# RAPSYN-Mediated Neddylation of BCR-ABL Alternatively Determines the Fate of Philadelphia Chromosome-positive Leukemia

Mengya Zhao[1†], Beiying Dai[2†], Xiaodong Li[1†], Yixin Zhang[2†], Chun Qiao[2, 3], Yaru Qin[2],

Zhao Li[1], Qingmei Li[1], Shuzhen Wang[1*], Yong Yang[2*], Yijun Chen[1, 2, 4*]

[1]Laboratory of Chemical Biology, School of Life Science and Technology, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, 211198, China

[2]State Key Laboratory of Natural Medicines, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, 211198, China

[3]Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu Province Hospital, Nanjing, 210029, China

[4]Chongqing Innovation Institute of China Pharmaceutical University, Chongqing 401135, China

[†] These authors contributed equally

*Correspondence: Yijun Chen (yjchen@cpu.edu.cn), Yong Yang (yy@cpu.edu.cn) and Shuzhen Wang (shuzhenwang@cpu.edu.cn)

**Abstract**

Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph$^+$) leukemia is a fatal hematological malignancy. Although standard treatments with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) have achieved remarkable success in prolonging patient survival, intolerance, relapse and TKI resistance remain serious issues for patients with Ph$^+$ leukemia. Here, we report a new leukemogenic process in which RAPSYN and BCR-ABL co-occur in Ph$^+$ leukemia, and RAPSYN mediates the neddylation of BCR-ABL. Consequently, neddylated BCR-ABL enhances the stability by competing its c-CBL-mediated degradation. Furthermore, SRC phosphorylates RAPSYN to activate its NEDD8 E3 ligase activity, promoting BCR-ABL stabilization and disease progression. Moreover, in contrast to *in vivo* ineffectiveness of PROTAC-based degraders, depletion of RAPSYN expression or its ligase activity decreased BCR-ABL stability and, in turn, inhibited tumor formation and growth. Collectively, these findings represent an alternative to tyrosine kinase activity for the oncoprotein and leukemogenic cells and generate a rationale of targeting RAPSYN-mediated BCR-ABL neddylation for the treatment of Ph$^+$ leukemia.

## Introduction

Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph⁺) leukemia is a myeloproliferative neoplasm characterized by the reciprocal translocation between the long arms of chromosome 9 and 22, t (9;22) (q34.1; q11.2) ( de Klein et al., 1982; Deininger et al., 2000). This cytogenetic abnormality results in a *BCR-ABL* fusion gene, which encodes the chimeric protein BCR-ABL with enhanced tyrosine kinase activity (Cortes et al., 2021). Based on its oncogenic role in Ph⁺ leukemia, BCR-ABL has been regarded as the most pivotal target for Ph⁺ leukemia therapy, especially for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) have been the main treatment option for Ph⁺ leukemia, remarkably prolonging the patients' life span and improving their quality of life (Hochhaus et al., 2020; Jabbour and Kantarjian, 2020). However, most patients develop TKI resistance and relapse after long-term treatment (Braun et al., 2020). It is worth noting that the increase of BCR-ABL expression can affect the sensitivity to TKIs and eventually determine the rate of TKI resistance in patients with Ph⁺ leukemia in addition to the mutations in the kinase domain (Jabbour et al., 2007). Mutations in the kinase domain can change the conformation of BCR-ABL, thus interfering with the binding between TKIs and BCR-ABL and resulting in decreased therapeutic efficacy (Lussana et al., 2018). In parallel, the increase of BCR-ABL expression can affect the sensitivity to TKIs and eventually determine the rate of disease progression and TKI resistance in patients with Ph⁺ leukemia (Barnes et al., 2005). Therefore, effective degradation of BCR-ABL could address the issues on TKI resistance and leukemia-initiating cells (LICs), and PROTAC-based protein degradation strategy may represent a new therapeutic approach (Bekes et al., 2022). Currently, based on different ubiquitin E3 ligases, including VHL, CRBN and IAP, PROTAC-based degraders at nM level have shown significant degradation of BCR-ABL in CML cell lines, cell lines carrying mutations in BCR-ABL as well as patient-derived primary cells containing multiple BCR-ABL mutations ( Demizu et al., 2016; Lai et al., 2016; Shimokawa et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019; Burslem et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2022) . Unfortunately, the excellent cellular activity by the PROTAC-based degraders

has not been able to translate to *in vivo* efficacy, even in rare examples of xenografted

mouse models ( Zhao, Ren et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2021a), resulting in uncertain

usefulness of these degraders. Nonetheless, the unsatisfactory results are not really

surprising because the underlying mechanism of elevated BCR-ABL expression

remains largely unclear.

Receptor-associated protein of the synapse (RAPSYN) has been identified as a

classic synaptic adaptor protein that binds to the acetylcholine receptor (AChR) and

several cytoskeleton-associated proteins, contributing to AChR clustering and

neuromuscular junction (NMJ) formation (Huh and Fuhrer, 2002; Witzemann et al.,

2013). Later, RAPSYN was found to exert NEDD8 E3 ligase activity to catalyze the

neddylation for AChR aggregation.(Li et al., 2016) Despite the extensive studies of

RAPSYN in muscular and neuronal cells and tissues (Legay and Mei, 2017; Li et al.,

2018),  with regard to its involvement in leukemogenesis, there is no available

information thus far except for our previous finding. Previously, RAPSYN was found to

be located in the cytosol of the typical Ph$^+$ leukemia cell line K562 when a small

molecule was used to probe its binding proteins using a proteomics approach (Wang

et al., 2015). Because of its newly identified E3 ligase activity for neddylation and its

occurrence in the Ph$^+$ leukemia cell line, we speculated that RAPSYN might contribute

to Ph$^+$ leukemia development through its enzymatic activity instead of only serving as

a scaffolding protein.

As a type of post-translational modification (PTM), neddylation is sequentially

catalyzed by the neuronal precursor cell-expressed developmentally downregulated

protein 8 (NEDD8)-activating enzyme E1 (NAE1), NEDD8-conjugating enzyme E2

(UBE2M/UBC12 or UBE2F), and a substrate-specific NEDD8 E3 ligase to complete

the covalent conjugation of NEDD8 to a lysine residue of its substrates ( van der Veen

and Ploegh, 2012; Enchev et al., 2015). The neddylation of proteins can be reversed

by deneddylases such as NEDP1. In the last two decades, accumulating evidence

indicated the strong involvement of dysregulated neddylation in tumor progression,

neurodegenerative and cardiac diseases, aberrant immunoregulation and others ( Ying

et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020), which rationalizes the

modulation of neddylation as a feasible therapeutic strategy.

In this study, we report that RAPSYN is highly expressed along with BCR-ABL in

patients with Ph[+] leukemia and promotes disease progression, presumably by

stabilizing the BCR-ABL fusion protein *via* neddylation. The neddylation of BCR-ABL

by RAPSYN subsequently competes its ubiquitination-dependent degradation to

increase the stability of BCR-ABL. Additionally, the NEDD8 E3 ligase activity of

RAPSYN can be substantially increased by SRC-mediated phosphorylation, leading

to enhanced stability and activity of RAPSYN.

**Results**

**High protein levels of RAPSYN promoted Ph[+] leukemia progression**

Prior to investigating the biological roles of RAPSYN in the pathogenesis of Ph[+]

leukemia, its expression at both mRNA and protein levels was analyzed. We analyzed

mRNA levels of RAPSYN in RNA-seq datasets of GSE13204, GSE13159, GSE138883

and GSE140385, and no difference of RAPSYN mRNA levels in peripheral blood

mononuclear cells (PBMCs) was found between CML patients and healthy donors

(Figure1-figure supplement 1A). Neither a publicly available database nor our

collection of patient samples and cell lines showed a significant increase in mRNA

levels (Figure1-figure supplement 1B-C). The protein levels of RAPSYN were

substantially elevated in the PBMCs of Ph[+] CML (#8-11) and the bone marrow of ALL

(#7) patient samples in comparison to that of healthy donors (#1-6), which was in a

direct accordance with the expression of BCR-ABL (Figure 1A). This co-expression of

RAPSYN and BCR-ABL was also found in Ph[+] cell lines (Figure 1B), suggesting that

the function of RAPSYN in Ph[+] leukemia could be closely related to BCR-ABL.

To examine the relationship between RAPSYN and Ph[+] leukemia progression, we

first performed knockdown of its expression by using shRNAs. Whereas notable

cytotoxicity following marked reduction of RAPSYN was observed in all tested Ph[+]

leukemia cell lines and CML patient PBMCs (Figure 1C-D, Figure1-figure supplement

1D-F), transduction with the shRNA for RAPSYN did not affect cell viability of

RAPSYN- and BCR-ABL-negative HS-5 cells, indicating the dependence on the presence and expression level of BCR-ABL. Conversely, exogenous expression of RAPSN rescued Ph^{+} leukemia cells from shRNA-generated toxicity (Figure 1E). Knockdown of RAPSYN also changed the phenotypes of Ph^{+} leukemia cells, including proliferation, G0/G1 cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Figure 1-figure supplement 1G- I).

Next, we subcutaneously implanted sh*RAPSN* #3- or the empty vector-transduced K562 cells into NCG mice to establish a cell line derived xenotransplantation mouse model (Figure 1F). Tumor growth was significantly inhibited by RAPSYN silencing (Figure 1G, H, Figure1-figure supplement 1J). Meanwhile, immunoblotting of tumor samples showed a notable downregulation of RAPSYN expression, along with the reduction of BCR-ABL levels (Figure 1I). After knockout of RAPSYN for remarkable depletion of BCR-ABL expression in K562 cells (Figure 1J, Figure1-figure supplement 1L), these cells along with the empty vector-transduced K562 cells were intravenously injected into NCG mice to establish the leukemogenic mouse model (Figure 1F). Consequently, the survival of tumor-bearing mice was profoundly prolonged by the knockout of RAPSYN compared to the controls (Figure 1K). Altogether, our findings indicate that RAPSYN is highly expressed at protein level with the accordance to BCR-ABL in Ph[+] leukemia and its depletion results in inhibiting the progression of Ph[+] leukemia.

