Can nestmate corpses cause bait neglect in invasive ants?

Thomas Wagner[1]* and Tomer J. Czaczkes[1]

[1] Animal Comparative Economics laboratory, Faculty of Biology and Preclinical Medicine, University of Regensburg, Universitaetsstrasse 31,93053 Regensburg, Germany

* Corresponding author, email: t.wagner.science@gmail.com

Abstract

Invasive ants like *Linepithema humile* (the Argentine ant) pose a significant threat to ecosystems and economies worldwide, making it imperative to understand their behaviour and find effective management strategies. However, traditional eradication methods such as baiting have had limited success due to low bait consumption rates over time, driven in part by the abandonment of toxic baits. We propose that ants learn to avoid the odour of toxic baits because they are associated with the corpses of ants which fed on them. We investigated the effect of corpse-scent association on the odour and flavour preferences of ants by using scented corpses and corpses killed by feeding on scented toxicant-laden baits. Ants were tested in a Y-maze or a dual-choice feeder after exposure to scented corpses or dummies. When focal ants encountered scented freeze-killed ants, 69% (n = 64) avoided a branch of a Y-maze bearing the same scent. At a collective level, when colonies were given access to two differently scented food sources via a path laden with scented ant corpses, the matching food source was neglected compared to an alternative food source. However, ants exposed to scented corpses did not feed for less time on food scented to match the corpse compared to a control food in a dual-choice feeder. Moreover, when ants encountered ants killed by ingesting scented poison, as opposed to artificially-scented corpses, no avoidance was found. This study demonstrated that nestmate corpses act as a negative stimulus for *Linepithema humile* ants, leading to avoidance of odours associated with the corpses and potential avoidance of toxic bait at both individual and collective levels, but not a reduction in feeding once the food source is encountered. Why the more realistic trial with poisoned ants elicited no avoidance is unclear: it may be due to weaker odour cues from ingested food, a counterbalancing of the negative corpse stimulus by the positive presence of food remains on the corpse, or some other reason. Nonetheless, this study demonstrates that conspecific corpses act as a negative stimulus for ants, and this should be kept in mind when planning control efforts.

Introduction

Invasive ant species can wreak havoc on ecosystems and economies alike, making it crucial to understand their behaviour and find effective management strategies for them (Marbuah et al. 2014; Escobar et al. 2018). *Linepithema humile* (Mayr, 1868), commonly known as the Argentine ant, is a highly destructive ant species that causes significant ecological and economic damage globally (Angulo et al., 2022), and is the most widely distributed invasive ant species in Europe (Rowles & O'Dowd, 2006). Invasion by *L. humile* leads to a significant reduction in invertebrate diversity, and can also have an impact on vertebrates (Wetterer et al. 2001; Suarez et al. 2005; Alvarez-Blanco et al. 2021). In addition, *L. humile* can act as an important agriculture pest by enhancing Hemipteran populations, which then increase the likelihood of fungal and viral infections (Wetterer et al. 2009).

The management of invasive ants has become an important part of conservation programs (Hoffmann et al. 2016). Unfortunately, in addition to being ecologically and economically damaging, invasive ants are also difficult to control: two-thirds of *L. humile* eradication attempts have failed (Hoffmann et al. 2016). The current gold standard approach for eradication of ants is to use baits with a slow-acting poison, which workers return to the brood and the queen (Hoffmann et al. 2016). Even so, the success rate of such eradication attempts is low (Souza et al. 2008; Hoffmann 2011). The availability of high-quality natural food, which is often preferred by ants and acts as a competitor for the poisoned bait, is a major issue in pest control. This can lead to low bait consumption rates, which further decrease over time, making it challenging to achieve successful eradication (Rust et al. 2003; Silverman and Brightwell 2008).

The reason for this gradual decrease in bait consumption is not clear. In a laboratory setting, ants can satiation on one type of favoured food (e.g. honey), leading them to begin foraging on an alternative food source (e.g. protein-rich fishmeal) (Edwards and Abraham 1990). The presence of other ant species can also cause a swift abandonment of food sources (Fellers 1987). However, *L. humile* is an aggressive and dominant species, and are rarely reported to be successfully driven away from resources (Rowles and O'Dowd 2006), but see also (Cordonnier et al. 2020).

