Estimating recent and historical effective population size

of marine and freshwater sticklebacks

Xueyun Feng[1,2], Ari Löytynoja[2], Juha Merilä[1,3]

[1]*Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Programme, University of Helsinki, FI-00014*
University of Helsinki, Finland

[2]*Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki*, *FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

[3]*Area of Ecology and Biodiversity, School of Biological Sciences, University of Hong Kong,*
Hong Kong SAR

Correspondence: xue-yun.feng@helsinki.fi

Running head: Stickleback Effective Population Size

Abstract

Effective population size (N_e) is a quantity of central importance in evolutionary biology and
population genetics, but often notoriously challenging to estimate. Analyses of N_e are further
complicated by the many interpretations of the concept and the alternative approaches to
quantify N_e utilising different properties of the data. However, the alternative methods are
also informative over different time scales, suggesting that a combination of approaches
should allow piecing together the entire continuum of N_e, spanning from the recent to more
distant past. To test this in practice, we inferred the N_e continuum for 45 populations of nine-
spined sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*) using whole-genome data with both LD- and
coalescent-based methods. Our results show that marine populations exhibit the highest N_e
values in contemporary, recent, and historical times, followed by coastal and freshwater
populations. They also demonstrate the impact of both recent and historical gene flow on N_e
estimates and show that simple summary statistics are informative in comprehending the
events in the very recent past and aid in more accurate estimation of N_e^C, the contemporary
N_e, as well as in reconstruction and interpretation of recent demographic histories. Although
our sample size for large populations is limited, we found that GONE can provide reasonable
N_e estimates. However, due to challenges in detecting subtle genetic drift in large
populations, these estimates may represent the lower bound of N_e. Finally, we show that
combining GONE and CurrentNe2, both sensitive to population structure, with MSMC2
provides a meaningful interpretation of N_e dynamics over time.

Keywords: admixture, demographic history, effective population size, nine-spined
stickleback, *Pungitius*

Introduction

By quantifying the magnitude of genetic drift and inbreeding in real-world populations, the concept of effective population size (N_e) has numerous applications in both evolutionary (Charlesworth, 2009; Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 2010) and conservation biology (Frankham et al., 2010; Allendorf et al., 2012). In evolutionary biology, N_e is informative about the efficacy of selection, mutation, and gene flow as systematic evolutionary forces (Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 2010). In conservation biology, it serves as a crucial measure of a population's evolutionary potential and long-term viability (Frankham et al., 2010; Hare et al., 2011; Waples, 2022a). Various genetic methods have been developed to estimate N_e, ranging from approaches that infer long-term historical N_e dynamics (reviewed in Beichman et al., 2018; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2022) to those designed for estimating contemporary N_e (N_e^C; Waples & Do, 2010). While significant methodological progress has been made, challenges remain in reconciling different estimators and integrating recent, historical and contemporary N_e estimates into a full N_e continuum, shedding light on how past demographic events shape present-day genetic diversity (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2022). Of the distinct temporal scales, estimating N_e^C for large populations remains particularly challenging as their genetic drift and inbreeding effects are small and detection of any signal requires extensive sample sizes (Waples et al., 2016; Marandel et al., 2019; Waples, 2024).

In addition to analyses, interpretation of the N_e estimates remains complex (Waples 2024). All methods used to estimate N_e from genetic data make assumptions, and violation of these assumptions may lead to errors and biases (Beichman et al., 2018; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2022, Waples 2024). For instance, many N_e estimation approaches assume populations to be closed and panmictic (e.g., Waples & Do, 2010; but see also Palamara and Pe'er 2013; Santiago et al., 2020; Novo et al., 2023a), while in real life, most populations are structured and affected by at least some level of migration. The methods based on sequential Markovian Coalescent (Li & Durbin, 2011; Schiffels & Durbin, 2014) commonly used to estimate dynamics of the historical N_e are not immune to these effects, and changes in gene flow can yield N_e trajectories that mimic changes in population size (Beichman et al., 2018). Empirical studies examining how introgression and population structure affect N_e estimates across different temporal scales are limited (but see Palamara & Pe'er 2013; Kersten et al., 2023 and Li et al., 2024), highlighting a gap in our understanding of these dynamics.

Marine and freshwater fish populations exhibit distinct genetic variability patterns and demographic histories and offer a compelling case study for investigating the challenges in N_e estimation. Freshwater populations generally harbor less genetic variation than marine populations (e.g., Ward et al., 1994; DeWoody & Avise, 2000; DeFaveri & Merilä, 2015; Kivikoski et al., 2023), indicating smaller long-term N_e (N_e^{LT}; Ellegren and Galtier, 2016). This makes intuitive sense due to the limited size and fragmentation of freshwater habitats as compared to more continuous marine environments. However, estimating contemporary N_e for large marine populations is particularly difficult (cf. Waples et al., 2016; Marandel et al., 2019) and rigorous comparisons of marine and freshwater populations are rare (e.g., DeFaveri & Merilä, 2015). Recent development in Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) -based methods (Santiago et al., 2020 & 2024) for the estimation of temporal changes in N_e might

bring a solution for this, and the new methods have been shown to provide robust estimates
even for populations of relatively large N_e (Santiago et al., 2020 & 2024; Kersten et al., 2023;
Atmore et al., 2022 & 2024; Andrews et al., 2024). However, LD-based approaches have
biases and limitations of their own (Santiago et al., 2020, Novo et al., 2023a & b, Waples
2024, Gargiulo et al., 2024), particularly their assumption of population isolation that is rarely
met in natural populations.

The primary goal of this study was to explore the capability and feasibility of using
approaches designed to infer recent and historical changes in N_e to reconstruct the temporal
dynamics of N_e of natural populations from different ecological contexts. We focused on
nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*), a small euryhaline teleost fish with a
circumpolar distribution. The species is present in both open marine and landlocked
freshwater habitats and previous studies have found evidence for ancient and potentially
ongoing introgression in some parts of its distribution range (Guo et al., 2019; Feng et al.,
2022; Wang et al., 2023). Using whole-genome sequences (n = 888) obtained from Feng et
al. (2022), we estimated both recent (1–100 generations ago) and historical N_e (hundreds to
thousands of generations ago) across 45 marine and freshwater populations originating from
localities with varying connectivity and environmental conditions, representing open outbred
marine to semi- and fully-isolated inbred freshwater populations.

During the analyses, we observed isolated cases of population structure, prompting us to
examine how the violations of panmixia influence the N_e estimates and impact on associated
demographic interpretations. More systematically, we leveraged data on admixture between
two divergent stickleback lineages (Feng et al. 2022) and investigated how introgression—
present at varying levels across populations—influences the temporal dynamics of N_e. By
integrating multiple N_e estimators across populations with distinct histories, our study
provides complementary insights into reconstruction and interpretation of demographic
changes across diverse ecological contexts, as well as the impacts of population structure
and gene flow on N_e estimation.

