Primary nasal viral infection rewires the tissue-scale memory response

Samuel W. Kazer[1,2,3,4]*, Colette Matysiak Match[3,4]*, Erica M. Langan[1,2], Marie-Angèle Messou[3,4],

Thomas J. LaSalle[2,5], Elise O'Leary[3], Jessica Marbourg[6], Katherine Naughton[7], Ulrich H. von

Andrian[3,4,#], Jose Ordovas-Montanes[1,2,4,8,9,#,^]

[1]Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Boston Children's Hospital,
Boston, MA, USA;

[2]Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA;

[3]Department of Immunology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;

[4]Ragon Institute of MGH, MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA;

[5]Program in Health Sciences and Technology, Harvard Medical School & Massachusetts
Institute of Technology, Boston, MA, USA;

[6]AbbVie Cambridge Research Center, Cambridge, MA, USA;

[7]AbbVie Genomics Research Center, North Chicago, IL, USA;

[8]Program in Immunology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA;

[9]Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA.
16

17 * These authors contributed equally to the work.

18 [#] These senior authors contributed equally to the work

19 ^ Lead contact

20 Correspondence to Jose Ordovas-Montanes; jose.ordovas-montanes@childrens.harvard.edu
21

ABSTRACT

The nasal mucosa is frequently the initial site of respiratory viral infection, replication, and transmission. Recent work has started to clarify the independent responses of epithelial, myeloid, and lymphoid cells to viral infection in the nasal mucosa, but their spatiotemporal coordination and relative contributions remain unclear. Furthermore, understanding whether and how primary infection shapes tissue-scale memory responses to secondary challenge is critical for the rational design of nasal-targeting therapeutics and vaccines. Here, we generated a single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) atlas of the murine nasal mucosa sampling three distinct regions before and during primary and secondary influenza infection. Primary infection was largely restricted to respiratory mucosa and induced stepwise changes in cell type, subset, and state composition over time. Type I Interferon (IFN)-responsive neutrophils appeared 2 days post infection (dpi) and preceded transient IFN-responsive/cycling epithelial cell responses 5 dpi, which coincided with broader antiviral monocyte and NK cell accumulation. By 8 dpi, monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) expressing *Cxcl9* and *Cxcl16* arose alongside effector cytotoxic CD8 and *Ifng*-expressing CD4 T cells. Following viral clearance (14 dpi), rare, previously undescribed **K**rt13+ **n**asal **i**mmune-**i**nteracting **f**loor **e**pithelial (KNIIFE) cells expressing multiple genes with immune communication potential increased concurrently with tissue-resident memory T (T_{RM})-like cells and early IgG+/IgA+ plasmablasts. Proportionality analysis coupled with cell-cell communication inference, alongside validation by in situ microscopy, underscored the CXCL16–CXCR6 signaling axis between MDMs and effector CD8 T cells 8dpi and KNIIFE cells and T_{RM} cells 14 dpi. Secondary influenza challenge with a homologous or heterologous strain administered 60 dpi induced an accelerated and coordinated myeloid and lymphoid response without epithelial proliferation, illustrating how tissue-scale memory to natural infection engages both myeloid and lymphoid cells to reduce epithelial regenerative burden. Together, this atlas serves as a reference for viral infection in the upper respiratory tract and highlights the efficacy of local coordinated memory responses upon rechallenge.

INTRODUCTION

As the primary passage to the lower airway, the nasal mucosa balances the complex roles of olfaction, filtration and conditioning of inhaled air, and host defense. To accomplish these diverse functions, the nose contains distinct anatomical structures, harbors a varied yet organized cellular composition, and secretes a multitude of proteins with varied roles (Harkema et al., 2006). In the face of pathogens, the nasal mucosa is thought to mount a variety of incompletely understood defense mechanisms to protect against infection and limit spread to the lower respiratory tract (Bosch et al., 2013). Nevertheless, many respiratory pathogens manage to infect or colonize the upper airways and disseminate into the lungs, causing millions of cases of severe disease, hospitalizations, and deaths annually (Clark, 2020; Roth et al., 2018; Shinya et al., 2006).

There is a growing appreciation for how the inflammatory state of nasal tissue affects respiratory viral infection outcomes. The COVID-19 pandemic has helped accelerate research to understand the roles of interferons (IFNs) in nasal protection and disease trajectory, with studies highlighting the importance of sample timing and location, viral burden, and strain (Bastard et al., 2022; Kim and Shin, 2021; Park and Iwasaki, 2020; Sposito et al., 2021). Single-cell analysis of the human nasopharynx during SARS-CoV-2 infection showed muted IFN-responses in epithelial cells from severe disease relative to mild cases (Ziegler et al., 2021). Expression of specific IFN stimulated genes (ISGs) like *OAS1* associate with protection from severe COVID-19 and may even drive viral mutations to overcome host protection (Wickenhagen et al., 2021). More generally, evidence of a recent prior infection in children receiving a live-attenuated influenza vaccine was associated with enhanced ISG signaling and lower viral shedding (Costa-Martins et al., 2021). Collectively, this suggests that the present nasal state, cellular composition, and antiviral signaling capacity, as informed by the cumulative history of environmental exposures, may drive disease outcomes (Bastard et al., 2020; Habibi et al., 2020; Ordovas-Montanes et al., 2020; Weisberg et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020).

Following viral infection or intranasal (i.n.) vaccination, immune memory in the nasal mucosa can provide long-term protection both systemically and at the mucosal barrier, reducing pathology and infection burden in the lower airways and elsewhere (Johnson et al., 1986; Johnson Jr. et al., 1985; Rutigliano et al., 2010). Local protection is afforded by both cellular and humoral immune mechanisms. For example, CD8+ tissue-resident memory (T_{RM}) cells that form following upper respiratory tract influenza A virus (IAV) infection correlate with enhanced protection against heterologous IAV strain rechallenge (Pizzolla et al., 2017). Protective mucosal IgA producing plasma cells, and antibodies capable of neutralizing virus, can be generated in the nasal mucosa following IAV, vesicular stomatitis virus, respiratory syncytial virus, and SARS-CoV-2 infections

(Johnson Jr. et al., 1985; Liew et al., 2023; Sterlin et al., 2021; Wellford et al., 2022; Weltzin et
al., 1996). Even so, respiratory infections like IAV remain epidemic and kill up to 500,000 people
each year (Iuliano et al., 2018).

To develop protective, durable, and efficacious vaccines for respiratory viruses, we must
reach a deeper understanding of the establishment, timing, and cooperation of tissue-scale
memory following natural infection (Morens et al., 2023). Immune responses to pathogens often
occur in stepwise fashion: recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns by immune
and/or epithelial cells leads to cytokine production that broadly activates innate immune cells that
in turn recruit pathogen-specific effector lymphocytes, some of which will develop into circulating
and tissue-resident memory cells (Iwasaki and Medzhitov, 2015). Following prior infection or
vaccination, however, these local circuits can be re-ordered and even inverted in the barrier
tissues that re-encounter infection (Kadoki et al., 2017; Kaufmann et al., 2018; Ols et al., 2020;
Ordovas-Montanes et al., 2020; Schenkel et al., 2014). During viral rechallenge, T_{RM} cells exhibit
antiviral effector functions and can act as sentinels that send antigen-specific inflammatory
"alarms" to local immune cells to activate multicellular anti-microbial responses at the site of
infection (Ariotti et al., 2014; McMaster et al., 2015; Schenkel et al., 2014; Steinbach et al., 2016).
In mucosal vaccination, IFNγ produced by antigen-specific T cells is sufficient to induce increased
inflammatory cytokine production by both distal (Bosch-Camós et al., 2022; Stary et al., 2015)
and local (Yao et al., 2018) antigen presenting cells, suggesting that recruited and/or tissue-
resident cells can contribute to rapid memory responses. Similarly, antibodies can directly
neutralize virus and also orchestrate a variety of antiviral effector functions through antibody Fc-
receptor mediated binding by NK cells, macrophages, and neutrophils (Boudreau and Alter,
2019). However, most of these studies to date have focused on the role of individual cell types or
limited interactions during a memory response.

Here, we present a tissue-scale single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) atlas of the
murine nasal mucosa before and during primary IAV infection and secondary rechallenge. By
sampling multiple regions, timepoints, and cell lineages, we develop a compositional landscape
of the tissue and reveal how the diversity of cell subsets and states dynamically changes in
response to infection and during a memory response. Primary IAV infection induced reproducible
stepwise shifts in cell composition starting with increased IFN-responsive neutrophil subsets
followed by broader antiviral/IFN-stimulated responses in epithelial, myeloid, and lymphoid
immune cells. Next, monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) accumulated along with effector
CD8 and CD4 T cells. Following viral resolution, early T_{RM} cells and plasmablasts are established
alongside increased frequencies of rare **K**rt13+ **n**asal **i**mmune-interacting **f**loor **e**pithelial (KNIIFE)

cells expressing genes for several ligands and receptors known to modulate immune cell activity.
Learning cell cluster identity in samples generated in memory and during either homologous or
heterologous IAV rechallenge, we demonstrate the applicability of our atlas to inform newly
generated data and show that the nasal memory response to IAV is accelerated and coordinated
compared to primary infection. Collectively, our spatial and temporal datasets enumerate and
characterize the diversity of cell types, states, and subsets in the murine nasal mucosa and
highlight those recruited and local cell subsets that exhibit memory and respond to viral infection.
124

125   *RESULTS*
126   **Nasal mucosa infection with influenza virus and tissue processing**
127   We administered 10^4 plaque forming units (pfu) of IAV H1N1 strain PR8 i.n. to awake,
128   naïve mice in a small volume (5 µl/nostril) to restrict infection to the upper respiratory tract
129   (Klinkhammer et al., 2018; Pizzolla et al., 2017), and collected and processed nasal mucosa
130   tissue (n=3 biological samples/timepoint) by scRNA-seq at 0, 2, 5, 8, and 14 days post infection
131   (dpi; "primary") (**Figure 1A**).
132   Anatomically, the murine nasal mucosa can be divided into several distinct morphological,
histological, and functional tissue regions (Harkema et al., 2006). We mapped the cellular and
structural diversity in the naïve nasal mucosa by immunofluorescence imaging, observing broad
heterogeneity in epithelial, immune, and neural distribution throughout the tissue (**Figure 1B**).
Thus, to capture region-specific changes in cell composition and response following IAV infection,
we micro-dissected the tissue and separated into three different regions: (1) respiratory mucosa
(RM), inclusive of the nasal and maxillary turbinates, septum, and vomeronasal organ; (2)
olfactory mucosa (OM), inclusive of the ethmoid turbinates; and (3) the lateral nasal gland (LNG),
which sits underneath the RM and OM in the maxillary sinus (see **Methods**).
141

142   **Single-cell spatiotemporal atlas of primary influenza infection in the nasal mucosa**
143   Across all primary infection timepoints (0-14 dpi), regions, and replicates (n=45), we
collected 156,572 single-cell transcriptomes after filtering low-quality cell barcodes and hash-
annotated cell multiplets (**Methods**). Top-level clustering on the entire primary infection dataset
captured 42 clusters belonging to neural, epithelial, immune, and stromal (endothelial, fibroblast,
and others) cell lineages demarcated by known lineage-restricted genes (**Figures 2A and S1A**).
Neurons and epithelial cells comprised over half of the dataset, with immune and stromal cells
comprising the rest (**Figure S1B**). Major cell types were found to be distributed differently across
nasal regions, with enrichment of neurons, granulocytes, B cells, and hematopoietic stem cells

(HSCs) in the OM and more epithelial cells, fibroblasts, myeloid cells, and T & NK cells in the RM

(**Figures 2B and S1C**). As highly structured tissues, the turbinates in the nasal mucosa, and

especially the ethmoid turbinate (OM), consist of substantial pieces of bone with sizeable bone

marrow. Thus, the relative enrichment of specific immune cell types including HSCs and other

immune progenitors in the OM likely reside in this bone marrow and may directly enter the mucosa

and engage in pathogen defense (see **Figure 1B**). We note that succinctly depicting relative

proportions of the data across multiple replicates is complex; stacked bar charts like **Figure 2B**

show relative proportions within a cell type grouping, but do not reflect proportions within the cells

captured at each time point/region.

Sample replicates were called by demultiplexing oligo-hashtag count tables (Li et al.,

2020) and did not exhibit strong batch effects (**Figure S1D**). While clustering and differential

expression were performed on all singlets regardless of successful hash assignment, we counted

only those cells with annotated sample replicates for downstream compositional analyses (see

Figure S1E for assignment breakdown by cell type).

To delineate the diversity of cell subsets and states present in the nasal mucosa, we split

the dataset by cell type and conducted new clustering analyses, yielding a total of 127 clusters

across the dataset (**Figure S1F and Supplementary Table 1**). By counting the number of cells

assigned to each cluster in each sample replicate and scaling across samples by cell capture, we

calculated cell cluster abundances to interrogate the relationship between samples in cell

compositional space (see **Methods and Supplementary Table 2**). Performing principal

component analysis (PCA) on samples over center log-ratio (clr) transformed cell cluster

abundances, we saw strong separation by region (**Figure 2C**), reinforcing that the nasal mucosa

contains distinct regions with specific functions. Examination of the PCA loadings revealed that

LNG is defined by higher abundances of odorant binding protein (OBP)-expressing cells, serous

cells, and capillary endothelial cells (**Figure S1G**). OM has relatively more Schwann cells, HSCs,

and glandular, while RM is enriched for vomeronasal sensory neurons, chondrocytes, and

infection responsive epithelial cells. Collectively, this atlas represents a high-resolution,

comprehensive view of the mouse nasal mucosa enabling characterization of the dynamic

differences in cellular composition within and between nasal regions during infection.

Influenza infection is largely restricted to the RM and induces reproducible changes in

cellular composition

While viral, immune cell, and epithelial cell dynamics following IAV infection of the lung

have been partially mapped (Bouvier and Lowen, 2010; Boyd et al., 2020; Manicassamy et al.,

2010; Matsuoka et al., 2009; Steuerman et al., 2018), responses in the nasal mucosa are less
studied. Viral titers of entire nasal mucosa showed robust infection 2 dpi that waned through 8 dpi
and was completely cleared by 14 dpi (**Figure 2D**), while data from lungs showed sporadic spread
of virus from the nasal mucosa only occurring between 5 and 8 dpi (**Figure S2A**). Aligning to a
joint IAV and mouse genome, we also captured viral transcripts by scRNA-seq and thus could
identify which cells may have been infected or contained virus. Individual genes like NP and HA
were detected most strongly in epithelial and myeloid cells (**Figure S2B**). We calculated a
summative IAV unique molecular identifier (UMI) count for every single cell to assess "positivity"
for IAV (**Figure 2E**). Looking across time points and regions in epithelial and myeloid cells, we
captured low, but reproducible numbers of IAV+ cells 2, 5, and 8 dpi in the RM aligning with the
detectible plaque assays at these time points, but no positive cells in the OM, and only at 5 dpi in
the LNG (**Figures S2C-D**). Bulk RNAseq of whole RM tissue lysate better matched the plaque
forming assay results with higher IAV read counts than single-cell, suggesting non-cellular viral
RNA and/or potential loss of IAV+ cells during processing for scRNA-seq (**Figure S2E**).
Staining for IAV NS1 at 2 and 5 dpi confirmed that infection was largely restricted to
epithelial cells in the RM (**Figures 2F** and **S2F-G**) and is consistent with expression of binding
receptors marked by α2,3-linked sialic acid in mucous producing cells (Ibricevic et al., 2006). We
performed qPCR of total RM to validate an early response to infection and found robust
upregulation of type I and III IFNs 2 dpi, with even higher expression 5 dpi despite relatively lower
viral titers (**Figure 2G**). As expected, *Ifng* expression exhibited delayed kinetics peaking 8 dpi. To
understand the dynamics of the global IFN-induced response during infection, we calculated
enrichment scores for response to IFNα and IFNγ from bulk RNAseq data (**Figures 2H** and **S2H**).
Despite elevated levels of *Ifnb1* at 2 dpi, IFN-response signaling was not enriched until the
samples measured at 5 dpi; however, we cannot exclude that IFN-responses could start to occur
between 2 and 5 dpi given sampling limitations.
To understand how infection remodels each nasal region, we applied PCA to the sample
replicates within each region. In OM, 5 and 14 dpi samples separated from each other and the
other time points (**Figure S2I**). In LNG, only 14 dpi samples separated from the rest (**Figure S2J**).
Comparatively, PCA of RM samples showed clear separation between all timepoints in
chronological order across the first two PCs (**Figure 2I**) suggesting dynamic and linked responses
occur over the course of infection in this region. PCA loadings from RM highlight a shift in
composition from resting basal cells, fibroblasts, and ciliated cells in naïve mice and 2 dpi to
diverse activated myeloid and lymphoid clusters 5 and 8 dpi that gave way to specific
goblet/secretory cells, T$_{RM}$-like cells, and mature myeloid cells following viral resolution 14 dpi

(**Figure 2J**). Even though virus had been cleared by 14 dpi, we note that all three nasal regions reached compositions distinct from naïve mice at this timepoint.

Regional epithelial diversity in the nasal mucosa dynamically changes during IAV infection

Cellular diversity of the nasal epithelium

Having acquired high-level knowledge of how IAV infection broadly impacts the nasal mucosa, we next sought to understand the variety of epithelial cells present across the tissue and how they respond during infection as the main target of infection. Subclustering on all epithelial cells yielded 28 clusters encompassing diverse differentiation states and functions (**Figures 3A, S3A, and Supplementary Table 1**). We categorized these clusters into broader subsets including basal (*Krt5, Krt14*), ciliated (*Foxj1, Dnah5*), serous (*Ltf, Ccl9*), glandular (*Bpifb9b, Odam*), goblet/secretory (*Reg3g, Selenom, Scgb1c1,* and mucin-encoding genes), ionocyte (*Cftr, Coch*), tuft (*Trpm5, Il25*), and sustentacular cells (*Sec14l3, Cyp2g1*) (**Figure 3B**). In addition to known subsets, we also identified unique clusters of epithelial cells potentially specific to the nasal mucosa and present in naïve mice and throughout primary infection that separated distinctly in UMAP space: *Scgb-b27+Cck+*, *Klk1+Fxyd2+*, *Meg3+MHC-II+*, and *Krt13+Il1a+* cells. Comparison to human nasal biopsy and swab datasets (Deprez et al., 2020; Ziegler et al., 2021), readily annotated known epithelial subsets, and suggested that the *Krt13+Il1a+* cluster was squamous-like (**Figure S3B**). The other unique clusters did not map reliably to human subsets, potentially due to limitations in sampling human tissue. Epithelial clusters were differentially distributed across regions (**Figure 3C**): recently described nasal tuft cells, ionocytes, and *Dclk1+* cells (Ualiyeva et al., 2024), as well as olfactory sensory neuron supportive sustentacular cells (Brann et al., 2020), were enriched in OM, whereas serous and glandular cells were specific to LNG.

Cycling and IFN-responsive epithelial cells arise in the RM during infection

To understand the impact of IAV infection on the nasal epithelial compartment, we first determined which clusters harbored viral reads. Looking at the distribution of IAV UMIs across all epithelial clusters, we found that IAV+ cells were most prevalent in the IFN-stimulated cluster followed by cycling basal and ciliated cells (**Figure S3C**). The IFN-stimulated cluster, largely restricted to the RM, exhibited high levels of *Krt5*, a basal cell marker, but also *Cxcl17*, which in our dataset is expressed at steady state in serous cells in the LNG and some goblet/secretory subsets. Comparing cells within the IFN-stimulated cluster by presence of IAV transcripts, we found relatively higher levels of ISGs but lower expression of transcription factors *Atf3*, *Egr1*, and

Junb in IAV+ cells (**Figure S3D**). While infection was well-established at 2 dpi, IFN-stimulated

epithelial cells only arose at 5 dpi and made up ~20% of all RM epithelial cells 5 and 8 dpi (**Figure**

3D). The substantial detection of IAV transcripts by bulk RNA-seq (**Figure S2E**) but lack of an

IFN-response signature in total RM tissue at 2 dpi (**Figure 2H**) suggests that besides selective

loss of IAV+ cells during processing, host silencing mechanisms by IAV may contribute to the

measured muted IFN-response as well (Kochs et al., 2007). To understand the relative

contribution of type I/III and type II IFNs to the IFN-stimulated epithelial cluster, we scored these

cells with gene lists derived from nasal basal cells stimulated with IFNα or IFNγ in vitro (Ziegler et

al., 2020). While IFNα and IFNγ induce partially-overlapping ISGs, cells at 5 dpi scored higher for

the IFNα signature, cells at 8 dpi scored higher for the IFNγ signature (**Figure 3E**). Closer

exploration of genes with stronger induction following IFNα vs IFNγ stimulation confirmed the

sequential response timing within IFN-stimulated epithelial cells (**Figure S3E**).

