REVIEW

Diversity of spider families parasitized by fungal pathogens: a global review

Martin Nyffeler[1] and **Nigel Hywel-Jones**[2]: [1]Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, CH–4056 Basel, Switzerland. E-mail: martin.nyffeler@unibas.ch; [2]Zhejiang BioAsia Institute of Life Sciences, Pinghu, Zhejiang 314200, China

Abstract. In this paper the findings of a global literature and social media survey of spider mycoses are presented. Our survey revealed that spider mycoses occur in the geographic belt between latitude 78°N and 52°S, and that more than 40 out of the known 135 spider families (ca. 30%) are attacked by fungal pathogens. Jumping spiders (Salticidae), cellar spiders (Pholcidae), and sheet-web spiders (Linyphiidae) are the families most frequently reported to be attacked by fungal pathogens (combined >40% of all reported cases). Ninety-two percent of the infections of spiders can be attributed to pathogens in the order Hypocreales (phylum Ascomycota), and almost exclusively the families Cordycipitaceae and Ophiocordycipitaceae. Within the Hypocreales, the asexually reproductive genus *Gibellula* is an historically species-rich and widespread genus of specific spider-pathogenic fungi. For ca. 70 species of spider-pathogenic fungi their hosts could be identified at least to family level. The data presented here reaffirm the findings of previous

studies that spider-pathogenic fungi are most common and widespread in tropical and subtropical forested areas, with free-living cursorial hunters – dominated by Salticidae – being the most frequently infected. Cursorial hunters (especially Salticidae) and subterranean cellar spiders (Pholcidae) are the most frequently fungus-infected spiders in North America, whereas web-weavers (especially Linyphiidae and Pholcidae) are the most common spider hosts in Europe. Our survey implies that spider-pathogenic fungi are an important mortality factor for spiders which has hitherto been underestimated.

Keywords: Araneae; Cordycipitaceae; Ophiocordycipitaceae; *Gibellula*; mortality factor; spider mycoses; hosts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction ……..

Methods ……

Data collection ……...

Taxonomic comments and data sources ……

Statistical methods …….

Results ……..

Which fungi are engaged in the parasitization of spiders? ……

What infection mechanisms are used by spider-pathogenic fungi? ……...

Diversity of spider families parasitized by pathogenic fungi …….

Geographic differences in the percent composition of dominant spider families parasitized by pathogenic fungi ……..

Are spider-pathogenic fungi host-specific? …….

Discussion ……...

How many species of spider-pathogenic fungi exist worldwide? ……....

Low-investment vs. high-investment reproductive strategy in hypocrealean fungi …….

In which habitats do spider mycoses occur most frequently? ……...

Possible ecological implications of mycoses for the spiders ……

Concluding remarks ……

Fungal pathogens are undoubtedly an important source of mortality for a large number of arthropod groups. Insects alone are attacked by >700 species of entomopathogenic fungi (Hajek & St. Leger 1994; Consolo et al. 2003) and accordingly, these fungi have been extensively studied. By way of contrast, spider-pathogenic fungi have attracted less scientific interest. They have been almost neglected as spider enemies by arachnologists. This is evident from the fact that in most books on spider biology, fungal pathogens were not mentioned in chapters dealing with the spiders' natural enemies (see Gertsch 1979; Nentwig 1987a; Wise 1993; Dippenaar-Schoeman & Jocqué 1997; Foelix 2011; Bradley 2013).

Although a larger number of papers on the taxonomy of spider-pathogenic fungi exist, most of these studies are of limited value for the arachnologist because the authors of such studies invariably referred to the spider hosts as "unknown spider". The lack of knowledge of the identity of spider hosts can be explained: 1) by the fact that almost all of these past studies on spider-pathogenic fungi were conducted by mycologists with rather limited interest or expert knowledge regarding the identification of spiders; 2) due to the lack of local experts to correctly identify the host and the difficulties of transferring materials (following Nagoya Protocol and Convention on Biological Diversity) to countries where experts reside oftentimes encountering 'red tape'; and 3) by the extreme difficulty in reliably identifying parasitized spiders whose identifying features are often completely overgrown by the pathogen itself (Evans 2013; Durkin et al. 2021; Kozlov 2021; Mendes-Pereira et al. 2022).

Evans (2013: p. 107) stated with regards to spider-pathogenic fungi "*This has been a somewhat neglected topic: typically, a no-man's land between mycologists and arachnologists*". In the current review, we aim to close the gap between mycology and arachnology – thereby pursuing a mycological-arachnological interdisciplinary approach by looking at spider-pathogenic fungi from an arachnological point of view (Fig. 1). It is hoped that this review will generate interest among arachnologists in the spider-pathogenic fungi and

that it will inspire them to start exploring the still unresolved problems on this topic in the future. Aside from that, we hope that it will also benefit mycologists by broadening their horizons in terms of spider host-fungal pathogen relationships. Historically, the mycological literature has lumped all arachnids in with Insecta when dealing with invertebrate-pathogenic fungi. Where there have been distinctions, this has been between mites and 'spiders'. In the mycological literature 'spiders' has also included the harvestmen. In this review, we do not treat the mites or harvestmen and consider only the families within the order Araneae.

METHODS

Data collection.—We searched published reports on fungal pathogens in the Web of Science, Scopus, Google Search, Google Scholar, Google Books, Google Pictures, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses, as well as USDA-ARS Collection of Entomopathogenic Fungal Cultures – Catalog of Strains (Humber et al. 2014) following the same search method as Nyffeler & Gibbons (2022). Additionally, we made a library search of books and scientific journals not included in the electronic databases. Social media sites (e.g., BugGuide, What's That Bug, iNaturalist, Facebook, Twitter, Flickr, Getty Images, Reddit, Yahoo, and YouTube) were also searched for information on spider-pathogenic fungi. Our survey resulted in a total of 511 records of entomogenous fungi growing on spiders, 92% of which could be identified, while 8% remained unidentified (see Supplementary Table S1). ["Entomogenous" = fungi growing on or in the bodies of arthropods, including pathogens, facultative pathogens, or fungi whose trophic status is ambiguous.] In 394 cases (roughly 77%) the spider host could be determined at least to family level, whereas in 117 cases (23%) the spider hosts remained unidentified (see Supplementary Table S1).

With regard to the cases with identified spider hosts, information on the place of discovery of the fungus-infected spider was available in 355 cases (see Supplementary Table S1), and these data were used for the statistical comparison of the dominant fungus-infected spider

families in different major geographic regions (see Fig. 7). Records referring to the Russian Federation (see Humber et al. 2014) and the arctic island of Jan Mayen (see Bristowe 1948) were listed under Europe in all cases.

Taxonomic comments and data sources.—We have the following comments regarding data sources and the taxonomy of fungi and spiders, respectively.

Asexual morph / sexual morph concept in mycology: Fungi in the phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota usually have two different reproductive stages: an asexual stage and a sexual stage (Hodge 2003). The asexual and sexual reproductive stages of a particular fungus were historically recognized as distinct species, although they may be genetically identical. Sometimes only one of the two reproductive stages of a fungal pathogen is known. With the advent of molecular phylogenetics the links between asexual and sexual species is now becoming clear. As a result, the last 10+ years has seen a move towards the One Fungus One Name concept (Taylor 2011; Rossman 2014; Crous et al. 2015). This is a dynamic concept that is beyond the scope of this review to fully detail.

Information on the reproductive mode of the fungal species associated with spiders (see Appendix 1, third column) was extracted from various literature sources:

– Samson & Evans 1973; Sung et al. 2001; Swart et al. 2001; Benny 2008; Rivera 2009; Evans 2013; James et al. 2016; Kepler et al. 2017; Walther et al. 2019; St. Leger & Wang 2020; Xu et al. 2021; Yu et al. 2021; Chen et al. 2022a; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2023a; https://fungalgenera.org/genus/acrodontium.html: *Acrodontium*, *Akanthomyces*, *Aphanocladium*, *Apiotrichum*, *Aspergillus*, *Basidiobolus*, *Beauveria*, *Cladosporium*, *Clathroconium*, *Clonostachys*, *Conidiobolus*, *Engyodontium*, *Gibellula*, *Hevansia*, *Hirsutella*, *Hymenostilbe*, *Jenniferia* (i.e., *Jenniferia cinerea* – other *Jenniferia* spp. classified differently, see below), *Lecanicillium*, *Metarhizium*, *Mucor*, *Neoaraneomyces*, *Parahevansia*, *Parengyodontium*, *Penicillium*, *Pseudogibellula*, *Pseudometarhizium*, *Purpureocillium* [=

Nomuraea], *Sporodiniella*, *Tolypocladium*, and unknown Hyphomycetes were found with asexual morphs (A).

– Evans 2013; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022: *Cordyceps*, *Ophiocordyceps*, *Polystromomyces*, and *Torrubiella* represent the sexual reproductive stage (S).

– Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022, 2023: *Bhushaniella rubra*, *Jenniferia griseocinerea* and *J. thomisidarum* represent species which were found with asexual and sexual morphs (A, S).

– Malloch et al. 1978: *Cryptococcus depauperatus* (sexual morph named *Filobasidiella arachnophila* was found on a dead spider) (S).

– Evans & Samson 1982, Evans 2013: *Cordyceps farinosa* (asexual morph *Isaria farinosa* [= *Paecilomyces farinosus*] was found on dead spiders) (A).

– Evans 2013; Thúy et al. 2015: *Cordyceps javanica* (asexual morph [= *Isaria javanica*] was found on dead spiders) (A).

Status of fungi as pathogens, facultative pathogens, saprobes or other types of fungus/spider associations: An assignment of the fungal species associated with spiders to a particular infection type (see Appendix 1, second column) was based on the following literature sources:

– Evans & Samson 1987; Bibbs et al. 2013; Evans 2013; Manfrino et al. 2017; Shrestha et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2022a; Wang et al. 2023a; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2023: *Bhushaniella rubra*, *Clathroconium arachnicola*, *Clathroconium* sp., *Clonostachys aranearum*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Mucor fragilis*, *Neoaraneomyces araneicola*, *Pseudometarhizium araneogenum*, *Purpureocillium lilacinum*, all Cordycipitaceae, all Ophiocordycipitaceae, all unidentified Hypocreales considered as pathogens (P).

– Noordam et al. 1998; Koukol 2010; Evans 2013; Chang et al. 2022: *Acrodontium crateriforme*, *Conidiobolus* sp., *Mucor hiemalis*, *Mucor* sp., *Sporodiniella umbellata*, and some unidentifiable Hyphomycetes all characterized as facultative pathogens (FP).

– Tan et al. 2022: *Penicillium tealii* characterized as hyperparasite of another fungus species (i.e., *Gibellula* sp.) (H).

– Greif & Currah 2007; Yoder et al. 2009; Koukol 2010; Nováková et al. 2018a,b; https://fungalgenera.org/genus/acrodontium.html: *Acrodontium crateriforme*, *Aspergillus* spp., *Cladosporium* spp., *Conidiobolus coronatus*, *Mucor* spp., *Penicillium* spp. (with the exception of *P. tealii*) characterized as saprobes (SA) which does not exclude that some of them are also listed as facultative pathogens (see above).

– Malloch et al. 1978; Henriksen et al. 2018: *Basidiobolus* sp., *Cryptococcus depauperatus* [= *Filobasidiella arachnophila*] characterized as non-pathogenic (NP).

– Heneberg & Rezac 2013; Biali et al. 1972/Humber et al. 2014: *Aphanocladium album*, *Apiotrichum* spp. characterized as unknown type of fungus/spider association (U).

Identification of unidentified fungi: Some photographs depicting unidentified fungi were identified to the lowest taxon possible. In the case of subterranean fungus-infected pholcids from Europe and North America, it must be said that they all looked very much alike (Fig. 2A–E). Mycosed pholcids are conspicuous by white, fluffy spheres surrounding the spider body and each of the leg joints (Eiseman et al. 2010; Martynenko et al. 2012). The resemblance in appearance of the mycosed pholcids (Fig. 2A–E) indicates that they were all attacked by a group of related fungal pathogens. This is confirmed by the observation that fungi from only one family (i.e., Cordycipitaceae) were isolated from dead subterranean pholcids (see Cokendolpher 1993; Keller 2007; Eiseman et al. 2010; Martynenko et al. 2012; Jent 2013; Humber et al. 2014; Kathie T. Hodge, pers. comm.) which led us to assume that they are exclusively attacked by fungi from this family – at least in Europe and North America. Consequently, fungi on subterranean dead pholcids were consistently assigned by us to the family Cordycipitaceae, as far as fungi from Europe and North America were concerned.

Identification of spiders: Some photographs depicting unidentified spiders were identified to the lowest taxon possible on our behalf by the following spider taxonomists: Anyphaenidae (R. Bennett, A. Dippenaar, C. Haddad, M. Ramírez, D. Ubick, A. Zamani), Cheiracanthiidae (R. Raven), Ctenidae (H. Höfer), Cybaeidae (R. Bennett), Cycloctenidae (M. Ramírez, R. Raven), Linyphiidae (T. Bauer, J. Wunderlich), Philodromidae (B. Cutler), Pholcidae (L. Sousa Carvalho), Salticidae (B. Cutler, G.B. Edwards, D. Hill), Tetragnathidae (T. Bauer, M. Kuntner, G. Oxford, A. Zamani), Theraphosidae (R. West), Thomisidae (B. Cutler), Trachelidae (T. Bauer, C. Haddad, Y. Marusik, M. Ramírez, A. Zamani), Uloboridae (A. Brescovit), and further taxonomic advice (D. Logunov).

Further taxonomic comments: Nomenclature of spider taxa was based on the World Spider Catalog (2023). *Argyroneta aquatica* (Clerck, 1757) previously placed in the family Cybaeidae is now placed in Dictynidae (World Spider Catalog 2023).

Several authors reported the Corinnidae among the spider families known to be infected by fungal pathogens (Costa 2014; Shrestha et al. 2019; Arruda 2020; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). All these reports can be traced back to Costa (2014), who mentioned a fungus-infected spider identified as *Trachelas* cf. *robustus* (Chickering, 1937), a species originally placed in the family Corinnidae (see Platnick 2013). According to the World Spider Catalog (2023), the genus *Trachelas* now belongs to the family Trachelidae which implies that the fungus-infected spider in Costa's (2014) study had a trachelid host. However, a corinnid spider parasitized by a fungus from the genus *Gibellula* has most recently been discovered in the Brazilian Atlantic forest (Mendes-Pereira et al. 2022). Furthermore, a dead corinnid spider with fungus hyphae growing on its body was found encased in a Baltic amber sample (Wunderlich 2004).

A second taxonomic issue which needs to be addressed refers to the spider family Ctenizidae. Originally, the Ctenizidae was a large family made up of 135 species placed in 9

genera all of which construct burrows in the ground sealed by a trapdoor made of soil, saliva, and silk (i.e., trapdoor spiders; see Gertsch 1979; World Spider Catalog 2018 / Version 18.5). Over many years starting with Raven (1985), many species previously placed in the Ctenizidae were transferred to other families. Accordingly, the family Ctenizidae was reduced from 135 species (in 9 genera) to 53 species (in 2 genera) (see World Spider Catalog 2018). Today, the revised family Ctenizidae is made up of only 5 species placed in the genera *Cteniza* Latreille, 1829 and *Cyrtocarenum* Ausserer, 1871, all of which occur in the European Mediterranean region (see World Spider Catalog 2023). In the mycology literature we find many reports from Asia and South America of fungus-infected "trapdoor spiders" which were referred to as Ctenizidae (see Yakushiji & Kumazawa 1930; Petch 1937, 1939; Kobayasi 1941; Mains 1954; Haupt 2002; Evans 2013; Hughes et al. 2016; Shrestha et al. 2019). These statements reflected the state of taxonomy of that time. However, according to today's concept of spider taxonomy, these species are no longer ctenizids, but belong to other families instead (e.g., the Asian trapdoor spider genus *Latouchia* Pocock, 1901 has been transferred to the family Halonoproctidae; see World Spider Catalog 2023). As regards the species of mygalomorph spiders from South America, many of those may be Pycnothelidae now (Martín Ramírez, pers. comm.; see also Montes de Oca et al. 2022). Nevertheless, white/light spots or patches have been observed on adult spiders in the genera *Cteniza* and *Cyrtocarenum* indicating that fungus-infections can occur in spiders of the family Ctenizidae in Europe (Arthur Decae, pers. comm.).

A further taxonomic issue which needs to be addressed refers to the spider *Stenoterommata platensis* Holmberg, 1881 which was cited by Shrestha et al. (2019) as belonging to the family Nemesiidae. Indeed this species used to be placed in the family Nemesiidae at that time (World Spider Catalog 2019). But today it is placed in the family Pycnothelidae (Opatova et al. 2020). Nevertheless, during our literature survey we found another record for a

spider from the family Nemesiidae that apparently had been infected by a fungus (see Isaia & Decae 2012).

Predation strategies of the documented spider families: Information on the predation strategies of the documented spider families (Appendix 2) was taken from Nentwig (1987b). Additionally, information for Cycloctenidae is based on Kelly et al. (2023) and for Arkyidae on Kulkarni et al. (2023).

Statistical methods.—To test whether the percentages of fungus-infected spiders of a particular spider group differed statistically significantly between two continents, a chi-square test (without Yates's correction) was performed using MedCalc statistical software (https://www.medcalc.org/calc/comparison_of_proportions.php).

RESULTS

Which fungi are engaged in the parasitization of spiders?—The entomogenous fungal taxa associated with spider hosts tracked down during our survey are listed in Tables 1–2 and in Appendix 1–2. Appendix 1 includes roughly 70 different pathogenic fungal taxa with known spider host identity; roughly 20 other types of fungal species (facultative pathogens, saprobes, non-pathogenic fungi, or hyperparasites) with known spider host are also included in this list. Furthermore, for the sake of completeness a larger number of described pathogenic fungal taxa with unidentified spider hosts have been included in the list as well. Based on the >400 records of identified fungi examined in this study (Table 1), it can be concluded that >90% of them clearly classify as spider pathogens, while the remaining <10% are either facultative pathogens, non-pathogens, or fungi whose trophic status is ambiguous. The asexual reproductive stage prevails, comprising roughly two-thirds of all identified fungal species (Appendix 1, third column).

Spider-pathogenic fungi belong for the most part to the phylum Ascomycota, with the order Hypocreales prevailing (Table 1; Figs. 2–6). The Hypocreales was represented by the families Bionectriaceae, Clavicipitaceae, Cordycipitaceae, Nectriaceae, and Ophiocordycipitaceae (Table 1). Hypocreales are classified as pathogenic with the exception of one spider-associated species in the family Nectriaceae (i.e., *Aphanocladium album*; see Humber et al. 2014) whose status is ambiguous (Koç & Défago 1983; Patil et al. 1994).

