Analysis of *in-patient* evolution of *Escherichia coli* reveals potential links to relapse of bone and joint infections

Stanislas THIRIET-RUPERT[a], Jérôme JOSSE[b], David PEREZ-PASCUAL[a], Jason TASSE[b], Camille ANDRE[b], Léila ABAD[b], David LEBEAUX[a,c,d], Jean-Marc GHIGO[a], Frédéric LAURENT[b] and Christophe BELOIN[a]#

[a] *Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris-Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Genetics of Biofilms Laboratory F-75015 Paris, France.*

[b] *CIRI, Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, Inserm, U1111, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR5308, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 69007, France.*

[c] *Département de Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Lariboisière, F-75010 Paris, France.*

[d] *FHU PROTHEE*

Running Head: in-patient evolution of *E. coli* in BJIs

#Adress correspondence to christophe.beloin@pasteur.fr (ORCID: 0000-0002-0344-3443)

Author contributions: C.B., S.T.-R., F.L., J.J. designed the experiments. S.T.-R., J.J., D.P.-P., J.T., C.A., and L.A. performed the experiments. C.B., S.T.-R., F.L., J.J., D.L. and J.-M.G. analyzed data. S.T.-R., C.B., D.P.-P. and J.J. wrote the manuscript with significant help of D.L., F.L. and J.-M.G..

Abstract

Bone and joint infections (BJIs) are difficult to treat and affect a growing number of patients, in which relapses are observed in 10-20% of the case. These relapses, which call for prolonged antibiotic treatment and increase the risk of emergence of resistance, may originate from ill understood adaptation of the pathogen to the host. Here, we studied three pairs of *Escherichia coli* strains corresponding to three cases of BJIs and their relapse to better understand in-patient adaptation.

Whole genome comparison presented evidence for positive selection with prevalence of non-synonymous and loss of function mutations. Phenotypic characterization showed that biofilm formation capacity was not modified, contrary to what is usually described in such relapse cases. Although virulence was not modified, we identified the loss of two virulence factors (namely an AFA afimbrial adhesin and a YadA-like adhesin) contributing to immune system evasion in one of the studied relapse strain. Other selected strategies likely helped the relapse strains to outcompete competitors through global growth optimization and colicin production. This work highlights the variety of strategies allowing in-patient adaptation in BJIs.

Keywords: BJI, adaptation, relapse, *Escherichia coli,* in patient evolution

Introduction (298 words)

Bone and joint infections (BJIs), including prosthetic joint infections (PJI), are severe and difficult to treat infections affecting a growing number of patients, and showing 10 to 20% of relapse (1–6). Although staphylococci are responsible for more than 50% of all BJI, 20% of them are caused by *Enterobacterales*, especially in the case of early and late acute infections (7), whereas *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections are associated with particularly difficult to treat BJI (6). These data therefore support the need to study BJI caused by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and for a better understanding of the *in vivo* adaptation leading to relapses.

During host colonization, pathogenic bacteria must face different selective stresses including host defenses and interactions with the endogenous microbiota or other co-infecting bacteria. This competition could involve toxin production (8, 9) and other adaptive strategies to access resources (10) and evade the host immune system (11, 12), eventually leading to a decrease virulence accounting for bacterial persistence in the host.

The genetic modifications underlying these adaptations are in general quite restricted upon natural host purifying selection (13, 14). In some extreme cases, mutator genotypes can evolve, thus facilitating within-host adaptation (15, 16). Extensive genome reductions and rearrangements (17–19) are also observed leading to the loss of non-essential genes in the host environment. However, there is an increasing body of evidence that these gene losses could also fuel adaptative evolution and microbial adaptation within patient is currently under intense scrutiny (20, 21). However, acquiring longitudinal samples and studying pathogens adaptation from the same patient in the context of infection relapses is difficult (22). In this study, we analysed three pairs of *E. coli* strains isolated from initial and recurrent BJIs in 3 patients to

75 investigate how *in-patient* evolution occurred in these situations and provide insights on the

76 potential mechanisms involved in infection relapse.

Methods

Selection of clinical strains

Initial / Relapse pairs of clinical *E. coli* strains used in this study were selected among the

routine collections of the Bacteriology Department of Hôpital de la Croix-Rousse, Hospices

Civils de Lyon and the Bacteriology laboratory at Cerballiance (Lyon, France). The first search

criterion corresponded to collect *E. coli* strains isolated from BJI from 2017 to 2020. Then,

initial/relapse pairs were formed when *E. coli* strain was isolated in two different samples at

two different times in the same patient (initial and relapse) and at the same site of infection.

Strain characteristics are available in Table 1. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed

by using the disc diffusion method (Supplementary Table 1).

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 2. Bacteria were grown in

Miller's Lysogeny Broth (LB) (Corning) or M63B1 minimum medium supplemented with

appropriate antibiotic and carbon source as specified. Liquid cultures were incubated at 37°C

or 30°C with 180rpm shaking. Solid cultures were done on LB with 1.5% agar supplemented

with the appropriate antibiotics. Chemicals and media were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Strain construction

Insertion of a Zeo-GFP and Zeo-mars genetic cassettes at the permissive lambda *att* attachment

site as well as the deletion of *fliC* in I1 and R1 strains and the deletion of two adhesin coding

genes in I2 strain were done using pKOBApra plasmid (23) and lambda-red recombination.

Primers used for genetic constructions are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

104   RDAR morphotypes on congo red plates

105   Two microliters of an overnight culture grown at 37°C in LB medium under shaking (with

106   appropriate antibiotics when needed) was spotted onto LB plates (without NaCl) containing

107   0.004% Congo Red and 0.002% brilliant blue. The spotted drops were allowed to dry, and the

108   plates were incubated for 24 and 48 hours at 30 or 37°C.

110   Auto-aggregation curves

111   Cultures were grown as described above, and 1mL aliquots were diluted to an OD_{600}=3 in spent

112   medium in order to prevent growth during experiment. Once diluted, all the tubes were vortexed

113   and an aliquot of 50μL was removed, 1cm below the top of the culture, and diluted with 50μL

114   of LB prior to OD_{600} measurement. The bacteria were then left to aggregate at RT for 6 hours,

115   while repeating the measurement of OD_{600} 1 cm below the top of the culture every hour.

117   Biofilm microtiter plate CV assay

Bacteria were grown overnight and diluted to an OD_{600}=0.05 and 100μL were inoculated in

technical triplicates in either a polystyrene Greiner 96-well plate or a polyvinyl chloride (PVC)

round bottom 96-well plates (Corning). For each experiment a second identical plate was

inoculated to assess growth. After 24 hours at 37°C, one plate was resuspended to measure the

OD_{600}. In the other plate, non-attached bacteria were washed away by flicking and wells were

washed twice with water. Biofilms were stained with 125μL of crystal violet 1% (V5265;

Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 minutes. Crystal violet was then removed by flicking and washed three

times with water and plates were allowed to dry up. Biofilms were then resuspended using a

solution of acetone:ethanol 1:5 mix, and OD_{575} was measured using Tecan Infinite-M200-Pro

spectrophotometer. To account for potential differences in growth capacities, the OD_{575} values

were normalized by OD_{600}.

