Manuscript Title: Mass spectrometry imaging of natural carbonyl products directly from agar-
based microbial interactions using 4-APEBA derivatization

Running title: Direct imaging of carbonyls in microbial interactions

Authors: Dušan Veličković,[a]* Kevin J. Zemaitis,[a]* Arunima Bhattacharjee,[a] Christopher R.
Anderton[a]

Affiliation: Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National
Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354[a]

*Address correspondence to Dušan Veličković, dusan.velickovic@pnnl.gov; Kevin J. Zemaitis,

kevin.zemaitis@pnnl.gov

ABSTRACT

Aliphatic carboxylic acids, aldehydes, and ketones play diverse roles in microbial

adaptation to their microenvironment, from excretion as toxins to adaptive metabolites for

membrane fluidity. However, the spatial distribution of these molecules throughout biofilms,

and how microbes in these environments exchange these molecules remains elusive for many

of these bioactive species due to non-efficient molecular imaging strategies. Herein, we apply

on-tissue chemical derivatization (OTCD) using 4-(2-((4-

bromophenethyl)dimethylammonio)ethoxy)benzenaminium bromide (4-APEBA) on a co-culture

of a soil bacterium (*Bacillus subtilis* NCIB 3610) and fungus (*Fusarium* sp. DS 682) grown on agar

as our model system. Using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry

imaging (MALDI-MSI), we spatially resolved more than 300 different metabolites containing

carbonyl-groups within this model system. Various spatial patterns are observable of these

species, which indicate possible extracellular or intercellular processes of the metabolites, and

their up or down regulation during microbial interaction. The unique chemistry of our approach

allowed us to bring additional confidence in accurate carbonyl identification, especially when

multiple isomeric candidates were possible, and this provided the ability to generate

hypotheses about the potential role of some aliphatic carbonyls in this *B. subtilis*/*Fusarium* sp.

interaction. The results shown here demonstrate the utility of 4-ABEBA-based OCTD MALDI-MSI

in probing interkingdom interactions directly from microbial co-cultures, and these methods

will enable future microbial interactions studies with expanded metabolic coverage.

IMPORTANCE

The metabolic profiles within microbial biofilms and interkingdom interactions are

extremely complex and serve a variety of functions, which include promoting colonization,

growth, and survival within competitive and symbiotic environments. However, measuring and

differentiating many of these molecules, especially in an *in-situ* fashion, remains a significant

analytical challenge. We demonstrate a chemical derivatization strategy that enabled highly

sensitive, multiplexed mass spectrometry imaging of over 300 metabolites from a model

microbial co-culture. Notably, this approach afforded us to visualize over two dozen classes of

ketone-, aldehyde-, and carboxyl-containing molecules, which were previously undetectable

from colonies grown on agar. We also demonstrate that this chemical derivatization strategy

can enable discrimination of isobaric and isomeric metabolites, without the need for

orthogonal separation (*e.g.,* online chromatography or ion mobility). We anticipate this

approach will further enhance our knowledge of metabolic regulation within microbiomes and

microbial systems used in bioengineering applications.

KEYWORDS

MALDI, metabolomics, carboxylic acids, aldehydes, ketones, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Fusarium*

INTRODUCTION

Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) is becoming an established technique for exploring

the nature and diversity of the chemical compounds produced in microbial systems (1-3). It has

been extensively applied in understanding biochemical processes in microbial and host-microbe

interactions (2), biofilm formation (4), and microbial phenotyping (5). Within literature, spatial

probing via MSI for retrieving localized metabolic signatures is commonly performed on

microbial systems grown on agar or other solid growing medium, including other organisms (6).

While there are numerous types of ionization modalities and mass spectrometry approaches

such as nanospray desorption electrospray ionization (nanoDESI) (7), laser ablation electrospray

ionization (LAESI) (8), liquid extraction surface analysis (LESA) (9), and ultrahigh lateral

resolution secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) (10) that have been used to chemically

image microbial samples, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) methods are

most broadly implemented, in part, due to their robustness, reproducibility, high spatial

resolution, and wide molecular coverage (1, 11-14).

While there are many classes of metabolites and small molecules that can be readily

detected and annotated by MALDI-MSI (14), the ability to obtain comprehensive detection of

natural acidic compounds, including aliphatic carboxylates and carbonyls, which play diverse

roles in microbes (15-18), and are valuable additives in food, fragrances, and pharmaceuticals

(15, 16), remains a significant challenge. For example, MALDI-MSI analyses of agar-based

samples has been limited to the positive ionization modality where these classes of

biomolecules ionize poorly. The sparse reporting of negative ionization mode analyses is

presumed to be ascribed to the chemistry of the agar, which has a negative charge due to

sulfate groups. This could dissipate charge during negative ion mode analysis, and/or possibly

limit the ability of MALDI matrices used in negative ionization mode to efficiently extract and

co-crystalize with analytes on the agar surface. Perhaps this is, in part, a reason why others

developed imprinting strategies to transfer colonies from agar to more suitable supports for

negative ionization mode analysis (19). Nevertheless, even imprinting approaches have

limitations, where transfer efficiency, molecular selectivity, and molecular relocation are

notable issues for comprehensive molecular mapping (20).

