Evolution in response to an abiotic stress shapes species coexistence

Inês Fragata[1]*, Diogo P. Godinho[1]*[a], Leonor R. Rodrigues[1], Miguel A. Cruz[1], Flore Zélé[2], Oscar Godoy[3]#, Sara Magalhães[1]#

1 - cE3c - Center for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes & CHANGE - Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal.

2 - Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier (ISEM), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier, France.

3 – Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), Americo Vespucio 26, E-41092 Sevilla, Spain. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4988-6626

* co-first; # co-last

[a] Current address: Gulbenkian Institute for Molecular Medicine (GIMM), Oeiras, Portugal

Corresponding author: Inês Fragata (irfragata@ciencias.ulisboa.pt)

Classification: Biological Sciences/Evolution

Keywords: Experimental Evolution; Coexistence theory; Competition; Spider-mites

Abstract

Adaptation to abiotic stresses is pervasive and generally relies on traits that are not independent from those affecting species interactions. Still, how such evolution affects species coexistence remains elusive. We addressed this using populations of two herbivorous spider mite species (*Tetranychus urticae* and *T. evansi*) evolving separately on tomato plants hyper-accumulating cadmium, a stressful environment for the mites, or on control plants with no-cadmium. Combinations of phenotypic analyses with structural stability theory predicted that adaptation of both species to cadmium allow them to coexist in that environment, whereas for cadmium-naïve mite populations the most likely outcome is competitive exclusion. The shift from exclusion to coexistence was due to an increase in structural niche differences caused by a simultaneous increase in intra and a decrease in interspecific competition. However, adaptation to cadmium did not affect species interactions and competitive outcomes in the cadmium-free environment, indicating that such evolutionary changes were environment-specific. Experimental outcomes of population dynamics with populations of the two species from each selection regime in each environment were fully compatible with model predictions. Therefore, evolution of single species in a new environment, even in absence of interspecific competitors, shapes species coexistence. Hence, population shifts to novel environments may have unforeseen evolutionary consequences for community composition and the maintenance of species diversity.

Significance Statement

The evolutionary consequences of strong selective pressures imposed by environmental changes on species interactions and their subsequent coexistence remain largely unknown. To fill this knowledge gap, we combined the power of experimental evolution and structural stability to analyze how evolution of two spider mite species on plants with or without cadmium affected their ability to coexist. We found that evolution in the high cadmium environment led to changes in growth rates, but also in the strength of intra- and interspecific competition, such that coexistence became possible, but only when the two species evolved in the new environment. Our study highlights that evolution under high pollutant concentrations, even in the absence of competitors, can shape long-term coexistence between species.

Introduction

Understanding how evolutionary dynamics shape and are shaped by long-term species persistence is key to predict community composition and biodiversity maintenance under global change. Character displacement in response to the presence of competitors is one of the classical examples of the role of natural selection in shaping trait evolution and species distribution[1–3]. In the last decades, the acknowledgment that ecology and evolution operate at similar timescales has led to the prediction that the presence of competitors selects for the evolution of competitive interactions (i.e., the negative per-capita effect of one species on another[4–6]), which in turn affects the ability of species to coexist[7–10]. In line with these predictions, empirical studies have shown that short term evolution in presence of competitors can modify competitive traits[11] and change coexistence patterns[6, 12–15].

Although the abovementioned studies have reinstated short-term evolution as an important force shaping ecological patterns, it may well be that we are still under-estimating the potential for evolution to impact species coexistence. An important yet overlooked possibility is that traits that affect species coexistence may be selected even in the absence of competitors. For example, species arriving in an environment before their interspecific competitors may adapt at a fast rate to that environment, which leads to an increased ability to monopolize it[16]. Likewise, prior adaptation to a given environment can lead to a lower relative growth rate of late arriving species[17]. In line with this, evidence that trait evolution affects species community composition has also been recently documented[18, 19]. However, to date no study has tested if and how trait evolution in response to an abiotic selection pressure modifies species coexistence through changes in both intra- and interspecific competition. Moreover, adaptation to one environment can lead to changes in competitive abilities in other environments[20] but the potential for this to translate into changes in coexistence patterns remains unclear. Hence, our knowledge of the role of evolution in response to abiotic stresses in shaping coexistence patterns is yet in its infancy.

The recent development of the complementary theoretical frameworks of modern coexistence theory and structural stability[21–23] provide clear mechanistic pathways to understand how competing species coexist in ecological time. Both theories agree that species can coexist whenever their niche differences, which arise when species specialize in different resources, overcome their differences in population growth in the presence of competitors[21, 24, 25], but structural stability, unlike modern coexistence theory, takes a probabilistic view of coexistence, which allows incorporating stochasticity and consider the

occurrence of both direct competition and other interactions such as mutualism[26]. This
framework defines that species coexistence is possible when the opportunities for species to
coexist, measured by the feasibility domain, can accommodate difference in intrinsic growth
rates between species. Therefore, wider feasibility domains allow for the persistence of
species combinations with more uneven intrinsic growth rates[22, 25]. To date, this tool has
not been applied to address the role of evolution in shaping coexistence patterns.

Here, we assessed how evolution in response to an abiotic stress affects species
coexistence by combining two powerful tools: experimental evolution and structural stability.
We used a system composed of two closely-related spider-mite species, *Tetranychus urticae*
and *T. evansi,* known to engage in competitive interactions when feeding on tomato plants
(*Solanum lycopersicum*)[27, 28]. These plants can hyper-accumulate cadmium in their upper
parts, which strongly reduces spider-mite fecundity and offspring survival, producing a
strong selective pressure[29–31]. Each spider mite species evolved for 40 generations on
plants with or without cadmium in absence of interspecific competitors (Figure S1). After this
period, changes in intrinsic growth rates and in the strength of biotic interactions (intra- and
interspecific competition) were assessed in both environments using a fully orthogonal
experimental design: the evolved populations were exposed to a density gradient of
intraspecific competitors (same species) that evolved in the same environment or of
interspecific competitors (different species) that evolved in each environment (plants with or
without cadmium; Figure S1). Subsequently, we combined structural stability theory with
model parameterization to predict how evolution in response to an abiotic stress (cadmium)
of each species, or both affected competitive outcomes. This allowed singling out the role of
evolution of each species, as well as that of the environment where the interactions occurred.
Finally, we empirically validated the short-term predictions of our models by conducting a
population growth experiment with the same full orthogonal design.

Results

Evolution in cadmium changes the strength of interspecific competition

To verify that plants with cadmium represent a stress for these populations and thus pose a
significant selection pressure, we first compared the intrinsic growth rate of naïve populations
(evolving on plants without cadmium) on plants with cadmium. Populations of both mite
species from the 'no-cadmium' selection regime had significantly lower growth rates in the

cadmium than in the no-cadmium environment (*Tetranychus urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,7}$= 71.985, P-

value<0.001, *T. evansi*: $\chi^2_{1,7}$=117.15, P-value<0.001, Table S1), confirming our hypothesis.

