Diving efficiency at depth and pre-breeding foraging effort increase

with hemoglobin levels in gentoo penguins

Sarah P. McComb-Turbitt[1], Glenn T. Crossin[2], Megan Tierney[3], Paul Brickle[4,5], Philip

Trathan[6,7], Tony D. Williams[8], Marie Auger-Méthé[1,9,*]

[1] Institute for the Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British

Columbia, Canada, V6T 1Z4

[2] Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2

[3] Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Quay House, 2 Station Road, Fletton Quays,

Peterborough, PE2 8YY, UK.

[4] South Atlantic Environmental Research Institute, Stanley Cottage, Ross Road, Stanley, FIQQ

1ZZ, Falkland Islands

[5] School of Biological Sciences (Zoology), University of Aberdeen, Tillydrone Avenue,

Aberdeen, Scotland AB24 2TZ, UK

[6] British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET UK

[7] National Oceanography Centre, Waterfront Campus European Way, SO14 3ZH UK

[8] Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia,

Canada, V5A 1S6

[9] Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia,

Canada, V6T 1Z4

[*] Corresponding author: auger-methe@stat.ubc.ca

Abstract

Individual differences in oxygen storage and carrying capacity have been associated with

differences in foraging success and fitness-related traits. For air-breathing aquatic animals, such

differences can limit diving ability, and thus resource access. As many seabirds deplete their

energy reserves during breeding and moulting periods, winter foraging efficiency can influence

their breeding behaviour and success. Using gentoo penguins (*Pygoscelis papua*), we investigate

(1) if inter-individual variation in diving efficiency is associated with indices of oxygen storage

and carrying capacity (hemoglobin, hematocrit, body mass), and (2) if an individual's pre-

breeding foraging effort is associated with these oxygen indices and breeding status. Hemoglobin

was positively correlated with diving efficiency, particularly for deeper dives, and only penguins

with high hemoglobin levels frequently dove at depth $\geq$ 140m. However, pre-breeding foraging

effort increased with hemoglobin levels, a relationship likely explained by the fact that reaching

deep offshore waters requires additional travelling compared to nearshore foraging. The

relationship with hematocrit was non-linear, suggesting that commonly-used analyses may not be

appropriate for this index. We found that early-laying penguins spent less time at sea prior to

nesting than non-breeding penguins. Our study suggests that diving ability, and thus likely access

to resources, is linked to aerobic capacity, and that lower foraging effort is associated with early

laying. These associations suggest that anthropogenic changes (e.g., an increase in shallow water

fisheries) may have differing impacts on individuals. Further understanding these links could

help the conservation of diving species.

Running page head: Diving efficiency and effort in penguins

Keywords: Diving efficiency, oxygen storage and carrying capacity, breeding participation,

gentoo penguin, hemoglobin, hematocrit, body mass, time-depth recorder

1. Introduction

Intra-specific variation in foraging and movement behaviour is ubiquitous, and can have important ecological consequences at both the individual and population levels (Bolnick et al. 2003, Ceia & Ramos 2015, Phillips et al. 2017, Shaw 2020). Such variation can be caused by complex relationships with the environment, intra- and inter-specific interactions (e.g., competition), and physiological differences (Shaw 2020). Differences in oxygen storage and carrying capacity can be particularly important, as they have been associated with variation in movement behaviour, habitat selection, and fitness-related traits such as breeding participation and arrival at breeding grounds (Crossin et al. 2013, 2015, Minias 2015). For diving animals, oxygen storage and carrying capacity can limit diving ability, and thus access to resources may be physiologically restricted (Roncon et al. 2018). For such animals, the rate of mass gain while foraging has been linked to indices of aerobic capacity (Crossin et al. 2015).

Diving animals have a range of adaptations to maximize their aerobic capacity and extend their breath-holding capability (Roncon et al. 2018). Hemoglobin is an oxygen carrying protein present in red blood cells, and thus its level is a crucial determinant of the rate of oxygen delivered to tissues (Minias 2015). Hemoglobin levels are often used as a proxy of aerobic capacity and physiological conditions in birds (Minias 2015), and is elevated in bird species that dive (Minias 2020). Prolonged breath-holding capacity is often linked to oxygen storage. Oxygen stores typically increase with hemoglobin concentration, blood volume, myoglobin concentration in skeletal muscles, muscle mass, and lung volume (Mirceta et al. 2013, Roncon et al. 2018). As larger individuals possess more blood and muscle, body mass is often positively associated with oxygen storage capacity (Ponganis & Kooyman 2000, Cook et al. 2013, Mirceta et al. 2013, Polito et al. 2015, Camprasse et al. 2017). As such, total blood hemoglobin concentration

(referred as Hb), hematocrit (percentage of red blood cell in blood, referred as Hct), and body

mass can be important determinants of a breath-holding diver's physiological performance and

can limit an animal's diving ability (Crossin et al. 2015, Chimienti et al. 2017).

Seabirds display high levels of individual variation in foraging and movement (Ceia &

Ramos 2015, Phillips et al. 2017), and diving seabirds provide a unique opportunity to link

differences in oxygen storage and carrying capacity to variation in diving and movement

behaviour, and ultimately to differential breeding participation. Many seabirds severely deplete

their energy reserves during breeding and moulting periods and must replenish these reserves by

foraging intensively in winter (Sorensen et al. 2009, Crossin & Williams 2016). Consequently,

winter foraging efficiency and success, as well as carryover effects, can influence multiple

aspects of an individual bird's breeding capacity and behaviour (Alves et al. 2013, Crossin &

Williams 2016). For example, individuals that breed earlier spend less time foraging in winter,

and thus are assumed to be more efficient foragers (Daunt et al. 2006). Understanding how

individuals vary in their foraging ability and behaviour as they prepare for breeding can help

assess the possible impacts natural and anthropogenic ecosystem changes can have on

populations (dit Durell 2000, Bearhop et al. 2006, Cury et al. 2011, Phillips et al. 2017).

Here, using a population of gentoo penguin (*Pygoscelis papua*), we explore how

individual variation in winter diving behaviour relates to indices of oxygen storage and carrying

capacity, and how these relate to their breeding status. We use gentoo penguin as a case study

because they show considerable individual variation in winter movement behaviour (Baylis et al.

2021). They have been identified as Type B generalists, meaning that gentoo penguins exist as

generalist populations composed of specialized individuals (Polito et al. 2015, Camprasse et al.

2017, Handley et al. 2017, Herman et al. 2017). Gentoo penguins consume a wide variety of

locally available prey found at a range of depths down to approximately 200 m (Tanton et al.

2004, Thiebot et al. 2011, Camprasse et al. 2017). There is a high likelihood of intraspecific

competition for prey around colonies, as gentoo penguins are not migratory and forage close-to-

shore year-round (Clausen et al. 2005, Bearhop et al. 2006, Kokubun et al. 2010). The number of

breeding pairs in the Falkland Islands fluctuates drastically, with changes of 50 % occurring in a

single year (Pistorius et al. 2010, Stanworth & Crofts 2019). Changes in population breeding

success are thought to be linked to prey availability (Pistorius et al. 2010) and broad-scale

climatic variation (Baylis et al. 2012).

To understand the link between oxygen storage and carrying capacity, pre-breeding

diving and foraging behaviour, and breeding activity, we investigate (1) if diving efficiency is

associated with indices of oxygen storage and carrying capacity (Hb, Hct, body mass), and (2) if

pre-breeding foraging effort differs between individuals based on their breeding status and

indices of oxygen storage and carrying capacity. We use time-depth recorders (TDRs) to

quantify diving efficiency and foraging effort. As attaching such devices to animals can add drag

and affect their performance, behaviour, and reproductive success (Taylor et al. 2001, Beaulieu

et al. 2010, van der Hoop et al. 2014, Wilson et al. 2015), we also evaluate whether tagged

individuals differ from control birds in terms of breeding activity, Hb, Hct, and mass.