CFU counting on biomaterials

The biofilm forming capacity was assessed on different orthopaedic biomaterials [stainless steel

(AISI 316L), titanium (TA6V), medical grade silicone and ultra-high molecular weight

polyethylene (UHMWPE)]. Uniform cylindrical pegs of orthopaedic biomaterial were

manufactured by a supplier of medical and surgical orthopaedics equipment (Groupe Lépine,

Genay, France) under the same conditions of manufacture of orthopaedic biomaterial and

sterilized by gamma rays. Biomaterial pegs were fixed into the lid of 24-well flat-bottom cell

culture plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). Bacterial biofilms were formed by immersing

the lid-containing pegs into 2mL of cultures at OD_{600}=0.05 and incubated in LB for 24 hours at

37°C in humid atmosphere. After 3 rinsing steps in PBS, the pegs were dropped in a Falcon

tube containing 5mL of PBS for biofilm disruption using sonication for 5 minutes

(BACTOSONIC 14.2, BANDELIN, Berlin, Germany). Then, viable cell count was determined

by serial dilution and plating.

Bacterial adhesion to MG63 human osteoblastic cells

The osteoblastic cell line MG63 (LGC standards, Molsheim, France) was used to test bacterial

adhesion. Cells were cultured in 75cm² flasks (T75, BD Falcon, Le Pont de Claix, France) at

37°C under 5% CO_2, in a culture medium composed of DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

Medium containing D-glucose, L-glutamine, pyruvate) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum

(FCS), penicillin (100µg/mL) and streptomycin (100µg/mL), all from Gibco (Paisley, UK).

Cells were passaged once a week and used until passage 25 at the most. The day before the

infection, MG63 cells were seeded at 100,000 cells per well in a 24-well plate and the different

bacterial strains were inoculated in LB. The day of the infection, overnight bacterial cultures

were centrifugated, resuspended and adjusted in DMEM + 10% FCS to reach a MOI

(multiplicity of infection) of 100:1 and added to cell culture. After 2 hours of infection, cells

were washed twice with PBS and incubated and lysed by osmotic shock in sterile water and

lysates were plated on tryptone soy agar plates (Biomérieux) using the Easy Spiral® automaton

(Interscience, Saint-Nom-la-Bretèche, France). CFU were counted after 18 hours of incubation

at 37°C and results were presented as CFU for 100,000 cells.

Growth capacity

An overnight culture of each strain was diluted to OD_{600} of 0.05 in appropriate medium. One

hundred and fifty µL aliquots were inoculated in a 96-well plate. The plates were then incubated

in a TECAN Infinite M200 Pro spectrophotometer (Männedorf, Switzerland) for 18 hours at

37°C with shaking of 2mm amplitude. The absorbance of each culture at 600 nm was measured

every 15 minutes. Growth curve of each strain was measured using 3 biological replicates.

The R package GrowthCurver (24) was used to infer growth-related parameters for all strains

based on the growth curves.

Cytotoxicity on HeLa cells

The cytotoxic activity on Hela cells of each bacterial strain was analyzed using the CellTiter-

Blue® Cell Viability Assay (Promega) following manufacturer instructions. HeLa cells were

cultured in complete DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100µg/mL

penicillin/streptomycin, and 10mM L-glutamine at 37C in the presence of 5% CO_2. For

infection assay, the HeLa cells were incubated in 96-well plates to reach a density of 10^5 cells.

Each bacterial strain was grown overnight in LB as described above and then washed twice in

PBS, to finally adjust the bacterial density to 10^7 CFU/ml. These bacterial suspensions were

used to infect HeLa cells by adding 100 µl of each to the wells (MOI of 1:100). The plates were

incubated at 37C in the presence of 5% CO_2 for 2 hours. Non-infected cells and the *E. coli* K12

MG1655 strain were used as negative controls. At the end of the incubation time, the wells

were washed twice with PBS to remove the bacteria. Then, 100 µl of PBS and 20 µl of CellTiter

Blue solution were added to each well and incubated for 2 hours at 37C and 5% CO_2. The cell

viability was measured by recording the fluorescence at 560/590 nm using a Tecan Infinite-

M200-Pro spectrophotometer.

Hemolysis capacity

The hemolytic activity of each strain was assessed by mixing defibrinated horse blood

(bioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) diluted to 10% (v/v) in PBS with a total of 10^8 bacteria

in PBS. After 2, 6 and 24 hours of incubation at 37°C with shacking, the non-lysed erythrocytes

were pelleted by centrifugation at 1500g for 2 minutes, and the OD_{450} of 150µL of the cell-free

supernatants was measured. The hemolytic capacity of each adapted strain was expressed

relatively to its ancestral strain.

Bacterial survival in human serum

For each strain, 50µL at OD_{600}=0.4 was mixed in a well of a 96-well plat with 50µL of active

human serum. The same process was followed for a control with heat-inactivated serum (HIS)

(30 minutes at 55°C). The plate was then incubated at 37°C under shaking (450rpm) for 1h30.

The content of each well was then serially diluted and plated on LB for CFU count and the

survival of each strain was expressed as the ratio between the CFUs in active serum divided by

the CFUs in HIS.

Zebrafish care and maintenance

Homozygous Tg(*mfap4::mCherryF*) (ump6Tg) (25) Tg(*mpx::GFP*)[i114] (26) double transgenic

fishes were raised in Institut Pasteur zebrafish facility. Eggs were obtained by natural spawning,

bleached according to standard protocols, and then kept in Petri dishes containing Volvic spring

water and, from 24 hpf onwards, 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) (Sigma-Aldrich) was

added to prevent pigmentation. Embryos as well as infected larvae were reared at 28°C. Larvae

were anesthetized with 200 μg/mL of buffered tricaine methane sulfonate (MS-222, Sigma-

Aldrich) during the injection procedure as well as during *in vivo* imaging and processing for

bacterial burden evaluation.

Zebrafish infection

Overnight bacterial cultures were centrifuged, washed, and resuspended at the desired

concentration in PBS. Anesthetized zebrafish larvae were microinjected intravenously 52–58

hours post-fertilization with 1nL of each bacterial suspension at a final concentration of 2×10^3

CFU (27). Infected larvae were transferred into individual wells containing 1mL of mineral

Volvic water (Danone, France) and 0.003% 1-phenyl 2-thiourea (used to improve optical

transparency in zebrafish) in 24-well plates, incubated at 28°C, and regularly observed under a

stereomicroscope. Protocols describing zebrafish maintenance and analysis, see the

corresponding supplementary method section.

Evaluation of the bacterial burden in infected zebrafish larvae

Infected zebrafish larvae were collected at 0, 24, and 48 hpi and lysed for the evaluation of the

bacterial burden as previously described (28). Each larva was placed in an individual 1.5 mL

Eppendorf tube and anesthetized with tricaine (200 μg/mL), washed with 1 mL of sterile water,

and placed in 150 µL of sterile water. Larvae were then homogenized using a pestle motor

mixer (Argos). Each sample was transferred to an individual well of a 96-well plate and 10 X

serial dilutions were performed. For CFU enumeration and to assess plasmid stability

throughout the infection kinetics, serial dilutions of lysates were plated on MacConkey agar

plates supplemented with lactose plates and incubated overnight at 37°C.

