to but more pronounced than what we observed in mouse spermatogenesis.

Another woman has been reported to be homozygous for a C to T splicing donor mutation in *ANKRD31* (Narasimhan et al., 2016). This mutation is predicted to cause a C-terminal truncation lacking exons 24 and 25 (106 amino acids), which is larger than our mouse *Ankrd31*$^{\Delta C}$ truncation. No published clinical information is available for this individual, but our findings, combined with those of Wang et al. (2021), raise the possibility that she may be predisposed to POI. These clinical findings highlight the importance of understanding the molecular functions of ANKRD31 in meiosis.

Because ANKRD31 interacts with multiple proteins that function in meiosis, including REC114, IHO1, MEI1, ZMYM3, and PTIP, it has been argued that it acts as a modular scaffold that anchors other proteins together and to meiotic chromosomes (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019; Acquaviva et al., 2020). Our findings are consistent with this interpretation and show that specifically disrupting the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction to different extents proportionately affected ANKRD31 function in vivo.

Ankrd31$^{\Delta C/\Delta C}$ males showed phenotypes that were comparable to *Ankrd31*$^{-/-}$ in every aspect that we characterized. It is striking that losing just the C-terminal 1.65% of the protein, with all of the tested interactions between ANKRD31 and its partners other than REC114 remaining intact,

Figure 8. The DSB landscape is shaped by the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction. (A) Strand-specific Exo7/T-seq (reads per million mapped reads [RPM]) at a representative DSB hotspot. Top-strand reads are shown in blue, bottom-strand reads in red. Data are smoothed with a 151-bp Hanning window. The SPO11-oligo profile is shown below (Lange et al., 2016). (B) Averaged Exo7/T-seq signals around PRDM9-directed hotspots (n = 13,960 SPO11-oligo hotspots in the C57BL/6J strain (Lange et al., 2016)). The bottom-strand reads were flipped and combined with the top-strand reads. Differences in background levels between samples, likely because of testis cellularity, cause genotype-independent variation in absolute signal level (Yamada et al., 2020). Therefore, to compare spatial patterns of resection, the average profile for each genotype was scaled to match the peak height of resection endpoints in wild type (Methods). The absolute scale of each profile is indicated by individual scale bars. (C) Comparison of resection length distributions. (D) Strand-specific Exo7/T-seq around a representative default hotspot. Data in 10 bp bins are smoothed with a 51-bin Hanning window. (E) Overlap of Exo7/T-seq peak calls in the indicated genotype with either the PRDM9-directed SPO11-oligo hotspots (Lange et al., 2016) or the top 10,000 hottest default hotspots called using SSDS in *Prdm9*−/− mice (Brick et al., 2012). (F) Exo7/T-seq at the PAR (green shaded region). Sequencing reads from top and bottom strands were combined. Data in 40 bp bins are smoothed with a 51-bin Hanning window.

leads to a complete loss of function. This finding emphasizes the importance of the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction. Defects in *Ankrd31*$^{EA/EA}$ mutants were comparatively much milder, even though the ANKRD31–REC114 interaction was severely disrupted as measured by Y2H assays. We speculate that multivalent interactions involving networks of ANKRD31-interacting proteins may compensate in vivo for attenuation (but not elimination) of the specific interaction with REC114.

ANKRD31 and REC114 are interdependent for their full level of chromatin association in both small foci and large blobs (Boekhout et al., 2019; Papanikos et al., 2019; Acquaviva et al., 2020), and our results show that interactions of ANKRD31 with its other partners are not sufficient in the absence of REC114 interaction. This suggests that ANKRD31 and REC114 and their interaction are central to assembly of blobs on PAR and other mo-2 regions. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, heterotrimeric Rec114–Mei4 complexes or homotetramers of Mer2 (homologous to IHO1 in mice) bind DNA in a highly cooperative manner in vitro, forming large nucleoprotein condensates containing many protein copies (Claeys Bouuaert et al., 2021). A minimal trimerization domain from both yeast Rec114–Mei4 and mouse REC114–MEI4 is sufficient for condensate formation (Liu et al., 2023), suggesting a possible connection between the condensates characterized for the yeast proteins and the formation of small foci and blobs in vivo in mice. Although a detailed understanding of the mechanism of mo-2-associated blob formation remains elusive, we consider it likely that the multivalent ANKRD31 scaffold works interdependently with its binding partners to assemble condensates on mo-2 chromatin.