Cycling basal cells demonstrated a similar transient increase in abundance, peaking 5 dpi

(**Figure 3F**). Interestingly, differential expression across timepoints revealed many ISGs were

upregulated in these cells 5 dpi (**Figure S3F**). Given recent work demonstrating that the epithelial

IFN-response can impede proliferation and tissue repair in the lower airway (Broggi et al., 2020;

Major et al., 2020), we leveraged our single-cell resolution to assess if individual nasal basal cells

co-express pathways for cell cycle and IFN-response. Gene set analysis confirmed significant

enrichment for both cell cycle and IFN-response pathways in cycling basal cells. Additionally, a

largely mutually exclusive apoptosis pathway was also significant (**Figures S3G**). Pathway

module scoring showed that while the IFNα response score changed over time, the G2M

checkpoint score was equally distributed across time points and independent of IFNα response

(**Figure 3G**). Thus, unlike their lower respiratory tract counterparts, nasal cycling basal cells

proliferate during primary infection and may concurrently support ISG expression alongside non-

proliferative IFN-stimulated basal cells.

Rare unique epithelial cell subsets with lymphoid and myeloid communication potential

accumulate following viral clearance

Looking at the compositional PCA, RM samples from 14 dpi separated from other

timepoints (**Figure 2G**). Among epithelial cells, two clusters, *Emp1+Ccdc3*+ basal cells and

Gp2+Lyz2+ goblet/secretory cells, accumulated to 9-12% of all epithelial cells following viral

clearance by 14 dpi (**Figure S3H**). In addition to *Gp2* and *Lyz2*, the goblet/secretory subset was

also enriched for *Il33*, *Muc1*, *Isg20*, and *Cd14* suggesting a potential shift in the epithelium toward

an antibacterial state. Additionally, two rare and transcriptionally distinct subsets of epithelial cells

(*Meg3*+MHC-II+ and *Krt13*+*Il1a*+), each only making up ~1% of all RM epithelial cells prior to viral

clearance, accumulated at 14 dpi (p=0.032 and p=0.067, respectively). The *Meg3*+MHC-II+

subset expressed high levels of maternally imprinted Meg genes (*Meg3*, *Rian*) alongside *Cd74*,

H2 class II genes, *Wnt5a*, *Cxcl12*, and *Ccl25* (**Figure 3H**). They also uniquely expressed *Fezf2*,

a transcription factor studied in the context of thymic self-antigen expression and immune

tolerance induction by thymic epithelial cells (Takaba et al., 2015), but not *Aire,* which is necessary

in the thymus for presentation of self-antigens. Whether these cells can promote tolerance in the

upper respiratory tract, like their thymic counterparts, remains to be determined.

The *Krt13*+*Il1a*+ subset uniquely expressed *Krt13* (93.8% expressing within cluster vs

0.6% expressing in other clusters), a keratin previously described on "hillock" cells in the mouse

trachea with undetermined functions (Montoro et al., 2018) and squamous/suprabasal cells in the

human nasal turbinate (Deprez et al., 2020). These *Krt13*+*Il1a*+ cells exhibited several of the

markers specific to tracheal hillock cells including *Lgals3*, *Ecm1,* and *Anxa1* but were not enriched

for *Cldn3* or club cell marker *Scgb1a1*. Unlike hillock cells, this nasal subset expressed genes for

several secreted and membrane bound immune cell regulatory factors including *Il1a*, *Tnf*, *Cd274*

(PD-L1), *Ifngr2*, and *Cxcl16* as well as secretory proteins like *Defb1*, *Muc4*, and *Muc1* (**Figure 3I**).

Flow cytometry confirmed the accumulation of Krt13+ cells 14 dpi (p=0.036, **Figure 3J**). Given

the potential for these *Krt13*+ cells to communicate with immune cells, we stained the mucosa for

Krt13 to understand their distribution throughout the nasal cavity. We found the strongest signal

for Krt13 along the nasal floor in the anterior RM (**Figure 3K**) and more distally where the nasal

mucosa meets the oral mucosa (**Figure S3I**). Comparing samples from naïve mice and 14 dpi,

we saw increased Krt13 staining and colocalization with PD-L1 in the post-infection samples

(**Figure 3L**). Thus, following resolution of IAV infection, rare subsets of nasal epithelial cells with

immune communication potential accumulate in the RM.

Neutrophils mature and activate in the RM immediately following IAV infection

Neutrophil accumulation in IAV infected lung has largely been associated with severe

disease and poor prognosis (Brandes et al., 2013; Johansson and Kirsebom, 2021; Tang et al.,

2019), but their role in the nasal viral infection is unknown. Given recent work showing a

relationship between increased neutrophil activation in the nose prior to RSV infection and higher

symptom occurrence (Habibi et al., 2020), and intrigued by the large number of neutrophils and

mast cells captured across the nasal mucosa (n=7,987), we investigated the transcriptional

programs and change in frequency of granulocytes in the nasal mucosa. Subclustering and UMAP

embedding revealed a continuum of granulocyte development starting with granulocyte-myeloid

precursor like cells (*Elane, Mpo)*, differentiating through immature states (*Camp, Mmp8, Retnlg*), and ending with several clusters of mature neutrophils (*Il1b, H2-D1, Siglecf*) (**Figures 4A, S4A, and Supplementary Table 1**). Mast cells expressing *Il6*, *Gata2*, and *Il4* were also detected in small numbers. Many neutrophils originated from OM samples (**Figures 2B and S4B**) and are likely present in high numbers in the bone marrow from that region. Pseudotime analysis across both the OM and RM recapitulated known maturation gene expression patterns in the blood (Grieshaber-Bouyer et al., 2021) and systemically (Xie et al., 2020) (**Figures 4B,C and S4C**). Precursors and immature neutrophils present largely in OM samples, likely in bone marrow, may give rise to activated and mature subsets in the RM that begin to accumulate in high frequencies only during infection.

By 2 dpi, neutrophil composition in the RM transitioned into mature IFN-stimulated and MHC-I-Hi states alongside an antimicrobial immature subset near the end of the pseudotime development trajectory (**Figure 4D**). The accumulation of these neutrophil clusters is one of the earliest changes in the RM following infection and may make up some of the earliest responses to local viral molecules and/or type-I IFN, with epithelial and RM-wide IFN-stim responses not arising until 5 dpi. Interestingly, the OM exhibited increased frequencies of mast cells, progenitors, and cycling immature granulocytes in 2-of-3 mice at 5 dpi, likely within bone marrow, indicating IAV infection may induce changes to adjacent hematopoiesis (**Figure S4D**). Thus, neutrophil activation and maturation mark the earliest detectable responses using our sampling strategy in the nasal mucosa to IAV infection.

Stepwise recruitment of monocytes and differentiation of monocyte-derived macrophages follow neutrophil activation

Next, we explored heterogeneity among non-granulocyte myeloid cells (**Figure 4E, S5A and Supplementary Table 1**) — i.e., macrophages, monocytes, and dendritic cells (DCs). We captured a spectrum of macrophage (*Cd74, C1qb, Ccl4*) clusters including a *Trem2+* subset expressing *Fcrls, Il1a,* and *Pf4* (CXCL4), an innate immune recruiting subset expressing *Ccl7, Ccl8,* and *Pf4* (CXCL4), and a small cluster of osteoclasts (*Ctsk, Mmp9*). Monocytes clustered into classical (*Ly6c2, Ccr2, Chil3*) and non-classical (*Ace*, *Ear2, Itgal*) subsets (Jung et al., 2022) alongside IFN-stimulated monocytes and monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs). DCs separated into distinct clusters including Langerhans-like (*Epcam, Ccl17, Ccl22*), intraepithelial (*Cd103, Xcr1, Tlr3*), migratory (*Ccr7, Ccl22, Cd274)*, and a subset uniquely expressing *Cd209a* (DC-SIGN), *Tnfsf9* (4-1BB), and *Klrd1*. We also captured plasmacytoid DCs (*Siglech, Irf8*; pDCs).

Most myeloid clusters were present in all nasal regions with some exceptions like the IFN-
stimulated monocytes and MDMs, which were restricted to the RM (**Figure S5B**).
While non-existent at baseline, upward of 30-40% of all myeloid cells belonged to these
antiviral monocyte and MDM clusters 5 and 8 dpi, respectively (**Figure 4F**). The appearance and
accumulation of monocytes and MDMs is concordant with lower frequencies of several tissue
macrophage clusters, likely reflecting an overall increase in the total number of myeloid cells in
the tissue as monocytes infiltrate from circulation. To understand the difference between the IFN-
stimulated monocytes and MDMs, we performed differential expression analysis between clusters
(**Figure 4G**). While the monocyte cluster had higher ISG expression than the MDM cluster, the
MDMs still had relatively high ISG expression when compared with resting tissue macrophages.
Notably, IFN-stimulated MDMs expressed higher levels of *Cxcl9* and *Cxcl16*, whose receptors
(CXCR3 and CXCR6, respectively) have been implicated in T_{RM} cell development in the lung
(Slütter et al., 2013; Wein et al., 2019). Comparison of response scores to IFNα and IFNγ
stimulation derived from in vitro macrophage cultures (Liu et al., 2012) on all IFN-stimulated
monocytes and MDMs showed relatively higher expression of the IFNα score at 5 dpi and the
IFNγ score at 8 dpi (**Figure S5C-D**), a similar pattern noted for IFN-stimulated epithelial cells
(**Figure 3E**).
The IFN-stimulated MDM cluster also had the largest number of IAV+ cells of all myeloid
cells (**Figure S5E**). Bystander analysis showed higher expression of some ISGs (*Isg15, Ifit3,*
Rsad2) in IAV+ myeloid cells, like IAV+ epithelial cells (**Figure S5F**). However, the small fraction
of IAV+ cells, compared to their bystander counterparts, had lower expression of MHC-II genes
and other ISGs such as *Ccl6* and *Cxcl9* suggesting reduced antigen presentation and immune
cell recruitment capacity. This is consistent with prior research in the lung showing that IAV
suppresses myeloid cell activation and maturation (Moriyama et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2022a).
The rapid shift in the myeloid compartment from IFN-stimulated monocytes at 5 dpi to a
predominance of IFN-stimulated MDMs 3 days later (**Figure 4F**) suggested that recruited
monocytes differentiated into MDMs within the RM during this interval. To test this idea, we treated
mice with an anti-CCR2 antibody known to deplete circulating monocytes from the blood for 48
hours (Mack et al., 2001; Schneider et al., 2005) (**Figure S5G**). In the nasal mucosa, we stained
for differentiating monocytes using MHC-II, CD11c, F4/80, CD64, and an intravascular CD45 stain
to separate cells that had extravasated into the tissue from those in circulation (**Figure S5H**).
Patrolling (Ly6C+) monocytes upregulate MHC-II, in addition to F4/80 and CD64, as they
differentiate into MDMs. To deplete monocytes during their recruitment to the nasal mucosa
(between 3-7 dpi), we treated animals with anti-CCR2 from 3 to 5 dpi. Depletion led to reduced

frequencies of patrolling monocytes at 8 dpi (**Figures 4H**). Moreover, the proportion and number

of MHC-II+ patrolling monocytes (i.e., differentiating MDMs) were considerably lower (18.4% vs

60.1% and 2558 vs 625, respectively), suggesting that the large proportion of IFN-stim MDMs

measured at 8 dpi by scRNA-seq are derived from monocytes. Together, these data show that

IAV infection induces a large recruitment of antiviral monocytes 5 dpi that differentiate into MDMs

by 8 dpi in the RM.

Antiviral NK cell responses precede transient effector T cells that are replaced by durable

T$_{RM}$ cells following viral clearance

Following the accumulation of inflammatory and chemokine secreting monocytes and

MDMs at 5 and 8 dpi, we anticipated a strong lymphocyte response during IAV infection. Thus,

we next further investigated NK and T cells, the latter of which have been shown to be essential

in clearing IAV infection in the lungs (Hufford et al., 2015) and nasal mucosa (Pizzolla et al., 2017).

Subclustering revealed NK cell subsets (*Klrb1c, Ncr1*), type 2 (*Areg, Il13*) and type 3 (*Il22, Rorc*)

innate lymphoid cells (ILC), $\gamma\delta$T cells (*Trdc, Cd163l1, Cd3e*), and a spectrum of $\alpha\beta$T cell subsets

and states including naïve/central memory CD8 (*Ccr7, Dapl1*), effector CD8 (*Gzmb, Gzmk*), T$_{RM}$-

like CD8 cells (*Itgae* [CD103]), resting CD4 (*Cd4, Tnfrsf4* [OX40]), Th1 CD4 (*Ifng, Cd200*), Th17

(*Cd40lg, Il17a*), and a cluster of Helios (*Ikzf2*) expressing cells (**Figures 5A, S6A, and**

Supplementary Table 1). Most T and NK cell clusters were enriched or restricted to the RM, but

Ccr7+ CD8 T cells, ILCs, and $\gamma\delta$T cells were also found in the OM and LNG (**Figure S6B**).

Looking at T and NK cell frequencies, we found that IFN-stimulated T and NK cells

accumulated 5 dpi alongside cytotoxic NK cells that remained elevated through 8 dpi (**Figure**

S6C). By 8 dpi, the T and NK cell compartment completely shifted towards effector antiviral T cell

subsets, with high abundances of *Gzmk*+ CD8, Th1-like *Ifng*+*Cd200*+ CD4, cycling, and Helios+

T cell clusters (**Figure 5B**). These effector responses were short-lived, however, and were

followed by increased frequencies of T$_{RM}$ cells and resting CD4 T cells (**Figure 5C**). Flow

cytometry of RM tissue at matched time points confirmed an influx of CD69+CD103– activated

CD8 T cells at 5 and 8 dpi that receded by 14 dpi alongside the accumulation of CD69+CD103+

T$_{RM}$-like cells (**Figure 5D and S6D**). Notably, while detectable infection was largely restricted to

the RM, T$_{RM}$ cells also increased in OM and LNG 14 dpi (**Figure 5E**), supporting the notion that

even low levels of infection can result in T$_{RM}$ accumulation and development (Jiang et al., 2012).

To contextualize the T$_{RM}$-like cells that arise following IAV clearance, we examined two

recently published signatures separating resident memory from central/circulating memory. A

universal T$_{RM}$ gene score (Milner et al., 2017) reasonably separated our T$_{RM}$ cluster from effector

and naïve/memory CD8 T cells, but IFN-stimulated T cells also scored highly (**Figure 5F**).
Conversely, the T_{RM}-like cells also scored low for the associated circulating memory gene score,
while naïve/memory CD8 T cells scored highest (**Figure S6E**). Closer inspection of known
resident memory and central memory markers and transcription factors (Crowl et al., 2022)
confirmed restriction of *CD103* (*Itgae*) expression to our T_{RM} cluster, but *Cd69* was only highly
expressed in IFN-stimulated cells. *Runx3* was also most highly expressed in T_{RM}-like cells but
also at lower levels in effector CD8 T cells. The *Cd103*+ CD8 cluster lacked the known
naïve/central memory transcription factors *Klf2* and *Tcf7* (**Figure 5G**). In summary, effector T cell
responses in the RM 8 dpi are replaced by T_{RM}-like cells across all nasal mucosa regions following
viral clearance.
432
IgA+ cells populate throughout the NM following viral clearance
Following IAV infection, local mucosal plasma cells and activated B cells produce and
secrete neutralizing soluble IgA into the airways (Rossen et al., 1970; Wellford et al., 2022; Woof
and Mestecky, 2005). In the lungs, resident memory B cells form after primary infection and can
be recruited upon secondary challenge to produce additional antibodies (MacLean et al., 2022),
suggesting infection can lead to long term changes in both local and distal B cell subsets.
Clustering of B cells in the nasal mucosa (**Figures S7A-B and Supplementary Table 1**) revealed
mature subsets (*Ighd, Cd74*), IgG+/IgA+ early plasmablast cells (*Aicda, Jchain, Igha*), lambda-
chain-high expressing cells (*Iglc1, Iglc2*), nucleoside diphosphate kinase (NME) expressing cells
(*Nme1*, *Nme2*) and, primarily in OM, developing subsets including pro-B (*Dntt, Vpreb1, Rag1*),
pre-B (*Bub1b, Mki67, Sox4*), and immature B cells (*Ms4a1, Ifi30*). The preponderance of
precursor and developing B cell subsets in OM likely reflects bone marrow cells, whereas
IgG+/IgA+ cells were found at highest frequency within LNG tissue, but class-switched B cells
were also detectable in RM and OM (**Figure S7C**).
Looking at changes in cluster frequency over the course of IAV infection, we found that
pro-B and pre-B cells collectively comprised up to 80-90% of all B cells in the OM 5 dpi increasing
from 5-15% at baseline, suggesting that IAV infection may induce local B cell proliferation and
differentiation in the bone marrow following infection and/or egress of mature B cells from this
region (**Figure S7D**). This increase in B cell precursor frequency in OM 5 dpi paralleled that of
granulocyte precursors (**Figure S4D**), supporting the notion of activation in nasal bone marrow.
By 14 dpi, IgG+/IgA+ early plasmablast cells were detected in the RM in all three replicate
samples. However, their recovery was more variable in OM and LNG samples, which could be
due to biological variability and/or inconsistent cell capture. Flow cytometry staining for

intracellular IgA confirmed the increase of IgA+ cells in both RM and LNG (**Figure S7E**) at 14 dpi.

Moreover, imaging of the RM and LNG at 30 dpi confirmed the presence of IgA+ cells in both

regions following infection (**Figure S7F**). Thus, B cells may undergo proliferative development

during acute IAV infection and IgA+ plasmablasts accumulate in the RM and LNG following

clearance.

Proportionality guided cell-cell communication analysis highlights the CXCL16-CXCR6

signaling axis in effector and memory T cell responses

To understand how compositional changes in the tissue during primary infection may be

coordinated across multiple cell subsets, we next characterized relationships between pairs of

cell clusters over time using our compositional data. We employed proportionality analysis (Lovell

et al., 2015), an alternative to correlation that avoids intra-sample abundance dependence

present in compositional data (Quinn et al., 2018), to find cell clusters with significantly similar

abundance trajectories (see **Methods**). Given that the RM was the major site of infection and

showed temporally structured changes in cell composition over time (**Figure 2I**), we applied our

proportionality analysis to all samples from this region. We discovered a highly structured

proportionality landscape with 101 significantly proportional cluster pairs (FDR < 0.05) (**Figures**

6A and S8A). To understand coordination among larger groups of cell clusters, we built a network

of all significantly proportional cluster pairs (**Figure S8B**). The network revealed larger groups of

proportional responses made up of clusters from several different cell types and smaller and

single-pair groups with 1-2 contributing cell types. Next, to further characterize the coordination

among immune cells and between immune and epithelial cells, we investigated subsets of

clusters with high proportionalities by cell-cell communication analysis.