108 Methods

109 Data Acquisition

The sequence data and admixture proportions used in this study were obtained from a
previous study (Feng et al., 2022) and in accordance with the national legislation of the
countries concerned. In brief, the data used in this study originate from 45 *P. pungitius*
populations covering much of the species distribution area in Eurasia, North America and the
Far East (Table S1 and Fig. S1). Of these populations, 12 were from marine and nine from
coastal freshwater populations with connection (or recent connection) to the sea. Of the true
freshwater populations, eleven were from closed ponds (surface area < 4 ha), ten from
lakes, two from rivers and one from a man-made drainage ditch (Table S1). The admixture
proportions of nine admixed marine and seven admixed freshwater populations (Table S1)
from the Baltic Sea area were derived from Feng et al. (2022).

Data processing

The short-read data were mapped to the 21 linkage groups (LG) of the v7 nine-spined
stickleback reference genome (Kivikoski et al., 2021) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner
v.0.7.17 (BWA MEM algorithm; Li, 2013) and its default parameters. Duplicate reads were
marked with samtools v.1.7 (Li et al., 2009) and variant calling was performed with the
Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v.3.6.0 and v.4.0.1.2 (McKenna et al., 2010) following the
GATK Best Practices workflows. In more detail, RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner
(from v.3.6.0) tools were applied to realign reads around indels, HaplotypeCaller was used to
call variants for each individual (parameters set as -stand emit conf 3, -stand call cof 10, -
GQB (10,50), variant index type linear and variant index parameter 128000), and finally
GenotypeGVCFs was used to jointly call the variants for all the samples using its default
parameters. Binary SNPs were extracted with bcftools v.1.7 (Danecek et al., 2021) excluding
sites located within identified repetitive sequences (Varadharajan et al., 2019) and negative
mappability mask regions combining the identified repeats and unmappable regions
(Kivikoski et al., 2021). Sites showing low (<8x) or too high (>25x) average coverage, low
(<20) genotype quality, low (<30) quality score and more than 25% missing data were
filtered out using vcftools v.0.1.5 (Danecek et al., 2011). Data from the known sex
chromosomes (LG12) were removed from further analysis. For details about the subsequent
filtering of the dataset used in different analyses, see Table S2.

Analyses of linkage disequilibrium and genetic relatedness

The magnitude of linkage disequilibrium (LD) and its decay are informative on N_e, level of
inbreeding, and migration (Flint-Garcia et al., 2003). Hence, we characterised LD patterns in
all populations by estimating the squared correlation coefficient r^2 between each pair of
SNPs with PopLDdecay (Zhang et al., 2019) with its default settings and max distance
between two SNPs were set to 1000Kb. We restricted the analysis to the largest linkage
group LG4 and used LG1 for cross-validation (SNP Set 2 in Table S2). The LD decay curve
was plotted with R (R Core Team, 2020).

High levels of LD in a population may indicate (i) small N_e, (ii) increased inbreeding, and/or
(iii) recent migration/admixture. To distinguish between these, we first estimated the average
inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}) for each population using vcftools --het. We then used
ngsRelate v.2 (Hanghøj et al., 2019) to calculate the r_{xy}, the pairwise relatedness within
populations (Hedrick & Lacy, 2015). As a measure of temporal gene flow, we examined the
LD decay patterns. Within the same ecotype, populations showing atypical LD decay
patterns were considered as potentially affected by temporal gene flow.

GONE analyses

We reconstructed the recent demographic history using GONE (Santiago et al., 2020). This
method utilises the LD patterns in the data and has been shown to be robust for time spans
of 0-200 generations before present, even when N_e is relatively large (Santiago et al., 2020).
The analyses were performed using the SNP Set1 (Table S2 & S3) along with a genetic map
lifted-over from the reference genome version 6 (Varadharajan et al., 2019) to version 7
(Kivikoski et al., 2021). According to Santiago et al. (2020), the possible bias from recent

gene flow can be mitigated by lowering the recombination fractions threshold (*hc*). Following
that, we repeated the analyses using a *hc* of 0.01 and 0.05. For each population, twenty
replicates using the SNP Set1 (Table S2 & S3) were performed with the default settings, and
the geometric mean were applied to summarize the N_e estimates across replicates. The
replicates are not fully independent due to overlapping SNPs. We used a generation length
of two years (DeFaveri et al., 2014) to scale the time and the N_e estimates at 1 generation
before present was taken as the estimate of N_e^C ($N_e^C{}_{GONE}$). Following the patterns shown in
Fig. 2F of Santiago et al. (2020), we manually inspected and classified the trajectories as
affected by gene flow or not.

CurrentNe analyses

Although the GONE estimates for the most recent generation can be considered measures
of N_e^C, a newer method called CurrentNe has been shown to provide less biased estimates
(Santiago et al., 2024). CurrentNe estimates N_e^C from the LD patterns between SNPs
without requiring information on their location (i.e. genetic map) and accounts for the
species' mating system. The latest version of the method, CurrentNe2 (available at
https://github.com/esrud/currentne2), allows for the integration of a genetic map and
modelling of gene flow, improving the accuracy of N_e^C estimation. When the migration option
(-x) is enabled, CurrentNe2 assumes the target population to be a metapopulation consisting
of two subpopulations of equal size and incorporates migration between them into the N_e
estimation. This approach can yield a more appropriate metapopulation estimate in cases
where gene flow or population substructure are present (A. Caballero, pers. comm.). We
applied CurrentNe2 to estimate the $N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe}$ and compared that with $N_e^C{}_{GONE}$. In each
population, autosomal SNPs with missing data were removed from the analyses, and the
average number of full siblings (k) was empirically inferred from the data. The analysis was
performed with and without the migration option, and when population substructure was
present, the estimate allowing for migration was taken. The number of SNPs used in this
analysis for each population is detailed in Table S4.

MSMC2 analyses

MSMC2 (Malaspinas et al., 2016) was used to reconstruct the demographic history of the
more distant past. As the method can analyse at most eight haplotypes, we selected and
utilised the four individuals with the highest sequencing coverage from each population. The
input files were generated following Schiffels & Wang (2020), and along with mask files
generated by bamCaller.py, the mappability masks (Kivikoski et al., 2021) were applied.
Estimates were carried out with default settings, and the outputs were processed assuming
mutation rate of $4.37 \square 10^{-9}$ per site per generation (Zhang et al., 2023) and a generation length
of two years (DeFaveri et al., 2014). To conduct bootstrap estimations, the input data were
chopped into 1 Mb blocks and an artificial 400 Mb long genome was generated by random
sampling with replacement. 20 artificial bootstrap datasets were generated using
Multihetsep_bootstrap.py from msmc-tools (https://github.com/stschiff/msmc-tools) and
analysed with the same settings as the original data. In all analyses, the first two time
segments (which usually are untrustworthy; Schiffels & Durbin, 2014; Sellinger et al., 2021)
were discarded. Point estimates of N_e at certain times were obtained by interpolation with

R's *approx* function and the value at 4000 years before present was used as the estimate of
N_e^H, the historical N_e, in statistical analyses.

Long-term N_e estimation

The average long-term N_e (N_e^{LT}) were estimated using the formula (Kimura, 1983) $N_e=$
$\pi/(4\mu)$, where μ is the mutation rate, assumed to be 4.37×10^{-9} per site per generation (Zhang et al.,
2023). π was obtained from folded site frequency spectra (SFS), estimated for each
population directly from the bam data with ANGSD v.0.921 (Korneliussen et al., 2014), using
the R script from Walsh et al., (2022) modified to fit folded SFSs. Sites with more than 70%
heterozygote counts were removed and the mappability masks (Kivikoski et al., 2021) were
applied in data filtering. For details, see Table S2.