2.   Methods

2.1 Data collection

We captured 66 adult gentoo penguins post-moult (April 4 – 10, 2018) from two colonies

in the Falkland Islands: Rookery Sands, Race Point (51.4345°S, 59.1081°W, n=33) and Tamar

Point, Pebble Island (51.3241°S, 59.4523°W, n=33, Fig. 1). We weighed each captured penguin

using a 10 kg Pesola scale, took a blood sample (< 1.5 ml) from the left brachial vein using

heparinized syringes fitted with 25-gauge needles, and marked them with black hair dye (L'Oreal

Preference) to facilitate re-identification. We equipped each penguin with a Sirtrack K2G 173A

SWS KIWISAT 202B (34g, 55 x 27 x17 mm, Sirtrack, Havelock North, New Zealand) and Lotek

LAT1800 TDRs (13.6 g, 62 x 13 x 13 mm, Lotek Wireless Inc, St. John's, Canada). We attached

the two devices to midline back feathers using TESA® tape (Beiersdorf AG, GmbH, Hamburg,

Germany) and cyanoacrylate glue on tape seams.

During the breeding season (September 27 - October 21, 2018), we recaptured 35 of the

previously tagged penguins and 35 unmarked penguins, the latter serving as controls in our

analysis of the potential effects of tagging. As described above, we weighed each penguin, took a

blood sample, and marked the control bird with hair dye. We retrieved any devices still attached

to the penguins. Only one of the recaptured penguins had an Argos tag still attached, but 21 had

TDR devices still attached (7 Race Point, 14 Pebble Island). We note that we were unable to

collect sufficient blood samples from a few birds, resulting in only 19 of the individuals with

TDRs also having blood data from the breeding period (5 Race Point, 14 Pebble Island).

The research was conducted under Falkland Islands Scientific Research Licence

(R12/2017) and conformed to guidelines from the Canadian Committee on Animal Care

(University of British Columbia Animal Care Permit A17-0243 and Dalhousie University

Animal Care Permit 17-100).

2.2 Indices of oxygen storage and carrying capacity

Using the blood samples from the breeding period, we used hematocrit (Hct) and

hemoglobin (Hb) as physiological indicators of blood oxygen storage and carrying capacity. As

Hct is based on blood cytology and Hb is based on blood biochemistry, each measure provides

different insights into the aerobic capacity of individuals (Fair et al. 2007, Kaliński et al. 2011,

Minias 2015). Hct (percent packed red blood cell volume) was determined from fresh whole

blood in heparinized capillary tubes centrifuged for 5 m (Centrifuge; Brinkmann Instruments,

Ontario, Canada) at 10000 g and measured using digital callipers (± 0.01 mm). Hb (total blood

hemoglobin concentration in g/dL) was determined using the cyanomethemoglobin method

(Drabkin & Austin 1932), where 5 μl of fresh whole blood was pipetted (Gilson Pipetman P2;

Gilson Medical Electronics, France) into 1.25 ml Drabkin's reagent (D541-6V, Brij 35 solution

B4184-100ml; Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, US). We ran triplicate samples and read absorbance at

540 nm with a microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek PowerWave 340, Vermont, USA). The

inter-assay coefficient of variation was 1.70 % between plates.

We used the body mass measured during the breeding period as a proxy of oxygen

storage capacity. We did not scale body mass to structural body size to generate a morphometric

index because (1) oxygen stores (e.g., blood volume) and dive duration have been shown to

increase with body mass itself (e.g., Hassrick et al. 2010), and (2) mass alone has been shown to

be a more reliable measure of body condition in birds (Schamber et al. 2009, Labocha & Hayes

2012). We identified the sex of the individuals by sending blood samples to Viaguard

(https://www.accu-metrics.com/animal-services/p/avian-dna-bird-sexing-test), which performs

DNA testing. We note that sex results were unavailable for three of the 19 penguins for which

we had TDR and blood data.

2.3 Dive data processing

Lotek TDR tags recorded depth (0.25 m resolution), temperature (0.01°C resolution), and

wet/dry state at 1 s intervals. We wanted to focus on the time period that could be linked to the

blood samples. Since the approximate rate of erythropoiesis in birds is 30 – 42 days (Rodnan et

al. 1956), we limited the analyses to dives made within 40 days prior to recapture. Given that the

last transmission from the Argos tags was August 16, 2018, well before this threshold, and very

few tags transmitted locations past May, we do not consider the Argos data further.

To characterise the diving behaviour of foraging individuals, we extracted the dive

metrics from the TDR series using the software Divebomb (1.0.7, Nunes 2019) in Python

(3.4.10, Python Software Foundation 2019). We first corrected the dive data for drift using a zero

offset (Luque & Fried 2011), and we identified the following dive metrics: start of the dive, dive

duration, maximum depth, bottom time, and post-dive surface interval. To remove the shallow

dives most likely associated with travelling, we discarded all dives shallower than 5 m (Kokubun

et al. 2010, Lee et al. 2015, Carpenter-Kling et al. 2017). Following Kokubun et al. (2010) and

Lee et al. (2015), we eliminated the inclusion of long surface periods unlikely to be associated

with active foraging by only considering dives with post-dive surface interval < 200 s. Through a

visual assessment of 100 random dives across all individuals, we quantified that Divebomb gave

precise measurements of decent, bottom, accent, and surface phases of dives 94 % of the time.

Divebomb produced minor errors distinguishing the bottom phase in cases where undulations in

depth occurred over the transition from decent to accent phases.

2.4 Behavioural observations

To assess the reproductive status of penguins, teams of two observers monitored the

breeding behaviour of marked birds. Individual presence at the colony was recorded daily at

Race Point from September 27, 2018, to October 24, 2018, and Pebble Island from September

29, 2018, to October 24, 2018, through colony scans and monitoring arriving individuals until

dusk (13h00 – 19h00). Focal follows were conducted for > 5 minutes on individuals observed in

the colony and lasted until the presence or absence of eggs in an occupied nest could be

confirmed. The pair bonding behaviours we monitored included nest building, copulation, pair

calling, and pair bowing (Williams 1995). Due to a later than predicted initiation of breeding in

2018, we could not acquire the lay date for most penguins. Thus, we classified penguins into

three categories of reproductive status: non-breeding, breeding, and early-laying individuals.

Penguins classified as non-breeders were found most often at different locations than nesting

penguins, typically towards the edge of colonies, and rarely displayed pair-bonding behaviours.

Penguins categorized as breeders were observed conducting pair-bonding behaviours at stable

nest site locations. Early laying penguins were observed to be incubating at least one egg prior to

October 24, 2018. We note that none of the penguins had laid an egg at Race point at the time of

departure of field observers.

2.5 Linking diving efficiency to oxygen storage and carrying capacity indices

We used generalized additive mixed models (GAMM) to determine the relationship

between diving efficiency at different maximum depths and our three previously described

indices of oxygen storage and carrying capacity (Hb, Hct and body mass). We defined dive

efficiency as $\frac{bottom\ time}{dive\ duration\ +\ post\ dive\ surface\ interval}$ (Simeone & Wilson 2003, Lescroël & Bost

2005, Lee et al. 2015). Using the R package mgcv (Wood 2011), we used a thin-plate regression

spline to model the non-linear relationship between dive efficiency and maximum dive depth. To

investigate the potential interactions between maximum depth and the indices of oxygen storage

and carrying capacity (Hb, Hct, and mass), we included a tensor product term (with cubic

regression spline basis) between maximum depth and each index. To be able to decompose the

interactions with maximum depth from the potential main effects of each index, we included a

separate tensor product and a thin-plate regression spline for the relationship with dive efficiency

and each index (i.e., used s() + ti() in mgcv). To account for potential biological or behavioural

differences in sex and colony, we first included both terms as fixed effects in our GAMM and

assessed their importance. As sex was not deemed an important covariate for this analysis (see

Supplement 1) and three individuals were missing sex information, our final analysis did not

include sex and included these three individuals. We also included a random effect on the

intercept to account for the fact that we had multiple dives per penguin.