Here, we provide a new MSI approach for mapping endogenous metabolites containing

carbonyl groups from microbial systems. We applied our previously developed on-tissue

chemical derivatization (OTCD) protocol to microbial cultures grown on agar as a proof-of-

concept of this approach (21). There are a growing number of OTCD reagents and protocols

that have been developed to increase the sensitivity and molecular coverage from mammalian

and   plant   samples   in   MALDI-MSI   (22-24).   Our   approach   uses   4-(2-((4-

bromophenethyl)dimethylammonium)ethoxy)benzenaminium dibromide (4-APEBA), which

adds a permanent positive charge to carbonyl analytes, making them amenable to positive

ionization mode analysis MSI, which is especially useful for analyzing agar-based microbial

colonies. Additionally, the bromine in 4-APEBA introduces a unique isotopic pattern to

derivatized molecules, which can be exploited for more confident analyte annotation (21). We

used this approach to study the interaction of soil microbes *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 and *Fusarium*

sp. DS 682 (25). Our results demonstrate that this approach enabled high-sensitivity analysis of

over 300 microbially generated carbonyl-containing molecules directly from microbial cultures

on agar plates. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of using an OTCD approach for

MSI-based metabolic profiling of microbial systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our results show that 4-APEBA-based OTCD of the *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 and *Fusarium*

sp. DS 682 interaction enabled confident chemical formula annotations of over 300 various

carbonyls, which putative structural annotations are proposed in **Supplementary Table S1** and

are further classified in **Supplementary Figure S1**. In comparison, when we analyzed sample

replicates without OTCD, in the negative ionization mode, less than ten annotated molecular

formulae were annotated with high confidence, and the list of annotations can be found on

METASPACE (26). This comparison clearly demonstrates the necessity of alternative approaches

for carbonyl detection from agar samples.

In the 4-APEBA-based OTCD analysis, several spatial patterns that depict changes in

specific metabolite production between isolated and interacting microbes were observed

(**Figure 1**). For example, we identified a group of molecules produced and excreted by *B. subtilis*

only in its interaction with *Fusarium* sp. (*e.g.*, hexosamine, **Figure 1B**). Conversely, the

production of an *N*-acetylated form of hexosamine (likely *GlcNAc*) was triggered in *Fusarium*

sp., but not *B. subtilis*, with the interaction of these two species (**Figure 1E**). This finding is

congruent with previous reports that *GlcNAc* acts as a signal inducer within fungi and also

serves in interkingdom communication (27). Contrasting spatial distributions were observed

with citrate and homocitrate (**Figure 1C and 1F**, respectively), which are two chemically and

metabolically related molecules. We observed homocitrate to be produced only in isolated *B.*

subtilis colonies, while citrate, a central metabolite of the TCA cycle (28), was produced in both

isolated and interacting *Fusarium* sp.. Based on citrate co-localization with *B. subtilis* cells only

in the interaction zone, we hypothesize that citrate originating from *Fusarium* sp. might serve

as a carbon source for adjacent *B. subtilis* colonies during the interaction. Our MSI data also

found that other carbonyls have a variety of unique spatial patterns, including those:

unchanged during interaction (*e.g.*, succinyl-glutamate, **Figure 1D**), present in distinct

phenotypes of in the *B. subtilis* biofilm (*e.g.*, acetyl-citrulline, **Figure 1G**), suppressed in both

species when interacting (*e.g.*, aminobutanoate, **Figure 1H**), and activated in both species in

their interactions (*e.g.*, acetamidopentanoate, **Figure 1E**). A list of all other metabolites

annotated, together with their discriminant coefficients (AUC) between isolated and interacted

species, can be found in **Supplementary Table S1.**

Besides homocitrate (**Figure 1C**), citrate (**Figure 1F**), and several dozen other

polycarboxylic acids (**Supplementary Table S1)**, we annotated numerous aliphatic

monocarboxylic acids (*i.e.*, free fatty acids; FFA) as 4-APEBA derivatives (**Figure 2**). Their spatial

profile points to the different roles of these molecules during the *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp.

interaction. For example, there is intense excretion of short-chain FFA (**Figure 2A-B**) from *B.*

subtilis during interaction with *Fusarium* sp.. In contrast, medium-chain FFA (C14-C16, **Figure**

2C-E) are colocalized with *B. subtilis* cells further from the interaction zone. It is known that

Bacillus spp. can modify their FFA patterns to adapt to a wide range of environmental changes

(29), and based on our results, it seems that the production of short-chain FFA is vital for

surviving in a *Fusarium* sp. environment. On the other hand, *Fusarium* sp., in interaction with *B.*

subtilis boosts the production of long chain FFA (C18, **Figure 2F-H**). This reinforced production

of long-chain FFA might be related to the antimicrobial defense mechanisms of *Fusarium* sp..

Namely, the antibacterial properties of FFA are used by many organisms, where the prime

target of FFA action is the bacterial cell membrane, where FFA disrupts the electron transport

chain and oxidative phosphorylation (30). Optical microscopy images of co-cultured *B. subtilis*

NCIB 3610 and *Fusarium* sp. DS 682 demonstrate an antagonistic interaction as there is reduced

fungal growth in presence of *B. subtilis* compared to the control (**Supplementary Figure S2**). *B.*

subtilis is known to protect host plants by decreasing pathogenic fungal or bacterial growth

through production of secondary metabolites (31), and as the majority of these compounds

contain carbonyls, 4-APEBA-based OTCD enables the sensitive tracing of their redistribution and

kinetics.

