Mitosis sets nuclear homeostasis of cancer cells under confinement

Malèke Mouelhi[1]*, Alexis Saffon[1], Morgane Roinard[1], Hélène Delanoë-Ayari[1], Sylvain Monnier[1]†*, Charlotte Rivière[1,2,3]†*

[1] Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Institut Lumière Matière, UMR 5306, 69622, Villeurbanne, France.

[2] Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), France.

[3] Institut Convergence PLAsCAN, Centre de Cancérologie de Lyon, INSERM U1052-CNRS. UMR5286, Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France

† Senior author contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors: Malèke Mouelhi, Sylvain Monnier, Charlotte Rivière

Email: maleke.mou@gmail.com ; sylvain.monnier@univ-lyon1.fr ; charlotte.riviere@univ-lyon1.fr

Author Contributions: S.M. and C.R. contributed equally to this work. S.M and C.R. supervised the project. M.M., S.M. and C.R. designed the research. M.M., A.S, M.R. performed the experiments. M.M., H.A. performed the modeling. M.M., A.S., S.M. and C.R. analyzed the data. M.M., S.M. and C.R. wrote the manuscript. All authors helped to edit the manuscript.

Keywords: Mechanobiology, nucleus, mitosis, cancer cells, confinement

Abstract

During their life, mammalian cells are subjected to numerous mechanical constraints, especially in pathological contexts such as cancer. Recent studies have highlighted the central role of the nucleus in sensing mechanical cues, but they only focus on short periods of time, and so far, whether cells can adapt to prolonged confinement remains unknown. Here, we reveal the unsuspected role of mitosis in the long-term adaptation of nuclei to prolonged uniaxial confinement. For the colorectal cancer cell line investigated, following the first confined cell division, a new homeostatic state was reached by nuclei: they were smaller, and had reset the tension of their

envelope. This adaptation through mitosis relied both on the nuclear tension sensor cPLA2 and the

contractility machinery. We report for the first time a mechano-adaptation during mitosis, a process

that could be crucial to adapt to stresses in the tumor microenvironment. We therefore anticipate

that our work could provide new insight into cancer cell plasticity and cancer relapse.

Significance Statement

Most cell types undergo significant deformation throughout their life cycles. Immune cells must

deform to navigate through dense matrices, while cancer cells in solid tumors experience

squeezing from neighboring cells. The nucleus, central for many cell function, is the stiffest and

largest organelle. Understanding its long-term response to spatial constraints is hence crucial yet

largely unexplored.

In this study, we investigate how a colorectal cancer cell line adapts to prolonged confined

environments, with a particular focus on nuclear dynamics under continuous squeezing.

Our groundbreaking findings reveal for the first time a mechano-adaptation during mitosis leading

to a decrease in nuclear size.

This research contributes to the fundamental understanding of cellular mechanosensing, opening

new avenues for cancer biology research.

 Main Text

 Introduction

 The response of cancer cells to modifications in their microenvironmental mechanics has drawn

 attention over the last decades, since pioneering studies have shown that mechanics play an

 important role in the malignant transformation of cells during tumor progression and dissemination

 (1, 2). It is now acknowledged that changes in mechanical properties of the tumor microenvironment

 (densification and stiffening of the extracellular matrix, mechanical compression) foster a malignant

 and invasive phenotype (3) together with a decrease in cell proliferation (4, 5), and changes in

 cancer cell gene expression (6, 7). Hence, alleviating mechanical stress is now envisioned as an

 interesting therapeutic strategy (8–10). To better target the adaptation of cancer cells to mechanical

 stress, their response under confinement has been extensively studied. Several groups identified

 an alteration of cell mitosis under such confined situations (11, 12), with modified cell cycle

 progression and division (13), the presence of multi-daughter events, daughter cells of unequal

 size, and induction of cell death (14). Moreover, such flattening was shown to promote the G1-S

 transition (15), as well as the G2-M transition (16), thus fostering cell cycle progression. Under such

 confined conditions, the nucleus was highlighted as a mechanosensor (17–19), triggering a

 mechanism of nuclear repair (20) and rescue of DNA damage (21). Upon squeezing, the nucleus

 shortly unfolds its inner lamin envelope, activating the cytosolic phospholipase A2 (cPLA2)-

 Arachidonic acid (AA) pathway (17), as well as myosin II contractility and switches towards a motile

 phenotype (18). Nuclear blebs and chromatin herniation can also occur, leading to transient events

 of nuclear rupture and repair (20, 22). However, all these data focus on short-term cellular response

 to confinement, and studying cancer cell fate under several days of confinement remains

 challenging.

In this study, we investigated whether cells survive and adapt to prolonged squeezing. We showed

that they do survive thanks to a global nuclear adaptation that takes place in mitosis. We

demonstrated that nuclei relax the applied stress at mitosis by decreasing both their nuclear volume

and envelope tension. Both the tension sensor cPLA2 and the contractility machinery were required

to achieve proper adaptation. All these changes were conserved by the daughter cells of the next

generations, establishing a new state of nuclear homeostasis. Together our results reveal a

mechano-adaptation mechanism during mitosis, leading to a decrease in nuclear size..

Results

Cancer cells adapt and survive different levels of prolonged confinement by altering their

nuclear volume.

To investigate whether and how cells adapt to prolonged squeezing, we modified our previously

published agarose-based confiner (23), based on a single level of confinement, to subject a cell

population to multiple levels of confinement simultaneously (**Fig. 1A**). This system enabled us to

impose four different levels of uniaxial deformations (with four different heights from 3 to 9 µm, with

a central control zone where no deformation was imposed, **Fig. 1B-C**). After 2 and 24 h, cells

displayed a constant decrease in cell and nuclear height according to the levels of confinement

(**Fig. 1D-E**, see Materials & Methods for the measure principle), corresponding to an imposed initial

nuclear deformation along the z-direction (ε_{Nz}) from 41 ± 3% to 70 ± 2% for slight to very strong

confinement, respectively **(Fig. 1F)**.

We first focus on cell nuclear-projected area and nuclear volume. Different time points were chose.