The diving data were highly temporally autocorrelated, and fitting GAMMs with

complex autoregressive-moving-average (ARMA) functions are computationally demanding. For

example, running our full GAMMs with ARMA functions took multiple days to run on an iMac

3.6 GHz 10-Core Intel Core i9, and we ran into multiple convergence problems. We found that

we had to sub-sample one dive every 70 dives to completely remove the autocorrelation in the

residuals of the full model, which resulted in having on average 85 dives per individuals. For

model selection, we used the shrinkage method with a double penalty (i.e., select = "TRUE" in

mgcv; Marra & Wood 2011), which penalizes both unnecessary wiggliness and linear effect of a

covariate. This approach has many advantages over stepwise variable selection and model subset

selection, such as exploring a larger model space, and has been previously used to select

covariates in diving models (Marra & Wood 2011, Photopoulou et al. 2014).

There were some correlation and concurvity between the covariates. As such, we explore

whether, and how, the results change when creating GAMMs with only one of the important

oxygen indices at a time. See Supplement 2 for details.

As post-hoc analyses, we further explored how the deep diving behaviour of individuals

changed in relation to the oxygen storage and carrying capacity indices deemed important in the

GAMM above. As some individuals with low oxygen storage and carrying capacity may reduce,

or altogether forgo, doing deep dives (here defined as $\geq$ 140m), we used simple generalized

additive models (GAMs) to assess whether the proportion of deep dives each individual

performed changed in relation to each of the selected oxygen index. As high dive efficiency can

be achieved by either extending the bottom time or reducing surface recovery between dives, we

used new GAMMs to explore how bottom time and post-surface dive intervals for deep dives

changed in relation to each of the selected oxygen index. For these new GAMMS, we looked at

the oxygen indices separately, as the smaller sample size did not allow to have both in the

models at once. We included penguin id as a random effect to account for the fact that we have

more than one dive per individual.

2.6 Associating measures of foraging effort with breeding status and oxygen storage and

carrying capacity indices

To determine if pre-breeding dive behaviour changes between individuals based on their

breeding status and oxygen storage and carrying capacity, we investigated three measures of

foraging effort: mean trip duration, time at sea, and diving effort. To estimate mean trip duration

and time at sea, we first identified trips at sea using the binary wet-dry data collected with the

saltwater sensor of the TDRs. To account for occasional jumps at sea, which results in brief

recordings of dry conditions, we averaged the wet-dry data using a 10-minute rolling window.

We classified any window value with an average > 0 as wet. We terminated a trip just before a

dry period lasting at least one hour, and the subsequent trip began once a new wet value was

recorded. The mean trip duration was the average duration of trips for the 40 days prior to

recapture, while the time spent at sea was the sum of all trip durations within that period. To

measure the general diving effort, we calculated the total amount of vertical distance travelled

for the time spent at sea ($\sum maximum\ depth\ (km) \times 2$; similar to Lescroël & Bost 2005,

Booth et al. 2018).

Using multiple linear regressions, we investigated whether each of these measures of

foraging effort was associated with breeding status, sex, and the three oxygen storage and

carrying capacity indices (Hb, Hct, mass). We only included individuals from Pebble Island

since none of the Race Point individuals laid eggs before the end of the field season. To identify

the covariates that best explained the data, we fitted models with all possible combinations of

these covariates. Given the small sample size (n=13), we compared the models using the Akaike

Information Criterion with correction for small sample size (AICc). We considered models that

outperformed the null model (model with no covariates) and had ΔAICc < 4 from the best model

(Burnham and Anderson 2002). Next, we used Wilcoxon rank sum tests to assess whether there

were differences in oxygen indices across sex and breeding status. We used a Bonferroni

correction to account for the multiple comparisons.

2.7 Assessing the potential effects of tagging

To limit the potential influence of our tags on the behaviour, energy expenditure, or

condition of the animals (e.g., Wilson et al. 1986, Vandenabeele et al. 2015), we minimized drag

by using tags with a streamlined design and attaching them in an appropriate caudal position

(Bannasch et al. 1994). Furthermore, the overall weight of devices was < 1 % of the average

body mass recorded, and similar devices have not affected the diving behaviour of gentoo

penguins (Kokubun et al. 2010). However, especially because we deployed tags for an extended

period, we wanted to assess whether the data for the tagged penguin were representative of the

untagged animals and look for signs of tagging effects. To do so, we compared the physiology at

breeding and breeding status of tagged to untagged penguins. Specifically, we used two-sample

t-tests to compare Hb, Hct, and mass at breeding. For the reproductive status, we used χ^2

goodness-of-fit tests, and because of the small sample size we estimated the p-value using a

Monte Carlo method (Hope 1968). We note that we were unable to sample sufficient blood for

three of the recaptured penguins, as well as unable to measure Hct for one untagged penguin and

Hb for another untagged individual. Thus, while the mass comparison included 35 tagged, and

35 untagged individuals, the comparisons for Hct and Hb included only 33 tagged and 34

untagged individuals. In addition, for the comparison of reproductive status, we focussed only on

penguins from Pebble Island since none of the penguins at Race Point laid an egg before the end

of our field season. Finally, we also quantify the proportion of tagged penguins that increased in

mass between capture and recapture and the average weight gain during that period.

All data analyses were performed using R (4.2.1, R Core Team 2022). Values presented

in the results are mean ± SD unless otherwise stated, and statistical tests were considered

significant at a 0.05 level.

3. Results

3.1 Relationships between diving efficiency and indices of oxygen storage and carrying

capacity

The dive efficiency of the penguins in our sample was explained through complex

interactions with maximum depth and both Hb and Hct (Table 1, Fig. 2). Our GAMM indicated

that only the maximum depth of a dive, and its interaction with Hb and Hct, and a colony effect

were important in explaining dive efficiency (Table 1).

Dive efficiency increased at medium depth followed by a decline at deeper depth (Fig.

2A) and the interaction between maximum depth and Hb showed that dive efficiency primarily

increased with Hb for deeper dives (Fig. 2B). Post-hoc analyses revealed that this increase in

dive efficiency with Hb at deeper depth is primarily explained by an increase in bottom time

rather than a decrease in the post-dive surface interval (Fig. 3B-C, Supplement 3). In addition,

we found that the proportion of deep dives ($\geq$ 140 m) made by an individual increased with Hb

(Fig. 3A, Supplement 3).

The non-linear interaction between maximum depth and Hct was more complex, with

multiple and less easily interpretable peaks in dive efficiency across Hct values (Fig. 2C). The

post-hoc analyses revealed that neither bottom time, post-dive surface interval, nor the

proportion of deep dives showed a significant relationship with Hct (see Supplement 3).

Various aspects beyond dive efficiency varied between individuals. For example, the

maximum depth reached by individuals during the 40 days ranged from 74.8 to 217.8 m, and

trips at sea ranged from less than one day to 24 days. We provide a general description of dive

characteristics, foraging effort measures, and oxygen storage and carrying capacity indices in

Supplement 4.

3.2 Foraging effort relationships with oxygen storage and carrying capacity indices, sex,

and reproductive status

Two pre-breeding foraging effort measures were associated with oxygen storage and

carrying capacity indices, sex, and reproductive status (Fig. 4, Table 2). The best model for

diving effort indicated a positive relationship between pre-breeding diving effort and Hb, and the

best model for time at sea suggested that early-laying penguins spent less time at sea prior to

capture than breeding and non-breeding penguins (Fig 4, Table 2, Supplement 5). However,

many other models for these two measures outperformed the null models and had $\Delta AICc < 4$

(Table 2). The presence of many competitive models is likely explained by the small sample size

and some of the relationships we observed between covariates. For example, given that males in

our samples have a significantly lower average Hg level than females (W = 34, p-value = 0.045;

Fig. 5A), it is not surprising that the second-best model showed that males displayed less diving

effort than females. Similarly, early-laying penguins have, on average, a lower Hb level than

non-breeding penguins (Fig. 5B). Although this difference is not significant (W = 15, p-value =

0.057), it may contribute to the fact that the second-best model for time at sea did not include

breeding status but included a positive relationship with Hb. Average trip duration had the null

model as best model (Table 2), and thus was not considered further.