Based on our survey, Cordycipitaceae was the most prominent spider-pathogenic fungal family, accounting for ca. three-quarters of all recorded spider infections (Table 1). Within the Cordycipitaceae, the genus *Gibellula* (including its sexual morph *Torrubiella*) is a particularly species-rich and widespread group of specific spider-pathogenic fungi (see Chen et al. 2021; Mendes-Pereira et al. 2023). Up to the present, 50–60 *Gibellula* species have been listed in the global fungal databases "Index Fungorum" and "MycoBank", some of which, however, have been declared to be synonyms (see Shrestha et al. 2019). In our review, we list 31 species of *Gibellula* and 28 species of *Torrubiella* as spider pathogens (Appendix 1) which is in line with Mendes-Pereira et al. (2023) as concerns the number of accepted *Gibellula* species. So far, spiders from at least 25 families have been observed to be infected by pathogens from the genus *Gibellula* (Appendix 2). Fungi in the genera *Akanthomyces* [= *Verticillium*], *Beauveria*, *Clathroconium*, *Clonostachys*, *Cordyceps*, *Engyodontium*, *Granulomanus*, *Hevansia*, *Hirsutella*, *Hymenostilbe*, *Jenniferia*, *Lecanicillium*, *Metarhizium*, *Neoaraneomyces*, *Ophiocordyceps*, *Parahevansia*, *Parengyodontium*, *Polystromomyces*, *Pseudogibellula*, *Pseudometarhizium*, *Purpureocillium*, and *Tolypocladium* are also considered to be spider pathogens (Appendix 1; see Edwards 1980; Evans & Samson 1987; Luangsa-ard et al. 2005; Evans 2013).

For the remaining fungal taxa (i.e., *Acrodontium*, *Aphanocladium*, *Apiotrichum*, *Aspergillus*, *Basidiobolus*, *Cladosporium*, *Conidiobolus*, *Cryptococcus* [= *Filobasidiella*],

Mucor, Penicillium, Sporodiniella etc.) their status as pathogens or saprobes is often still controversial or undefined (see Appendix 1; Malloch et al. 1978; Greif & Currah 2007; Yoder 2009; Bibbs et al. 2013; Evans 2013; Heneberg & Rezac 2013; Henriksen et al. 2018; Shrestha et al. 2019). Noordam et al. (1998: p. 346) commented on such cases, stating that "*their biology remains unknown; possibly they are essentially saprophytes, with a facultative parasitism on litter-inhabiting invertebrates*". In line with this, Evans (2013) termed fungi of the genera *Conidiobolus* and *Mucor* associated with spider hosts as "opportunistic pathogens" (= "facultative pathogens" *sensu* Noordam et al. 1998). The category of unclassified fungi includes also fungal hyphae attached to extinct dead spiders found as inclusions in Baltic amber, although there is a strong suspicion that in such cases the fungi in question are most often saprobes (Wunderlich 2004). One of the fungi, growing on an extinct spider, has been identified to be *Arachnomycelium filiforme* (see Wunderlich 2004).

No fungi pathogenic to spiders are known from the myxomycetes (slime molds) (see Nentwig & Prillinger 1990) for the simple reason that these organisms feed on bacteria and other microorganisms (Keller et al. 2008). Because of that the myxomycetes were not included in Appendix 1. Nevertheless, we would like to briefly mention that associations between spiders and myxomycetes do occur. For example, a sporangium of the myxomycete *Licea poculiformis* was found on the leg of a dead spider, and in another instance a myxomycete was observed sporulating on a spider's orb-web (Ing 1994; Keller et al. 2008).

What infection mechanisms are used by spider-pathogenic fungi?—The infection mechanism differs between araneomorph above-ground spiders (Figs. 3–5) and mygalomorph ground-burrowing spiders (Fig. 6). The infection mechanism of araneomorph subterranean spiders (Fig. 2A–E) is similar to that of araneomorph above-ground spiders.

Infection mechanism in araneomorph above-ground spiders: Above-ground spider hosts were commonly found on elevated sites attached to the underside of leaves (Fig. 2F), where

temperature and humidity conditions are optimal for fungal growth (Humber & Rombach 1987; Sanchez-Pena 1990; Samson & Evans 1992; Hywel-Jones 1996; Tzean et al. 1997; Andersen et al. 2009; Brescovit et al. 2019; Kuephadungphan et al. 2019, 2022; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022). Discharged from the spider cadavers, the spores disperse rapidly over a wide area potentially infecting many spiders perched on lower leaves. Once the spores adhere to a spider's cuticle, they germinate, and germ tubes penetrate the exoskeleton, intruding into the spider's body cavity (Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). The fungus can make use of openings or weak spots in the exoskeleton (i.e., mouth, anus, booklung stigma, genital opening, intersegmental membranes at the leg joints, or directly through the thin abdominal cuticle) as entry points for the infection (see Yoder 2009; Martynenko et al. 2012; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). In medium- to larger-sized araneomorphs, the abdomen appears to be the body part most vulnerable during the premature stages of a fungal infection (Figs. 3–5), whereas in small-sized araneomorphs fungal infections were most commonly first visible on the legs (Bishop 1990a; Noordam et al. 1998). We know from insect model systems that the pathogen penetrates the cuticle using lipases, proteases and chitinases (see St. Leger et al. 1998; Charnley 2003). The mechanisms used by pathogenic fungi to infect insects and araneomorph spiders apparently are very similar (also see Brescovit et al. 2019; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). In the living arthropod host (insect, spider etc.) the fungus develops in the hemolymph. This process can last from a few days to months or years. This depends largely on the life-cycle of the host. In the hemolymph the fungus feeds on the nutrients that the host has present. As the yeast cell numbers increase the hemolymph becomes compromised leading to the death of the host. At this point there will be very little fungus material in the cephalothorax which is largely muscle tissue. The abdomen by contrast contains a large volume of organs bathed in hemolymph. Critical is the spider cuticle. The cuticle of the cephalothorax is heavily sclerotised with exocuticle – especially the carapace.

The legs also are heavily sclerotised to give them rigidity. The abdomen, however, has a much thinner cuticle lacking an exocuticular layer. Similarly, although the leg segments are sclerotised the joints are non-sclerotised. Having killed the spider the fungus in the hemolymph rapidly switches to a mycelial form. This way it can consume the organs in the abdomen and begin to invade the muscle tissue of the cephalothorax and legs (Nigel Hywel-Jones, pers. obs.). This is why the external fungus is first visible on the soft (non-sclerotised) cuticle of the abdomen and leg joints. It has been hypothesized that – once the fungus invades the brain – the spider's behavior is manipulated ("zombie spiders") causing it to climb the plant to an elevated site where it will die on a leaf underside (Fig. 2F; Shrestha et al. 2019; Arruda 2020; Arruda et al. 2021; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Saltamachia 2022). Much of our perception of spider behavioral manipulation has been inferred from extensive research done on fungus-infected ants. The approach of comparing fungus-infected ants and spiders with each other is reasonable since it appears that the two arthropod groups show the same type of altered host behavior (see Samson et al. 1988; Andersen et al. 2009; de Bekker et al. 2014; Loreto & Hughes 2019). After the death of the spider, the fruiting bodies burst out the cadaver (Brescovit 2019). The behavior of pursuing elevated sites on the underside of leaves for spore release can be seen as an adaptation to optimize the fungal reproductive success (Fig. 2F; Jensen et al. 2001; Andersen et al. 2009; Hughes et al. 2016).

Infection mechanism in mygalomorph ground-burrowing spiders: Below-ground spider hosts are for the most part trapdoor spiders from various families, although other types of mygalomorphs get infected by the same group of fungi (Fig. 6). Fungal pathogens engaged in the infection of ground-burrowing spiders are several species in the sexually reproductive genus *Cordyceps sensu lato* (Fig. 6B–E), on the one hand, and the asexual morph *Purpureocillium atypicola* (Fig. 6A), on the other hand. Reports on mycosed trapdoor spiders

originate predominantly from South America and Asia (Mains 1954; Kobayasi & Shimizu 1977; Coyle et al. 1990; Haupt 2002; Evans 2013; Hughes et al. 2016; Chirivi et al. 2017).

The infection of spiders by the sexual morphs of *Cordyceps* unfolds as follows: sexual propagules (ascospores) adhere to the spider's cuticle, whereupon germ tubes are formed that penetrate the cuticle and enter the body cavity similar to the way described for araneomorph spiders. Again, yeast-like hyphal bodies develop in the hemolymph and reproduce, gradually replacing the host tissue which ultimately leads to the spider's death. The fruit-body (stroma), up to 10 cm in length, emerges from the spider cadaver, grows along the burrow, and pushes through the trapdoor to facilitate the aerial dispersal of the forcibly-ejected ascospores through specialised pores at the tip of the spore-containing sac or ascus (Figs. 6B–D; Kobayasi & Shimizu 1977; Evans 2013; Hughes et al. 2016; Chirivi et al. 2017).

The infection of spiders by the asexual morph *Purpureocillium atypicola* plays out similar to that of *Cordyceps*, except that in this latter case fungal reproduction takes place by means of asexual spores (conidia) produced at the upper end of the fruit body (synnema; Fig. 6A). The conidia are dispersed aerially by wind currents (Coyle et al. 1990; Haupt 2002).

Evans (2013) noted that in the case of trapdoor spiders the question, how the aerially dispersed spores (ascospores and conidia) reach their target (i.e., the spider cuticle), remains an unresolved mystery given that trapdoor spiders live in their burrows beneath the soil surface with little exposure to airborne spores. But the hidden, sedentary life only applies to the female trapdoor spiders, whereas the males likely come into contact with airborne spores while wandering around on the soil surface in search of female burrows during the mating season (see Schwendinger 1991; Bond & Stockman 2008). [It must be added that male and female spiders alike get infected by fungal pathogens (Noordam et al. 1998; Gonzaga et al. 2006; Brescovit et al. 2019)]. This suggests possible sexually transmitted fungal infections (i.e., spores being transferred from an infected male to a healthy female during mating

encounters). The possibility of spider-to-spider transmission of fungal pathogens had already been suggested by Henschel (1998). Alternatively, there is a possibility that in some trapdoor spiders known to disperse as tiny juveniles by ballooning (see Coyle et al. 1985; Buzatto et al. 2021) those could get exposed to fungal spores after leaving the mother's burrows similar to the situation observed in immature araneomorphs (see Bishop 1990a). For comparison, in cicadas, another arthropod group parasitized by fungi from the genus *Cordyceps*, which develop in burrows up to two meters deep, the infection is also picked up by the immature stage before burrowing into the soil (Nigel Hywel-Jones, pers. obs.). A further possibility is that ants with fungal spores attached to their cuticle act as carriers of spores while wandering from above-ground to below-ground areas (see Bibbs et al. 2013). Still another possibility is that spiders could be exposed to fungi from their arthropod food sources (Bibbs et al. 2013).

Diversity of spider families parasitized by pathogenic fungi.—Our survey revealed that more than 40 out of the currently accepted 135 spider families (ca. 30%) contain species which are attacked by fungal pathogens (Fig. 1; Table 2; Appendix 2). The majority (roughly 90%) of the reported fungus-infected spiders belong to the suborder Araneomorphae (Figs. 2–5; see Supplementary Table S1). The list of araneomorph families known to be fungus-infected under natural conditions includes the Agelenidae, Amaurobiidae, Anyphaenidae, Araneidae, Arkyidae, Cheiracanthiidae, Clubionidae, Corinnidae, Ctenidae, Cybaeidae, Cycloctenidae, Deinopidae, Desidae, Dysderidae, Eresidae, Hahniidae, Hersiliidae, Hypochilidae, Lamponidae, Linyphiidae, Liocranidae, Lycosidae, Nephilidae, Oonopidae, Oxyopidae, Philodromidae, Pholcidae, Pisauridae, Salticidae, Sicariidae, Sparassidae, Tetragnathidae, Theridiidae, Thomisidae, Trachelidae, Trechaleidae, Uloboridae, and Zodariidae (Fig. 1; Table 2).

Only roughly 10% of the documented spider mycoses are attributed to the Mygalomorphae or Mesothelae (Fig. 1; Table 2; Supplementary Table S1). The list of fungus-infected

mygalomorph/mesothele families includes the Actinopodidae, Atracidae, Atypidae, Barychelidae, Ctenizidae, Dipluridae, Euctenizidae, Halonoproctidae, Heptathelidae, Idiopidae, Nemesiidae, Porrhothelidae, Pycnothelidae, and Theraphosidae (Fig. 1; Table 2).

Apart from these naturally occurring fungal infections, laboratory infections by fungi have been reported for the following spider families not mentioned so far: Antrodiaetidae, Dictynidae, and Ischnothelidae (Table 2; Appendix 2). It can be expected that these latter spider families are parasitized by fungi under natural conditions as well. Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that fungal hyphae attached to spider cadavers encased in samples of Baltic amber were reported in the literature, whereby this refers to the spider families Corinnidae, 'Gnaphosidae', Hahniidae, Mysmenidae, Synotaxidae, and Zodariidae (Wunderlich 2004). Although the fossil species of "amber spiders" in question are considered to be extinct (Wunderlich 2004), the six families, to which they belong, are still extant today (see World Spider Catalog 2023).

Jumping spiders (Salticidae), cellar spiders (Pholcidae), and sheet-web spiders (Linyphiidae) are the spider families most frequently reported to be infected by fungal pathogens, these three families combined being accountable for >40% of all documented spider mycoses (Fig. 1). Each of these families is typically associated with a particular environment. Salticids (Figs. 4A–D) were the dominant fungus-infected above-ground spiders of the Tropics/Subtropics and of North America (Evans & Samson 1987), whereas linyphiids (Fig. 3) prevailed among the fungus-infected above-ground spiders in Europe (Bristowe 1948, 1958; Duffey 1997; Noordam et al. 1998; Meyling et al. 2011). Fungus-infected pholcids (Figs. 2A–E) are very common in subterranean spaces such as basements, caves and tunnels in Europe and North America (Martynenko et al. 2012; Kathie T. Hodge, pers. comm.).

Geographic differences in the percent composition of dominant spider families

parasitized by pathogenic fungi.—Fungal infections of spiders have been observed in the geographic belt between latitude 78°N and 52°S (Bristowe 1948; O'Donnell et al. 1977). That is, on all continents except Antarctica, and with spider mycoses occurring in very different climatic regions. Spider-pathogenic fungi have excellent long-distance dispersal capabilities taking advantage of their spider hosts' powerful means of dispersal (Bishop 1990a). On board of parasitized immature spiders such fungi can theoretically "balloon" over distances of 100–200 kilometres (see Decae 1987; Bishop 1990a). There seems to be an exception to this in the case of fungus-infected subterranean pholcids (*Pholcus* species) in Europe and North America; most species from this particular family apparently do not disperse via ballooning (Schäfer et al. 2001; Simonneau et al. 2016). In Fig. 7, the parasitization of spiders by pathogenic fungi in different geographic regions is compared.

Fig. 7 shows that intercontinental differences in the frequency with which different fungus-infected spider groups were reported exist. The following points are notable:

1) Salticidae is overall the above-ground spider family most frequently reported as hosts of fungal pathogens in the Tropics/Subtropics and North America (Fig. 7). The distinctive shape of the cephalothorax makes these spiders identifiable even when completely covered by mycelium. Statistically, the percentage of fungus-infected salticids in the Tropics/Subtropics is not significantly different from that in North America (23% vs. 28%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 0.730$, $df = 1$, $P > 0.05$). The predominance of the salticids among the fungus-infected spiders may be explained by the fact that salticids: (i) are among the most common spiders in warmer areas, thus, making available a huge number of potential hosts for fungal attacks (Nelson et al. 2004); and (ii) that these spiders are highly exposed to the attacks by airborne fungal spores while searching the plant surface for prey or potential mates during daylight hours (Evans & Samson 1987). Average annual temperatures in the cold/temperate zones of Europe are significantly lower compared to North America and the Tropics/Subtropics which explains

why salticids as thermophilic animals are less common in Europe (see Nyffeler & Sunderland 2003). The much lower availability of salticids as potential hosts for pathogenic fungi might explain why this spider family is apparently less targeted by fungi in this part of the globe. So far, published reports of fungus-infected salticids from Europe are lacking (Fig. 7).

2) Linyphiidae are one of the most frequently reported spider groups parasitized by fungal pathogens in Europe but not in the Tropics/Subtropics and North America (Fig. 7). Statistically, the percentage of linyphiids infected by fungi in Europe is significantly higher than that in the Tropics/Subtropics (27% vs. 2%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 40.130$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$) or in North America (27% vs. 3%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 18.997$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$). This can be explained by the fact that linyphiids, which are well-adapted to moderate and colder temperate climates, are among the most common, diverse and widespread above-ground spiders across large parts of Europe (Bristowe 1958; Nyffeler & Sunderland 2003). As opposed to this, only relatively few reports on fungus-infected linyphiids are known from the Tropics/Subtropics and North America, two geographic regions where linyphiids are less common (Nyffeler & Sunderland 2003).

3) Pholcidae are among the spider groups most frequently reported to be attacked by fungal pathogens in North America and Europe (Fig. 7). Statistically, the percentage of fungus-infected pholcids in North America does not significantly differ from that in Europe (30% vs. 36%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 0.917$, $df = 1$, $P > 0.05$). The reported infected pholcids have a subterranean life style, inhabiting caves, tunnels, and basements in buildings (Eiseman et al. 2010; Martynenko et al. 2012; Humber et al. 2014). Such subterranean spaces maintain a microclimate characterized by high relative humidity (98–100% RH) and moderate temperatures which are optimal for fungal growth (see Yoder 2009; Martynenko et al. 2012). The spiders most frequently reported in this context are cellar spiders in the genus *Pholcus* Walckenaer, 1805 (i.e., *Pholcus phalangioides* (Fuesslin, 1775)) (Fig. 2A–E; Keller 2007;

Martynenko et al. 2012; Jent 2013; Humber et al. 2014). In North America and Europe, fungi isolated from mycosed pholcids belonged exclusively to the family Cordycipitaceae (Appendix 1–2). The following fungi were isolated from subterranean pholcids: the asexual morphs *Engyodontium aranearum* [= *Lecanicillium tenuipes*], *E. rectidentatum*, and *Parengyodontium album* [= *Beauveria alba* = *Engyodontium album*] as well as the sexual morph *Torrubiella pulvinata* (Cokendolpher 1993; Keller 2007; Eiseman et al. 2010; Martynenko et al. 2012; Jent 2013; Humber et al. 2014; Dubiel 2015; Kubátová 2017; Kathie T. Hodge, pers. comm.). There are few reports of fungal infections on subterranean spiders from tropical/subtropical regions. In a cave in Cuba a pholcid in the genus *Modisimus* Simon, 1893 was infected by a *Mucor* sp. (Mucoraceae; Mercado et al. 1988). Statistically, the percentage of pholcids infected by fungi in the Tropics/Subtropics is significantly lower than that in North America (3% vs. 30%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 40.048$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$) or Europe (3% vs. 36%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 57.098$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$). We cannot rule out that the low percentage of subterranean pholcids in the Tropics/Subtropics is biased in the sense that fungus-infected pholcids from tropical/subtropical subterranean basements and caves may have been less frequently investigated so far. Furthermore, the situation may be different with regard to free-living pholcids in the Tropics/Subtropics. For example, in one study from Brazil, plant-dwelling pholcids (genus *Metagonia* Simon, 1893) were found to be the spider family most frequently attacked by fungi from the genus *Gibellula* (27% of all fungus-infected spider specimens; Costa 2014). Such a plant-dwelling pholcid from Brazil (*Metagonia taruma* Huber, 2000) is depicted in Fig. 2F.

