Title

Towards a human brain EV atlas: Characteristics of EVs from different brain regions,
including small RNA and protein profiles

Author list

Yiyao Huang [1#] Tanina Arab [1#], Ashley E. Russell[1,2], Emily R. Mallick[1], Rajini Nagaraj[4], Evan
Gizzie[4], Javier Redding[5,6], Juan C. Troncoso[5,6], Olga Pletniková[5,6,7], Andrey Turchinovich[8-9]
David A. Routenberg[4], Kenneth W. Witwer [1,5,10*]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Molecular and Comparative Pathobiology, Johns Hopkins University School
of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
[2] Department of Biology, School of Science, Penn State Erie, The Behrend College, Erie,
PA, United States
[4] MesoScale Diagnostics, LLC, Rockville, MD, USA
[5] Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD,
USA
[6] Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD,
USA
[7] Department of Pathology and Anatomical Sciences, Jacobs School of Medicine and
Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA
[8] Molecular Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany
[9] SciBerg e.Kfm, Mannheim, Germany
[10]The Richman Family Precision Medicine Center of Excellence in Alzheimer's Disease,
Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, US
24
[#] These authors contributed equally to this work
* Corresponding author. Email: kwitwer1@jhu.edu

Abstract

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are released from different cell types in the central nervous
system (CNS) and play roles in regulating physiological and pathological functions. Although
brain-derived EVs (bdEVs) have been successfully collected from brain tissue, there is not
yet a "bdEV atlas" of EVs from different brain regions. To address this gap, we separated
EVs from eight anatomical brain regions of a single individual and subsequently
characterized them by count, size, morphology, and protein and RNA content. The greatest
particle yield was from cerebellum, while the fewest particles were recovered from the
orbitofrontal, postcentral gyrus, and thalamus regions. EV surface phenotyping indicated that
CD81 and CD9 were more abundant than CD63 for all regions. Cell-enriched surface
markers varied between brain regions. For example, putative neuronal markers NCAM,
CD271, and NRCAM were more abundant in medulla, cerebellum, and occipital regions,
respectively. These findings, while restricted to tissues from a single individual, suggest that
additional studies are merited to lend more insight into the links between EV heterogeneity
and function in the CNS.

Keywords

Introduction

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are a diversity of membranous, cell-released particles that are involved in a wide range of processes by shuttling biological materials out of and between cells[1]. In the central nervous system (CNS), EVs are released from different CNS cell types[2], including neurons and glia. These CNS EVs regulate physiological functions in the CNS like neuronal firing, synaptic plasticity, and myelin sheath maintenance[3], and also exert pathological functions in neurodegenerative diseases by spreading neuroinflammatory factors and toxic protein aggregates[4–6]. EVs found in brain tissue, e.g., in interstitial fluid or associated with cells or extracellular matrix, are termed brain-derived EVs (bdEVs)[5,7–10]. bdEVs are increasingly studied since they may lend insights into CNS disease mechanisms and may also betray disease when released into easily accessed biological fluids [11–15].

The brain can be divided into anatomical regions with diversity of function and cell composition. Neurons, astrocytes, microglia, oligodendrocytes, and other cells vary across regions in number, density, morphology, and molecular signature[16–18]. For example, pyramidal neurons are prominent in the cerebral cortex, while granule and Purkinje cells are found only in the cerebellum[19–21], and microglia are more abundant and dense in cortical regions compared with cerebellum[17]. Microglia from different brain regions are also affected differently in aging[22,23]. Regional differences in disease progression are also reported. For example, Alzheimer's disease (AD) affects the hippocampus (HP) and entorhinal cortex (ENT) more severely and earlier than other regions[24]. To capture information that might be missed by single-region analysis, several studies have profiled multiple brain tissue regions, including the Allen Brain Atlas data portal[25] (www.brain-map.org), a study of two brain regions in schizophrenia[26] (http://eqtl.brainseq.org/phase2), and protein profiling of six brain regions in AD and asymptomatic controls[24] (www.manchester.ac.uk/dementia-proteomes-project).

