Peer-reviewed &
recommended by

PCI
Ecology

Sex differences in the relationship between maternal and foetal glucocorticoids in a free-ranging large mammal

Bawan Amin[1, 5*], Ruth Fishman[2, 6], Matthew Quinn[1, 3], Devorah Matas[2], Rupert Palme[4], Lee Koren[2], Simone Ciuti[1]

1) Laboratory of Wildlife Ecology and Behaviour, School of Biology and Environmental Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

2) Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel

3) Department of Biosciences, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom

4) Unit of Physiology, Pathophysiology and Experimental Endocrinology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria

5) Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

6) Department of Brain Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

* Correspondence: b.k.r.amin@uu.nl

Acknowledgements

We thank the Office of Public Works (OPW), Ireland, for funding (grant no. R18625) and support. We thank the SBES in University College Dublin (UCD), and the British Deer Society (R21845) for funding this project. We also would like to thank the Higher Education Authority of Ireland for providing a Covid-19 related Research Cost Extensions to BA. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We declare that none of the authors have a conflict of interest.

Open Science and data availability statement

Following Kane & Amin (2023), all versions, updates and additional material are stored transparently

on OSF (DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/4YMC8). In addition, we have published the data and the script used

for the main analysis, along with the raw faecal data under CC-BY 4.0 license on Zenodo (Amin et al.,

2023).

Author contributions

BA: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, writing -original

draft preparation; RF: Conceptualization, writing – original draft preparation, writing – review &

editing; MQ: Data curation, investigation, writing – review & editing; DM: Investigation,

methodology, writing – review & editing; RP: Investigation, methodology, writing – review & editing;

LK: Investigation, methodology, writing – review & editing; SC: Conceptualization, funding

acquisition, resources, project administration, supervision, writing – review & editing

Abstract

Maternal phenotypes can have long-term effects on offspring phenotypes. These maternal effects

may begin during gestation, when maternal glucocorticoid (GC) levels may affect foetal GC levels,

thereby having an organizational effect on the offspring phenotype. Recent studies have showed

that maternal effects may be different between the sexes. However, how maternal GC levels relate

to foetal levels is still not completely understood. Here we related, for the first time in a free-ranging

large mammal, the fallow deer (*Dama dama*), maternal GC levels with foetal *in utero* GC levels. We

did this in a non-invasive way by quantifying cortisol metabolites from faecal samples collected from

pregnant does during late gestation, as proxy for maternal GC level. These were then related to GC

levels from hair of their neonate offspring (n = 40). We have shown that maternal GC levels were

positively associated with foetal GC levels, but only in female offspring. These findings highlight sex

differences, which may have evolved to optimize male growth at the cost of survival.

Keywords

cortisol, free-ranging, fallow deer, hair-testing, faecal metabolites

Introduction

Parental phenotypes can be drivers of offspring variation (Badyaev & Uller, 2009; Wolf & Wade, 2009), and in many mammalian species, these parental effects are often assumed to be mainly maternal effects because of pregnancy and nursing. Maternal effects are seen as an adaptive way in which mothers can either fine tune their offspring for the current environmental conditions (Groothuis et al., 2005; Sheriff & Love, 2013), or maximise their own reproductive output (Groothuis et al., 2019; Marshall & Uller, 2007). These effects on the offspring are present from the earliest stages of development and can have long lasting influence on offspring phenotype (Seckl & Meaney, 2004; Weinstock, 2008).

During gestation, maternal glucocorticoid (GC) levels can affect offspring phenotype in many ways, including affecting offspring birth weight and HPA-axis reactivity (Dantzer et al., 2013; Seckl & Holmes, 2007; Seckl & Meaney, 2004). These effects can linger until offspring are well into adulthood (e.g. Liu et al., 2001), which is also referred to as foetal programming (Seckl & Holmes, 2007). There is increasing evidence, however, that there are sex differences in foetal programming (Braithwaite et al., 2018; Fishman et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2001). In mice (*Mus musculus*), for instance, higher maternal GC was associated with higher foetal GCs, but only in female offspring (Wieczorek et al., 2019). In nutria (*Myocastor coypus*), *in utero* accumulated testosterone was found to be heritable, but only between parents and offspring of the same sex (Fishman et al., 2024). In birds, a meta-analysis found evidence that male offspring are typically more strongly affected by maternal steroid hormones in the egg than female offspring (Podmokła et al., 2018). Since high GC levels during gestation are associated with growth restrictions (Edwards et al., 1996; Meakin et al., 2021; Seckl & Holmes, 2007), it is possible that sex differences in foetal programming are due to the tendency of males to invest more in growth (Meakin et al., 2021).

