1   **Identification of plants functional counterparts of the metazoan Mediator of**
2   **DNA Damage Checkpoint 1**
3

4   **Zdravko J. Lorković,[1,*] Michael Klingenbrunner,[1,2] Chung Hyun Cho,[1] and**
5   **Frédéric Berger[1,*]**
6
7   [1]Gregor Mendel Institute (GMI), Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna Biocenter
8   (VBC), Vienna, Austria
9   [2]present address: Department of Molecular Life Sciences, University of Zurich,
10 Switzerland
17 Correspondence to:
18 Frédéric Berger and Zdravko J. Lorković Gregor Mendel Institute (GMI), Austrian
19 Academy of Sciences, Vienna Biocenter (VBC), Dr. Bohrgasse 3, 1030 Vienna,
20 Austria frederic.berger@gmi.oeaw.ac.at and zdravko.lorkovic@gmi.oeaw.ac.at.

27 Running title: DDR mediator MDC1 in plants
28
29 Key words: BCP4/BRCT domain/DNA damage response/histone
30 H2A.X/MDC1/PAXIP1
31
32
33
34

Abstract

Induction of DNA damage triggers rapid phosphorylation of the histone H2A.X (γH2A.X). In animals, mediator of DNA damage checkpoint 1 (MDC1) binds γH2A.X through a tandem BRCA1 carboxyl-terminal (tBRCT) domain and mediates recruitment of downstream effectors of DNA damage response (DDR). However, readers of this modification in plants have remained elusive. We report that from *Arabidopsis* BRCT domain proteome, BCP1-4 proteins with tBRCT domains are involved in DDR. Through its tBRCT domain BCP4 binds γH2A.X *in vitro* and localizes to DNA damage-induced foci in an H2A.X dependent manner. BCP4 also contains a domain that interacts directly with NBS1 and thus acts as a functional counterpart of MDC1. We also show that BCP1, that contains two tBRCT domains, co-localizes with γH2A.X but it does not bind γH2A.X suggesting functional similarity with human PAXIP1. A phylogenetic analysis supports that PAXIP1 and MDC1 in metazoa and their plant counterparts evolved independently from common ancestors with tBRCT domains. Collectively, our study reveals missing components and provides mechanistic and evolutionary insights into plant DDR.

Introduction

The genome is constantly exposed to endogenous or exogenous sources of genotoxic agents, which cause DNA damage. Among different types of DNA damage, DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are the most deleterious as they can cause genome rearrangements if not accurately repaired. To prevent such harmful consequences, the DNA damage response (DDR) pathway senses DNA damage and initiates a signaling cascade by attracting sensor, transducer, mediator, and effector repair proteins to the site of DNA damage (Ciccia & Elledge, 2010).

Major protein factors contributing to signaling and repair of damaged DNA have been characterized. The earliest step in DDR is recruitment of the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 (MRN) complex which senses and locates sites of DSBs. Stalled replication forks are sensed by replication protein A, which binds to ssDNA generated at the site of stalled replication forks (Ciccia & Elledge, 2010; Coster & Goldberg, 1010). These initial events lead to the recruitment of ATM and ATR kinases that phosphorylate a plethora of proteins acting downstream of DNA damage sensing (Lanz *et al,* 2019). Among these, the histone variant H2A.X is rapidly phosphorylated at its C-terminal SQ motif (SQEF/Y) and forms numerous γH2A.X foci throughout the nucleus (Rogakou *et al,* 1998; Paull *et al,* 2000; Turinetto & Giachino, 2015). These early events during DDR are conserved in fungi, animals, and plants (Nisa *et al,* 2019; Yoshiyama *et al,* 2013).

In mammals, γH2A.X promotes DDR by binding mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 (MDC1) (Stewart *et al,* 2003), which acts as a scaffold for DDR effectors (Coster & Goldberg, 2010). DDR effectors often contain BRCA1 C terminus (BRCT) domains, which are present either as a single domain or two closely spaced tandem domains (tBRCT). tBRCT domains represent a distinct class of BRCT domains that bind phosphorylated target proteins (Manke *et al,* 2003; Yu *et al,* 2003). MDC1 interacts with γH2A.X through its C-terminal tBRCT domain (Stucki *et al,* 2005). In addition to the tBRCT domain, human MDC1 harbors four additional interaction domains/motifs. The N-terminal forkhead-associated (FHA) domain interacts with additional MDC1 molecules and RAD51 (Zhang *et al,* 2005). The FHA domain is followed by SDTD motifs which, when phosphorylated by casein kinase II, bind NBS1 of the MRN complex (Chapman & Jackson, 2008; Melander *et al,* 2008; Spycher *et al,* 2008; Wu *et al,* 2008). Binding of MRN complexes to MDC1 recruits additional ATM molecules, which further phosphorylate neighboring H2A.X proteins.

Thus, MDC1 facilitates the spread of the DDR signal as far as one megabase up-
and downstream of the initial DSB (Rogakou *et al,* 1999; Lou *et al,* 2006; Turinetto &
Giachino, 2015). ATM also phosphorylates the TQxF motifs of MDC1, allowing
recruitment of ring finger protein 8 (RNF8) (Kolas *et al,* 2007; Mailand *et al,* 2007),
which ubiquitylates H2A/H2A.X proteins in its vicinity. These ubiquitylation marks are
recognized by ring finger protein 168 which results in further ubiquitylation of
H2A/H2A.X and chromatin relaxation around DNA damage site and provides access
for repair factors to DNA (Gatti *et al,* 2015; Ferrand *et al,* 2020). The PST repeats of
MDC1 directly interact with chromatin and this is critical for TP53BP1 recruitment to
DNA damage sites and cell survival in the absence of H2AX (Salguero *et al,* 2019).
TP53BP1 is a tBRCT domain protein that in concerted action with RNF8 is necessary
for recruitment of another tBRCT domain-containing DDR effector, PAXIP1 (Jowsey
et al, 2004; Munoz *et al,* 2007; Gong *et al,* 2009). This complex network of
interactions between DDR effectors and associated chromatin modifications are
necessary for the recruitment of repair machineries and influence the choice of DNA
repair pathway (Ciccia & Elledge, 2010; Escribano-Diaz & Durocher, 2013; Ferrand
et al, 2020; Mirman & de Lange, 2020; Xu & Xu, 2020).

Hence, the BRCT domain is a hallmark of proteins participating in DDR
(Callebaut & Mornon, 1997; Leung & Glover, 2011). Among metazoan DDR
mediator/effector proteins that contain BRCT domains (BRCA1, BARD1, TP53BP1,
MDC1, TOPBP1, PAXIP1, and NBS1) only BRCA1 (Lafarge & Montane, 2003),
BARD1 (Reidt *et al,* 2006), and NBS1 (Akutsu *et al,* 2007; Waterworth *et al,* 2007)
were unambiguously identified in plant lineage (Yoshiyama *et al,* 2013). Thus, how
phosphorylated H2A.X is recognized and how this signal mediates recruitment of
DNA damage repair machineries in plant cells remains elusive. To identify the
readers of phosphorylated H2A.X, we used a minimal complement of human BRCT
proteins (Woods *et al,* 2012) to identify a complete set of *Arabidopsis* BRCT domain-
containing proteins. Among the 21 BRCT domain proteins identified, we identified two
potential functional counterparts of MDC1 and PAXIP1 and characterized this
missing link between DDR signaling and DNA damage repair in plants. Based on a
phylogenetic analysis and functional validations we propose a potential evolutionary
scenario for this complex family of proteins.

Results

BRCT domain proteome in plants

Using a combination of search strategies, we identified 21 *Arabidopsis* proteins with

at least one BRCT domain (Figure 1A). Of the 21 proteins identified, 11 were

homologs of human proteins with reported BRCT domains (BRCA1, BARD1, XRCC1,

REV1, PARP1, LIG4, RFC1, DPOLL, CTDP1, NBS1 and PES1) as revealed by

clustering of *Arabidopsis* and human homologs on the unrooted phylogenetic tree

(Figure 1B), which also reflected homology beyond the BRCT domains. Overall, the

domain organization of *Arabidopsis* BRCT proteins mirrored that of the human

homologs, with some exceptions: AtPARP1 contains two Zinc fingers at the N-

terminus that are missing in the human protein; the AtBRCA1 and AtBARD1

orthologs have extended PHD fingers in front of the BRCT domain (Figure 1A); the

AtCPL4, a CTDP1-like phosphatase contains a BRCT domain absent in the human

ortholog; and the absence of a BRCT domain in the AtPARP4 although this domain

is present in AtPARP3. In Arabidopsis, we could not find proteins with combinations

and order of domains present in the human proteins MCPH1, POLM, DBF4B, LIG3,

DNTT, PAXIP1, ANKRD32, TP53BP1, TOPBP1, and MDC1. The absence of

TP53BP1 is not surprising as p53 itself is not present in plant lineage, rather its

function is replaced by the plant-specific protein SOG1 (Yoshiyama *et al,* 2009;

Yoshiyama *et al,* 2013). The function of TOPBP1, with seven BRCT domains, may be

mediated by AtMEI1, which contains only five BRCT domains (Mathilde *et al,* 2003).

Two additional *Arabidopsis* proteins containing two BRCT domains at the N-terminal

half of the protein, which we named TOPBP-LIKE1 (TOPBPL1) and TOPBP-LIKE2

(TOPBPL2), showed the best homology to TOPBP1 and clustered together with

AtMEI1 and human TOPBP1 (Figure 1B). The Sumo targeted ubiquitin ligase 2

(STUbL2), with one BRCT domain at the N terminus, a RING finger, and an

unannotated PHD finger at the C-terminus (Figure 1A), has been identified as a

suppressor of heterochromatin over-replication caused by the loss of the histone K27

methyltransferases ATXR5 and 6 (Hale *et al,* 2016). STUbL2 is most closely related

to the human RNF8. Instead of a BRCT domain, RNF8 has an FHA domain at the N-

terminus and a RING finger at the C-terminus. The FHA domain predominantly binds

phospho-threonine (Almawi *et al,* 2002) while the BRCT domain preferentially binds

phospho-serine (Manke *et al,* 2003; Yu *et al,* 2003; Leung & Glover, 2011)

suggesting that the two proteins have distinct targets.

