Disease associated human TCR characterization by deep-learning framework TCR-DeepInsight

Ziwei Xue[1,2,10], Lize Wu[2,3,4,10], Ruonan Tian[1,2], Zuozhu Liu[5], Yadan Bai[1,2,3], Di Sun[6],

Yixin Guo[1], Pengwei Chen[1], Yu Zhao[7], Bing He[7], Lie Wang[2,4,8], Jianhua Yao[7],

Linrong Lu[1,2,3,6*], Wanlu Liu[1,2,9,11*]

1. Zhejiang University-University of Edinburgh Institute (ZJU-UoE Institute), Zhejiang University School of Medicine, International Campus, Zhejiang University, Haining, Zhejiang 314400, China
2. Future Health Laboratory, Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta, Zhejiang University, Jiaxing, 314100, China
3. Institute of Immunology and Department of Rheumatology at Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China
4. Liangzhu Laboratory, Zhejiang University Medical Center, 1369 West Wenyi Road, Hangzhou 311121, China
5. Zhejiang University-Angel Align Inc. R&D Center for Intelligent Healthcare，Zhejiang University-University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Institute (ZJU-UIUC Institute), International Campus, Zhejiang University, Haining, Zhejiang 314400, China
6. Shanghai Immune Therapy Institute, Renji Hospital, Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China
7. AI Lab, Tencent, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province 518000, China
8. Bone Marrow Transplantation Center and Institute of Immunology, the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, China
9. Department of Orthopedic of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310003, China
10. These authors contributed equally to this work.
11. Lead contact

*Correspondence: lu_linrong@zju.edu.cn (L.L.), and wanluliu@intl.zju.edu.cn (W.L.)

SUMMARY

T cell function is defined by both T cell receptors (TCR) and T cell gene expression (GEX). Although single-cell technology enables the simultaneous capture of TCR and GEX information, the lack of a reference atlas and computational tools hinders our ability to uncover the fundamental TCR usage rules and to efficiently characterize disease-associated TCRs (dTCR). Here, through the collection of million-scale single-cell GEX-TCR reference atlas comprising 20 diverse disease conditions, we revealed the intrinsic features of TCR-MHC (Major Histocompatibility Complex) restriction in CD4/CD8 lineages. We observed the higher coherence for TCRα/β chains in memory T cells, and detected widely-existing public TCRα/β pairs across individuals. Building upon the reference atlas, we introduced TCR-DeepInsight, a deep-learning framework featuring a disease specificity scoring system that enables the characterization of dTCR clusters with similar GEX-TCR. Our study provides a valuable tool for researchers to analyze single-cell GEX-TCR data and identify dTCRs comprehensively and robustly.

KEYWORDS

T cell receptors, single-cell immune profiling, disease-associated TCR, deep-learning, computational immunology

INTRODUCTION

T cells play a crucial role in the adaptive immune response by recognizing and eliminating pathogen-infected cells and providing cancer immunosurveillance. On the other hand, dysregulated T cell activation can also promote the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. In both protective immune and autoimmune responses, T cells exert their function in an antigen-specific manner, through the recognition of pMHC (peptide Major Histocompatibility Complex) via the T cell receptor (TCR) on the cell surface. The TCR consists of α and β chains with highly variable regions on both chains, contributing to the binding specificity between TCRs and antigen peptides. During their development in the thymus, T cells generate an enormous diversity of TCRs through V(D)J recombination and random nucleotide insertions

and deletions in both α and β chains, enabling the recognition of an almost infinite

number of pathogen-derived or self-antigens. Recent estimates of the total diversity

of TCRs range from 10^{20} to 10^{61}.[1,2]

In response to infections, cancer progression, or autoimmune diseases, T cells

undergo clonal expansion, resulting in the production of a large number of T cells

with identical TCRs that inherit the same antigen specificity. The characterization of

TCR repertoire is critical for understanding the mechanisms of immune responses

and developing effective immunotherapies. There are three complementarity-

determining regions (CDRs) on both TCR α and β chain, with CDR3 regions directly

binding to antigen peptides and thus exhibiting greater diversity compared to CDR1

or CDR2.[3] Early spectratyping of TCRβ reveals preferential V and J segment usage

and perturbations in CDR3β pattern in clonally expanded clonotypes in autoimmune

diseases including Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)[4], Multiple Sclerosis (MS)[5], and

infectious diseases [6,7] However, these low-throughput and low-resolution analysis

often biases the interpretation of Vβ and Jβ usage and CDR3β features. Next-

generation sequencing (NGS) has revolutionized TCR repertoire analysis and led to

a more concise understanding of TCRs in different disease conditions. [8–11] However,

major drawbacks of NGS lie in the lack of TCRα/β chain pairing information and the

incomplete coverage of TCR sequences. Single-cell immune profiling overcomes

these limitations and has emerged as a powerful tool for analyzing paired TCRα/β

repertoires at a single-cell resolution. Moreover, this technology captures the

corresponding gene expression (GEX) profiles, allowing the linking of individual T

cell clonotypes to their transcriptome dynamics during immune responses. Example

studies using single-cell immune profiling include the identification of T cell clones

associated with response to checkpoint inhibitors in patients with basal or squamous

cell carcinoma before and after anti-PD-1 therapy[12], the detection of T cell clonal

expansion in COVID-19 infection[12–17], and the analysis of TCR repertoire diversity in

patients with autoimmune diseases.[18,19] Sequencing-based technologies enabled the

rapid accumulation of large TCR repertoire data. However, there are few efforts in

integrating the growing single-cell immune profiling datasets across studies, and

analyzing linked transcriptome and TCRα/β sequences spanning different individuals

and diseases due to the technical batch effects across studies.

TCRs with high sequence similarity in CDR3 regions that were used to directly bind

antigen peptides may indicate their relatively high chance in recognizing the same

antigen peptide. Accordingly, various computational tools have been developed to

perform unsupervised TCR clustering mostly based on CDR3 amino acids. Based on

sequence similarity algorithms, GLIPH/GLIPH2, TCRdist/TCRdist3, iSMART,

GIANA, and ClusTCR have been proposed.[20–26] TCRdist3 and ClusTCR could take

CDR3α and CDR3β amino acids as input, users could also provide CDR1 and CDR2

sequences as optional.[23,26] While iSMART focuses on CDR3β amino acids, TRBV

gene usage information could also be supplied as input.[24] GIANA not only

considered the sequencing similarity but also amino acid physicochemical

properties.[25] However, it can only take fixed length CDR3β amino acid sequences as

input which greatly limited its general application.[25] GLIPH2 could be applied to

variable length CDR3α/β as well as TRBV gene usage simultaneously, and thus

have been the most widely used tool in this field.[21] The above-mentioned algorithms

mostly based on clustering CDR3β amino acid information. Moreover, the

computation time for most of these similarity-based algorithms increases non-

linearly, which hinders their applications on large million-scale TCR data. Recently,

deep-learning based methods have also been proposed especially for TCR-pMHC

prediction tasks including DeepTCR, NetTCR-2.0, and TCR-BERT.[27–29] Even though

those methods were mainly developed for the prediction of TCR-pMHC binding,

embeddings learnt from those methods could also be adapted to unsupervised TCR

clustering with paired CDR3α/β sequences. [27–29] Aside from TCR sequence

similarities, T cell states also contribute significantly to the identification of potential

functional TCRs. Simultaneously capturing transcriptome and full-length paired TCR

information from single-cell immune profiling dataset would thus substantially

enhance our capability to identify functional TCR with right antigen specificity and the

proper cell state. CONGA and Tessa both incorporate transcriptome information in

their model to jointly represent TCR and cell state similarity.[30,31] However, Tessa

only combined CDR3β with transcriptome information, while CONGA used kernel

PCA (Principal Component Analysis) for the transcriptome representation, limiting its

scalability for large million-level dataset analysis and its extension for incorporating

with other datasets due to potential batch effects.

In order to address major challenges mentioned above, we first collected million-

level single-cell immune profiling datasets for T cells with various disease conditions

including solid tumor, leukemia, inflammation/autoimmune, infections and healthy

with full-length TCRα/β chains, single-cell transcriptome, HLA (Human Leukocyte

Antigen) genotype and disease meta-information. With this massive dataset, we

systematically analyzed the VJ gene preference and amino acid preferences in CDR

regions for different CD4, CD8 cell subtypes. In addition, we discovered in general

higher coherence for TCRα and TCRβ chains in antigen-experienced CD8 T cells.

We also detected the existence of cross-individual public TCRs for both TCRα and/or

TCRβ chains and characterized the general features for public TCRs. With this

extensive reference atlas, we also developed a deep-learning based framework

called TCR-DeepInsight to jointly represent similarity for TCR and transcriptome

information. By incorporating the disease specificity scoring system proposed and

the reference atlas established in this study, TCR-DeepInsight would be capable of

identifying biologically meaningful disease-associated TCRs (dTCR) clusters

effectively.

RESULTS

Integration of million-level paired TCRα/β repertoire with single-cell

transcriptome information

In recent years, the advent of high-throughput single-cell sequencing technologies

has enabled the profiling of immune repertoires at unprecedented depth and

resolution. We previously developed a database called human Antigen Receptor

database (huARdb).[32] With our continuous efforts, these data have been expanded

to 28 studies and 464 biological samples from 291 individuals, 9 tissue types, and 20

disease conditions including solid tumor, leukemia, inflammation/autoimmune,

infections and healthy (**Figure1A and 1B; TableS1**). Altogether, we have collected

1,017,877 high-confidence T cells with paired transcriptome and full-length α/β TCRs

information, which enable us to perform a large and unbiased analysis of the paired

TCRα/β repertoire across diseases and individuals. These datasets contain 459,013

unique TCRα chains defined by the same TRAV(T cell receptor alpha variable

gene)-CDR3α-TRAJ(T cell receptor alpha joining gene), and 604,728 unique TCRβ

chains defined by the same TRBV(T cell receptor beta variable gene)-CDR3β-

TRBJ(T cell receptor beta joining gene) (**Figure1A**). We defined clonally expanded T

cells as T cells with the same V/J genes and CDR3 amino acid sequence (consist of

TRAV/TRBV motif, CDR3α/β middle region (mr), TRAJ/TRBJ motif) of both α and β

chains in the same individuals (**FigureS1A**). After merging clonal expanded TCRs,
we obtained 635,393 unique TCR α/β clonotypes from 291 individuals. In addition,
we also extracted the HLA genotypes for each individual from the single cell
transcriptome dataset using arcasHLA.[33] For all the 291 individuals collected in this
study, A*02, B*15, C*07 were the most frequent MHC class I molecules, while
DRB*103, DRB*115, DQB*103, DQA*101, DPB*102, DPB*104 were the most
enriched MHC class II molecules (**FigureS1B-S1H**).

To gain an accurate cellular phenotype associated with full-length TCRs from gene
expression profile, we first applied a rigorous approach to eliminate batch effects
across samples and studies involving millions of single cells. We integrated the gene
expression datasets with an in-house developed variational autoencoder (VAE)
based deep-learning framework, and obtained a latent embedding of transcriptome
features representing T cell subtype and functional states (**Figure1C**). We manually
categorized T cells into six major states including, naïve (CD8 T_n) and antigen-
experienced CD8$^+$ T cells (CD8 T), naïve (CD4 T_n), conventional memory (CD4
T_{conv}) and regulatory CD4$^+$ T cells (CD4 T_{reg}), and Mucosal invariant T cells (MAIT),
aligned with the expression pattern of key marker genes (**Figure1C and 1D**). T cells
including CD8 T, CD4 T_{conv} and CD4 T_{reg} in cycling status also formed a unique
cluster in the integrated UMAP, suggesting the distinctive transcriptome features in
cycling T cells (**Figure1C and 1D**). Using our VAE model, we successfully integrated
data from 28 studies with minimal batch effects, and different cell subtypes were
evenly distributed across various studies (**FigureS2A**). We observed a diverse cell
type composition across solid tumor, inflammation, CPI-irAE (checkpoint inhibitor
associated immune-related adverse events), AML (Acute Myeloid Leukemia),
COVID-19, T-LGLL (T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia), and healthy
conditions (**Figure1B and S2B**). To validate our cell type annotation, we applied the
singleR automated cell type annotation tool, using PBMC T cell subtypes from
healthy donors as cell type annotation reference, and found consistent cell subtype
annotation (**FigureS2C**). [34,35]

In this dataset, the percentage of unique TCRα/β pairs derived from CD4 T cells is
higher than the percentage of CD4 T cells, indicating overall higher clonal expansion
level of CD8 T cells than CD4 T cells (**Figure1E**). Overall, all TCRα/β pairs collected
in this study consisted of 43.0% CD8 T cells, 48.0% CD4 T_{conv}, 7.0% CD4 T_{reg}, 2.0%

MAIT cells, and 0.2% ambiguous (transcriptome can not be classified into either CD4

or CD8 T cells, see **Methods**), while our unique TCRα/β pairs across all individuals

(n=634,252) consisted of 22.0% CD8 T, 65.1% CD4 T_{conv} cells, 7.6% CD4 T_{reg}, 1.8%

MAIT cells, and 3.3% ambiguous (identical TCRα/β pairs linked with cells with no

dominant state, see **Methods**) (**Figure1E**). So far, to our knowledge, this is the most

comprehensive atlas containing millions of TCRα/β pairs with transcriptome, full-

length paired-TCR, HLA, and disease information.