Besides comprehensive carbonyl coverage, the additional value of this approach is the

ability to confidently resolve some isomeric and isobaric metabolites (**Figure 3**) that are

undistinguishable in typical MALDI-MSI experiments, which only provides accurate mass

measurements without gas phase separation by ion-mobility. **Figure 3A** shows a MALDI-MS ion

image of a brominated derivative product ion at *m/z* 560.1754, illustrating that this metabolite

is concentrated on the *B. subtilis* biofilm layer further from the interaction zone. Based on the

accurate mass measurements, this ion, within a 3 ppm window, can be ascribed to the

derivatized forms of either kinetin ($C_{10}H_9N_5$) or succinyl proline ($C_9H_{13}NO_5$). Both molecules are

naturally present in soil (*e.g.*, as a plant hormone and common amino acid, respectively). Since

kinetin does not possess a carbonyl group that can be derivatized with 4-APEBA, it suggests that

this ion corresponds to succinyl proline as succinyl proline contains multiple carbonyl groups

(**Figure 3C**). Interestingly, upregulation of succinylation is a known phenomenon in *B. subtilis*

response to carbon source changes, especially when citrate becomes the carbon source (32).

This succinylation-citrate relationship orthogonally validates our previous hypothesis that

Fusarium sp. produces citrate (**Figure 1F**), which is further metabolized by *B. subtilis*.

The second example of resolving isobars with 4-APEBA-based OTCD illustrates the

importance of using bromine as a non-leaving moiety of the derivatization agent (**Figure 3B**).

Namely, bromine has two stable isotopes (^{79}Br and ^{81}Br) with similar relative abundances (51%

and 49%, respectively), producing an easily recognizable isotopic pattern, where the

monoisotopic (M+0; ^{79}Br) and second isotopologue (A+2; ^{81}Br) peaks have similar intensities.

For instance, the ion at *m/z* 494.1471 can be wrongly annotated as methionine (M+0; ^{79}Br

derivative) but is actually an isotopologue of glutamate (A+2; ^{81}Br derivative). If this were

methionine, an A+2 isotopologue at *m/z* 496.1455 would have a similar intensity as the putative

monoisotopic peak at *m/z* 494.1471 (**Figure 3D**), which was not the case. Instead, the peak at

m/z 492.1492 has the same intensity and exact spatial localization as *m/z* 494.1471, indicating

glutamate was derivatized.

Lastly, the two-step 4-APEBA-based OTCD approach could be utilized to also

differentiate some carbonyl isomers (**Figure 4**). This is because if 4-APEBA is used alone,(33)

then it can only derivatize aldehydes and ketones, and it will not derivatize carboxylic acids (21).

EDC must be used as an activator for 4-APEBA to derivatize carboxylic acids.(34) As such, we

performed 4-APEBA-based OCTD with and without EDC on replicate samples. Multiple

overlapping and unique annotations were observed with side-by-side annotations outputs and

ion images are visualized in METASPACE (35), and **Supplementary Table S2**. Overlapping

annotations between both conditions (with and without the application of EDC) indicate the

presence of a ketone or aldehyde group in the metabolite. Whereas in the case which the

annotation was only present when EDC was applied prior to 4-APEBA, annotated molecules

contain solely carboxylic acids, and aldehyde and ketone groups are absent from their structure

and should not be considered. One example of an ambiguous annotation is the ion image at

m/z 433.1476 ($C_4H_8O_2$) (**Figure 4A-B**). This molecular formula can correspond to two natural

products of bacterial metabolism: butanoic acid and acetoin (3-hydroxy-2-butanone) (**Figure**

4E). As a similar spatial pattern was observed with (**Figure 4A**) and without (**Figure 4B**) the

addition of EDC, this ion image, which indicates intense excretion of the metabolite from *B.*

subtilis, this metabolite is likely is the ketone, acetoin, because the carboxylic acid, butanoic

acid, cannot be derivatized without EDC. This annotation aligns well with the fact that acetoin is

a primary catabolic product of *B. subtilis,* which bacteria reuse during the stationary phase

when other carbon sources have been depleted (36). Moreover, the ion image at *m/z* 447.1641

with a similar spatial pattern was previously annotated as pentanoic acid (**Figure 2A**) and was

not detected in the analysis without EDC (34), which orthogonally confirms the absence of

butanoic acid in the analyzed sample. Other aliphatic carboxylic acids discussed throughout the

manuscript (*i.e.,* FFA and some components of the TCA cycle) were also annotated only if EDC

was used as an activator, while oxocarboxylic acids, due to the presence of ketone or aldehyde

groups, were annotated without EDC as well (**Supplementary Table S2**). For example, the ion

at *m/z* 479.1176 (**Figure 4C-D**) with molecular formula of $C_4H_6O_5$ is malate (two carboxyl

groups, no ketone or aldehyde groups) rather than dehydro-threonate (carboxyl and ketone

group) as this ion was not detected without EDC treatment (**Figure 4F**).

This EDC-guided selectivity of 4-APEBA was additionally confirmed by analysis of several

standards with different chemistries. Specifically, citric acid, which solely has carboxyl groups;

glyoxalic acid, which has a carboxylic and aldehyde group; hydroxyacetone, which has only a

ketone group (analog to acetoin); and pyruvic acid, which has both a carboxylic and ketone

group (**Figure 5**). Our results show that citric acid, with no ketone or aldehyde groups, was not

derivatized without the activation by EDC. Due to the presence of a ketone in pyruvic acid, and

an aldehyde group in glyoxalic acid these oxoacid metabolites were even derivatized without

EDC. Furthermore, hydroxyacetone, the demethylated analog of acetoin, which we previously

distinguished from its isobar butanoic acid, shows clear isotopic patterns corresponding to its 4-

APEBA derivatized product.

