RFP-CyanineDye Probe Pair for In vivo Neurovascular Multiphoton Imaging

Fei Xia[2,3,4], David Sinefeld[5,2], Zong Chang[1], Xiaojing Gong*[1], Qinchao Sun*[1]

[1]Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biomedical Optical Imaging Technology, Center for Biomedical Optics and Molecular Imaging, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518055, China,

[2]School of Applied and Engineering Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca

[3]Meinig School of Biomedical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca

[4]Present address: Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, ENS-PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Collège de France, Paris, France

[5]Department of Applied Physics and Electro-Optical Engineering, Jerusalem College of Technology, Jerusalem, Israel

Abstract

In vivo imaging of the neurovascular network is considered to be one of the most powerful approaches for understanding brain functionality. Nevertheless, simultaneously imaging the neuron network and blood vessels in deeper brain layers in a non-invasive manner remains to be a major challenge due to the lack of appropriate labeling fluorescence probe pairs. Herein, we proposed a 2P and 3P fluorescence probe pair for neurovascular imaging. Specifically, the red fluorescence protein (RFP) with an absorption maximum around 550 nm is used as a 3P excited probe to label neurons, and a cyanine derivative dye Q820 has a NIR absorption maximum of 825 nm as a 2P excited probe to label the vasculature, enabling single wavelength excitation at 1650 nm for neurovascular imaging. In particular, the two-photon cross section of Q820 was found to be about 2-fold higher than that of indocyanine green (ICG), a commonly used red two-photon fluorescence labeling agent, at the same excitation wavelength. Benefited from the long wavelength advantage in reducing scattering in both 2 and 3-photon excitation of the fluorescence pairs, we demonstrated in vivo neurovascular imaging in intact mouse brains through white matter and deep into the hippocampus in somatosensory cortex.

Introduction

Neurovascular network, as the one of the most intelligent systems on earth, has attracted tremendous efforts to understand its mechanisms of communication and cooperation.[1–4] It has been found that neural activity and vascular function are closely coordinated, for instance, the blood flow of capillaries can be modulated by neural activity in a process known as neurovascular coupling (NVS).[1,2,5,6] In vivo imaging techniques have been indispensable for understanding neurovascular coupling. For example, functional MRI uses the coupling between neuronal activity and hemodynamics in the brain to localize and measure brain activity non-invasively.[7] While MRI can provide large scale in vivo brain images, the spatial resolution is severely compromised. *In vivo* optical fluorescence microscopy, on the other hand, allows the visualization of biological processes at higher spatial and temporal resolutions.[8] To image deeper in highly scattered tissue with a high spatial resolution, several imaging techniques have been proposed, mainly utilizing long wavelength excitation to reduce the tissue scattering.[9–11] For instance, NIRII one-photon fluorescence imaging was developed to take the advantage of the long emission wavelength for in vivo deep tissue imaging.[12] The

long wavelength/NIRII two-photon (2P) imaging and three-photon (3P) fluorescence imaging have been applied for in vivo 3D deep mouse brain imaging via long wavelength excitation.[13,14]

For long wavelength fluorescence microscopy, reliable and bright fluorescent probes are essential for intravital deep imaging for biological studies.[15] For instance, the toolbox development of fluorescence protein makes the in vivo labeling neuron network feasible.[16] Thanks to the significant 3P absorption cross section of fluorescence proteins, in vivo 3P imaging of the fluorescence protein labeled neuron network has been demonstrated reaching over 1 mm in deep mouse brain.[13,14] However, in comparison to fluorescent protein, small organic fluorescent molecules possess unique advantages, including easy modification of their photophysical properties and molecular structures. Specifically, the cyanine dyes are one of the most studied fluorescent probes for imaging. For example, ICG is the only FDA-approved dye with emission spectrum greater than 800 nm.[15] Furthermore, ICG has a reasonable two-photon cross section for in vivo imaging.[17] However, due to its limited brightness and relatively short one-photon absorption peak wavelength, ICG has limited application in deeper brain imaging.