Association of intrinsic TCR features and major T cell subtypes

Previous studies of TCR repertoire using high-throughput sequencing have revealed

preferences in VJ usage selection, and significant bias in VJ segment joining has

been identified in single-chain repertoires.[36] By using single-cell TCR sequencing

followed by CD4 and CD8 FACS sorting, TCRα/β pairing have been proposed as a

more precise way to infer repertoire functionality.[37] With our reference atlas

containing paired full-length TCR and transcriptome profiles, we can perform

comprehensive analysis of the intrinsic features of TCR in different cell subtypes.

We calculated the odds ratio and presented significantly enriched TRAV-TRAJ and

TRBV-TRBJ joining and TRAV-TRBV pairing in either CD8 T, CD4 T_{conv}, CD4 T_{reg}, or

MAIT cells (**Figure2A; TableS2**). Our results confirmed the well-recognized

phenomena that MAIT cells prefer to select TRAV1-2 paired with TRAJ12, TRAJ20

and TRAJ33 to form its invariant α chains.[38] For β chain, MAIT cells preferentially

select TRBV6-4, TRBV4-2, TRBV6-1, TRBV20-1, and TRBV6-2 as reported

before.[39] With the pairing information for TCRα/β chains, we were able to identify the

significant preference for TRBJ2-1 and TRBJ2-3 in TRAV1-2$^+$TRAJ33$^+$TRBV6-4$^+$

MAIT cells.

Our analysis of TCR repertoire in CD8 T cells revealed no significant preference for

TRAV-TRAJ genes. However, we identified TRBV27/TRBJ2-7, TRBV7-9/TRBJ2-1,

and TRBV27/TRBJ2-1 as the top three significantly enriched TRBV/TRBJ joining

pairs. In terms of TRAV/TRBV pairing, we found that TRAV19/TRBV7-9,

TRAV14DV4/TRBV7-9, and TRAV14DV4/TRBV9 were the most dominant pairings

(**Figure2A**).

The most enriched TRAV/TRBV pairings in CD4 T_{conv} cells were

TRAV23DV6/TRBV20-1, TRAV23DV6/TRBV5-1, and TRAV8-4/TRBV7-2

(**Figure2A**). We observed significant enrichment in various TRAV/TRAJ joining pairs,

with TRAV9-2/TRAJ22 being the most dominant pairing. In contrast, we detected

only two significantly enriched TRBV/TRBJ joining pairs, namely TRBV3-1/TRBJ1-6

and TRBV5-4/TRBJ1-6 (**Figure2A**). Visualizing enriched TRAV/TRAJ, TRBV/TRBJ

joining and TRAV/TRBV pairing enriched in CD8 T, CD4 T_{conv}, or MAIT cells on the

VAE integrated UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) showed a

diverse distribution with no specificity towards naïve or memory repertoire

(**Figure2B**). Marker gene expression in cells with selected VJ gene usage further

confirmed the TRAV/TRAJ, TRBV/TRBJ, and TRAV/TRBV preference in CD8 T,

CD4 T_{conv}, and MAIT cells (**Figure2C**). For example, MAIT-specific joining coincides

with relatively high expression of MAIT-specific gene markers including *KLRB1*

(Killer Cell Lectin-Like Receptor Subfamily B Member 1), *ZBTB16* (Zinc Finger and

BTB Domain Containing 16), and *SLC4A10* (Solute Carrier Family 4 Member 10)

(**Figure2C**). Interestingly, we also observed an enrichment of cells using MAIT

specific VJ segments, which were not co-localized with conventional MAIT clusters

on the integrated UMAP (**Figure2B**). These groups of cells were derived from a

study from T-LGLL patients[40], and displayed transcriptome features of terminal

effector T cells including high expression of *CX3CR1* (X3-C Motif Chemokine

Receptor 1) and *GZMB* (Granzyme B)(**Figure1D**). Although we do not know the

origin of these T cells, nor whether they recognize MR1 (Major Histocompatibility

Complex class I-related molecule 1)-restricted antigens as traditional MAIT cells or

regular peptide antigens recognized by CD8 T cells, these findings are interesting

and the functional role of these T cells in T-LGLL may require further investigation.

The CDR1 and CDR2 regions of the TCR typically contact the conserved α-helices

of MHCs, and the usage of amino acids in these regions may influence the

recognition between TCRs and class I and class II MHCs.[41] Comparing to both CD4

T_{conv} cells and CD4 T_{reg} cells, our analysis indicated that CD8 T cells utilize

significantly less hydrophobic and special amino acids, and more negatively,

positively charged or polar uncharged amino acids in the CDR1α region

(**FigureS3A**). For CDR1β region, no significant preferences for hydrophobic amino

acids in CD4 T_{reg}, CD4 T_{conv}, or CD8 T cells, while significantly more negatively

charged amino acids usage and less polar uncharged or special amino acids usage

for CD8 T cells compared with CD4 T_{reg} and CD4 T_{conv} cells (**FigureS3B**). In CDR2α

region, significantly more usage of polar uncharged and less usage of positively

charged or special amino acids were detected for CD8 T cells comparing with CD4

T$_{reg}$ or CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells, while less hydrophobic amino acids and more negatively

charged amino acids usage in CD4 T$_{reg}$ comparing with CD8 T and CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells

(**FigureS3C**). Compared with both CD4 T$_{conv}$ and CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells, more hydrophobic

and negatively charged and less polar uncharged, positively charged or special

amino acids usage were observed in CD8 T cells for CDR2β regions (**FigureS3D**).

As the CDR3 region has been demonstrated as the most important part of the TCR

in recognizing MHC class I or MHC class II displayed peptide, we asked whether the

CDR3 repertoire may display different characteristics in various T cell subtypes,

including CD4 T$_{reg}$, CD4 T$_{conv}$, and CD8 T cells. In our analysis, we find limited amino

acid length difference for either CDR3α/β or CDR3α/β-mr in CD4 T$_{reg}$, CD4 T$_{conv}$, and

CD8 T cells, while MAIT cells used shorter CDR3α or CDR3α-mr due to its relatively

invariant α chain usage (**FigureS3E; TableS3**). Interestingly, we found that CD8 T

cells use a significantly higher percentage of hydrophobic and negatively charged

amino acids, and a lower percentage of polar uncharged and positively charged

amino acids in the CDR3-mr for both α and β chain compared with CD4 T$_{reg}$ and

CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells (**Figure2D and 2E; TableS4**). CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells showed the lowest

percentage of negatively charged amino acids and the highest percentage of

positively charged amino acids in CDR3-mr for both chains compared with CD8 T

and CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells, while CD4 T$_{conv}$ favored the usage of polar uncharged amino

acids in CDR3-mr for both chains compared with CD8 T and CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells

(**Figure2D and 2E**).

TCRα/β pairing is more coherent in memory T cells than in naïve T cells

As a comprehensive atlas of paired and full-length TCRα/β, our dataset is useful for

understanding the V(D)J recombination biology and TCRα/β pairing patterns. As it

has been previously reported that B cell receptors (BCRs) with the same heavy-

chain-variable (VH) gene and CDRH3 (heavy-chain complementarity-determining

region 3) amino acid sequence in their heavy chains from memory B cells or plasma

cells that produce functional antibodies adopt the same light-chain-variable (VL)

gene in their light chains.[42] The phenomenon in memory B cells but not in naïve B

cells is referred to as light chain coherence in BCRs.[42] We thus hypothesized that a

similar phenomenon may also exist in TCRs in memory state (CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells or CD8

T cells). In our paired TCRα/β repertoire collections, we can detect TCRα/β chains

using the same TRBV-CDR3β paired with the same TRAV gene but different

CDR3α, or different TRAV gene and different CDR3α (**Figure3A**). In addition, we

also observed TCRα/β chains with the same TRBV gene and similar but mismatched

CDR3β pairing with either the same or different TRAV and different CDR3α

(**Figure3A**). Similar cases were also observed when analyzing TRBV usage and

CDR3β for relatively fixed TRAV-CDR3α (**Figure3B**).

To analyze the TCRα/β chain coherence, we grouped TCRs with same TRBV by

their deriving T cell subtypes (CD8 T_n, CD8 T, CD4 T_n, CD4 T_{conv}, and CD4 T_{reg}) and

CDR3β similarity (CDR3β within 10 Levenshtein distance), and then calculated the

percentage of TCRα/β pairs using the same TRAV gene. Our results suggested that

TRAV coherence was in general higher in CD8 T cells in memory state than other

cell types (**Figure3C**). Consistent phenomena were observed for grouping TCRs

with the same TRAV and allowing mismatches in CDR3α, showing strongest TRBV

coherence in CD8 T cells (**Figure3D**). Interestingly, when grouping TCRs by their

CDR3β allowing more than two mismatches on average, we can no longer observe

the TRAV coherence for CD8 T cells (**Figure3C**). However, TRBV coherence in CD8

T cells only allows one mismatch in CDR3α (**Figure3D**). The occurrence of the

identical V gene usage in a randomly chosen set of TCRs would be a rare event.

The increased V gene coherence observed in CD8 memory T cells might suggest

these cells have experienced specific antigen. Identifying additional TCR clusters

exhibiting V gene coherence could potentially lead to the discovery of TCRs that

possess shared antigenic specificity.

Characterization of public TCRs

Discovering TCRs with identical VJ segments and CDR3 amino acid sequences for

both chains in different individuals is often considered an extremely rare event due to

the total combinatorial diversity of TCR repertoire.[43] These TCRs, hereafter called

public TCRs, are thought to be generated by the selection of the same antigen

epitope displayed by the same MHC molecule. In our massive paired TCRα/β

collection, we identified 858 public TCRα/βs (with identical TRAV-CDR3α-TRAJ, and

TRBV-CDR3β-TRBJ), 66,471 public TCRαs (identical TRAV-CDR3α-TRAJ), and

10,930 public TCRβs (identical TRBV-CDR3β-TRBJ) (**Figure4A; TableS5-S7**).

Given the comparable number of total unique TCRα and TCRβ in the dataset, the

significantly higher number of public TCRα indicates a generally more conserved α

chain repertoire across populations. Cell states linking to public TCRα/β pairs were

mostly enriched in CD8 T, CD4 T_{conv}, and MAIT cells representing 35.8%, 36.0%,

and 13.3% respectively, while in both public TCRα and TCRβ, CD4 T_{conv} were most

dominant compared to other cell states (**Figure4B**). Public TCRs (either TCRα/β

pairs, TCRα, or TCRβ) shared in only two individuals displaying variable cell states

were classified into ambiguous (see **Methods**).

To understand potential characteristics contributing to TCRα/β recurrence, we

annotated each TCRα or TCRβ sequence with generation probability calculated by

OLGA[44] using CDR3 amino acid sequence and VJ segment genes. We found public

TCRα and TCRβ, or public TCRα/β pairs were all associated with significantly higher

generation probability (**Figure4C**). Compared to non-public TCRs, the negative log-

transformed generation probability ($-Log_{10}P_{gen}$) is smaller in public TCRα

(6.7067±0.0019 compared to 8.4534±0.0027) and TCRβ (7.9750±0.0050 compared

to 10.3934±0.0025) (**Figure4C**). Interestingly, when visualizing generation probability

for TCRα and TCRβ in public TCRα/β pairs, TCRβ instead of TCRα exhibited greater

difference compared to non-public TCRα/β pairs (**Figure4C**).

We also analyzed CDR3-mr amino acid length in public TCRs, and observed a

generally shortened CDR3mr amino acid length in either public TCRα

(2.1834±0.0034 compared to 3.2468±0.0028) or public TCRβ

(3.6881±0.0080compared to 5.5380±0.0021) (**Figure4D**). Consistent with the

observation for generation probability, when examining the CDR3-mr amino acid

length for public TCRα/β pairs, only TCRβ but not TCRα CDR3-mr amino acid length

demonstrated a shortening pattern (**Figure4D**). This phenomenon implies that TCRβ

may have a more significant role in TCR recurrence resulting from same antigen

challenge, which aligns with previous understanding that TCRβ contributes more to

antigen specificity determination.

Identification of epitope- and disease-related public TCRs

We hypothesized that public TCRs that occur in different individuals in the same

disease setting may bind to disease-specific epitopes. We asked whether public

TCRα, TCRβ or TCRα/β pairs would be restricted in common diseases such as solid

tumours, autoimmune diseases, or COVID-19. Most of the public TCRs are shared in

individuals with different disease settings, and these TCRs may not target disease-specific epitopes (**Figure4E-4G**). However, we indeed identified an enrichment of SARS-CoV-2-specific public TCRα/β pairs (but not in public TCRα or TCRβ), and this phenomenon may be explained by the relatively restrained epitope peptide sequence derived from SARS-CoV-2 (**Figure4E**).