CONCLUSIONS

Mapping redistribution of acidic compounds in the microbial ecosystem using MALDI-

MSI is a significant bioanalytical challenge. This challenge is further exacerbated for microbial

cultures on agar, because many of these metabolites readily ionize in negative ionization mode

which is not suitable for this type of sample. This work demonstrates a new approach for

spatially profiling a diverse set of carbonyls, from small volatile aldehydes, ketones, and short-

chain FFA to long-chain aliphatic carboxylic acids, including lactones and oxocarboxylic acids,

directly from microbial cultures using 4-APEBA-based OTCD and MALDI-MSI. From our proof-of-

concept experiments, we were able to map and reveal the distribution of carbonyls resulting

from the interaction of *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. cultured on agar. These results illustrate the

potential role of citrate, hexosamines, and FFA in this interaction. The additional advantage of

the described OTCD by 4-APEBA, is that it can distinguish some isobaric and isomeric species,

especially those with only a carboxyl group and only a ketone or aldehyde group, as well as

those that do not have said functional groups at all. This benefit provides greater confidence in

the biological interpretation of microbial MSI data. We also envision application of this

workflow to map metabolic processes across a broad range of microbiology fields. For example,

it can be used to spatially resolve the metabolome of digestive processes of the human gut,

where microbiota produce large quantities of aliphatic acids and aldehydes through anaerobic

fermentation of dietary fibers, which influences gut-brain communication and brain function

(37). Another potential use is in resolving temporal-spatial chemistry of microbiological

lignocellulose decay mechanisms where aldehydes, ketones, and carboxylic acids are both main

degradation products and enzyme mediators for further lignin decomposition (38).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Microbial growth and co-culture preparation

B. subtilis NCIB 3610 was cultured as described before (39). Briefly, the strain was

cultured on MSgg, a *B. subtilis* biofilm-promoting medium (5 mM potassium phosphate [pH 7];

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), 100 mM MOPS (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid [pH 7];

Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 2 mM $MgCl_2$ (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), 700 µM $CaCl_2$ (Alfa

Aesar, Haverhill, MA), 50 µM $MnCl_2$ (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), 50 µM $FeCl_3$ (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 1 µM $ZnCl_2$ (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 2 µM thiamine hydrochloride

(Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), 0.5 % glycerol (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and 0.5%

glutamate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). 1.5 % agar (BD) was added to the medium to prepare

agar plates using MSgg media, and approximately 5 mL MSgg agar per plate were poured. MSgg

agar plates were streaked with *B. subtilis* from frozen stocks and incubated at 28 °C for 15 hr.

Fusarium sp. DS 682 was cultured as described before (40). Fungi was maintained on

potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates at 28 °C. For co-culture experiments a disposable 1.5 mm

holepunch (Integra Miltex, York, PA) was used to collect the fungal biomass from a fungal

culture PDA agar plate (1 agar plug with fungi) and was placed on the MSgg agar plate. Fungi

was grown at 28°C for 5 days. After 5 days of fungal growth, *B. subtilis* was streaked close to the

fungal biomass as described above. Agar plates were prepared for MSI analysis after 2 days of

bacterial growth at 28°C.

Agar areas with isolated and interaction colonies were excised from MSgg agar Petri

dishes, placed onto double-sided adhesive copper tape (3-6-1182; 3M United States) adhered

to indium tin oxide-coated glass slides (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA), and dried at RT

overnight prior to derivatization and analysis.

***In-situ* chemical derivatization and MALDI matrix application**

Agar samples were chemically derivatized by either sole application of synthesized 4-

APEBA at 2 mg/mL or with a two-step approach: spraying an aqueous solution of EDC (1-ethyl-

3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 6 mg/mL first with

subsequent application of 4-APEBA at 2 mg/mL using an external syringe pump with the M5-

Sprayer (HTX Technologies, Chapel Hill, NC). Spraying parameters were the same for both

chemicals: 25 µL/min flow rate, a nozzle temperature of 37.5 °C, four cycles at 3 mm track

spacing with a crisscross pattern, a 2 s drying period, 1,200 mm/min spray head velocity, 10 PSI

of nitrogen gas, and a 40 mm nozzle height. DHB (2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid; Sigma-Aldrich, St.

Louis, MO) was prepared at a concentration of 40 mg/mL in 70% MeOH and was sprayed at 50

µL/min flow rate using the same M5-Sprayer. The nozzle temperature was set to 70 °C, with 12

cycles at 3 mm track spacing with a crisscross pattern. A 2 s drying period was added between

cycles, a linear flow was set to 1,200 mm/min with 10 PSI of nitrogen gas and a 40 mm nozzle

height. This resulted in matrix coverage of ~667 µg/cm^2 for DHB. For negative ion mode

experiments NEDC (N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,

MO) was prepared at a concentration of 7 mg/mL in 70% MeOH and was sprayed at 120 µL/min

flow rate using the same M5-Sprayer. The nozzle temperature was set to 70 °C, with 8 cycles at

3 mm track spacing with a crisscross pattern. A 0 s drying period was added between cycles, a

linear flow was set to 1,200 mm/min with 10 PSI of nitrogen gas and a 40 mm nozzle height.

This resulted in matrix coverage of ~187 µg/cm^2 for NEDC.

