1 # Hippocampome.org v2.0: a knowledge base enabling data-driven spiking neural
2 network simulations of rodent hippocampal circuits

4 Diek W. Wheeler[1,3], Jeffrey D. Kopsick[1,2], Nate Sutton[1,3], Carolina Tecuatl[1,3], Alexander O.
5 Komendantov[1,3], Kasturi Nadella[1,3], Giorgio A. Ascoli[1,2,3*]

6
[1]Center for Neural Informatics, Structures, & Plasticity; Krasnow Institute for Advanced Study; George
Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA
[2]Interdisciplinary Program in Neuroscience; College of Science; George Mason University, Fairfax, VA,
USA
[3]Bioengineering Department and Center for Neural Informatics, Structures, & Plasticity; College of
Engineering and Computing; George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA
*Correspondence: ascoli@gmu.edu

14
15
Abstract

Hippocampome.org is a mature open-access knowledge base of the rodent hippocampal formation
focusing on neuron types and their properties. Hippocampome.org v1.0 established a foundational
classification system identifying 122 hippocampal neuron types based on their axonal and dendritic
morphologies, main neurotransmitter, membrane biophysics, and molecular expression. Releases v1.1
through v1.12 furthered the aggregation of literature-mined data, including among others neuron
counts, spiking patterns, synaptic physiology, *in vivo* firing phases, and connection probabilities. Those
additional properties increased the online information content of this public resource over 100-fold,
enabling numerous independent discoveries by the scientific community. Hippocampome.org v2.0,
introduced here, besides incorporating over 50 new neuron types, now recenters its focus on extending
the functionality to build real-scale, biologically detailed, data-driven computational simulations. In all
cases, the freely downloadable model parameters are directly linked to the specific peer-reviewed
empirical evidence from which they were derived. Possible research applications include quantitative,
multiscale analyses of circuit connectivity and spiking neural network simulations of activity dynamics.
These advances can help generate precise, experimentally testable hypotheses and shed light on the
neural mechanisms underlying associative memory and spatial navigation.

Introduction

Neuroscience knowledge continues to increase every year (Eke et al., 2022; Yeung et al., 2017), making it challenging for researchers to keep abreast of mounting data and evolving information even in their own domain of expertise. Large-scale endeavors, such as the Brain Research through Advancing Innovative Neurotechnologies (BRAIN) Initiative (Insel et al., 2013) and the European Union's Human Brain Project (Amunts et al., 2016), are contributing to this tremendous growth along with the 'long tail' of independent labs and individual scientists (Ferguson et al., 2014). A key organizing principle for neuroscience knowledge is the seminal notion of neuron types (Petilla Interneuron Nomenclature Group et al., 2008; Zeng and Sanes, 2017), which constitute the conceptual 'parts list' of functional circuits. The National Institutes of Health launched the BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network (BICCN) to help establish a comprehensive reference of the cell type diversity in the human, mouse, and non-human primate brains (BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network, 2021). This multi-institution collaboration is already producing innovative results (Muñoz-Castañeda et al., 2021) and actionable community resources (BICCN Data Ecosystem Collaboration, 2022).

Hippocampome.org is an open-access knowledge base of the rodent hippocampal circuit (dentate gyrus, CA3, CA2, CA1, subiculum, and entorhinal cortex) at the mesoscopic level of neuron types (Wheeler et al., 2015). This resource has proven popular and effective thanks to the adoption of a simple yet powerful classification system for defining neuron types. Specifically, a key property for the identification of neuron types in Hippocampome.org is the location of axons and dendrites across the subregions and layers of the hippocampal formation. This approach can be broadly extended to classify neurons in other brain regions and neural systems (Ascoli and Wheeler, 2016). Focusing on axonal and dendritic distributions provides several considerable advantages. First, these features mediate neuronal connectivity, thus immediately revealing the underlying blueprint of network circuitry (Rees et al., 2017). Second, they are widely used in the neuroscience community as a reliable and concrete anchoring signature to correlate electrophysiological and transcriptomic profiles (DeFelipe et al., 2013). Third, to coherently classify neuron types, we are not reliant on the inconsistent nomenclature that authors provide (Hamilton et al., 2017a). Therefore, starting from the foundational morphology-based identification of 122 neuron types in the first release (version 1.0 or v1.0), Hippocampome.org progressively amassed an increasing amount of complementary data, such as firing patterns (Komendantov et al., 2019), molecular expression (White et al., 2020), cell counts (Attili et al., 2022), synaptic communication (Moradi et al., 2022; Moradi and Ascoli, 2020), in vivo oscillations (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021), and connection probabilities (Tecuatl et al., 2021b). In all cases, the public repository provided direct links to the specific peer-reviewed empirical evidence supporting the added knowledge.

Since the inception of Hippocampome.org, we have attempted to maintain the naming styling for already established neuron types by adopting either canonical names, only-cited names, most frequently cited names or hybridizations of cited names, and only as last resort crafting our own names (see Figure 6 in Hamilton et al., 2017a). In the entorhinal cortex (EC), where many of the hybridizations occur, we have followed the authors' own definitions for the six layers and the distinction between medial and lateral, when incorprating such terms into Hippocampome.org type names. If a neuron type exists in both medial and lateral entorhinal cortex, then the name is simply prefixed by EC, rather than MEC or LEC. As another example, in the dentate gyrus (DG), we established HIPROM (Hilar Interneuron with PRojections to the Outer Molecular layer) and MOCAP (MOlecular Commissural-Associational Pathway-related axons and dendrites) in the same vein as HIPP (HIlar Perforant Path-associated), MOPP (MOlecular layer Perforant Path-associated), and HICAP (HIlar Commissural-Associational Pathway-

81   related), where the outer two-thirds of the stratum moleculare (SMo) is distinguished by the region
82   intercepted by the Perforant Path from the entorhinal cortex, and the inner one-third (SMi) is
83   characterized by the commissural-associational pathway that often recurrently connects stratum
84   moleculare with the hilus.
85
Having established a web-based integrated storehouse of hippocampal information, Hippocampome.org
also expanded its scope by including data-driven computational models of neuronal excitability and
synaptic signaling, as well as ties to community resources such as NeuroMorpho.Org (Akram et al.,
2018), SenseLab ModelDB (McDougal et al., 2017), CARLsim (Niedermeier et al., 2022), and the Allen
Brain Atlas (Jones et al., 2009). Altogether, these extensions resulted in the emergence of a complete
framework in Hippocampome.org v2.0 that makes the original vision of this project, to enable data-
driven spiking neural network simulations of rodent hippocampal circuits (Ascoli, 2010), finally
achievable. The present report thus marks a new phase in the life cycle of this community resource.
94
One line of research pertaining to the state of simulation readiness of Hippocampome.org involves a
real-scale mouse model of CA3 (Kopsick et al., 2022) investigating the cellular mechanisms of pattern
completion, which includes Pyramidal cells and seven main inhibitory interneuron types. Another
avenue of research investigates spatial representation involving *in vivo* firing grid cells (Sargolini et al.,
2006), which utlizes Medial Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Stellate cells, two types of pyramidal cells, and
three interneuron types. Both lines of research make use of Hippocampome.org parameters for
properties such as cell census, Izhikevich models (Izhikevich, 2003), synaptic signals, and connection
probabilities.
103
The following "Description of resource" section begins with a concise, referenced overview of the neural
properties collated from Hippocampome.org v1.0 through release v1.12. We then briefly describe the
new neuron types and data currently being added in Hippocampome.org v2.0. Next is an abridged
summary of the usage and recognition of this online portal in biomedical research. This is followed by an
explanation of the latest capabilities of Hippocampome.org v2.0 to search, filter, and download the
complete set of computational parameters enabling quantitative connectomic analyses and spiking
neural network simulations. The section concludes with an outlook of possible research applications
allowed by the expansion of this scientific resource.
112
113
114   **Description of resource**
115
116   *Characterizing properties of hippocampal neuron types*
117
Hippocampome.org v1.0 (Wheeler et al., 2015) established the morphological encoding of axonal and
dendritic locations and the main neurotransmitter (glutamate or GABA) as the primary determinants of
neuron types in the rodent hippocampal formation. For example, a Dentate Gyrus Basket cell (with
name capitalized to indicate a formally defined neuron type) is a GABAergic cell with axon contained in
the granular layer and dendrites spanning all dentate gyrus layers (Figures 1A1-4). In this framework,
two neurons releasing the same neurotransmitter belong to different types if the axon or dendrites of
only one of them invades any of the 26 layers across 6 subregions of the hippocampal formation
(hippocampome.org/morphology). In other words, neurons of the same type share the same potential
inputs, outputs, and excitatory vs. inhibitory function. These properties were initially supplemented with
additional empirical evidence for molecular expression of major protein biomarkers (Figure 1A5;

hippocampome.org/markers) and membrane biophysics (Figure 1A6-7;
hippocampome.org/electrophysiology).
130
Many neuronal properties and functionalities were progressively added in 12 subsequent releases
(Table 1). The numerical sequencing of these Hippocampome.org versions depended on the order of
peer-review and publication of the corresponding scientific reports. Here we will describe them instead
in logical groupings. The first two updates enhanced the user functionality of the knowledge base.
Specifically, v1.1 integrated a web-based interactive thesaurus mapping of synonyms and definitions
(Hamilton et al., 2017a; hippocampome.org/find-term) to help disambiguate the many terminological
inconsistencies in the neuroscience literature (Shepherd et al., 2019; Yuste et al., 2020). Release v1.2
introduced the capability to browse, search, and analyze the potential connectivity between neuron
types (Rees et al., 2016; Hippocampome.org/connectivity) as derived from the compiled overlapping
locations of all the presynaptic axons and postsynaptic dendrites. Transcriptomic information was
greatly expanded in both v1.3 (Hamilton et al., 2017b), which incorporated in situ hybridization data
from the Allen Brain Atlas (Lein et al., 2007), and v1.5 (White et al., 2020), which leveraged relational
inferences interlinking the region-specific expression of two or more genes.
144
The quantifications of firing pattern phenotypes, such as rapid adapting spiking, transient stuttering, and
persistent slow-wave bursting, in v1.6 (Komendantov et al., 2019; hippocampome.org/firing_patterns)
were fitted by dynamical systems modeling (Izhikevich, 2003) in v1.7 (Venkadesh et al., 2019;
hippocampome.org/Izhikevich). Although the above properties were largely measured from slice
preparations, v1.9 made available measurements from *in vivo* recordings (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021;
hippocampome.org/in-vivo). Release v1.10 provided a compendium of cognitive functions linked to
specific hippocampal neurons (Sutton and Ascoli, 2021; hippocampome.org/cognome), while the v1.11
neuron type census estimated the population counts for each neuron type (Attili et al., 2022;
hippocampome.org/census). Finally, are a set of properties pertaining not to individual neuron types but
to synaptic connections between a pair of pre- and post-synaptic neuron types. In particular, v1.8
calculated the synaptic probabilities and the numbers of contacts per connected pair (Tecuatl et al.,
2021b; hippocampome.org/syn_probabilities), and v1.4 data mined synaptic physiology (Moradi and
Ascoli, 2020; hippocampome.org/synaptome), with conductance, time constant, and short-term
plasticity values normalized by age, temperature, species, sex, and recording method in v1.12 (Moradi et
al., 2022; hippocampome.org/synapse), leveraging machine learning and a phenomenological model
(Tsodyks et al., 1998).
161
162   *Expanding the catalog of neuron types and properties from Hippocampome.org v1.x to v2.0*
163
The Hippocampome.org framework to classify neuron types and collate their properties allows agile
content updates as new data are continuously reported in the peer-reviewed literature. For example,
the description of a parvalbumin-positive dentate gyrus GABAergic interneuron with axon contained in
the granular layer and dendrites invading the molecular layer but not the hilus (Vaden et al., 2020)
supported the definition of a new neuron type (Figures 1B1-5), referred to in Hippocampome.org v2.0 as
DG Basket GRALDEN (GRAnular Layer DENdrites) cell. Moreover, such an identification made it possible
to unequivocally ascribe to this neuron type previously reported electrophysiological characteristics
(Figures 1B6-7; Markwardt et al., 2011). Comprehensive literature mining following the same process
expanded the Hippocampome.org v2.0 catalog with 56 new neuron types across 5 of the 6 subregions of
the hippocampal formation (Figure 2), including axonal-dendritic morphological patterns (Figure 2A),
molecular expression (Figure 2B), and membrane biophysics (Figure 2C).
175