It was demonstrated that the optimal imaging windows for mouse brain imaging are around 1300 nm and 1700 nm, where 1700 nm being most promising for deeper structural imaging.[18] 3P fluorescence imaging of RFP labeled neuron network has been reported for deep brain tissue with 1700 nm excitation.[13] However, simultaneously imaging the neuron network and brain blood vessels in deeper brain layers is still considered to be a great challenge for the lack of right labeling fluorescence probe pair. In previous work, it was demonstrated that neuronal activity and vasculature can be imaged simultaneously at wavelengths at 1300 nm, but one fluorophore must be excited to higher energy levels.[19] Herein, we aim to propose a generalized strategy to allow multicolor imaging around 1700 nm combining a 2P and 3P fluorescence probe pair, for which the RFP with absorption maximum around 550 nm as the 3P excited probe, and the cyanine derivative dye Q820 with absorption maximum around 825 nm as the 2P excited probe. The long excitation wavelength was chosen at 1650 nm. The two-photon cross section of Q820 was found to be about 2-fold higher than that of ICG at the excitation wavelength. An *in vivo* 3D imaging of blood vessels through white matter was achieved as deep as 1.7 mm using the dye Q820 in the mouse brain. The neurovascular network was also imaged via such proposed fluorescent probe pair.

Experimental Details

Materials

All reagents and solvents were used as received without further purification. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) (98%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). ICG and all other chemicals were bought from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). The extracted RFP was a gift from a biosynthetic lab.

Preparation of Q820

The synthesis of Q820 was based on the typical cyanine dye preparation procedure. Briefly, compound A was first synthesized by mixing 1,1,2-trimethyl-1H-benzo[e]indole (1.05 g, 5.0 mmol) in toluene (10 mL), EtI (0.48 mL, 6.0 mmol) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux overnight, then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum filtration and the residue was washed with ether to afford the desired product 12 (1.5 g, 82%) as a blue solid. To a solution of compound A (182.5 mg,

0.5 mmol) in EtOH (10 mL), sodium acetate (41 mg, 0.5 mmol) and N-((E)-(2-chloro-3-((E)-(phenylimino)methyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-ylidene)methyl)aniline hydrochloride (90 mg, 0.25 mmol) was added gradually under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 4 h, then allowed to cool to room temperature. After evaporation of solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography. HNMR and HRMS were performed to characterize the final product.

Imaging setup

We used a wavelength-tunable optical parametric amplifier (OPA, Opera-F, Coherent) pumped by an amplifier (Monaco, Coherent). The laser was operated at 1650 nm. The repetition rate and the optical power were tuned to maintain a similar signal-to-noise ratio at various depths. A laser scanning microscope (Movable Objective Microscope, Sutter Instrument) was used. A silicon wafer was inserted in the beam path to compensate the dispersion in the system.[20] For the detection of the Q820 fluorescence signal, a GaAs photomultiplier tube (PMT) (H7421-50, Hamamatsu) and a long pass filter (800 nm) were used. For detection of RFP tdimer2(12), GaAs PMT and 630 ± 46 nm band-pass filter (Chroma Technology) were used. For the detection of the third-harmonic signal, a GaAsP photomultiplier tube (H7421-40, Hamamatsu Photonics) and a 558 ± 10 nm bandpass filter (Semrock) were used. Current generated by the PMT was converted to voltage (0.1 $V\mu A^{-1}$) and low-pass-filtered (20kHz) by a transimpedance amplifier (C7319, Hamamatsu Photonics). Analog-to-digital conversion was performed by a data acquisition card (NI PCI-6110, National Instruments. We use a custom high NA water immersion microscope objective (XLPLN25XWMP2, Olympus, 25× 1.05 NA) with coating for high transmission (~80%) at 1,650 nm. A dichroic mirror (750DCXXR, Chroma Technology) was used to direct the fluorescence and third harmonic signal to the PMTs.