Materials and Methods

Mice

Mice were maintained and euthanized under US federal regulatory standards. Experiments were approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSK) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Animals were fed regular rodent chow with ad libitum access to food and water. The previously described Ankrd31– allele (Ankrd31em1Sky, MGI: 6343226) carries insertion of an A residue in exon 3, causing frameshift and premature termination (Boekhout et al., 2019). Interaction-deficient mice were generated by the MSKCC Mouse Genetics core facility by targeting exon 25. A guide RNA cassette with sequence (5′-GATACTTCAAATCAGCAAGC) and single-stranded donor DNA (5′-GAGTCGATGCAAACCATCCCTCATTATCTACAGATAAAAGAGATACTTCAAATCAGCAAGCAGGAGCTTCCCATGCCATGTAATGGAGCAGCATTGGAAGTTCTATGTGGGACGCTC) harboring desired missense mutations were microinjected using conventional techniques (Romanienko et al., 2016) into pronuclei of CBA/J × C57BL/6J F2 hybrid zygotes generated by crossing CBAB6F1/J hybrid females with C57BL/6J males. Founder mice (CBA/J × C57BL/6J F2 hybrid) were crossed to C57BL/J6 mice purchased from Jackson Laboratories to obtain germline transmission, then heterozygous animals were backcrossed to C57BL/J6 for at least 3 generations. The systematic names are Ankrd31em3Sky for the EA allele (MGI: 7428356) and Ankrd31em4Sky for the ΔC allele (MGI:7428358). Ankrd31EA/EA animals were generated by crossing Ankrd31+/EA heterozygous mice with Ankrd31+/EA heterozygous or Ankrd31EA/EA mice. Ankrd31ΔC/ΔC animals were generated by crossing Ankrd31+/ΔC heterozygous mice. Ankrd31–/– homozygous animals were generated as described (Boekhout et al., 2019). Mice were genotyped using PCR on cut toes lysed in Direct Tail lysis buffer (Viagen) with primers as indicated in Supplemental Table S1.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

Genes were amplified by PCR from a testis cDNA library and digested by EcoRI (NEB) and NdeI (NEB), and then cloned into either pGADT7 or pGBKT7. Interaction deficient mutations were generated from corresponding vectors from Boekhout et al containing wild-type sequences, using primers harboring desired mutations (**Supplemental Table S1**) (Boekhout et al., 2019). The ΔC construct was made by introducing the same 1-bp deletion as found in the mouse allele, so it fully mimics the truncation and extra amino acids encoded by frame shift. All plasmids were confirmed by sequencing of inserts. Mating of bait- and prey-containing strains (Y187 and Y2HGold yeast strains) and selection on synthetic dextrose plates lacking tryptophan and leucine and containing 200 ng/ml Aureobasidin A (SD-Trp/Leu/AbA) alone or also lacking adenine and histidine (SD-Trp/Leu/Ade/His/AbA) were performed following the manufacturer's instructions (Clontech).

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of ANKRD31

Decapsulated testes were snap-frozen in an Eppendorf tube in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C until usage. The frozen tissue was disrupted with a plastic pestle in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP40) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche Mini tablets). The homogenate was supplemented with Benzonase nuclease (EMD Millipore (70664-3), 28 unit/ml) and 10 mM MgCl$_2$ and incubated with end-over-end rotation for 1 hr at 4 °C. The samples were centrifuged at 21,130 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a new Lobinding tube (Eppendorf). The extract was pre-cleared with 50 μl protein A Dynabeads (Thermofisher) per sample by end-over-end rotation for 1 hour at 4 °C followed by magnetic removal of the beads. Then, 2 μg of antibodies (guinea pig anti-ANKRD31, rabbit anti-ANKRD31, or rabbit anti-REC114 (Boekhout et al., 2019)) were added to pre-cleared lysates with end-over-end rotation overnight at 4 °C. Protein A Dynabeads (50 μl) were added to the tubes and incubated for 1 hr with end-over-end rotation at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with 500 μl RIPA buffer, eluted in 1× NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) with 50 mM DTT, and incubated for 10 min at 70 °C.

