Development of heat shock resistance in *L. pneumophila* modeled by

experimental evolution

Jeffrey Liang[1], Sebastien P. Faucher[1,2]

1. Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Québec,

Canada

2. Centre de Recherche en Infectiologie Porcine et Avicole (CRIPA), Faculté de Médecine

Vétérinaire, Université de Montréal, Saint-Hyacinthe, QC, Canada

Corresponding author: Sebastien P. Faucher sebastien.faucher2@mcgill.ca

Running title: Heat-shock Evolution in *Legionella pneumophila*

Abstract

Because it can grow in buildings with complex hot water distribution systems (HWDS), healthcare facilities recognize the waterborne bacterium *Legionella pneumophila* as a major nosocomial infection threat and often try to clear the systems with a pasteurization process known as superheat-and-flush. After this treatment, many facilities find that the contaminating populations slowly recover, suggesting the possibility of *in situ* evolution favouring increased survival in high temperature conditions. To mimic this process in a controlled environment, an adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) model was used to select a wild-type strain of *L. pneumophila* for survival to transient exposures to temperatures characteristic of routine hot water use or failed pasteurization processes in HWDS. Over their evolution, these populations became insensitive to exposure to 55 °C and innovated the ability to survive short exposures to 59 °C heat shock. Heat-adapted lineages maintained a higher expression of heat shock genes during low-temperature incubation in freshwater, suggesting a pre-adaptation to heat stress. Although there were distinct mutation profiles in each of the heat-adapted lineages, each acquired multiple mutations in the DnaJ/DnaK/ClpB disaggregase complex, as well as mutations in chaperone *htpG* and protease *clpX*. These mutations were specific to heat shock survival and were not seen in control lineages included in the ALE without exposure to heat shock. This study supports *in situ* observations of adaptation to heat stress and demonstrate the potential of *L. pneumophila* to develop resistance to control measures.

Importance

As a bacterium that thrives in warm water ecosystems, *Legionella pneumophila* is a key factor motivating regulations on hot water systems. Two major measures intended to control *Legionella* are the maintenance of high circulating temperatures to curtail growth and the use of superheat-and-flush pasteurization processes to eliminate established populations. Although hospitals are particularly vulnerable to nosocomial pneumoniae caused by *Legionella*, they recurrently experience recolonization

of their hot water systems after treatment. To understand these long-term survivors, we have used an

experimental evolution model to replicate this process. We find major differences between the

mutational profiles of heat-adapted and heat-naïve *L. pneumophila* populations, including mutations in

major heat shock genes like chaperones and proteases. This model demonstrates the value of appropriate

heat treatment of *L. pneumophila* contaminated systems and – in an analogue to antibiotic resistance –

the importance of complete eradication of the resident population to prevent selection for more

persistent bacteria.

Key words: Legionella pneumophila, Hot water distribution systems, Adaptive laboratory evolution,

Experimental evolution, Heat resistance, Pasteurization

Introduction

As an obligate intracellular pathogen, the bacterium *Legionella pneumophila* relies on infection of eukaryotic cells in order to acquire nutrients and replicate (1). Though it usually lives in freshwater ecosystems where it targets free-living eukaryotic hosts from diverse clades, *L. pneumophila* is now also known to infect humans where it targets alveolar macrophages (2). Its contamination of human-built infrastructure favours infection by aerosolizing the bacteria and facilitating its travel into the lungs (2, 3). Globally, *L. pneumophila* is the main cause of Legionnaires' Disease, a life-threatening pneumonia whose severity and under-diagnosis have led to its high overall case-fatality rate, estimated at between 5 and 10%, with even higher death rates for nosocomial cases (4–6). Early suspicions focused on dispersal through contaminated cooling tower emissions (7, 8), but *L. pneumophila* has since been found in diverse engineered water systems, including in whirlpool spas, decorative fountains, and – significantly for nosocomial infection – in hospital plumbing systems (9).

The engineering of water systems has a major impact on the growth potential of *L. pneumophila* (10, 11). Large buildings with complex plumbing systems are particularly vulnerable to contamination, and studies have shown that multiple clades of *L. pneumophila* can stably co-exist in these systems (12). As a mesophilic bacterium which replicates best between 20 and 45 °C (13), *L. pneumophila* favours colonization of hot water distribution systems (HWDS). Deprecated fixtures, as well as the improper design of plumbing networks or incorrect estimation of water demand, can lead to stagnation and the existence of dead legs. These regions of low water flow allow scale and biofilms to accumulate – physical structures that can physically protect *Legionella* from exposure to disinfectants (14, 15). Policies that decrease water temperatures in favour of energy savings can result in tepid temperatures that favour the growth *L. pneumophila* and of its eukaryotic hosts (16, 17). Hospitals are particularly at risk both because they must balance hot water temperatures against the risks of scalding and because they often house

immunocompromised patients who are particularly threatened by exposure to recurrent bacterial

contaminants (18, 19).

Along with chemical treatment via chlorination or copper-silver ionization, the maintenance of high-

temperatures along the length of a plumbing system from the water heater through to the terminal

outlets is a critical control measure against *L. pneumophila* (20). Remedial high-temperature

pasteurization processes, collectively termed superheat-and-flush, aim to push hot water temperatures

above what *L. pneumophila* can tolerate (21, 22). The implementation of these strategies can differ

depending on the specific architecture of the HWDS, but they aim to raise temperatures throughout the

entire system through to the distal outlets. Though remedial pasteurization can be effective at the short-

term clearance of *Legionella*, longitudinal studies have shown that re-contamination is common over

month or year-long time scales (23–25). It has been reported that repeated use of high-temperature

pasteurization to disinfect these water systems can instead lead to the local evolution of more heat

resistant *L. pneumophila* populations, like the familiar phenomenon of antibiotic tolerance (20, 22, 23).

In bacteria, heat stress can damage several vital subcomponents of the cell by causing membrane

depolarization and damage, destabilization of the nucleoid, and the inactivation and aggregation of vital

enzymes and structural proteins (26–28). Bacteria have developed a sophisticated heat shock response,

centralized through the alternative sigma factor RpoH that redirects transcription towards stress

resistance by driving the production of heat shock proteins (29, 30). These heat shock proteins buffer the

toxic effects of misfolded and aggregated proteins in the cell – either by promoting refolding or by

targeting them for destruction (31, 32). These proteins are broadly conserved among bacterial species

and include proteases as well as chaperones, such as HtpG and DnaK, and their co-chaperone proteins,

such as DnaJ and GrpE. After the thermal shock is resolved, these proteins are also involved in a negative

feedback loop targeting RpoH for destruction by FtsH, a membrane-bound protease (33, 34). This

organization is conserved in *L. pneumophila*, with five heat shock proteins identified in radiolabelling

studies (35) and many more annotated from the published genetic sequence (36, 37). Heat shock proteins

are also involved in the early pathogenesis in *L. pneumophila* by promoting the internalization of the

bacterium by the host cell and reorganizing host trafficking processes (38–40). The LetAS and CpxRA two-

component systems are also known to influence heat shock survival, likely mediated through their

regulation of life phase switching during growth and starvation (41, 42).

Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) is an experimental concept that manipulates a chosen organism in a

laboratory setting to providing insights into complicated phenomena from the wild (43, 44). To simulate

long-term exposure to high temperatures in HWDS, we can depend on the short generation times and the

relative ease of culture and storage of *L. pneumophila* in laboratory conditions to study the resulting

evolution in a controlled and repeatable process. ALE has been applied in numerous bacterial and non-

bacterial species to study the trajectories and repeatability of evolutionary responses without *a priori*

expectations on the loci which will be targeted (45, 46). Prior studies have used ALE to adapt *Escherichia*

coli to growth in stably high-temperature or low pH conditions, as well as to survival acute population

bottlenecking by ionizing radiation (47–49). Notable ALE experiments conducted with *L. pneumophila*

have been used to study resistance to fluoroquinolone and macrolide antibiotics (50, 51) and adaptation

to mouse macrophages (52). As heat stress non-specifically challenges multiple components of the

bacterial cell, ALE makes an appropriate protocol to study the range of mutations driving the increasing

heat tolerance of *L. pneumophila* populations observed in hot water systems.

To bridge the gap between *in situ* observations of *L. pneumophila*'s adaptation to pasteurization in HWDS

and an understanding of its underlying genetic mechanisms, we tailored an ALE study design to investigate

the evolutionary paths that the environmental pathogen can take to develop a tolerance of heat shock.

The aim was to document the genetic changes that surviving population would develop under transient

exposure to high temperatures, simulating failed pasteurizations or bursts of hot water from routine

outlet use. We report that ALE can reliably induce resistance to heat shock in adapted lineages through

underlying mutations that cause differences both in transcriptional regulation and heat shock protein

activity. In our model, adaptation to heat shock resulted in no fitness deficits during axenic or infectious

growth, supporting epidemiological studies that connect HWDS isolates to clinical isolates from exposed

individuals.

Results

Studies tracking the population structure over time of *L. pneumophila* growing in HWDS have repeatedly confirmed that persistence and microevolution is more common than recontamination from the incoming water supply. Even more troubling, repeated exposure to high temperatures through bursts of hot water demand or failed cycles of superheat-and-flush are liable to favour heat resistance in the surviving bacteria. To simulate this process and study it in a controlled environment, we designed an adaptive evolution system to simulate transient and sublethal exposures to high temperatures. Based on pilot data and typical global public health recommendations (13), we exposed the study populations to 55 °C by immersion in a circulating water bath for 15 minutes, as described in Materials and Methods. We maintained six replicate lineages of the wild-type Philadelphia-1 strain to determine the replicability of our evolutionary pathways under heat shock (Figure 1A). In parallel, we also maintained six replicate control lineages to compensate for mutations that favour growth in laboratory conditions and isolate the changes related to heat shock (Figure 1B). Bacterial population sizes were bottlenecked at each cycle by either selection for survivors of heat shock or by sub-sampling of control populations suspended in freshwater.