Four tBRCT domain proteins, BCP1-BCP4, were also identified in *Arabidopsis*
while this work was in progress (Vladejić *et al,* 2022). BCP2, with a histone acetyl
transferase domain, appears to be a plant specific BRCT domain protein of unknown
function. The three other BRCT-domain proteins (BCP1, BCP3, and BCP4) did not
reveal an obvious domain organization comparable to any human protein. BCP1,
BCP3, and BCP4 were conserved in all plant lineages analyzed, with separation of
clades corresponding to algae, non-flowering land plants, basal angiosperms,
monocots, and dicots (Supporting Figure S1A and S1B). BCP3 and BCP4 appear to
be paralogs that arose from genome duplication events, as shown by their same
exon-intron arrangements (Supporting Figure S1C). Algae, non-flowering land plants,
gymnosperms, and basal angiosperms contain only one BCP3/4 protein, suggesting
that this gene duplication took place with the appearance of eudicots.

BCP1 has two tBRCT domains positioned at the N- and C-terminus and a so
far unrecognized C-terminal PHD finger which is present in all plant lineages except
Brassicaceae (Supporting Figure S1A and S2A). BCP3 and BCP4, which both have a
tBRCT domain at the C-terminus, showed limited homology with tBRCT domains of
human MDC1 and PAXIP1 proteins (Figure 1B and C). On an unrooted phylogenetic
tree, BCP3 and BCP4 clustered with MDC1 and PAXIP1 when we used either the
entire protein sequences (Figure 1B) or only the tBRCT domains (Figure 1D).
However, BCP3 and BCP4 contained only two BRCT domains in contrast to the six
BRCT domains present in PAXIP1 (Jowsey *et al,* 2004) and did not contain long
stretches of glutamine (Figure 1A and Supporting Figure S2B), suggesting that they
are not PAXIP1 homologs. Altogether our analysis suggested that BCP3 and BCP4
might be more functionally related to MDC1 and recognize γH2A.X.

DNA damage sensitivity and DDR of *BCP* mutants

To analyze the potential role of BCPs in DDR, we obtained T-DNA insertion mutants
of *BCP1-4* (Supporting Figure S1D) and measured their sensitivity to DNA damage
using the true leaf assay (Rosa & Mittelsten Scheid, 2014). Wild type plants and
mutants deprived of H2A.X (*hta3 hta5*) or H2A.W.7 (*hta7)* with demonstrated DNA
damage sensitivity (Lorković *et al,* 2017) were used as controls. In contrast to
recently published data (Vladejić *et al,* 2022), all *bcp* alleles were sensitive to the
zeocin that causes DSBs (Figure 2A). The mutant *bcp3* was not as sensitive as *bcp1*,
bcp2, or *bcp4* (Figure 2A), presumably because the T-DNA insertion in *bcp3* is

located in the 5'-UTR (Supporting Figure S1D) and likely did not completely knock
out BPC3.

We also assessed DNA damage response in *bcp* mutants by observing the
increased levels of γH2A.X in response to a two-hour treatment of seedlings with
bleomycin. In all mutant alleles, comparable levels of γH2A.X were observed
compared to WT seedlings (Figure 2B). Nuclei from bleomycin treated seedlings did
not show an obvious difference in either the number or size of γH2A.X foci between
the *bcp* mutants and WT (Figure 2C and D), suggesting that BCP proteins are not
required for either the initiation or propagation of γH2A.X.

We next complemented the loss of function mutants *bcp1*, *bcp3*, and *bcp4*
with respectively BCP1-mClover3, BCP3-mClover3, and BCP4-mClover3 fusion
proteins. Transgenic lines expressing mClover3-tagged genomic constructs were not
sensitive to zeocin, confirming that the sensitivity in these mutant alleles was due to
the absence of functional BCP proteins (Figure 2E). Together, these data establish
that BCP1-4 are required for proper DDR in *Arabidopsis*.

BCP1 and BCP4 localize to DNA damage-induced foci in H2A.X dependent
manner but only BCP4 binds γH2A.X
Because BCP1 and BCP4 were most closely related to human PAXIP1 and MDC1
that bind γH2A.X (Figure 1B-D) we put our focus on these two proteins. To test
whether BCPs bind directly γH2A.X we performed two sets of experiments, co-
immunostaining of mClover3-tagged BCPs and γH2A.X after induction of DSBs with
bleomycin and *in vitro* phospho-H2A.X peptide binding assays.

We used BCP1-mClover3 and BCP4-mClover3 complementing lines and
performed co-immunostaining of mClover3 and γH2A.X in nuclei from seedlings after
a two-hour treatment with bleomycin. Both BCP1 and BCP4 co-localized with γH2A.X
in numerous foci (Figure 3A). In *hta3 hta5* double mutant plants, where H2A.X is not
present (Lorković *et al,* 2017), BCP1-mClover3 (Figure 3B) and BCP4-mClover3
(Figure 3C) did not form foci after bleomycin treatment. Instead, both fusion proteins
were diffusely distributed throughout the nucleoplasm and accumulated in nucleoli,
demonstrating that the formation of BCP1 and BCP4 foci following DSBs induction
depends on γH2A.X.

We expressed and purified both C- and N-terminally His$_6$-tagged BCP4 from
insect cells and tested its capacity to bind the phosphorylated or unphosphorylated

peptide comprising the 18 C-terminal amino acid residues of H2A.X. Affinity pull-
down with unphosphorylated and phosphorylated H2A.X peptides revealed that
BCP4 specifically binds phosphorylated H2A.X peptide (Figure 3D). We also
performed peptide binding assays with the GST-tagged tBRCT domain of BCP4,
which also specifically bound the phosphorylated H2A.X peptide (Figure 3E). These
results established that the C-terminal tBRCT domain of BCP4 directly interacts with
the H2A.X phosphopeptide. In contrast to the tBRCT domain of BCP4, the purified
GST-tagged N- and C-terminal tBRCT domains of BCP1 (tBRCT-N and tBRCT-C)
did not interact with the phosphorylated H2A.X peptide (Figure 3F), suggesting that
these domains are not H2A.X phospho-serine binding modules.

Altogether, our results suggest that co-localization of BCP1 with γH2A.X foci is
not due to direct γH2A.X binding but rather due to interaction between BCP1 and
other DDR factor(s). These observations, along with similarities between the tBRCT
domains of BCP1 and PAXIP1 (Figure 1D), suggest that BCP1 may be functionally
related to the metazoan PAXIP1, whose association with DNA damage foci depends
on the presence of MDC1/RNF8 but not on direct interaction with γH2A.X (Gong *et
al,* 2009; Munoz *et al,* 2007). In contrast, based on the direct interaction of BCP4 (this
work) and BCP3 (Fan *et al,* 2022) with γH2A.X and their co-localization with γH2A.X
foci, we conclude that BCP3 and BCP4 have functional properties as human MDC1.

BCP3 and BCP4 interaction with γH2A.X share properties with metazoan MDC1
Although amino acids residues of human MDC1 involved in binding phosphorylated
serine of H2A.X are only partially conserved in *Arabidopsis* BCP4 (Figure 1C) we
showed that BCP4 binds γH2A.X and co-localizes with γH2A.X. We used AlphaFold
models of tBRCT domains of BCP3 and BCP4 and superimposed them with a
structure of human MDC1 in complex with phosphorylated H2A.X (PDB: 2AZM;
Stucki *et al,* 2005). Despite the highly diverged primary sequence of human MDC1
and *Arabidopsis* BCP3 and BCP4 tBRCT domains with only ~24% identity (Figure
1C), the three tBRCT domains displayed overall highly similar structures with root
mean square deviations of <2 (Supporting Figure 3A and 3B). Like human MDC1,
BCP4 and BCP3 were predicted to bind the phosphate moiety through direct
interactions with side chain atoms of three structurally conserved residues:
Asn864/608 (corresponding to MDC1 Lys1936), and Gln 823/567 (corresponding to
MDC1 Gly1899) and Thr862/606 (Figure 4A). Gln823/567 of BCP4/BCP3 were also

predicted to contact the H2A.X peptide main chain (Figure 4A). In addition,
interactions of MDC1 Arg1933 with the H2A.X C-terminus and with Glu2063 located
on α3' (Stucki *et al,* 2005), are likewise conserved and are mediated by Arg861/605
and Glu997/740 of BCP4/BCP3 (Figure 4B). Except for Gln 823/567 the amino acids
of the tBRCT domains predicted to contact H2A.X phosphoserine were conserved
among plant BCP3 and BCP4 proteins (Figure 4C). Analysis of the tBRCT domain of
BCP1 and BCP2 revealed reduced abilities to form contacts with H2A.X peptide
(Supporting Figure 3C-F), suggesting the lack of direct interaction with
phosphorylated H2A.X.

Mutation of three predicted phosphoserine-contacting residues almost
completely abolished phosphopeptide binding by the BCP4 tBRCT (Figure 4D;
BCP4M5), while single (Gln823Ala) and double (Asn864Ala and Thr862Ala)
mutations of predicted phosphoserine-contacting residues had less prominent effects
on binding (Figure 4D; BCP4M3 and BCP4M4). Mutation of Arg1933 of human
MDC1, which interacts with the H2A.X C-terminus and with Glu2063 located on α3',
strongly affected interaction (Stucki *et al,* 2005). In BCP4, mutations of two arginine
residues (Arg861Gln and Arg 863Gln) reduced binding (Figure 4D; BCP4M2)
although not to the extent observed with human MDC1 (Stucki *et al,* 2005). We also
obtained BCP4 tBRCT domain with mutations mimicking human MDC1
phosphoserine-binding pocket and found similar binding efficiency as WT BCP4
(Figure 4D; BCP4M1). We conclude that BCP3 and BCP4 bind phosphorylated
H2A.X via conserved residues that form a pocket resembling that of human MDC1.