Besides identifying new neuron types, the Hippocampome.org classification system also allows the
ongoing accumulation of new properties onto existing neuron types as well as the reconciliation of
fragmented descriptions from scientific publications (Figure 3). For instance, converging evidence
indicates that Entorhinal Cortex Layer III Pyramidal cells have axonal projections in all layers of CA1
(Deller et al., 1996; Takács et al., 2012), not just in stratum lacunosum-moleculare (SLM) as originally
reported (Steward, 1976). Hippocampome.org v2.0 captures both the new extracted knowledge and the
corresponding experimental evidence (Figure 3A). The annotation of neuron type-specific firing phases
relative to *in vivo* oscillations in v1.9 highlighted a clear distinction between Superficial and Deep CA1
Pyramidal cells (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021). The present release enriches that description with
accompanying novel molecular markers (Figure 3B1), membrane biophysics values (Figure 3B2), and
differential connectivity with other subregions and neuron types (Figure 3B3). Similarly, numerous
additional firing patterns (Figure 3C) have been datamined for existing neuron types, such as adapting
spiking in CA1 Oriens-Bistratified cells (Craig and McBain, 2015), non-adapting spiking in CA3 Basket
Cholecystokinin-positive (CCK+) cells (Szabadics and Soltesz, 2009) or transient stuttering in CA1
Radiatum Giant cells (Kirson and Yaari, 2000). Notably, this includes a novel phenotype, transient
stuttering followed by persistent stuttering (TSTUT.PSTUT) in CA1 Interneuron Specific O-targeting
QuadD cells (Chamberland et al., 2010). With this report, we also release new differential connection
probabilities to various CA1 neuron type targets from traditional CA3 Pyramidal cells vs. CA3c Pyramidal
cells (Figure 3D) and from Dentate Gyrus Granule cells to mossy fiber CA3 targets (Table 2).
195
196   *Quantifying the content and impact of Hippocampome.org*
197
Over the course of subsequent releases, we have measured Hippocampome.org content using two
metrics. The number of pieces of knowledge (PoK) tallies the distinct units of structured information,
such as the statements that Dentate Gyrus Granule cell axons invade the hilus or that CA1 Basket cells
express parvalbumin. The pieces of evidence (PoE) are specific excerpts of peer reviewed publications
(portion of text, figure, or table) or database entries (e.g., from the Allen Brain Atlas) always linked to
each PoK. Both PoK and PoE continued to grow with successive releases of Hippocampome.org (Figure
4A). Notably, the largest increases in PoK and PoE were related to synaptic properties (Moradi and
Ascoli, 2020; Tecuatl et al., 2021b; Moradi et al., 2022). Specifically, the data underlying synaptic
physiology and connection probabilities were supported by over 23,000 PoE and yielded a remarkable
500,000 PoK thanks to the normalized collection of signaling and short-term plasticity modeling
parameters for multiple combinations of experimental conditions.
209
To assess community usage of Hippocampome.org, we tracked the number of citations of the original
publication (Wheeler et al., 2015) and of the subsequent versions (Figure 4B), separating simple
references from actual employment of information extracted from Hippocampome.org for secondary
analyses (Table 3). At the time of this writing, year 2021 proved to be the most prolific citation-wise;
however, more than a third of the releases (v1.8-12) appeared after 2021 and most PoK were added in
2022, so usage could potentially accelerate further in coming years. An early application of
Hippocampome.org-sourced data used subthreshold biophysical measures, such as input resistance and
membrane time constant, for multicompartmental models of signal integration and extracellular field
generation (Gulyas et al., 2016). That study concluded that somatic and proximal dendritic intracellular
recordings in pyramidal cells and calretinin-positive interneurons, in particular, do not capture a sizable
portion of the synaptic inputs. As a recent usage example, another lab employed Hippocampome.org as
the primary information resource for neuron types in DG, CA3, and CA1 (Schumm et al., 2022). They
discovered that mild traumatic brain injury, in the form of alterations in spike-timing-dependent

plasticity, may affect the broadband power in CA3 and CA1 and the phase coherence between CA3 and
CA1.
225
226   *From experimental data to biologically realistic computational models*
227
Several key neural properties collated into Hippocampome.org have gradually transformed the site from
an organized repository of hippocampal knowledge to a computational framework for launching real-
scale neural network simulations. Specifically, building a data-driven circuit model of a neural system
(such as the hippocampal formation or portion thereof) requires four essential quantities besides the
full list of neuron types (Bahmer et al., 2023; DePasquale et al., 2023): (i) the number of neurons in each
type; (ii) the input-output response function for each neuron type; (iii) the connection probability for
each pair of interacting neuron types; and (iv) the unitary synaptic signals for each pair of connected
neuron types (Figure 5). Of those quantities, (i) and (ii) are neuron type properties, while (iii) and (iv) are
properties of directional connections, defined as a distinct pair of a presynaptic and a postsynaptic
neuron type. Moreover, (i) and (iii) are structural features, while (ii) and (iv) are electrophysiological
ones.
239
Hippocampome.org v1.11 provides estimates of the number of neurons in each neuron type (i) for both
rats and mice (Figure 5A). These values were derived in a two-step process (Attili et al., 2020): first,
literature mining extracted suitable quantitative relations such as the cellular density in a given layer
(Attili et al., 2019), the total count of neurons expressing a certain gene, or the fraction of sampled cells
with a particular morphology; second, numerical optimization of the corresponding equations yielded a
complete census for all neuron types. As of v1.7, Hippocampome.org represents the neuronal input-
output response function (ii) in the form of single- and multi-compartment Izhikevich models (Figure 5B)
fitted by evolutionary algorithms to accurately reproduce the observed firing behavior of each neuron
type (Venkadesh et al., 2018). For v1.8, the connection probability (iii) from one neuron type to another
(Figure 5C) was computed from measurements of the appropriate axonal and dendritic lengths in each
invaded subregion and layer (hippocampome.org/A-D_lengths). Additionally, users can also access the
presynaptic and postsynaptic path distances from the respective somata
(hippocampome.org/soma_distances) and the number of contacts per connected neuron pairs
(hippocampome.org/num_contacts). As for the synaptic communication between neurons (iv),
Hippocampome.org v1.12 adopted the Tsodyks-Pawelzik-Markram formulation, representing unitary
signals and short-term plasticity with five constants for each directional pair of interacting neuron types:
the synaptic conductance, decay time, recovery time, facilitation time, and the utilization ratio (Tsodyks
et al., 1998; Moradi et al., 2022). Once again, these parameters were fitted from the experimental data
(Moradi and Ascoli, 2018) employing deep learning to account for (and predict the effects of) numerous
experimental variables (Figure 5D), including species (rat vs. mouse), sex (male vs. female), age (young
vs. adult), recording temperature (room vs. body), and clamping configuration (voltage vs. current).
261
The above description underscores the crucial interconnectedness of individually measured neuronal
properties forming a cohesive whole in Hippocampome.org (Figure 6). In particular, normalized
simulation parameters (e.g., the sensitivity of recovery variable in Izhikevich models) are derived from
quantitative experimental measurements, such as the spiking adaptation rate (Figure 6a). Those in turn
are linked to an identified neuron type based on qualitative features, like calbindin expression or
laminar distribution of axons and dendrites. In addition to enabling computational applications as
described below, such integration also allows the meta-analysis of correlations between morphological
features, molecular profiles, electrophysiological properties, and dynamic circuit functions. At the same
time, several components of Hippocampome.org are also synergistically linked to external community

resources (Figure 6B). For example, each neuron page links out to all three-dimensional morphological
reconstructions of the same cell type available in NeuroMorpho.Org (Ascoli et al., 2007), and selected
data from NeuroMorpho.Org were used to compute axonal and dendritic length and connection
probabilities. Each neuron page also links out to all computational models (including Hodgkin-Huxley,
stochastic diffusion, mean firing rate, etc.) involving the same cell type on ModelDB (McDougal et al.,
2017), while conversely ModelDB includes the Izhikevich models for all Hippocampome.org neuron
types. Moreover, simulation parameters from Hippocampome.org are exportable to the CARLsim
simulation environment (Nageswaran et al., 2009), enabling fast execution of spiking neural network
models optimized for GPUs. Furthermore, Hippocampome.org harnessed data from the Allen Brain Atlas
(Lein et al., 2007) to infer gene expression for principal neurons and cell densities for use in the neuron
type census.
282
To facilitate construction of spiking neural network simulations, Hippocampome.org v2.0 also includes a
new graphical user interface (GUI). With this GUI, users can download sets of simulation parameter
values for arbitrarily selected neuron types, a subregion of interest, or the whole hippocampal
formation (Figure 7). The sets consist of files for the instantiation of CARLsim simulations and a comma-
separated values (CSV) spreadsheet of parameters for use in a different simulation environment of the
user's choice. For the convenience of users interested in simplified circuit models, Hippocampome.org
informally ranks the importance of each neuron type in terms of the functional role it plays in the
hippocampal circuitry from 1 (essential) to 5 (dispensable). For instance, a user may choose to simulate
only the canonical, or rank 1, neuron types of the tri-synaptic circuit and entorhinal cortex, consisting of
Dentate Gyrus Granule, CA3 Pyramidal, CA1 Pyramidal, and Medial Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Stellate
cells. When Hippocampome.org is missing a parameter value due to insufficient experimental evidence,
the GUI exports a default value clearly indicating so in the downloadable files. For missing Izhikevich and
synaptic signaling parameters, the default values are those provided by the CARLsim simulation
environment. For missing synaptic probabilities, Hippocampome.org precomputes values averaged by
connection type, namely excitatory-excitatory (0.0117), excitatory-inhibitory (0.0237), inhibitory-
excitatory (0.00684), and inhibitory-inhibitory (0.00423).
299
300 *Potential applications to connectomic analyses and spiking neural networks simulations*
301
Hippocampome.org v2.0 enables the multiscale analysis of circuit connectivity (Figure 8). At the highest
echelon are the connections between hippocampal subregions, which are comprised of the mesoscopic
level potential connectivity between individual neuron types (Figure 8A). Expanding, for example, upon
the 147 connections between the dentate gyrus and CA3 neuron types reveals all the connections every
individual neuron type forms with the other neuron types within and across the subregions (Figure 8B).
Zooming in onto a single neuron type each from the dentate gyrus and CA3, it is possible to quantify the
efferent and afferent connections with other neuron types from throughout the hippocampal formation
in terms of synaptic probabilities and number of neuronal partners (Figure 8C). Diving even deeper into
the isolated connection between two neuron types, such as the mossy fiber contacts from Dentate
Gyrus Granule cells to CA3 Basket cells, expands the connectivity analysis to several physiological factors
affecting neuronal communication: the subcellular location of the synaptic contact (e.g., soma in
stratum pyramidale and proximal dendrites in *stratum lucidum*), the transfer function (product of
synaptic conductance and decay time constant), the *in vivo* firing rate of the presynaptic neuron type,
and the relationship between input current and resulting output spiking frequency (F-I curve) of the
post-synaptic neuron (Figure 8D).
317

Release of v2.0 makes the original objective of Hippocampome.org, to enable data-driven spiking neural
network simulations of rodent hippocampal circuits (Ascoli, 2010), finally achievable. An ongoing line of
research in this regard focuses on a real-scale mouse model of CA3, with the eventual goal of
investigating the cellular mechanisms of pattern completion. Initial work included excitatory Pyramidal
cells and seven main inhibitory interneuron types: Axo-axonic cells (AAC), Basket cells (BC), Basket
Cholecystokinin-positive cells (BC CCK+), Bistratified cells (BiC), Ivy cells, Mossy Fiber-Associated ORDEN
(MFA ORDEN) cells, and QuadD-LM (QuadD) cells (Kopsick et al., 2022). Use of Hippocampome.org
parameters for cell census, Izhikevich models, synaptic signals, and connection probabilities resulted in
robust, realistic, rhythmic resting state activity for all neuron types (Figure 9A). Building off the
constructed network of CA3, we seek to understand how the neuron type circuit may allow for the
formation of cell assemblies that correspond to distinct memories. Additionally, we will evaluate the
network's pattern completion capabilities when presented with degraded input patterns (Guzman et al.,
2021). Furthermore, associations between memories in CA3 may be encoded by cells shared between
cell assemblies (Gastaldi et al., 2021). Therefore, we will investigate how cell assembly size and overlap
may impact memory storage and recall and the role of different neuron types in associating cell
assemblies with one another.
334
Another pursuit using a spiking neural network seeks to replicate the spatial representation in grid cells
(Sargolini et al., 2006), modeled utilizing Hippocampome.org Medial Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Stellate
cells (SC), and supported by various GABAergic interneuron types (Dhillon and Jones, 2000): Axo-axonic
(AA), Basket cells (BC), and Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Basket-Multipolar cells (BC MP). This study aims to
reproduce the *in vivo* firing of these neuron types as a virtual rodent explores an open field (Figure 9B).
Different theories offer potential neural mechanisms underlying the grid cell phenomenon, but it
remains challenging to test them comprehensively for anatomical and electrophysiological consistency
with experimental data (Sutton and Ascoli, 2021; Zilli, 2012). Our current work demonstrates that a
spiking neural network implementation of one such theory, the continuous attractor model, generates
grid field activity highly compatible with that measured in behaving animals when utilizing
Hippocampome.org model parameters. While preliminary, these illustrative examples highlight the
potential of Hippocampome.org-enabled data-driven spiking neural network simulations to investigate
computational theories of cognitive functions in hippocampal circuits at the level of biologically detailed
mechanisms.
349
350
351 **Discussion**
352
Hippocampome.org, through its continuous updates and conspicuous usage, has established itself
prominently amongst other readily accessible, evidence-based, expert-curated bioscience public
resources of note, such as FlyBase for *Drosophila* molecular biology (The FlyBase Consortium, 1994; dos
Santos et al., 2015), WormBase for nematode genomics (Stein et al., 2001), the Blue Brain Project for
somatosensory cortex (Markram, 2006), SynGO for synaptic functions (Koopmans et al., 2019), and
RegenBase for spinal cord injury biology (Callahan et al., 2016). Hippocampome.org has evolved from
being a storehouse of information in v1.0-1.12, along the lines of FlyBase, WormBase, SynGO, and
RegenBase, to a platform in v2.0 for launching detailed simulations of the hippocampal formation, in the
vein of the Blue Brain Project. However, Hippocampome.org distinguishes itself in its reliance wholly on
already published data and the more tailored focus on a single portion of the brain.
363
The foundation for Hippocampome.org has always been the data that are published in the literature.
Although a certain level of interpretation is always necessary to make the data machine readable and