Most studies investigating hormonal maternal effects investigate offspring GC levels after birth and most evidence comes from either clinical studies on humans (Gitau et al., 1998), rodents (Weinstock, 2008) or birds (Groothuis et al., 2019; Jenkins et al., 2014), with a paucity of data on

free-ranging mammals. This is usually due to the challenges of measuring maternal and foetal

hormone levels during gestation in non-captive mammals. Recent developments, however, have

enabled measuring foetal hormonal levels post-parturition by quantifying steroids in neonate hair

(Amin et al., 2021; Fishman et al., 2019; Kapoor et al., 2016). Hair steroid levels represent long-term

levels, accumulated over weeks to months (Gormally & Romero, 2020), and therefore reflect *in*

utero integrated levels when quantified in neonates (Amin et al., 2021). Whether these levels are

associated with circulating maternal GC levels, however, is currently not well understood.

Here we explored, for the first time in free-ranging large mammals, the relationship between

circulating maternal GC levels and offspring *in utero* accumulated GC levels. For that purpose, we

collected faeces of mothers during late gestation, from which we quantified GC metabolites (Palme,

2019), and related that to their offspring hair GC levels (Amin et al., 2021), which were collected

during the first days post-parturition. We ensured that females were sampled within their last five

weeks of gestation, since that is when the foetus is fully covered in fur (Chapman & Chapman, 1997).

To allow for sex differences in the association between maternal and foetal GC levels, we ran

analyses to explore differences between male and female offspring. We had no clear *a priori*

predictions regarding the existence or direction of potential sex differences.

Methods

Study population

We conducted this study in Phoenix Park, a 7.07 km^2 urban park located in Dublin, Ireland. There is a

resident population of free-ranging fallow deer that has been introduced in the 17th century, with a

population size of about 600 individuals in late summer, after fawn births (Griffin et al., 2022). Most

births occur between early and late June of each year, with fallow deer does typically producing one

fawn per year. Fallow deer is a hider species and fawns remain hidden, usually in tall grass or

understory vegetation, away from the main doe herd during the first weeks of life after which they

are brought into the doe herd by their mothers (Chapman & Chapman, 1997; Ciuti et al., 2006).

Fawns are occasionally predated upon by red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*), the only natural predator in the

park, and domestic dogs who are brought into the park by public visitors.

Faeces collection and analysis of maternal faecal cortisol metabolites (FCMs)

Faecal samples were collected between 8 AM and 3 PM, from May 19th until May 29th 2020, when

the does were in late gestation. We made sure to sample during this period in order to compare

maternal and foetal GC levels, since that is when the foetus is covered in hair (Chapman & Chapman,

1997). Groups of deer were observed from a distance of 50 meters using a spotting scope to identify

individuals (>80% of the population is identifiable via unique colour coded ear-tags). Fresh faecal

samples were collected within a minute of defecation and immediately stored in zip locked bags.

These were kept in a cooler bag until they could be stored in a freezer at -20˚C, which was always

within a few hours. Samples (see *Sample sizes*-section below) were kept frozen on dry ice during

transportation to the University of Veterinary Medicine (Vienna, Austria), where cortisol metabolites

in the samples were quantified (Palme, 2019). We added 5 mL of methanol (80%) to weighed

aliquots (0.5 g) of homogenized faecal samples, after which the samples were shaken and

centrifuged as previously described (Palme et al., 2013). FCMs were analysed with an 11-

oxoaetiocholanolone enzyme immunoassay (for details see Möstl et al., 2002), which was previously

validated for fallow deer by administering an adrenocorticotropic hormone to fallow deer individuals

(Konjević et al., 2011). In their study, Konjević et al. found a clear increase of FCM levels after 22

hours, indicating that this method is suitable for monitoring adrenocortical activity in our species.

