Transcriptional changes consistent with altered neuronal

differentiation, angiogenesis, and tumor plasticity induced in human

subpallial telencephalic organoid-glioblastoma chimeras.

Simone Chiola[1], Jingye Yang[2,5], H M Arif Ullah[1], Kandy Napan[1], Qiju Huang[1], Nicholas

Gamboa[2,5], Osama Youssef[2,5], Howard Colman[2,5], *Samuel H. Cheshier[2,5,7], *Alex

Shcheglovitov[1,3,4,5,6]

[1]Department of Neurobiology, University of Utah

[2]Division of Pediatric Neurosurgery, Department of Neurosurgery, University of Utah

[3]Departments of Psychiatry, University of Utah

[4]Departments of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah

[5]Huntsman Cancer Institute

[6]Clinical and Translational Research Core, Utah Clinical and Translational Research

Institute

[7]Intermountain Primary Children's Hospital

*The Co-senior, co-corresponding authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: alexsh@neuro.utah.edu (Alex Shcheglovitov) or

samuel.cheshier@hci.utah.edu (Samuel Cheshier)

Abstract

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is one of the most aggressive and therapy-resistant brain tumors prevalent in both adults and children. Despite extensive research to understand GBM pathology, it remains unclear how neural cells in the human brain interact with GBM cells to support their brain propagation and therapy resistance and whether GBM cells exert any influence on the properties of human neural cells. In this study, we co-culture human stem cell-derived subpallial telencephalic organoids with patient-derived proneural or mesenchymal GBM spheroids to investigate their reciprocal interactions. We show that both proneural and mesenchymal GBM spheroids readily fuse and propagate with human organoids, forming organoid-GBM chimeras, without the need for exogenous growth factors. GBM cells within the chimeras adapt by modulating gene expression profiles consistent with diminished proliferation, heightened hypoxia, increased angiogenesis, and proneural-to-mesenchymal transition in proneural GBM. Both proneural or mesenchymal GBMs also exert an impact on the properties of neural cells in the chimeras, leading to the suppression of neuronal genes and an upregulation expression of genes associated with hypoxia and angiogenesis. Collectively, this study identifies specific genes and molecular pathways that can be altered in GBM and neural cells by reciprocal interactions in a human developing brain-like environment for an increased understanding of GBM pathology and future therapy development.

Keywords: glioblastoma multiforme, GBM, human stem cell-derived organoids, tumor signaling

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM, WHO Grade IV IDH-wildtype diffuse astrocytic glioma) is one of the deadliest primary brain tumors affecting individuals of all ages (Brat et al. 2018; Ostrom et al. 2019; Louis et al. 2021). Although GBM has been extensively investigated in xenograft animal models (Bhat et al. 2013; Osswald et al. 2015; Venkatesh et al. 2015, 2017; Venkataramani et al. 2019) and many previous clinical trials (Tan et al. 2020), no effective therapies exist for patients (Le Rhun et al. 2019). Unfortunately, patients diagnosed with GBM survive on average for only 12-24 months after the initial diagnosis (Tykocki and Eltayeb 2018). It is believed that inter- and intra-tumoral heterogeneity (Patel et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2017; Skaga et al. 2019; Wenger et al. 2019) as well as the brain microenvironment (Venkatesh et al. 2015, 2019; Monje et al. 2020) may be the factors influencing clinical trial outcomes.

GBM heterogeneity has been characterized according to histopathological tumor appearance, marker genes, and single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) (Huse et al. 2011; Neftel et al. 2019; Bhaduri, di Lullo, et al. 2020; Couturier et al. 2020a). The GBM molecular subtypes based on genomic and transcriptional profiling include: pro-neural (PN), neural (N), classical (C), and mesenchymal (MES) (Balasubramaniyan et al., 2015; Bhat et al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2006). However, multiple molecular subtypes can coexist within a tumor at different relative preponderance and change identity over time (Sottoriva et al. 2013; Patel et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2018). The scRNA-seq profiling of GBM cells has allowed tumor cell classification based on the expression of cell identity markers into mesenchymal (MES)-, oligodendrocyte progenitor (OPC)-, astrocyte (AC)-, and neural

progenitor cell (NPC)-like tumor cells (Neftel et al. 2019). Specific genetic aberrations

primarily drove this classification, but the knowledge of genetic aberrations remains

insufficient to predict tumor responses to specific treatments (Jacob et al. 2020).

The effects of the brain microenvironment on GBM cells have recently been

investigated using human stem cell-derived brain organoids GBM (Bian et al. 2018a; da

Silva et al. 2018a; Ogawa et al. 2018a; Linkous et al. 2019a; Pine et al. 2020a; Tang et

al. 2020). Organoids recapitulate many important aspects of early human brain

development (Eiraku et al. 2008; Mariani et al. 2012; Kadoshimaa et al. 2013; Lancaster

et al. 2013; Pasca et al. 2015; Qian et al. 2016), including organization and the presence

of primate-specific neural stem cells, [i.e., outer radial glia (oRG)] (Kadoshimaa et al.

2013; Qian et al. 2016; Watanabe et al. 2017), that have been associated with the

expansion of the human cerebral cortex (Rakic 2009; Lui et al. 2011) and disorders such

as lissencephaly (Bershteyn et al. 2017) and GBM (Bhaduri, di Lullo, et al. 2020). Brain

organoids and GBM cells grown in suspension in defined media (GBM spheroids) can

efficiently assemble into organoid-GBM chimeras. Tumor cells can invade organoids and

proliferate without requiring exogenous growth factors (Lee et al. 2006a). Importantly, it

has also been demonstrated that GBM cells in chimeras possessed increased resistance

to irradiation and chemotherapy (Linkous et al. 2019a) and maintained single-cell gene

expression signatures reminiscent of those detected in the resected primary parental

tumors (Pine et al. 2020a). However, the molecular signaling pathways activated in GBM

cells by fusion with organoids remain unknown. In addition, it is still unclear whether and

how tumor cells influence the development of normal neural cells in organoids.

In this study, we demonstrated that mesenchymal and pro-neural GBM spheroids could be efficiently propagated without the tumor-required growth factors when fused with telencephalic organoids consisting of subpallial neural progenitors and GABAergic inhibitory neurons. We used single-cell RNA sequencing to investigate gene expression profiles in single cells obtained from GBM spheroids, organoids, and organoid-GBM chimeras to gain insights into the molecular pathways associated with tumor growth in the human brain-like environment. We found that both mesenchymal and pro-neural GBMs suppressed the gene expression network associated with telencephalic development and neuronal differentiation in organoids. On the other hand, organoids suppressed the expression levels of mitochondrial and proliferation genes and upregulated those associated with cell communication, neuronal activity, angiogenesis, and hypoxia in GBMs. Overall, this study describes genes and signaling pathways associated with tumor growth and propagation in the organoid-GBM chimeras. It also provides insights into the effects of GBM tumors on normal human brain development.

RESULTS

Multiple neural and mesenchymal cell types coexist in patient-derived GBM spheroids

Patient-derived GBM spheroids have been used as *in vitro* models for studying the cellular and molecular changes in human brain tumors and for drug discovery (Lee et al. 2006b; Ledur et al. 2017). However, the propagation and survival of GBM tumors *in vitro* are often dependent on the presence of exogenous epithelial growth factor and basic

fibroblast growth factor (EGF and FGF, respectively) in the culture media (Lee et al.

2006b). EGF and FGF are well-known morphogens that can influence cell identity, gene

expression profile, and resistance to anti-cancer treatments (Szymczyk et al. 2021). We

investigated the properties of mesenchymal (MS) and proneural (PN) GBM spheroids

obtained from patient-derived brain tumors using scRNA-seq (**Fig. 1A-B**) (Bhat et al.

2013; Balasubramaniyan et al. 2015). Neither MS- or PN-GBM spheroids survived for

more than 12 days without EGF/FGF *in vitro* (**Fig. 1C-F**), which is consistent with the

results of the previous study on these tumors (Bhat et al. 2013). However, both PN-

(GBM11) and MS (GBM20) spheroids were robustly propagated in the presence of EGF

and FGF (**Fig. 1C-F**).

To compare and contrast the cellular composition in PN- (GBM11) and MS-

(GBM20) spheroids, we collected single cells from multiple spheroids propagated in the

presence of exogenous EGF (20 ng/mL) and FGF (20 ng/mL) for 12-14 days *in vitro* (**Fig.**

1A-B). We found substantially different cell compositions in these spheroids based on

non-supervised cell clustering and expression levels of cell cluster-specific genes (**Fig.**

1G-I, **Supplementary Fig. 1,** and **Supplementary Dataset 1**). PN-GBM spheroids (8,421

cells analyzed) were primarily distributed between 6 cell clusters (**Fig. 1J**) and consisted

of cells expressing pro-neural cell markers, including *ASCL1*, *OLIG2*, and *NCAM1* (**Fig.**

1K). MS-GBM spheroids (8,658 cells analyzed) were enriched with cells expressing

mesenchymal cell markers, including *FOXC1, MDK*, and *TGFBI* (**Fig. 1K**), and distributed

to a different set of 6 cell clusters (**Fig. 1J**). Both types of spheroids demonstrated an

elevated expression of GBM stem cell markers *CD109* and *MYC*, PN-GBM marker *DLL3*,

and MS-GBM markers *TIMP1* and *CD44* (Bhat et al. 2013)(**Fig. 1K**). Interestingly, tumor

cells in both MS- and PN-GBM spheroids demonstrated increased expression of genes

associated with subpallial telencephalic cell identities, including *FOXG1*, *SOX3*, *DLX1/2*,

and *ASCL1* (**Supplementary Fig. 2**), suggesting the subpallial developmental origin of

these tumors (Couturier et al. 2020b).

To further characterize the cell composition of MS- and PN-GBM spheroids, we

assessed the identities of tumor spheroid cells using the average expression of gene

signatures associated with different cellular states detected in primary GBMs, such as

NPC-, AC-, OPC- and MES-like cell states (Neftel et al. 2019). This analysis revealed that

PN-GBM spheroids primarily consisted of AC-like cells (88.6%) with smaller fractions of

MES- (10.1%), NPC- (1.1%), and OPC-like cells (0.27%) (**Fig. 1L**). In contrast, MS-GBM

spheroids were composed of MES-like cells (99.33%) with minor fractions of NPC- and

AC- (0.46% and 0.13%, respectively) and no OPC-like cells (**Fig. 1L**). These findings

highlight the distinct cellular composition and gene-expression profiles of PN-GBM and

MS-GBM spheroids, underscoring their unique identities. However, despite these

differences, the in vitro growth of both PN-GBM and MS-GBM spheroids depended on

the addition of exogenous EGF and FGF to the culture medium. Since EGF and FGF are

potent morphogens that regulate cell identities and proliferation, we next investigated

whether GBM spheroids can be propagated without growth factors in co-culture with

human stem cell-derived telencephalic organoids to mimic a human-brain-like

microenvironment of GBM tumors in the developing brain.

