In silico identification of switching nodes in metabolic networks

Francis Mairet

Ifremer, PHYTOX, rue de l'Ile d'Yeu, 44311 Nantes, France.

Correspondence: francis.mairet@ifremer.fr

ABSTRACT

Cells modulate their metabolism according to environmental conditions. A major challenge to better understand metabolic regulation is to identify, from the hundreds or thousands of molecules, the key metabolites where the re-orientation of fluxes occurs. Here, a method called ISIS (for *In Silico* Identification of Switches) is proposed to locate these nodes in a metabolic network, based on the analysis of a set of flux vectors (obtained e.g. by parsimonious flux balance analysis with different inputs). A metabolite is considered as a switch if the fluxes at this point are redirected in a different way when conditions change. The soundness of ISIS is shown with four case studies, using both core and genome-scale metabolic networks of *Escherichia coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Through these examples, we show that ISIS can identify hot-spots where fluxes are reoriented, some of these nodes corresponding to reporter metabolites identified with transcriptomic data. Additionally, switch metabolites are deeply involved in post-translational modification of proteins, showing their key role in cellular regulation. Finally, we show that Erythrose 4-phosphate is an important switch metabolite for mixotrophy in *P. tricornutum*, highlighting the (somehow overlooked) importance of this metabolite in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway to orchestrate the flux variations between glycolysis, the Calvin cycle and the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway when the trophic mode changes. Overall, ISIS opens up new possibilities for studying cellular metabolism and regulation, as well as potentially for developing metabolic engineering.

Keywords: Genome-scale metabolic model; Branching point; Reporter metabolites; Flux Balance Analysis

Introduction

Despite huge developments in the last decades, deciphering cellular metabolism remains a great challenge, essential for a wide range of fields (including biotechnology, health, ecology, etc.). The analysis of metabolic networks plays an important role in addressing this issue. More and more networks are now available, with a more complete coverage of the metabolism [6]. More and more methods have also been proposed to analyze and capitalize on these networks [15, 23]. Among them, one of the most common approach is Flux Balance Analysis (FBA), which aims to predict metabolic fluxes [17] given a set of constraints (on e.g. substrate uptake).

Although FBA and all its derivatives have been successfully used, the size of the networks (now often with several thousands metabolites and reactions) makes their analysis or even the exploitation and visualization of the results more and more complex. To help explore these networks, the identification of key metabolites is a major concern. Here, we will focus on the nodes in the networks where the fluxes are redirected when culture conditions (such as nutrient inputs) vary. By highlighting where the main changes in metabolism occur, this will give us a better picture of cellular response to environmental variations.

The identification of key metabolites has been the subject of many studies (e.g. [14, 10, 21, 12]), although there is no general agreement about the definition of what a key metabolite is. In line with my vision of switch points, [18] provide a useful method to identify the so-called reporter metabolites. They correspond to nodes around which the enzymes are subject to the most significant transcriptional changes. Nonetheless, reporter metabolites are identified from experimental data and a purely theoretical method (based only on the metabolic network) is still lacking.

Here, we propose to identify switch nodes based on the analysis of a set of flux solutions under different conditions, obtained e.g. by parsimonious flux balance analysis (pFBA) [13]. To do so, for each metabolite, we consider the flux vectors (including stoichiometry) of the reactions involving this metabolite (as a substrate or a product) for all the conditions. If the dimension of the vector space generated by this set is greater than one (i.e. the flux vectors involving this metabolite for different conditions are not co-linear), the metabolite is considered as a switch node. After explaining in details how the switches are identified, the core metabolic network of *Escherichia coli* will be used to illustrate the method. Then, we will show that ISIS identifies reporter metabolites for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under nitrogen limitation and that it brings out metabolites involved in post-translational modification (PTM) of proteins in *E. coli*. Finally, ISIS will be used to decipher how metabolism is impacted by trophic modes in the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*.