We next asked whether the public TCRs would bind epitopes derived from common viruses such as Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV), and Influenza A virus that remain latently present in target cells after the primary infection. In order to match TCRs with known epitope specificity, we build a reference dataset containing paired CDR3α/β sequences with experimentally validated epitopes from various sources (**FigureS4A; TableS8**).[22,27,45–47] Since CDR3α/β-epitope pairs datasets mostly collected from EBV, CMV, Influenza A, or SARS-CoV-2 viruses, matched TCRα/β pairs with identical CDR3α and/or CDR3β sequences were mostly enriched for antigen listed above and do not harbor significant disease specificity (**FigureS4B**).

We performed in-depth analysis on public TCRs that were clonally expanded in at least two individuals across studies. Linking transcriptome features to public TCRα/β defines their potential functional roles in different disease conditions. We identified public and clonally expanded TCRα/β pairs (TRAV5-CAESTGKLIF-TRAJ37/TRBV29-1-CSVGTGGTNEKLFF-TRBJ1-4 and TRAV21-CAVLMDSNYQLIW-TRAJ33/TRBV10-2-CASSEDGMNTEAFF-TRBJ1-1) in 12 or 7 HLA-A02:01 positive individuals, with matched epitope specificity to HLA-A02:01 restricted BMLF1280-EBV and LMP2-EBV by both CDR3α/β sequences, respectively (**FigureS5A**). We also find another public and clonally expanded TCRα/β pair (TRAV23DV6-CAASIGNFGNEKLTF-TRAJ48/TRBV4-2-CASSPSRNTEAFF-TRBJ1-1) in 5 HLA-B07:01 positive individuals matched to HLA-B07:02 restricted pp65-CMV epitope (**FigureS5A**). Public TCRα/β with previously unknown antigen specificity were also detected in our analysis. For example, TRAV26-2-CILRGAGGTSYGKLTF-TRAJ52/TRBV27-CASSLQGANYEQYF-TRBJ2-7 were identified in five HLA-B07* positive individuals, and TRAV17-CATEGDSGYSTLTF-TRAJ11/TRBV6-5-CASSGQGGYGYTF-TRBJ1-2 were detected in four HLA-A02* individuals (**FigureS5A**). T cells harboring these public TCRα/β pairs were likely to be clonally expanded and enriched in antigen-

experienced CD8 T cells (**FigureS5A**).

Although observing public TCRs from individuals that are both clonally expanded

and associated with the same disease are extremely rare events, we observed

several such TCR pairs derived from CD4 or CD8 T cells. For example, the public

and clonally expanded TCRα/β pairs enriched on antigen-experienced CD8 T cells

(TRAV17-CATDYNQGGKLIF-TRAJ23/TRBV4-1-CASSQDPGAWETQYF-TRBJ2-5)

were observed in two individuals with inflammatory diseases (ulcerative colitis and

chronic pancreatitis) sharing HLA-A02, HLA-A03, HLA-B07, and HLA-C07

(**FigureS5B**). Public and clonally expanded TCR specific to psoriatic arthritis

(TRAV17-CATDGYTGNQFYF-TRAJ49/TRBV12-3-CASSLGQNNEQFFTRBJ2-1)

was also enriched with antigen-experienced CD8 T cells in two individuals sharing

HLA-B15, and HLA-C03 (**FigureS5B**). We have also found other clonally expanded

and public TCRs in either CD4 or CD8 T cells in solid tumors, acute myeloid

leukemia, and COVID-19 associated with common HLAs (**FigureS5B**). These TCRs

are highly likely to play crucial roles in various disease settings, although

experimental validation remains necessary to confirm their importance.

TCR-GEX joint-embedding learned by deep learning models facilitates TCRα/β

clustering with disease-association and shared transcriptome state

The coherence in TCRα or TCRβ chains in antigen-experienced CD8 T cells is

dependent on the CDR3α or CDR3β sequence with one or two mismatched amino

acids. These results suggest that both CDR3α and CDR3β contributed to the forming

of epitope specificity. In most cases, researchers were unable to link a TCR

repertoire to a previously known set of antigen peptides. The MHC displayed epitope

peptide for patients with cancer and autoimmune diseases, or even specific viral

infections could be diverse across individuals, and so does the TCR repertoire. One

of the tasks in TCR repertoire analysis is to cluster TCRs with higher probability to

recognize the same antigen peptide. It has previously been discussed that using

both CDR3α or CDR3β amino acid sequences could improve prediction accuracy in

epitope specificity of TCRs.[48] Moreover, it has been recently emphasized that

incorporating TCR sequence and cell-specific covariates from single-cell data can

enhance the inference of T cell antigen specificity.[49,50]

To robustly identify potential disease associated TCRα/β pairs considering both TCR

sequence similarity and transcriptome features from million-level paired TCRα/β

repertoire, we developed a deep-learning based framework named TCR-

DeepInsight. We first extracted the latent embedding learned by the VAE model to

represent the GEX features (**Figure5A**). In parallel, we adopted the Bidirectional

Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) model to learn the underlying

relationship between V genes and CDR3 sequence and the pairing pattern of the two

chains (**Figure5B**). We used 635,322 unique TCRα/β CDR3 sequences along with

TRAV, TRAJ, TRBV, TRBJ gene usage for pretraining BERT by randomly hiding

certain VJ gene or amino acids used in either TCRα or β chains (**Figure5B**). The

model does not require prior knowledge of the epitope binding specificity and thus

clustering on the embedding of the output layer was unsupervised. Given the higher

importance of TCRβ chain in its function, we apply the pre-trained model on the

same dataset containing 635,322 unique TCRα/β pairs using the TCR embedding

pooled from token features from TRAV, TRBV, CDR3β and TRBJ. After principal

component analysis transformation to a 64 dimensional TCR embedding space, we

found that the TCRs can be primarily clustered by TRBV usage in the 2-dimensional

UMAP projection (**Figure5C**). This TCR embedding could be applied to downstream

tasks including unsupervised TCRα/β clustering and query for TCRα/β with known

epitope specificity (**Figure5C**). To match GEX embedding with TCR embedding and

avoid single TCR embedding shared by multiple GEX embedding, we averaged the

VAE latent embedding for unique TCRα/β pair by their deriving cells and obtained an

aggregated GEX embedding (**Figure5C**). TCRα/β linked with multiple cell states

were classified as the most dominant cell state, while TCRα/β linked cells with no

dominant state were classified as ambiguous (**Figure5C**). Consistent with the

original GEX embedding, similar cell states were clustered together on the UMAP of

aggregated GEX embedding (**Figure5C**). We then concatenated the TCR

embedding learned from BERT and the aggregated GEX embedding from VAE to

obtain a TCR-GEX joint representation of TCRα/β pairs from million-level paired

TCRα/β repertoire with single-cell transcriptome information (**Figure5C**). We found

that our TCR-GEX joint representation was capable of capturing TCR similarity of

amino acids for both CDR3α and CDR3β and a positive correlation between

Levenshtein distance of amino acid sequence and Euclidean distance of the joint

representation within eight Levenshtein distance (**Figure5D and 5E**).

With the massive paired TCRα/β repertoire along with disease information collected

in this study, we were able to identify disease associated TCRα/β clusters with

shared transcriptome state with TCR-DeepInsight (**Figure5C**). Using the Euclidean

distance for unique TCRα/β pairs in TCR-GEX joint representation (referred as TCR

distance), we clustered each TCRα/β pairs with 39 most similar TCR neighbors

using GPU(Graphics Processing Unit)-accelerated indexed kNN (k-Nearest

Neighbors, k=40) search (**FigureS6A**). Then we iteratively selected a unique

TCRα/β pair as anchor and ranked its neighboring TCRs by its TCR-GEX joint

representation similarity (**FigureS6A**). If an anchor TCR and its top similar

neighboring TCRs (minimal 2) were derived from the same disease, these TCRs

were then defined as disease-TCR-cluster, while the same count of next ranked

TCRs were defined as non-disease-TCR-cluster (**FigureS6A**). TCR distance within

disease clusters were then calculated and defined as TCR similarity score, while

TCR distance between disease-TCR-cluster and non-disease-TCR-cluster were

defined as disease specificity score (**FigureS6A**). Interestingly, we found a positive

correlation between the TCR similarity score and the averaged Levenshtein distance

of CDR3β but not CDR3α, while a positive correlation was found in the averaged

between-cluster difference Levenshtein distance of CDR3β and the disease

specificity score (**Figure5F-5I**). For each disease condition, we linked each TCR

cluster with cell state information and calculated the TCR similarity score and

disease specificity. Consistent with previous definition, disease-associated TCR

clusters with dominant cell state were classified into either CD8 T cells, CD4 T_{conv},

CD4 T_{reg}, MAIT, or ambiguous. TCR clusters in each disease condition were then

classified as dTCR clusters under certain cut-off (**FigureS6A**, see **Methods**). With

the GEX information available, we were able to project dTCR clusters onto

aggregated GEX and original GEX embedding to visualize their transcriptome

features and additional information such as HLA information and clonal expansion

level could also be retrieved (**FigureS6A**). Overall, we identified dTCR clusters in

various disease conditions, including solid tumors, COVID-19, and Colitis containing

relatively large sample numbers, while dTCR clusters could also be detected in

Kawasaki disease and Ankylosing Spondylitis which have relatively fewer individuals

(**Figure5J; TableS9**).

Identification of dTCR clusters from various disease conditions

In solid tumors, one of the top dTCR clusters ranked by the TCR similarity score

contains was derived from three A*33:85 and B*58 positive individuals with

nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC), breast cancer (BC), and esophagus squamous

cell carcinoma (ESCC). This dTCR cluster showed utilization of TCRα/β using

TRAV17, TRAJ45, TRBV18 and TRBJ2-1, with a remarkably similar pattern of

CDR3α and CDR3β amino acid sequences and no known antigen specificity have

been reported (**Figure6A**). Another dTCR cluster associated with basal cell

carcinoma (BCC) and breast cancer (BC) utilize TRAV29DV5, TRBV25-1 and

TRBJ2-5 with similar CDR3 patterns. Both solid tumor dTCR clusters displayed

coherence in the transcriptome states in antigen-experienced CD8 T cells as

visualized by the projection to the aggregated and original GEX embedding

(**Figure6A**). Interestingly, we also detected a CD4 T_{conv} dTCR cluster with extremely

similar CDR3α/β sequences associated with NPC, BCC and SCC (squamous cell

carcinoma), shared in 4 individuals with DQA*102 and DRB*107 (**FigureS6B**).

We also identified dTCRs derived from COVID-19 patients with high disease

specificity and transcriptome similarities. We found a dTCR cluster from 4 A*01

individuals utilizing TRAV9-2, and TRBV27 with similar CDR3β but not CDR3α

sequence, whose deriving cells were clustered in the antigen-experienced CD8 T

state (**Figure6B**). We found that this TCR cluster may recognize the A*01:01

restricted QYIKWPWYI derived from the nonstructural protein of SARs-CoV-2

reported by Francis et al.[47] using tetramer staining followed by single-cell

sequencing. We also found other COVID-19 dTCR clusters with high disease

specificity, overlapping HLA alleles, and shared transcriptome states, but without

previously known binding SAR-CoV-2 derived epitopes (**Figure6B**).

Our method is also applicable to datasets with few individuals. In a study containing

two B*27 positive individuals with Ankylosing Spondylitis, we found that the top-

ranked TCR cluster utilized TRAV21, TRBV9, and TRBJ2-3 with conserved CDR3β

motif but diverse TRAJ usage and CDR3α sequence (**Figure6B**). It has been

previously reported as an over-representation of TRBV9-CDR3-TRBJ2-3 sequence

motifs in individuals with ankylosing spondylitis (AS) compared to healthy individuals

who carry the B*27 allele and further research demonstrates that these TCRs

recognize both self-antigen and microbial antigen displayed by the B*27.[51] Cell types

associated with these TCRs were located on the border of CD8 T cells, and CD4

T_{conv} cells in both aggregated and original GEX embedding, suggesting its potential

functional role (**Figure6C**).

Extension of TCR-DeepInsight for TCR query with known antigen specificity

and model transfer on new dataset

TCR-DeepInsight provided a pre-trained BERT model on massive TCRα/β pairs and

the dataset collected in this study provided a comprehensive reference TCR

repertoire along with single cell transcriptome information. In order to infer potential

antigen specificity for TCRα/β pairs collected, we next extended the TCR BERT pre-

trained model to the task of querying TCRα/β with known epitope information. By

processing TCRα/β pairs containing VJ gene usage and CDR3α/β sequences with

known epitope information through our BERT pre-trained model, we obtained the

query TCR embedding with known epitope information. Query TCR embedding and

reference TCR embedding were then aligned and kNN search for epitope specific

TCRα/β were performed. Under certain cut-off for TCR distance, TCRα/β in the

reference dataset similar to query TCRα/β with known epitope information could be

identified, and additional information such as HLA information, clonal expansion level

and visualization on GEX embedding could be retrieved (**Figure7A**, see **Methods**).