Over the 70 cycles of the ALE protocol, we raised the heat shock temperature on an *ad hoc* basis to maintain a stable level of population loss in the heat adapted lineages from 55 °C at the beginning of the experiment to 59 °C at its conclusion (Figure 1C). The resulting six heat-adapted populations were named HA-1 through HA-6 and the six control lineages were named C-1 through C-6 (Table 1). Whole genome sequencing revealed that HA-4 had been contaminated by HA-6 by the end of the experiment, so it was removed from final analysis. Regardless, all replicate heat adapted lineages showed a robust increase in heat shock resistance when challenged by heat shock for 20 minutes (Figure 1C). The decimal reduction time required to reduce the initial populations by 90% increased from 303 seconds at 55 °C at the outset

of the ALE to a minimum of 9546 seconds by passage 6 (Figure 1C). After 65 and 70 cycles of selection,

there was no significant population drop even after a 30-minute exposure. After 20 and 65 passages

respectively, populations could survive 57 °C and 59 °C for 20 minutes. In contrast, neither the control

lineages nor the ancestral strain was able to tolerate 57 °C for 20 minutes (data not shown).

Despite their acquired ability to tolerate heat shock, the heat adapted lineages did not lose the ability to

grow axenically or in host cells. We directly competed the evolved lineages against their ancestral strain

by growing them together in co-culture to test for relative fitness. Plasmids pXDC31 and pMMB207c,

which differ only in that pXDC31 has an IPTG-inducible *gfp* insert, were used to distinguish between

strains. Both plasmids were electroporated into the Philadelphia-1 ancestor as well as Passage 70

populations of both HA-1 and C-1 and transformed isolates were used in the competition experiments. To

control for possible fitness confounds introduced by the plasmid inserts, all pairs were tested with a

reciprocal expression of *gfp* in both competitors (e.g., HA-1 pXDC31 was competed against Philadelphia-

1 pMMB207C and HA-1 pMMB207C was competed against Philadelphia-1 pXDC31). Control competitions

of HA-1 and C-1 with themselves showed a neutral relative fitness, as expected ($p = 0.4123, 0.5233$).

Both ALE-derived competitors were also at neutral relative fitness against each other ($p =$

$0.0547, 0.2113$), indicating that both were equally well adapted to growth in AYE. In contrast,

Philadelphia-1 was significantly less fit than either HA-1 ($p = 0.0120, 0.0235$) or C-1 ($p =$

$0.0090, 0.0244$), supporting our hypothesis that the populations would evolve better growth in AYE in

tandem with heat resistance. To test whether this increased growth potential in AYE was replicated during

host cell infection, we tested for three-day bacterial yield after growth in the amoeba *V. vermiformis*.

Consistent with a specific adaptation to growth in cell-free conditions, replication of the heat-adapted

lineages did not significantly differ from those of Philadelphia-1 or the control lineage (Figure 1E).

Because the early bacterial heat shock response relies largely on a re-direction of transcription by the

rpoH sigma factor, we expected that there would be a difference in RNA expression profiles between our

heat-adapted, control, and ancestral strains. To represent the regulators and effectors of the

transcriptional heat shock response, we measured differential expression of three sigma factors and 7

heat shock proteins. These were chosen to represent genes likely to be involved in the heat shock

response, as well as those mutated in multiple lineages under heat shock selection. Upon heat shock, the

ancestor Philadelphia-1 displays significant up-regulation in *clpB*, *dnaJ*, *dnaK*, *groL*, and *htpG* expression

but not of *clpX*, *lon*, or *phaP* by two-way ANOVA (Figure 2 A). Similarly, *rpoH* is the only sigma factor whose

RNA levels are elevated (Figure 2B). Compared to Philadelphia-1, the heat-adapted lineages (and to a

lesser extent, the control lineages) over-express heat shock protein mRNAs prior to heat shock exposure

(Figure 2C). This over-expression is no longer seen when comparing expression profiles after heat shock,

suggesting a pre-adaptation to thermal stress in chronically heat-exposed populations (Figure 2D).

To identify the mutations acquired after 70 cycles of the ALE, we used Breseq, a pipeline designed for the

analysis of short read data from bacterial evolution experiments. To capture the population diversity, we

isolated 10 clones from each heat-adapted lineage and 5 clones from each control lineage for whole-

genome sequencing. As described in Materials and Methods, per-isolate analysis of the sequence reads

showed gaps of missing coverage, so reads from the replicate clones of each lineage were pooled and re-

analysed in the polymorphism setting of Breseq to measure allele frequency of novel mutations. Re-

sequencing of our Philadelphia-1 strain showed that it differs from the published genome (CP015927.1)

by the absence of a 38 kbp island pPh38 and by a missense mutation in the *letA* gene (Table 3). Genes

mutated in replicate heat-adapted lineages included multiple heat shock genes (*htpG*, *clpB*, *clpX*, *dnaJ*,

and *dnaK*), as well as lpg0563 (*phaP*), lpg2351 (*mavF*), and genes associated with cell wall synthesis (*mreC*

and *rodA*) (Figure 3 and Table 3). A separate mutation profile was seen in the replicate control lineages,

with multiple hits in *nusG*, *rpoB*, *cpxR*, and *lidA* (Figure 3). Each lineage displayed a unique mutational

profile, showing that *L. pneumophila* can follow multiple evolutionary pathways to acquire strong heat

resistance (Supplementary table 1).

The *L. pneumophila* homologue of *htpG* (bacterial 90 kilodalton heat shock protein) acquired mutations

in three of the heat-adapted lineages including a nonsense mutation in HA-5 (Q148*) and a frameshift

mutation in HA-6 (del1bp 503). Sequencing of HA-4 after 30 passages (before it had been contaminated)

also showed a third distinct loss-of-function mutation (del1bp 694) (Supplementary table 2). Though

surprising for a known heat shock protein, this was consistent with our prior finding that a deletion mutant

lacking *htpG* showed increased survival under heat stress. Western blotting confirmed that the typical

HtpG protein was not produced in HA-5 or HA-6, while the missense mutation (G83E) in HA-3 did not

affect antibody binding (Figure 4A). The *htpG* alleles found in HA-3, HA-5 and HA6 were mobilized into the

chromosome of the lab strain KS79 by allelic exchange in tandem with a selectable marker. Phenotypically,

the mutant alleles of *htpG* raised heat shock resistance in *L. pneumophila* to a similar magnitude as the

previously constructed full deletion mutant (Figure 4B). Reciprocally, none of the mutant alleles were able

to complement the raised heat resistance of the deletion mutant (Figure 4C).

To test whether *htpG* mutation was favoured only due to its role in heat shock, we constructed a

competition assay to compare the growth of KS79 and of the deletion mutant against the mutant

harbouring the different alleles of *htpG* (Figure 4D). The wild-type KS79 under-competed against any

strain containing a blank plasmid or plasmid carrying any derived allele of *htpG*. Conversely, it was

neutrally fit against the *htpG* mutant complemented with a wild-type allele of *htpG*. KS79 and the deletion

mutant both grew significantly better in the presence of competitors harbouring the ancestral allele of

htpG compared to competitors harbouring a blank plasmid, suggesting that HtpG, in addition to conferring

reduced heat shock resistance, may also reduce growth potential in AYE.

Discussion

L. pneumophila rapidly adapts to repeated exposure to heat shock

As an opportunistic colonizer of plumbing infrastructure, *L. pneumophila* is commonly recognized as a major hazard under the purview of hospital facilities managers (18). To model its long-term residence in hot water systems, our system of laboratory evolution was able to robustly raise the heat tolerance levels of wild-type *L. pneumophila*. The lineages' survival of 55 °C – a commonly recommended circulation temperature (53, 54) – highlights the scale of their adaptation. Prior to their passage through the ALE model, the ancestral strain could survive only 5 minutes of exposure to this temperature before suffering a population reduction of 90% (Figure 1C). After 65 rounds of selection, the heat-adapted lineages could sustain exposure to the same temperature for at least 30 minutes without showing significant population loss. The final selection condition – 15 minutes at 59 °C – was much more intense that what the ancestral strain could survive and indeed our control lineages, which were also tested for heat shock survival, consistently failed to survive temperatures of 57 °C and higher.

A major concern underlying our experiment was that *L. pneumophila*, accustomed to replication inside eukaryotes, would simultaneously favour adaptation towards growth in liquid media and lose the ability to replicate inside host cells. We included replicate control lineages to anticipate the changes that might be seen after repeated growth in AYE. Testing populations from both branches of the evolution experiment, we found that both branches of the experiment retained their ancestral ability to replicate inside *V. vermamoeba* (Figure 1E). On the other hand, passage in axenic culture significantly elevated the relative fitnesses of both the control and heat-adapted lineages in AYE culture compared to Philadelphia-1 (Figure 1D). Despite relaxed constraints during the selection of the control lineage, the heat-adapted lineage had an equal ability to grow in AYE or inside host amoeba without needing to trade-off the ability to tolerate heat shock.

