A protease and a lipoprotein jointly modulate the conserved ExoR-ExoS-ChvI signaling pathway critical in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* for symbiosis with legume hosts

Julian A. Bustamante [1,¶], Josue S. Ceron [1,¶], Ivan Thomas Gao [1,¶], Hector A. Ramirez [1,¶], Milo V. Aviles [1], Demsin Bet Adam [1], Jason R. Brice [1], Rodrigo Cuellar [1], Eva Dockery [1], Miguel Karlo Jabagat [1], Donna Grace Karp [1], Joseph Kin-On Lau [1], Suling Li [1], Raymondo Lopez-Magaña [1], Rebecca R. Moore [1], Bethany Kristi R. Morin [1], Juliana Nzongo [1], Yasha Rezaeihaghighi [1], Joseph Sapienza-Martinez [1], Tuyet Thi Kim Tran [1], Zhenzhong Huang [1], Aaron J. Duthoy [2], Melanie J. Barnett [2], Sharon Long [2], and Joseph C. Chen [1]*

[1] Department of Biology, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California, United States of America

[2] Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America

* Corresponding author
E-mail: chenj@sfsu.edu (JCC)

¶ Julian A. Bustamante, Josue S. Ceron, Ivan Thomas Gao, Hector A. Ramirez contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined alphabetically.

Running title: proteolytic regulation of ExoR

Abstract word count: 221

Abstract

Sinorhizobium meliloti is a model alpha-proteobacterium for investigating microbe-host interactions, in particular nitrogen-fixing rhizobium-legume symbioses. Successful infection requires complex coordination between compatible host and endosymbiont, including bacterial production of succinoglycan, also known as exopolysaccharide-I (EPS-I). In *S. meliloti* EPS-I production is controlled by the conserved ExoS-ChvI two-component system. Periplasmic ExoR associates with the ExoS histidine kinase and negatively regulates ChvI-dependent expression of *exo* genes, necessary for EPS-I synthesis. We show that two extracytoplasmic proteins, LppA (a lipoprotein) and JspA (a metalloprotease), jointly influence EPS-I synthesis by modulating the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI pathway and expression of genes in the ChvI regulon. Deletions of *jspA* and *lppA* led to lower EPS-I production and competitive disadvantage during host colonization, for both *S. meliloti* with *Medicago sativa* and *S. medicae* with *M. truncatula*. Overexpression of *jspA* reduced steady-state levels of ExoR, suggesting that the JspA protease participates in ExoR degradation. This reduction in ExoR levels is dependent on LppA and can be replicated with ExoR, JspA, and LppA expressed exogenously in *Caulobacter crescentus* and *Escherichia coli*. Akin to signaling pathways that sense extracytoplasmic stress in other bacteria, JspA and LppA may monitor periplasmic conditions during interaction with the plant host to adjust accordingly expression of genes that contribute to efficient symbiosis. The molecular mechanisms underlying host colonization in our model system may have parallels in related alpha-proteobacteria.

Author summary

Symbiotic bacteria that live in the roots of legume plants produce biologically accessible nitrogen compounds, offering a more sustainable and environmentally sound alternative to industrial fertilizers generated from fossil fuels. Understanding the multitude of factors that contribute to successful interaction between such bacteria and their plant hosts can help refine strategies for improving agricultural output. In addition, because disease-causing microbes share many genes with these beneficial bacteria, unraveling the cellular mechanisms that facilitate host invasion can reveal ways to prevent and treat infectious diseases. In this report we show that two genes in the model bacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti* contribute to effective symbiosis by helping the cells adapt to living in host plants. This finding furthers knowledge about genetics factors that regulate interactions between microbes and their hosts.

Introduction

Rhizobia-legume symbioses account for a substantial proportion of terrestrial nitrogen fixation, converting molecular dinitrogen to a reduced, more bioavailable form such as ammonia (Herridge *et al.*, 2008). Optimization of such biological nitrogen fixation in agriculture may reduce reliance on industrial fertilizers and their negative environmental impacts, including fossil fuel consumption, release of greenhouse gas, and eutrophication (Ferguson *et al.*, 2010; Graham & Vance, 2003; Olivares *et al.*, 2013). The mutualistic relationship requires complex communication and coordination between specific rhizobia and compatible legume plants (Long, 2016; Masson-Boivin & Sachs, 2018), as well as bacterial adaptation to the "stresses" of the host plant environment (Hawkins & Oresnik, 2021; Ledermann *et al.*, 2021). Flavonoids released by the host plant induce bacterial production of essential signaling molecules called Nod factors, lipochitooligosaccharides that elicit root hair curling, plant cell division, and nodule development (Gibson *et al.*, 2008). Colonization of nodules typically begins with bacterial cells invading the root hair via plant cell wall-derived tunnels called infection threads, followed by release into the nodule primordium and engulfment into symbiosomes, membrane-bound organelles in the plant cytoplasm, in which the rhizobia differentiate into bacteroids capable of fixing nitrogen in exchange for carbon compounds from the host (Barnett & Fisher, 2006; Poole *et al.*, 2018). The root nodules can be determinate or indeterminate, depending on the host: one major distinction is that determinate nodules lack a persistent meristem and contain cells at similar stages of development, whereas indeterminate nodules exhibit a sequential gradient of development, with dividing cells in the meristem at one end, next to progressive zones of invasion, nitrogen fixation, and senescence (Ferguson *et al.*, 2010).

The alphaproteobacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and its compatible hosts, including *Medicago sativa* (alfalfa) and *M. truncatula* (barrel medic), emerged as models for indeterminate nodulation (Jones *et al.*, 2007). Alfalfa is a major feed crop, its annual production valued at over $11 billion in the U.S. alone (USDA Crop Values 2022 Summary), while *M. truncatula* serves as a genetically tractable reference species (Burks *et al.*, 2018). Factors found to be critical for *S. meliloti* to form mutualistic symbiosis have been shown to play similar roles during host infection in related pathogens, such as *Brucella* spp., suggesting mechanistic parallels between mutualism and pathogenesis (Jones *et al.*, 2007). One such shared mechanism is the ExoS-ChvI two-component phosphorelay pathway, conserved across related alpha-proteobacteria,

particularly within the Rhizobiales group (Greenwich *et al.*, 2023; Heavner *et al.*, 2015). ExoS is

a membrane-bound histidine kinase with a periplasmic sensor domain, while ChvI is its cognate

response regulator (Cheng & Walker, 1998b). Mutations in ExoS and ChvI as well as their

orthologs in other endosymbionts, including BvrS-BvrR in the mammalian pathogen *Brucella*

abortus and ChvG-ChvI in the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, impair host

colonization (Alakavuklar *et al.*, 2023; Bélanger *et al.*, 2009; Charles & Nester, 1993; Chen *et*

al., 2008; Mantis & Winans, 1993; Sola-Landa *et al.*, 1998; Vanderlinde & Yost, 2012; Wang *et*

al., 2010a; Wells *et al.*, 2007). A third component of the signaling system, ExoR, acts as a

periplasmic repressor of ExoS via physical association (Chen *et al.*, 2008; Wells *et al.*, 2007).

ExoR is regulated by proteolysis (Lu *et al.*, 2012; Wiech *et al.*, 2015; Wu *et al.*, 2012), and

binding to ExoS protects it from degradation (Chen *et al.*, 2008). Mutations in *S. meliloti* ExoR

also disrupt symbiosis (Chen *et al.*, 2008; Doherty *et al.*, 1988; Ozga *et al.*, 1994; Wells *et al.*,

2007; Yao *et al.*, 2004), but the ExoR ortholog in *B. abortus* seems dispensable for virulence

(Castillo-Zeledón *et al.*, 2021), and mutation in *A. tumefaciens exoR* interferes with binding to

plant root surfaces while permitting disease progression (Tomlinson *et al.*, 2010). These

observations may reflect a more nuanced role of ExoR during host invasion.

Cues that suggest transition into the host environment appear to stimulate the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI

signaling cascade to promote a developmental shift from free-living to symbiotic (Greenwich *et*

al., 2023). Acidic pH that mimics the rhizosphere leads to degradation of ExoR and induction of

the ChvG-ChvI pathway in *A. tumefaciens* (Heckel *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2002; Wu *et al.*, 2012;

Yuan *et al.*, 2008). In *B. abortus*, a combination of acidic pH and nutrient limitation, conditions

similar to those found during passage through autophagosome-like compartments, activates

BvrS-BvrR signaling (Altamirano-Silva *et al.*, 2021; Altamirano-Silva *et al.*, 2018; Rivas-Solano

et al., 2022). However, conditions that specifically trigger the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI pathway in *S.*

meliloti remain elusive (Bélanger *et al.*, 2009; Keating, 2007; Ratib *et al.*, 2018), and different

cues for divergent species are possible. Furthermore, some cues may directly activate the

ExoS sensor kinase and bypass ExoR: recent studies indicate that peptidoglycan stress induces

the *A. tumefaciens* ChvG-ChvI system independently of ExoR (Williams *et al.*, 2022), and that in

S. meliloti, membrane disruption due to a phosphatidylcholine deficiency activates ExoS without

a concomitant decrease in steady-state levels of ExoR (Geiger *et al.*, 2021). In the free-living

alphaproteobacterium *Caulobacter crescentus*, which lacks an ExoR ortholog, the ChvG-ChvI

system was shown to be stimulated by various stresses, including DNA damage, acidic pH,

osmotic upshift, and inhibition of cell wall synthesis (Frohlich *et al.*, 2018; Quintero-Yanes *et al.*,

2022; Stein *et al.*, 2021).

Irrespective of the specific triggers, the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI system influences a multitude of

physiological activities, including exopolysaccharide (EPS) production, motility, biofilm

formation, cell envelope maintenance, and nutrient utilization, befitting its pivotal regulation of

symbiotic development (Bélanger *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2010a; Wells *et al.*, 2007; Yao *et al.*,

2004). Similarly, orthologs in *A. tumefaciens* and *Bartonella henselae* control parallel processes

crucial for pathogenesis (Alakavuklar *et al.*, 2021; Heckel *et al.*, 2014; Quebatte *et al.*, 2010). In

B. abortus, BvrS and BvrR also coordinate diverse functions and upon activation directly target

genes involved in metabolite deployment, cell envelope modulation, cell division, and virulence

(Guzman-Verri *et al.*, 2002; Lamontagne *et al.*, 2007; Manterola *et al.*, 2007; Manterola *et al.*,

2005; Martinez-Nunez *et al.*, 2010; Rivas-Solano *et al.*, 2022; Viadas *et al.*, 2010). Initial

transcriptome profiles of *S. meliloti exoS*::Tn*5* and *exoR*::Tn*5* mutants revealed altered

expression of hundreds of genes (Wells *et al.*, 2007; Yao *et al.*, 2004), but subsequent

interrogation that included identification of genomic regions bound by ChvI winnowed the direct

targets of the response regulator down to 64, many known to participate in physiological

activities described above (Chen *et al.*, 2009; Ratib *et al.*, 2018). Perhaps illustrating the

complex interaction of regulatory pathways and the difficulty of signal deconvolution, a

significant fraction of ChvI targets also changed expression with other published perturbations

(Ratib *et al.*, 2018), including acid stress (de Lucena *et al.*, 2010; Draghi *et al.*, 2016; Hellweg *et

al.*, 2009), antimicrobial peptide treatment (Penterman *et al.*, 2014), phosphate starvation (Krol

& Becker, 2004), cyclic nucleotide accumulation (Krol *et al.*, 2016), overexpression of SyrA

(Barnett & Long, 2015), and mutations in *podJ*, *cbrA*, *ntrY*, and *emrR* (Calatrava-Morales *et al.*,

2017; Fields *et al.*, 2012; Gibson *et al.*, 2007; Santos *et al.*, 2014).

One key subset of the regulon induced upon ExoS-ChvI activation is the *exo* genes, responsible

for synthesis of succinoglycan, or EPS-I, originally characterized in *S. meliloti* strain Rm1021 as

the only symbiotically active EPS (Becker *et al.*, 2002; Long *et al.*, 1988; Pellock *et al.*, 2000;

Reuber & Walker, 1993). An increase in EPS-I production, usually concomitant with a decrease

in flagellar motility (Alakavuklar *et al.*, 2021; Ratib *et al.*, 2018), represents a physiological

transition from saprophytic to endosymbiotic, as EPS-I contributes to successful interaction

between compatible symbiotic partners. Mutants that lack EPS-I or synthesize variants with

altered structures (for example, absence of succinylation) exhibit defects in the initiation or

elongation of infection threads, while changes in EPS-I levels can influence symbiotic efficiency

(Barnett & Long, 2018; Cheng & Walker, 1998a; Geddes *et al.*, 2014; Jones, 2012; Mendis *et*

al., 2016); thus, both the quality and quantity of EPS-I matter during infection. EPS-I may serve

as a recognition signal, particularly for suppressing host defenses (Jones *et al.*, 2008). When

Mesorhizobium loti invades *Lotus japonicus*, a legume host that develops determinate nodules,

recognition of bacterial EPS by a plant receptor-like kinase facilitates symbiosis, as mutants that

do not produce EPS can still form symbiosis, whereas those that synthesize inappropriate,

truncated forms of EPS cannot, and the plant receptor is required to restrain infection by

bacteria with inappropriate EPS (Kawaharada *et al.*, 2015; Kawaharada *et al.*, 2017; Kelly *et al.*,

2013). While no corresponding plant receptor for *S. meliloti* EPS-I has been identified so far

(Maillet *et al.*, 2020), EPS-I does enhance tolerance of various environmental assaults (Miller-

Williams *et al.*, 2006; Vriezen *et al.*, 2007), including those encountered during host

colonization, such as acidity, oxidative stress, and antimicrobial peptides (Arnold *et al.*, 2018;

Arnold *et al.*, 2017; Davies & Walker, 2007; Hawkins *et al.*, 2017; Lehman & Long, 2013).

In particular, EPS-I confers resistance to the antimicrobial activity of NCR247 (Arnold *et al.*,

2018; Arnold *et al.*, 2017), which belongs to a diverse family of small, nodule-specific cysteine-

rich (NCR) peptides encoded by legumes in the inverted-repeat-lacking clade (IRLC), where

plants with indeterminate nodules typically reside (Mergaert *et al.*, 2003; Poole *et al.*, 2018; Van

de Velde *et al.*, 2010). Structurally similar to characterized defensins (Maroti *et al.*, 2015),

different NCR peptides regulate bacterial load *in planta* and influence distinct aspects of

terminal bacteroid differentiation, including maintaining survival and preventing premature

senescence (Cao *et al.*, 2017; Farkas *et al.*, 2014; Horváth *et al.*, 2015; Kim *et al.*, 2015;

Sankari *et al.*, 2022; Wang *et al.*, 2010b). In addition to EPS-I, other bacterial factors can

modulate the effects of NCR peptides (Arnold *et al.*, 2017; Benedict *et al.*, 2021). For example,

BacA, long recognized as critical in both *S. meliloti* and *B. abortus* for host colonization (LeVier

et al., 2000), reduces membrane permeabilization and cell death induced by NCR247 *ex planta*

(Haag *et al.*, 2011). Some *S. meliloti* strains produce HrrP, a peptidase capable of degrading

NCR peptides, attenuating their antimicrobial effects and altering the host ranges of the bacteria

(Price *et al.*, 2015). These molecular arsenals for exerting control evoke the Red Queen

hypothesis, which proposes that coevolving partners engage in a continual arms race to

maintain the relationship (Brockhurst, 2011; Van Valen, 1973): "it takes all the running you can

do, to keep in the same place" (Carroll, 1900 [1872]).

One of the genes previously identified in a transposon-based screen as necessary for *S. meliloti*

resistance against NCR247 *ex planta* is SMc03872, which encodes a periplasmic protease

conserved in alpha-proteobacteria and confers a competitive advantage during symbiosis with

alfalfa (Arnold *et al.*, 2017). SMc03872 was also identified in a genetic selection for

suppressors that ameliorated the osmosensitivity of a *podJ* null mutant (Fields *et al.*, 2012).

That work demonstrated that PodJ is a conserved polarity factor that contributes to cell

envelope integrity and EPS-I production in *S. meliloti*, and that deletion of SMc03872 or

SMc00067, encoding a lipoprotein, reduced EPS-I levels. Here we show that SMc03872 and

SMc00067 jointly influence EPS-I production by lowering the steady-state levels of periplasmic

ExoR and thus activating the ExoS-ChvI signal transduction pathway. This regulation

contributes to competitive fitness during host colonization, suggesting that SMc03872 and

SMc00067 facilitate transition to a gene expression pattern more suitable for the host

environment.

Results

LppA and JspA jointly contribute to EPS-I biosynthesis and symbiotic competitiveness

In a previous suppressor analysis to identify mutants that alleviated the cell envelope defects of the *podJ1* deletion strain, we found two genes (SMc00067 and SMc03872) whose interruption or deletion led to consistent and significant reduction in EPS-I production (Fields *et al.*, 2012). SMc00067 (annotated as *lppA*) encodes a 148-amino acid lipoprotein, while SMc03872 (here named *jspA*, for *podJ* suppressor protease A) encodes a 497-amino acid metalloprotease that contains an M48 peptidase domain, with a conserved HEXXH active site, and a LysM domain, commonly associated with peptidoglycan binding (Fig. 1) (Arnold *et al.*, 2017; Becker *et al.*, 2009; Galibert *et al.*, 2001). BLAST searches against representative bacterial species indicated that both genes are highly conserved within the Rhizobiales group of alphaproteobacteria, based on shared synteny and protein sequences (Boratyn *et al.*, 2013). Outside of the Rhizobiales group, orthologs of LppA were rare or difficult to identify, while the sequence similarities of JspA homologs were generally lower than those found within Rhizobiales (Table S1). Both LppA and JspA contain lipoprotein signal peptides at their N-termini, each with a stretch of hydrophobic amino acids followed by an invariant Cys within the lipobox motif (Fig. 1B) (Juncker *et al.*, 2003). In the original annotation for LppA, the protein starts seven codons upstream of the LAGC lipobox, with VVASGVA, but N-terminal extension of 12 codons adds more hydrophobic amino acids, allowing a more optimal signal sequence; thus, we have numbered the amino acid sequence accordingly. The lipoprotein signals suggest that each protein is exported out of the cytoplasm and attached to the inner or outer membrane (Kovacs-Simon *et al.*, 2011).

To verify that LppA and JspA contribute to EPS-I production, we performed complementation analysis by introducing plasmids carrying *lppA* or *jspA* under the control of a taurine-inducible promoter (P$_{tau}$) (Mostafavi *et al.*, 2014) into wild-type or deletion strains. Serial dilutions of strains were spotted onto plates containing calcofluor, which fluoresces when bound to EPS-I (Finan *et al.*, 1985). Consistent with previously published results (Fields *et al.*, 2012), *lppA* or *jspA* deletion strain carrying the empty vector exhibited lower levels of EPS-I production, with 60-70% of calcofluor fluorescence compared to wild-type strains carrying the vector, in the absence or presence of taurine (Fig. 2A and 2B). Wild-type and deletion strains with plasmids carrying *lppA* or *jspA* showed similar fluorescence levels as their counterparts with the vector in

the absence of taurine and elevated fluorescence levels in the presence of taurine. Similar

results were obtained with the closely related *S. medicae* strain WSM419: deletion of the *lppA*

or *jspA* ortholog in that strain reduced fluorescence on plates containing calcofluor (Fig. S1A),

and complementation with the heterologous *S. meliloti* gene rescued the defect (Fig. S1B,

strains JOE5290 and JOE5264). At higher taurine concentrations (5 and 10 mM), induction of

jspA expression in *S. meliloti* Rm1021 inhibited colony formation (Fig. 2C), but this effect was

not observed with *lppA* expression, even with the highest possible concentration of taurine (100

mM) (Fig. 2A). While induction of *lppA* or *jspA* expression promoted EPS-I production in wild

type or corresponding deletion strains, *lppA* expression in the *jspA* mutant (Fig. 2A, bottom

image) and *jspA* expression in the *lppA* mutant (Fig. 2B, bottom image) failed to increase

calcofluor fluorescence. Furthermore, *jspA* overexpression did not cause growth arrest in the

lppA mutant (Fig. 2D). These results suggest that LppA and JspA act in concert to stimulate

EPS-I production.

