1 *JNplots*: **an R package to visualize outputs from the Johnson-Neyman**
2 **technique for categorical and continuous moderators, including options for**
3 **phylogenetic regressions.**
4

5 Ken S. Toyama
6
7 Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto.

8
9

10 ABSTRACT
11
The analysis of two-way interactions in linear models is common in the fields of ecology and
evolution, being often present in allometric, macroevolutionary, and experimental studies, among
others. However, the interpretation of significant interactions can be incomplete when limited to
the examination of model coefficients and significance tests. The Johnson-Neyman technique
represents a step forward in the interpretation of significant two-way interactions, allowing the
user to examine how changes in the moderator variable, it being categorical or continuous, affect
the significance of the relationship between the dependent variable and the predictor. Despite its
implementation in several software since its initial development, the available options to perform
the method lack certain functionality aspects, including the visualization of regions of non-
significance when the moderator is categorical, the implementation of phylogenetic corrections,
and more intuitive graphical outputs. Here I present the R package *JNplots*, which aims to fill
gaps left by previous software regarding the calculation and visualization of regions of non-
significance when fitting two-way interaction models. *JNplots* includes two basic functions
which allow the user to investigate different types of interaction models, including cases where
the moderator variable is categorical or continuous. The user can also specify whether the model
to explore should be phylogenetically informed and choose a particular phylogenetic correlation
structure to be used. Finally, the functions of *JNplots* produce plots that are largely customizable
and allow a more intuitive interpretation of the interaction term. Here I provide a walkthrough on
the use of *JNplots* using three different examples based on empirical data, each representing a
different common scenario in which the package can be useful. Additionally, I present the
different customization options for the graphical outputs of *JNplots*.

INTRODUCTION

The analysis of two-way interactions in linear models (i.e., models of the form: *dependent variable ~ predictor * moderator*) is common in the fields of ecology and evolution (Hilborn and Stearns, 1982; Dochtermann and Jenkins, 2011; Spake et al., 2023). For example, one might be interested in the interaction between sex and size when examining the ontogenetic allometry of a trait in a population or species (*do males and females of a given species show different allometries?*). Macroevolutionary studies also necessitate the analysis of interactions. For instance, one could be interested in testing whether a set of species follows Bergmann's rule (i.e., a positive association between body size and latitude; Bergmann, 1847), but also whether this hypothetical relationship changes depending on the degree of precipitation these species experience (*do different levels of precipitation affect the relationship between latitude and size across species?*).

These two examples illustrate four different characteristics of models in ecology and evolutionary biology that may involve two-way interactions. First, the moderator (which is an independent variable that modulates the effect the predictor has on the dependent variable) can be (1) categorical (e.g., sex) or (2) continuous (e.g., precipitation). Next, an interaction analysis can be (3) phylogenetically-independent (e.g., the comparison of the ontogenetic allometry of males and females of the same species) or can be (4) phylogenetically-informed (e.g., the examination of the effect of precipitation on the evolutionary relationship between temperature and size across species). In the latter case, the influence of shared evolutionary history needs to be accounted for through the modification of the variance-covariance matrix of the taxa involved in the analysis (Revell, 2010; Symonds and Blomberg, 2014).

Regardless, a significant interaction term in either type of model provides evidence of an effect of the moderator on the relationship between the dependent variable and the predictor. Once this is confirmed, more information about the nature of the interaction can be obtained by looking at the model coefficients. Let us consider the first example (trait ~ size * sex). If the interaction term is significant and presents a positive coefficient a researcher would now know that the slope of the trait ~ size relationship is significantly higher for one of the sexes. Similarly, if we obtain a significant interaction term in the second example (size ~ latitude * precipitation) with a negative coefficient, one could infer that the slope of the size ~ latitude relationship becomes more negative (or less positive) as precipitation increases.

However, even with this information the significant effect of an interaction might have relevant biological implications that are not immediately obvious. For example, males might have a steeper allometric slope than females for a given trait based on our inference of a significant interaction, but this does not eliminate the possibility that males and females might not be different in shape at large (Figure 1A) or small sizes (Figure 1B), or even that they might not significantly differ in shape at any size value that is biologically relevant (Figure 1C). Moreover, in Figure 1A and 1B we cannot statistically conclude that, overall, one sex has relatively larger trait values than the other, even though the visualization of the data suggests this is the case at least for most of the size range. The reason is that the assumption of homogeneity of slopes, necessary to compare groups when performing analyses of covariance (i.e., ANCOVA), is not met when the interaction term is significant (Sokal and Rohlf, 2012). Similarly, precipitation

might have a significant and negative modulatory effect on the relationship between size and
latitude. However, with this information alone one cannot know the precipitation values for
which the size ~ latitude relationship is significant (Figure 1D, E). It is also possible that, despite
precipitation affecting the slope of this association, the size ~ latitude relationship stays
significant for all realistic precipitation values (Figure 1F). In either case, the examination of
coefficients when obtaining a significant interaction term might be insufficient to interpret an
interaction model and obtain useful statistical conclusions, evidencing the need for better
analytical and visualization techniques.
87