Table 1. Overview of N_e estimators in this research.

N_e estimator	Method	Definition
$N_e^C{}_{GONE}$	GONE	Contemporary N_e. Estimates at 1 generation before present.
$N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe}$	CurrentNe2	Contemporary N_e.
N_e^H	MSMC2	Historical N_e. Point estimates at 4000 years before present.
N_e^{LT}	Genetic diversity	Long-term N_e. Estimated from nucleotide diversity (π) using the equation $N_e^{LT} = \pi/(4\mu)$.

Statistical analyses

We assessed the linear relationships among alternative N_e estimates and admixture
proportions using the Pearson correlation test, implemented in the *cor.test*() function in R (R
Core Team, 2020). The Pearson correlation coefficient (*r*) was calculated to quantify the
strength and direction of these relationships, with *p*-values reported to assess statistical
significance (threshold = 0.05). In cases where normality was violated, we conducted
Spearman's rank correlation as an alternative and the Spearman's correlation coefficient
(*rho*) was reported.

Results

Summary statistics show unexpected variation among freshwater populations

We found the genetic diversity to be generally higher in marine and coastal freshwater than
in inland freshwater populations and decreasing together with the connectivity of the habitat
class (Fig. 1a). However, the patterns of LD decay over physical distance showed marked
differences within and between habitat classes (Fig. 1b, Fig. S2). While the decay of LD is

faster and shows lower average LD (r^2) in marine than in freshwater populations, the latter
show considerable variation, some being similar to marine populations with low levels of LD
and others containing very high levels of LD (Fig. 1b). Similarly, we found the marine
populations to have generally low F_{IS}, while those for the freshwater populations were highly
variable (Fig. 1c). Since a few populations showed higher than average levels of within-
ecotype LD and within-population variation in F_{IS}, we estimated the pairwise relatedness (r_{xy},
Hedrick et al. 2015) within each population. The relatedness showed an increase with the
degree of isolation of the habitat, and unexpectedly, some freshwater samples were more
closely related than the others ($r_{xy} > 0.5$ shown in yellow colour in Fig. 1d) within the given
site (Fig. S3). The initial analysis revealed that the samples from Lake Riikojärvi, Finland,
show an exceptionally high level of LD and strong patterns of inbreeding in comparison to
other lake populations, and certain individuals within the population were more closely
related than others.

Fig. 1. Summary statistics for 45 nine-spined stickleback populations. a) Nucleotide diversity
(π) across the autosomal chromosomes. b) LD calculated as the harmonic mean of r^2 for
SNPs located 100-200 kb apart within LG4. c) Inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}) with standard
deviations. d) Relatedness (r_{xy}) for pairs of individuals within populations with colours
representing the proportion of pairwise comparisons within a population falling in a specific
relatedness class. For the LD decay curve for each population, see Fig. S2.

Population structure affects inferences of recent demographic history

Population structure, bottlenecks and gene flow can distort the LD patterns and bias the
inferences of recent demographic history (Santiago et al., 2020, Novo et al., 2023a). We
inferred recent demographic histories using the LD-based methods GONE (Santiago et al.,
2020) and found sharp declines in N_e over the last few generations in a few populations (Fig.
2; Fig. S4). Comparisons of N_e estimates across different recombination bin cut-offs (*hc*)
showed either inconsistent results or wide ranges of values – the typical symptoms of recent
gene flow – for populations exhibiting abnormal LD, inbreeding, or relatedness patterns (Fig.
2; Santiago et al., 2020; Novo et al., 2023a). An extreme case was FIN-RII, an outlier in the

LD decay analysis (Fig. 1b, Fig. S2), where N_e estimates ranged dramatically from 14 to
924,485 (Fig. 2) and varied significantly across different hc levels (Fig. S4). A lower hc value
removed the variation in N_e over the generations in a few populations (e.g. FIN-UKO and
SWE-GOT), but did not completely eliminate it (e.g. FIN-TVA, Fig. S4). Several populations
showed consistent patterns in N_e estimates with different hc values. Of the 45 populations,
six showed steady N_e estimates over time across the two hc values, indicating their stable
recent history (Fig. S4).

Fig. 2. Variations in recent historical N_e estimates obtained with GONE. Range of N_e
estimates for each population over 1–50 generations before present, calculated using two
recombination fractions (hc = 0.01 in light gray, and hc = 0.05 in dark gray). Median N_e
values are marked by matching triangles. Populations are ordered as in Fig. 1 and the color
bar on top represents the ecotypes. For the trajectories of each population, see Fig. S4.

Differences in N_e^C estimates

We estimated N_e^C using the LD-based method CurrentNe2 and compared these with the
estimates at 1 generation before present obtained with GONE using different hc cut-offs.
Overall, the N_e^C estimates exhibited a similar trend across ecotypes but displayed high
variability within freshwater populations, with pond populations generally having smaller N_e^Cs
than the others. With CurrentNe2, the N_e^C of eight marine and seven freshwater populations
could not be estimated. Of the 30 remaining populations, 18 were inferred to be affected by
migration. As expected, for the 18 populations affected by migration, N_e^C estimates were
higher when migration was accounted for. However, migration rates did not show correlation
with the difference (r = -0.3, p = 0.23, n = 18) but were weakly correlated (r = -0.41, p = 0.09,
n = 18) with the ratio of N_e^C estimates between the two models.

Since we previously showed that the GONE analyses of some populations were influenced
by population structure, it is not surprising that the $N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ estimates for those populations
were also affected (10 populations at hc = 0.01 and 16 populations at hc = 0.05, Fig. 3).
$N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ estimates obtained with hc = 0.05 were generally higher than those obtained with hc
= 0.01, particularly in populations affected by recent gene flow. However, after excluding the

affected estimates, the overall trends remained consistent between the two *hc* cut-offs ($r =$
0.50, *p* = 0.006, n = 29). When migration was accounted for in CurrentNe2, we observed a
strong alignment between $N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe}$ and $N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ estimates (*hc* = 0.01, *rho* = 0.62, *p* <
0.001, n = 30 and *hc* = 0.05, *rho* = 0.86, *p* < 0.0001, n = 30).

Discrepancies emerged when comparing N_e^C estimates between the methods. For example,
freshwater populations from Sweden (Lil-Navartjärn, hereafter SWE-NAV) and Finland
(Pyöreälampi, hereafter FIN-PYO) that showed high levels of relatedness also obtained
markedly different N_e^C estimates with the two different methods (Fig. S5). A possible
explanation is that their exceptionally low genetic diversity and inbreeding have distorted the
LD patterns. Substantial differences in N_e^C estimates were also observed for the Japanese
marine population and several Baltic Sea populations (Fig. S5, Table S5). Interestingly, with
both methods, the smallest N_e^Cs were observed for a pond population from Lund, Sweden
($N_e^C{}_{GONE}$=37.33 at *hc* = 0.01 and 31.30 at *hc* = 0.05, $N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe}$=46.63), not in the population
with the lowest genetic diversity (FIN-PYO, π = 0.00015), and the highest N_e^C was observed
in a lake population (Ukonjärvi, Finland), followed by a marine population from Biwase Bay,
Japan, for CurrentNe2, and the Gulf of Finland for GONE (Fig. S5). In addition, we found
that the confidence intervals for $N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ were extremely narrow compared to those for
$N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe}$, probably due to the overlapping of SNPs in the replicates (Fig. S5).