Tandem adaptation of transcription and translation during adaptation to axenic culture

Consistent with their separate evolutionary pressures, the control and heat-adapted lineages adopted divergent evolutionary patterns. RNA transcription genes *nusG* and *rpoB* (55, 56) were both non-synonymously mutated in multiple control lineages, as was the S10 ribosomal protein *nusE* (Table 3 and Figure 3). RpoB is the beta-subunit of the RNA polymerase (RNAP) complex and is commonly mutated in bacterial evolution studies in diverse contexts including acid resistance, ionizing radiation, and atmospheric pollution (47, 49, 57). Four different missense mutations in *rpoB* were independently fixed in two control lineages (G759E and N1350T) and in two heat-adapted lineages (G138E and K924N). The transcription factor NusG binds to the RpoB through its N-terminal domain and to NusE through its C-terminal domain, coupling transcription to translation (55, 58). The two mutations seen in NusG (R136I and V143D) both sit within the C-terminal KOW domain (59) and are in lineages C-2 and C-6 – mutually exclusive with mutations in *rpoB* and *nusE* harboured in lineages C-4 and C-5. NusG enhances both RNAP processivity and rho-dependent termination, so the partition of these mutations could suggest incompatibility between the NusG and RpoB/NusE mutations, though epistasis was not tested in this study (55, 56, 60). From ALE experiments in *E. coli,* mutations in *rpoB* are known to cause broad changes to global transcription (61) and can optimize replication rates during exponential growth (62, 63). In these experiments, the same mutations in *rpoB* that contribute to faster exponential growth rates also reduce the ability of these strains to tolerate acid shock and antibiotic exposure (63). This may explain the distinction between the *rpoB* mutations found in the heat-adapted and control lineages, as heat shock similarly induces a hostile environmental change. The two-component system response regulator *cpxR* was mutated in three lineages in the control arm, but in none of the heat-adapted lineages. Of the three distinct mutations, two were conversions of aspartic acid to asparagine (D190N and D194N) in the OmpR/PhoB-type DNA binding domain (64, 65). This system is involved in controlling the expression of Type II and Type IV secretion system genes and the control of virulence during the switch between

exponential and post-exponential phases (66, 67). Prior work in our lab has shown that *cpxR* is necessary

for heat shock tolerance (42) – this could underlie an evolutionary inelasticity that favours its mutation in

control lineages but not in heat-adapted lineages.

Central role of the DnaJ/DnaK/ClpB disaggregase complex in heat shock adaptation

Adaptation to heat stress, as expected, took multiple unique but overlapping pathways. Canonical heat

shock factors were common targets of mutation, and included *htpG, clpB*, *clpX*, *dnaJ*, and *dnaK*. These are,

variously, chaperone and co-chaperone proteins that act on misfolded and aggregated proteins to resolve

the effects of protein damage (68). The *bona fide* HSP40 co-chaperone *dnaJ* was mutated in all five heat-

adapted lineages, while neither of the HSP40 homologues *cbpA* or *djlA* (69–71) received any mutations.

Four distinct mutations were acquired in *dnaJ*, including three missense mutations and a single nucleotide

insertion. Three mutations – P334S, R318T, and an insertion at nucleotide 1100 (codon 337) – are in the

C-terminal end of the polypeptide. This region has been linked to the binding between DnaJ and DksA, a

regulator of RNA polymerase and the stringent response which influences heat shock resistance in *L.*

pneumophila (72–75). Autodimerization and chaperone functions in DnaJ also involve this C-terminal

region (76). Two lineages derived the same mutation – F95Y – in a linker region connecting the J-domain

and central zinc-binding regions of DnaJ. This phenylalanine position is conserved across bacterial and

eukaryotic homologues of DnaJ as a residue in the first of three DIF (DVF in *Legionella*) motifs (77).

Experimental substitution of this residue in *E. coli* causes a growth defect at low temperatures but has no

effect on high-temperature growth or on motility. The integrity of this glycine-phenylalanine rich region

is thought to be involved in proper DnaK/DnaJ ATP cycling and substrate release (77). This mutation in

DnaJ may contribute to the constitutively high expression of heat shock genes seen in our heat adapted

lineages by causing an accumulation of DnaK-substrate complexes and causing a de-repression of steady

state *rpoH* mRNA levels (78).

DnaK, a bacterial HSP70 and collaborator with DnaJ in protein refolding, acquired the same mutation in

three lineages – M94I. Both F95Y mutations in DnaJ were coincident with this DnaK allele, with HA-2 also

possessing a second V373L missense mutation in DnaK. These mutations both alter residues exposed on

the AlphaFold predicted surface of the DnaK protein (79, 80), suggesting that their effects lie in

modification of the substrate binding or co-chaperone dynamics of the heat shock response network. The

DnaK/DnaJ chaperone-co-chaperone system also interacts with ClpB, or HSP100, a AAA+ ATPase with

homologue found in all three domains of life (81–83). In various species of bacteria, ClpB assists in the

tolerance of high temperatures, ethanol damage, and oxidative stress by acting as a disaggregase of

tangled polypeptides (81). Its proper function depends on the assembly of an active hexamer from ClpB

monomers, forming a central channel that the misfolded substrate is threaded through. Interaction with

DnaK, as well as hexameric assembly of the protein complex, depends on the M-domain of ClpB (84, 85).

This section of the larger AAA+ domain includes four surface-exposed α-helices and spans the two

mutations observed in ClpB (A478V and A492T). The presumed effect of these mutations is to influence

protein-protein interactions either during self-hexamerization or when interfacing with DnaK (86, 87).

Refinement of the heat shock response by protein degradation

A second hexameric AAA+ ATPase, ClpX, also showed signs of adaptation with mutations in two lineages

targeting adjacent residues – G202A and E203D. Unlike ClpB, ClpX favours proteolysis over refoldase

activity, acting in concert with the peptidase ClpP (88). The two mutated residues are both in the AAA+

domain of ClpX and may allow for a refinement of the proteolytic capacity of *L. pneumophila* that

remediates the enrichment of unfolded and aggregated protein precipitated by high temperature

exposure.

As we had previously observed (75), the 90 kilodalton heat shock protein HtpG has an unlikely role in the

heat resistance of *L. pneumophila*. Its up-regulation after heat shock, role in high temperature growth in

other species, and its chaperone function all strongly support its identity as a heat shock protein (89–91),

but the deletion of *htpG* from a wild-type background increases the ability of *L. pneumophila* to survive

exposure to 55 °C for 15 minutes. This is further corroborated by the observed mutations in the ALE, with

three different mutations observed in three of the heat-adapted lineages. Two of these mutations –

Q148* in HA-5 and a single base pair deletion at position 503 in HA-6 – abrogate the structure of the

chaperone, leading to the loss of recognition by an anti-HtpG antibody. These three alleles provide the

same resistance to heat shock as the full deletion of *htpG*, and do not complement the deletion. We

hypothesized that these presumed loss of function mutations may give a growth advantage between heat

shock bottlenecks. We tested this in a relative fitness experiment measuring the growth of a deletion

mutant heterologously expressing the wild-type and derived alleles of *htpG*. Strains lacking *htpG* were

more relatively fit than one expressing wild-type *htpG*. This was also true for all three derived alleles of

htpG; the loss of HtpG function during the ALE could therefore have promoted both increased growth in

AYE and survival under heat shock. As *htpG* mutations were only seen in our heat-adapted lineages, it

seems likely that the increase in heat resistance is the more significant factor favouring their selection.

Mutations in virulence factors

Strikingly, the *lidA* gene was mutated singly or doubly in each of the six control lineages but in none of the

heat-adapted lineages. Each lineage acquired either one of two frameshift mutations (single base

deletions at nucleotides 1122 or 1566) or a premature stop (E508*). LidA is a Rab GTPase-binding effector

protein trafficked into the host cell by Icm/Dot Type IVb secretion system whose role in infection seems

largely involve disruption of the host trafficking system (92, 93). The role that these mutations in *lidA* play

in this evolutionary system is obscure considering that (i) the ALE was carried out without passage through

host cells, (ii) effector proteins are thought to be highly redundant and the repeated mutation of *lidA* is *a*

priori unexplained, and (iii) *lidA* mutation seems to have been highly selected for in control lineages but

disfavoured during heat-adaptation. The study which characterized *lidA* found that its absence caused the

Icm/Dot complex to become toxic to the bacterium, which was attributed to a possible toxic increase of

solute permeability (94). An increase of permeability following the loss of LidA may support increased

nutrient uptake in AYE, though the factors favouring complete saturation of *lidA* mutations across all the

control lineages and none of the heat-adapted ones remain unclear.

Loss-of-function mutations were a recurrent process across both branches of the ALE with lpg0563 (*phaP*)

and *mavF* also acquiring frameshift indels in multiple heat-adapted lineages. Each of the five heat-adapted

lineages acquired one of two distinct null mutations in *mavF* – a single base pair deletion of nucleotide

368 or a single base pair insertion at position 456. The biological mechanism favouring this mutation is

difficult to deduce as the protein is translocated through the Icm/Dot system and therefore likely no *prima*

facie relevance to heat shock survival in the absence of host cells (95).

Future avenues

With hospitals recognizing the dangers that *L. pneumophila* introduces when it contaminates their HWDS

plumbing, it is important to understand its life in these systems before it causes nosocomial infection.

Heat-based control measures are common, but *L. pneumophila* is often able to survive both routine hot

water temperature regimes and remedial superheat-and-flush interventions (23, 96). Our ALE model of *L.*

pneumophila microevolution under selective pressure from transient heat shock shows that it can follow

several separate evolutionary pathways to acquire heat resistance and replicates *in situ* studies that find

increased heat resistance in isolates taken after failed heat treatment (23). *L. pneumophila* lineages in this

system evolved in isolation from the broader HWDS microbiome. Even without these exogenous sources

of novel DNA, these populations evolved under the pressure of heat shock to survive up to 59 °C. These

mutations were concentrated both in well-known chaperones and proteases (*dnaJ*, *dnaK*, *clpX*, etc.), as

well as in unexpected proteins with unrelated functions (*phaP*, *mavF*, etc.). This model helps inform our

understanding of the forces operating on *L. pneumophila* in hot water plumbing and provides a platform

for future work to directly confirm the biological significance of the genetic changes that we have

observed in this study.