First, 2 h to confirm the lack of nuclear volume adaptation already reported for an imposed

deformation of the order of the hour (17, 18), then 24 h, which corresponds to the cell cycle duration

of the HT-29 cell line used in this study. We saw that at 2 h of confinement, the nuclear-projected

area increased with the level of confinement (**Fig. 1E, G**). The volume of each nucleus, computed

by approximating the shape of the nucleus to a cylinder remained constant for all imposed

deformations (**Fig. 1H**, squares). Intriguingly, at 24 h of confinement, the nuclear-projected area

decreased, resembling that of the control condition (**Fig. 1G**, triangles). This decrease in nuclear-

projected area corresponded to a proportional decrease in nuclear volume after 24 h of confinement

(**Fig. 1H** triangles), with a loss of nuclear volume from 20 ± 6 % to 34 ± 15 % for slight to very strong

confinement, respectively (**Fig. S1A**). Interestingly, this loss in nuclear volume was inversely

proportional to nuclear deformation ε_{Nz} (**Fig. 1H**, dotted line). In addition, the distance between the

cell membrane and the nuclear envelope was significantly reduced with confinement (**Fig. 1D**, **Fig.**

S1B) and accompanied by the re-localization of the contractility machinery (Phosphorylated Myosin

Light Chain (p-MLC) staining) from above the nucleus to the side, indicating a cortex rearrangement

(**Fig. S1C**). These data support and reinforce our previous findings (23), that cells survive strong

squeezing even for a long-term period of 24 h. We provide evidence here that at 24h cells regulate

their nuclear volume depending on the level of confinement.

Figure 1: Cancer cells adapt to prolonged confinement by decreasing their nuclear volume

(**A**) 3D representation of our confinement device showing the molded agarose pad. (**B**) Schematic

diagram of the multi-height pad with the theoretical heights of each pillar. (**C**) Confocal images of

cells and the shaped pillar of each confined zone. *Scale bar = 250 µm*. (**D**) Quantification of cells

and nuclear height by z-stack confocal imaging, under each confined zone. *N = 3 experiments with*
n = 30 cells; Mann-Whitney test. (**E**) Representative confocal images of nuclei stained with
NucGreen in XY (*Scale bar = 10 µm*) and its orthogonal view (scale bar = 5 µm) at 2 h and 24 h
under each level of confinement. (**F**) Initial nuclear deformation in percent for each degree of
confinement defined as $\varepsilon_{Nz} = \left(\frac{h_{nuc}^{ctr}-h_{nuc}}{h_{nuc}^{ctr}}\right)x100$. (**G**) SuperPlot quantifying the projected nuclear
area. In each condition, the 3 colors represent 3 distinct experiments with their respective
individuals and average value represented by small and large dots respectively. *N = 3 experiments*
with n > 5,000 cells/experiments; Welch's test. Welch's ANOVA test was also performed between
each confined condition at 24 h and was not significant. (**H**) Nuclear volume quantification. *N = 3*
experiments with n>5,000 cells/experiments; Welch's test. Ordinary one-way ANOVA was carried
out between each confinement condition at 2 h and was not significant.

Nuclear volume adaptation to long-term confinement occurs during mitosis

We then wondered which phase of the cell cycle contributed to this adaptation. It was reported that

mechanically confined cells, unable to adopt a rounded shape prior to division, displayed a stressed

cell division with delayed mitosis, multi-daughter mitosis events, unevenly sized daughter cells, and

induction of cell death (11, 12). Similarly, in our system, we observed a gradual increase in

abnormal divisions and mitosis duration with the level of confinement (**Fig. S2A-B, Movie S1**). We

further tested if the nuclear volume was conserved throughout mitosis, i.e., if the volume of the sum

of the two daughter cells after division was equal to the volume of their mother cell. We focused

our analysis on normal division, and only cells capable of progressing through their next cell cycle

to mitosis were considered. Intriguingly, nuclei were smaller after the first division under

confinement (**Fig. 2A**), with a volume ratio decreasing gradually with increasing nuclear

deformation, similar to the one observed at 24 h on fixed samples (**Fig. 1H, 2A**, and **Fig. S2C** for

the fit). The loss of volume during mitosis reached up to 60 ± 15% for the strongest confinement

(Fig. 2A). The fact that we obtained the same proportionality on fixed (**Fig. 1H**) and live (**Fig. 2A;**

Fig. S2C) samples confirmed the robustness of our results. Conversely, during the second division

under confinement, we observed no loss of nuclear volume (**Fig. 2B**). Hence, the nuclear volume

seemed to reach homeostasis after the first confined division and did not shrink further during a

second confined division. Therefore, mitosis appears to be the main checkpoint in the observed

long-term adaptation of nuclear volume.

Confined mitosis sets a new nuclear state of homeostasis

Next, we investigated whether cell cycle progression was also modified. To this end, we conducted

time-lapse experiments over 3 to 4 days on live cells, by confining HT-29 expressing the FUCCI

fluorescent cell cycle reporter system (**Fig. 2C**). We initially observed that the nuclear volume at

G2 entry was similar for slightly and very strongly confined cells, suggesting that the G1 phase was

not or weakly affected in HT-29 cells (**Fig. S2D**). Then, the time spent in G2 before the first division

increased only for cells under very strong confinement (**Fig. 2D**) and led to an increase in relative

nuclear growth with a constant growth rate during the G2 phase (**Fig. 2E and Fig. S2E-F**). This

increase in size and growth might be due to an increase in nuclear import following a rise in nuclear

envelope tension and uncoupled nuclear and cytoplasmic volumes as proposed by Pennacchio et

al. (24–26). Conversely, slighter levels of confinement did not impact cell cycle progression (**Fig.**

S2E-F), in accordance with the tension threshold described by Lomakin et al. and Venturini et al.

(17, 18).

We then focused on the cell cycle taking place after the first confined division. Surprisingly, for

daughter cells, we found that confinement did not significantly change the duration of the whole cell

cycle (**Fig. S2I**) and neither that of its subpart (G1, S-G2, **Fig. 2F**). Similarly, relative nuclear growth

remained unchanged under confinement during the entire cell cycle (Fig. S2J), and during its

subpart (G1, S-G2, Fig. 2G), leading to similar nuclear growth rates regardless of the level of

confinement (Fig. S2K), with no significant difference in their subparts (Fig. S2H). These results

clearly show that after the first confined division, neither cell cycle progression nor growth rate were

affected by confinement, cells thus adapted to confinement during the first confined mitosis and

reached a new homeostatic state.

