1   Morphogenesis in *Trypanosoma cruzi* epimastigotes proceeds via a highly asymmetric

2   cell division

4   Paul C. Campbell[1] and Christopher L. de Graffenried[1,#]

6   1. Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Brown University,

7   Providence, Rhode Island, USA;

9   #: Address correspondence to Christopher de Graffenried,

10   christopher_degraffenried@brown.edu

12   ORCIDs: PCC: 0000-0003-3949-0062; CLdG: 0000-0003-3386-6487
13

14   **Short title:** *T. cruzi* morphogenesis during cell division

15   **Abbreviations:** FAZ: flagellum attachment zone, PTM: posttranslational modification,
16   MT: microtubule. U-ExM: Ultrastructure expansion microscopy
17

18   **Keywords:** Cell division, *Trypanosoma cruzi*, Polo-like kinase, basal body, flagellum.
19
20

Abstract (246 words)

Trypanosoma cruzi is a protist parasite that is the causative agent of Chagas' disease, a

neglected tropical disease endemic to the Americas. *T. cruzi* cells are highly polarized

and undergo morphological changes as they cycle within their insect and mammalian

hosts. Work on related trypanosomatids has described cell division mechanisms in

several life-cycle stages and identified a set of essential morphogenic proteins that serve

as markers for key events during trypanosomatid division. Here, we use Cas9-based

tagging of morphogenic genes, live-cell imaging, and expansion microscopy to study the

cell division mechanism of the insect-resident epimastigote form of *T. cruzi,* which

represents an understudied trypanosomatid morphotype. We find that *T. cruzi*

epimastigote cell division is highly asymmetric, producing one daughter cell that is

significantly smaller than the other. Daughter cell division rates differ by 4.9 h, which may

be a consequence of this size disparity. Many of the morphogenic proteins identified in *T.*

brucei have altered localization patterns in *T. cruzi* epimastigoes, which may reflect

fundamental differences in the cell division mechanism of this life cycle stage, which

widens and shortens the cell body to accommodate the duplicated organelles and

cleavage furrow rather than elongating the cell body along the long axis of the cell, as is

the case in life-cycle stages that have been studied in *T. brucei*. This work provides a

foundation for further investigations of *T. cruzi* cell division and shows that subtle

differences in trypansomatid cell morphology can alter how these parasites divide.

<u>Author Summary (165 words)</u>

Trypanosoma cruzi causes Chagas' disease, which is among the most neglected of tropical diseases, affecting millions of people in South and Central America along with immigrant populations around the world. *T. cruzi* is related to other important pathogens such as *Trypanosoma brucei* and *Leishmania spp,* which have been the subject of molecular and cellular characterizations that have provided an understanding of how these organisms shape their cells and undergo division. Work in *T. cruzi* has lagged due to an absence of molecular tools for manipulating the parasite and the complexity of the original published genome; these issues have recently been resolved. Building on work in *T. brucei*, we have studied the localization of key cell cycle proteins and quantified changes in cell shape during division in an insect-resident form of *T. cruzi*. This work has uncovered unique adaptations to the cell division process in *T. cruzi* and provides insight into the range of mechanisms this family of important pathogens can employ to colonize their hosts.

Introduction

The Trypanosomatidae family encompasses parasitic species that inhabit a broad range of hosts including plants, insects, fish, and mammals[1–3]. These parasites tune core pathways including their metabolism and cell surface proteomes to survive within varied host environments[4–6]. Trypanosomatids have also adapted their cellular morphology to facilitate processes such as evasion of the host immune system, attachment to epithelial layers, and proliferation within host cells[7,8]. These morphological states have required adaptations to other essential cellular pathways such as cell division and endocytosis [9,10]. In recent years, work on the parasites *Trypanosoma brucei* and *Leishmania spp* has uncovered a range of trypanosomatid cell division mechanisms and identified key regulators, which include conserved eukaryotic proteins and trypanosomatid-specific components[10,11]. *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas' disease, is a significant human health burden, with over 7 million chronic infections primarily in Latin America and 50,000 deaths annually[12]. The cellular biology of *T. cruzi* has not been studied as extensively as other trypanosomatids, primarily due to the complexity of the original sequenced genome and the lack of tools such as RNAi to probe essential gene function[13,14]. Recent genome sequencing of several *T. cruzi* strains and the development of Cas9 editing systems have remedied many of these problems [14–17].

Trypanosomatids share a set of organelles that facilitate infection and subsequent survival within their hosts[18]. As part of the Kinetoplastea class, trypanosomatids have a mitochondrial DNA aggregate known as the kinetoplast that duplicates asynchronously from the nucleus[1,19]. A single flagellum nucleates from a basal body docked to an invagination of the cell surface known as the flagellar pocket, which in some life-cycle

stages serves as a key endocytic and exocytic compartment that is crucial to host immune

evasion [9,20]. The flagellum traverses the pocket and then emerges onto the cell

surface. In some cases, the flagellum is attached laterally along the cell surface via a

series of junctional complexes known as the flagellum attachment zone (FAZ), while in

others the flagellum is detached from the cell surface [21,22]. Cells with free flagella still

retain a small FAZ segment near the neck of the flagellar pocket (**Fig 1**) [23]. A subset of

trypanosomatids have a separate tube-shaped endocytic membrane compartment

flanked by a set of cytoplasmic microtubules with an opening next to the flagellar pocket,

known as the cytostome-cytopharynx[24,25]. Nearly all trypanosomatids have a set of

subpellicular microtubules underlying the plasma membrane that persists throughout the

cell cycle and is responsible for shaping the cell body [26,27]. These microtubules are

heavily crosslinked to each other and to the plasma membrane.

Trypanosomatids assume a wide range of cell morphologies as they cycle within

their host environments. In the bloodstream of their vertebrate hosts, many

trypanosomatids assume a trypomastigote morphology, with the kinetoplast, flagellum,

and flagellar pocket on the posterior side of the nucleus, near the cell posterior [1,19].

The flagellum is attached to the plasma membrane by an extended FAZ. It has been

proposed that this morphology is optimized for motility in crowded, high-viscosity solutions

such as blood [28,29]. *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* bloodstream forms assume a trypomastigote

morphology, while *Leishmania* assume a promastigote morphology, where the

kinetoplast, flagellar pocket, and minimally attached flagellum are on the anterior side of

the nucleus [30]. At certain locations within the insect host, *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* adopt

an epimastigote morphology, with the kinetoplast and flagellum positioned on the anterior

side of the nucleus and a segment of attached flagellum extending towards the anterior

end of the cell [31–33]. This morphology is thought to be optimal for attachment to

epithelial surfaces, which is a common part of the insect infection stages (**Fig 1**).

Trypanosomatids remain polarized throughout their cell cycle, which has required

morphotypes to adapt the mechanisms used for duplicating and positioning organelles

during cell division to suit their specific needs [7].

In this work, we have studied the cell division of *T. cruzi* epimastigotes using

quantitative morphometrics and epitope-tagged proteins that are important cell cycle

components in *T. brucei*. We show that the epimastigote cell body widens and shortens

as it divides, suggesting that the cell division mechanism in this form is more similar to

the process in *Lieshmania* promastigotes. Epimastigote cell division appears highly

asymmetric, as the daughter cell inheriting the new flagellum is smaller than the old-

flagellum daughter. Using live-cell imaging, we show that the rate of daughter cell

divisions differs by nearly 5 h, which suggests that the asymmetry may result in one

daughter cell taking longer to complete a subsequent round of division. The construction

of a new posterior end recruits many of the cell cycle regulated proteins seen at the tip of

the new FAZ in *T. brucei*, along with tubulin posttranslational modifications usually

confined to the FAZ. These results provide a framework for describing the cell division

mechanism in the *T. cruzi* epimastigote, which is a common morphology found in many

trypanosomatids that has not been studied in detail previously.

<u>Results</u>

The cell division mechanism trypanosomatids employ is influenced by the

arrangement of the organelles within their specific morphotype [7]. The maturation of the

probasal body and assembly of the new flagellum are usually the earliest events, followed

by the assembly of a new FAZ, the duplication of the pocket and kinetoplast, and finally

nuclear duplication [10,34]. The subpellicular array must also be enlarged to

accommodate the duplicating organelles and to situate them within the cell body so that

cytokinesis will produce two daughter cells with the correct complement and arrangement

of organelles [35]. Cleavage furrow ingression initiates from the anterior end of the cell

body and moves towards the posterior end to complete cell division. In *T. brucei*

trypomastigotes, lengthening of the cell body is the primary means of accommodating

duplicated organelles and positioning them for inheritance; *Leishmania* promastigotes

widen their cell bodies while simultaneously shortening them [36,37]. It has been

speculated that the extended segment of attached flagellum in trypomastigotes may limit

their ability to widen their cell body, which has led to the lengthening mechanism [7]. The

T. cruzi epimastigote form, which has an attached flagellum like trypomastigotes but an

anterior-located flagellar pocket and kinetoplast, could provide insight into the effect of

flagellar attachment on cell division.

We employed Y-strain epimastigotes constitutively expressing *Streptococcus*

pyogenes Cas9 and T7 polymerase to tag genes at their native loci with three copies of

the Ty1 epitope fused to mNeonGreen, which allowed us to observe the native

fluorescence of the tagged protein or to employ anti-Ty1 antibodies for detection

[14,17,38–40]. We selected marker proteins based on recent work in *T. brucei* and

Leishmania that identified "landmark" proteins that provide bright signal as mNeonGreen

fusions for a range of cellular compartments [41]. For each protein, we tagged the

terminus that yielded the brightest signal based on the TrypTag whole-genome tagging

database [42]. The Cas9-T7 expressing epimastigotes were nucleofected with guide

DNAs containing a T7 promoter to produce a guide RNA targeting one terminus of the

gene of interest and a healing template that introduces the 3X-Ty1-mNeonGreen tag, an

intergenic region, and a selection marker. We tagged a series of proteins that localize to

the FAZ, extending new FAZ, basal body, and cell posterior in *T. brucei* trypomastigotes

to determine if their localization was similar in *T. cruzi* epimastigotes and to use these

marker proteins to study the mechanism of cell division in this morphotype.