Materials and Methods

Routine culture methods

L. pneumophila strains were routinely grown from frozen stocks stored at -80 °C on CYE (ACES-buffered charcoal yeast extract) agar plates (Sigma-Aldrich) adjusted to pH 6.90 with KOH and supplemented with 0.25 g/L L-cysteine and 0.4 g/L ferric pyrophosphate and incubated at 37 °C for 72 hours. Single colonies were sub-cultured into AYE broth (CYE without charcoal or agar) and grown shaking at 37 °C overnight. To simulate residence in tap water, Fraquil, a defined low-nutrient medium (97) that simulates freshwater was used to suspend *L. pneumophila*. *E. coli* strain DH5α was grown in LB agar or broth, as indicated, at 37 °C overnight. Where required, media was supplemented with 5 mg/L (*L. pneumophila*) or 25 mg/L (*E. coli*) chloramphenicol, 25 mg/L kanamycin sulfate and/or 0.1 mM isopropyl β-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Vermamoeba vermiformis* was maintained in modified PYNFH (ATCC media 1034) at room temperature (20-28 °C) and passaged once per week (42).

Adaptive laboratory evolution procedure

The adaptive laboratory evolution experiment was designed with two arms deriving from one common ancestral strain. *L. pneumophila* str. Philadelphia-1 (ATCC 33152) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection; it is a clinical isolate collected from the 1976 outbreak of Legionnaires' Disease in Philadelphia. Because this isolate was sourced from a cooling tower, we expected it to be poorly adapted to growth in laboratory conditions in axenic medium, a known selection pressure in ALE experiments. To account for this, we included both an experimental arm which was exposed to heat stress and a control arm which was not exposed to heat stress (Figure 1A and B) to compensate for these effects.

To begin the experiment, a single culture of Philadelphia-1 was divided into 12 independent lineages to assess the parallelism between adaptive paths, divided into six evolved lineages that were exposed to heat shock and six control lineages that were not. Each population was grown in AYE overnight to

stationary phase. Bacteria were triply washed in Fraquil, suspended at OD_{600} 0.1 (approximately 10^8

CFU/mL) and incubated for 24 hours at room temperature, as in (98). For each suspension, 1 mL was

dispensed into a 13 mL polypropylene culture tube (Sarstedt). Tubes of the treated lineage were

immersed for 15 minutes in a pre-heated water bath set to the challenge temperature (55°C to 59°C).

Samples were withdrawn from the water bath and cooled passively to room temperature. Tubes of the

control lineages were left at room temperature. Control lineages were propagated by inoculating 1.5 mL

AYE with 15 µL (approximately 1.5×10^6 CFU) of the untreated suspension, to mimic the population

bottleneck created by heat shock. Evolved lineages were propagated by inoculating 750 µL of 2x

concentrated AYE with 750 µL of the heat-treated suspension. Cultures were grown overnight to

stationary phase, as before, and a 100 µL aliquot was stored at -80 °C in AYE + 15% glycerol to maintain a

frozen archive of the full population at each passage.

The experiment was continued for 70 passages with the temperature of heat stress increased on an *ad-*

hoc basis to maintain the strength of selective pressure. Based on preliminary experiments, the

experiment was begun with a 15-minute exposure to 55 °C. After 10 passages under selection, this was

increased to 57 °C. This was further increased to 58 °C after 30 passages and finally to 59 °C after 50

passages.

Heat shock survival measurements

Following the conclusion of the experiment, heat adapted populations were resuscitated from frozen

stocks, grown on CYE, and suspended in Fraquil as above. All lineages were tested at five passage intervals

for heat shock survival after 20 minutes of exposure to 55 °C, 57 °C, and 59 °C in 60 µL volumes

simultaneously in a Veriti 96-well thermocycler and actively cooled to 20 °C, with control samples held at

room temperature. CFU counts were determined by serial dilution and plating in 10 µL aliquots on CYE

plates.

A similar strategy was used to determine the heat shock tolerance of mutants expressing the various

derived alleles of *htpG*. Samples were prepared and aliquoted as above and exposed to 55 °C for 0, 10,

20, and 30 minutes in a Veriti 96-well thermocycler and actively cooled to 20 °C. CFU counts were

conducted as above and normalized to the CFU count of the 0 minutes exposure sample.

Isolate collection and sequencing strategy

Single colonies were isolated from the populations generated after 70 passages and stored at -80 °C. Ten

isolates were collected from each heat-adapted lineage and five isolates were collected from each control

lineage. In addition, we resequenced our lab stock of Philadelphia-1 to identify any mutations that were

fixed in the ancestral population at the outset of the ALE. DNA was collected from these isolates using the

Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega) and submitted to the McGill Genome Center for library

preparation and sequencing using a 600 cycle MiSeq v3 reagent kit.

Fastp (99) with default settings was used to both clean and perform quality control on paired-end reads.

Read alignment and variant calling were conducted using Breseq 0.35.4 (100), bowtie2 2.3.5.1 (101, 102),

and R 4.0.3 (103) with the NCBI-deposited sequence (CP015927.1) of the ancestral Philadelphia-1 ATCC

33152 strain as reference genome. Because the per-isolate read coverage was low (mean = 14.37, s =

2.37), there were often regions of missing coverage lacking aligned reads to confidently assign allele

identity. To increase the depth of coverage, we analyzed pooled per-lineage sequence data by combining

the reads together of all ten sampled isolates for each heat-adapted lineage or all five sampled isolates

for each control lineage to reconstruct the allele frequencies in the final populations. We re-analyzed

these pooled reads using Breseq using the same parameters to generate our final list of called variants.

qPCR protocol and analysis

RNA was collected from 1 ml samples of *L. pneumophila* suspended at an OD_{600} of 1 either heat shocked

at 55 °C for 15 minutes or held at room temperature for the same duration using TRIzol (ThermoFisher

Scientific), as previously described. RNA samples were treated with DNase I and Dnase inactivation

reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) before quantification and storage in nuclease-free water (ThermoFisher

Scientific) at -20 °C until required. Protoscript II (New England Biolabs) was used to reverse transcribe RNA

into cDNA as template for qPCR analysis using the manufacturer's protocol. No-RT control reactions were

produced in the same way with nuclease-free water replacing Protoscript II.

All qPCR experiments were performed in an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR machine using

iTaq Universal SYBR-green supermix (BioRad). Efficiencies for qPCR primers (Table 2) were calculated using

a serial dilution of gDNA samples, and all were found to be acceptable (90.9 - 96.8%) (104). 16S rRNA was

used as a common housekeeping gene to normalize Ct values (75) and efficiency-adjusted ddCT (105) was

used to compare RNA expression levels between bacteria exposed and naive to heat shock.

Mutant construction

To determine the role of HtpG over the ALE procedure, we constructed merodiploids by supplementing

the various derived alleles of *htpG* on a plasmid. Plasmids were constructed on a pXDC39 backbone

allowing *htpG* to be expressed under its native promoter. Using Phusion polymerase and primers kpni-

htpg-F and xbai-htpg-R, we amplified the treated lineages T3 ($htpG^{G83E}$), T5 ($htpG^{Q148*}$), and T6 ($htpG^{\Delta1bp}$

^{503nt}) alleles from the purified gDNA of single isolates from passage 70. These fragments, carrying the gene

sequence and its upstream region, were ligated into pXDC39 to produce plasmids p$htpG^{G83E}$, p$htpG^{Q148*}$,

and p$htpG^{\Delta1bp\ 503nt}$. These plasmids were electroporated into a previously constructed KS79-based *htpG*

deletion mutant (75) to assay for relative fitness and to isolate the derived *htpG* alleles onto a wild-type

background.

Direct fitness competition in AYE

To determine the relative competitive fitness of *L. pneumophila* before and after our ALE model, we

constructed strains that could be co-cultured in AYE and distinguished by the presence or absence of GFP.

The GFP-negative control vector pMMB207c and the GFP-positive pXDC31 are distinguished by the

insertion of an GFP coding sequence whose expression is driven by pTac and ultimately induced by IPTG.

Both plasmids were introduced into the wild-type ancestor Philadelphia-1 and the derived lineages C-1

and HA-1 by electroporation, as previously described (75). A single isolate was collected from each

electroporation to represent the fitness of the population in competition.

Strain pairs containing these two plasmids were separately grown overnight and normalized to an OD_{600}

of 0.1 in AYE supplemented with chloramphenicol for use in a direct competition assay, described in brief

below. Competitions were carried out under standard incubation conditions. For each replicate, 500 μL of

each culture of the strain pair were combined at a 1:1 ratio. A 100 μL sample of this mixture was used to

inoculate 900 μL AYE with chloramphenicol and adjusted to a final OD_{600} of 0.01 and incubated overnight.

The overnight culture was diluted to an OD600 of 0.01 and used to continue the assay in the same way

for a total of three transfers. CFU concentration for each timepoint was counted by serial dilution plating

on AYE with chloramphenicol and IPTG. Population fractions were manually counted under a UV black

light to distinguish between fluorescent and non-fluorescent colonies.

Host cell infection

Vermamoeba vermiformis was used as a permissive host to assess the infectivity of *L. pneumophila*. The

amoeba was routinely grown in 25 mL vented flasks (Sarstedt, Corning) at room temperature and

passaged twice a week in modified PYNFH media with FBS and buffer. Amoeba populations were

expanded in 75 mL flasks 3 days before infection and harvested by centrifugation at $200 \times g$ for 10 minutes.