Visualization of macrophages and neutrophils in infected zebrafish larvae

Visualization of macrophages and neutrophils on living transgenic reporter larvae was

performed upon infection as we previously described (29). Briefly, bright field, GFP, and RFP

images of whole living anesthetized larvae were taken using a Leica Macrofluo Z16 APOA

(zoom 16:1) macroscope equipped with a Leica PlanApo 2.0 X lens, and a Photometrics

CoolSNAP *HQ2* camera. Images were captured using the Metavue software version 7.5.6.0

(MDS Analytical Technologies). After capture of images, larvae were washed and transferred

to a new 24-well plate filled with 1mL of fresh water per well and incubated at 28 °C.

Competition assay for biofilm formation

The pair of strains used in competition assay carried two different fluorescent tags and were

inoculated in LB medium supplemented with appropriate antibiotic and incubated overnight at

37°C under shaking. Cultures were then adjusted to $OD_{600} = 0.05$ and mixed in 1:1 ratio

(verified by FACS). Each competition mix was then inoculated in a PVC plate and incubated

at 37°C for 16 hours. The supernatant was removed from each well, the biofilm resuspended in

100μL of PBS and the proportion of each strain was assessed by FACS and the relative fitness

calculated as follows:

248
$$relative\ fitness = \frac{\left(\dfrac{Target_{post}}{Target_{pre}}\right)}{\left(\dfrac{ref_{post}}{ref_{pre}}\right)}$$

, where $Target_{pre}$ and $Target_{post}$ are the cell concentrations of the target strain in the mixed

culture before and after the overnight incubation, and ref_{pre} and ref_{post} are the cell concentrations

of the corresponding reference strain. In order to account for growth differences due to the

expression of one or the other fluorescent tag (Supplementary Figure 1), competitions were run

with both possible combinations of fluorescent tags. This protocol was used to compete R1 and

R3 strains against their respective ancestors. However, because GFP and RFP tags were not

expressed in I2 and R2 strains, we used an alternative strategy based on PCR amplification and

Sanger sequencing of an SNP locus allowing to distinguish both strains (see Supplementary

method section).

Competition assay for growth in liquid cultures

The strains were incubated at 37°C in the appropriate medium and adjusted to OD_{600}=0.05. A

1:1 ratio mixed culture was verified by FACS and incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking.

The concentration of target and reference strains was measured using FACS and relative fitness

was calculated as above.

Alternative strategy for competition assays of I2 vs R2 strains

Even if we managed to introduce GFP and RFP (mars) tags in I2 and R2 strains, the related

proteins were likely not expressed since thefluorescence was impossible to detect.

Consequently we used an alternative strategy to assess the proportion of each strain. We took

andvantage of the fact that both strains can be differentiated by SNPs. An aliquot of the mixed

culture containing 10^7 cells was pelleted and resuspended in 50µL of sterile distiled water and

boiled for 10 minutes. Specific primers were then used to amplify a SNP locus in butC gene

and Sanger sequence the resulting DNA fragment. Then, using QSVanalyzer (30), we

calculated the frequeny of each mutation and used it to compute the relative fitness as above.

To assess the accuracy of this strategy, we used strains I1 and R1 taged with different

fluorescent marker to compare strains proportions as assessed by FACS and QSVanalyzer and

found a very good correspondance between both methods (Supplemenatry Figure S6), therefore

validating our alternative approach.

Motility assay

Bacterial strains were incubated overnight in LB at the specified temperature with shaking.

Then, 2µL of overnight culture was inoculated in swim plates (1% tryptone, 0.25% NaCl,

0.25% Eiken agar) for 24 hours at 37°C or 30°C. After incubation, motility was assessed by

checking the spread of inoculated bacteria into the motility agar.

Overlay assay colicin

I1 and R1 strains were incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking in LB supplemented with 40

µg/mL of Mitomycin C to induce colicin production. After incubation an overlay of each strain

was realized by pouring 6mL of overnight culture diluted at OD_{600}=0.1 onto a LB agar plate

and removing it after 5 minutes. Plates were allowed to dry and a 10µL drop of supernatant

from each strain culture filtered using 0.22uM filter was spotted on each overlay. After an

additional drying step, the plates were incubated overnight at 37°C and the production of colicin

was identified by the presence of an inhibition halo when the filtered supernatant was spotted.

LB was spotted as a negative control and filtered supernatant from both strains was also spotted

on overlay of each of them to be sur that the growth inhibition halo was not due to the presence

of Mitomycin C in the filtered supernatant.

Western blot analysis and LPS gel

The heat extracted proteins from 1mL at $OD_{600}=1$ culture were suspended in 1×Laemmli buffer

and incubated for 5 min at 95°C. The protein extracts were run on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-

Free precast Gels (BioRad) in 1×TGX buffer and then transferred to nitrocellulose membrane

using a Trans-Blot® Turbo Transfer System (BioRad). Blocking was performed in a 5%

solution of dry milk and 0.05% Tween 1×PBS (1×PBST) overnight at 4°C with agitation. The

membranes were then incubated in 1×PBST with either rabbit anti-flagellin (kindly given by

Dr Monica Rolando) or mouse anti-RNAPα (BioLegend #663104) diluted 1/5,000 for 1h at

room temperature with agitation. Membranes were washed in 1×PBST and then incubated with

the secondary antibody (anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG conjugated with horse radish peroxidase

at 1:10 000, Promega). The membranes were then revealed using an ECL kit (GE Healthcare)

and the iBright™ CL1500 system (Thermofisher).

LPS analysis on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free precast Gels (BioRad) were realized as in

(31).

Whole genome sequencing and analysis

Prior to genome extraction, strains were inoculated in LB medium for over-day culture till the

OD_{600} reached around 1.0 (ca. $5.0x10^8$ bacteria/mL). The bacterial cells were collected from

2mL of the culture and the genomic DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genomic DNA

Purification Kit (Promega). Samples were then sent to Novogene Co., Ltd to be sequenced

using Illumina NovaSeq 6000. Sequencing reads were pre-processed to remove low-quality or

artefactual bases. We used fqCleaner v.0.5.0, a mini workflow implemented in Galaxy (32)

(https://galaxy.pasteur.fr/) to process fastq files (quality trimming, duplicate and artifact filters).

Draft genomes were de novo assembled using SPAdes version 3.15.0 (33) and annotated using

Proka version 1.14.5 (34). Assembly quality and completeness was assessed using BUSCO

version v5.4.2 (35). The assembled genomes were used for in silico typing and characterization

of each strain using tools available at the Centre of Genomic Epidemiology

(http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/): Resfinder (36–38), MLST (38, 39), SerotypeFinder

(40), CHtyper (41). Phylogroups were identified in silico using ClermonTyping tool (42). In

order to identify contigs corresponding to potential plasmids, each contig was used as a query

in a BLASTn search using blast+ version 2.2.31 (38) against the COMPASS database (43).

Only hits with 95% identity and 80% coverage of both the query and the hit sequence were

kept.

Mutations in the adapted strain were identified by using the genome assembly of its ancestral

strain as a reference. *breseq* version 0.35.0 (44) with the consensus mode was used with default

parameters. The resulting identified mutations were filtered out if they were too close to each

other (less than 50bp). These mutations are usually the result of misaligned reads, often due to

repetitive regions (45). Because these regions are not 100% identical, they are disturbing the

mapping process resulting in false positives. Mutations found in mobile elements were kept if

only one copy was present in the genome.

