Genomic surveillance of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli*

in fecal sludge and sewage in Uganda

Ryota Gomi[a,*], Yasufumi Matsumura[b], Masaki Yamamoto[b], Mai Tanaka[a], Allan John

Komakech[c], Tomonari Matsuda[a], Hidenori Harada[d,*]

[a]Department of Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto

University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, 615-8540, Kyoto, Japan

[b]Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto

University, 54 Shogoin-kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, 606-8507, Kyoto, Japan

[c]Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, College of Agricultural and

Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, P.O. Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda

[d]Graduate School of Asian and African Area Studies, Kyoto University, Yoshida-

Shimoadachi, Sakyo-ku, 606-8501, Kyoto, Japan

*Corresponding authors

E-mail address: gomi.ryota.34v@kyoto-u.jp; Tel: +81-75-383-3354

E-mail address: harada.hidenori.8v@kyoto-u.ac.jp; Tel: +81-75-753-7830

ABSTRACT

The global increase of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a major public health concern. An effective AMR surveillance tool is needed to track the emergence and spread of AMR. Wastewater surveillance has been proposed as a resource-efficient tool for monitoring AMR carriage in the community. Here, we performed genomic surveillance of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* obtained from fecal sludge and sewage in Uganda to gain insights into *E. coli* epidemiology and AMR burden in the underlying population. Selective media containing different antibiotic combinations (cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, cefotaxime + ciprofloxacin + gentamicin) were used to obtain antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* from fecal sludge and sewage. Short-read sequencing was performed for the obtained isolates, and a subset of isolates (selected from predominant sequence types (STs)) was also subjected to long-read sequencing. Genomic analysis of the obtained *E. coli* isolates (n = 181) revealed the prevalence of ST10 complex, including ST167 (n = 43), ST10 (n = 28), ST1284 (n = 17), and ST617 (n = 4), in both fecal sludge and sewage, irrespective of antibiotics used for selection. We also detected global high-risk clones ST1193 (n = 10) and ST131 (n = 2 clade A, n = 3 subclade C1-M27, and n = 1 subclade C2). Diverse AMR determinants, including extended-spectrum β-lactamase genes (mostly $bla_{CTX-M-15}$) and mutations in *gyrA* and *parC*, were identified. Analysis of the completed genomes revealed that diverse IncF plasmids and chromosomal integration were the major contributors to the spread of AMR genes in the predominant STs. This study showed

37   that a combination of sewage surveillance (or fecal sludge surveillance) and whole-genome

38   sequencing can be a powerful tool for monitoring AMR carriage in the underlying population.

40   **Keywords**: *Escherichia coli*, Antimicrobial resistance, One Health, Sewage surveillance,

41   Whole-genome sequencing

1. Introduction

The increase of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global health concern. AMR can lead to adverse consequences such as increased mortality rates, prolonged hospital admissions, and higher medical costs.[1] Information on AMR collected through surveillance is needed to guide treatment, monitor the effectiveness of interventions, and develop polices and regulations to counter AMR.[2] However, surveillance of AMR in resource-limited settings is challenging, especially when the surveillance targets populations.

Sewage contains pooled urine and feces from a large population, and one sewage sample can represent bacteria from multiple people in the community. For this reason, sewage surveillance (or wastewater-based epidemiology) has been proposed as a resource-efficient tool for monitoring AMR carriage in the underlying population.[3-5] Sewage surveillance is attractive in many aspects; for example, (i) sewage provides a sample from a large and mostly healthy population, which otherwise would not be easily monitored, and (ii) it does not collect data on individuals and thus reduces ethical risks.[6, 7] Although it is a promising approach, sewage surveillance of AMR cannot be directly applied in areas with no connection to centralized (sewered) systems. A previous study reported at least 1.8 billion people in low- and middle-income countries use on-site sanitation systems like pit latrines.[8] In such areas, fecal sludge from on-site systems has been proposed to be used as pooled community samples for surveillance purposes.[9-11] Sewage surveillance (or fecal sludge surveillance) of AMR can be

either culture-dependent or culture-independent. Culture-independent methods (e.g., short-read

metagenomics) have some advantages over culture-dependent methods, such as the ability to

detect thousands of AMR genes in a single sample. However, it provides limited information

on the host or the genetic context of AMR genes.[6] Culture-dependent methods, on the other

hand, can link AMR genes to specific host bacteria, provide information on phylogenetic

characteristics on the host, and may even provide information on the location (chromosome or

plasmid) and genetic context of AMR genes.

Escherichia coli is a commensal bacterium in humans and animals and is also known to cause

serious infections.[12] Although *E. coli* is intrinsically susceptible to almost all clinically relevant

antibiotics, it can accumulate AMR genes via, e.g., horizontal gene transfer.[13] *E. coli* has been

suggested as an indicator for AMR surveillance in the environment,[14] and previous studies have

shown that *E. coli* is a good target organism for sewage surveillance of AMR.[15-20] WHO also

selected extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *E. coli* as an indicator for "One

Health" AMR surveillance (including sewage surveillance) in the Tricycle protocol.[21] The

protocol recommends usage of whole-genome sequencing (if possible) to determine the

sequence type (ST), virulence genotype, plasmid type, carriage of acquired AMR genes, and

phylogenetic characteristics of the obtained isolates, which contribute to the understanding of

the epidemiology of pathogens.

Uganda is a country experiencing an extremely high prevalence of AMR.[22] Previous studies

have reported high prevalence of multidrug resistance (MDR) among *E. coli*.[23-25] However,

most studies were based on phenotypic characterization or detection of a limited set of AMR

genes/virulence genes, and thus their genomic characteristics, including the clonal composition

and resistome, are largely unknown. In the present study, we isolated antimicrobial-resistant *E.*

coli from fecal sludge and sewage in Uganda and performed genomic analysis on the obtained

isolates, aiming to gain insights into *E. coli* epidemiology and AMR burden in the underlying

population.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection and isolation of *E. coli*

Samples were collected at a fecal sludge treatment plant (FSTP) and a wastewater treatment

plant (WWTP) in Kampala, Uganda, in October–November, 2018. We obtained samples from

both sources to cover a wider population in this region. For fecal sludge sampling, three grab

samples were collected at different times on each of five days from effluent of the primary

sedimentation tank in the FSTP; in total 15 samples were collected (these samples are hereafter

referred to simply as fecal sludge samples). For sewage sampling, up to three grab samples

were collected at different times over six days from influent of the WWTP, totaling 16 samples.

The samples were collected and stored in sterile sampling bottles and transported on ice to the

laboratory. The samples were then cultured, within 12 hours after collection, using a specific

enzyme substrate medium (CHROMagar ECC, Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan) containing

four combinations of three antibiotics: (a) no antibiotics, (b) cefotaxime (CTX, 1 mg/L), (c)

ciprofloxacin (CIP, 1 mg/L), (d) CTX (1 mg/L) + CIP (1 mg/L) + gentamicin (GM, 4 mg/L).

The samples were serially diluted with PBS for colony counting. Up to three colonies with an

E. coli profile (blue colony) were picked from each of plate (b), (c), and (d) (i.e., we obtained

up to nine isolates from a single sample), and each was transferred into a screw tube containing

LB agar. The tubes were incubated overnight at 37 °C, stored at 4 °C, and then transported to

the laboratory in Japan. *E. coli* in each screw tube was again incubated on a plate with

CHROMAgar ECC containing the same antibiotic(s) as at the time of isolation. A colony with

an *E. coli* profile was picked and spread again on a plate with CHROMAgar ECC, and then a

single colony was picked from the plate, cultured in LB broth, and stored at −85 °C in 35%

glycerol.

2.2. Genome sequencing and assembly

A total of 192 presumptive antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* isolates was subjected to genome

sequencing as below. For each isolate, a bacterial pellet was prepared from the glycerol stock.