Eluted proteins were separated on 3%–8% Tris-Acetate NuPAGE precast gels (Life Technologies) at 150 V for 70 min and were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes by wet transfer method in transfer buffer (192 mM glycine, 25 mM Tris, 10% methanol) at 120 V for 40 min at 4 °C. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in 1× phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 0.1% Tween (PBS-T) for 1 hr at room temperature. Blocked membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (guinea pig anti-ANKRD31, 1:4000; rabbit-ANKRD31, 1:4000) overnight at 4 °C. Membranes were washed with PBS-T for 3 × 10 min at room temperature on an orbital shaker, then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (rabbit anti-guinea pig IgG (Abcam 6771), 1:6000; goat anti-rabbit IgG (Biorad 170-6515)) for 1 hr at room temperature. Membranes were washed with PBS-T for 3 × 10 min and were developed by ECL Prime (GE Healthcare) and imaged on a Biorad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System.

Spermatocyte chromosome spreads

Decapsulated testes were deposited in 50 ml Falcon tubes containing 2 ml TIM (104 mM NaCl, 45 mM KCl, 0.6 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.2 mM MgSO$_4$, 6.0 mM sodium lactate, 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1% glucose). Collagenase (200 μl of a 20 mg/ml solution in TIM) was added and incubated at 450 rpm for 55 min at 32 °C in a thermomixer. Samples were filled to a final volume of 15 ml with TIM, then centrifuged for 1 min at 39 g at room temperature. The supernatant was carefully removed with pipettes and the washing was repeated three times. Separated tubules were resuspended in 2 ml TIM, then 20 μl DNase I (400 μg/ml in TIM) and 200 μl trypsin (7 mg/ml in TIM) were added sequentially and incubated on a thermomixer for 15 min at 32 °C at 450 rpm. Trypsin inhibitor (500 μl of a 20 mg/ml solution in TIM) and FBS and 50 μl of the DNase I solution was added to terminate the reaction. A transfer pipet was used to further disperse the tissue by pipetting up and down for about 2 min. Cell suspensions were then filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer into a new 15 ml Falcon tube. TIM was added to a final volume of 15 ml and was centrifuged for 5 min at 108 g. The cell pellet was resuspended with 15 μl DNase I solution and TIM was added to a final volume of 15 ml. The washing procedure was repeated 2 times. The final pellet was resuspended in TIM according to the original testis weight (~100 mg in 10 ml). This single-cell suspension (500 μl) was transferred to an Eppendorf tube and centrifuged for 3 min at 845 g. The cell pellet was resuspended in 40 μl of freshly prepared 0.1 M sucrose and incubated for 8 min at room temperature. Edges of superfrost glass slides were covered by Immedge pen, and each slide received 85 μl of 1% para-formaldehyde (PFA) (dissolved in presence of NaOH at 65 °C, 0.15% Triton, pH 9.3, filtered through a 0.22 μm filter, kept at –20 °C until usage). After incubation, 20 μl of cell suspension in the sucrose solution was added, slides were swirled three times, and dried in a closed slide box for 2 hr, followed by drying with a half-open lid for 1 hr at room temperature. Slides were washed in a Coplin jar on a shaker 1 × 5 min in milli-Q water and 3 × 5 min with 0.4% PhotoFlow (Kodak), then air-dried. Slides were stained immediately or were wrapped in aluminum foil and stored at −80°C.

Immunostaining

Slides of spermatocyte chromosome spreads were blocked for 30 min at room temperature in a Coplin jar with 100 ml fresh block solution (1× PBS with 0.05% Tween-20 and 3 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA)). Slides were incubated with 80 μl primary antibody in block solution and covered by parafilm overnight at 4 °C in a humid chamber. Slides were washed 3 × 5 min in block solution, then incubated with 80 μl secondary antibody in block solution for 30 min in a humid chamber at room temperature. Slides were then washed 3 × 5 min in the dark with block solution, rinsed in milliQ H$_2$O, and mounted with Vectashield containing DAPI. Primary and secondary antibodies are listed in **Supplemental Table S2**.