Statistical analysis

Figures and statistical tests were performed using either Prism 9.5.1 for Mac OS X (GraphPad

Software, Inc.) or Rstudio with R version 4.2.1 using the R package GGplot2 (46).

Data availability

All sequencing reads as well as genome assembly for each strain were deposited in

NCBI under the BioProject accession number PRJNA932763. The code used in this study is

available at https://github.com/Sthiriet-rupert/BJIs_adaptation.

RESULTS (1151 words)

**I- *In-patient* evolved *Escherichia coli* clones showed no antibiotic resistance acquisition
but signs of natural selection.**

350
351
352
In order to investigate potential cases of *in-patient* adaptation, we obtained three pairs

of *E. coli* strains sampled from three patients presenting relapsing BJIs. Each pair is composed

of a sample at the time of the initial infection (strains hereafter denominated as I1 to I3 for cases

1 to 3) and a second sample corresponding to the relapse of this infection (strains hereafter

denominated as R1 to R3 for cases 1 to 3). The genome of each strain was sequenced and

analyzed to confirm that the infection and relapse strains were indeed the same and not a case

of re-infection (Table 1). Interestingly, antimicrobial susceptibility testing (Supplementary

Table 1) and *in silico* analysis after whole genome sequencing (Supplementary Data 1) did not

reveal any acquisition of antibiotic resistance associated with the relapse events. Consequently,

each strain pair was examined for other phenotypic and genetic features that could have been

selected to allow the infection relapses.

Table 1. Cases and strain information. Table summarizing the type and location of infection as well as type of sample. Each strain was typed *in silico* and, when the infection

was polymicrobial, the other species involved are listed.

Case N°	Strain	Infection status	Infection site	Sample type	Diagnosis	Time before relapse	Antibiotic susceptibility profile	Phylogroup	Serotype	ANI	MLST #1	MLST #2	fimH	fumC	Infection type	Other pathogens if polymicrobial
1	I1	Initial	Hand	Deep tissue by swab	Septic arthritis 4th finger (no material)	51 days	Penicillinase	B1	O76:H28	99.99%	224	730	FimH39	FumC4	Monomicrobial	
	R1	relapse		Deep tissue by swab			Identical								Polymicrobial	*Enterococcus faecium*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Citrobacter freundii*
2	I2	Initial	Spine	Washing liquid	Vertebral osteomyelitis (no material)	748 days	ESBL-producing	G	O24:H4	99.99%	117	48	FimH97	FumC45	Monomicrobial	
	R2	relapse		biopsy			Identical								Polymicrobial	*Cutibacterium acnes*
3	I3	Initial	Right hip	Bone	Prosthetic joint infection	66 days	ESBL-producing	A	O89/O162:H9	99.99%	167	2	/	FumC11	Monomicrobial	
	R3	relapse		Deep tissue			Identical								Monomicrobial	

The first notable difference identified by genomic analysis was the number of gained

and lost genes (Supplementary Table 4), some of which corresponding to potential plasmids

(Supplementary Data 2). Particularly, the R2 strain that displayed the longest in-patient

evolution underwent an important genome reduction with the loss of 3.4% of its size

corresponding to 170 coding sequences involved in various functions such as transport, iron

acquisition, carbohydrate acquisition and utilization, defense against competitors with Microcin

H47 production-related genes and three adhesins (Supplementary Data 2).

The number of mutations per base per year was quite high for all three relapse strains (1.1×10^{-6}

to 8.4×10^{-6}) as compared to what was reported in the literature (6.9×10^{-7} for *E. coli* ED1a in

the gut, (45)). In addition, even if no functional convergence was observed in the mutated genes,

the predominance of loss of function and non-synonymous mutations suggests that positive

selection was at play in these cases (Table 2).

Table 2. SNP analysis in the relapse strains. SNPs, insertions and deletions identified in the evolved strains as compared to their related ancestor.
385
386

Strain	Mutation	Annotation	Impact	Gene	Description
R1	T→A	intergenic (+168/-221)	Intergenic	uidR → / → uidA	transcriptional repressor/beta-D-glucuronidase
	A→G	N16D (AAC→GAC)	Non-synonymous	yehT →	putative response regulator in two-component system withYehU
	T→C	T46A (ACA→GCA)	Non-synonymous	rppH ←	RNA pyrophosphohydrolase
	T→C	K577E (AAG→GAG)	Non-synonymous	ydiJ ←	putative FAD-linked oxidoreductase
R2	G→A	G335G (GGC→GGT)	Synonymous	COMLMOEC_00122 ←	hypothetical protein
	C→G	V16L (GTA→CTA)	Non-synonymous	insK_3 ←	IS150 transposase B
	C→G	S67T (AGC→ACC)	Non-synonymous	COMLMOEC_04582 ←	IS3 family transposase IS629
	C→T	A131T (GCC→ACC)	Non-synonymous	ebgR ←	transcriptional repressor
	C→T	A197V (GCC→GTC)	Non-synonymous	COMLMOEC_04752 →	IS66 family transposase ISEc47
	T→A	I263N (ATT→AAT)	Non-synonymous	btuC →	vitamin B12 ABC transporter permease
	A→G	intergenic (+1283/–)	Intergenic	COMLMOEC_01687 → / –	hypothetical protein/–
	A→C	intergenic (+352/–)	Intergenic	COMLMOEC_04947 → / –	hypothetical protein/–
R3	G→T	E297D (GAG→GAT)	Non-synonymous	hypT →	hypochlorite-responsive transcription factor
	G→A	D119N (GAT→AAT)	Non-synonymous	insF1_1 →	IS3 transposase B
	+G	coding (1001/1041 nt)	Loss of function	vgrG1 →	Actin cross-linking toxin VgrG1
	C→T	intergenic (+315/-76)	Intergenic	uidR → / → uidA	transcriptional repressor/beta-D-glucuronidase
	(GTGGCTGTT)8→10	coding (1850/2208 nt)	Loss of function	traD ←	Coupling protein TraD
	Δ1 bp	intergenic (-142/+229)	Intergenic	araD ← / ← araA	L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase/L-arabinose isomerase

387
388

II- *In vivo* adaptation did not modify motility and biofilm formation capacities.

Because strain motility could impact virulence, we tested each strain on low-agar LB

plates at 30°C and 37°C, which showed that the second and third pairs of strains were non-

motile (Supplementary Figure 2). Both I1 and R1 strains display temperature-dependent

motility very likely due to a flagellin production restricted to 30°C (Supplementary Figures 2

and 3, see Supplementary Results).

Since biofilm formation is related to bacterial motility and sometimes involved in

infection relapses, we analyzed the biofilm-forming capacity of each strain on different

surfaces, which showed that the R1 relapse strain formed 1.71 more biofilms than I1 strain

when grown on silicon coupons (Figure 1.A). No difference was identified for the I2-R2 pair

(Figure 1.B), while the R3 relapse strain had a significant but very low increase in biofilm

formation on PVC plates (1.08-fold) (Figure 1.C). This slight increase was reversed when

grown on silicone since the I3 strain showed a 1.49 increase in biofilm formation (Figure 1.C).