Although functional analyses and the phylogenetic position indicated that
BCP3 and BCP4 and MDC1 are likely functional counterparts, BCP3 and BCP4
apparently lack the domains present N-terminal of the C-terminal tBRCT domain in
MDC1 (Figures 1A and 5A). We thus extended our survey of BCP3 and BCP4
orthologs across plants to detect additional conserved domains. The N-terminal
intrinsically disordered regions of BCP3 and BCP4 did not display high sequence
conservation among plant species. However, we identified three conserved regions
(SQSQ, DWD, and DTQ) in most species analyzed (Figure 5A and 5B, Supporting
Figure 2C). Two of these motifs carry SQ and TQ dipeptides, which are potential
targets of ATM/ATR kinases. However, the potential functional importance for these
motifs remains to be determined. The DTQ motif was not present in BCP4 from non-
flowering land plants and DWD motif was not present in multicellular algae (except

Chara) (Figure 5B). In addition, a careful inspection of BCP4 sequence alignments
revealed a variable number (from three to ten, depending on the species) of TQx☐ (☐
for hydrophobic aminoacids) motifs, which resemble TQxF motifs found in human
MDC1 (Figure 5A). Thus, the TQx☐ motifs could be functional counterparts of the
domain of TQxF motis binding RNF8 in human MDC1 (Kolas *et al,* 2007; Mailand *et*
al, 2007). The TQx☐ motifs were absent in BCP4 from most multicellular green algae
(except from *Chara* and *Klebsormidium*) (Figure 5B, Charophytes) and were less
prominent in BCPs from bryophytes, lycophytes, and gymnosperms (Figure 5B, open
circles). Along with the presence of TQx☐ motifs in BCP4 from basal dicots and their
absence from BCP3 in dicots and monocots, these data suggested that BCP4 was
ancestral and that the loss of TQx☐ motifs in BCP3 evolved recently after gene
duplication in eudicots.
Despite sharing similar motifs and tBRCT domains with the human MDC1,
BCP3 and BCP4 had neither the FHA domain nor the SDTD and PST repeats
present in human MDC1 (Figure 5A). The absence of SDTD and PST repeats in
MUTATOR2, an MDC1 homolog from *Drosophila*, (Dronamraju & Mason, 2009;
Kasravi *et al,* 1999), prompted us to re-examine the criteria that could define MDC1
in eukaryotes. We analyzed 119 metazoan MDC1 proteins, ranging from sponges to
mammals, and found that all metazoan MDC1 proteins have an FHA domain at the
N-terminus and tBRCT domain at the C-terminus (Figure 5C and Supporting Figure
2D). A full repertoire of repeats, as in human MDC1 (TQxF, SDTD, and PST), was
present only in mammals (Figure 5C and Supporting Figure 2D). In other vertebrates,
MDC1 homologs had TQxF and SDTD repeats. In invertebrates, MDC1 had only
TQxF repeats, similar to the plant BCP4. Taken together, our analysis indicates that
an ancestral MDC1 possessed a C-terminal tBRCT domain and that BCP3 and BCP4
can be considered as plant MDC1 homologs that acquired additional domains distinct
to those acquired by MDC1 homologs in vertebrates.
To obtain more insights into evolution of BCP1 and BCP3/BCP4 and their
potential co-evolution with histone H2A variant carrying SQEF/Y motif in plants
(referred to as H2A.X; Malik and Henikoff, 2003), we analyzed the presence of
orthologs in representative taxa from the major clades of Archaeplastida. Histone
H2A sequences possessing a SQEF/Y motif or SQEF-like (SQ+E/D+F/I/L/V/Y) motif
at the C-terminus were present in most Archaeplastida lineages, except for some
unicellular green algae (e.g., Chlamydomonadales), glaucophytes and extremophilic

red algae (Figure 5D). We were not able to unambiguously identify BCP1 and BCP4

candidate proteins in most unicellular green algae (chlorophytes) and glaucophytes

(Figure 5D; open boxes). Red algae lacked any sequences with the signatures of

BCP1 and BCP4 or MDC1 from Opisthokonts, presumably because genome

reduction contributed to massive gene losses in this group (Qiu *et al*, 2015). A clear

phylogenetic distinction between the SQEF/Y motif-containing histone H2A and

H2A.X, as defined by the presence of a monophyletic clade containing *Arabidopsis*

H2A.X, appeared by the onset of more complex multicellular Streptophytes (Charales

and Zygnematales) (Figure 5D and Supporting Figure 4). This event occurred

concurrently with the appearance of BCP1 and BCP4 (Figure 5D), suggesting a

degree of co-evolution with H2A.X.

BCP4 interacts with NBS1 of the MRN complex

MDC1 not only targets sites of DNA damage through binding γH2A.X but also serves

as a scaffold protein for recruitment of various DNA damage response factors

including the MRN complex that interacts via NBS1 with SDTD motifs of human

MDC1 (Chapman & Jackson, 2008; Melander *et al*, 2008; Spycher *et al*, 2008; Wu *et*

al, 2008). Although the MRN complex is conserved in the plant lineage (Yoshiyama

et al, 2013), the absence of the SDTD motifs in plants BCP3 and BCP4 made us to

wonder if motifs we described in BCP3 and BCP4 were able to interact with the

Arabidopsis NBS1 ortholog (Akutsu *et al*, 2007; Waterworth *et al*, 2007). We co-

expressed GST-tagged TQx☐ and SQ/DWD motifs of BCP4 (Figure 6A) along with

GST alone with His-tagged full-length NBS1 and FHA+tBRCT of NBS1 in bacteria

and analyzed co-purification of GST and His-tagged proteins on glutathione magnetic

beads. Both the full-length and the FHA+tBRCT of NBS1 were co-purified only with

SQ/DWD fragment of BCP4 (Figure 6B). We also expressed and purified His-NBS1

and GST-TQx☐ and GST-SQ/DWD separately and tested them for interaction *in*

vitro. Again, only GST-SQ/DWD pulled down His_6-NBS1, suggesting that *Arabidopsis*

NBS1 interacted with the region of BCP4 comprising SQ and DWD motifs (Figure

6C). Deletion analysis of SQ/DWD fragment revealed that the DWD motif was

dispensable for NBS1 interaction and that the interaction site very likely also did not

involve the SQ motif (Figure 6D and E). The SQ/DWD fragment of BCP3 did not

interact with NBS1, which could be explained by the sequence divergence between

BCP3 and BCP4 (Figure 6D-F). We used AlphaFold2 (Jumper *et al,* 2021, Evans *et*

al, 2022) to predict interactions between the fragment of NBS1 containing
FHA+tBRCT with shortened SQ/DWD region. AlphaFold2 predicted a high
confidence interaction with an alpha helix region upstream of the SQ motifs and
involving Leu306 and Cys310 of BCP4 and Ser308 and Arg309 of the second BRCT
domain of NBS1 (Figure 6G and Supporting Figure 5). Mutations of Cys310 alone or
Leu306 and Cys310 reduced or almost completely abolished interaction with NBS1,
respectively (Figure 6H). The Leu306 and Cys310 residues were not conserved in
BCP3 SQ/DWD motif (Figure 6F), potentially explaining the lack of *in vitro* interaction
of BPC3 with NBS1. Altogether our results show that BPC4 binds γH2A.X and
interacts specifically with NSB1 thus enabling the recruitment of the MRN complex,
supporting further that BCP4 is a functional counterpart of mammalian MDC1.
385

Discussion
In this study we have surveyed the BRCT domain proteins in the plant lineage and
characterized in detail the function of *Arabidopsis* proteins BCP1-4 with tBRCT
domains. Of these four proteins only BCP4 protein revealed functional properties
similar to that of metazoan MDC1. BCP4 binds to phosphorylated H2A.X *in vitro*, co-
localizes with DNA damage-induced foci *in vivo* in an H2A.X-dependent manner, and
binds NBS1 of the MRN complex. We thus conclude that BCP4 is the *Arabidopsis*
functional counterpart of MDC1 and propose that it could be renamed AtMDC1.
Because most metazoans do not contain the domains found in mammalian
orthologs of MDC1, a phylogenetic approach was necessary to reach a more
accurate definition of the essential features that define MDC1 identity. This also
showed that the presence of different functional motifs gradually increased in
complexity during evolution of MDC1 in metazoans. Similarly, the complexity of
conserved domains in BCP4 increased during plant evolution. The only common
feature of MDC1 between Opisthokonts and plants is the presence of the C-terminal
tBRCT domain that binds γH2A.X and the structural features of the molecular
interactions involved in γH2A.X binding. We propose that these features should be
used in future studies to find functional counterparts of MDC1.
In mammals, MDC1 acts as a scaffold for recruitment of DDR effectors
through interactions with sequence motifs N-terminal of the tBRCT domain (Coster &
Goldberg 2010). Although these motifs are absent in BCP4, other conserved
domains evolved to mediate the recruitment of downstream effectors of DDR in

plants. Of the three conserved regions (TQx☐, SQSQ, and DTQ; Figure 5) that could
potentially mediate such interactions we showed that the region downstream of the
BCP4 SQSQ motif serves as an NBS1 interaction site. This interaction differs in two
ways compared to that of human NBS1 and MDC1. First, unlike human NBS1 that
interacts with SDTD motif in a phosphorylation dependent manner (Chapman &
Jackson, 2008; Melander *et al,* 2008; Spycher *et al,* 2008; Wu *et al,* 2008),
Arabidopsis NBS1 binds a region of BCP4 that does not require phosphorylation.
However, our data do not preclude the possibility that the SQ dipeptides upstream of
the NBS1 binding site are phosphorylated upon induction of the DNA damage and
thereby modulate and/or enhance NBS1 binding. Second, interaction of *Arabidopsis*
NBS1 with BCP4 is predicted to engage only the BRCT2 domain of NBS1, whereas
binding of human NBS1 to MDC1 includes both FHA and tBRCT domain (Lloyd *et al,*
2009; Williams *et al,* 2009; Hari *et al,* 2010)

The roles of the conserved regions TQx☐, SQSQ, and DTQ in BCP3 and
BCP4 remains unclear, and it is possible that phosphorylation regulates their function
in vivo, as documented for the conserved motifs SDTD and TQxF of human MDC1
(Coster & Goldberg, 2010). One possibility is that they serve as docking sites for
other DDR effectors that may be shared with animals. We suggest that BCP1 is such
an effector which, like human PAXIP1 (Gong *et al,* 2009), localized to DNA damage
induced foci in a γH2A.X interaction independent manner (this work). In support to
this idea, *bcp1* mutants showed reduced homologous recombination rates (Vladejić
et al, 2022; Yu *et al,* 2023), in a manner comparable to PAXIP1-depleted chicken and
HeLa cells (Wang *et al,* 2010). These data together with sequence similarities in
tBRCT domains (Figure 1B-D) further support that BCP1 is a functional counterpart
of PAXIP1 acting downstream of γH2A.X recognition by BCP4. Thus, DDR
effector/adaptor proteins very likely co-evolved with MDC1 independently in the major
phylogenetic groups. However, whether BCP1 interacts with BCP4 remains to be
determined.