suitable for database incorporation, data inclusion does not depend on how the data are modeled.
Nevertheless, some of the simulation-ready parameters now also included in Hippocampome.org are
indeed the result of modeling, such as the neuronal input/output functions (Izhikevich model; Izhikevich,
2003) and the unitary synaptic values (Tsodyks-Pawelzik-Markram model; Tsodyks et al., 1998). Other
simulation-ready parameters are the result of specific analysis approaches, including the connection
probabilities (axonal-dendritic spatial overlaps) and the neuron type census (numerical optimization of
all constraints).
373
The growth of Hippocampome.org since the initial release of v1.0 (Wheeler et al., 2015) has been
prodigious. To date, the site has been visited over 136,000 times with over 33,000 unique visits, and the
original publication has been cited more than hundred times. Each successive release of
Hippocampome.org v1.X has added new dimensions of knowledge and/or functionality and has been
building toward assembling all the components necessary to produce real-scale computational models
of the rodent hippocampal formation. The culmination of all this work is the release of
Hippocampome.org v2.0, which introduces a framework for launching computer simulations directly
from the accumulated knowledge. However, achieving simulations does not mark the end point for this
project, because Hippocampome.org will continue to aggregate new knowledge as it is published in the
peer-reviewed literature. Gradually, the focus of this resource will shift from development to
exploitation through the in silico emulation of complex dynamics observed *in vivo* and *in vitro*, with the
goal of shedding light on the underlying synaptic-level computational mechanisms.
386
The creation of real-scale spiking neural network models of the hippocampal formation and its
subregions can foster biologically realistic, data-driven, mesoscopic simulations of cognitive function and
dysfunction (Sutton and Ascoli, 2021). For instance, simulations with Hippocampome.org's real-scale
model of the dentate gyrus can build on previous network models of epileptogenesis (Dyhrfjeld-Johnsen
et al., 2007) by providing further clarity to the roles of all documented neuron types and their
corresponding potential connections in seizure initiation and propagation. A real-scale model of CA1 can
aim to further the insights into the spatiotemporal dynamics of the circuit during theta oscillations
(Bezaire et al., 2016; Navas-Olive et al., 2020; Romani et al., 2023). Furthermore, network models
involving multiple subregions can open new vistas on unexplored territories, such as the use of real-
scale models of the entorhinal cortex and CA2 to simulate the neuron- and connection-type specific
mechanisms of social memory (Lopez-Rojas et al., 2022). Moreover, open source sharing of the real-
scale models replicating those functions (Gleeson et al., 2017) will facilitate cross-talk within the systems
neuroscience community to better understand the role of distinct neuron types in hippocampal
function.
401
A notable aspect of Hippocampome.org is that all freely downloadable model parameters are directly
linked to the specific peer-reviewed empirical evidence from which they were derived. Thus, if users
disagree with a specific interpretation, or are not fully convinced by an individual experimental
measurement, they maintain control in selecting the information sources. Conversely, researchers can
choose to reuse the collated experimental data to constrain different computational models they may
prefer, such as adopting the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism instead of Izhikevich dynamics. At the same
time, Hippocampome.org is not only a collection of model parameters and corresponding empirical
evidence, but it also provides an opportunity to unearth knowledge gaps, as facilitated by an intuitive
search functionality (hippocampome.org/find-neuron). Missing data can serve to guide the design of
targeted "low hanging fruit" experiments or to generate new hypotheses.
412

Another important element of Hippocampome.org is the careful annotation of the experimental
metadata for each piece of evidence, including the species (rat or mouse), sex (male or female), age
(young or adult) as well as any and all reported details that could affect the recorded neuronal property.
Examples of these confounding factors abound especially for *in vitro* electrophysiological data, such as
the exact chemical composition of the solution in the electrode and in the bath, slice thickness and
orientation, clamping configuration, recording temperature, and animal weight. Because these
covariates, when reported by the original investigators, are also stored in the database, it is possible to
account for them in subsequent analyses and simulations. Hippocampome.org therefore constitutes a
considerably rich one-stop resource to compare and "translate" key parameters, such as the amplitude
and duration of a synaptic signal between two specifically identified neuron types, for instance, from 14
day old male rat at 22 ˚C in voltage clamp to a 56 day old female mouse at 32 ˚C in current clamp. When
fed into spiking neural network simulations, these differential parameter values can foster intuition
while attempting to reconcile neuroscience theories and observations.
426
Hippocampome.org is yet poised for the onset of an information deluge from current and future big
science projects, which will need to be integrated into a complete cohesive picture (de La Prida and
Ascoli, 2021). Although morphological identification will continue to play a fundamental role in defining
neuron types and circuit connectivity, the manner in which knowledge is cross-referenced in this
resource will allow its effective linkage to rapidly accumulating molecular and imaging data. The ongoing
spatial transcriptomics revolution is already transforming the frontiers of cellular neuroscience, often
using the hippocampus as its favorite sandbox (Lein et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2021; Zeisel et al., 2015).
Single-cell transcriptomics via scRNAseq can bolster the current morphological information by offering
distinct transcription factor codes for existing neuron types and assist in defining new ones (Cembrowski
and Spruston, 2019; Winnubst et al., 2020; Yuste et al., 2020). From the functional side, optical imaging
via genetically encoded voltage indicators (Knöpfel and Song, 2019) will provide *in vivo* voltage traces for
defined neuron types that can greatly enhance the repertoire of firing pattern phenotypes to utilize in
simulations (Adam et al., 2019). Data-driven computational models can provide a useful conceptual
bridge between molecular sequencing and activity imaging by investigating the effects of specific
subcellular distributions of voltage- and ligand-gated conductances on neuronal excitability (Migliore et
al., 2018). With the converging maturation of these young techniques and the advent of others yet on
the horizon, Hippocampome.org will be able to integrate multidimensional knowledge on the solid
foundation of neuronal classification.
445
446
447 **Materials and Methods**
448
449 *Hippocampome.org v2.0 vs. the legacy status of v1.12*
450
451 With the release of v2.0 of Hippocampome.org upon publication of this article, v1.12 of the website will
452 no longer be updated and will transition to legacy status (hippocampome.org/legacy_v1). In this way,
453 users may avail themselves of the full benefits of the new content and functionality of v2.0, while
454 maintaining access to reference content as published through v1.12. In the near term, neuron types new
455 to v2.0 are tagged with an asterisk on the web site to differentiate them from v1.X types.
456
457 *Linking neuron types to NeuroMorpho.Org morphological reconstructions*
458
Hippocampome.org neuron types are regularly linked to appropriately identified digital reconstructions
of neuronal morphology from NeuroMorpho.Org (Ascoli et al., 2007). Identification of suitable

reconstructions with individual neuron types depends on the correspondence of dendritic and axonal
locations across hippocampal subregions and layers, as they appear in the reference publication.
Alternatively, direct cell typing by the authors in the reference publication text is accepted as evidence
for canonical (principal cell) types, such as CA1 Pyramidal cells or Dentate Gyrus Granule cells.
Reconstructions are not linked to a neuron type if the experimental conditions are inconsistent with the
inclusion criteria of Hippocampome.org, as in the case of cell cultures or embryonic development. Lack
of either axonal or dendritic tracing also disqualifies reconstructions of non-canonical neurons from
being linked.
469
470 *Connections from DG Granule cells to CA3*
471
To compute estimates of connection probabilities and numbers of contacts per connected pair for the
rat mossy fiber-CA3 circuit, we used previously calculated average convex hull volume (Tecuatl et al.,
2021b) and several measurements from a seminal anatomical study (Acsády et al., 1998): DG Granule
cell axonal length within CA3 (3,236 µm), inter-bouton distances for mossy boutons on Pyramidal cell
targets in CA3c (162 µm) and in the rest of CA3 (284 µm), and inter-bouton distances for en-passant and
filipodia boutons onto CA3 interneurons (67.4 µm, considering that 48 interneurons can be contacted by
a single GC). Given that the mossy fibers innervate mainly CA3 SL, and due to the lack of information
regarding the exact proportion of axons innervating CA3 SP, these calculations assume that GCs only
innervate SL. The probabilities of connection and numbers of contacts per connected pair (Table 2) are
estimated as previously described (Tecuatl et al., 2021a) utilizing the CA3 dendritic lengths reported in
Hippocampome.org.
483
484 *Connections from CA3 and CA3c Pyramidal cells to CA1*
485
To compute estimates of connection probabilities and numbers of contacts per connected pair for the
rat Schaffer collaterals-CA1 circuit, we utilized previously reported values for the distinct axonal
innervation patterns (Ropireddy et al., 2011; Sik et al., 1993; Wittner et al., 2007) in CA1 *stratum*
radiatum (SR) and *stratum oriens* (SO) from CA3 Pyramidal cells (27.5% of total axonal length: 64% to SR,
15% to *stratum pyramidale* (SP), 21% to SO) and CA3c Pyramidal cells (64.1% of total axonal length: 94%
to SR, 3% to SP, 3% to SO). In addition, we used the average inter-bouton distance reported for the
Schaffer collaterals (Li et al., 1994) in SR (4.47 µm) and SO (5.8 µm). Total axonal length was measured
with L-Measure (Scorcioni et al., 2008) from three NeuroMorpho.Org reconstructions for CA3c
(NMO_00187, NMO_00191) and CA3b (NMO_00931). We extracted parcel-specific convex hull volumes
from Janelia MouseLight (Winnubst et al., 2019) Pyramidal cell reconstructions (AA0304, AA0307,
AA0420, AA0960, AA0997, AA0999, AA1548) mapped to the 2022 version of the Allen Institute Common
Coordinate Framework (CCF). The probabilities of connection and number of contacts per connected
pair were estimated as previously described (Tecuatl et al., 2021a) using CA1 dendritic lengths from
Hippocampome.org. We used separate values for inter-bouton distances in CA1 SR for CA3c Pyramidal
cells (5.5 µm: Wittner et al., 2007) and CA3 Pyramidal cells (3.7 µm: Shepherd et al., 2002; 4.4 µm: Li et
al., 1994; 4.29 µm: Sik et al., 1993; averaged as 4.1 µm).
502
503 *Constructing Hippocampome.org spiking neural simulations*
504
Hippocampome.org utilizes CARLsim (Nageswaran et al., 2009) as its default simulation environment
(socsci.uci.edu/~jkrichma/CARLsim/). CARLsim is a graphics processing unit (GPU)-accelerated library of
functions for simulating spiking neural networks based on Izhikevich neuron models (Izhikevich, 2003).
We selected CARLsim due to this ability to run on collections of GPUs, , as the power of a GPU

supercomputer is needed to simulate the millions of neurons that comprise a full-scale spiking neural
network simulation of the complete hippocampal formation. The current version is CARLsim 6
(Niedermeier et al., 2022), and the most up-to-date Hippocampome.org-optimized code base, including
features not yet released in the main CARLsim version, can be found at hippocampome.org/CARLsim
(Kopsick et al., 2022).
514
515 *Web portal, database, and source code*
516
Hippocampome.org runs on current versions of Chrome, Safari, and Edge web browsers, and it is
deployed on a CentOS server running Apache. The website runs off of PHP from a MySQL database. The
code for Hippocampome.org is available open source at github.com/Hippocampome-Org. This includes
all code for displaying the pages of the website, all scripts for importing spreadsheets into the database,
code for using evolutionary algorithms to optimize Izhikevich model parameters, code for the graph
theory analysis of the potential connectome, code for the implementation of the firing pattern
classification algorithm, and code for analyzing network simulations in CARLsim.
524
525 *Glossary of abbreviations*
526
5HT-3:   serotonin receptor 3
AA: EC LII Axo-axonic cell
AAC: Axo-axonic cell
ABA: Allen Brain Atlas
AP_{ampl}:   action potential amplitude
AP_{width}:   action potential width
ASP.:   adapting spiking
Astn2:   astrotactin 2
BC: Basket cell
BC MP: EC LII Basket-Multipolar cell
BiC: Bistratified cell
BICCN: BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network
C: Capacitance
CB: calbindin
CB1:   cannabinoid receptor type 1
CCK+:   cholecystokinin-positive
CR: calretinin
CSV: comma-separated value
Dcn: decorin
DG: dentate gyrus
E-E: excitatory to excitatory
E-I: excitatory to inhibitory
EC: entorhinal cortex
ENK: enkephalin
fAHP: fast after-hyperpolarizing potential
g: conductance constant
GABAa α1:   GABA-a alpha 1 subunit
GC: granule cell
Gpc3:   glypican 3
GPUs: graphical processing units

557	GRALDEN:	GRAnule-Like DENdrites
558	Garci:	grid cell
559	Grp:	gastrin releasing peptide
560	GUI:	graphical user interface
561	H:	hilus
562	HIPROM:	Hilar Interneuron with PRojections to the Outer Molecular layer
563	Htr2c:	5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2c
564	I-E:	inhibitory to excitatory
565	I-I:	inhibitory to inhibitory
566	IS:	interneuron specific
567	LI-II:	layers 1-2
568	LII:	layer 2
569	LIII:	layer 3
570	Max FR:	maximum firing rate
571	MEC:	medial entorhinal cortex
572	MFA:	Mossy Fiber-Associated
573	mGluR1a:	metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 alpha
574	MOCAP:	cell with MOlecular Commissural-Associational Pathway-related axons and dendrites
575	MOLAX:	MOlecular Layer Axons
576	MP PC:	EC LI-II Multipolar Pyramidal cell
577	Mus2R:	muscarinic type 2 receptor
578	NASP:	non-adapting spiking
579	Ndst4:	N-deacetylase and N-sulfotransferase 4
580	nNOS:	neuronal nitric oxide synthase
581	Nov:	nephroblastoma overexpressed
582	NPY:	neuropeptide Y
583	Nr3c2:	nuclear receptor subfamily 3 group C member 2
584	Nr4a1:	nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 1
585	ORAX;	ORiens AXons
586	ORDEN:	ORiens DENdrites
587	PC:	pyramidal cell / CA1 Pyramidal cell (Fig. 9B)
588	PL:	polymorphic layer
589	PoE:	pieces of evidence
590	PoK:	pieces of knowledge
591	Prss12:	serine protease 12
592	Prss23:	serine protease 23
593	PV+:	parvalbumin-positive
594	QuadD-LM:	quadrilaminar dendrites – lacunosum-moleculare
595	R_{in}:	input resistance
596	RLN:	reelin
597	sAHP:	slow after-hyperpolarizing potential
598	SC:	MEC LII Stellate cell
599	SD:	standard deviation
600	SG:	stratum granulosum
601	SL:	stratum lucidum
602	SLM:	stratum lacunosum-moleculare
603	SMi:	inner stratum moleculare
604	SMo:	outer stratum moleculare

605	SO:	stratum oriens
606	SOM:	somatostatin
607	SP:	stratum pyramidale
608	SR:	stratum radiatum
609	Sub:	subiculum
610	SWR:	sharp-wave ripple
611	SynGO:	synaptic gene ontologies
612	T:	Temperature
613	τ_d:	synaptic decay constant
614	τ_f:	facilitation time constant
615	τ_m:	membrane time constant
616	τ_r:	recovery time constant
617	TPM:	Tsodyks-Pawelzik-Markram
618	TSTUT.:	transient stuttering
619	TSTUT.PSTUT:	transient stuttering followed by persistent stuttering
620	TSTUT.SLN:	silence preceded by transient stuttering
621	U:	utilization ratio
622	vGluT3:	vesicular glutamate transporter 3
623	V_h:	holding potential
624	VIP:	vasoactive intestinal polypeptide
625	V_{min}:	post-spike reset potential
626	V_{peak}:	spike cutoff potential
627	V_r / V_{rest}:	resting membrane potential
628	V_t / V_{thresh}:	firing threshold potential
629	WA BC:	Wide-arbor Basket cell
630	Wfs1:	wolframin ER transmembrane glycoprotein

631
632 **Acknowledgements**
633
634 We thank David J. Hamilton, Charise M. White, Christopher L. Rees, Maurizio Bergamino, Keivan Moradi,
635 Siva Venkadesh, Alberto Sanchez-Aguilera, Teresa Jurado-Parras, Manuel Valero, Miriam S. Nokia, Elena
636 Cid, Ivan Fernandez-Lamo, Daniel García-Rincón, Liset M. de la Prida, Sarojini M. Attili, Iqbal Addou, and
637 the many student interns (hippocampome.org/thx) for invaluable help advancing from v1.0 to v2.0.