3.3 Potential effects of tagging

Almost all (32/35) tagged penguins recaptured gained weight during the winter, with an

average gain of 1.1 kg $\pm$ 0.7. However, on average the tagged penguins were slightly, but

significantly, lighter at recapture than the untagged penguins (tagged penguins: 6.9 kg

$\pm$ 0.6, untagged penguins: 7.3 kg $\pm$ 0.5; $t_{66.498} = 2.812$, p-value = 0.006). We did not find any

other significant differences between tagged and untagged penguins. Their Hb and Hct values

were similar (Hb: $t_{62.863} = -0.524$, p-value = 0.774; Hct: $t_{63.633} = 1.480$, p-value = 0.144), and their

breeding status did not differ significantly ($\chi^2 = 0.866$, simulated p-value = 0.712). However,

given the small sample size for the breeding status analysis (tagged =18, untagged = 19), we note

that, compared to the untagged penguins, there were more tagged penguins that did not

participate in breeding and fewer tagged penguins that laid early (Supplement 2). Overall, our

results suggest that it is unlikely the relationships we found with blood oxygen storage and

carrying capacity indices (i.e., Hb and Hct) are affected by tagging, but that tagging can reduce

the mass of the penguins and may affect their behaviour. See Supplement 6 for more details.

4. Discussion

Our results show that the diving efficiency and pre-breeding diving effort of gentoo

penguin were related with Hb and Hct. The relationships with Hb were amongst the strongest,

with efficiency of deep dives ($\geq$ 140m) increasing with Hb level. This increase in efficiency can

be explained by the fact that Hb limits the total amount of oxygen able to be carried by the blood

(Minias 2015, Roncon et al. 2018). Of the factors that could have affected dive efficiency, higher

Hb was primarily associated with an increase in the bottom time of the dive rather than reduced

recovery time (i.e., no decrease in post-dive surface interval with increased Hb levels were

observed). Gains in dive efficiency, and associated increased time at the bottom, are likely

important to gentoo penguins, as they increase the time available to search for and capture prey

during foraging dives. As prey are almost always encountered during the bottom phase of dives

(Simeone & Wilson 2003, Takahashi et al. 2004, 2008, Kokubun et al. 2010), increased bottom

time translates into time spent where prey is most likely to be encountered. For dives below 140

m, penguins with high Hb (20-31 g/dL) increased their mean bottom phase by 22 % compared

with penguins with low Hb (10-20 g/dL). Such an increase could impact foraging success.

Proportion of deep dives performed by penguins increased with Hb levels, with only

individuals with high Hb levels regularly diving 140 m or deeper. Pre-breeding diving effort also

increased with Hb levels, suggesting that individuals with high Hb levels may use a deep diving

strategy that consequently requires longer horizontal travel time in order to reach deep water

further from shore. Such individuals are accessing resources only available at deep depths,

giving them access to a wider variety of prey items and potentially decreasing intraspecific

competition (e.g., Cimino et al. 2016). Similar findings have been documented in other species.

For example, macaroni penguins (*Eudyptes chrysolophus*) forage in habitats within their aerobic

capacity (Crossin et al. 2015), and Hb is correlated with higher-quality diets (reviewed in Minias

2015).

Dive efficiency displayed a complex non-linear relationship with Hct levels and Hct was

a covariate in some highly ranked models associated with diving effort and time at sea. The

complexity of this non-linear relationship, and the fact that Hct was not in the best linear model

of any of the foraging effort measures, could be associated with the counteracting effects of high

Hct levels. While a high Hct level is associated with a higher number of oxygen-carrying red

blood cells, it is also linked to increased blood viscosity, and thus increased cardiac workload or

decreased blood flow (Birchard 1997, Schuler et al. 2010, Reinhart 2016). In addition, optimum

Hct levels can be affected by factors such as age and disease (Reinhart 2016, Johnstone et al.

2017). In research employing Hct as an index of body condition, higher values are often assumed

to indicate better physiological performance (reviewed in Fair et al. 2007, Minias 2015). In

contrast, our results suggest that Hct has a complex non-linear relationship with dive efficiency

and that higher Hct may not always result in higher dive efficiency. The use of Hct as a

physiological indicator has been criticized due to inconsistent relationships with performance

and fitness-related traits in many species (reviewed in Fair et al. 2007, Minias 2015, Johnstone et

al. 2017). For example, intermediate, rather than high Hct levels were linked to maximum

longevity and recruitment rate in house wrens (*Troglodytes aedon*, Bowers et al. 2014). Our

results suggest that, while Hct likely relates to diving ability, linear relationships are unlikely to

capture the complex relationship between these variables, and that non-linear models should be

used whenever the sample size allows.

While values of both Hb and Hct aligned with previously reported values for gentoo

penguins (20.3 ± 4.9 g/dL and 49.1 ± 4.5 % in our study; 20.7 ± 1.6 g/dL and 50 ± 4 % in Ibañez

et al. 2015), they were not closely correlated to one another (see Supplements 2-4). Because

mature red blood cells have similar amounts of Hb, we expected a correlation between Hct and

Hb. However, ecological stressors can affect these indices differently (Bańbura et al. 2007,

Wagner et al. 2008, Johnstone et al. 2017), and weak correlations have been documented in other

seabird species (e.g., Kaliński et al. 2011). While some anemic birds with low Hb concentrations

may be unable to improve their condition, some will actively regenerate red blood cells (Jaensch

& Clark 2004, Fair et al. 2007, Campbell et al. 2010). In such cases, whether Hb and Hct will

remain correlated will be affected by erythropoiesis. Erythropoiesis increases the number of

immature red blood cells, which are larger and initially have lower Hb content,

disproportionately increasing Hct but not Hb (Wagner et al. 2008, Campbell et al. 2010,

Johnstone et al. 2017). We identified one individual that may have demonstrated evidence of

compromised health. This non-breeding penguin had the lowest Hb and yet the fourth highest

Hct. Anemia can be associated with additional health conditions, such as heavy parasite loads or

severe injury (Vleck et al. 2000, Jaensch & Clark 2004, Fair et al. 2007, Clark 2015). Despite

showing no apparent signs of injury at the time of re-capture, this anemic individual was one of

the only individuals that lost mass between April and October. Such an example supports

experimental designs that measure both Hb and Hct when assessing individual health (Johnstone

et al. 2017).

According to optimal foraging theory, penguins maximize their bottom time and

minimize energy spent travelling down to where prey is located (Stephens & Krebs 1986, Wilson

et al. 2010, Zimmer et al. 2010). As such, shallow dives should be preferred, requiring less travel

time and energy to swim down to depth (Shepard et al. 2009, Zimmer et al. 2010). Deeper dives

are only profitable when prey capture rates, size, or caloric value outweigh the energetic costs of

diving (Sala et al. 2014b). In our data, peak dive efficiency occurred in shallow foraging habitat

at approximately 60 m deep (Fig. 2A), not far from previously reported values (25-30 m; Lee et

al. 2015). In line with theory, this putative optimal depth (60 m) was repeatedly visited by

penguins (see Supplement 4). However, likely to reach energy-rich prey, many penguins foraged

far deeper than this putative optimal depth (maximum depth reached by individuals: 166 m

± 29).

Diet studies suggest that deeper dives may provide greater access to larger prey that may

be more valuable to gentoo penguins than the smaller prey in shallower habitat. Clausen et al.

(2005) compared the diet of gentoo penguins in colonies around the Falkland Islands with

surveys of prey abundance during the 2000 pre-breeding season. They highlight preferential

foraging of squid and fish over krill, which has also been highlighted in the historical diet of

gentoo penguins (McMahon et al. 2019). Prey likely to be essential for Pebble Island and Race

Point penguins includes bentho-demersal lobster krill (*Munida gregaria*), found at depths 26-

116m, and Patagonian squid (*Doryteuthis gahi*), found at depths 60-96m, both of which were in

high abundance close to the colonies in 2000 (Clausen et al. 2005). A deeper squid species

(*Moroteuthopsis Ingens*), found at depths 100-166m, and various rock cod species

(*Patagontothen spp.*), found at depths 40m-205m, are abundant further offshore and were found

in their diet in 2000 (Clausen et al. 2005). In a more recent assessment of prey, rock cod were

found to be a principal prey item for gentoo penguins in the Falkland Islands (Handley et al.