While all the above-mentioned factors might affect bait consumption, we propose an alternative, not mutually exclusive, hypothesis: that ants learn to avoid bait-associated cues because they are also associated with ant corpses. This hypothesis assumes that nestmate

corpses act as an *unconditional negative stimulus* (Pavlov, 1927; Rescola & Wagner, 1972) It has been shown that the scent of food which ants have consumed can be detected by nestmates which encounter them, even without active food sharing (Czaczkes et al., 2019; Josens et al., 2016; Roces, 1990; Sorvari et al., 2008). The scent of the bait, remaining on ants after they have died, may lead to an aversion to the bait among the living ants which have contacted these corpses, causing them to avoid the scent or taste of the bait, and potentially stay away from the area where the corpses or the bait were located. Ant corpses are a potential threat to the survival of colonies due to the possibility of infection (Diez et al., 2014). A few studies have demonstrated that ant corpses can inhibiting their foraging behavior or causing them to avoid an area. For example, it was shown that the presence of nestmate corpses can cause ants to reject otherwise suitable nesting sites (Franks et al. 2005; Renucci et al. 2011) and refuse dumps can deter ants from foraging (Farji-Brener and Sasal 2003; Ballari and Farji-Brener 2006).

To protect a colony from disease and negative effects, it is crucial for ants to distinguish between living and dead ants, as well as between nestmates and foreign ants. Recognition of corpses is likely based on olfactory cues (Diez et al., 2013). In *L. humile*, the presence of iridomyrmecin and dolichodial (ant-produced compounds that adhere to the cuticle) on live ants disappears within 40 minutes of death, which then triggers necrophoresis behaviour from nestmates (Choe et al., 2009). Additionally, it has been shown that ants can discriminate between alien and nestmate corpses for up to 2 days (Choe et al., 2009)

It is thus reasonable to expect that nestmate corpses may represent an unconditional negative stimulus. *L. humile* has a formidable olfactory memory and is able to rapidly form long-lasting association between odours or flavours and a food reward (Rossi et al. 2020; Wagner et al. 2022; Galante and Czaczkes 2023). Nevertheless, it is not clear how well *L. humile* learn negative associations. Wagner et al. (2022) showed that using quinine as negative stimulus does not reinforce learning when a positive stimulus (sucrose reward) was already presented. However, *L. humile* preferred to choose "low-risk" paths to food sources after they discovered a competitor species or formic acid on a "high risk" path (Lessig and Nonacs 2021), and other ant species rapidly form negative olfactory associations, and avoid odours associated with, for example, a bitter quinine solution (Wenig et al., 2021)

To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have investigated whether
exposure to nestmate corpses affects the feeding preferences and decision-making of
foraging ants. We hypothesize that Argentine ants can form a negative association between
the odour of a food source and the presence of nestmate corpses which fed on the same
food source or died in the food source's vicinity, and hence carry the food scent on their
bodies. Specifically, we aim to investigate the impact of exposure to scented nestmate
corpses on foraging behavior, and to determine whether this exposure leads to avoidance of
high-quality food sources or areas.
This study might help provide an alternative hypothesis for why baits in nature are rejected
over time. To overcome these rejections, a simple, cost-effective solution could be to rotate
the bait's odour or flavour. This approach could potentially enhance the efficacy of ant pest
control.
110

Materials and Methods

<u>Colony maintenance</u>
Linepithema humile ants were collected in 2021 from Girona, Spain and Proença-a-Nova,
Portugal, and were all part of the same European supercolony. Colony fragments
(henceforth colonies), consisting of one or more queens and 300-800 workers, were kept in
plastic foraging boxes (32.5 x 22.2 x 11.4cm) with plaster of Paris on the bottom. The walls
were coated in fluon to prevent escape. Each box contained several 15mL plastic tubes,
covered in transparent red foil, partly filled with water and plugged with cotton, for use as
nests. The ants were maintained on a 12:12 light:dark cycle at room temperature (21-25 °C)
and provided with water *ad libitum*. Colonies were fed for three days with *ad libitum* 0.5M
sucrose solution and freeze-killed *Drosophila melanogaster*, and deprived of food for four
days prior to testing. All experiments were conducted between October 2021 and June 2022.
123

<u>Solutions and odours</u>
1M sucrose solutions (Südzucker AG, Mannheim, Germany), were used as a reward during
training for all experiments. Scented paper overlays, used during experiments to provide
environmental odours, were stored for at least 1 week prior to the experiments in airtight
plastic boxes (19.4 x 13.8 x 6.6cm) containing a glass petri dish with 500µL of either
strawberry or apple food flavouring, or cassis and orange food flavouring (Seeger, Springe,

Germany). For experiments where flavoured food was used, 1μL of the respective flavouring was added per 1mL of 1M sucrose solution.