638
639 **Competing Interests**
640
641 The authors have no competing interests.
642
643 **Contributions**
644
DWW contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, software, investigation, data curation, writing
– original draft, visualization, supervision, and project administration. JDK contributed to the
methodology, software, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing – review and editing, and
visualization. NS contributed to the methodology, software, investigation, data curation, writing –
review and editing, and visualization. CT contributed to the methodology, validation, formal analysis,
investigation, data curation, writing – review and editing, visualization, and supervision. AOK
contributed to the formal analysis, writing – review and editing, and visualization. KN contributed to the
software and writing – review and editing. GAA contributed to the conceptualization, methodology,

resources, investigation, data curation, writing – review and editing, visualization, supervision, project
administration, and funding acquisition.
655
656 **Funding information**
657

658 This work was supported in part by grants R01NS39600, RF1MH128693, and U01MH114829 from the
659 National Institutes of Health (NIH), and DE-SC0022998 from the Department of Energy (DOE). The
660 funding sources were not involved in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to
661 submit the work for publication.

References

663 **References**
664
Acsády L, Kamondi A, Sík A, Freund T, Buzsáki G. 1998. GABAergic cells are the major
postsynaptic targets of mossy fibers in the rat hippocampus. *The Journal of*
Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience **18**:3386–3403.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-09-03386.1998
Adam Y, Kim JJ, Lou S, Zhao Y, Xie ME, Brinks D, Wu H, Mostajo-Radji MA, Kheifets S, Parot V,
Chettih S, Williams KJ, Gmeiner B, Farhi SL, Madisen L, Buchanan EK, Kinsella I, Zhou D,
Paninski L, Harvey CD, Zeng H, Arlotta P, Campbell RE, Cohen AE. 2019. Voltage imaging
and optogenetics reveal behaviour-dependent changes in hippocampal dynamics.
Nature **569**:413–417. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1166-7
Aery Jones EA, Rao A, Zilberter M, Djukic B, Bant JS, Gillespie AK, Koutsodendris N, Nelson M,
Yoon SY, Huang K, Yuan H, Gill TM, Huang Y, Frank LM. 2021. Dentate gyrus and CA3
GABAergic interneurons bidirectionally modulate signatures of internal and external
drive to CA1. *Cell Reports* **37**:110159. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110159
Akram MA, Nanda S, Maraver P, Armañanzas R, Ascoli GA. 2018. An open repository for single-
cell reconstructions of the brain forest. *Scientific Data* **5**:180006.
doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.6
Amunts K, Ebell C, Muller J, Telefont M, Knoll A, Lippert T. 2016. The Human Brain Project:
Creating a European Research Infrastructure to Decode the Human Brain. *Neuron*
92:574–581. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.10.046
Ascoli GA, Donohue DE, Halavi M. 2007. NeuroMorpho.Org: a central resource for neuronal
morphologies. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for*
Neuroscience **27**:9247–9251. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2055-07.2007
Ascoli GA, Wheeler DW. 2016. In search of a periodic table of the neurons: Axonal-dendritic
circuitry as the organizing principle: Patterns of axons and dendrites within distinct
anatomical parcels provide the blueprint for circuit-based neuronal classification.
BioEssays **38**:969–976. doi:10.1002/bies.201600067
Attili SM, Mackesey ST, Ascoli GA. 2020. Operations research methods for estimating the
population size of neuron types. *Annals of Operations Research* **289**:33–50.
doi:10.1007/s10479-020-03542-7
Attili SM, Moradi K, Wheeler DW, Ascoli GA. 2022. Quantification of neuron types in the rodent
hippocampal formation by data mining and numerical optimization. *The European*
Journal of Neuroscience **55**:1724–1741. doi:10.1111/ejn.15639
Attili SM, Silva MFM, Nguyen T, Ascoli GA. 2019. Cell numbers, distribution, shape, and regional
variation throughout the murine hippocampal formation from the adult brain Allen
Reference Atlas. *Brain Structure and Function* **224**:2883–2897. doi:10.1007/s00429-019-
01940-7
Bahmer A, Gupta D, Effenberger F. 2023. Modern Artificial Neural Networks: Is Evolution
Cleverer? *Neural Computation* **35**:763–806. doi:10.1162/neco_a_01575
Bezaire MJ, Raikov I, Burk K, Vyas D, Soltesz I. 2016. Interneuronal mechanisms of hippocampal
theta oscillations in a full-scale model of the rodent CA1 circuit. *eLife* **5**:e18566.
doi:10.7554/eLife.18566

BICCN Data Ecosystem Collaboration, Hawrylycz MJ, Martone ME, Hof PR, Lein ES, Regev A,
Ascoli GAA, Bjaalie JG, Dong H-W, Ghosh SS, Gillis J, Hertzano R, Haynor DR, Kim Y, Liu Y,
Miller JA, Mitra PP, Mukamel E, Osumi-Sutherland D, Peng H, Ray PL, Sanchez R,
Ropelewski A, Scheuermann RH, Tan SZK, Tickle T, Tilgner H, Varghese M, Wester B,
White O, Aevermann B, Allemang D, Ament S, Athey TL, Baker PM, Baker C, Baker KS,
Bandrowski A, Bishwakarma P, Carr A, Chen M, Choudhury R, Cool J, Creasy H, D'Orazi F,
Degatano K, Dichter B, Ding S-L, Dolbeare T, Ecker JR, Fang R, Fillion-Robin J-C, Fliss TP,
Gee J, Gillespie T, Gouwens N, Halchenko YO, Harris N, Herb BR, Hintiryan H, Hood G,
Horvath S, Jarecka D, Jiang S, Khajouei F, Kiernan EA, Kir H, Kruse L, Lee C, Lelieveldt B, Li
Y, Liu H, Markuhar A, Mathews J, Mathews KL, Miller MI, Mollenkopf T, Mufti S, Mungall
CJ, Ng L, Orvis J, Puchades MA, Qu L, Receveur JP, Ren B, Sjoquist N, Staats B, Thompson
CL, Tward D, Van Velthoven CTJ, Wang Q, Xie F, Xu H, Yao Z, Yun Z, Zeng H, Zhang G-Q,
Zhang YR, Zheng JW, Zingg B. 2022. The BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network Data
Ecosystem: A User's Guide (preprint). Bioinformatics. doi:10.1101/2022.10.26.513573
Callahan A, Abeyruwan SW, Al-Ali H, Sakurai K, Ferguson AR, Popovich PG, Shah NH, Visser U,
Bixby JL, Lemmon VP. 2016. RegenBase: a knowledge base of spinal cord injury biology
for translational research. *Database: The Journal of Biological Databases and Curation*
2016:baw040. doi:10.1093/database/baw040
Cembrowski MS, Spruston N. 2019. Heterogeneity within classical cell types is the rule: lessons
from hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **20**:193–204.
doi:10.1038/s41583-019-0125-5
Chamberland S, Salesse C, Topolnik D, Topolnik L. 2010. Synapse-specific inhibitory control of
hippocampal feedback inhibitory circuit. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **4**:130.
doi:10.3389/fncel.2010.00130
Ciarpella F, Zamfir RG, Campanelli A, Ren E, Pedrotti G, Bottani E, Borioli A, Caron D, Di Chio M,
Dolci S, Ahtiainen A, Malpeli G, Malerba G, Bardoni R, Fumagalli G, Hyttinen J, Bifari F,
Palazzolo G, Panuccio G, Curia G, Decimo I. 2021. Murine cerebral organoids develop
network of functional neurons and hippocampal brain region identity. *iScience*
24:103438. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.103438
Craig MT, McBain CJ. 2015. Fast gamma oscillations are generated intrinsically in CA1 without
the involvement of fast-spiking basket cells. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official*
Journal of the Society for Neuroscience **35**:3616–3624. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4166-
14.2015
de La Prida LM, Ascoli GA. 2021. Explorers of the cells: Toward cross-platform knowledge
integration to evaluate neuronal function. *Neuron* **109**:3535–3537.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.10.025
DeFelipe J, López-Cruz PL, Benavides-Piccione R, Bielza C, Larrañaga P, Anderson S, Burkhalter
A, Cauli B, Fairén A, Feldmeyer D, Fishell G, Fitzpatrick D, Freund TF, González-Burgos G,
Hestrin S, Hill S, Hof PR, Huang J, Jones EG, Kawaguchi Y, Kisvárday Z, Kubota Y, Lewis
DA, Marín O, Markram H, McBain CJ, Meyer HS, Monyer H, Nelson SB, Rockland K,
Rossier J, Rubenstein JLR, Rudy B, Scanziani M, Shepherd GM, Sherwood CC, Staiger JF,
Tamás G, Thomson A, Wang Y, Yuste R, Ascoli GA. 2013. New insights into the
classification and nomenclature of cortical GABAergic interneurons. *Nature Reviews*
Neuroscience **14**:202–216. doi:10.1038/nrn3444

Deller T, Adelmann G, Nitsch R, Frotscher M. 1996. The alvear pathway of the rat hippocampus. *Cell and Tissue Research* **286**:293–303. doi:10.1007/s004410050699

Depannemaecker D, Canton Santos LE, Rodrigues AM, Scorza CA, Scorza FA, Almeida A-CG de. 2020. Realistic spiking neural network: Non-synaptic mechanisms improve convergence in cell assembly. *Neural Networks: The Official Journal of the International Neural Network Society* **122**:420–433. doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2019.09.038

DePasquale B, Sussillo D, Abbott LF, Churchland MM. 2023. The centrality of population-level factors to network computation is demonstrated by a versatile approach for training spiking networks. *Neuron* **111**:631-649.e10. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2022.12.007

Dhillon A, Jones RS. 2000. Laminar differences in recurrent excitatory transmission in the rat entorhinal cortex in vitro. *Neuroscience* **99**:413–422. doi:10.1016/s0306-4522(00)00225-6

Dyhrfjeld-Johnsen J, Santhakumar V, Morgan RJ, Huerta R, Tsimring L, Soltesz I. 2007. Topological determinants of epileptogenesis in large-scale structural and functional models of the dentate gyrus derived from experimental data. *Journal of neurophysiology* **97**:1566–1587. doi:10.1152/jn.00950.2006

Ecker A, Romani A, Sáray S, Káli S, Migliore M, Falck J, Lange S, Mercer A, Thomson AM, Muller E, Reimann MW, Ramaswamy S. 2020. Data-driven integration of hippocampal CA1 synaptic physiology in silico. *Hippocampus* **30**:1129–1145. doi:10.1002/hipo.23220

Eke DO, Bernard A, Bjaalie JG, Chavarriaga R, Hanakawa T, Hannan AJ, Hill SL, Martone ME, McMahon A, Ruebel O, Crook S, Thiels E, Pestilli F. 2022. International data governance for neuroscience. *Neuron* **110**:600–612. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.11.017

Faghihi F, Moustafa AA. 2017. Combined Computational Systems Biology and Computational Neuroscience Approaches Help Develop of Future "Cognitive Developmental Robotics." *Frontiers in Neurorobotics* **11**:63. doi:10.3389/fnbot.2017.00063

Ferguson AR, Nielson JL, Cragin MH, Bandrowski AE, Martone ME. 2014. Big data from small data: data-sharing in the "long tail" of neuroscience. *Nature Neuroscience* **17**:1442–1447. doi:10.1038/nn.3838

Gastaldi C, Schwalger T, De Falco E, Quiroga RQ, Gerstner W. 2021. When shared concept cells support associations: Theory of overlapping memory engrams. *PLOS Computational Biology* **17**:e1009691. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009691

Gleeson P, Davison AP, Silver RA, Ascoli GA. 2017. A Commitment to Open Source in Neuroscience. *Neuron* **96**:964–965. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.10.013

Gulyás AI, Freund TF, Káli S. 2016. The Effects of Realistic Synaptic Distribution and 3D Geometry on Signal Integration and Extracellular Field Generation of Hippocampal Pyramidal Cells and Inhibitory Neurons. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* **10**:88. doi:10.3389/fncir.2016.00088

Guzman SJ, Schlögl A, Espinoza C, Zhang X, Suter BA, Jonas P. 2021. How connectivity rules and synaptic properties shape the efficacy of pattern separation in the entorhinal cortex–dentate gyrus–CA3 network. *Nature Computational Science* **1**:830–842. doi:10.1038/s43588-021-00157-1

Hamilton DJ, Wheeler DW, White CM, Rees CL, Komendantov AO, Bergamino M, Ascoli GA. 2017a. Name-calling in the hippocampus (and beyond): coming to terms with neuron types and properties. *Brain Informatics* **4**:1–12. doi:10.1007/s40708-016-0053-3