After evolution for *circa* 40 generations, we estimated the offspring production of

populations that had evolved on plants with or without cadmium when exposed to each of

these environments, in presence or absence of intra- and interspecific competition. To this

aim, we exposed mites from each species to a gradient of intra and interspecific competitors,

then fitted the Ricker model [32] to estimate the intrinsic growth rate, intra and interspecific

competition ability of each population in each environment. Using these estimated

parameters, we predicted the number of females of each species in each environment. In the

cadmium environment, the intrinsic growth rate of populations evolving in the cadmium

selection regime was higher than that of populations evolving on plants without cadmium –

the no-cadmium selection regime-, for both species (*T. urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,6}$=6.187, P-value=0.013,

T. evansi: $Z_{1,7}$= 4.483, P-value=0.034, Fig 1, Fig. S2, Table S1). Associated with this

increase, we also found an increase in the strength of intraspecific competition for *T. evansi*

($\chi^2_{1,7}$ = 8.570, P-value=0.003, Fig S3A, Table S1), but not for *T. urticae* ($\chi^2_{1,6}$ = 0.131, P-

value=0.717, Fig S3B, Table S1). This resulted in a decreased predicted number of offspring

produced by *T. evansi* in competition (relative to when growing alone) but only when *T.*

evansi evolved in the cadmium selection regime (Fig. 1A, λ vs $\lambda+\alpha_{ii}$ for the yellow and blue

vs the green and red dot). Moreover, evolving in cadmium made *T. evansi* more sensitive to

competition with *T. urticae* from the no-cadmium selection regime (Fig. S4A, Table S2A,

S2B, contrasts between the *T. evansi* cadmium *vs* no-cadmium selection regime, when

exposed to *T. urticae* from the no-cadmium selection regime: T ratio$_{1,13}$= -0.053, P-

value=0.003), reducing the predicted number of offspring produced after one generation of

competition (Fig. 1A, $\lambda+\alpha_{ii}+\alpha_{ij}$, comparing yellow and red dots). Conversely, evolution in

cadmium did not affect the impact of interspecific competition for *T. urticae* (Fig. S4B, Table

S2A). Interestingly, we observe weak positive interactions within and between species in

several replicates (i.e., negative alpha values, corresponding to facilitation) across selection

regimes (Fig S3, S4), which reinforces the added value of using the structural stability

framework.

In the no-cadmium environment, having evolved in the cadmium selection regime did

not lead to significant changes in the intrinsic growth rate (Fig. S5, T. *evansi*: $\chi^2_{1,7}$=2.628, P-

value=0.105; T. *urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,6}$= 0.121, P-value=0.728, Table S1), intraspecific competition

(Fig. S6, *T. evansi*: $\chi^2_{1,7}$ = 1.445, P-value=0.229; *T. urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,6}$= 0.003, P-value=0.998,

Table S1) or interspecific competition (Fig. S7, Table S1, S3). Still, the predicted growth rate

of *T. evansi* populations from the cadmium selection regime was lower than that of

populations evolved in the no-cadmium selection regime (Fig 1A, right panel, λ, comparing

green and red to blue and yellow dots), a reduction not observed in *T. urticae* (Fig. 1B, right

panel, λ). Weak intra- and interspecific facilitation was found for several replicates across

selection regimes (Figs. S6, S7), similarly to what happened in the cadmium environment.

Evolution of both competitors increases the probability of long-term coexistence in the

cadmium environment

We predicted competitive outcomes, either coexistence or competitive exclusion, for each

combination of selection regimes, by coupling structural stability with the population model

that describes the temporal dynamics of interacting species (the Ricker model). This

framework led to the prediction that, in the cadmium environment, coexistence was more

likely when both species had evolved in that environment (Fig. 2). Indeed, evolution of both

species in cadmium led to an increase in the size of the feasibility domain via an increased

intraspecific competition, only for *T. evansi*, the better competitor, (Fig S3). It also led to

increased intrinsic growth rate of both species, but with a smaller magnitude in *T. urticae*

(Fig S2). Our prediction of coexistence under evolution in cadmium environments is robust

because it holds even after taking uncertainty (95% CI) into account when estimating the

feasibility domain (Fig 2, light blue region), and the differences of species intrinsic growth

rates (Fig. 3, S8).

When only one species evolved in the cadmium selection regime, coexistence was not

possible in the cadmium environment (Figs 2, 3). Contrary to our initial expectation that the

species that evolved in cadmium would be best suited to the new environment and thus would

exclude competitors from the no-cadmium selection regimes, we observe that the species that

evolved in cadmium was predicted to be excluded (Figs. 2, 3, S8). When *T. evansi* was the

species that evolved in cadmium, this can be explained by increased intraspecific competition

(Fig S3) and increased sensitivity to competition from *T. urticae* of the no-cadmium selection

regime (Fig S4). In the reverse case, *T. urticae* that evolved in cadmium was negatively

affected by interspecific competition with *T. evansi* from the no-cadmium selection regime

but did not affect it (Fig. S4), which led to a prediction of exclusion in the cadmium

environment (Fig. 4). Again, predictions, in this case of competition exclusion, are robust to

variation in parameter estimation when accounting for lower and upper 95% confidence

intervals.

In the no-cadmium environment, the two species were generally predicted to coexist

except when *T. urticae* from the cadmium selection regime competed with *T. evansi* from the

no-cadmium selection regime, in which case *T. urticae* was predicted to be excluded by *T.*

evansi (Fig. 2). However, the minimum distance to the edges of the feasibility domain was

short in all cases (Fig. 3, S9), especially when considering the feasibility domains with lower

confidence intervals, indicating that small perturbations may shift the prediction from

coexistence to exclusion and vice versa. Importantly, there was high heterogeneity among

replicates but little effect of the evolutionary history of both species (Fig. 3). Our results thus

indicate that, unlike what we observed in the cadmium environment, evolution in cadmium

did not affect the range of opportunities for species to coexist in the no-cadmium

environment (Fig. 2, S8).

Simulated competitive dynamics partially recover empirical patterns

To validate our approach, we compared theoretical predictions of ecological short-term

dynamics with a population dynamic experiment in which the two species, in all possible

combinations of selection regimes, were maintained for two generations. (Fig. S1). We

observed a close agreement between model predictions and experimental observations (Fig.

4, slope pooled replicates: 0.707, P-value<0.001), despite some heterogeneity between

replicate populations, especially in the no-cadmium environment (slope with replicates:

0.631, P-value<0.001, Table S4). Interestingly, predictions for *T. urticae* evolved in the

cadmium selection regime were the most accurate in the no-cadmium environment, but the

least in the cadmium environment (Fig. 4).