In this study, we asked the question of whether bdEVs might also display brain region-specific signatures. We separated bdEVs from eight brain regions: orbitofrontal gyrus (ORB), postcentral gyrus (POSTC), hippocampus (HIPPO), thalamus (THAL), occipital gyrus (OCC), medulla (MED), corpus callosum (CORP), and cerebellum (CBLM). bdEVs were thoroughly characterized to gather any evidence of regional differences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue collection and preparation

Human postmortem brain tissue samples were obtained from the Brain Resource Center (Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine) following brain autopsy with complete neuropathological examination. The brain of a human male was dissected by neuroanatomical region. Sections were stored at –80°C prior to bdEV separation.

Brain extracellular vesicle separation

To avoid batch effects and operator bias, EVs were separated from all tissue regions simultaneously. Separation was as previously described[10] . Approximately 20 mg of each tissue region was dissected and stored at –80°C for Western blot (WB) (brain homogenate, BH). The remaining frozen tissue was weighed and gently digested using collagenase type 3 enzyme in Hibernate-E solution for 20 min at 37°C. A solution containing 1X PhosSTOP (Sigma, 4906837001) and Complete Protease Inhibitor solution (Sigma,11697498001) (PI/PS) was added to stop the enzymatic reaction. Differential centrifugation was performed at 4°C. Dissociated tissue was centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was pipetted off using a 10 ml serological pipette, transferred to a fresh tube, and centrifuged at 2000 × g for 15 min. Pellets were collected as a "2K" fraction. The supernatant was further depleted of debris and large bodies through a gentle 0.22-µm filtration at a slow flow rate of 5 ml/min. The filtered material was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 min using swinging-bucket rotor TH-641 (Thermo Scientific, k-factor 114, acceleration and deceleration settings of 9). Pellets ("10K") were resuspended in 150 µl PBS containing 1X PI/PS by pipetting up and down ten times, vortexing for 30 seconds, and incubating on ice for 20 minutes, followed by a repeat of the pipetting and vortexing steps. Resuspended 10K bdEVs were aliquoted and stored at -80°C. Supernatants were transferred into 100 kilodalton (kDa) molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) protein concentrators (Millipore-Sigma, UFC 805024) and concentrated from 5 ml to 0.5 ml. Retentate was then applied to the top of qEV Original size exclusion chromatography (SEC) columns (Izon Science, SP1, 70 nm) that were pre-rinsed with 15 ml PBS. 0.5-ml fractions were collected by elution with PBS, using Izon automated fraction collectors (AFCs; Izon Science, Cambridge, MA). Fractions 1-6 (3 ml total) were considered the void volume; fractions 7-10 were pooled as EV-enriched fractions. EV-enriched fractions were transferred to polypropylene UC tubes and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 70 min, using the TH-641 swinging-bucket rotor as described above. The supernatant was poured off, and UC tubes were placed upright and inverted on a piece of tissue to drain

112 the residual buffer. Pellets ("100K," bdEVs) were resuspended in 120 µl PBS (1X PI/PS)

113 using the same protocol as for "10K". Aliquots were stored at -80°C.

Nano-flow cytometry measurement (NFCM)

Particle concentration and size profiles of bdEVs were assessed by nano-flow (Flow

NanoAnalyzer, NanoFCM, Nottingham, England) as described previously [10,27]. Instrument

calibrations for concentration and size distribution were done using reference 200-nm

polystyrene beads and a silica nanosphere mixture (diameters of 68, 91, 113, and 151 nm),

respectively. 2 µl of bdEV resuspension was used for serial dilutions from 1:100 to 1:200 in

DPBS to determine the best particle count range as required by the manual and as reported

previously [27], and events were recorded for 1 min. The particle concentration and size of

particles were converted by calibration curves based on flow rate and side-scatter intensity.

Washing steps were performed using a cleaning solution to avoid contamination across

samples.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

bdEVs were imaged by TEM as previously described [27]. Briefly, 10 µl of each sample was

freshly thawed and adsorbed to glow-discharged carbon-coated 400 mesh copper grids by

flotation for 2 min. Three consecutive drops of 1× Tris-buffered saline were prepared on

Parafilm. Grids were washed by moving from one drop to another, with a flotation time of 10

seconds on each drop. The rinsed grids were then negatively stained with 1% uranyl acetate

(UAT) with tylose (1% UAT in deionized water (dIH2O), double-filtered through a 0.22-µm

filter). Grids were blotted, then excess UAT was aspirated, leaving a thin layer of stain. Grids

were imaged on a Hitachi 7600 TEM operating at 80 kV with an XR80 charge-coupled

device (8 megapixels, AMT Imaging, Woburn, MA, USA).