Hamilton DJ, White CM, Rees CL, Wheeler DW, Ascoli GA. 2017b. Molecular fingerprinting of
principal neurons in the rodent hippocampus: A neuroinformatics approach. *Journal of*
Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis **144**:269–278. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2017.03.062
Hosp JA, Strüber M, Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Vida I, Jonas P, Bartos M. 2014. Morpho-
physiological criteria divide dentate gyrus interneurons into classes. *Hippocampus*
24:189–203. doi:10.1002/hipo.22214
Hunsberger MS, Mynlieff M. 2020. BK potassium currents contribute differently to action
potential waveform and firing rate as rat hippocampal neurons mature in the first
postnatal week. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **124**:703–714. doi:10.1152/jn.00711.2019
Insel TR, Landis SC, Collins FS. 2013. Research priorities. The NIH BRAIN Initiative. *Science (New*
York, NY) **340**:687–688. doi:10.1126/science.1239276
Izhikevich EM. 2003. Simple model of spiking neurons. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*
14:1569–1572. doi:10.1109/TNN.2003.820440
Jones AR, Overly CC, Sunkin SM. 2009. The Allen Brain Atlas: 5 years and beyond. *Nature*
Reviews Neuroscience **10**:821–828. doi:10.1038/nrn2722
Kirson ED, Yaari Y. 2000. Unique properties of NMDA receptors enhance synaptic excitation of
radiatum giant cells in rat hippocampus. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official*
Journal of the Society for Neuroscience **20**:4844–4854.
Knöpfel T, Song C. 2019. Optical voltage imaging in neurons: moving from technology
development to practical tool. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **20**:719–727.
doi:10.1038/s41583-019-0231-4
Kohara K, Pignatelli M, Rivest AJ, Jung H-Y, Kitamura T, Suh J, Frank D, Kajikawa K, Mise N,
Obata Y, Wickersham IR, Tonegawa S. 2014. Cell type-specific genetic and optogenetic
tools reveal hippocampal CA2 circuits. *Nature Neuroscience* **17**:269–279.
doi:10.1038/nn.3614
Komendantov AO, Venkadesh S, Rees CL, Wheeler DW, Hamilton DJ, Ascoli GA. 2019.
Quantitative firing pattern phenotyping of hippocampal neuron types. *Scientific Reports*
9:17915. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-52611-w
Koopmans F, van Nierop P, Andres-Alonso M, Byrnes A, Cijsouw T, Coba MP, Cornelisse LN,
Farrell RJ, Goldschmidt HL, Howrigan DP, Hussain NK, Imig C, de Jong APH, Jung H,
Kohansalnodehi M, Kramarz B, Lipstein N, Lovering RC, MacGillavry H, Mariano V, Mi H,
Ninov M, Osumi-Sutherland D, Pielot R, Smalla KH, Tang H, Tashman K, Toonen RFG,
Verpelli C, Reig-Viader R, Watanabe K, van Weering J, Achsel T, Ashrafi G, Asi N, Brown
TC, De Camilli P, Feuermann M, Foulger RE, Gaudet P, Joglekar A, Kanellopoulos A,
Malenka R, Nicoll RA, Pulido C, de Juan-Sanz J, Sheng M, Südhof TC, Tilgner HU, Bagni C,
Bayés À, Biederer T, Brose N, Chua JJE, Dieterich DC, Gundelfinger ED, Hoogenraad C,
Huganir RL, Jahn R, Kaeser PS, Kim E, Kreutz MR, McPherson PS, Neale BM, O'Connor V,
Posthuma D, Ryan TA, Sala C, Feng G, Hyman SE, Thomas PD, Smit AB, Verhage M. 2019.
SynGO: An Evidence-Based, Expert-Curated Knowledge Base for the Synapse. *Neuron*
103:217-234.e4. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.002
Kopsick JD, Tecuatl C, Moradi K, Attili SM, Kashyap HJ, Xing J, Chen K, Krichmar JL, Ascoli GA.
2022. Robust Resting-State Dynamics in a Large-Scale Spiking Neural Network Model of
Area CA3 in the Mouse Hippocampus. *Cognitive Computation*. doi:10.1007/s12559-021-
09954-2

Lee C-T, Kao M-H, Hou W-H, Wei Y-T, Chen C-L, Lien C-C. 2016. Causal Evidence for the Role of
Specific GABAergic Interneuron Types in Entorhinal Recruitment of Dentate Granule
Cells. *Scientific Reports* **6**:36885. doi:10.1038/srep36885

Lee S-H, Marchionni I, Bezaire M, Varga C, Danielson N, Lovett-Barron M, Losonczy A, Soltesz I.
2014. Parvalbumin-positive basket cells differentiate among hippocampal pyramidal
cells. *Neuron* **82**:1129–44. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.03.034

Lein E, Borm LE, Linnarsson S. 2017. The promise of spatial transcriptomics for neuroscience in
the era of molecular cell typing. *Science* **358**:64–69. doi:10.1126/science.aan6827

Lein ES, Hawrylycz MJ, Ao N, Ayres M, Bensinger A, Bernard A, Boe AF, Boguski MS, Brockway
KS, Byrnes EJ, Chen Lin, Chen Li, Chen T-M, Chin MC, Chong J, Crook BE, Czaplinska A,
Dang CN, Datta S, Dee NR, Desaki AL, Desta T, Diep E, Dolbeare TA, Donelan MJ, Dong H-
W, Dougherty JG, Duncan BJ, Ebbert AJ, Eichele G, Estin LK, Faber C, Facer BA, Fields R,
Fischer SR, Fliss TP, Frensley C, Gates SN, Glattfelder KJ, Halverson KR, Hart MR,
Hohmann JG, Howell MP, Jeung DP, Johnson RA, Karr PT, Kawal R, Kidney JM, Knapik RH,
Kuan CL, Lake JH, Laramee AR, Larsen KD, Lau C, Lemon TA, Liang AJ, Liu Y, Luong LT,
Michaels J, Morgan JJ, Morgan RJ, Mortrud MT, Mosqueda NF, Ng LL, Ng R, Orta GJ,
Overly CC, Pak TH, Parry SE, Pathak SD, Pearson OC, Puchalski RB, Riley ZL, Rockett HR,
Rowland SA, Royall JJ, Ruiz MJ, Sarno NR, Schaffnit K, Shapovalova NV, Sivisay T,
Slaughterbeck CR, Smith SC, Smith KA, Smith BI, Sodt AJ, Stewart NN, Stumpf K-R, Sunkin
SM, Sutram M, Tam A, Teemer CD, Thaller C, Thompson CL, Varnam LR, Visel A, Whitlock
RM, Wohnoutka PE, Wolkey CK, Wong VY, Wood M, Yaylaoglu MB, Young RC,
Youngstrom BL, Yuan XF, Zhang B, Zwingman TA, Jones AR. 2007. Genome-wide atlas of
gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature* **445**:168–176.
doi:10.1038/nature05453

Li XG, Somogyi P, Ylinen A, Buzsáki G. 1994. The hippocampal CA3 network: an in vivo
intracellular labeling study. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **339**:181–208.
doi:10.1002/cne.903390204

Lopez-Rojas J, de Solis CA, Leroy F, Kandel ER, Siegelbaum SA. 2022. A direct lateral entorhinal
cortex to hippocampal CA2 circuit conveys social information required for social
memory. *Neuron* **110**:1559-1572.e4. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2022.01.028

Lübke J, Frotscher M, Spruston N. 1998. Specialized electrophysiological properties of
anatomically identified neurons in the hilar region of the rat fascia dentata. *Journal of*
Neurophysiology **79**:1518–1534. doi:10.1152/jn.1998.79.3.1518

Luo Y-F, Ye X-X, Fang Y-Z, Li M-D, Xia Z-X, Liu J-M, Lin X-S, Huang Z, Zhu X-Q, Huang J-J, Tan D-L,
Zhang Y-F, Liu H-P, Zhou J, Shen Z-C. 2021. mTORC1 Signaling Pathway Mediates Chronic
Stress-Induced Synapse Loss in the Hippocampus. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* **12**:801234.
doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.801234

Markram H. 2006. The blue brain project. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **7**:153–160.
doi:10.1038/nrn1848

Markwardt SJ, Dieni CV, Wadiche JI, Overstreet-Wadiche L. 2011. Ivy/neurogliaform
interneurons coordinate activity in the neurogenic niche. *Nature Neuroscience* **14**:1407–
1409. doi:10.1038/nn.2935

McDougal RA, Morse TM, Carnevale T, Marenco L, Wang R, Migliore M, Miller PL, Shepherd
GM, Hines ML. 2017. Twenty years of ModelDB and beyond: building essential modeling

tools for the future of neuroscience. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **42**:1–10.
doi:10.1007/s10827-016-0623-7
Mehta K, Goldin RF, Marchette D, Vogelstein JT, Priebe CE, Ascoli GA. 2021. Neuronal
classification from network connectivity via adjacency spectral embedding. *Network*
Neuroscience (Cambridge, Mass) **5**:689–710. doi:10.1162/netn_a_00195
Migliore R, Lupascu CA, Bologna LL, Romani A, Courcol J-D, Antonel S, Van Geit WAH, Thomson
AM, Mercer A, Lange S, Falck J, Rössert CA, Shi Y, Hagens O, Pezzoli M, Freund TF, Kali S,
Muller EB, Schürmann F, Markram H, Migliore M. 2018. The physiological variability of
channel density in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells and interneurons explored using a
unified data-driven modeling workflow. *PLOS Computational Biology* **14**:e1006423.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006423
Moradi K, Aldarraji Z, Luthra M, Madison GP, Ascoli GA. 2022. Normalized unitary synaptic
signaling of the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex predicted by deep learning of
experimental recordings. *Communications Biology* **5**:418. doi:10.1038/s42003-022-
03329-5
Moradi K, Ascoli GA. 2020. A comprehensive knowledge base of synaptic electrophysiology in
the rodent hippocampal formation. *Hippocampus* **30**:314–331. doi:10.1002/hipo.23148
Moradi K, Ascoli GA. 2018. Systematic Data Mining of Hippocampal Synaptic Properties In:
Cutsuridis V, Graham BP, Cobb S, Vida I, editors. Hippocampal Microcircuits, Springer
Series in Computational Neuroscience. Cham: Springer International Publishing. pp.
441–471. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-99103-0_11
Muñoz-Castañeda R, Zingg B, Matho KS, Chen X, Wang Q, Foster NN, Li A, Narasimhan A,
Hirokawa KE, Huo B, Bannerjee S, Korobkova L, Park CS, Park Y-G, Bienkowski MS, Chon
U, Wheeler DW, Li Xiangning, Wang Yun, Naeemi M, Xie P, Liu L, Kelly K, An X, Attili SM,
Bowman I, Bludova A, Cetin A, Ding L, Drewes R, D'Orazi F, Elowsky C, Fischer S, Galbavy
W, Gao L, Gillis J, Groblewski PA, Gou L, Hahn JD, Hatfield JT, Hintiryan H, Huang JJ,
Kondo H, Kuang X, Lesnar P, Li Xu, Li Y, Lin M, Lo D, Mizrachi J, Mok S, Nicovich PR,
Palaniswamy R, Palmer J, Qi X, Shen E, Sun Y-C, Tao HW, Wakemen W, Wang Yimin, Yao
S, Yuan J, Zhan H, Zhu M, Ng L, Zhang LI, Lim BK, Hawrylycz M, Gong H, Gee JC, Kim Y,
Chung K, Yang XW, Peng H, Luo Q, Mitra PP, Zador AM, Zeng H, Ascoli GA, Josh Huang Z,
Osten P, Harris JA, Dong H-W. 2021. Cellular anatomy of the mouse primary motor
cortex. *Nature* **598**:159–166. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03970-w
Nageswaran JM, Dutt N, Krichmar JL, Nicolau A, Veidenbaum AV. 2009. A configurable
simulation environment for the efficient simulation of large-scale spiking neural
networks on graphics processors. *Neural Networks: The Official Journal of the*
International Neural Network Society **22**:791–800. doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2009.06.028
Navas-Olive A, Valero M, Jurado-Parras T, de Salas-Quiroga A, Averkin RG, Gambino G, Cid E, de
la Prida LM. 2020. Multimodal determinants of phase-locked dynamics across deep-
superficial hippocampal sublayers during theta oscillations. *Nature Communications*
11:2217. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15840-6
Niedermeier L, Chen K, Xing J, Das A, Kopsick J, Scott E, Sutton N, Weber K, Dutt N, Krichmar JL.
2022. CARLsim 6: An Open Source Library for Large-Scale, Biologically Detailed Spiking
Neural Network Simulation2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks

(IJCNN). Presented at the 2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks
(IJCNN). Padua, Italy: IEEE. pp. 1–10. doi:10.1109/IJCNN55064.2022.9892644
Obafemi TO, Owolabi OV, Omiyale BO, Afolabi BA, Ojo OA, Onasanya A, Adu IAI, Rotimi D. 2021.
Combination of donepezil and gallic acid improves antioxidant status and
cholinesterases activity in aluminum chloride-induced neurotoxicity in Wistar rats.
Metabolic Brain Disease **36**:2511–2519. doi:10.1007/s11011-021-00749-w
Petilla Interneuron Nomenclature Group, Ascoli GA, Alonso-Nanclares L, Anderson SA,
Barrionuevo G, Benavides-Piccione R, Burkhalter A, Buzsáki G, Cauli B, Defelipe J, Fairén
A, Feldmeyer D, Fishell G, Fregnac Y, Freund TF, Gardner D, Gardner EP, Goldberg JH,
Helmstaedter M, Hestrin S, Karube F, Kisvárday ZF, Lambolez B, Lewis DA, Marin O,
Markram H, Muñoz A, Packer A, Petersen CCH, Rockland KS, Rossier J, Rudy B, Somogyi
P, Staiger JF, Tamas G, Thomson AM, Toledo-Rodriguez M, Wang Y, West DC, Yuste R.
2008. Petilla terminology: nomenclature of features of GABAergic interneurons of the
cerebral cortex. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **9**:557–568. doi:10.1038/nrn2402
Puighermanal E, Cutando L, Boubaker-Vitre J, Honoré E, Longueville S, Hervé D, Valjent E. 2017.
Anatomical and molecular characterization of dopamine D1 receptor-expressing
neurons of the mouse CA1 dorsal hippocampus. *Brain Structure & Function* **222**:1897–
1911. doi:10.1007/s00429-016-1314-x
Rees CL, Moradi K, Ascoli GA. 2017. Weighing the Evidence in Peters' Rule: Does Neuronal
Morphology Predict Connectivity?, Trends in Neurosciences. Elsevier Ltd.
doi:10.1016/j.tins.2016.11.007
Rees CL, Wheeler DW, Hamilton DJ, White CM, Komendantov AO, Ascoli GA. 2016. Graph
theoretic and motif analyses of the hippocampal neuron type potential connectome.
eNeuro **3**. doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0205-16.2016
Romani A, Antonietti A, Bella D, Budd J, Giacalone E, Kurban K, Sáray S, Abdellah M, Arnaudon
A, Boci E, Colangelo C, Courcol J-D, Delemontex T, Ecker A, Falck J, Favreau C, Gevaert
M, Hernando JB, Herttuainen J, Ivaska G, Kanari L, Kaufmann A-K, King JG, Kumbhar P,
Lange S, Lu H, Lupascu CA, Migliore R, Petitjean F, Planas J, Rai P, Ramaswamy S,
Reimann MW, Riquelme JL, Guerrero NR, Shi Y, Sood V, Sy MF, Geit WV, Vanherpe L,
Freund TF, Mercer A, Muller E, Schürmann F, Thomson AM, Migliore M, Káli S, Markram
H. 2023. Community-based Reconstruction and Simulation of a Full-scale Model of
Region CA1 of Rat Hippocampus (preprint). Neuroscience.
doi:10.1101/2023.05.17.541167
Ropireddy D, Scorcioni R, Lasher B, Buzsáki G, Ascoli GA. 2011. Axonal morphometry of
hippocampal pyramidal neurons semi-automatically reconstructed after in vivo labeling
in different CA3 locations. *Brain Structure & Function* **216**:1–15. doi:10.1007/s00429-
010-0291-8
Sanchez-Aguilera A, Wheeler DW, Jurado-Parras T, Valero M, Nokia MS, Cid E, Fernandez-Lamo
I, Sutton N, García-Rincón D, de la Prida LM, Ascoli GA. 2021. An update to
Hippocampome.org by integrating single-cell phenotypes with circuit function in vivo.
PLoS Biology **19**:e3001213. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001213
Sáray S, Rössert CA, Appukuttan S, Migliore R, Vitale P, Lupascu CA, Bologna LL, Van Geit W,
Romani A, Davison AP, Muller E, Freund TF, Káli S. 2021. HippoUnit: A software tool for
the automated testing and systematic comparison of detailed models of hippocampal

neurons based on electrophysiological data. *PLoS computational biology* **17**:e1008114.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008114
Sargolini F, Fyhn M, Hafting T, McNaughton BL, Witter MP, Moser M-B, Moser EI. 2006.
Conjunctive representation of position, direction, and velocity in entorhinal cortex.
Science (New York, NY) **312**:758–762. doi:10.1126/science.1125572
Savanthrapadian S, Meyer T, Elgueta C, Booker SA, Vida I, Bartos M. 2014. Synaptic properties
of SOM- and CCK-expressing cells in dentate gyrus interneuron networks. *The Journal of*
Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience **34**:8197–8209.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5433-13.2014
Schumm SN, Gabrieli D, Meaney DF. 2022. Plasticity impairment exposes CA3 vulnerability in a
hippocampal network model of mild traumatic brain injury. *Hippocampus* **32**:231–250.
doi:10.1002/hipo.23402
Schumm SN, Gabrieli D, Meaney DF. 2020. Neuronal Degeneration Impairs Rhythms Between
Connected Microcircuits. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **14**:18.
doi:10.3389/fncom.2020.00018
Scorcioni R, Polavaram S, Ascoli GA. 2008. L-Measure: a web-accessible tool for the analysis,
comparison and search of digital reconstructions of neuronal morphologies. *Nature*
Protocols **3**:866–876. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.51
Shepherd GM, Marenco L, Hines ML, Migliore M, McDougal RA, Carnevale NT, Newton AJH,
Surles-Zeigler M, Ascoli GA. 2019. Neuron Names: A Gene- and Property-Based Name
Format, With Special Reference to Cortical Neurons. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* **13**:25.
doi:10.3389/fnana.2019.00025
Sik A, Tamamaki N, Freund TF. 1993. Complete axon arborization of a single CA3 pyramidal cell
in the rat hippocampus, and its relationship with postsynaptic parvalbumin-containing
interneurons. *The European journal of neuroscience* **5**:1719–28.
Skene NG, Grant SGN. 2016. Identification of Vulnerable Cell Types in Major Brain Disorders
Using Single Cell Transcriptomes and Expression Weighted Cell Type Enrichment.
Frontiers in Neuroscience **10**:16. doi:10.3389/fnins.2016.00016
Smith JH, Rowland C, Harland B, Moslehi S, Montgomery RD, Schobert K, Watterson WJ,
Dalrymple-Alford J, Taylor RP. 2021. How neurons exploit fractal geometry to optimize
their network connectivity. *Scientific Reports* **11**:2332. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-81421-2
Stein L, Sternberg P, Durbin R, Thierry-Mieg J, Spieth J. 2001. WormBase: network access to the
genome and biology of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**:82–86.
doi:10.1093/nar/29.1.82
Steward O. 1976. Topographic organization of the projections from the entorhinal area to the
hippocampal formation of the rat. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **167**:285–314.
doi:10.1002/cne.901670303
Sutton NM, Ascoli GA. 2021. Spiking Neural Networks and Hippocampal Function: A Web-
Accessible Survey of Simulations, Modeling Methods, and Underlying Theories.
Cognitive Systems Research **70**:80–92. doi:10.1016/j.cogsys.2021.07.008
Szabadics J, Soltesz I. 2009. Functional specificity of mossy fiber innervation of GABAergic cells
in the hippocampus. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for*
Neuroscience **29**:4239–4251. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5390-08.2009

Takács VT, Klausberger T, Somogyi P, Freund TF, Gulyás AI. 2012. Extrinsic and local glutamatergic inputs of the rat hippocampal CA1 area differentially innervate pyramidal cells and interneurons. *Hippocampus* **22**:1379–1391. doi:10.1002/hipo.20974

Tecuatl C, Wheeler DW, Ascoli GA. 2021a. A Method for Estimating the Potential Synaptic Connections Between Axons and Dendrites From 2D Neuronal Images. *Bio-Protocol* **11**:e4073. doi:10.21769/BioProtoc.4073

Tecuatl C, Wheeler DW, Sutton N, Ascoli GA. 2021b. Comprehensive Estimates of Potential Synaptic Connections in Local Circuits of the Rodent Hippocampal Formation by Axonal-Dendritic Overlap. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **41**:1665–1683. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1193-20.2020

The FlyBase Consortium. 1994. FlyBase--the Drosophila database. *Nucleic Acids Research* **22**:3456–3458. doi:10.1093/nar/22.17.3456

Tsodyks M, Pawelzik K, Markram H. 1998. Neural networks with dynamic synapses. *Neural Computation* **10**:821–835. doi:10.1162/089976698300017502

Vaden RJ, Gonzalez JC, Tsai M-C, Niver AJ, Fusilier AR, Griffith CM, Kramer RH, Wadiche JI, Overstreet-Wadiche L. 2020. Parvalbumin interneurons provide spillover to newborn and mature dentate granule cells. *eLife* **9**:e54125. doi:10.7554/eLife.54125

Valero M, Cid E, Averkin RG, Aguilar J, Sanchez-Aguilera A, Viney TJ, Gomez-Dominguez D, Bellistri E, de la Prida LM. 2015. Determinants of different deep and superficial CA1 pyramidal cell dynamics during sharp-wave ripples. *Nature Neuroscience* **18**:1281–1290. doi:10.1038/nn.4074

Venkadesh S, Komendantov AO, Listopad S, Scott EO, De Jong K, Krichmar JL, Ascoli GA. 2018. Evolving Simple Models of Diverse Intrinsic Dynamics in Hippocampal Neuron Types. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **12**:8. doi:10.3389/fninf.2018.00008

Venkadesh S, Komendantov AO, Wheeler DW, Hamilton DJ, Ascoli GA. 2019. Simple models of quantitative firing phenotypes in hippocampal neurons: Comprehensive coverage of intrinsic diversity. *PLOS Computational Biology* **15**:e1007462. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007462

Venkadesh S, Van Horn JD. 2021. Integrative Models of Brain Structure and Dynamics: Concepts, Challenges, and Methods. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **15**:752332. doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.752332

Walker AS, Raliski BK, Nguyen DV, Zhang P, Sanders K, Karbasi K, Miller EW. 2021. Imaging Voltage in Complete Neuronal Networks Within Patterned Microislands Reveals Preferential Wiring of Excitatory Hippocampal Neurons. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **15**:643868. doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.643868

Wheeler DW, White CM, Rees CL, Komendantov AO, Hamilton DJ, Ascoli GA. 2015. Hippocampome.org: a knowledge base of neuron types in the rodent hippocampus. *eLife* **4**. doi:10.7554/eLife.09960

White CM, Rees CL, Wheeler DW, Hamilton DJ, Ascoli GA. 2020. Molecular expression profiles of morphologically defined hippocampal neuron types: Empirical evidence and relational inferences. *Hippocampus* **30**:472–487. doi:10.1002/hipo.23165

Winnubst J, Bas E, Ferreira TA, Wu Z, Economo MN, Edson P, Arthur BJ, Bruns C, Rokicki K, Schauder D, Olbris DJ, Murphy SD, Ackerman DG, Arshadi C, Baldwin P, Blake R, Elsayed A, Hasan M, Ramirez D, Dos Santos B, Weldon M, Zafar A, Dudman JT, Gerfen CR,

Hantman AW, Korff W, Sternson SM, Spruston N, Svoboda K, Chandrashekar J. 2019. Reconstruction of 1,000 Projection Neurons Reveals New Cell Types and Organization of Long-Range Connectivity in the Mouse Brain. *Cell* **179**:268-281.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.042

Winnubst J, Spruston N, Harris JA. 2020. Linking axon morphology to gene expression: a strategy for neuronal cell-type classification. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **65**:70–76. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2020.10.006

Wittner L, Henze DA, Záborszky L, Buzsáki G. 2007. Three-dimensional reconstruction of the axon arbor of a CA3 pyramidal cell recorded and filled in vivo. *Brain Structure & Function* **212**:75–83. doi:10.1007/s00429-007-0148-y

Wynne ME, Lane AR, Singleton KS, Zlatic SA, Gokhale A, Werner E, Duong D, Kwong JQ, Crocker AJ, Faundez V. 2021. Heterogeneous Expression of Nuclear Encoded Mitochondrial Genes Distinguishes Inhibitory and Excitatory Neurons. *eNeuro* **8**:ENEURO.0232-21.2021. doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0232-21.2021

Yao Z, Van Velthoven CTJ, Nguyen TN, Goldy J, Sedeno-Cortes AE, Baftizadeh F, Bertagnolli D, Casper T, Chiang M, Crichton K, Ding S-L, Fong O, Garren E, Glandon A, Gouwens NW, Gray J, Graybuck LT, Hawrylycz MJ, Hirschstein D, Kroll M, Lathia K, Lee C, Levi B, McMillen D, Mok S, Pham T, Ren Q, Rimorin C, Shapovalova N, Sulc J, Sunkin SM, Tieu M, Torkelson A, Tung H, Ward K, Dee N, Smith KA, Tasic B, Zeng H. 2021. A taxonomy of transcriptomic cell types across the isocortex and hippocampal formation. *Cell* **184**:3222-3241.e26. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.021

Yeung AWK, Goto TK, Leung WK. 2017. The Changing Landscape of Neuroscience Research, 2006-2015: A Bibliometric Study. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **11**:120. doi:10.3389/fnins.2017.00120

Yuste R, Hawrylycz M, Aalling N, Aguilar-Valles A, Arendt D, Armañanzas R, Ascoli GA, Bielza C, Bokharaie V, Bergmann TB, Bystron I, Capogna M, Chang Y, Clemens A, de Kock CPJ, DeFelipe J, Dos Santos SE, Dunville K, Feldmeyer D, Fiáth R, Fishell GJ, Foggetti A, Gao X, Ghaderi P, Goriounova NA, Güntürkün O, Hagihara K, Hall VJ, Helmstaedter M, Herculano-Houzel S, Hilscher MM, Hirase H, Hjerling-Leffler J, Hodge R, Huang J, Huda R, Khodosevich K, Kiehn O, Koch H, Kuebler ES, Kühnemund M, Larrañaga P, Lelieveldt B, Louth EL, Lui JH, Mansvelder HD, Marin O, Martinez-Trujillo J, Chameh HM, Mohapatra AN, Munguba H, Nedergaard M, Němec P, Ofer N, Pfisterer UG, Pontes S, Redmond W, Rossier J, Sanes JR, Scheuermann RH, Serrano-Saiz E, Staiger JF, Somogyi P, Tamás G, Tolias AS, Tosches MA, García MT, Wozny C, Wuttke TV, Liu Y, Yuan J, Zeng H, Lein E. 2020. A community-based transcriptomics classification and nomenclature of neocortical cell types. *Nature Neuroscience* **23**:1456–1468. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0685-8

Zagrean A-M, Georgescu I-A, Iesanu MI, Ionescu R-B, Haret RM, Panaitescu AM, Zagrean L. 2022. Oxytocin and vasopressin in the hippocampus. *Vitamins and Hormones* **118**:83–127. doi:10.1016/bs.vh.2021.11.002

Zeisel A, Moz-Manchado AB, Codeluppi S, Lönnerberg P, Manno GL, Juréus A, Marques S, Munguba H, He L, Betsholtz C, Rolny C, Castelo-Branco G, Hjerling-Leffler J, Linnarsson S. 2015. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347**:1138–1142. doi:10.1126/science.aaa1934