Discussion

We used a combination of experimental evolution and structural stability theory to predict the

impact of evolution in response to an abiotic selection pressure (cadmium) on coexistence

patterns. We also tested how such evolution affected coexistence in an environment without

cadmium. To obtain such theoretical predictions, we rigorously quantified the intrinsic

growth rate of two spider-mite species and the strength of their intra- and interspecific

competitive interactions across different environmental and selection scenarios. While

evolutionary history had little effect on coexistence patterns in the environment with no-

cadmium, the independent evolution of both species in response to cadmium led to a change

from competitive exclusion to coexistence in that stressful environment. This was due to

differential changes in intrinsic growth rates, which equalized fitness between species, coupled with an increase in intraspecific competition in the superior, but not in the inferior competitor, that in turn, increase the potential range of fitness differences compatible with the persistence of both species. In sum, we here show for the first time that evolution in response to an abiotic selection pressure can change the interactions between and within populations and affect the probability of coexistence, even without a direct selection pressure posed by the presence of competitors.

We found that both species adapted to the cadmium environment after 40 generations of experimental evolution in that environment, as evidenced by an increase in the intrinsic growth rate of populations from the cadmium selection regime, compared to those from the no-cadmium regime. At the 33rd generation of evolution, these population of *T. evansi* had not shown signs of adaptation[31], hence the adaptation reported here occurred at a later time point. Moreover this previous study revealed lower performance of *T. evansi* populations from the cadmium selection regime on the environment without cadmium, compared to populations evolving in the no-cadmium selection regime [31]. We do not recover this result, suggesting that extending time for evolution to operate led to reduced costs of adaptation. This adaptation pattern is compatible with the existence of cryptic genetic variation that is released upon evolution in the cadmium environment[33]. This is also in line with the absence of genetic variation for traits associated to performance in the cadmium environment, found in the populations used to initiate the experimental evolution. Still, such adaptation may be too slow to enable the establishment of mites in cadmium-contaminated sites. Indeed, assuming equal generation time in the lab and in the field, one tomato growing season roughly corresponds to 15 generations in spider mites, which is not sufficient to lead to genetic changes allowing adaptation to cadmium.

Evolution of *T. evansi* in cadmium also led to stronger sensitivity to intraspecific competition in that environment, as compared to mites evolving on plants without cadmium. Recently, evidence that intraspecific competitive ability may evolve during adaptation to a novel abiotic environment has been accumulating[5, 20, 34]. There are many reasons to expect such evolution. For example, individuals may become more efficient at extracting resources when these are limiting[5], or higher population growth may lead to higher densities being reached earlier, thus increasing intraspecific competition. Measuring intraspecific competition should thus be mainstreamed in experimental evolution studies, which typically measure only individual life-history traits such as fecundity and survival[35].

An overlooked logical follow-up of the fact that adaptation to abiotic selection pressures
may affect intraspecific interactions is that it may affect interspecific interactions as well.
Indeed, the latter are also based on (the relative values of) traits that may be modified by
adaptation in absence of competitors. Here, we shed light on this poorly studied issue by
documenting that evolving in a stressful environment without heterospecific competitors
affects competitive interactions between species in that environment. Specifically, when
spider mites evolved in cadmium, they affected their competitors as much or less than mites
that evolved on plants with no-cadmium. This counter-intuitive result may be due to a
growth-competition trade-off, given that both species also increased their growth rate on
plants with cadmium upon evolution in that environment. Such trade-off has been an
assumption of theoretical models[36], and experimentally demonstrated in *Brassica nigra*[37,
38]. Also, Limberger and Fussmann[20] report that algae adapt more rapidly to abiotic
selection pressures when they evolve alone vs when in presence of competitors, which is
compatible with the existence of such trade-off. In sum, we show that interspecific
competitive ability evolves in absence of competitors, a result similar to when competing
species coevolve[6, 11, 12, 18]. The fact that we recover such patterns even in the absence of
a competitor in the environment implies both that causality needs to be scrutinized in eco-
evolutionary studies and that evolution has consequences for community structure that are
much more far reaching than initially thought.

Many empirical studies have shown that adaptation to an abiotic selection pressure can
change species interactions[18, 20, 39] but none have addressed how it affects species
coexistence. In fact, the only studies applying coexistence theory to evolutionary data
concern adaptation to the presence of a competitor[11, 12, 15]. In our study, we found that, in
the cadmium environment, species are only predicted to coexist when both competitors
evolved separately in the presence of cadmium. Such change from competitive exclusion to
coexistence was not due to the large change of a specific parameter but rather a small but full
reorganization of how species grow and interact with each other. Namely, coexistence was
due to a combination of an increase in the intrinsic growth rate of both species as they adapt
to the cadmium environment, with stronger intraspecific competition of the superior
competitor, coupled with a reduced impact of interspecific competition. Although the
ultimate mechanisms of these changes are unclear, we speculate that evolution improved the
ability of spider-mites to feed on tomato plants that accumulated cadmium, likely by
increasing resource uptake, which in turn decreased resources available to others (thus
increasing intraspecific competition). This positive covariance between an increase in fitness

and an increase in self-limitation is congruent with theoretical predictions[40], which have

been previously reported in other systems (e.g. [41]). Additionally, the observed reduction in

interspecific competition (leading often to reciprocal facilitation) for the two species could be

due to the increased benefits from plant defence suppression, which was found in both

populations used [27]. Curiously, studies addressing how evolution in the presence of a

competitor affected species coexistence have not found such a radical effect of changing from

exclusion to coexistence. Instead, they found that coexistence is maintained when species

coevolve, albeit by mechanisms different than those operating in the absence of such

evolution[11, 12, 15]. We thus find that adaptation to an abiotic selection pressure may affect

community composition to a higher degree than direct adaptation to the presence of

competitors.

We also provide a test for the accuracy of the theoretical predictions, by comparing

relative abundance of both species obtained by model predictions based on parameters

estimated from competition experiments with a short-term population dynamics experiment.

We found a high overlap between predicted and observed relative abundances of the two

species. Some mismatch was found when only one of the populations evolved in the

cadmium environment. This is probably due to stochasticity, as the experiment started with a

small number of individuals (six females of each species). Still, the strong correlation

between observed and predicted values suggests that our model parameterization is robust to

changes in the number of individuals, resource and space availability, indicating that the

combination of theoretical modelling and experimental estimation of competitive interactions

has a high predictive power.