Host cells were normalized in modified PYNFH without FBS or buffer at 5×10^5 cells/ml and 1 mL was

added to each well of a 24-well cell culture plate. Infectious bacteria were collected from fresh colonies

on CYE plates and normalized in Fraquil to an OD of OD_{600} 0.01 (approximately 10^7 CFU/mL). To start

infections with an MOI of 0.1, 5 μL bacterial suspension was added to each well and the initial CFU count

was conducted on the gently mixed supernatant. Growth was carried out for 3 days at 37 °C with CFU

counts performed daily.

Western blotting

Protein samples for Western blotting were prepared using a modified version of the Bio-Rad

electrophoresis guide (42). Briefly, *L. pneumophila* pellets were harvested by centrifugation from a 200 µl

sample of overnight culture rinsed in Fraquil and normalized to an OD_{600} of 1. Pellets were homogenized

by bath sonication (Cole-Parmer) on ice for 10 minutes after thorough suspension in 200 µl sample

solubilization buffer. Samples were diluted with 250 µl 2X Laemmli sample buffer (BioRad) and held at

room temperature for 20 minutes. The sample was centrifuged for 30 minutes, and the protein sample

was collected from the resulting supernatant.

The proteins were migrated in hand-cast 12.5% polyacrylamide gels (BioRad) at 50 V for 30 minutes and

120 V for 90 minutes. Separated proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (OVDF)

membranes (BioRad) at 25 V for 12 hours at 4 °C in preparation for immunoblotting and blocked using

TBS wash buffer with 5% skim milk powder. Ab225994 (rabbit anti-*E. coli* HtpG; AbCam) was found to be

cross-reactive to *L. pneumophila* HtpG and was used as the primary antibody at a 1:5000 dilution, along

with rabbit anti-IcdH (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:10000) as a loading control. Primary incubation was carried out

for 2 hours, followed by rinsing and blotting with a 1:5000 goat anti-rabbit HRP (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour.

Bands were developed using a ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (Cytiva BioSciences) and

imaged using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System.

Data Availability

489   Raw read data from sequenced isolates collected after 30 and 70 passages have been deposited to the

490   National Center for Biotechnology Availability's sequence read archive and are available under BioProject

491   Accession number PRJNA956983.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Jesse Shapiro for providing guidance on ALE. This work was supported by a Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (RGPIN/04499-2018) to SPF. JL was the recipient of a Canada Graduate Scholarship—Master's award from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Figures

Figure 1. ALE increased the resistance of *L. pneumophila* to heat shock. The organization of the ALE

protocol, contrasting the heat-adaptation (A) and control (B) branches (Created with BioRender). Each

panel depicts one of 70 cycles, with highlighted rectangles showing the population bottleneck for each

branch. In brief, after collection from post-exponential growth in AYE and 24-hour suspension in Fraquil,

control lineages were propagated by subsampling the suspended population to re-inoculate AYE while

heat-adapted lineages were propagated by re-inoculating AYE with the population surviving heat shock.

C) Survival of heat-adapted *L. pneumophila* lineages following 20 minutes of exposure to 55, 57, and 59

°C heat shock for 20 minutes (lines, left axis). Decimal reduction times (DRT) were calculated for each

507   temperature and are plotted for each tested passage (right axis, bar; omitted for passages 65 and 70 at

508   55 °C because there was an insignificant level of cell death). D) Direct competition between green-

509   fluorescent isolates and non-fluorescent isolates growing in AYE. Significance calculations show one-

510   sample t-test against 1.0 (neutral relative fitness), $n = 3$. E) Infection and replication within *V. vermiformis*

511   in modified PYNFH without FBS, $n = 3$. The avirulent DotA(-) strain is used as a negative control.

Figure 2: qPCR analysis of RNA expression levels in the ancestor Philadelphia-1 following 5 minutes of exposure to 55 °C heat shock for heat-shock response genes (A) and sigma factors (B). Ct values were normalized using 16S rRNA levels and converted to arbitrary expression levels, $n = 3$. Relative difference in expression in RNA samples collected before heat shock (C) and after heat shock (D) between sampled populations (C, control lineages; HA, heat-adapted lineages) and Philadelphia-1, $n = 3$.

Figure 3: Derived mutations identified with Breseq at a frequency of at least 25% in replicate control and heat-adapted lineages aligned to the genome of the ancestral strain Philadelphia-1 (106). The outermost concentric circle shows the single chromosome of *L. pneumophila*, as well as a reversibly-integrated pPh38 element which was not retained in our lab strain. Each band shows the mutations observed across control lineage (orange) and heat-adapted (green), with circles representing point mutations and triangles representing insertions and deletions. Genes of interest in the interior are labelled by colour with red genes mutated in multiple heat-adapted lineages and blue genes mutated in multiple control lineages.

Figure 4: A) Anti-*htpG* Western blots visualizing the expression of HtpG in lineages HA-3, 5 and 6 and in

constructed merodiploid mutants. B) Survival at 55 °C of knock-in exchange mutants expressing derived

alleles of *htpG* in place of the wild-type allele, $n = 3$. C) Survival at 55 °C of an *htpG* deletion mutant

heterologously expressing different alleles of *htpG* found in treated lineages, $n = 3$. D) Relative fitness of

532   the *htpG* deletion mutant complemented with an empty plasmid, derived, or ancestral *htpG* against the

533   wild-type and deletion mutant strains.

Tables

Table 1: Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study. Except where noted, all strains used were of *L. pneumophila*

Strain	Description	Source
Philadelphia-1	Philadelphia 1976	ATCC33152
KS79	JR32 ΔcomR	(107)
ΔhtpG	KS79 htpG::Kn[pSF6]	(75)
htpG[G83E]	KS79 htpG::htpG[HA-3] + Kn [pGEMT-easy]	This study
htpG[Q148*]	KS79 htpG::htpG[HA-5] + Kn [pGEMT-easy]	This study
htpG[Δ1bp nt603]	KS79 htpG::htpG[HA-6] + Kn [pGEMT-easy]	This study
E. coli DH5α	supE44 ΔlacU169 (Φ80lacZΔM15) hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1	Invitrogen

Plasmid	Description	Source
pMMB207c	RSF1010 derivative, IncQ, lacIq, Cm[r], Ptac, oriT, ΔmobA	(108)
pXDC39	pMMB207c ΔPtac, ΔlacI, Cmr	Xavier Charpentier
pSF6	pGEMT-easy-rrnb	(109)
pXDC31	pMMB207C + *gfp*	(110)
phtpG[G83E]	pXDC39 + htpG[HA-3]	This study
phtpG[Q148*]	pXDC39 + htpG[HA-5]	This study
phtpG[Δ1bp nt603]	pXDC39 + htpG[HA-6]	This study
phtpG[WT]	pXDC39 + htpG[Philadelphia-1]	(75)

538 Table 2: All primers used during this study

Primer	Sequence 5'-3	Source
Primers for qPCR		
groL-qPCR-F	GAACATGGGCGCTCAAATGG	This study
groL-qPCR-R	GCAGCAACTGCTTTGTGACC	This study
lon-qPCR-F	GTTGCTTCAGCAGACATCGG	This study
lon-qPCR-R	GCTGGAATGCCGAAAGAAGC	This study
rpoH-qPCR-F	CCCATCTTGGGGTCAAAACG	This study
rpoH-qPCR-R	CGCGGTTATCTGGGGTATGG	This study
rpoE-qPCR-F	CCAGGTTGAAAACTGACTGCG	This study
rpoE-qPCR-R	CTGTTTGAGCGTTATCAGGGC	This study
rpoS-qPCR-F	ATGCGACCTGGTGGATTAGG	This study
rpoS-qPCR-R	TTTGCGTCAATTGCCTTGCC	This study
phaP-qPCR-F	CTGAACTGAATGTTAAAACCCTGC	This study
phaP-qPCR-R	TTTTCCAGCATTTCTTCGGGC	This study
htpg-qPCR-F	TTACATACCAGCCCATGCCC	This study
htpg-qPCR-R	TCGGGGTAAAAATTGTGTCGC	This study
clpb-qPCR-F	CACTTGCAGATGCCCAATCC	This study
clpb-qPCR-R	AGAGGTCTGCAACTTCCACC	This study
clpx-qPCR-F	ATTAAGAATCCGCGCCAGGG	This study
clpx-qPCR-R	GAGGATGGTGTTGAGCTCGG	This study
dnaj-qPCR-F	TTTGTCCACGTGATTGACGC	This study
dnaj-qPCR-R	ATCCTTCAATGGGTGGAGGC	This study
dnak-qPCR-F	CGCAATAACAGAGTCACCGC	This study
dnak-qPCR-R	GCAACCAAAGATGCTGGTCG	This study
16s-qPCR-F	AGAGATGCATTAGTGCCTTCGGGA	(75)
16s-qPCR-R	ACTAAGGATAAGGGTTGCGCTCGT	(75)
Primers for mutant construction		
htpG-UF	CTTGCACATCTTGGAAAAGGAGG	(75)
htpG-UR	CAGTCTAGCTATCGCCATGTACTCCCCGTTAATAGTTGTGTTCG	(75)
htpG-KnF	CGAACACAACTATTAACGGGGAGTACATGGCGATAGCTAGACTG	(75)
htpG-KnR	GCTCATCCCGGATAATGACTTGTACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATC	(75)

htpG-DF	GATGCTGAAGATCAGTTGGGTACAAGTCATTATCCGGGATGAGC	(75)
htpG-DR	CAATTATTGCCTTACTGCCTGGG	(75)

Primers for complementation

KpnI-HtpG-F	TCATCAGGTACCTGAATCGAGAGGGTTTGAGTTGG	(75)
XbaI-HtpG-R	TCATCATCTAGAGGATAATGACTTGTTGTAATCCGGG	(75)

541 Table 3: Selected mutations derived over the course of the ALE determined from Breseq analysis of

542 pooled Illumina short reads. The table includes non-synonymous mutations which emerged in two or

543 more lineages of either the control or heat-adapted protocol branches. All mutations listed were

544 present in at least 25% of pooled sequence reads.