1100   Zeng H, Sanes JR. 2017. Neuronal cell-type classification: challenges, opportunities and the path
1101   forward. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **18**:530–546. doi:10.1038/nrn.2017.85
1102   Zilli EA. 2012. Models of grid cell spatial firing published 2005-2011. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*
1103   **6**:16. doi:10.3389/fncir.2012.00016

1104
1105

1106   **Tables**
1107
1108   **Table 1. Added knowledge and functioning in Hippocampome.org releases v1.1-12.**
1109

Version	Contribution	Article
v1.1	• definitions for terms and phrases relevant to Hippocampome.org	(Hamilton et al., 2017a)
v1.2	• clickable connectivity matrix • interactive connectivity navigator Java applet • searching by connectivity	(Rees et al., 2016)
v1.3	• downloadable list of ABA predictions of marker expressions • utility for viewing the effects of thresholds on ABA marker expression predictions	(Hamilton et al., 2017b)
v1.4	• access to the synapse knowledge base	(Moradi and Ascoli, 2020)
v1.5	• relational biomarker expression inferences	(White et al., 2020)
v1.6	• firing pattern phenotypes • clickable firing pattern matrix • clickable firing pattern parameters matrix • search by firing pattern • search by firing pattern parameter	(Komendantov et al., 2019)
v1.7	• Izhikevich models • clickable Izhikevich model parameters matrix • downloadable single-neuron parameter files • downloadable single-neuron CARLSim4 simulation files • ability to perform single-neuron simulations of the firing patterns	(Venkadesh et al., 2019)
v1.8	• clickable/downloadable neurite lengths matrix • clickable/downloadable somatic path distances matrix • clickable/downloadable numbers of potential synapses matrix • clickable/downloadable numbers of contacts matrix • clickable/downloadable connection probabilities matrix	(Tecuatl et al., 2021b)
v1.9	• clickable matrix for *in vivo* recordings	(Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021)
v1.10	• Cognome knowledge base of spiking neural circuit functions and network simulations of the hippocampal formation	(Sutton and Ascoli, 2021)
v1.11	• clickable matrix of neuron type census values for rat and mouse	(Attili et al., 2022)
v1.12	• clickable/downloadable matrices of synaptic physiology parameter values (g, τ_d, τ_r, τ_f, U) for combinations of species, sex, age, temperature, and recording mode	(Moradi et al., 2022)

1110

1111 **Table 2.** Probabilities of connection and number of contacts per connected pair from DG

1112 Granule cell to mossy fibers targets in CA3.

1113

Postsynaptic neuron type	Probability	# contacts
CA3 Pyramidal	1.11E-04	1.08
CA3c Pyramidal	3.91E-04	1.31
CA3 Spiny Lucidum Dentate-Projecting	5.89E-04	1.69
CA3 Mossy Fiber-Associated ORDEN	4.44E-04	1.27
CA3 Basket	6.55E-04	1.50
CA3 Basket CCK+	2.14E-04	1.16
CA3 Ivy	3.35E-04	1.29
CA3 Mossy Fiber-Associated	3.78E-05	1.04
CA3 LMR-Targeting	1.31E-04	1.21
CA3 Lucidum ORAX	2.62E-04	1.19
CA3 Lucidum-Radiatum	3.25E-04	1.13
CA3 Axo-Axonic	7.56E-04	1.50
CA3 Bistratified	8.25E-04	1.45
CA3 QuadD-LM	2.91E-04	1.25

1114
1115

1116 **Table 3. Examples of independent studies utilizing unique neuronal properties from**
1117 **Hippocampome.org v1.0.**
1118

Article	Usage
(Gulyás et al., 2016)	Lists of subthreshold physiological properties for multicompartmental modeling
(Skene and Grant, 2016)	Catalog of CA1 Interneuron types
(Faghihi and Moustafa, 2017)	Diversity of hippocampal neuron types and morphological neuronal features
(Puighermanal et al., 2017)	Biomarker expression in CA1 interneurons
(Depannemaecker et al., 2020)	Parameter values for a model of synaptic neurotransmission
(Ecker et al., 2020)	Evidence that CA1 interneurons express multiple overlapping chemical markers
(Hunsberger and Mynlieff, 2020)	Cell identification based on firing properties
(Schumm et al., 2020)	Directionality of connections in the hippocampus
(Aery Jones et al., 2021)	Local connectivity of CA1 PV+ interneurons
(Ciarpella et al., 2021)	Lists of hippocampal genes
(Luo et al., 2021)	Confirmation of multiple hippocampal neuron types
(Mehta et al., 2021)	Connectome model inspired by entorhinal-CA1 circuit
(Obafemi et al., 2021)	Principal channels of information processing are DG Granule cells and CA1-3 Pyramidal cells
(Sáray et al., 2021)	Membrane biophysics values for CA1 Pyramidal cells
(Smith et al., 2021)	Omni-directionality of axons of CA1 Pyramidal cells
(Venkadesh and Van Horn, 2021)	Example of a brain region's mesoscopic structural connectivity
(Walker et al., 2021)	Reference to morphological and molecular characteristics of hippocampal principal cells and interneurons
(Wynne et al., 2021)	Example brain region with a variety of cell types
(Kopsick et al., 2022)	Utilize accumulated knowledge as the basis for simulations
(Schumm et al., 2022)	Hippocampal morphology, biomarker expression, connectivity, and typing of neurons
(Zagrean et al., 2022)	Diversity of hippocampal neuronal types and their properties

1119

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Defining neuron types in Hippocampome.org. A) Properties of a Hippocampome.org v1.0 neuron type. A1) Morphology of a Dentate Gyrus (i)2232 Basket cell (NeuroMorpho.Org cell NMO_34300: Hosp et al., 2014) with axons (red) in *stratum granulosum* (SG) and dendrites (blue) in all four layers. A2) Schematic interpretation of the morphological tracing, where the circle represents the location of the soma in SG, the red triangle the location of the axons in SG, and the blue rectangles the locations of the dendrites in all four layers. A3) Hippocampome.org representation of the morphology, where a blue square with a vertical line (|) indicates dendritic presence in the outer two-thirds of the *stratum moleculare* (SMo) and the inner one-third of the *stratum moleculare* (SMi) and the hilus (H), a purple square with a cross (+) indicates both axonal and dendritic presence in SG, and a black dot (•) indicates the soma location in SG. A4) Hippocampome.org numerical coding of the reconstructed neuron, where 2 indicates the presence of dendrites (in SMo, SMi, and H); and 3 indicates the presence of both axons and dendrites (in SG). A5) Biomarker expressions, where a green triangle indicates positive expression for parvalbumin (PV), and blue triangles indicate negative expression for cholecystokinin (CCK) and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP). A6) Firing pattern phenotype (non-adapting spiking (NASP); adapted from Figure 1B1 in Savanthrapadian et al., 2014). A7) Membrane biophysics values (from Figure 3C and Table 1 in Lübke et al., 1998) recorded at 35-37 °C. B) Properties for a Hippocampome.org v2.0 neuron type. B1) Morphology of a DG (i)2210 Basket GRALDEN (NeuroMorpho.Org cell NMO_146159: Vaden et al., 2020) with red axons in SG and blue dendrites in SMo and Smi. B2) Schematic interpretation of the reconstruction (same symbols as in A2). B3-4) Hippocampome.org representation and numerical coding of the morphology (same symbols as in A3-4). B5) Biomarker expression. B6) Firing pattern phenotype (silence preceded by transient stuttering (TSTUT.SLN); adapted from Figure S4 in Markwardt et al., 2011). B7) Membrane biophysics values recorded at room temperature (from Figure 4D in Vaden et al., 2020), and at 22 °C (from Figure S4 in Markwardt et al., 2011); emboldened values were extracted from the firing pattern trace in B6. Membrane biophysics abbreviations: V_{rest}: resting membrane potential; V_{thresh}: firing threshold potential; AP_{ampl}: action potential amplitude; AP_{width}: action potential width; R_{in}: input resistance; τ_m: membrane time constant; Max FR: maximum firing rate; fAHP: fast after-hyperpolarizing potential; sAHP: slow after-hyperpolarizing potential; Sag ratio: ratio of the steady-state membrane potential to the minimum membrane potential.

Figure 2. New neuron types added to Hippocampome.org v2.0. A) Morphology encodings of the 56 new neuron types that extend the 124 types in Hippocampome.org v1.12. (Left) Increase in number of neuron types for each subregion. For the neuron type names, excitatory types (e) are in black font and inhibitory types (i) are in gray font. The 3-6 digit numbers encode the patterns of axons and dendrites in the layers of the home subregion of the neuron type: 0 = no axons or dendrites, 1 = only axons, 2 = only dendrites, 3 = both axons and dendrites. A "p" indicates that the neuron types projects across into other subregions. B) Biomarker expressions of the neuron types. C) Membrane biophysics values for the neuron types. Morphology abbreviations: DG: dentate gyrus; SMo: outer two-thirds of *stratum moleculare*; SMi: inner one-third of *stratum moleculare*; SG: *stratum granulosum*; H: hilus; SLM: *stratum lacunosum-moleculare*; SR: *stratum radiatum*; SL: *stratum lucidum*; SP: *stratum pyramidale*; SO: *stratum oriens*; Sub: subiculum; PL: polymorphic layer; EC: entorhinal cortex. Marker abbreviations: CB: calbindin; CR: calretinin; PV: parvalbumin; 5HT-3: serotonin receptor 3; CB1: cannabinoid receptor type 1; GABAa α1: GABA-a alpha 1 subunit; mGluR1a: metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 alpha; Mus2R: muscarinic type 2 receptor; vGluT3: vesicular glutamate transporter 3; CCK: cholecystokinin; ENK:

1166   enkephalin; NPY: neuropeptide Y; SOM: somatostatin; VIP: vasoactive intestinal polypeptide; nNOS:
1167   neuronal nitric oxide synthase; RLN: reelin. Membrane biophysics abbreviations: see Figure 1.
1168
Figure 3. Extensions to the neuronal properties of Hippocampome.org v1.x. A1) Additions to the axonal
projections (circled in green) for two v1.0 neuron types. A2) Evidence for the axonal extensions in strata
oriens, pyramidale, and radiatum from A1 are circled in green (adapted from Figure 2b in Deller et al.,
1996). Scale bar: 75 μm. B1) Biomarker expressions for the two CA1 Pyramidal sub-types added in
Hippocampome.org v1.9 (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2021). B2) Membrane biophysics values for the two
sub-types. B3) CA2 projects preferentially to the deep sublayer of CA1 (Kohara et al., 2014). More
perisomatic parvalbumin-positive (PV+) GABAergic boutons are found at CA1 Deep Pyramidal cells
(Valero et al., 2015). CA1 Superficial Pyramidal cells form more frequent connections to PV+ CA1 Basket
cells, and PV+ CA1 Basket cells form significantly more perisomatic axon terminals on CA1 Deep
Pyramidal cells (Lee et al., 2014). C1) Additions to the firing pattern phenotypes of v1.0 neuron types.
C2a) Example of adapting spiking (ASP.) in a CA1 Oriens-Bistratified cell (adapted from Figure 4B in Craig
and McBain, 2015). C2b) Example of non-adapting spiking (NASP) in a CA3 Basket CCK+ cell (adapted
from Figure 3A in Szabadics and Soltesz, 2009). C2c) Example of transient stuttering (TSTUT.) in a CA1
Radiatum Giant cell (adapted from Figure 2Bb in Kirson and Yaari, 2000). C2d) Example of transient
stuttering followed by persistent stuttering (TSTUT.PSTUT) in a CA1 Interneuron Specific O-targeting
QuadD (adapted from Figure 2D in Chamberland et al., 2010). C2e) Example of silence preceded by
transient stuttering (TSTUT.SLN) in a DG MOLAX cell (adapted from Figure S2c in Lee et al., 2016). D)
Synaptic probabilities for projecting connections and the corresponding number of contacts in brackets
between the two CA3 Pyramidal neuron types and a selection of CA1 neuron types. Morphology
abbreviations: see Figure 2. Marker abbreviations: CB: calbindin; Astn2: astrotactin 2; Dcn: decorin;
Gpc3: glypican 3; Grp: gastrin releasing peptide; Htr2c: 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2c; Ndst4: N-
deacetylase and N-sulfotransferase 4; Nov: nephroblastoma overexpressed; Nr3c2: nuclear receptor
subfamily 3 group C member 2; Nr4a1: nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 1; Prss12: serine
protease 12; Prss23: serine protease 23; Wfs1: wolframin ER transmembrane glycoprotein. Membrane
biophysics abbreviations: see Figure 1.
1194
1195   **Figure 4.** Trends in Hippocampome.org data, knowledge, citations, and usage since v1.0. A) Increase in
1196   pieces of knowledge (blue) and evidence (red) with Hippocampome.org version number. B) Number of
1197   citations, in which the publication is simply referenced (blue and gray portions), and usage cases, in
1198   which the citing work makes use of the information contained within the Hippocampome.org-related
1199   work (orange and yellow portions), by year.
1200
Figure 5. Transitional knowledge enabling Hippocampome.org to support spiking neural network
simulations. Center: General diagram of the hippocampal formation and the number of cell types in
Hippocampome v1.0. A) Neuron type census. Top: General pipeline for obtaining cell counts for specific
collections of neurons from the peer reviewed literature. Left: Neuron count proportions for the
different subregions of the hippocampal formation. Insert: Normalized neuron counts for the inhibitory
vs. excitatory balance by subregion. Right: Neuron counts for five identified CA2 neuron types (green
schematic: excitatory, red schematic: inhibitory). B) Neuron dynamics. Top: General pipeline for
obtaining Izhikevich models to reproduce the firing pattern phenotypes from peer reviewed data. Right:
Simulated firing pattern from a Sub CA1-Projecting Pyramidal cell in response to a 250-pA current
injection pulse lasting 1 s. Izhikevich model parameters are shown in bold and the membrane biophysics
properties are shown in regular font. C) Synaptic probabilities. Left: General pipeline for obtaining the
connection probabilities, number of contacts, and dendritic and axonal path lengths from 2D
reconstructions. Middle: Example of a connectivity diagram of a DG Granule cell and two interneurons

across the different parcels of DG. Probabilities of connection (mean ± SD) are shown in black, numbers
of contacts in gray, dendritic path lengths in blue, and axonal lengths in red. Right top: Total number of
connections within DG by connection type. Right bottom: Breakdown of the total number of
connections by parcel and connection type. D) Synaptic physiology. Left: General pipeline for obtaining
normalized synaptic parameters from paired recordings with a TPM model. Right top: Digitized synaptic
data between two EC LII-III Pyramidal-Tripolar cells. Experimental data are shown in blue, initiation
synaptic points in pink, model data in orange, and corrected data in green. Right bottom: Simulated
modeling conditions, electrophysiological parameters, and TPM parameters. Abbreviations by panel: A)
PC: Pyramidal cell; BC: Basket cell; WA BC: Wide-Arbor Basket cell; BiC: Bistratified cell; Exc: excitatory;
Inh: inhibitory. B) V_r: resting membrane potential; V_t: firing threshold potential; V_{peak}: spike cutoff
potential; V_{min}: post-spike reset potential. C) E-E: excitatory-excitatory; E-I: excitatory-inhibitory; I-I:
inhibitory-inhibitory; I-E: inhibitory-excitatory. D) V_h: holding potential; τ_r: recovery time constant; τ_f:
facilitation time constant; g: conductance; τ_d: deactivation time constant; U: utilization ratio.