Figure 2: Nuclear volume adaptation occurs during mitosis setting a new state of homeostasis

(A-B) Quantification of the size of daughter nuclei over their respective mother, under several levels of confinement during the first confined mitosis **(A)** and the second confined mitosis **(B)** and representative images of nuclei at the end of the G2 phase (just before mitosis) and its respective daughter nuclei just after mitosis (beginning of G1 phase), for control and strong confinement

conditions during the first confined mitosis **(A)** and the second confined mitosis **(B)**, scale bar = 10

µm. N = 2 experiments with n > 15 cells per condition, unpaired t-test. **(C)** Time-lapse images of

HT-29 nuclei expressing the FUCCI fluorescent cell cycle reporter, without confinement (control)

or under strong confinement (60% nuclear deformation). Scale bar = 10 µm. **(D-F)** Quantification

of the duration of HT-29 Fucci cells under confinement during the S-G2 before the first division *(D)*

and during the G1 and S-G2 phase after the first division **(F).** N = 2 experiments with n = 50 cells

(D) and n > 200 cells **(F)**, unpaired t test. **(E-G)** Quantification of the nuclear relative growth of HT-

29 Fucci cells under confinement during the S-G2 before division **(E)** and during the G1 and S-G2

phase after the first division **(G)**. N = 2 experiments with n = 39 cells, unpaired t test for **(E)** and n

> 200 cells, Mann-Whitney test for **(G).**

Mitosis causes the relaxation of the nuclear envelope tension under confinement

As observed, the nuclear volume remains constant for short timescales (2 h), but due to the applied

confinement and geometrical considerations, the nuclear envelope (NE) unfolds, and its tension

increases (27), which can lead to nuclear protrusions (28–30). Nuclear protrusions are dynamic

structures whose membrane can rupture – that can be visualized by leakage of NLS-RFP dye to

the cytoplasm (**Fig. S3A**, arrows) – and repair (20). To investigate whether nuclear tension can be

regulated under prolonged confinement, we first quantified the nuclear envelope folding from lamin

A/C immunostaining (**Fig 3A**) by defining the positive area as the excess area of the lamina

envelope compared to the nuclear area (see methods and **Fig. S3B**). In control conditions, the

nuclear envelope was folded, reaching similar levels at 2 h and 24 h (56 ± 1.5% and 57 ± 2%

respectively, **Fig. 3B**). Under strong confinement (60% nuclear deformation), a complete unfolding

of the nuclear envelope was observed at 2 h (**Fig. 3A**), evidenced by a radical decrease in the

positive area (**Fig. 3B**, down to 20 ± 1%). At 24 h, a refolding of lamin A/C was observed (**Fig. 3A**),

with an increase in the positive area (34 ± 1%, **Fig. 3B**), suggesting that nuclei have adapted to

lower their nuclear tension.

As a proxy for nuclear envelope tension, we analyzed the evolution of nuclear protrusions. Under

the strongest levels of confinement (60% and 70% nuclear deformation), we observed nuclear

protrusions at 2 h (**Fig. 1E**, strong-very strong confinement panel, and **Fig. 3C**). Such protrusions

had a limited contribution to the increase in nuclear-projected area, as the increase remained

significantly different even if protrusions were dismissed to compute the projected area (**Fig S3C**).

The proportion of nuclei displaying protrusions (indiscriminately called blebs in the rest of the

manuscript for simplicity), as well as dividing, apoptotic, and normal nuclei was quantified according

to their morphology (**Fig. 3C**, insets). Under slight confinement (41% nuclear deformation), blebbing

nuclei represented only 4.2 ± 4.7% of all nuclei (**Fig. 3D**). However, the proportion of these nuclei

gradually increased with confinement and represented 37.7 ± 6.7% and 63.4 ± 11.8% of all nuclei

for 60% and 70% of nuclear deformation, respectively (**Fig. 3D**). Strikingly, at 24 h of confinement,

those proportions dropped to less than 10%, and were mirrored by an increase in normal nuclei

proportions (**Fig. 3E and Fig S3D**). The number of blebs per cell and the size of these blebs were

also drastically reduced at 24 h under strong confinement (**Fig. S3E-H**). This change in nuclear

phenotype at 24 h is in accordance with the reduction in nuclear volume and the refolding of the

nuclear envelope.

As nuclear volume adaptation occurs after mitosis, we investigated whether this event could also

play a role in the loss of nuclear blebs. Using time-lapse experiments, we quantified nuclear blebs

before and after confined divisions. Before mitosis, the mean number of blebs per cell was 1 ± 0.3

for cells under strong confinement (**Fig. 3F**, 60% nuclear deformation), and reached 3 ± 2.2 for

very strong confinement (**Fig. S3I**, 70% nuclear deformation). Surprisingly, immediately after

mitosis, the mean number of blebs per cell was close to 0 for both strong and very strong

confinement (**Fig. 3F, Fig S3I, Movie S2**). In addition, we observed no blebs before the second

mitosis (**Fig. 3F**). Loss of nuclear blebs is clearly linked to mitosis, suggesting that nuclear volume

and nuclear envelope tension are tightly coupled, and supports the hypothesis that mitosis is a key

regulator of nuclear envelope tension.

As the presence of nuclear blebs is also associated with DNA damage, we quantified such

damages using γH2AX immunostaining. At 2 h of confinement, DNA damage appeared, as

evidenced by an increase in the number of γH2AX foci per cell (marker of double-strand breaks,

Fig. 3G). This confinement-induced DNA damage was repaired at 24 h, with a number of foci per

cell in confined conditions back to basal levels (**Fig. 3H**). The mechanism(s) behind such repair is

likely coupled to nuclear adaptation, either during cell division itself or due to the relaxation of the

nuclear envelope tension at mitosis.

Figure 3: Mitosis regulates nuclear tension under prolonged confinement

(A) Representative confocal images (max intensity projection) of stained nuclei and lamin A/C
without confinement (control) or under 60% nuclear deformation (strong confinement) at 2 h and
24 h. Scale bar = 10 μm. (B) Quantification of the positive area of the nuclear envelope (lamin A/C)
which represents the folded nuclear envelope. N = 3 experiments with n > 30cells/experiments;
mean ± SEM; Mann-Whitney test. (C) Max projection confocal images of stained nuclei and pMLC
at 2 h under strong confinement, scale bar = 50 μm. Cropped nuclei correspond to nuclei with

Cells under confinement regulate their apparent nuclear surface at mitosis

To gain further insights into the mechanism at play, we wondered what physical parameter is

involved in the nuclear adaptation described above. We hypothesize that the nuclear volume can

be set by the total amount of nuclear envelope or by the apparent surface area of the nucleus

(nuclear surface area excluding the membrane ruffles). First, we estimated the total surface area

of the nuclear envelope S_0 from the apparent surface area of confined nuclei at 2h, assuming the

total unfolding of the nuclear envelope (**Fig. 4A**). Taking S_0 as constant, we calculated the volume

V_0 a nucleus with a tensed nuclear envelope would have for various nuclear deformation (Total

available surface model, **Fig. 4B**, pink line). Second, we estimated the apparent surface area S_{app}

of unconfined nuclei using simple geometric assumptions (see supplementary text and **Fig. 4A**).

Assuming a constant apparent surface area S_{app}, we computed the corresponding nucleus volume

V_{app} for various degrees of nuclear deformation (Apparent surface model in **Fig. 4B**, green line).

We found that the volumes calculated using the apparent surface model closely matched the

experimental volumes measured after 24 h under confinement (**Fig. 4B**, points). This validates the

second hypothesis and shows that the apparent surface area is a crucial parameter for nuclear

volume adaptation.