MALDI-MS analysis and data processing

All imaging was performed on a Bruker Daltonics 12T solariX FTICR MS, equipped with a

ParaCell, Apollo II dual ESI and MALDI source with a SmartBeam II frequency-tripled (355 nm)

Nd: YAG laser (Bremen, Germany). Positive ion mode OTCD and negative ion mode NEDC

acquisitions were acquired with broadband excitation from *m/z* 98.3 to 1,000, resulting in a

detected transient of 0.5593 s — the observed mass resolution was ~110k at *m/z* 400.

FlexImaging (Bruker Daltonics, v.5.0) was used for the imaging experiments, and analyses were

performed with a 100 µm step size. FlexImaging sequences were directly imported into SCiLS

Lab (Bruker Daltonics, v.2023.a Premium 3D) using automatic MRMS settings. Ion images were

directly processed from the profile datasets within SCiLS Lab, and automated annotation of the

centroided dataset was completed within METASPACE with a chemical modifier corresponding

to the mass shift expected from 4-APEBA derivatization (+$C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br$, +345.0966 Da). KEGG-v1

was used as a metabolite database for annotations. All annotations were imported back to

SCiLS as a new peak list, and discrimination analysis (ROC, receiver operation characteristic)

between species in the interaction and corresponding isolated species were performed on that

list. Area under the curve (AUC) of ROC analysis for each pair (isolated microbe vs microbe in

interaction) was calculated.

Data availability

All MALDI-MSI datasets and annotations are publicly available at METASPACE, a link for

reviewers is here: https://metaspace2020.eu/api_auth/review?prj=b43e6772-d58c-11ed-afbb-

53f7af38ceec&token=SVQu4QGZBzO6

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL FILE LIST

The following is included as supplementary material for this manuscript.

Figure S1. Supplemental file 1 contains this figure that shows the breakdown of assigned

carbonyl derivative ions from KEGG-v1 output of METASPACE.

Figure S2. Supplemental file 1 contains this a figure that shows microscopy images of

the growth of the co-cultured *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. on the MSgg agar medium.

Table S1. Supplemental file 2 is a complex workbook which shows the discriminate

analyses completed for co-cultured *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. highlighting AUC within the

isolated colonies and the interaction zone.

Table S2. Supplemental file 3 is a complex workbook which highlights all annotations

from KEGG-v1 output of METASPACE for experiments with and without application of EDC

before 4-APEBA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.V. obtained funding and administered the project. D.V. and K.J.Z conceptualized the

project. A.B. provided input into the microbiology and performed all microbiological work. A.B.

created all cultures. D.V. performed derivatization and all MALDI-MSI experiments. D.V. and

K.J.Z. performed data analysis and visualization. D.V. wrote the first draft of the manuscript

with inputs from K.J.Z., A.B, and C.R.A. All authors edited the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1.   Feucherolles M, Frache G. 2022. MALDI Mass Spectrometry Imaging: A Potential Game-Changer

in a Modern Microbiology. Cells 11.

2.   Dunham SJB, Ellis JF, Li B, Sweedler JV. 2017. Mass Spectrometry Imaging of Complex Microbial

Communities. Accounts of Chemical Research 50:96-104.

3.   Spraker JE, Luu GT, Sanchez LM. 2020. Imaging mass spectrometry for natural products

325   discovery: a review of ionization methods. Natural Product Reports 37:150-162.

326   4.   Yannarell SM, Velickovic D, Anderton CR, Shank EA. 2021. Direct Visualization of Chemical Cues

327   and Cellular Phenotypes throughout Bacillus subtilis Biofilms. Msystems 6.

328   5.   Stasulli NM, Shank EA. 2016. Profiling the metabolic signals involved in chemical communication

329   between microbes using imaging mass spectrometry. Fems Microbiology Reviews 40:807-813.

330   6.   Sgobba E, Daguerre Y, Giampa M. 2021. Unravel the Local Complexity of Biological Environments

331   by MALDI Mass Spectrometry Imaging. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22.

332   7.   Watrous J, Roach P, Heath B, Alexandrov T, Laskin J, Dorrestein PC. 2013. Metabolic Profiling

333   Directly from the Petri Dish Using Nanospray Desorption Electrospray Ionization Imaging Mass

334   Spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry 85:10385-10391.

335   8.   Li H, Balan P, Vertes A. 2016. Molecular Imaging of Growth, Metabolism, and Antibiotic

336   Inhibition in Bacterial Colonies by Laser Ablation Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry.

337   Angewandte Chemie-International Edition 55:15035-15039.

338   9.   Nagy G, Velickovic D, Chu RK, Carrell AA, Weston DJ, Ibrahim YM, Anderton CR, Smith RD. 2019.

339   Towards resolving the spatial metabolome with unambiguous molecular annotations in complex

340   biological systems by coupling mass spectrometry imaging with structures for lossless ion

341   manipulations. Chemical Communications 55:306-309.

342   10.   Musat N, Musat F, Weber PK, Pett-Ridge J. 2016. Tracking microbial interactions with NanoSIMS.

343   Current Opinion in Biotechnology 41:114-121.

344   11.   Boya CA, Fernandez-Marin H, Mejia LC, Spadafora C, Dorrestein PC, Gutierrez M. 2017. Imaging

345   mass spectrometry and MS/MS molecular networking reveals chemical interactions among

346   cuticular bacteria and pathogenic fungi associated with fungus-growing ants. Scientific Reports

347   7.