2016). Generally, for marine species, prey size increases with depth (Masello et al. 2010, Miller

et al. 2010).

Using dive efficiency as a measure of diving abilities implicitly assumes that animals

typically dive to their aerobic dive limit and does not account for the numerous factors

influencing dive time and efficiency. For example, predators adapt foraging strategies based on

prey conditions (e.g., Magellanic Penguins, *Spheniscus magellanicus*; Sala et al. 2014a) and may

abandon a dive early in poor prey conditions (e.g., Thums et al. 2013, Viviant et al. 2016). Such

behavioural factors likely explain the low dive efficiency at shallow depths. However, deep

diving is more energetically costly to undertake (Shepard et al. 2009, Zimmer et al. 2010). As

such, oxygen storage and carrying capacity are more likely to influence the behaviour of animals

foraging at deeper depths (Thompson & Fedak 2001, Viviant et al. 2016) and may explain why

the relationship with Hb is more apparent at depth.

Gentoo penguins that laid early spent significantly less time at sea than non-breeding

penguins, a difference potentially indicative of having high breeding obligations and higher

foraging efficiency. Participating in breeding compels individuals to defend nest locations and

partake in pair-bonding behaviours, requiring many species of penguins to fast during this time

(Williams 1995). While gentoo penguins do not fast during breeding, they increase their time on

land to maintain a nest site for approximately two weeks before egg-laying (Black 2016). All

monitored gentoo penguins, except one non-breeder, were captured at least 11 days (14.5 ± 2.2

days, September 30 to October 07) before eggs were first seen in the colony (October 18). Thus,

while early-laying penguins may have been maintaining nest sites for a few days at the end of the

TDR observation period, most of the 40-day data would be prior to nesting and may be

associated with early-laying penguins requiring less time to meet energetic demands.

Acquisition of food resources during the pre-breeding period is essential, as breeding

birds must expend additional energy to defend their nest and produce eggs (Williams 2012).

Successful acquisition of high quality resources relates to earlier laying, which can influence

reproductive success (Sorensen et al. 2009). For example, early breeding European shag

(*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) spend less time foraging in winter than other individuals (Daunt et al.

2006), successfully breeding Adélie penguins (*Pygoscelis adeliae*) are more efficient foragers

(Lescroël et al. 2010), and breeding Manx shearwater (*Puffinus puffinus*) spend less time in

winter foraging and flying than those that defer breeding (Shoji et al. 2015). In species such as

the Adélie penguin, non-breeding individuals were 90 % of the mass of breeders (Vleck & Vleck

2002). We found no significant differences in mass between non-breeding, breeding, and early-

laying penguins, but the non-breeding penguins were 93 % the weight of early-laying penguins.

As such, the decrease in time at sea for early-laying gentoo penguins could indicate that these

individuals may achieve food requirements in less time during the pre-breeding period.

While none of the indices of oxygen storage and carrying capacity were in the best model

for the time spent at sea, Hb, Hct, and mass were included in comparable models (AICc < 4), and

there were relationships between breeding status and these indices. In many bird species, Hb

levels in females decline during the egg production and laying period (reviewed in Minias 2015).

Though the differences were not significant, our data show analogous patterns, where early-

laying penguins have lower Hb levels than breeding and non-breeding penguins. Given the

observed increase in diving efficiency with Hb levels, such reduction in Hb could create

important trade-offs between foraging capacity and reproduction. Other penguin species, such as

macaroni penguins, have evolved extreme size dimorphism due to similar trade-offs between

aerobic condition and egg production (Jubinville et al. 2020). As the physiology of each sex is

affected differently by breeding (Williams 2012, Desprez et al. 2018), future studies with a larger

sample size could explore sex-specific associations between Hb levels, foraging capacity, and

breeding, and further disentangle these potential trade-offs.

5. Conclusion

Seabirds are long-lived and favour conservative breeding strategies prioritizing their

survival when conditions are sub-optimal for breeding (Storey et al. 2017). Here, we show that a

higher Hb level was associated with greater dive efficiency at depth, increased tendency to make

deeper dives, and additional foraging effort. The non-linear relationship between Hct and diving

efficiency helps to inform some of the key limitations involved in using Hct as an indicator of

blood oxygen storage and carrying capacity and individual conditions (Johnstone et al. 2017).

Pre-breeding behaviour was related to the eventual reproductive status of gentoo penguins,

where deferred breeders spent more time at sea.

Foraging is an essential activity for survival, and understanding how an individual's

physiology and health affect dive performance, selection of foraging habitat, and breeding

participation is essential to understanding the effects natural and anthropogenic ecosystem

changes can have on populations. In the Falkland Islands, the continental shelf is a popular

fishing ground, and gentoo penguins are occasionally caught as bycatch and potentially compete

for prey with fishing industries (Clausen & Pütz 2003, Trathan et al. 2015). Their extended

winter range could overlap with exploration for hydrocarbons, and there is a consideration of

further developing fisheries inshore (Augé et al. 2015). Given the associations between an

individual's Hb and Hct levels and their diving and foraging patterns, these human activities

could affect portions of the population differently. For example, reducing prey availability

nearshore could be especially detrimental for penguins with low oxygen storage and carrying

capacity.

According to the island-wide census of 14 colonies, nesting pair numbers and breeding

success in 2018 were above the long-term annual average recorded since 2003 (Stanworth and

Crofts 2019), suggesting that the penguins in our study experienced relatively prosperous

environmental conditions. However, in a year of low environmental conditions, the costs of an

inferior strategy could be more prevalent (Fronstin et al. 2016, Storey et al. 2017). Therefore,

further understanding the relationship between body conditions and diving efficiency, and its

impact on foraging success and breeding participation across a range of environmental

conditions, may be crucial in understanding breeding participation and population dynamics in

the future.

6. Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. David Rosen and Colin Brauner for their advice, and Jeff Yap, Mason

King, and Elizabeth Ruberg for their assistance with the hemoglobin assays. We thank John and

Michelle Jones and Dot and Alex Gould who granted access to the gentoo penguin colonies and

provided logistics support. We thank Lina Crossin, Joanna Wong, Katie Harrington, Isabeau

Pratte, Megan Boldenow, and Emma Phillips for their assistance in the field, as well as Dr. Sarah

Crofts, Dr. Andrew Stanworth, and Riki Evans, along with Falklands Conservation, South

Atlantic Environmental Research Institute, and British Antarctic Survey for their logistics

support. We thank Dr. Jonathan Handley for his information on tag attachment. Financial support

was provided by the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Discovery

Grant), the University of British Columbia, and the Werner and Hildegard Hesse Research

Award in Ornithology, the Canadian Research Chairs program, Canada Foundation for

Innovation (John R. Evans Leaders Fund), and B.C. Knowledge Development Fund.

7. Animal care

This research was conducted under Falkland Islands Scientific Research Licence

(R12/2017), University of British Columbia Animal Care Permit A17-0243 and Dalhousie

University Animal Care Permit 17-100.

8. Literature Cited

Alves JA, Gunnarsson TG, Hayhow DB, Appleton GF, Potts PM, Sutherland WJ, Gill JA (2013)

Costs, benefits, and fitness consequences of different migratory strategies. Ecology

94:11–17.

Augé A, Lascelles B, Dias MP (2015) Marine spatial planning for the Falkland Islands.

'Methodology for identification of important areas for marine megafauna' workshop

report. South Atlantic Environmental Research Institute, Stanley, Falkland Islands.

Bańbura J, Bańbura M, Kaliński A, Skwarska J, Słomczyński R, Wawrzyniak J, Zieliński P

(2007) Habitat and year-to-year variation in haemoglobin concentration in nestling blue

tits Cyanistes caeruleus. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A 148:572–

577.

Bannasch R, Wilson RP, Culik B (1994) Hydrodynamic aspects of design and attachment of a

back-mounted device in penguins. The Journal of experimental biology 194:83–96.

Baylis AMM, Zuur AF, Brickle P, Pistorius PA (2012) Climate as a driver of population

variability in breeding gentoo penguins *Pygoscelis papua* at the Falkland Islands. Ibis

154:30–41.