Fig. 3. Comparison of estimated contemporary effective population size (N_e^C) using GONE
and CurrentNe2 for 45 nine-spined stickleback populations. a) and b) show N_e^C estimates
obtained from GONE with *hc* = 0.01 and *hc* = 0.05, respectively. The black dashed line
represents the 1:1 slope, and the gray shaded area indicates populations for which N_e^C
could not be estimated using CurrentNe2. The shapes denote whether the estimates were
affected by migration, while the colors represent the ecotypes.

N_e continuums reveal distinctive population histories

We reconstructed the N_e continuum spanning the last 30,000 years, capturing demographic changes from before the Last Glacial Maximum (30 kya) to the present day. Overall, we found both recent historical and historical N_e estimates to be generally lower in freshwater populations compared to marine populations (Fig. 4). However, recent historical N_e estimates exhibit greater variability in lake and coastal freshwater populations compared to pond and marine populations (Fig. 4), consistent with the observed variability in levels of LD and F_{IS} (Fig. 1), suggesting differences in their recent histories of isolation, recovery from bottleneck or expansion, and migration.

The historical N_e show that all populations experienced declines until the end of the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, approximately 21,000 years ago; Fig. 4, Fig. S6 & S7). After this period, the historical N_e of marine populations increased (Fig. 4, Fig. S7), while most freshwater populations continued to decline (Fig. 4, Fig. S7). Within ecotypes, specific populations exhibit distinct but predictable deviations from the consensus N_e history. For instance, the marine population from Hokkaido, Japan (Fig. S6 & S7), and the freshwater population from Lake Floating Stone, Canada (Fig. S6 & S7), stand out within their ecotypes, reflecting their geographic isolation and the regional climatic differences during the Last Glacial Period. Conversely, some coastal freshwater and pond populations display N_e trajectories similar to those of European marine populations (Fig. S6 & S7), indicating recent colonization of the freshwater environment. In general, the demographic histories of freshwater populations are more variable than those of marine populations (Fig. 4, Fig. S7), reflecting the differing sizes, connectivities, and regional geographic histories of their habitats.

Fig. 4. Temporal reconstruction of effective population size (N_e) for 45 nine-spined
stickleback populations over the past 30,000 years. a) The colors represent N_e estimates at
key time points with the scale given at the top. The estimates for the last 200 years were
derived with GONE ($hc = 0.01$; results with $hc = 0.05$ are shown in Fig. S8), while those for
4,000 to 30,000 years ago were obtained with MSMC2. Asterisks indicate estimates
influenced by population structure as inferred by manual inspection following the patterns in
Fig. 2F of Santiago et al. (2020). Long-term N_e values were calculated from genetic diversity
(π). Key time points were chosen to reflect significant geographic events: 4,000 years ago
marks the onset of the current Baltic Sea stage, 12,600 years ago the start of the Baltic Ice
Lake stage, and 21,000-27,000 years ago corresponds to the Last Glacial Maximum. The
color next to population labels represents the ecotypes. b) The demographic history of a
representative population, FIN-PYO, over the past 80,000 years. The dashed lines connect
the time points in panels a) and b).

Historical introgression may differently affect alternative N_e estimators

The Baltic Sea marine populations were inferred to contain 13-30% of Western Lineage
ancestry (Feng et al., 2022) and such past introgression events should be visible in the
trajectories of historical N_e. The populations show an increase of N_e around 10,000 years
ago (Fig. S6 & S7) and similar trajectories are seen in coastal freshwater populations known
to contain moderate levels (12-16%) of admixture. However, the Swedish freshwater
populations with low levels of admixture (3-5%) in a previous study (Feng et al., 2022) show
recent MSMC2 trajectories similar to the consensus pattern of the pond ecotype. This area is
known to have been isolated from the Baltic Sea around 10,000 years ago (Mobley et al.,
2011), suggesting a negligible impact of low degree of admixture on historical N_e estimates.

To more formally test the impact of historical introgression, we assessed the linear
relationships between introgression level and alternative N_e estimators. In the pond
populations showing small amounts of admixture, the amount of introgressed ancestry
showed no correlation with any N_e estimate (Table 2). Among the Baltic marine populations,
the admixture proportion was not correlated with N_e^H , the N_e estimate for 4000 years before
present, but showed negative correlation ($r = -0.89$, n = 5, p = 0.04) with $N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ ($hc = 0.01$,
Table 2). For the long-term estimates, the effect was opposite and the admixture proportion
correlated positively with N_e^{LT} (Table 2).

Table 2. Pearson product moment correlations between the different N_e estimates and the
admixture proportions in marine and pond ecotypes. Two to nine marine and seven pond
populations were included.

Method	Ecotype	r	p	N
$N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe2}$	Marine	NA	NA	2
$N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ (hc=0.01)	Marine	-0.89	0.04	5*

$N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ (hc=0.05)	Marine	-0.12	0.85	5*
N_e^H	Marine	0.14	0.72	9
N_e^{LT}	Marine	0.83	0.006	9
$N_e^C{}_{CurrentNe2}$	Pond	-0.27	0.56	7
$N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ (hc=0.01)	Pond	-0.14	0.77	7
$N_e^C{}_{GONE}$ (hc=0.05)	Pond	-0.28	0.55	7
N_e^H	Pond	0.23	0.63	7
N_e^{LT}	Pond	0.41	0.35	7

376   * Populations that showed drastic decrease in N_e were excluded from analysis.

378   Discussion

The aim of this study was to reconstruct the temporal dynamics of N_e in natural populations
by employing alternative methods that leverage different genomic signals. Our results
revealed that all studied populations had experienced glacial contractions and, while marine
populations displayed signs of post-glacial expansion, freshwater populations exhibited
continued declines with some populations showing signatures of recovery from historical
bottlenecks. Across all estimators, the N_e values were in general highest in marine
populations, followed by coastal freshwater populations, and lowest in pond populations. Our
analysis also revealed a mixed impact of population structure on N_e estimates. In particular,
the N_e^{LT} and N_e^C estimates, based on genetic diversity and obtained with GONE,
respectively, for the admixed marine populations correlate with levels of historical genetic
introgression, whereas no such correlation was detected in other N_e estimates. We found
that characteristic traces in summary statistics can uncover recent gene flow, a violation of
assumptions potentially distorting LD patterns and complicating the reconstruction of recent
demographic histories, as well as the estimation of N_e^C with both GONE and CurrentNe2.
While also susceptible to historical introgression, MSMC2 models N_e across time and
attributes the effects of gene flow to the appropriate historical time periods. We explore
these challenges and their implications for accurate and reliable N_e estimation in greater
detail below.