Histology

Testes and epididymides dissected from adult mice were fixed in Bouin's fixative for 4 to 5 hr at room temperature, or in 4% PFA overnight at 4 °C. Bouin's fixed testes were washed in 15 ml milliQ H_2O on a horizontal shaker for 1 hr at room temperature, followed by five 1-hr washes in 15 ml of 70% ethanol on a roller at 4 °C. PFA-fixed tissues were washed 4 × 5 minutes in 15 ml milliQ H_2O at room temperature. Fixed tissues were stored in 70% ethanol before embedding in paraffin and sectioning (5 μm for testes, 8 μm for ovaries). The tissue sections were deparaffinized with EZPrep buffer (Ventana Medical Systems). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, periodic acid Schiff (PAS) staining and immunohistochemical TUNEL assay were performed by the MSK Molecular Cytology Core Facility using the Autostainer XL (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) automated stainer for H&E with hematoxylin counterstain, and using the Discovery XT processor (Ventana Medical Systems, Oro Valley, Arizona) for TUNEL. The detection was performed with DAB detection kit (Ventana Medical Systems) according to manufacturer's instructions. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin and coverslips were mounted with Permount (Fisher Scientific).

Image acquisition

Images of spread spermatocytes were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 Marianas Workstation, equipped with an ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera, illuminated by an X-Cite 120 PC-Q light source, with 100× 1.4 NA oil immersion objective. Marianas Slidebook (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver Colorado) software was used for acquisition.

Whole slides (histology), either H&E or TUNEL stained, were scanned and digitized with the Panoramic Flash Slide Scanner (3DHistech, Budapest, Hungary) with a 20× 0.8 NA objective (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). High-resolution images of H&E and IHC images were acquired with a Zeiss Axio Imager microscope using a 63× 1.4 NA oil immersion objective (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

Image analysis

To quantify foci of ANKRD31, REC114, DMC1, RAD51, RPA, and MLH1, single cells were manually cropped and counted in Fiji. For ANKRD31 and REC114 blobs, overlap with SYCP3 and fluorescence intensity were determined with a thresholding algorithm regardless of meiotic stage, and a difference of Gaussian (DoG) blur was used to isolate REC114 or ANKRD31 foci. Scripts are available on Github: https://github.com/Boekhout/ImageJScripts. All images were manually inspected upon analysis.

For γH2AX intensity analysis, cells were sub-staged manually by SYCP3 staining. Regions of interest were manually drawn and integrated density was measured. A region containing no cells was measured for background subtraction. To combine measurements from different experiments, the integrated density was normalized to the mean integrated intensity of wild-type leptotene cells within each experiment.

DNA extraction in agarose plugs

Testes from 14.5-dpp juvenile mice were decapsulated and incubated in DMEM containing 0.1% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, Sigma), 0.1% BSA (Gibco) with 1 mg/ml collagenase type IV (Worthington) and 1 mg/ml Dispase II (Sigma) for 20 min at 35 °C in a thermomixer at 450 rpm. Seminiferous tubules were then rinsed three times and further treated with trypsin (TrypLE™ express enzyme, Gibco) and 1 μg/ml DNase I (Roche) for 15 min at 35 °C in a thermomixer at 450 rpm. Trypsin was inactivated with 5% FBS and tubules were further dissociated by gentle pipetting. Cells were passed through a 70-μm cell strainer (BD Falcon) and washed three times in GBSS containing 0.1% PVA.

Cells were embedded in plugs of 1% low-melting-point agarose (Lonza) in GBSS (1.5 million to 2 million cells per plug). Plugs were incubated with 100 μg/ml proteinase K (Roche) in lysis buffer (0.5 M EDTA at pH 8.0, 1% N-lauroylsarcosine sodium salt) at 50 °C over two nights. Plugs were washed 5 × 20 min with TE (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA at pH 8.0), and then incubated with 100 μg/ml RNase A (Thermo) for 3 hr at 37 °C. Plugs were then washed five times with TE and stored in TE at 4 °C until usage.