Results

ISIS principle

Our objective is to identify switch nodes in a metabolic network, corresponding to key metabolites where flux reorientations occur when environmental conditions change. ISIS is based on the analysis of a set of flux vectors for a range of environmental conditions (e.g. different inputs, different objective functions reflecting different metabolic stages, etc.). A metabolite is considered as a switch if the fluxes at this point are redirected in a different way when conditions change. This is illustrated in Figure 1. On the top, the fluxes around metabolite x_1 are distributed always in the same way (i.e. one third of the incoming flux v_1 goes to v_2, the remaining goes to v_3), so x_1 is not a switch node. On the contrary, in the bottom example, the incoming flux is rerouted according to conditions, so x_1 is considered in this case as a switch point. This simple principle can be evaluated numerically using linear algebra (see Section Method), by evaluating the dimension of the vector space generated by the set of reaction flux vectors. More precisely, a singular value decomposition is

Figure 1. Principle for switch node identification in metabolic networks. The circles x_i and the arrows v_j represent respectively metabolites and reactions. The colored bars show reaction fluxes (computed e.g. by pFBA) for different conditions (each color represents a condition). Case A: the redistribution of fluxes around metabolite x_1 occurs always in the same way (the flux vectors are collinear), so x_1 is not a switch point. Case B: the incoming flux is rerouted according to conditions, so x_1 is a switch point.

carried out and a score (between 0 and 1) is computed from the singular values. A score of zero means that the vectors are collinear (Fig. 1, on top). The higher the score, the more the metabolite can be considered a switch.

A toy example: *E. coli* under aerobic vs anaerobic conditions

The principle of ISIS is first illustrated by studying the transition from aerobic to anaerobic conditions in *E. coli*. We use its core metabolic model, composed of 72 metabolites and 95 reactions [16], and estimate flux vectors with and without oxygen using pFBA (see Fig. 2). ISIS has identified as switch nodes the junctions of glycolysis with the TCA cycle (pyruvate and acetyl-coA) and with the oxydative pentose phosphate pathway (glucose 6-phosphate). This is in line with what we could expect given that these last two pathways are shut down in absence of oxygen. We also observed that several currency metabolites (e.g. ATP, NADPH), involved in many reactions, are also identified as switch points. This simple example shows the soundness and capacity of ISIS to identify nodes around which the metabolism is rerouted.

ISIS identifies reporter metabolites for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under nitrogen limitation

Given that our definition of switch nodes is close to reporter metabolites [18], we compare both methods, using [22] as a case study. To do so, we consider the growth of *S. cerevisiae* under three different nitrogen limitation: ammonium, alanine, and glutamine. For each input, the flux vectors are computed with pFBA using the metabolic network YeastGEM v8.1.1 composed of 2241 metabolites and 3520 reactions [8]. ISIS is then applied by taking the inputs two by two, mimicking what have been done in [22]. Switch metabolites with a score above 0.1 are shown in Fig. 3. Many of these metabolites, common between the different cases, are involved in amino acid synthesis. We also observe that reporter metabolites are enriched among the top switch metabolites (hypergeometric test, p=0.048, 0.061, and 3.10^{-5} for respectively glutamine vs ammonium, alanine vs ammonium, and alanine vs glutamine). When comparing alanine versus glutamine limitations, glutamate, aspartate and 2-oxoglutarate are depicted with both methods. The first two metabolites are closely related to the sources of nitrogen. The last one points out the importance of the TCA cycle in the synthesis of amino acids, as reported in [22]. Finally, several reporter metabolites appears in the top of the switch metabolite list (see SI), even if they do not appear in Fig. 3. For example, in the comparison between alanine versus ammonium, the reporter metabolites pyruvate and Acetyl-CoA are ranked respectively 19 and 46 over 2241 metabolites by ISIS. This case study shows that key metabolites can be identified *in silico*, without requiring experiments (unlike the reporter metabolite method which requires transcriptomic data).

ISIS brings out metabolites involved in protein PTM in *E. coli*

Given that the switch metabolites are by definition nodes where fluxes are reoriented, these metabolites can potentially be involved in cellular regulations, such as PTM. To investigate this aspect, we follow [3], by considering the growth of *E. coli* under 174 diferent nutrient inputs. Using a network composed of 2382 reactions and 1668 metabolites [5], we first define the flux vectors for each input using pFBA. We then analyze all these fluxes with ISIS. The list of the switching points is given in SI. Among the top score, we find key branching points between the main pathways, such as Fructose 6-Phosphate, Pyruvate, Acetyl-CoA, etc.

On Fig. 4, we plot the proportion of metabolites known to be ligands of protein (i.e. involved in PTM), according to their switching score. Ligands are clearly enriched among the top switching metabolites (hypergeometric test, $p=6.10^{-8}$). For the class of metabolites with the higher score (>0.3), 74% of the metabolites are ligands, compared to 25% for the class with the lower score (<0.1).