88
89
Figure 1. Hypothetical examples where the interactions between the moderator and the predictor are significant. A,
B, and C depict cases where sex, a categorical moderator, influences the relationship between a given trait and size.
In A and B, the trait value is larger in one sex than in the other, but because of the slope difference between sexes
the difference in trait values might be non-significant at some unknown values of size (here depicted as grey
regions). In C, the interaction between sex and size is also significant, but the different slopes do not result in
significant differences between sexes for any biologically relevant value of size. In D, E, and F, precipitation, a
continuous moderator, has a significant effect on the relationship between size and latitude. In D, the relationship
between size and latitude is significant at high (blue) and low (brown), but not intermediate levels of precipitation
(dotted lines). In E, the association between size and latitude is only significant and negative when precipitation is
high. In F, the relationship between size and latitude stays positive and significant regardless of precipitation level,
although it significantly influences the slope of the relationship. In D–F, solid and dotted lines represent significant
and not significant size ~ latitude associations, respectively.
102
103
104
105

106 *The Johnson-Neyman technique: available software, and limitations*
107
The Johnson-Neyman technique (Johnson and Neyman, 1936; Johnson and Fay, 1950) is a
method that allows a more thorough examination of interaction effects. Originally developed to
account for the effect of a categorical moderator, it allows the identification of a range of
predictor values for which the interaction between predictor and moderator results in non-
significant differences in the dependent variable between categories (White, 2003; Huitema,
2011). For instance, it would allow one to identify size values for which trait differences between
males and females are not significant in figures 1A and 1B (indicated by grey areas). The
Johnson-Neyman technique has already been employed in empirical research in ecology and
evolution. For example, Hünicken et al (2022) utilized the method to identify regions of non-
significance in their allometric analysis of two species of *Corbicula* clams. They found the clam
species showed different height ~ length relationships (i.e., a significant *length * species*
interaction). However, despite this significant interaction, the Johnson-Neyman technique
indicated that the two species differed in height only at the extremes of the length distribution,
while differences in height were not significant for most length values (see Figure 4D in
Hünicken et al., 2022).
123
The Johnson-Neyman technique has also been expanded to account for continuous moderators
(Bauer and Curran, 2005). Unlike the case with categorical moderators like 'sex' or 'species', one
might be more interested in assessing how a gradual change in variables like precipitation or
temperature affect the relationship between the dependent variable and the predictor (Figure 1D–
F). For example, Jaime et al (2022) estimated the rates at which trees in 130 experimental plots
were attacked by bark beetles and how these rates were affected by the climatic distance between
a given plot and the niche optima of the host tree (distance$_{host}$) and that of the beetle species
(distance$_{beetle}$) (i.e., attack rate ~ distance$_{host}$ * distance$_{beetle}$). The Johnson-Neyman technique
allowed the authors to conclude that, although attack rates decrease with distance$_{host}$, this
relationship weakens and even disappears as distance$_{beetle}$ values increase (see Figure S5 in Jaime
et al., 2022).
135
Despite being a relatively unknown method, a number of software have been developed to
perform the Johnson-Neyman technique and its expanded application for continuous moderators
(Preacher et al., 2006; Hayes and Matthes, 2009; Carden et al., 2017; Hayes and Montoya, 2017;
Montoya, 2019; Lin, 2020), the most complete being the R (R Core Team, 2021) package
interactions (Long, 2019), which includes all the functionality provided by other software and
overall includes a wide range of visualization and analysis options. Nonetheless, this and
previous software lack some functions that might prove useful for users exploring model
interactions. Regarding the issue of phylogenetic relatedness, previous methods do not provide
an option to directly incorporate phylogenetic information in the calculation of regions of non-
significance, limiting the use of the technique in macroevolutionary studies. Regarding
categorical moderators, other software do not provide an option to visualize regions of non-
significance (i.e., values of the predictor for which there are no significant differences between
categories, e.g., Figure 1A–C). Indeed, the uses of the Johnson-Neyman technique for categorical
moderators reported in the literature are usually based on custom-made programming scripts
(e.g., the study on *Corbicula* clams described above, Hünicken et al, 2022). Finally, regarding
the effect of continuous moderators, the function *johnson_neyman* of the R package *interactions*

provides a numerical output as well as a plot showing the association between the value of the
moderator and the slope of the relationship between the dependent variable and the predictor.
Although this type of plot (Figure 2A) resembles the one originally presented by Bauer and
Curran (2005) and has been used to describe interaction effects in the literature (e.g., the study
on bark beetles described above, Jaime et al., 2022), its interpretation is not straightforward
because the relationship between the dependent variable and the predictor (e.g., as in Figure 2B)
is not presented other than through the value of its slope.
159