Corpses and dummies

One day prior the experiment's start, 24 ants were frozen for 60 min in a -20°C freezer. These ant corpses were scented by placing them into an airtight petri dish (80x15mm, sealed with Parafilm©) containing a small metal plate with 200μL of strawberry or apple food flavouring, or with cassis or orange flavouring (Seeger, Springe, Germany). The container was stored at room temperature for 24 hours. 24 hours is more than sufficient for corpses to be identified as such by nestmates (Choe et al., 2009)

24 dummies were created by cutting black electrical wire (mm diameter and 2.0-2.5mm length) to visually simulate corpses, and provide a similar obstacle to movement. Dummies were scented identically to the corpses. We chose to use plastic-coated wire, rather than glass beads, as we considered plastic to me more similar to the ants' surface in terms of how readily it would absorb odours. Note that the corpses and the dummies had no physical contact with the food flavourings but were exposed to the scented air in the sealed petri dish.

Experiment 1 – Testing the effect of corpse-scent association on odour preference using scented corpses

Here we investigate whether ants are capable of forming an association between an odour and dead nestmates (corpses) in a Y-maze test setting. We predicted that ants exposed to scented corpses would avoid the smell associated with the corpses, while ants exposed to scented dummies would not.

12 corpses (treatment) or dummies (control) were placed onto a round plastic arena surrounded by a water moat (arena: 7cm diameter, inner platform: 4cm diameter, see figure 1A) using clean soft forceps. A colony was connected via a drawbridge to the round arena and a single ant was allowed to enter the platform in the arena via the drawbridge. The drawbridge was then removed, trapping the ant in the arena together with the scented corpses or scented dummies (e.g. orange). After 90 seconds, or at least 10 contacts between the ant and the corpses/dummies, a second drawbridge was added to let the ant leave the arena and enter a Y-maze (see fig. 1B).

The stem of the Y-maze was covered in an unscented paper overlay while one arm (e.g. left) was covered in a scented overlay, with the scent matching the scent of the corpses/dummies (e.g. orange), while the other arm was covered in a neutral-scented overlay (opposite odour e.g. cassis). We recorded the ant's initial decision (defined as the antennae crossing a line 2cm from the bifurcation) and final decision (crossing a line 8cm from the bifurcation, 2cm from the arm end, see fig. 1B). The position of the odour associated with the corpses/dummies was systematically varied between ants. The Y-maze was cleaned with ethanol after each ant. 16 ants were tested sequentially using the same scented ant corpses, followed by 16 tested with dummies of the same smell. The next day, the same procedure was performed with the opposite odour (e.g. cassis). The negative-associated odour, and the sequence of corpses/dummies were switched alternately. 32 ants were tested per day, testing in total 128 ants from 4 colonies.

Experiment 2 – Testing the effect of corpse-scent association on odour preference using corpses killed with scented poison

Experiment 1 found that the ants did indeed avoid the corpse-associated odour, but not the dummy associated odour. The aim of this study was to test this effect in a more field-realistic, and challenging, assay. We thus used corpses created by poisoning with a flavoured food laced with toxicant, rather than scented corpses.

Two days prior to start of the experiment hydrogel beads were placed into a solution containing 7.6µl Spintor © (containing 44% Spinosad) and 200µl food flavour in 100ml 1M sucrose. One day prior the start of the experiment, 24 ants were fed on these soaked hydrogel beads in a petri dish. After 8h all ants were dead, and were added to a clean petri dish without any flavoured food. The next day (~16h later) these ants were used as corpses. The procedure of the training and testing was otherwise identical to experiment 1.

16 ants were tested per day, testing in total 64 ants from 4 colonies over 4 days on corpses.

Experiment 3 – Testing the effect of corpse-associated odour on feeding preference

Here we asked whether ants avoid food which tastes is flavoured to match the one the scent of scented nestmates corpses, or feed less from it. Furthermore, we tested if a lower starvation level (2 days) changed the response of the ants compared to the standard 4 days.

The idea here was that extremely hungry ants may be too motivated to feed at the first food
encountered to show preference.

Ants encountered scented corpses or dummies in the round plastic arena as
described in experiment 1. After this training the ant was allowed to enter a drawbridge
leading to a two-choice 'delta' feeder, made of 3D printed resin (see fig. 1C). This feeder
consisted of two triangular wells, each filled with a different solution (scented 1M sucrose).
The tips of the wells were 0.5mm apart, smaller than the length of one *L. humile* antenna.
This ensured that, regardless of which solution is contacted first, the ant will almost
immediately also come into contact with the alternative solution, enabling an informed
choice between the two solutions (see figure 1C). We noted the first feeder drinking choice
(defined as the mandibles entering one well), the time until first drinking interruption
(mandibles withdrawn from solution and head movement) and the time spent drinking from
each feeder. The feeder side containing the negative associated flavoured food was
systematically varied between ants. 32 ants were tested per day, testing in total 127 ants
from 4 colonies. 64 ants were trained on dummies and 63 on corpses. Tested ants were
permanently excluded from the colony, to prevent scented food being returned to their
nestmates.