4) Another notable point is that in the Tropics/Subtropics both araneomorph and mygalomorph spiders are routinely attacked by fungal pathogens, whereas reports of fungus-infected mygalomorphs from Europe and North America are very scarce (≤5% of all reports; Fig. 7). For example, in Southwestern Europe only one mycosed trapdoor spider per ca. 60–

70 burrows was found (Vera Opatova, pers. comm.). Statistically, the percentage of mygalomorphs infected by fungi in the Tropics/Subtropics is significantly higher than that in North America (18% vs. 3%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 11.315$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.001$) or Europe (18% vs. 5%; Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 8.937$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.005$). Most reports of fungal attacks on mygalomorphs refer to trapdoor spiders in the families Actinopodidae, Barychelidae, Halonoproctidae, Idiopidae, and Pycnothelidae (Coyle et al. 1990; Schwendinger 1996; Haupt 2002; Chirivi et al. 2017; Manfrino et al. 2017; Pérez-Miles & Perafan 2017; and others).

Are spider-pathogenic fungi host-specific?—The host specificity of spider-pathogenic fungi varies considerably between the different pathogen species. Evans (2013: p. 109) suggested that many spider-pathogenic fungi are highly specific and "*that this specificity must operate at the exoskeleton level*". Some fungal pathogens are host specific to the extent that they have been found so far from only one spider host (Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). Spider-pathogenic fungi of this type include a newly discovered fungus with the epithet *Gibellula 'bang-bangus'*, *G. brevistipitata*, *G. cebrennini*, *G. dimorpha*, *G. fusiformispora*, *G. longicaudata*, *G. longispora*, *G. nigelii*, *G. parvula*, *G. pilosa*, *G. pigmentosinum*, *G. scorpioides*, *G. solita*, *G. unica*, *Jenniferia cinerea*, *J. griseocinerea*, *J. thomisidarum*, and others (see CABI 2022; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022). Thus, based on current knowledge, these fungi appear to have a very narrow host range. However, due to the fact that such assessments are often based on a very low number of observations, it can not be ruled out that in some of these cases a broader host range will come to light in the future, once a larger number of host records has been made available.

Others are spider-specific fungal pathogens with a wide host range across many spider families. *Purpureocillium atypicola* is perhaps the most prominent example of a spider-specific fungal pathogen with a wide host range. Spiders from ten families could be infected with *P. atypicola* under laboratory conditions (Greenstone et al. 1987). The fact that this

fungus is utilizing a wide range of hosts under laboratory conditions has been verified by our survey according to which spiders from at least 17 families are infected by *P. atypicola* under natural conditions (Appendix 2). Other examples of fungi which infect a range of hosts from different spider families are *Gibellula leiopus* and *G. pulchra*, two species with a broad geographic distribution (Samson & Evans 1973; Strongman 1991; Bałazy 1970; Kubátová 2004; Selçuk et al. 2004; Zare & Zanganeh 2008; Dubiel 2015; Savić et al. 2016; Shrestha et al. 2019). Based on our survey, these two very common fungal pathogens utilize seven different spider families as hosts in the case of *G. leiopus* and eight families in the case of *G. pulchra* (Appendix 2). *Gibellula leiopus* found on very different spider hosts may actually be a *G. leiopus* species complex that needs to be disentangled by future research (Harry Evans, pers. comm.; Nigel Hywel-Jones, pers. obs.). It seems that exclusively araneomorph spiders are attacked by *Gibellula* species (Shrestha et al. 2019; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). By way of contrast, mygalomorph spiders, whose cephalothorax is covered with a strong exoskeletal cuticle, apparently remain unaffected from attacks by *Gibellula* species (Shrestha et al. 2019). Nevertheless, attacks by fungi from the genus *Gibellula* are apparently not strictly limited to araneomorph spiders, because Brazilian researchers recently reported on a harvestman of the family Stygnidae being infected by a *Gibellula* species (Villanueva-Bonilla et al. 2021).

Apart from these spider-specific fungal pathogens, there are other pathogens with lower host specificity, which infect spiders and other arthropods alike. An example of a fungal taxon with an extremely wide host range is *Beauveria bassiana* known to attack over 700 species in 15 insect orders in addition to spiders and mites (Sosnowska et al. 2004; Cummings 2009; Meyling et al. 2011).

DISCUSSION

How many species of spider-pathogenic fungi exist worldwide?—Fungi in general and spider-pathogenic fungi in particular remained understudied (Boomsma et al. 2014). Only

about 5% of all extant fungal species have been described so far (see Hawksworth & Rossman 1997; Bhalerao et al. 2019). If this figure is used to estimate by extrapolation the number of still undiscovered spider-pathogenic fungi, then it follows that >1,000 unnamed species might exist. If we are only talking about the genus *Gibellula*, it was suggested that this genus alone probably contains hundreds of unnamed species (Harry Evans, pers. comm.). In fact, new species of spider-pathogenic fungi are being discovered all the time (e.g., Han et. al. 2013; Shrestha et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2017, 2018, 2021, 2022a,b; CABI 2022; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Mendes-Pereira et al. 2022; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022; Tan et al. 2022; Zhou et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2023; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2023b).

Furthermore, because the biology of a large number of fungal species is still unexplored, their status as pathogens or saprobes is currently unknown or controversial (see Noordam et al. 1998; Evans 2013). So it could be that some species currently classified as saprobes are in fact facultative pathogens which would further increase the true number of existing species of spider-pathogenic fungi.

Low-investment vs. high-investment reproductive strategy in hypocrealean fungi.—A comparison between fungus-infected above-ground spiders (araneomorphs) with fungus-infected ground-burrowers (mygalomorphs) shows that the fungi attacking these two types of spiders differ in their reproductive patterns in terms of energy expenditure. The majority of fungus-infected above-ground spiders are small in size, often measuring only ca. 1–5 mm in body length (Mains 1939; Bristowe 1948, 1958; Bishop 1990a,b; Noordam et al. 1998; Meyling et al. 2011; Brescovit et al. 2019). Spiders of that size have a body mass of ca. 1–5 mg according to Nyffeler & Sunderland (2003). For comparison, the ground-burrowing trapdoor and purse-web spiders are much larger (ca. 10–35 mm in body length) (Coyle et al. 1990; Bellmann 1997; Ono 2001; Ríoríos-Tamayo & Goloboff 2018) with a body mass of ca. 500–2,500 mg per spider (Anderson 1987; Bradley 1996; Hardy 2018). Ground-burrowing

theraphosids can reach a body mass of up to 30,000 mg (Baerg 1963). The amount of energy which can be extracted by a fungal parasite from a large burrowing spider host is roughly two to four orders of magnitude larger than that extracted from a small above-ground host. Thus, fungi parasitizing above-ground spiders (araneomorphs) exhibit a low-investment reproductive strategy as opposed to the fungi found on the ground-burrowers (mygalomorphs). The fungi parasitizing ground-burrowers invest a much higher amount of energy into their reproductive effort (also see Evans & Samson 1987). Subterranean pholcids such as *Pholcus phalangioides* are also relatively small araneomorphs, with a body mass of ca. 10–30 mg (Schmitz 2015). The fungi which attack pholcids (i.e., *Engyodontium aranearum*, *E. rectidentatum*, *Parengyodontium album*, and *Torrubiella pulvinata*) also exhibit a low-investment reproductive strategy (Fig. 2A–E).

The low-investment strategy of the fungi parasitizing small above-ground spiders – such as *Gibellula* species – works in such a way that a spider is manipulated to climb a plant, whereupon spores are released from tiny fruit bodies growing on the dead spider body (Fig. 2F). This procedure requires little energy, taking into account that small spiders are excellent climbers which due to their low body mass have to exert little resistance against the force of gravity and that little energy needs to be invested to produce the tiny fruit bodies.

For the ground-burrowing spiders, the situation is completely different. Fungi parasitizing mygalomorphs – usually found in the genera *Cordyceps* and *Purpureocillium* – die in their underground burrows and then use phototrophic fruit bodies to push above ground (Fig. 6A–E; Cummings 2009; Hughes et al. 2016; Rowley et al. 2022). These types of fungi must produce stout, fleshy fruit bodies strong enough to push through the soil (i.e., theraphosids) or to open trapdoors (i.e., trapdoor spiders) and which are long enough to emerge several centimeters above the ground surface to facilitate aerial dispersal of the released spores (Fig. 6 A–E). The production of such stout fruiting bodies is costly in energy. Only heavy built spider

hosts – usually mygalomorphs – provide a sufficiently large amount of energy to facilitate the costly production of this type of reproductive structure (Fig. 6E).

In which habitats do spider mycoses occur most frequently?—High rates of fungus infection were documented for above-ground spiders in tropical/subtropical forested areas (including national parks and wildlife sanctuaries) in Brazil, China, Ghana, Indonesia, and Thailand (Tables 3–4; Nentwig 1985a; Evans & Samson 1987; Humber & Rombach 1987; Samson & Evans 1974, 1982, 1992; Rong & Botha 1993; Tzean et al. 1997; Selçuk et al. 2004; Aung et al. 2006; Shrestha et al. 2019; Kuephadungphan et al. 2019, 2022; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022). As Aung et al. (2008) point out, fungal pathogens show their highest dominance in humid tropical forests. Cacao farms in Ecuador and Ghana are further tropical habitats in which high rates of fungus infection were observed (Table 3–4; Evans & Samson 1987). Citrus, coffee, guava, rice, and sugar-cane plantations are other tropical/subtropical habitats in which spider-pathogenic fungi were found (Table 3). According to several field assessments, infection rates by hypocrealean fungi of ca. 10–35% were observed for tropical spider populations, although there were also exceptions to this with infection levels of ≤1% (Table 4).

The reports of fungus-infected above-ground spiders in North America refer in particular to temperate forests. Fairly high infection rates were observed in the mountainous area of western North Carolina and eastern Tennessee and in a mixed hardwood forest area in northwestern South Carolina (Mains 1939; Bishop 1990a; Kim Fleming, pers. comm.). Mains (1939) found ca. 70 small spiders parasitized by *Cordyceps thaxteri* at one location. In Bishop's (1990a) study from Tennessee, 5–20% of immature spiders (i.e., Araneidae, Linyphiidae, Salticidae, and Thomisidae) collected from a forest-meteorology tower were infected by hypocrealean fungi, with a maximum infection rate occurring in autumn (Table 4). A huge number of spiders parasitized by fungi from the *Gibellula leiopus* complex was

discovered in a mixed hardwood forest area in northwestern South Carolina (Kim Fleming, pers. comm.). The fungus-infected spiders in this latter study includes among others salticids, thomisids, and trachelids (Fig. 5A). It is noteworthy that about half a dozen cases of fungal-infected trachelids (e.g., *Trachelas tranquillus* (Hentz, 1847)) were reported from wooded areas in North America (Table 2; Appendix 2). The fungal pathogens attacking *Trachelas* spiders were usually *Gibellula* species (Fig. 5A; Appendix 2). *Trachelas* often hides very deep in cracks of dead wood which seems to favor fungal infestation (Tobias Bauer, pers. comm.). It is also worth mentioning that several fungus-infected *Cybaeus reticulatus* Simon, 1886 (Cybaeidae) were found from under rotting wood in old rainforest woods on Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia, all of which had fruiting bodies growing out of them (Robb Bennett, pers. comm.). Furthermore, high infection rates of pholcid populations by hypocrealean fungi were observed in basements of old houses and in caves at various locations in USA (Eiseman et al. 2010; Jent 2013; Humber et al. 2014; Kathie T. Hodge, pers. comm.).

The reports from Europe refer to temperate forests, tree-covered dunes, meadows, vegetable fields, fens, and swampy areas as regards above-ground spiders (Table 3). The majority of European above-ground spider hosts infected by hypocrealean fungi were small sheet-weavers (Fig. 3; Bristowe 1958; Duffey 1997; Noordam et al. 1998; Meyling et al. 2011). The fungal pathogens engaged in these infections belonged to the genera *Gibellula* and *Torrubiella* (Bristowe 1958; Duffey 1997) or remained unidentified (Noordam et al. 1998). High infection rates of spider populations by fungi occurred in particular in damp places such as swampy areas in eastern England (Ellis 1956; Bristowe 1958; Duffey 1997) and Wales (McNeil 2012; Harry Evans, pers. comm.). High infection rates of 20–85% have been reported for European above-ground spider populations dominated by sheet-weavers (Table 4).

As in North America, in European subterranean spaces a high percentage of pholcids are infected by hypocrealean fungi (Keller 2007; Martynenko et al. 2012). Martynenko et al. (2012) reported that the infection rates of *Pholcus* populations due to *Parengyodontium album* [= *Beauveria alba* = *Engyodontium album*] in tunnel systems in the Ukraine was ca. 70–75% (Table 4). These tunnels, characterized by high relative humidity, are optimal habitats for spiders to be infected by fungal pathogens.

Fungal infestations of spiders occur even in the cold, Arctic climate of the Island of Jan Mayen, Norway (70°59′N / 8°32′W) where huge numbers of small linyphids were killed by a hypocrealean fungus in the genus *Cordyceps* not further identified (Bristowe (1948, 1958). Bristowe (1958: p. 66) noted "*….when I visited the arctic island of Jan Mayen in 1921, I noticed thousands of the dead bodies of each of the four species comprising its spider fauna. They were covered with a white fungus identified as* Cordyceps*…Now I believe it kills the spiders and is almost the only enemy these spiders have in this cold damp island.*" The only spider-pathogenic species in the genus *Cordyceps* so far known from outside of the Tropics/Subtropics apparently is *C. thaxteri* (see Mains 1939; Savić et al. 2016). However, in non-mycological literature of that time, the name 'Cordyceps' was often used to generally describe any fungus infecting an insect or, in this case, a spider.

Possible ecological implications of spider mycoses for the spiders.—In the Tropics/Subtropics and in North America/Europe, above-ground spiders perform important ecosystem services by exerting top-down control of herbivorous insects including numerous crop and forest pests (Nyffeler & Sunderland 2003; Nyffeler & Birkhofer 2017). Samson & Evans (1973) suggested that heavy infestation of above-ground spider populations by hypocrealean pathogens might interfere with the natural suppression of herbivorous insects. Heavy hypocrealean infestation of spiders was reported especially from humid forested areas, sugar-cane and cacao plantations in tropical/subtropical regions, on the one hand, and from

forested and swampy areas in temperate climates, on the other hand (Williams 1921; Mains 1939; Bristowe 1958; Samson & Evans 1973; Bishop 1990a; Duffey 1997; Meyling et al. 2011). As pointed out by Samson & Evans (1973), much more data on variables such as infection rates, spider densities, and prey densities must be acquired before final conclusions on potentially disruptive effects of fungal pathogens on spider herbivore suppression can be drawn.

Fungal pathogens such as *Purpureocillium atypicola* and some *Cordyceps* species (Fig. 6) may play a significant role in the regulation of mygalomorph spider populations in tropical/subtropical regions (Coyle et al. 1990; Schwendinger 1996; Haupt 2002). Mygalomorph spiders, for their part, serve as food for the larvae of spider-hunting wasps (Pompilidae). In turn, the adults of such wasps act as pollinators of a variety of different plants (Ollerton et al. 2003; Johnson 2005; Shuttleworth & Johnson 2006, 2009, 2012; Phillips et al. 2021). Whether locally heavy fungal infestation of mygalomorph spider populations indirectly affects the pollination success of spider hunting wasp-pollinated plants is still unknown.

What about the pholcid spiders dwelling in subterranean spaces? Due to their high abundance pholcids are prominent members of subterranean food webs at times heavily attacked by fungal pathogens (Souza-Silva et al. 2011; Martynenko et al. 2012). But the ecological role of subterranean pholcid populations is currently unexplored and the implications of fungal infestations cannot yet be determined.

Finally, we would like to add that fungi may have an adverse effect on spider survival in still another way. The fact is that airborne fungal spores from many different fungal families (e.g., Botryosphaeriaceae, Davidiellaceae, Helotiaceae, Massarinaceae, Microascaceae, Nectriaceae, Phragmidiaceae, Pleosporaceae, Trichocomaceae, Trichosphaeriaceae,

and Venturiaceae) are blown by wind into the webs of orb-weaving spiders (Smith & Mommsen 1984; Nyffeler et al. 2016, 2023). The trapped spores are ingested by the spiders along with silk material during the recycling process of old webs prior to the construction of new webs, and the consumption of spores may have a detrimental effect on spider survival because of the presence of noxious secondary compounds in the spores (see Smith & Mommsen 1984; Nyffeler et al. 2023).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our review reveals that spiders from at least 40 families are parasitized by fungal pathogens. This is a much higher number of fungus-infected spider families compared to the <10–20 families reported from previous reviews (see Evans 2013; Costa 2014; Humber et al. 2014; Shrestha et al. 2019; Durkin et al. 2021; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022). The fact that: 1) such a high number of spider taxa are parasitized by pathogenic fungi; and that 2) high infection rates have been reported in numerous literature reports (Table 4; Mains 1939; Bristowe 1958; Samson & Evans 1973; Evans & Samson 1987; Bishop 1990a; Coyle et al. 1990; Schwendinger 1996; Duffey 1997; Noordam et al. 1998; Haupt 2002; Gonzaga et al. 2006; Meyling et al. 2011; Martynenko et al. 2012; Arruda 2020; and others) leads to the conclusion that pathogenic fungi might be among the spiders' major natural enemies. This conclusion is supported by the widespread occurrence of spider-pathogenic fungi in diverse habitat types over a large area of the globe (from latitude 78°N to 52°S). Our conclusion that fungal infection is an important mortality factor for spiders is at odds with the way spider-pathogenic fungi have previously been covered in most text books on spider biology (see

above). With this paper, we wish to raise awareness among arachnologists, mycologists, and ecologists that many ecologically significant trophic links between spider-pathogenic fungi and their spider hosts exist and that it would be worthwhile for them to pursue future arachnological-mycological interdisciplinary research in this very fascinating, yet unexplored frontier between arachnology and mycology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Tobias Bauer (State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe, Germany), Robb Bennett (Royal British Columbia Museum, Canada), Antonio Brescovit (Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, Brazil), Bruce Cutler (University of Kansas, USA), Ansie Dippenaar-Schoeman (University of Venda, South Africa), G.B. Edwards (Curator Emeritus, Florida State Collection of Arthropods, Gainesville, USA), Charles Haddad (University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa), David Hill (Peckham Society, USA), Hubert Höfer (Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Karlsruhe, Germany), Matjaz Kuntner (National Institute of Biology, Ljubljana, Slovenia), Yuri Marusik (Institute for Biological Problems of the North, Magadan, Russia), Geoff Oxford (York University, UK), Martín Ramírez (Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Argentina), Robert Raven (Queensland Museum, Australia), Darrell Ubick (California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, USA), Rick West (Sooke, British Columbia, Canada), Jörg Wunderlich (Hirschberg, Germany), and Alireza Zamani (University of Turku, Finland) who identified for us fungus-infected spiders based on photographs. We also wish to thank Dmitri Logunov (Manchester Museum, the University of Manchester, UK) for taxonomic information. Furthermore, we wish to thank João Araújo (The New York Botanical Garden, USA), Harry C. Evans (CAB International, UK / Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brazil), Kathie T. Hodge (Cornell University, USA), Richard A. Humber (USDA / Cornell University, USA), Alena Kubátová (Charles University,

Prague, Czech Republic), Jennifer Luangsa-ard (National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Thailand), Bhushan Shrestha (Madan Bhandari University of Science and Technology, Nepal), Joseph Spatafora (Oregon State University, USA), and Daniel Winkler (MushRoaming, Seattle, USA) for assistance in the identification of fungi based on photographs. Likewise, we wish to thank Fernando Pérez-Miles (Universidad de la República de Uruguay, Uruguay), Hirotsugu Ono (National Museum of Nature and Science, Japan), Arthur E. Decae (Natural History Museum Rotterdam, Netherlands), and Vera Opatova (Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic) for information on fungus-infected trapdoor spiders. Leonardo Sousa Carvalho (Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brazil) provided us with informing on a fungus-infected pholcid in Brazil. Jason Dunlop (Museum für Naturkunde, Humboldt University Berlin, Germany) and Harry C. Evans helped us to get access to literature. Thanks to Harry C. Evans for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper. We also acknowledge two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and the editors Michael Rix (Queensland Museum, Australia), Deborah Smith (University of Kansas, USA), and Rick Vetter (University of California, Riverside, USA) for their support. Furthermore, we express our gratitude to Andy Henzi (Breitenbach, Switzerland) for his help with the layout of the photographs. Last but not least, the following photographers are greatly acknowledged for granting permission to use their photographs: Gilles Arbour (“NatureWeb”, Quebec, Canada), Seth Ausubel (Tubac, Arizona, USA), Paul Bertner (“Rainforests Photography”, British Columbia, Canada), Leonardo Sousa Carvalho (Piauí, Brazil), Carmen Champagne (Athens, Georgia, USA), Jeffrey J. Cook (Wayne, New Jersey, USA), Tony DeSantis (Scranton, Pennsylvania, USA), Tony Eales (Brisbane, Queensland, Australia), Kim Fleming (Donalds, South Carolina, USA), Mary Jane Hatfield (Iowa, USA), Jeff Hollenbeck (Daytona Beach, Florida, USA), Thomas Læssøe (Copenhagen, Denmark), Lynn Lunger (Pennsylvania, USA), J. Mass (Uxbridge, Ontario, Canada), Nicolai V. Meyling (Copenhagen, Denmark), Steve &

Alison Pearson (Airlie Beach, Queensland, Australia), Jens H. Petersen ("MycoKey", Ebeltoft, Denmark), Lisa Powers ("Froghaven Farm", Bon Aqua, Tennessee, USA), Carlos M. Silva (Gemunde, Portugal), Daniel Winkler ("MushRoaming", Seattle, Washington, USA), and Kim Wood (Cottage Grove, Wisconsin, USA).