In conclusion, we propose that plants do contain a functional counterpart of
mediator of DNA damage response (MDC1) that evolved independently from
Opisthokont MDC1 and acquired motifs distinct from those found in metazoan MDC1.
Our work could serve as a framework that can be further expanded in the future by
functional analyses of BCP4 motifs and identification of potential binding factors such
as BCP1. It will also be important to monitor dynamics of BCP4, BCP1, NBS1 (MRN)

foci formation in genetic backgrounds depleted of components of plant DDR. Our
observations that red algae which are living in very harsh conditions (Cho *et al,*
2023), do not encode some core components of DDR raises the question of how
these organisms deal with the DNA damage. More detailed proteome analysis
combined with experimental approaches will be necessary to further explore this
interesting question.

Materials and Methods

Identification of *Arabidopsis* BRCT proteome

We downloaded the full complement of human BRCT domain proteins (Woods *et al*
2012) and performed BLAST searches on TAIR10 with each of them. All *Arabidopsis*
hits were then analyzed on ScanProsite and InterProScan to identify BRCT and other
annotated domains. In the next step, we used BRCT domains from identified proteins
for BLAST searches on TAIR10 with iterations with new BRCT hits until no new ones
were detected. All new hits were collected and analyzed on ScanProsite,
InterProScan, and Uniprot to identify BRCT and other conserved domains.

To identify homologs of BCP1-4 in other plant species, *Arabidopsis* BRCT
domain protein sequences were used in BLAST searches of Phytozome
(https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html), Fernbase (https://www.fernbase.org/),
ORCAE (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/Chbra), waterlilyPond
(http://waterlily.eplant.org/) and MarpolBase (https://marchantia.info/) websites. All
sequences were aligned with CLC Genomics Workbench 11.0 and sequences with
long insertions or deletions were removed before performing final alignments and
phylogenetic analysis with CLC Genomics Workbench 11.0.

Metazoan MDC1 proteins were identified by NCBI BLAST searches and
manually inspected for the presence of conserved motifs present in human MDC1.

Evolutionary reconstruction of proteins in Archaeplastida using clustering-
based protein identification and phylogenetic analysis

To reconstruct the evolutionary history of H2A.X and BCP proteins in plant, we
constructed orthologous gene clusters (*i.e.,* orthogroups) in 49 representative
proteome datasets from Archaeplastida comprising 46 genomes and three
transcriptomes from two Rhodelphidia and one Glaucophyta (Source data file 5).
Orthofinder v2.5.2 (Emms & Kelly, 2019) was used to cluster genes in a non-biased

way by comparing each gene to the entire proteome dataset (>0.9 million proteins).

After testing different parameters, we chose DIAMOND (Buchfink *et al,* 2015) or

homology search with '-S diamond_ultra_sens' and adjusted inflation parameter '-I 2'

based on previously validated BRCT proteome. The absence and presence of

proteins (or domains) after manual correction of proteins were visualized next to the

species tree using iToL v6.7 (Letunić & Bork, 2021). The internal relationships within

the class-level in the species tree were modified based on the Orthofinder output

species tree, and higher taxonomic relationships (class or higher ranks) were verified

based on currently accepted phylogenies (Leebens-Mack *et al,* 2019). MAFFT v7.310

(Katoh & Standley, 2013) was used to align protein sequences based on

orthogroups. Individual maximum likelihood gene trees were built with IQ-TREE

v2.1.2 (Minh *et al,* 2020), which used model selection ('-m TEST') and an ultrafast

bootstrap approximation approach (1,000 bootstrap replicates; '-bb 1000').

Plant material and growth conditions

All *A. thaliana* plants used in this study are from the Colombia ecotype (Col-0). Single

T-DNA insertion mutant BCP1-4 lines were obtained from the Nottingham

Arabidopsis Stock Centre. *bcp1-1* (SALK_022790), *bcp1-2* (SALK_001578), *bcp4-1*

(SAIL_1222_D03), *bcp4-2* (SALK_038422) *bcp3-1* (SALK_111173) *bcp2-1*

(SALK_025100.24.70.x) and *bcp2-2* (SAIL_13_D01) homozygous T-DNA insertions

were verified by PCR genotyping (Table 1). Mutant lines *hta7* (GK_149G05); *hta3*

(SALK_012255) and *hta5* (SAIL_382_B11) were previously described (Lorković *et al,*

2017; Yelagandula *et al,* 2014).

Plants for genotyping or generating transgenic lines were grown in fully

automated climate chambers under long day conditions (16 hours light, 8 hours

dark). Plants used for DNA damage sensitivity assays, selection of transgenic lines,

H2A.X phosphorylation assays following DNA damage treatment, and

immunofluorescence analyses were grown on MS plates under sterile, long day

conditions (light intensity: 50 µM/m^2/sec).

Analysis of DNA damage sensitivity

To assess sensitivity to DNA damage of mutants *bcp1, bcp2, bcp3 and bcp4*,

sterilized seeds (64 seeds per replicate) were germinated on MS plates containing 20

µg/ml zeocin (Invitrogen). True leaf development was scored 14 days after

germination with replicate numbers shown on each panel (Rosa & Mittelsten Scheid, 2014; Lorkovic *et al,* 2017).

Generation of transgenic lines expressing BCP1, BCP3, and BCP4 mClover3 fusion proteins

For complementing *Arabidopsis bcp* mutant lines, DNA fragments of *BCP* genes (full genomic sequence) with the respective endogenous promoter (~1000 nucleotides upstream of start codon) were fused to the N-terminus of fluorescent protein-tag (mClover3) into the T-DNA binary vector pCBK02 (with either BASTA or spectinomycin selection marker) by using the Gibson assembly method. Plasmids were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and *Arabidopsis* plants transformed by floral dip method. Seeds from T3 transgenic lines, which were confirmed to be homozygous, were evaluated for complementation by true leaf assay and were also used for immunostaining.

Analysis of H2A.X phosphorylation in *bcp* mutant lines

300 mg of 12-14 days old WT and *bcp1-4* mutant seedlings grown on vertical MS plates were transferred into liquid MS media in the presence or absence of 20 µg/ml bleomycin (Calbiochem). Following vacuum infiltration for 2 min, seedlings were incubated for 2 hours under light on a shaker. After removal of excess medium, seedlings were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C until further use. Nuclei were isolated as described in Lorkovic *et al,* (2017) and stored at -20°C. Western blots were performed according to standard procedures using a γH2A.X antibody (SigmaAldrich, H5912). H2A.X (Yelagandula *et al,* 2014) antibody served as loading control for normalization. Primary antibodies were used at 1:1,000 dilution and secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to HRP at 1:10,000 dilution. Quantification of western blots was done with the ChemiDoc software (BioRad) by using the volume tool and normalization to levels of unmodified H2A.X.

Plasmids for expression of proteins in bacteria

Full length *BCP1*, *BCP3*, *BCP4, and NBS1* cDNAs were amplified by RT-PCR from RNA isolated from WT seedlings treated with 20 µg/ml bleomycin for 2 hours. RNA was isolated using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA-Kit (SigmaAldrich), following the manufacturer's protocol. Reverse transcription was done with the RevertAid H Minus

First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), following the
manufacturer's protocol. For PCR amplification, 1 µl of the RT reaction was used with
gene specific primers for cloning. *BCP1* was cut with *Nde*I/*Sal*I and cloned into
pET28a (Novagen), *BCP3* was cut with *Nde*I/*Sal*I and cloned into pET28a (Novagen)*,*
BCP4 was cut with *Nde*I/*Bam*HI and cloned into pET15b (Novagen). tBRCT domains
of BCP1, BCP3 and BCP4 were amplified from the cDNA clones above and cloned
into *Bam*HI/*Sal*I (BCP1 tBRCT-N, BCP3 tBRCT, and BCP4 tBRCT) and *Bgl*II/*Sal*I
(BCP1 tBRCT-C) of pGEX-4T-1 (Cytiva). Full-length NBS1 and a fragment encoding
FHA+tBRCT domains were cloned into pET28a as *Nhe*I/*Sal*I and *Nde*I/*Sal*I,
respectively. The TQx□ and SQ/DWD regions of BCP4 and SQ/DWD of BCP3 were
cloned into pGEX-4T-1 as *Bam*HI/*Sal*I. Fragments encoding mutated BCP4 tBRCT
and SQ/DWD domains were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technology (IDT) and
cloned into pGEX-4T-1 as corresponding wild-type fragments. All primers used for
cloning are listed in Table 1.
558

Expression and purification of BCP1 and BCP4 from insect cells
The VBCF ProTech Facility (VBCF; https://www.viennabiocenter.org/vbcf/protein-
technologies/) used the BCP1 and BCP4 pET expression plasmids described above
to generate His_6-tagged versions (both N-terminal and C-terminal) in a baculovirus
expression system. After selecting proper expression conditions, two liters of cells
were collected and stored at -70°C until further use.
Cells were thawed and resuspended in 20 ml lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH
7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 2 µl/ml Benzonase) containing protease
inhibitors (Roche) and sonicated for 2 min (5''on/5''off, 40% amplitude). After
centrifugation for 30 min at 20,500 × *g*, the supernatant was transferred into new tube
and 750 µl of Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen), washed with lysis buffer, were added, and
incubated for one hour at 4°C on a rotating wheel. Beads with bound proteins were
collected by short centrifugation and transferred into a disposable gravity column.
The column was washed with 5 ml of lysis buffer, followed by five washes with 5 ml of
wash buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole). Proteins
were eluted in 300 µl fractions with elution buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM
NaCl, 500 mM imidazole). Fractions were analyzed with SDS-PAGE and fractions
containing eluted protein were pooled, concentrated to 500 µl, and stored at -20°C
until further use.