Figure 6. Hippocampome.org data provenance. A) The internal web of constituent neuron-type
properties (black thin arrows) that ultimately contribute to the instantiation of spiking neural
simulations (orange thick arrows). Properties described qualitatively, such as morphological presence of
axons in a layer or molecular biomarker expressions, are in black font. Properties described by
quantitative values, such as membrane biophysics and neurite lengths, are in red font. Properties with
v2.0 updated information, such as connectivity and firing pattern phenotypes, are depicted by blue
hexagons, and v1.x information, such as Izhikevich modeling parameter values and neuron-type census
values, is visualized by black circles. B) External resources that contribute data to and receive data from
Hippocampome.org (the ModelDB logo has been modified from the original).

Figure 7. CARLsim simulation parameters selection and file generation interface. A) The user chooses
which subset of the available neuron types to include in the generated downloadable parameter file.
Neuron types can be selected (check boxes and gray highlights) either individually or by groupings, such
as by subregion and/or by importance rank. B) Representative user selection. C) Downloadable neuron-
level parameters. D) Downloadable connection-level parameters.

Figure 8. Hierarchy of neuronal connectivity in Hippocampome.org. A) Subregional connectivity, where
the number of connections between subregions is shown, and the node size is proportional to the
number of neuron types in each subregion. B) The reciprocal connectivity between DG and CA3 neuron
types consists of 147 connections. The node size is proportional to the census size for each neuron type.
C) The full connectivity involving DG Granule and CA3 Basket neuron types consists of 98 connections.
The node size is proportional to the census size for each neuron type, and the thicknesses of the
connecting arrows are proportional to the synaptic probability. The dashed lines are connections for
which the synaptic probability has been approximated based on the means of known values. D) The
electrophysiological connection between a DG Granule cell and a CA3 Basket cell. The *in vivo* firing rate
is shown for the presynaptic neuron. The transfer function between the two neuron types is
proportional to the synaptic conductance times the single-exponential decay time constant (g · τ_d; rat,
male, P56, 32°C, current clamp). The frequency-current (F-I) curve of the single-compartment Izhikevich
model of a CA3 Basket cell was obtained with 10 pA current steps. Inset: Izhikevich model firing pattern
of a CA3 Basket cell simulated with 430 pA of current applied for 500 ms (vertical and horizontal scale
bars, respectively).

Figure 9. Spiking neural network simulations. A) Full-scale CA3 model. (Aa) Neuron type connectivity schematic. (Ab) Theta (4-12 Hz; top), Gamma (25-100 Hz; middle), and Sharp-Wave Ripple (150-200 Hz; bottom) filtered local field potentials from 175 ms of the simulation. (Ac) Raster plot of 500 Pyramidal cells and 50 interneurons of each type (top), and representative voltage traces for each neuron type (bottom) during the same 175 ms of the simulation in (Ab). B) Spatial representation through grid cell firing. (Ba) Neuron type connectivity schematic. Bb) Simulated animal trajectory (black) with red dots indicating the firing of a neuron in those locations. Bc) Raster plot of 50 randomly selected neurons from each type (top), and representative voltage traces for each neuron type. Abbreviations by panel: A) PC: Pyramidal cell; BiC: Bistratified cell; QuadD: QuadD-LM cell; AAC: Axo-axonic cell; MFA ORDEN: Mossy Fiber-Associated ORDEN cell; BC CCK+: cholecystokinin-positive Basket cell. B) SC: Medial Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Stellate cell; PC: Entorhinal Cortex Layer III Pyramidal cell; MP PC: Entorhinal Cortex Layer I-II Multipolar Pyramidal cell; AA: Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Axo-axonic; BC: Medial Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Basket; BC MP: Entorhinal Cortex Layer II Basket-Multipolar Interneuron.

A1 v1.0

SMo
SMi
SG
H

100 µm

DG (i)2232 Basket

A2

A3

A4

2 SMo
2 SMi
3 SG
2 H

A5

PV
CCK
VIP

A6

50 mV

200 ms

A7
V_{rest} = -62.0 ± 3.0 mV
V_{thresh} = 18.3 ± 0.7 mV
AP_{ampl} = 72.6 ± 1.0 mV
AP_{width} = 0.25 ± 0.04 ms
R_{in} = 43.0 ± 5.0 MΩ
τ_m = 10.0 ± 1.0 ms
Max FR = 230.0 ± 15.0 Hz
fAHP = 20.0 ± 2.3 mV
sAHP = 2.3 ± 0.2 mV
Sag ratio = 0.97 ± 0.02 mV

B1 v2.0

SMo
SMi
SG
H

100 µm

DG (i)2210 Basket GRALDEN

B2

B3

B4

2 SMo
2 SMi
1 SG
0 H

B5

PV

B6

50 mV

200 ms

B7
V_{thresh} = 23.8 mV
AP_{ampl} = 68.3 mV
AP_{width} = 0.14 ± 0.004 ms
R_{in} = 103.0 ± 6.0 MΩ
Max FR = 268.0 ± 9.0 Hz
fAHP = 16.5 mV
sAHP = 4.3 mV
Sag ratio = 0.88

A1

	CA1				Sub			EC					
	SLM	SR	SP	SO	SM	SP	PL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
EC LIII Small Pyramidal													
EC LIII Pyramidal													

A2

SO
SP
SR
SLM

B1

	CB	Astn2	Dcn	Gpc3	Grp	Htr2c	Ndst4	Nov	Nr3c2	Nr4a1	Prss12	Prss23	Wfs1
CA1 Deep PC													
CA1 Superficial PC													

B2

V_rest (mv)	R_in (MΩ)	Max FR (Hz)
-71.6	124.4	31.1
-66.0	117.0	32.1

B3

CA2 → CA1 Superficial Pyramidal

CA1 Deep Pyramidal ⇄ CA1 Basket PV+

C1

	ASP.	NASP	TSTUT.	TSTUT.PSTUT	TSTUT.SLN
DG MOLAX					1
CA3 Pyramidal		1			
CA3 Basket	1				
CA3 Basket CCK+		1			
CA3 Bistratified		1			
CA1 Cajal-Retzius					3
CA1 Radiatum Giant		1			
CA1 Oriens-Bistratified	1	1			1
CA1 IS O-target QuadD				1	
EC LIII Pyramidal		1			

C2a 10 mV / 0.1 s

C2b 20 mV / 200 ms

C2c 2 nA

C2d 20 mV / 200 ms

C2e 1 s / 50 mV / 0.2 s

D

CA1 Pyramidal
CA1 Axo-axonic
CA1 Basket
CA1 Bistratified
CA1 Ivy
CA1 O-LM

CA3 Pyramidal

CA3c Pyramidal

1.67E-01 [1.62]
1.21E-01 [1.37]
1.37E-01 [1.52]
8.36E-02 [1.23]
7.29E-02 [1.18]
5.98E-02 [1.27]

3.57E-01 [3.63]
3.07E-01 [2.48]
3.49E-01 [3.43]
2.64E-01 [1.96]
1.90E-01 [1.58]
2.84E-02 [1.52]

A — Neuron type census

Literature search Hippocampome.Org papers → Data extraction and processing → Optimization / Implementation → Equation construction and results

DG
CA3
CA2
CA1
Sub
EC

Percentage
CA1 CA2 CA3 DG Sub EC
Exc Inh

CA2 neuron types
Number of cells
PC BC WA BC BiC SP-SR
Exc
Inh

B — Neuron dynamics

Firing pattern phenotypes → Evolutionary algorithm → Results → Izhikevich Model / Point-neuron / Multi-compartment

Adapting (ASP)
Rapidly Adapting (RASP)
Non-Adapting (NASP)
Delayed (D)
Persistent stuttering (PSTUT)
Persistent slow-wave bursting (PSWB)

k = 4.64
a = 0
b = 25.16
C = 724
d = 3

V_r = -59.40 mV
V_t = -45.35 mV
V_{peak} = -18.92 mV
V_{min} = -53.45 mV

Sub CA1-Projecting Pyramidal
Voltage (mV)
Time (ms)

CA1 (40)
CA2 (5)
CA3 (25)
SUB (3)
EC (31)
DG (18)

C — Synaptic probabilities

Neuronal types Hippocampome 1.0
2D images
Axo-dendritic overlap
Results
Dendritic path length
Axonal path length
Probability of connection
Number of contacts

DG Granule
SMo
391.0 µm
0.32 ± 0.20
811.9 µm
56.86 µm
189.6 µm
624.2 µm
292.6 µm
SMi
MOCAP
0.32 ± 0.05
270.2 µm
0.31 ± 0.09
GC
230.4 µm
657.4 µm
254.7 µm
SG
135.7 µm
357.1 µm
134.6 µm
412.5 µm
HIPROM
350.7µm
0.53 ± 0.74

DG Connection type
Number of connections
E-E E-I I-I I-E
SMo SMi SG H
E-E
E-I
I-I
I-E

Simulated signal + Data Normalization → Preprocessing → Tsodyks, Pawelzik, and Markram (TPM) Model → Matrix Completion → Predictive Features / Machine Learning

D — Synaptic physiology

EC LII-III Pyramidal-Tripolar
Signal (mV)
Time (ms)
Data
Model
Corrected data
Init

Synaptic Modeling Values
Age: 14 days
T = 22°C
V_h = -60 mV
τ_r = 1457 ms
τ_f = 28.11 ms
g = 1.606 nS
τ_d = 4.39 ms
U = 0.2558

A

Neurite Lengths

Synaptic Probability

Connectivity

Cognome

Signaling Delays

Spiking Neural Simulations

Tsodyks-Pawelzik-Markram Model

Synaptic Physiology

Morphology

Neuron Census

Izhikevich Models

Naming

In Vivo Recordings

Membrane Biophysics

Firing Patterns

Biomarkers

Qualitative   = v2.0 updated information

Quantitative   = v1.X information

B

CARLsim   NeuroMorpho.Org

ModelDB

ALLEN INSTITUTE for BRAIN SCIENCE

Spiking Neural Simulations

Morphology

Izhikevich Models

Biomarkers

Neuron Census

A

Hippocampome.org

Simulation Parameters Selection

Download a precomputed parameter set zip file:

[DG] [CA3] [CA2] [CA1]

[Sub] [EC] [All]

OR select a user-defined parameter set:

☐ All neuron types   [Generate zip file]

☐ DG ☐ CA3 ☑ CA2 ☐ CA1 ☐ Sub ☐ EC

   ☐ All rank 1-5 neuron types

     ☑ All rank 1-3 neuron types

       ☐ All canonical neuron types

B

DG (0/35)	CA3 (0/33)	CA2 (3/5)
☐ DG Granule	☐ CA3 Pyramidal	☑ CA2 Pyramidal
☐ DG Semilunar GC	☐ CA3c Pyramidal	☑ CA2 Basket
☐ DG Mossy	☐ CA3 Giant	☐ CA2 Wide-Arbor BC
☐ DG AIPRIM	☐ CA3 Granule	☑ CA2 Bistratified
☐ DG Axo-axonic	☐ CA3 Axo-axonic	☐ CA2 SP-SR

C

Neuron Type	E/I	rank	Population Size	Izh Vr	Izh Vt	Izh a	Izh b	Izh k	Izh d	Izh C
CA2_Pyramidal	e	3	18956	-72.59	-58.78	0.00	-15.89	5.94	74	1630
CA2_Basket	i	3	105	-70.31	-49.91	0.01	-28.48	5.12	132	150
CA2_Bistratified	i	3	79	-74.10	-40.19	0.01	4.75	0.80	4	118

D

Presynaptic	Postsynaptic	TPM g	TPM tau_d	TPM tau_r	TPM tau_f	TPM U	Conn Prob
CA2_Pyramidal	CA2_Pyramidal	2.54	3.42	215.28	102.02	0.29	0.03091
CA2_Basket	CA2_ Pyramidal	3.98	6.25	580.69	10.61	0.27	0.00684
CA2_Bistratified	CA2_Pyramidal	4.58	5.70	588.65	10.00	0.28	0.08168
CA2_Pyramidal	CA2_ Basket	4.44	2.46	138.68	163.55	0.37	0.03159
CA2_Basket	CA2_Basket	2.78	5.41	508.31	28.25	0.26	0.00423
CA2_Bistratified	CA2_ Basket	3.16	4.93	510.18	27.01	0.26	0.08287
CA2_Pyramidal	CA2_ Bistratified	2.32	2.47	143.16	122.67	0.29	0.04682
CA2_Basket	CA2_ Bistratified	2.06	5.59	504.11	22.74	0.24	0.00423
CA2_Bistratified	CA2_Bistratified	2.29	5.12	508.27	21.67	0.24	0.09951