We first observed the FAZ using 3X-Ty1-mNeonGreen::FAZ25 (TcYC6_0125610)

and the monoclonal antibody 1B41, which labels the FAZ in *T. brucei*. The 1B41 antibody

is thought to label a novel post-translational modification (PTM) of beta tubulin present in

the segment of the MtQ that is adjacent to the FAZ filament [43,44]. We noted that FAZ25

was present in a single thin structure underlying the flagellum, as would be expected for

the FAZ in epimastigote-form *T. cruzi* (**Fig 2**) [45]. The 1B41 signal generally colocalized

with FAZ25, but we observed additional 1B41-positive structures in dividing cells. Early

cell cycle cells containing one **N**ucleus and one **K**inetoplast (1N1K) could be separated

into two populations: smaller cells with a short FAZ and a separate 1B41 focus at the cell

posterior (**Fig 2A**), and larger cells with a longer FAZ and no posterior 1B41 labeling or a

much less intense signal than the shorter 1N1K cells (**Fig 2B**). Upon initiation of new FAZ

assembly, a small structure was observed in both the FAZ25 and 1B41 channels on the

ventral side of the old FAZ. The 1B41 punctum at the cell posterior, which was frequently

much brighter than the 1B41 signal at both the old and new FAZ, became visible at this

point (**Fig 2C, 2D**). In 2N2K cells with ingressing cleavage furrows, the posterior 1B41

punctum marked the last point of connection between the daughter cells (**Fig 2E**). The

new-flagellum daughter appeared to be significantly smaller than the old-flagellum

daughter in cells that were about to complete cytokinesis. Because of this asymmetry, we

propose that the small 1N1K cells with the bright 1B41 puncta are likely to be new-

flagellum daughter cells that have just completed cytokinesis. These smaller cells do not

appear to enter cell division in their current state, which suggests that they grow in size

and lose their 1B41 puncta over time prior to initiating cell division. The longer 1N1K cells

lacking the 1B41 puncta are likely to be a mixture of the old-flagellum daughter cells and

the new-flagellum daughter cells that have undergone subsequent growth after the

completion of cell division. This would also suggest that the 1B41 punctum is inherited

asymmetrically, with the new-flagellum daughter cell retaining the bulk of the signal (**Fig**

3A). This asymmetric inheritance is similar to what is observed in the partitioning of the

flagella connector in procyclic *T. brucei* cells, which allowed the definitive identification of

the new- and old-flagellum daughter cells after the completion of cell division [46].

The novel localization pattern of the 1B41 antibody in *T. cruzi* suggests that the

cell posterior is transiently modified with a tubulin PTM during cell division that is usually

confined to the MtQ segment adjacent to the FAZ filament *in T. brucei*. The posterior end

contains many of the plus ends of the subpellicular microtubules, which could be

specifically targeted by PTMs as part of the construction of the new cell posterior during

cell division [26]. We labeled *T. cruzi* with antibodies that detect tubulin tyrosination,

acetylation, and two forms of glutamylation to determine if they have similar labeling

patterns to 1B41 in dividing cells, which could indicate that they are labeling the same

tubulin PTM (**S1 Fig**). The YL1/2 antibody, which detects tyrosinated tubulin that is added

to growing microtubules, labeled the cell posterior, the posterior part of the subpellicular

array, and the basal body [47–49]. The GT335 antibody, which labels polyglutamate

chains of all lengths, and IN105, which labels polyglutamate chains at least three units in

length, both labeled the entirety of the subpellicular array, including the flagellum [50,51].

The 6-11B-1 antibody, which labels acetylated tubulin, labeled the entirety of the array

and the flagellum [52]. Considering the broad distribution of these antibody signals across

multiple structures that lack 1B41 signal, it is likely that the 1B41 epitope is not attributable

to tubulin tyrosination, acetylation, or glutamylation.

We performed a quantitative analysis of the cell cycle stages we established using

the localization patterns of FAZ25 and 1B41 to measure how *T. cruzi* changes as it

divides. We employed a statistical method that relies on an asynchronously dividing

culture to establish the length of time that cells spend in different states [53,54]. This

approach relies on a precise measurement of parasite doubling time, which we assessed

for our Cas9-T7 cell line over the course of 9 d. We found a persistent doubling time of

22.1 h, which we used along with the number of cells in each of our FAZ25/1B41

categories to define the epimastigote cell cycle (**Fig 3B and 3C**). *T. cruzi* epimastigotes

spend 80% of their time as one **N**ucleus, one **K**inetoplast, and one **F**lagellum (1N1K1F)

cells, representing 17.6 h of the cell cycle. New- and old-flagellum daughter cells

containing the 1B41 posterior puncta account for 6% and 4.4% of the cell population,

respectively (**Fig 3C**). New flagellum and FAZ growth initiates from a point on the ventral

side of the cell next to the existing FAZ and flagellum. The 1B41 puncta appears at this

time as well. The briefest window of the cell cycle occurs after kinetoplast duplication and

prior to nuclear duplication (1N2K), which represents just under 30 min. It should be noted

that at this stage the *T. cruzi* kinetoplasts label brightly with DAPI and are in close

proximity to one another, making it difficult to clearly determine when the cells reach the

2K state. Consequently, the number of cells we identified as 1N2K2F, and therefore the

length of time the cells spend in this state, is likely an underestimate. *T. cruzi* spends

considerably longer in the 2N2K2F stage; almost all of these cells have an ingressing

cleavage furrow, which suggests that cytokinesis initiates rapidly after the completion of

karyokinesis (**Fig 3D**).

We also monitored the morphologic changes that occur in epimastigotes as they

divide. We measured the width of the cell along its shortest axis and the overall cell length

including the extension of the flagellum and the cell body alone. We also measured the

distances between the cell posterior and the kinetoplast and nucleus, along with the

lengths of the flagellum and FAZ (**Fig 4A**). We noted that the cell body length and the

distances between the nucleus and kinetoplast and the posterior end of the cell decline

towards the end of cell division while the overall width of the cell body increases (**Fig 4B-**

E, 4H). The cell body shortening seems to be restricted to the cell posterior as the old

FAZ and flagellum lengths remain unchanged (**Fig 4F and 4E**). The cells that we

previously identified as small with a bright 1B41 punctum at their cell posteriors were

shorter than 1N1K1F cells lacking puncta or with weak puncta labeling, which suggests

that the new-flagellum daughter cells are significantly shorter than the old-flagellum

daughter cells right after completing cytokinesis.

We focused on the difference between the new and old FAZ and flagellum lengths

as an indicator of the asymmetry present during the late stages of cell division (**Fig 5A**).

The new FAZ averages 2.2 microns in cells that are undergoing cytokinesis, while the old

FAZ remains approximately 12 microns long throughout cell division, showing the

extreme asymmetry between the inherited structures (**Fig 4F and 5B**). The difference

between the length of the old and new flagella was smaller, with new flagellum lengths at

the latest stages of cell division reaching 9 microns, compared to 18 microns for the old

flagellum (**Fig 4G and 5C**). This result strongly suggests that most of the asymmetry

observed during *T. cruzi* epimastigote cell division is attributable to the construction of a

smaller new cell body that is inherited by the new flagellum/FAZ daughter. The new

flagellum daughter cell must then increase its cell body length and extend the FAZ prior

to initiating another round of cell division (**Fig 5D and 5E**).

We labeled the parental Y-strain *T. cruzi* line with 1B41 and quantitated its cell

morphology to confirm that the posterior punctum 1B41 signal and the asymmetric cell

division we observed was not due to the presence of Cas9 and T7 polymerase in our

tagging cell line. We found that the parental strain also has the posterior 1B41 signal and

widens and shortens over the course of cell division (**S2 Fig**). We also noted the

asymmetry between the new flagellum and old flagellum daughter cells during the late

stages of cytokinesis, and that 1N1K cells with the posterior 1B41 punctum were

significantly smaller than 1N1K lacking the punctum. We also labeled Brazil A4 strain *T.*

cruzi epimastigotes with 1B41 and saw the posterior punctum and asymmetry in the

daughter cells during division, which suggests that these features are generally

conserved among different *T. cruzi* strains.

We next tagged the protein SAS-6 (TcYC6_0070700) to gain insight into the basal

body duplication cycle in *T. cruzi*. In *T. brucei*, TbSAS-6 is present at both the pro and

mature basal body throughout cell division [55,56]. We tagged TcSAS-6 with 3X-Ty1-

mNeonGreen and followed its localization over the cell cycle with 1B41 as a colabel (**Fig**

6). TcSAS-6 labels both the pro and mature basal body in 1N1K1F cells containing the

posterior 1B41 puncta, denoting cells that had just recently completed cell division.

Curiously, we noted in 1N1K cells that had produced a new flagellum (arrowhead) and

were developing a new 1B41 punctum still only had two TcSAS-6-positive structures. In

T. brucei, cells with two flagella have four TbSAS-6-positive structures, reflecting two

pairs of pro and mature basal bodies. At later points in cell division, we noted cells with 3

and 4 TcSAS-6-positive structures, suggesting that SAS-6 is eventually incorporated into

all of the basal bodies (**Fig 6A**). We used the pan-centrin antibody 20H5 as another

marker that should persistently label both the pro and mature basal body [57]. In cells

colabeled for TcSAS-6, we were able to observe 20H5-positive basal bodies at the base

of the flagella in dividing cells that lacked SAS-6 labeling, showing that the absence of

TcSAS-6 did not impact other persistent basal body markers (**S3A Fig**). As another

control, we looked at the intrinsic fluorescence of the mNeonGreen appended to TcSAS-

6 to make sure that antibody accessibility was not limiting our ability to detect all the SAS-

6 present in the cell. The mNeonGreen fluorescence followed the same pattern as

observed by immunofluorescence (**S3B Fig**).

We employed ultrastructure expansion microscopy (U-ExM) coupled with SoRa

super-resolution imaging to better characterize the localization pattern of TcSAS-6 in *T.*

cruzi (**Fig 6B**) [58–60]. U-ExM provides an approximately 5-fold enhancement in

resolution that is further enhanced by $\sqrt{2}$ past the light limit with SoRA, which also

provides confocal sectioning [61]. We used anti-Ty1 labeling for TcSAS-6 and the TAT1

anti-tubulin antibody to label the flagellum, basal body, the microtubules associated with

the cytostome, and subpellicular array [62]. In the expanded samples, we were able to

clearly identify pro and mature basal bodies at all stages of cell division. We observed

TcSAS-6 labeling of both the pro and mature basal body in cells with a single flagellum.