Mouse surgery and in vivo imaging

Animal procedures were reviewed and approved by the Cornell Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. We used male wildtype mouse (C57BL/6J, 8 weeks old, Jackson Laboratory) for Q820 labelled vasculature imaging, and B6.Cg-Tg(Thy1-Brainbow1.0) HLich/J mice (18 g, 8 weeks old, The Jackson Laboratory) for RFP-labelled neuron imaging. The B6.Cg-Tg(Thy1-Brainbow1.0) HLich/J mice were not subjected to Cre recombinase, so the only fluorescent protein expression was from RFP tdimer2(12). Craniotomy was performed on an 8-week-old mouse centered at 2 mm posterior and 2 mm lateral to the Bregma point. The cranial window with a circular shaped glass of 5 mm in diameter was mounted directly on the intact dura during imaging. The mouse was immediately imaged after craniotomy and was anesthetized with isoflurane (1-2% in oxygen for induction and 1.5-2% for surgery and imaging to maintain a breathing rate of 1 Hz). During the in vivo imaging session, the mouse body temperature was maintained at 37.5 °C with a temperature-controlled blanket (Harvard Apparatus) and the eyes were kept lubricated with eye ointment. Heavy water (D_2O) was used as the immersion medium to minimize the absorption of the excitation wavelength at 1650 nm. For imaging of the vasculature in the mouse brain in vivo, the dye was retro-orbitally injected for labeling. All images were taken at a frame rate of 0.24 Hz (512 × 512 pixels/frame) with a field of view (FOV) of 340 × 340 μm. At the largest depth, the frame was averaged 25 times. For imaging depth measurement, because the reported imaging depths are based on the raw axial movement of the objective, the slightly larger index of

refraction in brain tissue relative to water resulted in a slight underestimate (5–10%) of the actual imaging depth within the tissue.[13]

Results and Discussion

Characterization of RFP, ICG and Q820

The absorption peak of RFP (tdimer2 (12)) is around 550 nm, and the proper 3P excitation wavelength was chosen around 1650 nm, Figure 1b. To perform 2-photon fluorescence imaging with the same excitation wavelength with efficient 2P excitation, a Q820 dye was prepared, whose absorption peak was approximately 825 nm. To obtain a well-biocompatible fluorescent probe, the Q820 was incubated first with BSA to form a Q820@BSA complex, Figure 1a. The absorption and emission spectra of Q820@BSA, ICG and RFP (tdimer2 (12)) were shown in Figure 1c and 1d. The maximum absorption of Q820@BSA is around 825 nm which is about 40 nm redshifted from that of ICG (785 nm). For the RFP (tdimer2 (12)), the maximum absorption is around 550 nm. We chose an excitation wavelength around 1650 nm for efficient 2P excitation of Q820@BSA and the 3P excitation of RFP. The two and three photon fluorescence as a function of the excitation laser intensity with excitation at 1650 nm was shown in Figure 1e. A slope around 2 for both Q820@BSA and ICG fluorescent and about 3 for RFP was observed. The normalized two-photon fluorescence of ICG and Q820 was shown in Figure 1f. For ICG and Q820@BSA at the same molecular concentration (1 μM), the corresponding two-photon fluorescence intensity was found to be about 2 folds higher for Q820@BSA than ICG at excitation of 1650 nm.

Figure 1. (a) The molecular geometry of Q820@BSA. (b) The molecular geometry of RFP. (c) Absorption and emission spectrum of ICG, Q820@BSA. (d) Absorption and emission spectrum of RFP. (e) (left axis) The two-photon fluorescence intensity as a function of excitation laser intensity of ICG and Q820@BSA; (right axis) the three-photon fluorescence intensity as a function of excitation laser intensity of RFP. All axis were in log scale. (f) The normalized multiphoton fluorescence of ICG and Q820@BSA (2p), RFP (3p) with excitation at 1650 nm.

Deep _in vivo_ 2P fluorescence imaging of vasculature in mouse brain

The 2P fluorescence *in vivo* imaging of the brain vascular network was performed via retro-orbital injection of Q820@BSA and with fluorescence excitation about 1650 nm. A 3D image stack was reconstructed for the 2P fluorescence channel as shown in Figure 2. The THG channel was imaged simultaneously. Providing label free information of the brain tissue. During the first few hundreds of microns deep in the cortical layers, the THG contrast is mainly due to lipid-rich structures such as blood vessels and myelinated axons. Through THG, the white matter could be clear distinguished with the characterized pattern of the filaments from 875 m to 950 m as shown in Figure 2 and video 2. THG signal is degraded when imaging deeper. After the whiter matter layer is reached, there is a significant drop in THG signal, and a stronger background is visible in the 2P fluorescence channel as a result of the increased scattering from white matter layers. Despite strong scattering, we were still able to visualize blood vessels at the depth around 1700 m.