Neonate hair collection and hair GC level quantification

Neonate hair samples were collected during the fawn captures in June 2020. Fawns were routinely

captured with fishing nets, typically during their first week of life, as part of the long-term

management of the population. During handling, we collected physiological and behavioural data

(see Amin et al., 2021 for full details on the capture protocol), as well as hair samples (>100 mg)

from the belly of the fawn using an electric trimmer (Wahl model 9639; Wahl Clipper Corporation).

We extracted cortisol levels from fawn hair using a standardized protocol for hair-testing (Fishman

et al., 2019; Koren & Geffen, 2009), by using commercial enzyme immunoassay (EIA; Salimetrics;

Ann Arbor, MI, USA, item no. 1-3002) kits. Full details on these extractions and validations are

described in Amin et al. (2021) and in the supplementary material (S1).

Mother-fawn pairing

Mother-fawn pairs were based on field observations with data collection starting in July, when

young fawns were making their first entrances into the female herds. For details regarding the

pairing, see Griffin et al. (2022) and Supplementary S1.

Sample sizes

We collected and analysed a total of 164 faecal samples of 99 different pregnant does. We removed

one outlier from an individual that had multiple samples, because it was far outside the range of the

other values (value: 1319 ng/g faeces; range of other values: 33-751 ng/g faeces). Removing this

single sample did not affect our results. For individuals with multiple values, we took the mean of

these values to create a dataset that had one estimate per individual. Out of these, we were able to

pair 41 does with their fawns through the mother-fawn observations during summer. We also

removed one outlier from the male neonate GC dataset because it was far outside the range of the

other values (value: 29.5 pg/mg hair; range of other values: 6.5-18.3 pg/mg hair). Our final sample

size thus consisted of 40 fawns (18 females and 22 males) paired with their mothers. Running our

analysis with and without this outlier revealed that removing this outlier did not affect the estimates

of our models, although it did affect statistical significance of one of our tests due to an increased

variance (see Results).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in RStudio (Version 1.3.1093) using R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team, 2020).

For the maternal FCM levels, we checked among-individual repeatability with the *rptR*-package

(version 0.9.22; Stoffel et al., 2017). We then first checked whether maternal FCM or neonatal GC

levels differed between the offspring sexes by performing a t-test (that considered unequal

variances). Next we explored, for each sex separately, the relationship between neonate hair GCs

and maternal FCMs through the use of linear models (see details below). We were at first reluctant

to run one model with both sexes including an interaction term. This was because models with

interaction terms require high sample sizes (Harrison et al., 2018). After analysing the separate

models, however, we considered it useful to further investigate whether the slope between

neonatal GCs and maternal FCMs were different for the two foetal sexes *post hoc*. We therefore ran

the additional model, including both sexes (see details below).

To investigate the relationship between neonate hair GCs and maternal FCMs, we ran a

linear model for each fawn sex separately. In both models, we had the neonate hair GCs as the

response variable and the maternal FCMs as the explanatory variable. Maternal FCM levels were log-

transformed to improve model fit, since these suffered from a slight positive skew. Model

assumptions were checked with the *DHARMa*-package (Version 0.4.3; Hartig, 2021) and were

successfully met (see R-script from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8355167). Statistical inferences

were made based on the estimate and the associated 95% Confidence Interval from the models.

During the preliminary analysis, we included the number of days between the collection of mothers'

faeces and the day of fawn birth as an explanatory variable in our models. This was to account for

potential variation in FCM levels as a function of gestational day of collection (i.e. mid-May vs late

May when closer to parturition). This additional predictor had no clear effect and made our models

worse, indicated by a higher AIC in all cases ($\Delta AIC_{females} = 1.99$, $\Delta AIC_{males} = 0.54$, $\Delta AIC_{both} = 1.43$); we

therefore decided not to include it. We plotted our results using the *ggplot2*-package (Wickham,

2016).

Finally, we decided to run an additional model *post-hoc*, including both sexes in the same

model, to further investigate whether the slopes of our models were different between male and

female offspring. We ran a linear model with neonate hair GCs as the response variable. As

explanatory variables, we included maternal FCMs (log transformed), fawn sex and the interaction

between both these explanatory variables.