Generation and characterization of hPSC-derived subpallial telencephalic

organoids from isolated single neural rosettes

We previously demonstrated that telencephalic organoids generated from isolated human stem cell-derived single neural rosettes (SNR) consist of pallial and subpallial neural progenitors (NPs) and both excitatory and inhibitory neurons and show reproducible cellular composition and organization (Wang et al. 2022). To create a microenvironment appropriate for co-culturing GBMs that exhibit the expression of subpallial telencephalic markers, including FOXG1, NKX2.2, SOX6, and DLX1 (**Supplementary Fig. 2**), we adapted the protocol to generate subpallial telencephalic organoids from SNRs (**Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 3,** and **Supplementary Dataset 2)**. SNR-derived subpallial organoids were generated in three separate batches from two different human pluripotent stem cells (hPSC) lines: embryonic stem cell (ESC) line H9 and control induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) line (AICS-0054-09), in which membrane-tagged RFP was inserted into the AAVS1 locus under the control of the CAGGS promoter (RFP-iPSC). Consistent with our previous observations (Wang et al. 2022), we observed that SNR-derived organoids increased in size over time and maintained a single visible lumen (**Fig. 2A**). At about two weeks post-rosette isolation, SNR-derived subpallial organoids reached the size of 0.15 - 0.3 mm^2 (**Fig. 2B**). To characterize the cellular composition of these organoids, we performed scRNA-seq and analysis on 17,893 cells collected from 12 organoids produced in 3 separate differentiation batches from H9 and iPSC-RFP lines, 3-9 organoids (2,190-15,703 cells) per batch (**Fig. 2C**). The cellular compositions of dissociated organoids were reproducible across differentiation batches (**Fig. 2C-E**). The majority of cells within the SNR-derived

organoids exhibited expression of the telencephalic marker FOXG1 (**Fig. 2G-H**). The cells

in clusters 3 and 11 displayed the expression of early subpallial neural progenitor (NP)

markers, including *NES, SOX2, NKX2-1, GSX2*, and *NKX2-1*, indicating their identity as

subpallial telencephalic NPs (**Fig. 2F-H**). Conversely, clusters 7 and 9 exhibited

heightened expression levels of intermediate subpallial NP markers such as *ASCL1,*

DLX1/2, and *LHX6*, identifying them as subpallial telencephalic intermediate progenitors

(IPs) (**Fig. 2F-H**). The cells in the remaining clusters (0-2, 4-6, and 8) were characterized

by the expression of markers associated with GABAergic neurons, including *STMN2,*

GAD1, and *GAD2*, supporting their classification as inhibitory neurons (**Fig. 2F-H**).

Importantly, we detected no or very low expression of the pallial telencephalic NP and IP,

and glutamatergic cortical neuron markers, including *PAX6, EOMES, SLC17A7* and

TBR1 (**Fig. 2G-H** and **Supplementary Fig. 3**), which is consistent with the subpallial

telencephalic identities of cells in these organoids. These results suggest that subpallial

telencephalic organoids can be reproducibly generated from SNRs.

Subpallial telencephalic organoids support *in vitro* propagation of GBM

spheroids without exogenous growth factors

We assembled organoid-GBM chimeras by placing single MS- or PN-GBM

spheroids together in the same well with a 1-month-old subpallial SNR-derived organoid

(**Fig. 3A**). Both MS-GBM and PN-GBM spheroids exhibited 100% fusion rate with the

organoids, resulting in the formation of organoid-GBM chimeras that demonstrated a

progressive increase in size over time (**Fig. 3B and Supplementary Fig. 4**). This is

consistent with the results of the previous studies on cerebral and cortical organoids and

GBM spheroids (Bian et al. 2018b; da Silva et al. 2018b; Ogawa et al. 2018b; Linkous et

al. 2019b; Pine et al. 2020b; Tang et al. 2020). Since cells in MS-GBM spheroids

ubiquitously expressed GFP and SNR-derived organoids produced from the iPSC-RFP

line expressed membrane-tagged RFP, we used this combination to investigate the

relative distributions of tumor (GFP-expressing cells) and normal neural cells (RFP-

expressing cells) in chimeras (**Fig. 3C-D** and **Supplementary Movie**). We observed that

both tumor cells and normal cells exhibited efficient infiltration into the organoid and tumor

tissue, respectively. These results suggest that human subpallial telencephalic organoids

provide essential factors that sustain the survival and propagation of GBMs *in vitro*,

without the reliance on exogenous EGF and FGF.

To gain insights into the cellular composition of organoid-GBM chimeras and the

molecular pathways altered in tumor cells by organoids, we performed single-cell RNA

sequencing and non-supervised clustering analysis on 39,554 cells collected from 12 MS-

and 6 PN-GBM-organoid chimeras that we generated in five separate differentiation

batches (**Fig. 3E-G** and **Supplementary Dataset 3**). The analysis revealed similar

compositions of cells in MS-GBM-organoid chimeras across 3 differentiation batches and

in PN-GBM-organoid chimeras across 2 differentiation batches (**Fig. 3G**). However, the

composition of cells in MS- GBM-organoid chimeras remained significantly different as

compared to PN-GBM-organoid chimeras (**Fig. 3G**). In addition, analysis of gene

expression profiles in the chimeras revealed distinct differences between GBM and

organoid cells; therefore, these cells were largely distributed to different cell clusters (**Fig.**

3H). Notably, the distinction between PN-GBM and MS-GBM cells based on their gene

expression profiles (tumor clusters) (**Fig. 3H**) was less apparent within chimeras

compared to the separation observed in spheroids (**Fig. 1J**), suggesting that the organoid

microenvironment likely influences the gene expression profiles of the tumor cells.

Consistent with this idea, we found that the composition of tumor cells in PN-GBM

chimeras underwent significant changes, with a notable shift towards "MES-like" cell

states (AC: 25.2%, MES: 73.6%, NPC: 1.1%, and OPC: 0% of all cells) (**Fig. 3I**), in

contrast to PN-GBM spheroids (**Fig. 1L**). However, the cell composition in MS-GBM

chimeras (MES: 99.12% and NPC: 0.84% of all cells) (**Fig. 3I**) remained similar to that

observed in MS-GBM spheroids (**Fig. 1L**). This shift in composition was accompanied by

the up-regulated expression of several MES-signature genes (Neftel et al., 2019),

including *ATF3, CDKN1A, NAMPT, DDIT3, GSN,* and *ENO2* (**Fig. 3J**), and down-

regulation of AC-signature genes, such as *MLC1, NDRG2, MT3, SLC1A3, PRCP,* and

SPARC (**Fig. 3K**)*,* in PN-GBM chimeras. This result supports the notion that tumor cell

identities are plastic and can be significantly influenced by the surrounding

microenvironment (Lee et al. 2008; Berezovsky et al. 2014; Neftel et al. 2019; Jung et al.

2021; Lauko et al. 2022; Yabo et al. 2022). Moreover, it implies that human brain-like

conditions provided by subpallial telencephalic organoids facilitate the adoption of the

MES-like gene expression signature by PN-GBMs. The MES-like GBM state is known to

be associated with therapy resistance and poor prognosis for survival (Colman et al.

2010; Bhat et al. 2013).

Tumor cells in organoids-GBM-chimeras undergo transcriptional changes consistent with altered glycolysis, quiescence, invasiveness, and angiogenesis.

To understand the molecular changes occurring in tumor cells within chimeras, we compared the gene expression profiles of tumor cells from chimeras and those from spheroids (**Fig. 4A**). Non-supervised clustering analysis was performed on all cells collected from GBM spheroids, consisting of 8,658 cells from MS-GBM spheroids and 8,421 cells from PN-GBM spheroids, as well as non-RFP-expressing tumor cells collected from chimeras containing RFP-expressing organoids, including 7,797 cells from MS-GBM chimeras and 4,528 cells from PN-GBM chimeras (**Fig. 4B-C** and **Supplementary Dataset 4**). This analysis revealed that there were still substantial differences in the gene expression profiles between PN and MS tumor cells from both chimeras and spheroids. Indeed, PN tumor cells from chimeras exhibited elevated expression of pro-neural genes, including *OLIG2, ASCL1*, and *NCAM1* (**Supplementary Fig. 5**). In contrast, MS-GBM cells from chimeras showed increased expression of genes associated with mesenchymal cell states, such as *FOXC1, MDK*, and *FGFB1* (**Supplementary Fig. 5**). Consequently, PN tumor cells and MS tumor cells from both spheroids and chimeras were largely distributed to distinct cell clusters (**Fig. 4D-G**). However, the composition of cell clusters and the proportion of cells in these clusters were similar between PN -GBMs or MS-GBMs from chimeras and PN-GBMs or MS-GBMs from spheroids, respectively (**Fig. 4G**). Thus, PN tumor cells from spheroids were pooled with PN tumor cells from chimeras, and MS tumor cells from spheroids were pooled with MS tumor cells from chimeras for the identification of differentially expressed genes and molecular programs (**Fig. 4 H-I** and **Supplementary Datasets 5-6**). This analysis revealed that several mitochondrial genes,

including those encoding oxidative phosphorylation system (OXPHOS) complexes (*MT-*

ATP6, *MT-C03*, *MT-CYB, MT-ND1, and MT-ND3)* and mitochondrial isomerase *EC1,*

were significantly downregulated in both PN and MS tumor cells from chimeras as

compared to those from spheroids (**Fig. 4H-J**). These changes are consistent with the

idea that GBM cells in chimeras more readily utilize glycolysis for energy metabolism

outside the mitochondria than GBM cells in spheroids. Indeed, several genes associated

with glycolysis, including *DDIT3*, *PGK1*, and *NDRG1,* and mitophagy*,* including *BNIP3*

and *BNIP3L,* were upregulated in GBM cells from chimeras (**Fig. 4K**). Other genes

upregulated in chimeras were activity-regulated immediate early genes *EGR1*, *IER2*, and

FOS (Sun and Lin 2016)*,* angiogenesis gene *VEGFA* (Bao et al. 2006), tumor

invasiveness and metastases gene *MALAT1* (Venkataramani et al. 2022), and hypoxia

and oxidative stress genes *BNIP3L, FAM162A, BNIP3, HILPDA, PGK1, NDRG1, VEGFA,*

and *DDIT3* (**Fig. 4K**). These results suggest that GBM cells exposed to a human brain-

like environment adapt to it by altering the expression levels of multiple genes associated

with cell metabolism. The observed changes may contribute to increased quiescence of

tumor cells in chimeras based on increased glycolysis (Zhou et al. 2011; Strickland and

Stoll 2017), invasiveness, and vascularization.