Affinity purified samples were further purified by size exclusion chromatography

over a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) with running buffer (50 mM

Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% NP-40, 1 mM DTT) using an NGC–MPLC

System (Bio-Rad) and analyzed with the Image Lab Software (Bio-Rad). Peak

fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and those displaying desired purity of the

protein stored at -70°C.

Expression and purification of recombinant proteins expressed in bacteria

BL21 (DE3) RIL *E. coli* cells transformed with plasmids for protein expression were

grown at 37°C overnight in 200 ml LB. Cultures were diluted in 2 L of LB and grown

for three hours at RT and then induced for 5-7 hours at RT with 1 mM IPTG. For

GST-tagged proteins cells were collected and resuspended in 20 ml of extraction

buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100) containing

protease inhibitors (Roche), 10 µl of benzonase (1 mg/ml) and 50 mg of lysozyme.

After sonication for 10 min at high intensity (15''on/15''off) and 5 min at medium

intensity (15''on/15''off) extracts were centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C at 40,000 × *g*.

Extracts were incubated with 300 µl of glutathione sepharose 4 fast flow (Cytiva) at

RT for one hour and then transferred to disposable columns and washed with 5

column volumes of extraction buffer. Proteins were eluted with six 300 µl elution

steps with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl buffer containing 20 mM reduced

glutathione and 1 mM DTT. Fractions were analyzed on 10-12% SDS-PAGE, pooled,

and buffer was exchanged into 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT.

For purification of His_6-tagged NBS1 cells were resuspended in extraction

buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.05% NP-40) and

processed as for GST-tagged proteins. Extracts were incubated with 400 µl of Ni-

NTA beads (Qiagen) for one hour at RT and then transferred to disposable columns

and washed with 5 column volumes of extraction buffer containing 5 mM imidazole.

Proteins were eluted with six 300 µl elution steps with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 buffer,

containing 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, and 300 mM imidazole.

In all purifications, elution fractions were analyzed on 10-12% SDS-PAGE,

pooled, and buffer was exchanged into 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM

DTT.

Interaction of BCP4 TQx☐ and SQ/DWD motifs with NBS1

Plasmids expressing His_6-tagged full-length or FHA+tBRCT domains of NBS1 were
co-transformed with plasmids expressing GST-tagged TQx☐, SQ/DWD motifs or
deletions and point mutants of SQ/DWD motif into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) RIL. Ten
milliliter cultures grown overnight at 37°C were diluted into 200 ml of fresh LB
medium and grown at RT for 3 hours and then induced for 5 hours at RT. Cells
equivalent to 100 of culture were resuspended in 5 ml of TBS containing 0.1% Triton
X-100, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors (Roche), 10 µl of benzonase (2 µg/ml) and
lysozyme (50 mg per 50 ml). After sonication for 10 min at high intensity
(10''on/15''off) and 5 min at medium intensity (10''on/15''off) extracts were
centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C at 40,000 × *g*. Extracts were incubated with 50 µl of
magnetic Glutathione (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Protein A (Cytiva) beads (each
with 2.5 ml of protein extract) at RT for one hour. Beads were collected and washed 7
times with extraction buffer (without benzonase and lysozyme) and finally
resuspended in 80 µl of 1 × SDS-PAGE loading buffer prepared in extraction buffer.
Samples were run on 10-12% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blotting with anti-
His (SigmaAldrich, H1029) and anti-GST (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-138)
antibodies. All co-purification assays were done in duplicates.

We also performed interaction assays with independently purified His_6-NBS1
and GST-TQx and GST-SQ/DWD. GST-tagged proteins (5 µg) along with GST alone
were incubated with 10 µl of magnetic glutathione beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
for one hour at RT, washed with interaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM
NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100) and then incubated with 5 µg of His_6-NBS1 for
90 min at RT. Beads were washed 6 times with binding buffer, denatured in 70 µl of 1
× SDS-PAGE loading buffer and 10 µl were loaded on 10% SDS-PAGE and
analyzed by western blotting with anti-His (SigmaAldrich, H1029) antibody. Input
lanes were loaded with 1/20 of protein used for pull-down.

Interaction of BCP4 with phosphorylated H2A.X
Peptide binding assays were performed as described by Stucki *et al,* (2005).
Biotinylated peptides (25 µg) corresponding to the C-terminal tail of H2A.X, in
phosphorylated and unphosphorylated form (biotin-PSKVGKNKGDIGSASQEF-OH
and biotin-PSKVGKNKGDIGSASpQEF-OH), were bound to 10 µl of Dynabeads
MyOne Streptavidin T1 (Invitrogen) in 500 µl of binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH
7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05 % NP-40) for 30 min at room temperature. After washing the

beads with binding buffer, purified proteins were diluted with binding buffer to obtain
a buffer with a final concentration of 150 mM NaCl and mixed with streptavidin beads.
From this step on, the binding buffer contained PhosStop (Roche) to prevent
unwanted dephosphorylation of the peptides. Reactions were incubated on a rotator
at 4°C for 2 hours. After three washes with 500 µl of binding buffer, beads were
resuspended in 25 µl of 1 × SDS-PAGE loading buffer, denatured, and 10 µl were
run on 10% (full-length His$_6$-BCP4) or 12% (GST-tagged tBRCT domains) SDS-
PAGE. Gels were stained with Coomassie blue, and images were acquired with the
ChemiDoc imaging system (BioRad).
655

AlphaFold predictions
We ran AlphaFold-Multimer v2.2 (Evans *et al*, 2022) for all protein complex
predictions. Of the five models generated, we used only the model ranked_1 for
further processing and interpretation. We used the software PyMOL (TM) Molecular
Graphics System, Version 2.5.0. Copyright (c) Schrodinger, LLC., for the visualization
and superimposition of AlphaFold models and X-ray structural data.
662

Immunofluorescence on isolated nuclei
Approximately 15 seedlings were incubated in MS media either with or without
bleomycin (20 µg/ml) for 2 hours. After washing with MS medium, seedlings were
fixed in Tris buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl) containing
4% formaldehyde for 20 min. Samples were washed once with Tris buffer and once
with LB01 buffer (15 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM spermine, 80 mM
KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100). Fixed material was homogenized to a fine
suspension by chopping with razor blades in a petri dish in 400 µl of LB01 buffer and
filtered through a 40 µm cell strainer into an Eppendorf tube. The suspension was
washed with 400 µl of LB01 buffer in the Petri dish, pipetted through the cell strainer
into the same Eppendorf tube, and finally the mesh was also washed with 400 µl of
LB01 buffer. Samples were spun for 2 min at 2,000 × *g* at 4°C, the supernatant was
discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 500 µl of LB01 buffer and kept on ice
for ~10 min. This step was repeated until the pellet was no longer green. Finally,
nuclei were pelleted for 2 min at 1,000 × *g* at 4°C and resuspended in 100 µl of LB01
buffer. Of this suspension, 10 µl were transferred to a microscopic slide and dried
completely at room temperature. Dried nuclei were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS

at room temperature for 30 min followed by two 5 min washes in PBS. Nuclei were
blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS in a moist chamber at 37°C for 30 min
followed by one 5 min wash in PBS. Samples were incubated with primary antibodies
diluted 1:100 (rabbit pAb α-γH2A.X from SigmaAldrich, H5912 and mouse mAb α-
GFP from Roche, 11814460001) in 1% BSA (in PBS) and incubated in a moist
chamber at 37°C for 2 hours. After three washes in PBS for 10 min, samples were
incubated with Alexa flour labelled secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) diluted 1:200 in
1% BSA (in PBS) in a moist chamber at 37°C for 30 min followed by three washes in
PBS for 5 min. Slides were mounted in Vectashield (Vector laboratories) containing
DAPI (1µg/ml), sealed, and stored at 4°C.

Microscopy was performed at the IMP/IMBA/GMI BioOptics facility using a LSM
laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM720 Axio Observer, Zeiss). Images were
analyzed with ZEN software (Zeiss) and γH2A.X foci were quantified with ImageJ.
693

694 **Data Availability**
695 This study includes no data deposited in external repositories.
696

Acknowledgements
We thank members of the Berger lab for their comments and helpful discussion. We
thank Dr. Nicholas Irwin for sharing pipelines used for evolutionary reconstruction of
BCP genes. Authors acknowledge support from PlantS and ProTech facilities at the
Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities (VBCF), and the BioOptics facility and Molecular
Biology Services from IMP/IMBA/GMI, and Dr. J. Matthew Watson for proof-reading
the manuscript. This work was funded by core funding provided by the Gregor
Mendel Institute. CHC was funded by Basic Science Research Program through the
National Research Foundation of Korea (RS-2023-00248097). FB received funds
from the FWF grant PAT 1104523.
707

Author contributions
Zdravko Lorković: Conceptualization; methodology; formal analysis; investigation;
supervision; validation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Michael
Klingenbrunner**: Investigation; methodology; formal analysis. **Chung Hyun Cho:**
Investigation; methodology; formal analysis. **Frederic Berger:** Conceptualization;

formal analysis; supervision; funding acquisition; writing – original draft; writing –

review and editing.

Disclosure and competing interests statement

The authors have no competing interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Identification of *Arabidopsis* proteins with BRCT domains. (A)

Schematic representation of 21 *Arabidopsis* proteins containing BRCT domains. The

gene codes, protein names, and length in amino acids (aa) are indicated. (B) Human

and *Arabidopsis* BRCT domain proteins were aligned with MUSCLE and a maximum

likelihood circular cladogram was generated with CLC Genomics Workbench ver.

11.0. (C) Sequence alignment of *Arabidopsis* BCP3 and BCP4 and human MDC1

and PAXIP1 tBRCT domains. For alignment, tBRCT3 of PAXIP1 was used. Residues

conserved in at least four proteins are shaded light blue and those similar in at least

four proteins are shaded light red. Structural elements of domains are indicated on

the top of the alignment according to Stucki *et al,* (2005). Amino acids involved in

MDC1 interaction with γH2A.X are indicated with red dots. (D) All human and

Arabidopsis tBRCT domains were aligned as in (B) and a maximum likelihood tree

illustrating amino acid sequence conservation between tBRCT domains was

generated with CLC Genomics Workbench ver. 11.0. In (B) and (D), human and

Arabidopsis with high sequence homology share the same color code. *Arabidopsis*

proteins and tBRCT domains clustering with human MDC1 and PAXIP1 are indicated

in red and shaded in purple.