348   12.   Pessotti RD, Hansen BL, Zacharia VM, Polyakov D, Traxler MF. 2019. High Spatial Resolution

349   Imaging Mass Spectrometry Reveals Chemical Heterogeneity Across Bacterial Microcolonies.

350   Analytical Chemistry 91:14818-14823.

351   13.   Jens JN, Breiner DJ, Phelan VV. 2022. Spray-Based Application of Matrix to Agar-Based Microbial

352   Samples for Reproducible Sample Adherence in MALDI MSI. Journal of the American Society for

353   Mass Spectrometry 33:731-734.

354   14.   Velickovic D, Chu RK, Carrell AA, Thomas M, Pasa-Tolic L, Weston DJ, Anderton CR. 2018.

355   Multimodal MSI in Conjunction with Broad Coverage Spatially Resolved MS2 Increases

356   Confidence in Both Molecular Identification and Localization. Analytical Chemistry 90:702-707.

357   15.   Wu HM, Wu LK, Zhu Q, Wang JY, Qin XJ, Xu JH, Kong LF, Chen J, Lin S, Khan MU, Amjad H, Lin

358   WX. 2017. The role of organic acids on microbial deterioration in the Radix pseudostellariae

359   rhizosphere under continuous monoculture regimes. Scientific Reports 7.

360   16.   Kunjapur AM, Prather KLJ. 2015. Microbial Engineering for Aldehyde Synthesis. Applied and

361   Environmental Microbiology 81:1892-1901.

362   17.   Ellis EM. 2002. Microbial aldo-keto reductases. Fems Microbiology Letters 216:123-131.

363   18.   Parsons JB, Yao JW, Frank MW, Jackson P, Rock CO. 2012. Membrane Disruption by

364   Antimicrobial Fatty Acids Releases Low-Molecular-Weight Proteins from Staphylococcus aureus.

365   Journal of Bacteriology 194:5294-5304.

366   19.   Tran VG, Zhao HM. 2022. Engineering robust microorganisms for organic acid production.

367   Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology 49.

368   20.   Velickovic D, Lin VS, Rivas A, Anderton CR, Moran JJ. 2020. An approach for broad molecular

369   imaging of the root-soil interface via indirect matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass

370   spectrometry. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 146.

371 21. Zemaitis KJ, Lin VS, Ahkami A, Winkler T, Anderton CR, Veličković D. 2023. [Preprint] Expanded

372   Coverage of Phytocompounds by Mass Spectrometry Imaging Using On-Tissue Chemical

373   Derivatization by 4-APEBA. ChemRxiv doi:https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-v0xj4-v2.

374 22. Zhou QQ, Fulop A, Hopf C. 2021. Recent developments of novel matrices and on-tissue chemical

375   derivatization reagents for MALDI-MSI. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 413:2599-2617.

376 23. Larson EA, Forsman TT, Stuart L, Alexandrov T, Lee YJ. 2022. Rapid and Automatic Annotation of

377   Multiple On-Tissue Chemical Modifications in Mass Spectrometry Imaging with Metaspace.

378   Analytical Chemistry 94:8983-8991.

379 24. Sejalon-Cipolla M, Bruyat P, Bregant S, Malgorn C, Devel L, Subra G, Cantel S. 2021. Targeting

380   out of range biomolecules: Chemical labeling strategies for qualitative and quantitative MALDI

381   MS-based detection. Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry 143.

382 25. Bhattacharjee A, Anderson LN, Alfaro T, Porras-Alfaro A, Jumpponen A, Hofmockel KS, Jansson

383   JK, Anderton CR, Nelson WC. 2021. Draft Genome Sequence of Fusarium sp. Strain DS 682, a

384   Novel Fungal Isolate from the Grass Rhizosphere. Microbiol Resour Announc 10.

385 26. Dataset provided by Dušan Veličković, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Agar_NEDC, *on*

386   METASPACE. https://metaspace2020.eu/annotations?db_id=374&prj=b43e6772-d58c-11ed-

387   afbb-53f7af38ceec&ds=2023-03-13_22h46m34s. Accessed 04/27/2023.

388 27. Konopka JB. 2012. N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) functions in cell signaling. Scientifica (Cairo)

389   2012.

390 28. Jung T, Mack M. 2018. Interaction of enzymes of the tricarboxylic acid cycle in Bacillus subtilis

391   and Escherichia coli: a comparative study. Fems Microbiology Letters 365.

392 29. Diomande SE, Nguyen-The C, Guinebretiere MH, Broussolle V, Brillard J. 2015. Role of fatty acids

393   in Bacillus environmental adaptation. Frontiers in Microbiology 6.

30.   Desbois AP, Smith VJ. 2010. Antibacterial free fatty acids: activities, mechanisms of action and

biotechnological potential. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 85:1629-1642.

31.   Andrić S, Meyer T, Ongena M. 2020. Bacillus Responses to Plant-Associated Fungal and Bacterial

Communities. Frontiers in Microbiology 11.

32.   Kosono S, Tamura M, Suzuki S, Kawamura Y, Yoshida A, Nishiyama M, Yoshida M. 2015. Changes

in the Acetylome and Succinylome of Bacillus subtilis in Response to Carbon Source. Plos One

10.

33.   Dataset provided by Dušan Veličković, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

Agar_w/o_EDC_w_4-APEBA, *on* METASPACE. https://metaspace2020.eu/annotations?ds=2023-

03-31_23h15m39s&viewId=sU.~Vswu. Accessed 04/27/2023.

34.   Dataset provided by Dušan Veličković, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

Agar_w_EDC_w_4-APEBA, *on* METASPACE. https://metaspace2020.eu/annotations?ds=2023-

03-08_02h49m09s&viewId=tNBAag-A. Accessed 04/27/2023.