Western blot (WB)

BH with collagenase (BH), 2K, 10K, bdEVs and SEC protein fractions were lysed in 1×

radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA, cell signaling #9806) supplemented with a

protease inhibitor cocktail. Samples were loaded as equal volumes of 20 µl and were

resolved using a 4% to 15% Criterion TGX Stain-Free Precast gel, then transferred onto an

Immuno-Blot PVDF membrane. Antibodies to CD63, and CD9 (BD Pharmingen #556019

and BioLegend #312102) were used to detect EV membrane markers, anti-alix (ab186429)

for detection of an EV internal protein, anti-calreticulin antibody (cell signaling #12238)) was

used to detect endoplasmic reticulum contamination. Primary antibodies were diluted 1:1000

in PBS-T containing 5% blotting-grade blocker (Bio-Rad, #1706404). Membranes were

incubated overnight (≈16 h). After several washes in PBS-T, rabbit anti-mouse IgGk BP-HRP

and mouse anti-rabbit IgGk BP-HRP secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz #516102 and #sc-

2357 respectively) were diluted 1:5000 in blocking buffer, and membranes were incubated

for 1 h at room temperature (RT). SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent

Substrate (Thermo Fisher, 34580) was applied, and blots were visualized using a Thermo

Fisher iBright 1500 imaging system.

Single-particle interferometric reflectance imaging sensor (SP-IRIS)

EVs were phenotyped with EV-TETRA-C ExoView Tetraspanin kits and an ExoView

TMR100 scanner (NanoView Biosciences, Boston, MA) according to the manufacturer's

instructions and as described previously [27]. A total of 10 µL bdEVs were diluted in 35 µL

incubation buffer (IB). 45µl of the mixture was placed and incubated with the at RT for 16h.

Chips were then washed with IB and incubated with a fluorescently-labeled antibody cocktail

of anti-human CD81 (JS-81, CF555), CD63 (H5C6, CF647), and CD9 (HI9a, CF488A) at

dilutions of 1:1200 (v:v) in blocking solution for 1h at RT. All chips were washed and

scanned with the ExoView scanner using both SP-IRIS Single Particle Interferometric

Reflectance Imaging Sensor and fluorescence detection. Data were analyzed using

NanoViewer 2.8.10 Software.

Multiplexed ELISA

Prototype S-PLEX® ultrasensitive assays (Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, MD) were

used for intact EV surface marker detection. Three multiplexed assay panels were used in

this study (as listed in Supplementary table 1). 10 µL bdEV samples were diluted 1 to 20 in

the diluent and incubated with panels with continuous shaking at RT. Panel 1, comprising

antibodies targeting relatively abundant surface markers, was incubated for 1 hour, while the

remaining panels, targeting lower-abundance markers, were incubated for 4 hours to

improve the sensitivity. EVs captured by each antibody were detected using MSD S-PLEX®

assays with a cocktail of detection antibodies targeting CD63, CD81, and CD9. Assay plates

were read with MSD GOLD™ Read buffer B on an MSD® SECTOR instrument. Signals

from both DPBS and IgG1 isotype controls were subtracted consecutively from the signal of

each detection antibody.

RNA extraction and small RNA sequencing

bdEV RNA was extracted by miRNeasy Mini Kit reagents (Qiagen 217004) and Zymo-Spin I

Columns (Zymo Research C1003-50) according to the manufacturer's instructions. bdEV

RNA was resuspended in 40 µL Rnase-free water, and 8 µl was used for small RNA libraries

construction by the D-Plex Small RNA-seq Kit (Diagenode C05030001). Indexes were
attached using the D-Plex Single Indexes for Illumina - Set A (Diagenode C05030010)
according to the manufacturer's protocol. The yield and size distribution of the small RNA
libraries were assessed using the Fragment Bioanalyzer™ system with DNA 1000 chip
(Agilent 5067-1505). After size selection of the libraries by agarose gel cassettes (Sage
Science HTG3010) on BluePippin (Sage Science) from 170-230 bp, multiplexed libraries
were equally pooled to 1 nM. and prepared for deep sequencing using the NovaSeq 6000
system (Illumina) and sequenced by NovaSeq 6000 SP Reagent Kit v1.5 (100 cycles)
(Illumina 20028401).