Figure 2. Analysis of *bcp* mutants. (A) DNA damage sensitivity of *BCP* mutant

lines assessed by true leaf development assay. DNA damage sensitive mutants of

H2A.X (*hta3 hta5*) and H2A.W.7 (*hta7*) histone variants were used as controls. Data

are represented as a box plot with median and interquartile range (box) and minimal

and maximal values (whiskers). The *p* values, relative to WT, were calculated using a

two-tailed paired Student's *t*-test. (B) Analysis of γH2A.X levels in *bcp* mutant

seedlings after induction of DNA damage for two hours. Representative western blots

for γH2A.X and H2A.X in BCP mutants. Quantified γH2A.X data represent the mean

±SD of three biological replicates (each in two technical replicates) normalized to the

total H2A.X levels. n.s., not significant *p* values as revealed by two-tailed paired
Student's *t*-test. (C) Analysis of γH2A.X foci in nuclei of WT and *bcp* mutants.
Maximum intensity projection images from Z-stacks of representative nuclei are
shown and scale bars represent 5 μm. (D) Quantification of γH2A.X foci number in
bcp mutants. For each genetic background eight nuclei were used. (E) DNA damage
sensitivity of BCP-mClover3 complementing lines. Bars represent means ±SD. The *p*
values, relative to WT, were calculated using a two-tailed paired Student's *t*-test. In
(A) and (D), seeds were germinated on medium containing 20 μg/ml of zeocin and
true leaf development was scored 12 days after germination. Numbers of biological
replicates, each with 64 seedlings, are indicated on each plot. Uncropped images of
γH2A.X and H2A.X western blots in *bcp* mutants are available in Source data.

Figure 3. BCP4 and MDC1 share similar properties. (A) BCP1 and BCP4 co-
localize with γH2A.X foci. Immunostaining of nuclei from bleomycin treated seedlings
expressing BCP1-mClover3 and BCP4-mClover3 in *bcp1* and *bcp4* mutants,
respectively. (B and C) BCP1 and BCP4 foci formation is dependent on H2A.X
Immunostaining of nuclei from bleomycin treated seedlings expressing BCP1-
mClover (B) and BCP4-mClover (C) in *bcp1 hta3 hta5* and *bcp4 hta3 hta5* genetic
backgrounds, respectively. (D) BCP4 binds phosphorylated H2A.X C-terminal
peptide. Affinity pull-down with N- and C-terminally His-tagged BCP4 and biotinylated
H2A.X peptides. (E) Affinity pull-down with GST-tagged tBRCT domain of BCP4. (F)
The tBRCT domains of BCP1 do not bind phosphorylated H2A.X C-terminal peptide.
Affinity pull-down with GST-tagged tBRCT domains and biotinylated H2A.X peptides.
In A-C, scale bars represent 5 μm and maximum intensity projection images from Z-
stacks are shown. Proteins were analyzed on 10% (D) or 12% (E and F) SDS-PAGE
and gels were stained with Coomassie blue. Uncropped images of affinity pull-downs
shown in D-F are available in Source data.

Figure 4. BCP4 binds γH2A.X through structurally conserved binding pocket.
(A) Comparison of interactions of tBRCT domains of MDC1, BCP4 and BCP3 with
phosphorylated C-terminal peptide of H2A.X. Published (MDC1; Stucki *et al,* 2005)
and AlphaFold2 predicted (BCP3 and BCP4) contacts of indicated amino acids with
pSer of H2A.X are indicated with dotted lines. (B) Contacts of the human Arg1933
with the C-terminal carboxyl group of H2A.X and Glu2063 are conserved in

Arabidopsis BCP3 and BCP4. (C) Phospho-serine binding consensus sequences of

BCP3, BCP4, and MDC1. The coloring of amino acids is according to their polarity.

(D) Sequence alignment of MDC1 and BCP4 point mutants comprising γH2A.X

binding site. MDC1 and BCP4 amino acids involved and predicted in binding of

γH2A.X are indicated in red (top panel). Phosphopeptide pull-down analysis of wild-

type and mutant GST-tBRCT domain of BCP4 (bottom panels). GST-tBRCT

concentrations used with phosphopeptide were 2.5, and 20 mg/ml, respectively. Input

lanes were loaded with 1.5 µg. Proteins were analyzed on 12% SDS-PAGE and gels

were stained with Coomassie blue. Uncropped images of affinity pull-downs shown in

D are available in Source data.

Figure 5. Comparison of BCP4 and MDC1 sequence motifs. (A) Schematic

representation of human MDC1 and *Arabidopsis* BCP4 proteins. Conserved

sequence motifs are indicated, and consensus sequences of plant motifs are

depicted at the bottom. (B) Summary of the presence/absence of BCP3/BCP4

sequence motifs across Viridiplantae. Open circles denote phylogenetic groups

where motif is present in only a subset of analyzed species. (C) In metazoa,

functional motifs identified in human MDC1 are unique to mammals. Numbers of

MDC1 proteins from each phylogenetic group used for creation of cladogram are

indicated in parentheses. (D) Evolutionary trajectories of BCP1, BCP4, and H2A.X in

Archaeplastida. Protein presence is displayed at the tip of each branch, and major

groups are denotated next to phylogeny. For H2A.X, (i) the presence of H2A, (ii)

SQEF or SQEF-like motifs, and (iii) the presence of a monophyletic clade containing

Arabidopsis H2A.X (Supporting Figure 4) were displayed separately. Plant and

metazoan protein sequences used for the analysis shown in A-C are available from

Source data.

Figure 6. BCP4 interacts with NBS1 of the MRN complex. (A) Schematic

presentation of BCP4 with sequences of TQx☐ and SQ/DWD sequences used for

protein expression. (B) Co-purification of full-length NBS1 and the FHA+tBRCT

domains of NBS1 with GST and GST-tagged TQx☐ or SQ/DWD motifs of BCP4. (C)

GST pull-down with independently purified recombinant proteins. Coomassie stained

gels of purified proteins are on two left panels. (D) Co-purification of His$_6$-

FHA+tBRCT of NBS1 with the SQ/DWD deletion mutant forms of BCP4. (E)

Schematic presentation of BCP4 SQ/DWD deletion mutant forms and summary of interactions with NBS1. (F) Sequence alignment of the SQ/DWD motifs of BCP3 and BCP4. Identical and similar amino acids are highlighted on black and gray background respectively. Blue background indicates amino acids predicted to interact with NBS1. (G) AlphaFold2 predicted interaction of NBS1 and the SQ/DWD motif of BCP4. Amino acids predicted to interact are indicated with numbers corresponding to full-length proteins. (H) Co-purification of full-length NBS1 or the FHA+tBRCT domains of NBS1 with GST-tagged deletion 4 of BCP4 SQ/DWD motif and its point mutants as indicated.

Supporting Figure 1. Phylogeny of BCP1, BCP3, and BCP4. (A) Maximum likelihood tree of BCP1 across Viridiplantae. The schematic presentation of BCP1 is shown at the bottom and a PHD finger present in all BCP sequences except in *Brassicaceae* is indicated. (B) Maximum likelihood tree of BCP3 and BCP4 across Viridiplantae. In (A) and (B), major clades are indicated by differently colored shading. The non-flowering land plant clade includes sequences from hornworts, mosses, liverworts, lycophytes, and monilophytes. *Thuja plicata* was the only gymnosperm used in the analysis. (C) Alignment of BCP3 and BCP4 proteins. Identical and conserved amino acids are indicated in red and blue letters, respectively. The positions of introns are indicated by black arrows. The tBRCT domain and three other conserved regions are shown in colored boxes. (D) Schematic representation of *Arabidopsis BCP* genes with exons indicated by gray boxes and introns by black lines. Exons and introns are drawn to scale according to the lengths of DNA sequences. Positions of T-DNA insertions in *bcp* mutant lines used for DNA damage sensitivity assays are indicated above each gene. A list of all plant species and the corresponding protein sequences used for the analysis are available from Source data.

Supporting Figure 2. Primary sequences analysis of BCP proteins. (A) The consensus sequence of the PHD finger from BCP1 derived from the alignment of plant BCP1 proteins. Cysteine and histidine residues characteristic of PHD fingers are underlined. (B) Schematic representation of *Arabidopsis* BCP1 and human PAXIP1 proteins. Conserved domains and motifs of PAXIP1 and their assigned functions are indicated. A list of plant species and the corresponding protein

sequences used for the analysis in A and B are available from Source data. (C)
Alignment of SQSQ, DWD, and DTQ sequence motifs from BCP4. The signature
motifs are shaded in blue and green. (D) Schematic representation of MDC1 proteins
from invertebrate, vertebrate (except mammals), and mammalian species.
Conserved domains and motifs and their assigned functions are indicated.

Supporting Figure 3. Predicted structures of BCP proteins. AlphaFold2 models
of the tBRCT domains of BCP4 (A), BCP3 (B), BCP2 (C), and BCP1 (D and E)
superimposed with a structure of human MDC1 in complex with the phosphorylated
C-terminal peptide of H2A.X (Stucki *et al,* 2005). Note that tBRCT1 of BCP1 overlaps
only with MDC1 N-terminal BRCT domain. This is presumably due to the absence of
alpha helices (αL1 and αL2) in tBRCT1 of BCP1 connecting two BRCT domains as
indicated. (F) Comparison of interactions of the tBRCT domains of BCP1 and BCP2
with phosphorylated C-terminal peptide of H2A.X. Published (MDC1; Stucki *et al,*
2005). AlphaFold2 predicted (BCP1 and BCP2) contacts of amino acids with pSer of
H2A.X are indicated respectively with green and orange dotted lines. For a
comparison, BCP4 is displayed to indicate reduced abilities of the tBRCT domains of
BCP1 and BCP2 to contact pSer of H2A.X.