Baylis AMM, Tierney M, Orben RA, González de la Peña D, Brickle P (2021) Non-breeding

movements of gentoo penguins at the Falkland Islands. Ibis 163:507–518.

Bearhop S, Phillips RA, McGill R, Cherel Y, Dawson DA, Croxall JP (2006) Stable isotopes

indicate sex-specific and long-term individual foraging specialisation in diving seabirds.

Marine Ecology Progress Series 311:157–164.

Beaulieu M, Thierry AM, Handrich Y, Massemin S, le Maho Y, Ancel A (2010) Adverse effects

of instrumentation in incubating Adélie Penguins (*Pygoscelis adeliae*). Polar Biology

33:485–492.

Birchard GF (1997) Optimal hematocrit: theory, regulation and implications. American

Zoologist 37:65–72.

Black CE (2016) A comprehensive review of the phenology of Pygoscelis penguins. Polar

Biology 39:405–432.

Bolnick DI, Svanbäck R, Fordyce JA, Yang LH, Davis JM, Hulsey CD, Forister ML (2003) The

ecology of individuals: incidence and implications of individual specialization. The

American Naturalist 161:1–28.

Booth JM, Steinfurth A, Fusi M, Cuthbert RJ, McQuaid CD (2018) Foraging plasticity of

breeding northern rockhopper penguins, *Eudyptes moseleyi*, in response to changing

energy requirements. Polar Biology 41:1815–1826.

Bowers EK, Hodges CJ, Forsman AM, Vogel LA, Masters BS, Johnson BGP, Johnson LS,

Thompson CF, Sakaluk SK (2014) Neonatal body condition, immune responsiveness,

and hematocrit predict longevity in a wild bird population. Ecology 95:3027–3034.

Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical

information theoretic approach. 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York,

USA.

Campbell TW, Smith SA, Zimmerman KL (2010) Hematology of waterfowl and raptors. In:

Weiss DJ, Wardrop KJ (eds) Schalm's Veterinary Hematology. Sixth edition. Wiley-

Blackwell, Ames, USA, p 977–986

Camprasse ECM, Cherel Y, Bustamante P, Arnould JPY, Bost C-A (2017) Intra-and inter-

individual variation in the foraging ecology of a generalist subantarctic seabird, the

gentoo penguin. Marine Ecology Progress Series 578:227–242.

Carpenter-Kling T, Handley JM, Green DB, Reisinger RR, Makhado AB, Crawford RJM,

Pistorius PA (2017) A novel foraging strategy in gentoo penguins breeding at sub-

Antarctic Marion Island. Marine Biology 164:1–33.

Ceia FR, Ramos JA (2015) Individual specialization in the foraging and feeding strategies of

seabirds: a review. Marine Biology 162:1923–1938.

Chimienti M, Cornulier T, Owen E, Bolton M, Davies IM, Travis JMJ, Scott BE (2017) Taking

movement data to new depths: inferring prey availability and patch profitability from

seabird foraging behavior. Ecology and Evolution 7:10252–10265.

Cimino MA, Moline MA, Fraser WR, Patterson-Fraser DL, Oliver MJ (2016) Climate-driven

sympatry may not lead to foraging competition between congeneric top-predators.

Scientific Reports 6:18820.

Clark P (2015) Observed variation in the heterophil to lymphocyte ratio values of birds

undergoing investigation of health status. Comparative Clinical Pathology 24:1151–

1157.

Clausen AP, Pütz K (2003) Winter diet and foraging range of gentoo penguins (*Pygoscelis*

papua) from Kidney Cove, Falkland Islands. Polar Biology 26:32–40.

Clausen AP, Arkhipkin AI, Laptikhovsky VV, Huin N (2005) What is out there: diversity in

feeding of gentoo penguins (*Pygoscelis papua*) around the Falkland Islands (Southwest

Atlantic). Polar Biology 28:653–662.

Cook TR, Lescroël A, Cherel Y, Kato A, Bost CA (2013) Can foraging ecology drive the

evolution of body size in a diving endotherm? PLoS ONE 8:e56297.

Crossin GT, Williams TD (2016) Migratory life histories explain the extreme egg-size

dimorphism of Eudyptes penguins. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 283:20161413.

Crossin GT, Phillips RA, Wynne-Edwards KE, Williams TD (2013) Postmigratory body

condition and ovarian steroid production predict breeding decisions by female gray-

headed albatrosses. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology: Ecological and

Evolutionary Approaches 86:761–768.

Crossin GT, Takahashi A, Sakamoto KQ, Trathan PN, Williams TD (2015) Habitat selection by

foraging macaroni penguins correlates with hematocrit, an index of aerobic condition.

Marine Ecology Progress Series 530:163–176.

Cury PM, Boyd IL, Bonhommeau S, Anker-Nilssen T, Crawford RJM, Furness RW, Mills JA,

Murphy EJ, Österblom H, Paleczny M, Piatt JF, Roux J-P, Shannon L, Sydeman WJ

(2011) Global seabird response to forage fish depletion - one-third for the birds. Science

334:1703–1707.

Daunt F, Afanasyev V, Silk JRD, Wanless S (2006) Extrinsic and intrinsic determinants of

winter foraging and breeding phenology in a temperate seabird. Behavioral Ecology and

Sociobiology 59:381–388.

Desprez M, Jenouvrier S, Barbraud C, Delord K, Weimerskirch H (2018) Linking oceanographic

conditions, migratory schedules and foraging behaviour during the non-breeding season

to reproductive performance in a long-lived seabird. Functional Ecology 32:2040–2053.

dit Durell S (2000) Individual feeding specialisation in shorebirds: Population consequences and

conservation implications. Biological Reviews 75:503–518.

Drabkin D, Austin H (1932) Spectrophotometric studies I. Spectrophotometric constants for

common hemoglobin derivatives in human, dog, and rabbit blood. Journal of biological

chemistry 98:719–733.

Fair J, Whitaker S, Pearson B (2007) Sources of variation in haematocrit in birds. Ibis 149:535–

552.

Fronstin RB, Christians JK, Williams TD (2016) Experimental reduction of haematocrit affects

reproductive performance in European starlings. Functional Ecology 30:398–409.

Handley JM, Baylis AMM, Brickle P, Pistorius P (2016) Temporal variation in the diet of gentoo

penguins at the Falkland Islands. Polar Biology 39:283–296.

Handley JM, Connan M, Baylis AMM, Brickle P, Pistorius P (2017) Jack of all prey, master of

some: influence of habitat on the feeding ecology of a diving marine predator. Marine

Biology 164:82.

Hassrick JL, Crocker DE, Teutschel NM, McDonald BI, Robinson RW, Simmons SE, Costa DR

(2010) Condition and mass impact oxygen stores and dive duration in adult female

northern elephant seals. Journal of Experimental Biology 213:585-592.

Herman RW, Valls FCL, Hart T, Petry MV, Trivelpiece WZ, Polito MJ (2017) Seasonal

consistency and individual variation in foraging strategies differ among and within

Pygoscelis penguin species in the Antarctic Peninsula region. Marine Biology 164:1–13.

Hope ACA (1968) A simplified Monte Carlo significance test procedure. Journal of the Royal

Statistical Society Series B 30:582–598.

Horswill C, Trathan PN, Ratcliffe N (2017) Linking extreme interannual changes in prey

availability to foraging behaviour and breeding investment in a marine predator, the

macaroni penguin. PLoS ONE 12:e0184114.

Ibañez AE, Najle R, Larsen K, Pari M, Figueroa A, Montalti D (2015) Haematological values of

three Antarctic penguins: Gentoo (*Pygoscelis papua*), Adélie (*P. adeliae*) and chinstrap

(*P. antarcticus*). Polar Research 34:25718.

Jaensch S, Clark P (2004) Haematological characteristics of response to inflammation or

traumatic injury in two species of black cockatoos: *Calyptorhynchus magnificus* and *C.*

funereus. Comparative Clinical Pathology 13:9–13.