Results

Considerable variation was found in both the individual maternal FCM levels (range: 91-608 ng/g

faeces) as well as the neonate hair GC levels (range: 6.50-18.30 pg/mg hair; outlier value: 29.5

pg/mg hair). Repeatability of FCM levels was low (R = 0.126, 95% CI [0, 0.351], p = 0.07), indicating

that there was quite some within-individual variation. We found no clear sex differences, neither for

mean maternal FCM levels (t-test; t = 0.79, 95% CI [-40.68, 93.11], p = 0.43) nor for mean neonate

hair GC levels (t-test; t = 0.26, 95% CI [-1.74, 2.25], p = 0.80).

We found that female hair cortisol was positively associated with maternal FCMs (LM: β =

5.88, 95% CI [0.20, 11.57], p = 0.04, N = 18, $R^2_{adjusted}$ = 0.18; Fig. 1A). Male hair cortisol levels were not

associated with maternal FCMs (LM: β = 0.67, 95% CI [-1.30, 2.64], p = 0.49, N = 22, $R^2_{adjusted}$ = -0.02;

Fig. 1B). Our *post-hoc* model confirmed that there was indeed an interaction between foetal sex and

maternal levels, where the slope between maternal and foetal levels was lower in male offspring

compared to female offspring (LM: β = -5.21, 95% CI [-10.34, -0.08], p = 0.047, N = 40, $R^2_{adjusted}$ =

0.10). Including the outlier that was removed did not affect the estimate. However, it did increase

the variance of the interaction and thus, the interaction was no longer statistically clear (LM: β = -

5.41, 95% CI [-12.49, 1.68], p = 0.13, N = 40, $R^2_{adjusted}$ = 0.02).

Figure 1: The relationship between maternal faecal cortisol metabolites (FCMs) and neonate hair

GCs, for female (A) and male (B) offspring. Trendlines and their 95% confidence intervals are fitted in

each plot. Solid trendline indicates a statistically clear relationship. The neonate hair GC outlier that

was omitted from our analysis is indicated with a red diamond in panel B.

Discussion

In this study we found that maternal FCM levels were positively related to foetal GC levels, but only in females. In male offspring, we found no clear relationship between maternal and foetal GC levels. These findings suggest that maternal GC levels may affect male offspring less than female offspring. We discuss here how, from an evolutionary point of view, sex-specific mechanisms may have evolved to optimize life-history trade-offs.

Like many other species, fallow deer have a skewed mating distribution (McElligott et al., 2001; Moore et al., 1995). While females tend to produce one fawn per year (Chapman & Chapman, 1997), male reproduction skew is steep. Only a small proportion of the males reproduces, with the majority having no or very few offspring (Ciuti et al., 2011; McElligott et al., 2001; Moore et al., 1995). Low quality sons assumably end up costing resources, with no fitness benefits in the long run, possibly driving selection to prioritize male growth over survival. A recent study has shown that male birthweights in our populations are indeed higher than female birthweights (Griffin et al., 2023).

GC levels play a key role during gestation and have major effects on offspring phenotype early in life. In addition to being crucial for organ development during the late stages of gestation (Kitterman et al., 1981; Liggins, 1994), GCs play a role in fighting inflammation (Auphan et al., 1995) and thereby help to keep the foetus vital. At the same time, high GC levels may restrict growth (Edwards et al., 1996; Meakin et al., 2021; Seckl & Holmes, 2007). As males tend to prioritize growth (Meakin et al., 2021), there should be sex differences in the mechanisms that evolved. For example, maternal GC contribution may be limited in male foetuses to prevent growth retardation, at the cost of prenatal survival. This may contribute in some degree to higher prenatal mortality rates in males (Desportes et al., 1994; Eriksson et al., 2010; Kruuk et al., 1999).

The mechanisms underlying these sex differences may originate in the mammalian placenta. Previous studies, in rodents and humans, have shown sex differences in the structure and activity of the placenta (Meakin et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2003; O'Connell et al., 2013; Rosenfeld, 2015; Wieczorek et al., 2019). There is also evidence that maternal GCs may transport more easily through

female placentas than males' (Wieczorek et al., 2019). This may be, for instance, through different

levels of expression and activity of enzymes or transporters that mitigate different maternal GC level

spill over between males and females.