35.   Dataset provided by Dušan Veličković, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Comparison of

Agar_w/o_EDC_w_4-APEBA and Agar_w_EDC_w_4-APEBA, *on* METASPACE.

https://metaspace2020.eu/datasets/2023-03-

31_23h15m39s/comparison?db_id=374&chem_mod=%2BN2H22C18Br&add=%5BM%5D%2B&v

iewId=pMCQpSSh&sort=datasetcount&row=4. Accessed 04/27/2023.

36.   Ali NO, Bignon J, Rapoport G, Debarbouille M. 2001. Regulation of the acetoin catabolic pathway

is controlled by sigma L in Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology 183:2497-2504.

37.   Silva YP, Bernardi A, Frozza RL. 2020. The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids From Gut Microbiota in

Gut-Brain Communication. Frontiers in Endocrinology 11.

38.   Velickovic D, Zhou MW, Schilling JS, Zhang JW. 2021. Using MALDI-FTICR-MS Imaging to Track

Low-Molecular-Weight Aromatic Derivatives of Fungal Decayed Wood. Journal of Fungi 7.

39.   Lukowski JK, Bhattacharjee A, Yannarell SM, Schwarz K, Shor LM, Shank EA, Anderton CR. 2021.

Expanding Molecular Coverage in Mass Spectrometry Imaging of Microbial Systems Using Metal-

Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization. Microbiology Spectrum 9:e00520-21.

40.   Bhattacharjee A, Qafoku O, Richardson Jocelyn A, Anderson Lindsey N, Schwarz K, Bramer Lisa

M, Lomas Gerard X, Orton Daniel J, Zhu Z, Engelhard Mark H, Bowden Mark E, Nelson William C,

Jumpponen A, Jansson Janet K, Hofmockel Kirsten S, Anderton Christopher R. 2022. A Mineral-

Doped Micromodel Platform Demonstrates Fungal Bridging of Carbon Hot Spots and Hyphal

Transport of Mineral-Derived Nutrients. mSystems 7:e00913-22.

Figure 1. Characteristic patterns observed in the production and distribution of carbonyls from
the interaction of *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. using the 4-APEBA-based OTCD approach. Each
image is annotated with (*f*) showing the isolated *Fusarium* sp. Control, (*b*) showing the isolated
B. subtilis control, and (*i*) showing the interaction zone of the co-culture of *B. subtilis* and
Fusarium sp. A) An optical image of isolated colonies and the interaction of *B. subtilis* and
Fusarium sp. Colonies on the copper tape cover slide. MALDI-MS ion images of carbonyls are
highlighted that show: B) increased excretion from *B. subtilis* during interaction with *Fusarium*
sp.; C) suppressed excretion from *B. subtilis* while in interaction with *Fusarium* sp.; D) no
change in abundance between isolated and colonies in interaction; E) increased production in
Fusarium sp. while in interaction with *B. subtilis*; F) increased production in *B. subtilis* and
steady state in *Fusarium* sp. during interaction; G) hot spots in *B. subtilis* biofilm during
interaction with *Fusarium* sp.; H) suppressed production in both *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. in
interaction compared to isolated cultures; and I) increased production in both *B. subtilis* and
Fusarium sp. in interaction compared to isolated culture. Scale bars are 7 mm and each ion
images intensity is respectively scaled.

Figure 2. Shift in production and distribution of select aliphatic carboxylic acids during the *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. interaction, as well as isolated controls of each culture using the 4-APEBA-based OTCD approach. Each image is annotated with (*f*) showing the isolated *Fusarium* sp. Control, (*b*) showing the isolated *B. subtilis* control, and (*i*) showing the interaction zone of the co-culture of *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. Scale bars are 7 mm and each ion images intensity is respectively scaled.

Figure 3. Resolving isobars using the 4-APEBA-based OTCD approach. Each image is annotated with (*f*) showing the isolated *Fusarium* sp. Control, (*b*) showing the isolated *B. subtilis* control, and (*i*) showing the interaction zone of the co-culture of *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp. A) MALDI-MS ion images of *m/z* 560.1754 are shown with C) chemical structures of tentatively annotated derivatized isobaric metabolites monoisotopic kinetin (M+0, $C_{10}H_9N_5O+C_{18}H_{22}N_2{}^{79}Br$) and monoisotopic succinyl proline (M+0, $C_9H_{13}NO_5+C_{18}H_{22}N_2{}^{79}Br$), which differ by 1.3 mDa. Since kinetin does not contain a carbonyl that can derivatized, this confirms the annotation of succinyl proline. B) MALDI-MS ion images of *m/z* 490.1471 are shown with D) chemical structures of tentatively annotated derivatized isobaric metabolites monoisotopic methionine (M+0, $C_5H_{11}NO_2S+C_{18}H_{22}N_2{}^{79}Br$) and the second isotopologue glutamate (A+2, $C_5H_9NO_4+$ $C_{18}N_2H_{22}{}^{81}Br$), which differ by 0.4 mDa. E) Theoretical simulations of isotopic distributions of both tentatively annotated isobars of methionine and glutamate, where within the spectra the third (A+2, ^{81}Br) and fourth isotopologue peaks (A+3, $^{13}C^{81}Br$) of methionine are not present at ratios representative of ^{79}Br and ^{81}Br isotopic distributions. This confirms methionine as a false annotation of the third isotopologue (A+2, ^{81}Br) of glutamate. Scale bars are 7 mm and each ion images intensity is respectively scaled.