Figure 1: Setup used for the 1-3 experiment: A) Ants were confined to a round platform arena,
containing scented dummies or nestmate corpses (1st experiment), or corpses fed with scented
poison (2nd experiment). After 90s or 10 contacts, the ants' odour preference was tested on a Y-maze
with scented paper overlays B). Results were noted when the ants crossed the decision line. C) Delta
feeder for testing feeding preference. Ants enter via a constriction, encountering two adjacent wells,
containing differently-flavoured sucrose solution. Their initial choice, time to first drinking
interruption, and total drinking time from each well was tested.

<u>Experiment 4 – Can the presence of scented corpses drive collective food choice?</u>

The purpose of this experiment was to raise the results of the individual level experiments to

the collective level, quantifying collective foraging at a high resolution over the first hour of

feeding, and at a lower resolution over 24 hours. Data was split into the first 60min after

food presentation, and the following 23h. This is because in lab setting, satiation at ad

libitum feeders often occurs within the first hour (Czaczkes et al., 2016)

Hydrogel beads were prepared as in experiment 2, but without the toxicant.

A round platform (9cm diameter, 0.2cm thick), raised on 4 plastic pillars (0.3cm diameter,

5.5m height), connected to a raised a plastic bridge, was placed into the colony box. The

pillars were coated in fluon to prevent unwanted foraging shortcuts, ensuring that the only

access to the platform was via the bridge. Two hydrogel beads, flavoured to match the scent

of the corpses, were placed into a 0.1mm deep trough on one side of the round platform

(see fig. 2) and two other hydrogel beads with the opposite flavour were placed on the other

side. These beads were halved to prevent them rolling about. 12 scented corpses, prepared

as in experiment 1, were distributed over the bridge (see fig. 2).

Ants were then allowed free access to the feeders via the bridge. The narrow bridge

ensured that all ants encountered most of the corpses. Ants were allowed to recruit and

feed on the gel breads for 24h. Images were recorded automatically by an infrared-sensitive

camera with infrared flash (Pi NoIR module 2) connected to a microcomputer (Raspberry Pi

4). An image was taken every 5 minutes for the first 60 minutes of the experiment

(corresponding to the major feeding and recruitment stage) and thereafter every hour for

24h. From the images, we counted the number of ants within 5mm of each feeder.

The feeder side and the flavour containing the negative associated hydrogel beads was

systematically varied between colonies. We tested 16 colonies, and each colony was only

tested once.

Figure 2: Collective decision-making experiment. A round plastic platform, supported by

fluon-coated pillars and reachable by a bridge, is placed in the colony box. Hydrogel beads

soaked in flavoured sucrose solution (apple and strawberry) are offered 12 scented

nestmate corpses are placed on the bridge the bridge. Foraging ants must pass the scented

corpses to reach the feeding platform. The number of ants within 0.5 cm of each food type

are counted.

<u>Statistical analysis</u>

Data were analysed using generalized linear mixed-effect models (GLMM) (Bolker et al.

2009) in R version 4.1.0 (R Core Team 2021). GLMMs were fitted using the lme4 package

(Bates et al. 2015). As the data were binomial (correct / incorrect), a binomial error

distribution was used. Since multiple ants were tested per colony, we included colony as

random factor. Model fit was checked using the DHARMa package (Hartig 2018). Results

were plotted using the gglot2 package (Wickham 2016). The complete code and analysis

output is provided in supplements 1.

Results

<u>Experiment 1 – Testing the effect of corpse-scent association on odour preference using</u>

<u>scented corpses</u>

This experiment tested if encountering scented conspecific corpses influenced the

preference of ants between a neutral odour and an odour associated with the corpses. Ants

which had encountered scented corpses chose the Y-maze odour arm associated with the

corpses significantly less often than the opposite scented arm (69% chose the opposite arm,

GLMM, z-ratio = 2.92, p = 0.003, see fig. 3A). By contrast, ants in the control group which

experienced the odour associated with scented dummies showed no significant preference

between the two scented arms (47% chose the opposite arm, GLMM, z-ratio = -0.5, p = 0.62,

see fig.3A). The treatment groups differed significantly from each other (emmeans, z-ratio =

2.48, p = 0.013, see fig. 3A). The specific corpse/dummy odour (cassis or orange) did not

significantly affect choice accuracy (GLMM: z-ratio = 0.37, p = 0.71).

A)   Exp. 1 - Freeze-killed scented corpses   B)   Exp. 2 - Killed with scented toxicant

Figure 3: The response of ants to odours associated with nestmate corpses (experiments 1 &

2): A) Experiment 1: Proportion of ants, per treatment group, avoiding the Y-maze arm

scented with an odour associated with the surface scent of corpses (treatment) or dummies

(control). B) Proportion of ants which encountered nestmate corpses killed by feeding on

scented food avoiding the corpse-associated scent on a Y-maze arm, split by scent type. Bars

depict means, whiskers 95% confidence intervals derived from the fitted GLMM. The dotted

horizontal line displays chance level of 50%. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***p < 0.001.