To further validate this hypothesis, we also computed the apparent surface area S_{app} from the

experimental z-stacked images (assuming a spherical or cylindrical geometry for the unconfined

and confined state, respectively). The calculated apparent surface area of confined nuclei S_{app},

passively increased after 2 h under confinement (**Fig. 4C**, black points), while it reached a constant

value at 24 h (**Fig. 4C**, red points), very close to the constant apparent surface area computed for

unconfined cells (**Fig. 4C**, dotted line). Together, these results suggest that the new homeostatic

volume reached by cells after mitosis might be set by the apparent surface area of the nuclear

envelope and advocates for a role of nuclear tension sensing that would govern such an apparent

surface area.

Figure 4: A new state of nuclear homeostasis is determined by the apparent nuclear surface at mitosis

exit

(**A**) Schematic representation of the geometric model, showing the apparent nuclear envelope

surface area S_{app} and the unfolded nuclear envelope surface area S_0 (**B**) Calculations of nuclear

volume using the apparent surface area (green) and the total surface area (pink). The total surface

area is obtained from the tensed nuclear envelope measured under intermediate confinement at 2

h. Experimental values are shown in black. (**C**) Nuclear apparent surface area as a function of the

initial nuclear deformation ε_{Nz} at 2 h and 24 h under confinement. The dashed line shows the

apparent surface measured for unconfined cells.

Nuclear adaptation to confinement requires the tension sensor cPLA2, but also actomyosin

contractility

We then further investigate the molecular actors involved in this adaptation. As the key role of

cPLA2 in the sensing of nuclear envelope tension has been highlighted in different contexts (17,

31, 32), we tested whether nuclear volume adaptation was preserved upon cPLA2 inhibition (with

AACOCF3). In addition, as cPLA2 is also involved in the regulation of myosin II contractility in a

calcium-dependent manner (17, 18), the nuclear volume adaption was also tested upon depletion

of intracellular calcium (with BAPTA-AM and 2APB) or inhibition of myosin II (with Blebbistatin).

Upon inhibition of cPLA2, myosin II, or upon depletion of intracellular calcium, no further decrease

in volume at 24 h was observed (**Fig. 5A**).

From this small pharmacological screen, we therefore concluded that the nuclear adaptation

observed during mitosis requires nuclear tension sensing through cPLA2 and activation of

actomyosin contractility. The latter is a well-known transducer of stress to the nucleus, inducing

deformation and blebbing (28). To further confirm that actomyosin contractility was mandatory for

nuclear envelop tension relaxation, we quantified the number of blebs in the presence of

Blebbistatin. We observed that a large number of blebs were still present after 24 h of confinement

(**Fig. 5B-C**). Similarly, inhibiting contractility before applying the confinement (Blebbistatin added 1

h before confinement) also prevented the regulation of nuclear volume and blebs (**Fig S4 A-D**).

These results highlight the interplay between contractility, nuclear tension, and nuclear volume

regulation in setting nuclear homeostasis upon prolonged confinement.

Figure 5: The long-term nuclear adaptation is defective with altered actomyosin cortex

(**A**) Quantification of the nuclear volume changes (in percentage) between 2 h and 24 h without
confinement and under strong confinement with several drugs. *N = 3 experiments with n>2,500*
cells, mean ± SEM, Welch's t-test. (**B**) Representative images of stained nuclei and phospho-
Myosin Light Chain either with or without Blebbistatin at 2 h and 24 h, without confinement or under
very strong confinement. *Scale bar = 20 μm.* (**C**) Quantification of nuclei with blebs, dividing,
apoptotic, or normal without confinement or under very strong confinement at 2 h and 24 h, with no
drug or with Blebbistatin. *N = 3 experiments with n = 9,818 cells.*

Discussion

Deciphering how the nucleus reacts to long-term confinement is of primary importance in the

context of cancer progression and response to treatment (33, 34). Using an imposed deformation,

we show that the nucleus regulates both its volume and its structure (blebs, lamin A/C folding)

within 24 h. We evidenced that this regulation is mediated by mitosis. Nuclei then reach a new state

of homeostasis to alleviate nuclear tension, with no further adaptation observed in the next

generations. This regulation is an active process requiring the tension sensor cPLA2, intracellular

calcium, as well as the contractility machinery.

Mitosis plays a key role in nuclear adaptation to confinement and alleviation of nuclear

envelope tension

The key step in nuclear adaptation to confinement is cell mitosis. We clearly show that the

regulation of nuclear volume observed at 24 h of confinement largely originated from volumetric

changes at division exit. The duration of confined mitosis can be prolonged due to defects in spindle

assembly (12) or leads to asymmetric or multi-daughter division (11), but so far such shrinking of

the nucleus during division has never been observed to our knowledge. More strikingly, no further

shrinking was observed for the second division under confinement. This important observation

suggests a true adaptation to confinement; once adapted, there is no further volume decrease.

Intriguingly, the nuclear volume loss reached for the strongest confinement (~60 % of original

nuclear volume) is similar to the range of chromatin volume mentioned in (35). It will be of interest

to investigate in future studies whether the volume loss can be attributed to the nucleoplasm

volume, so that the volume reached corresponds to the immobile chromatin fraction only. For now,

the mechanisms involved remain elusive. Using a mechanistic approach, we showed that the

apparent nuclear surface area is the parameter conserved over a long time and therefore during

cell division. By regulating the apparent surface area of their nucleus, cells can adjust their nuclear

envelope tension to a homeostatic level. Indeed, mitosis also plays a pivotal role in relaxing the

nuclear envelope tension generated by confinement as nuclear blebs disappeared completely after

the first mitosis, while nuclear envelope folds reappeared in confined conditions at 24 h.

The critical role of cPLA2 and actomyosin contractility in the regulation of lamina tension

and nuclear volume

The nuclear adaptation we evidenced was lost by inhibiting the nuclear envelope tension sensor

cPLA2, or by blocking intracellular calcium or contractility. These results strongly highlight the

pivotal role of nuclear tension in nuclear homeostasis. Of note, so far cPLA2 was shown to be

activated above a tension threshold (17), whereas in our study, we observed a gradual nuclear

adaptation, suggesting that other parameters are used as a confinement readout by the cells in

mitosis.

These results are also in accordance with the fact that abnormal actomyosin contractility is

associated with changes in cell division, such as defects in spindle positioning (12, 36), failed

mitosis, and the appearance of polynucleated cells (11, 12). During cell division, actomyosin

contractility participates in cleavage furrow formation (37). A recent study pointed out that myosin-

II has indeed a role of mechano-protection, with increase of abnormal mitosis and genetic changes

upon myosin-II suppression (38). We report here for the first time a role of myosin-II also in

mechano-adapatation of nuclear volume and tension: contractility is required to set nuclear volume

and nuclear envelope folding, mostly during nuclear envelope reformation at the end of mitosis.