By querying two TCRα/β pairs recognizing A*24:01 restricted epitope NYNYLYRLF

from SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and an B*15:01 restricted epitope RVAGDSGFAAY

from SARS-CoV-2 membrane glycoprotein, we identified TCR using similar VJ

genes and CDR3β. For both queried TCRs, the top three most similar TCRs were

both derived from COVID-19 disease condition, and cells harboring these TCRs

predominantly were antigen-experienced CD8 T cells (**Figure7B, C**).

In general, TCR-DeepInsight provided a comprehensive reference containing

millions of TCRα/β pairs with side-by-side transcriptome and disease meta-

information, allowing more precise identification of disease-associated TCRs for

novel datasets. To demonstrate the model transfer performance for TCR-

DeepInsight on new dataset, we leveraged an additional scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq

dataset to identify unique clusters of TCRα/β pairs associated with gastric cancer.[52]

Our method involved transferring the GEX embedding from the VAE model and the

TCR embedding from the BERT model to new datasets. We accomplished this by

implementing architecture surgery on the VAE model, a technique that allows the

transfer of previously trained weights on a larger reference atlas to new smaller

datasets (**Figure7D**). Simultaneously, the query TCR embedding can be obtained from the same pretrained BERT model as used in the reference dataset followed by PCA transformation using the same weight (**Figure7D**).

We observed that our query gastric cancer dataset could be projected into a common low dimensional space with our reference atlas using both unaggregated and aggregated GEX embeddings (**Figure7E**). By applying the same strategy as for other disease conditions, we identified two dTCR clusters associated with gastric cancer that exhibited high sequence similarity and disease specificity (**Figure7F; TableS10**). Notably, one TCR cluster derived from CD8 T cells and was clonally expanded, characterized by the usage of TRAV3, TRAJ30, TRBV27, and TRBJ2-3 genes, and CDR3α and CDR3β sequences that were similar in two individuals who were positive for B*13 and C*03 (**Figure7F**). The other TCR cluster derived from a more similar transcriptome state of CD8 T cells and was also clonally expanded, characterized by the usage of TRAV36DV7, TRAJ28, TRBV28, and TRBJ1-4 genes, and CDR3α and CDR3β sequences that were similar in two individuals who were positive for A*02 and C*03 (**Figure7F**).

DISCUSSION

With the advent of single-cell immune profiling technology, vast amounts of single-cell gene expression (GEX) and T cell receptor (TCR) data have been generated. These data offer tremendous potential for studying TCR biology and identifying potential functional TCRs. However, a major challenge is the lack of a reference atlas that provides easy access to these datasets. On the other side, with the rapid development of TCR engineering, the use of TCR-T in disease immunotherapy is becoming increasingly promising. Single-cell immune profiling datasets provide a valuable platform for the identification of functional TCRs. However, the lack of efficient computational tools to integrate and identify functional TCRs remains a significant bottleneck in this field.

In this study, we established a comprehensive dataset comprising millions of T cells obtained from single-cell immune profiling, along with their single-cell transcriptomes, full-length paired TCRs, HLA types, and disease information. This dataset serves as a valuable reference atlas for a wide range of computational tasks in immunology. Using this massive dataset, we comprehensively analyzed the intrinsic features of

TCRs in CD4 and CD8 lineages, identified coherence of public TCRα/β chains in

memory CD8 T cells, and illustrated the widely-existing public TCRs across

individuals. Moreover, we developed TCR-DeepInsight, a powerful and scalable

deep-learning framework that can effectively cluster TCRα/β pairs with high

transcriptome similarity in single-cell immune profiling datasets. With the disease

scoring system embedded in TCR-DeepInsight, researchers can readily identify

disease-associated TCR clusters using the dataset collected in this study as a

reference. This tool offers a valuable resource for the analysis of single-cell gene

expression and TCR data, facilitating the identification of disease-specific TCR

repertoires in various disease contexts.

Our results highlight the potential of integrative analysis with large cross-samples

datasets to gain deeper insights into T cell biology. Additionally, our reference atlas

and TCR-DeepInsight tool offer versatile resources for immunologists to identify

potential functional TCRs from single-cell immune profiling datasets. Most

importantly, the dTCR clusters identified in this study, along with future potential

dTCRs characterized with single-cell immune profiling and TCR-DeepInsight, may

guide the design of personalized TCR-T (T cell receptor-engineered T cells) for

precise treatment of various diseases, including cancers and autoimmune disorders,

in the future.

We identified significant biases in the selection of VJ segment and amino acid usage

in the CDRs of the TCRs associated with different T cell types. A restricted VJ

segment usage was found for both TCR α and β chains in MAIT cells by virtue of

their evolutionarily conserved recognition of MHC-like molecule MR1.[53] We observed

significant enrichment for TRAV/TRAJ, TRBV/TRBJ joining, and TRAV/TRBV pairing

in CD8 T, CD4 T_{conv}, and MAIT cells, which is in line with previous findings.[36,37]

Additionally, a significant bias was observed in the usage of amino acids in the

CDR1 and CDR2 loops. One possible explanation of these observations is that the

TRAV/TRBV usage and the composition of amino acid usage after V(D)J

recombination predispose TCRs to interact with MHC I or MHC II molecules.[41] While

no obvious bias for VJ segments were detected in CD4 T_{reg}, we detected significant

differences for the amino acids usage in CD4 T_{reg} including the preference for

hydrophobic amino acids in CDR3β compared with CD4 T_{conv} as reported before.[54,55]

These findings revealed the intrinsic molecular features difference between MHC

class I and II recognition by TCR, and provide evidence supporting the plausibility of

classifying CD4 or CD8 TCRs based on VJ gene usage and TCR amino acid

sequence solely.

Given the immense diversity of TCRs, observing public TCRs with identical α and β

chains is considered an extremely rare event. These TCRs are believed to share

antigen specificity. Previous analysis on public TCRs have shown that TCR

sequences are shared across multiple individuals, indicating a potential shared

antigenic exposure.[56,57] We comprehensively analyzed public TCRs for both α and β

chains, or single chain, and found that public TCR sequences are generally shorter

in the middle region of CDR3 for both α and β chains and have a higher generation

probability, which is consistent with TCRs in mice.[58] We also observed an

enrichment of SARS-CoV-2-specific public TCRα/β pairs and identified public and

clonally expanded TCRs with known antigen specificity for viruses such as EBV,

CMV, and Influenza A. Meanwhile, we also identified numerous public TCRs shared

in solid tumors and inflammation without known epitope specificity, which may imply

their roles in recognizing tumor neoantigens or self-antigens. Coherent TCRα/β pairs

are also considered to recognize similar antigens. By analyzing a comprehensive

atlas of paired and full-length TCRα/β from different T cell subtypes, we found that

TRAV coherence, or the tendency for TCRα chains to use the same TRAV gene

when paired with the same TRBV-CDR3β, was generally higher in memory CD8 T

cells than in other cell types. However, both the public TCR and coherence analysis

focused on VJ gene usage and TCR sequence similarity without considering the

potential cell transcriptome status, which may not be robust. Hence, developing new

methods that can integrate both TCR and GEX data may help facilitate the

identification of functional TCRs.

VAE has previously demonstrated its general applicability in scRNA-seq data feature

extractions and batch effect removal across samples.[59] Meanwhile, the natural

language processing large-language model BERT has also been adapted in

representation learning for DNA, protein, and TCR sequences.[29,60,61] Deep-learning

based framework allows representation learning for both transcriptome and TCR

information. VAE based deep-learning framework in TCR-DeepInsight enables more

precise representation learning for T cell transcriptome information, better

identification of general features across samples and removal of batch effects, and

model transfer to new dataset. Meanwhile, BERT based deep-learning framework in

TCR-DeepInsight obtained accurate TCR representation which allows clustering for

CDR3α/β with variable length and amino acid mismatches. The BERT model alone

in TCR-DeepInsight could also be applied to unsupervised clustering of TCRα/β or

query similar TCRα/β with known epitope specificity when single-cell transcriptome

information was not available. Compared with previous TCR clustering methods, our

TCR-DeepInsight could integrate large-scale, multimodal, and heterogeneous single-

cell transcriptome and full-length paired TCRα/β chains information all at once with

relatively high scalability. Most importantly, with the reference dataset collected in

this study, the scoring systems embedded in TCR-DeepInsight could overlay

additional biological intuitions for TCR clusters identified. Along with additional

information from HLA genotype and clonal expansion levels, our TCR-DeepInsight

would greatly enhance the biological meaningful information that could be extracted

from single-cell immune profiling dataset, and thus shed light on the understanding

of T cell biology as well as future precise immunotherapy.

Limitations of the study

Our TCRα/β reference atlas, which includes data from 10^6 T cells derived from 291

individuals, provides a valuable resource for understanding TCR diversity and

recurrence, although this number is just a fraction of the theoretical estimation of

TCR diversity that could be as high as 10^{20}. Increasing the size of the dataset in the

future may significantly enhance our understanding, particularly in terms of TCR

recurrence. Furthermore, our current reference atlas focuses primarily on human T

cells, and incorporating data from mouse models may further advance our

understanding of TCR biology. Meanwhile, our TCR-DeepInsight models currently

concatenate GEX and TCR embedding with a default weight hyperparameter, but do

not consider their potential underlying interaction. Developing an end-to-end model

in the future that better integrates GEX and TCR representation could allow for

adaptive learning of model hyperparameters. Additionally, incorporating TCR

structural information as model input may further enhance model performance for

downstream tasks.

METHODS

Integrating large-scale immune profiling datasets.

We have previously described a preprocessing pipeline of single-cell immune profiling datasets with linked single-cell RNA seq library (GEX library) and single-cell TCR-seq library (TCR library).[32] In brief, cellranger (version 6.1.2) was used to obtain the gene expression count matrix (by cellranger count command) and TCR contig annotations (by cellranger vdj command) using raw sequencing reads as input. We include high-confidence T cells (hcT cells) defined as T cells passing filtering criteria on the number of captured genes and the percentage of mitochondrial genes-derived counts, and with full-length TCR sequences with VJ segment annotation and CDR3 sequence in both α and β chains from our previous collection. We further expanded our datasets to the level of millions of high-confidence T cells by appending 249 biological samples. We annotated each sample with the individual ID, disease condition, and tissue origin. We used arcasHLA (version 0.5.0, IMGT reference version 3.46.0) to extract the HLA genotype of each individual using the aligned BAM files of the GEX library. After merging biological samples from the same individual, we annotated each individual with genotypes of HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DRB1, and HLA-DQB1.

We integrated the transcriptome features of the hcT cells including both CD4 and CD8 T cells by learning the batch-corrected latent embedding using VAE (See VAE part of the methods). We annotated the hcT cells with CD4 or CD8 by gene count of *CD4*, *CD8A*, and *CD8B*, followed by the nearest neighbour classifier (n_neighbors=13) to categorise cells with double-positive or double-negative *CD4* or *CD8* expression into CD4 or CD8 T cells. The CD4 T cells were further categorized into naïve CD4 T cells, conventional memory CD4 T cells, and T regulatory cells by key marker genes including *SELL* (Selenoprotein L), *TCF7* (Transcription Factor 7), and *FOXP3* (Forkhead Box P3). The CD8 T cells were further divided into naïve CD8 T cells and memory or effector CD8 T cells based on the expression of *SELL* and *TCF7.* We merged the T cells with exactly the same α and β chains defined by TRAV-CDR3α-TRAJ and TRBV-CDR3β-TRBJ in each individual and obtained 635,393 unique TCRα/β sequences. Each unique TCRα/β was annotated with T cell subtypes by their deriving cells. The transcriptome feature of each T cell was visualized by projecting the learned latent embedding into 2-dimensional space using the UMAP algorithm (**McInnes et al., 2018**).[62]

Identifying enriched VJ segment in T cell subtype

We calculated the odds ratio and *p*-value using Fisher's exact test to discover T cell subtype-specific VJ joining in α and β chains and TRAV-TRBV combination. The odds ratio of a given VJ combination (C_{VJ}) in a set of T cells of same subtype (T_{type}) against all other T cells (T_{others}) is given by:

$$\text{OR} = \frac{|C_{VJ}^+ \in T_{type}| * |C_{VJ}^- | \in T_{others}}{|C_{VJ}^- \in T_{type}| * |C_{VJ}^+| \in T_{others}}$$

The calculation of *p*-value followed by multiple testing correction by Bonferroni method was achieved by the SciPy library (www.scipy.org). We reported VJ combinations preferentially selected by certain T cell type by thresholding the adjusted *p*-value < 0.05, odds ratio > 2, and the number supporting cells greater than 400. The selected cell type enriched VJ combination are visualized by Sankey plot (https://d3-graph-gallery.com/sankey.html) using number of cells of edges of each VJ combination and density plot in the 2-dimensional embedding of the transcriptome feature of T cells using the *kdeplot* function from the seaborn (https://seaborn.pydata.org/) library.