In cells that had just begun to duplicate the basal body, which can be identified by the

rotation of the maturing probasal body to facilitate docking with the flagellar pocket

membrane, the two TcSAS-6 puncta were no longer associated with the barrel of the pro

or mature basal body, but appeared to be adjacent in two discrete foci. There were still

two SAS-6 puncta associated with the duplicated basal bodies in cells that had begun to

divide that contained a short new flagellum, but the puncta were found exclusively on both

probasal bodies. There was no TcSAS-6 signal on the mature basal bodies that nucleated

the old and new flagella. At later stages of cell division, which we could identify by the

increased length of the new flagellum, we could observe SAS-6 signal restored to the

mature basal bodies, which is consistent with our immunofluorescence results (**Fig 6B**).

With the additional resolution provided by U-ExM and SoRa imaging, we noted that the

TcSAS-6 signal on the probasal body is actually two distinct puncta at this stage of cell

division, one that appears to be associated with the base of the probasal body and

another punctum on the side of the probasal body at the approximate midpoint of the

structure. These results suggest that SAS-6 levels decline significantly at the mature

basal body in *T. cruzi* during cell division and that this decline may be linked to basal body

duplication.

Proteins that transiently localize to the tip of the extending new FAZ play an

essential role in positioning the cleavage furrow in *T. brucei* trypomastigotes [10]. Several

cytokinetic proteins are present at the tip of the new FAZ in *T. brucei* trypomastigotes and

are thought to use its extension and position within the cell body to guide the placement

of the new cell anterior and designate the starting point for cleavage furrow ingression.

Among these proteins is the cytokinetic scaffolding protein TbTOEFAZ1 (also known as

TbCIF1), which is essential for cleavage furrow placement in *T. brucei* cells [63,64]. We

tagged the *T. cruzi* homolog of TcTOEFAZ1 (TcYC6_0051010) and monitored its

localization. In *T. brucei*, TbTOEFAZ1 expression is found at the tip of the extending new

FAZ and then along the cleavage furrow. In *T. cruzi*, we noted that all cells, regardless of

cell cycle stage, expressed TcTOEFAZ1 (**Fig 7**). In the early stages of the cell cycle prior

to new FAZ assembly, TcTOEFAZ1 was localized to a short bar-like structure adjacent to

the proximal end of the old FAZ. This localization is similar to the position of the new FAZ

that appears during the later stages of cell division. In cells that had begun to assemble

the new FAZ, TcTOEFAZ1 localized along the length of the new structure, but did not

form a focus at the tip as it does in *T. brucei*. TcTOEFAZ1 is also present at the point

where the cleavage furrow ingresses in *T. cruzi*, which is found between the two flagella

(**Fig 7A**).

We employed U-ExM and SoRa imaging to further establish the localization of

TcTOEFAZ1, using anti-tubulin as a colabel (**Fig 7B**). In early cell cycle cells,

TcTOEFAZ1 localized to a structure on the ventral side of the new flagellum, along with

a small amount of labeling underlying the segment of the old flagellum just as it exits the

flagellar pocket. In dividing cells, TcTOEFAZ1 localization appeared to encircle the point

where the new flagellum exits the flagellar pocket onto the cell surface. TcTOEFAZ1 was

present at the position of the ingressing furrow, in the area between the new and old

flagellum. We were unable to directly compare TcTOEFAZ1 localization to a FAZ marker

because U-ExM imaging does not appear to preserve sufficient signal for 1B41 or FAZ25.

The Polo-like kinase (TbPLK) homolog present in *T. brucei* binds to and

phosphorylates TbTOEFAZ1, which is essential for TbPLK localization to the tip of the

extending FAZ [65,66]. Recently, a second homolog of TbPLK has been identified in *T.*

brucei, but little is known about its function [67]. We tagged the TbPLK1 homolog in *T.*

cruzi to compare its localization pattern to the *T. brucei* homolog (**Fig 8A**). TcPLK1

(TcYC6_0047790) was not expressed in cells early in the cell cycle, which is consistent

with TbPLK expression patterns in *T. brucei*. During the early stages of cell division

TcPLK1 initially localized to the basal body, as is the case during *T. brucei* cell division

[68]. However, the kinase does not subsequently collect at the tip of the new FAZ, but

rather localizes along the length of the old FAZ. TcPLK1 also localizes to the new FAZ

once this structure becomes visible. TcPLK1 is subsequently present at the cell posterior,

appearing just prior to the 1B41-positive puncta, then colocalizing with it, which

represents a novel localization pattern for the kinase in trypanosomatids. TcPLK1 does

not appear to track along the cleavage furrow during the latest stages of cell division,

which is consistent with its localization in *T. brucei* trypomastigotes (**Fig 8A**).

In *T. brucei,* the orphan kinesin TbKLIF plays an important role in the last stages

of cell division during the construction of a new cell posterior [69–71]. In *T. cruzi*, 3X-Ty1-

mNeonGreen::TcKLIF (TcYC6_0055740) colocalized with the 1B41 posterior puncta in

cells that had recently completed cell division (**Fig 8B**). 1N1K cells lacking the puncta no

longer had KLIF signal, suggesting that KLIF is downregulated during the early stages of

the cell cycle. Once the cells had begun to divide, we were able to observe weak

expression of TcKLIF along the FAZ, followed by localization to the new FAZ and the

posterior puncta in cells at later cell cycle stages. In cells undergoing cytokinesis, TcKLIF

no longer localized to the FAZ but instead was clearly associated with the position of the

ingressing furrow. At the latest stages of cell division, TcKLIF localized to two discrete

foci at the cell posterior that appeared to be subdomains of the 1B41 punctum (**Fig 8B**).

This argues that the 1B41-positive punctum may represent a microtubule-containing

structure that replicates during the last stages of cell division, perhaps to facilitate the

construction of a new posterior end. The levels of TcPLK1 and TcKLIF signal were not

sufficient for U-ExM analysis, which creates a 125-fold decline in fluor density.

Considering the size difference between the two daughter cells produced during

T. cruzi epimastigote cell division, it is possible that there would be a difference in their

cell division rates during their subsequent round of division. We recently developed a

strategy using agarose microwells that allows us to confine and image trypanosomatids

in small volumes without immobilization, which permits the parasites to divide at rates

similar to those in bulk cultures [72]. During optimization of our microwell approach for

imaging *T. cruzi* epimastigotes, we found that increasing the height of the wells to 6

microns to account for the width of *T. cruzi* epimastigote cells undergoing cytokinesis

provided unimpeded cell divisions, with no loss in viability out to 48 h. We isolated single

cells in wells and monitored them until they divided, then tracked the subsequent division

rate of the daughter cells (**Fig 9A and S1 Movie**). Cells divided in the microwells at a

faster rate than in bulk cultures, with an average rate of 15.4 h for all the events we

observed, compared to 22.1 h in culture. This increased rate may reflect the fact that the

agarose microwells do not exchange gas with the environment, which would lead to

decreased oxygen levels within the well over time. Recent work has shown that *T. cruzi*

epimatigotes grow more rapidly in anoxic environments, which is consistent with the

conditions within the insect gut that they inhabit throughout their time within this host [73].

We found that there was a 4.9 h difference in the rates of daughter cell divisions in

epimastigote *T. cruzi,* with the median first division occurring at 12.8 h and the second

division occurring at 17.7 h (**Fig 9B and 9C**). This difference is larger than what we

observed in *T. brucei*, which suggests that the increased asymmetry in *T. cruzi*

epimastigote cell division leads to a larger difference in daughter cell division rate.

<u>Discussion</u>

In this work, we have studied *Trypanosoma cruzi* epimastigote cell division using *T. cruzi*

gene editing and components of the parasite cell division apparatus and cytoskeleton that

were identified in localization studies conducted in other trypanosomatids. These

advances have allowed us to define different *T. cruzi* cell cycle stages based on cell

morphology and the localization of marker proteins for comparison with previous work on

promastigote *Leishmania* and trypomastigote *T. brucei* stages. Of these four

trypanosomatid forms, epimastigote *T. cruzi* appears to have the most asymmetric cell

division mechanism, producing a new-flagellum daughter cell only half the length of the

old-flagellum daughter cell body. We observed a significant difference in the division rates

of the two daughter cells in agarose microwells, which argues that this asymmetry impacts

the duration of the subsequent round of cell division. Most of the cytoskeletal and cell-

division proteins we studied have altered localization patterns compared to what is

observed in *T. brucei* (**Fig 10**). The tip of the growing new FAZ, which recruits many

essential cytokinetic proteins such as such as TbTOEFAZ1, TbKLIF, and TbPLK in *T.*

brucei does not function as their primary localization point in *T. cruzi.* These proteins

appear to localize along the lengths of the old and new FAZ. The posterior end of the cell

recruits many of these cytokinetic proteins and may contain tubulin posttranslational

modifications that are confined to the FAZ in *T. brucei*. These changes likely reflect the

differing geometries that *T. brucei* trypomastigote and *T. cruzi* epimastigote cells adopt

during cell division, which put unique constraints on the timing and positioning of

duplicating organelles.

Work that predates the development of Cas9 editing in *T. cruzi* examined different

aspects of the epimastigote cell cycle, with an emphasis on flagellar pocket and nuclear

duplication [74], noted that the new flagellum appeared significantly shorter than the old

during the later stages of cell division. One point of difference is that the authors state

that the new flagellum length reaches near parity with the old flagellum prior to the

completion of cell division. In our experiments, the asymmetry present during the later

stages of cell division is carried on to the daughter cells, with the new-flagellum daughter

having a significantly shorter new flagellum and FAZ.

Labeling with the 1B41 antibody provides a useful method for tracking division in

T. cruzi. While the specific epitope of the antibody is still unknown, it appears to be a PTM

of beta tubulin. *T. cruzi* cells contain several MT structures that likely derived from the MT

rootlets found in the feeding apparatus of the free-living ancestor of the trypanosomatids,

including a second MT quartet and a MT triplet associated with the cytopharynx [24,25].

None of these MT structures appear to label with 1B41, suggesting that the FAZ-

associated MtQ is uniquely modified. It is currently unknown why the 1B41 signal

partitions into the daughter cells asymmetrically, but it may reflect differences in the PTM

status of certain microtubule plus ends that selects them for incorporation into the new-

flagellum daughter cell posterior, while leaving the less-modified plus ends in the old

structure. It is possible that the 1B41 epitope facilitates recruitment of the proteins

responsible for duplicating the cell posterior. TcPLK localizes to the cell posterior just prior

to the appearance of the 1B41 signal, so the kinase may function as an upstream

regulator of this process. KLIF localizes to the cell posterior during late cytokinesis and

appears to resolve into two distinct foci, which suggests that the kinesin may be

responsible for sorting the MT plus ends into two discrete structures to complete

cytokinesis. This is consistent with the proposed function of KLIF in *T. brucei*, where

depletion of the motor leads to a block in assembly of a new cell posterior and

subsequently prevents cell division [69,70]. In trypomastigotes, formation of a new cell

posterior occurs de novo at a location which initially appears as a new focus of MT plus

ends that are subsequently gathered into a MT bundle to complete cell division [36]. In

epimastigotes, it appears that cell posterior assembly occurs at the site of the old posterior

and may involve the addition of MTs, followed by partitioning of the duplicated structure.