To understand the effects of the organoid microenvironment on the proliferation/

quiescence of GBM cells in chimeras, we investigated the expression levels of marker

genes associated with mitosis and cell cycle (Xie et al. 2022). We found dramatically

reduced expression levels of the mitosis and S-Phase signature genes in both MS- and

PN-GBM cells in the chimeras compared to spheroids (**Fig. 4L-M**). These results suggest

that GBM cells in chimeras are less proliferative and possibly more quiescent than those

in spheroids. However, we found that only certain glioblastoma quiescence signature

genes ["cancer stem cell signature" (Xie et al. 2022)] were upregulated in PN-GBM (16

out of 118) and MS-GBM (2 out of 118) cells in chimeras (**Fig. 4N**). Interestingly, among

the genes upregulated in PN-GBMs, some were outer radial glia (oRG) marker genes,

such as *CLU*, *HES1*, *GFAP*, *CRYAB*, and *FAM107A* (Pollen et al. 2015). Elevated

expression of oRG genes was identified in a population of oRG-like cancer stem cells in

primary glioblastoma tumors (Bhaduri, di Lullo, et al. 2020). Together, these results

suggest that GBM cells exposed to a human brain-like environment adapt by altering their

gene expression profiles towards increased glycolysis, reduced proliferation, and

increased invasiveness and angiogenesis, all of which are hallmarks of GBM within their

native state *in vivo*.

Neural cells in organoids-GBM-chimeras undergo transcriptional changes

consistent with altered oxidative stress, angiogenesis, and neurodifferentiation.

Our understanding of the effects of tumor cells on normal brain development is

limited due to the inaccessibility of normal human brain tissue. We reasoned that

comparing cell compositions and gene expression profiles of organoids and organoids in

chimeras could provide unique insights into the effects of tumor cells on normal human

brain development. To compare and contrast the properties of neural cells in chimeras

and organoids, we co-clustered RFP-expressing organoid cells from chimeras (MS-GBM

chimeras: 1,188 cells and PN-GBM chimeras: 2,295 cells) with those from H9 and iPSC-

derived RFP-expressing organoids (17,893 cells) (**Fig. 5A-B** and **Supplementary**

Dataset 7). This analysis revealed that the compositions of neural cell clusters and the

proportions of cells in different clusters remained relatively similar between organoids and

chimeras (**Fig. 5C-E**). The identified cell clusters could be broadly divided into the clusters

of NPs (clusters: 4, 9, 10, and 11) and GABAergic neurons (clusters: 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8,

and 12) (**Fig. 5F**). To identify differentially expressed genes and molecular pathways

between NPs and GABAergic neurons in organoids and chimeras, we performed a

'pseudo-bulks' mRNA-seq analysis (Squair et al. 2021) on the combined clusters of NPs

and neurons (**Fig. 5F**). Both NPs and neurons in chimeras were different from those in

organoids; however, the dissimilarity between the neurons in chimeras was significantly

greater than that of the NPs (**Fig. 5G-H**). To identify dysregulated neuronal pathways, we

performed GSEA analysis on DEGs identified in neurons (**Fig. 5I** and **Supplementary**

Dataset 8) and NPs (**Fig. 5J** and **Supplementary Dataset 9**). The molecular pathways

"*response decreased oxygen levels"* and *"response to hypoxia"* were upregulated in both

neurons and NPs from chimeras as compared to those from organoids (**Fig. 5I-J**). This

finding suggests that GBMs induce the formation of a hypoxic pro-tumorigenic

environment in organoids. The hypoxic microenvironment has been associated with

aggressive and therapy-resistant tumors (Ye et al. 2022) and with the abnormal

specification of human cortical neurons (Bhaduri, Andrews, et al. 2020). In addition, we

observed increased enriched molecular pathways "*positive regulation of vasculature*

development" and "angiogenesis" in neurons, and upregulation of pro-angiogenesis gene

VEGFA in NPs (**Fig. 5I-J**), suggesting that GBMs promote the formation of a pro-

angiogenic hypoxic microenvironment in neural tissue by altering the gene expression

profiles of neural cells.

In neurons from chimeras, the down-regulated molecular pathways were "*synapse*

assembly", "*synapse organization*", and "*ion channel activity*" (**Fig. 5I**), suggesting

potential impairments of neuronal differentiation and maturation of neurons in chimeras

by tumor cells. This is consistent with a previous study that reported decreased inhibitory

synapses in a patient-derived xenograft mouse model (Yu et al. 2020). Together, these

results show that normal neural development is impacted by GBM tumors, which cause

impaired neuronal differentiation and maturation and increased formation of a pro-

tumorigenic environment, similar to what was previously observed in patients and

xenotransplantation models (Osswald et al. 2015; Venkataramani et al. 2019, 2022;

Hausmann et al. 2022).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we fused patient-derived PN- and MS-GBMs with human subpallial

telencephalic organoids to investigate the effects of the human brain-like environment on

tumor cells and the effects of GBM tumors on human brain development. We observed

efficient propagation of GBM tumors in chimeras without the need for exogenous growth

factors and substantial changes in gene expression profiles in both tumor and neural

cells. Collectively, our findings suggest that tumor and normal neural cells extensively

interact with each other in organoid-GBM chimeras and that the use of organoid-GBM

chimeras may provide a physiologically relevant microenvironment for propagating GBM

cells in vitro for future mechanistic insights and therapy development.

The fusion of GBMs with human stem-derived organoids has been investigated in

several previous studies (Bian et al. 2018b; da Silva et al. 2018b; Ogawa et al. 2018b;

Linkous et al. 2019b; Pine et al. 2020b). These studies demonstrated that GBM cells can

spontaneously penetrate the organoids and form interconnected networks of tumor and

"normal" cells. Similar to the previous studies, we also observed an efficient fusion of

GBMs with human stem cell-derived organoids. In contrast to those studies, we fused

GBMs with well-defined (in terms of cellular composition) subpallial telencephalic

organoids. Both PN- and MS-GBMs demonstrated an elevated expression of the

subpallial telencephalic marker genes, suggesting that subpallial NPCs may give rise to

GBM tumors (Sanai et al. 2005; Eichmüller et al. 2022) and provide a more supportive

microenvironment for growing GBM tumors *in vitro*.

Unlike in GBM spheroids, we observed that tumor cells in organoid-GBM chimeras

efficiently propagated without supplementation of exogenous EGF and FGF. EGF and

FGF are commonly used for propagating GBM spheroids *in vitro* (Lee et al. 2006b). These

factors promote tumor cell survival and proliferation by activating the MAPK/ERK and

PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathways (Schlessinger 2000). However, the physiological

concentration of these factors in the brain is largely unknown and may vary depending on

the brain region, microenvironmental niche, age, physiological state, or pathological state.

We found that GBM tumors showed limited propagation in spheroids without exogenous

EGF and FGF but robust propagation in chimeras. These results suggest that either

normal neural cells in organoids provide GBMs with EGF and FGF or alternative signaling

cascades are activated in tumor cells for survival and propagation without these growth

factors. As we also found no The specific signaling cascades activated in tumor cells by

organoids remain to be understood.

Differentially expressed genes detected in GBM tumors in chimeras compared to those

in spheroids (**Fig. 4**) may provide insights into the molecular programs involved in

promoting tumor cell survival and propagation in chimeras. Among the upregulated genes

in chimeras, we found transcription factor *ATF3*, associated with stress responses and

more aggressive tumor behavior with poor prognosis (Xu et al. 2022); mitophagy

regulators *BNIP3* and *BNIP3L* (Ney 2015), activated with hypoxia (Jung et al. 2019),

which is a common feature of the tumor microenvironment (Zhou et al. 2011); and the

MAPK/ERK pathway genes *FOS*, *DDIT3*, and *VEGFA* (Bao et al. 2006; Venkatesh et al.

2015), which are known to promote tumor cells survival and propagation. This suggests

that oxidative stress induced by hypoxia and the MAPK/ERK pathway may be involved in

supporting tumor cells in organoid-GBM chimeras.

Interestingly, we also found that GBM tumors in chimeras showed dramatically

reduced expression of multiple genes associated with cell cycle proliferation (**Fig. 4**).

Reduced proliferation is a hallmark of quiescent stem cells (Hanahan 2022). The

quiescent tumor stem cells are characterized by slow rates of division (Antonica et al.

2022) and, thus, are difficult to target with irradiation or chemotherapies (Xie et al. 2022).

Interestingly, it was demonstrated that GBM cells in organoid-GBM chimeras are

substantially more resistant to irradiation (Linkous et al. 2019b). Our results suggest that

the reduced proliferation of GBM tumors in chimeras is potentially responsible for this

radioresistance. Interestingly, we found no increase in the expression levels of multiple

quiescence genes in chimeras. Instead, different sets of quiescence genes were

upregulated in different GBM tumors under different experimental conditions (**Fig. 4N**).

These results suggest that the tumor-specific microenvironment may be involved in

defining the tumor-specific quiescence signature and that alternative culture conditions

such as organoid-GBM chimeras could be useful for studying the pathology of GBMs in

the brain.