Analysis of amino acid usage in the middle region of CDR3 sequence

The middle region of the CDR3 sequence in α or β chain (CDR3α-mr and CDR3β-mr) was defined as amino acid encoded by random nucleotide insertions between the V and J segments. After removing the amino acids derived from V and J segment of the CDR3 sequence, the remaining amino acids were annotated with the CDR3-mr sequence. The percentage of amino acid usage grouped by their chemical properties was calculated for each TCR, and then averaged across different T cell subtypes (CD8 T, CD4 T_{conv} and CD4 T_{reg}). The significance of the difference in the percentage between different T cell subtypes was calculated by paired two-tailed Student's t-test.

Building reference of paired TCRα/β with CDR3 amino acid sequence and epitope specificity

We collected datasets with experimentally validated TCR-pMHC binding pairs. We included dataset using pMHC-tetramer cell sorting together with single-cell paired TCRα/β amplification.[22] Paired TCRα/β with CDR3 amino acid sequences were obtained from curated databases including McPAS-TCR[45], VDJdb[63,64] and TCRdb[65].

We also include recently released datasets using combined DNA-barcoded pMHC

tetramer/dextramer and single-cell RNA-sequencing

(https://www.10xgenomics.com/cn/resources/datasets/cd-8-plus-t-cells-of-healthy-

donor-1-1-standard-3-0-2, https://www.10xgenomics.com/cn/resources/datasets/cd-

8-plus-t-cells-of-healthy-donor-2-1-standard-3-0-2,

https://www.10xgenomics.com/cn/resources/datasets/cd-8-plus-t-cells-of-healthy-

donor-3-1-standard-3-0-2, https://www.10xgenomics.com/cn/resources/datasets/cd-

8-plus-t-cells-of-healthy-donor-4-1-standard-3-0-2).[46,47] We merged the curated

datasets described in deepTCR.[27] After removing repetitive data records and data

curation, we obtained 28,641 TCR-pMHC pairs with paired CDR3α and CDR3β

amino acid sequences and 1037 peptide epitopes.

Definition and analysis of public TCRs

Public TCRs were defined independently by either the single α or β chain or paired

α/β chains. Public TCRα/βs were TCRs that occur in at least two individuals who

share the exact same TRBV, CDR3β amino acid sequence, TRBJ, TRAV, CDR3α

amino acid sequence, and TRAJ, while public TCRα and TCRβ only requires exact

same VJ gene and CDR3 amino acid sequence of single α or β chain. The V and J

segment call adopted the annotation from the output of cellranger. Disease-specific

public TCRs were defined as TCRs occurring in at least two individuals with the

same disease type.

We calculated the generation probability of α and β chains for each public TCRs and

non-public TCRs by OLGA (version 1.2.4[44]). The generation probability models for

the α and β chains initialized by *GenerationProbabilityVJ* and

GenerationProbabilityVDJ function accepting both CDR3 amino acid sequence and

VJ gene as input. The generation probability was followed by negative log-

transformation to get the $logP_{gen}$ of each TCR. The significance of the difference in

$logP_{gen}$ between public and non-public TCRs was calculated by paired two-tailed

Student's t-test.

Analysis of α and β chain coherence of TCRs

The probability of independent TCRs (non-clonally expanded) of the same TRBV

and CDR3β amino acid sequence that used the same TRAV gene was defined as

the TRAV coherence of TCRs. In contrast, for independent TCRs of the same TRAV

and CDR3α amino acid sequence that use the same TRBV gene were defined as

the TRBV coherence. The TRAV and TRBV coherence were calculated separately

for the CD4 T_{reg}, CD4 T_n, CD8 T_n, CD4 T_{conv}, and CD8 T memory cells separately.

The definition of TRAV and TRBV coherence were also expanded to the case where

the mismatch of CDR3 amino acid sequence was measured by the Levenshtein

distance. The extended TRAV coherence was measured by grouping TCRβ chains

with the same TRBV and mismatched CDR3β and calculating the percentage of the

same TRAV in the corresponding TCRα chains. The α and β chain coherence were

also measured by CDR3 sequence similarity, defined as the mean Levenshtein

distance in the CDR3 sequence of one chain given that the V and CDR3 sequence

was the same in the other chain.

Training VAE model for universal representation of transcriptome features

We implemented a VAE model to capture the variation in gene expression of the

1,017,877 T cells. The probabilistic variational autoencoder accepted raw count

matrices as input. The encoder received the gene expression raw count matrix and

outputs a scalar mean (μ) and variance ($σ^2$), which reparameterized and

approximated the latent variational distribution (z). The latent distribution was

regularized using the Kullback-Leibler divergence with a standard normal distribution

prior (N(0,1)). To reflect biological variance and eliminate batch effects, the decoder

combined the categorical encoding of the sample name, total library size by the sum

of gene expression counts, and the latent embedding of the encoder output. The

decoder outputed the mean, variance, and the gene-dropout probability to

parameterize the zero-inflated negative-binomial distribution (ZINB) and models the

raw count data.

We removed the mitochondrial genes (gene name starts with 'MT-'), ribosomal

genes (gene name starts with 'RPS' or 'RPL') and heat-shock proteins (gene name

starts with 'HSP-'). The top 6000 highly variable genes were selected using scanpy's

`pp.highly_variable_genes(flavor='seurat_v3',`

`batch_key='sample_name', n_top_genes=6000)` before VAE

integration. The VAE model was trained using the AdamW optimizer with a learning

rate of 0.0001 and a batch size of 128.

Training BERT model for universal representation of TCRα/β sequences

We adopted the BERT model originated in the field of natural language processing to

obtain a scalable representation of the TCR sequence. The transformer-based

models were shown to outperform conventional encoding model including MLP,

convolutional networks, and recurrent models, using attention mechanism to capture

inter-relationship between tokens. The BERT model was implemented in Python

using the PyTorch framework and Hugginface's Transformer libraries, with

hyperparameters adapted from the default settings (hidden dimensionality = 768,

intermediate size = 1536, number of attention heads = 12, number of hidden layers =

12). We implemented a tokenizer combining both CDR3α and CDR3β amino acid

sequence, adding a classification token (CLS) ahead of CDR3α, gap tokens (GAP)

between the two CDR3 sequence, and padding tokens (PAD) after CDR3β to align

CDR3α and CDR3β sequence to the same encoding length. During pre-training, we

retrieved CDR3α and CDR3β amino acid sequence from both our dataset and the

curated datasets with pMHC binding information. We filtered TCRs with abnormally

long CDR3α or CDR3β amino acid sequence (>36 aa), or with residues not included

in the set of 20 standard amino acids, and finally retained 663,379 TCR sequence for

pretraining BERT. We trained the model to predict the masked amino acids after

pretraining BERT using a masked language model (MLM) objective in which we

randomly hide 15% of amino acids in either CDR3α or CDR3β. We also masked

either TRAV or TRAJ gene and require the model to impute the V gene usage given

another chain. The MLM objective was to help the model to learn the underlying

pairing pattern of TRAV/CDR3α and TRBV/CDR3β. The BERT model was pre-

trained using the AdamW optimizer (a first-order stochastic optimizer) with a learning

rate of 0.00001 and a batch size of 32.

The embeddings of the token from the TRAV, TRBV, CDR3β and TRBJ was used to

represent the whole TCRα/β after mean pooling operation. The 768-dimensional

embedding BERT output could be projected into 64-dimensional space via PCA by

using the implementation of scikit-learn. The 64-dimensional representation of the

TCR was then called the TCR embedding. We projected the TCR embeddings of the

634,252 TCRs to the 2-dimensional UMAP space via the UMAP algorithm using the

implementation of umap-learn[62] and visualized the embedding performance of the

pretrained model.

Unsupervised TCRα/β clustering with disease-association and shared

transcriptome state

We aggregated the GEX embedding from the VAE model for each unique TCRα/β.
We concatenated the TCR embeddings and the aggregated GEX embeddings and
obtained a TCR-GEX joint representation of TCRα/β pairs. We adopted faiss-gpu, a
computational framework for rapid similarity search optimized and accelerated by
GPU[66], for indexed *k*-nearest neighbor search of TCR-GEX joint representation
based on Euclidean distance.

We use the following strategy to cluster disease-specific TCRs with transcriptome
similarity. First, for each TCRα/β in our datasets, we use the TCRα/β as an anchor
and find the *k*-nearest neighbor (k=40 in default). We select the top n TCRα/β pairs
ranked by the Euclidean distance with the same disease, and group the anchor
TCRα/β and the neighbor TCRα/βs as a potential disease-associated cluster. In the
following neighbor search, we removed the possibility of the neighbor TCRα/β to
prevent repeated neighbor TCRα/βs clusters.

We defined the distance between TCRs in our TCR-GEX joint representation space
as the Euclidean distance between the representation of TCRs.

865
$$d(s_i, s_j) = \mathrm{EuclideanDistance}(s_i, s_j)$$

866 We defined a similarity score to measure the sequence similarity of TCRα/β within a
867 disease-associated cluster. The similarity score was defined as the mean Euclidean
868 distance in the TCR-GEX joint representation space between all neighboring TCRα/β
869 (s_i) and the anchor TCR (s_{anchor}):

870
$$D_{\mathrm{Similarity}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(s_i, s_{\mathrm{anchor}})}{n-1}$$

871 , where *n* is the number of TCRs in the cluster and s_{anchor} is the anchor TCR in the
872 cluster.

873 We also calculated the mean pairwise Levenshtein distance of either CDR3α or
874 CDR3β within a disease-associated cluster by:

875
$$D_{\mathrm{Similarity-Levenshtein}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^{n} \mathrm{lev}(s_i, s_j)}{n(n-1)}$$

, where *lev* is the Levenshtein distance of two sequences.

We defined a disease-specificity score to measure the distinctiveness of TCRα/β in a

disease-associated cluster. The score was computed based on the disparity

between the mean Euclidean distance in the TCR-GEX joint representation space.

Specifically, the score was calculated by comparing (1) the mean Euclidean distance

between the anchor TCRα/β and all neighboring TCRα/β in the cluster, and (2) the

mean Euclidean distance between the top-ranked TCRs that are most similar to the

anchor TCRα/β, but derived from different diseases. The latter was determined with

an equal number of neighbors of the anchor TCRα/β.

885
$$D_{\text{Specificity}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} d(s_k, s_{\text{anchor}}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(s_i, s_{\text{anchor}}))}{n-1}$$

, where m equals to n-1, which is the number of neighbors of the anchor TCRα/β. By

using this score, we could better evaluate the uniqueness of TCRα/β in a disease-

associated cluster. The proposed score enables a more accurate evaluation of the

uniqueness of TCRα/β in a disease-associated cluster. Furthermore, the study also

calculated the difference between the mean Levenshtein distance to the anchor TCR

of either the CDR3α or the CDR3β from the disease-associated cluster and the top-

ranked TCRs.

893
$$D_{\text{Specificity}-\text{Levenstein}} = \frac{\sum \text{lev}(s_j, s_{\text{anchor}}) - \sum \text{lev}(s_i, s_{\text{anchor}}))}{n-1}$$

The correlation between the TCR similarity score and disease specificity and the

Levenshtein distance is visualized by nonparametric loess regression.

Selecting top-ranked disease-associated TCRα/β clusters with shared

transcriptome features

The selection of disease-associated TCRα/β clusters involved the use of previously

established similarity and disease-specificity scores. Specifically, TCRα/β clusters

with a combined score (square of similarity score plus square of disease-specificity

score) less than 300^2 are selected for further analysis. Additional criteria are applied

based on the number of unique individuals and unique TCRα/β in a TCRα/β cluster,

which varied depending on the disease type. For disease types with a relatively

larger number of individuals, such as solid tumors, COVID-19, and colitis, TCRα/β

clusters with more than 2 unique individuals and more than 3 unique TCRα/βs were

selected. For diseases with smaller individual numbers and derived from distinct

studies, such as ankylosing spondylitis, TCRα/β clusters with more than 1 unique

individual and more than 2 unique TCRα/βs were selected. To augment the selected

TCRα/β, additional information was included, such as the common HLA genotypes

of the individuals from which they were derived and the level of clonal expansion.

These information served to provide an additional layer of potentially disease-related

features to the TCRα/β clusters.

Querying TCRs with known epitope specificity in the TCR atlas

We adopted the paired TCRα/β with VJ gene usage information and CDR3 amino

acid sequences with known epitope specificity to search for similar TCRs in the

reference atlas. We used the pre-trained BERT model to transfer TCR embeddings

from the reference atlas to the query TCRs, which were then subjected to PCA

transformation using the same weights as the reference atlas. We concatenated the

resulting TCR embeddings from the query and reference atlas and used the query

TCRs as anchors in a kNN search. We ranked the TCRs in the reference atlas by

their distance to the anchor TCR for each query TCR, with distance cutoffs of 100

and 186 used for TCRs in **Figures7B** and **7C**, respectively.

Query of new paired scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq samples against existing

reference

We implemented an architecture surgery strategy to apply transfer learning in the

VAE model. Specifically, during data transfer, the weights of encoder and decoder

were kept the same as the VAE model before transfer learning, and the original set

of batch indexes would be extended to allow extended datasets. We adopted a

recently published scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq dataset including 59,417 T cells

derived from 10 individuals with gastric cancer as example.[52] As the data processing

pipeline was the same as our reference atlas, we kept the same 6000 HVGs as in

our previous analysis to perform transfer learning. We used a k-Nearest classifier

(`n_neighbors=13`) to transfer the original cell type labels including CD8 T_n, CD8 T,

CD4 T_n, CD4 T_{conv} and CD4 T_{reg}, and MAIT to the query dataset.