DNA was extracted from each isolate using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden,

Germany) for Illumina sequencing. Illumina libraries were prepared using a Nextera XT DNA

Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on the NextSeq550 platform,

generating paired-end reads of 151 bp each. For some isolates, read depth was not sufficient,

so we sequenced the library again on the MiniSeq platform to obtain additional paired-end

reads of the same length. Illumina reads were processed using fastp (v0.23.2)[26] and assembled

using Unicycler (v0.4.8)[27] with the --no_correct option. The quality of each genome assembly

was ensured by using the quality control (QC) criteria of (i) contig number: $\leq$ 800; (ii) genome

size: 3.7 Mbp to 6.4 Mbp; and (iii) N50: >20 kb, as recommended by EnteroBase.[28]

For selected isolates (n = 26), we also performed Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) long-

read sequencing to generate complete genomes. DNA was extracted from each isolate using a

DNeasy PowerSoil Pro Kit (Qiagen). The long-read sequencing library was prepared using the

SQK-LSK109 kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) and sequenced on the

MinION with a FLO-MIN106 flow cell. ONT reads were filtered using Filtlong (v0.2.1,

https://github.com/rrwick/Filtlong) to remove reads with low quality or short length. Hybrid

assembly of Illumina short reads and ONT long reads was performed by employing a long-

read-first approach. Briefly, filtered ONT reads were assembled using Flye (v2.9.1-b1780),[29]

and   then   the   assemblies   were   polished   with   medaka   (v1.7.1,

https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka) using filtered ONT reads and Polypolish (v0.5.0)[30]

using processed Illumina reads. Hybrid assembly was also performed using a short-read-first

approach, i.e., Unicycler (v0.5.0) hybrid assembly followed by polishing with Polypolish

(v0.5.0) using processed Illumina reads. If the long-read-first approach generated a complete

genome for an isolate, we chose the polished Flye assembly as the final assembly (note that an

assembly generated by Flye can sometimes lack small plasmids, so we recovered small

plasmids in the Unicycler assembly that were not present in the Flye assembly[31]). If the long-

read-first approach did not complete the genome but the short-read-first approach did, we chose

the polished Unicycler assembly as the final assembly.

2.3. Genomic analysis

E. coli status was confirmed by performing an average nucleotide identity (ANI) analysis using

each genome sequenced in the present study and the genome sequence of the *E. coli* type strain

ATCC 11775 (= DSM 30083 = U5/41).[32] ANI analysis was performed using FastANI (v1.33)

with the threshold of 95%.[33] *E. coli* phylogroups were determined using a combination of

EzClermont (v0.7.0) and ClermonTyping.[34, 35] Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was

performed using MLST (v2.19.0) (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst). *fimH* types, which are

used for high-resolution subtyping of MLST-based *E. coli* clonal groups, were determined

using FimTyper 1.0.[36] AMR genes were detected using a combination of ResFinder 4.1[37] and

Abricate (v1.0.1) (https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) with the ncbi database. Genetic

contexts of AMR genes were analyzed using the RAST server,[38] the BLAST algorithm

(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), and ISfinder.[39] Mutations in quinolone resistance-

determining regions (QRDRs) in *gyrA* (GyrA codons 83 and 87) and *parC* (ParC codons 80

and 84) were analyzed according to Aoike et al.[40] Virulence genes were detected using the

VirulenceFinder database.[41, 42] *E. coli* pathotypes, which include extraintestinal pathogenic *E.*

coli (ExPEC) and several categories of intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (InPEC), were defined

based on the presence of specific virulence genes as follows: two or more of *papA* and/or *papC*,

sfa-focDE, *afa-draBC*, *iutA*, and *kpsM* II for ExPEC; *stx1* or/and *stx2* and *eae* for

enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC); *eae* without *stx1* or *stx2* for enteropathogenic *E. coli*

(EPEC); *stx1* or/and *stx2* for Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC); *ipaH* for enteroinvasive *E.*

coli (EIEC); *elt* or/and *est* for enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC); and *aggR* for enteroaggregative

E. coli (EAEC).[43] K1 and K5 capsular types of ST1193 isolates were determined based on

blastn analysis using M57382 (K1) and X53819 (K5) as references.[44] Plasmid replicons were

detected using PlasmidFinder 2.1, and plasmids were typed using pMLST 2.0.[45]

A whole-genome SNP-based tree was built for isolates belonging to phylogroup A using

kSNP3 based on SNPs occurring in at least 90% of the genomes.[46] To confirm the topology of

the tree, we also built a tree for the phylogroup A genomes using another software, parsnp

(v1.7.4).[47] For isolates belonging to ST1193 and ST131, we separately built a recombination-

free phylogenetic tree for each ST. To place our isolates in broader phylogenetic contexts, we

also included isolates analyzed in other studies which defined clades/subclades within each ST,

i.e., isolates analyzed by Johnson et al. for ST1193[48] and isolates analyzed by Matsumura et al.

for ST131.[49] Isolates from these studies were assembled and subjected to QC criteria as

described above, and genomes passing the QC criteria were used for tree building. For each

ST, trimmed reads were mapped to a reference genome (MCJCHV-1 chromosome for

ST1193[50] and EC958 chromosome for ST131[51]) using snippy (v4.6.0)

(https://github.com/tseemann/snippy). Recombinant regions were filtered from the snippy

core-full alignment using Gubbins (v3.2.1).[52] A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree

was built using IQ-TREE (v2.0.3) from the resulting alignment using a GTR+G+ASC model.[53]

The trees were visualized using iTOL.[54]

2.4. Accession numbers

Genome assemblies were deposited in GenBank under BioProject PRJNA956323 (also see

Table S1 for the accession number of each genome and **Table S2** for the accession number of

each replicon for the completed genomes).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Detection and isolation of antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli*

During the study period, we collected 15 fecal sludge samples and 16 sewage samples and

measured the concentrations of total *E. coli* and antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* (**Table S3**). The

mean proportions of antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* in the fecal sludge samples were 30.0% for

CTX, 26.9% for CIP, and 10.7% for CTX + CIP + GM. The mean proportions of antimicrobial-

resistant *E. coli* in the sewage samples were 39.2% for CTX, 21.0% for CIP, and 5.1% for CTX

+ CIP + GM. These proportions were higher than those reported previously for *E. coli* in

wastewater (usually less than 10% resistance for CTX and CIP),[16, 18, 19] potentially highlighting

the extremely high prevalence of AMR in Uganda.[22]

In total, we obtained 192 presumptive antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* isolates from the samples

and performed short-read sequencing on these isolates. After discarding contigs shorter than

100 bp, 187 genomes met the assembly QC criteria. Species identification of the 187 genomes

by the ANI analysis revealed that 181 belonged to *E. coli*, while 6 belonged to non-*E. coli*

species such as *Citrobacter freundii*. These 181 *E. coli* genomes, comprising 91 from fecal

sludge samples (31 from CTX-containing media, 31 from CIP-containing media, and 29 from

CTX + CIP + GM-containing media) and 90 from sewage samples (32 from CTX-containing

media, 26 from CIP-containing media, and 32 from CTX + CIP + GM-containing media), were

further analyzed in the present study.

3.2. Clonal composition

In total, 36 STs from six different phylogroups were detected among the 181 isolates (**Table**

S1). Phylogroup A was the most prevalent (n = 127), followed by B1 (n = 17), B2 (n = 17), C

(n = 10), D (n = 8), and F (n = 2). ST167 (n = 43), ST10 (n = 28), ST46 (n = 19), and ST1284

(n = 17), all of which belong to phylogroup A, were prevalent in both fecal sludge isolates and

sewage isolates (**Figure 1a**). In total, 144 isolates (79.6%) were from the top ten STs, while 37

isolates (20.4%) were from minor STs (i.e., STs that are not in the top ten and detected among

three or fewer isolates) (**Figures 1b** and **1c**). The top ten STs were generally associated with

two or three types of media and both fecal sludge and sewage, with a few exceptions (e.g.,

ST131 was exclusively found among fecal sludge isolates). Lineages associated with

extraintestinal infections were also among the top ten STs and detected in fecal sludge isolates

(n = 9 ST1193, n = 5 ST410, n = 6 ST131, n = 2 ST69) and sewage isolates (n = 1 ST1193, n

= 4 ST410, n = 2 ST69).[12] Thirty-four isolates (18.8%), including those from the above-

mentioned STs associated with extraintestinal infections, were classified as ExPEC based on

the virulence gene contents (**Figure 1**). On the other hand, none of the isolates were classified

as InPEC. ExPEC strains are facultative pathogens and part of the intestinal microflora of a

fraction of the healthy population,[55] which might explain the relatively high prevalence of

ExPEC among our *E. coli* collection.