IFN-stimulated MDMs – Gzmk+ CD8 T cells – Ifng+Cd200+ CD4 T cells

The strongest proportionality was observed among highly networked IFN-stimulated

clusters and effector T cell clusters (**Figure 6B**). Given the strong myeloid and T cell responses

8 dpi and the possibility that activated MDMs may function as APCs, alongside DCs, within the

nasal mucosa, we focused on the relationship between the IFN-stimulated MDM, *Gzmk*+ CD8 T

cell, and *Ifng+Cd200*+ CD4 T cell clusters. Plotting abundance values confirmed synchronous

trajectories of these three clusters with transient accumulation starting 5 dpi, peaking at 8 dpi, and

waning by 14 dpi (**Figure 6C**). We next assessed cell-cell communication potential using

NICHES, an approach that finds single-cell pairs with multiplicative high expression of known

interacting ligands and receptors (Raredon et al., 2023). Differential ligand-receptor expression

between groups of cell-pairs was then used to identify interactions specific to pairs of clusters

(see **Methods** and **Supplementary Table 3**). Applied to cells from these three clusters at 8 dpi,

we found several predicted, literature supported, interactions between the MDM cluster and both

effector T cell clusters including *Cd274–Pdcd1* (PD-L1–PD-1), *Cd86–Cd28*, *Cxcl9/10–Cxcr3,* and

Cxcl16–Cxcr6 (**Figure 6D**). Imaging confirmed the spatial proximity of CD8 T cells and

MDMs/DCs RM 8 dpi (**Figure 6E**).

Cd103+ DCs – Dusp2+Icam1+ mature neutrophils – Gp2+Lyz2+ goblet/secretory cells

The second largest networked group included various myeloid, granulocyte, and epithelial

cell clusters. Intrigued by the inclusion of the late arising Gp2+Lyz2+ goblet/secretory cell cluster

(**Figure S3G**), we took a closer look at this cluster and the two clusters most proportional with it:

Cd103+ DCs and *Dusp2+Icam1*+ mature neutrophils. Plotting cluster abundances confirmed that

all three clusters peaked following viral clearance 14 dpi (**Figure S8C**). Closer inspection of

differentially expressed ligand-receptor pairs revealed potential pro-inflammatory signaling by

Gp2+Lyz2+ Gob/Sec cells to mature neutrophils via *Sftpd–Sirpa*, which blocks Cd47 binding

(Gardai et al., 2003), *Cirbp–Trem1* which has been shown to occur in sepsis (Denning et al.,

2020), and *Tgfb2–Tgfbr1*. DC–neutrophil interactions included *Ccl2–Ccr1* and *Il18–Il18rap*

suggesting mutual immune recruitment/homeostasis (**Figure S8D**). These interactions suggest

that late arising *Gp2+Lyz2*+ goblet/secretory cells may recruit and regulate *Cd103*+ DCs and

mature neutrophils in the RM following viral clearance.

Krt13+Il1a+ epithelial cells express Cxcl16 and increase when T$_{RM}$-like cells accumulate

Like IFN-stim MDMs producing *Cxcl16* 8 dpi in concert with abundant *Cxcr6* expressing

effector CD8 and CD4 T cells, the late arising *Krt13+Il1a+* epithelial cell subset expressed *Cxcl16*

alongside increasing frequencies of *Cxcr6*+ T$_{RM}$ and CD4 T cells 14 dpi (**Figures 6F-G**). Although

not significantly proportional over all time points (ρ = 0.53, 0.32, 0.77), we applied cell-cell

communication analysis to cells from 14 dpi in these three clusters given the role of CXCL16–

CXCR6 signaling in T$_{RM}$ localization in the lower airways (Morgan et al., 2008; Wein et al., 2019).

In addition to discovering the *Cxcl16-Cxcr6* interaction, the analysis also captured additional

interactions like *Cd274–Pdcd1*, *Tnf–Tnfsrsf1b*, *Il18–Cd48*, *Alcam–Cd6*, and *Il16–Cd9* (**Figure**

6H). RNAscope of the nasal floor in a naïve mouse and 14 dpi mouse confirmed expression of

Cd274 (PD-L1) and *Cxcl16* by *Krt13*+ cells in the vicinity of cells expressing *Cxcr6* (**Figure 6I-J**).

Comparison between time points showed higher relative abundance of Cxcl16 transcripts within

Krt13+ regions at 14 dpi compared to naïve samples (**Figures 6K and S8E**). Considering the

transcriptional programming and localization of these cells, we propose the name *Krt13*+ **n**asal
immune-**i**nteracting **f**loor **e**pithelial (KNIIFE) cells. Notably, KNIIFE cells are a fraction of many
cells on the nasal floor expressing *Cxcl16* at 14 dpi, suggesting that this region of the nasal
mucosa may be important in instructing T_{RM} cells following viral clearance and/or tissue damage.
In summary, proportionality analysis coupled with cell-cell communication approaches
reveal temporally synced cell cluster abundance changes over the course of primary infection and
highlight potential cell-cell interactions contributing to T cell function and residual inflammation
following viral clearance.
532

Evaluating the reference capacity of the nasal mucosa atlas to learn compositions of
additional scRNA-seq datasets
To assess the ability of our primary IAV infection atlas to contextualize and analyze
additional scRNA-seq data generated from murine nasal mucosa, we leveraged the replicate
structure of our dataset to test label transfer methods. Separating one RM replicate from each
timepoint as a query set, we compared Seurat's built-in weighted nearest neighbors method (Hao
et al., 2021) to the generative model approach used in single-cell Annotation using Variational
Inference (scANVI) (Xu et al., 2021). First trying cluster annotation on the entire RM query dataset,
we found poor accuracy in assigning correct cluster identity in both methods and several clusters
were completely lost in the predicted annotations (**Figure S9A**). Since the primary infection atlas
clusters were found following multiple rounds of clustering, we next applied the same stepwise
approach for label transfer: assign a cell type label and then split into cell types for cluster label
predictions (**Figure 7A**). Using this stepwise approach, we correctly labeled 99.66% cell types
using Seurat and 99.27% using scANVI (**Figure S9B**). Cluster identity calling, however, was more
accurate in Seurat with 89.11-95.19% of cells correctly annotated across cell types, whereas
scANVI had 69.33-92.31% properly labeled (**Figure S9C**). Moving forward with Seurat given its
superior predictions, we repeated the analysis two more times using the other sets of replicates
as the query dataset, finding robust reproducibility across models for cell type and cluster calling
(**Figure S9D-E**). Finally, we validated the output by calculating cell cluster abundances using the
predicted cell cluster labels and projecting these "query" replicates into the primary infection RM
compositional PCA. Remarkably, the query replicates aligned very closely to their real sample
replicate counterparts in compositional space (**Figure S9F**). Thus, we validated a label transfer
approach to learn cell cluster identities and cellular composition of new scRNA-seq data using
our primary infection atlas as a reference.
557

IAV rechallenge is characterized by accelerated and concurrent myeloid and lymphocyte memory responses

Having developed a tissue-scale response timeline of acute IAV infection in the nasal mucosa, we next asked how strain matched and unmatched induced memory responses differ from primary infection on cluster and compositional levels. After priming mice with IAV PR8 infection, we rechallenged 60 dpi with either the same virus or IAV X31, a H3N2 strain (**Figure 7B**). In the PR8→PR8 arm, both antibody and T-cell mediated mechanisms of protection will occur; in the PR8→X31 arm, however, antibodies from the primary infection will fail to neutralize IAV, but T cells will still exhibit memory responses (Pizzolla et al., 2017). Sampling RM prior to rechallenge at 60 dpi, and 2- and 5-days post rechallenge (dprc), we applied our label transfer approach — using the primary infection data as a reference — to annotate an additional 76,159 cells with cluster labels derived from primary infection (**Figures 7A**). All cell types present in the primary infection dataset were captured in the rechallenge samples and UMAP projection showed strong overlap between the datasets (**Figure S10A,B**). Plaque assays following PR8 rechallenge detected infectious virus in 1-of-5 mice in nasal mucosa, and in 0-of-6 mice in lung at 2 dprc, and none at 5 dprc, suggesting immediate control of infection or baseline resistance (**Figure S10C**).

Inspection of cell type frequencies in rechallenge demonstrated substantial accumulation of immune cells in both the homologous and heterologous settings. Even with neutralizing antibody mediated protection in the PR8 challenge and nearly undetectable viral titers, we measured increased proportions of granulocytes, T & NK cells, and B cells following rechallenge (**Figures 7C and S10D**). Following X31 challenge, T & NK cell frequencies increased even higher than following PR8 challenge alongside a substantial but transient accumulation of myeloid cells, supporting a bigger role for T cell-mediated responses in the absence of antibodies. Since plasma cells were not captured in our primary infection time course and thus could not be identified by label transfer, we re-clustered all the B cells in our acute and memory dataset. We readily resolved a small cluster (n = 75 cells) of plasma cells expressing *Slpi*, *Jchain*, *Igha,* and *Xbp1* present at low, variable frequencies at 60 dpi and during rechallenge (**Figures S10E,F**).

Following annotation, we compared changes in cluster abundance over time between the primary and secondary responses to IAV infection (**Figures 7D and S10G**). Like primary infection, the IFN-Stim neutrophil subset accumulated immediately and maintained elevated levels through 5 dprc. Interestingly, in homologous rechallenge IFN-stimulated MDMs rapidly accumulated while monocytes only slightly increased; in heterologous rechallenge, both increased substantially. Given the total proportion of myeloid cells in the dataset was similar between 60 dpi, 2 dprc, and 5 dprc following PR8, these data suggest that MDMs already inside the RM prior to homologous

rechallenge quickly responded. Effector Th1 CD4 T cells were also elevated 2 dprc, but effector CD8 T and T_{RM} cells were slower to accumulate. Cycling basal cells showed no change in abundance following rechallenge regardless of rechallenge strain, and the increase in IFN-responsive epithelial cells was also stunted. Notably, both the *Meg3+MHC-II+* subset and KNIIFE cells that arose following viral clearance in primary infection remained at low levels throughout rechallenge. While we do not yet understand whether their roles may be restricted to resolving primary infection, there may be a necessary inflammation threshold for their expansion, or they may take longer to increase in frequency than the relatively early sampling timepoints post re-challenge. In summary, both PR8 and X31 rechallenge induced the rapid accumulation of several anti-viral myeloid and T cell subsets and states despite little detectable virus, with even greater induction following heterologous challenge.

To understand if cell state and the quality of antiviral effector responses differs depending on prior exposure and viral strain, we performed differential expression analysis within cell clusters across primary infection, PR8, and X31 rechallenge (**Figure 7E** and **Supplementary Table 4**). IFN-stimulated MDMs at 2 dprc in both PR8 and X31 rechallenge compared to 8 dpi had lower expression of *Lgmn, Cd72, and Ccr1*, but higher levels of *Tnfaip2*, *Lars2*, or *Parp14*. *Ifng+Cd200+* CD4 T cells may become more prone to cell death during a memory response, with lower levels of *Tnfrsf4* (OX40), *Icos*, and *Cd200*. However, they expressed higher levels of *Itga1*, which has been shown to mark a subset of CD4 T cells that rapidly secrete IFN-γ in the airways following IAV infection (Chapman and Topham, 2010). Compared to 8 dpi, *Gzmk+* CD8 T cells at 5 dprc in both PR8 and X31 rechallenge exhibited reduced expression of cytotoxic and activation genes, but higher levels of cell survival genes *Birc5* and *Selenoh*, and histone *H2afv*, suggesting induction of epigenetic modifications. During rechallenge, Cd103+ CD8 T cells expressed lower levels of *Id2*, *Cxcr6*, and *Ccr2* relative to 14 dpi, when these cells were likely just starting exhibit a T_{RM} phenotype; during rechallenge, expression of *Xcl1*, *Bcl2*, and *Klf2* was moderately, but significantly increased.

While changes in abundance or gene expression on an individual cell subset/state level highlight specific differences between primary and secondary responses, we sought to understand how the collective RM tissue-scale response differs. To contextualize on the compositional level, we projected the memory and rechallenge sample replicates into the previously derived compositional PC space for RM in primary infection (**Figure 7F**). The RM 60 dpi samples were separated from naïve samples and most resembled 2 dpi, suggesting that even though IAV was cleared by 14 dpi, the nasal mucosa sustained significant changes in composition. For both memory arms, the 2 dprc time point recapitulated the variance described

by PC1, with X31 rechallenge samples moving further negative in PC1 and overlapping 8 dpi. No significant shift along PC2, unlike in primary infection, suggests increases in effector immune responses occur upon rechallenge but not broad antiviral activation across all cell types like those seen 5 dpi (i.e., IFN-Stim clusters) (**Figure 2H**). Notably, by 5 dprc in the PR8. rechallenge, the tissue had almost returned to "memory baseline" at 60 dpi in PC-space, indicating that responses had already largely resolved; however, in the X31 challenge, a high level of immune infiltration is still taking place at 5 dprc. To assess variation between the primary infection distinct secondary response arms, we re-ran PCA with abundances from all timepoints, finding some separation between experiments, potentially arising from variation in the primary infection (**Figure S10H**). Nevertheless, infection in the naïve setting and both rechallenge paradigms resulted in a concerted and parallel directional shift in compositional PC space, supporting the conclusions of induced effector myeloid and T cell clusters from the projected PCA. Notably, PC4 captured the aspects unique to a "optimal" memory response, with increased abundances of several B cell clusters during homologous rechallenge (**Figure S10I**).

To quantify the overall difference between timepoints, we calculated compositional Aitchison distances between all pairs of sample replicates (**Methods**). In primary infection, RM increasingly separated from the naïve state up through 8 dpi but then became closer as infection is resolved (**Figure 7G**). Corroborating the PCA, the nasal mucosa 60 dpi was still distinct from its naïve state. Upon rechallenge with PR8, RM also separated from 60 dpi; however, the extent of that difference (MD=3.96) was less than between naïve and 5 dpi (MD=7.00) and 8 dpi (MD=7.40) indicating that the memory response to the same IAV strain was more succinct (**Figure 7H**). In comparison, X31 rechallenge led to the largest distance increase (MD=9.18), highlighting the massive accumulation of immune cells induced without antibody-mediated protection (**Figure 7I**). Comparing primary infection timepoints with peak memory response, each primary infection timepoint was substantially distinct from 2 dprc, suggesting that prior infection rewired the RM response to IAV infection (**Figure S10J**). Summarizing the primary and secondary responses to infection described here, we present a timeline of the key immune and epithelial cell responses during IAV infection and rechallenge illustrating that many of the stepwise changes seen in primary infection occur in a more coordinated and accelerated fashion in memory (**Figure 7J**).

DISCUSSION

Comprehensively understanding airway mucosal immunity is an urgent unmet need in the face of emerging and recurring respiratory pathogens (Lavelle and Ward, 2022; Morens et al., 2023; Roth et al., 2018; Russell et al., 2020). In particular, the nasal mucosa is at the forefront of

mammalian host responses to airborne pathogens and functions as both an entry site and the

primary barrier for infections of the respiratory tract. Consequently, the nasal mucosa is thought

to be the site of initial engagement of respiratory viruses to generate both local T cell memory

(Pizzolla et al., 2017) and neutralizing antibodies (Liew et al., 2023; Sterlin et al., 2021; Wellford

et al., 2022; Weltzin et al., 1996). Determining how these responses occur following primary

infection, and how immune and non-immune cells in the nasal mucosa contribute to viral

clearance and subsequent memory, is critical to inform the design of next-generation nasal

vaccines and therapeutics.

Here, we present a longitudinal, multi-region, scRNA-seq atlas of the murine nasal

mucosa during primary and secondary IAV infection. Cataloguing the distribution and temporal

dynamics of the diverse cell types, subsets, and states present, we develop and apply a

compositional framework to understand tissue-scale changes occurring throughout primary and

memory responses to viral infection. Neutrophil activation responses following infection precede

broader type I/III IFN-stimulated responses in epithelial, myeloid, and lymphoid cells. By 8 dpi,

effector CD8 and *Ifng*+ CD4 T cell subsets accumulate alongside recently differentiated MDMs.

Following viral clearance at 14 dpi, T_{RM}-like cells and IgG+/IgA+ B cells appear in the nasal

mucosa, which has achieved distinct cellular composition from the naïve state with these adaptive

immune subsets being sustained until 60 dpi. Careful investigation of the epithelial cell

compartment also revealed a rare, previously undescribed KNIIFE cell subset. We validate and

localize the presence and accumulation of these cells, provide evidence for their interaction with

Cxcr6-expressing lymphocytes, and show co-expression of *Krt13*, *Cd274* (PD-L1), and *Cxcl16* on

the nasal floor following viral clearance. Employing the primary infection atlas to annotate and

interpret new secondary infection samples using both homologous and heterologous IAV strains

showed that rechallenge induces surprisingly widespread yet coordinated and accelerated

changes to cellular composition. We identify accelerated neutrophil, macrophage, and T cell

responses in memory with a reduced burden on epithelial cells to express the joint interferon and

proliferative response programs of primary infection.

The nasal mucosa consists of a multi-faceted epithelium that exhibits diverse responses

to IAV infection. Basal cells in the nasal mucosa give rise to epithelial cells reminiscent of

pseudostratified epithelium found in the trachea (Davis and Wypych, 2021), but we and others

have also captured several additional epithelial cell subsets not found in other parts of the airway.

Our atlas validates recent work in mice describing sustentacular cells, ionocytes, nasal tuft cells,

and serous cells (Brann et al., 2020; Ualiyeva et al., 2024). Moreover, we describe for the first

time several clusters of epithelial cells with undetermined function: (1) *Scgb-b27+Cck+*, (2)

Meg3+MHC-II+, (3) *Klk1*+*Fxyd2*+, and (4) KNIIFE cells. Except for the *Scgb-b27*+*Cck*+ cluster,

the remaining previously undescribed clusters all exist at low frequencies (< 1% of all epithelial

cells) in naïve mice and may have been missed in experiments without sufficient cell numbers or

utilized cell sorting.

The viral signaling and proliferative capacities of epithelial cells associate with COVID-19

disease trajectory (Sposito et al., 2021; Ziegler et al., 2021). Our data confirm that transient IFN-

responsive epithelial cell subsets, including cycling basal cells, arise in the nasal mucosa during

IAV infection. At 5 dpi, type I/III IFNs drive the response, while at 8 dpi, IFNγ levels are increased

alongside Th1-like cells. At 5 dpi, nasal basal cells co-expressed cell cycle and IFN-response

programs, which have been previously described as non-compatible in lungs (Broggi et al., 2020;

Major et al., 2020). Given the diverse roles of nasal epithelial cells and the need to protect

olfactory sensory neurons (Dumm et al., 2020), nasal basal cells may be more tolerant of IFN-

response signaling during proliferation than basal cells in the lower airways. Notably, epithelial

IFN-induced responses were significantly reduced upon rechallenge regardless of IAV strain. This

difference could reflect several non-exclusive mechanisms during recall, including an overall

reduction or shortening in IFN production or signaling, lower viral load, or a potential tolerized

basal cell state. Airway basal cells can develop transcriptional memory in vitro (Adamson et al.,

2022), but whether primary infection can confer durable memory to viral immunity, as has been

seen for allergic inflammation (Ordovas-Montanes et al., 2018), requires further investigation.

In addition to epithelial responses, IAV infection also precipitated a highly dynamic,

stepwise response by immune cells that was initially dominated by myeloid subsets. Specifically,

we observed a substantial early influx of neutrophils followed by monocytes responding to type

I/III IFNs that then differentiated into MDMs concurrent with the arrival of effector T cells

expressing IFNγ. The evidence for both resident (Yao et al., 2018) and recruited (Aegerter et al.,

2020) macrophages in the lungs to engage in memory responses suggests that a similar

phenomenon may occur in the nasal mucosa. After depleting circulating monocytes during acute

infection, MDM formation was markedly reduced, indicating that the majority of nasal MDMs at 8

dpi differentiated from newly recruited monocytes. Interestingly, during homologous memory

response MDMs, but not monocytes, increased in abundance 2 dprc even though overall myeloid

frequencies remained unchanged, suggesting that either recruited MDMs replaced local myeloid

cells or MDMs already present in the tissue expanded to exert antiviral effector functions. If the

latter, understanding the mechanisms by which enhanced myeloid function is maintained and

recalled in the nasal mucosa could yield a new avenue for designing improved mucosal vaccines

(Iijima and Iwasaki, 2014).