A previous survey of trypansomatid morphologies has suggested that cells with

detached flagella, termed "liberforms", tend to undergo cell widening during cell division,

whereas cells with attached flagella, termed "juxtaforms" lengthen their cells as they

divide [7]. It was suggested that epimastigotes, which are juxtaforms, may employ a more

trypomastigote-like mechanism for cell division. Our results with *T. cruzi* suggest that

epimastigotes actually employ a liberform-like division mechanism. However, limiting the

length of the new FAZ, which would create a significant impediment to altering the shape

of the cell body, may be necessary to widen the cell. This may explain the extreme

asymmetry of cell division in epimastigote *T. cruzi*.

In *T. brucei*, the tip of the new extending FAZ plays an important role in designating

the point of cleavage furrow ingression [11]. The tip is in close proximity to the old FAZ

and contains a host of proteins that are thought to play a role in triggering cytokinesis,

including the CIFs, TOEFAZ1, KLIF, and PLK. In *T. cruzi* epimastigotes, PLK localizes

along the old and new FAZ prior to moving to the cell posterior, while KLIF localizes to

both FAZ, then the cell posterior, followed by the cleavage furrow. While both of these

proteins remain cell-cycle regulated, TOEFAZ1 appears to be persistently expressed,

initially localizing to a position similar to where the new FAZ is assembled, then moving

along the ingressing furrow. In trypomastigote *T. brucei*, the extension of the new

flagellum and FAZ are tightly coordinated, with the FAZ extending 1-2 microns behind the

flagellum [75]. In *T. cruzi* epimastigotes, FAZ and flagellar growth are not tightly coupled,

as the new flagellum extends far beyond the end of the new FAZ. It is possible that using

the tip of the new FAZ to target the cytokinetic complex may be a feature exclusive to

cells with trypomastigote morphology.

The basal body protein TcSAS-6 appears to have a different localization pattern in

T. cruzi compared to other trypanosomatids. The protein is one of the earliest components

of the probasal body and is thought to establish the 9-fold symmetry of the organelle by

constructing a cartwheel-shaped structure at its base [55,76,77]. In *T. brucei*, depletion

of TbSAS-6 causes defects in probasal body assembly and blocks the production of a

new flagellum, causing growth arrest [56]. In some organisms, the cartwheel structure

breaks down during the completion of cell division, which leads to a loss in SAS-6 signal,

although the cartwheel remains as a persistent component of the basal body in many

organisms including *T. brucei* [78]. In *T. cruzi*, TcSAS-6 is present at the pro and mature

basal body early in the cell cycle, then moves to the bases of the assembling probasal

bodies. It then is restored to the mature basal bodies prior to cytokinesis while remaining

associated with the probasal bodies. This inheritance pattern is consistent with the

function of SAS-6 in probasal body assembly and may suggest that the new cartwheel is

assembled on the basal and probasal bodies after their duplication but prior to the

completion of cell division, so that the new probasal bodies can be assembled during the

subsequent round of division. While this would be different than what is observed in *T.*

brucei, earlier reports have shown that TbSAS-6 levels on the basal body fluctuate during

cell division, with higher levels in the probasal body during its assembly [79].

Our localization and morphology studies have highlighted significant differences in

T. cruzi epimastigote cell division compared to previously studied trypanosomatids. The

next step will be to determine if the changes in localization of proteins such as TcPLK and

TcKLIF reflect changes in their function. While knockout strategies are available in *T.*

cruzi, methods for targeting essential genes using conditional or inducible approaches

are still under development. It would also be interesting to localize these proteins in *T.*

brucei epimastigotes to determine if they retain the localization pattern seen in

trypomastigotes or if their morphotype alters the patterns. Currently, *T. brucei*

epimastigotes can only be isolated directly from the insect host [31,33]. As the ancestral

trypanosomatid likely had a promastigote-like morphology, its cell division mechanism

served as a starting point for diversification as organisms moved into new hosts [80]. The

trypomastigote form appears to be primarily an adaptation to survival within the

bloodstream of vertebrate hosts, which has made it a focus of much of the previous work

on trypanosomatids. However, with a better understanding of the range of morphologies

present among these organisms and the tools for sequencing and manipulating them, a

broader understanding of their cell division pathways is now feasible.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Rick Tarleton for providing *T. cruzi* cells, Martin Taylor for the

Cas9-pLEW13 vector, Jack Sunter for the TAT1 antibody, and Kate O'Connor Giles and

the Carney Institute of Brain Science for access to the Nikon SoRa microscope. We would

also like to thank Sue Vaughan and Drew Etheridge for valuable insights. The research

reported in this publication was supported by NIAID-NIH under awards R01AI166363 and

R21AI151490 to CLdG. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does

not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and growth curves

Cells used for all experiments were *T. cruzi* Y strain epimastigotes were cultured in LDNT

medium (0.5% Oxoid neutralized liver digest (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), 68

mM sodium chloride (ThermoFisher Scientific), 70 mM Tryptone (ThermoFisher

Scientific), 5 mM potassium chloride (ThermoFisher Scientific), 58 mM sodium phosphate

dibasic (ThermoFisher Scientific), 11 mM glucose (ThermoFisher Scientific), 25 µM

Hemin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), gentamicin (25 µg/mL) (ThermoFisher Scientific),

10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Bio-Techne), penicillin-streptomycin (100U/mL)

(ThermoFisher Scientific), pH 7.2) at 27 °C. For selecting cell lines, blasticidin was used

at 100 µg/mL and G418 at 500 µg/mL. Cell counts were obtained with a Z2 Coulter

Counter (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). Growth curves were performed in triplicate

seeding a culture of cells at 2×10^6 cells/mL. Cell counts were obtained every 24 h for 9 d

with reseeding 2×10^6 cells/mL into fresh flasks and media every 3 d.

Calculation of cell cycle timing

In order to calculate relative time spent in each cell cycle intermediate, a method

previously described [37,54]was employed to account for an asynchronous logarithmic

culture growth of cells that divide by binary fission with the equation:

529
$$x = t \frac{\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}y\right)}{-\ln(2)}$$

530 In this equation, x= the time through the cell cycle, y= proportion of cells preceding and

531 including the cell cycle stage in question, and t= cell cycle length.

Cloning and cell line assembly

Cas9 and T7 RNA polymerase expressing cells were generating using a pLEW13-Cas9 plasmid provided by Martin Taylor (London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom) as previously described [17]. Healing constructs for gene tagging were generated by PCR using gene-specific primers with 30 bp homology flanking the CRISPR-Cas9 cut site. A base plasmid containing a blasticidin resistance marker, an intergenic sequence isolated from *T. brucei*, and a 3×Ty1 epitope tag fused to a mNeonGreen fluorescent protein was employed as a template for PCR to generate all healing constructs All proteins studied here were tagged as closely to the N-terminus as allowed by available CRISPR-Cas9 cut sites. PCRs to generate the healing constructs were performed in triplicate, pooled and purified with a Zymo Research DNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA) and resuspended in 25 µL of ultra-pure water (Genesee).

sgRNAs were prepared with a gene specific forward primer with target site determined by Eukaryotic Pathogen CRISPR guide RNA/DNA Design tool (http://grna.ctegd.uga.edu/) using the *T. cruzi* Y C6 Pacbio genome assembly [14,15]. sgRNA was amplified in triplicate as previously described[81]. sgRNA PCRs were pooled and purified with a Zymo Research DNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Genesee Scientific) and resuspended in 25 µL of Ultra-Pure Water (Genesee). Purified sgRNA was pooled with corresponding purified healing template for a total of 50 µL. Primers used in this study can be found in S1 Table.

$5×10^7$ cells expressing SpCas9 and T7 RNA polymerase from a logarithmically growing

culture were harvested by centrifugation at 800×g for 5 min at 4 °C. Cells were

resuspended in 225 μL nucleofection buffer (90 mM sodium phosphate dibasic, 5 mM

potassium chloride, 0.15 mM calcium chloride hexahydrate, 50 mM HEPES). Pooled

sgRNA and healing template were added to the cell suspension and nucleofected using

a Lonza Nucleofector 2b (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) using program X-014. Cells were

added to 10 mL LDNT media without selection markers. 24 h post nucleofection, selection

marker was added to the cells. Once a polyclonal bulk culture was established, cells were

plated for clonality by serial dilution.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed in media with an equivalent volume of 8% PFA in PBS (4% final

concentration) for 20 min at RT. Cells were then centrifuged at 800×g for 5 min at RT and

washed once in 1 mL of PBS. Cells were centrifuged onto coverslips at 800×g for 5 min

4 °C. Coverslips were then inverted onto a solution of 0.5% NP-40 in PBS for 5 min,

followed by 3×5 min washes in PBS. Cells were incubated in blocking buffer (5% goat

serum (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) in PBS) followed by 3×5 min

washes in PBS. Coverslips were incubated in primary antibody in blocking buffer for 1 h

at RT followed by 3×5 min washes in PBS. Coverslips were then incubated in secondary

antibody in blocking buffer for 1 h at RT followed by 3×5 min washes in PBS and then

mounted in Fluoromount G with DAPI (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL).

Ultrastructure expansion sample preparation

1×10^6 cells per gel were fixed in solution by adding 2X fixative solution (1.4% PFA, 2%

Acrylamide, 2X PBS) 1:1 to cells in media. Cells were spun 1000×g for 10 min at RT.

Cells were resuspended in 750 µL 1X fixative solution (0.7% PFA, 1% acrylamide, 1X

PBS) and spun again 1000×g for 10 min at RT. Cells were resuspended in 500 µL of 1X

fixative solution and spun down onto 12 mm coverslips by briefly centrifuging at 800×g at

4 °C. Coverslips were inverted onto 100 µL of 1X fixative solution in a humidified chamber

and incubated at 37 °C for 3.5 h. Slips were inverted onto 40 µL of gelation solution (19%

sodium acrylate, 10% acrylamide, 2% Bis, 1×PBS). 40 µL of fresh gelation solution was

added to 0.5 mL centrifuge tubes. 2 µL of 10% tetramethylethylendiamine (TEMED),

followed by 2 µL of ammonium persulfate (APS) were added to the 40 µL gelation solution

to initiate polymerization. The tube was vortexed briefly, then the solution was pipetted

onto 18 mm coverslips. Cells on 12 mm coverslips were then inverted onto the activated

gelation solution. Slips were placed at 37 °C to fully polymerize for 1 h in a 12 well plate.