397   Summary statistics can reveal hidden within-population aberrations

The effective population size N_e has many definitions (Husemann et al., 2016; Waples,
2022) but they all basically return to the Wright-Fisher model (Fisher, 1931; Wright, 1931)
and aim to determine the size of an idealised population behaving genetically in a similar
fashion to the target population. However, population subdivision and gene flow are common
in natural populations (Patton et al., 2019) and such violations of model assumptions impact

the inferences of N_e and complicate their interpretation (reviewed in Loog, 2020; Marchi et
al., 2021; Waples 2024). In non-model species, limited sample sizes and uncertainty about
population conditions pose additional challenges.

Our findings demonstrate that simple summary statistics can be informative in elucidating
demographic histories and reduce misinterpretation risks. For example, the Riikojärvi lake
population (FIN-RII) was an outlier, exhibiting the highest level of LD, increased relatedness,
and highly variable N_e estimates in GONE analyses. These patterns are inconsistent with the
population's nucleotide diversity and the LD levels observed in other populations from the
same geographic region and ecotype. We hypothesize that recent hybridization between
native fish and genetically distinct migrants may have elevated its LD. Given the isolation of
the lake, natural migration seems improbable, implying that these migrants were introduced
with fish stocking that has taken place at the lake. Consequently, both N_e^C estimation and
recent demographic reconstructions for this population may be unreliable due to the
distortion of LD patterns by recent gene flow.

Impact of population structure and historical gene flow on N_e
estimations

The relationship between population's census size and effective size is complex (Frankham
1995; Palstra & Ruzzante, 2008; Waples et al., 2013). The N_e estimates in this study should
be interpreted as indicators of relative demographic changes in each population, and, as
such, be indicative of the influence of various demographic processes (e.g., population
bottlenecks and inbreeding) and climatic changes. Since the alternative N_e estimators are
based on different definitions and utilize distinct features of the data, they probably should
not be expected to be fully congruent and none of them should be considered as definitive
values of N_e.

Interestingly, we found that gene flow drives divergent responses in N_e estimators: LD-based
methods underestimated N_e when migration is not adequately accounted for (Saura et al.,
2021; Santiago et al., 2024; Gargiulo et al., 2024), whereas coalescent-based methods
tended to overestimate N_e due to the increased genetic diversity introduced by gene flow.
This contrast underscores the differences in methodology and sensitivity to demographic
changes in different timescales: LD-based methods, which assess N_e based on the variance
in reproductive success within recent generations (N_eVk, Novo et al., 2023a&b, Waples
2024), are sensitive to immediate demographic fluctuations, while coalescent-based
methods focus on historical genetic drift and mutation over longer timescales (Hudson, 1990;
Li and Durbin, 2011). The distinct temporal scales of the methods emphasize the complexity
of interpreting N_e in structured and admixed populations (Kersten et al., 2023) and highlight
the importance of integrating multiple N_e estimators to obtain more reliable demographic
inferences.

Although GONE is known to be sensitive to population structure (Novo et al., 2023a; Kersten
et al., 2023), our results suggest that the method is generally robust across very different-
sized populations. The trajectories for marine populations are largely consistent, suggesting
that the estimation of recent demographic histories, previously limited to small populations
(DeFaveri & Merilä, 2015; Marandel et al., 2019; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2022), may
be applied to them as well. However, we also detected deviations and unstable estimates in

some populations, particularly those with fluctuating connectivity or showing evidence of
population substructure. Such violations of the expected closed population history are known
to bias recent historical N_e estimates (1–50 generations before present), often causing
sharply decreased N_e estimates (Santiago et al., 2020, Novo et al., 2023a; Kersten et al.,
2023; Atmore et al., 2022 & 2024). Limiting recombination between SNPs partially reduced
the variation in N_e estimates across generations (Fig. S4; Santiago et al., 2020) but may also
lead to a loss of informative SNPs, complicating the reconstruction of recent demographic
history (Santiago et al., 2020 & 2023; Novo et al., 2023a) and affecting the estimation of N_e^C
with GONE (Gargiulo et al., 2024).

Consistent with previous observations (Ryman et al., 2019, Novo et al., 2023a&b), our
findings indicate that N_e^Cs tend to be underestimated in structured populations. By
examining different hc cut-offs in GONE, we found that estimates at $hc = 0.01$ were
generally lower than those at $hc = 0.05$ but correlated with them, suggesting that reducing
the hc cut-off might not effectively mitigate the impacts of population structure. On the other
hand, lowering the hc cut-off reduced the number of informative SNPs and amplified the
effects of pseudoreplication, narrowing the confidence intervals (Waples et al., 2022).
Furthermore, we found that several populations that GONE inferred unaffected by gene flow
showed signals of population structure in CurrentNe2 analyses. The affected populations
tended to have lower N_e^C estimates when migration was not accounted for, with the effect
being more pronounced at lower migration rates. Moreover, substantial differences in N_e^C
estimates between the two methods were observed in a few freshwater populations with
extremely low genetic diversity. We postulate that the very low genetic variation in these
populations causes even minor deviations in the data to appear more pronounced and
exaggerate the estimates of changes in drift and LD patterns. Such discrepancies between
the methods highlight the importance of careful evaluation of the population structure when
estimating N_e^C and emphasize the need for caution in interpreting the estimates, particularly
when the recent conditions of the population are uncertain.

We found that past gene flow had mixed effects on different N_e estimates. In Baltic Sea
populations, we observed a positive correlation between N_e^{LT} and admixture proportions,
suggesting that historical introgression has significantly shaped the genetic landscape of
these populations. In contrast, historical N_e estimates sampled at 4000 years before present
(ybp), did not show such a correlation. This likely reflects the fact that the ages of the N_e^H
point-estimates are much younger than the recent secondary contacts and the admixture
can correctly be taken into account in the more distant time segments. Coalescent-based
methods can theoretically accommodate for gene flow and population substructure and are
considered relatively robust to low levels of admixture (Beichman et al., 2017; but see
Palamara & Pe'er 2013). However, N_e estimates may still be inflated if introgressed genetic
variation is not adequately accounted for, as indicated by recent studies on archaic hominins
(Li et al., 2024). In the Baltic Sea marine populations, the complex admixture history is mixed
with post-glacial population expansion (Feng et al., 2022), and disentangling the effects of
these processes on N_e estimates is not straightforward. Utilizing alternative approaches like
fastsimcol2 (Excoffier et al., 2021) and dadi (Gutenkunst et al., 2009) might provide a path to
a deeper understanding of the history of Baltic Sea populations.

N_e estimators and demographic history of the nine-spined sticklebacks

While none of the N_e estimates is immune to the impact of population structure and gene flow, they remain valuable for understanding population histories and dynamics. For example, the Japanese marine population from a tide pool connected to Biwase Bay, which experienced inter-species introgression in the distant past (Yamasaki et al., 2020), exhibited the highest N_e^{LT}, a median N_e^H, but a lower N_e^C compared to Baltic Sea populations. Despite their apparent relative incongruence, the results are consistent with the highly complex history of the population: N_e^{LT} reflects the mixed species ancestry with no apparent historical bottleneck and high levels of current genetic diversity, N_e^H its marine origin and limited impact during the Last Glacial Period (LGP), N_e^C its very recent past in a shallow-water tide pool thereby reduced connectivity to its adjacent marine population and increased genetic drift in the contemporary population. Although anecdotal, the case well represents the differences behind the alternative estimates of N_e, their timescales, differences in sensitivity to demographic changes and associated biological meanings.