One of the key enzymes active in the placenta, 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2

(11β-HSD2), inactivates cortisol to cortisone (Edwards et al., 1996; Tomlinson & Stewart, 2001).

Placental 11β-HSD has been frequently shown to be positively related to birthweight (Edwards et al.,

1996; Meakin et al., 2021; Seckl et al., 2000; Seckl & Meaney, 2004), and likely plays a role in

preventing growth restriction. In humans and mice, studies have shown that male foetuses have

higher expression or activity of 11β-HSD2 in response to maternal GC surges (Murphy et al., 2003;

Wieczorek et al., 2019). Similarly in sheep, male foetuses were shown to increase 11β-HSD2 in

response to maternal dexamethasone administration, whereas female foetuses did not (Braun et al.,

2009). This may explain why a different study found that, following an antenatal GC treatment,

growth restriction was lower in males than in females (Miller et al., 2012). In addition, male mice

were shown to have increased ATP-binding cassette transporters, which mediate GC efflux toward

maternal circulation (Wieczorek et al., 2019), indicating that there are different mechanisms through

which males may be able to limit maternal GC levels (Montano et al., 1993). This altogether may

explain why females may have higher foetal survival (Meakin et al., 2021), whereas males may be

larger and faster growing.

We acknowledge that there are some shortcomings to this study. We report patterns taken

over only one year, whereas ecological patterns may vary between years. Furthermore, a modest

sample size (due to the challenges of the design), restricted more elaborate analyses. Repeatability

of the maternal FCM levels was also low, indicating ample within-individual variation. Ideally, a

future study will include multiple samples of each individual to account for this within-individual

variation. Nevertheless, as one of the first studies quantifying the relationship between maternal

and foetal GC levels non-invasively, this study provides novel insights into how these fundamental

relationships may function in a free-ranging large mammal population. It is important to note that

the nature of the study described here is exploratory, meaning that it generates hypotheses which

should be tested in the future.

To conclude, we explored in this study the relationship between maternal and foetal GC

levels in a free-ranging population of fallow deer. Our findings suggest that there are sex differences

in the underlying evolutionary processes, which may have optimized growth at the cost of survival

for male offspring, whereas maternal and female offspring GCs are associated. Our study

furthermore suggests, in line with previous research, that the amount of GCs that the foetus is

exposed to is not fully controlled by the mother (Gitau et al., 1998), but is also influenced by the

offspring (Groothuis et al., 2019). Most of the existing literature uses the rodent or human model,

with very little existing studies on other taxa. Although some features of the placenta may be

conserved, there are evolutionary differences between species (Fowden, 2003; Rosenfeld, 2015).

Non-model species may provide different outcomes (Fishman et al., 2019), through which we can

gain fundamental insights of evolutionary mechanisms. This study provides novel insights into

possible sex-specific maternal effects, and a method that can be viable for other systems, enabling

the study of patterns rarely studied in the wild.

References

Amin, B., Fishman, R., Quinn, M., Matas, D., Palme, R., Koren, L., & Ciuti, S. (2023). Data from: Sex

differences in the relationship between maternal and foetal glucocorticoids in a free-ranging

large mammal (2.0.0) [Data set]. *Zenodo*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8355167

Amin, B., Jennings, D. J., Smith, A. F., Quinn, M., Chari, S., Haigh, A., Matas, D., Koren, L., & Ciuti, S.

(2021). In utero accumulated steroids predict neonate anti-predator response in a wild

mammal. *Functional Ecology*, *35*(6), 1255–1267. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13790

Auphan, N., Didonato, J. A., Rosette, C., Helmberg, A., & Karin, M. (1995). Immunosuppression by

glucocorticoids: Inhibition of NF-κB activity through induction of IκB synthesis. *Science*,

270(5234), 286–290. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5234.286

Badyaev, A. V., & Uller, T. (2009). Parental effects in ecology and evolution: Mechanisms, processes

and implications. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

364(1520), 1169–1177. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0302

Braithwaite, E. C., Hill, J., Pickles, A., Glover, V., O'Donnell, K., & Sharp, H. (2018). Associations

between maternal prenatal cortisol and fetal growth are specific to infant sex: Findings from

the Wirral Child Health and Development Study. *Journal of Developmental Origins of Health*

and Disease, *9*(4), 425–431. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174418000181