Exo7/T-seq

Plugs were washed in 1 ml of Exo VII buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM sodium phosphate, 8 mM EDTA, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0) 2 × 15 min, equilibrated with 50 U of exonuclease VII (NEB) in 100 μl of Exo VII buffer for 10 min on ice and then incubated for 60 min at 37 °C using a thermomixer at 400 rpm. Plugs were rinsed with TE and then washed with 1 ml NEB buffer 4 (NEB) 3 × 15min, equilibrated with 75 U of exonuclease T (NEB) on ice for 30 min, and then incubated for 90 min at 24 °C using a thermomixer at 400 rpm. Plugs were washed in 500 μl of 1× T4 polymerase buffer (1× T4 ligase buffer (NEB) supplemented with 100 μg/ml BSA and 100 μM dNTPs (Roche)) 4 × 30 min on ice. The wash solution was then replaced with 1× T4 polymerase buffer containing 30 U T4 DNA polymerase (NEB) and incubated at 12 °C for 30 min. P5 adapters were ligated to the ends with 1 μl of 2000 U/μl T4 DNA Ligase (NEB) at 16 °C for 20 hr. After ligation, plugs were washed in 1 ml TE three times and incubated in TE overnight at 4 °C.

Plugs were washed with 500 μl of 1× β-agarase I buffer (10 mM Bis-Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 6.5) on ice for 30 min, then 150 μl of 1× β-agarase I buffer was added and incubated at 70 °C for 5 min to melt the agarose, followed by vortexing for 30 s and brief centrifugation. The vortex and spin-down procedure was repeated three times. Samples were cooled to 42 °C and 2 μl of β-agarase I enzyme (NEB) was added and incubated at 42 °C for 90 min with occasional vortexing. DNA was sheared into fragment sizes ranging 200–500 bp with a Covaris system (E220 Focused-ultrasonicator, microtube-500) using the following parameters: delay 300 s then three cycles of [peak power 175, duty factor 20, cycles/burst 200, duration 30 s, and delay 90 s]. Sonicated samples were added to 1250 μl of 100% ethanol and 55 μl of 3 M sodium acetate and incubated overnight at –20°C.

Ethanol precipitated DNA was dissolved in 52 μl of TE for 1 hr at 37 °C. SPRIselect beads (Beckman Coulter) were used to remove unligated adapters. Fragments containing the biotinylated adapter were purified with Dynabeads™ M-280 streptavidin (Thermo Fisher). The end-repair reaction was done using the End-it DNA end-repair kit (Lucigen). P7 adapters were ligated to DNA fragments as described above. PCR was done on beads. PCR products were purified with 0.9× AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) to remove primer dimers and unligated adapters. DNA was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq platform in the Integrated Genomics Operation at MSK. We obtained paired-end reads of 50 bp.

Bioinformatic analysis was performed as described previously (Yamada et al., 2020, Mimitou et al., 2017). In brief, Trim Galore <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/> was used to trim and filter reads with the arguments -paired -length 15. Bowtie2 (Langmead et al., 2009) was run with the arguments -N 1 -X 1000 to map sequence reads onto the mouse reference genome (mm10). Picard <https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/> eliminated reads that were duplicated. With the -q 20 argument, Samtools (Li et al., 2009) extracted properly mapped and uniquely mapped reads (MAPQ ≥ 20). Reads for Exo7/T-seq were counted at the nucleotide immediately adjacent to the position where the biotinylated adapter DNA was mapped (i.e., corresponding to the last position of the ssDNA). Maps were analyzed using R (version 3.3.1 to 4.0.3). Exo7/T-seq signal from the top and bottom strands was averaged and co-oriented and plotted in R for each genotype. The average plots of all mutants were then overlayed and scaled vertically in Illustrator so that the resection peaks had the same heights.

To plot the histogram of resection length, an estimated background value was removed by subtracting the signal 2.5 kb away from the hotspot center. By setting values for positions 100 bp and >2.5 kb to zero, the signal near and farther from the hotspot core was eliminated. Fractions of remaining total signal were calculated every 100 bp and plotted.