The demographic history of nine-spined stickleback populations reflects the significant impact of Pleistocene climatic oscillations. Glaciations and subsequent deglaciations are associated with bottlenecks and expansions, which have shaped the genetic diversity and adaptive potential of contemporary populations (reviewed in Hewitt, 2004). Consistent with other European species (e.g., Backström et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016), our results show that European nine-spined sticklebacks experienced population contractions during glacial periods. After the LGP (~11,000 years ago), marine and freshwater ecotypes began to diverge. The timing of bottlenecks in various freshwater populations reflects their colonization history and the formation of new habitats as glacial ice sheets retreated. For instance, pond populations close to the Gulf of Bothnia display bottlenecks 5,000–10,000 years ago, consistent with the historical coastal line in the area (Mobley et al., 2011). In contrast, the White Sea pond populations appear to have resulted from more recent colonizations (Ziuganov & Zotin, 1995), with a bottleneck dated to approximately 500 years ago (Figs. S3 and S4). Additionally, two river populations from the Baltic Sea coast (from Estonia and Latvia) share similar proportions of Western Lineage ancestry with nearby marine populations (Feng et al., 2022), suggesting their establishment occurred after the secondary contact between the two lineages. Such fine details highlight the fundamental differences among the studied freshwater populations, particularly their ages and their origin from ancestral populations representing different pools of standing genetic variation. The latter is a crucial resource for local adaptation (Barrett & Schluter, 2008). Differences in access to the pool of standing variation due to gene flow or historical demography can either constrain or enhance local adaptation (Fang et al., 2021; Kemppainen et al., 2021). In this respect, our results provide a foundation for further investigation into the role of ancestral polymorphism in the local adaptation of nine-spined sticklebacks.

Feasibility of applying GONE in large populations

In our analyses, estimating the N_e^C with CurrentNe2 was particularly problematic for certain large populations (e.g. FIN-HEL, n = 22), likely due to the rapid decay of LD and the weak genetic drift signals available for analysis (Gargiulo et al., 2024; Waples, 2025). The latter is

inversely proportional to N_e and is quickly diminished by sampling noise as N_e increases
(Wang et al., 2016; Waples, 2016). Accurate N_e estimates with LD-based methods require
sample sizes comparable to N_e to reduce signal-to-noise ratios to acceptable levels (Hill
1981; Marandel et al., 2019), which is impractical for large populations as this often
translates into sampling and sequencing hundreds to thousands of individuals—an
unfeasible scale in most cases. In contrast, GONE showed a clear advantage by providing
reasonable N_e estimates even with relatively small sample sizes. Furthermore, results from
GONE were well aligned with N_e^Cs inferred from CurrentNe2 for both large and small
populations when recent gene flow was adequately accounted for. This capability is
particularly valuable for estimating N_e of large populations. However, due to the inherent
challenges of detecting very subtle drift signals with limited samples, the N_e^C estimates from
GONE might be best viewed to represent the lower bounds of the N_e.

545 Conclusions

Using whole-genome data from 45 populations of nine-spined sticklebacks, we demonstrate
the utility and limitations of a set of genomic methods for estimating N_e over time. Our study
highlights the usefulness of integrating population summary statistics for studying
demographic histories and reveals the complex impact of population structure on different N_e
estimates. Despite their biases, the estimates remain valuable for gaining a comprehensive
understanding of population histories and dynamics. By comparing the results from a
method specifically designed to estimate N_e^C, we demonstrated the potential of using GONE
for the estimation of N_e^C in large populations, which is often a challenge due to their low
levels of genetic drift. Overall, our findings underscore the importance of employing a
combination of methods to account for both historical and recent demographic processes,
providing a more holistic view of population histories and resilience.

557 Authors' contributions

558 J.M. started the project. X.F., J.M. and A.L. devised the research idea. X.F. performed the
559 analyses with the help of A.L. X.F. and A.L. wrote the first draft and all authors participated in
560 the writing of the final manuscript.

561 Acknowledgements

We thank Kirsi Vestenius, Ari Savikko and Kare Koivisto for help with the information of fish
transplantation in northern Finland; and numerous collaborators and colleagues for their help
in obtaining and processing the samples (listed in Acknowledgements of Feng et al., 2022).
The advice and support from Armando Caballero, Andrew Foote, Paolo Momigliano, Petri
Kemppainen, and Mikko Kivikoski is gratefully acknowledged. Our research was supported
by grants from the Academy Finland (129662, 134728 and 218343 to JM; 322681 to AL),
China Scholarship Council (#201608520032 to XF), and Finnish Cultural Foundation
(#00210295 to XF). Computational resources provided by the CSC–IT Center for Science,
Finland, are acknowledged with gratitude.

572 Conflict of interest

573 The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability statement

576 The whole-genome re-sequencing data have been published previously by Feng et al.
577 (2022) and all the raw sequence data for this study can be accessed through European
578 Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under accession code PRJEB39599.
579 Other relevant data (e.g., input and output files) are available from the Zenodo Open
580 Repository:https://zenodo.org/record/14999855**.**

References

Allendorf, F. W., Luikart, G. H., & Aitken, S. N. (2012). *Conservation and the genetics*

of populations. John Wiley & Sons.

Andrews, A. J., Eriksen, E. F., Star, B. J., Praebel, K., Di Natale, A., Malca, E., ... &

Cariani, A. (2024). Ancient DNA reveals historical demographic decline and genetic

erosion in the Atlantic bluefin tuna. *bioRxiv*, 2024-09.

Atmore, L. M., Martínez-García, L., Makowiecki, D., André, C., Lõugas, L., Barrett, J.

H., & Star, B. (2022). Population dynamics of Baltic herring since the Viking Age

revealed by ancient DNA and genomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences USA, *119*(45), e2208703119.

Atmore, L. M., van der Jagt, I., Boilard, A., Haeberle, S., Blevis, R., Dierickx, K., ... &

Star, B. (2024). The Once and Future Fish: 1300 years of Atlantic herring population

structure and demography revealed through ancient DNA and mixed-stock analysis.

bioRxiv, 2024-07.

Backström, N., Sætre, G.-P., & Ellegren, H. (2013). Inferring the demographic history

of European Ficedula flycatcher populations. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *13*(1), 2.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-2

Barrett, R. D. H., & Schluter, D. (2008). Adaptation from standing genetic variation.

Trends in Ecology & Evolution, *23*(1), 38–44.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.09.008

Beichman, A. C., Huerta-Sanchez, E., & Lohmueller, K. E. (2018). Using Genomic Data to Infer Historic Population Dynamics of Nonmodel Organisms. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *49*(1), 433–456. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062431

Beichman, A. C., Phung, T. N., & Lohmueller, K. E. (2017). Comparison of Single Genome and Allele Frequency Data Reveals Discordant Demographic Histories. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics*, *7*(11), 3605–3620. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300259

Charlesworth, B. (2009). Effective population size and patterns of molecular evolution and variation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *10*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2526

Charlesworth, B., & Charlesworth, D. (2010). *Elements of Evolutionary Genetics*. Roberts and Company Publishers.

Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker, R. E., Lunter, G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(15), 2156–2158. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*, *10*(2), giab008. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

DeFaveri, J., & Merilä, J. (2015). Temporal Stability of Genetic Variability and Differentiation in the Three-Spined Stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *PLOS ONE*, *10*(4), e0123891. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123891

DeFaveri, J., Shikano, T., & Merilä, J. (2014). Geographic Variation in Age Structure and Longevity in the Nine-Spined Stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*). *PLOS ONE*, *9*(7), e102660. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102660

DeWoody, J. A., & Avise, J. C. (2000). Microsatellite variation in marine, freshwater

and anadromous fishes compared with other animals. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *56*(3),

461–473. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2000.tb00748.x

Ellegren, H., & Galtier, N. (2016). Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nature Reviews*

Genetics, *17*(7), 422-433.

Excoffier, L., Marchi, N., Marques, D. A., Matthey-Doret, R., Gouy, A., & Sousa, V. C.

(2021). fastsimcoal2: demographic inference under complex evolutionary scenarios.

Bioinformatics, *37*(24), 4882-4885.

Fang, B., Kemppainen, P., Momigliano, P., & Merilä, J. (2021). Population Structure

Limits Parallel Evolution in Sticklebacks. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *38*(10),

4205–4221. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab144

Feng, X., Merilä, J., & Löytynoja, A. (2022). Complex population history affects

admixture analyses in nine-spined sticklebacks. *Molecular Ecology*, *31*(20), 5386–

5401. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16651

Fisher, R. A. (1931). XVII.—The Distribution of Gene Ratios for Rare Mutations.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, *50*, 204–219.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0370164600044886

Flint-Garcia, S. A., Thornsberry, J. M., & Buckler, E. S. (2003). Structure of Linkage

Disequilibrium in Plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, *54*(1), 357–374.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134907

Frankham, R. (1995). Effective population size/adult population size ratios in wildlife:

A review. *Genetics Research*, *66*(2), 95–107.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672300034455

Frankham, R., Ballou, J. D., & Briscoe, D. A. (2010). *Introduction to Conservation*

Genetics. Cambridge University Press.

Gargiulo, R., Decroocq, V., González Martínez, S. C., Paz Vinas, I., Aury, J. M.,

Lesur Kupin, I., ... & Heuertz, M. (2024). Estimation of contemporary effective

population size in plant populations: Limitations of genomic datasets. *Evolutionary*

Applications, *17*(5), e13691.

Guo, B., Fang, B., Shikano, T., Momigliano, P., Wang, C., Kravchenko, A., & Merilä,

J. (2019). A phylogenomic perspective on diversity, hybridization and evolutionary

affinities in the stickleback genus *Pungitius*. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*(17), 4046–4064.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15204

Gutenkunst, R. N., Hernandez, R. D., Williamson, S. H., & Bustamante, C. D. (2009).

Inferring the joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional

SNP frequency data. *PLoS genetics*, *5*(10), e1000695.

Hanghøj, K., Moltke, I., Andersen, P. A., Manica, A., & Korneliussen, T. S. (2019).

Fast and accurate relatedness estimation from high-throughput sequencing data in

the presence of inbreeding. *GigaScience*, *8*(5), giz034.

https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giz034

Hare, M. P., Nunney, L., Schwartz, M. K., Ruzzante, D. E., Burford, M., Waples, R.

S., Ruegg, K., & Palstra, F. (2011). Understanding and Estimating Effective

Population Size for Practical Application in Marine Species Management.

Conservation Biology, *25*(3), 438–449. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-

1739.2010.01637.x

Hedrick, P. W., & Lacy, R. C. (2015). Measuring Relatedness between Inbred

Individuals. *Journal of Heredity*, *106*(1), 20–25. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esu072

Hewitt, G. M. (2004). Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the

Quaternary. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

359(1442), 183–195.

Husemann, M., Zachos, F. E., Paxton, R. J., & Habel, J. C. (2016). Effective

population size in ecology and evolution. *Heredity*, *117*(4), 191–192.

https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.75

Kemppainen, P., Li, Z., Rastas, P., Löytynoja, A., Fang, B., Yang, J., Guo, B.,

Shikano, T., & Merilä, J. (2021). Genetic population structure constrains local

adaptation in sticklebacks. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*(9), 1946–1961.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15808

Kersten, O., Star, B., Krabberød, A. K., Atmore, L. M., Tørresen, O. K., Anker-

Nilssen, T., ... & Boessenkool, S. (2023). Hybridization of Atlantic puffins in the Arctic

coincides with 20th-century climate change. *Science Advances*, *9*(40), eadh1407.

Kimura, M. (1983). *The neutral theory of molecular evolution*. Cambridge University

Press.

Kivikoski, M., Feng, X., Löytynoja, A., Momigliano, P., & Merilä, J. (2023).

Determinants of genetic diversity in sticklebacks (p. 2023.03.17.533073). *bioRxiv*.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.17.533073

Kivikoski, M., Rastas, P., Löytynoja, A., & Merilä, J. (2021). Automated improvement

of stickleback reference genome assemblies with Lep-Anchor software. *Molecular*

Ecology Resources, *21*(6), 2166–2176. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13404

Korneliussen, T., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next

Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1), 356.

Li, L., Comi, T. J., Bierman, R. F., & Akey, J. M. (2024). Recurrent gene flow between

Neanderthals and modern humans over the past 200,000 years. *Science, 385*(6705),

eadi1768.

Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with

BWA-MEM. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1303.3997*.

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual

whole-genome sequences. *Nature*, *475*(7357), Article 7357.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10231

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,

Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup.

(2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16),

2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Liu, S., Hansen, M. M., & Jacobsen, M. W. (2016). Region-wide and ecotype-specific

differences in demographic histories of threespine stickleback populations, estimated

from whole genome sequences. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(20), 5187–5202.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13827

Loog, L. (2020). Sometimes hidden but always there: The assumptions underlying

genetic inference of demographic histories. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences, *376*(1816), 20190719.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0719

Malaspinas, A.-S., Westaway, M. C., Muller, C., Sousa, V. C., Lao, O., Alves, I.,

Bergström, A., Athanasiadis, G., Cheng, J. Y., Crawford, J. E., Heupink, T. H.,

Macholdt, E., Peischl, S., Rasmussen, S., Schiffels, S., Subramanian, S., Wright, J.

L., Albrechtsen, A., Barbieri, C., … Willerslev, E. (2016). A genomic history of

Aboriginal Australia. *Nature*, *538*(7624), Article 7624.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18299

Marandel, F., Lorance, P., Berthelé, O., Trenkel, V. M., Waples, R. S., & Lamy, J.-B.

(2019). Estimating effective population size of large marine populations, is it feasible?