Braun, T., Li, S., Sloboda, D. M., Li, W., Audette, M. C., Moss, T. J. M., Matthews, S. G., Polglase, G.,

Nitsos, I., Newnham, J. P., & Challis, J. R. G. (2009). Effects of maternal dexamethasone

treatment in early pregnancy on pituitary-adrenal axis in fetal sheep. *Endocrinology*,

150(12), 5466–5477. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-0086

Chapman, D., & Chapman, N. (1997). *Fallow deer: Their history, distribution and biology* (2nd ed.).

Coch-y-bonddu Books.

Ciuti, S., Bongi, P., Vassale, S., & Apollonio, M. (2006). Influence of fawning on the spatial behaviour

and habitat selection of female fallow deer (Dama dama) during late pregnancy and early

lactation. *Journal of Zoology*, *268*(1), 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

7998.2005.00003.x

Ciuti, S., De Cena, F., Bongi, P., & Apollonio, M. (2011). Benefits of a risky life for fallow deer bucks

(Dama dama) aspiring to patrol a lek territory. *Behaviour*, *148*(4), 435–460.

https://doi.org/10.1163/000579511X563981

Dantzer, B., Newman, A. E. M., Boonstra, R., Palme, R., Boutin, S., Humphries, M. M., & McAdam, A.

G. (2013). Density triggers maternal hormones that increase adaptive offspring growth in a

wild mammal. *Science*, *340*(6137), 1215–1217. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235765

Desportes, G., Andersen, L. W., & Bloch, D. (1994). Variation in foetal and postnatal sex ratios in

long-finned pilot whales. *Ophelia*, *39*(3), 183–196.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00785326.1994.10429543

Edwards, C. R. W., Benediktsson, R., Lindsay, R. S., & Seckl, J. R. (1996). 11β-Hydroxysteroid

dehydrogenases: Key enzymes in determining tissue-specific glucocorticoid effects. *Steroids*,

61(4), 263–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-128X(96)00033-5

Eriksson, J. G., Kajantie, E., Osmond, C., Thornburg, K., & Barker, D. J. P. (2010). Boys live dangerously

in the womb. *American Journal of Human Biology*, *22*(3), 330–335.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.20995

Fishman, R., Kralj-Fišer, S., Marglit, S., Koren, L., & Vortman, Y. (2024). Fathers and sons, mothers

and daughters: Sex-specific genetic architecture for fetal testosterone in a wild mammal.

Hormones and Behavior, *161*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2024.105525

Fishman, R., Vortman, Y., Shanas, U., & Koren, L. (2019). Non-model species deliver a non-model

result: Nutria female fetuses neighboring males in utero have lower testosterone. *Hormones*

and Behavior, *111*(February), 105–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.02.011

Fowden, A. (2003). The insulin-like growth factors and feto-placental growth. *Placenta*, *24*(8–9),

803–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0143-4004(03)00080-8

Gitau, R., Cameron, A., Fisk, N. M., & Glover, V. (1998). Fetal exposure to maternal cortisol. *Lancet*,

352(9129), 707–708. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)60824-0

Gormally, B. M. G., & Romero, L. M. (2020). What are you actually measuring? A review of

techniques that integrate the stress response on distinct time-scales. *Functional Ecology*,

34(10), 2030–2044. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13648

Griffin, L. L., Haigh, A., Amin, B., Faull, J., Corcoran, F., Baker-Horne, C., & Ciuti, S. (2023). Does

artificial feeding impact neonate growth rates in a large free-ranging mammal? *Royal Society*

Open Science, *10*(3), 221386. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.221386

Griffin, L. L., Haigh, A., Amin, B., Faull, J., Norman, A., & Ciuti, S. (2022). Artificial selection in human-

wildlife feeding interactions. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *91*(9), 1892–1905.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13771

Groothuis, T. G. G., Hsu, B. Y., Kumar, N., & Tschirren, B. (2019). Revisiting mechanisms and functions

of prenatal hormone-mediated maternal effects using avian species as a model.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *374*(1770), 20180115.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0115