Supporting Figure 4. Phylogeny of H2A variants from Archaeplastida H2A
orthogroup. The phylogenetic positions of *Arabidopsis* H2A variants are marked in
red. H2A.X sequences with a SQEF/Y motif or SQEF-like (SQ+E/D+F/I/L/V/Y) motif
at the C-terminus are highlighted in blue. Note that in green algae, red algae, and
glaucophytes H2A and SQEF/Y motif containing H2As do not form separate clades.

Supporting Figure 5. Predicted structure of NBS1. (A) AlphaFold2 model of
Arabidopsis NBS1 in complex with SQ/DWD region of BCP4 (top panel). Schematic
presentation of NBS1 with indicated conserved domains (bottom panel). (B) Co-
purification of FHA+tBRCT domains of NBS1 with GST-tagged deletion 4 of BCP4
SQ/DWD motif and its point mutants.

References

Akutsu N, Iijima K, Hinata T, Tauchi H (2007) Characterization of the plant homolog
of Nijmegen breakage syndrome 1: Involvement in DNA repair and recombination.
Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 353:394-408. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.12.030

Almawi WA, Matthews AL, Guarne A (2017) FHA domains: Phosphopeptide binding
and beyond. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 127:105e110.
doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2016.12.003

Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH (2015) Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* 12:59-60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

Callebaut I, Mornon JP (1997) From BRCA1 to RAP1: A widespread BRCT module
closely associated with DNA repair. *FEBS Lett.* 400:25–30. doi:10.1016/s0014-
5793(96)01312-9

Chapman JR, Jackson SP (2008) Phospho-dependent interactions between NBS1
and MDC1 mediate chromatin retention of the MRN complex at sites of DNA
damage. *EMBO Rep.* 9:795–801. doi.org/10.1038/embor.2008.103

Cho CH, Park SI, Huang T-Y, Lee Y, Ciniglia C, Yadavalli HC, Seong Wook Yang
WS, Bhattacharya D, Yoon SH (2023) Genome-wide signatures of adaptation to
extreme environments in red algae. *Nat Commun.* 14:10 doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-
35566-x

Ciccia A, Elledge SJ (2010) The DNA damage response: making it safe to play with
knives. *Mol. Cell* 40:179–204. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.09.019

Coster G, Goldberg M (2010) The cellular response to DNA damage: A focus on
MDC1 and its interacting proteins. *Nucleus* 1:166–178. doi: 10.4161/nucl.1.2.11176

Dronamraju R, Mason, JM (2009) Recognition of double strand breaks by a mutator
protein (MU2) in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Genet.* 5:e1000473. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1000473

Emms DM, Kelly S (2019) OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for
comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* 20:238. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y

Escribano-Diaz C, Durocher D (2013) DNA repair pathway choice-a PTIP of the hat
to 53BP1. *EMBO Rep.* 14:665–666. doi: 10.1038/embor.2013.99

Evans R, O'Neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, Žídek A, Bates R,
Blackwell S, Yim J, et al Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer.
doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.463034 Preprint

Fan T, Kang H, Wu D, Zhu X, Huang L, Wu J, Zhu Y (2022) Arabidopsis γ-H2A.X-

INTERACTING PROTEIN participates in DNA damage response and safeguards

chromatin stability. *Nat. Commun.* 13(1):7942. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-35715-2

Ferrand J, Plessier A, Polo S E (2020) Control of the chromatin response to DNA

damage: Histone proteins pull the strings. *Semin. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 113:75-87. doi:

10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.07.002

Gatti M, Pinat S, Maiolica A, Rocchio F, Prato GM, Aebersold R, Penengo L (2015)

RNF168 promotes noncanonical K27 ubiquitination to signal DNA damage. Cell Rep.

10:226-238. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.021

Gong Z, Cho Y-W, Kim J-E, Ge K, Chen J (2009) Accumulation of Pax2

transactivation domain interaction protein (PTIP) at sites of DNA breaks via RNF8-

dependent pathway is required for cell survival after DNA damage. *J. Biol. Chem.*

284:7284-7293. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M809158200

Hale CJ, Potok ME, Lopez J, Do T, Liu A, Gallego-Bartolome J, Michaels SD,

Jacobsen SE (2016) Identification of multiple proteins coupling transcriptional gene

silencing to genome stability in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Genet.* 12:e1006092

doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006092

Hari FJ, Spycher C, Jungmichel S, Pavic L, Stucki M (2010) A divalent FHA/BRCT-

binding mechanism couples the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex to damaged

chromatin. *EMBO Rep.* 11:387-92. doi: 10.1038/embor.2010.30

Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O,

Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, *et al* (2021) Highly accurate

protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596:583–589.

doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Jowsey PA, Doherty AJ, Rouse J (2004) Human PTIP facilitates ATM-mediated

activation of p53 and promotes cellular resistance to ionizing radiation. *J. Biol. Chem.*

279:55562-55569. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M411021200

Kasravi A, Walter MF, Brand S, Mason JM, Biessmann H (1999) Molecular cloning

and tissue-specific expression of the mutator2 Gene (mu2) in Drosophila

melanogaster. *Genetics* 152:1025–1035. doi.org/10.1093/genetics/152.3.1025

Katoh K, Standley D M (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version

7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30:772-80. doi:

10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kolas NK, Chapman JR, Nakada S, Ylanko J, Chahwan R, Sweeney FD, Panier S,

Mendez M, Wildenhain J, Thomson TM *et al* (2007) Orchestration of the DNA-

damage response by the RNF8 ubiquitin ligase. *Science* 318:1637–1640.

doi.org/10.1126/science.1150034

Lafarge S, Montané MH (2003) Characterization of *Arabidopsis* thaliana ortholog of

the human breast cancer susceptibility gene 1: AtBRCA1, strongly induced by

gamma rays. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31:1148-1155. doi:10.1093/nar/gkg202

Lanz CM, Dibitetto D, Smolka MB (2019) DNA damage kinase signaling: checkpoint

and repair at 30 years. *EMBO J.* 38:e101801. doi: 10.15252/embj.2019101801

Leebens-Mack, *et al* One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative (2019) One

thousand plant transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. *Nature*

574:679-685. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1693-2.

Letunić I, Bork P (2021) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for

phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49(W1):W293-W296.

doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab301.

Leung CCY, Glover JNM (2011) BRCT domains: easy as one, two, three. *Cell Cycle*

10:2461-2470. doi:10.4161/cc.10.15.16312

Lorković JZ, Park C, Goiser M, Jiang D, Kurzbauer MT, Schlögelhofer P, Berger F

(2017) Compartmentalization of DNA damage response between heterochromatin

and euchromatin is mediated by distinct H2A histone variants. *Curr. Biol.* 27:1192–

1199. doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.002

Lou Z, Minter-Dykhouse K, Franco S, Gostissa M, Rivera MA, Celeste A, Manis JP,

van Deursen J, Nussenzweig A, Paull TT *et al* (2006) MDC1 maintains genomic

stability by participating in the amplification of ATM-dependent DNA damage signals.

Mol. Cell 21:187–200. doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2005.11.025

Lloyd J, Chapman JR, Clapperton AJ, Haire FL, Hartsuiker E, Li J, Carr

MA, Jackson PS, Smerdon JS (2009) A supramodular FHA/BRCT-repeat

architecture mediates Nbs1 adaptor function in response to DNA damage *Cell*

139:100-11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.043

Mailand N, Bekker-Jensen S, Faustrup H, Melander F, Bartek J, Lukas C, Lukas J

(2007) RNF8 ubiquitylates histones at DNA double-strand breaks and promotes

assembly of repair proteins. *Cell* 131:887–900. doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.09.040

Malik HS, Henikoff S (2003) Phylogenomics of the nucleosome. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10:

882-891. 10.1038/nsb996.

Manke IA, Lowery DM, Nguyen A, Yaffe MB (2003) BRCT repeats as
phosphopeptide-binding modules involved in protein targeting. *Science* 302:636-639.
doi: 10.1126/science.1088877

Mathilde G, Ghislaine G, Daniel V, Georges P (2003) The *Arabidopsis* MEI1 gene
encodes a protein with five BRCT domains that is involved in meiosis-specific DNA
repair events independent of SPO11-induced DSBs. *Plant J.* 35:465–475.
doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01820.x

Melander F, Bekker-Jensen S, Falck J, Bartek J, Mailand N, Lukas J (2008)
Phosphorylation of SDT repeats in the MDC1 N terminus triggers retention of NBS1
at the DNA damage-modified chromatin. *J. Cell Biol.* 181:213–226. doi:
10.1083/jcb.200708210

Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A,
Lanfear R (2020) IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic
inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37(5):1530-1534. doi:
10.1093/molbev/msaa015

Mirman Z, de Lange T (2020) 53BP1: a DSB escort. *Genes Dev.* 34:7-23 doi:
10.1101/gad.333237.119

Muñoz, I. M., Jowsey, P. A., Toth, R., & Rouse, J. (2007) Phospho-epitope binding by
the BRCT domains of hPTIP controls multiple aspects of the cellular response to
DNA damage. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35:5312-22. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm493

Nisa MU, Huang Y, Benhamed M, Raynaud C (2019) The plant DNA damage
response: Signaling pathways leading to growth inhibition and putative role in
response to stress conditions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:653.
doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00653

Paull PT, Rogakou PE, Yamazaki V, Kirchgessner UC, Gellert M, Bonner MW (2000)
A critical role for histone H2AX in recruitment of repair factors to nuclear foci after
DNA damage. *Curr. Biol.* 10:886-95. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00610-2

Qiu H, Price DC, Yang EC, Yoon HS, Bhattacharya D (2015) Evidence of ancient
genome reduction in red algae (Rhodophyta). *J. Phycol.* 51:624-636. doi:
10.1111/jpy.12294

Reidt W, Wurz R, Wanieck K, Ch HH, Puchta H (2006) A homologue of the breast
cancer-associated gene BARD1 is involved in DNA repair in plants. *EMBO J.* 25:
4326–4337. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601313

Rogakou PE, Pilch RD, Orr HA, Ivanova SV, Bonner MW (1998) DNA double-
stranded breaks induce histone H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139. *J. Biol. Chem.*
273:5858–5868. doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.10.5858

Rogakou PE, Boon C, Redon C, Bonner MW (1999). Megabase chromatin domains
involved in DNA double-strand breaks *in vivo*. *J. Cell Biol.* 146:905-916. doi:
10.1083/jcb.146.5.905.