However, in all cases the tested clinical strains showed a weak biofilm-forming capacity, all of

them being equivalently or even less efficient than *E. coli* K12 strain MG1655 used as a control

for weak biofilm formation. Interestingly, R1 strain showed a slight decrease of its adhesion

capacity to osteoblasts (Figure 1.D). However, no evident genetic modification could be linked

to this phenotype. No difference was observed either in terms of auto-aggregation or production

of curli amyloids and cellulose (Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Results). Taken

together, these results suggest that a modification of biofilm formation and biofilm-related

phenotypes were unlikely to be involved in *in vivo* adaptation in these three studied cases.

Figure 1. Biofilm formation capacity for infection and relapse strains from cases 1 (**A**), 2 (**B**) and 3 (**C**). Six

different surfaces were tested, *E. coli* K12 MG1655 was used as a control for weak biofilm formation and *E. coli*

TG1 as a control for strong biofilm formation. Biofilm formation on PS and PVC plates was assessed by crystal

violet assay (n=4 biological replicates) and is plotted on left y-axis, while the biofilm formation on the other

surfaces was assessed by CFU count (n=3 biological replicates) and are plotted on the right y-axis. (D) Adhesion

capacity on osteoblast human cells (n=3 biological replicates). Statistical significance according to non-parametric

Mann-Whitney test. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01.

III- Loss of adhesins induces serum resistance in R2 strain.

To assess the strain capacity to challenge to the host immune defenses, we measured

the survival of all strains exposed to active human serum. While we observed no difference

between I3 and R3 strains, the relapse strain R1 had a slight decrease in serum resistance

compared to I1 strain and the relapse strain R2 had a clear increase in serum resistance relatively

to its ancestral strain I2 (Figure 2.A). Although we identified no difference in the I2 *vs* R2

lipopolysaccharide profile (outer-membrane component targeted by the complement) (Figure

2.B), the loss of two adhesins in R2 could be linked to this phenotype (Supplementary Data 2)

(11). Indeed, deletion of each adhesin in I2 strain led to reduced serum resistance suggesting

that the loss of both adhesins could have been selected through the conferred immune system

evasion, contributing to the strain adaptation to the host environment (Figure 2.C).

Unfortunately, we were unable to assess the additive effect of these mutations since we could

not reconstruct the double mutant in the I2 strain despite repeated attempts.

Figure 2. Serum survival capacity. **A**. Normalized serum survival capacity of each strain expressed as percentage

of the control for growth in Heat Inactivated Serum (HIS). *E. coli* strains CFT073 and MG1655 were used as

positive and negative controls, respectively. **B**. LPS profile of I2 and R2 strains. *E. coli* MG1655 was used as a

negative control and the same strain in which the O-Antigen was reconstructed was used as a positive control. **C**.

Serum survival of I2 ancestral strain, R2 relapse strain as well as two deletion mutants constructed in the I2

ancestral strain: one for the *afa* afimbrial operon and a second for the *yadA*-like encoding gene.

IV-Strain virulence was not targeted during in-patient selection.

454
455
456   To gain insight into the potential evolution of the virulence of the studied strains, we

457 assessed their cytotoxicity on HeLa cells as well as hemolysis capacities and showed no

458 differences, except for a slightly lower hemolysis induced by R2 strain after 24h of incubation

459 in presence of defibrinated horse blood (Figure 3.A.B).

Figure 3. Virulence of the strains. (**A**) Cytotoxicity test on HeLa cells. All six adapted and ancestral strains were
tested as well as MG1655 strain as a negative control. The values for each strain were expressed relatively to the

control without bacteria. Values are represented as a mean of three biological replicates +/- SD. (**B**) Hemolysis capacity in liquid culture of each relapse strain relatively to its ancestor. Values are represented as a mean of four biological replicates +/- SD. (**C**) Monitoring of zebrafish survival, (**D**) bacterial burden into the zebrafish and (**E**) *in vivo* fluorescence of macrophages and neutrophils for zebrafish infected by I2 and R2 strains as well as non-injected control, MG1655 strain (negative control) and CFT073 (positive control). Each image is representative of 5 biological replicates. Fifteen zebrafish were used for each experiment in C and D.

Potential differences in *in vivo* virulence were tested in a zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) larval model (47). While no differences were found in bacterial load for all pairs (Sup Figure S5.A.B.C), zebrafish larvae exposed to R2 relapse strain had a slightly lower survival 24 hours post-infection (hpi) than with its related ancestor I2, even though both strains ultimately induced the same level of mortality 48 hpi (Figure 3.C.D). No difference was identified concerning the zebrafish innate immune response level (Figure 3.E). These results suggest that the R2 relapse strain induced slightly quicker mortality, which does not seem to be linked to a difference in colonization ability.

V- Growth optimization and colicin production as strategies to outcompete other bacteria.

To assess if a difference in growth capacity could advantage the strains isolated after relapses, they were pitted against their related ancestor in 1:1 ratio competition experiments in biofilm and liquid conditions. First, no difference in fitness was observed in biofilm condition, which further straightened the hypothesis that biofilm formation was not targeted during the *in vivo* adaptation. In liquid, while R1 strain slightly outcompeted its ancestor I1, R2 strain had a striking fitness advantage over I2 (Figure 4.A).

To further investigate this phenotype, we competed I2 and R2 strains in presence of

different sugars as well as of an iron chelator (2,2'-Bipyridyl) based on identified genetic

modifications and especially gene losses related to uptake and metabolism of these substrates

(Supplementary Data 2). Surprisingly, despite these gene losses, R2 strain showed no growth

defect and even globally had an advantage through a capacity to better sustain growth during

the stationary phase (Supplementary Figure 6), as confirmed by competition assays (Figure

4.B). Therefore, extensive gene loss seems to have resulted in a global growth optimization,

which could have helped the strain to outcompete other bacteria including its ancestor.

Another strategy to outcompete its kin and promote subsequent adaptation was

identified in the relapse strain R1, which acquired a colicin-producing plasmid allowing the

production of colicin E1. An overlay assay indeed confirmed the acquired capacity of R1

relapse strain to inhibit I1 strain growth (Supplementary Figure 7).

Figure 4. Fitness competitions and growth capacities. (**A)** Fitness competitions of relapse against ancestral strain for all three pairs in planktonic and biofilm conditions. Values are expressed as the mean of six biological replicates +/- SD with statistical significance according to Mann-Whitney test (*: p-value < 0.05, **: p-value < 0.01). **B**. Fitness competitions of R2 relapse strain against I2 ancestral strain in different conditions (same as in supplementary Figure S6.B-F). BIP: 2,2'-Bipyridyl. Values are the mean of four to six biological replicates +/- SD with statistical significance according to Mann-Whitney test (*: p-value < 0.05).

Discussion (853 words)

Treatment failure is a common issue of BJIs, allowing bacteria to remain and adapt to the host, ultimately increasing the risk of relapse and the difficulty to cure the patient. A better understanding of the mechanisms underlying pathogens' adaptation to the host environment would allow for improving the management of relapsing BJIs. Here we investigated three cases of BJIs relapse involving pathogenic *E. coli*.