Since EPS-I is critical for infection thread formation during host colonization, we asked if

deletion of *lppA* or *jspA* leads to a symbiosis defect. *M. sativa* seedlings were inoculated with

wild-type Rm1021 or Δ*lppA* or Δ*jspA* derivatives, and *M. truncatula* seedlings were inoculated

with wild-type WSM419 or its Δ*lppA* or Δ*jspA* derivatives because WSM419 forms more efficient

symbiosis with *M. truncatula* than *S. meliloti* strains (Ghosh *et al.*, 2021; Larrainzar *et al.*, 2014;

Terpolilli *et al.*, 2008). We did not observe obvious differences in plant growth and the

development of root nodules on nitrogen-free medium over the course of four weeks: the

average numbers of pink, nitrogen-fixing nodules per plant were similar 21 and 28 days after

inoculation with different bacterial strains (Table S2), suggesting that the two genes are not

required for symbiosis. To examine more closely if LppA and JspA contribute to efficient host

colonization, we conducted competitive infection assays in which seedlings were inoculated with

mixtures containing equal numbers of two strains, and bacteria were recovered from root

nodules 28 days post-inoculation to determine occupancy rates (Materials and methods). One

or both strains were marked with distinct antibiotic resistance to facilitate identification via

plating after extraction from nodules. *M. sativa* plants were inoculated with mixtures of Rm1021

derivatives (Fig. 3A), while *M. truncatula* plants were inoculated with mixtures of WSM419

derivatives (Fig. 3B). Consistent with previous reports (Fields *et al.*, 2012; Gage, 2002), we

found that a small percentage of the nodules contained mixed populations of bacteria, while the

majority of nodules were dominated by one strain. Discounting those nodules containing mixed

populations, roughly equal numbers of nodules (45 – 55%) were occupied by each strain when

the inoculum contained two wild-type strains (marked or unmarked). In contrast, *lppA* or *jspA*

mutants were recovered from significantly fewer nodules (6 – 26% of the nodules) when

competed against wild-type strains (Fig. 3 and Table S3). These results align with previous

demonstration that JspA is important for protection against the NCR247 antimicrobial peptide

and for competitiveness during symbiosis between *S. meliloti* and *M. sativa* (Arnold *et al.*, 2017).

Furthermore, our results show that both JspA and LppA contribute to competitiveness, in two

different model symbiotic interactions.

JspA and LppA affect expression of EPS-I and flagellar genes

Next, we investigated how JspA and LppA may influence EPS-I production by determining if

they affect gene expression in *S. meliloti*. First, we used a transcriptional fusion to the β-

glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene (Chen *et al.*, 2009) to measure expression of *exoY*,

encoding a galactosyltransferase required for EPS-I biosynthesis (Reuber & Walker, 1993).

Expression levels were examined in both PYE and LB rich media because our past experiences

indicated that differences between strains could be more apparent in one particular medium

(Fields *et al.*, 2012). Consistent with EPS-I levels monitored via calcofluor fluorescence (Fig. 2),

deletion of *jspA* or *lppA* reduced *exoY* expression to 55 - 72% of wild-type levels, in both LB and

PYE media (Table 1). Second, we examined reporter fusions to *flaC* and *mcpU* (Gibson *et al.*,

2007), respectively encoding a flagellin and a chemoreceptor (Hoang *et al.*, 2008; Scharf &

Schmitt, 2002), as expression of genes involved in flagellar motility and chemotaxis often

change in opposition to those involved in EPS-I production (Bahlawane *et al.*, 2008; Barnett *et*

al., 2004; Fields *et al.*, 2012). Deletion of *jspA* or *lppA* increased expression of these two genes

significantly in LB medium (to 134 - 159% of wild type), but less clearly in PYE medium (to 114 -

129% of wild type) (Table 1). Finally, expression levels of *exoY*, *flaC*, and *mcpU* in the Δ*jspA*

Δ*lppA* double mutant were similar to those in the single mutants (Table 1), again suggesting that

JspA and LppA function in the same genetic pathway.

We also used the transcriptional fusions to *exoY* and *flaC* to assess the effects of constitutive

jspA or *lppA* expression from a plasmid-borne, taurine-inducible promoter (with the same P$_{tau}$-

regulated constructs as those in Fig. 2) (Table 2). Induction of *jspA* expression with 10 mM

taurine in PYE for three hours was sufficient to significantly alter expression of both *exoY* and

flaC in the wild-type background. Such induction also complemented the drop in *exoY*

expression seen in the Δ*jspA* mutant. In contrast, induction of *lppA* expression did not affect

flaC or *exoY* significantly under various conditions tested (Table 2). We only found a modest

increase in *exoY* transcription in the wild-type background when *lppA* expression was induced

for six hours with 100 mM taurine in LB medium. Such induction also sufficed to complement

the drop in *exoY* expression in the Δ*lppA* mutant. Notably, overexpression of *jspA* could not

reverse the decrease in *exoY* expression in the Δ*lppA* mutant, and overexpression of *lppA* could

not reverse the same effect in the Δ*jspA* mutant. Overall, expression analysis with

transcriptional reporters reflected the results obtained with calcofluor fluorescence (Fig. 2): *lppA*

appears to require higher concentrations of the taurine inducer compared to *jspA* to cause

detectable physiological changes, and both genes need each other to function.

To determine if LppA acts as a lipoprotein and JspA acts as a protease, we mutated the lipobox

motif of LppA and the peptidase domain of JspA (Fig. 1B) and assessed the mutant derivatives'

effects on EPS-I synthesis and gene expression. For LppA, we mutated Cys_{23} of the lipobox

motif to Ser and tagged both the wild-type and mutant versions at the C-terminus with an HA

epitope. While constructing the *lppA-HA* allele, we serendipitously obtained alleles with

conversion of Gly_{96} to Trp and Ala_{78} to Ser and decided to analyze each of the corresponding

two mutants as well. Due to the relatively minor changes in reporter gene expression when

lppA was overexpressed in the wild-type background (Table 2), we mainly examined the

functionality of various *lppA* alleles in the Δ*lppA* background. Overexpression of these various

derivatives ($LppA_{C23S}$, LppA-HA, $LppA_{C23S}$-HA, $LppA_{G96W}$-HA, $LppA_{A78S}$-HA) in the Δ*lppA* mutant

from the plasmid-borne P_{tau} promoter did not increase the fluorescence levels of colonies on

calcofluor plates compared to the vector-only control, whereas overexpression of LppA did (Fig.

4A). Induction of LppA or LppA-HA significantly elevated expression of the *exoY* fusion reporter

to similar levels (180 – 195% of that in the Δ*lppA* mutant with the vector), while $LppA_{C23S}$ and

$LppA_{C23S}$-HA did not (Table 3). The other two variants, $LppA_{G96W}$-HA and $LppA_{A78S}$-HA,

increased *exoY* expression modestly but significantly (123 – 130%) (Table 3). Immunoblot

analysis using antibodies against the HA epitope indicated that the steady-state levels of all HA-

tagged derivatives of LppA, when constitutively expressed from plasmids, are relatively similar

in wild-type, Δ*lppA*, and Δ*jspA* backgrounds, except for $LppA_{C23S}$-HA, which appears to be

expressed at very low levels in all backgrounds (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that acylation

at the lipobox Cys allows LppA to anchor to the membrane and mature into a stable lipoprotein.

Addition of an HA epitope at the C-terminus appears to reduce the functionality of LppA, while

the G96W and A78S mutations further diminish protein activity without affecting its stability

detectably.

For JspA, we mutated residues within the HEMAH active site, His147 to Ala, or Glu148 to Ala or

Asp (Fujimura-Kamada *et al.*, 1997), and tagged wild-type and mutant versions at the C-

terminus with the HA epitope. When constitutively expressed from the plasmid-borne P_{tau}

promoter in the wild-type background with 5 mM taurine, both JspA and JspA-HA elevated the

fluorescence of colonies on calcofluor plates, but only JspA inhibited colony formation (Fig. 4C).

None of the three active site mutants (H147A, E148A, E148D), untagged or tagged, enhanced

fluorescence or affected growth. In addition, we expressed *S. meliloti* JspA and its derivatives

in three related alphaproteobacteria -- *S. medicae* WSM419, *S. fredii* NGR234, and *C.*

crescentus NA1000 -- to assess if JspA activity is conserved (Fig. S1B, S2). In WSM419,

expression of JspA complemented the EPS-I production defect of the Δ*jspA* mutant, whereas

expression of JspA$_{E148A}$ did not (Fig. S1B). In both WSM419 and NGR234, overexpression of

JspA inhibited colony formation, while overexpression of JspA$_{E148A}$-HA did not (Fig. S2).

Overexpression of JspA$_{E148A}$ and JspA-HA inhibited growth to different extents in these two

species (Fig. S2), suggesting that JspA variants have different activities in distinct genetic

backgrounds: overproduction of a proteolytically inactive JspA seems more deleterious in

NGR234 than in *S. meliloti* Rm1021 or *S. medicae* WSM419. In contrast, expression of JspA

and its derivatives in the more distantly related NA1000 did not inhibit growth until higher levels

of induction (10 mM taurine), possibly due to the general stress of protein overproduction

because similar levels of inhibition were observed for all alleles.

Results similar to those seen with calcofluor plates were obtained when analyzing JspA

derivatives with transcriptional fusion of the GUS reporter to *exoY* in *S. meliloti*: overexpression

of JspA-HA significantly increased *exoY* transcription, but to a lesser extent compared to

untagged JspA (Table 3). As with JspA, JspA-HA also increased *exoY* expression in the Δ*jspA*

mutant but not in the Δ*lppA* mutant, again indicating that JspA needs LppA for activity. The

E148A and E148D variants, untagged or tagged, both failed to elevate *exoY* expression to

levels achieved by JspA and JspA-HA, indicating that the active site of the protease is

necessary for function. Immunoblotting indicated that HA-tagged versions of JspA appear to

reach similar steady-state levels in wild-type, Δ*lppA*, and Δ*jspA* backgrounds (Fig. 4D, 4E),

demonstrating that variations in activity are not likely due to differences in protein stability in

different genetic backgrounds. Together, these results suggest that the active site of JspA is

critical for its proteolytic activity, but other domains contribute to function and may interfere with

cellular processes when overexpressed, consistent with previous demonstration by Arnold *et al.*

(2017) that the peptidase active site, the lipobox motif, and the LysM domain are all necessary

for protection against the antimicrobial activity of NCR247.

LppA and JspA participate in the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI signaling pathway

Considering that LppA and JspA are likely extracytoplasmic, we wondered how they influence

transcription of EPS-I and flagellar genes. To uncover their mechanism of action, we performed

whole-genome expression analysis using Affymetrix GeneChips (Barnett *et al.*, 2004). Having

generated mutant alleles and determined growth conditions and strain backgrounds with which

significant changes in gene expression could be observed, we decided to examine the

transcriptomes of Rm1021 carrying an empty vector or overexpressing wild-type JspA or loss-

of-function JspA$_{E148A}$ from a plasmid. As expected, overexpression of JspA caused changes in

a substantial set of genes, whereas JspA$_{E148A}$ did not: pairwise comparisons for changes greater

than 1.5-fold revealed 198 genes with significantly different expression between strains

overexpressing JspA and carrying the vector, 155 genes between JspA and JspA$_{E148A}$, and only

5 genes between JspA$_{E148A}$ and the vector (Fig. 5A, Table S4). 141 gene expression changes

were shared between the JspA versus vector and JspA versus JspA$_{E148A}$ comparisons, and

consequently these genes were deemed strong candidates for the JspA transcriptome: 80

increased expression and 61 decreased expression during JspA overexpression. Consistent

with measurements of *exoY* and *flaC* reporter fusions (Tables 2 and 3), a sizable portion of up-

regulated genes are associated with exopolysaccharide biosynthesis, while the majority of

down-regulated genes are associated with flagellar motility and chemotaxis. One up-regulated

target that stood out is *chvI*, encoding a conserved response regulator critical for viability and

symbiosis (Cheng & Walker, 1998b; Ratib *et al.*, 2018). To verify the results of the

transcriptome analysis, we constructed transcriptional fusions of the GUS reporter to *chvI* and

select candidates of the JspA transcriptome. Measurements of GUS activity showed expected

increase (for SMc01580, *pckA*, and *chvI*) or decrease (for *mcpU*) when *jspA* expression is

induced with taurine from the plasmid-borne P$_{tau}$ promoter, compared to the same wild type

carrying the vector; in contrast, overexpression of the mutant *jspA*$_{E148A}$ allele did not elicit

significant changes (Table 4). We also evaluated expression of the *chvI* reporter when JspA

and variants were expressed from a plasmid-borne, IPTG-inducible promoter, P$_{lac}$ (Khan *et al.*,

2008) to ensure that the observed changes could be replicated with another inducer.

Overexpression of JspA and JspA-HA both increased *chvI* transcription, whereas JspA$_{E148A}$,

untagged or tagged, did not cause similar effects (Table 4). These reporter activities support

the validity of the transcriptome analysis.

Knowing that the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI signaling system can control its own expression (Lu &

Cheng, 2010; Ratib *et al.*, 2018), we asked if JspA participates in that regulatory pathway. We

compared the JspA transcriptome against the published transcriptomes of ExoR/ExoS and ChvI

(Chen *et al.*, 2009; Ratib *et al.*, 2018; Wells *et al.*, 2007) (see Materials and methods for details)

and saw substantial overlap among the three sets of genes (Fig. 5B). In contrast, the JspA

transcriptome had minimal overlap with the published transcriptome of RpoH1, a heat shock

sigma factor (Fig. 5C) (Barnett *et al.*, 2012), chosen for comparison because it represented a

stress response distinct from that of the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI system. Hypergeometric probability

tests (Lund *et al.*, 2002) indicated that overlap among the JspA, ExoR/ExoS, and ChvI

transcriptomes are highly significant, whereas each of the three sets overlapped poorly with the

RpoH1 transcriptome (Table S5). As noted previously (Ratib *et al.*, 2018), there are significant

overlaps between the ChvI regulon and the groups of genes affected by the *podJ1* mutation

(Fields *et al.*, 2012) or by NCR247-treatment (Penterman *et al.*, 2014). To be expected for one

sharing the same genetic pathway as ChvI, JspA's transcriptome also intersects significantly

with the *podJ1* and NCR247 sets (Table S6). These similarities suggest that JspA contributes

to a regulatory pathway, likely the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI system, for responding to specific stress

conditions, such as those caused by the *podJ1* mutation or exposure to NCR247.

To determine if JspA and LppA influence the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI signaling pathway, we conducted

epistasis analysis, first using Tn5 insertions in *exoR* and *exoS* that lead to overproduction of

EPS-I (Doherty *et al.*, 1988). Strains carrying the *exoS96*::Tn*5* insertion produce an N-

terminally truncated ExoS that behaves like a constitutively active kinase (Cheng & Walker,

1998b), while strains carrying the *exoR95*::Tn*5* insertion produce a C-terminally altered ExoR

that has lost function (Lu *et al.*, 2012). Loss of *lppA* or *jspA* in these backgrounds did not

reduce EPS-I synthesis, suggesting that *exoR* and *exoS* are epistatic to *lppA* and *jspA* (Fig. 6A).

Considering that, like ExoR, mature JspA and LppA are predicted to reside in the periplasm,

and ExoR inhibits ExoS-ChvI signaling, we hypothesized that JspA and LppA together

negatively regulate ExoR activity (Fig. 6B). This model is consistent with JspA and LppA being

unable to reduce EPS-I production if ExoR is inoperative or if ExoS is constitutively active. To

test this idea further, we constructed a ChvI depletion strain, in which the only copy of *chvI* is

under the control of P_{lac} on a pBBR1-derived plasmid (Khan *et al.*, 2008). As ChvI is essential

for growth on rich medium, the ChvI depletion strain grew poorly in the absence of the IPTG

inducer and normally in its presence, similar to a *chvI+* strain carrying the same plasmid grown

in the absence or presence of IPTG (Fig. S3). We then monitored expression of the *exoY*

reporter when ChvI is replete or depleted and when wild-type or mutant JspA is overexpressed

from the P$_{tau}$ promoter on a compatible RK2-derived plasmid (Mostafavi *et al.*, 2014) (Fig. 6C).

In a *chvI*$^+$ strain carrying the P$_{lac}$ vector or the P$_{lac}$-*chvI* plasmid, constitutive expression of JspA

from P$_{tau}$ increased *exoY* expression compared to the same strain carrying the P$_{tau}$ vector (Fig.

6C, first four strains on the left), consistent with previous measurements (Tables 2 and 3). In

the ChvI depletion strain carrying the P$_{tau}$ vector, shutting off *chvI* expression by removing IPTG

for six hours reduced *exoY* expression (Fig. 6C, fifth strain from left). When JspA was

overexpressed in the ChvI depletion strain, *exoY* expression was high when ChvI was replete in

the presence of IPTG (Fig. 6C, sixth strain from left, + IPTG), comparable to that seen in *chvI*$^+$

strains when JspA was overexpressed. However, depletion of ChvI in the absence of IPTG

prevented *exoY* expression from becoming elevated by JspA (Fig. 6C, sixth strain from left, -

IPTG). A ChvI depletion strain overexpressing JspA$_{E148A}$ (Fig. 6C, rightmost strain) yielded

similar *exoY* expression patterns as the depletion strain carrying the P$_{tau}$ vector (Fig. 6C, fifth

strain from left). These results support the model that JspA functions upstream of ChvI:

increasing JspA levels relieves the inhibitory activity of ExoR, in turn activating the ExoS sensor

kinase and ChvI response regulator and promoting expression of EPS-I genes and thus EPS-I

production. In the absence of ChvI, JspA is unable to stimulate expression of EPS-I genes,

such as *exoY*.

The rhizobial ExoR-ExoS-ChvI system effects changes in gene expression in response to

environmental conditions, including acid stress (Altamirano-Silva *et al.*, 2018; Heckel *et al.*,

2014; Yuan *et al.*, 2008). Since JspA and LppA appear to act upstream of the system, we

investigated if they mediate transmission of environmental signals. We compared the JspA

transcriptome and ChvI regulon against sets of genes that changed expression upon acid

stress, identified in three different studies (de Lucena *et al.*, 2010; Draghi *et al.*, 2016; Hellweg

et al., 2009). Hypergeometric probability tests indicate that the overlaps between the JspA

transcriptome or ChvI regulon and each of the three sets of acid response genes are significant

but not as strong as that between JspA and ChvI: the most significant overlaps with the JspA

transcriptome and ChvI regulon belong to the set identified by Hellweg, Pühler, and Weidner

(2009) (Table S7). However, the overlaps between the JspA transcriptome or ChvI regulon with

each of the three sets of acid response genes are comparable to, if not better than, overlaps

among the three. Thus, we chose four representative genes (SMb21188, SMc01580, *exoY*,

and *chvI*) from the JspA transcriptome and ChvI regulon that also appeared in one or more of

the acid responses and examined their expression via reporter fusions in acidic, neutral, or

basic pH. All four reporter fusions increased expression when wild-type Rm1021 was grown at

pH 6 compared to pH 7; only *exoY* showed significant increase at pH 8.5 as well (Fig. 7).

Deletion of *jspA* or *lppA* appeared to curtail this increase in response to acid stress, more

obviously for SMb21188 and SMc01580 (Fig. 7A and 7B, pink bars). For *exoY* and *chvI*, the

deletions reduced reporter expression compared to wild type at neutral pH (Fig. 7C and 7D,

yellow bars), and the fold-change between pH 6 and pH 7 in the deletion strains approximated

that seen in wild type (Fig. 7C and 7D, red percentages). Nevertheless, in the deletion mutants,

the increase in *exoY* expression due to growth at pH 6 was impacted more severely than the

increase due to growth at pH 8.5 (Fig. 7C). Our results suggest that, while factors other than

ChvI may help regulate *exoY* and *chvI* expression upon acid stress, JspA and LppA facilitate

ChvI's response to acid stress.

