Single-cell analysis of innate spinal cord regeneration identifies intersecting modes of neuronal repair.

Vishnu Muraleedharan Saraswathy[1,2], Lili Zhou[1,2] and Mayssa H. Mokalled[1,2,3]

[1] Department of Developmental Biology

Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA 63110.

[2] Center of Regenerative Medicine

Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA 63110.

[3] Author for correspondence: mmokalled@wustl.edu

ABSTRACT

Adult zebrafish have an innate ability to recover from severe spinal cord injury. Here, we report a comprehensive single nuclear RNA sequencing atlas that spans 6 weeks of regeneration. We identify cooperative roles for adult neurogenesis and neuronal plasticity during spinal cord repair. Neurogenesis of glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons restores the excitatory/inhibitory balance after injury. In addition, a transient population of injury-responsive neurons (iNeurons) show elevated plasticity between 1 and 3 weeks post-injury. We found iNeurons are injury-surviving neurons that acquire a neuroblast-like gene expression signature after injury. CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis showed iNeurons are required for functional recovery and employ vesicular trafficking as an essential mechanism that underlies neuronal plasticity. This study provides a comprehensive resource of the cells and mechanisms that direct spinal cord regeneration and establishes zebrafish as a model of plasticity-driven neural repair.

INTRODUCTION

Mammalian spinal cord injuries (SCI) elicit complex multi-cellular responses that impede
regeneration and cause permanent functional deficits in mammals (Brennan and Popovich, 2018;
Fouad et al., 2021; He and Jin, 2016; Milich et al., 2019; Sofroniew, 2018; Tran et al., 2022). Anti-
regenerative neuron-extrinsic factors comprise chronic inflammation, fibrotic scarring,
demyelination and the acquisition of a regeneration restricting extracellular milieu. These injury
complications exacerbate the inherently limited ability of the mammalian spinal cord (SC) to
replenish lost neurons via adult neurogenesis or to regrow lesioned axon tracts. Consequently,
even the most groundbreaking regenerative therapies targeting select cell types or individual
molecules have only yielded modest improvement in cellular and functional outcomes (Park et
al., 2008; Sofroniew, 2018). SCI studies have since pursued combinatorial strategies as a more
promising therapeutic avenue (Anderson et al., 2018; DePaul et al., 2017; Nakamura et al., 2021;
Squair et al., 2023). We propose that comprehensive and simultaneous examination of neuronal
and non-neuronal cells after SCI is fundamental to understanding and manipulating the multi-
cellular complexities of neural injuries.

Unlike mammals, adult zebrafish have an innate ability to spontaneously recover from severe
SCI. Following complete transection of SC tissues, zebrafish reverse paralysis and regain swim
function within 6 to 8 weeks of injury (Burris et al., 2021; Mokalled et al., 2016). Pro-regenerative
injury responses involving immune and progenitor cells, neurons and glia, cooperate to achieve
spontaneous and efficient repair in zebrafish (Briona et al., 2015; Cavone et al., 2021; Klatt Shaw
et al., 2021; Kuscha et al., 2012; Reimer et al., 2008; Saraswathy et al., 2022; Vandestadt et al.,
2021). Early after SCI, potent populations of progenitor cells, including central canal-surrounding
ependymo-radial glial cells (ERGs), are activated to replenish lost neurons and glia (Briona *et al.*,
2015; Klatt Shaw *et al.*, 2021; Reimer *et al.*, 2008; Saraswathy *et al.*, 2022). Newly differentiated
motor neurons and interneurons populate the regenerate tissue, as pre-existing neurons regrow
axons across lesioned tissues (Kuscha *et al.*, 2012; Reimer *et al.*, 2008; Saraswathy *et al.*, 2022).
Though less studied, glial cells are thought to enact instrumental pro-regenerative responses
throughout the course of regeneration (Goldshmit et al., 2012; Klatt Shaw *et al.*, 2021; Mokalled
et al., 2016). However, a holistic understanding of the cellular interactions that coordinate the pro-
regenerative responses to direct SC regeneration in zebrafish is to be acquired.

The advent of single-cell transcriptomics provided the tools to achieve a refined understanding
of molecular SCI responses across species and cell types. Multiple single-cell RNA sequencing

(scRNA-seq) atlases have been generated for mouse SCI, rat SCI or human SC tissues (Matson
et al., 2022; Milich et al., 2021; Rodrigo Albors et al., 2023; Yadav et al., 2023; Yao et al., 2022).
Single-cell studies from mice revealed new insights into macroglial cell-cell interactions after
injury, revealed a rare population of lumbar spinocerebellar neurons that elicit a regeneration
signature, or characterized the responses of astrocytes after injury (Hou et al., 2022; Matson *et*
al., 2022; Milich *et al.*, 2021; Rodrigo Albors *et al.*, 2023). However, single-cell studies from
zebrafish SC tissues have been limited to isolated immune or progenitor motor neuron cells and
to larval stages (Cavone *et al.*, 2021; Scott et al., 2021). Thus, a complete resource of the
regenerative cells and mechanisms from adult zebrafish is required to develop a molecular
understanding of the cell identities that enable or limit spontaneous plasticity and regeneration.

The fundamental principles that determine or limit regenerative capacity across species have
eluded scientists for ages. While pro-regenerative injury responses are overwhelmed by anti-
regenerative complications in mammals, zebrafish cells exhibit increased potency and exclusively
pro-regenerative signatures after SCI. Molecular and cellular studies of select cell types or
regenerative pathways revealed key insights into the cellular contributions and molecular
signatures associated with elevated regenerative capacity. Specifically, while ependymal cells
elicit limited stem cell potential in adult mice (Ren et al., 2017; Shah et al., 2018), zebrafish ERGs
retain radial glial features and contribute to neurogenesis and gliogenesis after SCI (Briona *et al.*,
2015; Klatt Shaw *et al.*, 2021; Reimer *et al.*, 2008; Saraswathy *et al.*, 2022). The disparities in
neuron progenitor capacities between zebrafish and mammals yielded an assumption that
neurogenesis-based neural repair is unachievable in mammals and directed the community's
efforts toward plasticity-based neural repair strategies. However, while zebrafish has been an
established model of neuron regeneration, it remains unclear whether zebrafish could contribute
insights and applications into plasticity-driven repair mechanisms. Thus, how and why neuronal
injury responses differ between zebrafish and mammals require comprehensive molecular
investigation and cross-species comparisons.

This study presents an atlas of the dynamic responses across major spinal cell types during
early, intermediate and late stages of regeneration in adult zebrafish. Single nuclear RNA
sequencing (snRNA-seq) was performed at 0, 1, 3 and 6 weeks post-injury. Neurons elicit
elevated signaling activity relative to the dozens of cell types that respond to injury. While SCI
disrupts the excitatory/inhibitory neuron balance, sequential neurogenesis of excitatory and
inhibitory neurons restores the homeostatic neuronal landscape at late stages of regeneration. In

addition to regenerating new neurons, a transient regenerative signature emerges in a population
of injury-responsive neurons (iNeurons) between 1 and 3 weeks post-injury. EdU labeling showed
iNeurons are injury surviving neurons that acquire a neuroblast-like transcriptional signature after
injury. iNeuron markers genes are required for functional SC repair, and dynamic vesicular
trafficking is a central mechanism that promotes spontaneous neuronal plasticity. This study
identifies multi-layered modes of regenerative neurogenesis and neuronal plasticity during innate
SC regeneration, and establishes zebrafish as a platform to identify and manipulate regeneration-
and plasticity-based modes of neural repair.

RESULTS

Molecular identification and temporal dynamics of spinal cell types after injury.

To determine the transcriptional identities of the cells that directs successful SC regeneration, we
performed complete SC transections on adult zebrafish and dissected 3 mm sections of SC
tissues surrounding the lesion site at 1, 3, and 6 weeks post-injury (wpi) for nuclear isolation.
Corresponding tissue sections were collected from uninjured controls. SC tissues were pooled
from 50 animals per time point, and 2 pools of independent biological replicates were analyzed
for each time point. Our dataset spans key regenerative windows including early injury-induced
signals at 1 wpi, neuronal and glial regeneration at 3 wpi, and cellular remodeling at late stages
of regeneration at 6 wpi (Mokalled *et al.*, 2016). Isolated nuclei were sequenced using 10x
genomics platform (3' v3.1 chemistry) and aligned to zebrafish genome GRCz11 with improved
zebrafish transcriptome annotation (Lawson et al., 2020; Matson et al., 2018). Nuclei were
subsequently filtered using the Decontx and DoubletFinder packages to exclude droplets that
include doublet nuclei or a high proportion of ambient mRNA, respectively (McGinnis et al., 2019;
Yang et al., 2020). A second round of filtering is performed by thresholding the covariates such
as number of counts, genes and fraction of counts from mitochondrial genes per barcode. A total
of 58,973 nuclei was obtained for downstream analysis using the Seurat package (Fig. 1A and
S1A-C).

Clustering of SC nuclei revealed 24 cell clusters with distinct molecular identities and temporal
dynamics (Fig. S1D and Table S3). Postulating that previously established cell type classifiers
are likely biased toward cell identities present in the mammalian nervous system or in developing
zebrafish, we optimized cell type identification by generating a custom-assembled Vertebrate
CNS Marker (VNM) database. VNM compiled cell type markers commonly present in the central

nervous system from over 12 datasets and from vertebrate species including zebrafish, mice and

humans (Table S1) (Baek et al., 2019; Cavone *et al.*, 2021; Guillemot, 2007; Haring et al., 2018;

Hayashi et al., 2018; Hernandez-Miranda et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2015; Milich *et al.*, 2021;

Rosenberg et al., 2018; Rougeot et al., 2019; Sathyamurthy et al., 2018; Tambalo et al., 2020;

Tang et al., 2017; Zeisel et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2014). We then cross-referenced differentially

expressed (DE) markers for each cluster with our VNM database (Table S2 and Fig. S1E). Scoring

matrix heatmap representation of this analysis identified 6 major cell types comprising neurons,

glia/ERGs, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), oligodendrocytes, leukocytes and

erythrocytes. Two distinct cell populations were identified for neurons (Neurons A and B),

oligodendrocytes (Oligos A and B) and Leukocytes (Leukocytes A and B). Additional subclusters

within each major cell population were further identified using the prediction from scoring matrix

heatmap (Fig. 1B and S1E). VNM-based cell type classification was further confirmed by

evaluating the expression of canonical marker genes for each cluster (Fig. 1C). *elavl3* and

snap25a were enriched in Neurons A and B, *gfap* and *slc4a10a* in glia/ERGs, *cspg4* and *sema5a*

in OPCs, *mpz* and *mbpa* in oligodendrocytes A, *csfr1a* and *ptprc* in leukocytes A, *hbba1* in

erythrocytes (Fig. 1C and S1G). Although canonical cell markers were enriched in their respective

clusters, the proportions of cells expressing these markers in leukocytes A, glia/ERGs and

erythrocytes were relatively small. On the other hand, gene expression analysis of Neurons B,

Oligodendrocytes B and Leukocytes B did not show enrichment for classical markers. We

conclude that our VNM database is likely still biased toward commonly used mammalian cell

markers that are not necessarily the best markers for adult zebrafish, and that our single-cell atlas

provides a platform to identify improved CNS markers for adult zebrafish cells. To test this

hypothesis, we examined whether our snRNA-seq dataset identified improved cell-specific DE

markers in adult zebrafish SCs (Fig. 1E). We found that Glia/ERG cells expressed the cilia-

associated *cfap57* gene, indicative of the ciliated nature of the SC central canal-lining ERGs.

Neurons B expressed *pkd2l1* and *pkd1l2a*, which are known markers of cerebrospinal fluid-

contacting neurons (Sternberg et al., 2018). Oligodendrocytes B expressed *scn4ab* and *gfra1a*.

Leukocytes A were enriched for *mrc1b* and *slco2b1* along with the cell adhesion molecule coding

mcamb gene, whereas Leukocytes B expressed unknown genes such as *si:dkey-241l7.2* and

si:dkey-241l7.4. These studies indicated that extrapolating mammalian CNS or developmental

zebrafish markers in adult zebrafish requires validation, and provided a platform to identify new

and improved CNS cell markers in adult zebrafish.

To examine the temporal dynamics of SC cells during regeneration, we determined the
numbers and relative proportions of nuclei within each coarse cluster in the integrated dataset
(Fig. 1D and S1F). Neurons A, which comprised 32% of the nuclei harvested from uninjured SCs,
comprised 18% of total nuclei at 1 wpi and recovered to 25% at 6 wpi. Neurons B represented a
smaller proportion of neurons and showed little variation during the course of regeneration. The
proportions of leukocytes A increased from 6% of control nuclei prior to injury to 20% at 1 wpi and
gradually decreased to 14% at 6 wpi. We observed an expansion in the relative abundance of
glia/ERGs between 1 and 3 wpi, whereas OPCs maintained similar proportions at all time points.
Oligodendrocytes A, which accounted for 18% of uninjured nuclei, gradually increased to 25% of
total nuclei at 6 wpi. In contrast, Oligodendrocytes B cells were transiently present at 1 wpi and
comprised 2% of total nuclei at that time point. These studies provided a comprehensive resource
of the cellular architecture that directs successful SC regeneration and revealed a gradual
recovery in neuronal proportions during innate SC repair.

Neurons are active signaling hubs during spinal cord regeneration.
To infer molecular modes of intercellular communication during SC regeneration, we surveyed
signaling pathways that are differentially active between 0 and 6 wpi (Fig. 2 and S2). We first
converted our dataset of zebrafish genes to their human orthologues using the BioMart package
from Ensembl. The R package "CellChat" was then used to quantitatively analyze global
intercellular communication networks based on the expression of ligands, receptors and cofactors
(Jin et al., 2021). CellChat computes the communication probabilities of ligand-receptor
interactions associated with each signaling pathway, and integrates the cumulative probabilities
of all signaling pathways that are outgoing or received (incoming) by each cell population. In
control SC tissues, the cumulative strengths of all signaling pathways outgoing from Neurons A
and B were the highest relative to other cell types, indicating active neuronal signaling under
homeostatic conditions (Fig. 2A-B). After SCI, outgoing signaling increased in most cell types,
indicating tissue-wide responses are activated after injury (Fig. 2A-B). Notably, the strengths of
signaling pathways outgoing from Neurons A and B continued to be elevated across all time points
and increased more than 2.5 fold between 0 and 6 wpi (Fig. 2A-B). On the other hand, the
cumulative strengths of all incoming signaling pathways received by OPCs were the highest
among spinal cell types after SCI (Fig. S2A and 2B). Incoming signaling received by Neurons A
doubled between 0 and 6 wpi (Fig. 2B). Mapping of ligand-receptor interactions predicted cell-cell
signaling interactions are highest between neurons and OPCs at 6 wpi (Fig. 2C). Confirming
CellChat analysis, trajectory inference showed OPCs and neurons have elevated transcriptional

activity during SC regeneration (Gulati et al., 2020) (Fig. S2B). These results indicated neurons

and OPCs have elevated and dynamic transcriptional and signaling activities during zebrafish SC

regeneration.

Global and neuron-specific analyses of injury-induced signaling pathways.

Global CellChat analysis revealed active signaling pathways in control, 1, 3 and 6 wpi SC tissues.

Tracking the strengths of individual signaling pathways across time points indicated dynamic

intercellular communication during SC regeneration (Fig. 2A and S2A). In addition to dynamic

regulation of signaling pathways present in control SC tissues, 7 pathways were specifically

activated at 1, 3 or 6 wpi relative to uninjured controls. Globally-induced signaling pathways

included chemokine signaling (CXCL), cell adhesion signaling networks (FN1 and ESAM),

extracellular matrix (ECM) and growth factor mediated signaling networks (SEMA6 and PDGF)

and developmental signaling pathways (FGF) (Fig. 2A and S2A).