LITERATURE CITED

Abhijith APC, Hill DE, Ramachandra P. 2002. Notes on biology of the ant-mimicking jumping spider *Myrmarachne plataleoides* (Araneae: Salticidae: Astioida) in south Asia. *Peckhamia* 287.1:1–12.

Andersen SB, Gerritsma S, Yusah KM, Mayntz D, Hywel-Jones NL, Billen J, et al. 2009. The life of a dead ant: the expression of an adaptive extended phenotype. *American Naturalist* 174:424–433.

Anderson JF. 1987. Morphology and allometry of the purse-web of *Sphodros abboti* (Araneae, Atypidae): respiratory and energetic considerations. *Journal of Arachnology* 15:141–150.

Anonymous 2009a. Husbandry Guidelines for funnel web spiders with particular reference to the sydney funnel web *Atrax robustus*. Western Sydney Institute of TAFE, Richmond. Online at: https://aszk.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Invertebrates.-Funnel-web-Spider-2009VB.pdf

Anonymous 2009b. Fungus to kill white-tail spiders discovered. Online at:https://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/17344/Fungus-to-kill-white-tail-spiders-discovered Accessed 23 September 2022.

Anonymous 2017. Friedhof der weißen Schimmel-Spinnen. Online at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T9aw56z6jTg Accessed 27 September 2022.

Anonymous 2019. *Beauveria* sp. infecting *Cambridgea* sp. sheetweb spider, Waitomo, NZ. "Icing sugar fungus" is entomopathic, attacking arthropods. Online at:

https://twitter.com/erincpow/status/1138628730803200002?lang=fr Accessed 14 November 2022.

Arruda IDP. 2020. Manipulação comportamental da aranha *Macrophyes pacoti* (Araneae: Anyphaenidae) pelo fungo araneopatogênico *Gibellula* sp.(Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae). Mestre Thesis, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil.

Arruda IDP, Villanueva-Bonilla GA, Faustino ML, Moura-Sobczak JCMS, Sobczak JF. 2021. Behavioral manipulation of the spider *Macrophyes pacoti* (Araneae: Anyphaenidae) by the araneopathogenic fungus *Gibellula* sp. (Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 99:401–408.

Aung OM, Kang J, Liang Z, Soytong K, Hyde KD. 2006. *Cordyceps mrciensis* sp. nov. from a spider in Thailand. *Mycotaxon* 97:235–240.

Aung OM, Soytong K, Hyde KD. 2008. Diversity of entomopathogenic fungi in rainforests of Chiang Mai Province, Thailand. *Fungal Diversity* 30:15–22.

Austin AD. 1984. Life history of *Clubiona robusta* L. Koch and related species (Araneae, Clubionidae) in South Australia. *Journal of Arachnology* 12:87–104.

Ávila Guerrero C. 2019. Caracterización del microhábitat y distribución espacial de *Pamphobeteus ferox* Araneae. Theraphosidae en parches de bosque andino de San Antonio del Tequendama. Thesis por el titulo de Biologo, Universidad de La Salle, Bogota, Colombia.

Ayroza G, Ferreira IL, Sayegh RS, Tashima AK, da Silva Jr, PI. 2012. Juruin: an antifungal peptide from the venom of the Amazonian Pink Toe spider, *Avicularia juruensis*, which contains the inhibitory cystine knot motif. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 3:324.

Baerg WJ. 1963. Tarantula life history records. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* 71:233–238.

Bałazy S. 1970. *Gibellula leiopus* (Vuillemin in Maublanc) Mains – the fungus pathogenic for spiders. *Acta Mycologica* 6:71–76.

Barbosa BC, Maciel TT, Abegg AD, Borges LM, Rosa CD, Vargas-Peixoto D. 2016. Arachnids infected by arthropod-pathogenic fungi in an urban fragment of Atlantic Forest in southern Brazil. *Natureza Online* 14:11–14.

Barrion AT. 2001. Spiders: natural biological control agents against insect pests in Philippine rice fields. *Transactions of the National Academy of Science and Technology, Philippines* 23:121–130.

Bellmann H. 1997. Kosmos-Atlas Spinnentiere Europas. Franckh-Kosmos-Verlag, Stuttgart.

Benny GL. 2008. Methods used by Dr. RK Benjamin, and other mycologists, to isolate zygomycetes. *Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Floristic Botany* 26:37–61.

Beys-da-Silva WO, Santi L, Berger M, Guimaraes JA, Schrank A, Vainstein MH. 2013. Susceptibility of *Loxosceles* sp. to the arthropod pathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*: potential biocontrol of the brown spider. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 107:59–61.

Bhalerao VK, Gaikwad AP, Deokar CD, Raghuwanshi KS. 2019. The mystical world of mushrooms. Pp. 3–48. *In* Advancing Frontiers in Mycology & Mycotechnology. (Satyanarayana T, Deshmukh SK, Deshpande MV, eds.). Springer, Singapore.

Biali M, Dinoor A, Eshed N, Kenneth R. 1972. *Aphanocladium album*, a fungus inducing teliospore production in rusts. *Annals of Applied Biology* 72:37–42.

Bibbs CS, Vitoreli AM, Benny G, Harmon CL, Baldwin RW. 2013. Susceptibility of *Latrodectus geometricus* (Araneae: Theridiidae) to a *Mucor* strain discovered in north central Florida, USA. *Florida Entomologist* 96:1052–1061.

Bishop L. 1990a. Entomophagous fungi as mortality agents of ballooning spiderlings. *Journal of Arachnology* 18:237–238.

Bishop L. 1990b. Meteorological aspects of spider ballooning. *Environmental Entomology* 19:1381–1387.

Bond JE, Stockman AK. 2008. An integrative method for delimiting cohesion species: finding the population-species interface in a group of Californian trapdoor spiders with extreme genetic divergence and geographic structuring. *Systematic Biology* 57:628–646.

Boomsma JJ, Jensen AB, Meyling NV, Eilenberg J. 2014. Evolutionary interaction networks of insect pathogenic fungi. *Annual Review of Entomology* 59:467–485.

Bosselaers JP. 1984. *Gibellula pulchra* (Sacc.) Cavara in het gebied van de Slangebeekbron te Zonhoven (België). *Natuurhistorisch Maandblad* 73:166–168.

Bradley RA. 1996. Foraging activity and burrow distribution in the Sydney brown trapdoor spider (*Misgolas rapax* Karsch: Idiopidae). *Journal of Arachnology* 24:58–67.

Bradley RA. 2013. Common Spiders of North America. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Brescovit AD, Villanueva-Bonilla GA, Sobczak JCM, Nóbrega FADS, Oliveira LFMD, Arruda IDP, et al. 2019. *Macrophyes pacoti* n. sp.(Araneae: Anyphaenidae) from Brazilian Atlantic Forest, with notes on an araneopathogenic fungus. *Zootaxa* 4629:294–300.

Bristowe WS. 1948. Spiders from the arctic island of Jan Mayen. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 118:223–225.

Bristowe WL. 1958. The World of Spiders. Collins, London.

Buzatto BA, Haeusler L, Tamang N. 2021. Trapped indoors? Long-distance dispersal in mygalomorph spiders and its effect on species ranges. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A* 207:279–292.

CABI 2022. Confirmed new fungus has mysterious origins. https://phys.org/news/2022-06-fungus-mysterious.html Accessed 3 August 2022.

Cardoso JCF, Michalko R, Gonzaga MO. 2018. Specific parasites indirectly influence niche occupation of non-hosts community members. *Oecologia* 188:343–353.

Castillo L, Sanjuan T, Restrepo S, Realpe E. 2015. Efecto del hongo aracnopatógeno *Cordyceps nidus* sp. nov. en tarántulas de la familia Theraphosidae en condiciones de laboratorio. Online at: https://repositorio.uniandes.edu.co/bitstream/handle/1992/17215/u703688.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y Accessed 23 Sept 2022

Chandrashekhar S., Suryanarayanan TS, Narasimham CL. 1981. Occurrence of *Beauveria alba* on a spider. *Current Science* 50:248.

Chang Y, Wang Y, Mondo S, Ahrendt S, Andreopoulos W, Barry K, et al. 2022. Evolution of zygomycete secretomes and the origins of terrestrial fungal ecologies. *iScience* 25:104840; https://doi:10.1016/j.isci.2022.104840

Charnley AK. 2003. Fungal pathogens of insects: cuticle degrading enzymes and toxins. *Advances in Botanical Research* 40:241–321.

Chen MJ, Wang T, Lin Y, Huang B. 2021. *Gibellula flava* sp. nov.(Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales), a new pathogen of spider from China. *Phytotaxa* 527:125–133.

Chen M, Wang T, Lin Y, Huang B. 2022b. Morphological and molecular analyses reveal two new species of *Gibellula* (Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales) from China. *MycoKeys* 90:53–69.

Chen WH, Han YF, Liang ZQ, Jin DC. 2017. A new araneogenous fungus in the genus *Beauveria* from Guizhou, China. *Phytotaxa* 302:57–64.

Chen WH, Liu C, Han YF, Liang JD, Liang ZQ. 2018. *Akanthomyces araneogenum*, a new Isaria-like araneogenous species. *Phytotaxa* 379:66–72.

Chen WH, Liang JD, Ren XX, Zhao JH, Han YF, Liang ZQ. 2022a. Phylogenetic, ecological and morphological characteristics reveal two new spider-associated genera in Clavicipitaceae. *MycoKeys* 91:49–66.

Chen WH, Liang JD, Ren XX, Zhao JH, Han YF. 2023. Study on species diversity of *Akanthomyces* (Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales) in the Jinyun Mountains, Chongqing, China. *MycoKeys* 98:299–315.

Chirivi J, Danies G., Sierra R., Schauer N, Trenkamp S, Restrepo S, et al. 2017. Metabolomic profile and nucleoside composition of *Cordyceps nidus* sp. nov. (Cordycipitaceae): a new source of active compounds. *PLoS One* 12:e0179428.

Cokendolpher JC. 1993. Pathogens and parasites of opiliones (arthropoda: arachnida). *Journal of Arachnology* 21:120–146.

Cokendolpher JC. 2004. *Cicurina* spiders from caves in Bexar County, Texas (Araneae: Dictynidae). *Texas Memorial Museum Speleological Monographs* 6:13–58.

Consolo VF, Salerno GL, Beron CM. 2003. Pathogenicity, formulation and storage of insect pathogenic hyphomycetous fungi tested against *Diabrotica speciosa*. *BioControl* 48:705–712.

Costa PP. 2014. *Gibellula* spp. associadas a aranhas da Mata do Paraíso, Viçosa-MG. MSc Thesis, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brazil.

Coyle FA, Greenstone MH, Hultsch AL, Morgan CE. 1985. Ballooning mygalomorphs: estimates of the masses of *Sphodros* and *Ummidia* ballooners (Araneae: Atypidae, Ctenizidae). *Journal of Arachnology* 13:291–296.

Coyle FA, Goloboff PA, Samson RA. 1990. *Actinopus* trapdoor spiders (Araneae, Actinopodidae) killed by the fungus, *Nomuraea atypicola* (Deuteromycotina). *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 190:89–93.

Crous PW, Hawksworth DL, Wingfield MJ. 2015. Identifying and naming plant-pathogenic fungi: past, present, and future. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 53:247–267.

Cummings NJ. 2009. Entomopathogenic fungi in New Zealand native forests: the genera *Beauveria* and *Isaria*. PhD Dissertation, University of Canterbury, New Zealand.

de Bekker C, Merrow M, Hughes DP. 2014. From behavior to mechanisms: an integrative approach to the manipulation by a parasitic fungus (*Ophiocordyceps unilateralis* sl) of its host ants (*Camponotus* spp.). *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 54:166–176.

Decae AE. 1987. Dispersal: ballooning and other mechanisms. Pp. 357–370. *In* Ecophysiology of Spiders. (Nentwig W, ed.). Springer, Berlin, New York.

Dippenaar-Schoeman AS, Jocqué R. 1997. African Spiders, an Identification Manual. Plant Protection Research Institute Handbook no. 9, Pretoria, South Africa.

Doidge EM. 1950. Fungi on hosts other than vascular plants. *Bothalia* 5:58–63.

Dubiel G. 2015. Występowanie grzyba *Gibellula leiopus* (Vuil. ex Maubl.) Mains w Beskidzie Śląskim. *Przegląd Przyrodniczy* 26:30–38.

Dubois T, Lund J, Bauer LS, Hajek AE. 2008. Virulence of entomopathogenic hypocrealean fungi infecting *Anoplophora glabripennis*. *BioControl* 53:517–528.

Duffey E. 1997. Spider adaptation to artificial biotopes: the fauna of percolating filter beds in a sewage treatment works. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 34:1190–1202.

Durkin ES, Cassidy ST, Gilbert R, Richardson EA, Roth AM, Shablin S, et al. 2021. Parasites of spiders: Their impacts on host behavior and ecology. *Journal of Arachnology* 49:281–298.

Edgar WD. 1969. Prey and predators of the wolf spider *Lycosa lugubris*. *Journal of Zoology* 159:405–411.

Edwards GB. 1980. Taxonomy, ethology, and ecology of *Phidippus* (Araneae: Salticidae) in eastern North America. PhD Dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

Eiseman C, Charney N, Carlson J. 2010. Tracks & Sign of Insects & Other Invertebrates: A Guide to North American Species. Stackpole Books, Mechanicsburg.

Ellis EA. 1956. Entomogenous fungi in Norfolk. *Transactions of the Norfolk & Norwich Naturalists' Society* 18:23–28.

Evans HC. 1974. Natural control of arthropods, with special reference to ants (Formicidae), by fungi in the tropical high forest of Ghana. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 11:37–49.

Evans HC. 2013. Fungal pathogens of spiders. Pp. 107–121. In Spider Ecophysiology. (Nentwig W, ed.). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Evans HC, Boddy L. 2010. Animal slayers, saviours and socialists. Pp. 66–81. *In* From Another Kingdom: The Amazing World of Fungi. (Coleman M, Boddy L, eds.). Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

Evans HC, Samson RA. 1982. Entomogenous fungi from the Galápagos Islands. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 60:2325–2333.

Evans HC, Samson RA. 1987. Fungal pathogens of spiders. *Mycologist* 1:152–159.

Foelix RF. 2011. Biology of Spiders. 3rd edition. Oxford University Press, New York.

Gertsch WJ. 1979. American Spiders. 2nd edition. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.

Gonzaga MO, Leiner NO, Santos AJ. 2006. On the sticky cobwebs of two theridiid spiders (Araneae: Theridiidae). *Journal of Natural History* 40:293–306.

Greenstone MH, Ignoffo CM, Samson RA. 1987. Susceptibility of spider species to the fungus *Nomuraea atypicola*. *Journal of Arachnology* 15:266–268.

Greif MD, Currah RS. 2007. Patterns in the occurrence of saprophytic fungi carried by arthropods caught in traps baited with rotted wood and dung. *Mycologia* 99:7–19.

Hajek AE, St. Leger RJ. 1994. Interactions between fungal pathogens and insect hosts. *Annual Review of Entomology* 39:293–322.

Han YF, Chen WH, Zou X, Liang ZQ. 2013. *Gibellula curvispora*, a new species of *Gibellula*. *Mycosystema* 32:777–780.

Hardy LM. 2018. Ecological observations of the trapdoor spider *Myrmekiaphila comstocki* Bishop & Crosby 1926 (Araneae, Mygalomorpha, Euctenizidae, Apomastinae) in the Ouachita Mountains of Arkansas. *Southeastern Naturalist* 17:1–37.

Haupt J. 2002. Fungal and rickettsial infections of some East Asian trapdoor spiders. Pp. 45–49. *In* European Arachnology 2000. (Toft S, Scharff N, eds.). Aarhus University Press, Aarhus.

Hawksworth DL, Rossman AY. 1997. Where are all the undescribed fungi? *Phytopathology* 87:888–891.

Heinrichs EA. 1994. Biology and Management of Rice Insects. Wiley Eastern Limited, Delhi, India.

Heneberg P, Řezáč M. 2013. Two *Trichosporon* species isolated from central-European mygalomorph spiders (Araneae: Mygalomorphae). *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 103:713–721.

Henriksen CB, Reboleira ASP, Scharff N, Enghoff H. 2018. First record of a *Basidiobolus*/*Amphoromorpha* fungus from a spider. *African Journal of Ecology* 56:153–156.

Henschel JR. 1998. Predation on social and solitary individuals of the spider *Stegodyphus dumicola* (Araneae, Eresidae). *Journal of Arachnology* 26:61–69.

Hodge KT. 2003. Clavicipitaceous anamorphs. Pp. 75–123. *In* Clavicipitalean Fungi: Evolutionary Biology, Chemistry, Biocontrol and Cultural Impacts. (White JF, Bacon CW, Hywel-Jones NL, Spatafora JW, eds.). Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.

Hughes DP, Araújo JPM, Loreto RG, Quevillon L, De Bekker C, Evans HC. 2016. From so simple a beginning: the evolution of behavioral manipulation by fungi. *Advances in Genetics* 94:437–469.

Humber RA, Rombach MC. 1987. *Torrubiella ratticaudata* sp. nov. (Pyrenomycetes: Clavicipitales) and other fungi from spiders on the Solomon Islands. *Mycologia* 79:375–382.