The role of adaptive immune responses to IAV infection have been well described in the
lower respiratory tract (Chapman and Topham, 2010; Krammer, 2019; McMaster et al., 2015;
Onodera et al., 2012; Slütter et al., 2013; Wein et al., 2019), but their dynamics and quality in the
nasal mucosa are less understood. Antibody-mediated immunity following primary and secondary
IAV infection has been described (Chen et al., 2018) and falls outside the scope of the present
study. Antibody-producing B cell response dynamics were variable in our model with sizeable
frequencies of IgA+ B cells detected in 1- or 2-of-3 mice at 14 dpi and low numbers of plasma
cells at 60 dpi during rechallenge. Flow cytometry validated increased frequencies of IgA+ cells
in RM and LNG at 14 dpi. Wellford et al., recently showed in an influenza B model that the OM
requires mucosa resident plasma cells to prevent transmission to the brain; the RM, alternatively,
can receive neutralizing antibodies from both serum and local plasma cells (Wellford et al., 2022).
To what extent local nasal plasma cell derived IgG and IgA play roles in stymying infection during
rechallenge, and whether non-neutralizing antibody functions help activate other immune subsets
(e.g., Fc receptor mediated signaling), must be further explored.

IAV infection of the nasal mucosa resulted in classical T cell responses with both antiviral
effector CD8 T cells expressing cytotoxic genes and Th1 CD4 T cells expressing *Ifng* and *Tnfrsf4*
(OX40) arising at 8 dpi. Proportionality analysis revealed their coordination with an influx of IFN-
Stim MDMs expressing *Cxcl9* and *Cxcl16*, and NICHES predicted several modes of
communication between all three clusters, suggesting MDMs, alongside DCs, may provide
activation signals for T cells in the nasal mucosa. While infectious titers waned between 2 and 8
dpi, effector T cell responses likely played a critical role in completely extinguishing IAV infection
by 14 dpi. Finding upward of ~50% of all T and NK cells by 14 dpi belonged to the T_{RM}-like cluster
in the RM tissue, we validate their presence and phenotype in the nasal cavity following IAV
infection (Pizzolla et al., 2017; Wiley et al., 2001). Unlike in the lung where T_{RM} cells quickly wane
following infection (Slütter et al., 2017), our data demonstrate robust frequencies out to 60 dpi
that are further amplified during rechallenge; moreover, in addition to the RM, we find T_{RM} in OM
and LNG tissue, where virus is only detected at low levels or not at all. While T_{RM} contribute to an
effective memory response upon rechallenge (Ariotti et al., 2014; McMaster et al., 2015; Schenkel
et al., 2014; Steinbach et al., 2016), differential expression across primary and rechallenge
responses of the *Cd103*+ CD8 T cell cluster in our dataset showed few significant gene
expression differences between time points; moreover, their relative proportion only increased by
~2-3x over levels at 60 dpi depending on the strain of IAV used. Together, T cell accumulation
was substantially higher in a heterologous rechallenge setting compared to the matched strain,
suggesting potential compensatory mechanisms of protection without functional neutralizing

antibodies. Given recent work highlighting the importance of T_{RM} in mitigating nasal viral infections
and transmission (Mao et al., 2022; Pizzolla et al., 2017; Uddbäck et al., 2024), understanding
which cell subsets and signals establish, maintain, and expand the T_{RM} niche could help guide
mucosal vaccine strategies with heterotypic protection.

Following viral clearance, subsets of epithelial cells with potential immune signaling and
inflammatory regulation capacity substantially increased in abundance. In addition to a large
cluster of goblet/secretory cells with predicted DC/neutrophil communication ability, we also
discovered a subset of epithelial cells uniquely expressing *Krt13* and *Krt6a* in the nasal mucosa,
which we identify as **K**rt13+ **n**asal **i**mmune-**i**nteracting **f**loor **e**pithelial (KNIIFE) cells.
Phenotypically, KNIIFE cells were reminiscent of the recently described "hillock" cells in the
trachea expressing *Krt13, Ecm1*, and *Lgals3* (Montoro et al., 2018) and squamous cells in human
nasal swabs and biopsies (Deprez et al., 2020; Ziegler et al., 2021). However, KNIIFE cells also
expressed several genes often found in macrophages including *Cd274* (PD-L1), *Ifngr2*, *Tnf*, and
Cxcl16. This cluster was present at low levels throughout infection until expanding 14 dpi and
remained stable during rechallenge. At 14 dpi, we measured *Krt13* and *Cxcl16* co-expression in
situ nearby *Cxcr6* expressing cells, especially along the nasal floor. These results raise the
possibility that KNIIFE cells, by providing a source for CXCL16 beyond that expressed by myeloid
cells, may contribute to the establishment and/or maintenance of the resident memory T cell pool
in the nasal mucosa, as has been suggested for this chemokine pathway in other tissues (Clark
et al., 2006; Heim et al., 2023; Morgan et al., 2008; Tse et al., 2014; Wein et al., 2019). We use
"KNIIFE" as a convenient acronym for these cells, but their specific functions must still be
elucidated. The enrichment of KNIIFE cells along nasal floor and below the vomeronasal organ
prompts the question of whether these cells interact with particles or irritants just entering or
settling in the nose and play a regulatory role in tissue tolerance and/or immunity.

Compositional scRNA-seq analyses are becoming more common to discern differences
between disease trajectories (Ordovas-Montanes et al., 2018; Smillie et al., 2019; Zheng et al.,
2021), treatment groups (Darrah et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022b), and/or species (Chen et al.,
2022; Li et al., 2022). Current tools focus on identifying specific clusters or gene programs that
are compositionally distinct between groups (Büttner et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2019; Dann et al.,
2022). However, the power of compositional scRNA-seq data lies in its structure; namely, singular
changes in composition cannot be independent and must correspond with mutual changes in
other clusters/programs. Leveraging the biological replicates and multiple timepoints present in
our atlas, we utilized straightforward tools for compositional analysis adapted from microbiome
research (Gloor et al., 2017; Lin and Peddada, 2020; Quinn et al., 2018) to understand tissue-

scale changes within the nasal mucosa throughout IAV infection. PCA of center-log ratio

transformed cell cluster abundances across sample replicates separated nasal regions and

depicted structured stepwise changes in epithelial and immune cell subsets throughout infection

trajectory. Proportionality analysis, which avoids the spurious associations present in Pearson

correlation applied to compositional data (Lovell et al., 2015), revealed pairs and groups of

clusters with significantly similar compositional trajectories (e.g., IFN-stimulated MDMs and

effector CD4 and CD8 T cells) and can be readily applied to discover similarities across various

metadata. We note that proportionality will highlight those potential interactions where subsets

are changing together in relative abundance. Thus, any interactions that occur between subsets

where only changes in gene expression occur may be overlooked by this approach, but newer

tools are in development to assess prior interaction potential based on gene expression (Li et al.,

2023). Finally, metrics like Aitchison distance (Aitchison et al., 2000) capture holistic changes in

tissue-scale cellular composition and support standard tests for differences between group means

(e.g., Welch's ANOVA) to assess global similarity and compositional distance traveled by a tissue.

Applied to our datasets, the RM "travels" less during an "optimal" memory response to IAV than

during primary infection, suggesting prior infection induces a coordination of responses that were

previously unsynchronized. We propose that these approaches for analyzing scRNA-seq data

constitute a new framework for understanding and summarizing whole tissue- and biopsy-scale

changes in cellular composition at high resolution in health, disease, and/or under perturbation.

Collectively, our murine nasal mucosa atlas of primary IAV infection longitudinally

catalogues the cell types, subsets, and states present throughout distinct nasal regions. We

demonstrate the utility of our dataset to serve as an annotation reference for newly generated

scRNA-seq datasets and apply it to understand how the response to infection in the RM differs

during memory recall following distinct IAV rechallenges. These findings will help contextualize

temporal studies of the nose in humans with more complex exposure histories and highlight key

immune and epithelial cell responses to recapitulate in future nasal vaccines and therapeutics to

drive increased synchronicity in nasal memory responses.

Limitations of the study

First, we acknowledge that cluster abundance-based compositional analyses are inherently

dependent on how clustering was performed, and thus implicitly incorporates, to some degree,

operator bias. While we believe our approach to be as impartial as possible through use of

iterative clustering, it will be imperative to implement robust, reproducible clustering analyses (Hu

et al., 2019; Patterson-Cross et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2023) prior to compositional analysis

moving forward. Partial labeling of cells by hashing antibodies may also have obscured changes
in composition over time. Second, detection of IAV transcripts by scRNA-seq was limited. Other
studies have included spike-in primers to facilitate additional capture of viral nucleic acids
(Ratnasiri et al., 2023); it is possible that we were not sufficiently sensitive to IAV transcripts
without these spike-in primers. Also, cells productively infected with virus may not be sufficiently
viable through our tissue processing pipeline, as suggested by the viral reads detected by bulk
RNA-seq from tissue lysate, leading to artificially low numbers of cells containing IAV reads. Third,
to increase the relative proportion of non-epithelial cells in our scRNA-seq dataset, we performed
a partial EpCAM depletion using magnetic beads. This decision was made following experiments
comparing this approach to un-depleted RM tissue in naïve mice; we found that while depletion
reduced the relative abundance of some epithelial cell clusters, it did not result in the complete
loss of any cluster. Thus, the cellular compositions throughout the study represent the nasal
mucosa tissue after both dissociation and epithelial cell depletion and, therefore, do not reflect
the true frequencies of cell types within intact nasal mucosa. Nevertheless, our atlas can still be
used to assign cell cluster labels to new datasets where epithelial cells have not been depleted
and could inform spatial transcriptomics approaches to derive more accurate cell abundances in
vivo. While this dataset represents the largest scRNA-seq atlas of the murine nasal mucosa to
date, we may still be under sampling this complex tissue. Indeed, spatial transcriptomics and/or
multiplexed immunofluorescence approaches will help validate the spatial organization and
quantification of cell clusters defined here; however, given the complexity of the nasal mucosa
and difficulty in sectioning through the nasal bone, further work will need to be done to validate,
adapt and refine imaging protocols for this unique tissue. Additional experiments to test how other
respiratory pathogens and vaccination strategies impact the composition and timing of responses
in the nasal mucosa to IAV challenge are warranted (Rutigliano et al., 2010).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank members of the Ordovas-Montanes and von Andrian labs for insightful
discussions and advice. S.W.K was supported by the Cancer Research Institute's Irvington
Postdoctoral Fellowship. J.O.M is a New York Stem Cell Foundation – Robertson Investigator.
J.O.M was supported by the AbbVie-Harvard Medical School Alliance, the Richard and Susan
Smith Family Foundation, the AGA Research Foundation's AGA-Takeda Pharmaceuticals
Research Scholar Award in IBD – AGA2020-13-01, the HDDC Pilot and Feasibility P30
DK034854, the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust, The Pew Charitable Trusts
Biomedical Scholars, The Broad Next Generation Award, The Chan Zuckerberg Initiative

Pediatric Networks, The Mathers Foundation, The New York Stem Cell Foundation, NIH R01

HL162642, NIH R01 DE031928 and The Cell Discovery Network, a collaborative funded by The

Manton Foundation and The Warren Alpert Foundation at Boston Children's Hospital. This work

was supported by the AbbVie–HMS Alliance Program. Sequencing of the scRNA-seq libraries

was performed with AbbVie Inc. at the Genomics Research Center and Immunoprofiling Center

in collaboration with Steven Leonardo (former AbbVie employee), Abel Suarez-Fueyo, Neha

Chaudhary, Jozsef Karman (former AbbVie employee), Aridaman Pandit, and Amlan Biswas.

Imaging was performed with MicRoN and HMS Center for Immune Imaging at Harvard Medical

School. Anti-CCR2 antibody MC-21 and the X-31 IAV strain (A/HKx31) were generously provided

by Prof. Matthias Mack (Universität Regensburg) and Prof. Daniel Lingwood (Ragon Institute of

MGH, MIT, and Harvard) respectively. Training for the RNAscope experiments was facilitated by

Anoohya N. Muppirala and Meenakshi Rao at Boston Children's Hospital. Daniel Lingwood

(Ragon Institute of Mass General, MIT, and Harvard), Alex Shalek (MIT), Paolo Cadinu and

Jeffrey Moffitt (BCH), Colin Bingle (Sheffield) provided helpful feedback and discussion on

experimental design and interpretation of the results. Additionally, we would like to thank Susan

Westmoreland for insightful discussions as well as Shankar Subramanian, Michelle Cordoba

Gunter, Isabel Chico-Calero, Jochen Salfeld, and Mark Namchuk for supporting the AbbVie–HMS

Alliance Program Area 1 which enabled the scope of this work.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, S.W.K., C.M., U.H.vA., and J.O-M,;

Methodology, S.W.K., C.M., and M.M.;

Software, S.W.K., E.M.L., and T.J.L.;

Formal Analysis, S.W.K., C.M., E.M.L., and T.J.L.;

Investigation, S.W.K., C.M., E.M.L., M.M., E.O., J.M., K.N., and J.O-M.;

Data Curation, S.W.K.;

Writing – Original Draft, S.W.K and C.M.;

Writing – Review & Editing, S.W.K., C.M., E.M.L., U.H.vA., and J.O-M.;

Supervision, U.H.vA. and J.O-M.;

Funding Acquisition, S.W.K., U.H.vA., and J.O-M.

Declaration of Interests

896   S.W.K. reports compensation for consulting services with Monopteros Therapeutics, Flagship

897   Pioneering, and Radera Biosciences. J.O.M. reports compensation for consulting services with

METHODS
Resource availability
Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact Jose Ordovas-Montanes (jose.ordovas-montanes@childrens.harvard.edu).

Material availability

All the mouse lines used in this study are available from Jackson Laboratories. The anti-CCR2 antibody MC-21 was provided as a gift by Prof. Matthias Mack. This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

All sequencing data reported in this paper will be available in FASTQ read format and cellbender corrected gene expression matrix format at Gene Expression Omnibus upon publication as we plan to submit this work for pre-print. The annotated data can also be explored at the Broad Institute Single Cell Portal under study numbers SCP2216 and SCP2221. All the code generated and used to analyze the data reported in this paper will be available on GitHub in the jo-m-lab/IAV-nasal-sc-atlas repository.

Experimental model and subject details
Mice

All experiments were approved by the Harvard University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and run following NIH guidelines. C57BL/6J (B6) mice 6 to 8 weeks old were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and experiments commenced 1 to 3 weeks following their arrival. Mice were infected with 10^4 pfu PR8 in a 10μL volume that was administered by pipette dropwise to the nares to allow each drop to be inhaled (5μl/nostril). Mice were restrained during this administration but not anesthetized, to maintain the virus in the upper respiratory tract. For rechallenge experiments mice previous inoculated with 10^4 pfu PR8 were administered with either 10^4 pfu PR8 or 10^5 pfu X31 60 days after the initial PR8 infection. A higher dose of X31 was used in rechallenge given its reduced pathology (Rutigliano et al., 2014). All mice were housed in a BSL-2+ facility with specific pathogen free conditions.

Method details

Virus growth, quantification, and mouse infections

IAV strain A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8) and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were generously provided by Dr. Daniel Lingwood and Dr. Maya Sangesland of the Ragon Institute of Mass General, MIT, and Harvard. Virus was propagated and quantified in MDCK cells. MDCK cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in cell growth media: Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (DMEM) (Corning, #10-017-CV), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gemini #100-106), 1X Penicillin:Streptomycin (Gemini, 100X stock: 400109). PR8 was grown in MDCK cells in influenza growth media: Iscove's DMEM (Corning, # 10-016-CV), 0.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA; EMD Millipore, EM-2960), 1Xm Penicillin:Streptomycin, and 2µg/mL TPCK treated Trypsin (Sigma, T1426).

For viral load quantification experiments, mice were sacrificed in CO_2 and lungs and heads were separated. For the nasal cavity, fur and skin were removed and the lower jaws cut off. The entire nasal cavity or lungs were collected into 1mL PBS with 2.3mm Zirconia/Silica beads (Biospec Products, 11079125z) and stored on ice. The tissue was homogenized in an OMNI Bead Ruptor Elite at 3m/s for 30 seconds twice, centrifuged 500g for 5 minutes, and supernatant was collected and stored at -80°C until thawed for plaque assays. Virus titers were measured by plaque assays in confluent MDCK cells in 6-well plates. MDCK cells were grown in cell growth media, washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), then washed with influenza growth media. Media was removed and serial dilutions of viral supernatant in influenza growth media were added to each well in a 400µL volume, incubated for 1 hour at 37°C, then overlayed with 0.3% agarose in influenza growth media. Infected cells were incubated for three days at 37°C, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with crystal violet, washed, and plaques were counted.

Tissue harvesting, single-cell suspension preparation, and hashtag labeling

Three separate regions of the nasal tissue were harvested independently: (1) the respiratory mucosa (RM), (2) the olfactory mucosa (OM), and (3) the lateral nasal gland (LNG). The nasal tissue was collected by removing the skin and connective tissue from around the head, cutting off the lower jaw, and opening the nasal cavity by peeling away the nasal bone from the rest of the skull. Tissue separation and collection was performed using a dissection scope with a 4x objective. All nasal tissue surrounding the nasoturbinates, maxillary turbinates, and septum, including the mucosa that runs along the nasal lateral walls between the nasoturbinates and maxillary turbinate, and the mucosal tissue under the nasal bone that connects the nasoturbinates and septum, were collected together and constitute the RM. After removal of the RM the ethmoid turbinates were collected including both the mucosal tissue and the bone and cartilage of the

turbinates, but not the surrounding skull, constituting the OM. After removal of the OM, the LNG
was exposed and could be collected without any bone or cartilage. The nasal-associated
lymphoid tissue (NALT) was not collected in any of the three regions. Matched RM, OM, and LNG
regions were collected simultaneously from the three mice per time point, and each time point
was processed on a different day.

Each nasal tissue region was collected into 750µL Wash Media (RPMI 1640, 2% FBS, 10
mM HEPES, and 100U/ml penicillin G, 100µg/ml streptomycin) and stored on ice. Tissues were
chopped with scissors then 750µL Digestion Media (Wash Media with 100µg/mL Liberase (Sigma,
#5401127001) and 100µg/mL of DNAse I (Roche, #10104159001)) was added. Tissues were
incubated at 37°C with end-over-end rotation, 30 minutes for RM and OM, 20 minutes for LNG.
13.3µL EDTA (0.5M) was added to each sample and then cells were washed with HBSS Media
(HBSS (Ca, Mg Free, 500 mL), 10mM EDTA, 10mM HEPES, 2% FBS) and filtered through a
70µm nylon cell strainer. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation 500g for 10 minutes, resuspended
with ACK (Ammonium-Chloride-Potassium) lysis buffer for 1 minute on ice, and then diluted with
9mL HBSS Media and centrifuged 500g for 5 minutes twice. Cells were then resuspended in 1mL
Isolation Buffer (PBS, 0.1% BSA, 2mM EDTA) pre-mixed with 25µL anti-EpCAM-biotin-
Dynabeads (anti-EpCAM-biotin antibody (G8.8, Biolegend) bound to Dynabeads Biotin Binder
(ThermoFisher)) for a light epithelial cell depletion, incubated for 15 minutes on ice, washed with
Isolation Buffer and placed on a Dynamag for 2 minutes. Supernatants were collected, centrifuged
500g for 5 minutes, resuspended in 100µL Staining Buffer (PBS, 1% BSA, 0.01% Tween) and
10µL Fc block, and incubated on ice for 10 minutes. Next, 0.5µg Biolegend TotalSeq Hashing
antibodies B0301, B0302, or B0303 were added so that each mouse had all three nasal regions
(RM, OM, and LNG) stained with one of the three antibodies, and incubated on ice for 20 minutes.
Cells were then washed extensively to remove excess antibody with 10mL Staining Buffer and
centrifugation at 500g for 5 minutes twice. Cells were resuspended in Loading Buffer (PBS and
0.04% BSA), counted, and pooled equally (13,500 cells/sample) between three mice for each
region. Finally, each set of pooled cells were centrifuged 500g for 5 minutes and resuspended in
42µl Loading Buffer for downstream scRNA-seq processing.