Uniquely mapping fragments derived from Exo7/T-seq were used to identify hotspot locations (peak calling). Peak calling was performed using MACS (v.2.2.7.1) (Zhang et al., 2008) with the following parameters: -g mm -- keep-dup all -- nolambda -- nomodel. Narrow peaks were then widened by 5 kb and merged. Then merged peaks were compared with PRDM9-targeted hotspots (n=13,960 from SPO11 oligo sequencing) or the 10,000 hottest of previously defined default hotspots (Brick et al., 2012; Lange et al., 2016) to calculate the fraction of hotspot usage.

Author Contributions

J.X., M.B., and S. Keeney designed research; J.X., T.L., S. Kim, and M.B. performed research; J.X. and S. Kim analyzed data; and J.X. and S. Keeney wrote the paper with input from the other authors.
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This article is subject to the Open Access to Publications policy of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI). HHMI lab heads have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to the public and a sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to those licenses, the author-accepted manuscript of this article can be made freely available under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication.

We thank D. Ontoso, M. Marcet, M. Arter, and K. Liu of the Keeney laboratory for discussions and experimental advice. We thank the MSK Molecular Cytology core facility (N. Fan and M. Pulina) for histology; the Integrated Genomics Operation (IGO) for sequencing; and the Mouse Genetics core facility (P. Romanienko and W. Mark) for generating the *Ankrd31* mutant mouse lines. MSK core facilities are supported by National Cancer Institute Cancer Center support grant P30 CA08748. The IGO was further funded by the Cycle for Survival and the Marie-Josée and Henry R. Kravis Center for Molecular Oncology. This work was supported by a Basic Research Innovation award from MSK (to S.K. and D. Patel) and NIH grants R35 GM118092 (to S.K.), and R01 HD110120 (to S.K. and D. Patel).

References

Acquaviva, L., Boekhout, M., Karasu, M.E., Brick, K., Pratto, F., Li, T., van Overbeek, M., Kauppi, L., Camerini-Otero, R.D., Jasin, M.*, et al.* (2020). Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region. Nature *582*, 426-431.

Anderson, L.K., Reeves, A., Webb, L.M., and Ashley, T. (1999). Distribution of crossing over on mouse synaptonemal complexes using immunofluorescent localization of MLH1 protein. Genetics *151*, 1569-1579.

Barchi, M., Mahadevaiah, S., Di Giacomo, M., Baudat, F., de Rooij, D.G., Burgoyne, P.S., Jasin, M., and Keeney, S. (2005). Surveillance of different recombination defects in mouse spermatocytes yields distinct responses despite elimination at an identical developmental stage. Mol Cell Biol *25*, 7203-7215.

Barchi, M., Roig, I., Di Giacomo, M., de Rooij, D.G., Keeney, S., and Jasin, M. (2008). ATM promotes the obligate XY crossover and both crossover control and chromosome axis integrity on autosomes. PLoS Genet *4*, e1000076.

Bellani, M.A., Romanienko, P.J., Cairatti, D.A., and Camerini-Otero, R.D. (2005). SPO11 is required for sex-body formation, and Spo11 heterozygosity rescues the prophase arrest of Atm-/- spermatocytes. J Cell Sci *118*, 3233-3245.

Boekhout, M., Karasu, M.E., Wang, J., Acquaviva, L., Pratto, F., Brick, K., Eng, D.Y., Xu, J., Camerini-Otero, R.D., Patel, D.J.*, et al.* (2019). REC114 Partner ANKRD31 Controls Number, Timing, and Location of Meiotic DNA Breaks. Mol Cell *74*, 1053-1068 e1058.

Brick, K., Smagulova, F., Khil, P., Camerini-Otero, R.D., and Petukhova, G.V. (2012). Genetic recombination is directed away from functional genomic elements in mice. Nature *485*, 642-645.

Brown, M.S., and Bishop, D.K. (2014). DNA strand exchange and RecA homologs in meiosis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *7*, a016659.