**RAPSYN directly neddylated BCR-ABL**

Previous reports determined that both nicotinic AChR subunit $\alpha_7$ and muscarinic AChR subtypes $M_2$, $M_3$, and $M_4$ were involved in the the cell proliferation of K562 cells (Cabadak et al., 2011; Önder Narin et al., 2021). Furthermore, RAPSYN was found to exert its NEDD8 E3 ligase activity toward AChR in neuronal systems (Li, Cao et al., 2016). To determine whether RAPSYN functioned in a similar manner in leukemogenic cells, we investigated whether RAPSYN promoted Ph[+] leukemia progression through neddylation of AChRs. Despite the expression of AChR subunits α7, M2, M3, and M4 at protein level in all tested Ph^{+} leukemia cells, no change in their neddylation was observed upon RAPSYN ablation. (Figure2-figure supplement 2A, B). In addition, we

also examined mRNA levels of RAPSYN-related neddylation enzymes, including E1

(NAE1), E2 (UBE2M), NEDD8 and NEDP1, in above GSE databases, and no

significant differences of these neddylation-related genes were found between CML

patients and healthy donors as well (Figure2-figure supplement 2C). On the basis of

the co-expression of RAPSYN and BCR-ABL, we postulated that RAPSYN could

specifically mediate neddylation of BCR-ABL to promote Ph$^+$ leukemia development.

To test this hypothesis, reciprocal immunoprecipitation was performed to reveal a

strong interaction between RAPSYN and BCR-ABL in Ph$^+$ leukemia cells (Figure 2A,

Figure2-figure supplement 2D). Similar results were obtained with exogenous

expression in HEK293T cells (Figure 2B), further confirming the specific interaction of

RAPSYN with BCR-ABL. Furthermore, GST pull-down assay with purified proteins

displayed specific binding of GST-tagged RAPSYN to His-tagged BCR-ABL (Figure

2C), indicating that BCR-ABL is the primary target of RAPSYN-mediated neddylation.

Domain mapping revealed that the Δ1 domain (1-927 aa) of BCR-ABL was responsible

for the interaction with RAPSYN (Figure 2D).

Next, we studied whether RAPSYN could directly mediate BCR-ABL neddylation.

Strong BCR-ABL neddylation could be detected in all Ph$^+$ leukemia cell lines (Figure

2E, Figure2-figure supplement 2E). More importantly, the neddylation of BCR-ABL was

validated by immunoprecipitation using the PBMCs from two CML patients (Figure 2F).

Treatment with the NAE1 inhibitor, MLN4924, significantly dampened the neddylation

of BCR-ABL (Figure 2G, Figure2-figure supplement 2F). In addition, the mutation of

two glycine residues at the C-terminus of NEDD8 required for its covalent conjugating

ability, or the co-expression of NEDP1 (NEDD8-specific protease 1) essentially

diminished the neddylation of BCR-ABL (Figure 2H, I). As shown in Figure 2J, we co-

expressed either RAPSYN$^{WT}$ or its C366A mutant along with BCR-ABL and NEDD8,

revealing that mutation of Cys to Ala at the catalytic residue C366 significantly

decreased the neddylation level of BCR-ABL. Additionally, knockout of RAPSYN

abrogated BCR-ABL neddylation in the cells, and this effect was restored by

transduction of *RAPSN* cDNA (Figure 2K). These results were further corroborated by

*in vitro* experiments, which showed that BCR-ABL could hardly be neddylated in the absence of RAPSYN (Figure 2L). Consistently, the amount of neddylated BCR-ABL was markedly reduced in tumors generated by K562 cells transfected with sh*RAPSN*#3 (Figure 2M), indicating an essential role of the ligase activity of RAPSYN in BCR-ABL neddylation.

In addition, neither overall BCR-ABL expression nor its neddylation levels were affected after the knockdown of AChRs (Figure2-figure supplement 2G). Similarly, modulation of AChR activities and their downstream PKC-RAS-ERK and JAK2-AKT signaling pathways ( Kawamata et al., 2011; Aydin et al., 2013) by either an agonist (carbachol) (Jakubik et al., 2008) or antagonists (benzethonium and homatropine) (Durieux and Nietgen, 1997) did not alter the expression or neddylation status of BCR-ABL (Figure2-figure supplement 2H, I).

Subsequently, we tried to identified specific modification sites on BCR-ABL. The purified proteins were used for *in vitro* neddylation reactions, and the target bands were digested with trypsin for LC-MS/MS analyses. Eight lysine residues were found to be potential NEDD8 accepting sites in BCR-ABL (Figure2-figure supplement 3). To confirm these modification sites, a series of individual Lys-to-Arg mutants were generated. Except for K257, neddylation levels of BCR-ABL at other candidate sites were all significantly reduced, confirming the modification sites of these Lys residues (Figure 2N).

**RAPSYN attenuated c-CBL-mediated BCR-ABL ubiquitination and degradation**

As decreased neddylation of BCR-ABL following either MLN4924 treatment or *RAPSYN*[KO] was accompanied by a strong decline in its overall protein expression level (Figure 2F, 3A-B, Figure2-figure supplement 2E, 4), we asked whether RAPSYN-mediated BCR-ABL neddylation affects protein stability. Subsequently, the protein synthesis inhibitor CHX was applied to K562 cells transduced with vectors encoding doxycycline-inducible *RAPSN* shRNA #3. Indeed, the expression levels of BCR-ABL declined much faster in cells with the induction of shRNA expression (Figure 3C). Meanwhile, we found that a clear inverse correlation between the neddylation and

ubiquitination levels of BCR-ABL was observed (Figure 3D, E). BCR-ABL ubiquitination

was remarkably reduced in the cells transfected with NEDD8 (Figure 3F). Consistent

with these results, treatment of the cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132

significantly increased the amount of ubiquitinated BCR-ABL accompanied by the

decrease of BCR-ABL neddylation (Figure 3G).

To clarify the molecular basis of the battle between BCR-ABL neddylation and its

ubiquitination, we detected that whether RAPSYN competes for binding to BCR-ABL

with c-CBL, a reported E3 ligase mediating BCR-ABL ubiquitin-proteasome

degradation (Mao et al., 2010). As a result, exogenous expression of RAPSYN

interfered with the interactions between BCR-ABL and c-CBL, whereas RAPSYN

ablation in K562 cells promoted c-CBL binding to BCR-ABL (Figure 3H, I). These data

indicated that RAPSYN competes with c-CBL for binding to BCR-ABL, leading to

subsequent BCR-ABL neddylation to enhance BCR-ABL stability by counteracting its

proteasomal degradation.

**SRC-mediated phosphorylation stabilized RAPSYN by repressing its**

**proteasomal degradation**

SRC-family protein tyrosine kinases are capable of phosphorylating RAPSYN in

neuronal system, among which SRC exerts the strongest function (Mohamed and

Swope, 1999). In addition, SRC has been shown to be highly expressed in primary

CML cells (Yang et al., 2017). We then studied whether SRC acts as an upstream

regulator to mediate RAPSYN. SRC inhibition with saracatinib or shRNA not only

significantly downregulated phosphorylated (Tyr418) SRC, but also inhibited the

phosphorylation of endogenous RAPSYN, resulting in a substantial decline in its

protein level, whereas heterologous expression of *SRC* increased RAPSYN

phosphorylation (Figure 4A-C, Figure4-figure supplement 5A). Furthermore, *in vitro*

incubation with recombinant RAPSYN, SRC, and ATP resulted in strong

phosphorylation of RAPSYN, which could be fully abrogated by saracatinib treatment

(Figure 4D). LC-MS/MS analyses indicated that Tyr residues at positions 59, 152, and

336 in RAPSYN are potential phosphorylation sites by SRC (Figure4-figure

supplement 5B). Then, after mutagenesis of these sites from Tyr to Phe, Y336, an evolutionarily conserved Tyr residue, was confirmed to be the primary site of RAPSYN phosphorylation (Figure 4E, Figure4-figure supplement 5C). As SRC has no effect on *RAPSN* mRNA levels (Figure4-figure supplement 5D, E), implying that SRC-mediated phosphorylation also affects RAPSYN stability. In fact, Ph⁺ leukemia cells were treated with saracatinib, SRC silencing or mutation of the key phosphorylation site significantly accelerated the diminishment of RAPSYN expression following CHX treatment, conversely, expressing exogenous SRC cDNA prolonged the half-life of RAPSYN (Figure 4F-I).

To explore the molecular mechanisms responsible for the increased stability of phosphorylated RAPSYN, Ph⁺ leukemia cells were treated with saracatinib or transduction of sh*SRC* followed by incubation with MG132. In all circumstances, MG132 could rescue the decrease of RAPSYN induced by saracatinib treatment or sh*SRC* knockdown (Figure 4J, K). Clearly, the specific phosphorylation of RAPSYN at Y336 by SRC led to its increased stability by preventing the proteasomal degradation, thereby maintaining the high levels of RAPSYN in Ph⁺ leukemia.

**Phosphorylated RAPSYN potentiated its NEDD8 E3 ligase activity and promoted BCR-ABL stabilization**

To dissect the role of SRC-mediated phosphorylation of RAPSYN, we tested whether phosphorylation of RAPSYN at Y336 affects its ligase activity. Immunoblotting revealed saracatinib treatment or SRC silencing reduced BCR-ABL neddylation and its protein expression, while exogenous expression of *SRC* cDNA strongly increased it (Figure 5A-C). Additionally, co-expression in HEK293T cells showed that Y336F mutation had no impact on BCR-ABL neddylation compared to co-transfection with SRC (Figure 5D). These results were supported by stronger neddylation of endogenous BCR-ABL in cells overexpressing WT RAPSYN, but not in the Y336F mutant (Figure 5E). Furthermore, protein turnover rates of BCR-ABL were determined in Ph⁺ leukemia cells and the cells with exogenous *RAPSN*^Y336F expression displayed larger decrease in BCR-ABL level than those expressing *RAPSN*^WT (Figure 5F). Therefore, RAPSYN

phosphorylation at Y336 by SRC was a major contributing factor to its NEDD8 E3

ligase activity and BCR-ABL stability in Ph$^+$ leukemia cells.