JspA and LppA enhance ExoR degradation

Because JspA is predicted to be a periplasmic protease, and JspA and LppA appear to promote

ExoS/ChvI activity, in opposition to ExoR, which can be regulated via proteolysis (Lu *et al.*,

2012; Wu *et al.*, 2012), we assessed whether JspA reduces ExoR levels. We introduced a

plasmid expressing *jspA* or *jspA*$_{E148A}$ from P_{lac} into a strain with *exoR-V5* allele instead of *exoR*

at the native locus. Induction of *jspA* expression with 1 mM IPTG in rich media inhibited growth,

starting 3 – 4 hours after induction (Fig. 8A and Fig. S4). Overexpression of *jspA*$_{E148A}$ did not

retard growth compared to a strain carrying the P_{lac} vector when cultures were grown in flasks

(Fig. S4B and S4C) but did modestly slow growth in 48-well plates (Fig. 8A and S4A). We

monitored steady-state levels of ExoR-V5 by immunoblotting with antibodies against the V5

epitope, at 0, 3, and 6 hours after induction. ExoR-V5 level was detectably lower in the strain

overexpressing JspA compared to strains carrying the vector or expressing mutant JspA$_{E148A}$,

six hours after induction (Fig. 8B). Our transcriptomic analysis indicated that *exoR* expression is

slightly elevated (1.3x) (Table S4) when *jspA* is constitutively induced. This increase in *exoR*

expression was verified using transcriptional fusion to the GUS reporter (Fig. 8C). Thus, the

decrease in ExoR level is not attributable to a drop in *exoR* expression. Instead, JspA appears

to negatively regulate ExoR at the protein level. The reduction in ExoR likely stimulates the

ExoS-ChvI pathway, resulting in feedback that elevates *exoR* transcription, as previously

described (Lu & Cheng, 2010).

To eliminate changes in ExoR levels due to transcriptional regulation, we placed a FLAG-tagged

version of *exoR* under the control of the P_{lac} promoter on a plasmid and induced expression with

0.5 mM IPTG in rich medium. Immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibodies revealed a band for

the mature ExoR-FLAG protein at ~29 kDa, as well as a band with slightly larger molecular

mass, indicative of the pre-processed form (computed to be 32 kDa with the signal peptide)

(Fig. 9A, lane 2). We also observed additional bands with smaller molecular masses, likely

representing degradation products (Lu *et al.*, 2012). In the *jspA⁺* background, overexpression of

JspA-HA reduced the steady-state level of ExoR-FLAG, compared to when the strain carried the

vector or expressed mutant $JspA_{E148A}$-HA (Fig. 9A, lanes 2 – 4; 9B). In the Δ*jspA* background,

ExoR-FLAG levels were elevated compared to wild type, and overexpression of JspA-HA

reduced that elevation, while $JspA_{E148A}$-HA did not (Fig. 9A, lanes 6 – 8). Probing with

antibodies against the HA epitope indicated that steady-state levels of JspA-HA and $JspA_{E148A}$-

HA were comparable. Expression of untagged versions of JspA and JspAE148A in both the

Δ*jspA* and *jspA⁺* backgrounds (Fig. 9A, lanes 10 – 13) led to similar effects as the

corresponding HA-tagged variants on ExoR-FLAG, indicating that the tagged and untagged

versions of JspA behaved similarly in this assay. Next, we examined steady-state levels of

ExoR-FLAG when LppA is present or absent. Again, overexpression of JspA-HA reduced

ExoR-FLAG levels in both *jspA⁺* and Δ*jspA* strains (Fig. 9B, lanes 1 and 3), compared to the

same strains expressing mutant $JspA_{E148A}$-HA (Fig. 9B, lanes 2 and 4). However, in the Δ*lppA*

background, overexpression of JspA-HA did not reduce ExoR-FLAG levels compared to

overexpression of $JspA_{E148A}$-HA (Fig. 9B, lanes 5 and 6). These results reinforce that JspA and

LppA concertedly regulate the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI pathway by reducing ExoR protein levels.

To demonstrate further that JspA and LppA participate in ExoR proteolysis, we expressed the

three proteins in two heterologous systems, *C. crescentus* NA1000 and *E. coli* DH10B, both of

which lack clear *lppA* and *exoR* orthologs and contain weak *jspA* orthologs (Table S1). In

NA1000, ExoR-FLAG was expressed from a P_{lac} promoter on a pBBR1-based plasmid, while

different combinations of JspA variants and LppA were co-transcriptionally expressed from a

P_{tau} promoter on a compatible RK2-derived plasmid. When ExoR-FLAG was expressed in the

absence of JspA or LppA, we detected both the mature and pre-processed forms of the protein,

as well as various degradation products, by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig.

10A, lane 2). Expression of JspA, but not mutant $JspA_{E148A}$, reduced the steady-state level of

mature ExoR-FLAG but did not affect the pre-processed form (Fig. 10A, lanes 3 and 4). Levels

of mature ExoR-FLAG dropped further when LppA was expressed along with JspA or JspA-HA

(Fig. 10A, lanes 5 and 7); this reduction did not happen when LppA was expressed with

untagged or tagged versions of JspA$_{E148A}$ (Fig. 10A, lanes 6 and 8). Intriguingly, one of the

ExoR-FLAG degradation products, approximately 20-kDa in size, became more prominent when

only JspA, untagged or HA-tagged, was expressed (Fig. 10A, lane 3; Fig. S5A, lanes 5 and 9),

but lost this prominence when LppA was co-expressed with JspA (Fig. 10A, lanes 5 and 7; Fig.

S5A, lanes 7 and 11). Similar results were observed in *E. coli* DH10B, in which ExoR-FLAG

was again expressed from the same P$_{lac}$ promoter on a pBBR1-based plasmid, while expression

of wild-type or mutant JspA-HA and varying levels of LppA-HA was achieved by placing different

constructs under the control of a weakened P$_{trc}$ promoter on a pBR322-based plasmid (Weiss *et*

al., 1999) (see Materials and methods) (Fig. S5B, File S1). In DH10B, expression of JspA-HA

alone did not reduce the steady-state level of ExoR-FLAG (lane 2 in both Fig. 10B and S5B,

lane 2), but co-expression of JspA-HA and LppA-HA did (lanes 4 and 6 in both Fig. 10B and

S5B). Furthermore, the extent of reduction in ExoR-FLAG levels depended on the level of

LppA-HA expression, such that mature ExoR-FLAG became undetectable when LppA-HA was

highly overexpressed (Fig. S5B, lane 8). This decrease in ExoR-FLAG levels failed to occur in

the presence of mutant JspA$_{E148A}$-HA (for example, lane 7 in both Fig. 10B and S5B). These

degradation patterns in *C. crescentus* and *E. coli* suggest that LppA assists JspA to proteolyze

ExoR.

Discussion

In this report, we demonstrated that two lipoproteins, JspA and LppA, jointly contribute to the

production of EPS-I by regulating expression of relevant biosynthesis genes. Each also

contributes to competitiveness in nodule colonization during symbiosis with *Medicago* hosts.

Site-directed mutagenesis indicated that the lipobox motif of LppA and active site residues of

the JspA protease are critical for their functions, consistent with annotations of predicted

domains. Transcriptome, epistasis, and Western blot analyses further revealed that the two

lipoproteins influence signaling through the conserved ExoS-ChvI two-component pathway and

modulate the steady-state levels of ExoR, a periplasmic inhibitor of ExoS. Exposure to acidic

pH is a potential cue for activating the signaling pathway.

These results suggest a model in which JspA and LppA, in response to cell envelope stress

such as acid shock, facilitate the degradation of ExoR, thus enhancing phosphorelay in the

ExoS-ChvI system, which generates physiological changes to counter the stress (Fig. 6B). This

regulation via proteolysis appears analogous to how *E. coli* and other Gram-negative bacteria

respond to envelope stress with the Cpx and sigma(E) pathways (Mitchell & Silhavy, 2019;

Raivio, 2005). For the Cpx response, accumulation of misfolded proteins in the periplasm can

cause DegP to degrade CpxP, a periplasmic inhibitor of the CpxAR two-component system

(Isaac *et al.*, 2005). For the sigma(E) response, unfolded outer membrane proteins activate a

proteolytic cascade involving DegS and RseP to degrade RseA, an inner membrane anti-sigma

factor that inhibits sigma(E) (Alba *et al.*, 2002; Walsh *et al.*, 2003). While the exact molecular

signal that induces degradation of ExoR is unknown, our results (Fig. 7) and evidence from

other rhizobia (Altamirano-Silva *et al.*, 2018; Heckel *et al.*, 2014) indicate that acidic pH can

activate the ExoS-ChvI pathway, possibly by causing protein misfolding in the cell envelope.

Most likely, various other cell envelope perturbations can also potentiate the signal: for example,

cell wall stress resulting from inhibition of the essential penicillin-binding protein PBP1a has

recently been shown to activate the ExoS-ChvI pathway (Williams *et al.*, 2022), and JspA's

LysM domain, predicted to bind peptidoglycans, was shown to be critical for protection against

the antimicrobial peptide NCR247 (Arnold *et al.*, 2017). This model can accommodate a

number of scenarios for how JspA and LppA jointly respond to envelope stress: for instance,

LppA may enable the proper folding or positioning of JspA in the membrane, or misfolding of

LppA may directly induce JspA's proteolytic activity. In particular, the complex physical

properties of the outer membrane (Cao & Wall, 2020; Sun *et al.*, 2022) necessitate multiple

regulatory checkpoints, and LppA may sense its integrity, analogous to the *E. coli* RcsF

lipoprotein (Tata *et al.*, 2021), and transmit disturbances to JspA. Alternatively, LppA may

monitor or participate in the crosslink between the outer membrane and the cell wall (Godessart

et al., 2021), and disruptions in the process are relayed to JspA. Other envelope proteins that

influence ExoS-ChvI signaling, such as SyrA (Barnett & Long, 2015), may also participate in the

activation. Further investigation to elucidate the precise mechanisms involved would advance

understanding of stress response in rhizobia.

Notably, the *jspA* and *lppA* genes were originally identified in a suppressor analysis of a *podJ1*

mutant, which exhibits pleiotropic defects in the cell envelope (Fields *et al.*, 2012): while the

podJ1 mutant grew poorly on LB medium with low salt concentrations, null mutations in *jspA* or

lppA alleviated the growth defect. A subdued envelope stress response when JspA or LppA is

absent may allow better growth of the *podJ1* mutant under specific conditions, as too much

activation can be deleterious. This interpretation is consistent with the suggestion that stress

response requires careful management to avoid toxicity (Mitchell & Silhavy, 2019). For

example, deletion of *rseA* in *E. coli* causes constitutive activation of the sigma(E) system,

resulting in membrane defects associated with lethality in stationary phase (Nicoloff *et al.*,

2017). Similarly, loss of *exoR* in *S. meliloti* led to lethality, or at least severely thwarted growth

(Arnold *et al.*, 2017; diCenzo *et al.*, 2018; Flores-Tinoco *et al.*, 2020; Price *et al.*, 2018), just as

overexpression of *jspA* did in the present study, presumably due to hyperactivation of the ExoS-

ChvI pathway. Deletion of *exoR* does not appear to retard growth as strongly in related rhizobia

such as *B. abortus* and *A. tumefaciens* (Castillo-Zeledón *et al.*, 2021; Heckel *et al.*, 2014),

consistent with ExoS and ChvI being critical for growth in *S. meliloti* (Bélanger *et al.*, 2009;

Wang *et al.*, 2010a) but not in these two other genetic models (Charles & Nester, 1993; Sola-

Landa *et al.*, 1998). Whether *exoR*, *exoS*, and *chvI* orthologs are required for viability appears

to vary in other rhizobia as well (Baraquet *et al.*, 2021; Lai *et al.*, 2016; Perry *et al.*, 2016). This

variability in the impact of conserved signaling pathways is not unprecedented. For example,

sigma(E) is essential in *E. coli* (De Las Peñas *et al.*, 1997) but not in *S. typhimurium*

(Humphreys *et al.*, 1999; Testerman *et al.*, 2002).

Effective management of envelope perturbations allows adaptation to environmental changes,

including those encountered during symbiosis (from mutualistic to pathogenic) (Hawkins &

Oresnik, 2021; Hews *et al.*, 2019). As impairment of ExoS and ChvI disrupts symbiosis (Barnett

& Long, 2015; Bélanger *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2010a; Wells *et al.*, 2007; Yao *et al.*, 2004),

and their orthologs are required for virulence in *A. tumefaciens* and *B. abortus* (Charles &

Nester, 1993; Sola-Landa *et al.*, 1998), *S. meliloti* likely modulates ExoS-ChvI signaling to

promote gene expression patterns conducive to invasion and persistence within a eukaryotic

host (Ratib *et al.*, 2018; Wells *et al.*, 2007), akin to pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria that use

the Cpx and sigma(E) pathways to express virulence factors to ensure survival during infection

(Fang *et al.*, 2016; Hews *et al.*, 2019; Raivio, 2005; Taylor *et al.*, 2014). For instance, JspA and

LppA may contribute to competitiveness by ensuring an appropriate degree of EPS-I production,

as the level of symbiotic EPS can optimize interaction with plant hosts (Jones, 2012). Other

genes regulated by JspA probably also contribute to efficient symbiosis. For example, JspA

inhibits expression of the transcription regulator LdtR, which plays a role in osmotic stress

tolerance, motility, and likely cell wall remodeling (Barnett *et al.*, 2019; Pagliai *et al.*, 2014).

JspA increases expression of *lsrB*, which encodes a LysR-family transcription factor required for

effective nodulation (Luo *et al.*, 2005) and involved in the differential expression of over 200

genes, including many that regulate redox homeostasis (An *et al.*, 2021). Deletion of *lsrB*

resulted in poor growth and increased sensitivity to the detergent deoxycholate (Barnett *et al.*,

2019), and *lsrB* orthologs in *B. abortus* and *A. tumefaciens* contribute to pathogenesis (Budnick

et al., 2020; Eisfeld *et al.*, 2021; Sheehan *et al.*, 2015; Tang *et al.*, 2018). In addition, a

significant fraction of the JspA transcriptome consists of genes of unknown function, and

changes in their expression may promote fitness during host colonization as well. Many

uncharacterized genes in the ExoS-ChvI regulon, and by extension the JspA transcriptome, are

predicted to be translocated out of the cytoplasm and envelope-associated, making them more

likely to interact with the host environment and to maintain barrier integrity (Ratib *et al.*, 2018).

In particular, *jspA* was shown to confer resistance to the nodule-specific antimicrobial peptide

NCR247 (Arnold *et al.*, 2017). One possible explanation is that JspA changes gene expression

patterns via ExoS-ChvI to counter such host defenses. Nevertheless, other possible

explanations, not mutually exclusive, can also account for JspA's involvement in resistance

against cell envelope assaults: for example, JspA may degrade other substrates or signaling

pathways under specific conditions, or JspA and LppA may assist in the proper construction of

the cell envelope by ensuring proper maturation of other lipoproteins. Furthermore, a number of

other signaling systems, such as ActK-ActJ, CenK-CenR, CpxA-CpxR, EmmB-EmmC, FeuQ-

FeuP, and NtrX-NtrY, contribute to the maintenance of cell envelope integrity in rhizobia

(Albicoro *et al.*, 2021; Albicoro *et al.*, 2023; Barnett & Long, 2018; Bensig *et al.*, 2022;

Calatrava-Morales *et al.*, 2017; Griffitts *et al.*, 2008; Lakey *et al.*, 2022; Morris & Gonzalez,

2009; Santos *et al.*, 2010; VanYperen *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2013; Xing *et al.*, 2022), and

how these different systems cooperate with ExoS-ChvI to ensure successful symbiosis remains

ripe for further investigation.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, growth conditions, and genetic manipulations

Strains derived from *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Rm1021 (Meade *et al.*, 1982) and *S. medicae* WSM419 (Reeve *et al.*, 2010) used for this study are listed in Table S8. Other alphaproteobacterial strains used were *S. fredii* NGR234 (Stanley & Cervantes, 1991; Trinick, 1980) and *C. crescentus* NA1000 (Evinger & Agabian, 1977). *E. coli* strains DH5α and DH10B strains (both from Invitrogen) were used for molecular cloning, gene expression, and maintenance of plasmids, which are listed in Table S9. *Sinorhizobium* strains were cultured at 30°C in LB, TY, or PYE media; *C. crescentus* was cultured at 30°C in PYE; and *E. coli* strains were cultured at 30 or 37°C in LB (Fields *et al.*, 2012). When appropriate, antibiotics, agar, sucrose, and/or calcofluor were added at previously published concentrations (Barnett *et al.*, 2000; Fields *et al.*, 2012). IPTG and taurine were added as inducers (Mostafavi *et al.*, 2014) at concentrations described in the text. For pH shifts, LB medium was buffered with 20 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 3-(*N*-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), or Tris, and adjusted to pH 6, 7, or 8.5, respectively, with HCl or NaOH. Growth of cultures was monitored by measuring absorbance at 600 nm (A_{600}), with aliquots from tubes or flasks or with a BioTek Synergy H1m plate reader if grown in 48-well plates. Mobilization of plasmids from *E. coli* to *Sinorhizobium* or *Caulobacter* strains via triparental mating, N3-mediated generalized transduction, and two-step allelic replacement by homologous recombination were all performed as previously described (Fields *et al.*, 2012; Finan *et al.*, 1986; Griffitts & Long, 2008; House *et al.*, 2004; Martin & Long, 1984; Quandt & Hynes, 1993). Standard techniques were used for manipulation and analysis of DNA, including PCR amplification, restriction digests, agarose gel electrophoresis, ligation, and transformation (Ausubel *et al.*, 1998; Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). Plasmids and DNA fragments were purified using commercial kits (Qiagen). Elim Biopharmaceuticals synthesized custom oligonucleotides and provided Sanger DNA sequencing services.

Expression in *E. coli*

ExoR-FLAG was expressed in *E. coli* from an IPTG-inducible P_{lac} promoter on pMB859, derived from the pSRKKm vector (Khan *et al.*, 2008). To co-express JspA-HA, $JspA_{E148A}$-HA, and LppA-HA, we constructed plasmids derived from pDSW204, which is compatible with pSRKKm and also allows IPTG induction with a weakened P_{trc} promoter (Weiss *et al.*, 1999): pJC720,

pJC730, pJC731, pJC733, pJC734, pJC735, pJC736, and pJC737 (Fig. S5, File S1). Plasmids
pJC720 and pJC733 carry wild-type *jspA-HA* and mutant *jspA$_{E148A}$-HA*, respectively, including
18 nucleotides upstream of *jspA*'s annotated start codon. We added *lppA-HA* to these plasmids
in three different configurations and assessed their expression empirically. For pJC730 and
pJC735, the ribosome binding site (RBS) of *E. coli araB* (Guzman *et al.*, 1995) was appended
upstream of *lppA-HA* and inserted after *jspA-HA* or *jspA$_{E148A}$-HA*. For pJC731 and pJC736,
lppA-HA, along with 54 nucleotides upstream of its originally annotated start codon (18
nucleotides upstream of the new start codon suggested in this report), was inserted after wild-
type or mutant *jspA-HA*. For pJC734 and pJC737, *lppA-HA* and its upstream sequence were
inserted in front of wild-type or mutant *jspA-HA*. We intended the RBS of *araB* to enhance
expression of *lppA-HA* in *E. coli*, but that configuration (pJC730 and pJC735) yielded the lowest
levels of expression (Fig. 10). Because *lppA-HA* is in-frame with wild-type or mutant *jspA-HA*
on pJC731, pJC734, pJC736, and pJC737, read-through translation (Ryoji *et al.*, 1983)
appeared to produce low levels of fusion proteins that were sometimes detectable on Western
blots (Fig. 10 and S5).
723
Homology and domain analysis
Orthologs in representative genomes and their sequence similarities to the query were
determined via BLAST (Boratyn *et al.*, 2013). Genomic contexts are presented as annotated in
the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (Sayers *et al.*, 2022).
Protein domains were predicted using InterPro (Blum *et al.*, 2021), Pfam (Mistry *et al.*, 2021),
and LipoP (Juncker *et al.*, 2003).
730
Calcofluor assays
EPS-I production was assess as previously described (Fields *et al.*, 2012), with LB plates
containing 0.02% calcofluor white M2R (MP Biomedicals). Liquid cultures were calibrated to the
same optical density (A$_{600}$ of 0.2 – 0.5) and serially diluted ten-fold in water, and four or five μL
of the 10^{-2} to 10^{-6} dilutions were each spotted onto calcofluor plates containing appropriate
additives, such as taurine for induction and oxytetracycline for plasmid selection. Dilutions were
at times spotted onto PYE plates as well for comparison. Plates were examined and
photographed after 3-4 days of incubation with a Kodak 4000MM Pro Image Station, with its
associated Carestream MI software and filters (430 nm excitation and 535 nm emission). The
fluorescence intensity of each spot was standardized relative to the corresponding wild-type

control on the same plate, and the average values of the 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} spots from at least three

independent plates were compared.