160
Figure 2. Hypothetical example of a significant interaction effect between latitude and precipitation and its effect on
body size. Values of precipitation (moderator) that result in non-significant relationships between size (dependent
variable) and latitude (predictor) can be obtained through an extension of the Johnson-Neyman technique. (A) The
output of the method can be visualized as a plot showing the relationship between the slope of the size ~ latitude
association and precipitation values (see Bauer and Curran, 2005 and the R package *interactions*, Long, 2019). Here
the horizontal thin line represents a slope of zero, the horizontal thick line represents the range of precipitation data,
the red line shows the negative relationship between the size ~ latitude slope and precipitation, the dashed lines
represent 95% confidence intervals, and the vertical dotted lines represent the range of precipitation values that
result in a non-significant size ~ latitude relationship. (B) Alternatively, the different slopes of this relationship
could be illustrated in a size ~ latitude plot, showing how the relationship between size and latitude changes under
the effect of different precipitation values. Both types of plots show the same information (higher precipitation
decreases the value of the size ~ latitude slope, but intermediate values of precipitation result in non-significant
relationships), but B is easier to interpret.
174
Here I present the R package *JNplots* as a solution to fill gaps left by previous software regarding
the calculation and visualization of non-significance regions through the Johnson-Neyman
technique. As will be explained next, *JNplots* allows the user to calculate Johnson-Neyman
intervals when including categorical or continuous moderators in interaction models, and to
produce graphical outputs that depict them in an intuitive way. It also allows the user to modify
the correlation structure of the data, allowing the consideration of phylogenetic relationships
when calculating Johnson-Neyman intervals.
182
183　*JNplots*: IMPLEMENTATION AND EXAMPLES
184
The *JNplots* R package can be used to analyse two-way interaction models that exhibit any of the
four characteristics presented above (and their combinations) using the Johnson-Neyman
technique and its variants. Its two basic functions, *jnt_cat* and *jnt_cont*, can be used to explore
two-way interactions in which the moderator is categorical or continuous, respectively. Both

functions allow the analysis of phylogenetically-informed models through the use of the function
gls from the package *nlme* (Pinheiro et al., 2017). Both functions can be used to calculate and
visualize 'regions of significance' in an intuitive way. Finally, the functions allow plotting
flexibility as they include arguments that are passed on to the R base plot function. *JNplots* is
publicly available at https://github.com/kenstoyama/JNplots, and depends on the packages *ape*
(Paradis and Schliep, 2019), *nlme* (Pinheiro et al., 2017), and *scales* (Wickham and Seidel,
2022), which are downloaded from CRAN during the installation of *JNplots*. The package can be
installed from github using the following commands:
197
198 ```
devtools::install_github('kenstoyama/JNplots')
199 library(JNplots)
```
200
201  Using the following empirical examples, I present possible scenarios in which the functions from
202  *JNplots* can be used and provide a detailed walkthrough of their implementation. All the data
203  needed to reproduce these examples are publicly available from their respective sources and are
204  also included in the installation of *JNplots*.
205
206  *Example 1: head length allometry in two lizard species*
207
208  Data from this example comes from the study of Toyama et al (2018). In the original study the
209  authors tested whether ontogenetic changes in the diet of a lizard (from insectivory to herbivory)
210  corresponded to changes in its morphology (from slender to robust heads). As part of their
211  analyses, the authors compared the head shape allometry of the semi-herbivorous species to other
212  congeners that showed mainly insectivorous habits throughout their life (see Figure 4 in Toyama
213  et al., 2018). Using their original data, I compared the relationship between head length and body
214  size in a pair of these species: *Microlophus thoracicus*, a semi-herbivore species, and *M.*
215  *peruvianus*, a species that rarely includes plant material in its diet.
216
217  I prepared a subset of the original dataset (dataset 'microlophus', included in *JNplots*), which
218  included data on body size (measured as SVL (snout-vent-length) in millimetres), head length
219  (also in mm), and species. Measurements were log-transformed. Since the moderator in this case
220  is categorical (i.e., species), I proceeded to test for a possible two-way interaction between
221  species and size (i.e., head length ~ size * species) using the function *jnt_cat*. The only necessary
222  arguments in *jnt_cat* are the names of the predictor (X), the dependent variable (Y), and the
223  moderator (m). They are added to the function as character strings. The dataset also needs to be
224  specified:
225
226  ```
jnt_cat(X='svl', Y='hl', m='species', data=microlophus)
```
227
Notice that the character strings must coincide with the column names in the dataset
'microlophus'. These four arguments are the minimum needed for the function to work. The
output of the function consists in the summary table of the two-way interaction model (head
length ~ size * species), and the lower and upper limits of the region of non-significance (i.e.,
values of the predictor for which the difference between categories is not significant) (Table 1).
The function also produces a plot showing the association between the dependent variable (e.g.,
head length) and the predictor (e.g., size), with the two categories (e.g., species) represented by

different symbols and/or colors, and regression lines for each individual category based on the
output of the interaction model (Figure 3).