To identify molecular pathways that account for elevated neuron signaling, we analyzed

signaling pathways predicted to be outgoing from or received by Neurons A and B (Fig. 2D and

S2C). Including both incoming and outgoing signaling, 32 to 35 pathways were predicted to be

activated by neurons between 0 and 6 wpi (Fig. S2C). In addition to homeostatic signaling

pathways increasing in strengths after injury, 5 injury-induced pathways were either activated or

received by neurons after injury (FGF, WNT, PDGF, FN1 and SEMA6) (Fig. 2D). SCI triggered

reactivation of growth factor and developmental signaling, substantiating FGF, WNT and PDGF

as regulators of neuron survival, axon regrowth and synapse formation (Cardozo et al., 2017;

Diez Del Corral and Morales, 2017; Garcia et al., 2018; Goldshmit *et al.*, 2012; Guo et al., 2019;

He et al., 2018; Shimada et al., 2016; Tome et al., 2023; Tsata et al., 2021; Ye et al., 2021).

Specifically, Neurons B are a major source of FGF18, which is predicted to interact with multiple

FGFR1/2/3-expressing spinal cells populations. On the other hand, Neurons A are predicted

recipients of WNT signaling, whereas both Neurons A and B are at the receiving end of PDGF

signaling. Ligand-receptor interactions involve WNT7A-FZD2/6/LRP5 and PDGFA-PDGFRB,

whereby Glia/ERG- and OPC-derived WNT7A and PDGFA are received by FZD2/6/LRP5- and

PDGFRB-expressing neurons. Highlighting the requirement for extracellular matrix (ECM)

remodeling and signaling to enact a pro-regenerative response (Bradbury et al., 2002; Monaghan

et al., 2007; Zavvarian et al., 2021), multiple cell types including Neurons A express Fibronectin

1 (FN1), which is predicted to direct strong interactions with SDC4-, ITGAV-, ITGA5- and ITGB1-

expressing cells. Conversely, Glia/ERGs are a major source of outgoing SEMA6A signaling,

received by PLXNA4-expressing neurons to regulate axon guidance (Carulli et al., 2021; Runker
et al., 2008; Squair *et al.*, 2023; Syed et al., 2016; Yoshida, 2012). These studies revealed
complex multicellular communication networks that coordinate signaling between neurons, glia,
and non-neural injury-responding cells during SC repair. Further validation and mechanistic
insights into these signaling pathways are required to understand their exact function during
zebrafish SC regeneration.

Neuronal E/I balance is restored during successful spinal cord repair.

To explore the cellular dynamics that support neuronal repair and functional recovery after SCI,
we examined neuronal cell types and states prior to and after injury. Subcluster analysis identified
30 distinct neuron clusters between 0 and 6 wpi (Fig. 3A, S3A-B and Table S4). Balanced synaptic
excitatory and inhibitory (E/I) transmission is a central feature of CNS function as altered E/I
balance results in severe behavioral defects in humans (Isaacson and Scanziani, 2011). Despite
eliciting features of cellular regeneration, SCI in mouse neonates causes impaired locomotor
recovery due to imbalanced E/I transmission (Bertels et al., 2022; Dudek and Sutula, 2007; Gao
and Penzes, 2015). With zebrafish recovering swim function after SCI, we postulated that
balanced E/I transmission is a hallmark of functional SC repair in zebrafish. To test this
hypothesis, we first performed a bioinformatic characterization of the excitatory and inhibitory
neurotransmitter properties of the neuron clusters identified in our dataset (Fig. 3B). Gene
expression for classical markers of glutamatergic (slc*17a6a, slc17a6b*), GABA/glycinergic
(*slc6a5, gad1a, gad1b, gad2*), cholinergic (*chata, slc5a7a*), serotonergic (*slc6a4a, tph2*) and
catecholaminergic (*slc6a2*) neurons were analyzed (Horzmann and Freeman, 2016) (Fig. S3C).
Neuron subclusters were then grouped as excitatory, inhibitory or 'Other' based on their
neurotransmitter gene expression profiles (Fig. 3B and S3C-E). The proportions of excitatory
(30%) was lower than inhibitory (56%) neurons in uninjured SC tissues (Fig. 3C), resulting in a
baseline E/I ratio of 0.5 (Fig. 3D). The profile of excitatory neurons increased to 34% of total
neurons at 1 wpi and returned to baseline levels at 6 wpi. Inhibitory neurons did not change in
proportions at 1 wpi but gradually increased to 60% at 6 wpi, suggesting a compensatory
mechanism for early surge in excitatory neurons in the injured spinal cord (Fig. 3C). *in silico*
calculation of the E/I ratio confirmed an imbalance toward an excitatory phenotype at 1 wpi and
recovery to baseline by 6 wpi (Fig. 3D).

To validate our bioinformatic E/I balance calculations *in vivo*, we generated dual transgenic
reporter fish that simultaneously label glutamatergic excitatory neurons (*vglut2a*:RFP) and

GABAergic inhibitory neurons (*gad1b*:GFP) (Satou et al., 2013). Complete SC transections were
performed on double transgenic animals and SC tissues were collected for histological
examination at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi (Fig. 3E-F). Absolute numbers and relative proportions of RFP-,
GFP- and HuC/D-expressing neurons were quantified in SC cross sections at 450 μm rostral to
the lesion to evaluate the E/I landscape during regeneration. Compared to control SC tissues, the
profiles of *vglut2a$^+$* excitatory neurons (*vglut2a$^+$* HuC/D$^+$) doubled in proportion at 1 wpi and were
maintained near 1 wpi levels until 6 wpi (Fig. 3G and S3F). On the other hand, the profiles of
gad1b$^+$ inhibitory neurons (*gad1b$^+$* HuC/D$^+$) increased by 50% at 6 wpi relative to controls (Fig.
3H and S3G). Notably, the absolute numbers of HuC/D$^+$ neurons drastically increased at 1 and 3
wpi, indicating extensive neuronal remodeling during SC regeneration (Fig. S3H). Thus,
consistent with our snRNA-seq findings, *in vivo* studies confirmed an early surge in excitatory
neurons at 1 wpi and a recovery of the E/I balance toward baseline levels by 6 wpi (Fig. 3I).

Neurogenesis of excitatory and inhibitory neurons after spinal cord injury.
We explored the cellular bases behind the recovery of E/I balance during zebrafish SC repair.
The observed E/I ratio changes could be due to sequential neurogenesis of excitatory then
inhibitory neurons after SCI. Alternatively, E/I ratios could reflect plasticity and changes in the
neurotransmitter properties of pre-existing neurons. To distinguish between these possibilities,
we first performed a cell proliferation assay. Dual transgenic fish for *vglut2a*:RFP and *gad1b*:GFP
were subjected to SCI and a single EdU pulse for 24 hrs prior to SC tissue collection. SC tissues
were harvested at 1, 3 and 6 wpi for co-labelling with the proliferation marker EdU (Fig. 4A-B and
S4A). SC tissues from uninjured animals that received a single EdU injection for 24 hrs were
harvested as controls. In striking manifestation of the regenerative capacity of zebrafish SC
tissues after SCI, EdU incorporation was markedly elevated at 1 wpi relative to 0, 3 and 6 wpi
(Fig. 4C and S4B). The profiles and absolute numbers of newly generated glutamatergic neurons
(*vglut2a$^+$* EdU$^+$) peaked at 1 wpi relative to control, 3 or 6 wpi SC sections (Fig. 4D and S4C),
supporting a surge of regenerating excitatory neurons at 1 wpi. As the profiles of GABAergic
neurons showed a gradual increase up to 6 wpi (Fig. 3H) and due to low numbers of EdU$^+$ cells
at 3 and 6 wpi, we were unable to evaluate the profiles of newly generated GABAergic neurons
with a single EdU pulse (Fig. 4E and S4D).

To overcome this limitation and evaluate the numbers of regenerating glutamatergic and
GABAergic neurons after SCI, we performed SC transections on *vglut2a*:RFP and *gad1b*:GFP
dual transgenic fish followed by daily EdU injections for 1 or 3 wpi (Fig. 4F-G and S4E). Uninjured

control animals received daily EdU injections for 1 week prior to SC tissue collection. Compared

to single EdU pulses, daily EdU labeling allowed us to estimate the total and cumulative profiles

of regenerating neurons. To account for anatomical differences along the proximo-distal axis of

lesioned SC tissues, RFP-, GFP- and EdU-expressing cells were quantified from SC sections

150, 450 and 750 μm rostral to the lesion. The numbers and proportions of EdU$^+$ cells sharply

increased at 1 wpi and showed less pronounced additive EdU incorporation at 3 wpi (Fig. S4F-

G). The profiles of newly formed glutamatergic (EdU$^+$ $vglut2a^+$) neurons markedly increased at 1

and 3 wpi relative to controls (Fig. 4H and S4H). The profiles of regenerating GABAergic (EdU$^+$

$gad1b^+$) neurons were significantly elevated at 1 wpi compared to controls, and continued to

increase at 3 wpi compared to 1 wpi (Fig. 4I and S4I). As proximal tissue sections (150 μm from

lesion) showed elevated neurogenesis across time points, differential dynamics of glutamatergic

and GABergic neuron regeneration were most prominent in more distal SC sections (750 μm from

lesion) (Fig. 4H and 4I). At these levels, the profiles of newly formed glutamatergic (EdU$^+$ $vglut2a^+$)

neurons sharply increased at 1 wpi and stabilized between 1 and 3 wpi (Fig. 4H), whereas

GABAergic (EdU$^+$ $gad1b^+$) continuously increased from 1 to 3 wpi (Fig. 4I). These in $vivo$ studies

showed glutamatergic neurogenesis precedes a continuous and slower regeneration timeline for

GABAergic neurons, suggesting that sequential neurogenesis of different neuron subtypes

around the lesion accounts for the dynamic changes in E/I properties during zebrafish SC

regeneration.

Identification of injury-responsive iNeurons during early stages of spinal cord repair.

To delve into the distribution of specific neuronal populations prior to and after SCI, we calculated

the numbers and relative proportions of each neuron subcluster between 0 and 6 wpi (Fig. 5A,

3A and S3A-B). We also applied established marker genes for zebrafish larval neurons (Cavone

et $al.$, 2021) onto our marker scoring algorithm, identifying 7 major neuron subpopulations with

varying cellular dynamics during regeneration (Fig. 5B, S5A-C and Table S8). Out of 30 neuronal

clusters identified in our integrated dataset, cell proportions decreased >2-fold in 9 clusters (N0,

5, 10, 14, 15, 24, 25, 28 and 29), representing interneurons, V0, V2a interneurons, and motor

neurons. On the other hand, 4 neuronal clusters expanded >2-fold after SCI (N18, 20, 23 and 27)

(Fig. 5A). The numbers and proportions of N18 neurons increased 2.5-fold at 3 wpi and returned

to control levels at 6 wpi (Fig. 5A). Consistent with delayed GABAergic neurogenesis (Fig. 3 and

4), Cluster N18 cells were identified as GABAergic V2b interneurons (Fig. 3B and 5B). The profiles

of N20 neurons sharply increased 6.7-fold at 1 wpi compared to all other time points. Intriguingly,

N20 was the only neuron cluster corresponding to neuroblast-like neurons, suggesting a pro-

regenerative role for N20 neurons after SCI (Fig. 5A-B and S5A). Yet, the exact identities and role
of N20 during SC repair are unknown. N23 neurons were injury-induced, accounting for 2% of
total neurons at 1 wpi and decreasing to 0.6% of neurons at 6 wpi (Fig. 5A). N23 neurons were
identified as motor neurons, which are known to regenerate via neurogenesis in adult zebrafish
(Reimer *et al.*, 2008). N27 neurons increased 2.9-fold at 1 wpi compared to other time points and
were identified as serotonergic interneurons (Fig. 3B and 5B). We conclude that cluster N27
corresponds to intraspinal serotonergic neurons, which promote excitatory axon regrowth and
coordinated locomotor recovery in zebrafish (Huang et al., 2021). This analysis revealed known
and unanticipated neuronal dynamics during innate SC repair.

To estimate the regenerative potential of spinal neurons after SCI, we assigned a
"regeneration score" to individual neuron clusters by cross referencing the DE markers for each
cluster with a compiled list of regeneration associated genes. RAGs were defined based on their
association with the GO term "regeneration" in the Amigo database
(http://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo/landing) (Tables S4 and S5). Strikingly, compared to all
other neuron subtypes, cluster N20 showed the highest regeneration score (Fig. 5C and S5D)
and expressed known RAG genes including *gap43* and *atf3* (Fig. 5D) (Curtis et al., 1993; Doster
et al., 1991; Gey et al., 2016; Jankowski et al., 2009; Jing et al., 2012; Kole et al., 2020; Wang et
al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2005). Gene ontology
comparisons showed that terms associated with neuron development, synaptic organization and
axon extension were enriched in N18, 20, 23 and 27 (Fig. S5E). However, regeneration
associated gene enrichment was most pronounced and most significant for cluster N20 (Fig.
S5E). We propose that cluster N20 represents a new, transient and unique population of injury-
responsive neurons (iNeurons) that are likely to contribute to functional SC repair.

iNeurons are signaling hubs during spinal cord regeneration.
To evaluate the role of N20 iNeurons during SC regeneration, we determined the extent and
identities of the signaling pathways that are initiated and received by iNeurons using CellChat. To
specifically identify iNeurons (N20 cells) within the rest of the SC microenvironment, we first
tracked and identified iNeurons in the integrated dataset that includes all SC cells (Fig. S5D).
Cells from clusters 5 and 4 from the integrated dataset accounted for the majority of iNeurons and
were renamed iNeurons for CellChat analysis. Strikingly, compared to the global cell-interactions
derived from the integrated dataset, the cumulative and reciprocal signaling strengths were
highest between iNeurons and OPCs at 1 wpi (Fig. 5F). The cumulative strengths of outgoing and

incoming signaling were markedly lower in the rest of the neuronal cells compared to iNeurons

(Fig. 5F). These findings suggest that iNeuron signaling accounts for the majority of neuronal

signaling at 1 wpi.

To examine the molecular features of the crosstalk between iNeurons and OPCs, we identified

major signaling networks that are either incoming or outgoing from iNeurons (Fig. 5G and S5F-

G). CellChat analysis showed iNeurons have a high probability to transduce NCAM1/2, and

NRXN3 signaling to OPCs and other neurons. TIGIT, NRXN1/2, CDH4 and CADM1/3 were also

predicted as incoming and outgoing iNeuron signals. Consistent with the ontology of iNeuron

expressed genes, we observed an enrichment for reciprocal Neuregulin (NRG)-ERBB signaling

from iNeurons to OPCs. ErbB signaling was recently shown to regulate OPC transformation and

spontaneous remyelination after SCI in mice (Bartus et al., 2019). We found NRG1 and NRG2

ligands activate of the ERBB3/4 receptor mediated signaling between iNeurons and OPCs (Fig.

6D). These results showed iNeurons are potent centers of molecular signaling during SC

regeneration and suggested that reciprocal signaling between iNeurons and OPCs is a principal

feature of innate SC repair.