<u>Experiment 2 – Testing the effect of corpse-scent association on odour preference using ants</u>

<u>killed with scented poison</u>

The procedure of this experiment was similar to the first experiment except that instead of

killing the ants by freezing they were killed by feeding them poisoned scented sugar water

via hydrogel beads. The ants did not choose the Y-maze odour arm associated with the

corpses significantly less often than the opposite scented arm (GLMM, z-ratio = 0.58, p =

0.56, see fig.3B): 56% of the ants which were exposed to corpses fed with orange flavoured

poison and 50% of the ants exposed to corpses fed with cassis chose the opposite arm. The

specific corpse poison odour or flavour did not significantly affect arm choice (GLMM: z-ratio

= -0.51, p = 0.61).

<u>Experiment 3 – Testing the effect of corpse-associated odour on feeding preference</u>

In this experiment, we tested whether ants' drinking preference was affected by pre-

exposure to an odour clinging to ant corpses. Half the ants were tested after 2 days of

starvation, the other after 4. The 2 days starved ant groups (dummy and corpse) did not

choose as a first choice one food source significantly more often than the other (GLMM,

dummy: z-ratio = -0.26, p = 0.79, 48% chose the novel odour. Corpse: z-ratio = -0.76, p =

0.45, 45% chose the novel odour, see fig. 4A). Likewise, both groups did not drink

significantly longer on either of the food sources (GLMM, dummy: z-ratio = 1.74, p = 0.08,

60% drank longer from the novel odour. Corpse: z-ratio = 0.51, p = 0.61, 53% drank longer

from the novel feeder, see fig. 4C). The specific corpse/flavour odour did not significantly

affect these measures (GLMM, first feeder choice, z-ratio = 0.72, p = 0.43, drinking time, z-

ratio = 0.55, p = 0.58). A similar pattern can be seen for the 4 days starved ants' first choice

(GLMM, dummy: z-ratio = 1.25, p = 0.21, 58% chose the novel odour. Corpse: z-ratio = -0.12,

p = 0.90, 49% chose the novel odour, see fig. 4B) and for the drinking time (GLMM, dummy,

z-ratio = -0.56, p = 0.58, 42% drank longer from the novel feeder. Corpse, z-ratio = 0.87, p =

0.39, 55% drank longer from the novel feeder, see fig. 4D). Again, the specific corpse/flavour

odour did not significantly affect choice (GLMM, first feeder choice, z-ratio = -1.17, p = 0.24,

drinking time, z-ratio = -0.25, p = 0.80).

Figure 4: Effect of corpse-associated smell on feeding preference (exp 3): (A&B) Proportion

of ants avoiding the feeder (first choice) associated with a corpse scent, per treatment group

after 2 (A) or 4 (B) days of starvation. C) and D) show the proportion of time spent drinking

at the feeder not scented to match the corpses or dummies i.e. avoidance, for 2 and 4 days

respectively. Bars depict means, whiskers 95% confidence intervals derived from the fitted

GLMM. The dotted horizontal line displays chance level of 50%.

<u>Experiment 4 – Can the presence of scented corpses drive collective food choice?</u>

The aim of this experiment was to test whether corpse-odour avoidance can drive collective

foraging behaviour. In the first 60 minutes significantly fewer ants (784/1881) fed on the

hydrogel beads scented to match the corpses (GLMM: z-ratio = 7.44, p < 0.0001, see fig. 5A).

Over the following 23h observation ants showed only a trend towards avoiding the feeder

(78/135) associated with the dead ants (GLMM: z-ratio = 1.80, p = 0.072, see fig. 5B). The

specific corpse odour did not significantly affect choice accuracy within 60 minute (GLMM: z-

ratio = -5.407, p = 0.8669) nor the following 23 hours (GLMM: z-ratio = 1.203, p = 0.2291).

Figure 5: Collective foraging effort on feeders scented to match either nestmate-corpses encountered en-route to the feeder, or scented with a novel odour (exp 4): A) First 60 minutes post food presentation. Bars depict means, whiskers 95% confidence intervals derived from the fitted GLMM.

336 **Figure 6:** Collective foraging effort on feeders scented to match either nestmate-corpses

337 encountered en-route to the feeder, or scented with a novel odour (exp 4): A) 2-24 hours

338 post food presentation. Bars depict means, whiskers 95% confidence intervals derived from

339 the fitted GLMM.