Humber RA, Hansen KS, Wheeler MM. 2014. USDA-ARS Collection of Entomopathogenic Fungal Cultures – Indexes to available isolates. Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, Ithaca, New York. https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUserFiles/80620520/ALL%20AVAIL%20indices%2016Jan014.pdf Accessed 8 March 2023

Hywel-Jones N. 1996. *Akanthomyces* on spiders in Thailand. *Mycological Research* 9:1065–1070.

Hywel-Jones NL, Sivichai S. 1995. *Cordyceps cylindrica* and its association with *Nomuraea atypicola* in Thailand. *Mycological Research* 7:809–812.

Index Fungorum 2023. Onnline at: http://www.indexfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp Accessed 3 May 2023

Ing B. 1994. Tansley review no. 62 the phytosociology of myxomycetes. *New Phytologist* 126:175–201.

Isaia M, Decae A. 2012. Revalidation of *Nemesia meridionalis* Costa, 1835 (Araneae, Mygalomorphae, Nemesiidae), and first description of the male. *Arachnology* 15:280–284.

James SA, Bond CJ, Stanley R, Ravella SR, Péter G, Dlauchy D, et al. 2016. *Apiotrichum terrigenum* sp. nov., a soil-associated yeast found in both the UK and mainland Europe. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 66:5046–5050.

Jensen MA, Losey JE, Hajek AE. 2001. Altered behavior and distribution of pea aphids, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Homoptera: Aphididae), infected with *Pandora neoaphidis* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales). *BioControl* 46:337–343.

Jent D. 2013. Pathogenic fungi affecting cellar spiders, *Pholcus phalangioides*. Student Project, Murray State University, Murray, USA (Abstract). Online at: https://digitalcommons.murraystate.edu/postersatthecapitol/2013/Murray/6/ Accessed 27 September 2022.

Johnson SD. 2005. Specialized pollination by spider-hunting wasps in the African orchid *Disa sankeyi*. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 251:153–160.

Johnston JR. 1915. The entomogenous fungi of Porto Rico. *Bulletin of the Board of Commissioners of Agriculture (Porto Rico)* 10:1–33.

Kawamura S. 1929. On some new Japanese fungi. *Japanese Journal of Botany* 4:291–302.

Keller HW, Kilgore CM, Everhart SE, Carmack GJ, Crabtree CD, Scarborough AR. 2008. Myxomycete plasmodia and fruiting bodies: unusual occurrences and user-friendly study techniques. *Fungi* 1:24–37.

Keller S. 2007. List of arthropod-pathogenic mitosporic fungi from Switzerland. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 80:1–5.

Keller S, Wegensteiner R. 2010. A species of the fungus genus *Conidiobolus* as a pathogen of a lycosid spider. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 83:227–231.

Kelly MB, Khan K, Wierucka K, Jones BR, Shofner R, Derkarabetian S, et al. 2023. Repeated evolution of extreme locomotor performance independent of changes in extended phenotype use in spiders. *EcoEvoRxiv* https://doi.org/10.32942/X2BP44

Kepler RM, Luangsa-ard JJ, Hywel-Jones NL, Quandt CA, Sung GH, Rehner SA, et al. 2017. A phylogenetically-based nomenclature for Cordycipitaceae (Hypocreales). *IMA Fungus* 8:335–353.

Kim KW. 2009. Maternal influence on spiderlings' emergence from the cocoon: observations in a subsocial spider. *Journal of Ecology and Environment* 32:33–39.

Kobayasi Y. 1941. The genus *Cordyceps* and its allies. *Science Reports of the Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku, Section B* 84:53–260.

Kobayasi Y, Shimizu D. 1977. Some species of *Cordyceps* and its allies on spiders. *Kew Bulletin* 31:557–566.

Kobayasi Y, Shimizu D. 1982. Monograph of the genus *Torrubiella. Bulletin of National Science Museum Tokyo, Series B* 8:43–78.

Koç NK, Défago G. 1983. Studies on the host range of the hyperparasite *Aphanocladium album*. *Phytopathologische Zeitschrift* 107:214–218.

Koukol O. 2010. What do we know about *Acrodontium crateriforme*? *Mykologické Listy* 111:12–18.

Kozlov M. 2021. Science with borders: researchers navigate red tape. *The Scientist*: Mar 1, 2021. Online at: https://www.the-scientist.com/careers/science-with-borders-researchers-navigate-red-tape-68443 Accessed June 7, 2023.

Kubátová A. 2004. The arachnogenous fungus *Gibellula leiopus* – second find from the Czech Republic. *Czech Mycology* 56:185–191.

Kubátová A. 2017. Entomopatogenní houby – nerovný souboj. *Ziva* 5:250–254.

Kuephadungphan W, Macabeo APG, Luangsa-ard JJ, Tasanathai K, Thanakitpipattana D, Phongpaichit S, et al. 2019. Studies on the biologically active secondary metabolites of the new spider parasitic fungus *Gibellula gamsii*. *Mycological Progress* 18:135–146.

Kuephadungphan W, Tasanathai K, Petcharad B, Khonsanit A, Stadler M, Luangsa-ard JJ. 2020. Phylogeny- and morphology-based recognition of new species in the spider-parasitic genus *Gibellula* (Hypocreales, Cordycipitaceae) from Thailand. *MycoKeys* 72:17–42.

Kuephadungphan W, Petcharad B, Tasanathai K, Thanakitpipattana D, Kobmoo N, Khonsanit A, et al. 2022. Multi-locus phylogeny unmasks hidden species within the specialised spider-parasitic fungus, *Gibellula* (Hypocreales, Cordycipitaceae) in Thailand. *Studies in Mycology* 101:245–286.

Kulkarni S, Hannah Wood H, Hormiga G. 2023. Advances in the reconstruction of the Spider Tree of Life: a roadmap for spider systematics and comparative studies. https://ecoevorxiv.org/repository/view/4816/

Læssøe T. 2015. Edderknoppe – Snyltekölle (*Torrubiella albolanata*) – en överraskelse fra de jyske sumpe. *Svampe* 71:23–27, 37.

Leatherdale D. 1970. The arthropod hosts of entomogenous fungi in Britain. *Entomophaga* 15:419–435.

Loreto RG, Hughes DP. 2019. The metabolic alteration and apparent preservation of the zombie ant brain. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 118:103918.

Luangsa-ard JJ, Hywel-Jones NL, Manoch L, Samson RA. 2005. On the relationships of *Paecilomyces* sect. *Isarioidea* species. *Mycological Research* 109:581–589.

Luangsa-ard JJ, Tasanathai K, Mongkolsamrit S, Hywel-Jones N. 2008. Atlas of Invertebrate-Pathogenic Fungi of Thailand, Volume 2. National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Pathumthani, Thailand.

Mains EB. 1939. *Cordyceps* from the mountains of North Carolina and Tennessee. *Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society* 55:117–129.

Mains EB. 1954. Species of *Cordyceps* on spiders. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 81:492–500.

Malloch D, Kane J, Lahaie DG. 1978. *Filobasidiella arachnophila* sp. nov. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 56:1823–1826.

Manfrino RG, González A, Barneche J, Galván JT, Hywell-Jones N, Lastra CCL. 2017. Contribution to the knowledge of pathogenic fungi of spiders in Argentina. Southernmost record in the world. *Revista Argentina de Microbiología* 49:197–200.

Martynenko SV, Kondratyukn TO, Sukhomlin MM. 2012. A hyphomycete, *Engyodontium album* (Limber) de Hoog, attacking spiders in underground headings of Kyiv-City. *Ukrainian Botanical Journal* 69:423–432.McLean IFG. 1993. A *Clubiona* spider infected with a parasitic fungus. *British Journal of Entomology and Natural History* 6:88.

McNeil D. 2012. Entomogenous fungi. *Shropshire Entomology* 5:5–6.

Mendes-Pereira T, De Araújo, JP, Mendes FC, Fonseca EO, Alves J, Sobczak JF, et al. 2022. *Gibellula aurea* sp. nov. (Ascomycota, Cordycipitaceae): a new golden spider-devouring fungus from a Brazilian Atlantic Rainforest. *Phytotaxa* 573:85–102.

Mendes-Pereira T, de Araújo JPM, Kloss TG, Costa-Rezende DH, de Carvalho DS, Góes-Neto A. 2023. Disentangling the taxonomy, systematics, and life history of the spider-parasitic fungus *Gibellula (*Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales). *Journal of Fungi* 9:457; https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9040457

Mercado Sierra A, Alayo Soto R, Mena Portales J, de Armas LF. 1988. Hongos entomógenos de Cuba. Nueva especie de *Clathroconium* sobre arañas. *Acta Botánica Cubana* 56:1–5.

Meyling NV, Thorup-Kristensen K, Eilenberg J. 2011. Below-and aboveground abundance and distribution of fungal entomopathogens in experimental conventional and organic cropping systems. *Biological Control* 59:180–186.

Mongkolsamrit S, Noisripoom W, Tasanathai K, Kobmoo N, Thanakitpipattana D, Khonsanit A, et al. 2022. Comprehensive treatise of *Hevansia* and three new genera *Jenniferia*, *Parahevansia* and *Polystromomyces* on spiders in Cordycipitaceae from Thailand. *MycoKeys* 91:113–149.

Mongkolsamrit S, Sandargo B, Ebada SS, Noisripoom W, Jaiyen S, Luangsa-ard JJ, et al. 2023. *Bhushaniella* gen. nov. (Cordycipitaceae) on spider eggs sac: a new genus from Thailand and its bioactive secondary metabolites. *Mycological Progress* 22:1–16.

Montes de Oca L, Indicatti RP, Opatova V, Almeida M, Pérez-Miles F, Bond JE. 2022. Phylogenomic analysis, reclassification, and evolution of South American nemesioid burrowing mygalomorph spiders. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 168:107377.

Muma MH. 1975. Spiders in Florida citrus groves. *Florida Entomologist* 58:83–

90.MycoBank 2023. Online at: https://www.mycobank.org/page/Simple%20names%20search Accessed 3 May 2022

Nelson XJ, Jackson RR, Pollard SD, Edwards GB, Barrion AT. 2004. Predation by ants on jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae) in the Philippines. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 31:45–56.

Nentwig W. 1985a. Parasitic fungi as a mortality factor of spiders. *Journal of Arachnology* 13:272–274.

Nentwig W. 1985b. Prey analysis of four species of tropical orb-weaving spiders (Araneae: Araneidae) and a comparison with araneids of the temperate zone. *Oecologia* 66:580–594.

Nentwig W. 1987a. The prey of spiders. Pp. 249–263. *In* Ecophysiology of Spiders. (Nentwig W, ed.). Springer, Berlin, New York.

Nentwig W. 1987b. Commented checklist of spider families. Pp. 380–388. *In* Ecophysiology of Spiders. (Nentwig W, ed.). Springer, Berlin, New York.

Nentwig W, Prillinger H. 1990. A zygomycetous fungus as a mortality factor in a laboratory stock of spiders. *Journal of Arachnology* 18:118–121.

Noordam AP, Samson RA, Sudhaus W. 1998. Fungi and Nematoda on *Centromerus sylvaticus* (Araneae, Linyphiidae). Pp. 343–347. *In* Proceedings of the 17th European Colloquium of Arachnology. (Selden PA, ed.). Edinburgh 1997.

Nováková A, Hubka V, Valinová Š, Kolařík M, Hillebrand-Voiculescu AM. 2018a. Cultivable microscopic fungi from an underground chemosynthesis-based ecosystem: a preliminary study. *Folia Microbiologica* 63:43–55.

Nováková A, Kubátová A, Sklenář F, Hubka V. 2018b. Microscopic fungi on cadavers and skeletons from cave and mine environments. *Czech Mycology* 70:101–121.

Nyffeler M., Birkhofer K. 2017. An estimated 400–800 million tons of prey are annually killed by the global spider community. *Science of Nature* 104:30.

Nyffeler M, Gibbons JW. 2022. Spiders feeding on vertebrates is more common and widespread than previously thought, geographically and taxonomically. *Journal of Arachnology* 50:121–134.

Nyffeler M, Sunderland KD. 2003. Composition, abundance and pest control potential of spider communities in agroecosystems: a comparison of European and US studies. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 95:579–612.

Nyffeler M, Olson EJ, Symondson WOC. 2016. Plant-eating by spiders. *Journal of Arachnology* 44:15–27.

Nyffeler M, Machado G, Hartmann A, and Keiser CN. 2023. Fungus and fruit consumption by harvestmen and spiders (Opiliones and Araneae): the vegetarian side of two predominantly predaceous arachnid groups. *Journal of Arachnology* 51:1–18.

O'Donnell KL, Common RS, Imshaug HA. 1977. A new species of *Torrubiella* on a spider from the Falkland Islands. *Mycologia* 69:618–622.

Ollerton J, Johnson SD, Cranmer L, Kellie SAM. 2003. The pollination ecology of an assemblage of grassland asclepiads in South Africa. *Annals of Botany* 92:807–834.

Ono H. 2001. Notes on three species of trapdoor spiders (Araneae, Liphistiidae and Ctenizidae) from Japan. *Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science Tokyo A*, 27:151–157.

Opatova V, Hamilton CA, Hedin M, de Oca LM, Kra´ l J, Bond JE. 2020. Phylogenetic systematics and evolution of the spider infraorder Mygalomorphae using genomic scale data. *Systematic Biology* 69:671-707.

Orchard AE. 1996. Fungi of Australia. Australian Biological Resources Study, Canberra.

Ortiz D, Bertani R. 2005. A new species in the spider genus *Phormictopus* (Theraphosidae: Theraphosinae) from Cuba. *Revista Ibérica de Aracnología* 11:29–36.

Patil CS, Krishna M, Shardamma P. 1994. New record of fungal pathogen, *Aphanocladium album* (Preuss) Gams isolated from mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. from India. *Entomon* 19:175–176.

Paz SN. 1993 Aspectos de la biologia reproductiva de *Linothele megatheloides* (Araneae: Dipluridae). *Journal of Arachnology* 21:40–49.

Pérez Meza P. 2004. Hongos entomopatógenos asociados a diferentes cultivos tropicales. Tesis para optar el título de Ingeniero Agrónomo, Universidad Nacional Agraria de la Selva, Peru.

Pérez-Miles F., Perafán C. 2017. Behavior and biology of Mygalomorphae. Pp. 29–54. *In* Behaviour and Ecology of Spiders. (Viera C, Gonzaga MO, eds.). Springer, Cham.

Petch T. 1937. Notes on entomogenous fungi. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 21:34–67.

Petch T. 1939. Notes on entomogenous fungi. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 23:127–148.

Petch T. 1944. Notes on entomogenous fungi. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 27:81–93.

Petch T. 1948. A revised list of British entomogenous fungi. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 31:286–304.

Phillips RD, Bohman B, Peakall R. 2021. Pollination by nectar□foraging pompilid wasps: a new specialized pollination strategy for the Australian flora. *Plant Biology* 23:702–710.

Platnick NI. 2013. The World Spider Catalog, Version 13.5. American Museum of Natural History. Online at https://wsc.nmbe.ch/resources/archive/catalog_13.5/CORINNIDAE.html Accessed 8 June 2023

Raven RJ. 1985. The spider infraorder Mygalomorphae (Araneae): cladistics and systematics. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 182:1–180.

RíoRíos-Tamayo D, Goloboff PA. 2018. Taxonomic revision and morphology of the trapdoor spider genus *Actinopus* (Mygalomorphae: Actinopodidae) in Argentina. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 2018 (419):1–83.

Rivera KG. 2009. Taxonomy, systematics and DNA barcoding of selected *Penicillium* groups. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

Rong IH, Botha A. 1993. New and interesting records of South African fungi XII. Synnematous Hyphomycetes. *South African Journal of Botany* 59:514–518.

Rong IH, Grobbelaar E. 1998. South African records of associations between fungi and arthropods. *African Plant Protection* 4:43–63.

Rose S. 2022. Spiders of North America. Princeton University Press, Princeton & Oxford.

Rossman AY. 2014. Lessons learned from moving to one scientific name for fungi. *IMA Fungus* 5:81–89.

Rowley AF, Coates CJ, Whitten MM. (Eds.). 2022. Invertebrate Pathology. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Ruszkiewicz-Michalska M, Tkaczuk C, Dynowska M, Sucharzewska E, Szkodzik J, Wrzosek M. 2012. Preliminary studies of fungi in the Biebrza National Park (NE Poland). I. Micromycetes. *Acta Mycologica* 47:213–234.

Ruszkiewicz-Michalska M, Balazy S, Chelkowski J, Dynowska M, Pawlowska J, Sucharzewska E, et al. 2015. Preliminary studies of fungi in the Biebrza National Park (NE Poland). Part III. Micromycetes-new data. *Acta Mycologica* 50:1–28; http://dx.doi.org/10.5586/am.1067

Ryszkowski L, Wicherek S. (Eds.). 1997. Ecological management of countryside in Poland and France. Proceedings of the conference held in Poznań-Turew, November 7–10, 1995, Bonami.

Saltamachia SJ. 2022. Theoretical and empirical evidence for extended phenotypes in a specialized parasite of spiders. *Authorea Preprint Repository* https://doi:10.22541/au.164604858.89088094/v1

Samson RA, Evans HC. 1973. Notes on entomogenous fungi from Ghana: I. The genera *Gibellula* and *Pseudogibellula*. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 22:522–528.

Samson RA, Evans HC. 1974. Notes on entomogenous fungi from Ghana: II. The genus *Akanthomyces*. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 23:28–35.

Samson RA, Evans HC. 1982. *Clathroconium*, a new helicosporous hyphomycete genus from spiders. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 60:1577–1580.

Samson RA, Evans HC. 1992. New species of *Gibellula* on spiders (Araneida) from South America. *Mycologia* 84:300–314.

Samson RA, Evans HC, Latgé JP. 1988. Atlas of Entomopathogenic Fungi. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Sanchez-Pena SR. 1990. Some insect and spider pathogenic fungi from Mexico with data on their host ranges. *Florida Entomologist* 73:517–522.

Sandler M. 2016. Zombie fungi: Occurrence of arthropod endoparasitic fungi at different altitudes in the Monteverde Region. Tropical Ecology Collection (Monteverde Institute). 641. https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/tropical_ecology/641

Santamaria S, Girbal J. 1996. *Gibellula pulchra* (Saccardo) Cavara, un fong patogen d'aranyes, a Catalunya. *Orsis* 11:179–181.

Savić D, Grbić G, Bošković E, Hänggi A. 2016. First records of fungi pathogenic on spiders for the Republic of Serbia. *Arachnology Letters* 52:31–34.

Schäfer MA, Hille A, Uhl GB. 2001. Geographical patterns of genetic subdivision in the cellar spider *Pholcus phalangioides* (Araneae). *Heredity* 86:94–102.

Schmitz A. 2015. Functional morphology of the respiratory organs in the cellar spider *Pholcus phalangioides* (Arachnida, Araneae, Pholcidae). *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* 185:637–646.

Schwendinger PJ. 1991. Two new trap-door spiders from Thailand (Araneae, Mygalomorphae, Idiopidae). *Bulletin of the British Arachnological Society* 8:233–240.

Schwendinger PJ. 1996. The fauna of orthognathous spiders (Araneae: Mesothelae, Mygalomorphae) in Thailand. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie (Special Edition)* 2:577–584.