1.5 mL denaturation buffer (200 mM SDS, 200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris) was added to the

wells and placed on a rocker at RT for 15 min. Gels were removed from cover slips and

placed in a 1.5 mL tube with 1 mL denaturation buffer. Gels were denatured at 95 °C for

30 min. Gels were poured into 150 mm petri dishes filled with DI water and allowed to

expand for 30 min at RT. Water was exchanged for fresh DI water and allowed to expand

overnight. The next day, gels were shrunk in 1X PBS for 30 min. Gels were transferred

to a 6 well plate and 300 µL of primary antibody in blocking buffer (2% BSA in 1X PBS)

was added and allowed to incubate on a rocker for 8 h. Gels were washed 3×10 min in

PBS-Tween on a rocker. 300 µL of secondary antibody in blocking buffer was added and

allowed to incubate at 37 °C with gentle agitation overnight. Gels were washed 3×10 min

in PBS-Tween on rocker. Gels were then re-expanded in DI water with 2 water changes

every 30 min. Next day, a 10 mm diameter gel slice was excised and placed in a MatTek

35 mm dish, No. 1.5 Coverslip, 10 mm Glass Diameter (MatTek Corporation, Ashland,

MA) coated with Poly-D-Lysine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for imaging.

Antibodies

The following primary antibodies and dilutions were used in these experiments: anti-Ty1

(1:1,000 for IF and western blotting, 1:10 for U-ExM) from Sebastian Lourido

(Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston, MA), 1B41 (1:1,000 for IF) from Linda

Kohl (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France), TAT1 (1:10,000 for

IF, 1:100 for U-ExM) from Jack Sunter (Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United

Kingdom), anti-polyglutamylation GT335 (1:25,000 for IF) (Adipogen, San Diego, CA),

anti-polyglutamate chain IN105 (1:10,000 for IF) (Adipogen), 20H5 (1:1000 for IF) from

EMD Millipore.

Secondary antibodies were used in these experiments. All dilutions for IF were 1:1000,

U-ExM were 1:100, and western blotting were 1:10,000. Goat anti-mouse IgG1 Alexa

Fluor 488 (Thermo Scientific), Goat anti-mouse IgM Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Scientific),

Goat anti-mouse IgG2a Alexa Fluor 568 (Thermo Scientific), Goat anti-rat IgG H&L Alexa

Fluor 568 (Thermo Scientific), Goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 568, Goat anti-mouse IgG

(H&L) HRP (Thermo Scientific).

Immunofluorescence microscopy

IF images were obtained on a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss

Microscopy, Oberkochen, Germany) using a 100×/1.4 NA Plan Aprochromat objective

lens. Images were captured using an ORCA-Flash 4.0 V2 sCMOS camara (Hamamatsu,

Shizouka, Japan) with Slidebook 6 microscopy software (Intelligent Imaging Innovations

Inc.)

SoRa microscopy

Ultrastructure expansion gels were imaged on a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 microscope (Nikon

Instruments, Melville, NY) equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-W1 SoRa super resolution

confocal scanning unit (CSU-W1, Yokogawa Electric, Musashino, Japan) and a

Photometrics Prime BSI sCMOS camera (Teledyne Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) using a

CFI APO TIRF 60× 1.49 oil objective (Nikon Instruments). Images were taken as a z-

stack at 0.1 μm per step. Images were denoised using Nikon NIS-elements software and

deconvoluted using Richardson-Lucy deconvolution.

Generating agarose microwells

PDMS stamps and agarose microwells were fabricated as previously described, for a final

concentration of 3.5% SeaPlaque agarose (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) in LDNT media

[72]. Microwell dimensions used in these studies were 50×50×6 μm. Prepared agarose

grids were stored at 4 °C for up to one week with sufficient LDNT media to prevent drying

out.

Live cell plating and imaging

648 1 mL of *T. cruzi* cells expressing Cas9 and the T7 polymerase at $1×10^7$ cells/mL density

649 were centrifuged at 800×g for 5 min at RT. Cells were resuspended in 1 mL fresh LDNT

650 media with 100 µM reduced L-glutathione (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). 125 µL of cells

651 containing $1.25×10^6$ cells were plated in a Lab-Tek II chambered coverglass #1.5

652 Borosilicate chamber (Nunc International, Rochester, NY). A cut-to-fit agarose grid was

653 inverted onto the cells and the chamber sealed as previously described. Imaging chamber

654 was placed into a live-cell imaging insert (Oko labs) set to 27 °C and mounted on a Zeiss

655 Axio Observer Z1 microscope using a 20×/0.8 NA Plan Aprochromat objective lens. Cells

656 were imaged every 10 minutes for 48 h with 30 ms exposures. In order to compensate

657 for focus drift over long-term imaging, a Definite Focus 2 system (Carl Zeiss Microscopy)

658 was employed. Images were captured using an ORCA-Flash 4.0 V2 sCMOS camara with

659 Slidebook 6 microscopy software.

661 **Microscopy analysis**

662 All microscopy images were analyzed with ImageJ (National Institutes of Health,

663 Bethesda, MD). Figures for publication were prepared in Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator

664 (Creative Cloud 2022).

666 **Statistical analysis**

667 Statistical analysis and graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 9 software version

668 9.1.1 (GraphPad Software). For morphometric analysis, one-way ANOVA analysis was

669 performed to identify significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between cell cycle intermediates for

670 each morphometric measurement. For live-cell analysis, a Power analysis was performed

671   to ensure sufficient n was performed to ensure conclusion was reasonable. For live-cell

672   differential daughter division times, an unpaired two-tailed students t-test was performed

673   to determine if differences observed was statistically significant at the $p < 0.05$ significance

674   level. All graphical data was plotted using SuperPlots to show variability among biological

675   replicates [82].

Figure Legends

Fig 1. The morphotypes of Trypanosoma cruzi. All *T. cruzi* morphotypes contain a flagellum that is nucleated from a basal body which is docked to the membrane of the flagellar pocket. The basal body is also connected to the kinetoplast, which is the mitochondrial DNA aggregate. The shape of the cell bodies is defined by a set of subpellicular MTs that underlie the plasma membrane (depicted only in the epimastigote form). In the epimastigote and trypomastigote forms, the flagellum emerges onto the cell surface and is attached to the cell body by the flagellum attachment zone (comprising the MtQ and FAZ filament), both of which extend towards the anterior end of the cell body. The primary morphologic difference between epimastigotes and trypomastigotes is the positioning of the kinetoplast-basal body-flagellar pocket, which is posterior to the nucleus in epimastigotes and anterior in trypomastigotes.

Fig 2. *T. cruzi* epimastigotes incorporate tubulin PTMs at the cell posterior during cell division. Epimastigote cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcFAZ25 allele were fixed and labeled with 1B41 to label the FAZ and posterior punctum (1B41, magenta), anti-Ty1 to label FAZ25 (TcFAZ25, green), and DAPI to label DNA (DNA, blue). Cells were then imaged using epifluorescence and DIC microscopy. (**A**) Very small 1N1K cells carried a 1B41 punctum at their cell posterior, which was much weaker or absent in larger 1N1K cells (**B**). (**C**) The new FAZ was appeared on the dorsal side of the cell and labeled was FAZ25 and 1B41 positive. 1B41 signal appeared at the posterior end at this point. (**D**) As the new FAZ and flagellum extended, the posterior 1B41 punctum increased in

brightness. (**E**) Once the cell has begun cytokinesis, the 1B41 punctum is present at the

extreme posterior of the cell body. The new-flagellum daughter cell appears to inherit a

much shorter new FAZ and flagellum than the old-flagellum daughter. Asterisks highlight

the 1B41 posterior punctum labeling, while the arrowheads identify the new FAZ.

Fig 3. Defining and quantifying morphogenic intermediates in *T. cruzi* epimastigote

cell division. (**A**) Morphogenic intermediates were defined by the presence of a 1B41

punctum and if they were the new flagellum or old flagellum daughter (new-flagellum

puncta: NF Puncta; old-flagellum puncta: OF puncta), or their nuclear, kinetoplast, and

flagellum state (xNxKxF). Note that all cells that have begun to flagellar duplication are

labeled with a 1B41 punctum. (**B**) Cell counts over 9 d were used to calculate the cell

division time of the Cas9-T7 epimastigote cells line. (**C**) Graph depicting the percentages

of cells in each state as defined in (**A**). (**D**) Using the length of the cell cycle as measured

in (**B**) and the percentages of cells in different cell cycle states measured in (**C**) allows

the calculation of the time that the parasites spend in each state that was defined in (**A**).

Fig 4. Quantitation of changes to cell morphology over the course of *T. cruzi*

epimastigote cell division. (**A**) Cells were fixed and stained with 1B41 to label the FAZ

and posterior punctum and DAPI to label DNA. The cells were then imaged using

epifluorescence and DIC microscopy, followed by measurement of all the cell features

depicted in this schematic. All graphs were generated as SuperPlots, where each

independent experiment is shown in a separate color, with the large circles represent the

mean of each independent experiment, and black lines represent the mean and the

standard deviation. (**B**) Measurements of total cell lengths, which includes flagellar
overhang, broken down into the cell division categories defined in **Fig 3A**. (**C**)
Measurements of cell body lengths, broken down into the cell division categories defined
in **Fig 3A**. (**D**) Measurements of the distance between the cell posterior and the nucleus,
broken down into the cell division categories defined in **Fig 3A**. (**E**) Measurements of the
distance between the cell posterior to the kinetoplast, broken down into the cell division
categories defined in **Fig 3A**. (**F**) Measurements of the length of the old FAZ, broken down
into the cell division categories defined in **Fig 3A**. (**G**) Measurements of the length of the
old flagellum, broken down into the cell division categories defined in **Fig 3A**. (**H**)
Measurements of the width of the cell body, broken down into the cell division categories
defined in **Fig 3A**. (**I**) Table showing significance levels between each pair- wise
comparison. Statistical analysis was done by one-way ANOVA. ns = not significant, * $p <$
0.05, ** $p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$.