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that nestmate corpses are a negative stimulus for the ant

Linepithema humile. Corpses may drive toxic bait avoidance at an individual and collective

level, by causing the ants to avoid odours associated with them (experiments 1 and 4).

However, under a more natural condition (experiment 2, poison-killed ants) no avoidance

was found. The negative association might have been counterbalanced by a positive

association, such as the sugary taste that sticks to the mouth of ants after they fed on the

corpses. The lack of avoidance of the corpse-associated food in experiment 3 may either be

due to the motivation to continue feeding overriding any aversion present, or be due to

aversion only being shown in terms of orientation or recruitment, but not feeding.

Avoidance of the scented Y-maze arms associated with nestmate corpses

(experiment 1) demonstrates that corpses do act as a negative stimulus for *L. humile*.

Avoidance of cues associated with negative consequences has been demonstrated in ants

previously: Ants avoid Y-maze or T-maze arms scented with an odour associated with a bitter

quinine solution (Czaczkes, 2018; Wenig et al., 2021). Nestmate corpses have also been

shown to be avoided by ants: potential nest sites containing foreign ant corpses were

avoided by *Temnothorax albipennis* (Franks et al., 2005). However, we note that ants can

discriminate between foreign or nestmate corpses (Renucci et al., 2011). It is thus unclear if

the reason for avoidance in the nest site avoidance study was the fear of a confrontation

with a foreign ant colony, or for hygienic reasons, or both. However, given that all the ants in

our study stemmed from the same supercolony, and showed no avoidance or aggression

between colony fragments, it is unlikely that non-nestmate avoidance plays a large role in

the results. While our study showed a respectable avoidance rate (69%), comparable to the

results of corpse-containing nest avoidance (Franks et al., 2005), one might ask why

avoidance was not higher. We propose that a certain willingness to approach nestmate

corpses is required, in order for the corpses to be cleared away. Corpse clearance has been

shown to be an important hygienic response, preventing disease spread (Diez et al., 2014). It

was even shown in Argentine ants that some workers have to apply regular pygidial gland

secretions on corpses to prevent growth of pathogenic fungi (Kesäniemi et al., 2019), which

requires the corpses to be approached.

Surprisingly, our second experiment, which had the same setup as the first

experiment, found no avoidance of the corpse-associated odour. The key difference

between these experiments was how the ant, used as corpse stimuli, died and were stored.

While in the first experiment the ants were freeze-killed then stored for 24h in a Petri dish

containing food flavour to be scented, the ants in the second experiment were allowed to

feed on poisoned, flavoured hydrogel beads, subsequently died within 8h, and were then

stored for a further 16h in a Petri dish without any food flavour. It is possible that in this

second method the ants might have not perceived the flavour of the poisoned food, because

it was too subtle or disappeared after 24 hours. Interestingly, we observed that most test

ants licked the mouths of the dead ants, possibly tasting the food consumed by the poisoned

nestmates. They thus might have formed a positive association between the odour of the food remains and the food remains themselves, which may have interfered with, or counterbalanced, any negative stimulus created by the corpses. Ants have been demonstrated to learn food-related odour cues from returning foragers, even without active food sharing (Czaczkes et al., 2019; Josens et al., 2016; Roces, 1990). The results of this experiment thus do not rule out that corpses act as a negative stimulus in these ants, but imply that perhaps this effect will not strongly drive behaviour in a real-world ant control situation using sucrose-based baits. However, other commonly-used bait types based on fat or protein pastes may have elicited an effect (see below).

The third experiment showed that ants did not drink less from a food sources flavoured to match the scented corpses. It did not matter if the ants were heavily (4 days) or moderately (2 days) starved. It is unlikely that the ants were unable to associate the odour impregnated onto the corpses with the taste of the flavoured sucrose feeder: we previously (Wagner et al., 2022) demonstrated that Argentine ants can learn to associate a flavoured food reward with the corresponding environmental odour. Further experiments with this assay offering 1M vs 0.5M sucrose, or 1M vs 1M sucrose + a low level of bitter quinine, show that this method is effective at uncovering food preference (manuscript in preparation). A more likely reason for this unexpected result was the overwhelming drive to feed under even moderate starvation conditions: The ants simply continued feeding at the first food source they encountered, and any potential negative stimulus associated with the food flavour was not sufficiently strong to override ongoing feeding. Alternatively, ants may only show avoidance in terms of orientation, not food rejection once the food is encountered.

The fourth experiment tested colony-level preference, by examining collective foraging choices. Collectively, the ants avoided the hydrogel beads containing flavoured sucrose corresponding to the corpses scent and preferred to feed on the alternative beads. The proximate mechanism driving this pattern is unclear: ants may have avoided approaching the corpse-associated bead, may have left the bead before feeding fully, may have down-modulated their recruitment from the bead, or any combination of these options. Unfortunately, we lack detailed information on the behaviour of the individual ants, especially the first few foragers, which would be needed to distinguish these mechanisms.