Another interesting observation of this study was that the co-culture of PN-GBM with

subpallial telencephalic organoids that consist of GABAergic NPCs and neurons induced

gene expression changes in PM-GBM consistent with the acquisition of mesenchymal

cell identity (Bhat et al. 2013). PN to MS transition (PMT) in GBM has been previously

observed in response to NF-kB exposure (Bhat et al. 2013), serum exposure

(Balasubramaniyan et al. 2015), TGFβ exposure (Joseph et al. 2014), activation of the

Notch signaling pathway (Cheng et al. 2017), and mutations in tumor suppressor genes

such as PTEN and P53 (Behnan et al. 2019). In addition, changes in the tumor

microenvironment, including hypoxia and inflammation, have been reported to promote

the PMT microenvironment (Tejero et al. 2019). PMT is associated with increased tumor

cell motility, invasiveness, and therapy resistance (Bhat et al. 2013). Our results support

the idea that the environment in organoid-GBM chimeras promotes PMT. However,

additional functional experiments are required to confirm the mesenchymal-like identities

of PN-GBM tumors in chimeras.

Finally, in this study, we also investigated the effects of tumor cells on gene

expression profiles of neural cells in organoids. The detected gene expression changes

are consistent with impaired neural development and functional maturation, as well as

increased angiogenesis and response to hypoxia (**Fig. 5**). Interestingly, a recent study

showed that cell stress impairs the specification of oRG cells and neurons in organoids

(Bhaduri, Andrews, et al. 2020), suggesting that the hypoxic stress affects the normal

development in organoid-GBM chimeras. Moreover, the effect of GBMs on GABAergic

neurons in organoid-GBM chimeras may lead to impaired function and elevated

excitability due to the disinhibition of excitatory neurons and neural networks. Indeed,

elevated excitability was observed in a recent study where authors demonstrated that

GBM cells express high levels of IGSF3, an interactor of the potassium channel Kir4.1

that suppresses potassium buffering leading to spreading depolarization (Curry et al.

2023). Finally, another recent study showed increased hyperexcitability, increased

PSD95$^+$ excitatory synapses, and decreased Gephyrin$^+$ inhibitory synapses in the

peritumoral regions of mice transplanted with patient-derived GBM cells (Yu et al. 2020).

Together, these results support the idea that GBM cells have a profound effect in

remodeling the peritumoral neuronal network towards a state of hyperexcitability, with a

selective detrimental effect on inhibitory synapses.

In summary, we demonstrated an efficient propagation of GBM tumors *in vitro* by

fusing them with subpallial telencephalic organoids in chimeras. We also found that GBM

cells adapt to the developing human brain microenvironment by altering gene expressions

associated with the PN to MS transition, proliferation, hypoxia, and angiogenesis. In

addition, the presence of GBM cells impacted the properties of neural cells in the

organoids that were consistent with impaired neuronal differentiation and increased

angiogenesis. Collectively, this study provides important insights into the molecular

pathways that could be targeted for therapy development. Future work will be needed to

explore cell-cell communications between organoids and GBM tumor cells to elucidate

the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms. Finally, future studies should also

investigate the contributions of different types of NPCs, inhibitory and excitatory neurons,

and different types of glial cells on GBM tumor survival, propagation, and treatment

resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines

The GBM cell lines used in this study are: GBM11 (PN), GBM established cell line (Bhat et al., 2013) and GBM20 (MS), GBM established cell line (Bhat *et al.*, 2013). The hPSC lines used in this study are: mTagRFP-T hiPSCs (Allen Cell Collection, Cat # AICS-0054-091) and H9 hESCs (WiCell). Both hPSC lines were obtained from healthy donors.

Generating Single Neural Rosette-derived Organoids

The iPSCs were grown in the StemFlex/E8 medium (1:1 mixture of StemFlex (ThermoFisher, Cat # A3349401) and E8 (ThermoFisher, Cat # A3349401) media). The iPSCs were differentiated in the N2/B27 medium containing a 1:1 mixture of DMEM/F12 and Neurobasal-A, 1X N2 supplement (ThermoFisher Scientific), 1X B27 supplement with vitamin A (ThermoFisher Scientific), 2 μg/ml of Heparin, 1X GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific), and 1X MEM-NEAA. The N2/B27 medium was supplemented with 4 μM Dorsomorphin (Tocris, Cat # 3039) and 10 μM SB431542 (Tocris, Cat # 1614). SNR-derived organoids were generated as previously described (Wang et al., 2022). Briefly, the differentiated iPSCs were grown to rosettes in N2/B27 medium for 6 days. Single neural rosettes were picked and grown to single neural rosette-derived organoids in N2/B27 medium with 10 ng/ml of each EGF and FGF for 1-3 days without agitation and then with agitation for 2-3 weeks.

Growing GBM Spheres

GBM11 and GBM20 spheres were growing as described previously (Bhat *et al.*, 2013).

Briefly, GBM cells were incubated in a nontreated tissue culture flask in the GBM spheres

medium containing DMEM/F12 Medium, 2X B-27 supplement (Life Technologies, Cat #

17504-044), 1X Normocin (InvivoGen, Cat # ant-nr-1), 20 ng/ml EGF (Life Technologies,

Cat # PHG0311) and 20 ng/ml FGF (Life Technologies, Cat # 13256-029). To maintain

the proliferation, spheres must be triturated to single cells/small clumps once visible

spheres appear.

Generating Organoid-GBM Chimeras

To generate organoid-GBM chimeras, 2-3 week-old SNR-organoids were individually

transferred to a V-shaped non-treated 96-well plate containing N2/B27 medium (no

EGF/FGF), one organoid per well. 2-4 GBM spheres were added to each organoid/well.

Organoid-GBM chimeras were incubated in a 37°C/5% CO_2 incubator for 12-14 days with

media change every other day. Organoid-GBM chimeras were then transferred to 24-well

non-treated plates and maintained in a 37°C/5% CO_2 incubator with agitation (~45rpm)

on an orbital shaker for one week. The media were changed every other day.

Immunohistochemistry and confocal imaging

1 month old single neural rosettes (SNR)-derived organoids were fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C and washed with PBS and the organoids were then

passed through a sequential sucrose solution gradient of 10%, 20% and 30%

respectively. Organoids were placed in cryosectioning molds, embedded in OCT and

flash frozen and stored at -80°C. Then organoids were cut at 15-20 μm thickness using a

Leica Cryostat machine and adhered to positively charged microscope slides.

Cryosections were then processed for immunostaining as follows: Sections were washed

with 0.1M glycine in PBS and then blocked with 0.3% Triton-X 100 with 3% Bovine cerium

albumin (BSA) in PBS for 1-2 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in

0.3% Triton-X 100 with 3% BSA in PBS and left overnight at cold room. The following

primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-PAX6 (DSHB, 1:50), sheep anti-TBR2 (R&D

Systems, AF6166, 1:200), mouse anti-MASH1 (Invitrogen, 1:200), rat anti-CTIP2

(Abcam, ab18465, 1:200), rabbit anti-GSX2 (Invitrogen, PA5-35887, 1:200), mouse anti

GAD67 (Millipore, MAB5406, 1: 200), mouse anti-SOX2 (Santa cruz, sc-365823, 1:200),

rabbit anti-RFP (Evrogen, AB234, 1:1000), chicken anti-GFP (Novus, NB100-1614,

1:500). The next day, sections were washed with PBS for 15 min and secondary

antibodies were also diluted with 0.3% Triton-X 100 with 3% BSA in PBS. The secondary

antibodies coupled to Alexa Fluor fluorescent dyes (Invitrogen) were added 1:1000 ration

in combination with DAPI (1:1000) for 1-2 h at room temperature in the dark. After 15 min

PBS washes, slides were mounted with coverslips using Aqua Poly Mounting Media, left

to dry at room temperature in the dark and stored at -20°C for imaging. Fluorescent

imaging was performed using Zeiss 880 Airyscan confocal microscopes using 10x and

20x objective at the University of Utah Cell Imaging Core Facility and data processing

and quantification was performed using Fiji, and/or CellProfiler software.

Tissue clearing and confocal imaging

Organoids-GBM chimeras were fixed with fresh 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Cat#

15710) for 24 hours at 4°C. The fixed organoids were then cleared following a modified

SWITCH protocol developed by the Chung Lab (Murray et al. 2015). Briefly, chimeras

were transferred to a fixation-OFF solution and incubated at 4°C for 1 day. Subsequently,

chimeras were transferred to fixation-ON solution and incubated for 1 day at 4°C. To

inactivate the fixative solution, chimeras were washed twice with PBST at room

temperature for 1 hour each and then submerged into fixative-inactivation solution at 37°C

overnight. Subsequently, chimeras were washed twice with thermal clearing solution for

1 hour each at 37°C. To achieve complete lipid clearing, chimeras were immersed in fresh

thermal clearing solution and incubated overnight at 37°C. Finally, to achieve maximal

optical clearing, chimeras were placed in 500 µL refracting index matching solution

(RIMS) at 37°C for 1 hour. All incubations and wash volumes used were 10 mL, incubation

conditions were stationary and in the dark to preserve chromophores. For imaging,

chimeras were mounted on a glass slide using 1 mm thick homemade silicone ring

spacer, filled with RIMS, and covered with a glass cover slip to avoid drying up and air

bubbles. Fluorescent imaging was performed at the University of Utah Cell Imaging Core

Facility using a Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan confocal microscope equipped with a Plan-

Neofluar 10X/0.30 M27 objective, 488 nm and 568 nm laser to acquire high-resolution

images. We used a refractive index of 1.46 and a total of 64 Z-stacks were acquired to

cover the entire thickness of the sample. To create 3D reconstructions and a video, we

used Imaris Cell Imaging (Bitplane) and Fiji softwares.

Single-cell collection from organoids and GBM-organoids chimeras

SNR-derived organoids were rinsed with sterile Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline

(DPBS, 1X) and chopped in small pieces using a scalpel. Organoids' pieces were

collected in 1.5 mL tubes in DPBS and centrifuged for 2 minutes at 1000 rpm. Samples

were incubated in pre-warmed papain (Worthington Biochemical) containing 5% DNaseI

(Sigma) at 37°C for 50 minutes. After incubation, cells were resuspended in Trypsin

Inhibitor (Sigma) and manually triturated by pipetting up and down using P1000 pipette.

Cells were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1000 rpm before the next step. Cells were

resuspended in cold DPBS containing 0.04% BSA. Samples were kept on ice from this

point forward. Samples were quickly transferred to the High Throughput Genomics Core

Facility at the University of Utah for cell viability assessment.

Library preparation and raw data processing for single-cell RNA-seq

Single-cell capture, lysis, and cDNA synthesis were performed with the 10x Genomics

Chromium at the High Throughput Genomics Core Facility at the University of Utah.