Another strength of our study is that, unlike previous studies, we applied a full factorial

design, in which we explore how different combinations of evolutionary histories of both

competitors affect the probability of coexistence. We find that coexistence in an environment

with cadmium is only possible when both species evolved in that environment. Hence,

evolution does not follow a linear path, being contingent on the evolutionary history of the

two species. This suggests that asynchronies in the arrival to cadmium-contaminated sites

may lead to species exclusion, due to evolution of the species first colonizing that

environment. Coexistence is only possible when the two species are both adapted, which can

be achieved either if they arrive simultaneously to a site with cadmium, then adapt at a

similar pace, or if they are already adapted upon arrival. Hence, as previously shown for

short-term differences in arrival time[27], we here show that historical contingencies affect

species coexistence also via their effect on evolution.

We also explored how evolution in response to cadmium affects coexistence outcomes in an environment without cadmium. As reported in other studies with no evolution, we found that interactions are specific to a given environment[42–45]. In general, our results align well with previous work showing that stable coexistence is fostered in stressful environments via a reduction in growth rate that equalize fitness differences, and by a shift from interspecific to intraspecific competition, which increases the range of coexistence opportunies (i.e. increases the size of the feasibility domain)[42–46], and stabilize the population dynamics of interacting species. However, our study tempers this statement by the finding that this is only true when both species have evolved in that stressful environment and that such stabilization only occurs in the environment where they evolved. Moreover, the use of the structural stability framework allows incorporating sensitivity to changes in environmental conditions in these coexistence predictions[47–49]. In the environment without cadmium, we found a small distance to the edge (i.e., low robustness) across all selection regimes, suggesting that changes in competitive outcomes are likely to occur due to stochastic events. Instead, in the cadmium environment, the distance to the edge was higher in all cases, suggesting that communities in that environment are more long-lasting. Thus, our results suggest that communities in cadmium-free environments will be modulated by small environmental changes, whereas those in environments with cadmium will be more shaped by evolution.

Our study highlights the added value of combining experimental evolution and controlled experiments with ecological theory, by providing novel insights of how species adaptation to an abiotic stressor affects their ability to coexist. This work provides significant advances in both evolutionary and ecological fields. On the one hand, it shows that traits such as the strength of intra- and interspecific competition should be incorporated in the characterization of species adaptation to novel environments. This is particularly true in experimental evolution studies, which generally quantify evolution by measuring classical life-history traits such as fecundity or offspring juvenile survival of single individuals[35, 50], which may not reflect the conditions in which populations evolve[51]. On the other hand, ecological studies benefit from incorporating past evolutionary history, as such approach has the capacity to refine our understanding of how species interact and coexist[36, 52–54]. All in all, our work provides novel arguments for the need to combine ecological and evolutionary perspectives and methodologies to understand community composition.

Material and methods

Overview of the experimental system and maintenance conditions

We used a system composed of *Tetranychus urticae*, a generalist spider mite species that feeds on several economically important crops[55], *T. evansi*, a solanaceous specialist that has recently invaded Europe[56], and tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*, *var* MoneyMaker). Population maintenance and experiments were performed in a climatic room under controlled conditions (25:20 ℃, 65% of humidity, light:dark = 16:8). Outbred populations of each species were maintained using 5-week-old plants, having at least five fully expanded leaves. Plants used in all experiments (including in the experimental evolution) were watered twice a week with 100 mL of tap water for a duration of two weeks, then transplanted to new pots and watered each week once with 100mL tap water and another two times with either 100mL of distilled water or 100mL of 2mM cadmium chloride solution during another three weeks. This cadmium concentration is highly detrimental to both spider-mite species, resulting in at least a 50% reduction in fecundity as compared to mites on metal-free plants[29, 30].

Experimental evolution

Outbred populations, formed via controlled, one-on-one crosses of 400 individuals (200 females and 200 males of each population) of three and four field populations of *T. urticae* and *T. evansi* spider mites, respectively[57] were used to initiate experimental evolution populations. The latter were created by transferring 220 adult females of each species from the outbred populations to an experimental box (size: 26 x 18 x 13.5 cm) covered with a lid with a gauze-covered opening to allow air circulation. The box contained four tomato leaves with their stems in a small pot with water (two leaves per pot, two pots per box), replenished every week. To minimize differences among plants and leaves, each box contained the second, third, fourth and fifth leaves to develop (starting from the cotyledons), taken from a different plant. We established five populations for each of four selection regimes: *T. urticae* or *T. evansi*, exposed to leaves from plants watered either with a 2mM cadmium solution (the cadmium selection regime) or with water only (the no-cadmium selection regime). Every two weeks (corresponding to a mite generation), 220 adult females were transferred by aspiration to a new experimental box containing four new tomato leaves (i.e., populations were maintained in discrete generations). The remaining mites were transferred to a new box with two tomato leaves to create a T-1 box. These mites were used as a back-up when less than 220 females were found in the experimental box. If still this did not reach 220 mites, females

from the base population were added until 220 adult females were reached (cf. [57] for
details).

Prior to the experiments, individuals from all regimes were placed in a common garden of
cadmium-free tomato leaves for two generations. For that, 200 females from each population
were transferred to new boxes (100 per box) with two tomato leaves, except for replicate 2 of
T. urticae evolving on leaves with cadmium, which did not produce enough individuals and
was thus not used in subsequent experiments. After three weeks, six cohorts of 50 adult
females were transferred to petri dishes with cotton soaked in water and two tomato leaflets.
Females were left to oviposit for four days, and then killed. Two weeks later, the adult female
offspring was collected for the experiment. Experiments were performed at generation 40
(empirical estimation of competitive ability and intrinsic growth rate) and 42 (estimation of
the growth rate of populations in cages with interspecific competitors).

400 Empirical estimation of competitive abilities and intrinsic growth rate
To test if evolution on plants with or without cadmium affected the probability of coexistence
between *T. urticae* and *T. evansi* in environments with or without cadmium, we estimated the
intrinsic growth rates and the strength of intra- and interspecific interactions of mites from
each selection regime in each environment (Fig. S1). The data generated was then used to
estimate parameters of a population model that describes the dynamics of interacting species
(cf. below).

To quantify changes in growth rate with different competition scenarios and estimate the
parameters to predict coexistence outcomes, we followed the methodology described in [58].
For that, we cut leaf discs (18mm diameter) from tomato plants grown with or without
cadmium and placed one female alone or with 1, 3 or 9 females (i.e., competitors). To
estimate intraspecific competition, the competitor females were from the same species,
selection regime (cadmium or no-cadmium) and replicate population as the focal female. To
estimate interspecific competition, the focal females were exposed to females from different
species, either from the same or a differnet selection regime (full factorial design) with
matching population replicates (replicate 1 of *T. urticae* was exposed to replicate 1 of *T.*
evansi, etc). The intrinsic growth rate was estimated from leaf discs with single females.