Casual observations over the first 10 minutes suggested that ants accepted the first bead

they contacted, regardless of whether it was the corpse- or control bead. This fits well with

the results from experiment 3, and makes at least the taste-and-reject hypothesis unlikely.

Due to the positive feedback inherent in ant mass-recruitment systems, the behaviour of the

first ants in such experiments has a decisive influence on the final collective outcome

(Sumpter & Beekman, 2003). Thus, if the first few ants tended to preferentially approach the

non-corpse bead, and laid the first pheromone trail in that direction, this would bias all

future choices heavily towards that bead. This effect would strongly compound the tendency

of the ants to avoid approaching the corpse-associated odour. Finally, a second positive-

feedback process may well be in place: The first ants to feed may have encountered naïve

outgoing ants on their return journey, and certainly food via trophallaxis with other ants in

the nest. Such on-trail and within-nest encounters could have created a positive association

to the smell of the bead area, causing these newly recruited ants to preferentially choose

that area (Czaczkes et al., 2019; Josens et al., 2016; Wagner et al., 2022). Note that in

systems characterised by strong positive feedback, such as this one, stochasticity can play a

very large role. It is thus not surprising that in a subset of experiments the corpse-associated

bead was chosen as even weaker options, if discovered first, can rapidly outcompete

stronger options discovered later (Beckers et al., 1990; Czaczkes et al., 2016).

Our study demonstrates that *Linepithema humile* can form negative associations with a

scent associated with "nestmate" corpses, which leads them to avoid areas with the

corresponding odour. However, this aversion may not be strong enough to override positive

stimuli, such as the presence of a desired food. These findings have important implications

for ant control strategies, as they suggest that the nestmate corpses may reduce poison bait

efficiency. Increasing bait rejection is a major problem for ant control efforts, especially for *L.*

humile (Rust et al., 2003; Silverman & Brightwell, 2008). The formation of a negative

association between the bait and poisoned nestmates is a reasonable explanation for this

behaviour. However, given the lack of effect found in experiment 2, which used more field-

realistic methods, it is unclear whether this effect plays a major role in real-world control

efforts. The lack of effect in experiment 2 may have been driven either by a

counterbalancing caused by the presence of rewarding sugar on the nestmate corpses, or by

the disappearance of the bait-associated odour by 24 hours after feeding. However, ants can

identify nestmates as corpses within 1 hour of death (Choe et al., 2009), and toxic baits can
cause death within 1-4 days (LT_{50}) with commonly used slow-acting poisoned baits. We note
that many commercial baits are composed of a matrix with a potentially longer-lasting odour
profile e.g. fat or protein-rich pastes (Hoffmann et al., 2016; Klotz, 2008; Lofgren et al., 1961;
Terayama et al., 2021). It is possible that such baits imbue ants which fed on them with a
long-lasting odour which could drive future rejection when these ants finally die. The water-
soluble odour we used in the current study may not have lasted long enough to be
detectable by other ants 16-24 hours after feeding.

The results of this study demonstrate that delayed bait rejection could be driven, at
least in part, by negative associations derived from the corpses of poisoned nestmates.
Important future steps would include tests with commercial baits, ideally in field-realistic
situations. This effect should be kept in mind when considering control strategies, especially
re-treatment of infested areas, where cycling food flavours and odours might be a very
cheap and easy method of overcoming this potential issue.

References

Angulo, E., Hoffmann, B. D., Ballesteros-Mejia, L., Taheri, A., Balzani, P., Bang, A., Renault, D.,
Cordonnier, M., Bellard, C., Diagne, C., Ahmed, D. A., Watari, Y., & Courchamp, F. (2022).
Economic costs of invasive alien ants worldwide. *Biological Invasions*.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-022-02791-w

Beckers, R., Deneubourg, J. L., Goss, S., & Pasteels, J. M. (1990). Collective decision making
through food recruitment. *Insectes Sociaux*, *37*(3), Article 3.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02224053

Choe, D.-H., Millar, J. G., & Rust, M. K. (2009). Chemical signals associated with life inhibit
necrophoresis in Argentine ants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(20),
8251–8255. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901270106

Czaczkes, T. J. (2018). Using T- and Y-mazes in myrmecology and elsewhere: A practical
guide. *Insectes Sociaux*, *65*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-018-0621-z

Czaczkes, T. J., Beckwith, J. J., Horsch, A.-L., & Hartig, F. (2019). The multi-dimensional nature
of information drives prioritization of private over social information in ants. *Proceedings of*
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *286*(1909), 20191136.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1136