Single cells were loaded onto 10x Genomics Single Cell 3' Chips along with the master

mix following the manufacturer's instruction for the Chromium Single Cell 3′ Library (v3.1).

The resulting libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 in a 150 × 150 cycle

paired-end sequencing mode using a NovaSeq 6000 S4 reagent Kit v1.5. Pre-processing

of reads was conducted using the Cell Ranger pipeline (10x Genomics) with alignment to

GRCh37 (hg19) reference assembly built with the addition of GFP and RFP sequences.

Gene-barcoded matrices were constructed for each sample by counting unique molecular

identifiers (UMIs). The single-cell RNA-seq data reported in this paper is available in the

GEO repository with the following accession number (to be provided).

Clustering analysis and removal of stressed cells in organoids

Downstream analysis of single-cell RNA-seq matrix files was conducted using Seurat (v

4.2.0 and R version 4.1.2). Cells were selected and filtered out based on QC metrics

distributions across samples of the same experimental condition (number of unique genes

detected in each cell [cells with less than 200 genes detected were filtered out], total

number of molecules detected in each cell, and percentage of reads that map to the

mitochondrial genome). Single cell expression values were normalized, and the top 2000

highly variable genes were identified using *FindVariableFeatures* function. Data were

then scaled, and principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using 50

dimensions. Data integration between different samples was performed with Harmony

algorithm. The *ElbowPlot* function was then used to pick the relevant dimensions. The top

10 principal components were used for running UMAP dimensional reduction technique

and for clustering using Seurat's *FindNeighbors* and *FindCluster* functions (original

Louvain algorithm, resolution 1.0). Cluster identities were assigned based on (I) cluster

gene markers as determined by *FindAllMarkers* function, (II) gene expression values of

known telencephalic or GBM markers, and (III) cluster correlation as determined by

clustify function (Spearman ranked correlation, clustifyr package v 1.6.0). Identification of

stressed cells in organoids was performed using the package *gruffi* (v. 0.7.2) using default

parameters and without adjusting manually the thresholds estimated by *gruffi*. Stressed

cells were removed from the dataset before further analysis.

Differential expression analysis

Differential gene expression analysis between clusters in different samples was

performed using the *FindMarkers* function (Wilcoxon rank sum test) and genes with an

619 adjusted (Bonferroni's correction) p-value < 0.05 were considered significant. Volcano

620 plots of significant differentially expressed genes were generated using the

621 *EnhancedVolcano* function (|FoldChange| > 0.5 and adjusted pvalue < 0.05) from the

622 EnhancedVolcano package (v. 1.12.0). Differential gene expression analysis between

623 different samples was performed calculating pseudo bulk values using first the

624 *SingleCellExperiment* function (SingleCellExperiment package, v. 1.16.0) to convert

625 Seurat objects and then the *aggregate.Matrix* function (fun = "sum", package Matrix.utils

626 v. 0.9.8) to generate pseudo bulk RNA-seq datasets. Pseudo bulk datasets were passed

627 to the *DESeq* function (DESeq2 package, v. 1.34.0) to calculate differentially expressed

628 genes.

630 **Cell state scoring**

631 Cell state scores were calculated using the lists of gene signatures (NPC-like, OPC-like,

632 AC-like, and MES-like) previously reported in Neftel et al. 2019. For each tumor cell a

633 signature score value was calculated using the *AddModuleScore_UCell* function from the

634 UCell package (v.). The NPC, OPC, AC or MES identity was assigned to each cell by

635 looking at the maximum signature score value. The pie charts with the percentages of

636 each cell state per GBM type were generated using the *pie* function.

638 **Gene Set Enrichment Analysis**

639 Gene Set Enrichment Analysis was performed using the *gseGO* function from the

640 clusterProfiler package (v. 4.2.2). Gene-concept networks were generated using the

641 *cnetplot* function from the enrichplot package (v. 1.14.2).

REFERENCES:

Antonica F, Santomaso L, Pernici D, Petrucci L, Aiello G, Cutarelli A, Conti L, Romanel A, Miele E, Tebaldi T, Tiberi L. 2022. A slow-cycling/quiescent cells subpopulation is involved in glioma invasiveness. Nat Commun. 13.

Balasubramaniyan V, Vaillant B, Wang S, Gumin J, Butalid ME, Sai K, Mukheef F, Kim H, Boddeke HWGM, Lang F, Aldape K, Sulman EP, Bhat KP, Colman H. 2015. Aberrant mesenchymal differentiation of glioma stem-like cells: implications for therapeutic targeting.

Bao S, Wu Q, Sathornsumetee S, Hao Y, Li Z, Hjelmeland AB, Shi Q, McLendon RE, Bigner DD, Rich JN. 2006. Stem cell-like glioma cells promote tumor angiogenesis through vascular endothelial growth factor. Cancer Res. 66:7843–7848.

Behnan J, Finocchiaro G, Hanna G. 2019. The landscape of the mesenchymal signature in brain tumours. Brain.

Berezovsky AD, Poisson LM, Cherba D, Webb CP, Transou AD, Lemke NW, Hong X, Hasselbach LA, Irtenkauf SM, Mikkelsen T, de Carvalho AC. 2014. Sox2 promotes malignancy in glioblastoma by regulating plasticity and astrocytic differentiation. Neoplasia (United States). 16:193-206.e25.

Bershteyn M, Nowakowski TJ, Pollen AA, Di Lullo E, Nene A, Wynshaw-Boris A, Kriegstein AR. 2017. Human iPSC-Derived Cerebral Organoids Model Cellular Features of Lissencephaly and Reveal Prolonged Mitosis of Outer Radial Glia. Cell Stem Cell. 20:435-449.e4.

Bhaduri A, Andrews MG, Mancia Leon W, Jung D, Shin D, Allen D, Jung D, Schmunk G, Haeussler M, Salma J, Pollen AA, Nowakowski TJ, Kriegstein AR. 2020. Cell stress in cortical organoids impairs molecular subtype specification. Nature. 578:142–148.

Bhaduri A, di Lullo E, Jung D, Müller S, Crouch EE, Espinosa CS, Ozawa T, Alvarado B, Spatazza J, Cadwell CR, Wilkins G, Velmeshev D, Liu SJ, Malatesta M, Andrews MG, Mostajo-Radji MA, Huang EJ, Nowakowski TJ, Lim DA, Diaz A, Raleigh DR, Kriegstein AR. 2020. Outer Radial Glia-like Cancer Stem Cells Contribute to Heterogeneity of Glioblastoma. Cell Stem Cell. 26:48-63.e6.

Bhat KPL, Balasubramaniyan V, Vaillant B, Ezhilarasan R, Hummelink K, Hollingsworth F, Wani K, Heathcock L, James JD, Goodman LD, Conroy S, Long L, Lelic N, Wang S, Gumin J, Raj D, Kodama Y, Raghunathan A, Olar A, Joshi K, Pelloski CE, Heimberger A, Kim SH, Cahill DP, Rao G, DenDunnen WFA, Boddeke HWGM, Phillips HS, Nakano I, Lang FF, Colman H, Sulman EP, Aldape K. 2013. Mesenchymal Differentiation Mediated by NF-κB Promotes Radiation Resistance in Glioblastoma. Cancer Cell. 24:331–346.

Bian S, Repic M, Guo Z, Kavirayani A, Burkard T, Bagley JA, Krauditsch C, Knoblich JA. 2018a. Genetically engineered cerebral organoids model brain tumor formation. Nat Methods. 15:631–639.

Bian S, Repic M, Guo Z, Kavirayani A, Burkard T, Bagley JA, Krauditsch C, Knoblich JA. 2018b. Genetically engineered cerebral organoids model brain tumor formation. Nat Methods. 15:631–639.

Brat DJ, Aldape K, Colman H, Holland EC, Louis DN, Jenkins RB, Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Perry A, Reifenberger G, Stupp R, von Deimling A, Weller M. 2018. cIMPACT-NOW update 3: recommended diagnostic criteria for "Diffuse astrocytic glioma, IDH-wildtype, with molecular features of glioblastoma, WHO grade IV". Acta Neuropathol. 136:805–810.

Cheng W, Zhang C, Ren X, Jiang Y, Han S, Liu Y, Cai J, Li M, Wang K, Liu Y, Hu H, Li Q, Yang P, Bao Z, Wu A. 2017. Bioinformatic analyses reveal a distinct Notch activation induced by STAT3 phosphorylation in the mesenchymal subtype of glioblastoma. J Neurosurg. 126:249–259.

Colman H, Zhang L, Sulman EP, McDonald JM, Shooshtari NL, Rivera A, Popoff S, Nutt CL, Louis DN, Cairncross JG, Gilbert MR, Phillips HS, Mehta MP, Chakravarti A, Pelloski CE, Bhat K, Feuerstein BG, Jenkins RB, Aldape K. 2010. A multigene predictor of outcome in glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 12:49–57.

Couturier CP, Ayyadhury S, Le PU, Nadaf J, Monlong J, Riva G, Allache R, Baig S, Yan X, Bourgey M, Lee C, Wang YCD, Wee Yong V, Guiot MC, Najafabadi H, Misic B, Antel J, Bourque G, Ragoussis J, Petrecca K. 2020a. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals that glioblastoma recapitulates a normal neurodevelopmental hierarchy. Nat Commun. 11.

Couturier CP, Ayyadhury S, Le PU, Nadaf J, Monlong J, Riva G, Allache R, Baig S, Yan X, Bourgey M, Lee C, Wang YCD, Wee Yong V, Guiot MC, Najafabadi H, Misic B, Antel J, Bourque G, Ragoussis J, Petrecca K. 2020b. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals that glioblastoma recapitulates a normal neurodevelopmental hierarchy. Nat Commun. 11.

Curry RN, Aiba I, Meyer J, Lozzi B, Ko Y, McDonald MF, Rosenbaum A, Cervantes A, Huang-Hobbs E, Cocito C, Greenfield JP, Jalali A, Gavvala J, Mohila C, Serin Harmanci A, Noebels J, Rao G, Deneen B. 2023. Glioma epileptiform activity and progression are driven by IGSF3-mediated potassium dysregulation. Neuron.

da Silva B, Mathew RK, Polson ES, Williams J, Wurdak H. 2018a. Spontaneous Glioblastoma Spheroid Infiltration of Early-Stage Cerebral Organoids Models Brain Tumor Invasion. SLAS Discovery. 23:862–868.

da Silva B, Mathew RK, Polson ES, Williams J, Wurdak H. 2018b. Spontaneous Glioblastoma Spheroid Infiltration of Early-Stage Cerebral Organoids Models Brain Tumor Invasion. SLAS Discovery. 23:862–868.