	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6	HA-1	HA-2	HA-3	HA-5	HA-6
pPh38	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323	Δ37,890 bp chr1: 173323
two-component system response regulator LetA	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R	L68R
transcription termination/antitermination protein NusG		R136I			V143D						
DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta				G759E	N1350T		G138E			K924N	
30S ribosomal protein S10				Q36K	S102N						
hypothetical protein (phasin)							Δ1bp nt39	Δ1bp nt39			+A 38
rod shape-determining protein MreC							V266A	V266A			
LidA	Δ1bp nt1566	Δ1bp nt1122, P330R	E508*	Δ1bp nt1566	Δ1bp nt1122	Δ1bp nt1566					
molecular chaperone HtpG									G83E	Q148*	Δ1bp nt503
rod shape-determining protein RodA			A128T		A128T			R112S	P332S		
transcriptional regulatory protein CpxR		R112L		D190N		D194N					
ATP-dependent chaperone ClpB									A478V	A492T	
ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX							G202A	E203D			
molecular chaperone DnaJ							F95Y	F95Y	P334S	+C 1100	R318T

molecular chaperone DnaK						M94I	V373L, M94I			M94I
hypothetical protein (mavF)						Δ1bp nt368	Δ1bp nt368	Δ1bp nt368	Δ1bp nt368	+T 456
NADH-quinone oxidoreductase subunit G									G455D	E768K

References

1. Al-Quadan T, Price CT, Abu Kwaik Y. 2012. Exploitation of evolutionarily conserved amoeba and

mammalian processes by Legionella. Trends Microbiol 20:299–306.

2. Cunha BA, Burillo A, Bouza E. 2016. Legionnaires' disease, p. 376–385. *In* The Lancet. Lancet

Publishing Group.

3. Springston JP, Yocavitch L. 2017. Existence and control of Legionella bacteria in building water

systems: A review. J Occup Environ Hyg 14:124–134.

4. Greco SL, Drudge C, Fernandes R, Kim JH, Copes R. 2020. Estimates of Healthcare Utilization and

Deaths from Waterborne Pathogen Exposure in Ontario, Canada. Epidemiol Infect 148:1–10.

5. Kool JL, Fiore AE, Kioski CM, Brown EW, Benson RF, Pruckler JM, Glasby C, Butler JC, Cage GD,

Carpenter JC, Mandel RM, England B, Breiman RF. 1998. More than 10 Years of Unrecognized

Nosocomial Transmission of Legionnaires' Disease among Transplant Patients. Infect Control

Hosp Epidemiol 19:898–904.

6. Phin N, Parry-Ford F, Harrison T, Stagg HR, Zhang N, Kumar K, Lortholary O, Zumla A, Abubakar I.

2014. Epidemiology and clinical management of Legionnaires' disease. Lancet Infect Dis 14:1011–

1021.

7. Fraser DW, Tsai TR, Orenstein W, Parkin WE, Beecham HJ, Sharrar RG, Harris J, Mallison GF,

Martin SM, McDade JE, Shepard CC, Brachman PS, Fraser, David W. and Tsai, Theodore R. and

Orenstein, Walter and Parkin, William E. and Beecham, H. James and Sharrar, Robert G. and

Harris, John and Mallison, George F. and Martin, Stanley M. and McDade, Joseph E. and Shepard,

Charles C. and Brachman PS, Fraser DW, Tsai TR, Orenstein W, Parkin WE, Beecham HJ, Sharrar

RG, Harris J, Mallison GF, Martin SM, McDade JE, Shepard CC, Brachman PS. 1977. Legionnaires'

disease: description of an epidemic of pneumonia. N Engl J Med 297:1189–11897.

8.   Tobin JO, Bartlett CL, Waitkins SA, Barrow GI, Macrae AD, Taylor AG, Fallon RJ, Lynch FR. 1981.

Legionnaires' disease: Further evidence to implicate water storage and distribution systems as

sources. Br Med J 282:573.

9.   Prussin AJ, Schwake DO, Marr LC. 2017. Ten questions concerning the aerosolization and

transmission of Legionella in the built environment. Build Environ 123:684–695.

10.   Boppe I, Bédard E, Taillandier C, Lecellier D, Nantel-Gauvin MA, Villion M, Laferrière C, Prévost M.

2016. Investigative approach to improve hot water system hydraulics through temperature

monitoring to reduce building environmental quality hazard associated to Legionella. Build

Environ 108:230–239.

11.   Colbourne JS, Pratt DJ, Smith MG, Fisher-Hoch SP, Harper D. 1984. Water Fittings as Sources of

Legionella pneumophila in a Hospital Plumbing System. The Lancet 323:210–213.

12.   David S, Mentasti M, Lai S, Vaghji L, Ready D, Chalker VJ, Parkhill J. 2018. Spatial structuring of a

legionella pneumophila population within the water system of a large occupational building.

Microb Genomics 4.

13.   Van Kenhove E, Dinne K, Janssens A, Laverge J. 2019. Overview and comparison of Legionella

regulations worldwide. Am J Infect Control 47:968–978.

14.   Declerck P. 2010. Biofilms: The environmental playground of Legionella pneumophila. Environ

Microbiol 12:557–566.

15.   Farhat M, Moletta-Denat M, Frère J, Onillon S, Trouilhé MC, Robine E. 2012. Effects of

589   disinfection on legionella spp., eukarya, and biofilms in a hot water system. Appl Environ

590   Microbiol 78:6850–6858.

591   16.   Bédard E, Lévesque S, Martin P, Pinsonneault L, Paranjape K, Lalancette C, Dolcé CÉ, Villion M,

592   Valiquette L, Faucher SP, Prévost M. 2016. Energy Conservation and the Promotion of Legionella

593   pneumophila Growth: The Probable Role of Heat Exchangers in a Nosocomial Outbreak. Infect

594   Control Hosp Epidemiol 37:1475–1480.

595   17.   Peiró Callizo EF, Sierra JD, Pombo JMS, Baquedano CE, Huerta BP. 2005. Evaluation of the

596   effectiveness of the Pastormaster method for disinfection of legionella in a hospital water

597   distribution system. J Hosp Infect 60:150–158.

598   18.   Almeida D, Cristovam E, Caldeira D, Ferreira JJ, Marques T. 2016. Are there effective

599   interventions to prevent hospital-acquired Legionnaires' disease or to reduce environmental

600   reservoirs of Legionella in hospitals? A systematic review. Am J Infect Control 44:e183–e188.

601   19.   USEPA. 2016. Technologies for Legionella Control in Premise Plumbing Systems: Scientific

602   Literature ReviewEPA, Office of Water. United States Environmental Protection Agency.

603   20.   Vervaeren H, Temmerman R, Devos L, Boon N, Verstraete W. 2006. Introduction of a boost of

604   Legionella pneumophila into a stagnant-water model by heat treatment. FEMS Microbiol Ecol

605   58:583–592.

606   21.   Lin YE, Stout JE, Yu VL. 2011. Controlling Legionella in Hospital Drinking Water: An Evidence-

607   Based Review of Disinfection Methods. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 32:166–173.

608   22.   Whiley H, Bentham R, Brown MH. 2017. Legionella persistence in manufactured water systems:

609   Pasteurization potentially selecting for thermal tolerance. Front Microbiol 8.

610   23.   Allegra S, Grattard F, Girardot F, Riffard S, Pozzetto B, Berthelot P. 2011. Longitudinal evaluation

611   of the efficacy of heat treatment procedures against Legionella spp. in hospital water systems by

612   using a flow cytometric assay. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:1268–1275.

613   24.   Bédard E, Boppe I, Kouamé S, Martin P, Pinsonneault L, Valiquette L, Racine J, Prévost M. 2016.

614   Combination of heat shock and enhanced thermal regime to control the growth of a persistent

615   Legionella pneumophila strain. Pathogens 5:1–3.

616   25.   Steinert M, Ockert G, Lück C, Hacker J. 1998. Regrowth of Legionella pneumophila in a heat-

617   disinfected plumbing system. Zentralblatt Bakteriol 288:331–342.

618   26.   Kramer B, Thielmann J. 2016. Monitoring the live to dead transition of bacteria during thermal

619   stress by a multi-method approach. J Microbiol Methods 123:24–30.

620   27.   Mitsuzawa S, Deguchi S, Horikoshi K. 2006. Cell structure degradation in Escherichia coli and

621   Thermococcus sp. strain Tc-1-95 associated with thermal death resulting from brief heat

622   treatment. FEMS Microbiol Lett 260:100–105.

623   28.   Richter K, Haslbeck M, Buchner J. 2010. The Heat Shock Response: Life on the Verge of Death.

624   Mol Cell 40:253–266.

625   29.   Binder SC, Eckweiler D, Schulz S, Bielecka A, Nicolai T, Franke R, Häussler S, Meyer-Hermann M.

626   2016. Functional modules of sigma factor regulons guarantee adaptability and evolvability. Sci

627   Rep 6:22212.

628   30.   Nonaka G, Blankschien M, Herman C, Gross CA, Rhodius VA. 2006. Regulon and promoter analysis

629   of the E. coli heat-shock factor, σ32, reveals a multifaceted cellular response to heat stress.