Schwendinger PJ, Hongpadharakiree K. 2014. Three new *Prothemenops* species (Araneae: Idiopidae) from central Thailand. *Zootaxa* 3893:530–550.

Selçuk F, Hüseyin E, Gaffaroğlu M. 2004. Occurrence of the araneogenous fungus *Gibellula pulchra* in Turkey. *Mycologia Balcanica* 1:61–62.

Sherwood D. 2021. Notes on a case of fungal pathogenesis on a juvenile of the theraphosid spider *Aphonopelma gabeli* Smith, 1995 in captivity (Araneae: Theraphosidae). *Serket* 18:27–30.

Shrestha B, Kubátová A, Tanaka E, Oh J, Yoon DH, Sung JM, et al. 2019. Spider-pathogenic fungi within Hypocreales (Ascomycota): their current nomenclature, diversity, and distribution. *Mycological Progress* 18:983–1003.

Shuttleworth A, Johnson SD. 2006. Specialized pollination by large spider-hunting wasps and self-incompatibility in the African milkweed *Pachycarpus asperifolius*. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 167:1177–1186.

Shuttleworth A, Johnson SD. 2009. Specialized pollination in the African milkweed *Xysmalobium orbiculare*: a key role for floral scent in the attraction of spider-hunting wasps. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 280:37–44.

Shuttleworth A, Johnson SD. 2012. The *Hemipepsis* wasp-pollination system in South Africa: a comparative analysis of trait convergence in a highly specialized plant guild. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 168:278–299.

Simonneau M, Courtial C, Pétillon J. 2016. Phenological and meteorological determinants of spider ballooning in an agricultural landscape. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 339:408–416.

Smith RB, Mommsen TP. 1984. Pollen feeding in an orb-weaving spider. *Science* 226:1330–1333.

Sosnowska D, Bałazy S, Prishchepa L, Mikulskaya N. 2004. Biodiversity of arthropod pathogens in the Białowieża Forest. *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 44:313–321.

Souza-Silva M, Martins RP, Ferreira RL. 2011. Trophic dynamics in a neotropical limestone cave. *Subterranean Biology* 9:127–138.

St. Leger RJ, Wang JB. 2020. *Metarhizium*: Jack of all trades, master of many. *Open Biology* 10:200307; https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.200307

St. Leger RJ, Joshi L, Roberts D. 1998. Ambient pH is a major determinant in the expression of cuticle-degrading enzymes and hydrophobin by *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 64:709–713.

Strongman DB. 1991. *Gibellula pulchra* from a spider (Salticidae) in Nova Scotia, Canada. *Mycologia* 83:816–817.

Sung GH, Spatafora JW, Zare R, Hodge KT, Gams W. 2001. A revision of *Verticillium* sect. *Prostrata*. II. Phylogenetic analyses of SSU and LSU nuclear rDNA sequences from anamorphs and teleomorphs of the Clavicipitaceae. *Nova Hedwigia* 72:311–328.

Swart K, Debets AJM, Slakhorst M, Holub EF, Hoekstra RF, Bos CJ. 2001. Genetic analysis in the asexual fungus *Aspergillus niger*. *Acta Biologica Hungarica* 52:335–343.

Tan YP, Bishop-Hurley SL, Shivas RG, Cowan DA, Maggs-Kölling G, Maharachchikumbura SSN, et al. 2022. Fungal Planet description sheets: 1436–1477. *Persoonia* 49:261–350.

Taylor JW. 2011. One fungus = one name: DNA and fungal nomenclature twenty years after PCR. *IMA Fungus* 2:113–120.

Thúy NT, Tùng NV, Lân TN, Lam TTN. 2015. Some biological characteristics of *Isaria javanica* (Frider. & Bally) Samsom & Hywel-Jones distributing at Pu Mat National Park, Nghe An. *Journal of Science & Developpement* 1:687–693. [in Vietnamese]

Tkaczuk C, Balazy S, Krzyczkowski T, Wegensteiner R. 2011. Extended studies on the diversity of arthropod-pathogenic fungi in Austria and Poland. *Acta Mycologica* 46:211–222.

Tzean SS, Hsieh LS, Wu WJ. 1997. The genus *Gibellula* on spiders from Taiwan. *Mycologia* 89:309–318.

Vandegrift R, Newman DS, Dentinger BTM, Batallas-Molina R, Dueñas N, Flores J, et al. 2023. Richer than gold: the fungal biodiversity of Reserva Los Cedros, a threatened Andean cloud forest. *Botanical Studies* 64:1–22.

van der Bijl PA. 1922. A fungus - *Gibellula haygarthii*, sp. n. - on a spider of the family Lycosidae. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa* 10:149–150.

van Helsdingen PJ. 2007. Sluipend gevaar. *Nieuwsbrief Spined* 23:35.

van Vreden G, Ahmadzabidi AL. 1986. Pests of Rice and Their Natural Enemies in Peninsular Malaysia. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation (Pudoc), Wageningen, Netherlands.

Villanueva-Bonilla GA, Araujo-Da-Silva LP, Vasconcellos-Neto J, Sobczak JC, Fonseca EO, Nóbrega FADS, et al. 2021. First record of the interaction between the arthropod-pathogenic fungus *Gibellula* and a new species of harvestman *Auranus* (Stygnidae) narrowly endemic to the Brazilian rain forest. *Zootaxa* 5071:403–414.

Vincent LS. 1986. Pathogens and parasitoids of the fossorial mygalomorph spider *Atypoides riversi* 0.P.-Cambridge (Antrodiaetidae: Araneae) of various size classes. Pp. 291–294. *In*

Proceedings of the Ninth International Congress of Arachnology, Panama 1983. (Eberhard WC, Lubin YD, Robinson BC, eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C., USA.

Vincent LS. 1993. The natural history of the California turret spider *Atypoides riversi* (Araneae, Antrodiaetidae): demographics, growth rates, survivorship, and longevity. *Journal of Arachnology* 21:29–39.

Walther G, Wagner L, Kurzai O. 2019. Updates on the taxonomy of Mucorales with an emphasis on clinically important taxa. *Journal of Fungi* 5:106; https://doi:10.3390/jof5040106

Wang Y, Tang DX, Luo R, Wang YB, Thanarut C, Dao VM, et al. 2023a. Phylogeny and systematics of the genus *Clonostachys*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 14:1117753.

Wang Y, Wang ZQ, Luo R, Souvanhnachit S, Thanarut C, Dao VM, et al. 2023b. Species diversity and major host–substrate associations of the genus *Akanthomyces*. *Research Square* (Preprint). https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2907259/v1.

Williams CB. 1921. Report on the froghopper-blight of sugar-cane in Trinidad. *Trinidad and Tobago Department of Agriculture Memoirs* 1:1–170.

Wise DH. 1993. Spiders in Ecological Webs. Cambridge University Press, New York.

Wolcott GN. 1948. The insects of Puerto Rico. *Journal of Agriculture of the University of Puerto Rico* 32:1–224.

World Spider Catalog 2018. World Spider Catalog, Version 18.5. Natural History Museum Bern, Switzerland. Online at https://wsc.nmbe.ch/search Accessed 27 November 2022

World Spider Catalog 2019. World Spider Catalog, Version 20.0. Natural History Museum Bern, Switzerland. Online at https://wsc.nmbe.ch/search Accessed 8 June 2023

World Spider Catalog. 2023. World Spider Catalog, Version 24.0. Natural History Museum Bern, Switzerland. Online at https://wsc.nmbe.ch/search Accessed 26 January 2023

Wunderlich J. 2004. Fossil spiders in amber and copal: Conclusions, revisions, new taxa, family diagnoses of fossil and extant taxa. *Beiträge zur Araneologie* 3:1–1908.

Xu YX, Shen HW, Bao DF, Luo ZL, Su HY, Hao YE. 2021. Two new species of *Cladosporium* from leaf spots of *Parispolyphylla* in north-western Yunnan Province, China. *Biodiversity Data Journal* 9:e77224; https://doi:10.3897/BDJ.9.e77224

Yakushiji E, Kumazawa M. 1930. Über einige im Koishikawa botanischen Garten gesammelte *Isaria*-Arten I. *Botanical Magazine-Tokyo* 44:40–42.

Yasuda A. 1915. Purseweb spider parasitized by an *Isaria* fungus. *Botanical Magazine-Tokyo* 29:117.

Yoder JA, Benoit JB, Christensen BS, Croxall TJ, Hobbs HH. 2009. Entomopathogenic fungi carried by the cave orb weaver spider, *Meta ovalis* (Araneae, Tetragnathidae), with implications for mycoflora transfer to cave crickets. *Journal of Cave and Karst Studies* 71:116–120.

Yokoyama K, Ichikawa Y. 1984. Ecology of spider mushrooms at Omi Shrine in Otsu City. *Fuyu Kusa* 4:3–6. [in Japanese] Cited in: https://www.wikieasy.wiki/de/%E3%82%AF%E3%83%A2%E3%82%BF%E3%82%B1

Yokoyama K, Hashiya M. 1994. Distribution survey of spider mushrooms. *Fuyu Kusa* 14:6–10. [in Japanese]. Cited in: https://www.wikieasy.wiki/de/%E3%82%AF%E3%83%A2%E3%82%BF%E3%82%B1

Yu FM, Thilini Chethana KW, Wei DP, Liu JW, Zhao Q, Tang SM, et al. 2021. Comprehensive review of *Tolypocladium* and description of a novel lineage from Southwest China. *Pathogens* 10:1389; https://10.3390/pathogens10111389

Zare R, Zanganeh S. 2008. First report of entomogenous fungus *Gibellula leiopus* (Vuill.) Mains from Iran. *Applied Entomology and Phytopathology* 76:155–156.

Zhou YM, Zhi JR, Qu JJ, Zou X. 2022. Estimated divergence times of *Lecanicillium* in the Family Cordycipitaceae provide insights into the attribution of *Lecanicillium*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*:1379; https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.859886

Table 1. – Taxonomical classification of spider-pathogenic fungi and other spider-associated fungi based on MycoBank (2023). Numbers in the last column of the table is based on Supplementary Table S1. Thirty-nine records in which the fungi could not be identified were not included in this compilation.

PHYLUM / Class	Order	Family	No. of cases in each family
PHYLUM: ASCOMYCOTA			
Class: Sordariomycetes	Hypocreales	Cordycipitaceae	351 (74.4%)
		Ophiocordycipitaceae	75 (15.9%)
		Clavicipitaceae	3 (0.6%)
		Bionectriaceae	2 (0.4%)
		Nectriaceae	1 (0.2%)
		Unidentified	4 (0.8%)
Class: Eurotiomycetes	Eurotiales	Aspergillaceae	6 (1.3%)
Class: Dothideomyces[A]	Capnodiales[B]	Teratosphaeriaceae[C]	2 (0.4%)
	Cladosporiales[D]	Cladosporiaceae	2 (0.4%)
Class: Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	3 (0.6%)
PHYLUM: BASIDIOBOLOMYCOTA[E]			
Class: Basidiobolomycetes[F]	Basidiobolales	Basidiobolaceae	1 (0.2%)
PHYLUM: BASIDIOMYCOTA			
Class: Tremellomycetes	Trichosporonales	Trichosporonaceae	2 (0.4%)
	Tremellales	Cryptococcaceae	1 (0.2%)
PHYLUM: DEUTEROMYCOTA [= Fungi Imperfecti]			
Class: Hyphomycetes	Unidentified	Unidentified	9 (1.9%)
Class: Unidentified	Unidentified	Unidentified	1 (0.2%)
PHYLUM: ENTOMOPHTHOROMYCOTA			
Class: Entomophthoromycetes	Entomophthorales	Conidiobolaceae[G]	2 (0.4%)
Class: Unidentified	Unidentified	Unidentified	1 (0.2%)
PHYLUM: MUCOROMYCOTA			
Class: Mucoromycetes	Mucorales	Mucoraceae	6 (1.3%)
Total identified fungi			472 (100%)

Index Fungorum (2023) taxonomic classification differs in the following aspects:

[A,B,C] Class Incertae sedis, Order Incertae sedis, Family Incertae sedis

[D] Order Capnodiales

[E,F] Phylum Zygomycota, Class Incertae sedis

[G] Family Ancylistaceae

Table 2. – Diversity of spider families parasitized by fungal pathogens (based on Supplementary Table S1). Types of fungi: A = Pathogen in the order Hypocreales; B = Facultative pathogen in the order Entomophthorales; C = Facultative pathogen in the order Mucorales; D = Facultative pathogen in the class Hyphomycetes/order unknown; E = Hyperparasite overgrowing a hypocrealean pathogen; F = Hyperparasite overgrowing an unknown fungus; G = Order Capnodiales (saprobe or pathogen?); H = Saprobe in the order Eurotiales; I = Non-pathogenic in the order Basidiobolales; K = Order Trichosporonales (unknown type of infection); L = Phylum Deuteromycota (unknown type of infection); M = Unknown fungus.

#	Spider family	Tropics/Sub-Tropics (Africa, Asia, Central & South America, Oceania)	North America	Europe	Extinct (inclusions in Baltic amber)	Laboratory
01	ACTINOPODIDAE	A				
02	AGELENIDAE			A		A
03	AMAUROBIIDAE	M		A,E		M
04	ANTRODIAETIDAE					A,H
05	ANYPHAENIDAE	A	A,M			A
06	ARANEIDAE	A	A			A
07	ARKYIDAE	A				
08	ATRACIDAE	A				
09	ATYPIDAE	A		K		
10	BARYCHELIDAE	A				
11	CHEIRACANTHIIDAE	A,M				
12	CLUBIONIDAE	A		A,M		
13	CORINNIDAE	A			M	
14	CTENIDAE	A				
15	CTENIZIDAE			M		
16	CYBAEIDAE		A,M			
17	CYCLOCTENIDAE	A				
18	DEINOPIDAE	A				
19	DESIDAE	A,E				
20	DICTYNIDAE					M
21	DIPLURIDAE	L				
22	DYSDERIDAE		M			
23	ERESIDAE	M				
24	EUCTENIZIDAE		F,M			
25	GNAPHOSIDAE?				M	
26	HAHNIIDAE		M	A	M	
27	HALONOPROCTIDAE	A	A			

28	**HEPTATHELIDAE**	A				
29	**HERSILIIDAE**	A				
30	**HYPOCHILIDAE**		A			
31	**IDIOPIDAE**	A				A
32	**ISCHNOTHELIDAE**					C
33	**LAMPONIDAE**	A				
34	**LINYPHIIDAE**	A	A	A,D		A
35	**LIOCRANIDAE**	H				
36	**LYCOSIDAE**	A	A	A,B,D,M		A
37	**MYSMENIDAE**				M	
38	**NEMESIIDAE**			M		
39	**NEPHILIDAE**	A,M	A,C,M			
40	**OONOPIDAE**	I				
41	**OXYOPIDAE**	A,M	A			
42	**PHILODROMIDAE**	A,H	A			
43	**PHOLCIDAE**	A,C	A	A		A
44	**PISAURIDAE**	A				
45	**PORRHOTHELIDAE**	M				
46	**PYCNOTHELIDAE**	A				
47	**SALTICIDAE**	A,M	A,G,M			A
48	**SICARIIDAE**	M				A
49	**SPARASSIDAE**	A				
50	**SYNOTAXIDAE**				M	
51	**TETRAGNATHIDAE**	A,H,M	A	A,D,H		A
52	**THERAPHOSIDAE**	A,H				A
53	**THERIDIIDAE**	A	C	A		A,C
54	**THOMISIDAE**	A	A	A		A
55	**TRACHELIDAE**	A	A			
56	**TRECHALEIDAE**	A,M				C
57	**ULOBORIDAE**	A				
58	**ZODARIIDAE**	A			M	

Table 3. – Diversity of habitat types from which spider mycoses had been reported (based on literature).

Habitat type	**Tropics / Subtropics**	**North America**	**Europe**	**Source**
Tropical & subtropical forests (including National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries)	●			Samson & Evans 1973; Evans 1974; Nentwig 1985a; Selçuk et al. 2004; Brescovit et al. 2019; Arruda 2020; Saltamachia 2022; Zhou et al. 2022; Vandegrift et al. 2023
Atlantic forest	●			Gonzaga et al. 2006; Costa 2014; Mendes-Pereira et al. 2022
Scalesia forest	●			Evans & Samson 1982
Southern deciduous forest		●		Bishop 1990a
Temperate forests		●	●	Mains 1939; Bałazy 1970; Kubátová 2004; Tkaczuk et al. 2011; Robb Bennett, pers. comm.
Nature Parks, Wildlife Preserves (outside the Tropics & Subtropics)		●		https://bugguide.net/node/view/492026/bgimage; https://bugguide.net/node/view/1079720/bgimage
Tropical bracken vegetation and moorland	●			Evans & Samson 1982
Cacao plantation	●			Samson & Evans 1973; Evans & Samson 1987
Citrus plantation	●	●		Muma 1975; Sanchez-Pena 1990
Coffee plantation	●			Wolcott 1948
Guava plantation	●			Johnston 1915
Rice field	●			Heinrichs 1994; Hywel-Jones 1996
Sugar-cane plantation	●			Williams 1921; Evans & Samson 1987
Vegetable field	●		●	Meyling et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2017
Meadows			●	Ellis 1956; Sosnowska et al. 2004
Rush communities (Juncaceae)			●	Sosnowska et al. 2004
Fallows covered by *Festuca pratensis*			●	Ryszkowski & Wicherek 1997
Ravine bank	●			Coyle et al. 1990
Subterranean caves	●	●	●	Mercado et al. 1988; Yoder et al. 2009
Subterranean tunnels			●	Martynenko et al. 2012
Subterranean basements		●	●	Humber et al. 2014; CABI 2022
Gardens	●			Kobayasi 1941
Roadside	●			Kobayasi 1941
Fens and swampy areas			●	Bristowe 1948, 1958; Ellis 1956; McLean 1993; Duffey 1997
Filterbed			●	Duffey 1997
Tree-covered dunes			●	Noordam et al. 1998
Arctic island, between stones			●	Bristowe 1948, 1958
Aquatic environments – Diving bell spider *Argyroneta aquatica*			●	Noordam et al. 1998

Table 4. – Infection rate of spider populations (in %) caused by fungal pathogens based on literature data. N/A = information not available.