***in vivo* mapping of iNeurons during SC regeneration.**

To validate the emergence of an iNeuron transcriptional signature in regenerating SC tissues, we

performed HCR *in situ* hybridization for select markers that are uniquely expressed in iNeurons

compared to all other spinal cell types in the integrated dataset (Fig. S6A). To enable differential

labeling of regenerating and pre-existing neurons, wild-type fish were subjected to SCI and daily

EdU injections. *syt11b* and *vamp4* HCR *in situ* hybridization and staining for HuC/D and EdU were

performed at 0, 1 and 3 wpi (Fig. 6A and 6D). To test whether iNeuron markers are preferentially

expressed in neurons, integrated densities of *in situ* hybridization signals were quantified in total

SC tissues and in HuC/D$^+$ neurons (Fig. 6A-F and S6B-H). At 1 wpi, *synaptotagmin XI b (syt11b)*

expression increased 3.6-fold in total SC tissues and 4.3-fold in HuC/D$^+$ neurons (Fig. 6B-C).

syt11b expression was reduced to wild-type levels by 3 wpi (Fig. 6B-C). *vesicle-associated*

membrane protein 4 (vamp4) transcripts were upregulated at 1 and 3 wpi, with 2.3- to 2.5-fold

increase in total SC tissues and HuC/D$^+$ neurons at 1 wpi (Fig. 6E-F). Since *syt11b* showed robust

expression and upregulation in neurons and to address putative redundancy between the *syt11b*

and *syt11a* paralogs, we examined *syt11a* expression after SCI (Fig. S6E-H). Like *syt11b*, *syt11a*

transcripts were upregulated after injury, including in neurons. However, unlike *syt11b*, *syt11a*

expression was not restricted to neurons including expression in ependymal cells surrounding the

central canal at 1 wpi (Fig. S6E). These findings validated *syt11b* and *vamp4* as markers of
zebrafish iNeurons during SC regeneration.

iNeurons are surviving neurons that elicit spontaneous plasticity after injury.
The emergence of an iNeuron transcriptional profile at 1 wpi raised a central question related to
their cell of origin and pro-regenerative signature. We postulated that iNeurons could either
represent newly formed regenerating neurons, or a population of spontaneously plastic neurons
that survive injury and support immediate repair. To distinguish between these possibilities, we
quantified the profiles of iNeurons that express *syt11b/a*, HuC/D and EdU (Fig. 6G-I and S6F-H).
In these experiments, HuC/D$^+$ EdU$^+$ represent regenerating neurons and HuC/D$^+$ EdU$^-$ represent
pre-existing neurons. Expectedly, the profiles of regenerating neurons significantly increased from
1 to 3 wpi, indicating potent neurogenic responses are activated during innate SC repair (Fig. 6H).
At 1 wpi, *syt11b* transcripts labeled 14.5% of HuC/D$^+$ neurons (Fig. 6G), and only 6.4% of
regenerating neurons (Fig. 6I). These results indicated that over 90% of iNeurons are pre-existing
neurons that survive SCI.

Since the majority of iNeurons are pre-existing in control SC tissues, we examined whether
iNeurons represent a specific population of neurons with elevated survival capacity or
spontaneous plasticity. To address this question, we performed *syt11b* HCR *in situ* hybridization
on *vglut2a*:RFP and *gad1b*:GFP dual transgenic fish, which simultaneously label glutamatergic
and GABAergic neurons (Fig. 6J). Neuron subtypes not labeled with *vglut2a*:RFP or *gad1b*:GFP
were labeled as others. The integrated density of *syt11b* transcript signals broadly increased at 1
wpi (Fig. S6I), including upregulated expression in *vglut2a* $^+$ and *gad1b*$^+$ neurons at 1 wpi (Fig.
S6J-K). Notably, only 37.5% and 20.7% of the combined *syt11b* signal co-localized to
glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons at 1 and 2 wpi, indicating that "other" neuron subtypes
express the majority of *syt11b* during regeneration (Fig. 6K). We also performed *syt11b* HCR *in*
situ hybridization along with Hb9, *isl1:GFP* or Pax2 staining (Fig. S6L), and found that 30-40% of
Hb9$^+$, *isl1:GFP*$^+$ and Pax2$^+$ neurons expressed *syt11b* at 1wpi (Fig. S6M). These findings
indicated that iNeurons do not represent a specific neuron subtype and suggested that multiple
zebrafish neurons survive SCI and elicit an iNeuron transcriptional and regenerative signature
during early stages of SC repair.

iNeurons are integrated in the spinal circuitry after spinal cord injury.

We performed two sets of tracing experiments to trace axons projecting across the lesion using

either rostral (Fig. 7A-C) or caudal labeling (Fig. S7A-C) with Biocytin at 1 wpi. For rostral labeling,

a Biocytin-soaked gelfoam was applied at the brainstem level at 1 wpi and 3 hours prior to

collecting SC tissues. In addition to Biocytin, *syt11b* HCR *in situ* hybridization and HuC/D staining

were performed for simultaneous labeling of iNeurons and total neurons, respectively (Fig. 7A).

We found that 28-33% of HuC/D$^+$ neurons between 450 and 750 μm caudal to the lesion were

labeled (Fig. 7B), indicating integration of caudal neurons into the spinal circuitry as early as 1

wpi. 20-38% of rostrally-labeled caudal neurons (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$) expressed *syt11b* (Biocytin$^+$

HuC/D$^+$ *syt11b*$^+$) (Fig. 7C), suggesting *syt11b*$^+$ iNeurons are part of the integrated SC circuitry at

1wpi. To validate these results, we also applied a Biocytin-soaked gelfoam caudal to the lesion at

1 wpi and collected SC tissues for histology 3 hours post-labeling (Fig. S7A). Caudal tracing

labeled 18-20% of HuC/D$^+$ neurons 450 and 750 μm rostral to the lesion (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$) (Fig.

S7B), with ~40% of these neurons expressing *syt11b* (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ *syt11b*$^+$) (Fig. S7C).

These studies confirmed that iNeurons establish functional connections into the regenerating SC

circuitry as early as 1 wpi.

iNeuron genes are required for cellular and functional recovery after spinal cord injury.

To evaluate the roles of iNeuron marker genes during SC regeneration, we employed

CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis, SCI and functional swim assays (Fig. 7D) (Burris *et al.*, 2021; Klatt

Shaw and Mokalled, 2021). Among the top DE markers of N20 iNeurons, 10 genes were filtered

as enriched in iNeurons and chosen for mutagenesis (*hdac10, mthfd1l, si:ch211-153j24.3, fhdc5,*

shootin3, syt11b, fam171b, chrnb2b, fblim1, vamp4) (Fig. S7D). *atf3* was selected as a positive

control (Wang *et al.*, 2017). In addition to targeting *syt11b*, we individually and combinatorially

targeted the *syt11a* and *syt11b* paralogs to account for putatively redundant effects on

regeneration. For efficient and simultaneous gene targeting in zebrafish, we used a recently

adapted CRISPR/Cas9 protocol that achieves near complete mutagenesis in F0 injected adults

(Burris *et al.*, 2021; Klatt Shaw and Mokalled, 2021). CRISPR/Cas9 targeted animals (crispants)

were raised to adulthood and mutagenesis rates were examined by capillary electrophoresis (Fig.

S7E). Adult crispants with >90% mutagenesis were subjected to SCI, and swam against

increasing water current inside an enclosed swim tunnel to screen for functional regeneration

defects. At 4 wpi, swim endurance was significantly reduced in *atf3* and *vamp4* crispants (Fig.

7E). Targeting *syt11a and syt11b* paralogs individually did not alter swim endurance. However,

swim endurance was diminished in *syt11a;syt11b* dual crispants, indicating the *syt11* paralogs

were functionally redundant during SC repair (Fig. 7E). Importantly, overall growth and behavior

were comparable between crispant fish and their control siblings prior to SCI, indicating the swim
defects observed in these crispants and injury-induced. To validate swim capacity defects in
iNeuron gene crispants, we tracked the swim behavior of *atf3*, *vamp4* and *syt11a;syt11b* crispants
under at 0, 10 and 20 10 cm/sec current velocities (Fig. 7F). Compared to uninjected control
siblings and in the absence of water flow, swim distance was 50-55% reduced in *atf3* and
syt11a;syt11b crispants and 25% reduced in *vamp4* crispants (Fig. 7F). Swim distance was
significantly lower in *atf3*, *vamp4* and *syt11a/b* at 10 and 20 cm/sec water current velocities (Fig.
7F). These results indicated the iNeuron markers *atf3*, *vamp4* and *syt11a/b* are required for
functional SC repair in zebrafish.

To date, cellular growth across the lesion has served as a primary readout of cellular
regeneration in zebrafish. Glial bridging and axon tracing assays were performed to evaluate the
extents of glial and axonal regeneration in iNeuron gene crispants. By Gfap immunostaining, the
cross-sectional area of glial bridges was 65% reduced in *atf3* cripants at 4 wpi, but was not
significantly affected in *vamp4* and *syt11a;syt11b* crispants compared to controls (Fig. 7G). At this
time point, anterograde axon tracing using Biocytin showed comparable axon regrowth from
hindbrain neurons into the proximal and distal SC sections between *vamp4*, *syt11a;syt11b* and
control animals (Fig. 7H). Consistent with their defective glial bridging, axon regrowth into the
proximal and distal sections of caudal SC tissues was markedly impaired in *atf3* cripants. Thus,
vamp4 and *syt11a/b* are required for functional SC repair but dispensable for cellular regeneration
across the lesion.

These studies showed iNeurons play essential regeneration-independent plasticity-based
roles after SCI, and indicated vesicular trafficking is required for the recovery of local neuronal
circuitry during innate SC repair.

<u>DISCUSSION</u>
This study presents a single-cell atlas of innate SC repair in adult zebrafish, uncovers neurons as
potent signaling hubs after SCI, identifies cooperative modes of regeneration- and plasticity-
based neuronal repair, and shows essential roles for vesicular trafficking in spontaneous neuronal
plasticity.

The single-cell dataset generated in this study offers a comprehensive resource to determine
regenerative cell identities and mechanisms in highly regenerative vertebrates. The use of
snRNA-seq is ideal to profile the majority of spinal cell types in zebrafish. Single-cell RNA
sequencing is inherently biased against larger cell types such as neurons and astrocytes (Bakken
et al., 2018; Lake et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019). snRNA-seq reduces the challenges of cell
dissociation, retrieving large numbers of neurons and glia. While dissection- and dissociation-
induced gene expression changes in control samples present a longstanding difficulty for tissue
regeneration studies, our protocol minimizes background activation of injury-induced genes by
flash-freezing SC tissues from individual animals during tissue collection. Finally, large numbers
of nuclei were obtained by pooling frozen SC tissues, minimizing confounding problems
associated with snRNA-seq and allowing us to identify small cell populations (Bakken *et al.*, 2018;
Ding et al., 2020; Lacar et al., 2016; Lake *et al.*, 2017; van den Brink et al., 2017). Overall, this
dataset faithfully represents the proportions and dynamics of major cell populations during
zebrafish SC regeneration.

Candidate approaches have unveiled central roles for several signaling pathways during SC
regeneration (Cavone *et al.*, 2021; Dias et al., 2012; Goldshmit *et al.*, 2012; Reimer et al., 2009;
Zhang et al., 2018). Yet, an integrated assessment of regenerative signaling pathways and global
assessment of cell-cell interactions were missing. Using CellChat analysis, our single-cell dataset
identified a myriad of cell-specific and injury-induced signaling pathways (Jin *et al.*, 2021).
Notably, comprehensive assessment of cell-cell signaling networks uncovered neurons as potent
sources of signaling in homeostatic and lesioned SC tissues. Injury-induced neuronal signaling
pathways include developmental pathways like FGF and WNT, extracellular matrix components
like FN1, and axon guidance molecules like SEMA. Concerted activation of these injury-induced
pathways is instrumental for neuron survival, neurogenesis, axon regrowth, proper axon guidance
and synapse targeting (Briona *et al.*, 2015; Garcia *et al.*, 2018; Goldshmit *et al.*, 2012; He *et al.*,
2018; Saraswathy *et al.*, 2022; Tome *et al.*, 2023; Wehner et al., 2017). Further studies of injury-
induced signaling pathways will shed light into signaling pathways that direct regeneration- or
plasticity-driven SC repair.

SC tissues in adult zebrafish retain potent progenitor cells with radial glial features and
established contribution to neurogenesis after SCI (Briona *et al.*, 2015; Reimer *et al.*, 2008;
Saraswathy *et al.*, 2022). SC regeneration is marked by early imbalance and late restoration of
baseline E/I activity. Our data support a model in which excitatory neurons expand and repopulate

regenerate SC tissues, while slower and continued neurogenesis of inhibitory neurons underlie
the recovery of E/I balance at 6 wpi. These findings are consistent with published studies using a
two-cut SCI model in adult zebrafish (Huang *et al.*, 2021), and with the observation that
gad1b:GFP and *vglut2a*:RFP expression domains are mutually exclusive in our SC sections.
Genetic lineage tracing showed excitatory to inhibitory neurotransmitter switching has adverse
effects on functional recovery after adult mouse SCI (Bertels *et al.*, 2022). In zebrafish, fast motor
neurons were shown to upregulate glutamate expression after injury or exercise (Bertuzzi et al.,
2018). Although we cannot rule out similar mechanisms taking place during regeneration, we
found that staggered increase in the profiles of newly generated excitatory and inhibitory neurons
account for the recovery of E/I balance after SCI. We propose that in depth molecular evaluation
of spinal progenitors is needed to better understand the potency and neurogenic capacities of
neuronal progenitors during SC regeneration.

While zebrafish is an established model of neuron regeneration, our study establishes
zebrafish as a renewed model to understand and manipulate fundamental mechanisms of
plasticity-based neuronal repair. Neurogenesis-based neural repair is thought to be unattainable
in mammals. Instead, the mammalian SCI field has directed its efforts to develop plasticity-based
repair strategies. We propose that pre-existing zebrafish neurons upregulate an iNeuron
transcriptional signature that includes regeneration associated genes, and that iNeurons elicit
spontaneous plasticity during early stages of SC repair. The emergence of iNeurons after SCI is
consistent with a recently identified population of embryonically derived dormant neurons that
immediately respond to SCI in larval and adult zebrafish (Vandestadt *et al.*, 2021). Similarity to
the dormant precursor neurons reported by Vandestadt *et al.,* more than 90% of iNeurons are not
newly generated. At 1 wpi, iNeuron signaling accounts for the majority of total neuronal signaling,
eliciting particularly strong interactions with OPCs. We propose that future zebrafish studies will
contribute insights and applications into mechanisms of plasticity-driven neural repair.

Unbiased characterization of the molecular players that direct iNeuron functions after SCI
showed multiple components of vesicular trafficking are required for functional SC repair in
zebrafish. Syt11a/b (synaptotagmin XI a/b) and Vamp4 (vesicle-associate membrane protein 4)
are vesicle-associated proteins that play different roles in neuronal synapses. Syt11 is a non-
canonical SNARE that inhibits spontaneous neurotransmission and bulk endocytosis, whereas
Vamp4 promotes Calcium-dependent excitatory neurotransmission release and bulk endocytosis
(Bakr et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2020; Nicholson-Fish et al., 2015; Shimojo et al., 2019;

Wang et al., 2016). Our CRISPR/Cas9 studies showed *syt11a/b* and *vamp4* are required for the
recovery of swim function after SCI in zebrafish. These findings are consistent with recent
mammalian studies showing that inhibition of the presynaptic release machinery is important for
axon regrowth (Hilton et al., 2022), and that *Syt11* knockout in excitatory forebrain neurons
impairs synaptic plasticity and memory (Shimojo *et al.*, 2019). Our data reveals vesicular
trafficking is an essential mechanism that underlies spontaneous plasticity and rapid neurite
outgrowth in iNeurons. We propose that zebrafish provide a valuable model to investigate the
origin of iNeurons, elucidate the role of vesicular trafficking in spontaneous plasticity, and identify
new manipulations to promote plasticity after SCI.

<u>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</u>

We thank S. Ackerman, V. Cavalli and A. Johnson for discussion and the Washington University

Zebrafish Shared Resource for animal care. We thank S. Nandagopal and T. Tsai for sharing the

HCR probe design script. This research was supported by grants from the NIH (R01 NS113915

to M.H.M.) and a Postdoctoral Fellow Seed of Independence Grant from the Department of

Developmental Biology at Washington University School of Medicine (to V.M.S.).

<u>ETHICS DECLARATIONS</u>

The authors declare no competing interests.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Zebrafish

Adult zebrafish of the Ekkwill, Tubingen and AB strains were maintained at the Washington University Zebrafish Core Facility. All animal experiments were performed in compliance with institutional animal protocols. Size matched (~2 cm) male and female animals of ~4 months of age were used. SC transection surgeries and regeneration analyses were performed in a blinded manner. For all experiments, 2 to 4 independent experimental replicates were performed using different clutches of animals. Within each experiment, experimental fish and control siblings of similar size and equal sex distribution were used. Transected animals from control and experimental groups were housed in equal numbers (4-7 fish) in 1.1-liter tanks. The following previously published zebrafish trains were used: Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP) and Tg(*gad1b*:GFP) (Satou *et al.*, 2013).