**Phosphorylation of RAPSYN at Y336 promoted Ph$^+$ leukemia progression**

To assess the extent to which SRC-mediated phosphorylation of RAPSYN at Y336

contributes to the enhanced viability of RAPSYN-dependent Ph$^+$ leukemia cells, we

first identified a specific sh*SRC* by screening five candidates using toxicity tests and

then performing rescue experiments with *SRC* cDNA. Toxicity tests revealed that,

albeit to varying degrees, sh*SRC*#2, #4, and #5 induced cytotoxicity in all Ph$^+$ leukemia

cell lines (Figure 6A, Figure6-figure supplement 6A). However, exogenous *SRC* cDNA

expression only restored the growth of Ph$^+$ leukemia cells transduced with sh*SRC*#2

(Figure 6B, Figure6-figure supplement 6B, C).

Subsequently, we performed rescue experiments by introducing *RAPSN*$^{WT/Y336F}$

cDNA or an empty vector into shSRC#2-transducted Ph$^+$ leukemia cells and found that

virtually complete *RAPSN*$^{WT}$-induced rescue was detected in both cell lines, but

*RAPSN*$^{Y336F}$ exhibited no restoring effect (Figure 6C, D). In addition, transduction of

*RAPSN*$^{WT}$ cDNA conferred an increased resistance against saracatinib treatment,

whereas the expression of *RAPSN*$^{Y336F}$ cDNA did not affect the drug sensitivity of the

cells (Figure 6E, F). Furthermore, knockdown of RAPSYN substantially sensitized both

cell lines to saracatinib (Figure 6G).

In animal models, while the overall survival of mice intravenously injected with

K562 cells expressing control empty vector was significantly improved by either

saracatinib administration or shRNA-mediated SRC inhibition, overexpression of WT

RAPSYN fully counteracted these effects and shortened the lifespan of mice to the

levels comparable to those of mice injected with K562 cells without SRC inhibition. In

contrast, expression of exogenous *RAPSN*$^{Y336F}$ attenuated the protective effects of

SRC inhibition to a much lesser extent (Figure 6H-J). Taken together, these results

suggest that phosphorylation at Y336 by SRC is a major event in the pro-leukemogenic

functions of RAPSYN in Ph$^+$ leukemia development.

**Discussion**

In this study, we identified a novel role of RAPSYN in hematology. Indeed, RAPSYN inhibition significantly suppressed the survival of Ph[+] leukemia. This phenotype was found to be linked to the NEDD8 E3 ligase activity of RAPSYN, which mediated BCR-ABL neddylation to enhance its stability for promoting leukemogenesis.

Balanced protein synthesis and degradation are pivotal for maintaining protein homeostasis and normal cellular function. Neddylation is a type of important post-translational modifications (PTM) that mainly modulates protein stability. Accumulating evidences have shown that targeting the neddylation process could be an appealing strategy for anticancer therapy with particular efficacy in hematologic malignancies (Bahjat et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2019; Leclerc et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Milhollen et al., 2010; Paiva et al., 2015; Soucy et al., 2009; Swords et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2019). On the other hand, neddylation is a specific PTM that modifies multiple Lys residues in BCR-ABL, shielding this oncoprotein to compete ubiquitination-mediated degradation, which provides a reasonable explanation on the poor *in vivo* efficacy of PROTAC-based degraders for BCR-ABL. MLN4924, a NEDD8-activating E1 enzyme inhibitor, has been shown to inhibit the survival of both wild-type (WT) and T315I-BCR-ABL leukemia cells as well as leukemia-initiating cells ( Liu et al., 2018; Bahjat et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2019). Moreover, clinical trials of MLN4924 in combination with anticancer agents in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) have progressed to phase II (NCT03745352 and PEVENAZA [NCT04266795]) and III (PANTHER[NCT03268954] and PEVOLAM[NCT04090736]). However, the neddylation system works in a substrate- and context-dependent manner, which essentially defines its role in tumorigenesis as *anti* or *pro*, particularly relying on the substrate specificity of the NEDD8 E3 ligase. Neddylation can either facilitate ubiquitination-dependent degradation of its substrates, such as EGFR (Oved et al., 2006) and c-SRC (Lee et al., 2018), or enhance protein stability in the cases of HuR (Embade et al., 2012) and TGF-β type II receptor (Zuo et al., 2013). Thus, the antitumor effects of MLN4924 are the integrative outcome of inhibiting more *pro*- than *anti*-tumorigenic neddylation activities in the reported tumor types. Recent studies

uncovered that neddylation could also inhibit tumor progression and MLN4924

stimulates tumor sphere formation and wound healing as well as promotes glycolysis

(Zhou et al., 2016; Zhou, Jiang et al., 2019; Zhou and Sun, 2019). Therefore, rather

than suppressing the entire neddylation system to affect a wide range of proteins,

targeted inhibition of substrate-specific NEDD8 E3 ligase, such as RAPSYN, might

offer a potential therapeutic opportunity for more elegant anticancer intervention with

fewer side effects.

Functional studies on RAPSYN have focused on its contribution to neuromuscular

transmission (Xing et al., 2019; Xing et al., 2020). In this study, we found that RAPSYN

promotes disease progression by neddylating BCR-ABL for its resistance to c-CBL-

mediated proteasomal degradation. Additionally, its NEDD8 E3 ligase activity was

incrased by SRC-mediated phosphorylation. Previously, residue of Y86 in RAPSYN

was identified as a phosphorylation site by muscle associated receptor tyrosine kinase

(MuSK) at the neuromuscular junction endplate (Xing et al., 2019), which could

enhance the E3 NEDD8 ligase activity of RAPSYN probably by mediating its self-

association (Bian et al., 2017; Koliopoulos et al., 2016; Liew et al., 2010; Metzger et

al., 2014; Xing et al., 2020b). Differently, we found that SRC phosphorylates RAPSYN

at Y336 residue located between its CC and RING domains in Ph$^+$ leukemia cells,

suggesting that the phosphorylation of RAPSYN might be kinase-specific or tissue-

specific. So far, multiple RAPSYN mutations have been reported to be causative

(Cossins et al., 2006; Finsterer, 2019). In particular, N88K mutation could significantly

reduce MuSK-mediated Y86 phosphorylation of RAPSYN to affect its E3 NEDD8

ligase activity (Xing et al., 2019). However, the precise process by which N88K

mutation is involved in modulating RAPSYN phosphorylation is unclear. On the other

hand, N88 was predicted to be a N-glycosylation site with the highest score among all

putative ones in RAPSYN (Lam et al., 2017), implicating that N-glycosylation of

RAPSYN could be a prerequisite for normal RAPSYN phosphorylation and activation.

In the present study, we unveiled that SRC-mediated RAPSYN phosphorylation could

substantially potentiate its NEDD8 E3 ligase activity and enhance its protein stability,

once again suggesting the hematological specificity. In addition, given the fact that neddylation and sumoylation have both shown, also in the present study, to be capable of antagonizing the ubiquitination of their substrates (Enchev et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017b), the potential self-modification of RAPSYN is likely to promote its own stabilization. Collectively, it is of great importance to further dissect different PTMs of RAPSYN and their interactions in order to more thoroughly understand RAPSYN's biological functions.

It is well known that the generation of BCR-ABL fusion protein is a decisive characteristic of Ph$^+$ leukemia (de Klein et al., 1982). Aside from the occurrence of RAPSYN in patients with Ph$^+$ leukemia, the present study revealed a fascinating finding that BCR-ABL expression levels were correlated with those of RAPSYN, demonstrating the specificity of RAPSYN-mediated neddylation of BCR-ABL. Moreover, as a new type of PTM for BCR-ABL, RAPSYN-mediated neddylation was found to compete c-CBL-mediated ubiquitination, causing a reduction in BCR-ABL degradation. Given the fact that the increase of BCR-ABL expression can affect the sensitivity to TKIs and eventually determine the rate of TKI resistance and LIC population in patients with Ph$^+$ leukemia ( Issaad et al., 2000; Barnes, Palaiologou et al., 2005), effective degradation of BCR-ABL is an alternative opportunity for the treatment. Although recent studies have greatly advanced our understanding of the regulation of BCR-ABL degradation (Burslem, Schultz et al., 2019; Shibata et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2021b), most reported modulatory proteins are not ideal for translation to clinical settings because of their pivotal roles in sustaining normal hematological functions. In contrast, RAPSYN was nearly unexpressed in the blood of healthy donors. Thus, it is reasonable to expect that the inhibition of RAPSYN expression could lead to cytotoxicity in Ph$^+$ leukemia with high specificity and marginal side effects. Furthermore, our present results showed that knockdown of RAPSYN significantly increased the sensitivity of leukemia cells to saracatinib, implying that a combination of RAPSYN inhibition and TKI treatment can effectively control mutations and LIC-derived TKI resistance in Ph$^+$ leukemia.

In summary, our work has uncovered the pivotal role that RAPSYN exerts its NEDD8 E3 ligase activity in neddylating and stabilizing BCR-ABL in the pathogenesis of Ph$^+$ leukemia and thus delineate it as a potential novel therapeutic target for the treatment of Ph$^+$ leukemia. More importantly, our results shed a light on future investigations that may help to extend to other cancer types for broadening our understanding of RAPSYN's involvement in hematology and oncology.

**Materials and Methods**

**Human clinical samples**

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2019-SR-485.A1). Human peripheral blood samples were obtained from the remaining material utilized for routine laboratory tests at the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China) and derived from 21 patients with Ph$^+$ chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) and six healthy volunteers. And one human bone marrow sample of Ph+ acute lymphoblastic leukemia patient was obtained from the remaining material utilized for routine laboratory tests at the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China). Peripheral blood and bone marrow mononuclear cells were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using the Ficoll® Paque Plus solution (17-1440-02, GE Healthcare).