Claeys Bouuaert, C., Pu, S., Wang, J., Oger, C., Daccache, D., Xie, W., Patel, D.J., and Keeney, S. (2021). DNA-driven condensation assembles the meiotic DNA break machinery. Nature *592*, 144-149.

Crickard, J.B., and Greene, E.C. (2018). The biochemistry of early meiotic recombination intermediates. Cell Cycle *17*, 2520-2530.

de Rooij, D.G., and de Boer, P. (2003). Specific arrests of spermatogenesis in genetically modified and mutant mice. Cytogenet Genome Res *103*, 267-276.

Eaker, S., Pyle, A., Cobb, J., and Handel, M.A. (2001). Evidence for meiotic spindle checkpoint from analysis of spermatocytes from Robertsonian-chromosome heterozygous mice. J Cell Sci *114*, 2953-2965.

Grey, C., Baudat, F., and de Massy, B. (2018). PRDM9, a driver of the genetic map. PLoS Genet *14*, e1007479.

Hinch, A.G., Becker, P.W., Li, T., Moralli, D., Zhang, G., Bycroft, C., Green, C., Keeney, S., Shi, Q., Davies, B.*, et al.* (2020). The configuration of RPA, RAD51, and DMC1 binding in meiosis reveals the nature of critical recombination intermediates. Mol Cell *79*, 689-701 e610.

Kauppi, L., Barchi, M., Lange, J., Baudat, F., Jasin, M., and Keeney, S. (2013). Numerical constraints and feedback control of double-strand breaks in mouse meiosis. Genes Dev *27*, 873-886.

Kumar, R., Bourbon, H.M., and de Massy, B. (2010). Functional conservation of Mei4 for meiotic DNA double-strand break formation from yeasts to mice. Genes Dev *24*, 1266-1280.

Kumar, R., Oliver, C., Brun, C., Juarez-Martinez, A.B., Tarabay, Y., Kadlec, J., and de Massy, B. (2018). Mouse REC114 is essential for meiotic DNA double-strand break formation and forms a complex with MEI4. Life Sci Alliance *1*, e201800259.

Lam, I., and Keeney, S. (2014). Mechanism and regulation of meiotic recombination initiation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *7*, a016634.

Lange, J., Yamada, S., Tischfield, S.E., Pan, J., Kim, S., Zhu, X., Socci, N.D., Jasin, M., and Keeney, S. (2016). The Landscape of Mouse Meiotic Double-Strand Break Formation, Processing, and Repair. Cell *167*, 695-708 e616.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol *10*, R25.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and Genome Project Data Processing, S. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078-2079.

Liu, K., Grasso, E.M., Pu, S., Liu, S., Eliezer, D., and Keeney, S. (2023). Structure and DNA bridging activity of the essential Rec114–Mei4 trimer interface. bioRxiv, 2023.2001.2018.524603.

Mahadevaiah, S.K., Turner, J.M., Baudat, F., Rogakou, E.P., de Boer, P., Blanco-Rodriguez, J., Jasin, M., Keeney, S., Bonner, W.M., and Burgoyne, P.S. (2001). Recombinational DNA double-strand breaks in mice precede synapsis. Nat Genet *27*, 271-276.

Marcon, E., and Moens, P.B. (2005). The evolution of meiosis: recruitment and modification of somatic DNA-repair proteins. Bioessays *27*, 795-808.

Mimitou, E.P., Yamada, S., and Keeney, S. (2017). A global view of meiotic double-strand break end resection. Science *355*, 40-45.

Moens, P.B., Kolas, N.K., Tarsounas, M., Marcon, E., Cohen, P.E., and Spyropoulos, B. (2002). The time course and chromosomal localization of recombination-related proteins at meiosis in the mouse are compatible with models that can resolve the early DNA-DNA interactions without reciprocal recombination. J Cell Sci *115*, 1611-1622.

Narasimhan, V.M., Hunt, K.A., Mason, D., Baker, C.L., Karczewski, K.J., Barnes, M.R., Barnett, A.H., Bates, C., Bellary, S., Bockett, N.A.*, et al.* (2016). Health and population effects of rare gene knockouts in adult humans with related parents. Science *352*, 474-477.