Groothuis, T. G. G., Müller, W., von Engelhardt, N., Carere, C., & Eising, C. (2005). Maternal

hormones as a tool to adjust offspring phenotype in avian species. *Neuroscience &*

Biobehavioral Reviews, *29*(2), 329–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2004.12.002

Harrison, X. A., Donaldson, L., Correa-Cano, M. E., Evans, J., Fisher, D. N., Goodwin, C. E. D.,

Robinson, B. S., Hodgson, D. J., & Inger, R. (2018). A brief introduction to mixed effects

modelling and multi-model inference in ecology. *PeerJ*, *6:e4794*.

https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4794

Hartig, F. (2021). *DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level/Mixed) Regression*

Models (0.4.3). https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DHARMa

Jenkins, B. R., Vitousek, M. N., Hubbard, J. K., & Safran, R. J. (2014). An experimental analysis of the

heritability of variation in glucocorticoid concentrations in a wild avian population.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *281*(1790), 20141302.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1302

Kane, A., & Amin, B. (2023). Amending the literature through version control. *Biology Letters*, *19*(1),

20220463. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2022.0463

Kapoor, A., Lubach, G. R., Ziegler, T. E., & Coe, C. L. (2016). Hormone levels in neonatal hair reflect

prior maternal stress exposure during pregnancy. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *66*, 111–117.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.01.010

Kitterman, J. A., Liggins, G. C., Campos, G. A., Clements, J. A., Forster, G. C., Lee, C. H., & Creasy, R. K.

(1981). Prepartum maturation in fetal sheep: Relation of the lung to cortisol. *Journal of*

Applied Physiology, *51*(2), 384–390. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1981.51.2.384

Konjević, D., Janicki, Z., Slavica, A., Severin, K., Krapinec, K., Božić, F., & Palme, R. (2011). Non-

invasive monitoring of adrenocortical activity in free-ranging fallow deer (Dama dama L.).

European Journal of Wildlife Research, *57*(1), 77–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-010-

0401-1

Koren, L., & Geffen, E. (2009). Androgens and social status in female rock hyraxes. *Animal Behaviour*,

77(1), 233–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.09.031

Kruuk, L. E., Clutton-Brock, T. H., Albon, S. D., Pemberton, J. M., & Guinness, F. E. (1999). Population

density affects sex ratio variation in red deer. *Nature*, *399*(6735), 459–461.

https://doi.org/10.1038/20917

Liggins, G. C. (1994). The role of cortisol in preparing the fetus for birth. *Reproduction, Fertility and*

Development, *6*(2), 141–150. https://doi.org/10.1071/RD9940141

Liu, L., Li, A., & Matthews, S. G. (2001). Maternal glucocorticoid treatment programs HPA regulation

in adult offspring: Sex-specific effects. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and*

Metabolism, *280*(5), E729–E739. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.2001.280.5.E729

Marshall, D. J., & Uller, T. (2007). When is a maternal effect adaptive? *Oikos*, *116*, 1957–1963.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.16203.x

McElligott, A. G., Gammell, M. P., Harty, H. C., Paini, D. R., Murphy, D. T., Walsh, J. T., & Hayden, T. J.

(2001). Sexual size dimorphism in fallow deer (Dama dama): Do larger, heavier males gain

greater mating success? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *49*(4), 266–272.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s002650000293

Meakin, A. S., Cuffe, J. S. M., Darby, J. R. T., Morrison, J. L., & Clifton, V. L. (2021). Let's talk about

placental sex, baby: Understanding mechanisms that drive female-and male-specific fetal

growth and developmental outcomes. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *22*(12).

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22126386

Miller, S. L., Sutherland, A. E., Supramaniam, V. G., Walker, D. W., Jenkin, G., & Wallace, E. M. (2012).