Figure 2. The 3D reconstruction of the brain vascular network via 2-photon fluorescence imaging with excitation at 1650 nm, and the corresponding third-harmonic generation (THG) images with scale bar at 100 m.

Characterization of 2P fluorescence imaging with Q820

The effectiveness attenuation length was used to characterize the optical properties of the brain tissue in order to quantitatively evaluate how deep we had penetrated in the mouse brain. The attenuation THG signal as a function of image depth was analysed, instead of the two-photon fluorescence channel. In comparison to the intrinsic probe of THG, the two-photon fluorescence signal could be affected by the probe properties, for instance the blood circulation time. To obtain the attenuation of the THG signal over depth, the THG signal for each image was first calculated as the average value of the top 0.1% of all pixels within a single frame at a specific depth. Then, the natural logarithm of THG signal normalized by cubic square of power used at the brain surface   as a function of the imaging depth was calculated and shown in Figure 3. In a heavily scattering tissue environment, the intensity of the excitation beam at the focus would be greatly reduced as a function the imaging depth. Since the signal was collected by a large area bucket detector (i.e. PMT), the scattering loss of signal could be negligible. The recorded multiphoton fluorescence signal would be mostly dominated by the signal attenuation of the excitation beam. The —— as a function of the imaging depth could be described as Equation 1, where   is the power used at the brain surface for each imaging depth, *m* is the order of nonlinear process, *C* is a constant and   is effective attenuation length.

Figure 3. THG signal decay curve plotted on a semi-log scale for calculating the effective attenuation lengths in the neocortex and the white matter layer. The straight lines are linear fitting results for calculating the effective attenuation length   .

$$\text{——} \qquad\qquad\qquad (1)$$

A clear turning point could be observed at the depth around the 900   m in Figure 3. According to equation 1, the change in the slope corresponds to the variation of the effective attenuation length   . It is consistent with

the adult mouse brain anatomy that the low scattering somatosensory cortex has a thickness of about 1 mm, and beyond that the high scattering white matter is present. Under the white matter lies the hippocampal region. The of cortex was found to be around 370 m. The white matter exhibits much smaller as about 179 m. There is no observable THG signal from the hippocampal tissue.

Figure 4. The signal to noise ratio of 2P fluorescence image. (a) 2P fluorescence image of brain blood vessel at depth about 1000 m. (b) 2P fluorescence image of brain blood vessel at depth about 1600 m. The upper left labeled numbers in (a,b) indicate the depth in the unit of microns. (c) The 2P fluorescence profile of the region of interest in (a) yellow line. (d) The 2P fluorescence profile of the region of interest in (b) yellow line.

The signal to noise ratio (S/N) of the 2P fluorescence image at depth around 1000 m within white matter and 1600 m within hippocampus is shown in Figure 4. The noise is calculated by the standard deviation of the background signal and the signal is subtracted from the background. The S/N ratio at the image depth around 1000 m was about 20, and about 7 at around 1600 m. The signal-to-background ratio (SBR) is around 6.5 at 1600 m, which is far beyond the depth limit of fluorescence microscopy (SBR=1). It is also clearly shown in the images that high-resolution capillary is still visible with 2P vasculature imaging with Q820 at 1650 nm excitation.

Simultaneous deep neuron and vasculature imaging within living intact mouse brain

Although previous work has shown simultaneous multi-color imaging of neurons and vasculature with 3-photon excitation, it either requires complicated custom laser sources or a specific excitation scheme.[19,21] For deeper imaging, longer excitation wavelengths of 1700 nm are preferred. It should be noted, however, that in vivo imaging in the 1700 nm window is limited by the availability of bright and biocompatible fluorophores. As

part of our research, we developed and applied Q820 probes for labeling mouse vasculature. Using excitation around 1650 nm, deep 2-photon imaging was possible through white matter, as demonstrated in Figure 4. Moreover, the 3P photon excitation of RFP occurs within the same excitation region, allowing for neuronal imaging with red fluorescence labeling. We can therefore perform simultaneous neurovascular imaging using Q820-RFP probe pairs excited at 1650 nm shown in Figure 5, and the corresponding video as supplementary video1. This is achieved by simultaneous 2-photon excitation of Q820 for vasculature imaging and 3-photon excitation of RFP for neuronal imaging, at the same wavelength around 1650 nm in the 1700 nm imaging window. The neuron cells could be observed up to 1200 m at depth, limited by the brightness of RFP, while clear blood vessels could be observed much deeper up to 1500 m through highly scattered white matter layers. Consequently, we anticipate further efforts to be made to develop brighter red fluorescent proteins to enable the imaging of the neurovascular system at greater depths.