RNA sequencing data analysis

The RNA sequencing data were analyzed as previously published [28,29]. Original BAM files
were converted into FASTQ format using Picard tools (SamToFastq command). Reads
shorter than 15 nt were removed from the raw FASTQ data using cutadapt software v1.18.
The reads were aligned to the custom curated human reference transcriptomes in a
sequential manner using bowtie allowing 1 mismatch tolerance: trimmed and size-selected
reads were mapped to RNA species with low sequence complexity and/or high number of
repeats: rRNA, tRNA, RN7S, snRNA, snoRNA/scaRNA, vault RNA, RNY as well as
mitochondrial chromosome (mtRNA). All reads that did not map to the above RNAs were
aligned sequentially to mature miRNA, pre-miRNA, protein-coding mRNA transcripts
(mRNA), and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). The numbers of reads mapped to each
RNA type were extracted using eXpress software based on a previous publication[30]. The
mapping data was normalized using R/Bioconductor packages DESeq2[29] and then
visualized with the principal component analysis (PCA) plot. Hierarchical clustering of
miRNAs was performed with Heatmapper.

Data and methods availability

We have submitted all relevant data of our experiments to the EV-TRACK knowledgebase
(EV-TRACK ID: EV230055.) [31]. Nucleic acid sequencing data were deposited with the Gene
Expression Omnibus, accession GSE226490.

Results

bdEV recovery and morphology characteristics were related to source brain regions

bdEV particle counts per 100 mg of tissue input were obtained by NFCM. Differences were observed between brain regions (Fig. 2a). The largest yield was from the cerebellum (CBLM), with 8.95x10e8 particles per 100 mg of tissue input, while the smallest number of particles were recovered from the orbitofrontal (ORB), postcentral gyrus (POSTC), and thalamus (THAL) regions, with concentrations ranging from 2.17x10e8 particles to 2.45x10e8 particles per 100 mg tissue. Intermediate counts were recovered from the corpus callosum (CORP), hippocampus (HIPPO), occipital gyrus (OCC), and medulla (MED). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) showed that round to oval particles with characteristic EV morphology and size were recovered from all regions. However, differences in size distribution and morphology were observed (Fig. 2b). Overall, smaller vesicles were revealed in the bdEVs from the ORB, HIPPO, THAL, CORP, and CBLM regions, while larger vesicles were observed in the POSTC, OCC, and MED regions. Particles recovered from CBLM appeared to include a subpopulation of small particles with dense inner membrane contents. Quantification of particle size distribution by both NFCM (Fig. 2c) and TEM (Fig. 2d) confirmed that the preparations from ORB and CBLM contained the largest percentage of smaller particles, while the MED-derived population included larger particles.

bdEV tetraspanin phenotyping

Presence of EV-enriched membrane (CD63, CD81) and cytosolic (Alix) markers, and expected EV-depleted cellular markers (calreticulin) were examined by Western blot for bdEVs from additional samples for the purpose of protocol reproducibility assessment, as well as brain homogenate (BH), 2K, and 10K (Figure S1). Abundant EV markers and depletion of cellular markers in bdEVs showed the protocol we used lead to a relatively pure EV separation. EV membrane proteins CD63, CD81, and CD9 were then detected on the intact bdEV surface of brain regions by single-particle interferometric reflectance imaging sensor (SP-IRIS) (Fig. 3a) and multiplexed ELISA (Fig. 3b). All three surface proteins were detected above background on bdEVs from eight brain regions. For all regions, CD81 and CD9 were more abundant on the bdEV surface relative to CD63. By region, the level of CD63 was highest on bdEVs from CBLM followed by HIPPO. However, HIPPO and CBLM bdEVs also displayed more CD81 and CD9 than bdEVs from other brain regions.