Figure 4. Resolving isomers using 4-APEBA-based OTCD with and without prior addition of EDC, which activates carboxylic acids prior to derivatization. Each image is annotated with (*f*) showing the isolated *Fusarium* sp. Control, (*b*) showing the isolated *B. subtilis* control, and (*i*) showing the interaction zone of the co-culture of *B. subtilis* and *Fusarium* sp.. MALDI-MS ion images from samples which underwent preparation A) without EDC and B) with EDC are shown for *m/z* 433.1476. Additional MALDI-MS ion images of *m/z* 479.1164 are shown C) without EDC and D) with EDC. Here, the ion at *m/z* 479.1164 was not detected within C) without prior activation of carboxylic acids with EDC. E) The molecular structures of two tentatively annotated isomers, butanoic acid (carboxylic acid) and acetoin (ketone), are possible annotations for *m/z* 433.1476. F) The molecular structures of the other two tentatively annotated isomers, malate (solely carboxylic acid) and dehydrothreonate (oxoacid) are depicted for annotations at *m/z* 479.1164. Scale bars are 7 mm and each ion images intensity is respectively scaled.

Figure 5. MALDI mass spectra of carbonyl standards with and without EDC activation prior to 4-
APEBA derivatization. Mass spectra are shown for A) citric acid as a model carboxylic acid, B)
glyoxalic acid as an oxocarboxylic acid with an aldehyde, C) hydroxyacetone as an oxoacid with
a ketone, and D) pyruvic acid as an oxocarboxylic acid with a ketone. Peaks that correspond the
monoisotopic (M+0, [79]Br) and second isotopologue (A+2, [81]Br) were annotated and shown in
each spectrum and the structure of each metabolite is also presented.

Abbreviation	Label
arALD/KET	aromatic aldehydes/ketones
ALD/KET	aldehydes/ketones
OA	oxoacids
MCA	monocartboxylic acids
PCA	polycarboxylic acids
arCA	aromatic carboxylic acids
LAC	lactones
EST	esters
Other	others

Supplementary Figure S1. The breakdown of the carbonyl classes of the 316 assigned spectral peaks which underwent discriminate analysis within **Table S1** is shown. Here all annotations from KEGG-v1 output from METASPACE were bulked into representative carbonyl types, and then represented as a total percent of all bulked annotations of carbonyl type.

530
531
532
533

Supplementary Figure S2. Optical microscopy images highlight microbial pigments produced as a result of fungi-bacteria interactions. Fungal hyphal architecture changes in presence of bacteria as shown in the inset zoomed views for the interaction zone (bottom panel) compared to isolated *B. subtilis* (top left) and *Fusarium* sp. (top right), all scale bars are 50 μm.

A) **Bright field image of mounted agar sections**

Fusarium sp. - (f)   *B. subtilis* - (b)

B. subtilis/Fusarium sp. - (i)

B) **Hexosamine**
$[C_6H_{13}NO_5+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 524.1754

C) **Homocitrate**
$[C_8H_{12}O_7+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 565.1544

D) **Succinyl-glutamate**
$[C_9H_{13}NO_7+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 592.1653

E) **N-acetyl-hexosamine**
$[C_8H_{15}NO_6+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 566.1860

F) **Citrate**
$[C_6H_8O_7+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 537.1231

G) **N-acetyl-citrulline**
$[C_8H_{15}N_3O_4+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 562.2023

H) **Aminobutanoate**
$[C_4H_9NO_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 448.1594

I) **Acetoamidopentanoate**
$[C_7H_{13}NO_3+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 504.1856

A) Pentatonic acid
$[C_5H_{10}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 447.1641

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 165%

B) Hydroxypentanoic acid
$[C_5H_{10}O_3+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 463.1591

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 181%

C) Tetradecanoic acid
$[C_{14}H_{28}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 573.3050

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 248%

D) Pentadecanoic acid
$[C_{15}H_{30}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 587.3206

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 256%

E) Hexadecanoic acid
$[C_{16}H_{32}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 601.3363

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 343%

F) Octadecatrienoic acid
$[C_{18}H_{30}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 623.3206

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 265%

G) Linoleate
$[C_{18}H_{32}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 625.3363

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 339%

H) Octadecanoic acid
$[C_{18}H_{36}O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 629.3676

(f) *(b)* *(i)*

0% ➝ 900%

A) **w/o EDC**
$[C_4H_8O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 433.1476

B) **w/ EDC**
$[C_4H_8O_2+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 433.1476

C) **w/o EDC**
$[C_4H_6O_5+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 479.1164

D) **w/ EDC**
$[C_4H_6O_5+C_{18}H_{22}N_2Br]^+$
m/z 479.1164

E) **Butanoate**   **Acetoin**   **Isomers** (Δ 0.0 mDa)

F) **Malate**   **Dehydrothreonate**   **Isomers** (Δ 0.0 mDa)

Abbreviation	Label
arALD/KET	aromatic aldehydes/ketones
ALD/KET	aldehydes/ketones
OA	oxoacids
MCA	monocartboxylic acids
PCA	polycarboxylic acids
arCA	aromatic carboxylic acids
LAC	lactones
EST	esters
Other	others

4-APEBA

ALD/KET 19%
arALD/KET 2%
Other 13%
EST 3%
LAC 8%
arCA 6%
PCA 13%
MCA 21%
OA 15%

Isolated *Fusarium*

Fungi – bacteria interface

Fusarium sp.

B. subtilis

Isolated *B. subtilis*