1001

Single-cell RNA-seq

Pooled samples from each nasal region (RM, OM, and LNG) were processed using the
10x Genomics Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1 and Feature Barcoding Kit with dual
indices per the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 40,000 cells per pooled reaction were
loaded on the 10x Genomics Chromium Controller. Library quality was evaluated using the Agilent

TapeStation 4200 (Agilent). Prior to sequencing, the gene expression and hashtag libraries were
pooled 20:1. Sequencing was performed on either the NovaSeq 6000 or NextSeq 2000 (Illumina)
with an average RNA read depth of 16,000 reads/cell and hashtag read depth of 500 reads/cell.
1010

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Mice were euthanized in CO_2 and their heads following skin, fur, and lower jaw removal
were placed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1-4 hours on ice for fixation. Heads were transferred to
0.5M EDTA for 2-3 days at 4°C for bone decalcification. Heads were transferred to 30% sucrose
in PBS for cryoprotection for 2 days at 4°C then rapidly frozen in NEG-50 using dry ice. Frozen
heads were stored at -20°C until cryostat sectioning. Mouse nasal tissues were cut into 50-100μm
sections, permeabilized with 0.3% Tween in PBS (PBST) for 1 hour, then incubated overnight at
4°C with antibodies, DAPI, and Fc block at a 1:200 dilution in PBST. Antibodies used: anti-
Influenza A virus NS1 (PA5-32243, ThermoFisher), anti-acetyl-α-tubulin (Ly640, D20G3, Cell
Signaling Technology), anti-CD45 (30-F11, Biologend), anti-EpCAM (G8.8, Biolegend), anti-Krt13
(EPR3671, Abcam), anti-PD-L1 (10F.9G2, Biolegend), and anti-IgA (mA-6E1, ThermoFisher).
Samples were then washed 3 times with PBST in 15-minute intervals at room temperature,
mounted on glass slides with Prolong Gold, and visualized with an Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000
confocal laser scanning microscope.
1025

qPCR

RM tissue was collected as described above from mice and lysed in Buffer RLT (Qiagen)
+ 1% beta-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) via gentleMACS Octo Dissociator in M-Tubes (Miltenyi
Biotec). RNA was extracted from tissue lysate by RNAEasy Mini column purification (Qiagen)
following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was then generated following the SmartSeq II
protocol as previously described (Trombetta et al., 2014). qPCR was performed using TaqMan
reagents and probes (ThermoFisher) on a CFX384 Real-Time PCR System.
1033

Antibody-based depletion

Naïve or PR8 infected B6 mice were administered daily 20µg anti-CCR2 depleting
antibodies (MC-21 generously provided by Prof. Matthias Mack, Universität Regensburg) or rat
IgG2b,κ isotype control (Biolegend, #400644) intraperitoneally (i.p.). 24h following one
administration, blood was collected from naïve mice by tail vein bleed into FACS buffer (PBS,
0.5% BSA, 2mM EDTA) and stored on ice before processing for flow cytometry.

PR8 infected mice were administered antibodies 3, 4, and 5 dpi in 24h intervals. For this
experiment, mice were euthanized at 8 dpi.

1042

Flow cytometry

Blood was processed for flow cytometry by pelleting cells by centrifugation and
resuspending with ACK lysis buffer to remove RBCs. Cells were then washed with FACS buffer
and stained in 50 µL for flow cytometry using the following antibodies: anti-CCR2 (475301, R&D
Systems), anti-CD11b (M1/70, Biolegend), anti-CD19 (6D5, Biolegend), anti-CD3e (145-2C11,
Biolegend), anti-CD45 (30-F11, Biolegend), anti-Ly6C (HK1.4, Biolegend), anti-Ly6G (1A8,
Biolegend), and anti-NK1.1 (PK136, Biolegend). Cells were analyzed using the Beckman Coulter
CytoFLEX.

For RM tissue, mice were anesthetized i.p. with ketamine (100 mg/kg body weight) and
xylazine (10 mg/kg body weight) prior to euthanasia and administered 1µg anti-CD45 antibody
(30-F11, Biolegend) by retroorbital intravascular injection to label CD45+ cells in circulation. Mice
were then euthanized 3 minutes later in CO_2. RM tissue was processed as described above for
tissue harvesting and single-cell suspension preparation through ACK lysis and dilution. Cells
were then centrifuged and resuspended in 100 µL FACS buffer LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead
Cell Stain (ThermoFisher #L34966) per manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then washed and
stained for 30min at 4°C in the dark with Fc block diluted 1:200 and subsets of the following
antibodies: anti-CD103 (2E7, Biolegend), anti-CD11b (M1/70, Biolegend), anti-CD11c (HL3, BD
Biosciences), anti-CD19 (6D5, Biolegend), anti-CD3e (145-2C11, Biolegend), anti-CD4 (GK1.5,
Biolegend), anti-CD45 (30-F11, Biolegend), anti-CD64 (X54-5/7.1, Biolegend), anti-CD69
(H1.2F3, Biolegend), anti-CD8b (YTS156.7.7, Biolegend), anti-Ly6C (HK1.4, Biolegend), anti-
Ly6G (1A8, Biolegend), anti-F4/80 (BM8, Biolegend), anti-MHC-II (M5/114.15.2, Biolegend), and
anti-NK1.1 (PK136, Biolegend). To stain for Krt13, IgA, and IgK/L intracellularly, cells were fixed
and permeabilized using the eBioscience Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (ThermoFisher
#00-5523-00) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were stained with anti-Krt13
(EPR3671, abcam) at 1:200, or anti-IgA (mA-6E1, eBioscience), anti-IgK (187.1, BD
Biosciences), and anti-IgL (R26-46, BD Biosciences) at 1:100. Following staining, cells were
washed in FACS buffer, and analyzed. To determine cell counts, AccuCheck Counting Beads
(ThermoFisher #PCB100) were added to every sample.

1071

RNAscope Microscopy

RNA *in situ* hybridization was performed according to manufacturer's instructions for the

RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Reagent Kit v2 (Advanced Cell Diagnostics ACD, 323270) on

20 µm thin sections of fixed-frozen murine nasal mucosa tissue collected 14 dpi. We implemented

the following modifications to preserve tissue integrity: 1) 5 min PBS wash preceding initial baking

of slides was removed; 2) slides were baked for 30 min at 60°C following EtOH dehydration; 3)

target retrieval time was reduced to 5 min; 4) slides were baked for 60 min at 60°C following target

retrieval; and 5) tissue sections were incubated in Protease Plus instead of Protease III for milder

protease digestion. Probes used included Mm-Krt13 (ACD, 575341), Mm-Cxcr6-C2 (ACD,

871991-C2), Mm-Cxcl16-C3 (ACD, 466681-C3), and Mm-Cd274-C3 (ACD, 420501-C3).

Following signal amplification, Opal 520 (Akoya Biosciences, FP1487001KT), Opal 570 (Akoya

Biosciences, FP1488001KT), and Opal 690 (Akoya Biosciences, FP1497001KT) dyes were used,

diluted 1:1000 in TSA buffer (ACD, 322809). Nuclei were stained with DAPI and slides were

mounted with VECTASHIELD PLUS (Vector Laboratories, H-1900). Confocal images were

collected using an Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000 confocal laser scanning microscope.

1087

Quantification and statistical analysis

Single-cell RNA-seq alignment, cleanup, and pre-processing

To detect reads originating from IAV, we built a combined genome of mm10 (GRCm39)

and the sequences for PR8 (NCBI Taxonomy ID #211044). The eight PR8 genomic viral segment

sequences (NC_002023.1, NC_002022.1, NC_002021.1, NC_002020.1, NC_00219.1,

NC_2018.1, NC_002017.1, and NC_002016.1) and associated IAV gene annotations were added

to the GRCm39 FASTA and GTF files and processed using the CellRanger's built in "mkref"

function. Sequences were then aligned and quantified using this combined genome with the

CellRanger toolkit (v6.0.1) via Cumulus tools (Li et al., 2020)

(https://cumulus.readthedocs.io/en/stable/). Cell sample identity was assigned from the

measurement of TotalSeqB aligned counts using the cumulus demultiplexing tool for feature

barcoding, calling identity for any cell with at least 100 barcodes. To correct for transcript spill-

over, cellbender (Fleming et al., 2022) was applied to the raw output UMI matrices from

CellRanger with the following parameters: *expected_cells=30000*, *fpr=0.01,*

total_droplets_included=50000. Cellbender corrected cells were then filtered based on Unique

Molecular Identifiers (UMI) count (>750 & <10000), number of detected genes (>500), and

percentage of mitochondrial genes (<15%). Finally, cells labeled as doublets by demultiplexing

were removed.

1106

Iterative clustering, cell cluster annotation, and IAV+ cell calling

Downstream analysis was performed using Seurat (v.4.2.1) (Hao et al., 2021). Briefly, the entire primary infection dataset underwent normalization using the *scTransform* function followed by principal component analysis (PCA), shared nearest neighbors (SNN) graph generation, Louvain clustering, and UMAP embedding. Clustering was performed at multiple resolutions to help annotate similar and dissimilar clusters. Using clustering *resolution = 0.6,* cluster specific/enriched markers were calculated. Each cluster was labeled by major cell type based on the expression of known lineage markers (e.g., *Omp*, *Epcam*, *Ptprc, Flt1,* etc.). Doublet clusters were also annotated based on the lack of unique markers and/or the presence of multiple mutually exclusive lineage markers (e.g., *Omp+Ptprc+* cells). Following annotation, doublet clusters were removed, and the normalization/clustering/doublet removal process was repeated twice more (total of three times) until no doublet clusters were discernable.

The dataset was then divided into separate objects by cell type label for further subclustering. Following the same routine applied to the full dataset, clusters for each cell type were annotated with subset/state labels based on prior knowledge and previously published scRNAseq datasets of the nasal mucosa (Brann et al., 2020; Ualiyeva et al., 2024; Ziegler et al., 2021). After the first set of annotations in every cell type object, it was apparent that there were still intra-sample doublets present: mostly contaminating cell types, but also within cell type doublets (e.g., ionocyte/sustentacular doublets). These clusters were iteratively removed like in the analysis of the full dataset, for a total of three rounds in each cell type, yielding a total of 127 clusters across the whole dataset. To visualize these clusters' relationships and distribution across nasal regions, we built a cell cluster "phylogenetic tree" using ARBOL (Zheng et al., 2021), where the first tier encodes major cell type, the second tier encodes defined subtypes, and the third tier encodes cluster identity (**Figure S1F**).

We note that neurons, mostly olfactory sensory neurons, make up a large number of cells in our primary infection dataset (n > 50,000). Given their importance in mouse olfaction, and their broad distribution through the OE and OM (**Figure 1B**), we believe that their relative abundance in our scRNA-seq data is concordant with the anatomy and biology of the nasal mucosa.

Since IAV transcript capture was sparse, we classified IAV+ cells as any cell with 2 or more UMIs aligned to any IAV PR8 gene.

Compositional analyses

After removing multiplets, immune (>97.5%) and endothelial cells (89%) had nearly all cells assigned a sample replicate while neurons (30.7%), epithelial cells (65.9%), fibroblasts

(52.4%), and other stromal cells (56.4%) had lower sample annotation rates (**Figure S1E**). We

note that cells without a sample replicate assignment were excluded from all compositional

analyses. Within cell type frequencies were calculated on a per replicate basis by counting the

number of cells within each cluster label and dividing by the total number of cells for that cell type

captured in that replicate. For tissue- and region-level compositional analyses, cell cluster

abundances were calculated by deriving cell cluster frequencies over all labeled cells in each

sample replicate, scaling to 3,000 cells per replicate, and log transforming. Subsequent PCAs

were calculated using the center-log-ratio (clr) transformed data.

Proportionality analysis was performed using the propr package (v4.2.6) (Quinn et al.,

2017). We compared the proportionality statistic ρ, calculated from the clr transformed abundance

data, to standard Pearson correlation across all pairwise comparisons and found ρ to be more

stringent for significance cutoffs (FDR<0.05) generated by permutating testing (**Figure S8A**). We

built a network using Cytoscape (v3.9.1) comprised of all significantly proportional cell cluster

pairs to assess groups of cell clusters with similar cell abundance trajectories throughout the

infection time course.

RM sample replicate distances were calculated using the Aitchison distance (Aitchison et

al., 2000). Euclidean distance on the clr transformed data then calculated between all pairs of RM

sample replicates, yielding three distances within a timepoint and nine distances between two

timepoints. Statistics on Aitchison distances were performed using a one-sided non-parametric

Welch's ANOVA and Dunnett's T3 test for multiple comparisons in Prism.

1161

Neutrophil pseudotime analysis

The neutrophil pseudotime analysis was performed using diffusion mapping as previously

described (Grieshaber-Bouyer et al., 2021). A principal component analysis was run on all cells

assigned to granulocyte clusters, excluding mast cells. The first 20 principal components were

used to compute a cell-to-cell distance matrix using *1 – Pearson correlation coefficient* as the

distance metric. Using the destiny package in R (Angerer et al., 2016), we computed a diffusion

map with standard parameters with density normalization and rotate enabled. We manually

selected "Progenitor" cells as the root of the trajectory and used the DPT function to calculate the

pseudotime values, manually scaling the values from 0 to 1.

1171

Cell-cell signaling analysis

Three cell networks (IFN-stimulated MDMs : *Gzmk+* CD8 T cells : *Ifng+Cd200+* CD4 T

cells; *Cd103+* DCs : *Dusp2+Icam1+* mature neutrophils : *Gp2+Lyz2+* goblet/secretory cells*;*

Krt13+Il1a+ epithelial cells : *Cd103+* CD8 T cells : CD4 T cells) were selected based on high
proportionality throughout the primary infection time-course or specific biological interest to the
authors. Data for the clusters of interest in each network were then subset to RM and timepoint
of interest to best capture individual cells with sufficient spatial and temporal proximity to plausibly
interact, and re-normalized with Seurat's *NormalizeData* function. Since NICHES calculates the
multiplicative expression of ligand-receptor pairs from a random sampling of cells from each cell
type to predict cell-cell communication, Adaptively thresholded Low-Rank Approximation (ALRA)
imputation was applied to each cell network to reduce the impact of technical zeros due to
potential dropout events (Linderman et al., 2022). NICHES was then run on each cell network
individually, drawing from the OmniPath database of ligand-receptor pairs (Türei et al., 2016) to
generate a cell interaction object whereby rows are ligand-receptor pairs and columns are cell
type pairs (Raredon et al., 2023). These objects were then scaled and passed through principal
component analysis and UMAP dimensionality reduction to generate low-dimensional
embeddings of cell interactions. Differentially expressed interactions were identified using the
Seurat *FindAllMarkers* function, and highly differentially expressed interactions validated by
literature review were selected for display as heatmaps.
1191

RNAscope co-localization quantification
RNAscope quantification was carried out using ImageJ software on five fields of view
(FOV) from both the naïve and 14 dpi timepoints (n = 5/timepoint). Ten slices were selected in
the z-plane from each FOV to generate maximum intensity projections across 5 μm, and color
channels were separated using the "Split Channels" function. For each image, a threshold was
set for signal intensity in the *Krt13* channel, allowing generation of a mask of *Krt13* expression
that was subsequently overlaid on both the DAPI and *Cxcl16* channels to generate regions of
interest for further analysis. Within the region of interest in the DAPI channel, nuclei were manually
counted with the aid of the ImageJ plugin "Cell Counter," with inclusion of most segmented nuclei.
Within the region of interest in the *Cxcl16* channel, a threshold was set for *Cxcl16* expression with
the aid of a signal intensity histogram and then punctuate dots were counted automatically with
the "Analyze Particles" function. A measure of average *Cxcl16* puncta per DAPI-stained nuclei in
the *Krt13+* region was calculated for each FOV.
1205

Cluster annotation in memory samples
To assign cluster labels to new scRNA-seq datasets generated from the nasal mucosa,
we leveraged the structure of our data to test the label transfer methods provided in Seurat (Hao

et al., 2021) and scANVI (Xu et al., 2021). We separated the cells from one RM replicate from

each time point as a query dataset, using the remaining cells as the reference. With Seurat, we

implemented the FindTransferAnchors function on the scTransformed data, using the first 40 PCs

from the PCA as the reference. Labels were then assigned with the TransferData function using

either cell cluster or cell type identities. With scANVI, we first built a scVI model on the reference

data, and then a scANVI model using either cell cluster or cell type identities as labels. The

reference scANVI model was then used to train a model on the query data. We calculated the

percentage of correctly called cell labels using each method for both sets of labels and found

calling to be superior on the cell type level. Thus, we next repeated each procedure within each

cell type to learn cell cluster labels and found Seurat to perform better across all cell types (**Figure**

S9C). This approach was repeated using two other non-overlapping sets of sample replicates

(one per time point) to assess reproducibility. To further validate, we calculated cell cluster

abundances using the predicted cell cluster labels for the query replicates and projected into the

PCA calculated across the RM samples.

We next applied the two-step label transfer approach using Seurat to new data generated

from RM 60 dpi and 2 and 5 dprc. Before performing label transfer, we removed all hashtag

annotated cell doublets from the new dataset and applied the same filtering criteria as above. We

next performed *scTransform* and PCA on the new dataset. We then predicted cell type labels

using all cells from RM samples in the primary infection dataset for reference. We next removed

any cells from subsequent analysis that had a maximum prediction assignment score < 0.8 (i.e.,

80% is the greatest confidence in label prediction), making up 5% of the total dataset. Given our

loading strategy and number of intrasample doublets found in the primary infection dataset, we

chose a more stringent cutoff following cell type label prediction. Separating into each cell type

and using the processed data from the matching cell type in the primary infection dataset as

reference, we performed the same procedure. Here, we were more liberal, keeping all cells with

a maximum prediction assignment score ≥ 0.4 since very similar clusters within cell types could

receive almost equal prediction probability (e.g., Resting Basal and Abi3bp Resting Basal). With

cell cluster labels assigned, we then calculated cell cluster abundances as above and performed

downstream differential expression analysis.

1238

1239

Figure 1

Figure 1: Experimental design and the structure of the murine nasal mucosa

(A) Schema depicting the sampling scheme and three tissue regions collected and processed

for scRNA-seq: respiratory mucosa (RM), olfactory mucosa (OM), and lateral nasal gland

(LNG). To infect, 10^4 pfu Influenza A Virus (IAV) PR8 was administered intranasally

(5µl/nostril). dpi = days post infection

(B) Representative immunofluorescence images of coronal slices of the nasal mucosa from a

naïve mouse moving from anterior (left) to dorsal (right) staining for epithelial cells (EpCAM,

teal), immune cells (CD45, red), and ciliated cells/neurons (α-acetylated tubulin, green).

Distinct regions of the mucosa are labeled. Labeled white boxes outline higher resolution

images below. White arrows point to olfactory sensory nerve bundles; white arrowheads point

to cilia. The olfactory epithelium (OE) and respiratory epithelium (RE) both reside within the

collected RM tissue and are differentiated by morphology and the presence of olfactory

sensory neurons. NT = nasoturbinate; S = septum; MT = maxillary turbinate; VNO =

vomeronasal organ; LNG = lateral nasal gland; ET = ethmoid turbinate; NALT = nasal-

associated lymphoid tissue.

1257

Figure 2

Figure 2: Single-cell atlas of the nasal mucosa during primary IAV infection

(A) UMAP embedding of 156,572 nasal cells across three tissue regions and five time points

(n=3 per region/timepoint) colored by cell type. HSC = hematopoietic stem cell.

(B) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each cell type by

region and time point.

(C) Compositional principal component analysis (PCA) of all acute infection sample replicates.

Each point represents a sample replicate and distance reflects variation in cell cluster

abundance (scaled cell counts). Dots are colored by region and time point as in **B**.