Infected spider taxon	Fungal pathogen	Habitat type	Infection rate (%)	Reference
TROPICS / SUBTROPICS				
Actinopus Perty, 1833 (Actinopidae)	*Purpureocillium atypicola*	Ravine bank – Argentina	34.0 [*n* = 50]	Coyle et al. 1990
Latouchia Pocock, 1901 (Halonoproctidae)	*Purpureocillium atypicola*	N/A – Japan	18.2 [*n* = 22]	Haupt 2002
Prothemenops siamensis Schwendinger, 1991 (Idiopidae)	*Purpureocillium atypicola*	N/A – Thailand	High infection rate	Schwendinger 1996
Helvibis longicauda Keyserling, 1891 (Theridiidae)	*Gibellula pulchra*	Atlantic Forest – Brazil	♂♂ =19.4 [*n* = 36], ♀♀ = 9.4 [*n* = 149], Overall = 11.6 [*n* = 185]	Gonzaga et al. 2006; Cardoso et al. 2018
Macrophyes pacoti Brescovit, Oliveira, J. C. M. S. M. Sobczak & J. B. Sobczak, 2019 (Anyphaenidae)	*Gibellula* sp.	Tropical forest – Brazil	27.2 [*n* = 2,565]	Arruda 2020
Jumping spiders (Salticidae) and others	*Gibellula* spp. + others	Tropical forests and cacao farms – Ghana	80 spiders killed by fungi in a cacao farm and 120 spiders in a tropical forest	Samson & Evans 1973; Evans 1974; Evans & Samson 1987
Orb-weaving spiders (Araneidae, Nephilidae)	*Purpureocillium atypicola*	Tropical forest – Panama	≤1.0 [*n* >1800]	Nentwig 1985a,b
Atypena formosana (Oi, 1977) (Linyphiidae)	*Gibellula leiopus / Gibellula pulchra*	Rice fields – Philippines	1.0 [*n* = N/A]	Heinrichs 1994
TEMPERATE CLIMATE				
Ballooning spiders (Araneidae, Linyphiidae, Salticidae, Thomisidae)	*Gibellula* sp. or *Torrubiella* sp.	Southern deciduous forest – USA, Tennessee	5.0–20.0 [*n* = ≈7 00]	Bishop 1990a,b
Small unidentified spiders on leaves	*Cordyceps thaxteri*	Temperate forest – USA, North Carolina	≈70 spiders killed by the fungus in one spot	Mains 1939
Centromerus sylvaticus (Blackwall, 1841) (Linyphiidae)	Unidentified Hyphomycetes	Dune habitat – Netherlands	20.0–50.0 [*n* = 2,615]	Noordam et al. 1998
Sheet-web spiders (Linyphiidae)	*Gibellula* spp.	Agricultural fields – Denmark	50.0–85.0 [*n* = 40]	Meyling et al. 2011
Sheet-web spiders (Hahniidae, Linyphiidae)	*Gibellula aranearum / Torrubiella albolanata*	Fens and swampy areas – England	Countless spiders killed by fungi	Bristowe 1958; Duffey 1997
Unidentified spiders	*Gibellula pulchra*	Fallows covered by *Festuca pratensis* – France	>70.0 [*n* = high]	Ryszkowski & Wicherek 1997
Pholcus sp. (Pholcidae)	*Parengyodontium album* [= *Beauveria alba* = *Engyodontium album*]	Subterranean tunnels, Ukraine	70.0–75.0 [*n* = high]	Martynenko et al. 2012
ARCTIC CLIMATE				
Sheet-web spiders (Linyphiidae)	*Cordyceps* sp.	Arctic Island – Norway	Thousands of spiders killed by fungi	Bristowe 1948, 1958

Appendix 1 . – Fungal pathogens infecting different groups of spider hosts (references in Supplemental Materials). Current names based on MycoBank (2023). †Africa, Asia, Central & South America, Oceania. – Type of infection: P = Pathogen; FP = Facultative pathogen; SA = Saprobe; H = Hyperparasite of a *Gibellula* sp.; NP = Non-pathogenic; U = Unknown. – Reproductive mode: A = asexual; S = Sexual. – Host identity: ⍰ = identified host; × = unidentified host. *Asexual morphs [= *Isaria*] found on dead spiders. **Newly discovered fungus with the epithet *Gibellula 'bang-bangus'* according to CABI (2022); not yet included in the MycoBank data base. ***A species described as "*Verticillium* sp." (see Austin 1984) was tentatively assigned by us to *Lecanicillium*. ****Sexual morph [= *Filobasidiella arachnophila*] found on a dead spider.

Fungal taxon **ORDER / Family / Species**	**Type of infection**	**Repro-ductive mode**	**Above-ground spiders – Tropics / Subtropics†**	**Above-ground-spiders – North America**	**Above-ground spiders – Europe**	**Ground-burrowing spiders – Tropics / Subtropics†**	**Spiders in subterra-nean spaces**
ORDER HYPOCREALES							
Bionectriaceae							
Clonostachys aranearum	P	A	×				
Clonostachys chuyangsinensis	P	A	×				
Clavicipitaceae							
Metarhizium anisopliae	P	A			×		
Metarhizium brunneum	P	A			×		
Neoaraneomyces araneicola	P	A	⍰				
Pseudometarhizium araneogenum	P	A	⍰				
Cordycipitaceae							
Akanthomyces aranearum	P	A	⍰		⍰		
Akanthomyces araneogenus [= *Akanthomyces araneogenum* = *Lecanicillium araneogenum*]	P	A	⍰				
Akanthomyces bashanensis	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces beibeiensis	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces coccidioperitheciatus	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces kanyawimiae	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces koratensis	P	A	⍰				
Akanthomyces kunmingensis	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces lecanii [= *Lecanicillium lecanii* = *Verticillium lecanii*]	P	A	×	×			

Akanthomyces neoaraneogenum	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces ryukyuensis	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces subaraneicola	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces sulphureus	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces thailandicus	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces tiankengensis	P	A	×				
Akanthomyces waltergamsii	P	A	×				
Beauveria araneola	P	A	×				
Beauveria bassiana	P	A		?	?	?	
Beauveria brongniartii	P	A				?	
Beauveria spp.	P	A	?				?
Beauveria sp. ?	P	A		?			
Bhushaniella rubra	P	A, S	×				
Cordyceps arachnogena	P	S	×				
Cordyceps arachnophila [= *Torrubiella arachnophila*]	P	S	×				?
Cordyceps araneae	P	S	×				
Cordyceps caloceroides [= *Ophiocordyceps caloceroides*]	P	S	×			?	
Cordyceps cateniannulata	P	S	×				
Cordyceps cylindrica	P	S				?	
Cordyceps farinosa [= *Isaria farinosa* = *Paecilomyces farinosus*]	P	A*	×	×			
Cordyceps flavoviridis [= *Torrubiella flavoviridis*]	P	S	×				
Cordyceps gonylepticida [= *Torrubiella gonylepticida*]	P	S	×				
Cordyceps grenadensis	P	S	×				
Cordyceps ignota	P	S				?	
Cordyceps javanica [= *Isaria javanica*]	P	A*	×				
Cordyceps kuiburiensis	P	S	×				
Cordyceps nidus	P	S				?	
Cordyceps ogurasanensis	P	S	×				
Cordyceps pseudonelumboides	P	S	×				

Cordyceps singeri	P	S				×	
Cordyceps thaxteri	P	S	×	?	?		
Cordyceps spp.	P	S		?	?	?	
Engyodontium aranearum [= *Lecanicillium tenuipes*]	P	A		×	×		?
Engyodontium rectidentatum	P	A			?		?
Engyodontium sp.	P	A	?				
Gibellula alata	P	A	×				
Gibellula aranearum	P	A	?		?		
Gibellula aurea	P	A	?				
*Gibellula 'bang-bangus'***	P	A					?
Gibellula brevistipitata	P	A	?				
Gibellula brunnea	P	A	?				
Gibellula cebrennini	P	A	?				
Gibellula clavata	P	A	?				
Gibellula clavispora	P	A	×				
Gibellula clavulifera	P	A	×				
Gibellula clavulifera var. alba [= a variety of *Gibellula clavulifera*]	P	A	?				
Gibellula curvispora	P	A	×				
Gibellula dabieshanensis	P	A	×				
Gibellula dimorpha	P	A	?				
Gibellula fusiformispora	P	A	?				
Gibellula gamsii	P	A	×				
Gibellula leiopus	P	A	?	?	?		
Gibellula longicaudata	P	A	?				
Gibellula longispora	P	A	?				
Gibellula mainsii	P	A	?				
Gibellula mirabilis	P	A	?				
Gibellula nigelii	P	A	?				
Gibellula parvula	P	A	?				
Gibellula penicillioides	P	A	×				
Gibellula pigmentosinum	P	A	?				
Gibellula pilosa	P	A	?				
Gibellula pulchra	P	A	?	?	?		

Gibellula scorpioides	P	A	?				
Gibellula shennongjiaensis	P	A	×				
Gibellula solita	P	A	?				
Gibellula trimorpha	P	A	?				
Gibellula unica	P	A	?				
Gibellula or *Torrubiella*	P	?		?			
Granulomanus sp.	P	A	?				
Hevansia arachnophila	P	A	×				
Hevansia cf. *aranearum*	P	A		?			
Hevansia longispora	P	A	×				
Hevansia minuta	P	A	?				
Hevansia nelumboides	P	A	×				
Hevansia novoguineensis	P	A	?				
Hevansia ovalongata	P	A	×				
Hevansia websteri	P	A	×				
Hevansia sp.	P	A	?	?			
Jenniferia cinerea [= *Hevansia cinerea* = *Akanthomyces cinereus*]	P	A	?×				
Jenniferia griseocinerea	P	A, S	?				
Jenniferia thomisidarum	P	A, S	?				
Lecanicillium aphanocladii	P	A	?			?	
Lecanicillium aranearum	P	A	×				
Lecanicillium araneicola	P	A	×				
Lecanicillium huhutii	P	A	×				
Lecanicillium sp. ? [= *Verticillium* sp.]***	P	A	?				
Parahevansia koratensis [= *Hevansia koratensis* = *Akanthomyces koratensis*]	P	A	?				
Parengyodontium album [= *Beauveria alba* = *Engyodontium album*]	P	A	?				?
Polystromomyces araneae	P	S	×				
Pseudogibellula formicarum	P	A	×				
Pseudogibellula sp. [= *Pseudogibellula formicarum* ?]	P	A	?				

Torrubiella alboglobosa	P	S	×				
Torrubiella albolanata	P	S	×		?		
Torrubiella arachnophila	P	S					?
Torrubiella arachnophila var. leiopus [= *Torrubiella leiopus*]; a species different from *Torrubiella arachnophila*	P	S	×				?
Torrubiella aranicida	P	S	×		?		
Torrubiella aurantia	P	S	×				
Torrubiella clavata	P	S	?				
Torrubiella corniformis	P	S	×				
Torrubiella ellipsoidea	P	S	×				
Torrubiella falklandica	P	S	×				
Torrubiella farinacea	P	S	×				
Torrubiella formosana	P	S	×				
Torrubiella globosoides	P	S	×				
Torrubiella inegoensis	P	S	×				
Torrubiella longissima	P	S	×				
Torrubiella mammillata	P	S	×				
Torrubiella minuta	P	S	×				
Torrubiella miyagiana	P	S	×				
Torrubiella neofusiformis	P	S	?				
Torrubiella oblonga	P	S	×				
Torrubiella ooaniensis	P	S	×				
Torrubiella pallida	P	S	×				
Torrubiella plana	P	S	×				
Torrubiella pulvinata	P	S	×				?
Torrubiella ratticaudata	P	S	?				
Torrubiella rokkiana	P	S	×				
Torrubiella rosea	P	S	×				
Torrubiella ryogamimontana	P	S	×				
Nectriaceae							
Aphanocladium album	U	A	×				
Ophiocordycipitaceae							
Hirsutella darwinii	P	A	×				

Hymenostilbe kedrovensis	P	A			×		
Hymenostilbe sp.	P	A	?				
Ophiocordyceps arachneicola	P	S	?				
Ophiocordyceps aranearum	P	S	×		×		
Ophiocordyceps engleriana	P	S	×				
Ophiocordyceps ghanensis	P	S	×				
Ophiocordyceps mrciensis	P	S	×				
Ophiocordyceps spiculata	P	S	×				
Ophiocordyceps verrucosa	P	S	×	×			
Purpureocillium atypicola [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	P	A	?	?		?	
Purpureocillium lilacinum	SA, P	A				?	
Tolypocladium cylindrosporum	P	A			×		
ORDER CLADOSPORIALES							
Cladosporiaceae							
Cladosporium cladosporioides	SA	A		×			
Cladosporium zixishanense	SA	A	×				
Cladosporium sp.	SA	A					?
ORDER ENTOMOPHTHORALES							
Conidiobolaceae							
Conidiobolus coronatus	SA, FP	A	×	×			
Conidiobolus sp.	FP	A			?		
ORDER BASIDIOBOLALES							
Basidiobolaceae							
Basidiobolus sp.	NP	A	?				
ORDER EUROTIALES							
Aspergillaceae							
Aspergillus baeticus	SA	A					?
Aspergillus creber [= *Aspergillus tennesseensis*]	SA	A					?
Aspergillus niger	SA	A				?	
Aspergillus sp.	SA	A	?		?		
Penicillium tealii	H	A	×				
Penicillium vulpinum	SA	A					?
Penicillium spp.	SA	A					?

ORDER MUCORALES							
Mucoraceae							
Mucor fragilis	P	A		?			
Mucor hiemalis	SA, FP	A			?		
Mucor sp.	SA, FP	A					?
Sporodiniella umbellata	FP	A		?			
ORDER TRICHOSPORONALES							
Trichosporonaceae							
Apiotrichum dulcitum	U	A			?		
Apiotrichum porosum	U	A			?		
ORDER TREMELLALES							
Cryptococcaceae							
Cryptococcus depauperatus [= *Filobasidiella arachnophila*]	NP	S****		?			
ORDER CAPNODIALES							
Teratosphaeriaceae							
Acrodontium crateriforme	SA, FP	A		?			
ORDER INCERTAE SEDIS							
Incertae sedis							
Clathroconium arachnicola	P	A	×				
Clathroconium sp.	P	A					?
Current name unknown [old name *Paecilomyces* sp.]	P	A					?
FUNGI IMPERFECTI / CLASS HYPHOMYCETES / ORDER UNKNOWN							
Family unknown							
Genus unknown	FP	A			?		
FUNGI IMPERFECTI / CLASS & ORDER UNKNOWN							
Family unknown							
Genus unknown	P?	A					?

Appendix 2. – Spiders from various taxa infected by fungal pathogens (based on literature and social media data). †Spider species – as far as identified – have been listed in Supplementary Table S1. *Indicates fungal hyphae on dead spiders encased in samples of Baltic amber. **Indicates fungal infections exclusively under laboratory conditions; all other spider taxa were observed to be infected in the field.

#	Spider family / genus†	Predation strategy	Fungal pathogen	Reference
1	**ACTINOPODIDAE**			
	Actinopus Perty, 1833	Burrow with trapdoor	*Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Coyle et al. 1990
2	**AGELENIDAE**			
	Coelotes Blackwall, 1841; *Tegenaria* Latreille, 1804; *Urocoras* Ovtchinnikov, 1999	Web	*Gibellula leiopus; Gibellula pulchra*	Santamaria & Girbal 1996; Dubiel 2015; Savić et al. 2016
3	**AMAUROBIIDAE**			
	Amaurobius C. L. Koch, 1837	Web	*Cordyceps arachnophila* [= *Torrubiella arachnophila*] ?; *Gibellula* sp. possibly overgrown with a hyperparasite; N/A	Kim 2009; https://www.bpww.at/de/artikel/mit-expertinnen-auf-artensuche; http://analternativenaturalhistoryofsussex.blogspot.com/2021/08/
4	**ANTRODIAETIDAE****			
	Atypoides O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1883	Burrow with trapdoor	*Beauveria bassiana; Beauveria brongniartii*	Vincent 1986, 1993; Dubois et al. 2008
5	**ANYPHAENIDAE**			
	Hibana Brescovit, 1991; *Iguarima* Brescovit, 1997; *Lupettiana* Brescovit, 1997; *Macrophyes* O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1893; N/A	Hunt	*Beauveria* sp. ?; *Gibellula aurea*; *Gibellula leiopus*; *Gibellula* spp.; *Hevansia* sp.;	Muma 1975; Costa 2014; Brescovit et al. 2019; Arruda 2020; Arruda et al. 2021; Mendes-Pereira et al. 2022; Rose 2022; https://www.reddit.com/r/Entomology/comments/o32x4f/can_anyone_help_id_this_spider_killed_by/
6	**ARANEIDAE**			
	Araneus Clerck, 1757; *Argiope* Audouin, 1826; *Eriophora* Simon, 1864; *Eustala* Simon, 1895; *Micrathena* Sundevall,	Web	*Akanthomyces araneogenus* [= *Akanthomyces araneogenum* = *Lecanicillium*	Nentwig 1985a; Costa 2014; Chen et al. 2017, 2018; Durkin et al. 2021

	1833; N/A		*araneogenum*]; *Gibellula* spp.; *Neoaraneomyces araneicola*; *Pseudometarhizium araneogenum*; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	
7	**ARKYIDAE**			
	Arkys Walckenaer, 1837	Hunt	*Gibellula* sp.	https://whyevolutionistrue.com/2020/05/12/readers-wildlife-photos-1013/
8	**ATRACIDAE**			
	Atrax O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1877	Web	*Cordyceps* sp.	Anonymous 2009a
9	**ATYPIDAE**			
	Atypus Latreille, 1804	Purse web	*Apiotrichum* spp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Yasuda 1915; Heneberg & Rezac 2013
10	**BARYCHELIDAE**			
	Strophaeus Ausserer, 1875; *Idiophthalma* O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1877	Burrow with trapdoor	*Cordyceps* sp.	Pérez-Miles & Perafan 2017; Fernando Pérez-Miles, pers. comm.
11	**CHEIRACANTHIIDAE**			
	Cheiracanthium C. L. Koch, 1839	Hunt	*Gibellula* sp.; N/A	Steven Axford (photo); Charles Haddad, pers. comm.
12	**CLUBIONIDAE**			
	Clubiona Latreille, 1804	Hunt	*Akanthomyces aranearum*; *Gibellula leiopus*; *Gibellula pulchra*; *Gibellula* spp.; *Lecanicillium* sp. [= *Verticillium* sp.]	Leatherdale 1970; Austin 1984; van Vreden & Ahmadzabidi 1986. McLean 1993; Bellmann 1997; Barrion 2001; van Helsdingen 2007
13	**CORINNIDAE**			
	N/A; *Protoorthobula* Wunderlich, 2004	Hunt	*Gibellula aurea;* Fungal hyphae in Baltic amber	Wunderlich 2004; Mendes-Pereira et al. 2022
14	**CTENIDAE**			
	N/A	Hunt	*Gibellula* sp., N/A	Hubert Höfer, pers. comm.; https://www.alexanderwild.com/Insects/InsectKilling-Fungi/i-MhDvdgb/A
15	**CTENIZIDAE**			
	Cteniza Latreille, 1829;	Burrow with	N/A	Arthur Decae, pers. comm.