Figure 5. The neurovascular imaging of in vivo mouse brain at 1650 nm excitation with 2P fluorescence for Q820 labeled blood vessels and 3P fluorescence for RFP labeled neurons at different depths. Scalar bar: 100 m.

Conclusion

In summary, we demonstrate neurovascular in vivo imaging through the highly scattering white matter in adult mouse brain via multiphoton fluorescence microscopy, thanks to the appropriate transition energy match of the 3-photon excitation of RFP and 2-photon excitation of Q820. It was found that Q820 had a potential high two-photon absorption cross section that could provide a deep vascular labelling image as deep as 1700 μm beyond the white matter. Our work demonstrates that neuronal cells and brain blood vessels can be simultaneously imaged via 3P and 2P at the same excitation wavelength, which can provide a generalizable solution for in vivo studies of neurovascular interactions in deeper brain tissue. Moreover, we hope that this study will motivate the development of more fluorescence probes which are bright, biocompatible, and provide NIR fluorescence for 2- and 3-photon excitations.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 22077135) and Natural Science Foundation of Shenzhen (no. JCYJ20200109115633343). We are grateful to Dr. Chris Xu for providing the imaging setup.

Reference

(1)   Kaplan, L.; Chow, B. W.; Gu, C. Neuronal Regulation of the Blood–Brain Barrier and Neurovascular Coupling. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **2020**, *21* (8), 416–432. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0322-2.

(2)   Takano, T.; Tian, G. F.; Peng, W.; Lou, N.; Libionka, W.; Han, X.; Nedergaard, M. Astrocyte-Mediated Control of Cerebral Blood Flow. *Nat Neurosci* **2006**, *9* (2), 260–267. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1623.

(3)   Vanlandewijck, M.; He, L.; Mäe, M. A.; Andrae, J.; Ando, K.; Del Gaudio, F.; Nahar, K.; Lebouvier, T.; Laviña, B.; Gouveia, L.; Sun, Y.; Raschperger, E.; Räsänen, M.; Zarb, Y.; Mochizuki, N.; Keller, A.; Lendahl, U.; Betsholtz, C. A Molecular Atlas of Cell Types and Zonation in the Brain Vasculature. *Nature* **2018**, *554* (7693), 475–480. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25739.

(4)   Sweeney, M. D.; Sagare, A. P.; Zlokovic, B. V. Blood-Brain Barrier Breakdown in Alzheimer Disease and Other Neurodegenerative Disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol* **2018**, *14* (3), 133–150. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2017.188.

(5)   Hillman, E. M. C. Coupling Mechanism and Significance of the BOLD Signal: A Status Report. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **2014**, *37*, 161–181. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014111.

(6)   O'Herron, P.; Chhatbar, P. Y.; Levy, M.; Shen, Z.; Schramm, A. E.; Lu, Z.; Kara, P. Neural Correlates of Single-Vessel Haemodynamic Responses in Vivo. *Nature* **2016**, *534* (7607), 378–382. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17965.

(7)   Lee, J. H.; Durand, R.; Gradinaru, V.; Zhang, F.; Goshen, I.; Kim, D. S.; Fenno, L. E.; Ramakrishnan, C.; Deisseroth, K. Global and Local FMRI Signals Driven by Neurons Defined Optogenetically by Type and Wiring. *Nature* **2010**, *465* (7299), 788–792. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09108.

(8)   Combs, C. A. Fluorescence Microscopy: A Concise Guide to Current Imaging Methods. *Curr Protoc Neurosci* **2010**, No. SUPPL.50, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142301.ns0205s00.