We again aggregated the transferred GEX embedding by unique TCRs and

concatenated it to the TCR embedding from the BERT model followed by PCA

transformation with the same weight as the reference atlas. Categorizing Gastric

Cancer associated TCRα/β clusters follows the same strategy described above, and

TCRα/β clusters with more than 1 unique individual and more than 2 unique TCRα/βs were selected.

Code and dataset availability

The reference atlas datasets utilized for analysis can be accessed on Figshare (excluding the two controlled access data) at https://figshare.com/collections/_/6471289. The code for TCR-DeepInsight and data analysis is available on GitHub at https://github.com/WanluLiuLab/TCR-DeepInsight, along with a Jupyter Notebook at https://huarc.net/notebook.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all the researchers who generated the single-cell immune profiling datasets used in this study. We thank Dr. Hussein A. Abbas for kindly sharing the raw data from AML patients generated in their previous publication (EGAD00001007672/EGAD0001007674).[67] We thank Dr. Zheng Wang for kindly sharing the raw data from Kawasaki disease generated in their previous publication.[18] We thank Dr. Hongbo Hu from Sichuan University, Dr. Feng Wang from Shanghai Jiaotong University, Dr. Chaochen Wang from Zhejiang University and all lab members from the Liu lab at ZJU-UoE Institute for their helpful discussion. We would also like to thank the technical support provided by the Core Facilities, especially the ZJE server of ZJU-UoE Institute. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China 31930038 (to L.L.), 32100718 (to L.L.), U21A20199 (to L.L.), 32170551 (to W.L.), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 226-2022-00134 (to W.L.), the Tencent AI Lab Rhino Bird Research Funding RBFR2022015 (to W.L.) and Pre-research Projects of Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta, Zhejiang University (to L.W., W.L., L.L.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

W.L., L.L., J.Y., L.W., Z.X., and L.W., conceived the study and designed experiments. Z.W., W.L., and L.L., wrote the manuscript. Z.X., L.W., Y.B., D.S., Y.G., and P.C. processed the data. Z.X., L.W., R.T., performed the bioinformatics analysis. Z.X., Z.L., Y.Z., B.H., J.Y. and W.L., conceived the deep-learning framework. Z.W. implemented the deep-learning framework. All authors contributed to the review and corrections of the manuscripts.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

973   The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Zarnitsyna, V.I., Evavold, B.D., Schoettle, L.N., Blattman, J.N., and Antia, R. (2013).
Estimating the Diversity, Completeness, and Cross-Reactivity of the T Cell Repertoire.
Front. Immunol. *4*. 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00485.

2. Mora, T., and Walczak, A.M. (2019). How many different clonotypes do immune
repertoires contain? Curr. Opin. Syst. Biol. *18*, 104–110. 10.1016/j.coisb.2019.10.001.

3. Rossjohn, J., Gras, S., Miles, J.J., Turner, S.J., Godfrey, D.I., and McCluskey, J. (2015).
T Cell Antigen Receptor Recognition of Antigen-Presenting Molecules. Annu. Rev.
Immunol. *33*, 169–200. 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032414-112334.

4. Wagner, U., Pierer, M., Kaltenhäuser, S., Wilke, B., Seidel, W., Arnold, S., and
Häntzschel, H. (2003). Clonally expanded CD4+CD28null T cells in rheumatoid arthritis
use distinct combinations of T cell receptor BV and BJ elements. Eur. J. Immunol. *33*,
79–84. 10.1002/immu.200390010.

5. Matsumoto, Y., Yoon, W.K., Jee, Y., Fujihara, K., Misu, T., Sato, S., Nakashima, I., and
Itoyama, Y. (2003). Complementarity-Determining Region 3 Spectratyping Analysis of
the TCR Repertoire in Multiple Sclerosis. J. Immun. J. *170*, 4846–4853.
10.4049/jimmunol.170.9.4846.

6. Kou, Z.C., Puhr, J.S., Rojas, M., Mccormack, W.T., Goodenow, M.M., and Sleasman,
J.W. (2000). T-Cell Receptor V^N_L Repertoire CDR3 Length Diversity Differs within
CD45RA and CD45RO T-Cell Subsets in Healthy and Human Immunodeficiency Virus-
Infected Children. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. *7*. 10.1128/cdli.7.6.953-959.2000

7. Derfuss, T., Arbusow, V., Strupp, M., Brandt, T., and Theil, D. (2009). The Presence of
Lytic HSV-1 Transcripts and Clonally Expanded T Cells with a Memory Effector
Phenotype in Human Sensory Ganglia. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. *1164*, 300–304.
10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.03871.x.

8. Reuben, A., Zhang, J., Chiou, S.-H., Gittelman, R.M., Li, J., Lee, W.-C., Fujimoto, J.,
Behrens, C., Liu, X., Wang, F., et al. (2020). Comprehensive T cell repertoire
characterization of non-small cell lung cancer. Nat. Commun. *11*, 603. 10.1038/s41467-
019-14273-0.

9. Musters, A., Klarenbeek, P.L., Doorenspleet, M.E., Balzaretti, G., Esveldt, R.E.E., van
Schaik, B.D.C., Jongejan, A., Tas, S.W., van Kampen, A.H.C., Baas, F., et al. (2018). In
Rheumatoid Arthritis, Synovitis at Different Inflammatory Sites Is Dominated by Shared
but Patient-Specific T Cell Clones. J. Immun. J. *201*, 417–422.
10.4049/jimmunol.1800421.

10. Ye, X., Wang, Z., Ye, Q., Zhang, J., Huang, P., Song, J., Li, Y., Zhang, H., Song, F.,
Xuan, Z., et al. (2020). High-Throughput Sequencing-Based Analysis of T Cell
Repertoire in Lupus Nephritis. Front. Immunol. *11*, 1618. 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01618.

11. Wang, G., Wang, Y., Jiang, S., Fan, W., Mo, C., Gong, W., Chen, H., He, D., Huang, J.,
Ou, M., et al. (2022). Comprehensive analysis of TCR repertoire of COVID-19 patients in
different infected stage. Genes. Genom. *44*, 813–822. 10.1007/s13258-022-01261-w.

12. Liao, M., Liu, Y., Yuan, J., Wen, Y., Xu, G., Zhao, J., Cheng, L., Li, J., Wang, X., Wang,
F., et al. (2020). Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar immune cells in patients with
COVID-19. Nat. Med. *26*, 842–844. 10.1038/s41591-020-0901-9.

13. Bacher, P., Rosati, E., Esser, D., Martini, G.R., Saggau, C., Schiminsky, E.,
Dargvainiene, J., Schröder, I., Wieters, I., Khodamoradi, Y., et al. (2020). Low-Avidity
CD4+ T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 in Unexposed Individuals and Humans with
Severe COVID-19. Immunity *53*, 1258-1271.e5. 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.016.

14. Cheon, I.S., Li, C., Son, Y.M., Goplen, N.P., Wu, Y., Cassmann, T., Wang, Z., Wei, X.,
Tang, J., Li, Y., et al. (2021). Immune signatures underlying post-acute COVID-19 lung
sequelae. Sci. Immunol. *6*, eabk1741. 10.1126/sciimmunol.abk1741.

15. Notarbartolo, S., Ranzani, V., Bandera, A., Gruarin, P., Bevilacqua, V., Putignano, A.R.,
Gobbini, A., Galeota, E., Manara, C., Bombaci, M., et al. (2021). Integrated longitudinal
immunophenotypic, transcriptional, and repertoire analysis delineate immune responses
in patients with COVID-19. Sci. Immunol. *6*, eabg5021. 10.1126/sciimmunol.abg5021.

16. Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Yang, R., Wang, Y., Guo, J., Sun, R., Zhou, Y., Su, L., Ge, Q., and
Feng, Y. (2022). Landscape of Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells and Soluble Factors
in Severe COVID-19 Patients With Pulmonary Fibrosis Development. Front. Immunol.
13, 831194. 10.3389/fimmu.2022.831194.

17. Wen, W., Su, W., Tang, H., Le, W., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Liu, X., Xie, L., Li, J., Ye, J., et
al. (2020). Immune cell profiling of COVID-19 patients in the recovery stageby single-cell
sequencing. Cell. Discov. *6*, 31. 10.1038/s41421-020-0168-9.

18. Wang, Z., Xie, L., Ding, G., Song, S., Chen, L., Li, G., Xia, M., Han, D., Zheng, Y., Liu,
J., et al. (2021). Single-cell RNA sequencing of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from
acute Kawasaki disease patients. Nat. Commun. *12*, 5444. 10.1038/s41467-021-25771-
5.

19. Simone, D., Penkava, F., Ridley, A., Sansom, S., Al-Mossawi, M.H., and Bowness, P.
(2021). Single cell analysis of spondyloarthritis regulatory T cells identifies distinct
synovial gene expression patterns and clonal fates. Commun. Biol. *4*, 1395.
10.1038/s42003-021-02931-3.

20. Glanville, J., Huang, H., Nau, A., Hatton, O., Wagar, L.E., Rubelt, F., Ji, X., Han, A.,
Krams, S.M., Pettus, C., et al. (2017). Identifying specificity groups in the T cell receptor
repertoire. Nature *547*, 94–98. 10.1038/nature22976.

21. Huang, H., Wang, C., Rubelt, F., Scriba, T.J., and Davis, M.M. (2020). Analyzing the
Mycobacterium tuberculosis immune response by T-cell receptor clustering with GLIPH2
and genome-wide antigen screening. Nat. Biotechnol. *38*, 1194–1202. 10.1038/s41587-
020-0505-4.

22. Dash, P., Fiore-Gartland, A.J., Hertz, T., Wang, G.C., Sharma, S., Souquette, A.,
Crawford, J.C., Clemens, E.B., Nguyen, T.H.O., Kedzierska, K., et al. (2017).
Quantifiable predictive features define epitope-specific T cell receptor repertoires. Nature
547, 89–93. 10.1038/nature22383.

23. Mayer-Blackwell, K., Schattgen, S., Cohen-Lavi, L., Crawford, J.C., Souquette, A.,
Gaevert, J.A., Hertz, T., Thomas, P.G., Bradley, P., and Fiore-Gartland, A. (2021). TCR
meta-clonotypes for biomarker discovery with tcrdist3 enabled identification of public,
HLA-restricted clusters of SARS-CoV-2 TCRs. eLife *10*, e68605. 10.7554/eLife.68605.

24. Zhang, H., Liu, L., Zhang, J., Chen, J., Ye, J., Shukla, S., Qiao, J., Zhan, X., Chen, H.,
Wu, C.J., et al. (2020). Investigation of Antigen-Specific T-Cell Receptor Clusters in
Human Cancers. Clin. Cancer Res. *26*, 1359–1371. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3249.

25. Zhang, H., Zhan, X., and Li, B. (2021). GIANA allows computationally-efficient TCR
clustering and multi-disease repertoire classification by isometric transformation. Nat.
Commun. *12*, 4699. 10.1038/s41467-021-25006-7.

26. Valkiers, S., Van Houcke, M., Laukens, K., and Meysman, P. (2021). ClusTCR: a python
interface for rapid clustering of large sets of CDR3 sequences with unknown antigen
specificity. Bioinformatics *37*, 4865–4867. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btab446.

27. Sidhom, J.-W., Larman, H.B., Pardoll, D.M., and Baras, A.S. (2021). DeepTCR is a deep
learning framework for revealing sequence concepts within T-cell repertoires. Nat.
Commun. *12*, 1605. 10.1038/s41467-021-21879-w.

28. Montemurro, A., Schuster, V., Povlsen, H.R., Bentzen, A.K., Jurtz, V., Chronister, W.D.,
Crinklaw, A., Hadrup, S.R., Winther, O., Peters, B., et al. (2021). NetTCR-2.0 enables
accurate prediction of TCR-peptide binding by using paired TCRα and β sequence data.
Commun. Biol. *4*, 1060. 10.1038/s42003-021-02610-3.

29. Wu, K., Yost, K.E., Daniel, B., Belk, J.A., Xia, Y., Egawa, T., Satpathy, A., Chang, H.Y.,
and Zou, J. (2021). TCR-BERT: learning the grammar of T-cell receptors for flexible
antigen-xbinding analyses. bioRxiv 2021.11.18.469186. 10.1101/2021.11.18.469186.

30. Schattgen, S.A., Guion, K., Crawford, J.C., Souquette, A., Barrio, A.M., Stubbington,
M.J.T., Thomas, P.G., and Bradley, P. (2022). Integrating T cell receptor sequences and
transcriptional profiles by clonotype neighbor graph analysis (CoNGA). Nat. Biotechnol.
40, 54–63. 10.1038/s41587-021-00989-2.