Based on a comparison of FBA fluxes, [3] have identified highly regulated reactions, which appear to account for a significant proportion of the known proteins with PTM. By contrast, here we clearly shows the key role of the switching metabolites identified by ISIS in protein PTM. Overall, the identification of switch nodes could help in deciphering the complex roles of metabolites in the regulation of protein activity [24].

Figure 2. Switch nodes for *E. coli* under aerobic vs anaerobic conditions. Overview of metabolic fluxes under aerobic (A) and anaerobic (B) condition (see C for the name of metabolites and reactions). C: Comparison between these two conditions. Switch nodes (dark dots) have been identified at the junctions of glycolysis with the TCA cycle (pyruvate and acetyl-coA) and with pentose phosphate pathway (glucose 6-phosphate).

Figure 3. The main switch nodes (identified by ISIS) for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under nitrogen limitation, in comparison with reporter metabolites computed from transcriptomic data [22].

Figure 4. Percentage of metabolites identified as ligand in protein PTM as a function of their scores in ISIS for *E. coli*, based on the fluxes for 174 different inputs with the genome-scale metabolic network iAF1260 [5]. Metabolites identified as switch point are much more involved in PTM, highlighting their role in cellular regulation.

Shedding light on mixotrophy in the diatom *P. tricornutum*

Finally, we study the effect of trophic mode in *P. tricornutum*. The fluxes for autotrophic, mixotrophic and heterotrophic[1] growths have been estimated in [9], considering a genome-scale metabolic network composed of 587 metabolites and 849 reactions. This study highlighted the importance of flux rerouting between chloroplasts and mitochondria, depending on the trophic mode. Using this set of fluxes, ISIS identifies as switch nodes glycerone-P (dihydroxyacetone phosphate), fructose-6-phosphate, fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, fumarate, pyruvate, etc. (see SI). These metabolites are key branching points between chloroplast and mitochondria (as shown in Fig. 1 from [9]). Additionally, we also identify erythrose 4-phosphate, which is actually a major hub of the metabolism to balance the fluxes between the main pathways (in particular glycolysis, the Calvin cycle and the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway). Thus, ISIS contributes to our understanding of trophic modes by highlighting the overlooked role of a key metabolite in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway in orchestrating changes between the energetic pathways.

Discussions

A method - called ISIS - has been proposed to identify switch nodes, i.e. metabolites around which fluxes are rerouted when environmental conditions change. These points are determined *in silico*, based on the analysis of a set of reaction fluxes, corresponding to the different conditions. The method is fast and scalable, e.g. it takes just a few seconds with a standard computer for a metabolic network of a few thousand reactions. ISIS gives sound results on the different case studies developed in this article, from the comparison between aerobic and anaerobic conditions or different substrates in *E. coli* to the analysis of trophic modes in the diatom *P. tricornutum*.

Other methods dealing with the identification of key metabolites have been proposed (e.g. [14, 10, 21, 12]). A comparison between them is not straightforward, given that the definition of key metabolites is not necessarily the same between the different studies. One of the closer definition is that of reporter metabolites [18], and the results on a case study have shown some similarities (see the case study with *S. cerevisiae*). The main advantage of ISIS is that it does not require experimental data. The downside is that it entirely relies on flux estimations. These estimations can benefit from all the recent progresses in metabolic network reconstruction and constraint-based modeling [4]. Additionally, the soundness of flux estimations can potentially be increased by integrating experimental data (e.g. transcriptomic, proteomic, fluxomic) [19], although losing the ease of a

---

[1]mimicking night-time metabolism, as *P. tricornutum* do not grow normally on glucose.

Figure 5. Metabolic fluxes in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway for different trophic modes in the diatom *P. tricornutum*. Erythrose 4-phosphate (e4p) appears as a key hub to balance the fluxes between glycolysis, the Calvin cycle and the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway, showing the role of the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway in orchestrating the energy balance of the cell.

purely *in silico* approach.