Eichmüller OL, Corsini NS, Vértesy Á, Morassut I, Scholl T, Gruber VE, Peer AM, Chu J, Novatchkova M, Hainfellner JA, Paredes MF, Feucht M, Knoblich JA. 2022. Amplification of human interneuron progenitors promotes brain tumors and neurological defects. Science (1979). 375.

Eiraku M, Watanabe K, Matsuo-Takasaki M, Kawada M, Yonemura S, Matsumura M, Wataya T, Nishiyama A, Muguruma K, Sasai Y. 2008. Self-Organized Formation of Polarized Cortical Tissues from ESCs and Its Active Manipulation by Extrinsic Signals. Cell Stem Cell.

Hanahan D. 2022. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discov. 12:31–46.

Hausmann D, Hoffmann DC, Venkataramani V, Jung E, Horschitz S, Tetzlaff SK, Jabali A, Hai L, Kessler T, Azoŕin DD, Weil S, Kourtesakis A, Sievers P, Habel A, Breckwoldt MO, Karreman MA, Ratliff M, Messmer JM, Yang Y, Reyhan E, Wendler S, Löb C, Mayer C, Figarella K, Osswald M, Solecki G, Sahm F, Garaschuk O, Kuner T, Koch P, Schlesner M, Wick W, Winkler F. 2022. Autonomous rhythmic activity in glioma networks drives brain tumour growth. Nature.

Hu LS, Ning S, Eschbacher JM, Baxter LC, Gaw N, Ranjbar S, Plasencia J, Dueck AC, Peng S, Smith KA, Nakaji P, Karis JP, Quarles CC, Wu T, Loftus JC, Jenkins RB, Sicotte H, Kollmeyer TM, O'Neill BP, Elmquist W, Hoxworth JM, Frakes D, Sarkaria J, Swanson KR, Tran NL, Li J, Mitchell JR. 2017. Radiogenomics to characterize regional genetic heterogeneity in glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 19:128–137.

Huse JT, Phillips HS, Brennan CW. 2011. Molecular subclassification of diffuse gliomas: seeing order in the chaos. Glia. 59:1190–1199.

Jacob F, Salinas RD, Zhang DY, Nguyen PTT, Schnoll JG, Wong SZH, Thokala R, Sheikh S, Saxena D, Prokop S, Liu D ao, Qian X, Petrov D, Lucas T, Chen HI, Dorsey JF, Christian KM, Binder ZA, Nasrallah M, Brem S, O'Rourke DM, Ming G li, Song H. 2020. A Patient-Derived Glioblastoma Organoid Model and Biobank Recapitulates Inter- and Intra-tumoral Heterogeneity. Cell. 180:188-204.e22.

Joseph J V., Conroy S, Tomar T, Eggens-Meijer E, Bhat K, Copray S, Walenkamp AME, Boddeke E, Balasubramanyian V, Wagemakers M, Den Dunnen WFA, Kruyt FAE. 2014. TGF-β is an inducer of ZEB1-dependent mesenchymal transdifferentiation in glioblastoma that is associated with tumor invasion. Cell Death Dis. 5.

Jung E, Osswald M, Ratliff M, Dogan H, Xie R, Weil S, Hoffmann DC, Kurz FT, Kessler T, Heiland S, von Deimling A, Sahm F, Wick W, Winkler F. 2021. Tumor cell plasticity, heterogeneity, and resistance in crucial microenvironmental niches in glioma. Nat Commun. 12.

Jung J, Zhang Y, Celiku O, Zhang W, Song H, Williams BJ, Giles AJ, Rich JN, Abounader R, Gilbert MR, Park DM. 2019. Mitochondrial Nix promotes tumor survival in the hypoxic niche of glioblastoma. Cancer Res. 79:5218–5232.

Kadoshimaa T, Sakaguchi H, Nakanoa T, Soena M, Ando S, Eirakuc M, Sasai Y. 2013. Self-organization of axial polarity, inside-out layer pattern, and species-specific progenitor dynamics in human ES cell-derived neocortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 110:20285–20289.

Lancaster MA, Renner M, Martin CA, Wenzel D, Bicknell LS, Hurles ME, Homfray T, Penninger JM, Jackson AP, Knoblich JA. 2013. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. Nature.

Lauko A, Lo A, Ahluwalia MS, Lathia JD. 2022. Cancer cell heterogeneity & plasticity in glioblastoma and brain tumors. Semin Cancer Biol.

Le Rhun E, Preusser M, Roth P, Reardon DA, van den Bent M, Wen P, Reifenberger G, Weller M. 2019. Molecular targeted therapy of glioblastoma. Cancer Treat Rev.

Ledur PF, Onzi GR, Zong H, Lenz G. 2017. Culture conditions defining glioblastoma cells behavior: what is the impact for novel discoveries? Oncotarget. 8:69185–69197.

Lee J, Kotliarova S, Kotliarov Y, Li A, Su Q, Donin NM, Pastorino S, Purow BW, Christopher N, Zhang W, Park JK, Fine HA. 2006a. Tumor stem cells derived from glioblastomas cultured in bFGF and EGF more closely mirror the phenotype and genotype of primary tumors than do serum-cultured cell lines. Cancer Cell. 9:391–403.

Lee J, Kotliarova S, Kotliarov Y, Li A, Su Q, Donin NM, Pastorino S, Purow BW, Christopher N, Zhang W, Park JK, Fine HA. 2006b. Tumor stem cells derived from glioblastomas cultured in bFGF and EGF more closely mirror the phenotype and genotype of primary tumors than do serum-cultured cell lines. Cancer Cell. 9:391–403.

Lee J, Son MJ, Woolard K, Donin NM, Li A, Cheng CH, Kotliarova S, Kotliarov Y, Walling J, Ahn S, Kim M, Totonchy M, Cusack T, Ene C, Ma H, Su Q, Zenklusen JC, Zhang W, Maric D, Fine HA. 2008. Epigenetic-Mediated Dysfunction of the Bone Morphogenetic Protein Pathway Inhibits Differentiation of Glioblastoma-Initiating Cells. Cancer Cell. 13:69–80.

Linkous A, Balamatsias D, Snuderl M, Edwards L, Miyaguchi K, Milner T, Reich B, Cohen-Gould L, Storaska A, Nakayama Y, Schenkein E, Singhania R, Cirigliano S, Magdeldin T,

Lin Y, Nanjangud G, Chadalavada K, Pisapia D, Liston C, Fine HA. 2019a. Modeling Patient-Derived Glioblastoma with Cerebral Organoids. Cell Rep. 26:3203-3211.e5.

Linkous A, Balamatsias D, Snuderl M, Edwards L, Miyaguchi K, Milner T, Reich B, Cohen-Gould L, Storaska A, Nakayama Y, Schenkein E, Singhania R, Cirigliano S, Magdeldin T, Lin Y, Nanjangud G, Chadalavada K, Pisapia D, Liston C, Fine HA. 2019b. Modeling Patient-Derived Glioblastoma with Cerebral Organoids. Cell Rep. 26:3203-3211.e5.

Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, Brat DJ, Cree IA, Figarella-Branger D, Hawkins C, Ng HK, Pfister SM, Reifenberger G, Soffietti R, Von Deimling A, Ellison DW. 2021. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: A summary. Neuro Oncol. 23:1231–1251.

Lui JH, Hansen D v., Kriegstein AR. 2011. Development and evolution of the human neocortex. Cell.

Mariani J, Simonini MV, Palejev D, Tomasini L, Coppola G, Szekely AM, Horvath TL, Vaccarino FM. 2012. Modeling human cortical development in vitro using induced pluripotent stem cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 109:12770–12775.

Monje M, Borniger JC, D'Silva NJ, Deneen B, Dirks PB, Fattahi F, Frenette PS, Garzia L, Gutmann DH, Hanahan D, Hervey-Jumper SL, Hondermarck H, Hurov JB, Kepecs A, Knox SM, Lloyd AC, Magnon C, Saloman JL, Segal RA, Sloan EK, Sun X, Taylor MD, Tracey KJ, Trotman LC, Tuveson DA, Wang TC, White RA, Winkler F. 2020. Roadmap for the Emerging Field of Cancer Neuroscience. Cell. 181:219–222.

Murray E, Cho JH, Goodwin D, Ku T, Swaney J, Kim SY, Choi H, Park YG, Park JY, Hubbert A, McCue M, Vassallo S, Bakh N, Frosch MP, Wedeen VJ, Seung HS, Chung K. 2015. Simple, Scalable Proteomic Imaging for High-Dimensional Profiling of Intact Systems. Cell.

Neftel C, Laffy J, Filbin MG, Hara T, Shore ME, Rahme GJ, Richman AR, Silverbush D, Shaw ML, Hebert CM, Dewitt J, Gritsch S, Perez EM, Gonzalez Castro LN, Lan X, Druck N, Rodman C, Dionne D, Kaplan A, Bertalan MS, Small J, Pelton K, Becker S, Bonal D, Nguyen Q De, Servis RL, Fung JM, Mylvaganam R, Mayr L, Gojo J, Haberler C, Geyeregger R, Czech T, Slavc I, Nahed B V., Curry WT, Carter BS, Wakimoto H, Brastianos PK, Batchelor TT, Stemmer-Rachamimov A, Martinez-Lage M, Frosch MP, Stamenkovic I, Riggi N, Rheinbay E, Monje M, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Cahill DP, Patel AP, Hunter T, Verma IM, Ligon KL, Louis DN, Regev A, Bernstein BE, Tirosh I, Suvà ML. 2019. An Integrative Model of Cellular States, Plasticity, and Genetics for Glioblastoma. Cell. 178:835-849.e21.

Ney PA. 2015. Mitochondrial autophagy: Origins, significance, and role of BNIP3 and NIX. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res.

Ogawa J, Pao GM, Shokhirev MN, Verma IM. 2018a. Glioblastoma Model Using Human Cerebral Organoids. Cell Rep. 23:1220–1229.

Ogawa J, Pao GM, Shokhirev MN, Verma IM. 2018b. Glioblastoma Model Using Human Cerebral Organoids. Cell Rep. 23:1220–1229.