630   Genes Dev 20:1776–1789.

631   31.   Basta DW, Angeles-Albores D, Spero MA, Ciemniecki JA, Newman DK. 2020. Heat-shock proteases

632   promote survival of Pseudomonas aeruginosa during growth arrest. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

633   117:4358–4367.

634   32.   Feder ME, Hofmann GE. 1999. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress

635   response: Evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu Rev Physiol 61:243–282.

636   33.   McCarty JS, R üdiger S, Sch önfeld H-J, Schneider-Mergener J, Nakahigashi K, Yura T, Bukau B.

637   1996. Regulatory Region C of the E. coli Heat Shock Transcription Factor, σ32, Constitutes a DnaK

638   Binding Site and is Conserved Among Eubacteria. J Mol Biol 256:829–837.

639   34.   Yura T. 2019. Regulation of the heat shock response in Escherichia coli: History and perspectives.

640   Genes Genet Syst 94:103–108.

641   35.   Lema MW, Brown A, Butler CA, Hoffman PS. 1988. Heat-shock response in Legionella

642   pneumophila. Can J Microbiol 34:1148–1153.

643   36.   Cazalet C, Rusniok C, Brüggemann H, Zidane N, Magnier A, Ma L, Tichit M, Jarraud S, Bouchier C,

644   Vandenesch F, Kunst F, Etienne J, Glaser P, Buchrieser C. 2004. Evidence in the Legionella

645   pneumophila genome for exploitation of host cell functions and high genome plasticity. Nat

646   Genet 36:1165–1173.

647   37.   Chien M, Morozova I, Shi S, Sheng H, Chen J, Gomez SM, Asamani G, Hill K, Nuara J, Feder M,

648   Rineer J, Greenberg JJ, Steshenko V, Park SH, Zhao B, Teplitskaya E, Edwards JR, Pampou S,

649   Georghiou A, Chou I-C, Iannuccilli W, Ulz ME, Kim DH, Geringer-Sameth A, Goldsberry C, Morozov

650   P, Fischer SG, Segal G, Qu X, Rzhetsky A, Zhang P, Cayanis E, De Jong PJ, Ju J, Kalachikov S,

651   Shuman HA, Russo JJ. 2004. The genomic sequence of the accidental pathogen Legionella

652   pneumophila. Science 305:1966–1968.

653  38. Garduño RA, Chong A, Nasrallah GK, Allan DS. 2011. The Legionella pneumophila chaperonin - An

654     unusual multifunctional protein in unusual locations. Front Microbiol 2:122.

655  39. Li XH, Zeng YL, Gao Y, Zheng XC, Zhang QF, Zhou SN, Lu YJ. 2010. The ClpP protease homologue is

656     required for the transmission traits and cell division of the pathogen Legionella pneumophila.

657     BMC Microbiol 10:54.

658  40. Zhao BB, Li XH, Zeng YL, Lu YJ. 2016. ClpP-deletion impairs the virulence of Legionella

659     pneumophila and the optimal translocation of effector proteins. BMC Microbiol 16:1–12.

660  41. Mendis N, McBride P, Saoud J, Mani T, Faucher SP. 2018. The LetA/S two-component system

661     regulates transcriptomic changes that are essential for the culturability of Legionella

662     pneumophila in water. Sci Rep 8:6764.

663  42. Saoud J, Mani T, Faucher SP. 2021. The Tail-Specific Protease Is Important for Legionella

664     pneumophila To Survive Thermal Stress in Water and inside Amoebae. Appl Environ Microbiol

665     87:1–15.

666  43. Barrick JE, Lenski RE. 2013. Genome dynamics during experimental evolution. Nat Rev Genet

667     14:827–839.

668  44. Bruger EL, Marx CJ. 2018. A decade of genome sequencing has revolutionized studies of

669     experimental evolution. Curr Opin Microbiol 45:149–155.

45.   Dykhuizen D. 2016. Thoughts toward a theory of natural selection: The importance of microbial

experimental evolution. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 8:a018044.

46.   Frenkel EM, McDonald MJ, Van Dyken JD, Kosheleva K, Lang GI, Desai MM. 2015. Crowded

growth leads to the spontaneous evolution of semistable coexistence in laboratory yeast

populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:11306–11311.

47.   Bruckbauer ST, Trimarco JD, Martin J, Bushnell B, Senn KA, Schackwitz W, Lipzen A, Blow M,

Wood EA, Culberson WS, Pennacchio C, Cox MM. 2019. Experimental evolution of extreme

resistance to ionizing radiation in Escherichia coli after 50 cycles of selection. J Bacteriol 201.

48.   Deatherage DE, Kepner JL, Bennett AF, Lenski RE, Barrick JE. 2017. Specificity of genome

evolution in experimental populations of Escherichia coli evolved at different temperatures. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E1904–E1912.

49.   Harden MM, He A, Creamer K, Clark MW, Hamdallah I, Martinez KA, Kresslein RL, Bush SP,

Slonczewski JL. 2015. Acid-Adapted Strains of Escherichia coli K-12 Obtained by Experimental

Evolution. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:1932–1941.

50.   Almahmoud I, Kay E, Schneider D, Maurin M. 2009. Mutational paths towards increased

fluoroquinolone resistance in Legionella pneumophila. J Antimicrob Chemother 64:284–293.

51.   Descours G, Ginevra C, Jacotin N, Forey F, Chastang J, Kay E, Etienne J, Lina G, Doublet P, Jarraud

S. 2017. Ribosomal Mutations Conferring Macrolide Resistance in Legionella pneumophila.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e02188-16.

52. Ensminger AW, Yassin Y, Miron A, Isberg RR. 2012. Experimental evolution of Legionella

pneumophila in mouse macrophages leads to strains with altered determinants of environmental

survival. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002731.

53. Cervero-Aragó S, Schrammel B, Dietersdorfer E, Sommer R, Lück C, Walochnik J, Kirschner A.

2019. Viability and infectivity of viable but nonculturable Legionella pneumophila strains induced

at high temperatures. Water Res 158:268–279.

54. Rhoads WJ, Ji P, Pruden A, Edwards MA. 2015. Water heater temperature set point and water use

patterns influence Legionella pneumophila and associated microorganisms at the tap.

Microbiome 3:67.

55. Burmann BM, Schweimer K, Luo X, Wahl MC, Stitt BL, Gottesman ME, Rösch P. 2010. A

NusE:NusG Complex Links Transcription and Translation. Science 328:501–504.

56. Peters JM, Mooney RA, Grass JA, Jessen ED, Tran F, Landick R. 2012. Rho and NusG suppress

pervasive antisense transcription in Escherichia coli. Genes Dev 26:2621–2633.

57. Zhang T, Shi XC, Xia Y, Mai L, Tremblay PL. 2019. Escherichia coli adaptation and response to

exposure to heavy atmospheric pollution. Sci Rep 9:10879.

58. Saxena S, Myka KK, Washburn R, Costantino N, Court DL, Gottesman ME. 2018. Escherichia coli

transcription factor NusG binds to 70S ribosomes. Mol Microbiol 108:495–504.

59. Wang B, Artsimovitch I. 2021. NusG, an Ancient Yet Rapidly Evolving Transcription Factor. Front

Microbiol 11.

60.   Bailey EJ, Gottesman ME, Gonzalez RL. 2022. NusG-mediated Coupling of Transcription and

Translation Enhances Gene Expression by Suppressing RNA Polymerase Backtracking. J Mol Biol

434:167330.

61.   Rodríguez-Verdugo A, Tenaillon O, Gaut BS. 2016. First-Step Mutations during Adaptation Restore

the Expression of Hundreds of Genes. Mol Biol Evol 33:25–39.

62.   LaCroix RA, Sandberg TE, O'Brien EJ, Utrilla J, Ebrahim A, Guzman GI, Szubin R, Palsson BO, Feist

AM. 2015. Use of Adaptive Laboratory Evolution To Discover Key Mutations Enabling Rapid

Growth of Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655 on Glucose Minimal Medium. Appl Environ Microbiol

81:17–30.

63.   Utrilla J, O'Brien EJ, Chen K, McCloskey D, Cheung J, Wang H, Armenta-Medina D, Feist AM,

Palsson BO. 2016. Global Rebalancing of Cellular Resources by Pleiotropic Point Mutations

Illustrates a Multi-scale Mechanism of Adaptive Evolution. Cell Syst 2:260–271.

64.   Gao R, Mack TR, Stock AM. 2007. Bacterial Response Regulators: Versatile Regulatory Strategies

from Common Domains. Trends Biochem Sci 32:225–234.

65.   Martínez-Hackert E, Stock AM. 1997. The DNA-binding domain of OmpR: crystal structures of a

winged helix transcription factor. Structure 5:109–124.

66.   Feldheim YS, Zusman T, Speiser Y, Segal G. 2016. The Legionella pneumophila CpxRA two-

component regulatory system: new insights into CpxR's function as a dual regulator and its

connection to the effectors regulatory network. Mol Microbiol 99:1059–1079.

67.   Tanner JR, Li L, Faucher SP, Brassinga AKC. 2016. The CpxRA two-component system contributes

to Legionella pneumophila virulence. Mol Microbiol 100:1017–1038.

68.   Arsène F, Tomoyasu T, Bukau B. 2000. The heat shock response of Escherichia coli. Int J Food

730   Microbiol 55:3–9.

731   69.   Cheetham ME, Caplan AJ. 1998. Structure, function and evolution of DnaJ: conservation and

732   adaptation of chaperone function. Cell Stress Chaperones 3:28–36.