31. Zhang, Z., Xiong, D., Wang, X., Liu, H., and Wang, T. (2021). Mapping the functional
landscape of T cell receptor repertoires by single-T cell transcriptomics. Nat. Methods
18, 92–99. 10.1038/s41592-020-01020-3.

32. Wu, L., Xue, Z., Jin, S., Zhang, J., Guo, Y., Bai, Y., Jin, X., Wang, C., Wang, L., Liu, Z.,
et al. (2022). huARdb: human Antigen Receptor database for interactive clonotype-
transcriptome analysis at the single-cell level. Nucleic Acids Res. *50*, D1244–D1254.
10.1093/nar/gkab857.

33. Orenbuch, R., Filip, I., Comito, D., Shaman, J., Pe'er, I., and Rabadan, R. (2020).
arcasHLA: high-resolution HLA typing from RNAseq. Bioinformatics *36*, 33–40.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btz474.

34. Aran, D., Looney, A.P., Liu, L., Wu, E., Fong, V., Hsu, A., Chak, S., Naikawadi, R.P.,
Wolters, P.J., Abate, A.R., et al. (2019). Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell

sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. Nat. Immunol. *20*, 163–172.
10.1038/s41590-018-0276-y.

35. Monaco, G., Lee, B., Xu, W., Mustafah, S., Hwang, Y.Y., Carré, C., Burdin, N., Visan, L.,
Ceccarelli, M., Poidinger, M., et al. (2019). RNA-Seq Signatures Normalized by mRNA
Abundance Allow Absolute Deconvolution of Human Immune Cell Types. Cell Rep. *26*,
1627-1640.e7. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.041.

36. Klarenbeek, P.L., Doorenspleet, M.E., Esveldt, R.E.E., van Schaik, B.D.C., Lardy, N.,
van Kampen, A.H.C., Tak, P.P., Plenge, R.M., Baas, F., de Bakker, P.I.W., et al. (2015).
Somatic Variation of T-Cell Receptor Genes Strongly Associate with HLA Class
Restriction. PLoS ONE *10*, e0140815. 10.1371/journal.pone.0140815.

37. Carter, J.A., Preall, J.B., Grigaityte, K., Goldfless, S.J., Jeffery, E., Briggs, A.W.,
Vigneault, F., and Atwal, G.S. (2019). Single T Cell Sequencing Demonstrates the
Functional Role of αβ TCR Pairing in Cell Lineage and Antigen Specificity. Front.
Immunol. *10*, 1516. 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01516.

38. Reantragoon, R., Corbett, A.J., Sakala, I.G., Gherardin, N.A., Furness, J.B., Chen, Z.,
Eckle, S.B.G., Uldrich, A.P., Birkinshaw, R.W., Patel, O., et al. (2013). Antigen-loaded
MR1 tetramers define T cell receptor heterogeneity in mucosal-associated invariant T
cells. J. Exp. Med. *210*, 2305–2320. 10.1084/jem.20130958.

39. Tilloy, F., Treiner, E., Park, S.-H., Garcia, C., Lemonnier, F., Bendelac, A., Bonneville,
M., and Lantz, O. (1999) An invariant T cell receptor alpha chain defines a novel TAP-
independent major histocompatibility complex class Ib-restricted alpha/beta T cell
subpopulation in mammals. J. Exp. Med. *21*, 1907-21. 10.1084/jem.189.12.1907.

40. Gao, S., Wu, Z., Arnold, B., Diamond, C., Batchu, S., Giudice, V., Alemu, L., Raffo, D.Q.,
Feng, X., Kajigaya, S., et al. (2022). Single-cell RNA sequencing coupled to TCR
profiling of large granular lymphocyte leukemia T cells. Nat. Commun. *13*, 1982.
10.1038/s41467-022-29175-x.

41. Marrack, P., Scott-Browne, J.P., Dai, S., Gapin, L., and Kappler, J.W. (2008).
Evolutionarily Conserved Amino Acids That Control TCR-MHC Interaction. Annu. Rev.
Immunol. *26*, 171–203. 10.1146/annurev.immunol.26.021607.090421.

42. Jaffe, D.B., Shahi, P., Adams, B.A., Chrisman, A.M., Finnegan, P.M., Raman, N., Royall,
A.E., Tsai, F., Vollbrecht, T., Reyes, D.S., et al. (2022). Functional antibodies exhibit
light chain coherence. Nature *611*, 352–357. 10.1038/s41586-022-05371-z.

43. Venturi, V., Price, D.A., Douek, D.C., and Davenport, M.P. (2008). The molecular basis
for public T-cell responses? Nat. Rev. Immunol. *8*, 231–238. 10.1038/nri2260.

44. Sethna, Z., Elhanati, Y., Callan, C.G., Walczak, A.M., and Mora, T. (2019). OLGA: fast
computation of generation probabilities of B- and T-cell receptor amino acid sequences
and motifs. Bioinformatics *35*, 2974–2981. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz035.

45. Tickotsky, N., Sagiv, T., Prilusky, J., Shifrut, E., and Friedman, N. (2017). McPAS-TCR:
a manually curated catalogue of pathology-associated T cell receptor sequences.
Bioinformatics *33*, 2924–2929. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx286.

46. Minervina, A.A., Pogorelyy, M.V., Kirk, A.M., Crawford, J.C., Allen, E.K., Chou, C.-H.,
Mettelman, R.C., Allison, K.J., Lin, C.-Y., Brice, D.C., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 antigen

exposure history shapes phenotypes and specificity of memory CD8+ T cells. Nat. Immunol. *23*, 781–790. 10.1038/s41590-022-01184-4.

47. Francis, J., Leistritz-Edwards, D., Dunn, A., Tarr, C., Lehman, J., Dempsey, C., Hamel, A., Rayon, V., Liu, G., Yuntong, W., et al. (2022). Allelic variation in class I HLA determines CD8+ T cell repertoire shape and cross-reactive memory responses to SARS-CoV-2. Sci. Immunol. 7, eabk3070, 10.1126/sciimmunol.abk3070.

48. Springer, I., Tickotsky, N., and Louzoun, Y. (2021). Contribution of T Cell Receptor Alpha and Beta CDR3, MHC Typing, V and J Genes to Peptide Binding Prediction. Front. Immunol. *12*, 664514. 10.3389/fimmu.2021.664514.

49. Fischer, D.S., Wu, Y., Schubert, B., and Theis, F.J. (2020). Predicting antigen specificity of single T cells based on TCR CDR 3 regions. Mol. Syst. Biol. *16*. 10.15252/msb.20199416.

50. Hudson, D., Fernandes, R.A., Basham, M., Ogg, G., and Koohy, H. (2023). Can we predict T cell specificity with digital biology and machine learning? Nat. Rev. Immunol. *8*, 1-11. 10.1038/s41577-023-00835-3.

51. Yang, X., Garner, L.I., Zvyagin, I.V., Paley, M.A., Komech, E.A., Jude, K.M., Zhao, X., Fernandes, R.A., Hassman, L.M., Paley, G.L., et al. (2022). Autoimmunity-associated T cell receptors recognize HLA-B*27-bound peptides. Nature *612*, 771–777. 10.1038/s41586-022-05501-7.

52. Sun, K., Xu, R., Ma, F., Yang, N., Li, Y., Sun, X., Jin, P., Kang, W., Jia, L., Xiong, J., et al. (2022). scRNA-seq of gastric tumor shows complex intercellular interaction with an alternative T cell exhaustion trajectory. Nat. Commun. *13*, 4943. 10.1038/s41467-022-32627-z.

53. Treiner, E., Duban, L., Bahram, S., Radosavljevic, M., Wanner, V., Tilloy, F., Affaticati, P., Gilfillan, S., and Lantz, O. (2003). Selection of evolutionarily conserved mucosal-associated invariant T cells by MR1. Nature *422*, 164–169. 10.1038/nature01433.

54. Stadinski, B.D., Shekhar, K., Gómez-Touriño, I., Jung, J., Sasaki, K., Sewell, A.K., Peakman, M., Chakraborty, A.K., and Huseby, E.S. (2016). Hydrophobic CDR3 residues promote the development of self-reactive T cells. Nat. Immunol. *17*, 946–955. 10.1038/ni.3491.

55. Lagattuta, K.A., Kang, J.B., Nathan, A., Pauken, K.E., Jonsson, A.H., Rao, D.A., Sharpe, A.H., Ishigaki, K., and Raychaudhuri, S. (2022). Repertoire analyses reveal T cell antigen receptor sequence features that influence T cell fate. Nat. Immunol. *23*, 446–457. 10.1038/s41590-022-01129-x.

56. Thorsson, V., Gibbs, D.L., Brown, S.D., Wolf, D., Bortone, D.S., Ou Yang, T.-H., Porta-Pardo, E., Gao, G.F., Plaisier, C.L., Eddy, J.A., et al. (2018). The Immune Landscape of Cancer. Immunity *48*, 812-830.e14. 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.023.

57. Huisman, W., Hageman, L., Leboux, D.A.T., Khmelevskaya, A., Efimov, G.A., Roex, M.C.J., Amsen, D., Falkenburg, J.H.F., and Jedema, I. (2021). Public T-Cell Receptors (TCRs) Revisited by Analysis of the Magnitude of Identical and Highly-Similar TCRs in Virus-Specific T-Cell Repertoires of Healthy Individuals. Front. Immunol. *24*, 851868, 10.1101/2021.11.29.470325.

58. Madi, A., Shifrut, E., Reich-Zeliger, S., Gal, H., Best, K., Ndifon, W., Chain, B., Cohen,
I.R., and Friedman, N. (2014). T-cell receptor repertoires share a restricted set of public
and abundant CDR3 sequences that are associated with self-related immunity. Genome
Res. *24*, 1603–1612. 10.1101/gr.170753.113.

59. Lopez, R., Regier, J., Cole, M.B., Jordan, M.I., and Yosef, N. (2018). Deep generative
modeling for single-cell transcriptomics. Nat. Methods *15*, 1053–1058. 10.1038/s41592-
018-0229-2.

60. Ji, Y., Zhou, Z., Liu, H., and Davuluri, R.V. (2021). DNABERT: pre-trained Bidirectional
Encoder Representations from Transformers model for DNA-language in genome.
Bioinformatics *37*, 2112–2120. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btab083.

61. Brandes, N., Ofer, D., Peleg, Y., Rappoport, N., and Linial, M. (2022). ProteinBERT: a
universal deep-learning model of protein sequence and function. Bioinformatics *38*,
2102–2110. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btac020.

62. McInnes, L., Healy, J., and Melville, J. (2018). UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation
and Projection for Dimension Reduction. arXiv. 10.48550/ARXIV.1802.03426

63. Shugay, M., Bagaev, D.V., Zvyagin, I.V., Vroomans, R.M., Crawford, J.C., Dolton, G.,
Komech, E.A., Sycheva, A.L., Koneva, A.E., Egorov, E.S., et al. (2018). VDJdb: a
curated database of T-cell receptor sequences with known antigen specificity. Nucleic
Acids Res. *46*, D419–D427. 10.1093/nar/gkx760.

64. Bagaev, D.V., Vroomans, R.M.A., Samir, J., Stervbo, U., Rius, C., Dolton, G.,
Greenshields-Watson, A., Attaf, M., Egorov, E.S., Zvyagin, I.V., et al. (2020). VDJdb in
2019: database extension, new analysis infrastructure and a T-cell receptor motif
compendium. Nucleic Acids Res. *48*, D1057–D1062. 10.1093/nar/gkz874.

65. Chen, S.-Y., Yue, T., Lei, Q., and Guo, A.-Y. (2021). TCRdb: a comprehensive database
for T-cell receptor sequences with powerful search function. Nucleic Acids Res. *49*,
D468–D474. 10.1093/nar/gkaa796.

66. Johnson, J., Douze, M., and Jégou, H. (2017). Billion-scale similarity search with GPUs.
arXiv. 10.48550/ARXIV.1702.08734.

67. Abbas, H.A., Hao, D., Tomczak, K., Barrodia, P., Im, J.S., Reville, P.K., Alaniz, Z.,
Wang, W., Wang, R., Wang, F., et al. (2021). Single cell T cell landscape and T cell
receptor repertoire profiling of AML in context of PD-1 blockade therapy. Nat.
Commun.*12*, 6071. 10.1038/s41467-021-26282-z.