Both our genetic and phenotypic analysis highlighted a diversity of functions emerging from bacterial adaptation to the host environment during BJIs. We found that adapted strains isolated from relapses displayed a relatively high mutation rate, which is a known adaptive advantage increasing the probability to produce advantageous mutations that could be subsequently selected (13, 48, 49). However, we did not identify any mutation in genes known to be involved in DNA damage repair, suggesting that these high mutation rates were not acquired during adaptation to the host environment but rather intrinsic to these strains. This, in addition to stress-induced mutagenesis, could have further promoted the selection of beneficial traits, probably partly explaining their adaptive success.

Biofilms are known to be frequently involved in infections and relapses, including BJIs. However, none of the three investigated cases showed an increased biofilm formation capacity that could have played a role in the relapses and all strains were even characterized as poor *in vitro* biofilm formers. However, these infections were bone- or tissue-related and strong *in vitro* biofilm capacity may not necessarily translate into a selective advantage in this type of infections as compared to prosthesis-related infections, which were shown to be caused by a majority of strong biofilm formers (50). In these cases, the capacity to form biofilm correlate with the severity of the clinical outcome (51–53), while it was not the case for strains isolated from non-orthopedic biofilm infections (54, 55).

Another critical selection pressure faced by pathogenic bacteria is the host immune system. The

inhibition of the production of flagellin, a known target of immune defenses, at 37°C by I1 and

R1 strains, could minimize their recognition in the host environment (56, 57). This could not

only contribute to immune system evasion but also to save energy through a down-regulation

or suppression of flagellin production, as shown to be the case in the context of gut infection

by *E. coli* (58, 59).

This immune system evasion strategy is even clearer in the case of the R2 relapse strain, which

adapted and showed increased survival to human serum through the loss of two adhesins. The

fact that the loss of two virulence factors could confer a selective advantage may suggest that,

in these specific cases, virulence could be less important than evading the immune system as

shown to happen during *in vivo* adaptation (60, 61), allowing pathogens to stay longer in the

host. This trend was illustrated by the infection experiments performed in zebrafish, where no

difference was observed at the exception of the R2 strain that induced a slightly higher mortality

at 24hpi.

In addition, the R2 strain presented an interesting case of gene loss. Whereas genome reduction

is usually considered as a strategy by which selection reduces energy consumption via the loss

of genes and functions that are not used or under selective pressure (62, 63). In the case of the

R2 strain, numerous gene losses seem to result in a global optimization of growth capacities,

potentially correlated to lower the cost associated with the production of unnecessary genes and

lower amount of DNA to replicate. It is possible that some nutritional resources could be

directly acquired from the new host environment instead of being synthesized by the cells and

that the loss of genes involved in this production optimizes the cell metabolism (64). Gain of

growth rate or capacity in the context of an infection could be of paramount importance to

outcompete other endogenous or pathogenic bacteria and thrive in the host environment. Such

cases of genome reduction-induced complexification are more and more documented (20, 21)

showing that innovation can also rise from gene loss and not only from gene gains or

duplications followed by neo- or sub-functionalization (65).

Finally, it is an important parameter to stress the polymicrobial context of some of these BJIs

infections, in which interactions between the different bacterial species or strains could play an

important role in the relapses as well as in the mechanisms shaping bacterial adaptation to the

host environment (66, 67). Some key phenomenon involved in the relapse or strain adaptation

could therefore be dependent on bacteria-bacteria direct or indirect interactions and

consequently missed in this study. However, both the limited number of strains investigated

and the fact that all three cases corresponded to different BJIs could also account for the

observed differences in strain profile and mechanisms involved in their *in vivo* adaptation.

Further investigations with a larger cohort would help determine whether these diverse profiles

and adaptive strategies are due to the various types of infection investigated here or if it

constitutes a more general rule of *E. coli* pathogenic strain in BJIs. It would also help identify

if some adaptive strategies are preferentially selected in relapse cases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR), project EvolTolAB

(ANR-18-CE13-0010), by the French government's Investissement d'Avenir Program,

Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant

n°ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID) and by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (grant

DEQ20180339185). S.T.-R was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR),

project EvolTolAB (ANR-18-CE13-0010).

We thank Dr. Emma Colucci-Guyon and Dr. Laurent Boucontet for critical reading of the

manuscript. We are grateful to the Zebrafish Projects Hub of the Institut Pasteur and especially

to Dr. Emma Colucci-Guyon and Dr. Laurent Boucontet who carried out the infection

experiments in zebrafish larvae. We are grateful to Dr. Monica Rolando for kindly providing

the anti-flagellin antiserum. We are grateful to Emmanuel Chanard from Cerballiance for

providing strains and microbiological information.

All authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1.   Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, Lew D, Zimmerli W, Steckelberg JM, Rao N,
Hanssen A, Wilson WR, Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2013. Diagnosis and
management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious
Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 56:e1–e25.
2.   Tande AJ, Patel R. 2014. Prosthetic joint infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 27:302–345.
3.   Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. 2015. Staphylococcus
aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management.
Clin Microbiol Rev 28:603–661.
4.   Laurent E, Gras G, Druon J, Rosset P, Baron S, Le-Louarn A, Rusch E, Bernard L,
Grammatico-Guillon L. 2018. Key features of bone and joint infections following the
implementation of reference centers in France. Med Mal Infect 48:256–262.
5.   Ferguson J, Alexander M, Bruce S, O'Connell M, Beecroft S, McNally M. 2021. A
retrospective cohort study comparing clinical outcomes and healthcare resource utilisation in
patients undergoing surgery for osteomyelitis in England: a case for reorganising orthopaedic
infection services. J Bone Jt Infect 6:151–163.
6.   Cerioli M, Batailler C, Conrad A, Roux S, Perpoint T, Becker A, Triffault-Fillit C,
Lustig S, Fessy M-H, Laurent F, Valour F, Chidiac C, Ferry T. 2020. Pseudomonas
aeruginosa Implant-Associated Bone and Joint Infections: Experience in a Regional
Reference Center in France. Front Med (Lausanne) 7:513242.
7.   Triffault-Fillit C, Ferry T, Laurent F, Pradat P, Dupieux C, Conrad A, Becker A,
Lustig S, Fessy MH, Chidiac C, Valour F, Lyon BJI Study Group. 2019. Microbiologic
epidemiology depending on time to occurrence of prosthetic joint infection: a prospective
cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect 25:353–358.
8.   Joo H-S, Cheung GYC, Otto M. 2011. Antimicrobial activity of community-
associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus is caused by phenol-soluble modulin
derivatives. J Biol Chem 286:8933–8940.
9.   Majeed H, Gillor O, Kerr B, Riley MA. 2011. Competitive interactions in Escherichia
coli populations: the role of bacteriocins. ISME J 5:71–81.
10.   Baishya J, Wakeman CA. 2019. Selective pressures during chronic infection drive
microbial competition and cooperation. 1. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 5:1–9.
11.   Klemm P, Roos V, Ulett GC, Svanborg C, Schembri MA. 2006. Molecular