β-glucuronidase (GUS) assays

Transcriptional fusions for β-glucuronidase (GUS) assays were constructed, and GUS activities

in different strains under various growth conditions were determined, as previously described

(Fields *et al.*, 2012; Swanson *et al.*, 1993). Fusions to *uidA* were introduced into the genome

using nonreplicating plasmids, and the wild-type function of the corresponding gene was

preserved (Table S9, File S1). Cells were lysed after measuring the optical density of the

culture (A_{600}), and PNPG (*p*-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucuronide) was incubated with the lysed cells

until the mixture turned light yellow, when A_{415} was measured. GUS activity was derived

according to the formula: A_{415} x 1000 / [(incubation time in minutes) x (culture volume in mL) x

A_{600}]. *p* values and statistical significance were determined using t-test (two-tailed, unequal

variance).

Symbiosis assays

Symbiotic association between *Sinorhizobium* strains and *Medicago* plants was assessed as

previously described (Fields *et al.*, 2012; Griffitts *et al.*, 2008; Li, 2018; Oke & Long, 1999).

Alfalfa (*M. sativa* GT13Rplus) and barrel medic (*M. truncatula* cultivar Jemalong A17) were

cultivated individually in 18x150-mm glass tubes on agar slants made with standard nodulation

medium [as described in (Crook *et al.*, 2012), except with 2 mM KH_2PO_4 and 0.5 mM MES, pH

6.3] and 11.5 g/L Phyto agar (PlantMedia); seeds were surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol and

50% bleach, rinsed with water, germinated in inverted 100x25-mm Petri dishes, placed on agar

slants, and allowed to grow for three days at 22°C under fluorescent lamps (16-h day length)

before inoculation. *M. sativa* was inoculated with *S. meliloti* Rm1021 strains, while *M. truncatula*

was inoculated with *S. medicae* WSM419 strains because WSM419 is a better symbiotic

partner for *M. truncatula* compared to *S. meliloti* strains, including Rm1021 (Ghosh *et al.*, 2021;

Larrainzar *et al.*, 2014; Terpolilli *et al.*, 2008). Bacterial cells grown to mid-logarithmic phase

were suspended in water to an A_{600} of 0.1, and each seedling was inoculated with 0.1 mL of the

suspension [approximately 10^7 colony-forming units (CFU)]. The numbers of white and pink

nodules that developed on plant roots were recorded at 14, 21, and 28 days post inoculation

(dpi). Initially white nodules turn pink due to production of leghemoglobin, indicative of nitrogen

fixation (Jones *et al.*, 2007). For competitive colonization assays, equal volumes of two cell

suspensions (with A_{600} of 0.1) were mixed and then diluted ten-fold, and each seedling was

inoculated with 0.1 mL of the diluted mixture (approximately 10^6 CFU). (For three of the 12

competitive assays with WSM419 strains, trials D1, D3, and E1, the inoculating mixtures were

not diluted, and each seedling received 10^7 CFU). The CFU and ratios of strains in the

inoculating mixtures were determined by plating serial dilutions on PYE containing streptomycin,

nalidixic acid, neomycin, or spectinomycin. Symbiosis competitiveness was assessed 28 dpi by

harvesting nodules, surface-sterilizing them individually with 10% bleach, crushing each in PYE

medium, and plating serial dilutions of the extracts: 10 μL each of 10^{-1} to 10^{-4} dilutions were

dripped to form lines on plates, and colonies were counted after three to four days of incubation

at 30°C. A nodule was considered to be dominated by a particular strain if more than 80% of

the CFU from the extract can be attributed to that strain. Consistent with previous reports

(Fields *et al.*, 2012; Gage, 2002), the majority of nodules were dominated by a single strain (Fig.

3, Table S3). For some nodules not dominated by a single strain, colonies recovered on

permissive plates (containing streptomycin for Rm1021 and its derivatives, or nalidixic acid for

WSM419 and its derivatives) were re-streaked to verify the ratios of strains (Table S3, "patch"

columns). In those cases, the nodule was assigned as mixed occupancy if neither strain gave

rise to at least 90% of the colonies tested. In some instances, two or more adjacent nodules

were harvested together and crushed in the same tube. If such a sample was dominated by a

single strain, then it counted as a single nodule for that strain. On the other hand, if the sample

yielded a mixture of two strains, then it was excluded from the final tally of that particular

competition trial [Table S3, "Mixed (Multi. Nodules)"]. In Fig. 3, *p*-values were calculated using

the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (two-tailed) for the *M. sativa* competitions and the t-test (two-

tailed, unequal variances) for the *M. truncatula* competitions. The Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test

is nonparametric but has less power for smaller sample sizes (and is ineffective for a total

sample size less than eight) (Motulsky, 2023); thus, the t-test was more suitable for analyzing

the *M. truncatula* competitions, which had fewer trials per category compared to the *M. sativa*

competitions. Table S3 provides the t-test *p*-values for competitions in both host plants.

Transcriptome analysis

Microarray analysis of RNA transcripts using custom Affymetrix GeneChips was conducted as

previously described (Barnett & Long, 2015; Barnett *et al.*, 2004). RNA purification, cDNA

preparation, chip hybridization, fluidics, scanning, and data analysis were performed accordingly

(Barnett *et al.*, 2004). Strains JOE3200 (carrying pCM130 vector), JOE4140 (expressing wild-

type *jspA*), and JOE4400 (expressing mutant *jspA*$_{E148A}$) were grown in PYE supplemented with

0.5 μg/mL oxytetracycline and 10 mM taurine for 4.5 hours to mid-logarithmic phase, when cells

were harvested for RNA extraction. Three biological replicates were used for each strain

analyzed. Nine pairwise comparisons were made between two strains: a change in signal was

considered significant if p ≤ 0.05. As with other gene array platforms, our DNA chip measures

mRNA abundance, which is influenced by both transcription and mRNA decay; we use the term

"expression" to include the sum of all factors affecting mRNA abundance. Raw microarray data

have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (Barrett *et al.*, 2013) under

Accession GSE155833. The significance of overlap between transcriptomes or sets of genes

was determined using hypergeometric probability test, as previously described (Lund *et al.*,

2002; Ratib *et al.*, 2018). Genes in the ExoR/ExoS transcriptome were deduced from

interrogation of *exoR95*::Tn*5* and *exoS96*::Tn*5* mutants that have hyperactive ChvI activity

(Wells *et al.*, 2007); thus, many of the changes in gene expression may be indirect or

independent of the ExoS-ChvI pathway. In addition, two biological replicates for each strain

were used in that experiments (instead of the three used in the current analysis for JspA

transcriptome), and that could have contributed to the relatively large number of genes in the

ExoR/ExoS transcriptome. The ChvI regulon contains a combination of nonredundant genes

from two publications: (1) those that decreased expression in the ChvI(K214T) partial-loss-of-

function strain and increased expression in the ChvI(D52E) gain-of-function strain compared to

wild type (Chen *et al.*, 2009), and (2) group 1 and group 2 direct targets of ChvI (Ratib *et al.*,

2018).

Western blotting

Immunoblotting was performed using standard procedures (Ausubel *et al.*, 1998; Sambrook *et*

al., 1989): 1.5 mL of culture samples were collected, resuspended in SDS sample buffer (at 150

µL for culture A_{600} of 1), boiled for 5 min, resolved by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to PVDF

membrane for detection by chemiluminescence (SuperSignal West Pico). Monoclonal anti-V5

(Invitrogen R960-25; AB_2556564) was used at 1:2500 dilution, anti-HA [clone 2-2.2.14]

(Thermo 26183; AB_10978021) used at 1:1000 to 1:5000 dilution, and antibody to *E. coli*

ATPase B [7E3F2] (Abcam ab110280) used at 1 ng/mL. Peroxidase-conjugated monoclonal

anti-FLAG M2 antibodies (Sigma A8592) were used at 1:2000 to 1:5000 dilution. Peroxidase-

conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG antibodies (715-035-150) were from Jackson

ImmunoResearch Lab and used at 1:25,000 dilution. To examine blots containing both HA and

FLAG epitopes, we probed the blots first with anti-FLAG antibodies, detected them with

chemiluminescent substrates, washed the blots with Tris-buffered saline (Sambrook *et al.*,

1989) containing 0.05% Tween 20 (TBST), then probed with anti-HA primary antibodies and

peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies, and imaged again with chemiluminescence.

Similarly, to detect both V5 epitope and the beta subunit of ATP synthase, we probed blots first

with anti-V5 antibodies, imaged with chemiluminescence, washed the blots with TBST, then

probed with antibodies against ATPase B, and treated with chemiluminescence reagents.

Images captured in the second detection showed both target epitopes. Each blot image shown

is representative of at least two biological replicates.

Data availability

Microarray data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession

GSE155833. All plasmid sequences are provided as supporting information (File S1).

Acknowledgements

We thank Esther Chen, Mike Kahn, and Bob Fisher for discussion, support, and carrot cake. Esther Chen also provided critical feedback on the manuscript. We are grateful to past and current laboratory members for their assistance in various aspects of this project, particularly Reyna Benitez, Tanisha Saini, and Noel Lejat for performing GUS assays.

Funding

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number SC3-GM096943 to J.C.C. and National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Integrative Organismal Systems, under Rules of Life Award Number 2015870 to S.R.L. NIH Award Number T34-GM008574 (MARC) provided support for J.A.B., J.S.C., R.C., R.L.-M., and J.N.; R25-GM048972 (Bridge to the Doctorate) supported H.A.R.; R25-GM059298 (MBRS-RISE) supported J.S.-M.; R25-GM050078 (Bridges to the Baccalaureate) supported J.S.C. and R.C.; T34-GM145400 (U-RISE) supported R.C.; and UL1-GM118985, TL4-GM118986, RL5-GM118984 (SF BUILD) supported B.K.R.M. NSF REU DBI Award Number 1156452 provided summer funding for R.L.-M. and R.B., and NSF DBI Award Number 1548297 provided summer funding for H.A.R. R.R.M. was supported by the Beckman Scholars Program. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies.

Figure legends

FIGURE 1. Schematics of the genomic regions around *lppA* and *jspA* and of their protein products. (A) *S. meilioti lppA* (SMc00067) and *jspA* (SMc03872) share synteny with their respective orthologs in closely related alphaproteobacteria, such as *B. abortus* and *A. tumefaciens*. Gene and ORF names are shown as annotated, with pentagonal arrows indicating directionality. Arrows with the same colors in different species represent probable homologs, with red arrows indicating *lppA* or *jspA* orthologs; genes without annotated functions or obvious orthologs in corresponding regions are depicted with shades of grey. RR and HK signify response regulators and histidine kinases. The drawing is to scale; bar indicates 1 kb. (B) *lppA* encodes a 148-aa lipoprotein, while *jspA* encodes a 497-aa metalloprotease. Both LppA and JspA contain lipoprotein signal peptides at their N-termini; the sequences of these leader peptides are shown, with red arrows indicating cleavage sites before the invariant cysteine of the lipobox motifs, underlined. The N-terminus of LppA was originally annotated as the 13th amino acid (V_{13}) shown here, but extension of 12 amino acids provides a better signal sequence. JspA also contains M48 peptidase and LysM domains; key amino acids of the peptidase domain are displayed. Grey numbers indicate residues that border the predicted protein domains.

FIGURE 2. Calcofluor fluorescence, indicating EPS-I production, of strains expressing *lppA* or *jspA*. Ten-fold serial dilutions (10^{-2} to 10^{-5}) of logarithmic-phase cultures were spotted onto LB plates containing calcofluor and allowed to grow for three days prior to fluorescence imaging. Darker spots on representative images indicate brighter fluorescence. Fluorescence levels were measured relative to the *S. meliloti* Rm1021 wild-type (WT) strain carrying an empty vector on each plate. Averages and standard deviations were calculated from measurements on at least three independent days, with duplicate plates each time. (A) WT, Δ*lppA*, or Δ*jspA* strains carrying the vector (pCM130 or pJC478) or a plasmid with *lppA* under the control of a taurine-inducible promoter (pJC532) were grown on plates containing 0 or 100 mM taurine. (B) WT, Δ*jspA*, or Δ*lppA* strains carrying the vector or a plasmid with *jspA* under the control of a taurine-inducible promoter (pJC535) were grown on plates containing 0 or 2.5 mM taurine. Green bars indicate comparisons when *lppA* is expressed, and those with blue borders indicate comparisons with the Δ*jspA* background. Blue bars indicate comparisons when *jspA* is

expressed, and those with green borders indicate comparisons with the Δ*lppA* background. (C)

WT or Δ*jspA* strains carrying the vector or expressing *jspA* were grown on plates containing 5 or

10 mM taurine. (D) WT or Δ*lppA* strains carrying the vector or expressing *jspA* were grown on

plates containing 5 mM taurine. Relative fluorescence was not calculated for 5 or 10 mM

taurine due to growth inhibition of select strains.

FIGURE 3. Proportions of root nodules colonized by each bacterial strain after seedlings were

inoculated with equal mixtures of two strains. (A) *S. meliloti* Rm1021 and its derivatives were

used to infect *M. sativa*, while (B) *S. medicae* WSM419 and its derivatives were used to infect

M. truncatula. Rm1021 (Ω) is a derivative of Rm1021 marked with resistance to spectinomycin,

while WSM419[R] are derivatives of WSM419 marked with resistance to spectinomycin or

neomycin. Mutations in *jspA* or *lppA* in Rm1021 were deletions or transposon insertions, while

those in WSM419 were all deletions. Percentages (± standard deviations) below each

competition indicate the mean proportions of nodules containing the mutant, wild type (WT), or a

mixture of the two, while the graphs depict relative abundance when mixed nodules are

excluded. Dark grey circles indicate the percentage of nodules occupied by WT for individual

competition trials. Error bars represent standard deviations. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Bottom

row of each table [Trials (nodules)] indicates the number of trials (and total number of nodules

assessed) per competition. Detailed results from the competitive symbiosis assays are

available in Table S3.

FIGURE 4. Expression of mutant *lppA* and *jspA* alleles in *S. meliloti*. (A) Calcofluor

fluorescence was used to assess EPS-I production in Δ*lppA* strains expressing different alleles

of *lppA* from a taurine-inducible promoter. (B) Immunoblots show steady-state levels of different

versions of HA epitope-tagged LppA in wild-type (WT), Δ*lppA*, and Δ*jspA* backgrounds. C23S-

HA, G96W-HA, and A78S-HA stand for mutant versions of LppA-HA, encoded by *lppA*$_{C23S}$-*HA*,

lppA$_{G96W}$-*HA*, and *lppA*$_{A78S}$-*HA*, respectively. Samples were harvested from cultures grown in

LB with 100 mM taurine for 6 hours. (C) Wild-type Rm1021 expressing different *jspA* alleles

from a taurine-inducible promoter exhibit varying levels of fluorescence on calcofluor plates. (D,

E) Immunoblots show steady-state levels of different versions of JspA-HA. E148A-HA, E148D-

HA, and H147A-HA stand for mutant versions of JspA-HA, encoded by *jspA*$_{E148A}$-*HA*, *jspA*$_{E148D}$-

HA, and *jspA*$_{H147A}$-*HA*, respectively. Samples were harvested from (D) wild-type strains grown

in LB without or with 10 mM taurine for 3 hours or (E) wild-type, $\Delta lppA$, or $\Delta jspA$ strains grown in

PYE with 10 mM taurine for 4.5 hours. Presence or absence of taurine in the growth medium (+

or -) or chromosomal $jspA$ and $lppA$ (+ or Δ) are indicated above each lane. Numbers to the

right of immunoblots (B, D) indicate approximate molecular mass standards, in kDa. Plasmids

pJC532, pJC605, pJC606, pJC607, pJC608, and pJC609 were used for expressing $lppA$,

$lppA_{C23S}$, $lppA$-HA, $lppA_{C23S}$-HA, $lppA_{G96W}$-HA, and $lppA_{A78S}$-HA, while pJC535, pJC555, pJC556,

pJC557, pJC558, pJC559, pJC560, pJC561 were used for $jspA$, $jspA_{E148A}$, $jspA_{E148D}$, $jspA_{H147A}$,

$jspA$-HA, $jspA_{E148A}$-HA, $jspA_{E148D}$-HA, and $jspA_{H147A}$-HA, respectively. Vectors used were

pCM130 (A, D, E) or pJC478 (C). For assessing calcofluor fluorescence (A, C), ten-fold serial

dilutions (10^{-2} to 10^{-5}) of logarithmic-phase cultures were spotted onto LB plates without or with

taurine, and allowed to grow for three days prior to fluorescence imaging. Darker spots on

representative images indicate brighter fluorescence.

FIGURE 5. Venn diagrams depicting overlaps of gene sets. (A) Circles of the Venn diagram

represent the numbers of genes whose expression changed >1.5-fold in three pairwise

comparisons: between strains that overexpress wild-type JspA or mutant $JspA_{E148A}$ (JspA vs.

$JspA_{E148A}$), between strains that overexpress JspA or carry the vector pCM130 (JspA vs.

vector), or between strains that overexpress mutant JpsA or carry the vector ($JspA_{E148A}$ vs.

vector). The 141 genes that appeared in both the JspA vs. vector and JspA vs. $JspA_{E148A}$

comparisons were grouped according to their functions, as listed on the right. ChvI belongs to

the group of regulators whose gene expression increased when JspA was overexpressed. (B,

C) The bottom Venn diagrams represent the overlaps of (B) genes that belong to the JspA or

ExoR/ExoS transcriptome or ChvI regulon and (C) those that belong to the RpoH1 or JspA

transcriptome. Gene sets and analyses of their overlaps are provided in Tables S4 and S5.

See Materials and methods for details about assignment of genes to the ChvI regulon and

ExoR/ExoS transcriptome.

FIGURE 6. Epistatic interaction between $jspA$ and $lppA$ and the $exoR$-$exoS$-$chvI$ pathway. (A)

Calcofluor fluorescence of wild-type and mutant strains were assessed by spotting ten-fold

serial dilutions of cultures onto LB plates. Strain genotypes are shown to the left of the

fluorescence images, while percentages on the right indicate averages (± standard deviations)

of fluorescence levels relative to the Rm1021 wild type, set as 100% on each plate.