Leaf discs were placed on water-saturated cotton inside a square petri dish (size: 12.6 x
12.6 x 1.6 cm, with a gauze covered top to allow for ventilation), with 16 or 12 discs (for
intra and interspecific assays, respectively). Each petri dish corresponded to one environment
(leaf discs from plants exposed to cadmium or not) and one treatment (a combination of the

selection regimes of the focal female and of the competitors – in the case of intraspecific

competition these are the same), with each density of competitors being allocated to one row

within the petri dish (i.e., each petri dish had four replicates of all densities). Females were

left to oviposit for three days and then killed. Two weeks later, the number of adult females

per patch was counted. Ten replicates per intra- or intraspecific treatment were done for each

of the five experimental populations. The experiment was done in six blocks, the first three

with replicate populations one to three and the last with replicate populations four and five.

Empirical estimation of population growth rate in presence of interspecific competitors

To test if evolution on plants with or without cadmium affected the population growth rate

under competition, we placed six females of the two species from different selection regimes

on plants with or without cadmium (full factorial design, *T. urticae* cadmium / no-cadmium *

T. evansi cadmium / no-cadmium * plants with / without cadmium, i.e., eight experimental

conditions) and measured the number of adult females produced after two generations. For

that, we placed two leaves from plants with or without cadmium in plastic boxes (size: 16.5 x

16.5 x 12 cm) covered with a lid with a gauze-covered opening to allow air circulation. The

petiole of the leaves was in a small pot with water. After one mite generation (two weeks),

another two leaves were added to the box. By the end of the second generation, we counted

the number of adult females from each species in each box. Ten experimental replicates were

tested per experimental condition. The experiment was done in five blocks, each including

one replicate population of each selection regime. This data was then compared with model

predictions based on parameters estimated from the intrinsic growth rate and competitive

abilities (cf. Model validation section below).

Theoretical estimation of competition and growth parameters

Data collected in the intrinsic growth rate and competitive ability experiment was used to

parameterize a model, from which we quantified niche and fitness differences to predict the

outcome of competition between the two spider mite species. We assume that the population

dynamics in our experiment can be described by Ricker's competition model[32], which has

been used in a previous study with spider mites[59]. Unlike the often-used Beverton-Holt

model[60], this model allows incorporating positive interactions (i.e., facilitation), which

based on initial data scrutiny, were likely to occur in our system. The Ricker model is

described by the following equation (equation 1):

$$(1) \frac{N_{i,t+1}}{N_{i,t}} = \lambda_i e^{-\alpha_{ii}N_{i,t}-\alpha_{ij}N_{j,t}}$$

456  where $N_{i,t+1}$ is the number of individuals of species i in the next generation, λ_i the intrinsic

457  growth rate of species i in absence of competitors, α_{ii} corresponds to the strength of

458  intraspecific competition, which describes the per-capita effect of species i on itself, α_{ij} is the

459  strength of interspecific competition, which describes the per-capita effect of species j on

460  species i, and $N_{i,t}$, $N_{j,t}$ are the number of competitors of species i and j, respectively, in the

461  current generation. To fit the Ricker model, we used the function cxr_pm_fit from the "cxr"

462  package[61] in R to estimate λ_i, α_{ii} and α_{ij} for all selection regimes. The parameters were

463  estimated for each replicate population separately. To ensure enough statistical power (as

464  survival in the cadmium environment was low), we also pooled the data of all replicates

465  associated with each selection regime. As most females (across replicate populations) did not

466  produce offspring in the cadmium environment, and the cxr package does not allow the

467  inclusion of zeros, we transformed our data by summing one to each of our datapoints (since

468  the logarithm of one is zero, we can this way include the filtering effect of cadmium in our

469  data). Initial parameters were set at $\lambda_i=1$, $\alpha_{ii}=\alpha_{ij}=0.1$ and confidence intervals were estimated

470  using 1000 bootstrap samples. Visual inspection of the model fitting was done for each

471  combination of parameters, selection regimes and environments by plotting predicted vs.

472  observed values (figures available in the git repository). To test if the cxr package produced

473  the best fit to our data, we compared the euclidean distance between predicted and observed

474  estimates for 5 different fitting algorithms (Fig. S9), all using the Ricker model (details are

475  available in the git repository). To estimate the relative impact of intra- and interspecific

476  competition in the offspring production of the two spider mite species, we simulated the

477  number of offspring produced after one generation using the estimated parameters and the

478  Ricker model. We used as a starting condition 10 individuals of each species and simulated

479  one generation assuming population growth following only the intrinsic growth rate, joint

480  effects of intrinsic growth rate and of intraspecific competition and joint effects of the

481  intrinsic growth rate and both intra- and interspecific competition. Confidence intervals for

482  the predictions were calculated using the lower and upper estimates of the intrinsic growth

483  rate and intra and interspecific competition indexes obtained from the cxr package [61].

485  **Structural stability approach to predict coexistence**

Estimates obtained from the Ricker model (Fig. S4, S5, S7, S8) showed widespread weak

positive interspecific interactions (i.e., facilitation) across selection regimes and replicate

populations. Since Modern Coexistence Theory does not incorporate facilitation between

species, we used the structural stability framework[22, 47, 62] to predict the outcomes of

species interactions in our system. Based on the strength and sign of species interactions

(including competition and facilitation), this approach calculates the feasibility domain. The

size of this domain measures the range of species intrinsic growth rates compatible with

species coexistence (bounded by the vectors $[0, \frac{\alpha_{22}}{\alpha_{12}}]$ and $[0, \frac{\alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}]$ when considering only

positive growth rates). The main prediction from structural stability is that if the vector

containing differences between species in their intrinsic growth rate falls within the feasibility

domain, coexistence is possible, otherwise there is exclusion. In principle, the feasibility

domain can include both negative and positive intrinsic growth rates, however, we restricted

our coexistence predictions only to positive values, as our experimental design would not

allow for negative growth rates. Finally, to account for uncertainty in the estimation of model

parameters, we also estimated the feasibility domain bound by the upper (lower) 95% of the

vector $[0, \frac{\alpha_{22}}{\alpha_{12}}]$ and the lower (upper) boundaries of the $[0, \frac{\alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}]$ to obtain the widest

(narrowest) conditions under which species are able to coexist.

To measure each species' vulnerability to exclusion, we tested how resistant coexistence is

to perturbations. Following a recently-developed approach[47, 63], we estimated the species

exclusion distance, which corresponds to the minimal distance between the vector of intrinsic

growth rates (r= $[\lambda_I, \lambda_j]$) and the edges of the feasibility domain (vectors corresponding to

either $[0, \frac{\alpha_{22}}{\alpha_{12}}]$ or $[0, \frac{\alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}]$) as a proxy for how strong a perturbation must be to change the

coexistence outcome in each system. To calculate the distance between the vector of intrinsic

growth rates and the edges of the feasibility domain, we applied the following formula[47]:

$(2)\ dist_i = \cos^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i \\ \lambda_j \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{21} \\ \alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix}$

Model validation

We validated our approach by comparing model predictions with the empirical results from

the population growth experiment. For that, we used the Ricker model to predict the relative

abundance of each species after two generations in each treatment, starting with the initial

conditions of the experiment. Then, we performed a general linear regression with a binomial

error distribution to estimate the correlation between the mean observed proportions of each

competitor (using the cbind function to join the number of *T. evansi* and *T. urticae* females)

in all replicates of all treatments with the model proportion estimates (Number of *T. evansi*

females/Number of *T. urticae* females) obtained for each replicate.