This re-analysis of the data using *jnt_cat* indicated that the interaction between sex and size was
significant (t = -5.499, $p < 0.001$), and evidenced the existence of a region of non-significance
along the examined size range (Figure 3). Specifically, the results indicated that the head lengths
of both species are not significantly different for individuals with log(SVL) values between 4.13
and 4.33 (approximately between 62.33 and 75.63 mm).

244
Figure 3. Graphical output of a model relating head length to body size (SVL) and its interaction with species of
Microlophus lizards (model: head length ~ body size * species) obtained with the function *jnt_cat* from *JNplots*.
Solid and dashed lines represent head length ~ body size relationships for individuals of each of the two species
(also represented by closed and open circles), as shown in the legend. These relationships were obtained from the
output of the interaction model. Grey area represents the non-significance area calculated with the Johnson-Neyman
technique. Data obtained from Toyama et al (2018) and available to use with *JNplots*.

In this particular case, the calculation of regions of non-significance using *jnt_cat* provided
predictor (size) values that defined regions where differences between categories (and lack
thereof) are statistically supported, which provides more rigor when interpreting the results of an
interaction model. At this point is worth mentioning that regions of non-significance can exist
and be relevant for the data of study despite the interaction term being non-significant (Rogosa,
1980, 1981; Bauer and Curran, 2005), thus it might be worth performing the Johnson-Neyman
technique regardless of the significance of the interaction term.

259
260

Table 1. Two-way interaction fitted models obtained with *JNplots* for three empirical examples. Significant p-values are shown in bold. The limits of significance obtained using the Johnson-Neyman technique (*min JN value* and *max JN value*) and the minimum and maximum values found in the data (*min value data* and *max value data*) are shown at the bottom of each table. In the first example the moderator is categorical and these limits refer to values of the predictor (e.g., for which predictor values are the differences between moderator categories non-significant?), while in the second and third examples the moderator is continuous and these limits refer to values of the moderator (e.g., for which moderator values is the relationship between the dependent variable and the predictor (non)significant?).

Microlophus lizards

	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Intercept	-1.79	-6.83	**<0.001**
log(SVL)	1.06	17.57	**<0.001**
species	1.59	5.47	**<0.001**
log(SVL) x species	-0.38	-5.50	**<0.001**

min JN value	max JN value	min value data	max value data
4.133	4.326	—	—

Lizard home range

	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Intercept	4.26	23.56	**<0.001**
overlap	1.77	9.11	**<0.001**
network	-0.07	-0.39	0.700
overlap x network	0.86	6.13	**<0.001**

min JN value	max JN value	min value data	max value data
-3.296	-1.360	-2.169	2.481

Bird coloration

	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Intercept	0.32	18.40	**<0.001**
precipitation	-5.03E-05	-5.13	**<0.001**
temperature	-4.40E-06	-0.007	0.9947
precip. x temp.	1.30E-06	2.80	**0.0056**

min JN value	max JN value	min value data	max value data
31.106	84.853	1.7	27.5

275 *Example 2: drivers of home range size in a lizard*
276
Data from this example comes from the study of Payne et al (2022a). In the original study, the
authors were interested in uncovering the factors influencing the home range size (i.e., the area in
which an individual interacts with the environment) of individuals of the lizard species *Tiliqua*
rugosa. One of their main results indicated that the size of the home range of an individual
increases with its degree of overlap with the home range of their neighbours. Additionally, this
relationship is stronger for individuals that interact with more neighbours (i.e., degree of social
network).
284
I prepared a subset of the data (dataset 'lizard_home_range', included in *JNplots*, see Payne et al.,
2022b for original dataset) that included information on the home range size of each individual
('hrsize95'), degree of overlap ('PHR95_overlap-z'), and social network degree ('degree_z'). To
analyze the two-way interaction between overlap and degree of social network (i.e., home range
size ~ overlap * social network) I used the function *jnt_cont*, as the moderator (i.e., degree of
social network) is continuous. As with *jnt_cat*, the necessary arguments for the function are the
names of the predictor (X), the dependent variable (Y), and the moderator (m) as they appear in
the dataset, which also needs to be specified:
293
294 ```
      jnt_cont(X='PHR95_overlap_z', Y='hrsize95', m='degree_z',
295   data=lizard_home_range)
      ```
296
297  As with *jnt_cat*, the output of the function consists in the summary table of the two-way
298  interaction model (home range size ~ overlap * social network), the values of the moderator that
299  represent the limits between significance and non-significance, and the minimum and maximum
300  moderator values in the data (Table 1). The function also produces a plot showing the association
301  between the dependent variable (e.g., home range size) and the predictor (e.g., overlap) (Figure
302  4). However, when the moderator is continuous the interpretation of the interaction effect differs
303  from the output of *jnt_cat*. In this example, and in agreement with the original study, home range
304  size increases with overlap. However, the degree of social interactions has a positive effect on
305  this relationship (i.e., the positive effect of overlap on home range size is stronger for lizards that
306  interact more with neighbours). This positive effect is represented by multiple regression lines
307  plotted in the output figure (Figure 4). The multiple grey regression lines that constitute the grey
308  'area' represent regressions fitted using moderator values that are outside the range of
309  significance, i.e., values of the moderator that make the relationship between the dependent
310  variable and the predictor not significant. (Figure 4). The 'area' in color consists of multiple
311  regression lines that represent models fitted using moderator values that fall within the
312  significance range (i.e., moderator values for which the relationship between the dependent
313  variable and the predictor is significant). The significant regression lines are colored in a blue-
314  red gradient that represent lower and higher moderator values, respectively, illustrating how
315  changes in the magnitude of the moderator (i.e., degree of social network) affect the relationship
316  between home range size and overlap (Figure 4). The plot also shows two additional lines. The
317  solid and dashed black lines represent fitted models that use the maximum and minimum values
318  of the moderator in the data, respectively. This aids in the interpretation of the plot because not
319  all moderator values might be relevant for the study system or the data at hand.
320