Representative images are shown, and at least two replicates were included for each
comparison. (B) Results from epistasis analysis, along with the predicted extracytoplasmic
locations of JspA and LppA, suggest that they act upstream of the ExoR-ExoS-ChvI signaling
pathway, as depicted by the schematic diagram. As a typical histidine kinase and response
regulator, ExoS and ChvI are presumed to function as homodimers (Cheng & Walker, 1998b;
Ratib *et al.*, 2018); for simplicity, the diagram does not show that. (C) Expression of the *exoY-*
uidA reporter was monitored in strains replete with or depleted of ChvI, while *jspA* or *jspA*$_{E184A}$
was ectopically expressed. Relevant alleles on the chromosome and on plasmids are indicated
below the plot: first row indicates the presence or absence of chromosomal *chvI* (+ or Δ),
second row indicates presence of empty vector or a plasmid that expresses *chvI* (vector or +),
and the third row indicates presence of vector or a plasmid that expresses wild-type or mutant
jspA (vector, +, or E148A, respectively). Δ*chvI* strains (rightmost three strains) carried a
complementing plasmid (pAD101) with *chvI* under the control of the P$_{lac}$ promoter (P$_{lac}$-*chvI*):
growth in the presence of absence of IPTG resulted in expression or depletion of ChvI. For
comparison, *chvI*$^+$ strains carried the P$_{lac}$-*chvI* plasmid or the corresponding parent vector
(pSRKKm). The strains also bore a compatible vector (pCM130) or its derivatives with taurine-
regulated *jspA* or *jspA*$_{E184A}$ (pJC535 or pJC555). Strains were grown in LB with 10 mM taurine
for 6 hours, while expressing or depleting ChvI, prior to measurement of GUS activities.
Averages and standard deviations (error bars) were calculated with measurements from at least
five different days. **, *p* < 0.01 between specified measurements.
1002
1003
FIGURE 7. Effects of *jspA* and *lppA* on transcriptional responses to pH shift. Changes in gene
expression were determined using fusions of *uidA* (encoding the GUS reporter) to (A)
SMb21188, (B) SMc01580, (C) SMb20946 (*exoY*), and (D) SMc02560 (*chvI*) at their native loci,
generated in such a way as to preserve the function of the gene being examined. GUS
activities in Rm1021 wild-type, Δ*jspA*, and Δ*lppA* strains were measured 4.5 hours after cultures
were shifted from pH 7 to pH 6 (pink bars), 7 (yellow bars), or 8.5 (blue bars) in LB medium.
Activity at pH 6 relative to pH 7 for each genotype is shown as the red percentage above each
pink bar. Maroon * or ** within a bar for one of the mutants represents significant difference (p <
0.05 or p < 0.01, respectively) when compared to the same condition in wild type. Analogously,
black * or ** indicates significant difference when activity at pH 6 or 8.5 is compared to that at
pH 7 for the same genotype. Averages and standard deviations (error bars) were calculated
from three to six independent measurements.

1016

1017

FIGURE 8. Effects of JspA on ExoR levels. (A) Plot depicts growth of *exoR-V5* strains carrying

the pSRKGm vector or derivatives (pJC652 or pJC653) with *jspA* or *jspA*$_{E184A}$ (noted as E148A)

under control of the P$_{lac}$ promoter. Strains JOE5242, JOE5244, and JOE5246 were grown in

48-well plates, with 0.4 mL PYE plus 1 mM IPTG per well. Absorbance at 600 nm (A600) was

measured every 30 minutes. Average readings for three different days are depicted, with

surrounding shadings indicating standard deviations. In the absence of IPTG, all strains

exhibited growth patterns similar to that of the vector-carrying strain in the presence of IPTG

(see Fig. S4A). (B) Immunoblot shows steady-state levels of ExoR-V5 and the beta subunit of

ATP synthase at 0, 3, and 6 hours after inducing expression of *jspA* or *jspA*$_{E184A}$, compared

against levels in the vector-carrying strain. Approximate molecular mass, in kDa, are shown to

the left of the blot, while lane numbers are shown below. Growth conditions were similar to that

in (A), except that strains were cultured in flasks. (C) Expression of the *uidA* reporter fusion to

exoR from its native locus was assessed in strains carrying the vector (pCM130) or

overproducing JspA or JspA$_{E148A}$ (with pJC535 or pJC555). Strains were grown with 10 mM

taurine for 4.5 hours prior to measurement of GUS activities. Averages and standard deviations

were calculated from at least four measurements. *, p < 0.05 when compared against the

vector-carrying strain.

1035

1036

FIGURE 9. Steady-state levels of ExoR-FLAG when *jspA* or *lppA* differentially expressed. (A)

Levels of ExoR-FLAG in the presence of different versions of JspA were assessed by

immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibodies (bottom blot), while expression of JspA-HA was

verified with anti-HA antibodies (top blot). ExoR-FLAG expression is indicated above the blots:

+ signifies that expression of ExoR-FLAG from pMB859 was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG in TY

medium for 4.5 hours, while - signifies that the strain carried the empty vector pSRKKm under

the same conditions. Presence (+) or deletion (Δ) of the native *jspA* in the chromosome is also

indicated. Different versions of JspA were induced with 10 mM taurine as follows: wild-type

JspA from pJC614 (black +), mutant JspA$_{E148A}$ from pJC615 (black *), wild-type JspA-HA from

pJC616 (red +), mutant JspA$_{E148A}$-HA from pJC617 (red *), and no expression from the vector

pJC473 (-). (B) Immunoblots show steady-state levels of ExoR-FLAG and JspA-HA in the

presence or absence of chromosomal *lppA*. The presence (+) or deletion (Δ) of native *jspA* or

lppA on the chromosome is shown above the blots. Expression of JspA-HA, wid-type (+) or

mutant (*), is indicated above the blots as in (A) (JspA-HA row). All strains in (B) expressed

ExoR-FLAG from pMB859, induced with 0.5 mM IPTG. Approximate molecular mass, in kDa,

are shown to the left of the blots, while lane numbers are shown below. Positions of bands

representing JspA-HA and ExoR-FLAG are indicated to the right of the blots. Both sets of blots

were first probed with anti-FLAG antibodies and then anti-HA antibodies (see Materials and

methods). The bottom images were captured first, while the top images were acquired from the

same respective blots after the second probing.

1057

1058

FIGURE 10. Steady-state levels of ExoR-FLAG when co-expressed with JspA and LppA in *C.*

crescentus NA1000 or *E. coli* DH10B. (A) Levels of ExoR-FLAG and JspA-HA were assessed

in NA1000 by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG and anti-HA antibodies. ExoR-FLAG expression

is indicated above the blot: + signifies that expression of ExoR-FLAG from pMB859 was

induced with 0.1 mM IPTG in PYE medium for 4 hours, while - signifies that the strain carried

the empty vector pSRKKm under the same conditions. Expression of LppA and different

versions of JspA were induced with 10 mM taurine from the following plasmids: lanes 1 and 2,

pJC473 vector when neither expressed (- for both LppA and JspA); lane 3, pJC614 for JspA

(black +); lane 4, pJC615 for JspA$_{E148A}$ (black *); lane 5, pJC702 for both LppA and JspA; lane 6,

pJC706 for LppA and JspA$_{E148A}$; lane 7, pJC707 for LppA and JspA-HA (red +); and lane 8,

pJC708 for LppA and JspA$_{E148A}$-HA (red *). (B) Immunoblots show steady-state levels of JspA-

HA, LppA-HA, and ExoR-FLAG in DH10B. All strains were induced with 0.1 mM IPTG in LB

medium for 4 hours. ExoR-FLAG expression is indicated above the blots: + signifies expression

from pMB859, while - signifies carriage of the pSRKKm vector. Expression of different versions

of JspA-HA and varying levels of LppA-HA was achieved using different plasmids, similarly

indicated above the blots as in (A): lane 1, pDSW208 vector (expressing GFP); lanes 2 and 8,

pJC720 (*jspA-HA* only); lanes 3 and 9, pJC733 (*jspA$_{E148A}$-HA*); lane 4, pJC730 (*jspA-HA* and

lppA-HA, with intervening RBS); lane 5, pJC735 (*jspA$_{E148A}$-HA* and *lppA-HA*, with intervening

RBS); lane 6, pJC731 (*jspA-HA* translationally coupled to *lppA-HA*); and lane 7, pJC736

(*jspA$_{E148A}$-HA* translationally coupled to *lppA-HA*). Higher levels of expression of LppA-HA in

lanes 6 and 7 are represented by the larger, bold + sign above the blots. Blots were first probed

with anti-FLAG antibodies and then with anti-HA antibodies. Approximate molecular mass, in

kDa, are shown to the left of the blots, while lane numbers are shown below. Positions of bands

representing JspA-HA, LppA-HA, and ExoR-FLAG are indicated to the right of the blots. Protein

band designated by a red asterisk is presumed to be a fusion of JspA-HA and LppA-HA that

resulted from translational read-through. *E. coli* anti-HA images were captured from the same

representative blot (with longer exposure for LppA-HA), while the anti-FLAG image was from a

duplicate blot of the same samples.

1087

1088

1089
1090 Table 1. Expression levels of select promoters in wild type and deletion mutants, as measured by
1091 transcriptional fusions to the GUS reporter (encoded by *uidA*).
1092
1093

Promoter tested / Strain genotype	LB				PYE			
exoY (SMb20946)								
Rm1021	8.7	±	2.0		**100%**	6.9	±	0.8		**100%**
Δ*jspA*	4.8	±	0.8	**	**55%**	4.4	±	1.0	**	**64%**
Δ*lppA*	5.6	±	1.5	*	**64%**	5.0	±	0.5	**	**72%**
Δ*jspA* Δ*lppA*	4.6	±	0.5	**	**52%**	4.0	±	0.5	**	**58%**
flaC (SMc03040)								
Rm1021	4.4	±	0.5		**100%**	10.3	±	1.1		**100%**
Δ*jspA*	6.0	±	1.2	**	**136%**	11.8	±	0.9	*	**115%**
Δ*lppA*	5.9	±	1.0	**	**134%**	11.8	±	0.7	*	**114%**
Δ*jspA* Δ*lppA*	6.1	±	0.6	**	**140%**	12.2	±	1.4	*	**118%**
mcpU (SMc00975)								
Rm1021	6.5	±	0.8		**100%**	52.8	±	7.7		**100%**
Δ*jspA*	10.4	±	0.9	**	**159%**	67.9	±	7.9	**	**129%**
Δ*lppA*	9.8	±	1.2	**	**149%**	61.8	±	11.2		**117%**
Δ*jspA* Δ*lppA*	10.4	±	1.4	**	**159%**	62.1	±	8.1		**118%**

1094
1095
β-glucuronidase (GUS) activities (averages ± standard deviations, in Miller units) of strains grown in LB or
PYE were measured as described in Materials and methods. Averages and standard deviations were
calculated from values obtained with at least five biological replicates. Percentage equals the enzymatic
activity, expressed from the indicated promoter-*uidA* fusion, in the mutant divided by that in wild-type
Rm1021. Two-tailed, unequal variances t-test was used to determine whether differences between wild-
type and mutant strains were statistically significant: *, $0.01 < p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Nonreplicating
plasmids integrated into the genome to create transcriptional fusions to *exoY*, *flaC*, and *mcpU* were
pEC340, pMB694, and pMB696, respectively.
1104
1105
1106

1107
1108 Table 2. Activities of transcriptional fusion reporters when *jspA* or *lppA* ectopically expressed.
1109
1110

Condition / Strain genotype	Promoter activity			
PYE + 10 mM taurine, 3 hr	*flaC* **(SMc03040)**			
Rm1021 / pCM130 (vector)	9.8	± 0.5		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	7.1	± 0.4	**	**72%**
Rm1021 / pJC532 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA***)	9.5	± 0.9		**98%**
	exoY **(SMb20946)**			
Rm1021 / pCM130	7.3	± 0.6		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	14.6	± 0.8	**	**199%**
Rm1021 / pJC532 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA***)	8.2	± 0.5		**112%**
Δ*jspA* / pCM130	5.1	± 0.8	**	**69%**
Δ*jspA* / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	11.3	± 2.2	*	**155%**
Δ*lppA* / pCM130	5.4	± 1.3		**75%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	6.2	± 1.7		**84%**
LB + 100 mM taurine, 6 hr	*exoY* **(SMb20946)**			
Rm1021 / pCM130	9.0	± 0.5		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC532 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA***)	10.6	± 0.7	*	**118%**
Δ*lppA* / pCM130	5.0	± 1.1	**	**56%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC532 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA***)	9.1	± 0.8		**102%**
Δ*jspA* / pCM130	4.7	± 0.8	**	**52%**
Δ*jspA* / pJC532 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA***)	4.8	± 0.6	**	**54%**

1111
1112
β-glucuronidase (GUS) activities (averages ± standard deviations, in Miller units) of strains grown under
the indicated conditions were measured as described in Materials and methods. Averages and standard
deviations were calculated from values obtained with at least three biological replicates. Percentages
equal the enzymatic activities, expressed from the indicated promoter-*uidA* fusions, of the respective
strains divided by that in wild-type Rm1021 carrying the pCM130 vector. Two-tailed, unequal variances t-
test was used to determine whether differences from the vector-carrying wild type were statistically
significant: *, $0.01 < p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Nonreplicating plasmids integrated into the genome to create
transcriptional fusions to *exoY* and *flaC* were pEC340 and pMB694, respectively.
1121
1122
1123

1124
1125 Table 3. Expression from reporter fusion to *exoY* promoter when mutant *jspA* or *lppA* allele
1126 overexpressed.
1127
1128

Strain genotype	*exoY* (SMb20946) expression			
Overexpress *jspA* alleles	**PYE + 10 mM tau, 4.5 hrs**			
Rm1021 / pCM130 (vector)	7.5	±	1.5	**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	17.8	±	3.7 **	**238%**
Rm1021 / pJC555 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$**)	8.4	±	0.4 *	**113%**
Rm1021 / pJC556 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148D}$**)	8.4	±	1.0	**112%**
Rm1021 / pJC558 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA-HA***)	11.8	±	1.7 **	**158%**
Rm1021 / pJC559 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$-*HA***)	7.1	±	1.6	**96%**
Rm1021 / pJC560 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148D}$-*HA***)	6.8	±	0.8	**90%**
Δ*jspA* / pJC558 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA-HA***)	10.9	±	1.4 **	**146%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC558 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA-HA***)	7.0	±	1.2	**93%**
Overexpress *lppA* alleles	**LB + 100 mM tau, 6 hrs**			
Δ*lppA* / pCM130	6.1	±	0.6	**100%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC532 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA***)	11.9	±	1.1 **	**195%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC605 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA*$_{C23S}$**)	7.0	±	0.7	**115%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC606 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA-HA***)	11.0	±	1.3 **	**180%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC607 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA*$_{C23S}$-*HA***)	6.8	±	1.0	**111%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC608 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA*$_{G96W}$-*HA***)	8.8	±	0.4 **	**130%**
Δ*lppA* / pJC609 (P$_{tau}$-***lppA*$_{A78S}$-*HA***)	8.3	±	0.3 **	**123%**

1129
1130
β-glucuronidase (GUS) activities (in Miller units) of strains grown under the indicated conditions were
measured as described in Materials and methods. Averages and standard deviations were derived from
values obtained with at least three biological replicates. Averages for the vector-carrying wild type and
lppA deletion mutant (first line of each group) were set at 100% and used to calculate relative activities.
Statistical significance of differences from the vector-carrying wild type or *lppA* deletion mutant was
determined with t-test (two-tailed, unequal variances): *, $0.01 < p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Nonreplicating
plasmid pEC340 was integrated into the genomes of different strains to generate transcriptional fusion to
exoY.
1139
1140
1141

1142
1143 Table 4. Select promoters confirm transcriptional changes when *jspA* overexpressed.
1144
1145

Promoter tested / Strain genotype	GUS activity				% WT
mcpU (SMc00975)	**PYE + 10 mM tau, 4.5 hrs**				
Rm1021 / pCM130 (vector)	40.0	±	3.7		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	25.3	±	2.7	*	**63%**
Rm1021 / pJC555 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$**)	39.2	±	2.0		**98%**
SMc01580					
Rm1021 / pCM130	6.3	±	1.2		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	20.3	±	1.1	**	**321%**
Rm1021 / pJC555 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$**)	7.1	±	0.6		**113%**
pckA (SMc02562)					
Rm1021 / pCM130	38.4	±	9.4		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	59.1	±	8.7	**	**154%**
Rm1021 / pJC555 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$**)	45.5	±	12.6		**119%**
chvI (SMc02560)					
Rm1021 / pCM130	20.4	±	2.8		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC535 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA***)	39.3	±	6.7	**	**193%**
Rm1021 / pJC555 (P$_{tau}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$**)	23.5	±	3.3		**115%**
chvI (SMc02560)	**PYE + 1 mM IPTG, 4.5 hrs**				
Rm1021 / pSRKGm	27.1	±	1.5		**100%**
Rm1021 / pJC652 (P$_{lac}$-***jspA***)	43.2	±	7.0	**	**159%**
Rm1021 / pJC653 (P$_{lac}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$**)	30.8	±	4.5		**114%**
Rm1021 / pJC654 (P$_{lac}$-***jspA-HA***)	41.2	±	3.6	**	**152%**
Rm1021 / pJC655 (P$_{lac}$-***jspA*$_{E148A}$-*HA***)	31.4	±	2.8	*	**116%**

1146
1147
β-glucuronidase (GUS) activities (in Miller units) of strains grown under the indicated conditions were
measured as described in Materials and methods. Averages and standard deviations were derived from
values obtained with at least three biological replicates. Averages for the vector-carrying wild type (first
line of each group) were set at 100% and used to calculate relative activities (% WT). Statistical
significance of differences from the vector-carrying wild type was determined with t-test (two-tailed,
unequal variances): *, $0.01 < p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Nonreplicating plasmids integrated into the genome
to create transcriptional fusions of *uidA* to *mcpU*, SMc01580, *pckA*, and *chvI* were pMB696, pJC640,
pJC639, and pJC638, respectively.
1156
1157
1158

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Production of calcofluor-binding exopolysaccharides in *S. medicae* WSM419 and its derivatives. Ten-fold serial dilutions of logarithmic-phase cultures were spotted onto solid media and allowed to grow for three days prior to imaging. (A) Representative images show fluorescence of wild-type WSM419, Δ*jspA* (ΔSmed_3110) mutant, Δ*lppA* (ΔSmed_0632) mutant, and derivatives marked with neomycin (Nm^R) or spectinomycin (Sp^R) resistance [*nptII* or *aadA* linked to *podJ* (Smed_0147) or replacing *jspA*] on LB plates containing calcofluor. Darker spots indicate brighter fluorescence. (B) WSM, Δ*jspA*, and Δ*lppA* strains carrying the vector (pCM130) or a plasmid with *S. meliloti jspA*, *jspA*$_{E148A}$, or *lppA* under the control of a taurine-inducible promoter (pJC535, pJC555, or pJC532, respectively) were grown on LB plates containing tetracycline (Tet) and calcofluor, without or with taurine (5 mM taurine for *jspA* complementation, 10 mM for *lppA*). Visible-light images of corresponding strains grown on PYE plates show mucoid colonies. Labels on the left indicate strain numbers, while labels on the right indicate genotypes. Each experiment was performed at least twice.

S2 Fig. Overexpression of *jspA* alleles in *S. medicae* WSM419, *S. fredii* NGR234, and *C. crescentus* NA1000. Ten-fold serial dilutions of logarithmic-phase cultures were spotted onto PYE plates containing 0, 5, or 10 mM taurine. NA1000 strains were grown with 1 µg/mL oxytetracycline for two days, while WSM419 and NGR234 strains were grown with 5 µg/mL oxytetracycline for three days at 30°C prior to imaging. Labels on the left indicate strain numbers, while labels on the right indicate the *jspA* alleles being expressed from a plasmid. Plasmids used were pJC614 (*jspA*), pJC615 (*jspA*$_{E148A}$), pJC616 (*jspA-HA*), and pJC617 (*jspA*$_{E148A}$-*HA*). Images shown represent four replicates on two different days.