Odorisio, T., Rodriguez, T.A., Evans, E.P., Clarke, A.R., and Burgoyne, P.S. (1998). The meiotic checkpoint monitoring synapsis eliminates spermatocytes via p53-independent apoptosis. Nat Genet *18*, 257-261.

Pacheco, S., Marcet-Ortega, M., Lange, J., Jasin, M., Keeney, S., and Roig, I. (2015). The ATM signaling cascade promotes recombination-dependent pachytene arrest in mouse spermatocytes. PLoS Genet *11*, e1005017.

Paiano, J., Wu, W., Yamada, S., Sciascia, N., Callen, E., Paola Cotrim, A., Deshpande, R.A., Maman, Y., Day, A., Paull, T.T.*, et al.* (2020). ATM and PRDM9 regulate SPO11-bound recombination intermediates during meiosis. Nat Commun *11*, 857.

Papanikos, F., Clement, J.A.J., Testa, E., Ravindranathan, R., Grey, C., Dereli, I., Bondarieva, A., Valerio-Cabrera, S., Stanzione, M., Schleiffer, A.*, et al.* (2019). Mouse ANKRD31 Regulates Spatiotemporal Patterning of Meiotic Recombination Initiation and Ensures Recombination between X and Y Sex Chromosomes. Mol Cell *74*, 1069-1085 e1011.

Ranjha, L., Anand, R., and Cejka, P. (2014). The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Mlh1-Mlh3 heterodimer is an endonuclease that preferentially binds to Holliday junctions. J Biol Chem *289*, 5674-5686.

Romanienko, P.J., Giacalone, J., Ingenito, J., Wang, Y., Isaka, M., Johnson, T., You, Y., and Mark, W.H. (2016). A Vector with a Single Promoter for In Vitro Transcription and Mammalian Cell Expression of CRISPR gRNAs. PLoS One *11*, e0148362.

Royo, H., Polikiewicz, G., Mahadevaiah, S.K., Prosser, H., Mitchell, M., Bradley, A., de Rooij, D.G., Burgoyne, P.S., and Turner, J.M. (2010). Evidence that meiotic sex chromosome inactivation is essential for male fertility. Curr Biol *20*, 2117-2123.

Royo, H., Prosser, H., Ruzankina, Y., Mahadevaiah, S.K., Cloutier, J.M., Baumann, M., Fukuda, T., Hoog, C., Toth, A., de Rooij, D.G.*, et al.* (2013). ATR acts stage specifically to regulate multiple aspects of mammalian meiotic silencing. Genes Dev *27*, 1484-1494.

Smagulova, F., Gregoretti, I.V., Brick, K., Khil, P., Camerini-Otero, R.D., and Petukhova, G.V. (2011). Genome-wide analysis reveals novel molecular features of mouse recombination hotspots. Nature *472*, 375-378.

Stanzione, M., Baumann, M., Papanikos, F., Dereli, I., Lange, J., Ramlal, A., Trankner, D., Shibuya, H., de Massy, B., Watanabe, Y.*, et al.* (2016). Meiotic DNA break formation requires the unsynapsed chromosome axis-binding protein IHO1 (CCDC36) in mice. Nat Cell Biol *18*, 1208-1220.

Tesse, S., Bourbon, H.M., Debuchy, R., Budin, K., Dubois, E., Liangran, Z., Antoine, R., Piolot, T., Kleckner, N., Zickler, D.*, et al.* (2017). Asy2/Mer2: an evolutionarily conserved mediator of meiotic recombination, pairing, and

global chromosome compaction. Genes Dev *31*, 1880-1893.

Wang, Y., Guo, T., Ke, H., Zhang, Q., Li, S., Luo, W., and Qin, Y. (2021). Pathogenic variants of meiotic double strand break (DSB) formation genes PRDM9 and ANKRD31 in premature ovarian insufficiency. Genet Med *23*, 2309-2315.

Yamada, S., Hinch, A.G., Kamido, H., Zhang, Y., Edelmann, W., and Keeney, S. (2020). Molecular structures and mechanisms of DNA break processing in mouse meiosis. Genes Dev *34*, 806-818.

Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W.*, et al.* (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol *9*, R137.