321 In this example, a higher degree of social interactions (moderator) increases the slope between
322 home range size (dependent variable) and overlap (predictor) (Figure 4). However, a low degree
323 of social interactions (specifically below a value of -1.360, Table 1) makes that relationship not
324 significant, keeping home range size small regardless of the degree of overlap (grey area in
325 Figure 4). Importantly, some moderator values that would result in non-significant relationships
326 are found in the data, suggesting that this result is biologically relevant (see grey regression lines
327 between solid and dashed black lines in Figure 4).
328

329
330 Figure 4. Graphical output of a model relating home range size to home range overlap and degree of social network
331 in the lizard *Tiliqua rugosa* (model: home range size ~ overlap * social network) obtained with the function *jnt_cont*
332 from *JNplots*. Colored lines represent significant linear models obtained using different 'degree of social network'
333 values, the blue-red gradient represents different degrees of social network going from low to high, respectively.
334 Grey lines represent non-significant linear models. Solid and dashed black lines represent the maximum and
335 minimum precipitation values from the dataset, respectively. Data obtained from Payne et al (2022b).
336
337 *Example 3: drivers of coloration in birds*
338
339 Data from this example were originally described in a study by Marcondes and Brumfield (2019)
340 and reanalysed in a follow-up study (Marcondes et al., 2021). In the latter study, the authors
341 assessed how climatic variables and light environments influence the plumage coloration of bird
342 species of the family Furnariidae. Among other findings, the authors found that the brightness (a
343 proxy for overall melanin content, with less bright plumage patches having less melanin) of the
344 back plumage was negatively related to precipitation. Furthermore, an interaction between
345 temperature and precipitation was detected, indicating that the negative effect of precipitation on
346 brightness is stronger when temperature is lower (see Figure 1A in Marcondes et al., 2021).
347
348 I reanalysed a subset of the data used by Marcondes et al (2021) (dataset 'birds_colors', included
349 in *JNplots*, original data by Marcondes and Brumfield, 2021 and Seeholzer et al., 2017) using the

350     *jnt_cont* function as in the previous example. The model of interest in this case was brightness ~
351     precipitation * temperature. However, in contrast to the previous example, this analysis implies
352     the non-independence of datapoints due to phylogenetic relationships. To account for this, I used
353     the argument 'correlation' in *jnt_cont*. The argument 'correlation' specifies the correlation
354     structure of the model (as one would do in the *gls* function of *nlme*). Phylogenetic correlation
355     structures (e.g., 'corBrownian', 'corPagel', 'corBlomberg', etc) in turn need a phylogeny to be
356     specified. Here I chose 'corPagel' as the correlation structure and used a phylogenetic tree of the
357     Furnariidae ('tree_Furnariidae', also included in *JNplots*, Harvey et al., 2020), selecting '1' as the
358     initial value of lambda (see Paradis and Schlieb, 2019, for details on using different correlation
359     structures):
360
361
```
jnt_cont(X='bio12', Y='back_bright', m='bio1', data=bird_colors,
          correlation=corPagel(1, tree_Furnariidae))
```
362
363
364     The output of *jnt_cont* showed that, in agreement with the original study, plumage brightness
365     decreased with precipitation and the interaction between temperature and precipitation was
366     significant (Table 1). Specifically, the effect of precipitation on brightness was stronger at lower
367     temperatures. The limits of significance represented in the plot confirmed this pattern and also
368     showed that the statement is generalizable for the entire range of temperature values experienced
369     by species in the data, as it completely overlaps with the region of significance (Figure 5).
370

371
372     Figure 5. Graphical output of a model relating back plumage brightness to precipitation and temperature in
373     Furnariidae bird species (model: brightness ~ precipitation * temperature) obtained with the function *jnt_cont* from
374     *JNplots*. Colored lines represent significant linear models obtained using different temperature values, the blue-red
375     gradient represents different temperatures going from low to high, respectively. Grey lines represent non-significant
376     linear models. Solid and dashed black lines represent the maximum and minimum precipitation values from the
377     dataset, respectively. Data obtained from Marcondes and Brumfield (2021).