S3 Fig. Depletion of ChvI. (A) Plate images show growth of ChvI depletion strain on LB medium in the presence and absence of 1 mM IPTG. The top strain (AD124) carried the pSRKKm vector and had a Δ*chvI* allelic replacement plasmid (pAD112) integrated into its chromosome but retained a copy of *chvI*$^+$, while the bottom strain (AD115) carried pAD101, with *chvI* under the control of the P_{lac} promoter, and had its *chvI* replaced by a hygromycin resistance gene (*hph*). (B) Plots show growth curves of ChvI depletion strains over 24 hours in LB, in the presence or absence of 0.5 mM IPTG. *chvI*$^+$ or Δ*chvI* strains carried pAD101, as well as a compatible vector (pCM130) or derivatives (pJC535 or pJC555) containing taurine-regulated

jspA or *jspA*$_{E184A}$ (abbreviated as E148A), under control of the P$_{tau}$ promoter. No taurine was

added in these growth experiments. Cultures were shaken at 1000 rpm in 48-well plates, with

0.4 mL medium (containing kanamycin and oxytetracycline) per well. Absorbance at 600 nm

(A600) was measured every 30 minutes. Average readings for three different days are shown,

with corresponding shadings indicating standard deviations. In the presence of IPTG, all strains

exhibited similar growth patterns; curves for depletion strains carrying P$_{tau}$-*jspA* or *jspA*$_{E148A}$

grown with IPTG were omitted for clarity. Strains shown here for growth curves (JOE5579,

JOE5605, JOE5607, JOE5609) all contain a genomic *exoY-uidA* reporter and constitute a

subset of those used for GUS assays in Figure 6C.

1201

S4 Fig. Growth curves of *exoR-V5* strains carrying the pSRKGm vector or derivatives

(pJC652 or pJC653) with *jspA* or *jspA*$_{E184A}$ (noted as E148A) under control of the P$_{lac}$

promoter. (A) Strains JOE5242, JOE5244, and JOE5246 were grown in 48-well plates, with

0.4 mL PYE per well, in the presence of absence of 1 mM IPTG. Absorbance at 600 nm (A600)

was measured every 30 minutes. Average readings for three different days are depicted, with

surrounding shadings indicating standard deviations. Lines without markers represent growth in

the absence of IPTG (-); standard deviations for these were omitted for simplicity. Figure 7

shows a portion of this graph. (B, C) Liquid cultures of the same strains were grown in flasks

with 1 mM IPTG in (B) PYE or (C) LB medium, and A600 was measured every hour for 12

hours. The plots were generated from single experiments.

1212

S5 Fig. Steady-state levels of ExoR-FLAG when co-expressed with different forms of

JspA and varying levels of LppA in *C. crescentus* NA1000 or *E. coli* DH10B. (A) Levels of

ExoR-FLAG and JspA-HA were assessed in NA1000 by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG and

anti-HA antibodies. ExoR-FLAG expression is indicated above the blot: + signifies that

expression of ExoR-FLAG from pMB859 was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG in PYE medium for 4

hours, while - signifies that the strain carried the empty vector pSRKKm under the same

conditions. Expression of LppA and different versions of JspA were induced with 10 mM taurine

from the following plasmids: lanes 1 and 4, pJC473 vector when neither expressed (- for both

LppA and JspA); lanes 2 and 9, pJC616 for JspA-HA only (red +); lanes 3 and 10, pJC617 for

JspA$_{E148A}$-HA (red *); lane 5, pJC614 for JspA (black +); lane 6, pJC615 for JspA$_{E148A}$ (black *);

lane 7, pJC702 for LppA and JspA; lane 8, pJC706 for LppA and JspA$_{E148A}$; lane 11, pJC707 for

LppA and JspA-HA; and lane12, pJC708 for LppA and JspA$_{E148A}$-HA. (B) Immunoblots show

steady-state levels of JspA-HA, LppA-HA, and ExoR-FLAG in DH10B. All strains were induced

with 0.1 mM IPTG in LB medium for 4 hours. ExoR-FLAG expression is indicated above the

blots: + signifies expression from pMB859, while - signifies carriage of the pSRKKm vector.

Expression of different versions of JspA-HA and varying levels of LppA-HA was achieved using

different plasmids, similarly indicated above the blots as in (A): lane 1 and 14, pDSW208 vector

(expressing GFP); lanes 2 and 10, pJC720 (*jspA-HA* only); lanes 3 and 11, pJC733 (*jspA$_{E148A}$-*

HA); lane 4, pJC730 (*jspA-HA* and *lppA-HA*, with intervening RBS); lane 5, pJC735 (*jspA$_{E148A}$-*

HA and *lppA-HA*, with intervening RBS); lane 6, pJC731 (*jspA-HA* translationally coupled to

lppA-HA); lane 7, pJC736 (*jspA$_{E148A}$-HA* translationally coupled to *lppA-HA*); lanes 8 and12,

pJC734 (*lppA-HA* followed by *jspA-HA*); and lanes 9 and 13, pJC737 (*lppA-HA* followed by

jspA$_{E148A}$-HA). Schematics above the blots represent gene arrangements on plasmids: red *

indicates plasmids that carry the *jspA$_{E148A}$-HA* mutant allele and the approximate location of the

active site mutation in the gene; RBS preceding *lppA-HA* in pJC730 and pJC735 is the

ribosome binding site of *E. coli araB*. Lanes 1 - 7 have the same configuration of strains as

those in Figure 9B. The size of the + symbol in the LppA-HA row above the blots reflects the

level of expression, with the orange, bold + indicating the highest levels. (LppA-HA is not

detectable in some lanes because the signal is overwhelmed by that in lanes with high

expression.) Approximate molecular mass, in kDa, are shown to the left of the blots, while lane

numbers are shown below. Positions of bands representing JspA-HA, LppA-HA, and ExoR-

FLAG are indicated to the right of the blots. Blots were first probed with anti-FLAG antibodies

and then with anti-HA antibodies. The ExoR-FLAG image was captured first, and the JspA-HA

image was obtained from the same representative blot after the second probing, while the

LppA-HA image was acquired from a duplicate blot of the same samples.

1248

1249   **S1 Table. Orthologs of JspA, LppA, and ExoR in representative bacterial species.**

1250

1251   **S2 Table. Number of pink and white nodules on individual *M. sativa* or *M. truncatula***

1252   **seedlings inoculated with Rm1021 or WSM419 strains.**

1253

1254   **S3 Table. Compilations of symbiosis competitions between Rm1021 strains in *M. sativa***

1255   **or between WSM419 strains in *M. truncatula*.**

1256

1257   **S4 Table. JspA-regulated genes.**

1258

1259   **S5 Table. Hypergeometric probability tests for overlaps between JspA, ExoRS, and**

1260   **RpoH1 transcriptomes and ChvI regulon.**

1261

1262   **S6 Table. Hypergeometric probability tests for overlap of JspA transcriptome with genes**

1263   **that altered expression in NCR247-treated cells or the Δ*podJ1* mutant.**

1264

1265   **S7 Table. Overlap of JspA transcriptome with genes that changed expression upon acid**

1266   **stress.**

1267

1268   **S8 Table. *Sinorhizobium* strains used in this study.**

1269

1270   **S9 Table. Plasmids used in this study.**

1271

1272   **S1 File. Plasmid sequences.**

1273

1274

References

Alakavuklar, M.A., Fiebig, A., and Crosson, S. (2023) The *Brucella* Cell Envelope. *Annu Rev Microbiol*.

Alakavuklar, M.A., Heckel, B.C., Stoner, A.M., Stembel, J.A., and Fuqua, C. (2021) Motility control through an anti-activation mechanism in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Mol Microbiol* **116**: 1281-1297.

Alba, B.M., Leeds, J.A., Onufryk, C., Lu, C.Z., and Gross, C.A. (2002) DegS and YaeL participate sequentially in the cleavage of RseA to activate the sigma(E)-dependent extracytoplasmic stress response. *Genes Dev* **16**: 2156-2168.

Albicoro, F.J., Draghi, W.O., Martini, M.C., Salas, M.E., Torres Tejerizo, G.A., Lozano, M.J., Lopez, J.L., Vacca, C., Cafiero, J.H., Pistorio, M., Bednarz, H., Meier, D., Lagares, A., Niehaus, K., Becker, A., and Del Papa, M.F. (2021) The two-component system ActJK is involved in acid stress tolerance and symbiosis in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Biotechnol* **329**: 80-91.

Albicoro, F.J., Vacca, C., Cafiero, J.H., Draghi, W.O., Martini, M.C., Goulian, M., Lagares, A., and Del Papa, M.F. (2023) Comparative Proteomic Analysis Revealing ActJ-Regulated Proteins in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Proteome Res*.

Altamirano-Silva, P., Cordero-Serrano, M., Méndez-Montoya, J., Chacón-Díaz, C., Guzmán-Verri, C., Moreno, E., and Chaves-Olarte, E. (2021) Intracellular Passage Triggers a Molecular Response in *Brucella abortus* That Increases Its Infectiousness. *Infection and Immunity* **89**: e00004-00021.

Altamirano-Silva, P., Meza-Torres, J., Castillo-Zeledon, A., Ruiz-Villalobos, N., Zuniga-Pereira, A.M., Chacon-Diaz, C., Moreno, E., Guzman-Verri, C., and Chaves-Olarte, E. (2018) *Brucella abortus* senses the intracellular environment through the BvrR/BvrS two-component system, which allows *B. abortus* to adapt to its replicative niche. *Infect Immun* **86**.

An, F., Li, N., Zhang, L., Zheng, W., Xing, S., Tang, G., Yan, J., Yu, L., and Luo, L. (2021) Identification of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* LsrB regulon. *Acta biochimica et biophysica Sinica* **53**: 955-957.

Arnold, M.F.F., Penterman, J., Shabab, M., Chen, E.J., and Walker, G.C. (2018) Important Late-Stage Symbiotic Role of the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Exopolysaccharide Succinoglycan. *J Bacteriol* **200**.

Arnold, M.F.F., Shabab, M., Penterman, J., Boehme, K.L., Griffitts, J.S., and Walker, G.C. (2017) Genome-Wide Sensitivity Analysis of the Microsymbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* to Symbiotically Important, Defensin-Like Host Peptides. *mBio* **8**.

Ausubel, F.M., Brent, R., Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A., and Struhl, K., (1998) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.

Bahlawane, C., McIntosh, M., Krol, E., and Becker, A. (2008) *Sinorhizobium meliloti* regulator MucR couples exopolysaccharide synthesis and motility. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **21**: 1498-1509.

Baraquet, C., Dai, W., Mendiola, J., Pechter, K., and Harwood, C.S. (2021) Transposon sequencing analysis of *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* 110*spc*4. *Scientific reports* **11**: 13211.

Barnett, M.J., Bittner, A.N., Toman, C.J., Oke, V., and Long, S.R. (2012) Dual RpoH sigma factors and transcriptional plasticity in a symbiotic bacterium. *J Bacteriol* **194**: 4983-4994.

Barnett, M.J., and Fisher, R.F. (2006) Global gene expression in the rhizobial-legume symbiosis. *Symbiosis* **42**: 1-24.

Barnett, M.J., and Long, S.R. (2015) The *Sinorhizobium meliloti* SyrM regulon: effects on global gene expression are mediated by *syrA* and *nodD3*. *J Bacteriol* **197**: 1792-1806.

Barnett, M.J., and Long, S.R. (2018) Novel Genes and Regulators That Influence Production of Cell Surface Exopolysaccharides in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Bacteriol* **200**.

Barnett, M.J., Oke, V., and Long, S.R. (2000) New genetic tools for use in the *Rhizobiaceae* and other bacteria. *Biotechniques* **29**: 240-242, 244-245.

Barnett, M.J., Solow-Cordero, D.E., and Long, S.R. (2019) A high-throughput system to identify inhibitors
of *Candidatus* Liberibacter asiaticus transcription regulators. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**:
18009-18014.
Barnett, M.J., Toman, C.J., Fisher, R.F., and Long, S.R. (2004) A dual-genome Symbiosis Chip for
coordinate study of signal exchange and development in a prokaryote-host interaction. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci USA **101**: 16636-16641.
Barrett, T., Wilhite, S.E., Ledoux, P., Evangelista, C., Kim, I.F., Tomashevsky, M., Marshall, K.A., Phillippy,
K.H., Sherman, P.M., Holko, M., Yefanov, A., Lee, H., Zhang, N., Robertson, C.L., Serova, N.,
Davis, S., and Soboleva, A. (2013) NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets--update.
Nucleic Acids Res **41**: D991-995.
Becker, A., Barnett, M.J., Capela, D., Dondrup, M., Kamp, P.B., Krol, E., Linke, B., Ruberg, S., Runte, K.,
Schroeder, B.K., Weidner, S., Yurgel, S.N., Batut, J., Long, S.R., Pühler, A., and Goesmann, A.
(2009) A portal for rhizobial genomes: RhizoGATE integrates a *Sinorhizobium meliloti* genome
annotation update with postgenome data. *J Biotechnol* **140**: 45-50.
Becker, A., Ruberg, S., Baumgarth, B., Bertram-Drogatz, P.A., Quester, I., and Puhler, A. (2002)
Regulation of succinoglycan and galactoglucan biosynthesis in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Mol*
Microbiol Biotechnol **4**: 187-190.
Bélanger, L., Dimmick, K.A., Fleming, J.S., and Charles, T.C. (2009) Null mutations in *Sinorhizobium*
meliloti exoS and *chvI* demonstrate the importance of this two-component regulatory system for
symbiosis. *Mol Microbiol* **74**: 1223-1237.
Benedict, A.B., Ghosh, P., Scott, S.M., and Griffitts, J.S. (2021) A conserved rhizobial peptidase that
interacts with host-derived symbiotic peptides. *Scientific reports* **11**: 11779.
Bensig, E.O., Valadez-Cano, C., Kuang, Z., Freire, I.R., Reyes-Prieto, A., and MacLellan, S.R. (2022) The
two-component regulatory system CenK-CenR regulates expression of a previously
uncharacterized protein required for salinity and oxidative stress tolerance in *Sinorhizobium*
meliloti. *Front Microbiol* **13**: 1020932.
Blum, M., Chang, H.Y., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Kandasaamy, S., Mitchell, A., Nuka, G., Paysan-
Lafosse, T., Qureshi, M., Raj, S., Richardson, L., Salazar, G.A., Williams, L., Bork, P., Bridge, A.,
Gough, J., Haft, D.H., Letunic, I., Marchler-Bauer, A., Mi, H., Natale, D.A., Necci, M., Orengo, C.A.,
Pandurangan, A.P., Rivoire, C., Sigrist, C.J.A., Sillitoe, I., Thanki, N., Thomas, P.D., Tosatto, S.C.E.,
Wu, C.H., Bateman, A., and Finn, R.D. (2021) The InterPro protein families and domains
database: 20 years on. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: D344-D354.
Boratyn, G.M., Camacho, C., Cooper, P.S., Coulouris, G., Fong, A., Ma, N., Madden, T.L., Matten, W.T.,
McGinnis, S.D., Merezhuk, Y., Raytselis, Y., Sayers, E.W., Tao, T., Ye, J., and Zaretskaya, I. (2013)
BLAST: a more efficient report with usability improvements. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: W29-33.
Brockhurst, M.A. (2011) Sex, death, and the Red Queen. *Science* **333**: 166-167.
Budnick, J.A., Sheehan, L.M., Ginder, M.J., Failor, K.C., Perkowski, J.M., Pinto, J.F., Kohl, K.A., Kang, L.,
Michalak, P., Luo, L., Heindl, J.E., and Caswell, C.C. (2020) A central role for the transcriptional
regulator VtlR in small RNA-mediated gene regulation in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Scientific*
reports **10**: 14968.
Burks, D., Azad, R., Wen, J., and Dickstein, R. (2018) The *Medicago truncatula* Genome: Genomic Data
Availability. *Methods Mol Biol* **1822**: 39-59.
Calatrava-Morales, N., Nogales, J., Ameztoy, K., van Steenbergen, B., and Soto, M.J. (2017) The
NtrY/NtrX System of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* GR4 Regulates Motility, EPS I Production, and
Nitrogen Metabolism but Is Dispensable for Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation. *Mol Plant Microbe*
Interact **30**: 566-577.
Cao, P., and Wall, D. (2020) The Fluidity of the Bacterial Outer Membrane Is Species Specific: Bacterial
Lifestyles and the Emergence of a Fluid Outer Membrane. *Bioessays* **42**: e1900246.

Cao, Y., Halane, M.K., Gassmann, W., and Stacey, G. (2017) The Role of Plant Innate Immunity in the
Legume-Rhizobium Symbiosis. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **68**: 535-561.
Carroll, L., (1900 [1872]) *Through the Looking-Glass, and What Alice Found There*. W. B. Conkey Co.,
Chicago.
Castillo-Zeledón, A., Ruiz-Villalobos, N., Altamirano-Silva, P., Chacón-Díaz, C., Barquero-Calvo, E., Chaves-
Olarte, E., and Guzmán-Verri, C. (2021) A *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*
ExoR ortholog is not crucial for *Brucella abortus* virulence. *PLoS One* **16**: e0254568.
Charles, T.C., and Nester, E.W. (1993) A chromosomally encoded two-component sensory transduction
system is required for virulence of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *J Bacteriol* **175**: 6614-6625.
Chen, E.J., Fisher, R.F., Perovich, V.M., Sabio, E.A., and Long, S.R. (2009) Identification of direct
transcriptional target genes of ExoS/ChvI two-component signaling in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J*
Bacteriol **191**: 6833-6842.
Chen, E.J., Sabio, E.A., and Long, S.R. (2008) The periplasmic regulator ExoR inhibits ExoS/ChvI two-
component signalling in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Mol Microbiol* **69**: 1290-1303.
Cheng, H.P., and Walker, G.C. (1998a) Succinoglycan is required for initiation and elongation of infection
threads during nodulation of alfalfa by Rhizobium meliloti. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 5183-5191.
Cheng, H.P., and Walker, G.C. (1998b) Succinoglycan production by *Rhizobium meliloti* is regulated
through the ExoS-ChvI two-component regulatory system. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 20-26.
Crook, M.B., Lindsay, D.P., Biggs, M.B., Bentley, J.S., Price, J.C., Clement, S.C., Clement, M.J., Long, S.R.,
and Griffitts, J.S. (2012) Rhizobial plasmids that cause impaired symbiotic nitrogen fixation and
enhanced host invasion. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **25**: 1026-1033.
Davies, B.W., and Walker, G.C. (2007) Identification of novel *Sinorhizobium meliloti* mutants
compromised for oxidative stress protection and symbiosis. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 2110-2113.
De Las Peñas, A., Connolly, L., and Gross, C.A. (1997) SigmaE is an essential sigma factor in *Escherichia*
coli. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 6862-6864.
de Lucena, D.K., Pühler, A., and Weidner, S. (2010) The role of sigma factor RpoH1 in the pH stress
response of *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *BMC Microbiol* **10**: 265.
diCenzo, G.C., Benedict, A.B., Fondi, M., Walker, G.C., Finan, T.M., Mengoni, A., and Griffitts, J.S. (2018)
Robustness encoded across essential and accessory replicons of the ecologically versatile
bacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *PLoS Genet* **14**: e1007357.
Doherty, D., Leigh, J.A., Glazebrook, J., and Walker, G.C. (1988) Rhizobium meliloti mutants that
overproduce the *R. meliloti* acidic calcofluor-binding exopolysaccharide. *J Bacteriol* **170**: 4249-
4256.
Draghi, W.O., Del Papa, M.F., Hellweg, C., Watt, S.A., Watt, T.F., Barsch, A., Lozano, M.J., Lagares, A., Jr.,
Salas, M.E., López, J.L., Albicoro, F.J., Nilsson, J.F., Torres Tejerizo, G.A., Luna, M.F., Pistorio, M.,
Boiardi, J.L., Pühler, A., Weidner, S., Niehaus, K., and Lagares, A. (2016) A consolidated analysis
of the physiologic and molecular responses induced under acid stress in the legume-symbiont
model-soil bacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Scientific reports* **6**: 29278.
Eisfeld, J., Kraus, A., Ronge, C., Jagst, M., Brandenburg, V.B., and Narberhaus, F. (2021) A LysR-type
transcriptional regulator controls the expression of numerous small RNAs in *Agrobacterium*
tumefaciens. *Mol Microbiol* **116**: 126-139.
Evinger, M., and Agabian, N. (1977) Envelope-associated nucleoid from *Caulobacter crescentus* stalked
and swarmer cells. *J Bacteriol* **132**: 294-301.
Fang, F.C., Frawley, E.R., Tapscott, T., and Vázquez-Torres, A. (2016) Bacterial Stress Responses during
Host Infection. *Cell Host Microbe* **20**: 133-143.
Farkas, A., Maroti, G., Durgo, H., Gyorgypal, Z., Lima, R.M., Medzihradszky, K.F., Kereszt, A., Mergaert, P.,
and Kondorosi, E. (2014) *Medicago truncatula* symbiotic peptide NCR247 contributes to