Spinal cord transection

Complete SC transections were performed as previously described (Mokalled *et al.*, 2016). Briefly, zebrafish were anaesthetized in 0.2 g/L of MS-222 buffered to pH 7.0. Fine scissors were used to make a small incision that transects the SC 4 mm caudal to the brainstem region. Complete transection of the SC was visually confirmed at the time of surgery. Injured animals were also assessed at 2 or 3 dpi to confirm loss of swim capacity post-surgery.

Isolation of spinal cord nuclei from adult zebrafish

For nuclear isolation, a previously described method to isolate nuclei from mouse SC tissues was adapted to zebrafish SCs (Matson *et al.*, 2018). Three mm SC tissue, flanking the lesion site were collected from 50 adult zebrafish at time points 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Corresponding segments of 3 mm SC tissue were collected from uninjured control animals. For tissue lysis, the detergent mechanical lysis protocol described by Matson et al. 2018 was performed. SC tissues were homogenized at low setting for 15 seconds. Density gradient separation using sucrose solution was used to sediment the nuclei from the supernatant. Final nuclear lysates were resuspended using 100 μl of resuspension solution (1x PBS + 2% BSA + 0.2U/μl RNase inhibitor - New England Biolabs, Cat#: M0314S). Hoechst staining was performed to assess the quality of isolated nuclei based on their shape. Samples in which more than 70% of the nuclei were scored as 'healthy' and submitted for single nuclear RNA sequencing.

Single nuclear RNA sequencing

For snRNA-seq, 30 µl of resuspension solution containing isolated nuclei at a concentration of
~1000 nuclei/µl was submitted to Genome Technology Access Center at McDonnel Genome
Institute of Washington University. Two biological replicates of each time points at 0, 1, 3 and 6
wpi were used. cDNA was prepared after the GEM generation and barcoding, followed by the
GEM-RT reaction and bead cleanup steps. cDNA was amplified for 11-13 cycles then purified
using SPRIselect beads. Purified cDNA samples were then run on a Bioanalyzer to determine the
cDNA concentration. GEX libraries were prepared as recommended by the 10x Genomics
Chromium Single Cell 3' Reagent Kits User Guide (v3.1 Chemistry Dual Index) with appropriate
modifications to the PCR cycles based on the calculated cDNA concentration. For sample
preparation on the 10x Genomics platform, the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1, 16
rxns (PN-1000268), Chromium Next GEM Chip G Single Cell Kit, 48 rxns (PN-1000120), and Dual
Index Kit TT Set A, 96 rxns (PN-1000215) were used. The concentration of each library was
accurately determined through qPCR utilizing the KAPA library Quantification Kit according to the
manufacturer's protocol (KAPA Biosystems/Roche) to produce cluster counts appropriate for the
Illumina NovaSeq6000 instrument. Normalized libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq6000 S4
Flow Cell using the XP workflow and a 50x10x16x150 sequencing recipe according to
manufacturer protocol. A median sequencing depth of 50,000 reads/cell was targeted for each
Gene Expression Library.

Aligning snRNA-seq reads
After sequencing, the Illumina output was processed using the CellRanger (v6.0.0) recommended
pipeline to generate gene-barcode count matrices. A custom reference genome was made with
the "cellranger mkref" command, using the fasta file of zebrafish reference genome GRCz11
constructed from the Ensemble genome build (https://useast.ensembl.org/Danio_rerio/Info/Index)
and the sorted Gene Transfer Format file (v4.3.2) from the improved zebrafish transcriptome
annotation (Lawson *et al.*, 2020). Base call files for each sample from Illumina were demultiplexed
into FASTQ reads. Then, the "cellranger count" pipeline was used to align sequencing reads in
FASTQ files to the custom reference genome. Both exon and intron sequences were included
during the alignment. The filtered gene-barcode count matrices generated by "cellranger count"
was used for downstream analysis.

Quality Control
DecontX package (Yang *et al.*, 2020) (celda v1.16.1) was used to remove droplets containing
aberrant counts of ambient mRNA. The function "decontx" was used on the raw "RNA" counts to

obtain "decontX" count data. Contamination score was assigned to each cell after running
"decontx". Cells with contamination score greater than 0.75 were filtered out and only "clean" cells
(contamination score less than 0.75) were included for downstream analysis. Furthermore,
decontX counts were used as default for pre-processing and normalization. After ambient RNA
removal, DoubletFinder (McGinnis *et al.*, 2019) (v2.0.3) was used to identify doublets formed from
transcriptionally distinct cells. Optimal pK values were calculated from the outputs of function
"paramsweep_v3". Then, "doubletFinder_v3" function was used to predict doublet cells, where 50
principal components and pN value of 0.25 were given as input along with the previously
calculated pK values (0.26). All the cells predicted as doublets were removed.

Integrated analysis of snRNA-seq dataset
All the datasets were integrated and analyzed using Seurat (v4.1.1) package with R (v4.2.1) (R
Core Team, 2018; Stuart et al., 2019). Each sample count matrix was filtered for genes that were
expressed in at least 3 cells and cells expressing at least 200 genes, followed by cell quality
assessment using commonly used QC matrixes (Ilicic et al., 2016). Cells having a unique number
of genes between 200 to 4000 and a mitochondrial gene percentage <5 were used for
downstream processing. Each dataset was independently normalized and scaled using the
"SCTransform" function, which is an improved method for normalization, that performs a variance-
stabilizing transformation using negative binomial regression (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019).
Standard integration workflow of Seurat was used to identify shared sources of variation across
experiments as well as mutual nearest neighbors (Butler et al., 2018; Haghverdi et al., 2018).
Integration features were selected based on the top 4000 highly variable features using
"SelectIntegrationFeatures" function (nfeatures = 4000), which was used as input for the
"anchor.features" argument of the "FindIntegrationAnchors" function. PCA analysis was
performed on the 4000 variable features and the top 50 principal components selected based on
the elbow plot heuristic, which measures the contribution of variation in each component. These
50 principal components were used in "FindNeighbors" and "FindClusters" functions to perform
graph-based clustering on a shared nearest neighbor graph (Levine et al., 2015; Xu and Su,
2015). Louvain algorithm was used for modularity optimization in clustering the cells using
"FindClusters" function. The resolution parameter (res = 0.4) that determines the granularity of
the clustering was selected by visually inspecting clusters with resolutions ranging 0.1 - 2.0 as
well as clustree graphs (Zappia and Oshlack, 2018). Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Reduction (UMAP) was used for non-linear dimensional reduction of the first 50 principal
components and visualize the data using "RunUMAP" function (Becht et al., 2018). Data was

graphed using different plot functions, such as "DimPlot", "VlnPlot", "FeaturePlot", "Dotplot" and

"DoHeatmap", to view the cell cluster identity and marker gene expression. Cell proportion data

was extracted using "table" and "prop.table" functions. Differential gene expression for individual

cluster was identified using Wilcoxon rank sum test in the "FindAllMarkers" function. Marker genes

detected in at least 25% of the clustered cells and with a logFC threshold of 0.25 were selected.

Only positive markers were reported.

Subset analysis of neuron clusters

The neuron cell clusters identified from the complete dataset were subclustered using the "subset"

function for subcluster analysis. The subset was again normalized and scaled using

"SCTransform" function with glmGamPoi method (Ahlmann-Eltze and Huber, 2021). Fifty principal

components were used, and the resolution parameter was set to 0.6. Further downstream

analysis was done as described above for the integrated analysis. The top DE markers generated

for each neuron subcluster using "FindAllMarkers" function is given in table S4.

Cluster identification using differentially expressed markers.

A "CNS markers" database of previously published markers of the different cell types that

comprise the vertebrate brain and/or SC tissues was compiled (Baek *et al.*, 2019; Cavone *et al.*,

2021; Guillemot, 2007; Haring *et al.*, 2018; Hayashi *et al.*, 2018; Hernandez-Miranda *et al.*, 2017;

Lu *et al.*, 2015; Milich *et al.*, 2021; Rosenberg *et al.*, 2018; Rougeot *et al.*, 2019; Sathyamurthy *et*

al., 2018; Tambalo *et al.*, 2020; Tang *et al.*, 2017; Yadav *et al.*, 2023; Zeisel *et al.*, 2018; Zhang

et al., 2014). For each cell cluster, every marker gene identified as a top differentially expressed

(DE) marker of that cluster was cross-referenced with our compiled "CNS markers" database

(Table S1), using our "DEMarkerScoring" algorithm. For every matching marker gene, one point

was given to the respective cluster under the column name with the matching cell identity. Iteration

over every marker gene was performed to generate a scoring matrix with varying points for each

cluster against the different cell identities compiled in the "CNS markers" database (Table S2,

sheet: scoring). Then, "phyper" function in R was used to calculate binomial probabilities using

hypergeometric distribution for the total score obtained by each cluster against each cell identity

in the database (Table S2, sheet: Binomial probability). Then, -log10 of probability values are

obtained for plotting the heatmap (Table S2, sheet: -log10P). The resulting values were scaled to

0-100 and plotted as a heatmap using GraphPad prism. Each cluster was given an identity based

on the maximum -log10 P score obtained in the heatmap. The top DE markers of clusters with

ambiguous scores are manually searched in the literature (Zhang *et al.*, 2014; Zhang et al., 2016)

(https://brainrnaseq.org/) to confirm cluster identity. "RenameIdents" function was used to assign

identity to each cluster. For confirmation of the assigned cluster identity, enrichment of classical

markers of respective cell types were tested using Dot plot. The "DEMarkerScoring" algorithm is

available here:

https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/s7_marker_comparison_v3.R .

Filtering differentially expressed markers for candidate gene selection.

To identify candidate genes that are uniquely expressed in N20 iNeurons, top DE markers were

cross-checked and filtered against the top DE markers of all the other neuron subclusters.

Enrichment and percent expression of these unique genes were then confirmed using Dot plots.

Genes that were enriched or expressed in other neuronal or non-neuronal subclusters, in addition

to their expression in iNeurons, were omitted. Genes that are exclusively enriched in iNeurons

were selected for generating crispants.

Cell-cell interaction assay

The R package CellChat (v1.4.0) was used to evaluate regenerative cell-cell interactions after

SCI (Jin *et al.*, 2021). CellChat models the probability of cell-cell communication by integrating

our gene expression data with a database (CellChatDB) of known interaction between signaling

ligands, receptors, and their cofactors. CellChatDB is a manually curated from literature-

supported ligand-receptor interactions in human and mouse, only. Therefore, we converted the

normalized RNA data matrix of our zebrafish dataset at each time point into human orthologues

using Ensemble biomaRT package (Durinck et al., 2009). The following rules were applied while

converting the data matrix: 1) one-to-one orthologue mapping was performed whenever possible,

2) For genes with one to several human orthologues, the corresponding zebrafish RNA data value

was copied to every mapped gene in humans, 3) RNA data values were eliminated for zebrafish

genes that did not have any human orthologue, 4) RNA data values of paralogous zebrafish genes

were added and the cumulative data value was assigned to the human orthologue

(https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/Sparse_matrix_homologe_con

verter_082322.R) . The converted RNA data was used then to create CellChat object using

"createCellChat" function, followed by the recommended preprocessing functions with default

parameters for the analysis of individual datasets. Truncated mean method with 10% trimmed

observation was used to compute average gene expression per cell group in for the complete

dataset (Fig. 2 and S2). The default trimean method was used to compute average gene

expression for the CellChat analysis of neuron subset (Fig. 5 and S5). CellChatDB.human was

used as the database for inferring cell-cell communication. All categories of ligand-receptor

interactions in the database were used in the analysis. Communications involving less than 10

cells were excluded. Function "netAnalysis_computeCentrality" was used to calculate network

centrality scores at each time point. Functions such as "netVisual_circle",

"netAnalysis_contribution", "netVisual_aggregate", "netVisual_bubble" and

"netAnalysis_signalingRole_heatmap" were used to generate different plots used in this paper.

Trajectory inference analysis

Pseudotime analysis was performed using CellRank (v1.5.1) package in Python (v3.8.10) (Lange

et al., 2022; Rossum and Drake, 2009). Before analysis, the Seurat object was converted to an

AnnData in a two-step process using the R package SeuratDisk (v0.9020). First, the Seurat object

was saved as an h5Seurat file and then, converted it to an AnnData file to use as an input for

pseudotime analysis using CellRank. Basic pre-processing of the AnnData file was performed

with default recommended settings using the scvelo (v0.2.4) and scanpy (v1.9.1) packages.

Genes expressed in at least 10 cells were included and 30 principal components and neighbors

were used for preprocessing of the data. CytoTRACEkernel was used for pseudotime

computation and direct KNN graph-edges to point into the direction of increasing differentiation

status (Gulati *et al.*, 2020). The transition matrix was calculated by setting the threshold_scheme

parameter to "soft" to reconstruct cellular trajectories using VIA algorithm (Stassen et al., 2021).

This transition matrix was projected onto a UMAP plot to obtain a velocity graph.

Gene ontology

Gene ontology analysis was performed using Metascape (Zhou et al., 2019). Input and analysis

species were set as D. rerio. Express analysis was performed for gene ontology. Metascape

identified all statistically enriched terms (including GO biological processes, Reactome gene set

and KEGG pathway), and calculated accumulative hypergeometric p-values and enrichment

factors. Significant terms were hierarchically clustered into a tree based on Kappa-statistical

similarities among their gene memberships. A kappa score of 0.3 was applied to cast the tree into

term clusters. The most enriched term in each cluster was chosen as the representative term.

Regeneration Score

Genes associated with the GO term "regeneration" in the database Amigo

(http://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo/landing) were identified.　　As a result, Regeneration

Associated Genes (RAG) present in the following terms were included: axon extension involved

in regeneration_GO_0048677, Axon regeneration_GO_0031103, dendrite
regeneration_GO_0031104, Positive regulation of axon regeneration_GO_0048680, Positive
regulation of neuron projection regeneration_GO_0070572, Regeneration_GO_0031099 (Table
S5). Regeneration score for each neuron cluster was calculated based on the DE expressed
markers obtained through "FindAllMarkers" function. All upregulated marker genes detected in at
least 25% of the clustered cells and with a logFC threshold of 0.25 were considered top DE
markers. Our previously mentioned "DEMarkerScoring" algorithm was then used to cross-
reference and score each cluster based on the similarity between Top DE markers and RAG. -
log10P value was used to generate the UMAP plot with the regeneration score. Raw values are
detailed given in Table S4 (sheet Cluster ID).

Histology
Sixteen μm cross cryosections of paraformaldehyde fixed SC tissues were used. Tissue sections
were mainly imaged using a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope (Axio Imager.Z2, Objective:
Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 M27, Detector: Multialkali-PMT) for immunofluorescence and
hybridization chain reaction (HCR) RNA *in situ* hybridization. For glial bridging and axon-regrowth
assay (Fig. 8D, F), images were taken using Zeiss slide scanner (Axio Scan.Z1, Objective: Plan-
Apochromat 20x/0.8 M27, Imaging device: OrcaFlash)

The HCR RNA *in situ* hybridization protocol was adapted from Molecular Instruments
(https://www.molecularinstruments.com/hcr-ihc-protocols) (Choi et al., 2018). Briefly, tissue
sections were hydrated in PBS, dehydrated stepwise into 100% ethanol, then rehydrated stepwise
into PBT (0.1% Tween-20 in PBS). Sections were pre-treated either with 0.2% TritonX-100 in
PBS for 5 minutes or boiled in Citrate Buffer (10mM Citric Acid, 0.05% Tween-20, pH 6.0) for 10
minutes. For blocking, sections were incubated in Hybridization buffer (Molecular Instruments) for
1 hr at 37°C. For hybridization, sections were incubated in pre-warmed DNA probe sets diluted to
0.0015 pmol/uL in Hybridization buffer for 48 hrs at 37°C. Washes were then performed with Wash
Buffer (Molecular Instruments) at 37°C followed by 5x SSCT (3M NaCl, 0.3 M Sodium Citrate,
0.1% Tween-20, pH 7.0). For signal amplification, sections were incubated in Amplification buffer
(Molecular Instruments) for 1 hr at room temperature. Prior to amplification, h1 and h2 hairpins
were snap-cooled in individual tubes by heating to 95°C and allowing tubes to return to ambient
temperature slowly. For amplification, h1 and h2 snap-cooled hairpins were mixed together and
diluted 1:50 in Amplification buffer. Amplification proceeded overnight at room temperature in the
dark. Samples were then washed thoroughly in 5x SSCT, 5x SSC, and PBT at room temperature

before proceeding to immunohistochemistry. HCR RNA probes used in this study (*syt11a, syt11b,*
and *vamp4*) were designed using a python script. Probe sets were ordered as 50 pmol opools
from IDT. Oligo sequences for each probe set are provided in Table S7.