characterization of the Escherichia coli asymptomatic bacteriuria strain 83972: the taming of a
pathogen. Infect Immun 74:781–785.
12.   Price EP, Sarovich DS, Mayo M, Tuanyok A, Drees KP, Kaestli M, Beckstrom-
Sternberg SM, Babic-Sternberg JS, Kidd TJ, Bell SC, Keim P, Pearson T, Currie BJ. 2013.
Within-host evolution of Burkholderia pseudomallei over a twelve-year chronic carriage
infection. mBio 4:e00388-13.
13.   Lieberman TD, Flett KB, Yelin I, Martin TR, McAdam AJ, Priebe GP, Kishony R.
2014. Genetic variation of a bacterial pathogen within individuals with cystic fibrosis
provides a record of selective pressures. Nat Genet 46:82–87.
14.   Marvig RL, Johansen HK, Molin S, Jelsbak L. 2013. Genome analysis of a
transmissible lineage of pseudomonas aeruginosa reveals pathoadaptive mutations and
distinct evolutionary paths of hypermutators. PLoS Genet 9:e1003741.
15.   Klemm EJ, Gkrania-Klotsas E, Hadfield J, Forbester JL, Harris SR, Hale C, Heath JN,
Wileman T, Clare S, Kane L, Goulding D, Otto TD, Kay S, Doffinger R, Cooke FJ,
Carmichael A, Lever AML, Parkhill J, MacLennan CA, Kumararatne D, Dougan G, Kingsley
RA. 2016. Emergence of host-adapted Salmonella Enteritidis through rapid evolution in an
immunocompromised host. 3. Nat Microbiol 1:1–6.
16.   Feliziani S, Marvig RL, Luján AM, Moyano AJ, Di Rienzo JA, Krogh Johansen H,
Molin S, Smania AM. 2014. Coexistence and Within-Host Evolution of Diversified Lineages
of Hypermutable Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Long-term Cystic Fibrosis Infections. PLoS
Genet 10:e1004651.
17.   Smith EE, Buckley DG, Wu Z, Saenphimmachak C, Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA,
Miller SI, Ramsey BW, Speert DP, Moskowitz SM, Burns JL, Kaul R, Olson MV. 2006.
Genetic adaptation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:8487–8492.
18.   Losada L, Ronning CM, DeShazer D, Woods D, Fedorova N, Kim HS, Shabalina SA,
Pearson TR, Brinkac L, Tan P, Nandi T, Crabtree J, Badger J, Beckstrom-Sternberg S, Saqib
M, Schutzer SE, Keim P, Nierman WC. 2010. Continuing evolution of Burkholderia mallei
through genome reduction and large-scale rearrangements. Genome Biol Evol 2:102–116.
19.   Linz B, Ivanov YV, Preston A, Brinkac L, Parkhill J, Kim M, Harris SR, Goodfield
LL, Fry NK, Gorringe AR, Nicholson TL, Register KB, Losada L, Harvill ET. 2016.
Acquisition and loss of virulence-associated factors during genome evolution and speciation
in three clades of Bordetella species. BMC Genomics 17:767.
20.   Bolotin E, Hershberg R. 2015. Gene Loss Dominates As a Source of Genetic
Variation within Clonal Pathogenic Bacterial Species. Genome Biol Evol 7:2173–2187.
21.   Albalat R, Cañestro C. 2016. Evolution by gene loss. Nat Rev Genet 17:379–391.
22.   Trouillet-Assant S, Lelièvre L, Martins-Simões P, Gonzaga L, Tasse J, Valour F,
Rasigade J-P, Vandenesch F, Muniz Guedes RL, Ribeiro de Vasconcelos AT, Caillon J,
Lustig S, Ferry T, Jacqueline C, Loss de Morais G, Laurent F. 2016. Adaptive processes of
Staphylococcus aureus isolates during the progression from acute to chronic bone and joint
infections in patients. Cell Microbiol 18:1405–1414.
23.   Chaveroche MK, Ghigo JM, d'Enfert C. 2000. A rapid method for efficient gene
replacement in the filamentous fungus Aspergillus nidulans. Nucleic Acids Res 28:E97.
24.   Sprouffske K, Wagner A. 2016. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining
interpretable metrics from microbial growth curves. BMC Bioinformatics 17:172.
25.   Phan QT, Sipka T, Gonzalez C, Levraud J-P, Lutfalla G, Nguyen-Chi M. 2018.
Neutrophils use superoxide to control bacterial infection at a distance. PLOS Pathogens
14:e1007157.
26.   Renshaw SA, Loynes CA, Trushell DMI, Elworthy S, Ingham PW, Whyte MKB.
2006. A transgenic zebrafish model of neutrophilic inflammation. Blood 108:3976–3978.

27.   Colucci-Guyon E, Tinevez J-Y, Renshaw SA, Herbomel P. 2011. Strategies of
professional phagocytes in vivo: unlike macrophages, neutrophils engulf only surface-
associated microbes. J Cell Sci 124:3053–3059.

28.   Boucontet L, Passoni G, Thiry V, Maggi L, Herbomel P, Levraud J-P, Colucci-Guyon
E. 2018. A Model of Superinfection of Virus-Infected Zebrafish Larvae: Increased
Susceptibility to Bacteria Associated With Neutrophil Death. Front Immunol 9:1084.

29.   Mostowy S, Boucontet L, Mazon Moya MJ, Sirianni A, Boudinot P, Hollinshead M,
Cossart P, Herbomel P, Levraud J-P, Colucci-Guyon E. 2013. The zebrafish as a new model
for the in vivo study of Shigella flexneri interaction with phagocytes and bacterial autophagy.
PLoS Pathog 9:e1003588.

30.   Carr IM, Robinson JI, Dimitriou R, Markham AF, Morgan AW, Bonthron DT. 2009.
Inferring relative proportions of DNA variants from sequencing electropherograms.
Bioinformatics 25:3244–3250.

31.   Szczesny M, Beloin C, Ghigo J-M. 2018. Increased Osmolarity in Biofilm Triggers
RcsB-Dependent Lipid A Palmitoylation in Escherichia coli. mBio 9:e01415-18.

32.   Mareuil F, Doppelt-Azeroual O, Ménager H. 2017. <p>A public Galaxy platform at
Pasteur used as an execution engine for web services</p>. F1000Research 6.

33.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM,
Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G,
Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its
applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477.

34.   Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics
30:2068–2069.

35.   Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015.
BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
orthologs. Bioinformatics 31:3210–3212.

36.   Bortolaia V, Kaas RS, Ruppe E, Roberts MC, Schwarz S, Cattoir V, Philippon A,
Allesoe RL, Rebelo AR, Florensa AF, Fagelhauer L, Chakraborty T, Neumann B, Werner G,
Bender JK, Stingl K, Nguyen M, Coppens J, Xavier BB, Malhotra-Kumar S, Westh H,
Pinholt M, Anjum MF, Duggett NA, Kempf I, Nykäsenoja S, Olkkola S, Wieczorek K,
Amaro A, Clemente L, Mossong J, Losch S, Ragimbeau C, Lund O, Aarestrup FM. 2020.
ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. J Antimicrob Chemother
75:3491–3500.

37.   Zankari E, Allesøe R, Joensen KG, Cavaco LM, Lund O, Aarestrup FM. 2017.
PointFinder: a novel web tool for WGS-based detection of antimicrobial resistance associated
with chromosomal point mutations in bacterial pathogens. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:2764–
2768.

38.   Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL.
2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.

39.   Larsen MV, Cosentino S, Rasmussen S, Friis C, Hasman H, Marvig RL, Jelsbak L,
Sicheritz-Pontén T, Ussery DW, Aarestrup FM, Lund O. 2012. Multilocus sequence typing of
total-genome-sequenced bacteria. J Clin Microbiol 50:1355–1361.