We next compared the clonal composition of *E. coli* in this study and those reported previously

for clinical *E. coli* in Uganda. However, the clonal composition of *E. coli* in clinical settings is

largely unknown in Uganda (a search of human-derived *E. coli* genomes from Uganda in

EnteroBase returned no hits as of May 2023), and we could find only one study reporting the

ST composition of clinical *E. coli* isolates in Uganda. That study sequenced 24 Ugandan *E.

coli* isolates causing urinary tract infections (most were MDR) and reported the prevalence of

ST10 (n = 4, 15.4%) as well as the occurrence of ST410 (n = 2, 8.3%), ST131 (n = 1, 4.2%),

ST167 (n = 1, 4.2%), ST617 (n = 1, 4.2%), and ST1193 (n = 1, 4.2%).[56] These STs were also

among the top ten STs in the present study, indicating that sewage surveillance may serve as a

possible resource-efficient complement to clinical surveillance, though further studies are

needed to confirm this.

Previous studies have suggested that fecal sludge and sewage can be used for community

surveillance, but fecal sludge includes waste from fewer individuals and hence may be less

divergent than sewage.[10] However, the number of unique STs was larger for fecal sludge

isolates than sewage isolates (26 unique STs vs 23 unique STs) (**Table S1**). Moreover,

Simpson's diversity index was higher for fecal sludge isolates than sewage isolates when

isolates from the same type of media were compared (**Figure 1a**). This might be because of

the fecal sludge sampling strategy employed in the present study: we obtained samples from

effluent of the primary sedimentation tank in a FSTP, which probably represents fecal sludge

from a large number of individuals. This might have contributed to the relatively high diversity

of *E. coli* isolates from fecal sludge samples, suggesting sampling from FSTPs or otherwise

designated fecal sludge dumping sites (rather than individual pit latrines) may better capture

the population-scale information in fecal sludge surveillance, when such places exist in the

region.

Figure 1. (a) Clonal composition of 181 *E. coli* isolates. STs are shown separately for each

media type (CTX, CIP, and CTX + CIP + GM) and each sample type (fecal sludge and sewage).

The top ten STs are shown in descending order. The remaining STs, all of which were with

three or fewer isolates, are combined into "other STs". Phylogroup corresponding to each ST

is shown in parentheses. Circle size indicates the number of genomes, and intensity of circle

shading indicates the proportion of genomes classified as ExPEC (as per inset legends). Clonal

composition of minor STs (i.e., STs classified as "other STs" in (a)) from (b) fecal sludge and

(c) sewage. Phylogroup corresponding to each ST is shown in parentheses, and STs classified

as ExPEC are colored blue.

3.3. Antimicrobial resistance determinants

260 Six different ESBL genes, namely $bla_{CTX-M-3}$, $bla_{CTX-M-14}$, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$, $bla_{CTX-M-27}$, $bla_{CTX-M-55}$, and

261 $bla_{TEM-169}$, were detected among the isolates, with $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ being the predominant type

262 (**Figure 2a**). Based on the short-read assemblies, there were 21 isolates with two different

263 ESBL genes (n = 18 $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ + $bla_{CTX-M-15}$, n = 1 $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ + $bla_{CTX-M-27}$, n = 1 $bla_{CTX-M-3}$ +

264 $bla_{CTX-M-27}$, and n = 1 $bla_{TEM-169}$ + $bla_{CTX-M-15}$) (note that isolates carrying multiple copies of the

265 same ESBL gene were not considered here because those genes can be collapsed into one

266 contig in short-read assemblies). As expected, all but one isolate obtained from CTX-

267 containing media and CTX + CIP + GM-containing media carried at least one ESBL gene.

268 Importantly, many isolates obtained from CIP-containing media carried ESBL genes (41.9%

269 for fecal sludge isolates and 42.3% for sewage isolates), indicating the convergence of ESBL

270 genes and fluoroquinolone resistance.

271 All but four isolates obtained from CIP-containing media and CTX + CIP + GM-containing

272 media carried three or four mutations in QRDRs (**Figure 2b**). In all isolates with three

273 mutations in QRDRs, two were in codons 83 and 87 in GyrA, and the remaining mutation was

274 in ParC codon 80 or 84. These are congruent with previous studies reporting that two mutations

275 in the QRDR of *gyrA* and one or two mutations in the QRDR of *parC* are the main mechanism

276 of ciprofloxacin resistance in *E. coli*.[57, 58] Importantly, most isolates obtained from CTX-

277 containing media also carried three or four mutations in QRDRs (87.1% for fecal sludge

isolates and 75.0% for sewage isolates), again highlighting the convergence of ESBL genes

and fluoroquinolone resistance determinants.

Gentamicin resistance in isolates obtained from CTX + CIP + GM-containing media could be

mostly explained by the presence of *aac(3)-II* genes (**Table S1**). Other resistance genes not

associated with antibiotics used in the selective media were also prevalent in the isolates; for

example, *sul* genes were detected in 175 isolates (96.7%) and *tet* genes were detected in 161

isolates (89.0%). However, genes conferring resistance to last-resort antibiotics, such as

carbapenems and colistin, were not detected in any isolates (**Table S1**).

Figure 2. (a) ESBL genes and (b) QRDR mutations in *E. coli* isolates. AMR determinants are

shown separately for each media type (CTX, CIP, and CTX + CIP + GM) and each sample

type (fecal sludge and sewage). This figure is based on the analysis of short-read assembly data

and isolates with multiple copies of the same ESBL gene can be reported to carry a single copy.

For QRDRs, mutations in GyrA codons 83 and 87 and ParC codons 80 and 84 were counted.

3.4. Genomic characteristics of phylogroup A isolates

Whole genome-based phylogenetic analysis was performed using kSNP3 to gain insights into

clonal relationships of 127 isolates belonging to phylogroup A, which was the dominant

phylogroup in the present study (**Figure 3**). The tree was largely separated according to STs,

though we observed separate clusters for ST10, ST46, and ST167. These separate clusters were

also confirmed in a tree generated using different software, parsnp (**Figure S1**). The ST10 and

ST167 clusters were characterized by distinct *fimH* types, namely *fimH54*, *fimH435*, and

fimH789 for ST10, and *fimH5*, *fimH29*, and *fimH54* for ST167. ST46 isolates from both

clusters did not carry *fimH*. According to EnteroBase,[28] ST167, ST10, ST1284, and ST617 are

all classified into ST10 complex (STC10). Classifying all isolates from a monophyletic clade

containing these four STs (the bottom clade in **Figure 3**) into STC10 identified that 99 isolates

(54.7% of isolates in the present study) belong to this lineage, indicating STC10 poses a

significant AMR burden in the underlying population. STC10 has been globally reported as a

resident of the intestinal tract of humans and other animals, and carriage of AMR genes

(including ESBL genes) has also been widely reported in this lineage.[59] Although there were

some small clusters specific to fecal sludge isolates (e.g., ST10 with *fimH789*) and sewage

isolates (e.g., a cluster containing six sewage isolates within ST46), fecal sludge isolates and

sewage isolates were generally intermingled in the tree. This trend was also seen for media

types; isolates selected with different antibiotics were generally intermingled in the tree. Most

phylogroup A isolates (except six isolates from minor STs obtained using CTX-containing

media) carried three or four mutations within QRDRs. As mentioned above, ESBL genes were

also prevalent even in isolates obtained using CIP-containing media (n = 21/40, 52.5%).

Notably, all ST167 isolates with *fimH*29 (n = 18) carried both $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}$ and $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$.