Fig 5. The new FAZ does not reach length parity with the old FAZ prior to the
completion of cell division. (**A**) Cells were fixed and stained with 1B41 to label the FAZ
and posterior punctum and DAPI to label DNA. The cells were then imaged using
epifluorescence and DIC microscopy, followed by measurement of all the cell features
depicted in this schematic. All graphs were generated as SuperPlots, where each
independent experiment is shown in a separate color, with the large circles represent the
mean of each independent experiment, and black lines represent the mean and the
standard deviation. (**B**) Measurements of the length of the new FAZ as cells progress
through cell division. (**C**) Measurements of the length of the new flagellum as cells
progress through cell division. (**D**) Ratio of the length of the new FAZ to the old FAZ as

cells progress through cell division. (**E**) Ratio of the length of the new flagellum to the old

flagellum as cells progress through cell division. Statistical analysis was done by one-way

ANOVA ****p < 0.0001.

Fig 6. TcSAS-6 levels fluctuate on the basal body during cell division. (**A**) Cells

carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcSAS-6 allele were fixed and labeled with 1B41 (1B41,

magenta) to label the FAZ and posterior punctum, anti-Ty1 (TcSAS-6, green) to label the

basal body, and DAPI to label DNA (DNA, blue). The cells were then imaged using

epifluorescence and DIC microscopy. Inserts show a 3X enlargement of the basal body

region. The empty arrowheads identify the new flagellum; the asterisks highlight the 1B41

posterior punctum. (**B**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcSAS-6 allele were fixed

and processed for U-ExM. Samples were labeled with anti-Ty1 (TcSAS-6, green) to label

the basal body and TAT1 anti-tubulin antibody (Tubulin, magenta) to label the tubulin-

containing structures within the cell. The samples were then imaged using SoRa

superresolution microscopy. Boxed insets are a 3X magnification of the basal body

region. The empty arrowheads identify the mature basal body, while the filled arrowheads

identify the probasal body. Scale bars: 10 μm.

Fig 7. TcTOEFAZ1 localizes to the ventral side of the flagellum is expressed during

all cell stages (**A**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcTOEFAZ1 allele were fixed

and labeled with 1B41 to label the FAZ and posterior punctum (1B41, magenta), anti-Ty1

to label TcTOEFAZ1 (TcTF1, green), and DAPI to label DNA (DNA, blue). The cells were

then imaged using fluorescence and DIC microscopy. The asterisks identify the 1B41

posterior punctum. (**B**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcTOEFAZ1 allele were

fixed and processed for U-ExM. Samples were labeled with anti-Ty1 to label TOEFAZ1

(TcTF1, green) and TAT1 anti-tubulin antibody to label the tubulin-containing structures

within the cell (Tubulin, magenta). The samples were then imaged using SoRa super-

resolution microscopy. Boxed insets are a 3X magnification of the basal body region. The

empty arrowheads point toward the new flagellum. Scale bars: 10 µm.

Fig 8. TcPLK and TcKLIF localize to the FAZ and the posterior punctum during cell

division. (**A**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcPLK allele were fixed and labeled

with 1B41 to label the FAZ and posterior punctum (1B41, magenta), anti-Ty1 to label

TcPLK (TcPLK, green), and DAPI to label DNA (DNA, blue). The cells were then imaged

using fluorescence and DIC microscopy. Asterisks identify the 1B41 posterior punctum,

empty arrowheads show TcPLK recruitment to the cell posterior, and the filled arrowhead

shows TcPLK localization to the basal body. (**B**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-

mNeonGreen::TcKLIF allele were fixed and labeled with 1B41 to label the FAZ and

posterior punctum (1B41, magenta), anti-Ty1 to label TcKLIF (TcKLIF, green), and DAPI

to label DNA (DNA, blue). Asterisks show the 1B41 posterior punctum, empty arrowheads

show TcKLIF location to the cell posterior, filled arrowheads show TcKLIF localization to

the FAZ, and arrows show TcKLIF localization to the ingressing furrow.

Fig 9. *T. cruzi* daughter cells divide at different rates. (**A**) *T. cruzi* epimastigotes cells

were confined in agarose microwells and then imaged using DIC microscopy. Images

were taken every 10 min for 2 d. Wells containing one cell were identified and the timing

of the first round of division was noted. The time that each daughter cell took to complete

a subsequent round of division was recorded. Images show representative still shots of

live *T. cruzi* cells undergoing division. In this example, over 220 minutes (3 h, 40 min)

elapsed between the division of the first daughter cell and the second. (**B**) Staircase plot

showing the timing of the first and second daughter cell divisions. T1/2 for division 1 was

12.8 h, while $T_{1/2}$ for division 2 was 17.7 h. (**C**) Plot showing direct comparison of daughter

cell division rates. Each line represents daughter cell division times from the same

progenitor cell. Cell comparisons are color coded to depict cells imaged in the same live-

cell imaging run.

Fig 10. Model describing the different stages of epimastigote *T. cruzi* cell division

described in this work. (**I**) At the end of cytokinesis, two types of cells are observed:

new flagellum daughters (NF Puncta) that are smaller, have a shorter flagellum and FAZ,

and a more intense posterior punctum staining with 1B41 and KLF when compared to the

old flagellum daughters (OF Puncta). In both cell types the pro and mature basal bodies

are labeled with SAS-6 and TOEFAZ1 is present on the dorsal side of the flagellum. **(II)**

Once the new flagellum daughter has extended its FAZ and flagellum, the two daughter

cells are indistinguishable. The posterior punctum labeling disappears entirely. As the cell

begins to divide, PLK is present on the basal body. TOEFAZ1 still labels the dorsal region.

(III) As the new flagellum is assembled, both PLK and 1B41 labeling become apparent at

the cell posterior. PLK is present along the FAZ as well. SAS-6 labeling appears primarily

associated with the probasal bodies. The cell begins to widen along its short axis. As the

new flagellum and FAZ continue to grow, the KLIF labeling appears at the site where the

cleavage furrow will initiate from and is also present at the posterior punctum. TOEFAZ

is recruited to cleavage furrow ingression point. Cell widening continues, while the cell

posterior shortens along its long axis. **(IV)** As cytokinesis begins, the posterior punctum

is labeled with 1B41, PLK, and KLIF and begins to resolve into two discrete structures.

KLIF and TOEFAZ are present at the ingressing cleavage furrow, while PLK is present

on both FAZ. SAS-6 labeling reappears at the mature basal body.

Posterior   Anterior

Subpellicular MTs

Cytostome

Cytopharynx

Cytopharynx MTs

Epimastigote

Trypomastigote

Nucleus   Kinetoplast   Basal Body   MtQ   FAZf   FP   Flagellum

Fig 1

Cell Cycle Progression

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5

Fig 6

Fig 7

Fig 8

Fig 9

Fig 10

References

1. Maslov DA, Votýpka J, Yurchenko V, Lukeš J. Diversity and phylogeny of insect trypanosomatids: all that is hidden shall be revealed. Trends Parasitol. 2012;29: 43 52. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2012.11.001

2. d'Avila-Levy CM, Boucinha C, Kostygov A, Santos HLC, Morelli KA, Grybchuk-Ieremenko A, et al. Exploring the environmental diversity of kinetoplastid flagellates in the high-throughput DNA sequencing era. Memórias Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2015;110: 956 965. doi:10.1590/0074-02760150253

3. Lukeš J, Skalický T, Týč J, Votýpka J, Yurchenko V. Evolution of parasitism in kinetoplastid flagellates. Mol Biochem Parasit. 2014;195: 115 122. doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2014.05.007

4. El-Sayed NM, Myler PJ, Blandin G, Berriman M, Crabtree J, Aggarwal G, et al. Comparative genomics of trypanosomatid parasitic protozoa. Science. 2005;309: 404 409. doi:10.1126/science.1112181

5. Jackson AP, Allison HC, Barry JD, Field MC, Hertz-Fowler C, Berriman M. A Cell-surface Phylome for African Trypanosomes. Tschudi C, editor. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2013;7: e2121. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002121.s003

6. Jackson AP, Otto TD, Aslett M, Armstrong SD, Bringaud F, Schlacht A, et al. Kinetoplastid Phylogenomics Reveals the Evolutionary Innovations Associated with the Origins of Parasitism. Curr Biol. 2016;26: 161 172. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.055

7. Wheeler RJ, Gluenz E, Gull K. The Limits on Trypanosomatid Morphological Diversity. Li Z, editor. Plos One. 2013;8: e79581 18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079581

8. Wheeler RJ. Use of chiral cell shape to ensure highly directional swimming in trypanosomes. Rao CV, editor. Plos Comput Biol. 2017;13: e1005353 22. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005353

9. Halliday C, Castro-Neto A de, Alcantara CL, Cunha-e-Silva NL, Vaughan S, Sunter JD. Trypanosomatid Flagellar Pocket from Structure to Function. Trends Parasitol. 2020;37: 317–329. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2020.11.005

10. Wheeler RJ, Gull K, Sunter JD. Coordination of the Cell Cycle in Trypanosomes. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2019;73: 133–154. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-020518-115617

11. Campbell PC, de Graffenried CL. Alternate histories of cytokinesis: lessons from the trypanosomatids. Mol Biol Cell. 2020;31: 2631–2639. doi:10.1091/mbc.e19-12-0696

12. Pérez-Molina JA, Molina I. Chagas disease. Lancet. 2018;391: 82–94.
doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31612-4

13. El-Sayed NM, Myler PJ, Bartholomeu DC, Nilsson D, Aggarwal G, Tran A-N, et al.
The genome sequence of Trypanosoma cruzi, etiologic agent of Chagas disease.
Science. 2005;309: 409 415. doi:10.1126/science.309.5733.399

14. Wang W, Peng D, Baptista RP, Li Y, Kissinger JC, Tarleton RL. Strain-specific
genome evolution in Trypanosoma cruzi, the agent of Chagas disease. Plos Pathog.
2021;17: e1009254. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009254

15. Medeiros LCS, South L, Peng D, Bustamante JM, Wang W, Bunkofske M, et al. Rapid,
Selection-Free, High-Efficiency Genome Editing in Protozoan Parasites Using CRISPR-
Cas9 Ribonucleoproteins. Boothroyd JC, editor. Mbio. 2017;8: e01788-17 15.
doi:10.1128/mbio.01788-17