Potential markers of cellular origin

To study the relative contribution of brain cell populations to bdEVs from different brain regions, 24 putative cell source markers (as listed in the Supplementary table 1) including 17 related to CNS cells (Fig. 4a) were assessed on the surface of intact bdEVs by multiplexed ELISA. The signal of each marker was normalized to the average signal of EV markers CD63, CD81, and CD9. Several differences were observed by marker and region (Fig.4b-f). Among putative neuron markers (Fig. 4b), NCAM, and CD90 were abundant on bdEVs from all regions, while CD166, CD24, CD271, and NRCAM were less abundant. The signal from some neuron markers was greater in bdEV populations from specific regions, e.g., NCAM signal in MED, CD271 in CBLM, and NRCAM in OCC. For microglia related markers (Fig. 4c), while HLA-DR/DP/DQ was least abundant on POSTC and HIPPO bdEVs, CD36 was in contrary most abundant on bdEVs from these two regions. In addition, the signal for astrocyte marker (Fig. 3d) CD44 was greatest on OCC and MED, and followed by THAL, CORP bdEVs compared with other regions. Among markers related to multiple CNS cell types (Fig. 3e), CD38 was greatest on OCC and CORP bdEVs, while CD15, TSPO, and GD2 were distributed evenly across regions. Several markers associated with immune cells and endothelia were also evaluated (Fig. 4f). Among them, the signal for endothelial marker CD29 and CD146 were greater, while CD307d and CD31 were almost undetectable. Prominently, CBLM bdEVs had the most CD29.

small RNA profiles

Small RNA (sRNA) sequencing of bdEVs from different brain regions yielded an average of 4.3M (± 3.7M) reads per sample (M = million, 1 x 10^6). After adapter clipping and removing reads shorter than 15 nt, 89.92% (± 2.4%) of bdEV reads mapped to the human genome (hg38). The percentages of reads mapped to various RNA biotypes are shown for bdEVs from different regions (Fig. S2). Reads mapping to rRNAs and messenger RNAs (mRNAs) were the most abundant sRNA biotypes in bdEVs (Fig. S2a), while reads mapping to vault RNAs, miRNAs, and pre-miRNAs were the least abundant (Fig. S2b). RNA biotype composition differences are shown for bdEVs from several regions (Fig. S2 a-c). For example, there were more mRNAs, miRNAs, pre-miRNAs, mtRNAs, and lncRNAs in CBLM, but more tRNAs and RNYs in MED.

Principal component analysis (PCA) of bdEV sRNA profiles clearly separated CBLM, THAL, and MED bdEVs from those of other brain regions (Fig. 5a). Focusing on miRNAs alone gave similar results. We identified the 20 miRNAs with the largest number of normalized counts in bdEVs from each region and then performed unsupervised clustering based on 15 miRNAs that were the most abundant across regions (Fig. 5b). Similar to the total sRNA

profile differences, CBLM bdEVs and THAL/MED bdEVs clustered apart from the others.
Most of these miRNAs had greater counts in CBLM, OCC, THAL, and MED than in HIPPO,
POSTC, CORP, and ORB (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, beyond these common miRNAs, bdEVs
from certain regions were also enriched in specific miRNAs (Supplementary Table 2). For
example, hsa-miR-137-3p and hsa-miR-744-5p were among the top 20 miRNAs in ORB but
were not ranked within the top 20 in other regions.

Discussion

Studying bdEVs harvested from eight brain regions reveals evidence for region-specific
differences in EV recovery, morphology, and molecular content. Although our findings are
essentially a case study with regions from a single human brain, and thus do not support
extensive discussion or speculation about the factors underlying apparent differences, our
cell-enriched marker findings suggest that cell composition differences are likely to
contribute. This study should now be expanded to assess whether or not the findings are
reproducible, and, if so, if they hold across variables such as age, biological sex, diseases
and disease stages, and species. Ultimately, building a regional "bdEV atlas" will assist with
comparisons across studies that do not use the same anatomical region and spur new
developments in region-specific monitoring and treatments.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Workflow for brain-derived EV (bdEV) enrichment and characterization from
different brain regions. bdEVs from 8 brain regions were separated by collagenase digestion,
differential centrifugation, and size exclusion chromatography (SEC). After separation,
bdEVs were characterized by particle count, imaging, protein phenotyping and small RNA
sequencing. Created with BioRender.com.