Given the crucial role of switch metabolites in cellular metabolism, several applications can be considered. First, ISIS could be used to select key metabolites to monitor when studying the response of an organism to a change of environment. In the same vein, theses switch nodes can also be useful to study cellular regulations (as already illustrated by the high proportion of switch metabolites involved in PTM). The identification of switch points would also be of great interest in biotechnology: they correspond to potential targets to reorient the metabolism of the cell for a given purpose (such as the production of a metabolite of interest), either by controlling environmental conditions or by genetic manipulations. Finally, ISIS could also be used to de- compose the whole network into different modules connecting the switch nodes (to analyze the metabolism or to develop dynamical model as proposed in [2, 1]). This would give a new approach for network splitting, complementing a set of methods (reviewed in [20]) based on network topology, flux coupling, or elementary flux mode. A specificity of our approach in that case is that the set of selected conditions defines the node identification. Thus, the same metabolic network can be decomposed in different ways depending on which conditions are considered.

To conclude, the metabolites around which fluxes are switched in response to environmental changes are key points in the metabolic network. By identifying them *in silico*, ISIS allows a better comprehension of cellular metabolism and regulation, as highlighted in this article with the studies of *E. coli*, *S. cerevisiae*, and *P.* *tricornutum* under different substrate inputs or trophic modes. This method can be easily applied to many organisms, as it only requires their metabolic network. It offers several perspectives, from fundamental studies on metabolism to biotechnology applications through metabolic engineering.

Method

Framework

The metabolism of a cell can be represented by its metabolic network, composed of n_m metabolites and n_v reactions. It is generally described by a stoichiometric matrix $S(n_m \times n_v)$, where each row corresponds to a metabolite and each column to a reaction. The metabolic fluxes through this network are given by the reaction rate vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}$. To estimate these fluxes, FBA makes two main assumptions [17]. First, the metabolism is considered at steady-state (corresponding to balanced growth condition):

$$Sv = 0.$$

Second, an objective function to be maximized is considered (e.g. the specific growth rate for microorganisms), defined by an objective vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}$. The metabolic fluxes are then the solution of a linear optimization problem (also called LP problem, for Linear Programming), which can easily be solved numerically:

$$\max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_v}} c \cdot v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} Sv = 0, \\ \underline{v} \leq v \leq \overline{v}, \end{array} \right. \tag{1}$$

where $\underline{v}$ and $\overline{v}$ are bounds on the metabolic fluxes. These bounds are used in particular to define nutrient inputs and to specify the reversability of each reaction.

One limitation of FBA is that it can have several solutions (with the same objective value). To tackle this problem, [13] have proposed pFBA, which consists in two steps. First, FBA is used to find the optimal value of the objective function. Then, we determine the solution with the minimum overall flux that have (almost) the same objective value. This gives a unique solution, and it had been shown that it is consistent with gene expression measurements [13].

ISIS principle

Switch nodes will be identified based on the analysis of a set of reaction fluxes under n_c different conditions, e.g. different nutrient inputs. For each condition $j \in \{1, \dots, n_c\}$, the cellular metabolism is characterized by the flux vector v^j obtained by pFBA (or another method), and normalized (to give each condition the same weight in the analysis).

Then, for each metabolite i and each condition j, we consider the flux vector (including stoichiometry) of the reactions involving this metabolite (as a substrate or a product) in this condition, i.e.:

$$S'_{i,:} \circ v^j$$

where $\circ$ stands for the Hadamard product (i.e. element-wise). Now given all the conditions, we consider the vector space $M_i(n_v \times n_c)$ generated by all the flux vectors for metabolite i:

$$M_i := S'_{i,:} \circ \left(v^1, \dots, v^{n_c}\right)$$

If the dimension of this vector space is greater than one (i.e. the flux vectors involving this metabolite for different conditions are not collinear), the metabolite is considered as a switch node (see Fig. 1).

From a practical point of view, the dimension of M_i is evaluated by singular value decomposition (SVD), so we get:

$$M_i = U_i \Lambda^i V_i^*,$$

where $U_i(n_v \times n_v)$ and $V_i(n_c \times n_c)$ are unitary matrices and $\Lambda^i(n_v \times n_c)$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries $\Lambda^i_{k,k}$ correspond to the singular values of M_i (ordered in descending order). We compute a score which represents the significance of each switch node:

$$r_i = 1 - \frac{\left(\Lambda^i_{1,1}\right)^2}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n_c} \left(\Lambda^i_{k,k}\right)^2}.$$

If all the vectors are collinear, then all the $\Lambda^i_{k,k}$ for $k > 1$ are almost null, so $r_i \simeq 0$. The closer the score is to one, the more the metabolite corresponds to a switch.