Analysis of the completed genome of KFS-C10 from this clade identified that $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}$ was

integrated into the chromosome while $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ was carried on an IncF[F31:F36:A4:B1]

plasmid (**Table S2**). There were seven isolates with ExPEC status (n = 4 ST46, n = 1 ST10, n

= 1 ST93, n = 1 ST1286). All seven isolates were obtained from CIP-containing media and

lacked ESBL genes, but they carried 3 to 12 non-ESBL AMR genes in addition to QRDR

mutations.

Figure 3. kSNP3 whole-genome SNP-based phylogenetic tree of 127 phylogroup A isolates.

The tree was constructed using 54,632 SNP-loci, which occurred in at least 90% of the genomes.

A k-mer length of 19 was used for SNP identification. The presence of traits is indicated by

colored boxes (as per inset legends). For the ST column, major STs (i.e., ST10, ST46, ST167,

ST617, and ST1284) are indicated by the corresponding color boxes, while other STs are

represented by gray boxes. ST10 and ST167 clusters are highlighted in the tree according to

the *fimH* alleles, except for singletons. The names of completed genomes are colored blue.

3.5. Genomic characteristics of ST1193 and ST131 isolates

We investigated the detailed genetic characteristics of isolates belonging to ST1193 (n = 10)

and ST131 (n = 6), most of which were obtained from fecal sludge, because these STs are

recognized as established/emerging global high-risk clones and the only MDR clones that are

dominant among unselected ExPEC populations.[60]

ST1193 is derived from the ST14 clonal complex, carries *fimH64*, and harbors *gyrA* (S83L and

D87N) and *parC* (S80I) mutations.[60] Previous studies reported that ST1193 isolates cluster

together within the phylogenetic tree according to three major IncF plasmid types, namely

F-:A1:B1, F-:A1:B10, and F-:A1:B20, though the clusters are not strictly monophyletic.[48, 61]

These clusters were named by Johnson et al. as green (F-:A1:B1), red (F-:A1:B10), and pink

(F-:A1:B20).[48] The phylogenetic tree constructed in our study was congruent with these

previous studies (i.e., largely separated according to IncF types), and our isolates fell into an

F-:A1:B10 cluster (n = 7) and an F-:A1:B20 cluster (n = 3) (**Figure 4a**). Three isolates (KFS-

B24, KFS-B25, KFS-C19) and two isolates (KFS-D07, KSE-D22) with the F-:A1:B10 plasmid

type clustered together in the tree. Three isolates (KFS-D21, KFS-D24, KFS-D26) with the

F-:A1:B20 plasmid type also clustered together. ST1193 isolates with F-:A1:B:20 plasmids

generally contain the K5 capsular type, and those with F-:A1:B1 or F-:A1:B10 plasmids

generally contain the K1 capsular type.[48] However, all of our ST1193 isolates, including those

with F-:A1:B:20 plasmids, contained the K1 capsular type. A variety of AMR genes associated

with different drug classes were detected in our ST1193 isolates (**Figure 4c**). Notably, among

six isolates with complete genomes, three (KFS-B24, KFS-D13, KSE-D22) carried

chromosomal AMR genes, including $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$, within different genetic contexts (discussed in

detail below and in **Figure S2**). This indicates chromosomal integration of AMR genes, as well

as plasmid carriage, can contribute to the MDR phenotype of ST1193. All ST1193 isolates in

this study were classified as ExPEC based on the virulence gene profiles, which potentially

indicates these isolates can cause extraintestinal infections.

ST131 is known to be divided into clades A, B, and C (containing subclades C0, C1, and C2).[62]

Within subclade C1 there is a clone known as C1-M27, which is responsible for the spread of

ESBL-producing *E. coli* in some countries.[49, 63] The ST131 isolates in the present study

belonged to subclade C1-M27 (n = 3), clade A (n = 2), and subclade C2 (n = 1) (**Figure 4b**).

Three subclade C1-M27 isolates clustered together within the tree, while two clade A isolates

were distantly related. The C1-M27 isolates carried *fimH*30, LNIV-type QRDRs, and $bla_{\text{CTX-M-}}$

27, which are congruent with previous studies (**Figure 4c**).[49, 64] The two clade A isolates were

typed as *fimH41* as previously reported,[49] but carried $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ and mutations in both *gyrA* and

parC QRDRs. Although clade A *E. coli* is generally sensitive to antibiotics,[62] clade A isolates

with bla_{CTX-M} and *gyrA*/*parC* mutations have been sporadically reported.[65] The subclade C2

isolate carried *fimH*30, LNIV-type QRDRs, and $bla_{CTX-M-15}$, which are the expected traits of the

subclade C2 *E. coli*.[62] A variety of AMR genes were detected in our ST131 isolates, and all the

isolates met the ExPEC criteria (**Figure 4c**).

Previous studies also identified the high-risk clones ST1193 and ST131 in sewage,[17, 66, 67]

indicating sewage surveillance can be an effective tool to monitor the occurrence of these high-

risk pathogens among populations. Genomic analysis, as implemented in the present study,

resolved fine-scale phylogenies of these high-risk clones at the clade/subclade level (**Figure**

4), showing a combination of sewage (fecal sludge) surveillance and whole-genome

sequencing is even more effective and informative.

Figure 4. Recombination-free phylogenetic trees for (a) ST1193 and (b) ST131. For ST1193,

we used the Assembly Dereplicator tool (v0.3.0, https://github.com/rrwick/Assembly-

Dereplicator) and subsampled global isolates to remove near-identical redundant isolates and

to reduce computational burden. The ST1193 tree was built using a 3,899 bp alignment

generated from our isolates (n = 10) and global isolates (n = 198). The ST131 tree was built

using a 10,712 bp alignment generated from our isolates (n = 6) and global isolates (n = 379).

Branches leading to our isolates are colored in red. The trees were midpoint rooted. Accession

numbers of global ST1193 genomes and ST131 genomes are available in **Table S4** and **Table**

S5. (c) Key genetic features of ST1193 and ST131 isolates sequenced in the present study. FS,

fecal sludge; SE, sewage. We did not assign clades to ST1193 isolates because the clades

defined by Johnson et al. are not monophyletic,[48] and the ST1193 clade definition may change

with larger-scale genomic analysis.[60] For QRDRs, GyrA codons 83 and 87 and ParC codons

80 and 84 that are different from the wild type (SDSE) are colored in red. Presence of AMR

genes is indicated by colored boxes (colored by drug class). All four isolates with *aac(6′)-Ib*

carried the *aac(6′)-Ib-cr* variant, which is associated with decreased susceptibility to

aminoglycosides and to ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin. KFS-D13 carried *aadA1*, *dfrA5*, *ere(A)*,

qnrB1, and *catA1* in addition to AMR genes shown in (c). Genomes with blue names in (a)–

(c) were completed.

3.6. Locations and genetic contexts of AMR genes in isolates from major clones

In total, 26 genomes were selected from the top five STs (n = 8 ST167, n = 4 ST10, n = 3 ST46,

n = 2 ST1284, and n = 6 ST1193) and ST131 (n = 3) and subjected to long-read sequencing to

elucidate the locations and genetic contexts of the AMR genes in these major STs (care was

taken not to select multiple closely-related isolates). Hybrid assembly using short and long

reads completed 22 of 26 genomes (n = 6 ST167, n = 3 ST10, n = 3 ST46, n = 2 ST1284, n =

6 ST1193, and n = 2 ST131). The remaining four genomes could not be completed, probably

due to the presence of long repeats in the genomes.