Figure 2 (a) Particle concentrations of bdEVs from brain regions were measured by NFCM.
Particle concentration for each region was normalized by tissue mass (per 100 mg). (b)
bdEVs were visualized by negative staining transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (scale
bar □=□500 nm). TEM is representative of ten images taken of each region. (c) Size
(diameter) distributions of bdEVs from brain regions as measured by NFCM and calculated
as particles in each 5 nm size bin versus total detected particles in each sample
(percentage). (d) Size distributions of bdEVs from brain regions as measured in TEM images

and calculated as particles in each 50 nm size bin versus total detected particles in each
sample (percentage).

Figure 3 EV surface protein phenotyping. CD63, CD81, and CD9 were detected on the intact
bdEV surface by single-particle interferometric reflectance imaging sensor (SP-IRIS) (a) and
multiplexed ELISA (b) and normalized per 100 mg tissue input. bdEVs were captured by
antibodies to EV membrane proteins and detected by signal from a cocktail of anti-
tetraspanin antibodies (CD63, CD81, and CD9).

Figure 4 Cell-of-origin marker profile on the bdEV surface. (a) Distribution of markers by cell
types: neurons, microglia, and astrocytes. Cell-enriched markers were used as bdEV
capture antibodies; EVs were then detected by signal from a cocktail of anti-tetraspanin
antibodies (CD63, CD81, and CD9). Levels of neuron (b), microglia (c), astrocyte (d),
overlapping (e) and non-CNS cell (f) markers were then normalized to the average of
tetraspanin capture spot signals.

Figure 5 bdEV small RNA profiles. (a) Principal component analysis (PCA) based on
quantitative small RNA profiles of bdEVs from different regions. (b) Unsupervised
hierarchical clustering of 15 of the most abundant bdEV miRNAs across regions.

Figure S1 Western blots of ALIX, CD63, CD9, and calreticulin associated with BH and
bdEVs. WB are representative of three independent human tissue EV separations from
additional samples obtained from the brain bank for the purpose of protocol reproducibility
assessment.

Figure S2 RNA biotypes of bdEVs from different regions. Percent of mapped reads for the
RNA biotypes abundant across the regions (a), underrepresented across the regions (b),
and other identified RNA biotypes in bdEVs (c).

Acknowledgement

We thank members of the Witwer and Retrovirus Laboratories, Johns Hopkins University
School of Medicine, and various members of the International Society for Extracellular
Vesicles for valuable discussions and support. This work was supported in part by grants
from the US National Institutes of Health: AI144997 (to KWW, with support for TAPD),
MH118164 and AG057430 (to VM and KWW), UG3 and UH3 CA241694 (to KWW),
UH2MH118167 (to DAR), supported by the NIH Common Fund, through the Office of
Strategic Coordination/Office of the NIH Director. JHU Alzheimer's Disease Research
Centers NIH P30 AG 066507 and BIOCARD NIH U19AG033655.

Disclosure and potential conflict

RN, EG, DAR work for Meso Scale Diagnostics.

Bibliography

1. Théry, C. *et al.* Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *J Extracell Vesicles* **7**, (2018).

2. Schnatz, A., Müller, C., Brahmer, A. & Krämer-Albers, E. M. Extracellular Vesicles in neural cell interaction and CNS homeostasis. *FASEB Bioadv* **3**, 577–592 (2021).

3. Jin, T., Gu, J., Li, Z., Xu, Z. & Gui, Y. Recent Advances on Extracellular Vesicles in Central Nervous System Diseases. *Clin Interv Aging* **16**, 257 (2021).

4. Rajendran, L. *et al.* Emerging Roles of Extracellular Vesicles in the Nervous System. *Journal of Neuroscience* **34**, 15482–15489 (2014).

5. Perez-Gonzalez, R., Gauthier, S. A., Kumar, A. & Levy, E. The exosome secretory pathway transports amyloid precursor protein carboxyl-terminal fragments from the cell into the brain extracellular space. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 43108–15 (2012).

6. Baker, S., Polanco, J. C. & Götz, J. J. Extracellular Vesicles Containing P301L Mutant Tau Accelerate Pathological Tau Phosphorylation and Oligomer Formation but Do Not Seed Mature Neurofibrillary Tangles in ALZ17 Mice. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* **54**, 1207–1217 (2016).

7. Vella, L. J. *et al.* A rigorous method to enrich for exosomes from brain tissue. *J Extracell Vesicles* **6**, 1348885 (2017).