	Cyrtocarenum Ausserer, 1871	trapdoor		
16	**CYBAEIDAE**			
	Cybaeus L. Koch, 1868; N/A ("possibly Cybaeidae")	Web	N/A; Cordycipitaceae	Robb Bennett, pers. comm.; https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/89047072
17	**CYCLOCTENIDAE**			
	N/A	Hunt	*Beauveria* sp.	https://www.alamy.com/scuttling-spider-infected-with-icing-sugar-fungus-image514844477.html
18	**DEINOPIDAE**			
	N/A	Web	*Gibellula fusiformispora*	Kuephadungphan et al. 2020, 2022
19	**DESIDAE**			
	Cambridgea L. Koch, 1872	Hunt	Most likely a hyperparasite of a *Gibellula* sp.	Anonymous 2019
20	**DICTYNIDAE****			
	Argyroneta Latreille, 1804	Web	N/A	Noordam et al. 1998
21	**DIPLURIDAE**			
	Linothele Karsch, 1879	Web	Fungi imperfecti	Paz 1993
22	**DYSDERIDAE**			
	Dysdera Latreille, 1804	Hunt	Unidentified (*Metarhizium* or *Penicillium*)	https://www.reddit.com/r/spiders/comments/ouo312/i_found_this_woodlouse_spider_overtaken_by_mold/
23	**ERESIDAE**			
	Stegodyphus Simon, 1873	Web	N/A	Henschel 1998
24	**EUCTENIZIDAE**			
	Myrmekiaphila Atkinson, 1886	Burrow with trapdoor	N/A (probably a hyperparasite)	https://bugguide.net/node/view/1209666
25	**GNAPHOSIDAE?***			
	N/A	Hunt	Fungal hyphae in Baltic amber	Wunderlich 2004
26	**HAHNIIDAE**			
	Antistea Simon, 1898; *Cicurina* Menge, 1871	Web	Fungi imperfecti; *Gibellula aranearum*; *Torrubiella albolanata*	Bristowe 1958; Cokendolpher 2004
27	**HALONOPROCTIDAE**			
	Cyclocosmia Ausserer, 1871; *Latouchia* Pocock,	Burrow with trapdoor	*Cordyceps cylindrica; Purpureocillium*	Kawamura 1929; Petch 1939; Kobayasi 1941; Mains 1954;

	1901; N/A		*atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Kobayasi & Shimizu 1977; Haupt 2002; https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/173218441
28	**HEPTATHELIDAE**			
	Heptathela Kishida, 1923	Burrow with trapdoor	*Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Yokoyama & Hashiya, 1994; Yokoyama & Ichikawa 1984
29	**HERSILIIDAE**			
	N/A	Hunt	*Gibellula* cf. *pulchra; Gibellula* spp.	Nigel Hywel-Jones (unpubl. data); https://www.flickr.com/photos/rainforests/40151443503; https://rainforests.smugmug.com/Orders/Invertebrates/Orders/Cordyceps/i-FDVX5Kp/A
30	**HYPOCHILIDAE**			
	Hypochilus Marx, 1888	Web	*Hevansia* cf. *aranearum*	https://bugguide.net/node/view/1208519/bgimage
31	**IDIOPIDAE**			
	Arbanitis L. Koch, 1874; *Idiops* Perty, 1833; *Prothemenops* Schwendinger, 1991; N/A	Burrow with trapdoor	*Cordyceps nidus; Cordyceps* sp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Orchard 1996; Schwendinger 1996; Schwendinger & Hongpadharakiree 2014; Castillo et al. 2015; Chirivi et al. 2017; Pérez-Miles & Perafan 2017; Fernando Pérez-Miles, pers. comm.
32	**ISCHNOTHELIDAE****			
	Ischnothele Ausserer, 1875	Web	*Mucor hiemalis*	Nentwig & Prillinger 1990
33	**LAMPONIDAE**			
	Lampona Thorell, 1869	Hunt	*Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Anonymous 2009b
34	**LINYPHIIDAE**			
	Agyneta Hull, 1911; *Callitrichia* Fage, 1936; *Centromerita* Dahl, 1912; *Centromerus* Dahl, 1886; *Collinsia* O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1913; *Erigone* Audouin, 1826; *Gongylidium* Menge, 1868; *Leptorhoptrum* Kulczyński, 1894; *Lepthyphantes* Menge, 1866; *Oreoneta* Kulczyński, 1894;	Web	*Beauveria bassiana; Cordyceps* sp.; *Gibellula leiopus; Gibellula nigelii; Gibellula pulchra; Gibellula* spp.; *Torrubiella albolanata;* N/A	Petch 1944, 1948; Bristowe 1948, 1958; Leatherdale 1970; Bosselaers 1984; Bishop 1990a; Heinrichs 1994; Duffey 1997; Noordam et al. 1997; Meyling et al. 2011; Ruszkiewicz-Michalska et al. 2012; Costa 2014; Læssøe 2015; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022

	Styloctetor Simon, 1884; *Walckenaeria* Blackwall, 1833			
35	**LIOCRANIDAE**			
	Agraecina Simon, 1932	Hunt	*Aspergillus* spp.	Nováková et al. 2018a,b
36	**LYCOSIDAE**			
	Pardosa C. L. Koch, 1847; *Rabidosa* Roewer, 1960; *Trochosa* C. L. Koch, 1847; N/A	Hunt	*Conidiobolus* sp.; *Cordyceps thaxteri*; *Gibellula* spp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]; N/A	Van der Bijl 1922; Doidge 1950; Edgar 1969; Hywel-Jones & Sivichai 1995; Noordam et al. 1997; Keller and Wegensteiner 2010; Sandler 2016; Savić et al. 2016
37	**MYSMENIDAE***			
	Palaeomysmena Wunderlich, 2004	Web?	Fungal hyphae in Baltic amber	Wunderlich 2004
38	**NEMESIIDAE**			
	Nemesia Audouin, 1826	Burrow with trapdoor	N/A	Isaia & Decae 2012
39	**NEPHILIDAE**			
	Trichonephila Dahl, 1911	Web	*Beauveria bassiana; Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Nentwig 1985a; Humber et al. 2014; https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Beauveria_bassiana
40	**OONOPIDAE**			
	N/A	Hunt	*Basidiobolus* sp.	Henriksen et al. 2018
41	**OXYOPIDAE**			
	Hamataliwa Keyserling, 1887; *Peucetia* Thorell, 1869; N/A	Hunt	*Gibellula trimorpha; Hevansia* sp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Jeff Hollenbeck, pers. comm.; https://www.flickr.com/photos/rainforests/11307005053; https://bugguide.net/node/view/36643; https://jlrexplore.com/gallery/photostories/the-killer-fung; https://www.flickr.com/photos/budak/41614482464/
42	**PHILODROMIDAE**			
	Philodromus Walckenaer, 1826; *Thanatus* C. L. Koch, 1837	Hunt	*Aspergillus* spp.; *Engyodontium* sp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Rong & Grobbelaar 1998; Seth Ausubel, pers. comm.
43	**PHOLCIDAE**			
	Metagonia Simon, 1893; *Modisimus* Simon, 1893; *Pholcus* Walckenaer,	Web	*Engyodontium aranearum* [= *Lecanicillium*	Mercado et al. 1988; Cokendolpher 1993; Eiseman et al. 2010; Martynenko et al. 2012;

	1805		*tenuipes*]; *Gibellula pulchra; Gibellula* spp.; *Mucor* sp.; *Parengyodontium album* [= *Beauveria alba = Engyodontium album*]; *Torrubiella pulvinata*	Jent 2013; Costa 2014; Dubiel 2015; Humber et al. 2014; Anonymous 2017; Kubátová 2017; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Rose 2022
44	**PISAURIDAE**			
	N/A	Hunt	*Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Gilles Arbour, pers. comm.; https://www.flickr.com/photos/gillesarbour/24503554811 June 2023 no longer accessible
45	**PORRHOTHELIDAE**			
	Porrhothele Simon, 1892	Tunnel-shaped web	N/A	https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/138327035
46	**PYCNOTHELIDAE**			
	Stenoterommata Holmberg, 1881	Burrow with trapdoor	*Cordyceps caloceroides* [= *Ophiocordyceps caloceroides*]; *Lecanicillium aphanocladii; Purpureocillium lilacinum*	Manfrino et al. 2017
47	**SALTICIDAE**			
	Afraflacilla Berland & Millot, 1941; *Corythalia* C. L. Koch, 1850; *Euophrys* C. L. Koch, 1834; *Heliophanus* C. L. Koch, 1833; *Lyssomanes* Hentz, 1845; *Mopsus* Karsch, 1878; *Myrmarachne* MacLeay, 1839; *Neon* Simon, 1876; *Pelegrina* Franganillo, 1930; *Phidippus* C. L. Koch, 1846; *Portia* Karsch, 1878*;* N/A	Hunt	*Acrodontium crateriforme; Akanthomyces* spp.; *Gibellula leiopus*; *Gibellula pulchra*; *Gibellula* spp.; *Granulomanus* sp.; *Engyodontium aranearum [= Lecanicillium tenuipes]; Hymenostilbe* sp.; *Pseudogibellula* sp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]; *Torrubiella* spp.	Williams 1921; Wolcott 1948; Edwards 1980; Evans & Samson 1987; Humber & Rombach 1987; Bishop 1990a; Strongman 1991; Samson & Evans 1992; Rong & Botha 1993; Hywel-Jones 1996; Rong & Grobbelaar 1998; Pérez Meza 2004; Luangsa-ard et al. 2008; Costa 2014; Durkin et al. 2021; Kuephadungphan et al. 2020, 2022; Abhijith et al. 2022; Rose 2022; Saltamachia 2022; Charles Haddad, pers. comm.; Mary Jane Hatfield, pers. comm.; Steve & Alison Pearson, pers. comm.; Lisa Powers, pers. comm.
48	**SICARIIDAE**			
	Loxosceles Heineken & Lowe, 1832	Hunt	*Metarhizium anisopliae; Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]; N/A	Greenstone et al. 1987; Beys-da-Silva et al. 2013; https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/34934503

49	**SPARASSIDAE**			
	Caayguara Rheims, 2010; *Heteropoda* Latreille, 1804; *Palystes* L. Koch, 1875	Hunt	*Gibellula* spp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Rong & Grobbelaar 1998; Costa 2014; Hughes et al. 2016; https://minibeastwildlife.blogspot.com/2011/03/killer-fungus.html
50	**SYNOTAXIDAE***			
	N/A	?	Fungal hyphae in Baltic amber	Wunderlich 2004
51	**TETRAGNATHIDAE**			
	Meta C. L. Koch, 1835; *Metellina* Chamberlin & Ivie, 1941; *Pachygnatha* Sundevall, 1823; N/A	Web	*Aspergillus* spp., *Beauveria* spp.; *Engyodontium rectidentatum*; *Gibellula 'bang-bangus'*; *Gibellula* cf. *leiopus*; *Penicillium vulpinum*; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]; *Torrubiella arachnophila* var. *leiopus* [= *Torrubiella leiopus*]; N/A	Hywel-Jones & Sivichai 1995; Noordam et al. 1997; Yoder 2009; McNeil 2012; Kubátová 2017; Nováková et al. 2018b; CABI 2022
52	**THERAPHOSIDAE**			
	Aphonopelma Pocock, 1901; *Avicularia* Lamarck, 1818; *Grammostola* Simon, 1892; *Pamphobeteus* Pocock, 1901; *Phormictopus* Pocock, 1901; *Pterinopelma* Pocock, 1901; N/A	Hunt	*Aspergillus niger; Beauveria bassiana; Cordyceps caloceroides* [= *Ophiocordyceps caloceroides*]; *Cordyceps ignota*; *Cordyceps nidus*; *unident. Cordycipitaceae* [= *Engyodontium* or *Lecanicillium*]	Mains 1954; Ortiz & Bertani 2005; Ayroza et al. 2012; Castillo et al. 2015; Barbosa et al. 2016; Ávila Guerrero 2019; Sherwood 2021; Daniel Winkler, pers. comm.
53	**THERIDIIDAE**			
	Achaearanea Strand, 1929; *Helvibis* Keyserling, 1884; *Hetschkia* Keyserling, 1886; *Janula* Strand, 1932; *Latrodectus* Walckenaer, 1805; *Meotipa* Simon, 1895; *Neopisinus* Marques, Buckup & Rodrigues, 2011 [= *Episinus* Walckenaer, 1809]; *Nesticodes* Archer, 1950;	Web	*Clathroconium sp.*; *Gibellula pulchra*; *Gibellula* spp.; *Mucor fragilis*; *Parengyodontium album* [= *Beauveria alba = Engyodontium album*]	Chandrashekhar et al. 1981; Mercado et al. 1988; Gonzaga et al. 2006; Bibbs et al. 2013; Costa 2014; Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022

	Parasteatoda Archer, 1946; *Theridion* Walckenaer, 1805			
54	**THOMISIDAE**			
	Amyciaea Simon, 1885; *Cebrenninus* Simon, 1887; *Diaea T*horell, 1869; *Indoxysticus* Benjamin & Jaleel, 2010*;* *Synema* Simon, 1864; *Tmarus* Simon, 1875	Hunt	*Gibellula pulchra; Gibellula* spp.; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]; *Torrubiella* spp.	Petch 1944; Kobayasi & Shimizu 1982; Bishop 1990a; Ruszkiewicz-Michalska et al. 2012, 2015; Costa 2014; Kuephadungphan et al. 2020, 2022; Mongkolsamrit et al. 2022; Tony DeSantis, pers. comm.
55	**TRACHELIDAE**			
	Trachelas L. Koch, 1872	Hunt	*Gibellula leiopus*; *Gibellula* spp.	Costa 2014; Saltamachia 2022, Kim Fleming, pers. comm.
56	**TRECHALEIDAE**			
	Cupiennius Simon, 1891	Hunt	*Mucor hiemalis; Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*], N/A	Nentwig & Prillinger 1990; https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/89466583; https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/93148799
57	**ULOBORIDAE**			
	Miagrammopes O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1870; Unident.	Web	*Gibellula dimorpha*	Kuephadungphan et al. 2022; Daniel Winkler, pers. comm.
58	**ZODARIIDAE**			
	Epicratinus Jocqué & Baert, 2005; *Storenomorpha* Simon, 1884	Hunt	*Gibellula* spp.	Costa 2014; Kuephadungphan et al. 2020, 2022
---	**UNIDENTIFIED MYGALOMORPH FAMILIES**			
	Unidentified	Burrow with trapdoor	*Cordyceps caloceroides*; *Cordyceps cylindrica*; *Cordyceps nidus*; *Purpureocillium atypicola* [= *Nomuraea atypicola*]	Petch 1937; Mains 1954; Kobayasi & Shimizu 1977; Evans & Samson 1987; Greenstone et al. 1987; Evans & Boddy 2010; Evans 2013; Hughes et al. 2016; Daniel Winkler, pers. comm.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1.—Fifty-five spider families containing species parasitized by fungi (see Supplementary Table S1 for details). * Fungus infections observed exclusively under laboratory conditions. Extinct members of the families Gnaphosidae?, Mysmenidae, and Synotaxidae with fungi growing on their bodies – reported as amber inclusions (see Wunderlich 2004) – are not included here.

Figure 2.—**A.**–**G.** Cellar spiders (*Pholcus* spp.) infected by hypocrealean fungi (Cordycipitaceae). **A.** Observed in Cottage Grove, Wisconsin, USA (Photo by Kim Wood). **B.** In basement of an old house in Pennsylvania, USA (Photo by Lynn Lunger). **C.** Observed in Wayne, New Jersey, USA (Photo by Jeffrey J. Cook). **D.** Picture taken in Uxbridge, Ontario, Canada (Photo by J. Mass / "iNaturalist" CC BY-NC 4.0). **E.** On a water motor house in Gemunde, Portugal (Photo by Carlos M. Silva). **F.** Pholcid spider *Metagonia taruma* Huber, 2000 parasitized by fungus *Gibellula* sp. on leaf underside – Floresta Nacional de Tapajós, Brazil (Photo by Leonardo Sousa Carvalho).

Figure 3.—**A.** Linyphiid spider parasitized by *Gibellula pulchra* – vegetable plot in Denmark (Photo by Nicolai V. Meyling, University of Copenhagen). **B.** Linyphiid spider parasitized by *Torrubiella albolanata* – Denmark (Photo by Jens H. Petersen, "MycoKey"). **C.** Linyphiid spider parasitized by *Torrubiella albolanata* – Denmark (Photo by Thomas Læssøe, University of Copenhagen).

Figure 4.—**A.** *Pelegrina proterva* (Walckenaer, 1837) (Salticidae) parasitized by *Gibellula* cf. *leiopus* – Jefferson County Park, Iowa, USA (Photo by Mary Jane Hatfield). **B.** *Mopsus mormon* Karsch, 1878 (Salticidae) parasitized by *Gibellula* sp. (a member of the *Gibellula leiopus* complex) – Airlie Beach, Queensland, Australia (Photo by Steve & Alison Pearson). **C.** Spider in the genus *Colonus* F. O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1901 (Salticidae) parasitized by *Gibellula* cf. *leiopus* – Athens/ Sandy Creek Nature Center, Georgia, USA (Photo by Carmen Champagne). **D.** *Phidippus putnami* (G. W. Peckham & E. G. Peckham, 1883) (Salticidae) parasitized by an immature *Gibellula* sp. – Bon Aqua, Tennessee, USA (Photo by Lisa Powers, "Froghaven Farm"). **E.** *Synema parvulum* (Hentz, 1847) (Thomisidae) parasitized by an immature hypocrealean fungus (most likely *Purpureocillium atypicola*) – Eno River State Park, North Carolina, USA (Photo by Tony DeSantis). **F.** Spider in the genus *Philodromus* Walckenaer, 1826 (Philodromidae) parasitized by an immature hypocrealean fungus (most likely *Purpureocillium atypicola*) –Kakiat County Park, New York, USA (Photo by Seth Ausubel).

Figure 5.—**A.** Spider in the genus *Trachelas* L. Koch, 1872 (Trachelidae) parasitized by *Gibellula leiopus* – near Donalds, South Carolina, USA (photo by Kim Fleming). **B.** Pisaurid spider parasitized by an immature hypocrealean fungus (most likely *Purpureocillium atypicola*) – Mindo, Ecuador (Photo by Gilles Arbour / "NatureWeb"). **C.** *Peucetia viridans* (Hentz, 1832) (Oxyopidae) parasitized by an immature hypocrealean fungus (most likely *Purpureocillium atypicola*) – Okeechobee County, Florida, USA (Photo by Jeff

Hollenbeck). **D.** *Arkys lancearius* Walckenaer, 1837 (Arkyidae) parasitized by an immature hypocrealean fungus (most likely *Gibellula* sp.) – Brisbane, Queensland, Australia (Photo by Tony Eales). **E.** Spider in the family Hersiliidae parasitized by a *Gibellula* sp. – Tambopata Research Centre, Peruvian Amazon (Photo by Paul Bertner). **F.** Spider in the family Uloboridae parasitized by a hypocrealean fungus (Cordycipitaceae) – Mocoa, Columbia (Photo by Daniel Winkler).

Figure 6.—Mygalomorph spiders infected by fungi. **A.** Fruit body of *Purpureocillium atypicola* emerging from a unidentified mygalomorph spider carcass at Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan (Photo by Sui-setz / "Wikipedia" CC BY-SA 3.0). **B.** Red fruit bodies (stromata) of *Cordyceps nidus* emerging from the underground burrow a dead trapdoor spider (family Idiopidae) – Chicaque Natural Park near Bogota, Colombia; the lid of the trapdoor has been pushed open by the emerging fruit bodies (Photo copyright: Daniel Winkler / "MushRoaming", Seattle, USA). **C.** Red fruit bodies (stromata) of *Cordyceps nidus* emerging from a dead unidentified trapdoor spider – Isla Escondida, Colombia (Photo copyright: Daniel Winkler). **D.** Fruit body of *Cordyceps cylindrica* emerging from an dead unidentified trapdoor spider – Chalalan, Bolivia (Photo copyright: Daniel Winkler). **E.** *Cordyceps caloceroides* growing on a dead theraphosid (subfamily Theraphosinae) – Pitalito, Colombia (Photo copyright: Daniel Winkler).

Figure 7.—Percent composition of dominant fungus-infected spider groups in three different geographic regions: Europe (including the Russian Federation and the arctic island of Jan Mayen), North America, and Tropics/Subtropics (combined data for Africa, Asia, Central & South America, and Oceania). Pie charts generated based on Supplementary Table S1.