ISIS implementation

ISIS has been implemented under Python 2.7 within the COBRApy framework [7]. After computing the vector fluxes for all the conditions with pFBA, a loop on all the metabolites is carried out, running for each metabolite the SVD and then computing the score. To speed up the program, all the zero rows in M_i are removed before running the SVD. Finally, all the metabolites are ordered following their scores.

Case studies

ISIS has been carried out on four examples. Unless otherwise stated, the procedure described above was applied. Some specificities for each case study are given below.

E. coli (core metabolic model)

As a first case study, we consider the core metabolic network of *E. coli* [16]. We simulate growth on glucose in aerobic and anaerobic conditions (i.e. with or without oxygen). Figure 2, which compares the fluxes between the two conditions and highlights the switch metabolites, was drawn with Escher [11].

S. cerevisiae

The metabolic network YeastGEM v8.1.1 [8] has been used, using three different nitrogen sources: ammonium, alanine, and glutamine. This mimics the experiments carried out in [22]. The switch metabolites are computed two by two, to follow the aforementioned article. All the currency metabolites (ATP, NADPH, etc.) were removed to plot Fig. 3. For each case, the results are compared with the ten reporter metabolites given in [22].

E. coli (genome-scale model)

E. coli network iAF1260 [5] has been used with 174 different inputs, as done in [3]. ISIS was applied with all the conditions at once. The objective was then to evaluate the role of switch metabolites in cellular regulation. If a metabolite is present in several compartments, its highest score is considered. We then checked the presence of the metabolites in the list of all the metabolites involved in PTM, taken from [3] (Dataset S2).

P. tricornutum

The metabolic network of *P. tricornutum* was taken from [9]. The flux vectors for the different trophic modes were taken from the same article (Table S1).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks all those who provided fruitful comments during presentation of this work at JOBIM, FOSBE, and *Algae in silico* meeting.

Fundings

This work was supported by the LEFE Project HétéroMixo.

Conflict of interest disclosure

The author declare that he complies with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to the content of the article.

Data, script, code, and supplementary information availability

All the codes (in Jupyter notebooks) and data are available at https://github.com/fmairet/ISIS.

References

[1] Caroline Baroukh, Francis Mairet, and Olivier Bernard. The paradoxes hidden behind the Droop model highlighted by a metabolic approach. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 2022.

[2] Caroline Baroukh, Rafael Muñoz-Tamayo, Jean-Philippe Steyer, and Olivier Bernard. DRUM: a new framework for metabolic modeling under non-balanced growth. Application to the carbon metabolism of unicellular microalgae. *PloS one*, 9(8):e104499, 2014.

[3] Elizabeth Brunk, Roger L Chang, Jing Xia, Hooman Hefzi, James T Yurkovich, Donghyuk Kim, Evan Buckmiller, Harris H Wang, Byung-Kwan Cho, Chen Yang, et al. Characterizing posttranslational modifications in

prokaryotic metabolism using a multiscale workflow. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 225
115(43):11096–11101, 2018. 226

[4] Xin Fang, Colton J Lloyd, and Bernhard O Palsson. Reconstructing organisms in silico: genome-scale 227
models and their emerging applications. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 18(12):731–743, 2020. 228

[5] Adam M Feist, Christopher S Henry, Jennifer L Reed, Markus Krummenacker, Andrew R Joyce, Peter D 229
Karp, Linda J Broadbelt, Vassily Hatzimanikatis, and Bernhard Ø Palsson. A genome-scale metabolic recon- 230
struction for *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655 that accounts for 1260 ORFs and thermodynamic information. 231
Molecular Systems Biology, 3(1):121, 2007. 232

[6] Changdai Gu, Gi Bae Kim, Won Jun Kim, Hyun Uk Kim, and Sang Yup Lee. Current status and applications 233
of genome-scale metabolic models. *Genome biology*, 20(1):1–18, 2019. 234

[7] Laurent Heirendt, Sylvain Arreckx, Thomas Pfau, Sebastian N Mendoza, Anne Richelle, Almut Heinken, 235
Hulda S Haraldsdottir, Jacek Wachowiak, Sarah M Keating, Vanja Vlasov, et al. Creation and analysis of 236
biochemical constraint-based models using the COBRA toolbox v. 3.0. *Nature protocols*, page 1, 2019. 237

[8] Markus J Herrgård, Neil Swainston, Paul Dobson, Warwick B Dunn, K Yalcin Arga, Mikko Arvas, Nils 238
Blüthgen, Simon Borger, Roeland Costenoble, Matthias Heinemann, et al. A consensus yeast metabolic 239
network reconstruction obtained from a community approach to systems biology. *Nature biotechnology*, 240
26(10):1155, 2008. 241