bacteroid differentiation through multiple mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**: 5183-
5188.
Ferguson, B.J., Indrasumunar, A., Hayashi, S., Lin, M.H., Lin, Y.H., Reid, D.E., and Gresshoff, P.M. (2010)
Molecular analysis of legume nodule development and autoregulation. *J Integr Plant Biol* **52**: 61-
76.
Fields, A.T., Navarrete, C.S., Zare, A.Z., Huang, Z., Mostafavi, M., Lewis, J.C., Rezaeihaghighi, Y., Brezler,
B.J., Ray, S., Rizzacasa, A.L., Barnett, M.J., Long, S.R., Chen, E.J., and Chen, J.C. (2012) The
conserved polarity factor *podJ1* impacts multiple cell envelope-associated functions in
Sinorhizobium meliloti. *Mol Microbiol* **84**: 892-920.
Finan, T.M., Hirsch, A.M., Leigh, J.A., Johansen, E., Kuldau, G.A., Deegan, S., Walker, G.C., and Signer, E.R.
(1985) Symbiotic mutants of *Rhizobium meliloti* that uncouple plant from bacterial
differentiation. *Cell* **40**: 869-877.
Finan, T.M., Kunkel, B., De Vos, G.F., and Signer, E.R. (1986) Second symbiotic megaplasmid in *Rhizobium*
meliloti carrying exopolysaccharide and thiamine synthesis genes. *J Bacteriol* **167**: 66-72.
Flores-Tinoco, C.E., Tschan, F., Fuhrer, T., Margot, C., Sauer, U., Christen, M., and Christen, B. (2020) Co-
catabolism of arginine and succinate drives symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *Molecular systems*
biology **16**: e9419.
Frohlich, K.S., Forstner, K.U., and Gitai, Z. (2018) Post-transcriptional gene regulation by an Hfq-
independent small RNA in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**: 10969-10982.
Fujimura-Kamada, K., Nouvet, F.J., and Michaelis, S. (1997) A novel membrane-associated
metalloprotease, Ste24p, is required for the first step of NH2-terminal processing of the yeast a-
factor precursor. *J Cell Biol* **136**: 271-285.
Gage, D.J. (2002) Analysis of infection thread development using Gfp- and DsRed-expressing
Sinorhizobium meliloti. *J Bacteriol* **184**: 7042-7046.
Galibert, F., Finan, T.M., Long, S.R., Pühler, A., Abola, P., Ampe, F., Barloy-Hubler, F., Barnett, M.J.,
Becker, A., Boistard, P., Bothe, G., Boutry, M., Bowser, L., Buhrmester, J., Cadieu, E., Capela, D.,
Chain, P., Cowie, A., Davis, R.W., Dreano, S., Federspiel, N.A., Fisher, R.F., Gloux, S., Godrie, T.,
Goffeau, A., Golding, B., Gouzy, J., Gurjal, M., Hernandez-Lucas, I., Hong, A., Huizar, L., Hyman,
R.W., Jones, T., Kahn, D., Kahn, M.L., Kalman, S., Keating, D.H., Kiss, E., Komp, C., Lelaure, V.,
Masuy, D., Palm, C., Peck, M.C., Pohl, T.M., Portetelle, D., Purnelle, B., Ramsperger, U., Surzycki,
R., Thebault, P., Vandenbol, M., Vorholter, F.J., Weidner, S., Wells, D.H., Wong, K., Yeh, K.C., and
Batut, J. (2001) The composite genome of the legume symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Science*
293: 668-672.
Geddes, B.A., Gonzalez, J.E., and Oresnik, I.J. (2014) Exopolysaccharide production in response to
medium acidification is correlated with an increase in competition for nodule occupancy. *Mol*
Plant Microbe Interact **27**: 1307-1317.
Geiger, O., Sohlenkamp, C., Vera-Cruz, D., Medeot, D.B., Martínez-Aguilar, L., Sahonero-Canavesi, D.X.,
Weidner, S., Pühler, A., and López-Lara, I.M. (2021) ExoS/ChvI Two-Component Signal-
Transduction System Activated in the Absence of Bacterial Phosphatidylcholine. *Frontiers in*
Plant Science **12**: 678976.
Ghosh, P., Adolphsen, K.N., Yurgel, S.N., and Kahn, M.L. (2021) *Sinorhizobium medicae* WSM419 Genes
That Improve Symbiosis between *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Rm1021 and *Medicago truncatula*
Jemalong A17 and in Other Symbiosis Systems. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **87**: e0300420.
Gibson, K.E., Barnett, M.J., Toman, C.J., Long, S.R., and Walker, G.C. (2007) The symbiosis regulator CbrA
modulates a complex regulatory network affecting the flagellar apparatus and cell envelope
proteins. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 3591-3602.
Gibson, K.E., Kobayashi, H., and Walker, G.C. (2008) Molecular determinants of a symbiotic chronic
infection. *Annu Rev Genet* **42**: 413-441.

Godessart, P., Lannoy, A., Dieu, M., Van der Verren, S.E., Soumillion, P., Collet, J.F., Remaut, H., Renard,
P., and De Bolle, X. (2021) beta-Barrels covalently link peptidoglycan and the outer membrane in
the alpha-proteobacterium *Brucella abortus*. *Nat Microbiol* **6**: 27-33.
Graham, P.H., and Vance, C.P. (2003) Legumes: Importance and Constraints to Greater Use. *Plant*
Physiology **131**: 872-877.
Greenwich, J.L., Heckel, B.C., Alakavuklar, M.A., and Fuqua, C. (2023) The ChvG-ChvI Regulatory
Network: A Conserved Global Regulatory Circuit Among the Alphaproteobacteria with Pervasive
Impacts on Host Interactions and Diverse Cellular Processes. *Annu Rev Microbiol*.
Griffitts, J.S., Carlyon, R.E., Erickson, J.H., Moulton, J.L., Barnett, M.J., Toman, C.J., and Long, S.R. (2008)
A Sinorhizobium meliloti osmosensory two-component system required for cyclic glucan export
and symbiosis. *Mol Microbiol* **69**: 479-490.
Griffitts, J.S., and Long, S.R. (2008) A symbiotic mutant of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* reveals a novel genetic
pathway involving succinoglycan biosynthetic functions. *Mol Microbiol* **67**: 1292-1306.
Guzman-Verri, C., Manterola, L., Sola-Landa, A., Parra, A., Cloeckaert, A., Garin, J., Gorvel, J.P., Moriyon,
I., Moreno, E., and Lopez-Goni, I. (2002) The two-component system BvrR/BvrS essential for
Brucella abortus virulence regulates the expression of outer membrane proteins with
counterparts in members of the Rhizobiaceae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**: 12375-12380.
Guzman, L.M., Belin, D., Carson, M.J., and Beckwith, J. (1995) Tight regulation, modulation, and high-
level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. *J Bacteriol* **177**: 4121-
4130.
Haag, A.F., Baloban, M., Sani, M., Kerscher, B., Pierre, O., Farkas, A., Longhi, R., Boncompagni, E.,
Herouart, D., Dall'angelo, S., Kondorosi, E., Zanda, M., Mergaert, P., and Ferguson, G.P. (2011)
Protection of *Sinorhizobium* against host cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptides is critical for
symbiosis. *PLoS Biol* **9**: e1001169.
Hawkins, J.P., Geddes, B.A., and Oresnik, I.J. (2017) Succinoglycan Production Contributes to Acidic pH
Tolerance in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Rm1021. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **30**: 1009-1019.
Hawkins, J.P., and Oresnik, I.J. (2021) The Rhizobium-Legume Symbiosis: Co-opting Successful Stress
Management. *Front Plant Sci* **12**: 796045.
Heavner, M.E., Qiu, W.G., and Cheng, H.P. (2015) Phylogenetic Co-Occurrence of ExoR, ExoS, and ChvI,
Components of the RSI Bacterial Invasion Switch, Suggests a Key Adaptive Mechanism
Regulating the Transition between Free-Living and Host-Invading Phases in Rhizobiales. *PLoS*
One **10**: e0135655.
Heckel, B.C., Tomlinson, A.D., Morton, E.R., Choi, J.H., and Fuqua, C. (2014) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*
ExoR controls acid response genes and impacts exopolysaccharide synthesis, horizontal gene
transfer, and virulence gene expression. *J Bacteriol* **196**: 3221-3233.
Hellweg, C., Pühler, A., and Weidner, S. (2009) The time course of the transcriptomic response of
Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021 following a shift to acidic pH. *BMC Microbiol* **9**: 37.
Herridge, D.F., Peoples, M.B., and Boddey, R.M. (2008) Global inputs of biological nitrogen fixation in
agricultural systems. *PLANT AND SOIL* **311**: 1-18.
Hews, C.L., Cho, T., Rowley, G., and Raivio, T.L. (2019) Maintaining Integrity Under Stress: Envelope
Stress Response Regulation of Pathogenesis in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Front Cell Infect*
Microbiol **9**: 313.
Hoang, H.H., Gurich, N., and Gonzalez, J.E. (2008) Regulation of motility by the ExpR/Sin quorum-sensing
system in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Bacteriol* **190**: 861-871.
Horváth, B., Domonkos, A., Kereszt, A., Szucs, A., Abraham, E., Ayaydin, F., Boka, K., Chen, Y., Chen, R.,
Murray, J.D., Udvardi, M.K., Kondorosi, E., and Kalo, P. (2015) Loss of the nodule-specific
cysteine rich peptide, NCR169, abolishes symbiotic nitrogen fixation in the *Medicago truncatula*
dnf7 mutant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: 15232-15237.

House, B.L., Mortimer, M.W., and Kahn, M.L. (2004) New recombination methods for *Sinorhizobium meliloti* genetics. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 2806-2815.

Humphreys, S., Stevenson, A., Bacon, A., Weinhardt, A.B., and Roberts, M. (1999) The alternative sigma factor, sigmaE, is critically important for the virulence of *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Infect Immun* **67**: 1560-1568.

Isaac, D.D., Pinkner, J.S., Hultgren, S.J., and Silhavy, T.J. (2005) The extracytoplasmic adaptor protein CpxP is degraded with substrate by DegP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**: 17775-17779.

Jones, K.M. (2012) Increased production of the exopolysaccharide succinoglycan enhances *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021 symbiosis with the host plant *Medicago truncatula*. *J Bacteriol* **194**: 4322-4331.

Jones, K.M., Kobayashi, H., Davies, B.W., Taga, M.E., and Walker, G.C. (2007) How rhizobial symbionts invade plants: the *Sinorhizobium-Medicago* model. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **5**: 619-633.

Jones, K.M., Sharopova, N., Lohar, D.P., Zhang, J.Q., VandenBosch, K.A., and Walker, G.C. (2008) Differential response of the plant *Medicago truncatula* to its symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* or an exopolysaccharide-deficient mutant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**: 704-709.

Juncker, A.S., Willenbrock, H., Von Heijne, G., Brunak, S., Nielsen, H., and Krogh, A. (2003) Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. *Protein Sci* **12**: 1652-1662.

Kawaharada, Y., Kelly, S., Nielsen, M.W., Hjuler, C.T., Gysel, K., Muszynski, A., Carlson, R.W., Thygesen, M.B., Sandal, N., Asmussen, M.H., Vinther, M., Andersen, S.U., Krusell, L., Thirup, S., Jensen, K.J., Ronson, C.W., Blaise, M., Radutoiu, S., and Stougaard, J. (2015) Receptor-mediated exopolysaccharide perception controls bacterial infection. *Nature* **523**: 308-312.

Kawaharada, Y., Nielsen, M.W., Kelly, S., James, E.K., Andersen, K.R., Rasmussen, S.R., Fuchtbauer, W., Madsen, L.H., Heckmann, A.B., Radutoiu, S., and Stougaard, J. (2017) Differential regulation of the Epr3 receptor coordinates membrane-restricted rhizobial colonization of root nodule primordia. *Nat Commun* **8**: 14534.

Keating, D.H. (2007) The *Sinorhizobium meliloti* ExoR protein is required for the downregulation of *lpsS* transcription and succinoglycan biosynthesis in response to divalent cations. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **267**: 23-29.

Kelly, S.J., Muszynski, A., Kawaharada, Y., Hubber, A.M., Sullivan, J.T., Sandal, N., Carlson, R.W., Stougaard, J., and Ronson, C.W. (2013) Conditional requirement for exopolysaccharide in the *Mesorhizobium-Lotus* symbiosis. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **26**: 319-329.

Khan, S.R., Gaines, J., Roop, R.M., 2nd, and Farrand, S.K. (2008) Broad-host-range expression vectors with tightly regulated promoters and their use to examine the influence of TraR and TraM expression on Ti plasmid quorum sensing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 5053-5062.

Kim, M., Chen, Y., Xi, J., Waters, C., Chen, R., and Wang, D. (2015) An antimicrobial peptide essential for bacterial survival in the nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: 15238-15243.

Kovacs-Simon, A., Titball, R.W., and Michell, S.L. (2011) Lipoproteins of bacterial pathogens. *Infect Immun* **79**: 548-561.

Krol, E., and Becker, A. (2004) Global transcriptional analysis of the phosphate starvation response in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* strains 1021 and 2011. *Mol Genet Genomics* **272**: 1-17.

Krol, E., Klaner, C., Gnau, P., Kaever, V., Essen, L.O., and Becker, A. (2016) Cyclic mononucleotide- and Clr-dependent gene regulation in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Microbiology* **162**: 1840-1856.

Lai, K.K., Davis-Richardson, A.G., Dias, R., and Triplett, E.W. (2016) Identification of the Genes Required for the Culture of *Liberibacter crescens*, the Closest Cultured Relative of the *Liberibacter* Plant Pathogens. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 547.

Lakey, B.D., Myers, K.S., Alberge, F., Mettert, E.L., Kiley, P.J., Noguera, D.R., and Donohue, T.J. (2022) The essential *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* CenKR two-component system regulates cell division and envelope biosynthesis. *PLoS Genet* **18**: e1010270.

Lamontagne, J., Butler, H., Chaves-Olarte, E., Hunter, J., Schirm, M., Paquet, C., Tian, M., Kearney, P., Hamaidi, L., Chelsky, D., Moriyon, I., Moreno, E., and Paramithiotis, E. (2007) Extensive cell envelope modulation is associated with virulence in *Brucella abortus*. *J Proteome Res* **6**: 1519-1529.

Larrainzar, E., Gil-Quintana, E., Seminario, A., Arrese-Igor, C., and Gonzalez, E.M. (2014) Nodule carbohydrate catabolism is enhanced in the *Medicago truncatula* A17-*Sinorhizobium medicae* WSM419 symbiosis. *Front Microbiol* **5**: 447.

Ledermann, R., Schulte, C.C.M., and Poole, P.S. (2021) How Rhizobia Adapt to the Nodule Environment. *J Bacteriol* **203**: e0053920.

Lehman, A.P., and Long, S.R. (2013) Exopolysaccharides from *Sinorhizobium meliloti* can protect against H2O2-dependent damage. *J Bacteriol* **195**: 5362-5369.

LeVier, K., Phillips, R.W., Grippe, V.K., Roop, R.M., 2nd, and Walker, G.C. (2000) Similar requirements of a plant symbiont and a mammalian pathogen for prolonged intracellular survival. *Science* **287**: 2492-2493.

Li, L., Jia, Y., Hou, Q., Charles, T.C., Nester, E.W., and Pan, S.Q. (2002) A global pH sensor: *Agrobacterium* sensor protein ChvG regulates acid-inducible genes on its two chromosomes and Ti plasmid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**: 12369-12374.

Li, S. (2018). *Role of a bacterial polar adhesion factor during legume-microbe symbiosis* [Master's thesis]. San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA. http://hdl.handle.net/10211.3/199775

Long, S., Reed, J.W., Himawan, J., and Walker, G.C. (1988) Genetic analysis of a cluster of genes required for synthesis of the calcofluor-binding exopolysaccharide of *Rhizobium meliloti*. *J Bacteriol* **170**: 4239-4248.

Long, S.R. (2016) SnapShot: Signaling in Symbiosis. *Cell* **167**: 582-582 e581.

Lu, H.Y., and Cheng, H.P. (2010) Autoregulation of *Sinorhizobium meliloti exoR* gene expression. *Microbiology (Reading, England)* **156**: 2092-2101.

Lu, H.Y., Luo, L., Yang, M.H., and Cheng, H.P. (2012) *Sinorhizobium meliloti* ExoR is the target of periplasmic proteolysis. *J Bacteriol* **194**: 4029-4040.

Lund, J., Tedesco, P., Duke, K., Wang, J., Kim, S.K., and Johnson, T.E. (2002) Transcriptional profile of aging in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol* **12**: 1566-1573.

Luo, L., Yao, S.Y., Becker, A., Rüberg, S., Yu, G.Q., Zhu, J.B., and Cheng, H.P. (2005) Two new *Sinorhizobium meliloti* LysR-type transcriptional regulators required for nodulation. *J Bacteriol* **187**: 4562-4572.

Maillet, F., Fournier, J., Mendis, H.C., Tadege, M., Wen, J., Ratet, P., Mysore, K.S., Gough, C., and Jones, K.M. (2020) *Sinorhizobium meliloti* succinylated high-molecular-weight succinoglycan and the *Medicago truncatula* LysM receptor-like kinase MtLYK10 participate independently in symbiotic infection. *Plant J* **102**: 311-326.

Manterola, L., Guzman-Verri, C., Chaves-Olarte, E., Barquero-Calvo, E., de Miguel, M.J., Moriyon, I., Grillo, M.J., Lopez-Goni, I., and Moreno, E. (2007) BvrR/BvrS-controlled outer membrane proteins Omp3a and Omp3b are not essential for *Brucella abortus* virulence. *Infect Immun* **75**: 4867-4874.

Manterola, L., Moriyon, I., Moreno, E., Sola-Landa, A., Weiss, D.S., Koch, M.H., Howe, J., Brandenburg, K., and Lopez-Goni, I. (2005) The lipopolysaccharide of *Brucella abortus* BvrS/BvrR mutants contains lipid A modifications and has higher affinity for bactericidal cationic peptides. *J Bacteriol* **187**: 5631-5639.

Mantis, N.J., and Winans, S.C. (1993) The chromosomal response regulatory gene *chvI* of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* complements an *Escherichia coli phoB* mutation and is required for virulence. *J Bacteriol* **175**: 6626-6636.