For immunohistochemistry, tissue sections were hydrated in PBT then treated with blocking agent
(5% goat serum in PBT) for 1 hr at room temperature. For nuclear antigens, sections were treated
with 0.2% TritonX-100 in PBT for 5 minutes and washed thoroughly in PBT prior to the blocking
step. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with respective primary antibodies diluted in
blocking agent, then washed in PBT, and treated for 1 hour in secondary antibodies diluted in
blocking agent at room temperature. For EdU staining, sections are incubated with freshly
prepared EdU staining solution (100mM Tris at pH 8.5 - SIGMA T6066, 1 mM $CuSO_4$ – SIGMA
C1297, 200 µM Fluorescent azide – Thermo Fischer Scientific and 100 mM Ascorbic Acid -
SIGMA A5960) for 30 minutes in the dark at room temperature (Salic and Mitchison, 2008).
Following washes, sections were incubated in 1 µg/mL of Hoechst (Thermo Scientific, H3570) for
3 minutes, washed in PBT and mounted in Fluoromount-G mounting media (SouthernBiotech,
0100-01). Primary antibodies used in this study were chicken anti-GFP (AVES, 1020, 1:1000),
rabbit anti-dsRed (Clontech, 632496, 1:250), mouse anti-HuC/D (Invitrogen, A21271, 1:500).
Secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, 1:250) used in this study were Alexa Flour 488, Alexa Flour
594, and Alexa Flour 647 goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse antibodies.

Rostral and caudal axon tracing
Two sets of axon tracing experiments were performed at 1 wpi (Mokalled *et al.*, 2016). For rostral
labeling, fish were anaesthetized using MS-222 and fine scissors were used to transect the cord
4 mm rostral to the lesion site. For caudal labeling, fish were anaesthetized using MS-222 and
fine scissors were used to transect the cord 4 mm caudal to the lesion site. For both sets of tracing
experiments, Biocytin-soaked Gelfoam Gelatin Sponge was applied at the new injury site
(Gelfoam, Pfizer, cat# 09-0315-08; Biocytin, saturated solution, Sigma, Cat# B4261). Fish were
euthanized 3 hours post-treatment and Biocytin was histologically detected using Alexa Fluor
594-conjugated Streptavidin (Thermo Fisher, cat# S-11227). *syt11b* HCR in situ hybridization and
HuC/D staining were performed on the same sections. The numbers of Biocytin[+] HuC/D[+] and
Biocytin[+] HuC/D[+] *syt11b*^{+} neurons were calculated 150, 450, and 750 µm rostral and caudal to
the lesion. The numbers of Biocytin[+] HuC/D[+] were normalized to HuC/D^{+} neurons to estimate the
extent of labeling. The numbers of Biocytin[+] HuC/D[+] *syt11b*^{+} neurons were then normalized to
Biocytin[+] HuC/D^{+} neurons to estimate the extent of iNeuron integration in the labeled circuitry. We

note that in the axon tracing experiments performed at 1 wpi (Figure 7 and S7), we observed
ectopic Biocytin labelling of ependymal glial cells on the non-labeled side of the cord distal to the
lesion site (caudal ependymal glial cells distal to the lesion were Biocytin[+] following rostral tracing;
rostral ependymal glial cells distal to the lesion were Biocytin[+] following caudal tracing). This
labeling is not usually observed by axon tracing at 4 or 6 wpi.

CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis
CRISPR/Cas9 design, mutagenesis and screening was performed as previously described (Klatt
Shaw and Mokalled, 2021). Briefly, crRNA guide RNA sequences were selected using
CHOPCHOP (https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/). Only sequences with no off-target sites with three or
fewer mismatches elsewhere in the genome were selected. To maximize the effect of small indels,
target sites were chosen that lie within essential domains (Table S5). Alt-R tracrRNA and crRNA
gRNAs (IDT, Cat# 1072534) were reconstituted using manufacturer's specifications as 100 μM
stocks, then annealed at a final concentration of 50 μM. Alt-R S.p. Cas9 nuclease V3 (IDT, Cat#
1081059) was diluted in Cas9 dilution buffer (1 M HEPES at pH 7.5, 2 M KCl) to a working
concentration of 25 μM. Annealed dgRNA duplexes were diluted 1:1 in duplex buffer (IDT, Cat#
11-05-01-03) to a working concentration of 25 μM. Equal volumes of dgRNA were added to Cas9
protein and incubated at 37°C for 5 minutes. For dual targeting of *syt11a* and *syt11b*, Cas9 protein
was added in equal molar amounts to the total concentration of dgRNA. Tubingen wild-type
embryos were injected with 1 nL of CRISPR/Cas9 solution at the one-cell stage and grown to
adulthood for genotyping, SC surgeries and functional analysis. The full sequence for each guide
RNAs is provided in Table S6.

Genotyping by capillary electrophoresis
Capillary electrophoresis was used to calculate the indel frequency for each CRISPR/Cas9 target
site. For DNA extraction, whole 4 dpf larvae or ~3 mm of excised adult tail fins were added to 20
μL (larvae) or 50 μL (adult fin) of fin lysis buffer (10 mM Tris at pH 7.0, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA
at pH 8.0, 0.3% Tween-20, freshly added Proteinase K at 200 µg/ml). DNA samples were
incubated at 55°C for 60 minutes and then, followed by a 15-minute incubation at 95°C. 150-250
bp PCR products were generated using NEB Taq Polymerase (Cat# M0273) with gene-specific
primers (Table S5) in a volume of 10 μL in Pryme PCR semi-skirted PCR plates (MidSci, Cat#
AVRT1). Samples were diluted to 24 μL in TE dilution buffer (Agilent, DNF-495-0060) and loaded
into the 5200 Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent, Cat# M5310AA). Capillary electrophoresis was

carried out using the Agilent Fragment Analyzer Qualitative DNA Kit (Cat# DNF-905-K1000)
according to the manufacturer's specifications.

To calculate indel frequency, 2 wild-type control siblings were PCR amplified. The size of wild-
type products was determined using these control samples. PCR products with peaks within 1 bp
of control amplicon size were termed wild types (non-indel). Indel frequency was calculated by
dividing the signal intensity of total non-indel peaks by the cumulative intensity of all peaks in each
sample. Because of significant noise due to primers (<100 bp) and non-specific products (>300
bp) in wild-type samples, only signals between 100 and 300 bp were used to calculate indel
frequency.

Swim endurance assay
Swim endurance assays were performed as previously described (Burris *et al.*, 2021). Zebrafish
were exercised in groups of 8-15 in a 5 L swim tunnel device (Loligo, Cat# SW100605L,
120V/60Hz). After 5 minutes of acclimation (with no flow) inside the enclosed tunnel, fish were
swum at 9 cm/s and 10 cm/s for 5 minutes each to evaluate their swimming capability at bare
minimum velocity. Water current velocity was then increased every two minutes and fish swam
against the current until they reached exhaustion. Exhausted animals were removed from the
chamber without disturbing the remaining fish. Swim time at exhaustion was recorded for each
fish. Fish that were exhausted before the first 15 minutes were considered poorly recovered.
Percent recovery was calculated by dividing the number of fish that swam more than 15 minutes
to the total number of fish present in the group.

Swim behavior assay
Swim behavior assays were performed as previously described (Burris *et al.*, 2021). Zebrafish
were divided into groups of 5 in a 5 L swim tunnel device (Loligo, Cat# SW100605L, 120V/60Hz).
Each group was allowed to swim for a total of 15 min under zero to low current velocities (5 min
at 0 cm/s, 5 min at 10 cm/s, and 5 min at 15 cm/s). The entire swim session was recorded using
high-speed camera (iDS, USB 3.0 color video camera) with following settings: aspect ratio, 1:4;
pixel clock, 344; frame rate, 70 frames/s; exposure time: 0.29; aperture, 1.4 to 2; maximum
frames; 63,000. Movies were converted to 20 frames/s and analyzed using a customized Fiji
macro
(https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/Swim%20behavior_Tracking_v
2.ijm). For each frame, animals/objects >1500 px2 were identified, and the XY coordinates were

derived for each animal/object. Each frame was independently analyzed, and animal/object
tracking was completed using a customized R Studio script
(https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/SwimBehavior_processing%20
and%20quantification_v7.R). The script aligned coordinates, calculated swim metrics considering
three separate frame windows (Frames 0-6000 at 0 cm/s; frames 6001-12000 at 10 cm/s, and
frames 12001-18001 at 20 cm/s). Distance swam was analyzed at 0, 10, and at 15 cm/s.

Axon regrowth assay
Anterograde axon tracing was performed on adult zebrafish at 28 dpi as previously described
(Mokalled *et al.*, 2016). Fish were anaesthetized using MS-222 and fine scissors were used to
transect the cord 4 mm rostral to the lesion site. Biocytin-soaked Gelfoam Gelatin Sponge was
applied at the new injury site (Gelfoam, Pfizer, cat# 09-0315-08; Biocytin, saturated solution,
Sigma, Cat# B4261). Fish were euthanized 3 hours post-treatment and Biocytin was histologically
detected using Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated Streptavidin (Thermo Fisher, cat# S-11227). Biocytin-
labeled axons were quantified using the "threshold" and "particle analysis" tools in the Fiji
software. Four sections per fish at 0.5 (proximal) and 2 (distal) mm caudal to the lesion core, and
2 sections 1 mm rostral to the lesion, were analyzed. Axon growth was normalized to the efficiency
of Biocytin labeling rostral to the lesion for each fish. The axon growth index was then normalized
to the control group for each experiment.

Glial bridging assay
Gfap immunohistochemistry was performed on serial sections. The cross-sectional area of the
glial bridge and the area of the intact SC rostral to the lesion were measured using ImageJ
software. Bridging was calculated as a ratio of these measurements.

Quantifications
Cell counting. Cell counting were performed using a customized Fiji script
(adapting ITCN plugin: Image based Tool for counting nuclei -
https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/Cell_Counter_v1-5_vms.ijm).
Orthogonal projections of individual image stacks were generated using Zen software. A
customized Fiji script incorporated user-defined inputs to define channels (including Hoechst) and
to outline SC perimeters. To quantify nuclei, the following parameters were set in ITCN counter:
width – 15; minimal distance – 7.5; threshold – 0.4. Once nuclei were identified, user-defined
thresholds of individual cell markers were used to mask the image and identify nuclei located

inside the masked regions. X/Y coordinates were extracted for each nucleus for cell counting.

Raw counts and X/Y coordinates from Fiji were processed using a customized R script

(https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/Cell_Count_Processor_v1_vms

.R). Markers that share nuclei with the same X/Y coordinates were considered overlapping.

Quantifying HCR in situ signal. HCR *in situ* hybridization signals were quantified using a

customized Fiji script

(https://github.com/MokalledLab/Zebrafish_SCI_Atlas/blob/main/hcr_celltypespecific_analysis_v

3.1_080222.ijm). Orthogonal projections of individual image stacks were generated using the Zen

software (Zeiss). ROIs around SC borders were defined to exclude non-SC tissues and user-

defined thresholds were set to create an inverted mask. Background noise was either manually

corrected or defined by thresholding a dedicated, unstained background channel. Integrated

density was quantified for fluorescence signals inside the quantification mask using the "Analyze

Particles" command. To calculate the HCR *in situ* hybridization signal inside neurons, an inverted

mask was created after thresholding HuC/D$^+$ neurons, then added to the final quantification mask.

The fluorescence signals inside this newly generated mask were considered neuron specific.

REFERENCES

Ahlmann-Eltze, C., and Huber, W. (2021). glmGamPoi: fitting Gamma-Poisson generalized linear models on single cell count data. Bioinformatics *36*, 5701-5702. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa1009.

Anderson, M.A., O'Shea, T.M., Burda, J.E., Ao, Y., Barlatey, S.L., Bernstein, A.M., Kim, J.H., James, N.D., Rogers, A., Kato, B., et al. (2018). Required growth facilitators propel axon regeneration across complete spinal cord injury. Nature *561*, 396-400. 10.1038/s41586-018-0467-6.

Baek, M., Menon, V., Jessell, T.M., Hantman, A.W., and Dasen, J.S. (2019). Molecular Logic of Spinocerebellar Tract Neuron Diversity and Connectivity. Cell Rep *27*, 2620-2635 e2624. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.113.

Bakken, T.E., Hodge, R.D., Miller, J.A., Yao, Z., Nguyen, T.N., Aevermann, B., Barkan, E., Bertagnolli, D., Casper, T., Dee, N., et al. (2018). Single-nucleus and single-cell transcriptomes compared in matched cortical cell types. PLoS One *13*, e0209648. 10.1371/journal.pone.0209648.

Bakr, M., Jullie, D., Krapivkina, J., Paget-Blanc, V., Bouit, L., Petersen, J.D., Retailleau, N., Breillat, C., Herzog, E., Choquet, D., and Perrais, D. (2021). The vSNAREs VAMP2 and VAMP4 control recycling and intracellular sorting of post-synaptic receptors in neuronal dendrites. Cell Rep *36*, 109678. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109678.

Bartus, K., Burnside, E.R., Galino, J., James, N.D., Bennett, D.L.H., and Bradbury, E.J. (2019). ErbB receptor signaling directly controls oligodendrocyte progenitor cell transformation and spontaneous remyelination after spinal cord injury. Glia *67*, 1036-1046. 10.1002/glia.23586.

Becht, E., McInnes, L., Healy, J., Dutertre, C.A., Kwok, I.W.H., Ng, L.G., Ginhoux, F., and Newell, E.W. (2018). Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. Nat Biotechnol. 10.1038/nbt.4314.

Bertels, H., Vicente-Ortiz, G., El Kanbi, K., and Takeoka, A. (2022). Neurotransmitter phenotype switching by spinal excitatory interneurons regulates locomotor recovery after spinal cord injury. Nat Neurosci *25*, 617-629. 10.1038/s41593-022-01067-9.

Bertuzzi, M., Chang, W., and Ampatzis, K. (2018). Adult spinal motoneurons change their neurotransmitter phenotype to control locomotion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *115*, E9926-E9933. 10.1073/pnas.1809050115.

Bradbury, E.J., Moon, L.D., Popat, R.J., King, V.R., Bennett, G.S., Patel, P.N., Fawcett, J.W., and McMahon, S.B. (2002). Chondroitinase ABC promotes functional recovery after spinal cord injury. Nature *416*, 636-640. 10.1038/416636a.

Brennan, F.H., and Popovich, P.G. (2018). Emerging targets for reprograming the immune response to promote repair and recovery of function after spinal cord injury. Curr Opin Neurol *31*, 334-344. 10.1097/WCO.0000000000000550.

Briona, L.K., Poulain, F.E., Mosimann, C., and Dorsky, R.I. (2015). Wnt/ss-catenin signaling is required for radial glial neurogenesis following spinal cord injury. Dev Biol *403*, 15-21. 10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.03.025.

Burris, B., Jensen, N., and Mokalled, M.H. (2021). Assessment of Swim Endurance and Swim
Behavior in Adult Zebrafish. J Vis Exp. 10.3791/63240.

Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E., and Satija, R. (2018). Integrating single-cell
transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol *36*,
411-420. 10.1038/nbt.4096.

Cardozo, M.J., Mysiak, K.S., Becker, T., and Becker, C.G. (2017). Reduce, reuse, recycle -
Developmental signals in spinal cord regeneration. Dev Biol *432*, 53-62.
10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.05.011.