Statistical analyses

To test the impact of the cadmium environment on the intrinsic growth rate of *T. urticae* and

T. evansi populations that were naïve to this selection pressure, we applied, for each species,

a linear model using as dependent variables the estimates of the intrinsic growth rate

(estimated from the cxr, see above) of the five experimental replicates of the no-cadmium

selection regimes and environment as fixed factor (with two levels, cadmium and no-

cadmium)

To test how evolution on plants with cadmium affected population growth and

competition in the cadmium environment, we used general linear models with a gamma

distribution for the intrinsic growth rate, and with a normal distribution for the coefficients

for intra- and interspecific competition. All models were applied separately for both species,

and normality and dispersion of the residuals was inspected for all models tested. For all

models, we included the parameters estimated with the cxr package for each replicate as a

dependent variable and Selection Regime as fixed factor (with two levels corresponding to

selection regimes evolving in cadmium or no-cadmium environment). For the strength of

interspecific competition, the model included the selection regime of the focal and of the

competitor species, as well as their interaction as fixed factors. Whenever the interaction term

was significant, we applied contrasts to compare estimates for all combinations of focal and

competitor selection regimes. Similar models were applied to test the impact of evolution in

cadmium on the performance in the no-cadmium environment. Finally, we applied a

bootstrap technique to estimate the likelihood of finding differences between

environments/selection regimes randomly. For that, we randomized (with replacement) the

parameter estimates within environments/selection regimes (depending on the model) and

applied the same models as described above. Then, we estimated the probability of randomly

obtaining a significant p-value (below or equal to 0.05) with our data set by computing the

number of times a significant result was obtained. For each model we performed 1000

samples.

All analyses were done using R 4.2.1 version[64], statistical analyses were done using the

package "glmmTMB"[65], contrasts were performed using the "emmeans" package[66],

analyses of residuals were done for each model using the DHARMa package[67], and

graphical representation was done using "ggplot2"[68]. All data scripts and data used for the
analyses are available in the git repository
https://github.com/irfragata/evolution_cadmium_coexistence.
555
556

Authorship Statement

IF, OG and SM conceived the original idea. IF, DG, OG and SM designed the experiments.
IF, DG, LRR and MC performed the experiments and collected the data. IF performed the
modelling work and analysed data, with advice from FZ and OG. IF produced the figures,
with help from LRR. IF, SM and OG wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors
contributed substantially to revisions. SM supervised DG, IF, LRR and MC, FZ supervised
MC, OG supervised IF. SM provided the financial support for the experiments, SM and OG
for the meetings to analyze the data and write the paper.
565

Acknowledgments:

This work was financed by an ERC (European Research Council) consolidator grant
COMPCON, GA 725419 attributed to SM, an ERC starting grant DYNAMICTRIO, GA
101042392 attributed to IF, and by FCT (Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia) with the
Junior researcher contract (CEECIND/02616/2018) attributed to IF. OG acknowledges
financial support provided by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness
(MINECO) and by the European Social Fund through the UCCO project (CNS2023-144337).
This is contribution ISEM-2023-XXX of the Institute of Evolutionary Science of Montpellier
(ISEM). The authors acknowledge stimulating discussion with all members of the Mite
Squad and Raul Costa-Pereira, which have significantly improved the experimental design
and interpretation of the results, and Marta Artal and Liesbeth de Jong for invaluable
infrastructure for meetings in Sevilla. For the purpose of Open Access, a CC-BY 4.0 public
copyright licence has been applied by the authors to the present document and will be applied
to all subsequent versions up to the Author Accepted Manuscript arising from this
submission.

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests.
583

Bibliography

1.   Brown WL, Wilson EO (1956) Character displacement. Syst Zool 5:46–94.
https://doi.org/10.2307/2411924

2.   Lack D (1947) Darwin's Finches, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

3.   Slatkin M (1980) Ecological character displacement. Ecology 61:163–177.
https://doi.org/10.2307/1937166

4.   Abrams PA, Matsuda H (1994) The evolution of traits that determine ability in
competitive contests. Evol Ecol 8:667–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01237849

5.   Bernhardt JR, Kratina P, Pereira AL, et al (2020) The evolution of competitive ability
for essential resources. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences 375:20190247. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0247

6.   Sakarchi J, Germain RM (2023) The Evolution of Competitive Ability. American
Naturalist 201:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1086/722234

7.   Edwards KF, Kremer CT, Miller ET, et al (2018) Evolutionarily stable communities: a
framework for understanding the role of trait evolution in the maintenance of diversity.
Ecol Lett 21:1853–1868. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13142

8.   Germain RM, Williams JL, Schluter D, Angert AL (2018) Moving Character
Displacement beyond Characters Using Contemporary Coexistence Theory. Trends
Ecol Evol 33:74–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.11.002

9.   Vasseur DA, Amarasekare P, Rudolf VHW, Levine JM (2011) Eco-evolutionary
dynamics enable coexistence via neighbor-dependent selection. Am Nat 178:96–109.
https://doi.org/10.1086/662161

10.   Yamamichi M, Letten AD (2021) Rapid evolution promotes fluctuation-dependent
species coexistence. Ecol Lett 24:812–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13707

11.   Hart SP, Turcotte MM, Levine JM (2019) Effects of rapid evolution on species
coexistence. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:2112–2117.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816298116

12.   Germain RM, Srivastava D, Angert AL (2020) Evolution of an inferior competitor
increases resistance to biological invasion. Nat Ecol Evol 4:419–425.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1105-x

13.   Hiltunen T, Kaitala V, Laakso J, Becks L (2017) Evolutionary contribution to
coexistence of competitors in microbial food webs. Proc Roy Soc B: Biol Sci 284:7–9.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0415

14.   Lankau R (2011) Rapid evolutionary change and the coexistence of species. Annu Rev
Ecol Evol Syst 42:335–354. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145100

15.   Zhao L, Zhang QG, Zhang DY (2016) Evolution alters ecological mechanisms of
coexistence in experimental microcosms. Funct Ecol 30:1440–1446.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12611