(D) Infectious IAV PR8 quantification in plaque forming units (pfu) of the entire nasal mucosa.

(E) Summative scTransform-corrected UMI counts per cell across all IAV PR8 genes split by cell

type.

(F) Representative images of IAV infection in RM taken from mice 2 dpi (top) and 5 dpi (bottom).

Staining for EpCAM (teal), CD45 (red), and IAV-NS1 (yellow). Images on the right depict only

the signal in the IAV-NS1 channel.

(G) qPCR of *Ifnb1* (left), *Ifng* (center), and *Ifnl3* from RNA extracted from RM tissue. C_q ratios are

normalized by *Gapdh* C_q. n = 5 per time point.

(H) Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) Enrichment score for Hallmark Response to Interferon-

Alpha on total RM tissue lysate bulk RNA-seq data (n = 5/time point).

(I) Compositional PCA of all cell clusters from only RM samples.

(J) Cell cluster abundance loadings for PC1 (left) and PC2 (right) from (G). Cell cluster names

for several of the most negative and most positive weights for each PC are depicted.

1281

Figure 3

Figure 3: Epithelial cell subclustering reveals diverse subsets including transient IFN-responsive/cycling cells and rare cells with immune-interaction potential arising 14 dpi

(A)　UMAP embedding of 38,367 epithelial cells across 27 clusters.

(B)　Violin plot depicting epithelial lineage and subset marker expression levels (scTransform-
corrected log-normalized UMI counts) across all discovered clusters (see **Supplementary**
Table 1).

(C)　Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each cluster by
region and time point.

(D)　Relative frequencies of cells clustered as IFN-Stim as a proportion of all epithelial cells per
replicate RM sample. Only cells with assigned hash calls are included. Welch's t test, *p <
0.05.

(E)　IFN-Stim epithelial cell scores for signatures derived from airway basal cell cultures
stimulated with IFNα or IFNγ (Ziegler et al., 2020).

(F)　Same as in (D) for the cycling basal cell cluster.

(G)　Scatter plot of gene module scores for the Hallmark IFNα Response and Hallmark G2M
Checkpoint gene lists (MsigDB v7.5.1) in cycling basal cells. Density plots represent the
scatter plot data.

(H & I) Relative frequency plots of *Meg3*+MHC-II+ (G) and *Krt13*+*Il1a*+ (KNIIFE cells) (H) clusters
(left) as a proportion of all epithelial cells per replicate RM sample. Violin plots of select cluster
specific/enriched genes, except for *Epcam* and *Krt5* (FDR corrected p-values ≤ 10^{-242} by 1-
vs-rest Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test) (right).

(J)　Mice were infected with 10^4 PFU IAV PR8 and RM tissue was collected and stained
intracellularly for Krt13+ epithelial cells (n = 5/timepoint). Kruskal-Wallis test, *p < 0.05, **p <
0.01.

(K)　Representative immunofluorescence images of the very anterior nasal mucosa in naïve mice
(left) and 14 dpi (right) staining for Krt13 (green) and EpCAM (white).

(L)　Representative images within the region shown in (I) in naïve mice (top) and 14 dpi (bottom)
staining for Krt13 (green) and PD-L1 (red). Welch's t test, *p < 0.05.

1311

Figure 4

Figure 4: Immediate neutrophil responses are bolstered by recruited antiviral monocytes that differentiate into antiviral monocyte-derived macrophages

(A) UMAP embedding of 7,987 granulocytes across 10 clusters.

(B) Violin plot of assigned pseudotime values to all granulocytes (except mast cells) split by cluster identity. Purple (OM) and green (RM) bars depict in which regions each cluster can be found at high frequencies (see **Figure S4B**).

(C) Expression of blood neutrophil development genes annotated in (Xie et al., 2020) across
nasal mucosa neutrophil clusters.
(D) Relative frequencies of various neutrophil clusters as a proportion of all granulocytes per
replicate RM sample. Only cells with assigned hash calls are included. Welch's t test, *p <
0.05.
(E) UMAP embedding of 22,654 macrophages, monocytes, and dendritic cells (DCs) across 17
clusters.
(F) Relative frequencies of various myeloid cell clusters as a proportion of all macrophages,
monocytes, and DCs per replicate RM sample. Welch's t test, *p < 0.05.
(G) Volcano plots depicting differentially expressed genes ($|log2FC| \geq 0.5$; FDR < 0.01) between
IFN-Stim MDMs and IFN-Stim Mono (top) and between IFN-Stim MDMs and MHC-II-Hi Macs
(bottom). Only cells from RM were used in the differential expression analysis. Genes of
interest are labeled.
(H) Mice were infected with 10^4 pfu IAV PR8 and then treated on 3, 4, and 5 dpi with either MC-
21 (anti-CCR2; n=3) or IgG2b (isotype control; n=3). At 8 dpi mice received anti-CD45
intravascularly immediately prior to euthanasia to distinguish cells in the tissue from those in
circulation. Patrolling monocytes were distinguished from circulating monocytes based on
lower expression of Ly6C. Flow cytometry statistics are gated on CD45-EV+ cells; Welch's t
test, *p < 0.05.
1338

Figure 5

Figure 5: Effector CD4 and CD8 T cells 8 dpi are replaced by T_RM cells following viral clearance

(A) UMAP embedding of 6,573 T, NK, and innate lymphoid cells across 16 clusters.

(B & C) Relative frequencies of various T cell clusters as a proportion of all T and NK cells per replicate RM sample. Only cells with assigned hash calls are included.

(D) Mice were infected with 10^4 PFU IAV PR8 and RM tissue was collected to stain for T cells. Kruskal-Wallis, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

(E) Relative frequencies of *Cd103*+ CD8 T cells as a proportion of all T cells, NK cells, and innate lymphocytes per OM replicate sample (left) and LNG replicate sample (right).

(F) Violin plot depicting a gene module score derived from the universal T_RM signature as published in (Milner et al., 2017) across all CD8 T cell clusters for cells collected from RM. Cohen's D for effect size is reported between *Ccr7*+ CD8 T cells and each other cluster. Welch's t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

1353   (G) Dot plot of genes encoding for canonical surface markers, proteases, and transcription

1354   factors enriched or absent in T_{RM} cells from circulating memory and naïve CD8 T cells. Gene

1355   list derived from (Crowl et al., 2022).

1356

Figure 6

Figure 6: Proportionality and cell-cell communication analyses highlight the CXCL16–CXCR6 signaling pathway in T cell:MDM and T cell:KNlIFE cell interactions

(A) Hierarchically clustered similarity heatmap of sample replicate proportionality calculated across all RM primary infection time points. Black box surrounds the proportionality results for the cell clusters depicted in (B).

(B) Network of significantly proportional (FDR<0.01) cell clusters as in (A). Nodes are colored by
cell type and edge weight is representative of proportionality.

(C) Abundance plot of *Gzmk*+ CD8 T cells, IFN-Stim MDMs, and *Ifng+Cd200*+ CD4 T cells in
replicate RM samples. Smoothed lines are calculated using local polynomial regression
fitting.

(D) Heatmap depicting a subset of differentially expressed receptor-ligand interaction pairs
between single-cell pairs identified by NICHES (Raredon et al., 2023) for the clusters
depicted in (C); see **Supplementary Table 2**. Interaction expression is the multiplicative
expression of receptor and ligand gene expression for each member of a single-cell pair. See
for all receptor-ligand interaction pairs. Arrows highlight interactions described in the text.

(E) Representative immunofluorescence imaging of maxillary turbinate at 8 dpi staining for
EpCAM (gray), Cd8b (red), and MHC-II (green). White boxes show enlarged insets.

(F) Abundance plot of *Cd103*+ CD8 T cells, CD4 T cells, and *Krt13+Il1a*+ epithelial (KNIIFE)
cells in replicate RM samples.

(G) Dot plot of scTransform-corrected log-normalized *Cxcl16* and *Cxcr6* expression 8 dpi (left) in
the clusters depicted in (C) and 14 dpi (right) in the clusters depicted in (F).

(H) Heatmap like (D) for the clusters shown in (F).

(I) Representative RNAscope in situ staining for *Krt13* (gray)*, Cxcr6* (red)*, and Cd274* (i.e., PD-
L1; green) of the nasal floor in a naïve mouse and 14 dpi mouse. Images depict a maximal
intensity projection across 5 μm (10 slices) in the z-plane.

(J) RNAscope as in (I) staining with *Krt13* (gray)*, Cxcl6* (red)*, and Cxcl16* (green).

(K) Quantification of co-localization of *Krt13* and *Cxcl16* RNAs across multiple RNAscope
images from the nasal floor (n = 5/timepoint). Ratio of the number of *Cxcl16* spots per nucleus
within each *Krt13*+ region is reported. Welch's t test, $*p < 0.05$.

Figure 7

Figure 7: IAV rechallenge induces accelerated and coordinated memory immune responses

(A) Analysis scheme applied to RM samples to learn cell cluster identity.

(B) Schema depicting experimental design for IAV rechallenge experiments. Mice previously infected with PR8 were administered either PR8 (H1N1) or X31 (H3N2) 60 dpi and RM was sampled prior to rechallenge, 2 and 5 days post rechallenge (dprc).

(C) Relative frequencies of myeloid cells and T & NK cells as a proportion of all sequenced cells per RM replicate sample in primary infection and following rechallenge.

(D) Abundance plots of various clusters showing overlaid primary infection (green) and rechallenge responses with PR8 in blue and X31 in pink. Baseline refers to samples from naïve mice in primary infection and to samples from 60 dpi in rechallenge. dpc = days post challenge. Smoothed lines are calculated using local polynomial regression fitting.

(E) Dot plots depicting select cluster-specific and differentially expressed genes between primary infection and homologous and heterologous rechallenge in IFN-Stim MDMs (top left), *Ifng+Cd200*+ CD4 T cells (top right), *Gzmk*+ CD8 T cells (bottom left), and *Cd103*+ CD8 T cells (bottom right). Time points were chosen from peak responses in each challenge. Significantly enriched genes are labeled at each timepoint (*FDR<0.01). See **Supplementary Table 4** for all differentially expressed genes in each comparison.

(F) Compositional PCA of primary infection and secondary challenge RM sample replicates. Here, secondary challenge samples were projected into the PC space calculated across only primary infection samples (see **Figure 2H**).

(G, H, & I) Euclidean distances calculated between center log ratio transformed abundance values (Aitchison distance). The farther the Aitchison distance between two sample replicates, the less similar their compositions. (G) All pairs of naïve replicates and primary infection + 60 dpi replicates. (H) All pairs of 60 dpi replicates with matched PR8 rechallenge replicates. (I) All pairs of 60 dpi replicates with matched X31 rechallenge replicates. Dotted line plotted at the median naïve-naïve Aitchison distance (N-N). P values reported for multiple hypothesis corrected Welch's ANOVA. MD = mean difference; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001, ****p< 0.0001.

(J) Timeline schematic of primary IAV infection and rechallenge depicting viral load trajectory, IFN dynamics, and immune and epithelial cell cluster response timing and duration.

1420   *SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL*

Figure S1

Figure S1: Clustering and sample replicate assignment across nasal mucosa regions and

cell types

(A) Violin plots of representative genes used to assign cell type identity to clusters.

(B) Numbers of cells classified as each cell type across all samples, including cells that did not

receive a hash call.

(C) UMAP embedding as in **Figure 2A** colored by region and time point.

(D) UMAP as in (D) colored by hash call assignment. There are 45 sample replicates across the

dataset in addition to cells without definitive hash identities ("Unassigned").

(E) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportion of cells with assigned sample replicate

identity (i.e., hash call) by cell type. Singlet = single sample replicate call; unknown = too few

barcodes measured to assign a sample replicate identity.

(F) Cell lineage tree generated with ARBOL (Zheng et al., 2023) depicting all 127 clusters found

in the dataset through cell type subclustering. Branches are colored by cell type. Pie charts

at each branching point depict the relative proportion of cells from each nasal mucosa region.

Dot size at each end node is proportional to the number of cells assigned to that cluster. See

Supplementary Table 1 for all differentially expressed markers across clusters within each

cell type.

(G) Cell cluster abundance loadings from the PCA shown in **Figure 2C** for PC1 (left) and PC2

(right) from (F). Cell cluster names for several of the most negative and most positive weights

for each PC are depicted.

1442

Figure S2: Viral transcript capture, global antiviral responses, and changes in OM and LNG

composition

(A) Infectious IAV PR8 quantification in plaque forming units (pfu) of the entire lung.

(B) scTransform-corrected UMI counts for the IAV PR8 genes encoding NP (left) and HA (right)

by cell type.

(C & D) Number of PR8+ epithelial cells (D) and myeloid cells (E) by time point and region. PR8+

cells are classified by having at least 2 UMI aligning to PR8 genes.

(E) Log-normalized expression of PR8 genes in bulk RNA-seq samples generated from whole

RM tissue lysate (n = 5/timepoint).

(F & G) Representative images of IAV infection in OM (E) and LNG (F) taken from mice 2 dpi (top)

and 5 dpi (bottom). Staining for EpCAM (teal), CD45 (red), and IAV-NS1 (yellow). Images on

the right depict only the signal in the IAV-NS1 channel.

(H) Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) Enrichment score for Hallmark Response to Interferon-

Gamma on total RM tissue lysate bulk RNA-seq data (n = 5/time point)

(I & J) Compositional PCA of only OM samples (I) and only LNG samples (J).

1459

Figure S3

Figure S3: Epithelial cell heterogeneity and response cluster dynamics

(A) Violin plots depicting differentially expressed marker genes (FDR<0.01) across all 28 epithelial clusters (see **Supplementary Table 1**).

(B) Stacked bar charts depicting the relative proportions of mouse nasal mucosa epithelial cells annotated for each human nasal epithelial cell type from (Deprez et al., 2020) and (Ziegler et al., 2021) by label transfer. Cells with poor assignments (maximum prediction score < 0.4) were labeled as "unknown".

(C) Summative scTransform-corrected UMI counts across all 8 IAV genes by epithelial cell cluster. Clusters with ≥5 cells with more than 2 PR8 UMIs have their cluster names bolded in blue.

(D) Heatmap depicting all differentially expressed genes between PR8 positive (≥2 PR8 UMIs) and bystander IFN-Stim epithelial cells from RM 5 and 8 dpi. Scaled Pearson residuals from scTransform are plotted.

(E) Expression in IFN-Stim epithelial cells of representative IFNα and IFNγ induced ISGs from the stimulation signatures derived from airway basal cell cultures (Ziegler et al., 2020).

(F) Heatmap depicting all differentially expressed genes (FDR<0.01) in Cycling Basal cells from RM between timepoints. Scaled Pearson residuals from scTransform are plotted.

(G) Gene set analysis (hypergeometric test) of all differentially enriched genes in Cycling Basal cells compared to all other epithelial cell clusters (FDR<0.01). The Hallmark pathways from MsigDB (v7.5.1) were used. Inset: venn diagram showing the number genes that are within the Hallmark Apoptosis, E2F Targets, and Interferon Gamma Response pathways.

(H) Relative frequencies of *Emp1+Ccdc3+* basal cells (top) and *Gp2+Lyz2+* Gob/Sec cells (bottom) as a proportion of all epithelial cells per replicate RM sample. Only cells with assigned hash calls are included. Welch's t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

(I) Representative immunofluorescence images of the nasal mucosa 14 dpi taken more posterior than **Figure 3K** where the nasal mucosa connects to the oral cavity.

Figure S4: Granulocyte heterogeneity

(A) Violin plots depicting differentially expressed marker genes (FDR<0.01) across all 10 granulocyte clusters (see **Supplementary Table 1**).

(B) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each granulocyte cluster by region and time point.

(C) UMAP of granulocytes colored by pseudotime. Mast Cells were not included in the pseudotime analysis and are colored gray.

(D) Relative frequencies of Mast cells (left), progenitors (center), and cycling immature (right) as a proportion of all granulocytes per replicate OM sample.

Figure S5

Figure S5: Myeloid heterogeneity, viral+ cells, and monocyte depletion

(A) Violin plots depicting differentially expressed marker genes (FDR<0.01) across all 18
macrophage, monocyte, and DC clusters (see **Supplementary Table 1**).

(B) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each myeloid
cluster by region and time point.

(C) IFN-Stim monocyte and MDM scores for signatures derived from bone marrow-derived
macrophage cultures stimulated with IFNα or IFNγ (Liu et al., 2012).

(D) Expression in representative IFNα and IFNγ induced ISGs from (C).

(E) Summative scTransform-corrected UMI counts across all 8 IAV genes by myeloid cell cluster.
Clusters with ≥5 cells with more than 2 PR8 UMIs have their cluster names bolded in blue.

(F) Heatmap depicting all differentially expressed genes between PR8 positive (≥2 PR8 UMIs)
and bystander IFN-Stim MDMs from RM 8 dpi. Scaled Pearson residuals from scTransform
are plotted.

(G) Mice (n = 4) were treated i.p. with control antibody (top) or anti-CCR2 antibody (bottom) and
blood was collected 24 hours later for flow cytometry. Pre-gated on Dead–CD45+CD3–
CD19–CD11b+.

(H) Representative gating scheme for Ly6C+ and Ly6C++ monocytes in the nasal mucosa.

1517

Figure S6 (top right)

Figure S6: T cell, NK cell, and innate lymphocyte heterogeneity and T$_{RM}$ responses

(A) Violin plots depicting differentially expressed marker genes (FDR<0.01) across all 16 T cell,

NK cell, and innate lymphocyte cell clusters (see **Supplementary Table 1**).

(B) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each myeloid

cluster by region and time point.

(C) Relative frequencies of IFN-Stim NK cells (left), IFN-Stim T cells (middle), and

Gzma+Ctla2a+ NK cells (right) as a proportion of all T cells, NK cells, and innate lymphocytes

per RM replicate sample.

(D) Mice were infected with 10^4 PFU IAV PR8 and RM tissue was collected to stain for T cells.

Kruskal-Wallis, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

(E) Violin plot depicting a gene module score derived from the universal T circulating memory

(T_{CM}) cell signature as published in (Milner et al., 2017) across all CD8 T cell clusters.

Cohen's D for effect size is reported between *Ccr7+* CD8 T cells and each other cluster.

1532

Figure S7: B cell heterogeneity and cluster dynamics

(A) UMAP embedding of 10,167 B cells across 12 clusters.

(B) Violin plots depicting differentially expressed marker genes (FDR<0.01) across all 12 B cell
clusters (see **Supplementary Table 1**).

(C) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each B cell cluster
by region and time point.

(D) Relative frequencies of several B cell clusters as proportions of all B cells per RM replicate
sample (top), OM replicate sample (middle), and LNG replicate sample (bottom).

(E) Mice were infected with 10^4 PFU IAV PR8 and RM, OM, and LNG tissue were collected to
stain intracellularly for IgA cells. Welch's t test.

(F) Representative immunofluorescence images staining for IgA producing cells in the RM (left)
and LNG (right) in naïve mice (top) and 30 dpi (bottom). White arrows point to IgA+ cells in
the sparser images.

1547

Figure S8

1.

Figure S8: Proportionality and cell-cell communication analysis

(A) Histograms of calculated proportionality (left) and Pearson correlation (right) statistics across all RM sample replicates. The significance cutoff (FDR<0.05) for each statistic is marked by the red dashed line and was calculated from a background of 1000 permutations of the data.

(B) Network of all significantly proportional (FDR<0.01) cell clusters across all RM replicate samples. Nodes are colored by cell type and edge weight is representative of proportionality.

(C) Abundance plot of *Cd103*+ DCs, *Gp2*+*Lyz2*+ Gob/Sec cells, and *Dusp2*+*Icam1*+ Mature Neutrophils in replicate RM samples. Smoothed lines are calculated using local polynomial regression fitting.

(D) Heatmap depicting a subset of differentially expressed receptor-ligand interaction pairs between single-cell pairs identified by NICHES (Raredon et al., 2023) for the clusters depicted in (C); see **Supplementary Table 2**. Interaction expression is the multiplicative

1561   expression of receptor and ligand gene expression for each member of a single-cell pair.