Johnstone CP, Lill A, Reina RD (2017) Use of erythrocyte indicators of health and condition in

vertebrate ecophysiology: a review and appraisal. Biological Reviews 92:150–168.

Jubinville I, Williams TD, Trathan PN, Crossin GT (2020) Trade-off between aerobic

performance and egg production in migratory macaroni penguins. Comparative

Biochemistry and Physiology -Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology 247:

110742

Kaliński A, Markowski M, Bańbura M, Mikus W, Skwarska J, Wawrzyniak J, Gladalski M,

Zieliński P, Bańbura J (2011) Weak correlation between haemoglobin concentration and

haematocrit of nestling Great Tits *Parus major* and Blue Tits *P. caerule*. Ornis Fennica

88:234–240.

Kokubun N, Takahashi A, Mori Y, Watanabe S, Shin H-C (2010) Comparison of diving

behavior and foraging habitat use between chinstrap and gentoo penguins breeding in the

South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Marine Biology 157:811–825.

Labocha MK, Hayes JP (2012) Morphometric indices of body condition in birds: a review.

Journal of Ornithology 153:1–22.

Lee WY, Kokubun N, Jung J-W, Chung H, Kim J-H (2015) Diel diving behavior of breeding

gentoo penguins on King George Island in Antarctica. Animal Cells and Systems

19:274–281.

Lescroël A, Bost CA (2005) Foraging under contrasting oceanographic conditions: the gentoo

penguin at Kerguelen Archipelago. Marine Ecology Progress Series 302:245–261.

Lescroël A, Ballard G, Toniolo V, Barton KJ, Wilson PR, Lyver PO, Ainley DG (2010) Working

less to gain more: when breeding quality relates to foraging efficiency. Ecology 91:2044–

2055.

Luque SP, Fried R (2011) Recursive filtering for zero offset correction of diving depth time

series with GNU R package diveMove. PLoS ONE 6:e15850.

Marra G, Wood SN (2011) Practical variable selection for generalized additive models.

Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 55:2372–2387.

Masello JF, Mundry R, Poisbleau M, Demongin L, Voigt CC, Wikelski M, Quillfeldt P (2010)

Diving seabirds share foraging space and time within and among species. Ecosphere 1:1–

McMahon KW, Michelson CI, Hart T, McCarthy MD, Patterson WP, Polito MJ (2019)

Divergent trophics responses of sympatric penguin species to historic anthropogenic

exploitation and recent climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences:201913093.

Miller AK, Kappes MA, Trivelpiece SG, Trivelpiece WZ (2010) Foraging-niche separation of

breeding gentoo and chinstrap penguins, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. The Condor

112:683–695.

Minias P (2015) The use of haemoglobin concentrations to assess physiological condition in

birds: a review. Conservation Physiology 3:cov007.

Minias P (2020) Ecology and evolution of blood oxygen-carrying capacity in birds. American

Naturalist 195:788–801.

Minias P, Kamiński M, Janiszewski T, Indykiewicz P, Kowalski J, Jakubas D (2023)

Experimental reduction in blood oxygen-carrying capacity alters foraging behaviour in a

colonial waterbird. Journal of Experimental Biology. https://doi.org/10.1242/JEB.245443

Mirceta S, Signore AV, Burns JM, Cossins AR, Campbell KL, Berenbrink M (2013) Evolution

of Mammalian Diving Capacity Traced by Myoglobin Net Surface Charge Evolution of

Mammalian Diving. Science 340:1234192.

Nunes, A. 2019. Divebomb, version 1.0.7. Ocean Tracking Network, Halifax, CA.

Phillips RA, Lewis S, González-solís J, Daunt F (2017) Causes and consequences of individual

variability and specialization in foraging and migration strategies of seabirds. Marine

Ecology Progress Series 578:117–150.

Photopoulou T, Fedak MA, Thomas L, Matthiopoulos J (2014) Spatial variation in maximum

dive depth in gray seals in relation to foraging. Marine Mammal Science 30:923–938.

Pistorius PA, Huin N, Crofts S (2010) Population change and resilience in gentoo penguins

Pygoscelis papua at the Falkland Islands. Marine Ornithology 38:49–53.

Polito MJ, Trivelpiece WZ, Patterson WP, Karnovsky NJ, Reiss CS, Emslie SD (2015)

Contrasting specialist and generalist patterns facilitate foraging niche partitioning in

sympatric populations of Pygoscelis penguins. Marine Ecology Progress Series 519:221–

237.

Ponganis PJ, Kooyman GL (2000) Diving physiology of birds: a history of studies on polar

species. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A 126:143–151.

Python Software Foundation. 2019. Python Language Reference, version 3.4.10. Amsterdam,

AN.

R Core Team (2022) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

Reinhart WH (2016) The optimum hematocrit. Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation

64:575–585.

Rodnan GP, Ebaugh FGJ, Fox MRS, Chambers DM (1956) The life span of the red blood cell

and the red blood cell volume in the chicken, pigeon and duck as estimated by the use of

Na2Cr51O4: with observations on red cell turnover rate in the mammal, bird and reptile.

Blood 12:355–366.

Roncon G, Bestley S, McMahon CR, Wienecke B, Hindell MA (2018) View from below:

inferring behavior and physiology of Southern Ocean marine predators from dive

telemetry. Frontiers in Marine Science 5:464.

Sala JE, Wilson RP, Frere E, Quintana F (2014a) Flexible foraging for finding fish: variable

diving patterns in magellanic penguins *Spheniscus magellanicus* from different colonies.

Journal of Ornithology 155:801–817.

Sala JE, Wilson RP, Quintana F (2014b) Foraging effort in magellanic penguins: balancing the

energy books for survival? Marine Biology 162:501–514.

Schamber JL, Esler D, Flint PL (2009) Evaluating the validity of using unverified indices of

body condition. Journal of Avian Biology 40:49–56.

Schuler B, Arras M, Keller S, Rettich A, Lundby C, Vogel J, Gassmann M (2010) Optimal

hematocrit for maximal exercise performance in acute and chronic erythropoietin-treated

mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

107:419–423.

Shaw AK (2020) Causes and consequences of individual variation in animal movement.

Movement Ecology 8:1–12.

Shepard ELC, Wilson RP, Quintana F, Laich AG, Forman DW (2009) Pushed for time or saving

on fuel: fine-scale energy budgets shed light on currencies in a diving bird. Proceedings

of the Royal Society B 276:3149–3155.

Shoji A, Aris-Brosou S, Culina A, Fayet A, Kirk H, Padget O, Juarez-Martinez I, Boyle D,

Nakata T, Perrins CM, Guilford T (2015) Breeding phenology and winter activity predict

subsequent breeding success in a trans-global migratory seabird. Biology Letters

11:20150671.

Simeone A, Wilson RP (2003) In-depth studies of magellanic penguin (*Spheniscus*
magellanicus) foraging: can we estimate prey consumption by perturbations in the dive
profile? Marine Biology 143:825–831.

Sorensen MC, Hipfner JM, Kyser TK, Norris DR (2009) Carry-over effects in a Pacific seabird:
stable isotope evidence that pre-breeding diet quality influences reproductive success.
Journal of Animal Ecology 78:460–467.

Stanworth A, Crofts S (2019) Falkland Islands seabird monitoring programme annual report
2018/2019 (SMP26). Falklands Conservation, Stanley.

Stephens DW, Krebs JR (1986) Foraging theory. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Storey AE, Ryan MG, Fitzsimmons MG, Kouwenberg A, Takahashi LS, Robertson GJ, Wilhelm
SI, Mckay DW, Herzberg GR, Mowbray FK, Macmillan L, Walsh CJ (2017) Balancing
personal maintenance with parental investment in a chick-rearing seabird: physiological
indicators change with foraging conditions. Conservation Physiology 5:cox055.

Takahashi A, Dunn MJ, Trathan PN, Croxall JP, Wilson RP, Sato K, Naito Y (2004) Krill-
feeding behaviour in a chinstrap penguin, *Pygoscelis antarctica*, compared with fish-
eating in magellanic penguins *Spheniscus megellanicus*: a pilot study. Marine
Ornithology 32:47–54.