Regulation of nuclear repair mechanism is associated with lamina folding and nuclear

volume loss

Consistent with previous studies (39, 40), we also evidenced an increase in DNA-damage at 2 h of

confinement. Such an increase in DNA-damage could lead to chromosomal instability and

mutations (41, 42). However, in addition to lamina refolding and nuclear volume loss, we also

observed a decrease in DNA damage at 24 h of confinement. This is in accordance with the

reported role of lamin in the DNA damage repair pathway (43) and a lower nuclear envelope tension

associated with bleb disappearance. Such repair mechanisms have also been reported in other

confinement situations (21), as well as in response to other stresses like radiotherapy (44). As such

a repair mechanism is highly correlated with cell-cycle re-entry (21), this is again consistent with a

key role for mitosis in such DNA-damage regulation in response to nuclear deformation.

Outlooks

Our results call for an in-depth analysis of the molecular pathways at play, as well as for deciphering

the consequences that such regulation could have in the context of cancer and resistance to

therapies. The nuclear to cytoplasmic volume ratio, which is constant within a given population, is

most likely to be impacted by confinement and changes in nuclear envelope tension (24, 45, 46),

and might be at play in the regulation we describe herein. The import/export regulated by the

nuclear pore complex is also a putative important player (25), with important implication for

mecanosensitive transcription factors such as the Yes-associated protein (YAP) (47, 48) or MKL1

(49). Lastly, we identified a clear change in lamin unfolding/refolding during this nuclear adaptation.

The interplay between lamin A/C level and matrix stiffness has been clearly established (50, 51),

and is coupled to cell's spreading and hence nuclear tension (imposed by the stress fibers on the

nucleus). To better understand the underlying mechanism involved in the refolding of the lamin A/C

after mitosis highlighted in this study, it would be of interest in the future to investigate how the

amount of phosphorylated lamins and overall level of lamin A/C is changing in mitotic cells

submitted to such uniaxial deformation. In addition, lamins and lamin-associated proteins are

known regulators of nuclear size (46) and should therefore be further investigated, especially in the

context of cancer. Indeed, abnormal nuclei shape (52), together with alterations in the expression

of lamin A and lamin B is a hallmark for the prognosis of many tumors (33), including colorectal

cancer (53). Low lamin A/C is associated with a decrease in stiffness, irregular shape, and larger

nuclei deformation (54) as well as increased metastatic capacities (55). Of note, the HT-29 cell line

used in this study presents the p53 mutation (56), has no lamin B2, and low lamin B1 level (57).

Loss of lamin B1 leads to nuclear blebs, while it has been reported that nuclear envelope rupture

is dependent on p53 (58). The role of lamins and p53 mutations could be addressed by analyzing

the nuclear adaptation in other cancer cell lines of different origins, different grades, and more

specifically with or without p53 and different levels of lamins expression. The regulation of nuclear

size identified in this study could have important consequences on resistance to classical

chemotherapeutic treatments that target proliferation. Overall, our findings could pave the way for

novel therapeutic strategies against tumor cells.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HT-29 wild type colorectal adenocarcinoma cells were purchased from the ATCC (HT29 HTB-38).

A stable cell line expressing NLS-mKate2 was established using the lentiviral vector IncuCyte®

nuclight orange (λ_{ex}= 588 nm, λ_{em}= 633 nm): the cells were selected using puromycin according to

the manufacturer's protocol. The stable HT29 expressing Fucci (Cdt1-RFP and hGeminin-GFP)

cell line was a gift from Dr. Toufic Renno's team (C. Chaveroux team, CRCL, Lyon, France).

All cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM - Glutamax, Gibco™)

supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; PanBiotech™), 100 units/100µg

penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco™). Routinely, all HT-29 cell lines were grown in T-25 cell culture

flasks and kept at 37°C and under 5% CO_2. Culture medium was changed regularly, and cell

passage was carried out at 70% confluency. Cell lines were tested for Mycoplasma every 6 months

and the tests were negative.

Drug treatments

The following pharmacological inhibitors and chemical compounds were used: Blebbistatin (Myosin

II inhibitor Tocris™) at a final concentration of 100 µM; BAPTA-AM (abcam ab120503n) and 2 APB

(intracellular calcium depletion, abcam ab120124), both at a final concentration of 10 µM; and

AACOCF3 (cPLA2 inhibitor, abcam ab120916) at a final concentration of 20 µM.

To inhibit cPLA2 or intracellular calcium, agarose molds were incubated overnight with a medium

with 20 µM AACOCF3 or with 10 µM BAPTA-AM and 10 µM 2 APB, respectively. Immediately after

confinement, the medium was replaced by 500 µL of medium with the corresponding drug(s).

To inhibit cell contractility before confinement, we added 500µL of medium with 100 µM Blebbistatin

1 h prior to confinement.

To inhibit the cell contractility after confinement, 500 µL of medium solution with 200 µM of

Blebbistatin was added following confinement (to reach a final concentration within the confiner of

100 µM). Initial rinsing after confinement was also made with a medium containing Blebbistatin at

100 µM.

Multi-height micro-milled mold fabrication

The height of the micropillars (from 9 µm to 3 µm) determines the level of spatial confinement of

cells. The array presents regularly distributed pillars (distance between each pillar of 800 µm) with

specific shapes according to their height: the "o" shape for 9 µm; the "-" shape for 7µm; the "L"

shape for 5 µm; the "+" shape for 3µm. The field of pillars is surrounded by a solid band of 3.5 mm

to stabilize the structure, and two external notches to enable the proper positioning during molding.

The pillars were drawn using Autodesk software and the mold was created using the CNC Mini-

Mill/GX on brass.

Agarose molding

Based on the procedure described in Prunet et al.(23), molding was adapted to obtain accurate agarose replicates from the newly developed multi-height brass mold. We obtained a user-friendly, robust, and time-saving system to visualize and analyze the long-term effect of four levels of cell confinement on cancer cell morphology and biology.

First, a solution of agarose diluted in distilled water (2% (w/v)) was prepared by autoclaving at 120°C for 10 min, or 30 s microwave (1000 W). Of note a 2% agarose pad corresponds to a stiffness of 150 kPa (23); in this stiffness range, cells cannot deform the confining agarose gel. All the following steps were performed under a sterile culture hood, and the polycarbonate (PC) holder was UV sterilized before use (20 min on each side under 24 W, 365 nm). 400 µL of the prepared agarose solution was deposited in the prewarmed PC holder (placed on a hot plate at 75°C) and was left at room temperature for 5 min to let the gel set. Then, 500 µL of the prepared agarose solution was deposited on the prewarmed micro-milled brass mold (on the same hot plate temperature) with the PC holder and placed under the press (Fig. S5). The press generates a uniform pressure and ensures the contact between the PC holder and the mold, to ensure proper molding and resolute pillars. After 30 min under press at room temperature, the PC holder was gently removed from the brass mold. Evenly distributed holes were drilled into the gel using a 20G and 16G puncher and through holes present on the PC holder. In total, five 16G holes and four 20G holes were done. The molded agarose gel was then placed in sterile PBS and sterilized under UV light (20 min on each side). The molded agarose can be stored in its PC holder at 4°C in PBS until further use. It was replaced either by culture medium or by drug treatment and incubated at 37°C at least 12 h before the confinement experiment.