Osswald M, Jung E, Sahm F, Solecki G, Venkataramani V, Blaes J, Weil S, Horstmann H, Wiestler B, Syed M, Huang L, Ratliff M, Karimian Jazi K, Kurz FT, Schmenger T, Lemke D, Gömmel M, Pauli M, Liao Y, Häring P, Pusch S, Herl V, Steinhäuser C, Krunic D, Jarahian M, Miletic H, Berghoff AS, Griesbeck O, Kalamakis G, Garaschuk O, Preusser M, Weiss S,

Liu H, Heiland S, Platten M, Huber PE, Kuner T, Von Deimling A, Wick W, Winkler F. 2015.
Brain tumour cells interconnect to a functional and resistant network. Nature. 528:93–98.

Ostrom QT, Cioffi G, Gittleman H, Patil N, Waite K, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS. 2019.
CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central Nervous System Tumors
Diagnosed in the United States in 2012-2016. Neuro Oncol. 21:V1–V100.

Pasca AM, Sloan SA, Clarke LE, Tian Y, Makinson CD, Huber N, Kim CH, Park JY,
O'Rourke NA, Nguyen KD, Smith SJ, Huguenard JR, Geschwind DH, Barres BA, Pasca
SP. 2015. Functional cortical neurons and astrocytes from human pluripotent stem cells in
3D culture. Nat Methods.

Patel AP, Tirosh I, Trombetta JJ, Shalek AK, Gillespie SM, Wakimoto H, Cahill DP, Nahed
B V., Curry WT, Martuza RL, Louis DN, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Suvà ML, Regev A,
Bernstein BE. 2014. Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary
glioblastoma. Science (1979). 344:1396–1401.

Pine AR, Cirigliano SM, Nicholson JG, Hu Y, Linkous A, Miyaguchi K, Edwards L,
Singhania R, Schwartz TH, Ramakrishna R, Pisapia DJ, Snuderl M, Elemento O, Fine HA.
2020a. Tumor microenvironment is critical for the maintenance of cellular states found in
primary glioblastomas. Cancer Discov. 10:964–979.

Pine AR, Cirigliano SM, Nicholson JG, Hu Y, Linkous A, Miyaguchi K, Edwards L,
Singhania R, Schwartz TH, Ramakrishna R, Pisapia DJ, Snuderl M, Elemento O, Fine HA.
2020b. Tumor microenvironment is critical for the maintenance of cellular states found in
primary glioblastomas. Cancer Discov. 10:964–979.

Pollen AA, Nowakowski TJ, Chen J, Retallack H, Sandoval-Espinosa C, Nicholas CR,
Shuga J, Liu SJ, Oldham MC, Diaz A, Lim DA, Leyrat AA, West JA, Kriegstein AR. 2015.
Molecular Identity of Human Outer Radial Glia during Cortical Development. Cell.

Qian X, Nguyen HN, Song MM, Hadiono C, Ogden SC, Hammack C, Yao B, Hamersky
GR, Jacob F, Zhong C, Yoon KJ, Jeang W, Lin L, Li Y, Thakor J, Berg DA, Zhang C, Kang
E, Chickering M, Nauen D, Ho CY, Wen Z, Christian KM, Shi PY, Maher BJ, Wu H, Jin P,
Tang H, Song H, Ming GL. 2016. Brain-Region-Specific Organoids Using Mini-bioreactors
for Modeling ZIKV Exposure. Cell.

Rakic P. 2009. Evolution of the neocortex: a perspective from developmental biology. Nat
Rev Neurosci. 10:724–735.

Sanai N, Alvarez-Buylla A, Berger MS. 2005. Neural Stem Cells and the Origin of Gliomas,
n engl j med.

Schlessinger J. 2000. Cell Signaling by Receptor Tyrosine Kinases, Cell.

Skaga E, Kulesskiy E, Fayzullin A, Sandberg CJ, Potdar S, Kyttälä A, Langmoen IA,
Laakso A, Gaál-Paavola E, Perola M, Wennerberg K, Vik-Mo EO. 2019. Intertumoral
heterogeneity in patient-specific drug sensitivities in treatment-naïve glioblastoma. BMC
Cancer. 19:1–14.

Sottoriva A, Spiteri I, Piccirillo SGM, Touloumis A, Collins VP, Marioni JC, Curtis C, Watts
C, Tavaré S. 2013. Intratumor heterogeneity in human glioblastoma reflects cancer
evolutionary dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110:4009–4014.

Squair JW, Gautier M, Kathe C, Anderson MA, James ND, Hutson TH, Hudelle R, Qaiser
T, Matson KJE, Barraud Q, Levine AJ, la Manno G, Skinnider MA, Courtine G. 2021.
Confronting false discoveries in single-cell differential expression. Nat Commun. 12.

Strickland M, Stoll EA. 2017. Metabolic reprogramming in glioma. Front Cell Dev Biol.

Sun X, Lin Y. 2016. Npas4: Linking Neuronal Activity to Memory. Trends Neurosci.

Szymczyk J, Sluzalska KD, Materla I, Opalinski L, Otlewski J, Zakrzewska M. 2021.
Fgf/fgfr-dependent molecular mechanisms underlying anti-cancer drug resistance. Cancers
(Basel). 13.

Tan AC, Ashley DM, López GY, Malinzak M, Friedman HS, Khasraw M. 2020.
Management of glioblastoma: State of the art and future directions. CA Cancer J Clin.
70:299–312.

Tang M, Xie Q, Gimple RC, Zhong Z, Tam T, Tian J, Kidwell RL, Wu Q, Prager BC, Qiu Z,
Yu A, Zhu Z, Mesci P, Jing H, Schimelman J, Wang P, Lee D, Lorenzini MH, Dixit D, Zhao
L, Bhargava S, Miller TE, Wan X, Tang J, Sun B, Cravatt BF, Muotri AR, Chen S, Rich JN.
2020. Three-dimensional bioprinted glioblastoma microenvironments model cellular
dependencies and immune interactions. Cell Res. 30:833–853.

Tejero R, Huang Y, Katsyv I, Kluge M, Lin JY, Tome-Garcia J, Daviaud N, Wang Y, Zhang
B, Tsankova NM, Friedel CC, Zou H, Friedel RH. 2019. Gene signatures of quiescent
glioblastoma cells reveal mesenchymal shift and interactions with niche microenvironment.
EBioMedicine. 42:252–269.

Tykocki T, Eltayeb M. 2018. Ten-year survival in glioblastoma. A systematic review.
Journal of Clinical Neuroscience.

Venkataramani V, Tanev DI, Strahle C, Studier-Fischer A, Fankhauser L, Kessler T, Körber
C, Kardorff M, Ratliff M, Xie R, Horstmann H, Messer M, Paik SP, Knabbe J, Sahm F, Kurz
FT, Acikgöz AA, Herrmannsdörfer F, Agarwal A, Bergles DE, Chalmers A, Miletic H,
Turcan S, Mawrin C, Hänggi D, Liu HK, Wick W, Winkler F, Kuner T. 2019. Glutamatergic
synaptic input to glioma cells drives brain tumour progression. Nature. 573:532–538.

Venkataramani V, Yang Y, Schubert MC, Reyhan E, Tetzlaff SK, Wißmann N, Botz M,
Soyka SJ, Beretta CA, Pramatarov R, Fankhauser L, Garofano L, Freudenberg A, Wagner
J, Tanev DI, Ratliff M, Xie R, Kessler T, Hoffmann DC, Hai L, Dörflinger Y, Hoppe S, Yabo
Y, Golebiewska A, Niclou S, Lasorella A, Slowik M, Döring L, Iavarone A, Wick W, Kuner T,
Winkler F. 2022. Glioblastoma hijacks neuronal mechanisms for brain invasion. Cell. 2899–
2917.

Venkatesh HS, Johung TB, Caretti V, Noll A, Tang Y, Nagaraja S, Gibson EM, Mount CW,
Polepalli J, Mitra SS, Woo PJ, Malenka RC, Vogel H, Bredel M, Mallick P, Monje M. 2015.
Neuronal activity promotes glioma growth through neuroligin-3 secretion. Cell. 161:803–
816.

Venkatesh HS, Morishita W, Geraghty AC, Silverbush D, Gillespie SM, Arzt M, Tam LT,
Espenel C, Ponnuswami A, Ni L, Woo PJ, Taylor KR, Agarwal A, Regev A, Brang D, Vogel
H, Hervey-Jumper S, Bergles DE, Suvà ML, Malenka RC, Monje M. 2019. Electrical and
synaptic integration of glioma into neural circuits. Nature. 573:539–545.

Venkatesh HS, Tam LT, Woo PJ, Lennon J, Nagaraja S, Gillespie SM, Ni J, Duveau DY,
Morris PJ, Zhao JJ, Thomas CJ, Monje M. 2017. Targeting neuronal activity-regulated
neuroligin-3 dependency in high-grade glioma. Nature. 549:533–537.

Wang Q, Hu B, Hu X, Kim H, Squatrito M, Scarpace L, deCarvalho AC, Lyu S, Li P, Li Y,
Barthel F, Cho HJ, Lin YH, Satani N, Martinez-Ledesma E, Zheng S, Chang E, Gabriel
Sauvé CE, Olar A, Lan ZD, Finocchiaro G, Phillips JJ, Berger MS, Gabrusiewicz KR, Wang
G, Eskilsson E, Hu J, Mikkelsen T, DePinho RA, Muller F, Heimberger AB, Sulman EP,

Nam DH, Verhaak RGW. 2018. Tumor Evolution of Glioma-Intrinsic Gene Expression
Subtypes Associates with Immunological Changes in the Microenvironment. Cancer Cell.
33:152.

Wang Y, Chiola S, Yang G, Russell C, Armstrong CJ, Wu Y, Spampanato J, Tarboton P,
Ullah HMA, Edgar NU, Chang AN, Harmin DA, Bocchi VD, Vezzoli E, Besusso D, Cui J,
Cattaneo E, Kubanek J, Shcheglovitov A. 2022. Modeling human telencephalic
development and autism-associated SHANK3 deficiency using organoids generated from
single neural rosettes. Nat Commun. 13.

Watanabe M, Buth JE, Vishlaghi N, de la Torre-Ubieta L, Taxidis J, Khakh BS, Coppola G,
Pearson CA, Yamauchi K, Gong D, Dai X, Damoiseaux R, Aliyari R, Liebscher S, Schenke-
Layland K, Caneda C, Huang EJ, Zhang Y, Cheng G, Geschwind DH, Golshani P, Sun R,
Novitch BG. 2017. Self-Organized Cerebral Organoids with Human-Specific Features
Predict Effective Drugs to Combat Zika Virus Infection. Cell Rep. 21:517–532.