**Cell cultures**

K562 (female; CBP60529), MEG-01 (male; BP61104), KU812 (male; BP60732) and Jurkat cells were purchased from COBIOER and cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (RPMI 1640; KGM31800, KeyGEN BioTECH) containing 10-20% fetal bovine serum (FBS; FS301-02, TransGen Biotech) and 100 mg/mL streptomycin/penicillin (FG101-01, TransGen Biotech). HS-5 (male; CRL11882, ATCC), purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and HEK293T (KG405) from KeyGEN BioTECH were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; KGM12800, KeyGEN BioTECH) containing 10% FBS and 100 mg/mL streptomycin/penicillin. All cells were cultured in a humidified incubator with 5% $CO_2$

at 37°C. All the cell lines were authenticated using short tandem repeat matching analysis and tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.

**Animal studies**

Female NOD/ShiLtJGpt-Prkdcem26Cd52Il2rgem26Cd22/Gpt (NCG) mice (6-8 weeks), purchased from GemPharmatech Co., Ltd., were used for all in vivo studies. Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions at $24 \pm 1$°C and $55 \pm 5$% humidity in a barrier facility with 12-h light-dark cycles. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals with the approval of the Center for New Drug Evaluation and Research, China Pharmaceutical University (approval number: B20190925-1; Nanjing, China).

**Apoptosis assay**

A total of $1-5 \times 10^5$ cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 02-024-1ACS, Biological Industries) and resuspended in 100 µL of Annexin V binding buffer (E-CK-A211, Elabscience). The cell suspension was incubated with 2.5 µL of Annexin V-AF647 (E-CK-A211, Elabscience) and 2.5 µL of propidium iodide (PI; E-CK-A211, Elabscience) for 20 min in the dark, followed by the addition of 400 µL of Annexin V binding buffer and detection by flow cytometry (Thermo Attune NxT, MA, USA).

**Cell viability assay**

Cells were seeded at a density of 5,000 (K562) or 20,000 cells (MEG-01) per well in round-bottom 96-well plates and incubated with different concentrations of saracatinib (AZD0530, Selleck) or the corresponding amount of solvent for 72 h. Cells were then transferred to flat-bottom 96-well plates for the determination of cell viability using the CCK-8 Cell Counting Kit (A311-01, Vazyme) following the manufacturer's instructions. Each experiment was performed at least three times for individual cell line.

**Cell proliferation assay**

Cells were washed twice with PBS, resuspended with a cell number of $2 \times 10^6$ in 1 mL PBS, and incubated with 2.5 µM carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) solution (1948076, Thermo Scientific) or 5 µM carboxylic acid, acetate, and succinimidyl ester

(SNARF-1) solution (S-22801, Invitrogen) for 20 min at 37°C in the dark, respectively.

Subsequently, 1 mL of FBS was added to stop the staining, and the cells were washed

twice with complete media. Cell division was monitored by measuring CFSE or

SNARF-1 dilution using flow cytometry via channels BL1 and YL1.

**Cell cycle analysis**

A total of $1\times10^6$ cells were washed once with pre-chilled PBS, fixed in ice-cold 70%

ethanol, vortexing and kept for at least 20 min at -20°C. The fixed cells were washed

twice with PBS and stained with 20 µg/mL propidium iodide (PI) containing 100 mg/mL

RNAse A (740505, MACHEREY-NAGEL) for 15 min at room temperature. Stained

nuclei were analyzed by flow cytometry and quantified using FlowJo software (BD

Biosciences, NJ, USA).

**Cell transfection and viral transduction**

Transfection of the indicated DNA plasmids into HEK293T cells was performed using

Lipofectamine 2000 (11668500, Thermo-Fisher Scientific), according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, transfection of HEK293T was performed when cell

confluency reached 60-70%. Plasmids and Lipofectamine 2000 reagent were diluted

in Opti-MEM medium (31985-070, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated for 5 min

at room temperature. They were then mixed together, incubated for another 20 min at

room temperature, and added to the target cells. The transfected cells were collected

after 48 h for further analysis.

**GST pull-down assay**

Recombinantly expressed and purified GST or GST-RAPSYN (GenBank:

NM_005055.5; 1236 bp ORF sequence) proteins were incubated with glutathione

beads 4FF (SA010010, Smart-Lifescience) overnight at 4°C in binding buffer (0.14 M

NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 2 mM $KH_2PO_4$, 0.01 M $Na_2HPO_4$, 10 mM DTT, pH 7.4), respectively,

and incubated with purified His-BCR-ABL (p210 BCR-ABL (b3a2); 6126 bp ORF

sequence) protein for another 4 h at 4°C. Beads were washed three times with washing

buffer (0.14 M NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 2 mM $KH_2PO_4$, 0.01 M $Na_2HPO_4$, 0.5 mM reduced

GSH, 10 mM DTT, pH 7.4), eluted with elution buffer (0.14 M NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 2

mM $KH_2PO_4$, 0.01M $Na_2HPO_4$, 10 mM reduced GSH, 10 mM DTT, pH 7.4), and

subjected to immunoblotting detection.

**Immunoblotting**

The cells were lysed on ice with Nonidet® P-40 (NP-40) lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 100

mM NaF, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), and 0.5% NP-40) supplemented with a protease

inhibitor cocktail (78446, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Lysates were centrifuged, quantified,

subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and

transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes using a Bio-Rad transfer

apparatus. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline buffer

containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) at 20-25°C for 2 h, followed by incubation with primary

antibody overnight at 4°C. The membranes were then washed three times in TBST buffer

and incubated with species-specific HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h at room

temperature. Then, the membranes were washed three times in TBST buffer, developed

using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent, and exposed to the ChemiDoc

Imaging System (Tanon, Shanghai, China). The following antibodies were used for

immunoblotting or immunoprecipitation: anti-RAPSYN (ab11423, Abcam), anti-RAPSYN

(ab118491, Abcam), anti-6X His tag (ab18184, Abcam), anti-BCR-ABL (ab187831,

Abcam), anti-SRC (phosphor Y418) (ab40660, Abcam), anti-NEDD8 (#2753, Cell

Signaling Technology), anti-HA tag (#3724, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-GFP tag

(#2956, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-GST tag (#2625, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-

Myc tag (#2276, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-SRC (#2109, Cell Signaling Technology),

anti-Flag (#14793, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-GAPDH (#2118, Cell Signaling

Technology), anti-β-Tubulin (#2128, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Ubiquitin (#3936, Cell

Signaling Technology), anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked antibody (#7076S, Cell Signaling

Technology), anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody (#7074S, Cell Signaling Technology),

normal mouse IgG (sc-2025, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-c-CBL (sc-1651, Santa Cruz

Biotech), anti-AChR α7 (sc-58606, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-mAChR M2 (sc-33712,

Santa Cruz Biotech), anti-mAChR M3 (sc-518107, Santa Cruz Biotech), anti-mAChR M4

(ER1906-24, HUABIO) and anti-phosphotyrosine (#05-321X, Sigma-Aldrich).

**Immunoprecipitation**

Immunoprecipitation assays were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the cells were lysed on ice with NP-40 lysis buffer supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail. Cell lysates were centrifuged, quantified, and incubated with the appropriate primary antibody overnight at 4°C, and subsequently with protein A agarose beads (16-125, Millipore) for another 4 h at 4°C. Agarose was washed three times with lysis buffer and eluted with SDS-PAGE loading buffer. The eluted immunocomplexes were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were then probed with primary and corresponding secondary antibodies, washed three times in TBST buffer, developed using ECL reagent and exposed by ChemiDoc Imaging System.

***In vitro* neddylation assay**

A 30 µL reaction mixture containing 2 mM ATP-Mg$^{2+}$ (B-20, R&D), 50 ng E1 (APPBP1/UBA3; E-313-25, R&D), 400 ng E2 (UBE2M; E2-656-100, R&D), 0.25 µg NEDD8 (UL-812-500, R&D), 0.35 µg His-BCR-ABL, with or without 4.77 µg recombinant RAPSYN was incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Reaction was terminated with SDS-PAGE loading buffer and assayed using immunoblotting.

***In vitro* phosphorylation assay**

A 40 µL reaction mixture containing 2 mM ATP-Mg$^{2+}$, 3 µg recombinant RAPSYN, and 1 µg recombinant SRC (GenBank: NM_005417.5; 1608 bp ORF sequence) protein, with or without 2 µM saracatinib (AZD0530, Selleck), was incubated at 30°C for 30 min. Reaction was terminated with SDS-PAGE loading buffer and assayed by immunoblotting.

**Animal experiments with mouse models**

Female NCG mice aged 6-8 weeks were used in all the animal experiments. In the subcutaneous tumor experiment shown in Figure 1G, 20 mice were randomly divided into two groups, followed by subcutaneous injection of 1×10$^6$ K562-shNC or K562-shRAPSYN #3 cells in 60 µL Matrigel (354234, Corning) into the right foreleg. Tumor size was measured every two days using a digital caliper. The tumor volume was

quantified using the following equation: tumor volume = 0.5 × (long diameter) × (short

diameter)$^2$. When the average volume of the control group exceeded 2,000 mm$^3$, the

mice were sacrificed. The tumors were separated, and their weights were measured.

As shown in the survival experiment in Figure 1J, NCG mice were inoculated with

K562-RAPSYN$^{WT}$ or K562-RAPSYN$^{KO}$ (1×10$^7$ cells/mouse) via the tail vein. The

survival time was recorded until the mice died. As shown in the survival experiment of

Figure 6I, 40 mice were randomly divided into 4 groups and intravenously inoculated

with K562-OE-NC (20 mice), K562-OE-RAPSYN$^{WT}$ (10 mice) or K562-OE-

RAPSYNY$^{336F}$ (10 mice). From day 6 to 26 after tumor cell inoculation, 10 mice

inoculated with K562-OE-NC were treated with vehicle orally, while the other 30 mice

inoculated with K562-OE-NC, K562-OE-RAPSYN$^{WT}$ or K562-OE-RAPSYNY$^{336F}$, 10

mice in each group, were administered saracatinib orally (50 mg/kg/d). The survival

time was recorded until the mice died. In the survival experiment shown in Figure 6J,

40 mice were randomly divided into four groups and intravenously inoculated with

double-transfected K562 cells, as indicated. The survival time was recorded until the

mice died.