The completed genomes carried one to eight plasmids (median four plasmids). Among the 89

plasmids in the completed genomes, 38 (42.7%) carried at least one AMR gene and thus were

defined as AMR plasmids. IncF AMR plasmids were the most prevalent (n = 25), followed by

Col(pHAD28) (n = 6), IncB/O/K/Z (n = 4), IncX1 (n = 1), IncX2 (n = 1), and IncHI2-IncHI2A

(n = 1) (**Table 1**). We note that the completed genomes represent only a subset of our isolates,

and the actual AMR plasmid diversity in the whole population may be different. Nonetheless,

the prevalence of AMR IncF plasmids is noteworthy, and 11 (79%) of 14 plasmidic $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$

genes were located on IncF plasmids. IncF plasmids are frequently detected among *E. coli* from

humans and can stably be maintained in commensal *E. coli* within the human gastrointestinal

tract without antibiotic selection pressure,[68, 69] which might explain this high prevalence. IncF

replicon sequence typing identified 14 different allele combinations, revealing diverse IncF

plasmids contributed to the spread of AMR genes within these major STs. Although AMR

plasmids of other replicon types were not common, two IncB/O/K/Z plasmids and one IncHI2-

IncHI2A plasmid contained a $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ gene. We found plasmids from other continents that

were highly similar to 13 (34%) of 38 AMR plasmids in our study under the stringent criteria

applied (i.e., >99% query coverage and >99% identity) (**Table1**, **Table S2**). This indicates

circulation of global AMR plasmids (or clones with such plasmids) in our study setting.

Among the 22 completed genomes, AMR genes were detected on the chromosome in eight

isolates (n = 3 ST167, n = 3 ST1193, n = 2 ST46). Notably, all eight isolates carried

chromosomal $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ (n = 7 $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ and n = 1 $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}$), and three isolates carried

additional chromosomal AMR genes (**Table S2**). The genetic contexts of chromosomal $bla_{\text{CTX-}}$

$_{\text{M}}$ genes were analyzed to determine whether different transposition events contributed to the

chromosomal integration of $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ genes within each ST (**Figure S2**). In seven of eight

isolates, $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ genes were carried on transposition units (TPU) ranging in size from 2,971

bp to 15,184 bp, which were flanked by different 5-bp target site duplications (TSD). In an

ST167 isolate KFS-D01, we could not determine the boundaries of TPU due to the lack of TSD.

In an ST1193 isolate KSE-D22, a 11,736 bp TPU contained four other AMR genes, and in an

ST1193 isolate KFS-D13 a 2,971 bp TPU was linked to four other AMR genes. A variety of

genetic events seem to have contributed to the diversity of the genetic contexts of chromosomal

$bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, such as different transposition events of TPU (or other mobile elements containing

TPU) and genetic rearrangements after transposition. Detailed analyses of genetic contexts of

chromosomal $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ genes are provided in **Figure S2**. We note that recent studies have also

shown the frequent occurrence (more than 50%) of chromosomal $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ integration among

E. coli isolates using both short- and long-read sequencing.[70, 71] Chromosomal integration of

AMR genes might have been previously underestimated due to the inability of PCR or short-

read sequencing to determine the location of AMR genes. Long-read sequencing will become

more common in the near future, which may uncover more examples of chromosomal

integration of AMR genes and underline the importance of this mechanism in the stabilization

and maintenance of AMR genes.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of AMR plasmids in major STs.

Inc type (n)	Plasmid ST (n)	Chromosomal ST (n)	Size	ESBL gene (n)	No. of other AMR genes	Identical or closely related plasmids in other continents[a] (n)	Accession number(s)
Inc F[b] (25)	F-:A1:B10 (4)	ST1193 (4)	88,138 bp– 108,071 bp	-	3–7	Yes (3), No (1)	CP124974, CP125028, CP125054, CP125066
	F31:F36:A4:B1 (4)	ST167 (2)	168,379 bp, 173,762 bp	$bla_{CT X-M-15}$ (2)	6–8	No (2)	CP124971, CP125044

	ST10 (1)	174,470 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (1)	8	No (1)	CP125035
	ST1284 (1)	174,534 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (1)	8	No (1)	CP124977
F48:A1:B49 (4)	ST167 (4)	81,682 bp–131,123 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-27}$ (1)	8–11	No (4)	CP124996, CP125002, CP125007, CP125040
F-:A1:B20 (2)	ST1193 (2)	90,924 bp	-	7	No (2)	CP125014, CP125021
F1:A2:B20 (2)	ST131 (clade A) (1)	146,809 bp	-	10	Yes (1)	CP125005
	ST131 (subclade C1-M27) (1)	104,558 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-27}$ (1)	8	Yes (1)	CP125077
F-:A-:B- (1)	ST131 (clade A) (1)	110,850 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (1)	0	Yes (1)	CP125006
F-:A-:B1 (1)	ST46 (1)	97,539 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-27}$ (1)	10	No (1)	CP125071
F2:A-:B- (1)	ST46 (1)	73,681 bp	-	11	No (1)	CP124987
F22:F36:A1:B49 (1)	ST46 (1)	131,446 bp	-	11	No (1)	CP124991
F36:A1:B1 (1)	ST1284 (1)	122,643 bp	$bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (1)	9	No (1)	CP125060

	F36:A1:B20 (1)	ST10 (1)	109,736 bp	$bla_{CTX\text{-}M\text{-}15}$ (1)	13	No (1)	CP124993
	F40:A-:B- (1)	ST10 (1)	70,669 bp	-	5	No (1)	CP125034
	F48:A1:B1 (1)	ST10 (1)	103,762 bp	$bla_{CTX\text{-}M\text{-}15}$ (1)	14	No (1)	CP124985
	F77:A-:B- (1)	ST167 (1)	75,825 bp	-	7	No (1)	CP124997
Col (pHAD28) (6)	-	ST167 (3)	6,200 bp– 6,222 bp	-	3	Yes (3)	CP124972, CP125041, CP125046
		ST1193 (2)	6,200 bp	-	3	Yes (2)	CP125017, CP125023
		ST1284 (1)	6,200 bp	-	3	Yes (1)	CP125063
IncB/O/K/Z (4)	-	ST1193 (3)	95,063 bp– 97,447 bp	$bla_{CTX\text{-}M\text{-}55}$ (2)	0–3	No (3)	CP125015, CP125022, CP125065
		ST1284 (1)	95,267 bp	-	3	No (1)	CP124978
IncX1 (1)	-	ST167 (1)	37,322 bp	-	5	No (1)	CP125008
IncX2 (1)	-	ST1284 (1)	34,566 bp	-	2	No (1)	CP125061
IncHI2-IncHI2A (1)	ST1 (1)	ST1193 (1)	327,916 bp	$bla_{CTX\text{-}M\text{-}15}$ (1)	12	Yes (1)	CP125030

[a]blastn searches against the nucleotide collection (nr/nt) of the NCBI were performed to

identify identical/closely related plasmids (>99% query coverage and >99% identity) in May

2023. Also see **Table S2** for detailed information on blast hits.

[b]Various types of IncF replicons were detected but were represented by IncF.

3.7. Study limitations

This study has some limitations. First, the clonal composition of antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli*

observed in the present study might not directly reflect that of the gut flora of the underlying

population due to the noise caused by e.g., differential survival of *E. coli* strains in sewer

pipes/fecal sludge.[19] Moreover, contamination from non-human animal sources in the samples

cannot be excluded, though these sources should also be considered in terms of the One Health

concept. Inclusion of a sufficient number of fecal *E. coli* isolates from the matched human

population in genomic analysis will further confirm the usefulness of fecal sludge/sewage in

AMR surveillance. Second, we performed sampling over a relatively short period. Longitudinal

sampling with timeframes over one year, for example, will provide information on the change

of clonal composition. Third, long-read sequencing was performed only on the selected isolates

from major STs. Future studies employing larger-scale long-read sequencing of isolates from

various clones will elucidate AMR vectors also in minor STs. Nevertheless, our analyses

revealed detailed genomic characteristics of antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* in fecal sludge and

sewage in Uganda, providing valuable insights into AMR burden in this region.