16.   Nadeau CP, Farkas TE, Makkay AM, et al (2021) Adaptation reduces competitive
dominance and alters community assembly. Proc Roy Soc B: Biol Sci 288:20203133.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.3133

17.   Low-Décarie E, Fussmann GF, Bell G (2011) The effect of elevated CO_2 on growth
and competition in experimental phytoplankton communities. Glob Chang Biol
17:2525–2535. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02402.x

628 18. Fukano Y, Tachiki Y, Kasada M, Uchida K (2022) Evolution of competitive traits
629   changes species diversity in a natural field. Proc Roy Soc B: Biol Sci 289:20221376.
630   https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2022.1376

631 19. Gallego I, Narwani A (2022) Ecology and evolution of competitive trait variation in
632   natural phytoplankton communities under selection. Ecol Lett 25:2397–2409.
633   https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14103

634 20. Limberger R, Fussmann GF (2021) Adaptation and competition in deteriorating
635   environments. Proc Roy Soc B: Biol Sci 288:20202967.
636   https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2967

637 21. Chesson P (2000) Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annu Rev Ecol
638   Syst 31:343–366. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.343

639 22. Saavedra S, Rohr RP, Bascompte J, et al (2017) A structural approach for
640   understanding multispecies coexistence. Ecol Monogr 87:470–486.
641   https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1263

642 23. Yamamichi M, Gibbs T, Levine JM (2022) Integrating eco-evolutionary dynamics and
643   modern coexistence theory. Ecol Lett 25:2091–2106. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14078

644 24. Barabás G, D'Andrea R, Stump SM (2018) Chesson's coexistence theory. Ecol
645   Monogr 88:277–303. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1302

646 25. Godoy O, Bartomeus I, Rohr RP, Saavedra S (2018) Towards the Integration of Niche
647   and Network Theories. Trends Ecol Evol 33:287–300

648 26. Rohr RP, Saavedra S, Bascompte J (2014) On the structural stability of mutualistic
649   systems. Science (1979) 345:1253497. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1253497

650 27. Fragata I, Costa-Pereira R, Kozak M, et al (2022) Specific sequence of arrival
651   promotes coexistence via spatial niche pre-emption by the weak competitor. Ecol Lett
652   25:1629–1639. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14021

653 28. Sarmento RA, Lemos F, Dias CR, et al (2011) A herbivorous mite down-regulates
654   plant defence and produces web to exclude competitors. PLoS One 6:e23757.
655   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023757

656 29. Godinho DP, Serrano HC, Da Silva AB, et al (2018) Effect of cadmium accumulation
657   on the performance of plants and of herbivores that cope differently with organic
658   defenses. Front Plant Sci 871:1723. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01723

659 30. Godinho DP, Branquinho C, Magalhães S (2023) Intraspecific variability in herbivore
660   response to elemental defences is caused by the metal itself. J Pest Sci (2004) 96:797–
661   806. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-022-01525-0

662 31. Godinho DP, Fragata I, Majer A, et al (2024) Limits to the adaptation of herbivorous
663   spider mites to metal accumulation in homogeneous and heterogeneous environments.
664   J Evol Biol voae003:. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.15.532545

665 32. Fowler CW (1981) Density dependence as related to life history strategy. Ecology
666   62:602–610

667 33. Paaby AB, Rockman M V. (2014) Cryptic genetic variation: Evolution's hidden
668   substrate. Nat Rev Genet 15:247–258. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3688

669 34. Bono LM, Gensel CL, Pfennig DW, Burch CL (2015) Evolutionary rescue and the
670   coexistence of generalist and specialist competitors: An experimental test. Proc Roy
671   Soc B: Biol Sci 282:20151932. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1932

672   35.   Kawecki TJ, Lenski RE, Ebert D, et al (2012) Experimental evolution. Trends Ecol
673   Evol 27:547–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.06.001
674   36.   Wittmann MJ, Fukami T (2018) Eco-Evolutionary Buffering□: Rapid Evolution
675   Facilitates Regional Species Coexistence despite Local Priority Effects. Am Nat
676   191:171–184. https://doi.org/10.1086/697187
677   37.   Lankau RA, Strauss SY (2007) Genetic and Species Diversity in a Plant Community.
678   Science (1979) 317:1561–1563
679   38.   Lankau R (2008) A Chemical Trait Creates a Genetic Trade-Off between Intra- and
680   Interspecific Competitive Ability. Ecology 89:1181–1187
681   39.   Bach LT, Lohbeck KT, Reusch TBH, Riebesell U (2018) Rapid evolution of highly
682   variable competitive abilities in a key phytoplankton species. Nat Ecol Evol 2:611–
683   613. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0474-x
684   40.   Carroll IT, Cardinale BJ, Nisbet RM (2011) Niche and fitness differences relate the
685   maintenance of diversity to ecosystem function. Ecology, 92: 1157-1165.
686   https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0302.1
687   41.   Angert AL, Huxman TE, Chesson P, Lawrence Venable D (2009) Functional tradeoffs
688   determine species coexistence via the storage effect. Proc Nat Acad Sci, 106(28),
689   11641-11645. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904512106
690   42.   Grainger TN, Letten AD, Gilbert B, Fukami T (2019) Applying modern coexistence
691   theory to priority effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:6205–6210.
692   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803122116
693   43.   Granjel RR, Allan E, Godoy O (2023) Nitrogen enrichment and foliar fungal
694   pathogens affect the mechanisms of multispecies plant coexistence. New Phyt
695   237:2332–2346
696   44.   Matías L, Godoy O, Gómez-Aparicio L, Pérez-Ramos IM (2018) An experimental
697   extreme drought reduces the likelihood of species to coexist despite increasing
698   intransitivity in competitive networks. J Ecol 106:826–837.
699   https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12962
700   45.   Wainwright CE, HilleRisLambers J, Lai HR, et al (2019) Distinct responses of niche
701   and fitness differences to water availability underlie variable coexistence outcomes in
702   semi-arid annual plant communities. J Ecol 107:293–306.
703   https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13056
704   46.   Song C, Rohr RP, Vasseur D, Saavedra S (2020) Disentangling the effects of external
705   perturbations on coexistence and priority effects. J Ecol 108:1677–1689.
706   https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13349
707   47.   Allen-Perkins A, García-Callejas D, Bartomeus I, Godoy O (2023) Structural
708   asymmetry in biotic interactions as a tool to understand and predict ecological
709   persistence. Ecol Lett 26:1647–1662. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14291
710   48.   Song C, Von Ahn S, Rohr RP, Saavedra S (2020) Towards a Probabilistic
711   Understanding About the Context-Dependency of Species Interactions. Trends Ecol
712   Evol 35:384–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.12.011
713   49.   Tabi A, Pennekamp F, Altermatt F, et al (2020) Species multidimensional effects
714   explain idiosyncratic responses of communities to environmental change. Nat Ecol
715   Evol 4:1036–1043. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1206-6