40.   Joensen KG, Tetzschner AMM, Iguchi A, Aarestrup FM, Scheutz F. 2015. Rapid and
Easy In Silico Serotyping of Escherichia coli Isolates by Use of Whole-Genome Sequencing
Data. J Clin Microbiol 53:2410–2426.

41.   L R, Tb J, F H, M S, V T, E S, N G, R A, Mcf T, O L, H H, Am H. 2018. CHTyper, a
Web Tool for Subtyping of Extraintestinal Pathogenic Escherichia coli Based on the fumC
and fimH Alleles. Journal of clinical microbiology 56.

42.   Beghain J, Bridier-Nahmias A, Le Nagard H, Denamur E, Clermont O. 2018.
ClermonTyping: an easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia genus strain

phylotyping. Microb Genom 4:e000192.

43. Douarre P-E, Mallet L, Radomski N, Felten A, Mistou M-Y. 2020. Analysis of
COMPASS, a New Comprehensive Plasmid Database Revealed Prevalence of Multireplicon
and Extensive Diversity of IncF Plasmids. Front Microbiol 11:483.

44. Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory evolved
microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol 1151:165–
188.

45. Ghalayini M, Launay A, Bridier-Nahmias A, Clermont O, Denamur E, Lescat M,
Tenaillon O. 2018. Evolution of a Dominant Natural Isolate of Escherichia coli in the Human
Gut over the Course of a Year Suggests a Neutral Evolution with Reduced Effective
Population Size. Appl Environ Microbiol 84:e02377-17.

46. Wickham H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer, New York,
NY. https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3. Retrieved 7 February 2023.

47. Wiles TJ, Bower JM, Redd MJ, Mulvey MA. 2009. Use of Zebrafish to Probe the
Divergent Virulence Potentials and Toxin Requirements of Extraintestinal Pathogenic
Escherichia coli. PLOS Pathogens 5:e1000697.

48. Giraud A, Matic I, Tenaillon O, Clara A, Radman M, Fons M, Taddei F. 2001. Costs
and benefits of high mutation rates: adaptive evolution of bacteria in the mouse gut. Science
291:2606–2608.

49. Tenaillon O, Barrick JE, Ribeck N, Deatherage DE, Blanchard JL, Dasgupta A, Wu
GC, Wielgoss S, Cruveiller S, Médigue C, Schneider D, Lenski RE. 2016. Tempo and mode
of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment. Nature 536:165–170.

50. Kawamura H, Nishi J, Imuta N, Tokuda K, Miyanohara H, Hashiguchi T, Zenmyo M,
Yamamoto T, Ijiri K, Kawano Y, Komiya S. 2011. Quantitative analysis of biofilm formation
of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) strains from patients with orthopaedic
device-related infections. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 63:10–15.

51. Svensson Malchau K, Tillander J, Zaborowska M, Hoffman M, Lasa I, Thomsen P,
Malchau H, Rolfson O, Trobos M. 2021. Biofilm properties in relation to treatment outcome
in patients with first-time periprosthetic hip or knee joint infection. J Orthop Translat 30:31–
40.

52. Sanchez CJ, Mende K, Beckius ML, Akers KS, Romano DR, Wenke JC, Murray CK.
2013. Biofilm formation by clinical isolates and the implications in chronic infections. BMC
Infect Dis 13:47.

53. Post V, Harris LG, Morgenstern M, Mageiros L, Hitchings MD, Méric G, Pascoe B,
Sheppard SK, Richards RG, Moriarty TF. 2017. Comparative Genomics Study of
Staphylococcus epidermidis Isolates from Orthopedic-Device-Related Infections Correlated
with Patient Outcome. J Clin Microbiol 55:3089–3103.

54. Cha J-O, Yoo JI, Yoo JS, Chung H-S, Park S-H, Kim HS, Lee YS, Chung GT. 2013.
Investigation of Biofilm Formation and its Association with the Molecular and Clinical
Characteristics of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Osong Public Health Res
Perspect 4:225–232.

55. Guembe M, Alonso B, Lucio J, Pérez-Granda MJ, Cruces R, Sánchez-Carrillo C,
Fernández-Cruz A, Bouza E. 2018. Biofilm production is not associated with poor clinical
outcome in 485 patients with Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia. Clin Microbiol Infect
24:659.e1-659.e3.

56. Smith KD, Andersen-Nissen E, Hayashi F, Strobe K, Bergman MA, Barrett SLR,
Cookson BT, Aderem A. 2003. Toll-like receptor 5 recognizes a conserved site on flagellin
required for protofilament formation and bacterial motility. Nat Immunol 4:1247–1253.

57. Bambou J-C, Giraud A, Menard S, Begue B, Rakotobe S, Heyman M, Taddei F, Cerf-
Bensussan N, Gaboriau-Routhiau V. 2004. In vitro and ex vivo activation of the TLR5

signaling pathway in intestinal epithelial cells by a commensal Escherichia coli strain. J Biol Chem 279:42984–42992.

58.   Giraud A, Arous S, De Paepe M, Gaboriau-Routhiau V, Bambou J-C, Rakotobe S, Lindner AB, Taddei F, Cerf-Bensussan N. 2008. Dissecting the genetic components of adaptation of Escherichia coli to the mouse gut. PLoS Genet 4:e2.

59.   Leatham MP, Stevenson SJ, Gauger EJ, Krogfelt KA, Lins JJ, Haddock TL, Autieri SM, Conway T, Cohen PS. 2005. Mouse intestine selects nonmotile flhDC mutants of Escherichia coli MG1655 with increased colonizing ability and better utilization of carbon sources. Infect Immun 73:8039–8049.

60.   Winstanley C, O'Brien S, Brockhurst MA. 2016. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Evolutionary Adaptation and Diversification in Cystic Fibrosis Chronic Lung Infections. Trends Microbiol 24:327–337.

61.   Viberg LT, Sarovich DS, Kidd TJ, Geake JB, Bell SC, Currie BJ, Price EP. 2017. Within-Host Evolution of Burkholderia pseudomallei during Chronic Infection of Seven Australasian Cystic Fibrosis Patients. mBio 8:e00356-17.

62.   Moran NA. 2002. Microbial minimalism: genome reduction in bacterial pathogens. Cell 108:583–586.

63.   Weinert LA, Welch JJ. 2017. Why Might Bacterial Pathogens Have Small Genomes? Trends Ecol Evol 32:936–947.

64.   D'Souza G, Kost C. 2016. Experimental Evolution of Metabolic Dependency in Bacteria. PLoS Genet 12:e1006364.

65.   Massingham T, Davies LJ, Liò P. 2001. Analysing gene function after duplication. Bioessays 23:873–876.

66.   Tay WH, Chong KKL, Kline KA. 2016. Polymicrobial-Host Interactions during Infection. J Mol Biol 428:3355–3371.

67.   Boldock E, Surewaard BGJ, Shamarina D, Na M, Fei Y, Ali A, Williams A, Pollitt EJG, Szkuta P, Morris P, Prajsnar TK, McCoy KD, Jin T, Dockrell DH, van Strijp JAG, Kubes P, Renshaw SA, Foster SJ. 2018. Human skin commensals augment Staphylococcus aureus pathogenesis. Nat Microbiol 3:881–890.