Carulli, D., de Winter, F., and Verhaagen, J. (2021). Semaphorins in Adult Nervous System
Plasticity and Disease. Front Synaptic Neurosci *13*, 672891. 10.3389/fnsyn.2021.672891.

Cavone, L., McCann, T., Drake, L.K., Aguzzi, E.A., Oprisoreanu, A.M., Pedersen, E., Sandi, S.,
Selvarajah, J., Tsarouchas, T.M., Wehner, D., et al. (2021). A unique macrophage subpopulation
signals directly to progenitor cells to promote regenerative neurogenesis in the zebrafish spinal
cord. Dev Cell *56*, 1617-1630 e1616. 10.1016/j.devcel.2021.04.031.

Choi, H.M.T., Schwarzkopf, M., Fornace, M.E., Acharya, A., Artavanis, G., Stegmaier, J., Cunha,
A., and Pierce, N.A. (2018). Third-generation in situ hybridization chain reaction: multiplexed,
quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust. Development *145*. 10.1242/dev.165753.

Curtis, R., Green, D., Lindsay, R.M., and Wilkin, G.P. (1993). Up-regulation of GAP-43 and growth
of axons in rat spinal cord after compression injury. J Neurocytol *22*, 51-64. 10.1007/BF01183975.

DePaul, M.A., Lin, C.Y., Silver, J., and Lee, Y.S. (2017). Combinatory repair strategy to promote
axon regeneration and functional recovery after chronic spinal cord injury. Sci Rep *7*, 9018.
10.1038/s41598-017-09432-6.

Dias, T.B., Yang, Y.J., Ogai, K., Becker, T., and Becker, C.G. (2012). Notch signaling controls
generation of motor neurons in the lesioned spinal cord of adult zebrafish. J Neurosci *32*, 3245-
3252. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6398-11.2012.

Diez Del Corral, R., and Morales, A.V. (2017). The Multiple Roles of FGF Signaling in the
Developing Spinal Cord. Front Cell Dev Biol *5*, 58. 10.3389/fcell.2017.00058.

Ding, J., Adiconis, X., Simmons, S.K., Kowalczyk, M.S., Hession, C.C., Marjanovic, N.D., Hughes,
T.K., Wadsworth, M.H., Burks, T., Nguyen, L.T., et al. (2020). Systematic comparison of single-
cell and single-nucleus RNA-sequencing methods. Nat Biotechnol *38*, 737-746. 10.1038/s41587-
020-0465-8.

Doster, S.K., Lozano, A.M., Aguayo, A.J., and Willard, M.B. (1991). Expression of the growth-
associated protein GAP-43 in adult rat retinal ganglion cells following axon injury. Neuron *6*, 635-
647. 10.1016/0896-6273(91)90066-9.

Dudek, F.E., and Sutula, T.P. (2007). Epileptogenesis in the dentate gyrus: a critical perspective.
Prog Brain Res *163*, 755-773. 10.1016/S0079-6123(07)63041-6.

Durinck, S., Spellman, P.T., Birney, E., and Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nat Protoc *4*, 1184-1191. 10.1038/nprot.2009.97.

Fouad, K., Popovich, P.G., Kopp, M.A., and Schwab, J.M. (2021). The neuroanatomical-functional paradox in spinal cord injury. Nat Rev Neurol *17*, 53-62. 10.1038/s41582-020-00436-x.

Gao, R., and Penzes, P. (2015). Common mechanisms of excitatory and inhibitory imbalance in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. Curr Mol Med *15*, 146-167. 10.2174/1566524015666150303003028.

Garcia, A.L., Udeh, A., Kalahasty, K., and Hackam, A.S. (2018). A growing field: The regulation of axonal regeneration by Wnt signaling. Neural Regen Res *13*, 43-52. 10.4103/1673-5374.224359.

Gey, M., Wanner, R., Schilling, C., Pedro, M.T., Sinske, D., and Knoll, B. (2016). Atf3 mutant mice show reduced axon regeneration and impaired regeneration-associated gene induction after peripheral nerve injury. Open Biol *6*. 10.1098/rsob.160091.

Goldshmit, Y., Sztal, T.E., Jusuf, P.R., Hall, T.E., Nguyen-Chi, M., and Currie, P.D. (2012). Fgf-dependent glial cell bridges facilitate spinal cord regeneration in zebrafish. J Neurosci *32*, 7477-7492. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0758-12.2012.

Guillemot, F. (2007). Spatial and temporal specification of neural fates by transcription factor codes. Development *134*, 3771-3780. 10.1242/dev.006379.

Gulati, G.S., Sikandar, S.S., Wesche, D.J., Manjunath, A., Bharadwaj, A., Berger, M.J., Ilagan, F., Kuo, A.H., Hsieh, R.W., Cai, S., et al. (2020). Single-cell transcriptional diversity is a hallmark of developmental potential. Science *367*, 405-411. 10.1126/science.aax0249.

Guo, X.Y., Duan, F.X., Chen, J., Wang, Y., Wang, R., Shen, L., Qi, Q., Jiang, Z.Q., Zhu, A.Y., Xi, J., et al. (2019). Subcutaneous Administration of PDGF-AA Improves the Functional Recovery After Spinal Cord Injury. Front Neurosci *13*, 6. 10.3389/fnins.2019.00006.

Hafemeister, C., and Satija, R. (2019). Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. Genome Biol *20*, 296. 10.1186/s13059-019-1874-1.

Haghverdi, L., Lun, A.T.L., Morgan, M.D., and Marioni, J.C. (2018). Batch effects in single-cell RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest neighbors. Nat Biotechnol *36*, 421-427. 10.1038/nbt.4091.

Haring, M., Zeisel, A., Hochgerner, H., Rinwa, P., Jakobsson, J.E.T., Lonnerberg, P., La Manno, G., Sharma, N., Borgius, L., Kiehn, O., et al. (2018). Neuronal atlas of the dorsal horn defines its architecture and links sensory input to transcriptional cell types. Nat Neurosci *21*, 869-880. 10.1038/s41593-018-0141-1.

Hayashi, M., Hinckley, C.A., Driscoll, S.P., Moore, N.J., Levine, A.J., Hilde, K.L., Sharma, K., and Pfaff, S.L. (2018). Graded Arrays of Spinal and Supraspinal V2a Interneuron Subtypes Underlie Forelimb and Hindlimb Motor Control. Neuron *97*, 869-884 e865. 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.01.023.

He, C.W., Liao, C.P., and Pan, C.L. (2018). Wnt signalling in the development of axon, dendrites
and synapses. Open Biol *8*. 10.1098/rsob.180116.

He, Z., and Jin, Y. (2016). Intrinsic Control of Axon Regeneration. Neuron *90*, 437-451.
10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.022.

Hernandez-Miranda, L.R., Muller, T., and Birchmeier, C. (2017). The dorsal spinal cord and
hindbrain: From developmental mechanisms to functional circuits. Dev Biol *432*, 34-42.
10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.10.008.

Hilton, B.J., Husch, A., Schaffran, B., Lin, T.C., Burnside, E.R., Dupraz, S., Schelski, M., Kim, J.,
Muller, J.A., Schoch, S., et al. (2022). An active vesicle priming machinery suppresses axon
regeneration upon adult CNS injury. Neuron *110*, 51-69 e57. 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.10.007.

Horzmann, K.A., and Freeman, J.L. (2016). Zebrafish Get Connected: Investigating
Neurotransmission Targets and Alterations in Chemical Toxicity. Toxics *4*.
10.3390/toxics4030019.

Hou, J., Bi, H., Ge, Q., Teng, H., Wan, G., Yu, B., Jiang, Q., and Gu, X. (2022). Heterogeneity
analysis of astrocytes following spinal cord injury at single-cell resolution. FASEB J *36*, e22442.
10.1096/fj.202200463R.

Huang, C.X., Zhao, Y., Mao, J., Wang, Z., Xu, L., Cheng, J., Guan, N.N., and Song, J. (2021). An
injury-induced serotonergic neuron subpopulation contributes to axon regrowth and function
restoration after spinal cord injury in zebrafish. Nat Commun *12*, 7093. 10.1038/s41467-021-
27419-w.

Ilicic, T., Kim, J.K., Kolodziejczyk, A.A., Bagger, F.O., McCarthy, D.J., Marioni, J.C., and
Teichmann, S.A. (2016). Classification of low quality cells from single-cell RNA-seq data. Genome
Biol *17*, 29. 10.1186/s13059-016-0888-1.

Isaacson, J.S., and Scanziani, M. (2011). How inhibition shapes cortical activity. Neuron *72*, 231-
243. 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.027.

Jankowski, M.P., McIlwrath, S.L., Jing, X., Cornuet, P.K., Salerno, K.M., Koerber, H.R., and
Albers, K.M. (2009). Sox11 transcription factor modulates peripheral nerve regeneration in adult
mice. Brain Res *1256*, 43-54. 10.1016/j.brainres.2008.12.032.

Jin, S., Guerrero-Juarez, C.F., Zhang, L., Chang, I., Ramos, R., Kuan, C.H., Myung, P., Plikus,
M.V., and Nie, Q. (2021). Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. Nat
Commun *12*, 1088. 10.1038/s41467-021-21246-9.

Jing, X., Wang, T., Huang, S., Glorioso, J.C., and Albers, K.M. (2012). The transcription factor
Sox11 promotes nerve regeneration through activation of the regeneration-associated gene
Sprr1a. Exp Neurol *233*, 221-232. 10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.10.005.

Klatt Shaw, D., and Mokalled, M.H. (2021). Efficient CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis for
neurobehavioral screening in adult zebrafish. G3 (Bethesda) *11*. 10.1093/g3journal/jkab089.

Klatt Shaw, D., Saraswathy, V.M., Zhou, L., McAdow, A.R., Burris, B., Butka, E., Morris, S.A.,
Dietmann, S., and Mokalled, M.H. (2021). Localized EMT reprograms glial progenitors to promote
spinal cord repair. Dev Cell *56*, 613-626 e617. 10.1016/j.devcel.2021.01.017.

Kole, C., Brommer, B., Nakaya, N., Sengupta, M., Bonet-Ponce, L., Zhao, T., Wang, C., Li, W.,
He, Z., and Tomarev, S. (2020). Activating Transcription Factor 3 (ATF3) Protects Retinal
Ganglion Cells and Promotes Functional Preservation After Optic Nerve Crush. Invest Ophthalmol
Vis Sci *61*, 31. 10.1167/iovs.61.2.31.

Kuscha, V., Frazer, S.L., Dias, T.B., Hibi, M., Becker, T., and Becker, C.G. (2012). Lesion-induced
generation of interneuron cell types in specific dorsoventral domains in the spinal cord of adult
zebrafish. J Comp Neurol *520*, 3604-3616. 10.1002/cne.23115.

Lacar, B., Linker, S.B., Jaeger, B.N., Krishnaswami, S.R., Barron, J.J., Kelder, M.J.E., Parylak,
S.L., Paquola, A.C.M., Venepally, P., Novotny, M., et al. (2016). Nuclear RNA-seq of single
neurons reveals molecular signatures of activation. Nat Commun *7*, 11022.
10.1038/ncomms11022.

Lake, B.B., Codeluppi, S., Yung, Y.C., Gao, D., Chun, J., Kharchenko, P.V., Linnarsson, S., and
Zhang, K. (2017). A comparative strategy for single-nucleus and single-cell transcriptomes
confirms accuracy in predicted cell-type expression from nuclear RNA. Sci Rep *7*, 6031.
10.1038/s41598-017-04426-w.

Lange, M., Bergen, V., Klein, M., Setty, M., Reuter, B., Bakhti, M., Lickert, H., Ansari, M.,
Schniering, J., Schiller, H.B., et al. (2022). CellRank for directed single-cell fate mapping. Nat
Methods *19*, 159-170. 10.1038/s41592-021-01346-6.

Lawson, N.D., Li, R., Shin, M., Grosse, A., Yukselen, O., Stone, O.A., Kucukural, A., and Zhu, L.
(2020). An improved zebrafish transcriptome annotation for sensitive and comprehensive
detection of cell type-specific genes. Elife *9*. 10.7554/eLife.55792.

Levine, J.H., Simonds, E.F., Bendall, S.C., Davis, K.L., Amir el, A.D., Tadmor, M.D., Litvin, O.,
Fienberg, H.G., Jager, A., Zunder, E.R., et al. (2015). Data-Driven Phenotypic Dissection of AML
Reveals Progenitor-like Cells that Correlate with Prognosis. Cell *162*, 184-197.
10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.047.

Li, W.R., Wang, Y.L., Li, C., Gao, P., Zhang, F.F., Hu, M., Li, J.C., Zhang, S., Li, R., and Zhang,
C.X. (2021). Synaptotagmin-11 inhibits spontaneous neurotransmission through vti1a. J
Neurochem *159*, 729-741. 10.1111/jnc.15523.

Lin, P.Y., Chanaday, N.L., Horvath, P.M., Ramirez, D.M.O., Monteggia, L.M., and Kavalali, E.T.
(2020). VAMP4 Maintains a Ca(2+)-Sensitive Pool of Spontaneously Recycling Synaptic
Vesicles. J Neurosci *40*, 5389-5401. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2386-19.2020.

Lu, D.C., Niu, T., and Alaynick, W.A. (2015). Molecular and cellular development of spinal cord
locomotor circuitry. Front Mol Neurosci *8*, 25. 10.3389/fnmol.2015.00025.

Matson, K.J.E., Russ, D.E., Kathe, C., Hua, I., Maric, D., Ding, Y., Krynitsky, J., Pursley, R.,
Sathyamurthy, A., Squair, J.W., et al. (2022). Single cell atlas of spinal cord injury in mice reveals
a pro-regenerative signature in spinocerebellar neurons. Nat Commun *13*, 5628.
10.1038/s41467-022-33184-1.

Matson, K.J.E., Sathyamurthy, A., Johnson, K.R., Kelly, M.C., Kelley, M.W., and Levine, A.J. (2018). Isolation of Adult Spinal Cord Nuclei for Massively Parallel Single-nucleus RNA Sequencing. J Vis Exp. 10.3791/58413.

McGinnis, C.S., Murrow, L.M., and Gartner, Z.J. (2019). DoubletFinder: Doublet Detection in Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Data Using Artificial Nearest Neighbors. Cell Syst *8*, 329-337 e324. 10.1016/j.cels.2019.03.003.

Milich, L.M., Choi, J.S., Ryan, C., Cerqueira, S.R., Benavides, S., Yahn, S.L., Tsoulfas, P., and Lee, J.K. (2021). Single-cell analysis of the cellular heterogeneity and interactions in the injured mouse spinal cord. J Exp Med *218*. 10.1084/jem.20210040.

Milich, L.M., Ryan, C.B., and Lee, J.K. (2019). The origin, fate, and contribution of macrophages to spinal cord injury pathology. Acta Neuropathol *137*, 785-797. 10.1007/s00401-019-01992-3.

Mokalled, M.H., Patra, C., Dickson, A.L., Endo, T., Stainier, D.Y., and Poss, K.D. (2016). Injury-induced ctgfa directs glial bridging and spinal cord regeneration in zebrafish. Science *354*, 630-634. 10.1126/science.aaf2679.

Monaghan, J.R., Walker, J.A., Page, R.B., Putta, S., Beachy, C.K., and Voss, S.R. (2007). Early gene expression during natural spinal cord regeneration in the salamander Ambystoma mexicanum. J Neurochem *101*, 27-40. 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04344.x.

Nakamura, Y., Ueno, M., Niehaus, J.K., Lang, R.A., Zheng, Y., and Yoshida, Y. (2021). Modulation of Both Intrinsic and Extrinsic Factors Additively Promotes Rewiring of Corticospinal Circuits after Spinal Cord Injury. J Neurosci *41*, 10247-10260. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2649-20.2021.

Nicholson-Fish, J.C., Kokotos, A.C., Gillingwater, T.H., Smillie, K.J., and Cousin, M.A. (2015). VAMP4 Is an Essential Cargo Molecule for Activity-Dependent Bulk Endocytosis. Neuron *88*, 973-984. 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.10.043.