Microfabrication-based confinement of cell populations

Cell confinement was performed using the agarose-based soft cell confiner methods previously described (23) and is now available as Agarsqueezer (https://www.idylle-labs.com/agarsqueezer-by-softconfiner). Briefly, after mounting and autoclaving of the confiner holder with a clean glass coverslip, coverslips were coated with fibronectin 50 µg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich™) (1 h incubation in the system at room temperature and washed 3 times with PBS). Cells were then seeded in the systems overnight. An intermediate cell density level was chosen for all experiments (500 µL of a cell solution at 180,000 cells/mL, corresponding to ~ 45,000 cells/cm², similar to the range used for cell culture). After cell adhesion, the seeded cells were gently washed three times to replace the medium with pre-warmed fresh culture medium (500 µL). The PC holder containing the molded agarose gel was then placed in the system on top of the cells, and a clamping washer was tightened with a specific clamping tool. The molded gel was then tightly in contact with the glass coverslip supporting the cells. A reservoir of 500 µL of culture medium was added above the plastic holder and the molded agarose was then washed three times (5 min each) with pre-warmed culture medium to get rid of any paracrine factors secreted by the dead cell population situated under the pillars.

Immunostaining

During confinement, cells were fixed *in situ* with paraformaldehyde (PFA): the cell culture medium was removed, and cells were washed twice with PBS. 4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences™) was added and incubated for 40 min at RT. After incubation, samples were rinsed with PBS - 3% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich™) (3 x 20 min). The agarose gel was then removed and cells were permeabilized using 0.5% Triton X-100 (Acros Organics™) for 10 min at RT. After blocking with PBS - 3% BSA (3x5min) to inhibit non-specific binding of antibodies, cells were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in PBS - 2% BSA - 0.1% Triton X-100. After 3 x 5 min washes with PBS, samples were incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 h at RT. The primary antibodies

used were: p-MLC (Cell signaling™ at 1/50); LaminA/C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology™ at 1/100),

γH2Ax (Santa Cruz Biotechnology™ at 1/200). NucGreen™ Dead 488 (Invitrogen™, 1 drop per 3

ml in PBS); Alexa 647 Phalloidin (Invitrogen™ at 1/500); Alexa 555 Phalloidin (Invitrogen™ at

1/500) were also used. The secondary antibodies used were: Anti-Mouse 647 (Invitrogen™ at

1/500); anti-rabbit 647 (Invitrogen™ at 1/500), anti-mouse 555 (Invitrogen™ at 1/500). Coverslips

were then mounted using 2 drops of Fluoroshield™ (Sigma-Aldrich™) and varnish-sealed after

overnight hardening at room temperature.

Confocal fluorescence microscopy

Fixed samples were visualized using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope with a 20x Dry objective

(NA = 0.7), a 40x oil immersion (NA = 1.25), a 40x Dry (NA = 0.95), or a 63x oil immersion (NA =

1.25) objectives. Images were collected in sequential mode using averaging at a resolution of

1024x1024. Z-stacks of cells were acquired to precisely measure the height of confinement and

nuclear volumes (dz = 0.2 μm or 0.5 μm for each stack).

Epifluorescence imaging

Fixed samples were also visualized using an inverted microscope Leica DMI8 using

epifluorescence microscopy with a 40x dry objective (NA = 0.55).

Live-cell imaging

Cells were observed with an inverted microscope Leica DMI8 using epifluorescence imaging.

Timelapse imaging was performed for 2 to 5 days in a controlled environment (CO_2, temperature,

and humidity), using a 20x dry objective (NA = 0.7). A motorized x-y stage enabled the concomitant

recording of up to 20 regions for each system every 10 min.

Quantitative image analysis

Cell height, nuclear area, and volume were assessed with a homemade routine workflow using

both ImageJ/Fiji and MATLAB® software. First, after applying a gaussian blur on nuclei-stained

images, nuclei were automatically detected either using the stardist Fiji plugin (59) or using the Otsu's threshold detection directly in Matlab®. Mask and labeled images obtained were exported in Matlab® to perform automated morphological analyses on individual pre-labeled nuclei. Orthogonal views of both nuclei and phalloidin staining were used to obtain nuclear (h_{nuc}) and cell heights (h_{cell}), respectively. To do so, we took the full width half maxima of the z-plot profile of ImageJ/Fiji of each orthogonal view.

For the control conditions, the nuclear height is determined using the same method. For the volume however, it was necessary to estimate the volume for the reconstituted volume generated from confocal z-stack, by combining 2DStarDist plugin with a home-made python routine.

For the nuclear area, at least four different areas were imaged and analyzed per condition.

Proportion analysis of nuclear blebs both in live and fixed experiments was conducted using the cell counter plugin of ImageJ/Fiji. To further characterize the nuclear blebbing phenotype, labeled images of nuclei and their blebs were obtained using the stardist Fiji plugin (59) (blebs are automatically extracted from the main nuclei using stardist) We filtered the core nucleus and their blebs based on their respective area. If the area of an object was below 100 µm² for confined conditions, it was considered to be a bleb.

DNA damage analysis was automatically performed using the Find Maxima tool within each nucleus in ImageJ/Fiji.

Folding of the nuclear envelope. The percentage of **positive nuclear envelope area** was used as a semi-quantitative parameter as a proxy for the folding of the nuclear envelope (17, 60). It corresponds to the excess area of the lamina envelope compared to the nuclear area (Fig. S3B). The nuclear envelope-positive area was assessed by thresholding the maximum intensity projection images of lamin A/C staining obtained by confocal microscopy (63x objective NA 1.25,

Leica SP5) and merging them with nuclei masks. This allowed us to study the laminas within the

nucleus and provided information on the proportion of area with laminas within the nucleus (positive

area).

Live movies were analyzed manually by using either MTrackJ, or manual tracking and ROI

manager tool in ImageJ/Fiji plugin. Volume was calculated using the area of each cell multiplied by

the theoretical confinement height measured previously for each confinement zone (Figure 1D).

Thus, we could measure nuclear areas over time in each phase of the cell cycle and the

corresponding duration of those phases.

For the analysis of volume changes during mitosis, only normal mitosis were considered. Therefore,

only cells that were able to progress in their next cell cycle up to another mitosis were taken into

account.