**Identification of modification sites**

To determine which lysine residues in BCR-ABL were neddylated by NEDD8, an *in*

*vitro* neddylation reaction (50 µL) was performed. After incubation at 37°C for 4 h, the

reaction mixture was separated by SDS-PAGE, and silver-stained bands were excised

and sent to BiotechPack Scientific Co., Ltd (Beijing, China) for liquid chromatography-

mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis. To determine which tyrosines in RAPSYN

were phosphorylated by SRC, a phosphorylation reaction (50 µL) was performed. After

a 30 min reaction, the reaction mixture was separated by SDS-PAGE, and silver-

stained bands were excised and sent to Applied Protein Technology Co., Ltd.

(Shanghai, China) for LC-MS/MS analysis.

**Plasmid construction**

Eukaryotic expression vectors encoding His-, GST-, HA-, Myc-, or Flag-tagged

proteins were generated by inserting PCR-amplified fragments into the pcDNA3.1(+)

mammalian expression vector (V79020, Invitrogen). Eukaryotic expression vectors encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged proteins were generated by inserting PCR-amplified fragments into pd1-EGFP-N1 vector (6073-1, Clontech). Prokaryotic plasmids encoding GST-fusion proteins were constructed using pGEX-4T-1 bacterial expression vector (27-4580-01, Addgene). Mutants of His-, HA- GST-, or GFP-tagged proteins were generated using QuickMutation Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (D0206, Beyotime) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, whole plasmid DNA was amplified by PCR for 20 cycles with specific mutant primers (Supplemental Table S1) using QuickMutation site-directed mutagenesis kit. Next, 1 μL DpnI was directly added to the PCR reaction mixture, followed by incubation at 37°C for 30 min and transformation to *E. coli* cells. To verify the mutation sites, single colonies were selected for DNA sequencing and subsequent protein expression.

**Preparation of stable *RAPSYN*<sup>KO</sup> K562 cell line**

*RAPSYN*<sup>KO</sup> K562 cells were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 system (Genloci Biotechnologies Inc.). Single guide RNAs for *RAPSYN* (sgRNAs) were designed using online CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/). The sgRNA sequences were ATGGGGCGCTTCCGCGTGCTGGG, GTAGCGGCCCATCTCCGAGTGGG, and TCTGGTTGGACTGGTACAGCTGG, which were cloned into pGK1.1/CRISPR/Cas9 vector (Genloci Biotechnologies Inc.). To obtain single clones of *RAPSYN*-KO cells, K562 cells were transfected with pGK1.1/CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid containing the aforementioned sgRNA sequence, expanded, selected with puromycin (0120A21, LEAGENE), and isolated by single-cell culturing. Single clones obtained from *RAPSYN*-KO cells were validated by DNA sequencing and immunoblotting.

**Preparation of stable *RAPSYN*<sup>KD</sup> and *SRC*<sup>KD</sup> cell lines**

Lentivirus-producing shRNA targeting either human *RAPSYN* or *SRC* mRNA was used to inhibit endogenous RAPSYN or SRC expression, respectively. All shRNAs (Supplementary Table S1) were designed using online shRNA design tools (https://rnaidesigner.thermofisher.com and https://portals.broadinstitute.org). The shRNA primers were ordered from GenScript (Nanjing, China) and annealed in a

thermal cycler according to following procedure (95°C, 2 min; 85°C, 9 min; 75°C, 9 min; 65°C, 9 min; 55°C, 9 min; 45°C, 9 min; 35°C, 9 min; 25°C, 10 min; 4°C, hold) in the presence of NE Buffer 2.1 (B7202S, New England BioLabs) to form a double strand with EcoRI and AgeI sticky ends. Using T4 DNA ligase (M0202L, NEW ENGLAND BioLabs), the double-stranded shRNAs were ligated with either lentiviral backbone plasmid vector pLKO-EGFP-puro or a tet pLKO-EGFP-puro, which was digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI-HF (R3101S, NEW ENGLAND BioLabs) and AgeI-HF (R3552S, NEW ENGLAND BioLabs). Plasmids containing shRNA or corresponding empty vector were cotransfected with lentivirus packaging plasmids (pLP1, pLP2, and pLP/VSVG) into HEK293T cells using linear polyethylenimine (23966, Polyscience) transfection method. After transfection for 6–8 h, the transfection reagent was replaced with a fresh medium. After incubation at 37°C, 5% $CO_2$ for 48 and 72 h, the resulting lentivirus supernatant was collected respectively, and filtrated through a 0.22 μm disc filter. Then, 15 mL of filtered lentivirus supernatant was concentrated through a 100 KDa ultrafiltration tube at 1,500 × g and 4°C for 1 h. Ph[+] leukemia cell lines were infected with concentrated lentivirus supernatant containing 8 μg/mL polybrene (H9268, Sigma). The culture plate or dish was centrifuged in a horizontal rotor centrifuge at 2,000 × g and 32°C for 1.5 h. After 48 h, the viral particles were replaced with fresh medium, and 3 μg/mL puromycin was added for selection for another 48–72 h. Protein expression levels were analyzed by immunoblotting with the antibodies of anti-RAPSYN (ab118491, Abcam) or SRC (11097-1-AP, Proteintech).

**Preparation of stable RAPSYN[WT], RAPSYN[Y336F] or SRC expression cell lines**
The lentiviruses for overexpressing RAPSYN[WT], SRC (both with corresponding empty vector OE-NC1) or RAPSYN[Y336F] (with corresponding empty vector OE-NC2) were obtained from GenePharma Co. (Suzhou, China). The volume of virus required was calculated using the following equation: Ph[+] leukemia cell lines were infected using the spin-infection method described above. After infection for 48 h, the viral particles were replaced with fresh medium. Stable RAPSYN[WT], RAPSYN[Y336F] or SRC expressing cells were selected in the presence of 3 μg/mL puromycin for 48-72 h. Protein

633  expression levels were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-RAPSYN or anti-SRC

634  antibodies.

635
$$virus\ volume = \frac{MOI \times cell\ number}{virus\ titer}$$

**Protein expression and purification**

Recombinant pGEX-4T-1-GST-RAPSYN plasmid were transformed into the

ArcticExpress (DE3) pRARE2 competent *E. coli* cells (AYBIO-G6023, ANGYUBIO)

and treated with 0.4 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (367-93-1, Sangon Biotech) to

induce fusion protein expression at 18°C. After the induction for 50 h, bacterial cells

were harvested, resuspended in PBS (0.14 M NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 2mM $KH_2PO4$,

0.01M $Na_2HPO_4$, 10 mM DTT, pH 7.4), and sonicated on ice. Precipitates were

removed from cell lysates by centrifugation. Recombinant GST-RAPSYN was purified

from the supernatant by GST-affinity chromatography (SA010010, Smart-Lifescience)

and size-exclusion chromatography (17-0060-01, GE Healthcare). Purified GST-

RAPSYN protein was digested with thrombin (T8021, Solarbio) for 6 h at 4°C to remove

GST tag. Recombinant pcDNA3.1-His-BCR-ABL plasmids were transfected into

HEK293T cells and were collected after 48 h culturing. The cells were then lysed on

ice using Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) lysis buffer with a protease inhibitor cocktail. Cell

lysates were centrifuged, and then the supernatant fraction was incubated with anti-

BCR-ABL antibody overnight at 4°C and subsequently with protein A magnetic beads

(73778, Cell Signaling Technology) for another 4 h at 4°C. The bead complexes were

washed three times with washing buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.005%

Tween-20, pH 7.5), eluted with elution buffer (0.1 M glycine, pH 2.0), and mixed with

neutralization buffer (1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.0) for neutralization of purified protein.

**Protein stability assay**

657  RAPSYN$^{WT}$, RAPSYN$^{Y336F}$, or BCR-ABL-transfected Ph$^+$ leukemia cells were

658  incubated with 100 mg/mL cycloheximide (CHX, A8244; Cell Signaling Technology)

659  for indicated time points. Cells were harvested and lysed on ice using NP-40 lysis

660  buffer supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail. The supernatant was collected

661  and subjected to immunoblotting using anti-RAPSYN or anti-SRC antibodies.

**Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR)**

High-quality RNA was isolated from cells or tissues using Trizol reagent (AJF1807A, Takara) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using HiScriptIIRT SuperMix for qPCR (R233-01, Vazyme). The ChamQ SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Q331-02, Vazyme) was used for two-step RT-PCR analysis on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR instrument. The samples were analyzed in triplicate. The expression value of target gene in a given sample was normalized to the corresponding expression of ACTB or GAPDH. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to calculate relative expression of target genes. The primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Cytotoxicity assay**

Lentiviruses co-expressing GFP were used to assess the toxicity of shRNAs. Flow cytometry was performed two days after shRNA transduction to determine initial GFP-positive proportion of live cells for each shRNA. Subsequently, the cells were sampled every two days over the time. The GFP-positive proportion at each time point was normalized to that of day two. Each shRNA experiment was performed at least three times for individual cell line.

**Statistical analysis**

All *in vitro* experiments were repeated at least three times. Animals were randomly assigned to different groups for each *in vivo* study. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used for all survival studies, and the log-rank test was used to determine significant differences between groups. Differences with * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, and **** $p < 0.0001$ were considered significant. Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Representative results from at least three independent replicates are shown. Data are presented as mean ± SD, and significant differences were determined using Student's t test, unpaired Student's t test, or one-way analysis of variance test.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the grants of National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0902000), National Science Foundation of China (No. 81872924, 81973386 and 82002971), "Double First-Class" University Project (CPU2022QZ014), Project Program of the State Key Laboratory of Natural Medicines, China Pharmaceutical University (SKLNMZZ202201) and PAPD of Jiangsu Province.