16. Lander N, Li Z-H, Niyogi S, Docampo R. CRISPR/Cas9-Induced Disruption of
Paraflagellar Rod Protein 1 and 2 Genes in Trypanosoma cruziReveals Their Role in
Flagellar Attachment. Mbio. 2015;6: 495 12. doi:10.1128/mbio.01012-15

17. Costa FC, Francisco AF, Jayawardhana S, Calderano SG, Lewis MD, Olmo F, et al.
Expanding the toolbox for Trypanosoma cruzi: A parasite line incorporating a
bioluminescence-fluorescence dual reporter and streamlined CRISPR/Cas9 functionality
for rapid in vivo localisation and phenotyping. Buscaglia CA, editor. Plos Neglect Trop D.
2018;12: e0006388 21. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0006388

18. Simpson AGB, Stevens JR, Lukeš J. The evolution and diversity of kinetoplastid
flagellates. Trends Parasitol. 2006;22: 168 174. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2006.02.006

19. LukeÅ J, Butenko A, Hashimi H, Maslov DA, Votýpka J, Yurchenko V.
Trypanosomatids Are Much More than Just Trypanosomes: Clues from the Expanded
Family Tree. Trends Parasitol. 2018;34: 466 480. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2018.03.002

20. Field MC, Carrington M. The trypanosome flagellar pocket. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7:
775 786. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2221

21. Sunter JD, Gull K. The Flagellum Attachment Zone: 'The Cellular Ruler' of
Trypanosome Morphology. Trends Parasitol. 2016;32: 309 324.
doi:10.1016/j.pt.2015.12.010

22. Vickerman K. On the surface coat and flagellar adhesion in trypanosomes. Journal of
cell science. 1969;5: 163 193.

23. Wheeler RJ, Sunter JD, Gull K. Flagellar pocket restructuring through the Leishmania
life cycle involves a discrete flagellum attachment zone. J Cell Sci. 2016;129: 854 867.
doi:10.1242/jcs.183152

24. Alcantara CL, Souza W, Silva NLC e. The cytostome-cytopharynx complex of
intracellular and extracellular amastigotes of Trypanosoma cruzi exhibit structural and
functional differences. Cell Microbiol. 2021;23: e13346. doi:10.1111/cmi.13346

25. Alcantara C de L, Vidal JC, Souza W de, Cunha-e-Silva NL. The cytostome–
cytopharynx complex of Trypanosoma cruzi epimastigotes disassembles during cell
division. J Cell Sci. 2017;130: 164–176. doi:10.1242/jcs.187419

26. Sinclair AN, de Graffenried CL. More than Microtubules: The Structure and Function
of the Subpellicular Array in Trypanosomatids. Trends Parasitol. 2019;35: 760–777.
doi:10.1016/j.pt.2019.07.008

27. Souto-Padrón T, Souza W de, Heuser JE. Quick-freeze, deep-etch rotary replication
of Trypanosoma cruzi and Herpetomonas megaseliae. J Cell Sci. 1984;69: 167–78.

28. Engstler M, Pfohl T, Herminghaus S, Boshart M, Wiegertjes G, Heddergott N, et al.
Hydrodynamic flow-mediated protein sorting on the cell surface of trypanosomes. Cell.
2007;131: 505 515. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.046

29. Heddergott N, Krüger T, Babu SB, Wei A, Stellamanns E, Uppaluri S, et al.
Trypanosome Motion Represents an Adaptation to the Crowded Environment of the
Vertebrate Bloodstream. Beverley SM, editor. PLoS pathogens. 2012;8: e1003023.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003023.t002

30. Sunter J, Gull K. Shape, form, function and Leishmania pathogenicity: from textbook
descriptions to biological understanding. Royal Soc Open Biology. 2017;7: 170165.
doi:10.1098/rsob.170165

31. Sharma R, Peacock L, Gluenz E, Gull K, Gibson W, Carrington M. Asymmetric cell
division as a route to reduction in cell length and change in cell morphology in
trypanosomes. Protist. 2008;159: 137 151. doi:10.1016/j.protis.2007.07.004

32. Hyde TP, Dvorak JA. Trypanosoma cruzi: Interaction with vertebrate cells in vitro II.
Quantitative analysis of the penetration phase. Exp Parasitol. 1973;34: 284–294.
doi:10.1016/0014-4894(73)90088-x

33. Rotureau B, Subota I, Buisson J, Bastin P. A new asymmetric division contributes to
the continuous production of infective trypanosomes in the tsetse fly. Development.
2012;139: 1842 1850. doi:10.1242/dev.072611

34. Lacomble S, Vaughan S, Gadelha C, Morphew MK, Shaw MK, McIntosh JR, et al.
Three-dimensional cellular architecture of the flagellar pocket and associated
cytoskeleton in trypanosomes revealed by electron microscope tomography. J Cell Sci.
2009;122: 1081 1090. doi:10.1242/jcs.045740

35. Sherwin T, Gull K. Visualization of detyrosination along single microtubules reveals
novel mechanisms of assembly during cytoskeletal duplication in trypanosomes. Cell.
1989;57: 211 221. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90959-8

36. Wheeler RJ, Scheumann N, Wickstead B, Gull K, Vaughan S. Cytokinesis in
Trypanosoma brucei differs between bloodstream and tsetse trypomastigote forms:
implications for microtubule-based morphogenesis and mutant analysis. Mol Microbiol.
2013;90: 1339 1355. doi:10.1111/mmi.12436

37. Wheeler RJ, Gluenz E, Gull K. The cell cycle of Leishmania: morphogenetic events
and their implications for parasite biology. Mol Microbiol. 2011;79: 647 662.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07479.x

38. Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, Ni Y, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, et al. A bright
monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat
Methods. 2013;10: 407 409. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2413

39. Bastin P, Bagherzadeh Z, Matthews KR, Gull K. A novel epitope tag system to study
protein targeting and organelle biogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasit.
1996;77: 235 239. doi:10.1016/0166-6851(96)02598-4

40. Amos B, Aurrecoechea C, Barba M, Barreto A, Basenko EY, Bażant W, et al.
VEuPathDB: the eukaryotic pathogen, vector and host bioinformatics resource center.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;50: D898–D911. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab929

41. Halliday C, Billington K, Wang Z, Madden R, Dean S, Sunter JD, et al. Cellular
landmarks of Trypanosoma brucei and Leishmania mexicana. Mol Biochem Parasit.
2019;230: 24 36. doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2018.12.003

42. Billington K, Halliday C, Madden R, Dyer P, Barker AR, Moreira-Leite FF, et al.
Genome-wide subcellular protein map for the flagellate parasite Trypanosoma brucei. Nat
Microbiol. 2023;8: 533–547. doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01295-6

43. Gallo JM, Précigout E, Schrével J. Subcellular sequestration of an antigenically
unique beta-tubulin. Cell Motil Cytoskel. 1988;9: 175 183. doi:10.1002/cm.970090209

44. Gallo JM, Précigout E. Tubulin expression in trypanosomes. Biology of the cell / under
the auspices of the European Cell Biology Organization. 1988;64: 137 143.

45. Rocha GM, Brandão BA, Mortara RA, Attias M, Souza W de, Carvalho TMU. The
flagellar attachment zone of Trypanosoma cruzi epimastigote forms. J Struct Biol.
2006;154: 89 99. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2005.11.008

46. Abeywickrema M, Vachova H, Farr H, Mohr T, Wheeler RJ, Lai D, et al. Non-
equivalence in old- and new-flagellum daughter cells of a proliferative division in
Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Microbiol. 2019. doi:10.1111/mmi.14345

47. Wehland J. A rat monoclonal antibody reacting specifically with the tyrosylated form
of alpha-tubulin. I. Biochemical characterization, effects on microtubule polymerization in
vitro, and microtubule polymerization and organization in vivo. J Cell Biology. 1983;97:
1467 1475. doi:10.1083/jcb.97.5.1467

48. Wehland J. A rat monoclonal antibody reacting specifically with the tyrosylated form
of alpha-tubulin. II. Effects on cell movement, organization of microtubules, and
intermediate filaments, and arrangement of Golgi elements. J Cell Biology. 1983;97: 1476
1490. doi:10.1083/jcb.97.5.1476

49. Sasse R, Gull K. Tubulin post-translational modifications and the construction of
microtubular organelles in Trypanosoma brucei. Journal of cell science. 1988;90 (Pt 4):
577 589.

50. Wolff A, Néchaud B de, Chillet D, Mazarguil H, Desbruyères E, Audebert S, et al.
Distribution of glutamylated alpha and beta-tubulin in mouse tissues using a specific
monoclonal antibody, GT335. Eur J Cell Biol. 1992;59: 425–32.

51. Casanova M, Monbrison F de, Dijk J van, Janke C, Pagès M, Bastien P.
Characterisation of polyglutamylases in trypanosomatids. Int J Parasitol. 2015;45: 121
132. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2014.09.005

52. Piperno G, Fuller MT. Monoclonal antibodies specific for an acetylated form of alpha-
tubulin recognize the antigen in cilia and flagella from a variety of organisms. J Cell
Biology. 1985;101: 2085–2094. doi:10.1083/jcb.101.6.2085

53. Sherwin T, Gull K. The cell division cycle of Trypanosoma brucei brucei: timing of
event markers and cytoskeletal modulations. Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc Lond
Ser B Biological Sci. 1989;323: 573 588. doi:10.1098/rstb.1989.0037

54. CONTOIS DE. Kinetics of bacterial growth: relationship between population density
and specific growth rate of continuous cultures. Journal of general microbiology. 1959;21:
40 50.

55. Leidel S, Delattre M, Cerutti L, Baumer K, Gönczy P. SAS-6 defines a protein family
required for centrosome duplication in C. elegans and in human cells. Nat Cell Biol.
2005;7: 115–125. doi:10.1038/ncb1220

56. Hu H, Liu Y, Zhou Q, Siegel S, Li Z. The Centriole Cartwheel Protein SAS-6 in
Trypanosoma brucei Is Required for Probasal Body Biogenesis and Flagellum Assembly.
Eukaryot Cell. 2015;14: 898–907. doi:10.1128/ec.00083-15

57. He CY, Pypaert M, Warren G. Golgi duplication in Trypanosoma brucei requires
Centrin2. Science. 2005;310: 1196 1198. doi:10.1126/science.1119969

58. Gambarotto D, Zwettler FU, Guennec ML, Schmidt-Cernohorska M, Fortun D,
Borgers S, et al. Imaging cellular ultrastructures using expansion microscopy (U-ExM).
Nat Methods. 2019;16: 71–74. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0238-1

59. Kalichava A, Ochsenreiter T. Ultrastructure expansion microscopy in Trypanosoma
brucei. Open Biol. 2021;11: 210132. doi:10.1098/rsob.210132

60. Gorilak P, Pružincová M, Vachova H, Olšinová M, Cernohorska MS, Varga V.
Expansion microscopy facilitates quantitative super-resolution studies of cytoskeletal
structures in kinetoplastid parasites. Open Biol. 2021;11: 210131.
doi:10.1098/rsob.210131

61. Azuma T, Kei T. Super-resolution spinning-disk confocal microscopy using optical
photon reassignment. Opt Express. 2015;23: 15003–15011. doi:10.1364/oe.23.015003

62. Woods A, Sherwin T, Sasse R, MacRae TH, Baines AJ, Gull K. Definition of individual
components within the cytoskeleton of Trypanosoma brucei by a library of monoclonal
antibodies. Journal of cell science. 1989;93 (Pt 3): 491 500.