Rosa M, Mittelsten Scheid O (2014) DNA damage sensitivity assays with *Arabidopsis*
seedlings. *Bio. Protoc.* 4:e1093. doi: 10.21769/BioProtoc.1093.

Salguero I, Belotserkovskaya R, Coates J, Sczaniecka-Clift M, Demir M, Jhujh S,
Wilson MD, Jackson SP (2019) MDC1 PST-repeat region promotes histone H2AX-
independent chromatin association and DNA damage tolerance. *Nat. Commun.*
10:5191. doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12929-5

Spycher C, Miller ES, Townsend K, Pavic L, Morrice NA, Janscak P, Stewart GS,
Stucki M (2008) Constitutive phosphorylation of MDC1 physically links the MRE11-
RAD50-NBS1 complex to damaged chromatin. *J. Cell Biol.* 181:227–240.
doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200709008

Stewart GS, Wang B, Bignell CR, Taylor AMR, Elledge SJ (2003) MDC1 is a
mediator of the mammalian DNA damage checkpoint. *Nature* 421:961–966.
doi.org/10.1038/nature01446

Stucki M, Clapperton JA, Mohammad D, Yaffe MB, Smerdon SJ, Jackson SP (2005)
MDC1 directly binds phosphorylated histone H2AX to regulate cellular responses to
DNA double-strand breaks. *Cell* 123:1213–1226. doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.038

Turinetto V, Giachino C (2015) Multiple facets of histone variant H2AX: a DNA
double-strand-break marker with several biological functions. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
43:2489–2498. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv061

Vladejić J, Yang F, Dvořák Tomaštíková E, Doležel J, Palecek JJ, Pecinka A (2022)
Analysis of BRCT5 domain-containing proteins reveals a new component of DNA
damage repair in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1023358. doi:
10.3389/fpls.2022.1023358

Waterworth WM, Altun C, Armstrong SJ, Roberts N, Dean PJ, Young K, Weil FC,
Bray MC, West EC (2007) NBS1 is involved in DNA repair and plays a synergistic
role with ATM in mediating meiotic homologous recombination in plants. *Plant J.*
52:41-52. doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03220.x

Wang X, Takenaka K, Takeda S (2010) PTIP promotes DNA double-strand break

repair through homologous recombination. *Genes Cells* 15:243-54. doi:

10.1111/j.1365-2443.2009.01379.x.

Williams SR, Dodson EG, Limbo O, Yamada Y, Williams SJ, Guenther G, Classen

S, Glover JNM, Iwasaki H, Russell P, Tainer AJ (2009) Nbs1 flexibly tethers Ctp1 and

Mre11-Rad50 to coordinate DNA double-strand break processing and repair. *Cell*

139:87-99. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.033

Woods TN, Mesquita DR, Sweet M, Carvalho AM, Li X, Liu Y, Nguyen H, Eric

Thomas C, Iversen Jr. SE, Marsillac S, et al, (2012) Charting the landscape of

tandem BRCT domain–mediated protein interactions. *Sci. Signal.* 5(242):rs6. doi:

10.1126/scisignal.2002255

Wu L, Luo K, Lou Z, Chen J (2008) MDC1 regulates intra-S-phase checkpoint by

targeting NBS1 to DNA double-strand breaks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*

105:11200–11205. doiI:10.1073/pnas.0802885105

Xu Y, Xu D (2020) Repair pathway choice for double-strand breaks. *Essays*

Biochem. 64:765-777. doi: 10.1042/EBC20200007

Yelagandula R, Stroud H, Holec S, Zhou K, Feng S, Zhong X, Muthurajan UM, Nie X,

Kawashima T, Groth M *et al* (2014). The histone variant H2A.W defines

heterochromatin and promotes chromatin condensation in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 158:98–

109. doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.006

Yoshiyama K, Conklin PA, Huefner ND, Britt AB (2009) Suppressor of gamma

response 1 (SOG1) encodes a putative transcription factor governing multiple

responses to DNA damage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106:12843-12848. doi:

10.1073/pnas.0810304106

Yoshiyama KO, Sakaguchi K, Kimura S (2013) DNA damage response in plants:

Conserved and variable response compared to animals. *Biology (Basel)* 2:1338-

1356. doi:10.3390/biology2041338

Yu X, Christiano C, Chini S, He M, Mer G, Chen J (2003) The BRCT domain is a

phospho-protein binding domain. *Science* 302:639-642. doi:

10.1126/science.1088753

Yu C, Hou L, Huang Y, Cui X, Xu S, Wang L, Yan S (2023) The multi-BRCT domain

protein DDRM2 promotes the recruitment of RAD51 to DNA damage sites to facilitate

homologous recombination. *New Phytol.* 238:1073-1084. doi: 10.1111/nph.18787

Zhang J, Ma Z, Treszezamsky A, Powell SN (2005) MDC1 interacts with Rad51 and
facilitates homologous recombination. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12:902–909.
doi.org/10.1038/nsmb991

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097 **Table 1. Oligonucleotides used for genotyping and cloning.**

Oligonucleotides used for genotyping	
BCP1-1-LP	AGATTTGAATGGGATTCCAGG
BCP1-1-RP	CCAAAGTATCAGTCTCTGGCG
BCP1-2-LP	GATGGTCTTTCTCTTCTGGGG
BCP1-2-RP	CGCCAGAGACTGATACTTTGG
BCP4-1-LP	ACGAACATGGAGTTTCTGGTG
BCP4-1-RP	CTTTTACTTGCAACGCCAAAG
BCP4-2-LP	CTGCCTTGCATTCTTTTCAAG
BCP4-2-RP	TGTAAGACAACTCGCCTCACC
BCP3-LP	CACGCATCAAATCTAGCCAAG
BCP3-RP	ATCTTCAATTTCCCCACATCC
BCP2-1-LP	TTGTTGGGCAGACAAAGAATC
BCP2-1-RP	GAGTTTTCCTGACTTTTCCGG
BCP2-2-LP	GAGTTTTCCTGACTTTTCCGG
BCP2-2-RP	TTGTTGGGCAGACAAAGAATC
H2A.X.3-LP	ATCACTCCACTCACAAAATCCTC
H2A.X.3-RP	TGGAACAGAGAGCCATGTCTATG

H2A.X.5-LP	CCTAAAGCCCACTCATCTTCTC
H2A.X.5-RP	CGAATCCAAACAAGAGAACTGAAC

Oligonucleotides used for cloning

BCP1**Nde**-pET28a For	ATCAT**CATATG**CAATCGGATTCGGGTTTGCC
BCP1**Sal**-pET28a Rev	GCTCA**GTCGAC**TTAATGGTACACACACAAATC
BCP4**Nde**-pET15b For	ACCTC**CATATG**GCTAAATCTAACCAAAACTT
BCP4**Bam**-pET15b Rev	GCTCT**GGATCC**TTACCCGCTACGACGTTGGA
BCP3**Nde**-pET28a For	ATCAT**CATATG**GAAACCGAAGATTTCGCCTC
BCP3**Sal**-pET28a Rev	GTTGC**GTCGAC**TTATAATCTCTGATTTTGGT
BCP1tBRCT1**BamHI**-pGEX4T1 For	CTAAT**GGATCC**TTGCCTCCCAAGACGTATTCG
BCP1tBRCT**Sal**-pGEX4T1 Rev	CTAAC**GTCGAC**CTACTCGTAATCAACCTCAGGTAG
BCP1tBRCT2**BglII**-pGEX4T1 For	CTAAT**AGATCT**GTCTTCCAGGACCAAGAACATG
BCP1tBRCT2**SalI**-pGEX4T1 Rev	CTAAT**GTCGAC**CTAACAAACGTACTCCACCAGGTAATCG
BCP3tBRCT**BamHI**-pGEX4T1 For	CTAAT**GGATCC**GGTAAAATAGGTGACTTCGTG
BCP3tBRCT**Sal**-pGEX4T1 Rev	CTAAC**GTCGAC**TTATAATCTCTGATTTTGGTG
BCP4tBRCT**BamHI**-pGEX4T1 For	CTAAT**GGATCC**ATCTCCGAGACAAAGAGTACTAG
BCP4tBRCT**Sal**-pGEX4T1 Rev	CTAAC**GTCGAC**TTACCCGCTACGACGTTGGAAC
NBS1Nhe-pET28a For	CTAGG**GCTAGC**GTTTGGGGTCTCTTTCCCGTTGATC
NBS1SalI-pET28a Rev	ATCGA**GTCGAC**TCAACTTCCAGAGAGAAACCCGCG
NBS1(F+tBRCT)Nde-pET28a For	CTAG**CATATG**GTTTGGGGTCTCTTTCCCGTTGATC
NBS1(F+tBRCT)SalI-pET28a Rev	ATCGA**GTCGAC**TCATAGATTTCCGGAAAAGACGGCG
BCP4TQEcoRI-pGEX4T1 For	ATCTA**GAATTC**GAAGAGACCCAGCCGATTG
BCP4TQxSal-pGEX4T1 Rev	ATCTA**GTCGAC**CTAATCCAAAACCTGAGTAGCC
BCP4SQBam-pGEX4T1 For1	CTAAT**GGATCC**TGCAATGTCGATCTGGGGAATTTG
BCP4SQBam-pGEX4T1 For1	CTAAT**GGATCC**<u>GAG</u>TGCCGTCTAGAGTTTGAT
BCP4SQSal-pGEX4T1 Rev1	CTAAC**GTCGAC**TTATCTTCTACGATAGATATCACC
BCP4SQSal-pGEX4T1 Rev2	CTAAC**GTCGAC**TTAAACAGCTCCACTTTTGTAACT
BCP4SQSal-pGEX4T1 Rev3	CTAAC**GTCGAC**TTACTCACTAATGAGCTTATCAAC
BCP4SQSal-pGEX4T1 Rev3	TAAC**GTCGAC**TTATTTCCTTCCATAGTCAGCTTG
BCP3SQBam-pGEX4T1 For	CTAAT**GGATCC**GCTAGTAGCCATGGTCCAGGGC
BCP3SQSal-pGEX4T1 Rev	TAAC**GTCGAC**TTAAGGGTCACTCACATCACACTG

1098

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6