## Additional information

### Funding

| Funder | Grant reference number | Author |
|---|---|---|
| National Natural Science Foundation of China | 81973386 | Yijun Chen |
| National Natural Science Foundation of China | 81872924 | Shuzhen Wang |
| National Natural Science Foundation of China | 82002971 | Beiying Dai |
| National Key R&D Program of China | 2018YFA0902000 | Yijun Chen |
| "Double First-Class" University Project | CPU2022QZ014 | Yijun Chen |
| Project Program of the State Key Laboratory of Natural Medicines | SKLNMZZ202201 | Yijun Chen |
| Key Research and Development Project of Guangdong Province | 2022B1111070004 | Yijun Chen |

The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

### Author contributions

Mengya Zhao, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Writing - original draft; Beiying Dai, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology,

Writing - original draft, Project administration, Funding acquisition; Xiaodong Li, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology; Yixin Zhang, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology; Chun Qiao, Data curation; Yaru Qin, Data curation; Qingmei Li, Data curation; Zhao Li, Data curation; Shuzhen Wang, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Writing - review & editing; Yong Yang, Supervision, Resources; Yijun Chen, Conceptualization, Supervision, Resources, Writing - review & editing, Funding acquisition.

**Competing interests**

A Chinese patent application was filed with the number of 202210107464.7. China Pharmaceutical University owns the patent rights, and Y. C., Y. Y., M. Z., B. D., X. L., S. W. and X. Z. are the inventors of the patent.

**Data availability**

The data generated in this study are available upon request from the corresponding authors.

**Ethics**

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2019-SR-485.A1). All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals with the approval of the Center for New Drug Evaluation and Research, China Pharmaceutical University (approval number: B20190925-1; Nanjing, China).

## Figures and figure legends

Figure 1

**Figure 1. High protein levels of RAPSYN promotes Ph⁺ leukemia progression.**

(A) Immunoblots of RAPSYN and BCR-ABL in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells

(PBMCs) of clinical samples.

(B) Immunoblots of RAPSYN and BCR-ABL in Ph$^+$ leukemic cells and normal bone

marrow stromal cells (HS-5).

(C) Cytotoxicity induced by shRNA-mediated RAPSYN knockdown in leukemic and

HS-5 cells.

(D) Cytotoxicity induced by shRNA-mediated RAPSYN knockdown in the PBMCs of

CML patients.

(E) Rescue of leukemic cells from sh*RAPSN* #3-induced toxicity by exogenous

expression of RAPSN cDNA.

(F) An *in vivo* experimental design for testing the effects of RAPSYN on tumor growth

and survival.

(G) The growth curve of subcutaneous xenograft tumors was measured every two days

from the third day after tumor inoculation for 19 days (five mice in each group).

(H) Photograph and weight quantification of excised tumor xenografts from (I).

(I) Immunoblots of RAPSYN and BCR-ABL in mouse xenograft tumor biopsies from

K562 cells transduced with sh*RAPSN* #3 or shNC.

(J) Immunoblots of RAPSYN and BCR-ABL in K562-RAPSYN$^{WT}$ and K562-RAPSYN$^{KO}$

cells.

(K) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of NCG mice following intravenous injection of K562-

RAPSYN$^{WT}$ or K562-RAPSYN$^{KO}$ cells, as shown in (H) (ten mice in each group).

All data represent mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. *P* values

were calculated using unpaired Student's t-test or log-rank test. * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* <

0.01, *** *p* < 0.001, **** *p* < 0.0001.

Figure 2

**Figure 2. RAPSYN neddylates BCR-ABL.**

(A) Co-immunoprecipitation of BCR-ABL and RAPSYN in leukemic cells.

(B) Immunoblots of GST and His after immunoprecipitation of His or GST in HEK293T

cells transfected with His-tagged BCR-ABL and GST-tagged RAPSYN.

(C) Immunoblots of GST and His following GST pull-down after *in vitro* incubation of

purified His-tagged BCR-ABL and GST or GST-tagged RAPSYN.

(D) His-immunoblots of GST immunoprecipitates from HEK293T cells transfected with GST-tagged RAPSYN alone or in combination with His-tagged full-length or truncated BCR-ABL (Δ1: aa 1-927, Δ2: aa 928-2047).

(E) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in leukemic cells.

(F) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in the PBMCs of CML patients.

(G) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in leukemic cells treated with MLN4924 or DMSO for 24 h.

(H) HA-immunoblots of His-immunoprecipitate from HEK293T cells transfected with His-tagged BCR-ABL and HA-tagged NEDD8 or NEDD8 ΔGG.

(I) HA-immunoblots of His-immunoprecipitate from HEK293T cells transfected with indicated constructs.

(J) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in K562 WT, RAPSYN-KO and RAPSYN-KO with exogenous expression of a RAPSN cDNA cells.

(K) HA-immunoblots after immunoprecipitation of His-antibody in HEK293T cells transfected with His-tagged BCR-ABL, HA-tagged NEDD8, GFP-tagged WT RAPSYN or RAPSYN-C366A.

(L) Assessment of BCR-ABL neddylation by RAPSYN *in vitro* enzymatic reactions. Recombinantly expressed and purified RAPSYN and BCR-ABL were incubated with APPBP1/UBA3, UBE2M or NEDD8 for *in vitro* neddylation assay.

(M) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in excised tumor xenografts from Fig. 1H.

(N) Verification of BCR-ABL neddylation sites in HEK293T cells transfected with indicated constructs.

Figure 3

Figure 3. RAPSYN attenuates BCR-ABL ubiquitination and degradation.

(A) Immunoblots of BCR-ABL in leukemic cells treated with MLN4924 or DMSO for 24

h and corresponding quantification of three independent replicates.

(B) Immunoblots of BCR-ABL in K562 WT and RAPSYN-KO cells and corresponding

quantification of three independent replicates.

(C) Assessment of BCR-ABL protein stability in K562 cells expressing DOX-inducible sh*RAPSN* #3 treated with CHX alone or in combination with DOX at indicated time points by immunoblotting.

(D) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation and ubiquitination levels in leukemic cells treated with MLN4924 or DMSO for 24 h.

(E) Analysis of BCR-ABL neddylation and ubiquitination levels in K562 WT and RAPSYN KO cells.

(F) Immunoblots of HA and Myc after His-immunoprecipitation in HEK293T cells transfected with His-tagged BCR-ABL, HA-tagged Ub or without Myc-tagged NEDD8.

(G) Analysis of BCR-ABL ubiquitination and neddylation in leukemic cells treated with MG132 or DMSO for 12 h.

(H) Co-immunoprecipitation of BCR-ABL, c-CBL and RAPSYN in leukemic cells expressing exogenous RAPSN cDNA or empty vector.

(I) Co-immunoprecipitation of BCR-ABL, c-CBL and RAPSYN in K562 WT and RAPSYN KO cells.

All data represent mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. P values were calculated using unpaired Student's t-test. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 4

**Figure 4. SRC-mediated phosphorylation at Y336 promotes RAPSYN stability by repressing its proteasomal degradation.**

(A) Assessment of RAPSYN phosphorylation levels in leukemic cells treated with saracatinib or DMSO for 24 h.

(B) Assessment of RAPSYN phosphorylation levels in leukemic cells transduced with

shSRC or shNC.

(C) Assessment of RAPSYN phosphorylation levels in leukemic cells expressing

exogenous SRC cDNA or empty vector.

(D) Assessment of RAPSYN phosphorylation by SRC in vitro. Purified RAPSYN and

SRC were incubated with ATP in the presence or absence of saracatinib for

phosphorylation assay.

(E) Verification of RAPSYN phosphorylation sites. Purified SRC and RAPSYN WT or

indicated mutants were incubated with ATP for phosphorylation assay.

(F) Assessment of RAPSYN protein stability in leukemic cells treated with CHX in

combination with saracatinib or DMSO at indicated time points by immunoblotting.

(G) Assessment of RAPSYN protein stability in leukemic cells transduced with shSRC

or shNC by immunoblotting.

(H) Assessment of RAPSYN protein stability in leukemic cells transduced with

exogenous SRC cDNA or empty vector by immunoblotting.

(I) Assessment of RAPSYN protein stability in leukemic cells transduced with

exogenous RAPSYN WT or Y336F cDNA by immunoblotting.

(J) Immunoblots of RAPSYN in leukemic cells treated with saracatinib or DMSO for 12

h, and subsequently with MG132 or DMSO for another 12 h.

(K) Immunoblots of RAPSYN in leukemic cells transduced with shNC or shSRC and

treated with MG132 or DMSO for 12 h.

Figure 5

**Figure 5. RAPSYN phosphorylation at Y336 potentiates its E3 ligase activity and**

**promotes BCR-ABL stabilization.**

(A) Immunoblots of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in leukemic cells treated with saracatinib or DMSO for 24 h.

(B) Immunoblots of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in leukemic cells transduced with sh*SRC* or shNC.

(C) Immunoblots of BCR-ABL neddylation levels in leukemic cells expressing exogenous SRC cDNA or empty vector.

(D) Effects of RAPSYN phosphorylation on BCR-ABL neddylation levels in HEK293T cells transfected with indicated constructs.

(E) Effects of RAPSYN phosphorylation at Y336 on BCR-ABL neddylation levels in leukemic cells expressing exogenous RAPSYN WT, Y336F cDNA, or empty vector.

(F) Assessment of BCR-ABL protein stability in leukemic cells transduced with exogenous cDNA for RAPSYN[WT], RAPSYN[Y336F] or empty vector by immunoblotting.

Figure 6

**Figure 6. SRC-mediated phosphorylation of RAPSYN at Y336 promotes Ph⁺**

**leukemia progression.**

(A) Cytotoxicity induced by sh*SRC* #2-mediated SRC knockdown in leukemic cells.