4. Conclusions

Here, we applied whole-genome sequencing to characterize antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli*

obtained from fecal sludge and sewage in Uganda. Our study revealed that STC10, including

ST167, ST10, ST1284, and ST617, were prevalent among both fecal sludge and sewage

isolates, indicating this lineage significantly contributed to AMR burden in the underlying

population. Global high-risk clones ST1193 and ST131 were also detected, adding potential

health risks to the population. We also revealed that many of the AMR genes were carried by

IncF plasmids or integrated into the chromosome by incorporating data obtained from long-

read sequencing. We admit that performing whole-genome sequencing in routine surveillance

is currently not realistic. However, as sequencing costs will continue to decrease, we believe

that a combination of fecal sludge/sewage surveillance and whole-genome sequencing will be

a powerful tool for monitoring AMR carriage in the underlying population.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (grant

numbers JP18K18881 and JP19H02274). Computations were partially performed on the NIG

supercomputer at ROIS National Institute of Genetics. We acknowledge the NGS core facility

of the Genome Information Research Center at the Research Institute for Microbial Diseases

of Osaka University for the support in DNA sequencing.

References

1.   Majumder, M. A. A.; Rahman, S.; Cohall, D.; Bharatha, A.; Singh, K.;
Haque, M.; Gittens-St Hilaire, M., Antimicrobial Stewardship: Fighting Antimicrobial
Resistance and Protecting Global Public Health. *Infect Drug Resist* **2020,** *13*, 4713-4738.
2.   WHO, Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. **2015.**
3.   Larsson, D. G. J.; Flach, C. F.; Laxminarayan, R., Sewage surveillance of antibiotic
resistance holds both opportunities and challenges. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2022**, 1-2.
4.   Aarestrup, F. M.; Woolhouse, M. E. J., Using sewage for surveillance of antimicrobial
resistance. *Science* **2020,** *367* (6478), 630-632.
5.   Chau, K. K.; Barker, L.; Budgell, E. P.; Vihta, K. D.; Sims, N.; Kasprzyk-
Hordern, B.; Harriss, E.; Crook, D. W.; Read, D. S.; Walker, A. S.; Stoesser, N.,
Systematic review of wastewater surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in human populations.
Environ Int **2022,** *162*, 107171.
6.   Hendriksen, R. S.; Munk, P.; Njage, P.; van Bunnik, B.; McNally, L.;
Lukjancenko, O.; Roder, T.; Nieuwenhuijse, D.; Pedersen, S. K.; Kjeldgaard, J.;
Kaas, R. S.; Clausen, P.; Vogt, J. K.; Leekitcharoenphon, P.; van de Schans, M. G. M.;
Zuidema, T.; de Roda Husman, A. M.; Rasmussen, S.; Petersen, B.; Global Sewage
Surveillance project, c.; Amid, C.; Cochrane, G.; Sicheritz-Ponten, T.; Schmitt, H.;
Alvarez, J. R. M.; Aidara-Kane, A.; Pamp, S. J.; Lund, O.; Hald, T.; Woolhouse, M.;
Koopmans, M. P.; Vigre, H.; Petersen, T. N.; Aarestrup, F. M., Global monitoring of
antimicrobial resistance based on metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. *Nat Commun* **2019,**
10 (1), 1124.
7.   Sims, N.; Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Future perspectives of wastewater-based
epidemiology: Monitoring infectious disease spread and resistance to the community level.
Environ Int **2020,** *139*, 105689.

8.   Berendes, D. M.;   Sumner, T. A.; Brown, J. M., Safely Managed Sanitation for All
Means Fecal Sludge Management for At Least 1.8 Billion People in Low and Middle Income
Countries. *Environ Sci Technol* **2017,** *51* (5), 3074-3083.
9.   Chigwechokha, P.;   Street, R.; Holm, R. H., Advancing the Use of Fecal Sludge for
Timelier and Better-Quality Epidemiological Data in Low- and Middle-Income Countries for
Pandemic Prevention. *Environ Sci Technol* **2022.**
10.   Capone, D.;   Berendes, D.;   Cumming, O.;   Knee, J.;   Nala, R.;   Risk, B. B.;
Stauber, C.;   Zhu, K.; Brown, J., Analysis of Fecal Sludges Reveals Common Enteric
Pathogens in Urban Maputo, Mozambique. *Environ Sci Tech Let* **2020,** *7* (12), 889-895.
11.   Capone, D.;   Chigwechokha, P.;   de Los Reyes, F. L., 3rd;   Holm, R. H.;   Risk,
B. B.;   Tilley, E.; Brown, J., Impact of sampling depth on pathogen detection in pit latrines.
PLoS Negl Trop Dis **2021,** *15* (3), e0009176.
12.   Denamur, E.;   Clermont, O.;   Bonacorsi, S.; Gordon, D., The population genetics of
pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2021,** *19* (1), 37-54.
13.   Poirel, L.;   Madec, J. Y.;   Lupo, A.;   Schink, A. K.;   Kieffer, N.;   Nordmann, P.;
Schwarz, S., Antimicrobial Resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol Spectr* **2018,** *6* (4).
14.   Anjum, M. F.;   Schmitt, H.;   Borjesson, S.;   Berendonk, T. U.; network, W., The
potential of using E. coli as an indicator for the surveillance of antimicrobial resistance (AMR)
in the environment. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **2021,** *64*, 152-158.
15.   Reinthaler, F. F.;   Galler, H.;   Feierl, G.;   Haas, D.;   Leitner, E.;   Mascher, F.;
Melkes, A.;   Posch, J.;   Pertschy, B.;   Winter, I.;   Himmel, W.;   Marth, E.; Zarfel, G.,
Resistance patterns of *Escherichia coli* isolated from sewage sludge in comparison with those
isolated from human patients in 2000 and 2009. *J Water Health* **2013,** *11* (1), 13-20.
16.   Kwak, Y. K.;   Colque, P.;   Byfors, S.;   Giske, C. G.;   Mollby, R.; Kuhn, I.,
Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance among *Escherichia coli* in wastewater in Stockholm
during 1 year: does it reflect the resistance trends in the society? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2015,**
45 (1), 25-32.
17.   Raven, K. E.;   Ludden, C.;   Gouliouris, T.;   Blane, B.;   Naydenova, P.;   Brown,
N. M.;   Parkhill, J.; Peacock, S. J., Genomic surveillance of *Escherichia coli* in municipal
wastewater treatment plants as an indicator of clinically relevant pathogens and their resistance
genes. *Microb Genom* **2019,** *5* (5).
18.   Hutinel, M.;   Huijbers, P. M. C.;   Fick, J.;   Ahren, C.;   Larsson, D. G. J.; Flach,
C. F., Population-level surveillance of antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* through sewage
analysis. *Euro Surveill* **2019,** *24* (37).
19.   Huijbers, P. M. C.;   Larsson, D. G. J.; Flach, C. F., Surveillance of antibiotic resistant
Escherichia coli in human populations through urban wastewater in ten European countries.
Environ Pollut **2020,** *261*, 114200.

20.   Grevskott, D. H.;   Ghavidel, F. Z.;   Svanevik, C. S.; Marathe, N. P., Resistance
profiles and diversity of beta-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from city-scale
sewage surveillance in Bergen, Norway mimic clinical prevalence. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*
2021, *226*, 112788.

21.   WHO, WHO integrated global surveillance on ESBL-producing *E. coli* using a "One
Health" approach: implementation and opportunities. **2021**.

22.   Nabadda, S.;   Kakooza, F.;   Kiggundu, R.;   Walwema, R.;   Bazira, J.;   Mayito,
J.;   Mugerwa, I.;   Sekamatte, M.;   Kambugu, A.;   Lamorde, M.;   Kajumbula, H.;
Mwebasa, H., Implementation of the World Health Organization Global Antimicrobial
Resistance Surveillance System in Uganda, 2015-2020: Mixed-Methods Study Using National
Surveillance Data. *JMIR Public Health Surveill* **2021,** *7* (10), e29954.

23.   Katongole, P.;   Nalubega, F.;   Florence, N. C.;   Asiimwe, B.; Andia, I., Biofilm
formation, antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence genes of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*
isolated from clinical isolates in Uganda. *BMC Infect Dis* **2020,** *20* (1), 453.