63. McAllaster MR, Ikeda KN, Lozano-Núñez A, Anrather D, Unterwurzacher V,
Gossenreiter T, et al. Proteomic identification of novel cytoskeletal proteins associated
with TbPLK, an essential regulator of cell morphogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol
Biol Cell. 2015;26: 3013 3029. doi:10.1091/mbc.e15-04-0219

64. Zhou Q, Gu J, Lun Z-R, Ayala FJ, Li Z. Two distinct cytokinesis pathways drive
trypanosome cell division initiation from opposite cell ends. Proc National Acad Sci.
2016;113: 3287 3292. doi:10.1073/pnas.1601596113

65. Sinclair-Davis AN, McAllaster MR, de Graffenried CL. A functional analysis of
TOEFAZ1 uncovers protein domains essential for cytokinesis in Trypanosoma brucei. J
Cell Sci. 2017;130: 3918 3932. doi:10.1242/jcs.207209

66. Zhou Q, Hu H, Li Z. An EF-hand-containing Protein in Trypanosoma brucei Regulates
Cytokinesis Initiation by Maintaining the Stability of the Cytokinesis Initiation Factor CIF1.
J Biol Chem. 2016;291: 14395 14409. doi:10.1074/jbc.m116.726133

67. Kurasawa Y, An T, Li Z. Polo-like kinase in trypanosomes: an odd member out of the
Polo family. Open Biol. 2020;10: 200189. doi:10.1098/rsob.200189

68. Ikeda KN, de Graffenried CL. Polo-like kinase is necessary for flagellum inheritance
in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci. 2012;125: 3173 3184. doi:10.1242/jcs.101162

69. Zhou Q, Hu H, Li Z. KLIF-associated cytoskeletal proteins in Trypanosoma brucei
regulate cytokinesis by promoting cleavage furrow positioning and ingression. J Biological
Chem. 2022;298: 101943. doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101943

70. Hilton NA, Sladewski TE, Perry JA, Pataki Z, Sinclair-Davis AN, Muniz RS, et al. Identification of TOEFAZ1-interacting proteins reveals key regulators of Trypanosoma brucei cytokinesis. Mol Microbiol. 2018;109: 306 326. doi:10.1111/mmi.13986

71. Sladewski TE, Campbell PC, Billington N, D'Ordine A, Cole JL, de Graffenried CL. Cytokinesis in Trypanosoma brucei relies on an orphan kinesin that dynamically crosslinks microtubules. Curr Biol. 2023;33: 899-911.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2023.01.035

72. Muniz RS, Campbell PC, Sladewski TE, Renner LD, de Graffenried CL. Revealing spatio-temporal dynamics with long-term trypanosomatid live-cell imaging. Plos Pathog. 2022;18: e1010218. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010218

73. Saraiva FMS, Cosentino-Gomes D, Inacio JDF, Almeida-Amaral EE, Louzada-Neto O, Rossini A, et al. Hypoxia Effects on Trypanosoma cruzi Epimastigotes Proliferation, Differentiation, and Energy Metabolism. Pathogens. 2022;11: 897. doi:10.3390/pathogens11080897

74. Elias MC, Cunha JPC da, Faria FP de, Mortara RA, Freymüller E, Schenkman S. Morphological events during the Trypanosoma cruzi cell cycle. Protist. 2007;158: 147 157. doi:10.1016/j.protis.2006.10.002

75. Kohl L, Sherwin T, Gull K. Assembly of the paraflagellar rod and the flagellum attachment zone complex during the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 1999;46: 105 109. doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.1999.tb04592.x

76. Kitagawa D, Vakonakis I, Olieric N, Hilbert M, Keller D, Olieric V, et al. Structural Basis of the 9-Fold Symmetry of Centrioles. Cell. 2011;144: 364–375. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.008

77. Breugel M van, Hirono M, Andreeva A, Yanagisawa H, Yamaguchi S, Nakazawa Y, et al. Structures of SAS-6 Suggest Its Organization in Centrioles. Science. 2011;331: 1196–1199. doi:10.1126/science.1199325

78. Fong CS, Kim M, Yang TT, Liao J-C, Tsou M-FB. SAS-6 Assembly Templated by the Lumen of Cartwheel-less Centrioles Precedes Centriole Duplication. Dev Cell. 2014;30: 238–245. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.05.008

79. Scheumann N. Functions of conserved centriole proteins in African trypanosomes. University of Oxford. 2012.

80. Skalický T, Dobáková E, Wheeler RJ, Tesařová M, Flegontov P, Jirsová D, et al. Extensive flagellar remodeling during the complex life cycle of Paratrypanosoma, an early-branching trypanosomatid. Proc National Acad Sci. 2017;114: 11757 11762. doi:10.1073/pnas.1712311114

81. Beneke T, Madden R, Makin L, Valli J, Sunter J, Gluenz E. A CRISPR Cas9 high-
throughput genome editing toolkit for kinetoplastids. Roy Soc Open Sci. 2017;4: 170095
16. doi:10.1098/rsos.170095

82. Lord SJ, Velle KB, Mullins RD, Fritz-Laylin LK. SuperPlots: Communicating
reproducibility and variability in cell biology. J Cell Biol. 2020;219: e202001064.
doi:10.1083/jcb.202001064

1070

1071

Supplemental Figure legends

S1 Fig. Antibodies against established tubulin post-translational modifications do

not label the 1B41-positive posterior punctum. *T. cruzi* cells were fixed, then labeled

with anti-tyrosinated tubulin (YL1/2), polyglutamylated tubulin (GT335 or IN105), or

acetylated tubulin (6-11 B-1), followed by secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa488,

and DAPI to label DNA. Cells were then imaged using epifluorescence and DIC

microscopy.

1079

S2 Fig. The parental *T. cruzi* Y strain cell line and the *T. cruzi* Brazil A4 strain both

show asymmetric cell division and a 1B41-positive punctum at the cell posterior.

(**A**) The parental *T. cruzi* Y strain used for making all the tagged cell lines in this work was

fixed and then labeled with 1B41 antibody, followed by fluorescently conjugated

secondary antibodies and DAPI to label the DNA. The cells were imaged using

immunofluorescence and DIC microscopy. Asterisks highlight the 1B41 posterior

punctum labeling, while the arrowheads identify the new FAZ. (**B**) Measurements of the

total cell length as defined in Fig 4A. (**C**) Measurements of the cell body length as defined

in Fig 4A (**D**) Measurements of the Old FAZ length as defined in Fig 4A (**E**) Measurements

of the posterior to nucleus distance as defined in Fig 4A. (**F**) Measurements of the

posterior to kinetoplast distance as defined in Fig 4A (**G**) Measurements of the cell body

with as defined in Fig 4A. (**H**) *T. cruzi* Brazil A4 strain cells were fixed and labeled with

1B41, followed by fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies and DAPI to label the

DNA. The cells were then imaged using immunofluorescence microscopy.

1094

S3 Fig. TcSAS-6 does not persistently label the pro- and mature-basal body in _T. cruzi_ epimastiogtes. (**A**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcSAS-6 allele were fixed and labeled with anti-Ty1 and 20H5 to label SAS-6 and TcCentrin2, followed by fluorescently labelled secondary antibodies and DAPI to label DNA. The cells were then imaged using immunofluorescence microscopy. Insets show a 3X magnification of the basal body regions. (**B**) Cells carrying a 3xTy1-mNeonGreen::TcSAS-6 allele were fixed and then incubated with DAPI to label the DNA. The innate mNeonGreen fluorescence was then imaged using epifluorescence microscopy.

S4 Fig. Western blotting validation of the tagged cell lines used in this work. The KLIF, PLK, FAZ25, TOEFAZ1, and SAS-6 cell lines endogenously tagged with 3X Ty1-mNeonGreen were harvested and then lysed in SDS-PAGE loading buffer, followed by fractionation using SDS-PAGE. Fractionated lysates were transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with anti-Ty1 antibody.

S1 Movie. _T. cruzi_ epimastigote cell division produces daughter cells with very different rates of subsequent division events. _T. cruzi_ Y strain cells expressing Cas9 and T7 polymerase were plated in 50x50x6 µm agarose microwells and imaged with a 20×/0.8 NA lens. DIC images were captured every 10 min for 48 h. Video depicts images portrayed in Fig 9A.

S1. Table. All the primers that were used to generate gDNAs and the healing constructs for gene tagging.

1118

S1 Fig

A

Cell Cycle Progression

1B41
Merge + DNA
Merge + DIC
5 µm

B

Total Cell Length

Length (µm)

OF Puncta, NF Puncta, 1N1K1F, 1N1K2F, 1N2K2F, 2N2K2F

C

Cell Body

Length (µm)

OF Puncta, NF Puncta, 1N1K1F, 1N1K2F, 1N2K2F, 2N2K2F

D

Old FAZ

Length (µm)

OF Puncta, NF Puncta, 1N1K1F, 1N1K2F, 1N2K2F, 2N2K2F

E

Posterior to Nucleus

Length (µm)

OF Puncta, NF Puncta, 1N1K1F, 1N1K2F, 1N2K2F, 2N2K2F

F

Posterior to Kinetoplast

Length (µm)

OF Puncta, NF Puncta, 1N1K1F, 1N1K2F, 1N2K2F, 2N2K2F

G

Cell Body Width

Length (µm)

OF Puncta, NF Puncta, 1N1K1F, 1N1K2F, 1N2K2F, 2N2K2F

H

1B41
Merge + DNA
Merge + DIC
5 µm

S2 Fig

S3 Fig

α-Ty1 Western Blot

S4 Fig