(B) Rescue of leukemic cells from sh*SRC* #2-induced toxicity by exogenous expression

of SRC cDNA.

(C) Rescue of leukemic cells from sh*SRC* #2-induced toxicity by exogenous

expression of RAPSN$^{WT}$ cDNA.

(D) Failed rescue of leukemic cells from sh*SRC* #2-induced toxicity by exogenous expression of RAPSN$^{Y336F}$ cDNA.

(E) Viability of leukemic cells transduced with either RAPSN$^{WT}$ cDNA or corresponding empty vector after 72 h of incubation with indicated concentrations of saracatinib.

(F) Viability of leukemic cells transduced with either RAPSN$^{Y336F}$ cDNA or corresponding empty vector after 72 h of incubation with indicated concentrations of saracatinib.

(G) Viability of leukemic cells transduced with either shNC or sh*RAPSN* #3 after 72 h of incubation with indicated concentrations of saracatinib.

(H) Experimental design used to test *in vivo* effects of RAPSYN phosphorylation at Y336 on Ph$^+$ leukemia progression and survival time.

(I) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of NCG mice following intravenous injection of K562-RAPSYN$^{WT}$ or K562-RAPSYN$^{Y336F}$ cells and intragastric administration of saracatinib or corresponding vehicle from days 6 to 26 as indicated (ten mice in each group).

(J) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of NCG mice following intravenous injection of double-transfected K562 cells (ten mice in each group). Representative results from at least three independent experiments are shown (A-G); error bars, mean ± SD; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$; log-rank test (I-L).

## References

Aydin, B., Kan, B., and Cabadak, H. 2013. The role of intracellular pathways in the proliferation of human K562 cells mediated by muscarinic receptors. *Leuk Res* **37**: 1144-1149. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2013.05.018.

Bahjat, M., de Wilde, G., van Dam, T., Maas, C., Bloedjes, T., Bende, R.J., van Noesel, C.J.M., Luijks, D.M., Eldering, E., Kersten, M.J., and Guikema, J.E.J. 2019. The NEDD8-activating enzyme inhibitor MLN4924 induces DNA damage in Ph+ leukemia and sensitizes for ABL kinase inhibitors. *Cell Cycle* **18**: 2307-2322. DOI:10.1080/15384101.2019.1646068.

Barnes, D.J., Palaiologou, D., Panousopoulou, E., Schultheis, B., Yong, A.S., Wong, A., Pattacini, L., Goldman, J.M., and Melo, J.V. 2005. Bcr-Abl expression levels determine the rate of development of resistance to imatinib mesylate in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Res* **65**: 8912-8919. DOI:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-0076.

Bekes, M., Langley, D.R., and Crews, C.M. 2022. PROTAC targeted protein degraders: the past is prologue. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **21**: 181-200. DOI:10.1038/s41573-021-00371-6.

Braun, T.P., Eide, C.A., and Druker, B.J. 2020. Response and Resistance to BCR-ABL1-Targeted Therapies. *Cancer Cell* **37**: 530-542. DOI:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.006.

Burslem, G.M., Schultz, A.R., Bondeson, D.P., Eide, C.A., Savage Stevens, S.L., Druker, B.J., and Crews, C.M. 2019. Targeting BCR-ABL1 in chronic myeloid leukemia by PROTAC-mmediated targeted protein degradation. *Cancer Res* **79**: 4744-4753. DOI:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1236.

Cabadak, H., Aydin, B., and Kan, B. 2011. Regulation of M2, M3, and M4 muscarinic receptor expression in K562 chronic myelogenous leukemic cells by carbachol. *J Recept Signal Transduct Res* **31**: 26-32. DOI:10.3109/10799893.2010.506484.

Cortes, J., Pavlovsky, C., and Saußele, S. 2021. Chronic myeloid leukaemia. *The Lancet* **398**: 1914-1926. DOI:10.1016/s0140-6736(21)01204-6.

Deininger, M.W., Goldman, J.M., and Melo, J.V. 2000. The molecular biology of chronic myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **96**: 3343-3356.

Demizu, Y., Shibata, N., Hattori, T., Ohoka, N., Motoi, H., Misawa, T., Shoda, T., Naito, M., and Kurihara, M. 2016. Development of BCR-ABL degradation inducers via the conjugation of an imatinib derivative and a cIAP1 ligand. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **26**: 4865-4869. DOI:10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.09.041.

Durieux, M.E., and Nietgen, G.W. 1997. Synergistic inhibition of muscarinic signaling by ketamine stereoisomers and the preservative benzethonium chloride. *Anesthesiology* **86**: 1326-1333. DOI:10.1097/00000542-199706000-00014.

Embade, N., Fernandez-Ramos, D., Varela-Rey, M., Beraza, N., Sini, M., Gutierrez de Juan, V., Woodhoo, A., Martinez-Lopez, N., Rodriguez-Iruretagoyena, B., Bustamante, F.J., de la Hoz, A.B., Carracedo, A., Xirodimas, D.P., Rodriguez, M.S., Lu, S.C., Mato, J.M., and Martinez-Chantar, M.L. 2012. Murine double minute 2 regulates Hu antigen R stability in human liver and colon cancer through NEDDylation. *Hepatology* **55**: 1237-1248. DOI:10.1002/hep.24795.

Enchev, R.I., Schulman, B.A., and Peter, M. 2015. Protein neddylation: beyond cullin-RING ligases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **16**: 30-44. DOI:10.1038/nrm3919.

Guo, N., Azadniv, M., Coppage, M., Nemer, M., Mendler, J., Becker, M., and Liesveld, J. 2019. Effects of neddylation and mTOR Inhibition in acute myelogenous leukemia. *Transl Oncol* **12**:

602-613. DOI:10.1016/j.tranon.2019.01.001.

Hochhaus, A., Baccarani, M., Silver, R.T., Schiffer, C., Apperley, J.F., Cervantes, F., Clark, R.E., Cortes, J.E., Deininger, M.W., Guilhot, F., Hjorth-Hansen, H., Hughes, T.P., Janssen, J., Kantarjian, H.M., Kim, D.W., Larson, R.A., Lipton, J.H., Mahon, F.X., Mayer, J., Nicolini, F., Niederwieser, D., Pane, F., Radich, J.P., Rea, D., Richter, J., Rosti, G., Rousselot, P., Saglio, G., Saussele, S., Soverini, S., Steegmann, J.L., Turkina, A., Zaritskey, A., and Hehlmann, R. 2020. European LeukemiaNet 2020 recommendations for treating chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* *34*: 966-984. DOI:10.1038/s41375-020-0776-2.

Huh, K.H., and Fuhrer, C. 2002. Clustering of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: from the neuromuscular junction to interneuronal synapses. *Mol Neurobiol* *25*: 79-112. DOI:10.1385/MN:25:1:079.

Issaad, C., Ahmed, M., Novault, S., Bonnet, M.L., Bennardo, T., Varet, B., Vainchenker, W., and Turhan, A.G. 2000. Biological effects induced by variable levels of BCR-ABL protein in the pluripotent hematopoietic cell line UT-7. *Leukemia* *14*: 662-670. DOI:10.1038/sj.leu.2401730.

Jabbour, E., Cortes, J., O'Brien, S., Giles, F., and Kantarjian, H. 2007. New targeted therapies for chronic myelogenous leukemia: opportunities to overcome imatinib resistance. *Semin Hematol* *44*: S25-31. DOI:10.1053/j.seminhematol.2006.12.003.

Jabbour, E., and Kantarjian, H. 2020. Chronic myeloid leukemia: 2020 update on diagnosis, therapy and monitoring. *Am J Hematol* *95*: 691-709. DOI:10.1002/ajh.25792.

Jakubik, J., Michal, P., Machova, E., and Dolezal, V. 2008. Importance and prospects for design of selective muscarinic agonists. *Physiol Res* *57 Suppl 3*: S39-S47. DOI:10.33549/physiolres.931449.

Jiang, L., Wang, Y., Li, Q., Tu, Z., Zhu, S., Tu, S., Zhang, Z., Ding, K., and Lu, X. 2021a. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of Bcr-Abl PROTACs to overcome T315I mutation. *Acta Pharm Sin B* *11*: 1315-1328. DOI:10.1016/j.apsb.2020.11.009.

Jiang, S., Wang, X., He, Y., Huang, H., Cao, B., Zhang, Z., Liu, J., Wang, Q., Huang, Z., and Mao, X. 2021b. Suppression of USP7 induces BCR-ABL degradation and chronic myelogenous leukemia cell apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis* *12*: 456. DOI:10.1038/s41419-021-03732-6.

Bhalla KN, Fiskus W. 2016. NEDD8 and HDACs: promising cotargets in AML. *Blood* *127*: 2167-2170. DOI:10.1182/blood-2016-02-699058.

Kawamata, J., Suzuki, S., and Shimohama, S. 2011. Enhancement of nicotinic receptors alleviates cytotoxicity in neurological disease models. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis* *2*: 197-208. DOI:10.1177/2040622310397691.

Klein, A.d., Kessel, A.G.v., Grosveld, G., Bartram, C.R., Hagemeijer, A., Bootsma, D., Spurr, N.K., Heisterkamp, N., and J Groffen, J.R.S. 1982. A cellular oncogene is translocated to the Philadelphia chromosome in chronic myelocytic leukaemia. *Nature* *300*: 765-767. DOI:10.1038/300765a0.

Lai, A.C., Toure, M., Hellerschmied, D., Salami, J., Jaime-Figueroa, S., Ko, E., Hines, J., and Crews, C.M. 2016. Modular PROTAC design for the degradation of oncogenic BCR-ABL. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* *55*: 807-810. DOI:10.1002/anie.201507634.

Lee, G.W., Park, J.B., Park, S.Y., Seo, J., Shin, S.H., Park, J.W., Kim, S.J., Watanabe, M., and Chun, Y.S. 2018. The E3 ligase C-CBL inhibits cancer cell migration by neddylating the proto-oncogene c-Src. *Oncogene* *37*: 5552-5568. DOI:10.1038/s41388-018-0354-5.