[9] Joomi Kim, Michele Fabris, Gino Baart, Min K Kim, Alain Goossens, Wim Vyverman, Paul G Falkowski, and 242
Desmond S Lun. Flux balance analysis of primary metabolism in the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. 243
The Plant journal, 85(1):161–176, 2016. 244

[10] Pan-Jun Kim, Dong-Yup Lee, Tae Yong Kim, Kwang Ho Lee, Hawoong Jeong, Sang Yup Lee, and Sunwon 245
Park. Metabolite essentiality elucidates robustness of *Escherichia coli* metabolism. *Proceedings of the* 246
National Academy of Sciences, 104(34):13638–13642, 2007. 247

[11] Zachary A King, Andreas Dräger, Ali Ebrahim, Nikolaus Sonnenschein, Nathan E Lewis, and Bernhard O 248
Palsson. Escher: a web application for building, sharing, and embedding data-rich visualizations of 249
biological pathways. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(8):e1004321, 2015. 250

[12] Julie Laniau, Clémence Frioux, Jacques Nicolas, Caroline Baroukh, Maria-Paz Cortes, Jeanne Got, Camille 251
Trottier, Damien Eveillard, and Anne Siegel. Combining graph and flux-based structures to decipher 252
phenotypic essential metabolites within metabolic networks. *PeerJ*, 5:e3860, 2017. 253

[13] Nathan E Lewis, Kim K Hixson, Tom M Conrad, Joshua A Lerman, Pep Charusanti, Ashoka D Polpitiya, 254
Joshua N Adkins, Gunnar Schramm, Samuel O Purvine, Daniel Lopez-Ferrer, et al. Omic data from evolved 255
E. coli are consistent with computed optimal growth from genome-scale models. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 256
6(1):390, 2010. 257

[14] Hong-Wu Ma and An-Ping Zeng. The connectivity structure, giant strong component and centrality of 258
metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics*, 19(11):1423–1430, 2003. 259

[15] Edward J O'Brien, Jonathan M Monk, and Bernhard O Palsson. Using genome-scale models to predict 260
biological capabilities. *Cell*, 161(5):971–987, 2015. 261

[16] Jeffrey D Orth, Ronan MT Fleming, and Bernhard Ø Palsson. Reconstruction and use of microbial metabolic 262
networks: the core *Escherichia coli* metabolic model as an educational guide. *EcoSal plus*, 4(1), 2010. 263

[17] Jeffrey D Orth, Ines Thiele, and Bernhard Ø Palsson. What is flux balance analysis? *Nature biotechnology*, 264
28(3):245, 2010. 265

[18] Kiran Raosaheb Patil and Jens Nielsen. Uncovering transcriptional regulation of metabolism by using metabolic network topology. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 102(8):2685–2689, 2005.

[19] Charlotte Ramon, Mattia G Gollub, and Jörg Stelling. Integrating–omics data into genome-scale metabolic network models: principles and challenges. *Essays in biochemistry*, 62(4):563–574, 2018.

[20] Abolfazl Rezvan and Changiz Eslahchi. Comparison of different approaches for identifying subnetworks in metabolic networks. *Journal of bioinformatics and computational biology*, 15(06):1750025, 2017.

[21] S Alexander Riemer, René Rex, and Dietmar Schomburg. A metabolite-centric view on flux distributions in genome-scale metabolic models. *BMC systems biology*, 7(1):1–19, 2013.

[22] Renata Usaite, Kiran R Patil, Thomas Grotkjær, Jens Nielsen, and Birgitte Regenberg. Global transcriptional and physiological responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to ammonium, L-alanine, or L-glutamine limitation. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 72(9):6194–6203, 2006.

[23] Supreeta Vijayakumar, Max Conway, Pietro Lió, and Claudio Angione. Seeing the wood for the trees: a forest of methods for optimization and omic-network integration in metabolic modelling. *Briefings in bioinformatics*, 19(6):1218–1235, 2018.

[24] Mateusz Wagner, Bingsen Zhang, Arnaud Tauffenberger, Frank C Schroeder, and Aleksandra Skirycz. Experimental methods for dissecting the *terraincognita* of protein-metabolite interactomes. *Current Opinion in Systems Biology*, 28:100403, 2021.