1562   Arrows highlight interactions described in the text.

1563 (E) Quantification of nuclei and *Cxcl16* spots within *Krt13*+ regions of interest across 10

1564   RNAscope images in a naïve mouse and 14 dpi (n = 5/timepoint). Linear regression, p value

1565   reported for difference in intercepts.

1566

Figure S9: Validating label transfer methods to assign cell cluster identities to new nasal mucosa samples

Initially, one sample replicate per timepoint was separated from the RM dataset to be used as

a query trained using a reference made from the remaining sample replicates and unclassified

cells. The top and bottom depict results from Seurat and scANVI respectively.

(A) Heatmaps depicting the per-cluster on-target prediction frequency when calculated across

all 127 cluster labels.

(B) Heatmaps depicting the per-cell-type on-target prediction frequency when calculated across

the 9 cell type labels.

(C) After predicting cell type labels, new query and reference pairs were generated within each

cell type and label transfer was performed within each. Heatmaps depicting the per-cluster

on-target prediction frequency when calculated within all clusters within each respective cell

type.

Following comparison of Seurat and scANVI, the label transfer approach was reiterated two

more times in Seurat using other sets of replicates as the query and reference datasets.

(D) Percentage of cells with an accurate cell type label by cell type. Each dot reflects a distinct

query+reference comparison.

(E) Percentage of cells with an accurate cluster label by cell type. Each dot reflects a distinct

query+reference comparison.

(F) Compositional PCA from **Figure 2I** where the query sample replicates were projected using

the predicted cell cluster labels. Lines connect the query predicted sample compositions to

their matching compositions as determined by the initial clustering and labeling.

1590

Figure S10: Changes in RM composition following secondary challenge

(A) UMAP of all RM cells from the primary infection dataset (left) and the projected UMAP of all cells in the rechallenge dataset (right) colored by cell type.

(B) Stacked bar chart depicting the relative proportions of cells annotated for each cell type by time point. Cells from RM samples only.

(C) Infectious IAV PR8 quantification in pfu of the entire nasal mucosa (left) and lung (right) during IAV PR8 rechallenge.

(D) Relative frequencies of granulocytes and B cells as a proportion of all sequenced cells per RM replicate sample in primary infection and following rechallenge.

(E) Violin plot of select plasma cell specific/enriched genes (FDR corrected p-values $\leq 10^{-118}$ by 1-vs-rest Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test).

(F) Relative frequency of the plasma cell cluster as proportions of all B cells per RM replicate in rechallenge. Plasma cells were not found in the primary infection dataset.

(G) Abundance plots of various clusters showing overlaid primary infection (green) and rechallenge responses with PR8 in blue and X31 in pink. Baseline refers to samples from naïve mice in primary infection and to samples from 60 dpi in rechallenge. dpc = days post challenge. Smoothed lines are calculated using local polynomial regression fitting.

(H) Compositional PCA recalculated using both primary infection and secondary challenge RM sample replicates. PCs 1-2 (left) and 3-4 (right).

(I) Cell cluster abundance loadings for PC3 (E). Cell cluster names for several of the most negative and most positive weights for each PC are depicted.

(J) Heatmap depicting all pairwise Aitchison distances between all RM sample replicates.

Supplementary Table 1: Differentially expressed genes across clusters within each cell type. Differential expression analysis was performed across cells from all samples within each cell type using the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test.

Supplementary Table 2: Cell cluster counts per sample replicate across all regions and time points. Annotated counts for each sample replicate across all 127 clusters in the dataset. Abundances per sample, and the total number of cells recovered per reaction are also reported.

Supplementary Table 3: Differentially expressed receptor-ligand pairs identified by NICHES. Within each NICHES analysis, differential expression was performed across all cell-pairs at the specific timepoint of interest using the ROC test built into Seurat. See **Figure 6**.

1626

1627 **Supplementary Table 4: Differentially expressed genes between primary infection and**

1628 **secondary challenge.** Differential expression analysis ("bidomal" test) was performed between

1629 timepoints using cells from RM only within the specified clusters in **Figure 7E**.

1630

REFERENCES

Adamson, M. S., Nesic, S., Buness, A., Bayrak, K., Schmitz, S., Soler, S., Zillinger, T., Marx, S., Lambing, S., Andryka-Cegielski, K., et al. (2022). RIG-I activation primes and trains innate antiviral immune memory. 2022.10.27.514004.

Aegerter, H., Kulikauskaite, J., Crotta, S., Patel, H., Kelly, G., Hessel, E. M., Mack, M., Beinke, S. and Wack, A. (2020). Influenza-induced monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages confer prolonged antibacterial protection. _Nat Immunol_ 21, 145–157.

Aitchison, J., Barceló-Vidal, C., Martín-Fernández, J. A. and Pawlowsky-Glahn, V. (2000). Logratio Analysis and Compositional Distance. _Mathematical Geology_ 32, 271–275.

Angerer, P., Haghverdi, L., Büttner, M., Theis, F. J., Marr, C. and Buettner, F. (2016). destiny: diffusion maps for large-scale single-cell data in R. _Bioinformatics_ 32, 1241–1243.

Ariotti, S., Hogenbirk, M. A., Dijkgraaf, F. E., Visser, L. L., Hoekstra, M. E., Song, J.-Y., Jacobs, H., Haanen, J. B. and Schumacher, T. N. (2014). Skin-resident memory CD8+ T cells trigger a state of tissue-wide pathogen alert. _Science_ 346, 101–105.

Bastard, P., Rosen, L. B., Zhang, Q., Michailidis, E., Hoffmann, H.-H., Zhang, Y., Dorgham, K., Philippot, Q., Rosain, J., Béziat, V., et al. (2020). Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. _Science_ 370,.

Bastard, P., Zhang, Q., Zhang, S.-Y., Jouanguy, E. and Casanova, J.-L. (2022). Type I interferons and SARS-CoV-2: from cells to organisms. _Current Opinion in Immunology_ 74, 172–182.

Bosch, A. A. T. M., Biesbroek, G., Trzcinski, K., Sanders, E. A. M. and Bogaert, D. (2013). Viral and Bacterial Interactions in the Upper Respiratory Tract. _PLOS Pathogens_ 9, e1003057.

Bosch-Camós, L., Alonso, U., Esteve-Codina, A., Chang, C.-Y., Martín-Mur, B., Accensi, F., Muñoz, M., Navas, M. J., Dabad, M., Vidal, E., et al. (2022). Cross-protection against African swine fever virus upon intranasal vaccination is associated with an adaptive-innate immune crosstalk. _PLOS Pathogens_ 18, e1010931.

Boudreau, C. M. and Alter, G. (2019). Extra-Neutralizing FcR-Mediated Antibody Functions for a Universal Influenza Vaccine. _Frontiers in Immunology_ 10,.

Bouvier, N. M. and Lowen, A. C. (2010). Animal Models for Influenza Virus Pathogenesis and Transmission. _Viruses_ 2, 1530–1563.

Boyd, D. F., Allen, E. K., Randolph, A. G., Guo, X. J., Weng, Y., Sanders, C. J., Bajracharya, R., Lee, N. K., Guy, C. S., Vogel, P., et al. (2020). Exuberant fibroblast activity compromises lung function via ADAMTS4. _Nature_ 587, 466–471.

Brandes, M., Klauschen, F., Kuchen, S. and Germain, R. N. (2013). A Systems Analysis Identifies a Feedforward Inflammatory Circuit Leading to Lethal Influenza Infection. _Cell_ 154, 197–212.

Brann, D. H., Tsukahara, T., Weinreb, C., Lipovsek, M., Van den Berge, K., Gong, B., Chance,
R., Macaulay, I. C., Chou, H.-J., Fletcher, R. B., et al. (2020). Non-neuronal expression
of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying
COVID-19-associated anosmia. *Science Advances* 6, eabc5801.

Broggi, A., Ghosh, S., Sposito, B., Spreafico, R., Balzarini, F., Cascio, A. L., Clementi, N.,
Santis, M. D., Mancini, N., Granucci, F., et al. (2020). Type III interferons disrupt the lung
epithelial barrier upon viral recognition. *Science* 369, 706–712.

Büttner, M., Ostner, J., Müller, C. L., Theis, F. J. and Schubert, B. (2021). scCODA is a
Bayesian model for compositional single-cell data analysis. *Nat Commun* 12, 6876.

Cao, Y., Lin, Y., Ormerod, J. T., Yang, P., Yang, J. Y. H. and Lo, K. K. (2019). scDC: single cell
differential composition analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 20, 721.

Chapman, T. J. and Topham, D. J. (2010). Identification of a Unique Population of Tissue-
Memory CD4+ T Cells in the Airways after Influenza Infection That Is Dependent on the
Integrin VLA-1. *The Journal of Immunology* 184, 3841–3849.

Chen, X., Liu, S., Goraya, M. U., Maarouf, M., Huang, S. and Chen, J.-L. (2018). Host Immune
Response to Influenza A Virus Infection. *Front Immunol* 9, 320.

Chen, K., Zhang, J., Huang, Y., Tian, X., Yang, Y. and Dong, A. (2022). Single-cell RNA-seq
transcriptomic landscape of human and mouse islets and pathological alterations of
diabetes. *iScience* 25, 105366.

Clark, S. E. (2020). Commensal bacteria in the upper respiratory tract regulate susceptibility to
infection. *Current Opinion in Immunology* 66, 42–49.

Clark, R. A., Chong, B., Mirchandani, N., Brinster, N. K., Yamanaka, K., Dowgiert, R. K. and
Kupper, T. S. (2006). The Vast Majority of CLA+ T Cells Are Resident in Normal Skin1.
The Journal of Immunology 176, 4431–4439.

Costa-Martins, A. G., Mane, K., Lindsey, B. B., Ogava, R. L. T., Castro, Í., Jagne, Y. J., Sallah,
H. J., Armitage, E. P., Jarju, S., Ahadzie, B., et al. (2021). Prior upregulation of interferon
pathways in the nasopharynx impacts viral shedding following live attenuated influenza
vaccine challenge in children. *Cell Reports Medicine* 2, 100465.

Crowl, J. T., Heeg, M., Ferry, A., Milner, J. J., Omilusik, K. D., Toma, C., He, Z., Chang, J. T.
and Goldrath, A. W. (2022). Tissue-resident memory CD8+ T cells possess unique
transcriptional, epigenetic and functional adaptations to different tissue environments.
Nat Immunol 23, 1121–1131.

Dann, E., Henderson, N. C., Teichmann, S. A., Morgan, M. D. and Marioni, J. C. (2022).
Differential abundance testing on single-cell data using k-nearest neighbor graphs. *Nat
Biotechnol* 40, 245–253.

Darrah, P. A., Zeppa, J. J., Maiello, P., Hackney, J. A., Wadsworth, M. H., Hughes, T. K.,
Pokkali, S., Swanson, P. A., Grant, N. L., Rodgers, M. A., et al. (2020). Prevention of
tuberculosis in macaques after intravenous BCG immunization. *Nature* 577, 95–102.

Davis, J. D. and Wypych, T. P. (2021). Cellular and functional heterogeneity of the airway
1707   epithelium. *Mucosal Immunol* 14, 978–990.

1708   Denning, N.-L., Aziz, M., Murao, A., Gurien, S. D., Ochani, M., Prince, J. M. and Wang, P.
1709   (2020). Extracellular CIRP as an endogenous TREM-1 ligand to fuel inflammation in
1710   sepsis. *JCI Insight* 5,.

1711   Deprez, M., Zaragosi, L.-E., Truchi, M., Becavin, C., Ruiz García, S., Arguel, M.-J., Plaisant, M.,
1712   Magnone, V., Lebrigand, K., Abelanet, S., et al. (2020). A Single-Cell Atlas of the Human
1713   Healthy Airways. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 202, 1636–1645.

1714   Dumm, R. E., Wellford, S. A., Moseman, E. A. and Heaton, N. S. (2020). Heterogeneity of
1715   Antiviral Responses in the Upper Respiratory Tract Mediates Differential Non-lytic
1716   Clearance of Influenza Viruses. *Cell Reports* 32,.

1717   Fleming, S. J., Chaffin, M. D., Arduini, A., Akkad, A.-D., Banks, E., Marioni, J. C., Philippakis, A.
1718   A., Ellinor, P. T. and Babadi, M. (2022). Unsupervised removal of systematic background
1719   noise from droplet-based single-cell experiments using CellBender. 791699.

1720   Gardai, S. J., Xiao, Y.-Q., Dickinson, M., Nick, J. A., Voelker, D. R., Greene, K. E. and Henson,
1721   P. M. (2003). By Binding SIRPα or Calreticulin/CD91, Lung Collectins Act as Dual
1722   Function Surveillance Molecules to Suppress or Enhance Inflammation. *Cell* 115, 13–23.

1723   Gloor, G. B., Macklaim, J. M., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V. and Egozcue, J. J. (2017). Microbiome
1724   Datasets Are Compositional: And This Is Not Optional. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8,.

1725   Grieshaber-Bouyer, R., Radtke, F. A., Cunin, P., Stifano, G., Levescot, A., Vijaykumar, B.,
1726   Nelson-Maney, N., Blaustein, R. B., Monach, P. A. and Nigrovic, P. A. (2021). The
1727   neutrotime transcriptional signature defines a single continuum of neutrophils across
1728   biological compartments. *Nat Commun* 12, 2856.

1729   Habibi, M. S., Thwaites, R. S., Chang, M., Jozwik, A., Paras, A., Kirsebom, F., Varese, A.,
1730   Owen, A., Cuthbertson, L., James, P., et al. (2020). Neutrophilic inflammation in the
1731   respiratory mucosa predisposes to RSV infection. *Science* 370,.

1732   Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W. M., Zheng, S., Butler, A., Lee, M. J., Wilk, A.
1733   J., Darby, C., Zager, M., et al. (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data.
1734   *Cell* 184, 3573-3587.e29.

1735   Harkema, J. R., Carey, S. A. and Wagner, J. G. (2006). The Nose Revisited: A Brief Review of
1736   the Comparative Structure, Function, and Toxicologic Pathology of the Nasal Epithelium.
1737   *Toxicol Pathol* 34, 252–269.

1738   Heim, T. A., Lin, Z., Steele, M. M., Mudianto, T. and Lund, A. W. (2023). CXCR6 promotes
1739   dermal CD8+ T cell survival and transition to long-term tissue residence.
1740   2023.02.14.528487.

1741   Hu, M.-W., Kim, D. W., Liu, S., Zack, D. J., Blackshaw, S. and Qian, J. (2019). PanoView: An
1742   iterative clustering method for single-cell RNA sequencing data. *PLOS Computational*
1743   *Biology* 15, e1007040.

Hufford, M. M., Kim, T. S., Sun, J. and Braciale, T. J. (2015). The Effector T Cell Response to
Influenza Infection. In *Influenza Pathogenesis and Control - Volume II* (ed. Oldstone, M.
B. A.) and Compans, R. W.), pp. 423–455. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Ibricevic, A., Pekosz, A., Walter, M. J., Newby, C., Battaile, J. T., Brown, E. G., Holtzman, M. J.
and Brody, S. L. (2006). Influenza Virus Receptor Specificity and Cell Tropism in Mouse
and Human Airway Epithelial Cells. *Journal of Virology* 80, 7469–7480.

Iijima, N. and Iwasaki, A. (2014). A local macrophage chemokine network sustains protective
tissue-resident memory CD4 T cells. *Science* 346, 93–98.

Iuliano, A. D., Roguski, K. M., Chang, H. H., Muscatello, D. J., Palekar, R., Tempia, S., Cohen,
C., Gran, J. M., Schanzer, D., Cowling, B. J., et al. (2018). Estimates of global seasonal
influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. *Lancet* 391, 1285–1300.

Iwasaki, A. and Medzhitov, R. (2015). Control of adaptive immunity by the innate immune
system. *Nat. Immunol.* 16, 343–353.

Jiang, X., Clark, R. A., Liu, L., Wagers, A. J., Fuhlbrigge, R. C. and Kupper, T. S. (2012). Skin
infection generates non-migratory memory CD8+ TRM cells providing global skin
immunity. *Nature* 483, 227–231.

Johansson, C. and Kirsebom, F. C. M. (2021). Neutrophils in respiratory viral infections.
Mucosal Immunol 14, 815–827.

Johnson, P. R., Feldman, S., Thompson, J. M., Mahoney, J. D. and Wright, P. F. (1986).
Immunity to Influenza A Virus Infection in Young Children: A Comparison of Natural
Infection, Live Cold-Adapted Vaccine, and Inactivated Vaccine. *The Journal of Infectious
Diseases* 154, 121–127.

Johnson Jr., P. R., Feldman, S., Thompson, J. M., Mahoney, J. D. and Wright, P. F. (1985).
Comparison of long-term systemic and secretory antibody responses in children given
live, attenuated, or inactivated influenza A vaccine. *Journal of Medical Virology* 17, 325–
335.

Jung, S.-H., Hwang, B.-H., Shin, S., Park, E.-H., Park, S.-H., Kim, C. W., Kim, E., Choo, E.,
Choi, I. J., Swirski, F. K., et al. (2022). Spatiotemporal dynamics of macrophage
heterogeneity and a potential function of Trem2hi macrophages in infarcted hearts. *Nat
Commun* 13, 4580.

Kadoki, M., Patil, A., Thaiss, C. C., Brooks, D. J., Pandey, S., Deep, D., Alvarez, D., Andrian, U.
H. von, Wagers, A. J., Nakai, K., et al. (2017). Organism-Level Analysis of Vaccination
Reveals Networks of Protection across Tissues. *Cell* 171, 398-413.e21.

Kaufmann, E., Sanz, J., Dunn, J. L., Khan, N., Mendonça, L. E., Pacis, A., Tzelepis, F., Pernet,
E., Dumaine, A., Grenier, J.-C., et al. (2018). BCG Educates Hematopoietic Stem Cells
to Generate Protective Innate Immunity against Tuberculosis. *Cell* 172, 176-190.e19.

Kim, Y.-M. and Shin, E.-C. (2021). Type I and III interferon responses in SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Exp Mol Med 53, 750–760.

Klinkhammer, J., Schnepf, D., Ye, L., Schwaderlapp, M., Gad, H. H., Hartmann, R., Garcin, D.,
Mahlaƙõiv, T. and Staeheli, P. (2018). IFN-λ prevents influenza virus spread from the
upper airways to the lungs and limits virus transmission. *eLife* 7, e33354.

Kochs, G., García-Sastre, A. and Martínez-Sobrido, L. (2007). Multiple Anti-Interferon Actions of
the Influenza A Virus NS1 Protein. *J Virol* 81, 7011–7021.

Krammer, F. (2019). The human antibody response to influenza A virus infection and
vaccination. *Nat Rev Immunol* 19, 383–397.

Lavelle, E. C. and Ward, R. W. (2022). Mucosal vaccines — fortifying the frontiers. *Nat Rev*
Immunol 22, 236–250.

Li, B., Gould, J., Yang, Y., Sarkizova, S., Tabaka, M., Ashenberg, O., Rosen, Y., Slyper, M.,
Kowalczyk, M. S., Villani, A.-C., et al. (2020). Cumulus provides cloud-based data
analysis for large-scale single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-seq. *Nat Methods* 17, 793–
798.

Li, H., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, M., Hong, F., Wang, H., Cui, A., Zhao, J., Ji, W. and Chen,
Y.-G. (2022). Cross-species single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals divergence of cell
composition and functions in mammalian ileum epithelium. *Cell Regeneration* 11, 19.

Li, J., Hubisz, M. J., Earlie, E. M., Duran, M. A., Hong, C., Varela, A. A., Lettera, E., Deyell, M.,
Tavora, B., Havel, J. J., et al. (2023). Non-cell-autonomous cancer progression from
chromosomal instability. *Nature* 620, 1080–1088.