Takahashi A, Kokubun N, Mori Y, Shin HC (2008) Krill-feeding behaviour of gentoo penguins
as shown by animal-borne camera loggers. Polar Biology 31:1291–1294.

Tanton JL, Reid K, Croxall JP, Trathan PN (2004) Winter distribution and behaviour of gentoo

penguins *Pygoscelis papua* at South Georgia. Polar Biology 27:299–303.

Taylor SS, Leonard ML, Boness DJ, Majluf P (2001) Foraging trip duration

increases for Humboldt Penguins tagged with recording devices. Journal of Avian

Biology 32:369–372.

Thiebot J, Lescroël A, Pinaud D, Trathan PN, Bost C-A (2011) Larger foraging range but similar

habitat selection in non-breeding versus breeding sub-Antarctic penguins. Antarctic

Science 23:117–126.

Thompson D, Fedak MA (2001) How long should a dive last? A simple model of foraging

decisions by breath-hold divers in a patchy environment. Animal Behaviour 61:287–296.

Thums M, Bradshaw CJA, Sumner MD, Horsburgh JM, Hindell MA (2013) Depletion of deep

marine food patches forces divers to give up early. Journal of Animal Ecology 82:72–83.

Trathan PN, García-Borboroglu P, Boersma D, Bost C-A, Crawford RJM, Crossin GT, Cuthbert

RJ, Dann P, Davis LS, De La Puente S, Ellenberg U, Lynch HJ, Mattern T, Pütz K,

Seddon PJ, Trivelpiece W, Wienecke B (2015) Pollution, habitat loss, fishing, and

climate change as critical threats to penguins. Conservation Biology 29:31–41.

van der Hoop JM, Fahlman A, Hurst T, Rocho-Levine J, Shorter KA, Petrov V, Moore MJ

(2014) Bottlenose dolphins modify behavior to reduce metabolic effect of tag attachment.

The Journal of Experimental Biology 217:4229–4236.

Vandenabeele SP, Shepard ELC, Grémillet D, Butler PJ, Martin GR, Wilson RP (2015) Are bio-

771   telemetric devices a drag? effects of external tags on the diving behaviour of great

772   cormorants. Marine Ecology Progress Series 519:239–249.

773   Viviant M, Jeanniard-du-Dot T, Monestiez P, Authier M, Guinet C (2016) Bottom time does not

774   always predict prey encounter rate in Antarctic fur seals. Functional Ecology 30:1834–

775   1844.

776   Vleck CM, Vleck D (2002) Physiological condition and reproductive consequences in adélie

777   penguins. Integrative and Comparative Biology 42:76–83.

778   Vleck CM, Vertalino N, Vleck D, Bucher TL (2000) Stress, corticosterone, and heterophil to

779   lymphocyte ratios in free-living adélie penguins. The Condor 102:392–400.

780   Wagner EC, Stables CA, Williams TD (2008) Hematological changes associated with egg

781   production: direct evidence for changes in erythropoiesis but a lack of resource

782   dependence? The Journal of Experimental Biology 211:2960–2968.

783   Williams TD (1995) The penguins: Spheniscidae. J. N. Davies, editor. Oxford University Press.

784   Williams TD (2012) Physiological adaptations for breeding in birds. Princeton University Press.

785   Wilson RP, Grant WS, Duffy DC (1986) Recording devices on free-ranging marine animals:

786   does measurement affect foraging. Ecology 67:1091–1093.

787   Wilson RP, Shepard ELC, Laich AG, Frere E, Quintana F (2010) Pedalling downhill and

788   freewheeling up; a penguin perspective on foraging. Aquatic Biology 8:193–202.

Wilson RP, Sala JE, Gómez-Laich A, Ciancio J, Quintana F (2015) Pushed to the limit: food

abundance determines tag-induced harm in penguins. Animal Welfare 24:37–44.

Wood SN (2011) Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation

of semiparametric generalized linear models. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (B)

73:3-36.

Zimmer I, Wilson RP, Beaulieu M, Ropert-Coudert Y, Kato A, Ancel A, Plötz J (2010) Dive

efficiency versus depth in foraging emperor penguins. Aquatic Biology 8:269–277.

Table 1. Results from the final GAMM for dive efficiency. We present the estimate, standard

error of the parametric coefficient (intercept and fixed effect), as well as their associated test

statistic, and p-value. We also present the estimated degrees of freedom (EDF), test statistic, and

p-value associated with the smooth terms of GAMM. For model selection, we used the shrinkage

method with double penalty, which allows non-important terms to be shrunk to the null.

Term	Parametric coefficient				Significance of smooth terms		
	Estimate	SE	t	p-value	EDF	F	p-value
Intercept	0.295	0.006	47.606	< 0.001			
Colony (Race point)	0.034	0.014	2.474	0.014			
s(Depth)					7.856	33.369	< 0.001
s(Mass)					1.000	0.005	0.943
s(Hct)					1.000	2.302	0.129
s(Hb)					1.000	0.140	0.708
ti(Depth, Mass)					1.000	2.613	0.106
ti(Depth, Hct)					10.675	3.985	< 0.001
ti(Depth, Hb)					9.683	5.110	< 0.001

803   **Table 2.** Model selection results for the multiple linear regressions relating three measures of

804   foraging effort to oxygen store indices, sex, and breeding status. For each measure, only the null

805   models (no covariates), and the models that outperformed them, are shown in the table. We

806   present the number of parameters estimated (k), the Akaike Information Criterion with correction

807   for small sample size (AICc), and the difference in AICc from the best model (ΔAICc).

Response	Covariates	k	AICc	ΔAICc
Foraging effort	Hb	3	171.0	--
	Sex	3	171.4	0.34
	Hct	3	172.6	1.56
	(Null)	2	172.7	1.69
Time at sea	Breeding status	4	66.0	--
	Hb + Hct + Mass	5	67.1	1.17
	Hb + Mass	4	67.9	1.91
	Hb	3	68.3	2.32
	Breeding status + Mass	5	69.8	3.82
	Breeding status + Sex	5	70.3	4.36
	Breeding status + Hb	5	70.4	4.47
	(Null)	2	70.5	4.51
Trip duration	(Null)	2	59.0	--

Figure 1. Map of the Falkland Islands showing both study sites: A) Tamar Point, Pebble Island

(51.32°S, 59.45°W), B) Rookery Sands, Race Point (51.44°S, 59.11°W).

Figure 2. Slices of predictions from the final GAMM. Panel A displays how the predicted dive

efficiency changes in response to varying maximum depth values of a dive. The blue band

represents the 95% confidence intervals. The points show the observed values, with the color

representing the Hb levels and the size representing the Hct level. Panel B shows how dive

efficiency is predicted to change with both depth and Hb, while panel C shows how dive

efficiency is predicted to change with both depth and Hct. For both these panels, the color

represents the dive efficiency value (yellow representing highest efficiency) and the grey bands

represent the standard error (more opaque grey representing higher standard error).

Figure 3. Association between Hb and the proportion of dives an individual made at deeper

depth (≥ 140m, panel A), as well as changes in deep dives' post-dive surface intervals (panel B)

and bottom times (panel C). The blue lines represent the predicted value, and the blue bands

827   represent the 95% confidence intervals. The points represent the observed values, with darker

828   reds representing higher Hb levels, and larger points representing higher Hct levels.

Figure 4. Relationship between Hb and reproductive status and two of the pre-breeding foraging

effort measures for the penguins from Pebble Island. Panel A shows the relationship between

diving effort (sum of the vertical distance while at sea) and Hb. The line shows the linear

regression line, the band is the 95% confidence interval. Panel B shows the relationship between

the time spent at sea and the reproductive status. In both panels the points are the observations,

with the colour representing the Hb level and the size representing the Hct level.

841 **Figure 5.** Variation in the three oxygen storage and carrying capacity indices across sex (panels

842 A, C, E) and breeding status (panels B, D, F). Panels A and B show the boxplots for Hb, panels

843 C and D for Hct, and panels E and F for mass. The values presented are the p-values associated

844 with the Wilcoxon rank sum tests associated with the pairwise comparisons.