For each experiment, at least three different areas within each confined zone of the confiner were

analyzed.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation unless mentioned otherwise. Sample

size (n) and the number of repetitions (N) are specified in the text of the paper or figure legends.

The statistical significance between experimental conditions was determined using a two-tailed

unpaired t-test, Welch's test, Mann-Whitney test, or ANOVA after confirming that the data met

appropriate assumptions (normality distribution, homogeneous variance, and independent

sampling). All data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 8.0. (San Diego, CA, USA). **** $P <$

0.0001, *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

Data Availability

Data will be shared using Zotero platforms (raw data extracted from image analysis, a set of typical

images, as well as matlab code used for image analysis) **[dataset].**

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Institut Convergence Plascan: ANR-17-CONV-0002, the Institut

Universitaire de France (IUF) and the ARC for part of M. Mouelhi salary.

The authors would like to acknowledge C. Chaveroux, Marie Piecyk, C. Duret (CRCL) and S. Joly

for the transfection and selection of HT-29-FUCCI cell line, R. Fulcrand for the preparation of 5-μm

wafer molds, M. Mercury for the microscope holder and the press. G. Jardiné for his help to

compute the nuclear volume of control cells, B. Manship for English editing of the manuscript, as

well as M. Piel for fruitful discussions of our results and careful reading of the manuscript.

References

[dataset] M. Mouelhi, A. Saffon, M. Roinard, H. Delanoë-Ayari, S. Monnier, C. Rivière, 2023, Raw data for the article "Mitosis sets nuclear homeostasis of cancer cells under confinement", Zenodo, 10.5281/zenodo.10036022

1. D. T. Butcher, T. Alliston, V. M. Weaver, A tense situation: forcing tumour progression. *Nature reviews. Cancer* **9**, 108–22 (2009).

2. D. Wirtz, K. Konstantopoulos, P. C. Searson, The physics of cancer: the role of physical interactions and mechanical forces in metastasis. *Nat Rev Cancer* **11**, 512–522 (2011).

3. J. M. Tse, *et al.*, Mechanical compression drives cancer cells toward invasive phenotype. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 911–916 (2012).

4. G. Helmlinger, P. A. Netti, H. C. Lichtenbeld, R. J. Melder, R. K. Jain, Solid stress inhibits the growth of multicellular tumor spheroids. *Nature Biotechnology* **15**, 778–783 (1997).

5. R. K. Jain, J. D. Martin, T. Stylianopoulos, The role of mechanical forces in tumor growth and therapy. *Annual review of biomedical engineering* **16**, 321–46 (2014).

6. M. E. Fernández-Sánchez, *et al.*, Mechanical induction of the tumorigenic β-catenin pathway by tumour growth pressure. *Nature* **523**, 92–95 (2015).

7. W. Huang, *et al.*, Regulatory networks in mechanotransduction reveal key genes in promoting cancer cell stemness and proliferation. *Oncogene* **38**, 6818–6834 (2019).

8. C. Sheridan, Pancreatic cancer provides testbed for first mechanotherapeutics. *Nature Biotechnology* **37**, 829–831 (2019).

9.   F. Mpekris, *et al.*, Combining microenvironment normalization strategies to improve cancer
immunotherapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 3728–3737 (2020).

10. H. Mohammadi, E. Sahai, Mechanisms and impact of altered tumour mechanics. *Nat Cell*
Biol **20**, 766–774 (2018).

11. H. T. K. Tse, W. M. C. Weaver, D. Carlo, Increased asymmetric and multi-daughter cell
division in mechanically confined microenvironments. *PLoS ONE* **7**, 1–8 (2012).

12. O. M. Lancaster, *et al.*, Mitotic Rounding Alters Cell Geometry to Ensure Efficient Bipolar
Spindle Formation. *Developmental Cell* **25**, 270–283 (2013).

13. R. A. Moriarty, K. M. Stroka, Physical confinement alters sarcoma cell cycle progression and
division. *Cell Cycle* **17**, 2360–2373 (2018).

14. V. K. Gupta, O. Chaudhuri, Mechanical regulation of cell-cycle progression and division.
Trends in Cell Biology **32**, 773–785 (2022).

15. J. Aureille, *et al.*, Nuclear envelope deformation controls cell cycle progression in response
to mechanical force. *EMBO reports* **20**, 1–11 (2019).

16. M. Dantas, A. Oliveira, P. Aguiar, H. Maiato, J. G. Ferreira, Nuclear tension controls mitotic
entry by regulating cyclin B1 nuclear translocation. *J Cell Biol* **221**, e202205051 (2022).

17. A. J. Lomakin, *et al.*, The nucleus acts as a ruler tailoring cell responses to spatial constraints.
Science (New York, N.Y.) **370**, eaba2894 (2020).

18. V. Venturini, *et al.*, The nucleus measures shape changes for cellular proprioception to control
dynamic cell behavior. *Science* **370**, eaba2644 (2020).

19.   T. J. Kirby, J. Lammerding, Emerging views of the nucleus as a cellular mechanosensor. *Nat*
596   *Cell Biol* **20**, 373–381 (2018).

597   20.   M. Raab, *et al.*, ESCRT III repairs nuclear envelope ruptures during cell migration to limit
598   DNA damage and cell death. *Science* **352**, 359–362 (2016).

599   21.   Y. Xia, *et al.*, Rescue of DNA damage after constricted migration reveals a mechano-
600   regulated threshold for cell cycle. *Journal of Cell Biology* **218**, 2542–2563 (2019).

601   22.   N. Srivastava, *et al.*, Nuclear fragility, blaming the blebs. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **70**,
602   100–108 (2021).

603   23.   A. Prunet, *et al.*, A new agarose-based microsystem to investigate cell response to prolonged
604   confinement. *Lab Chip* **20**, 4016–4030 (2020).

605   24.   F. A. Pennacchio, *et al.*, Force-biased nuclear import sets nuclear-cytoplasmic volumetric
606   coupling by osmosis. 2022.06.07.494975 (2022).

607   25.   I. Andreu, *et al.*, Mechanical force application to the nucleus regulates nucleocytoplasmic
608   transport. *Nat Cell Biol* **24**, 896–905 (2022).

609   26.   A. Elosegui-Artola, *et al.*, Force Triggers YAP Nuclear Entry by Regulating Transport across
610   Nuclear Pores. *Cell* **171**, 1397-1410.e14 (2017).

611   27.   G. T. Charras, M. Coughlin, T. J. Mitchison, L. Mahadevan, Life and Times of a Cellular Bleb.
612   *Biophysical Journal* **94**, 1836–1853 (2008).

613   28.   J. Maclejowski, E. M. Hatch, Nuclear Membrane Rupture and Its Consequences. *Annual*
614   *Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **36**, 85–114 (2020).