378   CUSTOMIZATION OF GRAPHICAL OUTPUTS IN *JNplots*
379
380   One of the main aims of *JNplots* is to provide graphical outcomes that allow the user to interpret
381   interaction models in an intuitive way. To aid in this objective, the graphical outputs of its
382   functions allow for some aesthetic flexibility.
383
384   In the case of *jnt_cat* the regions of non-significance might not overlap the predictor values in
385   the data. This would result in the region of non-significance not appearing or only partially
386   appearing in the graphical output. Specifying the option 'plot.full = T' ('plot.full' defaults to F)
387   will result in the plot always showing the entire region of non-significance regardless of its
388   overlap with the predictor values of the data (compare Figure 6A and 6B). Other basic aspects of
389   the plot that can be modified are the symbols representing both categories (default: pch =
390   c(16,1)), colors (default: cols = c('black', 'black')), line types (default: lty = c(1,2)), line widths
391   (default: lwd = c(1,1)), and line colors (default: line.col = c('black', 'black')). As an example,
392   compare Figure 6A, which uses only default settings, and Figure 6C.
393
394   Plotting characteristics can also be specified in *jnt_cont*. The user can control the relative number
395   of regression lines to be plotted with the argument 'res', which defaults to 100. The exact number
396   of lines to be plotted is equal to the value of 'res' – 1, meaning that the number of plotted
397   regressions increases with the value specified in 'res' (Compare Figure 6D and 6E, which have
398   'res' values of 80 and 40, respectively). The gradient of colors shown by the significant
399   regression lines can also be modified. The arguments 'max_col_grad' and 'min_col_grad' define
400   the colors of the regression lines when using the maximum and minimum moderator values that
401   result in significant relationships, respectively. The colors of the regression lines in-between will
402   form a gradient between the extreme colors ('max_col_grad' and 'min_col_grad' default to 'red'
403   and 'blue', respectively). For example, compare Figure 6D and Figure 6F. If a color gradient
404   indicating different moderator values is not desired then 'col.gradient = F' (defaults to T), and all
405   the lines representing significant fitted regressions will take the color specified in the argument
406   'sig_color', which defaults to 'lightblue' (Compare Figure 6G and 6H). The color of the non-
407   significant regression lines can also be specified in the argument 'nonsig_color' (defaults to
408   'grey').
409
410   Finally, as previously mentioned, the correlation structure of the data can be modified in both
411   *jnt_cat* and *jnt_cont*. Although this is not an aesthetic specification, changing the correlation
412   structure will most likely change the aspect of the graphical outcome of either function. For
413   example, compare Figure 6G and 6I, which use Pagel's lambda and Brownian motion correlation
414   models, respectively.
415

416
417  Figure 6. Graphical flexibility of *JNplots*. (A) *jnt_cat* was applied to a head height ~ size * species model. (B) Here
418  the argument 'plot.full' was changed to 'T', which allows to see the entirety of the non-significance region regardless
419  of the range of the predictor values. (C) Here plot.full = F, but other arguments were modified to change the
420  aesthetics of the plot (pch = c(16,17), cols = c('dodgerblue2', 'darkolivegreen4'), lwd = c(2,2), line.col =
421  c('dodgerblue2', 'darkolivegreen4')). (D) Same as figure 4, *jnt_cont* was applied to the model home range size ~
422  overlap * network. (E) Here the argument 'res' was specified to be 40 (default = 100). Notice the lower number of
423  regression lines and the larger space between them. (F). Here res = 80, and gradient colors are changed specifying
424  min_col_grad = 'yellow' and max_col_grad = 'red'. (G) Same as figure 5, *jnt_cont* was applied to the model
425  brightness ~ precipitation * temperature, res=150, correlation = corPagel(1, tree_Furnariidae). (H) Argument
426  col.gradient = F and sig_color = 'lightblue'. The argument sig_color is only considered when col.gradient = F and
427  defines a single color to be used for all significant regression lines. The argument nonsig_color works similarly for
428  non-significant regression lines. (I) In this case the correlation structure is based on a Brownian motion model of
429  evolution (correlation = corBrownian(1, tree_Furnariidae).