(9)   Herrmann, D.; Entenberg, D.; Celso, C. Lo; Jenne, C. N.; Oktay, M. H.; Timpson, P. Multiphoton Intravital Microscopy. *Nature Review* **2022**, *2*, 89. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-022-00168-w.

(10)   Kobat, D.; Horton, N. G.; Xu, C. In Vivo Two-Photon Microscopy to 1.6-Mm Depth in Mouse Cortex. *J Biomed Opt* **2011**, *16* (10), 1. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3646209.

(11)   Xia, F.; Gevers, M.; Fognini, A.; Mok, A. T.; Li, B.; Akbari, N.; Zadeh, I. E.; Qin-Dregely, J.; Xu, C. Short-Wave Infrared Confocal Fluorescence Imaging of Deep Mouse Brain with a Superconducting Nanowire Single-Photon Detector. *ACS Photonics* **2021**. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.1c01018.

(12)   Hong, G.; Diao, S.; Chang, J.; Antaris, A. L.; Chen, C.; Zhang, B.; Zhao, S.; Atochin, D. N.; Huang, P. L.; Andreasson, K. I.; Kuo, C. J.; Dai, H. Through-Skull Fluorescence Imaging of the Brain in a New near-Infrared Window. *Nat Photonics* **2014**, *8* (9), 723–730. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.166.

(13)   Horton, N. G.; Wang, K.; Kobat, D.; Clark, C. G.; Wise, F. W.; Schaffer, C. B.; Xu, C. In Vivo Three-Photon Microscopy of Subcortical Structures within an Intact Mouse Brain. *Nat Photonics* **2013**, *7* (3), 205–209. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2012.336.

(14)   Wang, T.; Ouzounov, D. G.; Wu, C.; Horton, N. G.; Zhang, B.; Wu, C. H.; Zhang, Y.; Schnitzer, M. J.; Xu, C. Three-Photon Imaging of Mouse Brain Structure and Function through the Intact Skull. *Nat Methods* **2018**, *15* (10), 789–792. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0115-y.

(15)   Chang, Z.; Liu, F.; Wang, L.; Deng, M.; Zhou, C.; Sun, Q.; Chu, J. Near-Infrared Dyes, Nanomaterials and Proteins. *Chinese Chemical Letters* **2019**, *30* (10), 1856–1882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2019.08.034.

(16)   Palmer, A. E.; Shu, X.; Zhang, J.; Tsien, R. Y. The Growing and Glowing Toolbox of Fluorescent and Photoactive Proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci.* **2018**, *42* (2), 111–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2016.09.010.The.

(17)   Cheng, H.; Tong, S.; Deng, X.; Liu, H.; Du, Y.; He, C.; Qiu, P.; Wang, K. Deep-Brain 2-Photon Fluorescence Microscopy in Vivo Excited at the 1700 Nm Window. *Opt Lett* **2019**, *44* (17), 4432. https://doi.org/10.1364/ol.44.004432.

(18)   Wang, M.; Wu, C.; Sinefeld, D.; Li, B.; Xia, F.; Xu, C. Comparing the Effective Attenuation Lengths for Long Wavelength in Vivo Imaging of the Mouse Brain. *Biomed Opt Express* **2018**, *9* (8), 3534. https://doi.org/10.1364/boe.9.003534.

(19)   Hontani, Y.; Xia, F.; Xu, C. *Multicolor Three-Photon Fluorescence Imaging with Single-Wavelength Excitation Deep in Mouse Brain*; 2021; Vol. 7. http://spectra.arizona.edu/.

(20)   Horton, N. G.; Xu, C. Dispersion Compensation in Three-Photon Fluorescence Microscopy at 1,700 Nm. *Biomed Opt Express* **2015**, *6* (4), 1392. https://doi.org/10.1364/boe.6.001392.

(21)   Guesmi, K.; Abdeladim, L.; Tozer, S.; Mahou, P.; Kumamoto, T.; Jurkus, K.; Rigaud, P.; Loulier, K.; Dray, N.; Georges, P.; Hanna, M.; Livet, J.; Supatto, W.; Beaurepaire, E.; Druon, F. Dual-Color Deep-Tissue Three-Photon Microscopy with a Multiband Infrared Laser. *Light Sci Appl* **2018**, *7* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-018-0012-2.