615   29.   M. Le Berre, J. Aubertin, M. Piel, Fine control of nuclear confinement identifies a threshold

616   deformation leading to lamina rupture and induction of specific genes. *Integrative Biology*

617   *(United Kingdom)* **4**, 1406–1414 (2012).

618   30.   J. D. Vargas, E. M. Hatch, D. J. Anderson, M. W. Hetzer, Transient nuclear envelope rupturing

619   during interphase in human cancer cells. *Nucleus* **3**, 88–100 (2012).

620   31.   B. Enyedi, S. Kala, T. Nikolich-Zugich, P. Niethammer, Tissue damage detection by osmotic

621   surveillance. *Nat Cell Biol* **15**, 1123–1130 (2013).

622   32.   B. Enyedi, M. Jelcic, P. Niethammer, The Cell Nucleus Serves as a Mechanotransducer of

623   Tissue Damage-Induced Inflammation. *Cell* **165**, 1160–1170 (2016).

624   33.   K.-H. Chow, R. E. Factor, K. S. Ullman, The nuclear envelope environment and its cancer

625   connections. *Nat Rev Cancer* **12**, 196–209 (2012).

626   34.   T. Stylianopoulos, L. L. Munn, R. K. Jain, Reengineering the Physical Microenvironment of

627   Tumors to Improve Drug Delivery and Efficacy: From Mathematical Modeling to Bench to

628   Bedside. *Trends in Cancer* **4**, 292–319 (2018).

629   35.   J. Irianto, *et al.*, Nuclear constriction segregates mobile nuclear proteins away from

630   chromatin. *MBoC* **27**, 4011–4020 (2016).

631   36.   J. Rosenblatt, L. P. Cramer, B. Baum, K. M. McGee, Myosin II-Dependent Cortical Movement

632   Is Required for Centrosome Separation and Positioning during Mitotic Spindle Assembly. *Cell*

633   **117**, 361–372 (2004).

634   37.   A. F. Straight, *et al.*, Dissecting Temporal and Spatial Control of Cytokinesis with a Myosin II

635   Inhibitor. *Science* **299**, 1743–1747 (2003).

38. B. H. Hayes, *et al.*, Confinement plus myosin-II suppression maximizes heritable loss of
chromosomes, as revealed by live-cell ChReporters. *Journal of Cell Science* **136**, jcs260753
(2023).

39. P. Shah, *et al.*, Nuclear Deformation Causes DNA Damage by Increasing Replication Stress.
Current Biology **31**, 753-765.e6 (2021).

40. C. R. Pfeifer, *et al.*, Constricted migration increases DNA damage and independently
represses cell cycle. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **29**, 1948–1962 (2018).

41. P. Shah, K. Wolf, J. Lammerding, Bursting the Bubble – Nuclear Envelope Rupture as a Path
to Genomic Instability? *Trends in Cell Biology* **27**, 546–555 (2017).

42. C. Uhler, G. V. Shivashankar, Regulation of genome organization and gene expression by
nuclear mechanotransduction. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 717–727 (2017).

43. I. Gonzalez-Suarez, *et al.*, Novel roles for A-type lamins in telomere biology and the DNA
damage response pathway. *The EMBO Journal* **28**, 2414–2427 (2009).

44. R.-X. Huang, P.-K. Zhou, DNA damage response signaling pathways and targets for
radiotherapy sensitization in cancer. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* **5**, 1–27 (2020).

45. R. Rollin, J.-F. Joanny, P. Sens, Cell size scaling laws: a unified theory. *bioRxiv*
2022.08.01.502021, 1–20 (2022).

46. P. Jevtić, D. L. Levy, "Mechanisms of Nuclear Size Regulation in Model Systems and Cancer"
in *Cancer Biology and the Nuclear Envelope*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and
Biology., E. C. Schirmer, J. I. de las Heras, Eds. (Springer New York, 2014), pp. 537–569.

47.   N. Koushki, A. Ghagre, L. K. Srivastava, C. Molter, A. J. Ehrlicher, Nuclear compression

657   regulates YAP spatiotemporal fluctuations in living cells. *Proceedings of the National*

658   *Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2301285120 (2023).

659   48.   S. Dupont, *et al.*, Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. *Nature* **474**, 179–183 (2011).

660   49.   C. Y. Ho, D. E. Jaalouk, M. K. Vartiainen, J. Lammerding, Lamin A/C and emerin regulate

661   MKL1–SRF activity by modulating actin dynamics. *Nature* **497**, 507–511 (2013).

662   50.   A. Buxboim, *et al.*, Matrix elasticity regulates lamin-A,C phosphorylation and turnover with

663   feedback to actomyosin. *Curr Biol* **24**, 1909–1917 (2014).

664   51.   J. Swift, *et al.*, Nuclear Lamin-A Scales with Tissue Stiffness and Enhances Matrix-Directed

665   Differentiation. *Science* **341**, 1240104 (2013).

666   52.   J. I. de Las Heras, E. C. Schirmer, The nuclear envelope and cancer: a diagnostic perspective

667   and historical overview. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **773**, 5–26 (2014).

668   53.   N. D. Willis, *et al.*, Lamin A/C is a risk biomarker in colorectal cancer. *PLoS One* **3**, e2988

669   (2008).

670   54.   P. Friedl, K. Wolf, J. Lammerding, Nuclear mechanics during cell migration. *Current Opinion*

671   *in Cell Biology* **23**, 55–64 (2011).

672   55.   E. S. Bell, *et al.*, Low lamin A levels enhance confined cell migration and metastatic capacity

673   in breast cancer. *Oncogene* **41**, 4211–4230 (2022).

674   56.   M. Zoetemelk, M. Rausch, D. J. Colin, O. Dormond, P. Nowak-Sliwinska, Short-term 3D

675   culture systems of various complexity for treatment optimization of colorectal carcinoma. *Sci*

676   *Rep* **9**, 7103 (2019).

57.   T. Kuga, *et al.*, Lamin B2 prevents chromosome instability by ensuring proper mitotic
chromosome segregation. *Oncogenesis* **3**, e94 (2014).

58.   Z. Yang, J. Maciejowski, T. de Lange, Nuclear Envelope Rupture Is Enhanced by Loss of p53
or Rb. *Molecular Cancer Research* **15**, 1579–1586 (2017).

59.   U. Schmidt, M. Weigert, C. Broaddus, G. Myers, Cell Detection with Star-Convex Polygons
in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2018*, Lecture
Notes in Computer Science., A. F. Frangi, J. A. Schnabel, C. Davatzikos, C. Alberola-López,
G. Fichtinger, Eds. (Springer International Publishing, 2018), pp. 265–273.

60.   D. Purushothaman, *et al.*, The transcription factor PREP1(PKNOX1) regulates nuclear
stiffness, the expression of LINC complex proteins and mechanotransduction. *Commun Biol*
5, 1–14 (2022).