Fish and Fisheries, *20*(1), 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12338

Marchi, N., Schlichta, F., & Excoffier, L. (2021). Demographic inference. *Current*

Biology, *31*(6), R276–R279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.01.053

McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A.,

Garimella, K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., & DePristo, M. A. (2010). The

Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation

DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, *20*(9), 1297–1303.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110

Mobley, K. B., Lussetti, D., Johansson, F., Englund, G., & Bokma, F. (2011).

Morphological and genetic divergence in Swedish postglacial stickleback (*Pungitius*

pungitius) populations. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *11*(1), 287.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-287

Nadachowska-Brzyska, K., Konczal, M., & Babik, W. (2022). Navigating the temporal

continuum of effective population size. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *13*(1), 22–

41. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13740

Novo, I., Ordás, P., Moraga, N., Santiago, E., Quesada, H., & Caballero, A. (2023a).

Impact of population structure in the estimation of recent historical effective

population size by the software GONE. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, *55*(1), 86.

Novo, I., Pérez⊡Pereira, N., Santiago, E., Quesada, H., & Caballero, A. (2023b). An

empirical test of the estimation of historical effective population size using Drosophila

melanogaster. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *23*(7), 1632-1640.

Palamara, P. F., & Pe'er, I. (2013). Inference of historical migration rates via

haplotype sharing. *Bioinformatics, 29*(13), i180-i188.

Palstra, F. P., & Ruzzante, D. E. (2008). Genetic estimates of contemporary effective

population size: What can they tell us about the importance of genetic stochasticity

for wild population persistence? *Molecular Ecology*, *17*(15), 3428–3447.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03842.x

Patton, A. H., Margres, M. J., Stahlke, A. R., Hendricks, S., Lewallen, K., Hamede, R.

K., Ruiz-Aravena, M., Ryder, O., McCallum, H. I., Jones, M. E., Hohenlohe, P. A., &

Storfer, A. (2019). Contemporary demographic reconstruction methods are Robust to

Genome Assembly Quality: A Case Study in Tasmanian Devils. *Molecular Biology*

and Evolution, *36*(12), 2906–2921. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz191

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.

Santiago, E., Novo, I., Pardiñas, A. F., Saura, M., Wang, J., & Caballero, A. (2020).

Recent demographic History Inferred by High-Resolution Analysis of Linkage

Disequilibrium. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *37*(12), 3642–3653.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa169

Santiago, E., Caballero, A., Köpke, C., & Novo, I. (2024). Estimation of the

contemporary effective population size from SNP data while accounting for mating

structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *24*(1), e13890.

Saura, M., Caballero, A., Santiago, E., Fernández, A., Morales-González, E.,

Fernández, J., Cabaleiro, S., Millán, A., Martínez, P., Palaiokostas, C., Kocour, M.,

Aslam, M. L., Houston, R. D., Prchal, M., Bargelloni, L., Tzokas, K., Haffray, P.,

Bruant, J.-S., & Villanueva, B. (2021). Estimates of recent and historical effective

population size in turbot, seabream, seabass and carp selective breeding

programmes. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, *53*(1), 85.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-021-00680-9

Schiffels, S., & Durbin, R. (2014). Inferring human population size and separation

history from multiple genome sequences. *Nature Genetics*, *46*(8), Article 8.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3015

Schiffels, S., & Wang, K. (2020). MSMC and MSMC2: The multiple sequentially

markovian coalescent. In *Statistical population genomics* (pp. 147–165). Humana.

Sellinger, T. P. P., Abu-Awad, D., & Tellier, A. (2021). Limits and convergence

properties of the sequentially Markovian coalescent. *Molecular Ecology Resources*,

21(7), 2231–2248. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13416

Varadharajan, S., Rastas, P., Löytynoja, A., Matschiner, M., Calboli, F. C. F., Guo,

B., Nederbragt, A. J., Jakobsen, K. S., & Merilä, J. (2019). A High-Quality Assembly

of the Nine-Spined Stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) Genome. *Genome Biology and*

Evolution, *11*(11), 3291–3308. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evz240

Walsh, C. A. J., Momigliano, P., Boussarie, G., Robbins, W. D., Bonnin, L., Fauvelot,

C., Kiszka, J. J., Mouillot, D., Vigliola, L., & Manel, S. (2022). Genomic insights into

the historical and contemporary demographics of the grey reef shark. *Heredity*,

128(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-022-00514-4

Wang, J., Santiago, E., & Caballero, A. (2016). Prediction and estimation of effective

population size. *Heredity*, *117*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.43

Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Cheng, X., Ding, Y., Wang, C., Merilä, J., & Guo, B. (2023).

Prevalent introgression Underlies Convergent Evolution in the Diversification of

Pungitius Sticklebacks. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *40*(2), msad026.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msad026

Waples, R. S. (2024). The idiot's Guide to Effective Population Size. *Molecular*

Ecology, e17670. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17670

Waples, R. S. Waples, R. K. & Ward, E. J. (2022). Pseudoreplication in

genomic⬚scale data sets. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *22*(2), 503-518.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13482

Waples, R. K., Larson, W. A., & Waples, R. S. (2016). Estimating contemporary

effective population size in non-model species using linkage disequilibrium across

thousands of loci. *Heredity*, *117*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.60

Waples, R. S. (2022a). What Is Ne, Anyway? *Journal of Heredity*, *113*(4), 371–379.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esac023

Waples, R. S., Luikart, G., Faulkner, J. R., & Tallmon, D. A. (2013). Simple life-

history traits explain key effective population size ratios across diverse taxa.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *280*(1768), 20131339.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1339

Waples, R. S., & Do, C. (2010). Linkage disequilibrium estimates of contemporary Ne

using highly variable genetic markers: A largely untapped resource for applied

conservation and evolution. *Evolutionary Applications*, *3*(3), 244–262.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2009.00104.x

Ward, R. D., Woodwark, M., & Skibinski, D. O. F. (1994). A comparison of genetic

diversity levels in marine, freshwater, and anadromous fishes. *Journal of Fish

Biology*, *44*(2), 213–232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1994.tb01200.x

Wright, S. (1931). Evolution in Mendelian Populations. *Genetics*, *16*(2), 97–159.

Yamasaki, Y. Y., Kakioka, R., Takahashi, H., Toyoda, A., Nagano, A. J., Machida, Y.,

Møller, P. R., & Kitano, J. (2020). Genome-wide patterns of divergence and

introgression after secondary contact between Pungitius sticklebacks. *Philosophical

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *375*(1806), 20190548.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0548

Zhang, C., Dong, S.-S., Xu, J.-Y., He, W.-M., & Yang, T.-L. (2019). PopLDdecay: A

fast and effective tool for linkage disequilibrium decay analysis based on variant call

format files. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(10), 1786–1788.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty875

Zhang, C., Reid, K., Sands, A. F., Fraimout, A., Schierup, M. H., & Merilä, J. (2023).

De novo mutation rates in sticklebacks. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *40*(9),

msad192.

Ziuganov, V. V., & Zotin, A. A. (1995). Pelvic Girdle Polymorphism and Reproductive

Barriers in the Ninespine Stickleback *Pungitius Pungitius* (L.) From Northwest

Russia. *Behaviour*, *132*(13–14), 1095–1105.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156853995X00478

836