733   70.   Chenoweth MR, Wickner S. 2008. Complex regulation of the DnaJ homolog CbpA by the global

734   regulators σS and Lrp, by the specific inhibitor CbpM, and by the proteolytic degradation of

735   CbpM. J Bacteriol 190:5153–5161.

736   71.   Genevaux P, Wawrzynow A, Zylicz M, Georgopoulos C, Kelley WL. 2001. DjlA is a third DnaK co-

737   chaperone of Escherichia coli, and DjlA-mediated induction of colanic acid capsule requires DjlA-

738   DnaK interaction. J Biol Chem 276:7906–7912.

739   72.   Dalebroux ZD, Yagi BF, Sahr T, Buchrieser C, Swanson MS. 2010. Distinct roles of ppGpp and DksA

740   in Legionella pneumophila differentiation. Mol Microbiol 76:200–219.

741   73.   Kim J-S, Liu L, Fitzsimmons LF, Wang Y, Crawford MA, Mastrogiovanni M, Trujillo M, Till JKA, Radi

742   R, Dai S, Vázquez-Torres A. 2018. DksA–DnaJ redox interactions provide a signal for the activation

743   of bacterial RNA polymerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci 115:E11780–E11789.

744   74.   Kim J-S, Liu L, Vázquez-Torres A. 2021. The DnaK/DnaJ Chaperone System Enables RNA

745   Polymerase-DksA Complex Formation in Salmonella Experiencing Oxidative Stress. mBio

746   12:e03443-20.

747   75.   Liang J, Faucher SP. 2022. Transcriptomic Adaptation of Legionella pneumophila to Transient

748   Heat Shock. Front Water 4.

76.   Shi Y, Hong X, Wang C. 2005. The C-terminal (331–376) Sequence of Escherichia coli DnaJ Is

Essential for Dimerization and Chaperone Activity: A SMALL ANGLE X-RAY SCATTERING STUDY IN

SOLUTION*. J Biol Chem 280:22761–22768.

77.   Cajo GC, Horne BE, Kelley WL, Schwager F, Georgopoulos C, Genevaux P. 2006. The role of the DIF

motif of the DnaJ (Hsp40) co-chaperone in the regulation of the DnaK (Hsp70) chaperone cycle. J

Biol Chem 281:12436–12444.

78.   Obrist M, Milek S, Klauck E, Hengge R, Narberhaus F. 2007. Region 2.1 of the Escherichia coli

heat-shock sigma factor RpoH (σ32) is necessary but not sufficient for degradation by the FtsH

protease. Microbiology 153:2560–2571.

79.   Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R,

Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-Paredes B,

Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M, Steinegger M,

Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli

P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. 7873. Nature

596:583–589.

80.   Varadi M, Anyango S, Deshpande M, Nair S, Natassia C, Yordanova G, Yuan D, Stroe O, Wood G,

Laydon A, Žídek A, Green T, Tunyasuvunakool K, Petersen S, Jumper J, Clancy E, Green R, Vora A,

Lutfi M, Figurnov M, Cowie A, Hobbs N, Kohli P, Kleywegt G, Birney E, Hassabis D, Velankar S.

2022. AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of

protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. Nucleic Acids Res 50:D439–D444.

81.   Alam A, Bröms JE, Kumar R, Sjöstedt A. 2021. The Role of ClpB in Bacterial Stress Responses and

Virulence. Front Mol Biosci 8.

82.   Doyle SM, Hoskins JR, Wickner S. 2012. DnaK Chaperone-dependent Disaggregation by

772   Caseinolytic Peptidase B (ClpB) Mutants Reveals Functional Overlap in the N-terminal Domain

773   and Nucleotide-binding Domain-1 Pore Tyrosine*. J Biol Chem 287:28470–28479.

774   83.   Shih C-J, Lai M-C. 2007. Analysis of the AAA+ chaperone clpB gene and stress-response expression

775   in the halophilic methanogenic archaeon Methanohalophilus portucalensis. Microbiol Read Engl

776   153:2572–2583.

777   84.   Kedzierska S, Akoev V, Barnett ME, Zolkiewski M. 2003. Structure and Function of the Middle

778   Domain of ClpB from Escherichia coli. Biochemistry 42:14242–14248.

779   85.   Weibezahn J, Schlieker C, Tessarz P, Mogk A, Bukau B. 2005. Novel insights into the mechanism of

780   chaperone-assisted protein disaggregation. Biol Chem 386:739–744.

781   86.   Carroni M, Kummer E, Oguchi Y, Wendler P, Clare DK, Sinning I, Kopp J, Mogk A, Bukau B, Saibil

782   HR. 2014. Head-to-tail interactions of the coiled-coil domains regulate ClpB activity and

783   cooperation with Hsp70 in protein disaggregation. eLife 3:e02481.

784   87.   Haslberger T, Weibezahn J, Zahn R, Lee S, Tsai FTF, Bukau B, Mogk A. 2007. M domains couple the

785   ClpB threading motor with the DnaK chaperone activity. Mol Cell 25:247–260.

786   88.   LaBreck CJ, May S, Viola MG, Conti J, Camberg JL. 2017. The Protein Chaperone ClpX Targets

787   Native and Non-native Aggregated Substrates for Remodeling, Disassembly, and Degradation

788   with ClpP. Front Mol Biosci 4.

789   89.   Honoré FA, Méjean V, Genest O. 2017. Hsp90 Is Essential under Heat Stress in the Bacterium

790   Shewanella oneidensis. Cell Rep 19:680–687.

791   90.   Morán Luengo T, Kityk R, Mayer MP, Rüdiger SGD. 2018. Hsp90 Breaks the Deadlock of the Hsp70

792   Chaperone System. Mol Cell 70:545-552.e9.

793   91.   Thomas JG, Baneyx F. 2000. ClpB and HtpG facilitate de novo protein folding in stressed

794   Escherichia coli cells. Mol Microbiol 36:1360–1370.

795   92.   Neunuebel MR, Mohammadi S, Jarnik M, Machner MP. 2012. Legionella pneumophila LidA

796   Affects Nucleotide Binding and Activity of the Host GTPase Rab1. J Bacteriol 194:1389–1400.

797   93.   Schoebel S, Cichy AL, Goody RS, Itzen A. 2011. Protein LidA from Legionella is a Rab GTPase

798   supereffector. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:17945–17950.

799   94.   Conover GM, Derré I, Vogel JP, Isberg RR. 2003. The Legionella pneumophila LidA protein: a

800   translocated substrate of the Dot/Icm system associated with maintenance of bacterial integrity.

801   Mol Microbiol 48:305–321.

802   95.   Huang L, Boyd D, Amyot WM, Hempstead AD, Luo Z-Q, O'Connor TJ, Chen C, Machner M,

803   Montminy T, Isberg RR. 2011. The E Block motif is associated with Legionella pneumophila

804   translocated substrates. Cell Microbiol 13:227–245.

805   96.   Lesnik R, Brettar I, Höfle MG. 2016. Legionella species diversity and dynamics from surface

806   reservoir to tap water: From cold adaptation to thermophily. ISME J 10:1064–1080.

807   97.   Mendis N, McBride P, Faucher SP. 2015. Short-term and long-term survival and virulence of

808   legionella pneumophila in the defined freshwater medium Fraquil. PLoS ONE 10:1–17.

809   98.   Li L, Mendis N, Trigui H, Faucher SP. 2015. Transcriptomic changes of Legionella pneumophila in

810   water. BMC Genomics 16:637.

811   99.   Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

812   Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890.

813   100.   Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from

814   next-generation sequencing data using breseq, p. 165–188. *In* Sun, L, Shou, W (eds.), Methods in

815   Molecular Biology. Springer New York, New York, NY.

816   101.   Langmead B, Wilks C, Antonescu V, Charles R. 2019. Scaling read aligners to hundreds of threads

817   on general-purpose processors. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 35:421–432.

818   102.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. 4. Nat Methods

819   9:357–359.

820   103.   R Core Team. 2021. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for

821   Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.

822   104.   Svec D, Tichopad A, Novosadova V, Pfaffl MW, Kubista M. 2015. How good is a PCR efficiency

823   estimate: Recommendations for precise and robust qPCR efficiency assessments. Biomol Detect

824   Quantif 3:9–16.

825   105.   Yuan JS, Wang D, Stewart Jr. CN. 2008. Statistical methods for efficiency adjusted real-time PCR

826   quantification. Biotechnol J 3:112–123.

827   106.   Rao C, Benhabib H, Ensminger AW. 2013. Phylogenetic Reconstruction of the Legionella

828   pneumophila Philadelphia-1 Laboratory Strains through Comparative Genomics. PLoS ONE 8.

829   107.   De Felipe KS, Glover RT, Charpentier X, Anderson OR, Reyes M, Pericone CD, Shuman HA. 2008.

830   Legionella eukaryotic-like type IV substrates interfere with organelle trafficking. PLoS Pathog

831   4:e1000117.

832   108.   Chen J, de Felipe KS, Clarke M, Lu H, Anderson OR, Segal G, Shuman HA. 2004. Legionella

833   Effectors That Promote Nonlytic Release from Protozoa. Science 303:1358–1361.

834   109.   Faucher SP, Mueller CA, Shuman HA. 2011. Legionella pneumophila transcriptome during

835   intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Front Microbiol 2:60.

836   110.   Hovel-Miner G, Pampou S, Faucher SP, Clarke M, Morozova I, Morozov P, Russo JJ, Shuman HA,

837   Kalachikov S. 2009. σ S controls multiple pathways associated with intracellular multiplication of

838   Legionella pneumophila. J Bacteriol 191:2461–2473.