24.   Bebell, L. M.;   Ngonzi, J.;   Bazira, J.;   Fajardo, Y.;   Boatin, A. A.;   Siedner, M.
J.;   Bassett, I. V.;   Nyehangane, D.;   Nanjebe, D.;   Jacquemyn, Y.;   van Geertruyden, J.
P.;   Mwanga-Amumpaire, J.;   Bangsberg, D. R.;   Riley, L. E.; Boum, Y., 2nd,
Antimicrobial-resistant infections among postpartum women at a Ugandan referral hospital.
PLoS One **2017,** *12* (4), e0175456.

25.   Odongo, I.;   Ssemambo, R.;   Kungu, J. M., Prevalence of *Escherichia Coli* and Its
Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles among Patients with UTI at Mulago Hospital, Kampala,
Uganda. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis* **2020,** *2020*, 8042540.

26.   Chen, S.;   Zhou, Y.;   Chen, Y.; Gu, J., fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ
preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **2018,** *34* (17), i884-i890.

27.   Wick, R. R.;   Judd, L. M.;   Gorrie, C. L.; Holt, K. E., Unicycler: Resolving bacterial
genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* **2017,** *13* (6),
e1005595.

28.   Zhou, Z.;   Alikhan, N. F.;   Mohamed, K.;   Fan, Y.;   Agama Study, G.; Achtman,
M., The EnteroBase user's guide, with case studies on *Salmonella* transmissions, *Yersinia pestis*
phylogeny, and *Escherichia* core genomic diversity. *Genome Res* **2020,** *30* (1), 138-152.

29.   Kolmogorov, M.;   Yuan, J.;   Lin, Y.; Pevzner, P. A., Assembly of long, error-prone
reads using repeat graphs. *Nat Biotechnol* **2019,** *37* (5), 540-546.

30.   Wick, R. R.;   Holt, K. E., Polypolish: Short-read polishing of long-read bacterial
genome assemblies. *PLoS Comput Biol* **2022,** *18* (1), e1009802.

31.   Wick, R. R.;   Judd, L. M.; Holt, K. E., Assembling the perfect bacterial genome using
Oxford Nanopore and Illumina sequencing. *PLoS Comput Biol* **2023,** *19* (3), e1010905.

32. Wadley, T. D.; Jenjaroenpun, P.; Wongsurawat, T.; Ussery, D. W.; Nookaew, I.,
Complete Genome and Plasmid Sequences of *Escherichia coli* Type Strain ATCC 11775.
Microbiol Resour Announc **2019,** *8* (9).

33. Jain, C.; Rodriguez, R. L.; Phillippy, A. M.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; Aluru, S.,
High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries.
Nat Commun **2018,** *9* (1), 5114.

34. Waters, N. R.; Abram, F.; Brennan, F.; Holmes, A.; Pritchard, L., Easy
phylotyping of *Escherichia coli* via the EzClermont web app and command-line tool. *Access*
Microbiol **2020,** *2* (9), acmi000143.

35. Beghain, J.; Bridier-Nahmias, A.; Le Nagard, H.; Denamur, E.; Clermont, O.,
ClermonTyping: an easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for *Escherichia* genus strain
phylotyping. *Microb Genom* **2018,** *4* (7).

36. Roer, L.; Tchesnokova, V.; Allesoe, R.; Muradova, M.; Chattopadhyay, S.;
Ahrenfeldt, J.; Thomsen, M. C. F.; Lund, O.; Hansen, F.; Hammerum, A. M.;
Sokurenko, E.; Hasman, H., Development of a Web Tool for *Escherichia coli* Subtyping Based
on *fimH* Alleles. *J Clin Microbiol* **2017,** *55* (8), 2538-2543.

37. Bortolaia, V.; Kaas, R. S.; Ruppe, E.; Roberts, M. C.; Schwarz, S.; Cattoir,
V.; Philippon, A.; Allesoe, R. L.; Rebelo, A. R.; Florensa, A. F.; Fagelhauer, L.;
Chakraborty, T.; Neumann, B.; Werner, G.; Bender, J. K.; Stingl, K.; Nguyen, M.;
Coppens, J.; Xavier, B. B.; Malhotra-Kumar, S.; Westh, H.; Pinholt, M.; Anjum, M.
F.; Duggett, N. A.; Kempf, I.; Nykasenoja, S.; Olkkola, S.; Wieczorek, K.; Amaro,
A.; Clemente, L.; Mossong, J.; Losch, S.; Ragimbeau, C.; Lund, O.; Aarestrup, F. M.,
ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2020,**
75 (12), 3491-3500.

38. Aziz, R. K.; Bartels, D.; Best, A. A.; DeJongh, M.; Disz, T.; Edwards, R. A.;
Formsma, K.; Gerdes, S.; Glass, E. M.; Kubal, M.; Meyer, F.; Olsen, G. J.; Olson,
R.; Osterman, A. L.; Overbeek, R. A.; McNeil, L. K.; Paarmann, D.; Paczian, T.;
Parrello, B.; Pusch, G. D.; Reich, C.; Stevens, R.; Vassieva, O.; Vonstein, V.;
Wilke, A.; Zagnitko, O., The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology.
BMC Genomics **2008,** *9*, 75.

39. Siguier, P.; Perochon, J.; Lestrade, L.; Mahillon, J.; Chandler, M., ISfinder: the
reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2006,** *34* (Database issue),
D32-6.

40. Aoike, N.; Saga, T.; Sakata, R.; Yoshizumi, A.; Kimura, S.; Iwata, M.;
Yoshizawa, S.; Sugasawa, Y.; Ishii, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Tateda, K., Molecular
characterization of extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* isolates in Japan: relationship between
sequence types and mutation patterns of quinolone resistance-determining regions analyzed by
pyrosequencing. *J Clin Microbiol* **2013,** *51* (6), 1692-8.

41. Joensen, K. G.; Scheutz, F.; Lund, O.; Hasman, H.; Kaas, R. S.; Nielsen, E.
M.; Aarestrup, F. M., Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance,
and outbreak detection of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *J Clin Microbiol* **2014,** *52* (5), 1501-
10.

42. Malberg Tetzschner, A. M.; Johnson, J. R.; Johnston, B. D.; Lund, O.; Scheutz,
F., *In Silico* Genotyping of *Escherichia coli* Isolates for Extraintestinal Virulence Genes by
Use of Whole-Genome Sequencing Data. *J Clin Microbiol* **2020,** *58* (10).

43. Gomi, R.; Matsuda, T.; Fujimori, Y.; Harada, H.; Matsui, Y.; Yoneda, M.,
Characterization of Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in River Water by Simultaneous Detection and
Sequencing of 14 Virulence Genes. *Environ Sci Technol* **2015,** *49* (11), 6800-7.

44. Johnson, J. R.; Stell, A. L., Extended virulence genotypes of *Escherichia coli* strains
from patients with urosepsis in relation to phylogeny and host compromise. *J Infect Dis* **2000,**
181 (1), 261-72.

45. Carattoli, A.; Zankari, E.; Garcia-Fernandez, A.; Voldby Larsen, M.; Lund,
O.; Villa, L.; Moller Aarestrup, F.; Hasman, H., *In silico* detection and typing of plasmids
using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
2014, *58* (7), 3895-903.

46. Gardner, S. N.; Slezak, T.; Hall, B. G., kSNP3.0: SNP detection and phylogenetic
analysis of genomes without genome alignment or reference genome. *Bioinformatics* **2015,** *31*
(17), 2877-8.

47. Treangen, T. J.; Ondov, B. D.; Koren, S.; Phillippy, A. M., The Harvest suite for
rapid core-genome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial
genomes. *Genome Biol* **2014,** *15* (11), 524.

48. Johnson, T. J.; Elnekave, E.; Miller, E. A.; Munoz-Aguayo, J.; Flores
Figueroa, C.; Johnston, B.; Nielson, D. W.; Logue, C. M.; Johnson, J. R., Phylogenomic
Analysis of Extraintestinal Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Sequence Type 1193, an Emerging
Multidrug-Resistant Clonal Group. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2019,** *63* (1).