Park, K.K., Liu, K., Hu, Y., Smith, P.D., Wang, C., Cai, B., Xu, B., Connolly, L., Kramvis, I., Sahin, M., and He, Z. (2008). Promoting axon regeneration in the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR pathway. Science *322*, 963-966. 10.1126/science.1161566.

R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

Reimer, M.M., Kuscha, V., Wyatt, C., Sorensen, I., Frank, R.E., Knuwer, M., Becker, T., and Becker, C.G. (2009). Sonic hedgehog is a polarized signal for motor neuron regeneration in adult zebrafish. J Neurosci *29*, 15073-15082. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4748-09.2009.

Reimer, M.M., Sorensen, I., Kuscha, V., Frank, R.E., Liu, C., Becker, C.G., and Becker, T. (2008). Motor neuron regeneration in adult zebrafish. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *28*, 8510-8516. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1189-08.2008.

Ren, Y., Ao, Y., O'Shea, T.M., Burda, J.E., Bernstein, A.M., Brumm, A.J., Muthusamy, N., Ghashghaei, H.T., Carmichael, S.T., Cheng, L., and Sofroniew, M.V. (2017). Ependymal cell contribution to scar formation after spinal cord injury is minimal, local and dependent on direct ependymal injury. Sci Rep *7*, 41122. 10.1038/srep41122.

Rodrigo Albors, A., Singer, G.A., Llorens-Bobadilla, E., Frisen, J., May, A.P., Ponting, C.P., and
Storey, K.G. (2023). An ependymal cell census identifies heterogeneous and ongoing cell
maturation in the adult mouse spinal cord that changes dynamically on injury. Dev Cell *58*, 239-
255 e210. 10.1016/j.devcel.2023.01.003.

Rosenberg, A.B., Roco, C.M., Muscat, R.A., Kuchina, A., Sample, P., Yao, Z., Graybuck, L.T.,
Peeler, D.J., Mukherjee, S., Chen, W., et al. (2018). Single-cell profiling of the developing mouse
brain and spinal cord with split-pool barcoding. Science *360*, 176-182. 10.1126/science.aam8999.

Rossum, G.V., and Drake, F.L. (2009). Python 3 Reference Manual.

Rougeot, J., Torraca, V., Zakrzewska, A., Kanwal, Z., Jansen, H.J., Sommer, F., Spaink, H.P.,
and Meijer, A.H. (2019). RNAseq Profiling of Leukocyte Populations in Zebrafish Larvae Reveals
a cxcl11 Chemokine Gene as a Marker of Macrophage Polarization During Mycobacterial
Infection. Front Immunol *10*, 832. 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00832.

Runker, A.E., Little, G.E., Suto, F., Fujisawa, H., and Mitchell, K.J. (2008). Semaphorin-6A
controls guidance of corticospinal tract axons at multiple choice points. Neural Dev *3*, 34.
10.1186/1749-8104-3-34.

Salic, A., and Mitchison, T.J. (2008). A chemical method for fast and sensitive detection of DNA
synthesis in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *105*, 2415-2420. 10.1073/pnas.0712168105.

Saraswathy, V.M., Zhou, L., McAdow, A.R., Burris, B., Dogra, D., Reischauer, S., and Mokalled,
M.H. (2022). Myostatin is a negative regulator of adult neurogenesis after spinal cord injury in
zebrafish. Cell Rep *41*, 111705. 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111705.

Sathyamurthy, A., Johnson, K.R., Matson, K.J.E., Dobrott, C.I., Li, L., Ryba, A.R., Bergman, T.B.,
Kelly, M.C., Kelley, M.W., and Levine, A.J. (2018). Massively Parallel Single Nucleus
Transcriptional Profiling Defines Spinal Cord Neurons and Their Activity during Behavior. Cell
Rep *22*, 2216-2225. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.003.

Satou, C., Kimura, Y., Hirata, H., Suster, M.L., Kawakami, K., and Higashijima, S. (2013).
Transgenic tools to characterize neuronal properties of discrete populations of zebrafish neurons.
Development *140*, 3927-3931. 10.1242/dev.099531.

Scott, K., O'Rourke, R., Winkler, C.C., Kearns, C.A., and Appel, B. (2021). Temporal single-cell
transcriptomes of zebrafish spinal cord pMN progenitors reveal distinct neuronal and glial
progenitor populations. Dev Biol *479*, 37-50. 10.1016/j.ydbio.2021.07.010.

Shah, P.T., Stratton, J.A., Stykel, M.G., Abbasi, S., Sharma, S., Mayr, K.A., Koblinger, K., Whelan,
P.J., and Biernaskie, J. (2018). Single-Cell Transcriptomics and Fate Mapping of Ependymal Cells
Reveals an Absence of Neural Stem Cell Function. Cell *173*, 1045-1057 e1049.
10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.063.

Shimada, T., Yoshida, T., and Yamagata, K. (2016). Neuritin Mediates Activity-Dependent Axonal
Branch Formation in Part via FGF Signaling. J Neurosci *36*, 4534-4548.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1715-15.2016.

Shimojo, M., Madara, J., Pankow, S., Liu, X., Yates, J., 3rd, Sudhof, T.C., and Maximov, A. (2019).
Synaptotagmin-11 mediates a vesicle trafficking pathway that is essential for development and
synaptic plasticity. Genes Dev *33*, 365-376. 10.1101/gad.320077.118.

Sofroniew, M.V. (2018). Dissecting spinal cord regeneration. Nature *557*, 343-350.
10.1038/s41586-018-0068-4.

Squair, J.W., Milano, M., de Coucy, A., Gautier, M., Skinnider, M.A., James, N.D., Cho, N., Lasne,
A., Kathe, C., Hutson, T.H., et al. (2023). Recovery of walking after paralysis by regenerating
characterized neurons to their natural target region. Science *381*, 1338-1345.
10.1126/science.adi6412.

Stassen, S.V., Yip, G.G.K., Wong, K.K.Y., Ho, J.W.K., and Tsia, K.K. (2021). Generalized and
scalable trajectory inference in single-cell omics data with VIA. Nat Commun *12*, 5528.
10.1038/s41467-021-25773-3.

Sternberg, J.R., Prendergast, A.E., Brosse, L., Cantaut-Belarif, Y., Thouvenin, O., Orts-
Del'Immagine, A., Castillo, L., Djenoune, L., Kurisu, S., McDearmid, J.R., et al. (2018). Pkd2l1 is
required for mechanoception in cerebrospinal fluid-contacting neurons and maintenance of spine
curvature. Nat Commun *9*, 3804. 10.1038/s41467-018-06225-x.

Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck, W.M., 3rd, Hao, Y.,
Stoeckius, M., Smibert, P., and Satija, R. (2019). Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data.
Cell *177*, 1888-1902 e1821. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031.

Syed, D.S., Gowda, S.B., Reddy, O.V., Reichert, H., and VijayRaghavan, K. (2016). Glial and
neuronal Semaphorin signaling instruct the development of a functional myotopic map for
Drosophila walking. Elife *5*, e11572. 10.7554/eLife.11572.

Tambalo, M., Mitter, R., and Wilkinson, D.G. (2020). A single cell transcriptome atlas of the
developing zebrafish hindbrain. Development *147*. 10.1242/dev.184143.

Tang, Q., Iyer, S., Lobbardi, R., Moore, J.C., Chen, H., Lareau, C., Hebert, C., Shaw, M.L., Neftel,
C., Suva, M.L., et al. (2017). Dissecting hematopoietic and renal cell heterogeneity in adult
zebrafish at single-cell resolution using RNA sequencing. J Exp Med *214*, 2875-2887.
10.1084/jem.20170976.

Tome, D., Dias, M.S., Correia, J., and Almeida, R.D. (2023). Fibroblast growth factor signaling in
axons: from development to disease. Cell Commun Signal *21*, 290. 10.1186/s12964-023-01284-
0.

Tran, A.P., Warren, P.M., and Silver, J. (2022). New insights into glial scar formation after spinal
cord injury. Cell Tissue Res *387*, 319-336. 10.1007/s00441-021-03477-w.

Tsata, V., Mollmert, S., Schweitzer, C., Kolb, J., Mockel, C., Bohm, B., Rosso, G., Lange, C.,
Lesche, M., Hammer, J., et al. (2021). A switch in pdgfrb(+) cell-derived ECM composition
prevents inhibitory scarring and promotes axon regeneration in the zebrafish spinal cord. Dev Cell
56, 509-524 e509. 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.12.009.

van den Brink, S.C., Sage, F., Vertesy, A., Spanjaard, B., Peterson-Maduro, J., Baron, C.S.,
Robin, C., and van Oudenaarden, A. (2017). Single-cell sequencing reveals dissociation-induced
gene expression in tissue subpopulations. Nat Methods *14*, 935-936. 10.1038/nmeth.4437.

Vandestadt, C., Vanwalleghem, G.C., Khabooshan, M.A., Douek, A.M., Castillo, H.A., Li, M.,
Schulze, K., Don, E., Stamatis, S.A., Ratnadiwakara, M., et al. (2021). RNA-induced inflammation
and migration of precursor neurons initiates neuronal circuit regeneration in zebrafish. Dev Cell
56, 2364-2380 e2368. 10.1016/j.devcel.2021.07.021.

Wang, C., Wang, Y., Hu, M., Chai, Z., Wu, Q., Huang, R., Han, W., Zhang, C.X., and Zhou, Z.
(2016). Synaptotagmin-11 inhibits clathrin-mediated and bulk endocytosis. EMBO Rep *17*, 47-63.
10.15252/embr.201540689.

Wang, L.F., Huang, S.B., Zhao, H.D., Liu, C.J., Yao, L., and Shen, Y.Q. (2017). Activating
transcription factor 3 promotes spinal cord regeneration of adult zebrafish. Biochem Biophys Res
Commun *488*, 522-527. 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.05.079.

Wang, Z., Reynolds, A., Kirry, A., Nienhaus, C., and Blackmore, M.G. (2015). Overexpression of
Sox11 promotes corticospinal tract regeneration after spinal injury while interfering with functional
recovery. J Neurosci *35*, 3139-3145. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2832-14.2015.

Wehner, D., Tsarouchas, T.M., Michael, A., Haase, C., Weidinger, G., Reimer, M.M., Becker, T.,
and Becker, C.G. (2017). Wnt signaling controls pro-regenerative Collagen XII in functional spinal
cord regeneration in zebrafish. Nat Commun *8*, 126. 10.1038/s41467-017-00143-0.

Williams, R.R., Venkatesh, I., Pearse, D.D., Udvadia, A.J., and Bunge, M.B. (2015).
MASH1/Ascl1a leads to GAP43 expression and axon regeneration in the adult CNS. PLoS One
10, e0118918. 10.1371/journal.pone.0118918.

Wu, H., Kirita, Y., Donnelly, E.L., and Humphreys, B.D. (2019). Advantages of Single-Nucleus
over Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of Adult Kidney: Rare Cell Types and Novel Cell States
Revealed in Fibrosis. J Am Soc Nephrol *30*, 23-32. 10.1681/ASN.2018090912.

Xu, C., and Su, Z. (2015). Identification of cell types from single-cell transcriptomes using a novel
clustering method. Bioinformatics *31*, 1974-1980. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv088.

Yadav, A., Matson, K.J.E., Li, L., Hua, I., Petrescu, J., Kang, K., Alkaslasi, M.R., Lee, D.I., Hasan,
S., Galuta, A., et al. (2023). A cellular taxonomy of the adult human spinal cord. Neuron *111*, 328-
344 e327. 10.1016/j.neuron.2023.01.007.

Yang, S., Corbett, S.E., Koga, Y., Wang, Z., Johnson, W.E., Yajima, M., and Campbell, J.D.
(2020). Decontamination of ambient RNA in single-cell RNA-seq with DecontX. Genome Biol *21*,
57. 10.1186/s13059-020-1950-6.

Yao, C., Cao, Y., Wang, D., Lv, Y., Liu, Y., Gu, X., Wang, Y., Wang, X., and Yu, B. (2022). Single-
cell sequencing reveals microglia induced angiogenesis by specific subsets of endothelial cells
following spinal cord injury. FASEB J *36*, e22393. 10.1096/fj.202200337R.

Ye, L.X., An, N.C., Huang, P., Li, D.H., Zheng, Z.L., Ji, H., Li, H., Chen, D.Q., Wu, Y.Q., Xiao, J.,
et al. (2021). Exogenous platelet-derived growth factor improves neurovascular unit recovery after
spinal cord injury. Neural Regen Res *16*, 765-771. 10.4103/1673-5374.295347.

Yoshida, Y. (2012). Semaphorin signaling in vertebrate neural circuit assembly. Front Mol
Neurosci *5*, 71. 10.3389/fnmol.2012.00071.

Zappia, L., and Oshlack, A. (2018). Clustering trees: a visualization for evaluating clusterings at
multiple resolutions. Gigascience *7*. 10.1093/gigascience/giy083.

Zavvarian, M.M., Zhou, C., Kahnemuyipour, S., Hong, J., and Fehlings, M.G. (2021). The MAPK
Signaling Pathway Presents Novel Molecular Targets for Therapeutic Intervention after Traumatic
Spinal Cord Injury: A Comparative Cross-Species Transcriptional Analysis. Int J Mol Sci *22*.
10.3390/ijms222312934.

Zeisel, A., Hochgerner, H., Lonnerberg, P., Johnsson, A., Memic, F., van der Zwan, J., Haring,
M., Braun, E., Borm, L.E., La Manno, G., et al. (2018). Molecular Architecture of the Mouse
Nervous System. Cell *174*, 999-1014 e1022. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021.

Zhang, H., Fang, X., Huang, D., Luo, Q., Zheng, M., Wang, K., Cao, L., and Yin, Z. (2018).
Erythropoietin signaling increases neurogenesis and oligodendrogenesis of endogenous neural
stem cells following spinal cord injury both in vivo and in vitro. Mol Med Rep *17*, 264-272.
10.3892/mmr.2017.7873.

Zhang, Y., Bo, X., Schoepfer, R., Holtmaat, A.J., Verhaagen, J., Emson, P.C., Lieberman, A.R.,
and Anderson, P.N. (2005). Growth-associated protein GAP-43 and L1 act synergistically to
promote regenerative growth of Purkinje cell axons in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *102*, 14883-
14888. 10.1073/pnas.0505164102.

Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Sloan, S.A., Bennett, M.L., Scholze, A.R., O'Keeffe, S., Phatnani, H.P.,
Guarnieri, P., Caneda, C., Ruderisch, N., et al. (2014). An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and
splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. J Neurosci *34*, 11929-
11947. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1860-14.2014.

Zhang, Y., Sloan, S.A., Clarke, L.E., Caneda, C., Plaza, C.A., Blumenthal, P.D., Vogel, H.,
Steinberg, G.K., Edwards, M.S., Li, G., et al. (2016). Purification and Characterization of
Progenitor and Mature Human Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences
with Mouse. Neuron *89*, 37-53. 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.013.

Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A.H., Tanaseichuk, O., Benner, C., and
Chanda, S.K. (2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of
systems-level datasets. Nat Commun *10*, 1523. 10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6.