8. Muraoka, S. *et al.* Assessment of separation methods for extracellular vesicles from human and mouse brain tissues and human cerebrospinal fluids. *Methods* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.02.002.

9. Polanco, J. C., Scicluna, B. J., Hill, A. F. & Götz, J. Extracellular Vesicles Isolated from the Brains of rTg4510 Mice Seed Tau Protein Aggregation in a Threshold-dependent Manner. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **291**, 12445–12466 (2016).

10. Huang, Y. *et al.* Influence of species and processing parameters on recovery and content of brain tissue-derived extracellular vesicles. *J Extracell Vesicles* **9**, 2020.02.10.940999 (2020).

11. SN, H. *et al.* An optimized method for enrichment of whole brain-derived extracellular vesicles reveals insight into neurodegenerative processes in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci Methods* **307**, 210–220 (2018).

12. Huang, Y. *et al.* Brain Tissue-Derived Extracellular Vesicles in Alzheimer's Disease Display Altered Key Protein Levels Including Cell Type-Specific Markers. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* **90**, 1057–1072 (2022).

13. Huang, Y. *et al.* Relationships of APOE Genotypes With Small RNA and Protein Cargo of Brain Tissue Extracellular Vesicles From Patients With Late-Stage AD. *Neurol Genet* **8**, e200026 (2022).

14.   Cheng, L. *et al.* Small RNA fingerprinting of Alzheimer's disease frontal cortex
extracellular vesiclesand their comparison with peripheral extracellular vesicles. *J*
Extracell Vesicles (2020) doi:10.1080/20013078.2020.1766822.

15.   Yelamanchili, S. v. *et al.* MiR-21 in Extracellular Vesicles Leads to Neurotoxicity via
TLR7 Signaling in SIV Neurological Disease. *PLoS Pathog* **11**, (2015).

16.   Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell
transcriptomics. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 335–346 (2016).

17.   Tan, Y. L., Yuan, Y. & Tian, L. Microglial regional heterogeneity and its role in the brain.
Molecular Psychiatry 2019 25:2 **25**, 351–367 (2019).

18.   Zeisel, A. *et al.* Brain structure. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus
revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347**, 1138–1142 (2015).

19.   Elston, G. N. Cortex, Cognition and the Cell: New Insights into the Pyramidal Neuron
and Prefrontal Function. *Cerebral Cortex* **13**, 1124–1138 (2003).

20.   Gilbert, S. F. Tissue Architecture of the Central Nervous System. (2000).

21.   Burgoyne, R. D. & Cambray-Deakin, M. A. The cellular neurobiology of neuronal
development: the cerebellar granule cell. *Brain Res* **472**, 77–101 (1988).

22.   de Biase, L. M. *et al.* Local Cues Establish and Maintain Region-Specific Phenotypes of
Basal Ganglia Microglia. *Neuron* **95**, 341-356.e6 (2017).

23.   Hart, A. D., Wyttenbach, A., Hugh Perry, V. & Teeling, J. L. Age related changes in
microglial phenotype vary between CNS regions: grey versus white matter differences.
Brain Behav Immun **26**, 754–765 (2012).

24.   Xu, J. *et al.* Regional protein expression in human Alzheimer's brain correlates with
disease severity. *Commun Biol* **2**, (2019).

25.   Shen, E. H., Overly, C. C. & Jones, A. R. The Allen Human Brain Atlas. Comprehensive
gene expression mapping of the human brain. *Trends Neurosci* **35**, 711–714 (2012).

26.   Collado-Torres, L. *et al.* Regional Heterogeneity in Gene Expression, Regulation, and
Coherence in the Frontal Cortex and Hippocampus across Development and
Schizophrenia. *Neuron* **103**, 203-216.e8 (2019).

27.   Arab, T. *et al.* Characterization of extracellular vesicles and synthetic nanoparticles
with four orthogonal single-particle analysis platforms. *J Extracell Vesicles* **10**, (2021).

28.   Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data.
Genome Biol **11**, 1–12 (2010).

29.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

30.   Roberts, A. & Pachter, L. Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of
sequencing experiments. *Nat Methods* **10**, 71–73 (2013).

31.   van Deun, J. *et al.* EV-TRACK: Transparent reporting and centralizing knowledge in
extracellular vesicle research. *Nat Methods* **14**, 228–232 (2017).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5