Liew, F., Talwar, S., Cross, A., Willett, B. J., Scott, S., Logan, N., Siggins, M. K., Swieboda, D.,
Sidhu, J. K., Efstathiou, C., et al. (2023). SARS-CoV-2-specific nasal IgA wanes 9
months after hospitalisation with COVID-19 and is not induced by subsequent
vaccination. *eBioMedicine* 87,.

Lin, H. and Peddada, S. D. (2020). Analysis of microbial compositions: a review of normalization
and differential abundance analysis. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* 6, 1–13.

Linderman, G. C., Zhao, J., Roulis, M., Bielecki, P., Flavell, R. A., Nadler, B. and Kluger, Y.
(2022). Zero-preserving imputation of single-cell RNA-seq data. *Nat Commun* 13, 192.

Liu, S.-Y., Sanchez, D. J., Aliyari, R., Lu, S. and Cheng, G. (2012). Systematic identification of
type I and type II interferon-induced antiviral factors. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences 109, 4239–4244.

Lovell, D., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Egozcue, J. J., Marguerat, S. and Bähler, J. (2015).
Proportionality: A Valid Alternative to Correlation for Relative Data. *PLOS Computational*
Biology 11, e1004075.

Mack, M., Cihak, J., Simonis, C., Luckow, B., Proudfoot, A. E. I., Plachý, J., Brühl, H., Frink, M.,
Anders, H.-J., Vielhauer, V., et al. (2001). Expression and Characterization of the
Chemokine Receptors CCR2 and CCR5 in Mice1. *The Journal of Immunology* 166,
4697–4704.

MacLean, A. J., Richmond, N., Koneva, L., Attar, M., Medina, C. A. P., Thornton, E. E., Gomes,
A. C., El-Turabi, A., Bachmann, M. F., Rijal, P., et al. (2022). Secondary influenza
challenge triggers resident memory B cell migration and rapid relocation to boost
antibody secretion at infected sites. *Immunity* 55, 718-733.e8.

Major, J., Crotta, S., Llorian, M., McCabe, T. M., Gad, H. H., Priestnall, S. L., Hartmann, R. and
Wack, A. (2020). Type I and III interferons disrupt lung epithelial repair during recovery
from viral infection. *Science* 369, 712–717.

Manicassamy, B., Manicassamy, S., Belicha-Villanueva, A., Pisanelli, G., Pulendran, B. and
García-Sastre, A. (2010). Analysis of in vivo dynamics of influenza virus infection in mice
using a GFP reporter virus. *PNAS* 107, 11531–11536.

Mao, T., Israelow, B., Peña-Hernández, M. A., Suberi, A., Zhou, L., Luyten, S., Reschke, M.,
Dong, H., Homer, R. J., Saltzman, W. M., et al. (2022). Unadjuvanted intranasal spike
vaccine elicits protective mucosal immunity against sarbecoviruses. *Science* 378,
eabo2523.

Matsuoka, Y., Lamirande, E. W. and Subbarao, K. (2009). The mouse model for influenza. *Curr*
Protoc Microbiol Chapter 15, Unit 15G.3.

McMaster, S. R., Wilson, J. J., Wang, H. and Kohlmeier, J. E. (2015). Airway-Resident Memory
CD8 T Cells Provide Antigen-Specific Protection against Respiratory Virus Challenge
through Rapid IFN-γ Production. *The Journal of Immunology* 195, 203–209.

Milner, J. J., Toma, C., Yu, B., Zhang, K., Omilusik, K., Phan, A. T., Wang, D., Getzler, A. J.,
Nguyen, T., Crotty, S., et al. (2017). Runx3 programs CD8+ T cell residency in non-
lymphoid tissues and tumours. *Nature* 552, 253–257.

Montoro, D. T., Haber, A. L., Biton, M., Vinarsky, V., Lin, B., Birket, S. E., Yuan, F., Chen, S.,
Leung, H. M., Villoria, J., et al. (2018). A revised airway epithelial hierarchy includes
CFTR-expressing ionocytes. *Nature* 560, 319–324.

Morens, D. M., Taubenberger, J. K. and Fauci, A. S. (2023). Rethinking next-generation
vaccines for coronaviruses, influenzaviruses, and other respiratory viruses. *Cell Host &*
Microbe 31, 146–157.

Morgan, A. J., Guillen, C., Symon, F. A., Birring, S. S., Campbell, J. J. and Wardlaw, A. J.
(2008). CXCR6 identifies a putative population of retained human lung T cells
characterised by co-expression of activation markers. *Immunobiology* 213, 599–608.

Moriyama, M., Chen, I.-Y., Kawaguchi, A., Koshiba, T., Nagata, K., Takeyama, H., Hasegawa,
H. and Ichinohe, T. (2016). The RNA- and TRIM25-Binding Domains of Influenza Virus
NS1 Protein Are Essential for Suppression of NLRP3 Inflammasome-Mediated
Interleukin-1β Secretion. *Journal of Virology* 90, 4105–4114.

Ols, S., Yang, L., Thompson, E. A., Pushparaj, P., Tran, K., Liang, F., Lin, A., Eriksson, B.,
Karlsson Hedestam, G. B., Wyatt, R. T., et al. (2020). Route of Vaccine Administration
Alters Antigen Trafficking but Not Innate or Adaptive Immunity. *Cell Reports* 30, 3964-
3971.e7.

Onodera, T., Takahashi, Y., Yokoi, Y., Ato, M., Kodama, Y., Hachimura, S., Kurosaki, T. and
Kobayashi, K. (2012). Memory B cells in the lung participate in protective humoral
immune responses to pulmonary influenza virus reinfection. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences 109, 2485–2490.

Ordovas-Montanes, J., Dwyer, D. F., Nyquist, S. K., Buchheit, K. M., Vukovic, M., Deb, C.,
Wadsworth, M. H., Hughes, T. K., Kazer, S. W., Yoshimoto, E., et al. (2018). Allergic
inflammatory memory in human respiratory epithelial progenitor cells. *Nature* 560, 649.

Ordovas-Montanes, J., Beyaz, S., Rakoff-Nahoum, S. and Shalek, A. K. (2020). Distribution and
storage of inflammatory memory in barrier tissues. *Nat Rev Immunol* 1–13.

Park, A. and Iwasaki, A. (2020). Type I and Type III Interferons – Induction, Signaling, Evasion,
and Application to Combat COVID-19. *Cell Host Microbe* 27, 870–878.

Patterson-Cross, R. B., Levine, A. J. and Menon, V. (2021). Selecting single cell clustering
parameter values using subsampling-based robustness metrics. *BMC Bioinformatics* 22,
39.

Pizzolla, A., Nguyen, T. H. O., Smith, J. M., Brooks, A. G., Kedzierska, K., Heath, W. R.,
Reading, P. C. and Wakim, L. M. (2017). Resident memory CD8+ T cells in the upper
respiratory tract prevent pulmonary influenza virus infection. *Science Immunology* 2,.

Quinn, T. P., Richardson, M. F., Lovell, D. and Crowley, T. M. (2017). propr: An R-package for
Identifying Proportionally Abundant Features Using Compositional Data Analysis. *Sci*
Rep 7, 16252.

Quinn, T. P., Erb, I., Richardson, M. F. and Crowley, T. M. (2018). Understanding sequencing
data as compositions: an outlook and review. *Bioinformatics* 34, 2870–2878.

Raredon, M. S. B., Yang, J., Kothapalli, N., Lewis, W., Kaminski, N., Niklason, L. E. and Kluger,
Y. (2023). Comprehensive visualization of cell–cell interactions in single-cell and spatial
transcriptomics with NICHES. *Bioinformatics* 39, btac775.

Ratnasiri, K., Wilk, A. J., Lee, M. J., Khatri, P. and Blish, C. A. (2023). Single-cell RNA-seq
methods to interrogate virus-host interactions. *Semin Immunopathol* 45, 71–89.

Rossen, R. D., Butler, W. T., Waldman, R. H., Alford, R. H., Hornick, R. B., Togo, Y. and Kasel,
J. A. (1970). The Proteins in Nasal Secretion: II. A Longitudinal Study of IgA and
Neutralizing Antibody Levels in Nasal Washings From Men Infected With Influenza
Virus. *JAMA* 211, 1157–1161.

Roth, G. A., Abate, D., Abate, K. H., Abay, S. M., Abbafati, C., Abbasi, N., Abbastabar, H., Abd-
Allah, F., Abdela, J., Abdelalim, A., et al. (2018). Global, regional, and national age-sex-
specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a
systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 392,
1736–1788.

Russell, M. W., Moldoveanu, Z., Ogra, P. L. and Mestecky, J. (2020). Mucosal Immunity in
COVID-19: A Neglected but Critical Aspect of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Frontiers in*
Immunology 11,.

Rutigliano, J. A., Morris, M. Y., Yue, W., Keating, R., Webby, R. J., Thomas, P. G. and Doherty,
1898   P. C. (2010). Protective Memory Responses Are Modulated by Priming Events prior to
1899   Challenge. *J Virol* 84, 1047–1056.

1900 Rutigliano, J. A., Sharma, S., Morris, M. Y., Oguin, T. H., McClaren, J. L., Doherty, P. C. and
1901   Thomas, P. G. (2014). Highly Pathological Influenza A Virus Infection Is Associated with
1902   Augmented Expression of PD-1 by Functionally Compromised Virus-Specific CD8+ T
1903   Cells. *Journal of Virology* 88, 1636–1651.

1904 Schenkel, J. M., Fraser, K. A., Beura, L. K., Pauken, K. E., Vezys, V. and Masopust, D. (2014).
1905   Resident memory CD8 T cells trigger protective innate and adaptive immune responses.
1906   *Science* 346, 98–101.

1907 Schneider, M. A., Brühl, H., Wechselberger, A., Cihak, J., Stangassinger, M., Schlöndorff, D.
1908   and Mack, M. (2005). In vitro and in vivo properties of a dimeric bispecific single-chain
1909   antibody IgG-fusion protein for depletion of CCR2+ target cells in mice. *European
1910   Journal of Immunology* 35, 987–995.

1911 Shinya, K., Ebina, M., Yamada, S., Ono, M., Kasai, N. and Kawaoka, Y. (2006). Influenza virus
1912   receptors in the human airway. *Nature* 440, 435–436.

1913 Slütter, B., Pewe, L. L., Kaech, S. M. and Harty, J. T. (2013). Lung Airway-Surveilling CXCR3hi
1914   Memory CD8+ T Cells Are Critical for Protection against Influenza A Virus. *Immunity* 39,
1915   939–948.

1916 Slütter, B., Van Braeckel-Budimir, N., Abboud, G., Varga, S. M., Salek-Ardakani, S. and Harty,
1917   J. T. (2017). Dynamics of influenza-induced lung-resident memory T cells underlie
1918   waning heterosubtypic immunity. *Science Immunology* 2, eaag2031.

1919 Smillie, C. S., Biton, M., Ordovas-Montanes, J., Sullivan, K. M., Burgin, G., Graham, D. B.,
1920   Herbst, R. H., Rogel, N., Slyper, M., Waldman, J., et al. (2019). Intra- and Inter-cellular
1921   Rewiring of the Human Colon during Ulcerative Colitis. *Cell* 178, 714-730.e22.

1922 Sposito, B., Broggi, A., Pandolfi, L., Crotta, S., Clementi, N., Ferrarese, R., Sisti, S., Criscuolo,
1923   E., Spreafico, R., Long, J. M., et al. (2021). The interferon landscape along the
1924   respiratory tract impacts the severity of COVID-19. *Cell* 184, 4953-4968.e16.

1925 Stary, G., Olive, A., Radovic-Moreno, A. F., Gondek, D., Alvarez, D., Basto, P. A., Perro, M.,
1926   Vrbanac, V. D., Tager, A. M., Shi, J., et al. (2015). VACCINES. A mucosal vaccine
1927   against Chlamydia trachomatis generates two waves of protective memory T cells.
1928   *Science* 348, aaa8205.

1929 Steinbach, K., Vincenti, I., Kreutzfeldt, M., Page, N., Muschaweckh, A., Wagner, I., Drexler, I.,
1930   Pinschewer, D., Korn, T. and Merkler, D. (2016). Brain-resident memory T cells
1931   represent an autonomous cytotoxic barrier to viral infection. *Journal of Experimental
1932   Medicine* 213, 1571–1587.

1933 Sterlin, D., Mathian, A., Miyara, M., Mohr, A., Anna, F., Claër, L., Quentric, P., Fadlallah, J.,
1934   Devilliers, H., Ghillani, P., et al. (2021). IgA dominates the early neutralizing antibody
1935   response to SARS-CoV-2. *Science Translational Medicine* 13, eabd2223.

Steuerman, Y., Cohen, M., Peshes-Yaloz, N., Valadarsky, L., Cohn, O., David, E., Frishberg, A.,
Mayo, L., Bacharach, E., Amit, I., et al. (2018). Dissection of Influenza Infection In Vivo
by Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. *Cell Syst* 6, 679-691.e4.

Takaba, H., Morishita, Y., Tomofuji, Y., Danks, L., Nitta, T., Komatsu, N., Kodama, T. and
Takayanagi, H. (2015). Fezf2 Orchestrates a Thymic Program of Self-Antigen
Expression for Immune Tolerance. *Cell* 163, 975–987.

Tang, B. M., Shojaei, M., Teoh, S., Meyers, A., Ho, J., Ball, T. B., Keynan, Y., Pisipati, A.,
Kumar, A., Eisen, D. P., et al. (2019). Neutrophils-related host factors associated with
severe disease and fatality in patients with influenza infection. *Nat Commun* 10, 3422.

Trombetta, J. J., Gennert, D., Lu, D., Satija, R., Shalek, A. K. and Regev, A. (2014). Preparation
of Single-Cell RNA-Seq Libraries for Next Generation Sequencing. *Current Protocols in*
Molecular Biology 107,.

Tse, S.-W., Radtke, A. J., Espinosa, D. A., Cockburn, I. A. and Zavala, F. (2014). The
Chemokine Receptor CXCR6 Is Required for the Maintenance of Liver Memory CD8+ T
Cells Specific for Infectious Pathogens. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 210, 1508–
1516.

Türei, D., Korcsmáros, T. and Saez-Rodriguez, J. (2016). OmniPath: guidelines and gateway for
literature-curated signaling pathway resources. *Nat Methods* 13, 966–967.

Ualiyeva, S., Lemire, E., Wong, C., Perniss, A., Boyd, A. A., Avilés, E. C., Minichetti, D. G.,
Maxfield, A., Roditi, R., Matsumoto, I., et al. (2024). A nasal cell atlas reveals
heterogeneity of tuft cells and their role in directing olfactory stem cell proliferation.
Science Immunology 9, eabq4341.

Uddbäck, I., Michalets, S. E., Saha, A., Mattingly, C., Kost, K. N., Williams, M. E., Lawrence, L.
A., Hicks, S. L., Lowen, A. C., Ahmed, H., et al. (2024). Prevention of respiratory virus
transmission by resident memory CD8+ T cells. *Nature* 626, 392–400.

Wein, A. N., McMaster, S. R., Takamura, S., Dunbar, P. R., Cartwright, E. K., Hayward, S. L.,
McManus, D. T., Shimaoka, T., Ueha, S., Tsukui, T., et al. (2019). CXCR6 regulates
localization of tissue-resident memory CD8 T cells to the airways. *Journal of*
Experimental Medicine 216, 2748–2762.

Weisberg, S. P., Ural, B. B. and Farber, D. L. (2021). Tissue-specific immunity for a changing
world. *Cell* 184, 1517–1529.

Wellford, S. A., Moseman, A. P., Dao, K., Wright, K. E., Chen, A., Plevin, J. E., Liao, T.-C.,
Mehta, N. and Moseman, E. A. (2022). Mucosal plasma cells are required to protect the
upper airway and brain from infection. *Immunity* 55, 2118-2134.e6.

Weltzin, R., Traina-Dorge, V., Soike, K., Zhang, J.-Y., Mack, P., Soman, G., Drabik, G. and
Monath, T. P. (1996). Intranasal Monoclonal IgA Antibody to Respiratory Syncytial Virus
Protects Rhesus Monkeys against Upper and Lower Respiratory Tract Infection. *The*
Journal of Infectious Diseases 174, 256–261.

Wickenhagen, A., Sugrue, E., Lytras, S., Kuchi, S., Noerenberg, M., Turnbull, M. L., Loney, C., Herder, V., Allan, J., Jarmson, I., et al. (2021). A prenylated dsRNA sensor protects against severe COVID-19. *Science* 374, eabj3624.

Wiley, J. A., Hogan, R. J., Woodland, D. L. and Harmsen, A. G. (2001). Antigen-Specific CD8+ T Cells Persist in the Upper Respiratory Tract Following Influenza Virus Infection. *J Immunol* 167, 3293–3299.

Woof, J. M. and Mestecky, J. (2005). Mucosal immunoglobulins. *Immunological Reviews* 206, 64–82.

Xie, X., Shi, Q., Wu, P., Zhang, X., Kambara, H., Su, J., Yu, H., Park, S.-Y., Guo, R., Ren, Q., et al. (2020). Single-cell transcriptome profiling reveals neutrophil heterogeneity in homeostasis and infection. *Nat Immunol* 21, 1119–1133.

Xu, C., Lopez, R., Mehlman, E., Regier, J., Jordan, M. I. and Yosef, N. (2021). Probabilistic harmonization and annotation of single-cell transcriptomics data with deep generative models. *Molecular Systems Biology* 17, e9620.

Yao, Y., Jeyanathan, M., Haddadi, S., Barra, N. G., Vaseghi-Shanjani, M., Damjanovic, D., Lai, R., Afkhami, S., Chen, Y., Dvorkin-Gheva, A., et al. (2018). Induction of Autonomous Memory Alveolar Macrophages Requires T Cell Help and Is Critical to Trained Immunity. *Cell* 175, 1634-1650.e17.

Zhang, Q., Bastard, P., Liu, Z., Pen, J. L., Moncada-Velez, M., Chen, J., Ogishi, M., Sabli, I. K. D., Hodeib, S., Korol, C., et al. (2020). Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science* 370,.

Zhang, H., Alford, T., Liu, S., Zhou, D. and Wang, J. (2022a). Influenza virus causes lung immunopathology through down-regulating PPARγ activity in macrophages. *Frontiers in Immunology* 13,.

Zhang, K., Erkan, E. P., Jamalzadeh, S., Dai, J., Andersson, N., Kaipio, K., Lamminen, T., Mansuri, N., Huhtinen, K., Carpén, O., et al. (2022b). Longitudinal single-cell RNA-seq analysis reveals stress-promoted chemoresistance in metastatic ovarian cancer. *Science Advances* 8, eabm1831.

Zheng, H. B., Doran, B. A., Kimler, K., Yu, A., Tkachev, V., Niederlova, V., Cribbin, K., Fleming, R., Bratrude, B., Betz, K., et al. (2021). A Treatment-Naïve Cellular Atlas of Pediatric Crohn's Disease Predicts Disease Severity and Therapeutic Response. 2021.09.17.21263540.

Zheng, H. B., Doran, B. A., Kimler, K., Yu, A., Tkachev, V., Niederlova, V., Cribbin, K., Fleming, R., Bratrude, B., Betz, K., et al. (2023). Concerted changes in the pediatric single-cell intestinal ecosystem before and after anti-TNF blockade. *eLife* 12,.

Ziegler, C. G. K., Allon, S. J., Nyquist, S. K., Mbano, I. M., Miao, V. N., Tzouanas, C. N., Cao, Y., Yousif, A. S., Bals, J., Hauser, B. M., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 Is an Interferon-Stimulated Gene in Human Airway Epithelial Cells and Is Detected in Specific Cell Subsets across Tissues. *Cell* 181, 1016-1035.e19.

2013   Ziegler, C. G. K., Miao, V. N., Owings, A. H., Navia, A. W., Tang, Y., Bromley, J. D., Lotfy, P.,
2014   Sloan, M., Laird, H., Williams, H. B., et al. (2021). Impaired local intrinsic immunity to
2015   SARS-CoV-2 infection in severe COVID-19. *Cell* 184, 4713-4733.e22.

2016