1210

Figure 1

Figure 1. Overview of a million-level single-cell paired transcriptome and full-length TCR sequences dataset.
(A) Schematic representation of the joint single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and full-length single-cell T cell receptor sequencing (scTCR-seq) data collected in this study.
(B) Pie chart illustrating the distribution of T cell lineages from different tissue origins and disease types in the collected data.
(C) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) representation and cell lineage annotation for variational autoencoder (VAE)-based data integration for million-level scRNA-seq data. The panel in the bottom right corner shows a zoomed-in UMAP for cycling CD4 T_{conv}, CD8 T, and CD4 T_{reg} cells.
(D) Feature plot for key marker genes, including *CD8A, CD4, FOXP3, TCF7, HAVCR2, GZMB, MKI67, CD40LG, KLRB1, ZBTB16, PDCD1,* and *CX3CR1,* used for cell lineage annotation.
(E) Pie chart depicting the composition of cell lineages for all TCRα/β pairs (upper panel) and unique TCRα/β pairs (lower panel).
CD4 T_{conv}: conventional CD4 T cells; CD4 T_{reg}: regulatory CD4 T cells; CD4 T_n: naïve CD4 T cells; CD8 T_n: naïve CD8 T cells; MAIT: mucosal-associated invariant T cells. BCC: basal cell carcinoma; BC: breast cancer; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma; ccRCC: clear cell renal carcinoma; ESCC: esophagus squamous cell carcinoma; MCRC: metastatic colorectal cancer; BALL: B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; NPC: nasopharyngeal carcinoma; LGLL: large granular lymphocytic leukemia; LAML: acute myeloid leukemia; UC: ulcerative colitis; CPI-C: checkpoint inhibitor associated colitis; CPI-NC: checkpoint inhibitor treatment with no colitis; CP: chronic pancreatitis; MIS-C: multisystem inflammatory syndrome; KD: Kawasaki disease; CPI-A: checkpoint inhibitor associated arthritis; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; PsA: psoriatic arthritis. BM: bone marrow; PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cell. TIL: tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Analysis of T cell receptor (TCR) VJ segment pairing and amino acid usage in different T cell types.
(A) Sankey plot illustrating the enriched TRAV/TRAJ, TRAV/TRBV, and TRBV/TRBJ pairing and joining preferences in CD8 T, MAIT, and CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells.
(B) Density plot showing the distribution of cells with enriched TRAV/TRAJ, TRAV/TRBV, and TRBV/TRBJ pairing in integrated UMAP for CD8, MAIT, and CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells.
(C) Dot plot displaying the expression level of lineage-specific genes in CD8 T, MAIT, and CD4 T$_{conv}$ cells with enriched TRAV/TRAJ, TRAV/TRBV, and TRBV/TRBJ.
(D) Violin plot showing the preference for amino acids with different physicochemical properties in CDR3 middle region (mr) of CD8, CD4 T$_{conv}$, and CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells (*** *p-value* < 0.001, * *p-value* < 0.01, paired *t*-test; N.S.= not significant). CD8: conventional CD8 T cells; CD4 T$_{conv}$: conventional CD4 T cells; CD4 T$_{reg}$: regulatory CD4 T cells; MAIT: mucosal-associated invariant T cells; TRAV: TCR alpha variable; TRAJ: TCR alpha joining; TRBV: TCR beta variable; TRBJ: TCR beta joining. Each grey dot represent one individual, lines connecting grey dots indicate amino acid usage in different cell types within one individual. White boxes within violin plot represent the distribution from 25 percentile to 75 percentile.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Coherence analysis of TCRα-TCRβ pairing.

(A, B) Example of α coherence of β-α pairing (**A**) and β coherence of α-β pairing (**B**). T cells are grouped if (1) they had the same TRBV (α coherence) or same TRAV (β coherence); (2) they had the CDR3β (α coherence) or CDR3α (β coherence) amino acid sequence with Levenshtein distance differences less than 6; (3) they belong to the same T cell types including CD8 T, CD4 T$_{conv}$, CD4 T$_{reg}$, CD4 T$_n$, and CD8 T$_n$.

(C, D) TRAV gene (**C**) and TRBV gene (**D**) usage for α coherence of β-α pairing (**C**) and β coherence of of α-β pairing (**D**) at different Levenshtein distance for CDR3α (**C**) or CDR3β (**D**) in different T cell types.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Public TCR analysis across samples and studies.
(A) Venn diagram of public TCR defined by same α chain (same TRAV-CDR3α-TRAJ), β chain (same TRBV-CDR3β-TRBJ) or both chains.
(B) Pie chart for cell type composition of public TCRα/β (upper panel), TCRα (middle panel), or TCRβ (lower panel).
(C) Distribution of TCR generation probability for TCRα (left panel), TCRβ (middle panel), or TCRα/β (right panel) of public and non-public TCRs.
(D) Distribution of CDR3 middle region length for TCRα (left panel), TCRβ (middle panel), or TCRα/β (right panel) of public and non-public TCRs.
(E-G) Upset plot of disease composition of public TCRα/β **(E)**, TCRα **(F)**, or TCRβ **(G)**.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Integrating TCR sequences and transcriptome features to identify dTCR clusters with transcriptome similarity.

(A-C) Schematic illustration for TCR-DeepInsight model including VAE model used in transcriptome features extraction **(A)**, TCR pre-trained with BERT model used in TCR features extraction **(B)**, TCR embedding used for down-stream tasks including unsupervised TCR clustering, query TCR with known epitope specificity **(C)**, and concatenated joint embedding with both TCR and transcriptome features (TCR-GEX joint embedding) used for downstream dTCR clusters identification, and GEX visualization **(C)**.

(D, E) Relationship of Levenshtein distance of amino acids in CDR3α **(D)** or CDR3β **(E)** and Euclidean distance of TCR-GEX joint embedding between random selected pairs of TCRs.

(F, G) Relationship of average pair-wise Levenshtein distance of amino acids in CDR3α **(F)** or CDR3β **(G)** and the TCR similarity score of TCR-GEX joint embedding for all potential disease TCR clusters.

(H, I) Relationship of difference in Levenshtein distance of amino acids in CDR3α **(H)** or CDR3β **(I)** and disease-specificity score of TCR-GEX joint embedding for all potential disease TCR clusters.

(J) Pie-chart represent the cell type composition of dTCR clusters in different disease conditions.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Representative dTCR clusters with transcriptome similarity in solid tumor, COVID-19 and ankylosing spondylitis.

(A-C) Representative dTCR clusters of solid tumor **(A)**, COVID-19 **(B)**, and Ankylosing spondylitis **(C)**. Scatter plot of TCR similarity score, disease specificity score, and cell type annotation for dTCR clusters were shown (left panel), VJ gene usage and CDRα/β amino acid sequences were for selected dTCR clusters were shown (middle panel), and their transcriptome featurs on original and aggregated GEX embedding were visualized (right panel). Green arrows on scatter plot indicate dTCR clusters selected for visualization with no known antigen specificity, red arrows on scatter plot indicate dTCR clusters selected for visualization with previously reported antigen specificity. Red stars on aggregated and original GEX UMAP indiate the cells harboring these dTCRs.

Figure 7

Figure 7. TCR-DeepInsight extended for query TCR with known epitope specificity and transfer on new dataset.

(A) Schematic illustration for TCR-DeepInsight module used for querying TCRs with known epitope specificity in the reference TCR atlas.

(B, C) Representative TCR cluster from the reference TCR atlas queried by an A*24:01 restricted epitope NYNYLYRLF from SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (**B**) and an B*15:01 restricted epitope RVAGDSGFAAY from SARS-CoV-2 membrane glycoprotein (**C**). Colored stars on aggregated and original GEX UMAP indiate the cells harboring these TCRs similar to the queried TCRs. Color correspond to disease conditions associated with TCRs.

(D) Schematic illustration for transfering BERT pre-trained TCR model and VAE pre-trained GEX model to new scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq dataset to identify dTCRs.

(E) UMAP representation of original GEX and aggregated GEX embedding for queried scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq dataset from gastric cancer and randomly down-sampled reference atlas.

(F) Representative dTCR clusters identified in gastric cancer. Scatter plot of TCR similarity score, disease specificity score, and cell type annotation for dTCR clusters were shown (left panel), VJ gene usage and CDRα/β amino acid sequences were for selected dTCR clusters were shown (middle panel), and their transcriptome featurs on original and aggregated GEX embedding were visualized (right panel). Green arrows on scatter plot indicate dTCR clusters selected for visualization with no known antigen specificity. Red stars on aggregated and original UMAP indiates the cells harboring these dTCRs.

Figure S1

Figure S1. HLA Information in the integrated Paired Transcriptome and Full-Length TCR Sequences Dataset, related to Figure 1.

(A) Schematic illustration for V gene motif, middle region, and J gene motif definition of the CDR3 region. Amino acids in this study were colored by RasMol amino acid color scheme based on Robert Fletterick's "Shapely models".

(B-H) Distribution of number of individuals of called HLA alleles of MHC I HLA-A (**B**), HLA-B (**C**), HLA-C (**D**) and MHC II HLA-DRB1 (**A**), HLA-DQB1 (**F**), HLA-DQA1 (**G**), HLA-DPB1 (**H**) in the integrated datasets.

Figure S2

Figure S2. Additional information on the integrated transcriptome features of over one-million T cells, related to Figure 1.

(A) The UMAP projection of the integrated million-level T cells colored by study names (left panel), and bar plot for the distribution of different studies corresponding to major T cell types including CD4 T_{conv}, CD4 T_{reg}, CD4 T_n, CD8 T_n, and MAIT cells (right panel).

(B) Distribution of cells from different disease states including solid tumors, inflammation, CPI-irAE (checkpoint inhibitor associated immune-related adverse events), AML (Acute Myeloid Leukemia), COVID-19, Healthy, and T-LGLL (T cell large granular lymphocytic leukemia) in the integrated UMAP and pie chart for the composition of T cell states in each disease conditions.

(C) Cell type annotation by singleR as previously used in *Wu et al.*, 2021. Th1: T helper 1 cell; Th17: T helper 17 cell; Th2: T helper 2 cell.

Figure S3

Figure S3. Amino acid usage and length distribution of CDR3 region of different T cell types, related to Figure 2.
(A-D) Violin plot indicating the preference for amino acids with different physicochemical properties in CDR1α (**A**), CDR2α (**B**), CDR1β (**C**), CDR2β (**D**) regions in CD8, CD4 T$_{conv}$, and CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells (*** *p-value* < 0.001, ** *p-value* < 0.01, * *p-value* < 0.05, paired *t*-test; N.S.= not significant).
(E) Distribution of the length of CDR3 and CDR3 amino acids (a.a.) mr in CD8, CD4 T$_{conv}$, and CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells.
(F) Violin plot indicating the preference for amino acids with selected amino acids grouped by physicochemical properties in CDR3α-mr (upper panel) and CDR3β-mr (lower panel) in CD8, CD4 T$_{conv}$, and CD4 T$_{reg}$ cells (*** *p-value* < 0.001, ** *p-value* < 0.01, * *p-value* < 0.05, paired *t*-test; N.S.= not significant). Each grey dot represent one individual, lines connecting grey dots indicate amino acid usage in different cell types within one individual. White boxes within violin plot represent the distribution from 25 percentile to 75 percentile.

Figure S4

A

28,641 reference CDR3αβ-peptide

	Epitope number	CDR3α/β number
Dash et al., 2017	11	1,613
McPAS-TCR, Tickotsky et al., 2017	240	2,020
10x Single Cell Immune Profiling Dataset	40	1,6783
Tetramer sorted 10x single cell, Minervina et al., 2022	18	4,483
Tetramer sorted 10x single cell, Francis et al., 2021	570	2,223
Other sources, Sidhom et al., 2021	158	1,519
Total	**1037**	**28,641**

B

Figure S4. Public dataset collected for TCRα/β pairs with known epitope specificity, related to Figure 4 and 7.
(A) Collection of reference TCR-pMHC pairs from various resources containing CDR3α, CDR3β amino acid sequence and the antigen peptide.
(B) Number of matched TCRα/β pairs with identical CDR3α (upper panel), CDR3β (middle panel), or both CDR3α and CDR3β (lower panel) amino acid sequence with the reference TCR-pMHC information.

Figure S5

Figure S5. Visualization for public TCRs with their corresponding transcriptome states with at least one common HLA allele, related to Figure 4.

(A-C) Representative public and expanded TCRα/β pairs (**A**), disease-associated public and clonal expanded TCRα/β pairs (**B**) and disease-associated public and clonal expanded TCRβ paired with different TCRα (**C**).

Figure S6

Figure S6. Model details for TCR-DeepInsight and representative CD4 T$_{conv}$ dTCR cluster for solid tumor, relate to Figure 5 and 6.

(A) Schematic illustration for the identification of dTCR clusters with TCR-DeepInsight. Briefly, joint GEX and TCR embed-dign were faiss indexed for kNN search. Then anchor TCR and its neighbors were identified and ranked by their TCR and transcriptome similarity with anchor TCR. TCRs derived from different disease were pruned to group TCRs into disease TCR cluster and non-disease TCR cluster.TCR-similarity score and disease-specificity score were calculated based on TCR distance, dTCR clusters were then indentified and visualized on GEX embedding, and additional HLA information and clonal expansion level could be retrieved.

(B) Representative CD4 T$_{conv}$ disease-associated TCR cluster identified in solid tumor. Scatter plot of TCR similarity score, disease specificity score, and cell type annotation for dTCR clusters were shown (left panel), VJ gene usage and CDRα/β amino acid sequences were for selected dTCR clusters were shown (middle panel), and their transcriptome featurs on original and aggregated GEX embedding were visualized (right panel). Green arrows on scatter plot indicate dTCR clusters selected for visualization with no known antigen specificity. Red stars on aggregated and original GEX UMAP indiate the cells harboring these dTCRs.