Maroti, G., Downie, J.A., and Kondorosi, E. (2015) Plant cysteine-rich peptides that inhibit pathogen
growth and control rhizobial differentiation in legume nodules. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **26**: 57-63.
Martin, M.O., and Long, S.R. (1984) Generalized transduction in *Rhizobium meliloti*. *J Bacteriol* **159**: 125-
129.
Martinez-Nunez, C., Altamirano-Silva, P., Alvarado-Guillen, F., Moreno, E., Guzman-Verri, C., and Chaves-
Olarte, E. (2010) The two-component system BvrR/BvrS regulates the expression of the type IV
secretion system VirB in *Brucella abortus*. *J Bacteriol* **192**: 5603-5608.
Masson-Boivin, C., and Sachs, J.L. (2018) Symbiotic nitrogen fixation by rhizobia-the roots of a success
story. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **44**: 7-15.
Meade, H.M., Long, S.R., Ruvkun, G.B., Brown, S.E., and Ausubel, F.M. (1982) Physical and genetic
characterization of symbiotic and auxotrophic mutants of *Rhizobium meliloti* induced by
transposon Tn5 mutagenesis. *J Bacteriol* **149**: 114-122.
Mendis, H.C., Madzima, T.F., Queiroux, C., and Jones, K.M. (2016) Function of Succinoglycan
Polysaccharide in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Host Plant Invasion Depends on Succinylation, Not
Molecular Weight. *mBio* **7**.
Mergaert, P., Nikovics, K., Kelemen, Z., Maunoury, N., Vaubert, D., Kondorosi, A., and Kondorosi, E.
(2003) A novel family in *Medicago truncatula* consisting of more than 300 nodule-specific genes
coding for small, secreted polypeptides with conserved cysteine motifs. *Plant Physiol* **132**: 161-
173.
Miller-Williams, M., Loewen, P.C., and Oresnik, I.J. (2006) Isolation of salt-sensitive mutants of
Sinorhizobium meliloti strain Rm1021. *Microbiology (Reading, England)* **152**: 2049-2059.
Mistry, J., Chuguransky, S., Williams, L., Qureshi, M., Salazar, G.A., Sonnhammer, E.L.L., Tosatto, S.C.E.,
Paladin, L., Raj, S., Richardson, L.J., Finn, R.D., and Bateman, A. (2021) Pfam: The protein families
database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: D412-d419.
Mitchell, A.M., and Silhavy, T.J. (2019) Envelope stress responses: balancing damage repair and toxicity.
Nat Rev Microbiol **17**: 417-428.
Morris, J., and Gonzalez, J.E. (2009) The novel genes *emmABC* are associated with exopolysaccharide
production, motility, stress adaptation, and symbiosis in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Bacteriol* **191**:
5890-5900.
Mostafavi, M., Lewis, J.C., Saini, T., Bustamante, J.A., Gao, I.T., Tran, T.T., King, S.N., Huang, Z., and Chen,
J.C. (2014) Analysis of a taurine-dependent promoter in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* that offers tight
modulation of gene expression. *BMC Microbiol* **14**: 295.
Motulsky, H.J., "Interpreting results: Mann-Whitney test", GraphPad Statistics Guide. Accessed April 20,
2023. https://www.graphpad.com/guides/prism/latest/statistics/how_the_mann-
whitney_test_works.htm
Nicoloff, H., Gopalkrishnan, S., and Ades, S.E. (2017) Appropriate Regulation of the σ(E)-Dependent
Envelope Stress Response Is Necessary To Maintain Cell Envelope Integrity and Stationary-Phase
Survival in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **199**.
Oke, V., and Long, S.R. (1999) Bacterial genes induced within the nodule during the Rhizobium-legume
symbiosis. *Mol Microbiol* **32**: 837-849.
Olivares, J., Bedmar, E.J., and Sanjuán, J. (2013) Biological nitrogen fixation in the context of global
change. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **26**: 486-494.
Ozga, D.A., Lara, J.C., and Leig, J.A. (1994) The regulation of exopolysaccharide production is important
at two levels of nodule development in *Rhizobium meliloti*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **7**: 758-
765.
Pagliai, F.A., Gardner, C.L., Bojilova, L., Sarnegrim, A., Tamayo, C., Potts, A.H., Teplitski, M., Folimonova,
S.Y., Gonzalez, C.F., and Lorca, G.L. (2014) The transcriptional activator LdtR from 'Candidatus
Liberibacter asiaticus' mediates osmotic stress tolerance. *PLoS Pathog* **10**: e1004101.

Pellock, B.J., Cheng, H.P., and Walker, G.C. (2000) Alfalfa root nodule invasion efficiency is dependent on *Sinorhizobium meliloti* polysaccharides. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 4310-4318.

Penterman, J., Abo, R.P., De Nisco, N.J., Arnold, M.F., Longhi, R., Zanda, M., and Walker, G.C. (2014) Host plant peptides elicit a transcriptional response to control the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* cell cycle during symbiosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**: 3561-3566.

Perry, B.J., Akter, M.S., and Yost, C.K. (2016) The Use of Transposon Insertion Sequencing to Interrogate the Core Functional Genome of the Legume Symbiont *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 1873.

Poole, P., Ramachandran, V., and Terpolilli, J. (2018) Rhizobia: from saprophytes to endosymbionts. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **16**: 291-303.

Price, M.N., Wetmore, K.M., Waters, R.J., Callaghan, M., Ray, J., Liu, H., Kuehl, J.V., Melnyk, R.A., Lamson, J.S., Suh, Y., Carlson, H.K., Esquivel, Z., Sadeeshkumar, H., Chakraborty, R., Zane, G.M., Rubin, B.E., Wall, J.D., Visel, A., Bristow, J., Blow, M.J., Arkin, A.P., and Deutschbauer, A.M. (2018) Mutant phenotypes for thousands of bacterial genes of unknown function. *Nature* **557**: 503-509.

Price, P.A., Tanner, H.R., Dillon, B.A., Shabab, M., Walker, G.C., and Griffitts, J.S. (2015) Rhizobial peptidase HrrP cleaves host-encoded signaling peptides and mediates symbiotic compatibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: 15244-15249.

Quandt, J., and Hynes, M.F. (1993) Versatile suicide vectors which allow direct selection for gene replacement in gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* **127**: 15-21.

Quebatte, M., Dehio, M., Tropel, D., Basler, A., Toller, I., Raddatz, G., Engel, P., Huser, S., Schein, H., Lindroos, H.L., Andersson, S.G., and Dehio, C. (2010) The BatR/BatS two-component regulatory system controls the adaptive response of *Bartonella henselae* during human endothelial cell infection. *J Bacteriol* **192**: 3352-3367.

Quintero-Yanes, A., Mayard, A., and Hallez, R. (2022) The two-component system ChvGI maintains cell envelope homeostasis in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *PLoS Genet* **18**: e1010465.

Raivio, T.L. (2005) Envelope stress responses and Gram-negative bacterial pathogenesis. *Mol Microbiol* **56**: 1119-1128.

Ratib, N.R., Sabio, E.Y., Mendoza, C., Barnett, M.J., Clover, S.B., Ortega, J.A., Dela Cruz, F.M., Balderas, D., White, H., Long, S.R., and Chen, E.J. (2018) Genome-wide identification of genes directly regulated by ChvI and a consensus sequence for ChvI binding in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Mol Microbiol* **110**: 596-615.

Reeve, W., Chain, P., O'Hara, G., Ardley, J., Nandesena, K., Brau, L., Tiwari, R., Malfatti, S., Kiss, H., Lapidus, A., Copeland, A., Nolan, M., Land, M., Hauser, L., Chang, Y.J., Ivanova, N., Mavromatis, K., Markowitz, V., Kyrpides, N., Gollagher, M., Yates, R., Dilworth, M., and Howieson, J. (2010) Complete genome sequence of the *Medicago* microsymbiont *Ensifer* (*Sinorhizobium*) *medicae* strain WSM419. *Stand Genomic Sci* **2**: 77-86.

Reuber, T.L., and Walker, G.C. (1993) Biosynthesis of succinoglycan, a symbiotically important exopolysaccharide of Rhizobium meliloti. *Cell* **74**: 269-280.

Rivas-Solano, O., Van der Henst, M., Castillo-Zeledon, A., Suarez-Esquivel, M., Munoz-Vargas, L., Capitan-Barrios, Z., Thomson, N.R., Chaves-Olarte, E., Moreno, E., De Bolle, X., and Guzman-Verri, C. (2022) The regulon of *Brucella abortus* two-component system BvrR/BvrS reveals the coordination of metabolic pathways required for intracellular life. *PLoS One* **17**: e0274397.

Ryoji, M., Hsia, K., and Kaji, A. (1983) Read-through translation. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **8**: 88-90.

Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F., and Maniatis, T., (1989) *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

Sankari, S., Babu, V.M.P., Bian, K., Alhhazmi, A., Andorfer, M.C., Avalos, D.M., Smith, T.A., Yoon, K.,
Drennan, C.L., Yaffe, M.B., Lourido, S., and Walker, G.C. (2022) A haem-sequestering plant
peptide promotes iron uptake in symbiotic bacteria. *Nat Microbiol* **7**: 1453-1465.
Santos, M.R., Cosme, A.M., Becker, J.D., Medeiros, J.M., Mata, M.F., and Moreira, L.M. (2010) Absence
of functional TolC protein causes increased stress response gene expression in *Sinorhizobium*
meliloti. *BMC Microbiol* **10**: 180.
Santos, M.R., Marques, A.T., Becker, J.D., and Moreira, L.M. (2014) The *Sinorhizobium meliloti* EmrR
regulator is required for efficient colonization of *Medicago sativa* root nodules. *Mol Plant*
Microbe Interact **27**: 388-399.
Sayers, E.W., Bolton, E.E., Brister, J.R., Canese, K., Chan, J., Comeau, D.C., Connor, R., Funk, K., Kelly, C.,
Kim, S., Madej, T., Marchler-Bauer, A., Lanczycki, C., Lathrop, S., Lu, Z., Thibaud-Nissen, F.,
Murphy, T., Phan, L., Skripchenko, Y., Tse, T., Wang, J., Williams, R., Trawick, B.W., Pruitt, K.D.,
and Sherry, S.T. (2022) Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information.
Nucleic Acids Res **50**: D20-D26.
Scharf, B., and Schmitt, R. (2002) Sensory transduction to the flagellar motor of *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J*
Mol Microbiol Biotechnol **4**: 183-186.
Sheehan, L.M., Budnick, J.A., Blanchard, C., Dunman, P.M., and Caswell, C.C. (2015) A LysR-family
transcriptional regulator required for virulence in *Brucella abortus* is highly conserved among
the alpha-proteobacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **98**: 318-328.
Sola-Landa, A., Pizarro-Cerdá, J., Grilló, M.J., Moreno, E., Moriyón, I., Blasco, J.M., Gorvel, J.P., and
López-Goñi, I. (1998) A two-component regulatory system playing a critical role in plant
pathogens and endosymbionts is present in *Brucella abortus* and controls cell invasion and
virulence. *Mol Microbiol* **29**: 125-138.
Stanley, J., and Cervantes, E. (1991) Biology and genetics of the broad host range *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234.
Journal of Applied Bacteriology **70**: 9-19.
Stein, B.J., Fiebig, A., and Crosson, S. (2021) The ChvG-ChvI and NtrY-NtrX Two-Component Systems
Coordinately Regulate Growth of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Bacteriol* **203**: e0019921.
Sun, J., Rutherford, S.T., Silhavy, T.J., and Huang, K.C. (2022) Physical properties of the bacterial outer
membrane. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**: 236-248.
Swanson, J.A., Mulligan, J.T., and Long, S.R. (1993) Regulation of syrM and nodD3 in Rhizobium meliloti.
Genetics **134**: 435-444.
Tang, G., Li, Q., Xing, S., Li, N., Tang, Z., Yu, L., Yan, J., Li, X., and Luo, L. (2018) The LsrB Protein Is
Required for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Interaction with Host Plants. *Mol Plant Microbe*
Interact **31**: 951-961.
Tata, M., Kumar, S., Lach, S.R., Saha, S., Hart, E.M., and Konovalova, A. (2021) High-throughput
suppressor screen demonstrates that RcsF monitors outer membrane integrity and not Bam
complex function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**.
Taylor, D.L., Bina, X.R., Slamti, L., Waldor, M.K., and Bina, J.E. (2014) Reciprocal regulation of resistance-
nodulation-division efflux systems and the Cpx two-component system in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Infect*
Immun **82**: 2980-2991.
Terpolilli, J.J., O'Hara, G.W., Tiwari, R.P., Dilworth, M.J., and Howieson, J.G. (2008) The model legume
Medicago truncatula A17 is poorly matched for N2 fixation with the sequenced microsymbiont
Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021. *New Phytol* **179**: 62-66.
Testerman, T.L., Vazquez-Torres, A., Xu, Y., Jones-Carson, J., Libby, S.J., and Fang, F.C. (2002) The
alternative sigma factor sigmaE controls antioxidant defences required for *Salmonella* virulence
and stationary-phase survival. *Mol Microbiol* **43**: 771-782.

Tomlinson, A.D., Ramey-Hartung, B., Day, T.W., Merritt, P.M., and Fuqua, C. (2010) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* ExoR represses succinoglycan biosynthesis and is required for biofilm formation and motility. *Microbiology (Reading, England)* **156**: 2670-2681.

Trinick, M.J. (1980) Relationships Amongst the Fast-growing Rhizobia of *Lablab purpureus*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Mimosa* spp., *Acacia farnesiana* and *Sesbania grandiflora* and their Affinities with Other Rhizobial Groups. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* **49**: 39-53.

Van de Velde, W., Zehirov, G., Szatmari, A., Debreczeny, M., Ishihara, H., Kevei, Z., Farkas, A., Mikulass, K., Nagy, A., Tiricz, H., Satiat-Jeunemaitre, B., Alunni, B., Bourge, M., Kucho, K., Abe, M., Kereszt, A., Maroti, G., Uchiumi, T., Kondorosi, E., and Mergaert, P. (2010) Plant peptides govern terminal differentiation of bacteria in symbiosis. *Science* **327**: 1122-1126.

Van Valen, L. (1973) A new evolutionary law. *Evol. Theory* **1**: 1-30.

Vanderlinde, E.M., and Yost, C.K. (2012) Mutation of the sensor kinase *chvG* in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* negatively impacts cellular metabolism, outer membrane stability, and symbiosis. *J Bacteriol* **194**: 768-777.

VanYperen, R.D., Orton, T.S., and Griffitts, J.S. (2015) Genetic analysis of signal integration by the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* sensor kinase FeuQ. *Microbiology (Reading, England)* **161**: 244-253.

Viadas, C., Rodriguez, M.C., Sangari, F.J., Gorvel, J.P., Garcia-Lobo, J.M., and Lopez-Goni, I. (2010) Transcriptome analysis of the *Brucella abortus* BvrR/BvrS two-component regulatory system. *PLoS One* **5**: e10216.

Vriezen, J.A., de Bruijn, F.J., and Nusslein, K. (2007) Responses of rhizobia to desiccation in relation to osmotic stress, oxygen, and temperature. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 3451-3459.

Walsh, N.P., Alba, B.M., Bose, B., Gross, C.A., and Sauer, R.T. (2003) OMP peptide signals initiate the envelope-stress response by activating DegS protease via relief of inhibition mediated by its PDZ domain. *Cell* **113**: 61-71.

Wang, C., Kemp, J., Da Fonseca, I.O., Equi, R.C., Sheng, X., Charles, T.C., and Sobral, B.W. (2010a) *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021 loss-of-function deletion mutation in *chvI* and its phenotypic characteristics. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **23**: 153-160.

Wang, D., Griffitts, J., Starker, C., Fedorova, E., Limpens, E., Ivanov, S., Bisseling, T., and Long, S. (2010b) A nodule-specific protein secretory pathway required for nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. *Science* **327**: 1126-1129.

Wang, D., Xue, H., Wang, Y., Yin, R., Xie, F., and Luo, L. (2013) The *Sinorhizobium meliloti ntrX* gene is involved in succinoglycan production, motility, and symbiotic nodulation on alfalfa. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **79**: 7150-7159.

Weiss, D.S., Chen, J.C., Ghigo, J.M., Boyd, D., and Beckwith, J. (1999) Localization of FtsI (PBP3) to the septal ring requires its membrane anchor, the Z ring, FtsA, FtsQ, and FtsL. *J Bacteriol* **181**: 508-520.

Wells, D.H., Chen, E.J., Fisher, R.F., and Long, S.R. (2007) ExoR is genetically coupled to the ExoS-ChvI two-component system and located in the periplasm of *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Mol Microbiol* **64**: 647-664.

Wiech, E.M., Cheng, H.P., and Singh, S.M. (2015) Molecular modeling and computational analyses suggests that the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* periplasmic regulator protein ExoR adopts a superhelical fold and is controlled by a unique mechanism of proteolysis. *Protein Sci* **24**: 319-327.

Williams, M.A., Bouchier, J.M., Mason, A.K., and Brown, P.J.B. (2022) Activation of ChvG-ChvI regulon by cell wall stress confers resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics and initiates surface spreading in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *PLoS Genet* **18**: e1010274.

Wu, C.F., Lin, J.S., Shaw, G.C., and Lai, E.M. (2012) Acid-induced type VI secretion system is regulated by ExoR-ChvG/ChvI signaling cascade in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *PLoS Pathog* **8**: e1002938.

Xing, S., Zheng, W., An, F., Huang, L., Yang, X., Zeng, S., Li, N., Ouenzar, K., Yu, L., and Luo, L. (2022)
Transcription Regulation of Cell Cycle Regulatory Genes Mediated by NtrX to Affect
Sinorhizobium meliloti Cell Division. *Genes (Basel)* **13**.
Yao, S.Y., Luo, L., Har, K.J., Becker, A., Rüberg, S., Yu, G.Q., Zhu, J.B., and Cheng, H.P. (2004)
Sinorhizobium meliloti ExoR and ExoS proteins regulate both succinoglycan and flagellum
production. *J Bacteriol* **186**: 6042-6049.
Yuan, Z.C., Liu, P., Saenkham, P., Kerr, K., and Nester, E.W. (2008) Transcriptome profiling and functional
analysis of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* reveals a general conserved response to acidic conditions
(pH 5.5) and a complex acid-mediated signaling involved in *Agrobacterium*-plant interactions. *J
Bacteriol* **190**: 494-507.
1806

A

SMc00067 (*lppA*) genomic region

1 kb

Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021
feuN feuP feuQ lppA cycH cycJ cycK cycL degP1 ragA HK glnE

Brucella abortus 2308
RR HK lipA ccmI ccmE ccmF ccmH degQ RR HK BAB1_0638

Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58
RR HK lipA ccmI ccmE ccmF ccmH dop ragA ragB Atu8143 glnE

SMc03872 (*jspA*) genomic region

Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021
thiB thiP thiQ jspA rpoH2 HSP15 helicase phbB phbA

Brucella abortus 2308
thiP thiQ peptidase rpoH2 ferredoxin BAB1_1781

Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58
thiB thiP thiQ peptidase leuC Atu2708 rzcA prsE2 prsD1 rplS

B

LppA: 148-aa lipoprotein

MTRAFASRGRIAVVASGVA<u>LAGC</u>GTT
1   26

JspA: 497-aa metalloprotease

M48 peptidase   LysM
87   263   449 494

MNGWFPRRHGAVRARALVALAATTL<u>IAGC</u>QSV
1   32
HEMAH
147 151

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

FIG. 3

FIG. 4

A Altered gene expression when JspA overexpressed > 1.5-fold change

80 genes UP-regulated

Exopolysaccharide biosynthesis:	23
Regulators (including Chvl):	6
Metabolism:	6
Miscellaneous:	10
Hypothetical proteins:	35

61 genes DOWN-regulated

Flagellar biosynthesis and motility:	40
Pili biogenesis:	2
Metabolism and biosynthesis:	9
Regulators:	3
Miscellaneous:	1
Hypothetical proteins:	6

B Comparisons of JspA and ExoR/ExoS transcriptomes and Chvl regulon

C Comparisons of JspA and RpoH1 transcriptomes

FIG. 5

FIG. 6

FIG. 7

A

B

C

exoR-uidA reporter

FIG. 8

FIG. 9

A *C. crescentus* NA1000

-	-	+	*	+	*	+	*	JspA(-HA)
-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	LppA
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ExoR-FLAG

70 ●
55 ● ⎯ JspA-HA
40 ●
35 ●
25 ● ⎯ ExoR-FLAG
15 ●

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

B *E. coli* DH10B

-	+	*	+	*	+	*	+	*	JspA-HA
-	-	-	+	+	**+**	**+**	-	-	LppA-HA
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	ExoR-FLAG

70 ● ⎯ *
55 ●
40 ● ⎯ JspA-HA

15 ● ⎯ LppA-HA

40 ●
35 ●
25 ● ⎯ ExoR-FLAG

15 ●

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

FIG. 10