Legay, C., and Mei, L. 2017. Moving forward with the neuromuscular junction. *J Neurochem*

*142 Suppl 2*: 59-63. DOI:10.1111/jnc.14028.

Li, J., Zou, J., Littlejohn, R., Liu, J., and Su, H. 2020. Neddylation, an emerging mechanism regulating cardiac development and function. *Front Physiol* *11*: 612927. DOI:10.3389/fphys.2020.612927.

Li, L., Cao, Y., Wu, H., Ye, X., Zhu, Z., Xing, G., Shen, C., Barik, A., Zhang, B., Xie, X., Zhi, W., Gan, L., Su, H., Xiong, W.C., and Mei, L. 2016. Enzymatic activity of the scaffold protein rapsyn for synapse formation. *Neuron 92*: DOI:1007-1019. 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.10.023.

Li, L., Xiong, W.C., and Mei, L. 2018. Neuromuscular junction formation, aging, and disorders. *Annu Rev Physiol 80*: 159-188. 10.1146/annurev-physiol-022516-034255.

Li, X., and Song, Y. 2020. Proteolysis-targeting chimera (PROTAC) for targeted protein degradation and cancer therapy. *J Hematol Oncol 13*: 50. DOI:10.1186/s13045-020-00885-3.

Liu, C., Nie, D., Li, J., Du, X., Lu, Y., Li, Y., Zhou, J., Jin, Y., and Pan, J. 2018. Antitumor effects of blocking protein neddylation in T315I-BCR-ABL leukemia cells and leukemia stem cells. *Cancer Res 78*: 1522-1536. DOI:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1733.

Liu, H., Mi, Q., Ding, X., Lin, C., Liu, L., Ren, C., Shen, S., Shao, Y., Chen, J., Zhou, Y., Ji, L., Zhang, H., Bai, F., Yang, X., Yin, Q., and Jiang, B. 2022. Discovery and characterization of novel potent BCR-ABL degraders by conjugating allosteric inhibitor. *Eur J Med Chem 244*: DOI:114810. 10.1016/j.ejmech.2022.114810.

Lussana, F., Intermesoli, T., Stefanoni, P., and Rambaldi, A. 2018. Mechanisms of resistance to targeted therapies in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Handb Exp Pharmacol 249*: 231-250. DOI:10.1007/164_2017_81.

Mao, J.H., Sun, X.Y., Liu, J.X., Zhang, Q.Y., Liu, P., Huang, Q.H., Li, K.K., Chen, Q., Chen, Z., and Chen, S.J. 2010. As4S4 targets RING-type E3 ligase c-CBL to induce degradation of BCR-ABL in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107*: 21683-21688. DOI:10.1073/pnas.1016311108.

McGrail, D.J., Garnett, J., Yin, J., Dai, H., Shih, D.J.H., Lam, T.N.A., Li, Y., Sun, C., Li, Y., Schmandt, R., Wu, J.Y., Hu, L., Liang, Y., Peng, G., Jonasch, E., Menter, D., Yates, M.S., Kopetz, S., Lu, K.H., Broaddus, R., Mills, G.B., Sahni, N., and Lin, S.Y. 2020. Proteome instability is a therapeutic vulnerability in Mismatch Repair-Deficient cancer. *Cancer Cell 37*: 371-386 e312. DOI:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.01.011.

Mohamed, A.S., and Swope, S.L. 1999. Phosphorylation and cytoskeletal anchoring of the acetylcholine receptor by Src class protein-tyrosine kinases. Activation by rapsyn. *J Biol Chem 274*: 20529-20539. DOI:10.1074/jbc.274.29.20529.

Norton, J.P., Augert, A., Eastwood, E., Basom, R., Rudin, C.M., and MacPherson, D. 2021. Protein neddylation as a therapeutic target in pulmonary and extrapulmonary small cell carcinomas. *Genes Dev 35*: 870-887. DOI:10.1101/gad.348316.121.

Önder Narin G., Aydin, B., and Cabadak, H. 2021. Studies on the role of alpha 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in K562 cell proliferation and signaling. *Mol Biol Rep 48*: 5045-5055. DOI:10.1007/s11033-021-06498-4.

Oved, S., Mosesson, Y., Zwang, Y., Santonico, E., Shtiegman, K., Marmor, M.D., Kochupurakkal, B.S., Katz, M., Lavi, S., Cesareni, G., and Yarden, Y. 2006. Conjugation to Nedd8 instigates ubiquitylation and down-regulation of activated receptor tyrosine kinases. *J Biol Chem 281*: 21640-21651. DOI:10.1074/jbc.M513034200.

Shibata, N., Ohoka, N., Tsuji, G., Demizu, Y., Miyawaza, K., Ui-Tei, K., Akiyama, T., and Naito,

M. 2020. Deubiquitylase USP25 prevents degradation of BCR-ABL protein and ensures proliferation of Ph-positive leukemia cells. *Oncogene 39*: 3867-3878. DOI:10.1038/s41388-020-1253-0.

Shimokawa, K., Shibata, N., Sameshima, T., Miyamoto, N., Ujikawa, O., Nara, H., Ohoka, N., Hattori, T., Cho, N., and Naito, M. 2017. Targeting the allosteric site of oncoprotein BCR-ABL as an alternative strategy for effective target protein degradation. *ACS Med Chem Lett 8*: 1042-1047. DOI:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.7b00247.

van der Veen, A.G., and Ploegh, H.L. 2012. Ubiquitin-like proteins. *Annu Rev Biochem 81*: 323-357. DOI:10.1146/annurev-biochem-093010-153308.

Wang, S., Xie, W., Wang, D., Peng, Z., Zheng, Y., Liu, N., Dai, W., Wang, Y., Wang, Z., Yang, Y., and Chen, Y. 2015. Discovery of a small molecule targeting SET-PP2A interaction to overcome BCR-ABL$^{T315I}$ mutation of chronic myeloid leukemia. *Oncotarget 6*: 12128-12140. DOI:10.18632/oncotarget.3665.

Witzemann, V., Chevessier, F., Pacifici, P.G., and Yampolsky, P. 2013. The neuromuscular junction: selective remodeling of synaptic regulators at the nerve/muscle interface. *Mech Dev 130*: 402-411. DOI:10.1016/j.mod.2012.09.004.

Xie, P., Peng, Z., Chen, Y., Li, H., Du, M., Tan, Y., Zhang, X., Lu, Z., Cui, C.P., Liu, C.H., He, F., and Zhang, L. 2021. Neddylation of PTEN regulates its nuclear import and promotes tumor development. *Cell Res 31*: 291-311. DOI:10.1038/s41422-020-00443-z.

Xing, G., Jing, H., Zhang, L., Cao, Y., Li, L., Zhao, K., Dong, Z., Chen, W., Wang, H., Cao, R., Xiong, W.C., and Mei, L. 2019. A mechanism in agrin signaling revealed by a prevalent Rapsyn mutation in congenital myasthenic syndrome. *eLlife 8*. DOI:10.7554/eLife.49180.

Xing, G., Xiong, W.C., and Mei, L. 2020. Rapsyn as a signaling and scaffolding molecule in neuromuscular junction formation and maintenance. *Neurosci Lett 731*: 135013. DOI:10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135013.

Yang, Y., Ding, L., Guo, Z.K., Zheng, X.L., Wang, L.S., Sun, H.Y., Jin, Z.G., and Wang, H.X. 2017. The epigenetically-regulated miR-34a targeting c-SRC suppresses RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway in K-562 cells. *Leuk Res 55*: 91-96. DOI:10.1016/j.leukres.2017.01.020.

Yao, J., Liang, X., Liu, Y., and Zheng, M. 2020. Neddylation: A Versatile Pathway Takes on Chronic Liver Diseases. *Front Med (Lausanne) 7*: 586881. DOI:10.3389/fmed.2020.586881.

Ying, J., Zhang, M., Qiu, X., and Lu, Y. 2018. Targeting the neddylation pathway in cells as a potential therapeutic approach for diseases. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 81*: 797-808. DOI:10.1007/s00280-018-3541-8.

Zhao, Q., Ren, C., Liu, L., Chen, J., Shao, Y., Sun, N., Sun, R., Kong, Y., Ding, X., Zhang, X., Xu, Y., Yang, B., Yin, Q., Yang, X., and Jiang, B. 2019. Discovery of SIAIS178 as an effective BCR-ABL degrader by recruiting Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) E3 ubiquitin ligase. *J Med Chem 62*: 9281-9298. 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b01264.

Zhou, L., Chen, S., Zhang, Y., Kmieciak, M., Leng, Y., Li, L., Lin, H., Rizzo, K.A., Dumur, C.I., Ferreira-Gonzalez, A., Rahmani, M., Povirk, L., Chalasani, S., Berger, A.J., Dai, Y., and Grant, S. 2016. The NAE inhibitor pevonedistat interacts with the HDAC inhibitor belinostat to target AML cells by disrupting the DDR. *Blood 127*: 2219-2230. DOI:10.1182/blood-2015-06-653717.

Zhou, L., Jiang, Y., Luo, Q., Li, L., and Jia, L. 2019. Neddylation: a novel modulator of the tumor microenvironment. *Mol Cancer 18*: 77. DOI:10.1186/s12943-019-0979-1.

Zhou, Q., and Sun, Y. 2019. MLN4924: additional activities beyond neddylation inhibition. *Mol*

1058    *Cell Oncol 6*: e1618174. DOI:10.1080/23723556.2019.1618174.

1059    Zuo, W., Huang, F., Chiang, Y.J., Li, M., Du, J., Ding, Y., Zhang, T., Lee, H.W., Jeong, L.S.,

1060    Chen, Y., Deng, H., Feng, X.H., Luo, S., Gao, C., and Chen, Y.G. 2013. c-Cbl-mediated

1061    neddylation antagonizes ubiquitination and degradation of the TGF-beta type II receptor. *Mol*

1062    *Cell 49*: 499-510. DOI:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.12.002.

1063