Antenatal glucocorticoids reduce growth in appropriately grown and growth-restricted ovine

fetuses in a sex-specific manner. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*, *24*(5), 753.

https://doi.org/10.1071/RD11143

Montano, M. M., Wang, M., & vom Saal, F. S. (1993). Sex differences in plasma corticosterone in

mouse fetuses are mediated by differential placental transport from the mother and

eliminated by maternal adrenalectomy or stress. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*, *99*,

283–290. https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0990283

Moore, N. P., Kelly, P. F., Cahill, J. P., & Hayden, T. J. (1995). Mating strategies and mating success of

fallow (Dama dama) bucks in a non-lekking population. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,

36(2), 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00170713

Möstl, E., Maggs, J. L., Schrötter, G., Besenfelder, U., & Palme, R. (2002). Measurement of cortisol

metabolites in faeces of ruminants. *Vetinary Research Communications*, *26*, 127–139.

https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014095618125

Murphy, V. E., Gibson, P. G., Giles, W. B., Zakar, T., Smith, R., Bisits, A. M., Kessell, C. G., & Clifton, V.

L. (2003). Maternal asthma is associated with reduced female fetal growth. *American Journal*

of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, *168*(11), 1317–1323.

https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200303-374OC

O'Connell, B. A., Moritz, K. M., Walker, D. W., & Dickinson, H. (2013). Sexually dimorphic placental

development throughout gestation in the spiny mouse (Acomys cahirinus). *Placenta*, *34*(2),

199–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2012.11.009

Palme, R. (2019). Non-invasive measurement of glucocorticoids: Advances and problems. *Physiology*

& Behavior, *199*, 229–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.11.021

Palme, R., Touma, C., Arias, N., Dominchin, M. F., & Lepschy, M. (2013). Steroid extraction: Get the

best out of faecal samples. *Wiener Tierärztliche Monatsschrift*, *100*(9–10), 238–248.

Podmokła, E., Drobniak, S. M., & Rutkowska, J. (2018). Chicken or egg? Outcomes of experimental

manipulations of maternally transmitted hormones depend on administration method - a

meta-analysis: Maternal hormones and manipulation methods. *Biological Reviews*, *93*(3),

1499–1517. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12406

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. https://www.R-

project.org/

Rosenfeld, C. S. (2015). Sex-specific placental responses in fetal development. *Endocrinology*,

156(10), 3422–3434. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1227

Seckl, J. R., Cleasby, M., & Nyirenda, M. J. (2000). Glucocorticoids, 11β-hydroxysteroid

dehydrogenase, and fetal programming. *Kidney International*, *57*(4), 1412–1417.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2000.00984.x

Seckl, J. R., & Holmes, M. C. (2007). Mechanisms of disease: Glucocorticoids, their placental

metabolism and fetal'programming'of adult pathophysiology. *Nature Clinical Practice*

Endocrinology & Metabolism, *3*, 479–488. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncpendmet0515

Seckl, J. R., & Meaney, M. J. (2004). Glucocorticoid programming. *Annals of the New York Academy*

of Sciences, *1032*(44), 63–84. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1314.006

Sheriff, M. J., & Love, O. P. (2013). Determining the adaptive potential of maternal stress. *Ecology*

Letters, *16*(2), 271–280. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12042

Stoffel, M. A., Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2017). rptR: Repeatability estimation and variance

decomposition by generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and*

Evolution, *8*(11), 1639–1644.

Tomlinson, J. W., & Stewart, P. M. (2001). Cortisol metabolism and the role of 11β-hydroxysteroid

dehydrogenase. *Best Practice & Research Endocrinology & Metabolism*, *15*(1), 61–78.

https://doi.org/10.1053/beem.2000.0119

Weinstock, M. (2008). The long-term behavioural consequences of prenatal stress. *Neuroscience and*

Biobehavioral Reviews, *32*(6), 1073–1086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.03.002

Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer.

Wieczorek, A., Perani, C. V., Nixon, M., Constancia, M., Sandovici, I., Zazara, D. E., Leone, G., Zhang,

M. Z., Arck, P. C., & Solano, M. E. (2019). Sex-specific regulation of stress-induced fetal

glucocorticoid surge by the mouse placenta. *American Journal of Physiology - Endocrinology*

and Metabolism, *317*(1), E109–E120. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00551.2018

Wolf, J. B., & Wade, M. J. (2009). What are maternal effects (and what are they not)? *Philosophical*

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *364*(1520), 1107–1115.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0238

453