430 CONCLUSIONS
431
432 Multiple model testing is common in ecological and evolutionary studies, and understanding
433 how variables included in such models interact is indispensable for their interpretation (Hilborn
434 and Stearns, 1982; Dochtermann and Jenkins, 2011; Spake et al., 2023). Although the Johnson-
435 Neyman technique was initially developed in the context of educational and psychological
436 studies (Johnson and Neyman, 1936; Johnson and Fay, 1950), its application to other fields is
437 evident (e.g., White, 2003), as was the need to expand its application beyond categorical
438 moderators and two-way interactions (e.g., Bauer and Curran, 2005). In the same vein, *JNplots*
439 aims to be a tool that facilitates the application of the method in ecological and evolutionary
440 studies through the direct implementation of phylogenetic corrections and the possibility to
441 analyze categorical and continuous moderators, thus going beyond what is possible with existing
442 software. Equally important, *JNplots* aims to aid in the interpretation of two-way interactions
443 through more intuitive graphical outputs.
444
445 Although its main functions are readily available, *JNplots* still has room for expansion. For
446 example, the Johnson-Neyman technique can be applied to three-way or higher-level interactions
447 (Pothoff, 1964; Hunka, 1995; Hunka and Leighton, 1997; Curran et al., 2004; Bauer and Curran,
448 2005). Other types of regressions, like type II or reduced major axis regressions, and even non-
449 linear models also represent alternatives to traditional linear models not yet included as
450 analytical options in this package. These and other variations in the analysis of interactions
451 remain to be implemented in *JNplots* (or any other software). Before then, users interested in
452 such variations are free to copy and modify the functions from *JNplots*
453 (https://github.com/kenstoyama/JNplots) and adapt them to their needs.
454
455 Together with the release of this package, I provided a quick start guide online
456 (https://kenstoyama.wordpress.com/2023/04/28/jnplots-quick-guide/) for users that are more
457 familiar with the Johnson-Neyman technique and are specifically interested in the numerical and
458 graphical outputs of *JNplots*. The same page can be used to report issues with the use of the
459 package.
460

461 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
462
463 I want to thank Miriam Ahmad-Gawel, Rafael Marcondes, and Liam Revell for their helpful
464 comments and suggestions in earlier versions of this manuscript.

465 REFERENCES
466
467 Bauer, D. J., & Curran, P. J. (2005). Probing interactions in fixed and multilevel regression:
468 Inferential and graphical techniques. *Multivariate behavioral research*, *40*(3), 373-400.
469
470 Bergmann C. (1847). Ueber die Verhältnisse der Wärmeökonomie der Thiere zu ihrer Grösse.
471 Gottinger Studien 3: 595–708.
472
473 Carden, S. W., Holtzman, N. S., & Strube, M. J. (2017). CAHOST: An excel workbook for
474 facilitating the Johnson-Neyman technique for two-way interactions in multiple
475 regression. *Frontiers in psychology*, *8*, 1293.
476
477 Curran, P. J., Bauer, D. J., & Willoughby, M. T. (2004). Testing main effects and interactions in
478 latent curve analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *9*(2), 220.
479
480 Dochtermann, N. A., & Jenkins, S. H. (2011). Developing multiple hypotheses in behavioral
481 ecology. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *65*, 37-45.
482
483 Harvey, M. G., G. A. Bravo, S. Claramunt, A. M. Cuervo, G. E. Derryberry, J. Battilana, G. F.
484 Seeholzer, et al. 2020. The Evolution of a Tropical Biodiversity Hotspot. *Science, 370*, 1343–
485 1348.
486
487 Hayes, A. F., & Matthes, J. (2009). Computational procedures for probing interactions in OLS
488 and logistic regression: SPSS and SAS implementations. *Behavior research methods*, *41*(3), 924-
489 936.
490
491 Hayes, A. F., & Montoya, A. K. (2017). A tutorial on testing, visualizing, and probing an
492 interaction involving a multicategorical variable in linear regression analysis. *Communication
493 Methods and Measures*, *11*(1), 1-30.
494
495 Hilborn, R., & Stearns, S. C. (1982). On inference in ecology and evolutionary biology: the
496 problem of multiple causes. *Acta Biotheoretica*, *31*(3), 145-164.
497
498 Huitema, B. (2011). *The analysis of covariance and alternatives: Statistical methods for
499 experiments, quasi-experiments, and single-case studies*. John Wiley & Sons.
500
501 Hünicken, L. A., Sylvester, F., & Paolucci, E. M. (2022). Physiological and morphological
502 assessments suggest opposite structural allocation strategies between closely related invasive
503 clams. Hydrobiologia, 849(12), 2859-2875.
504
505 Hunka, S. (1995). Identifying regions of significance in ANCOVA problems having non-
506 homogeneous regressions. *British journal of mathematical and statistical psychology*, *48*(1),
507 161-188.
508

509 Hunka, S., & Leighton, J. (1997). Defining Johnson-Neyman regions of significance in the three-
510 covariate ANCOVA using Mathematica. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral*
511 *Statistics*, *22*(4), 361-387.

513 Jaime, L., Batllori, E., Ferretti, M., & Lloret, F. (2022). Climatic and stand drivers of forest
514 resistance to recent bark beetle disturbance in European coniferous forests. *Global Change*
515 *Biology*, *28*(8), 2830-2841.