1418

Figure 1

Figure 1. Transcriptomic profiling of innate SC repair in adult zebrafish. (A) Experimental pipeline to generate a single-cell atlas of zebrafish cells after SCI. SC tissue collection, nuclear isolation and single nuclear RNA-seq (snRNA-seq) were performed on wild-type fish at 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Uninjured SC nuclei were used as 0 wpi controls. 10x genomics sequencing with v3.1 chemistry was performed. Two biological replicates were used at each time point, and SC tissues from 50 animals were pooled into a single biological replicate. **(B)** Merged UMAP representation of the complete dataset. Two biological replicates, 4 time points and 58,973 cells were clustered into major spinal cell populations including Neurons A and B, glia/ependymo-radial glial cells (glia/ERGs), oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), oligodendrocytes A and B, Leukocytes A and B, and Erythrocytes. **(C)** Marker gene expression in the major cell types identified after SCI. Dot plot shows canonical marker genes are enriched in their respective cell types identified. Dot colors and diameters represent average gene expression and percent cells with at least one UMI detected per gene, respectively. Feature plots depicting the distributions of canonical marker genes corresponding to the major cell populations are shown. **(D)** Distribution of major cell types during SC regeneration. For each time point, cell proportions were normalized to the total number of cells present at that time point. **(E)** Heatmap showing top 10 differentially expressed (DE) genes in the major cell populations identified by snRNA-seq. Yellow and magenta represent highly enriched and low-expression genes, respectively.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Cell-cell interaction networks during SC regeneration. (A) Relative strengths of
outgoing signaling pathways in major spinal cell populations at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Bar graphs at
the top of each heatmap show cumulative signaling strengths per cell population. Bar graphs at
the right of each heatmap show cumulative signaling strength per pathway. Pathways highlighted
in yellow are specifically enriched at one time point. Pathways highlighted in magenta are
enriched after injury. **(B)** Cumulative strengths of signaling pathways outgoing from or received
by major cell types. **(C)** Circle plots represent cell-cell interaction strengths of signaling pathways
outgoing from Neurons A, B and OPCs at 6 wpi. Arrow thickness is directly proportional to the
cumulative strengths of all predicted interactions between clusters. **(D)** Chord diagrams showing
intercellular communication of signaling pathways that are enriched in neurons after SCI. Arc
diameters are proportional to cumulative signaling strengths. Bar graphs at the bottom of each
chord diagram represent the relative contribution of different ligand-receptor pairs predicted for
the corresponding signaling pathway.

A Neuron subclusters

B Neurotransmitter properties

Inhibitory
- GABAergic
- Glycinergic
- GABAergic/Glycinergic
- GABAergic/Glycinergic/Catecholaminergic
- GABAergic/Glycinergic/Cholinergic/Catecholaminergic

Excitatory
- Glutamatergic
- Glutamatergic/Catecholaminergic
- Glutamatergic/Cholinergic

Other
- Serotonergic
- Cholinergic
- Glutamatergic/Glycinergic/Cholinergic
- Unidentified

C Control / 1 wpi / 3 wpi / 6 wpi

Control: 13% Other, 30% E, 56% I
1 wpi: 10% Other, 34% E, 56% I
3 wpi: 12% Other, 31% E, 57% I
6 wpi: 11% Other, 29% E, 60% I

D E/I by snRNA-seq

E *vglut2a*:RFP HuC/D Hoechst — Control / 1 wpi / 3 wpi / 6 wpi

F *gad1b*:GFP HuC/D Hoechst — Control / 1 wpi / 3 wpi / 6 wpi

G % Vglut2a⁺ HuC/D⁺/HuC/D⁺

H % Gad1b⁺ HuC/D⁺/HuC/D⁺

I E/I *in vivo*

- Control (n=14)
- 1 wpi (n=12)
- 3 wpi (n=12)
- 6 wpi (n=10)

Figure 3. Recovery of excitatory/inhibitory balance during SC regeneration. (A) UMAP plot
showing 30 different neuron subclusters identified with 0.6 resolution parameter. **(B)** Classification
of neuron clusters based on neurotransmitter properties. Canonical neurotransmitter marker
genes are used: *slc17a6a* and *slc17a6b* for glutamatergic neurons; *slc6a5, gad1a, gad1b* and
gad2 for GABA/glycinergic neurons; *chata and slc5a7a* for cholinergic neurons; *slc6a4a* and *tph2*
for serotonergic neurons and *slc6a2* for catecholaminergic neurons. Clusters with enrichment of
at least one of the glutamatergic markers are identified as excitatory and with enrichment of at
least one of the GABA/glycinergic markers are identified as inhibitory. Clusters that only show
enrichment for serotonergic, cholinergic, or a mix of glutamatergic and glycinergic markers are
labelled as "Other". The neuron cluster that does not express any of the previously mentioned
marker genes is labelled "Unidentified". **(C)** Pie charts show the proportions of excitatory,
inhibitory, and "Other" neuron populations at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi. **(D)** *in silico* calculation of E/I ratios
during SC regeneration. Bar charts depict the ratio between excitatory and inhibitory neurons at
0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Dots indicate single biological replicates. Two biological replicates are shown
per time point. Error bars represent SEM. **(E, F)** Immunostaining for RFP, GFP, HuC/D and
Hoechst in SC cross sections from Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP) and Tg(*gad1b*:GFP) zebrafish at 0, 1, 3 and
6 wpi. White arrows point to RFP$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons in E and GFP$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons in F. Insets
depict single channel views of *vglut2a*:RFP or *gad1b*:GFP in dorsal and ventral SC domains,
respectively. **(G, H)** Quantification of glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons after SCI. Percent
vglut2a$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons (ANOVA p-value: 0.0086) and *gad1b*$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons (ANOVA p-
value: 0.0043) were normalized to the total number of HuC/D$^+$ neurons. **(I)** *in vivo* quantification
of E/I ratios during SC regeneration. Ratios of glutamatergic to GABAergic neurons were
calculated at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi (ANOVA p-value: 0.03421). Solid circles and polygons in bar charts
indicate individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. SC cross sections 450
µm rostral to the lesion were analyzed. Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test was performed
in G, H and I with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test performed across different time points
having 95% CI. * p≤0.05, ** p ≤0.01; Scale bars, 50 µm.

Figure 4

A Cell proliferation assay

SCI
0   1   2   3   4   5   6 wpi
EdU
Control   1 wpi analysis   3 wpi analysis   6 wpi analysis   Histology

-450 μm
Rostral   Caudal

B gad1b:GFP EdU
vglut2a:RFP Hoechst
Control   1 wpi   3 wpi   6 wpi

C % EdU⁺
D % vglut2a⁺ EdU⁺
E % gad1b⁺ EdU⁺

Control (n=14)
1 wpi (n=12)
3 wpi (n=12)
6 wpi (n=10)

F Cellular regeneration assay

0   1   2   3 wpi
SCI   EdU   Histology   Histology

-750 μm -450 μm -150 μm
Rostral   Caudal

G gad1b:GFP EdU
vglut2a:RFP Hoechst
Control   1 wpi   3 wpi

H % EdU⁺Vglut2a⁺ (/Vglut2a⁺)
Distance from lesion (μm)
-150   -450   -750

I % EdU⁺ Gad1b⁺ (/Gad1b⁺)
Distance from lesion (μm)
-150   -450   -750

Control (n=15)
1 wpi (n=15)
3 wpi (n=12)

Figure 4. Regeneration of excitatory and inhibitory neurons after SCI. (A) Experimental timeline to assess cell proliferation at 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP; *gad1b*:GFP) zebrafish were subjected to SC transections. Single intraperitoneal EdU injections were performed at either 6, 20, or 41 days post-injury. SC tissues were harvested for analysis at 1, 3, or 6 wpi and at 24 hours after EdU injection. Uninjured animals were injected with EdU and collected 24 hours after EdU injection as controls. SC cross-section 450 μm rostral to the lesion was used for quantifications. **(B)** Staining for RFP, GFP, EdU and Hoechst in SC cross sections of Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP; *gad1b*:GFP) zebrafish at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi. White arrows indicate *vglut2a*$^+$ EdU$^+$ neurons. Dotted lines delineate central canal edges. **(C-E)** Quantification of EdU$^+$ cells (ANOVA p-value <0.0001), *vglut2a*$^+$ EdU$^+$ neurons (ANOVA p-value <0.0001) and *gad1b*$^+$ EdU$^+$ neurons (ANOVA p-value: 0.0449) at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Percent cells were normalized to the total number of nuclei in SC sections. **(F)** Experimental timeline to assess the cumulative profiles of regenerating neurons at 1 and 3 wpi. Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP; *gad1b*:GFP) zebrafish were subjected to SC transections and daily intraperitoneal EdU injections. SC tissues were harvested for analysis at 1 and 3 wpi. Control SCs received daily EdU injection for 7 days before collection. Tissue sections 150, 450 and 750 μm rostral to the lesion were analyzed. **(G)** Staining for RFP, GFP, EdU and Hoechst in SC cross sections of Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP; *gad1b*:GFP) zebrafish at 0, 1 and 3 wpi. White arrows indicate *vglut2a*$^+$ EdU$^+$ neurons. Insets show single channel views of *vglut2a*:RFP and *gad1b*:GFP expression. Dotted lines delineate central canal edges. **(H, I)** Quantification of regenerating glutamatergic neurons (*vglut2a*$^+$ EdU$^+$) (ANOVA p-value <0.0001) and regenerating GABAergic neurons (*gad1b*$^+$ EdU$^+$) (ANOVA p-value <0.0001). For each section, percent cells were normalized to the number of *vglut2a*$^+$ EdU$^+$ neurons in H and *vglut2a*$^+$ EdU$^+$ neurons in I. Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test were performed in C, D and E with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test performed across different time points with 95% CI. Two-way ANOVA was performed in H and I with Tukey's multiple comparison test having 95% CI. * p≤0.05, ** p ≤0.01, *** p≤0.001. Solid circles and polygons in the bar charts indicate individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Scale bars, 50 μm.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Emergence of an injury-responsive iNeuron signature during SC regeneration.
(A) Dynamics of neuronal subclusters at 0, 1, 3 and 6 wpi. Cell proportions for each cluster and time point were normalized to the total number of neurons analyzed at that time point. Clusters that either increased or decreased by >2-fold in proportion are highlighted in red and blue, respectively. **(B)** UMAP plot showing predicted cluster identity for each neuron subpopulations. V0, V2a, V2b, interneurons, motor neurons are shown along with clusters identified as neuroblast-like or progenitor-like neurons. Cluster N20 was the only cluster identified as neuroblast-like neurons. **(C)** UMAP plot showing Regeneration score for each neuron subclusters. -log10P value of each cluster is represented in a gradient scale of gray (min=0) to red (max=6). Cluster N20 showed the highest regeneration score compared to all other neurons. **(D)** Feature plots show the expression of the regeneration associated genes *gap43* and *atf3* in cluster N20. **(E)** Gene ontology of N20 iNeuron DE markers. Twenty of the most enriched terms are shown. X-axis represents -log10(p-value) for each term. **(F)** Circle plots represent cell-cell interaction strengths at 1 wpi. Arrow thickness is directly proportional to the cumulative strengths of all predicted interactions between clusters. **(G)** Signaling pathways outgoing from or received by iNeurons at 1 wpi. Bar graphs at the top of each heatmap show cumulative signaling strengths per cell population. Bar graphs at the right of each heatmap show cumulative signaling strength per pathway.

Figure 6

Figure 6. *in vivo* mapping of iNeurons in zebrafish spinal tissues. (A) HCR *in situ*
hybridization for *syt11b* and staining for HuC/D, Hoechst and EdU were performed on wild-type
SC cross sections at 0, 1 and 3 wpi. Dotted lines delineate central canal edges. **(B, C)**
Quantification of *syt11b* HCR *in situ* hybridization. Integrated density was calculated in the whole
SC cross sections (ANOVA p-value: 0.0007) and inside HuC/D$^+$ neurons (ANOVA p-value:
0.0009) at 0, 1 and 3 wpi. **(D)** HCR *in situ* hybridization for *vamp4* and staining for HuC/D, Hoechst
and EdU were performed on wild-type SC cross sections at 0, 1 and 3 wpi. Dotted lines delineate
central canal edges. **(E, F)** Quantification of *vamp4* HCR *in situ* hybridization. Integrated density
was calculated in the whole SC cross sections (ANOVA p-value: 0.0012) and inside HuC/D$^+$
neurons (ANOVA p-value: 0.0043) at 0, 1 and 3 wpi. **(G-I)** Quantification of *syt11b* expressing
cells after SCI. Percent *syt11b*$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons (normalized to HuC/D$^+$ neurons in G) (ANOVA p-
value: 0.0062), percent EdU$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons (normalized to HuC/D$^+$ neurons in H) (ANOVA p-
value <0.0001), and percent *syt11b*$^+$ EdU$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons (normalized to EdU$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ neurons
in I) (ANOVA p-value: 0.0813). Analysis was performed on SC cross sections at 0, 1 and 3 wpi.
(J) HCR *in situ* hybridization for *syt11b* in SC cross-sections of Tg(*vglut2a*:RFP; *gad1b*:GFP)
zebrafish at 0, 1 and 3 wpi. Dotted lines delineate central canal edges. Arrowheads point to
overlapping of *syt11b* transcripts with either *vglut2a* or *gad1b*. **(K)** Quantification of *syt11b*
transcripts within *vglut2a*$^+$ and *gad1b*$^+$ neurons at 0, 1 and 3 wpi (ANOVA p-value <0.0001). *syt11b*
signal outside either of these neurotransmitter populations was also quantified. SC cross sections
at 450 µm rostral to the lesion were analyzed. Solid circles and polygons in the bar charts indicate
individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Brown-Forsythe and Welch
ANOVA test was performed in B, C, E, F, G, H, and I with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test
performed across different time points with 95% CI. Two-way ANOVA was performed in K with
Tukey's multiple comparisons test with 95 % CI. * p≤0.05, ** p ≤0.01, *** p≤0.001. Scale bars, 50
µm**.**

Figure 7. Tracing and CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis of iNeuron marker genes in zebrafish. (A-C) Rostral-to-caudal tracing of neurons at 1 wpi. Biocytin was applied 4 mm rostral to the lesion and co-labeled with *syt11b* HCR *in situ* hybridization and HuC/D staining. SC cross sections 750 mm caudal to the lesion are shown in A. Dotted lines delineate central canal edges. The profiles of Biocytin-labeled neurons (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$) were normalized to total neurons (HuC/D$^+$) in B. The profiles of Biocytin-labeled neurons (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$) were normalized to total neurons (HuC/D$^+$) in B. The profiles of Biocytin-labeled iNeurons (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$ *syt11b*$^+$) were normalized to traced neurons (Biocytin$^+$ HuC/D$^+$) in C. ANOVA p-values are 0.0029 for A and 0.0011 for B. **(D)** Pipeline for CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis of iNeuron marker genes for regeneration assessment. CRISPR/Cas9 dual-guide ribonucleic protein duplexes targeting iNeuron genes injected into one-cell zebrafish embryos. Mutagenesis efficiency was confirmed by capillary electrophoresis and animals with >90% mutagenesis were used for SCI. Swim endurance, swim behavior and histological regeneration assays were performed at 4 wpi. **(E)** Functional recovery in CRISPR/Cas9 targeted animals 4 wpi. For each group of targeted animals, uninjected siblings were subjected to SCI and swim endurance assays (ANOVA p-value <0.0001). Dots represent individual animals. **(F)** Swim behavior assays in CRISPR/Cas9 targeted animals 4 wpi (ANOVA p-value <0.0001). Swim distance was tracked under water current velocities of 0, 10 and 20 cm/s. **(G)** Glial bridging in CRISPR/Cas9 targeted animals at 4 wpi. Representative immunohistochemistry shows the Gfap$^+$ bridge at the lesion site in *atf3, vamp4* and *syt11a/b* crispants relative to controls. Percent bridging represents the cross-sectional area of the glial bridge at the lesion site (0 µm) relative to the intact SC 750 µm rostral to the lesion (ANOVA p-value: 0.0049). **(H)** Anterograde axon tracing in CRISPR/Cas9 targeted animals at 4 wpi. Biocytin axon tracer was applied rostrally and analyzed at 600 µm (proximal) (ANOVA p-value <0.0001) and 1500 µm (distal) (ANOVA p-value: 0.0006) caudal to the lesion. Representative traces of biocytin are shown at the proximal level. Axon growth was first normalized to the extent of biocytin at 450 and 750 µm rostral to the lesion, and then to labeling in wild-type controls at the proximal level. Solid circles and polygons in the bar charts indicate individual animal and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test was performed in B, E and G with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test performed across different time points with 95% CI. Two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (95% CI) was performed in C. * p≤0.05, ** p ≤0.01, *** p≤0.001. Scale bars, 50 µm.