Wenger A, Vega SF, Kling T, Bontell TO, Jakola AS, Carén H. 2019. Intratumor DNA
methylation heterogeneity in glioblastoma: Implications for DNA methylation-based
classification. Neuro Oncol. 21:616–627.

Xie XP, Laks DR, Sun D, Ganbold M, Wang Z, Pedraza AM, Bale T, Tabar V, Brennan C,
Zhou X, Parada LF. 2022. Quiescent human glioblastoma cancer stem cells drive tumor
initiation, expansion, and recurrence following chemotherapy. Dev Cell. 57:32-46.e8.

Xu J, Zhang G, Hu J, Li H, Zhao J, Zong S, Guo Z, Jiang Y, Jing Z. 2022.
UPF1/circRPPH1/ATF3 feedback loop promotes the malignant phenotype and stemness of
GSCs. Cell Death Dis. 13.

Yabo YA, Niclou SP, Golebiewska A. 2022. Cancer cell heterogeneity and plasticity: A
paradigm shift in glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 24:669–682.

Ye C, Li H, Li Y, Zhang Y, Liu G, Mi H, Li H, Xiao Q, Niu L, Yu X. 2022. Hypoxia-induced
HMGB1 promotes glioma stem cells self-renewal and tumorigenicity via RAGE. iScience.
25.

Yu K, Lin CCJ, Hatcher A, Lozzi B, Kong K, Huang-Hobbs E, Cheng YT, Beechar VB, Zhu
W, Zhang Y, Chen F, Mills GB, Mohila CA, Creighton CJ, Noebels JL, Scott KL, Deneen B.
2020. PIK3CA variants selectively initiate brain hyperactivity during gliomagenesis. Nature.
578:166–171.

Zhou Y, Zhou Y, Shingu T, Feng L, Chen Z, Ogasawara M, Keating MJ, Kondo S, Huang
P. 2011. Metabolic alterations in highly tumorigenic glioblastoma cells: Preference for
hypoxia and high dependency on glycolysis. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 286:32843–
32853.

1038

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. A-B Schematics of the pipeline for the derivation, different culturing conditions and single-cell profiling of GBM11 (PN) and GBM20 (MS) GBMs. **C-D** Images of GBM11 (C) and GBM20 (D) spheroids grown in presence (left) or absence (right) of exogenous EGF-FGF supplementation. **E-F** Growth curves for GBM11 (E) and GBM20 (F) spheroids with or without EGF/FGF. **G** UMAP plot of clusters from GBM20 and GBM11 cells in spheroids. **H** UMAP plot of Seurat clusters. **I** Bar plot showing the cluster composition of PN and MS tumors in spheroids. Stacked bars show the proportional composition for each tumor. **J** Similarity matrix of clusters from GBM11 and GBM20 tumor cells. Heatmap color indicates the Spearman ranked correlation. **K** Feature plots for selected PN, MES and cancer stem cell genes in spheroids. **L** Pie charts of percentages of cells in spheroids classified as astrocytic (AC)-like, mesenchymal (MES)-like, neural-progenitor (NPC)-like, and oligodendrocyte-progenitor (OPC)-like states. Scale bars: 500 μm (C-D). Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.).

Figure 2. A Images of SNR-derived subpallial organoids at 2, 7, and 14 days in vitro post-isolation. **B** Scatter plot sized of organoids produced from iPSC-RFP and H9 stem cell lines in 3 (2 iPSC, combined and 1 H9) independent differentiation batches. Each dot represents an organoid. **C** UMAP plots of cells collected from multiple organoids obtained in 3 different differentiation batches from iPSC-RFP and H9 stem cell lines. **D** UMAP plot of Seurat clusters. **E** Bar plot showing cell cluster compositions for 3 different differentiation batches. **F** Similarity gene expression matrix for different cell clusters (IPs: intermediate progenitors; NPs: neural progenitors). **G** Feature heat-map plots for selected

pallial and subpallial cell type-specific markers. **H** Dot plot for pallial and subpallial cell

type-specific markers. Scale bar: 250 μm (A).

Figure 3. **A** Schematics of the experimental design for the generation of fused GBM

spheroids and subpallial organoids (organoids-GBM chimeras). **B** Images of GBM20-

iPSC chimeras at weeks 1, 2, and 3 post fusion. **C** Images of cleared MS-GBM-iPSC

chimera. **D** Images of MS-GBM-iPSC chimera. **E** UMAP plot of Seurat clusters. **F** UMAP

plot of cells coming from 5 independent groups of chimeras from 3 distinct batches. **G** Bar

plot showing percentages of cell clusters in MS- and PN-chimeras. **H** Similarity matrix of

clusters from chimeras. **I** Pie charts of percentages of tumor cells in MS- and PN-chimeras

classified as AC-like, MES-like, NPC-like, and OPC-like states. **J** Violin plots of gene

expression for selected MS and PN genes in tumor cells from chimeras and spheroids.

Scale bar: 200 μm (B-D). Benjamini and Hochberg FDR: *<0.05, **<0.01.

Figure 4. **A** Scheme of the differential expression analysis workflow. **B-C** UMAP plot of

cells coming from tumors clustered by origin (chimeras or spheroids [B]) or tumor type

(C). **D** UMAP plot of clusters from combined tumor cells coming from Chimeras and

spheroids, colored by cluster. **E** Similarity matrix of clusters from combined analysis.

Heatmap color indicates the Spearman ranked correlation, and above the heatmap is the

annotated "grouped cluster" for each cluster. **F** UMAP plot of "grouped clusters". **G** Bar

plot showing the cluster composition of PN and MS tumors in Chimeras and spheroids.

H Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes shows the most up- and down-regulated

genes found in PN tumor cells in Chimeras versus spheroids (left). Gene sets significantly

enriched (pvalue < 0.05) in the PN tumor grown in Chimeras using the Gene Ontology

Biological Processes, ordered by NES [Normalized Enrichment Score] (right). A positive

NES indicates enrichment in the PN tumor grown in Chimeras, a negative NES indicates

enrichment in the PN tumor grown in spheroids. **I** Volcano plot of differentially expressed

genes shows the most up- and down-regulated genes found in MS tumor cells in

Chimeras versus spheroids (left). Gene sets significantly enriched (pvalue < 0.05) in the

MS tumor grown in Chimeras using the Gene Ontology Biological Processes, ordered by

NES (right). **J** Venn diagram showing the number of common (list on the right) and unique

upregulated genes (adjusted pvalue < 0.05, logFC > 0.25) in PN and MS tumors in

Chimeras. **K** Venn diagram showing the number of common (list on the right) and unique

down-regulated genes (adjusted pvalue < 0.05, logFC < -0.25) in PN and MS tumors in

Chimeras. **L-N** Heat maps of the "mitosis", "s-phase", and "cancer stem cell" gene

signatures for PN and MS tumors grown in spheroids and chimeras.

Figure 5. **A** Schematics for the differential expression analysis design. B UMAP plot of

cells coming from organoids clustered by origin (organoids or chimeras, left) or sample

name (right). C UMAP plot of Seurat clusters. D Similarity matrix of clusters from

organoids and organoids in chimeras (MS and PN). E Bar plot showing the percentages

of clusters in different batches of organoids and organoids in chimeras (MS and PN). F

Dot plot for pallial and subpallial specific markers in organoids' clusters. **G-H** Principal

Component Analysis (PCA) plots of pseudo bulk RNA seq values for Neural Progenitors

(G) and Neurons (H) in organoids and chimeras. **I** Volcano plot of differentially expressed

genes shows the most up- and down-regulated genes found in Neuronal cells in Chimeras

versus Organoids (left). Gene sets significantly enriched (pvalue < 0.05) in Neurons in

Chimeras using the Gene Ontology Biological Processes, ordered by NES (right). **J**

Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes shows the most up- and down-regulated

genes found in Neural Progenitor cells in Chimeras versus Organoids (left). Gene sets

significantly enriched (pvalue < 0.05) in Neural Progenitors in Chimeras using the Gene

Ontology Biological Processes, ordered by NES (right).

A GBM spheroids

Telencephalic organoids

fusion

Organoids-GBM chimera

B
1 week post-fusion   2 week post-fusion   3 week post-fusion

C
Organoid   MS-GBM-GFP   Organoid-RFP
GBM

D
Organoid GBM   GBM

E

F
Organoid-MS-GBM chimera (Batch 1)
Organoid-MS-GBM chimera (Batch 2)
Organoid-MS-GBM chimera (Batch 3)
Organoid-PN-GBM chimera (Batch 1)
Organoid-PN-GBM chimera (Batch 2)

G
organoid-   organoid-
MS-GBM   PN-GBM

clusters

Percent of cells

Batch1 Batch2 Batch3 Batch1 Batch2

H
tumor   organoid

I
GBM11 (PN)
Cell states
AC - 25.2%
MES - 73.6%
NPC - 1.1%
OPC - 0%

GBM20 (MES)
Cell states
AC - 0%
MES - 99.12%
NPC - 0.84%
OPC - 0%

J Up-regulated in PN-chimeras MES genes

ATF3   DDIT3

CDKN1A   GSN

NAMPT   ENO2

PN   MS   MS   PN
chimera chimera spheroid spheroid

K Down-regulated in PN-chimeras AC genes

MLC1   SLC1A3

NDRG2   PRCP

MT3   SPARC

PN   MS   MS   PN
chimera chimera spheroid spheroid

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Heatmap of cluster-specific genes calculated in Seurat clusters from PN (GBM11) and MS (GBM20) spheroids.

Supplementary Figure 2. Feature plots of expression levels for canonical subpallial

markers in PN (GBM11) and MS (GBM20) spheroids.

Supplementary Figure 3. Feature plots of expression levels for pallial and subpallial

marker genes in 3 independent batches of SNR-derived organoids.

Supplementary Figure 4. Images of iPSC-RFP-derived-organoids-MS-GBM chimeras. Fluorescent (organoid:red, GBM:green) images are on the top rows, and bright field images are on the bottom row.

Supplementary Figure 5. Violin plot of selected PN and MS markers in tumor cells from

PN (GBM11) and MS (GBM20) spheroids and chimeras.