Czaczkes, T. J., Salmane, A. K., Klampfleuthner, F. A. M., & Heinze, J. (2016). Private
information alone can trigger trapping of ant colonies in local feeding optima. *The Journal of*
Experimental Biology, *219*(5), 744–751. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.131847

Diez, L., Lejeune, P., & Detrain, C. (2014). Keep the nest clean: Survival advantages of corpse
removal in ants. *Biology Letters*, *10*(7), 20140306. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0306

Diez, L., Moquet, L., & Detrain, C. (2013). Post-mortem Changes in Chemical Profile and their
Influence on Corpse Removal in Ants. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *39*(11–12), 1424–1432.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-013-0365-1

Franks, N. R., Hooper, J., Webb, C., & Dornhaus, A. (2005). Tomb evaders: House-hunting
hygiene in ants. *Biology Letters*, *1*(2), 190–192. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2005.0302

Hoffmann, B. D., Luque, G. M., Bellard, C., Holmes, N. D., & Donlan, C. J. (2016). Improving
invasive ant eradication as a conservation tool: A review. *Biological Conservation*, *198*, 37–
49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.03.036

Josens, R., Mattiacci, A., Lois-Milevicich, J., & Giacometti, A. (2016). Food information
acquired socially overrides individual food assessment in ants. *Behavioral Ecology and*
Sociobiology, *70*(12), 2127–2138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-016-2216-x

Kesäniemi, J., Koskimäki, J. J., & Jurvansuu, J. (2019). Corpse management of the invasive
Argentine ant inhibits growth of pathogenic fungi. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 7593.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44144-z

Klotz, J. H. (2008). *Urban Ants of North America and Europe: Identification, Biology, and*
Management. Cornell University Press.

Lofgren, C. S., Bartlett, F. J., & Stringer, C. E. (1961). Imported Fire Ant Toxic Bait Studies: The
Evaluation of Various Food Materials1. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *54*(6), 1096–1100.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/54.6.1096

Pavlov, I. P. (1927). *Conditioned reflexes: An investigation of the physiological activity of the*
cerebral cortex (pp. xv, 430). Oxford Univ. Press.

Renucci, M., Tirard, A., & Provost, E. (2011). Complex undertaking behavior in *Temnothorax*
lichtensteini ant colonies: From corpse-burying behavior to necrophoric behavior. *Insectes*
Sociaux, *58*(1), 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-010-0109-y

Rescola, A. R., & Wagner, R. A. (1972). A theory of Pavlovian conditioning: Variations in the
effectiveness of reinforcement and nonreinforcement. Classical conditioning: current
research and theory. Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Roces, F. (1990). Olfactory conditioning during the recruitment process in a leaf-cutting ant.
Oecologia, *83*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317762

Rowles, A. D., & O'Dowd, D. J. (2006). Interference competition by Argentine ants displaces
native ants: Implications for biotic resistance to invasion. *Biological Invasions*, *9*(1), 73–85.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-006-9009-5

Rust, M. K., Reierson, D. A., & Klotz, J. H. (2003). Pest Management of Argentine Ants
(Hymenoptera: Formicidae)1. *Journal of Entomological Science*, *38*(2), 159–169.
https://doi.org/10.18474/0749-8004-38.2.159

Silverman, J., & Brightwell, R. J. (2008). The Argentine Ant: Challenges in Managing an
Invasive Unicolonial Pest. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *53*(1), 231–252.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093450

Sorvari, J., Theodora, P., Turillazzi, S., Hakkarainen, H., & Sundström, L. (2008). Food
resources, chemical signaling, and nest mate recognition in the ant *Formica aquilonia*.
Behavioral Ecology, *19*(2), 441–447. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arm160

Sumpter, D. J. T., & Beekman, M. (2003). From nonlinearity to optimality: Pheromone trail
foraging by ants. *Animal Behaviour*, *66*(2), 273–280.
https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2003.2224

Terayama, M., Sunamura, E., Fujimaki, R., Ono, T., & Eguchi, K. (2021). A Surprisingly Non-
attractiveness of Commercial Poison Baits to Newly Established Population of White-Footed
Ant, *Technomyrmex brunneus* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), in a Remote Island of Japan.
Sociobiology, *68*(1), 5898. https://doi.org/10.13102/sociobiology.v68i1.5898

Wagner, T., Galante, H., Josens, R., & Czaczkes, T. J. (2022). A systematic examination of
learning in the invasive ant *Linepithema humile* reveals very rapid development of short and
long-term memory [Preprint]. Animal Behavior and Cognition.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.12.487867

Wenig, K., Bach, R., & Czaczkes, T. J. (2021). Hard limits to cognitive flexibility: Ants can learn
to ignore but not avoid pheromone trails. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *224*(11),
jeb242454. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.242454