517 Johnson, P. O., & Fay, L. C. (1950). The Johnson-Neyman technique, its theory and
518 application. *Psychometrika*, *15*(4), 349-367.

520 Johnson, P. O., & Neyman, J. (1936). Tests of certain linear hypotheses and their application to
521 some educational problems. *Statistical research memoirs*, 1, 57-93.

523 Lin, H. (2020). Probing two-way moderation effects: A review of software to easily plot
524 Johnson-Neyman figures. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *27*(3),
525 494-502.

527 Long, J. A. (2019). Interactions: Comprehensive, user-friendly toolkit for probing interactions. R
528 package version 1.1. 0. *The Comprehensive R Archive Network*.

530 Marcondes, R. S., & Brumfield, R. T. (2019). Fifty shades of brown: Macroevolution of plumage
531 brightness in the Furnariida, a large clade of drab Neotropical passerines. *Evolution*, *73*(4), 704-
532 719.

534 Marcondes, R. S., & Brumfield, R. T. (2021). Data from: Fifty shades of brown: macroevolution
535 of plumage brightness in the Furnariida, a large clade of drab Neotropical passerines. Evolution
536 73:704–719, Dryad Digital Repository, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.s86434s.

538 Marcondes, R. S., Nations, J. A., Seeholzer, G. F., & Brumfield, R. T. (2021). Rethinking
539 Gloger's rule: climate, light environments, and color in a large family of tropical birds
540 (Furnariidae). *The American Naturalist*, *197*(5), 592-606.

542 Montoya, A. K. (2019). Moderation analysis in two-instance repeated measures designs: Probing
543 methods and multiple moderator models. *Behavior research methods*, *51*, 61-82.

545 Paradis, E., & Schliep, K. (2019). ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and
546 evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(3), 526-528.

548 Payne, E., Spiegel, O., Sinn, D. L., Leu, S. T., Gardner, M. G., Godfrey, S. S., Wohlfeil, C., &
549 Sih, A. (2022a). Intrinsic traits, social context, and local environment shape home range size and
550 fidelity of sleepy lizards. *Ecological Monographs*, *92*(3), e1519.

552 Payne, E., Spiegel, O., Sinn, D. L., Leu, S. T., Gardner, M. G., Godfrey, S. S., Wohlfeil, C., &
553 Sih, A. (2022b). Intrinsic traits, social context, local environment, and home range size and

554     fidelity data from a field study of sleepy lizards, 2009 - 2017, Dryad Digital Repository,
555     https://doi.org/10.25338/B8D922

557     Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., Heisterkamp, S., Van Willigen, B., & Maintainer,
558     R. (2017). Package 'nlme'. *Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models, version*, *3*(1), 274.

560     Potthoff, R. F. (1964). On the Johnson-Neyman technique and some extensions
561     thereof. *Psychometrika*, *29*(3), 241-256.

563     Preacher, K. J., Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2006). Computational tools for probing interactions
564     in multiple linear regression, multilevel modeling, and latent curve analysis. *Journal of*
565     *educational and behavioral statistics*, *31*(4), 437-448.

567     R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
568     Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

570     Revell, L. J. (2010). Phylogenetic signal and linear regression on species data. *Methods in*
571     *Ecology and Evolution*, *1*(4), 319-329.

573     Rogosa, D. (1980). Comparing nonparallel regression lines. *Psychological bulletin*, *88*(2), 307.

575     Rogosa, D. (1981). On the relationship between the Johnson-Neyman region of significance and
576     statistical tests of parallel within-group regressions. *Educational and Psychological*
577     *Measurement*, *41*(1), 73-84.

579     Seeholzer, G. F., Claramunt, S., & Brumfield, R. T. (2017). Niche evolution and diversification
580     in a Neotropical radiation of birds (Aves: Furnariidae). *Evolution*, *71*(3), 702-715.

582     Sokal, R. R., Rohlf, F. J. 2012. Biometry, 4th edn. New York, NY, USA: Freeman and Co.

584     Spake, R., Bowler, D., Callaghan, C. T., Blowes, S. A., Doncaster, C. P., Antao, L. H., ... &
585     Chase, J. M. (2023). Understanding 'it depends' in ecology: a guide to hypothesising, visualising
586     and interpreting statistical interactions. *Biological Reviews*.

588     Symonds, M. R., & Blomberg, S. P. (2014). A primer on phylogenetic generalised least squares.
589     Modern phylogenetic comparative methods and their application in evolutionary biology:
590     concepts and practice, 105-130.

592     Toyama, K. S., Junes, K., Ruiz, J., Mendoza, A., & Pérez, J. M. (2018). Ontogenetic changes in
593     the diet and head morphology of an omnivorous tropidurid lizard (*Microlophus thoracicus*).
594     Zoology, 129, 45-53.

596     Wickham, H. & Seidel D. (2022). *scales*: Scale Functions for visualization. R package version
597     1.2.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=scales