50. Garland TJR, Rose MR (2009) Experimental Evolution: Concepts, Methods and
Applications of Selection Experiments. University of California Press, Berkeley, Los
Angeles and London
51. Chippindale AK, Ngo AL, Rose MR (2003) The devil in the details of life-history
evolution: instability and reversal of genetic correlations during selection on
Drosophila development. J Genet 82:133–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02715814
52. Leibold MA, Urban MC, De Meester L, et al (2019) Regional neutrality evolves
through local adaptive niche evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:2612–2617.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808615116
53. Urban MC, De Meester L (2009) Community monopolization: Local adaptation
enhances priority effects in an evolving metacommunity. Proc Roy Soc B: Biol Sci
276:4129–4138. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1382
54. Yamamichi M, Kyogoku D, Iritani R, et al (2020) Intraspecific Adaptation Load: A
Mechanism for Species Coexistence. Trends Ecol Evol 35:897–907.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.05.011
55. Sousa VC, Zélé F, Rodrigues LR, et al (2019) Rapid host-plant adaptation in the
herbivorous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* occurs at low cost. Curr Opin Insect Sci
36:82–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2019.08.006
56. Boubou A, Migeon A, Roderick GK, et al (2012) Test of colonisation scenarios reveals
complex invasion history of the red tomato spider mite *Tetranychus evansi*. PLoS One
7:e35601. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035601
57. Godinho DP, Cruz MA, Charlery de la Masselière M, et al (2020) Creating outbred
and inbred populations in haplodiploids to measure adaptive responses in the
laboratory. Ecol Evol 10:7291–7305. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6454
58. Hart SP, Freckleton RP, Levine JM (2018) How to quantify competitive ability. J Ecol
106:1902–1909. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12954
59. Bisschop K, Alzate A, Bonte D, Etienne RS (2022) The Demographic Consequences
of Adaptation: Evidence from Experimental Evolution. Am Nat 199:729–742.
https://doi.org/10.1086/719183
60. Beverton RJH, Holt SJ (1957) On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Springer
Science+Business Media Dordrecht , Great Britain
61. García-Callejas D, Godoy O, Bartomeus I (2020) cxr: A toolbox for modelling species
coexistence in R. Methods Ecol Evol 11:1221–1226. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-
210X.13443
62. Saavedra S, Medeiros LP, AlAdwani M (2020) Structural forecasting of species
persistence under changing environments. Ecol Lett 23:1511–1521.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13582
63. Medeiros LP, Boege K, Del-Val E, et al (2021) Observed ecological communities are
formed by species combinations that are among the most likely to persist under
changing environments. Am Nat 197:17–29. https://doi.org/10.1086/711663
64. R Core Team (2022) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
65. Brooks ME, Kristensen K, van Benthem KJ, et al (2017) glmmTMB Balances Speed
and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed
Modeling. R J 9:378–400. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-066

66.   Lenth R (2019) Emmeans: estimated marginal means. Aka Least-Squares Means.

67.   Hartig F (2022) DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level /

Mixed) Regression Models

68.   Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis

Figures

Figure 1 – Predicted number of female offspring after one generation for A) *T. evansi* (Te)
and B) *T. urticae* (Tu), to estimate the relative effect of competition on the growth rate of the
different populations. To represent the cumulative impact of each parameter on the offspring
production, predictions were done using only the intrinsic growth rate   ), the intrinsic
growth rate plus intraspecific competition (and the latter plus interspecific
competition (in an environment with or without cadmium (left and right
panels, respectively). Note that since two of the four treatments share the same focal selection
regime (e.g. for *T. urticae* the orange and red dots correspond to the focal control regime "Tu
no-cadmium"), two colours are duplicates for the metrics without interspecific competition

(and   ). Starting conditions for simulations: 10 individuals of each species.

Treatments correspond to combinations of *T. evansi* (Te) and *T. urticae* (Tu) selection

regimes (cf. colour code). Note the different scales on the X-axis between the two species (A

vs B).

Figure 2 – Feasibility domain predicted for enviromments with (A) or without (B) cadmium

for the different combinations of cadmium and no-cadmium selection regimes in which *T.*

urticae (Tu) or *T. evansi* (Te) have evolved. The x and y axis correspond to the positive

intrinsic growth rate for *T. urticae* and *T. evansi*, respectively. The arrow represents the

vector of intrinsic growth for each of the two species and the edges of the cone delimiting the

area under which the isoclines cross at positive abundances (i.e., the feasibility domain, in

which coexistence is possible). Dashed lines surrounding the arrows represent the 95%

confidence interval of the intrinsic growth rate. Arrows that fall outside of the cone indicate

that Te excludes Tu, except in the upper-right panel of figure A (Te cadmium- Tu no-

cadmium), in which Tu excludes Te. The dark grey region indicates the feasibility domain

obtained from the ratio of intra and interspecific competitive abilities estimated with data

from all replicates pooled (see methods). This region has been evaluated only under the

conditions in which both species show positive intrinsic growth rates. The light blue and light

orange regions delimitate the smallest and largest feasibility domains obtained from the lower

and upper 95% confidence interval of the competitive ability parameters. White regions

denote the unfeasibility domain (region for exclusion). Confidence intervals of the estimates

 were obtained by performing 1000 bootstrap samples with replacement when estimating

803 parameters from the data of all experimental replicates pooled.

Figure 3 – Distance between the vector of intrinsic growth rates and the closest edge of the

feasibility domain in environments with (left panel) or without (right panel) cadmium for the

different combinations of no-cadmium or cadmium selection regimes for *T. evansi* (Te) and

for *T. urticae* (Tu) (cf. colour code). Positive distances indicate that the vector of growth rates

is inside the feasibility domain (i.e., coexistence is possible), and negative distances indicate

that the vector is outside the feasibility domain (i.e., exclusion is predicted). Circles

correspond to the distance calculated with data from all replicates pooled and triangles to

distances calculated per replicate. Error bars were obtained by performing 1000 bootstrap

samples with replacement when estimating parameters and were obtained from the data of all

experimental replicates pooled.

Figure 4 – Correlation between predicted and observed relative abundance of *T. evansi*

(Number of *T. evansi* females/Number of females of both species) after 2 generations in an

independent population growth experiment in which the two species are released at equal

densities. Squares indicate data from the cadmium environment and diamonds data from the

no-cadmium environment. Predicted error bars were calculated based on standard error

obtained from 1000 bootstrap samples and observed error bars were obtained from replicate

populations. The full line represents the slope obtained from a general linear model (see

methods) and the dashed lines to the standard error obtained from the model. Treatments

correspond to combinations of selection regimes to which *T. evansi* (Te) and *T. urticae* (Tu)

were exposed (cf. colour code).