49. Matsumura, Y.; Pitout, J. D. D.; Peirano, G.; DeVinney, R.; Noguchi, T.;
Yamamoto, M.; Gomi, R.; Matsuda, T.; Nakano, S.; Nagao, M.; Tanaka, M.;
Ichiyama, S., Rapid Identification of Different *Escherichia coli* Sequence Type 131 Clades.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2017,** *61* (8).

50. Nielsen, D. W.; Ricker, N.; Barbieri, N. L.; Wynn, J. L.; Gomez-Duarte, O.
G.; Iqbal, J.; Nolan, L. K.; Allen, H. K.; Logue, C. M., Complete Genome Sequence of
the Multidrug-Resistant Neonatal Meningitis *Escherichia coli* Serotype O75:H5:K1 Strain
mcjchv-1 (NMEC-O75). *Microbiol Resour Announc* **2018,** *7* (10).

51. Forde, B. M.; Ben Zakour, N. L.; Stanton-Cook, M.; Phan, M. D.; Totsika, M.;
Peters, K. M.; Chan, K. G.; Schembri, M. A.; Upton, M.; Beatson, S. A., The complete
genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* EC958: a high quality reference sequence for the globally

disseminated multidrug resistant *E. coli* O25b:H4-ST131 clone. *PLoS One* **2014,** *9* (8), e104400.

52.   Croucher, N. J.;   Page, A. J.;   Connor, T. R.;   Delaney, A. J.;   Keane, J. A.; Bentley, S. D.;   Parkhill, J.; Harris, S. R., Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2015,** *43* (3), e15.

53.   Minh, B. Q.;   Schmidt, H. A.;   Chernomor, O.;   Schrempf, D.;   Woodhams, M. D.;   von Haeseler, A.; Lanfear, R., IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol* **2020,** *37* (5), 1530-1534.

54.   Letunic, I.;   Bork, P., Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2021,** *49* (W1), W293-W296.

55.   Kohler, C. D.; Dobrindt, U., What defines extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*? *Int J Med Microbiol* **2011,** *301* (8), 642-7.

56.   Decano, A. G.;   Pettigrew, K.;   Sabiiti, W.;   Sloan, D. J.;   Neema, S.;   Bazira, J.;   Kiiru, J.;   Onyango, H.;   Asiimwe, B.;   Holden, M. T. G., Pan-Resistome Characterization of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Strains Circulating in Uganda and Kenya, Isolated from 2017-2018. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **2021,** *10* (12).

57.   van der Putten, B. C. L.;   Remondini, D.;   Pasquini, G.;   Janes, V. A.; Matamoros, S.; Schultsz, C., Quantifying the contribution of four resistance mechanisms to ciprofloxacin MIC in *Escherichia coli*: a systematic review. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2019,** *74* (2), 298-310.

58.   Matsumura, Y.;   Noguchi, T.;   Tanaka, M.;   Kanahashi, T.;   Yamamoto, M.; Nagao, M.;   Takakura, S.;   Ichiyama, S.; th, J. B., Population structure of Japanese extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and its relationship with antimicrobial resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2017,** *72* (4), 1040-1049.

59.   Reid, C. J.;   DeMaere, M. Z.; Djordjevic, S. P., Australian porcine clonal complex 10 (CC10) *Escherichia coli* belong to multiple sublineages of a highly diverse global CC10 phylogeny. *Microb Genom* **2019,** *5* (3).

60.   Pitout, J. D. D.;   Peirano, G.;   Chen, L.;   DeVinney, R.; Matsumura, Y., *Escherichia coli* ST1193: Following in the Footsteps of *E. coli* ST131. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2022,** *66* (7), e0051122.

61.   Wyrsch, E. R.;   Bushell, R. N.;   Marenda, M. S.;   Browning, G. F.; Djordjevic, S. P., Global Phylogeny and F Virulence Plasmid Carriage in Pandemic *Escherichia coli* ST1193. *Microbiol Spectr* **2022,** *10* (6), e0255422.

62.   Pitout, J. D. D.; Finn, T. J., The evolutionary puzzle of *Escherichia coli* ST131. *Infect Genet Evol* **2020,** *81*, 104265.

63.   Merino, I.;   Hernandez-Garcia, M.;   Turrientes, M. C.;   Perez-Viso, B.;   Lopez-Fresnena, N.;   Diaz-Agero, C.;   Maechler, F.;   Fankhauser-Rodriguez, C.;   Kola, A.;

Schrenzel, J.; Harbarth, S.; Bonten, M.; Gastmeier, P.; Canton, R.; Ruiz-Garbajosa, P.; Group, R. G. S., Emergence of ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* ST131-C1-M27 clade colonizing patients in Europe. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2018,** *73* (11), 2973-2980.

64.   Matsumura, Y.; Pitout, J. D.; Gomi, R.; Matsuda, T.; Noguchi, T.; Yamamoto, M.; Peirano, G.; DeVinney, R.; Bradford, P. A.; Motyl, M. R.; Tanaka, M.; Nagao, M.; Takakura, S.; Ichiyama, S., Global *Escherichia coli* Sequence Type 131 Clade with *bla*$_{CTX-M-27}$ Gene. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2016,** *22* (11), 1900-1907.

65.   Stoesser, N.; Sheppard, A. E.; Pankhurst, L.; De Maio, N.; Moore, C. E.; Sebra, R.; Turner, P.; Anson, L. W.; Kasarskis, A.; Batty, E. M.; Kos, V.; Wilson, D. J.; Phetsouvanh, R.; Wyllie, D.; Sokurenko, E.; Manges, A. R.; Johnson, T. J.; Price, L. B.; Peto, T. E.; Johnson, J. R.; Didelot, X.; Walker, A. S.; Crook, D. W.; Modernizing Medical Microbiology Informatics, G., Evolutionary History of the Global Emergence of the *Escherichia coli* Epidemic Clone ST131. *mBio* **2016,** *7* (2), e02162.

66.   Gomi, R.; Matsuda, T.; Matsumura, Y.; Yamamoto, M.; Tanaka, M.; Ichiyama, S.; Yoneda, M., Occurrence of Clinically Important Lineages, Including the Sequence Type 131 C1-M27 Subclone, among Extended-Spectrum-beta-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Wastewater. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2017,** *61* (9).

67.   Hoelle, J.; Johnson, J. R.; Johnston, B. D.; Kinkle, B.; Boczek, L.; Ryu, H.; Hayes, S., Survey of US wastewater for carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J Water Health* **2019,** *17* (2), 219-226.

68.   Bevan, E. R.; Jones, A. M.; Hawkey, P. M., Global epidemiology of CTX-M beta-lactamases: temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2017,** *72* (8), 2145-2155.

69.   Rozwandowicz, M.; Brouwer, M. S. M.; Fischer, J.; Wagenaar, J. A.; Gonzalez-Zorn, B.; Guerra, B.; Mevius, D. J.; Hordijk, J., Plasmids carrying antimicrobial resistance genes in Enterobacteriaceae. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2018,** *73* (5), 1121-1137.

70.   Gomi, R.; Yamamoto, M.; Tanaka, M.; Matsumura, Y., Chromosomal integration of *bla*$_{CTX-M}$ genes in diverse *Escherichia coli* isolates recovered from river water in Japan. *Curr Res Microb Sci* **2022,** *3*, 100144.

71.   Milenkov, M.; Proux, C.; Rasolofoarison, T. L.; Rakotomalala, F. A.; Rasoanandrasana, S.; Rahajamanana, L. V.; Rafalimanana, C.; Ravaoarisaina, Z.; Ramahatafandry, I. T.; Westeel, E.; Petitjean, M.; Marin, J.; Mullaert, J.; Han, L.; Clermont, O.; Raskine, L.; Endtz, H.; Andremont, A.; Denamur, E.; Komurian-Pradel, F.; Samison, L. H.; Armand-Lefevre, L., Tricycle surveillance in Antananarivo, Madagascar: circulation of both extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* strains and plasmids among humans, chickens and the environment. *medRXiv* **2023.**